Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Comptes Rendus Chimie

www.sciencedirect.com



CrossMark

Aqueous microwave-assisted DMAP catalyzed synthesis of β -phosphonomalonates and 2-amino-4*H*-chromen-4-ylphosphonates via a domino Knoevenagel-phospha-Michael reaction

Parteek Kour ^a, Anil Kumar ^{a, *}, Vijai K. Rai ^b

^a Synthetic Organic Chemistry Lab, Faculty of Sciences, Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Katra, Jammu & Kashmir 182 320, India
 ^b Department of Chemistry, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh 495009, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 February 2016 Accepted 12 May 2016 Available online 24 June 2016

Keywords: DMAP Microwave Domino reaction β-Phosphonomalonates 2-Amino-4H-chromen-4-ylphosphonates Aqueous condition

ABSTRACT

An aqueous microwave (mw)-assisted DMAP catalyzed one-pot highly efficient route to synthesize β -phosphonomalonates and 2-amino-4H-chromen-4-yl phosphonates has been demonstrated via the domino Knoevenagel-phospha-Michael reaction of aryl aldehyde/ salicylaldehyde, malononitrile/ethyl cyanoacetate and alkyl phosphite ester. Optimization of reaction conditions were performed by using conventional and microwave synthetic approaches. This conversion proceeded smoothly to deliver the desired product in good to excellent yields (75–95%) in a short reaction time (10–12 min). The present methodology is very simple, environmentally benign, high yielding and has very well demonstrated the synergistic effect of water and microwaves.

© 2016 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Multicomponent reactions (MCRs) have played vital roles in organic synthesis [1] and is considered as one of the premier methods for the quick building of novel and complex molecules including bioactive heterocyclic scaffolds with least number of steps [2–4].

Organophosphorus compounds are important synthetic targets in organic synthesis due to their wide range of biological significance. Among these, phosphorus containing compounds having substituents at α - and β -positions have gained much attention owing to the broad range of biological properties as enzyme inhibitors [5], metabolic probes [6], and peptide mimetics [7]. Additionally, 2-amino-4*H*-chromen-4-ylphosphonates are being used as anti-cancer [8], anti-inflammatory [9], anti-malarial [10]

agents and are important constituents of various natural products [11]. Due to their wide biological applications. various routes have been developed for the synthesis of β phosphonomalonates and 2-amino-4H-chromen-4ylphosphonates. However, the domino Knoevenagelphospha-Michael (DKPM) strategy, which involves the reaction between aromatic aldehyde, active methylene compound and phosphite ester has recently gained considerable attention. Variety of catalysts as claysupported heteropolyacid [12], γ -Fe₂O₃-pyridine based catalyst [13], 3-aminopropylated silica gel [14], sodium stearate [15], HClO₄-SiO₂ [16], Fe-doped single walled carbon nanotubes [17], lanthanum(III) triflate supported on nanomagnetic γ-Fe₂O₃ [18], polystyrene-supported DABCO [19] pyridine-grafted graphene oxide [20], quaternary ammonium salt [H-dabco][AcO] [21], di-n-butylamine [22], nanosized zinc oxide [23], Phosphomolybdic acid [24], have been employed for the synthesis of β -phosphonomalonates. Further, catalysts like nano-MgO [25], sulfochitosan

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.crci.2016.05.013

1631-0748/© 2016 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.



Full paper/Mémoire

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: anilsharmachemistry@gmail.com (A. Kumar).

encapsulated nano-Fe₃O₄ [26] ionic liquid [Bmim]OH [27], PEG [28], potassium phosphate [29], β -cyclodextrin [30], InCl₃ [31], and electrochemical approach [32] have been used for the synthesis of 2-amino-4*H*-chromen-4ylphosphonates. Importantly, to the best of our knowledge, there are only few reports on the use of common catalysts [33–38] for the construction of both β -phosphonomalonates and 2-amino-4*H*-chromen-4-ylphosphonates scaffolds. Recently, DMAP has evolved as an efficient catalyst [39] due to its water tolerant property, accessibility at a modest price and well documented efficacy in many organic conversions such as Baylis–Hilman reaction [40], indole synthesis [41], and lactamization [42].

