

SAIPAR Case Review

Volume 5
Issue 2 May 2022

Article 3

5-2022

Editorial Note

O'Brien Kaaba

University of Zambia; Southern African Institute for Policy and Research, okaaba@yahoo.com

Kafumu Kalyalya

Southern African Institute for Policy and Research

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.cornell.edu/scr>



Part of the [African Studies Commons](#), and the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Kaaba, O'Brien and Kalyalya, Kafumu (2022) "Editorial Note," *SAIPAR Case Review*: Vol. 5: Iss. 2, Article 3.
Available at: <https://scholarship.law.cornell.edu/scr/vol5/iss2/3>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at Scholarship@Cornell Law: A Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in SAIPAR Case Review by an authorized editor of Scholarship@Cornell Law: A Digital Repository. For more information, please contact jmp8@cornell.edu.

Editorial Note

We are glad to present Volume 5 Issue 2 of the Saipar Case Review (SCR). This edition has four cases, covering matters of gender equity, criminal justice, court jurisdiction and employment matters.

The first case discussed is that of *Chapter One Foundation and 2 Others v Attorney General 2020/CCZ/0013[2021]*. In this case, Florence Chumpuka and Mbaka Wadham bring to light how the Constitutional Court failed to enforce constitutional norms relating to equal representation of women in positions of influence. The decision by the Court perpetuates old gender stereotypes.

Muna Ndulo and Milimo Moyo, in *The People v Ronald Kaoma Chitotela SSPD/034/2022*, demonstrate that the magistrate was wrong in dismissing the prosecution of a former minister based on the rule of double jeopardy because of a prior settlement agreement.

In the third case, Chanda Chungu analyses the decision of *MP Infrastructure Zambia Limited v Matt Smith and Kenneth Barnes CAZ Appeal No 102/2020* to demonstrate challenges that arise from the way the courts have interpreted disputes arising from the termination of the contracts of employment.

Finally, O'Brien Kaaba criticises the decision of the Court of Appeal divesting the High Court General List of jurisdiction in employment matters in the case of *Mubita Mwananuka v Armaguard Security CAZ Appeal No 201/2021*.

We hope you will like our selection of cases.

O'Brien Kaaba and Kafumu Kalyalya
Editors