Microwave technology has witnessed an extensive popularity in the past few years due to its great efficiency in organic transformation with the introduction of greater molecular diversity in a short reaction time [43]. Reactions in aqueous condition offer several benefits as water is economical, non-toxic and shows immense selectivity [44]. Further, the combination of water as solvent and microwave heating, widely acknowledged as aqueous microwaveassisted chemistry has evolved into a rapid unconventional synthetic route strictly as per the principles of green chemistry [45].

2. Results and discussion

In view of our curiosity in developing novel synthetic routes using aqueous condition [46], we disclose herein a new route to synthesize β -phosphonomalonates and 2-amino-4*H*-chromen-4-ylphosphonates via the domino Knoevenagel-phospha-Michael reaction of benzaldehyde, malononitrile and triethylphosphite. We started our investigation by performing the model reaction of 1 mmol of each of benzaldehyde, malononitrile and triethylphosphite and triethylphosphite with 10 mol % DMAP in water at room temperature (Scheme 1).

The reaction provided the desired product, diethyl (2,2dicyano-1-phenylethyl)phosphonate, 4a, which was isolated in 28% yield (20 h) as revealed by comparison of its physical and spectroscopic data [17]. Then we heated the reaction mixture under reflux to evaluate the effect of temperature and surprisingly the yield was increased to 45% (15 h). Next, we optimized catalyst charge by varying load of DMAP. Importantly, 20 and 30 mol % led to the improved conversion to afford the desired product in 88% and 89% (Table 1, entries 3 and 4), therefore, 20 mol % under reflux was selected for solvent screening. It is clear from Table 1 (entries 6-12) that the reaction successfully occurred both in solvents and under neat conditions, however with less yields. The water emerged as the best solvent as the reactions in aqueous conditions were carried out efficiently, thus avoiding the use of volatile and toxic organic solvents.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of diethyl-(2,2-dicyano-1-phenylethyl) phosphonate.

Table 1

Optimization of catalysts and conditions^a.



Entry	Catalyst (mol %)	Solvent	Temperature	Time (h)	Yield (%) ^b
1	DMAP (10)	H ₂ O	r.t	20	28
2	DMAP (10)	H_2O	reflux	15	45
3	DMAP (20)	H_20	reflux	10	88
4	DMAP (30)	H_2O	reflux	7	89
5	no catalyst	H_2O	reflux	24	trace
6	DMAP (20)	EtOH	reflux	10	80
7	DMAP (20)	MeOH	reflux	10	78
8	DMAP (20)	CH_2Cl_2	reflux	10	76
9	DMAP (20)	CHCl ₃	reflux	10	78
10	DMAP (20)	DMSO	reflux	10	70
11	DMAP (20)	THF	reflux	10	70
12	DMAP (20)	neat	100 °C	10	79
13	DBU (20)	H_2O	reflux	10	82
14	CSA (20)	H_2O	reflux	10	72
15	Zn(Proline) ₂ (20)	H_2O	reflux	10	74
16	L-proline 20	H_2O	reflux	10	70

^a Reactions conditions: 1 mmol of each of **1a**, **2a** and **3a** in 1 mL of solvent.

^b Yields are for isolated products.

Finally, related organocatalysts were screened for comparative purposes for **4a** and results are depicted in Table 1 (entries 13–16). DMAP appeared to be better as compared to other examined catalysts. It is worth mentioning that without the catalyst, only trace amount of the product was detected even after 24 h (Table 1, entry 5).

With all the optimal reaction conditions in hand, additional substrates were screened, the reaction worked well with aldehydes bearing electron withdrawing and electron donating groups. Highest yield, 90% was obtained with 4methoxybenzaldehyde, 4b (Table 2, entry 2) however, 4nitrobenzaldehyde, 4c furnished relatively less yield, 75% (entry 3). Importantly, trimethylphosphite also coupled efficiently under optimized conditions providing the desired product, 4g in good yield (80%, entry 7). With ethyl cvanoacetate as active methylene partner, a comparatively low yield of the product, **4h** was obtained (75%, entry 8). Pertinent to mention that a trace amount of knoevenagel product and α -hydroxy phosphonates generated from probable hydrophosphonation of aldehydes was also detected in some cases, however we have purified and isolated only a major product under the present protocol.

Fascinating with the well recognized applications of aqueous microwave-assisted technology [45], we irradiated the equimolar mixture of benzaldehyde, malononitrile and triethylphosphite at 100 °C in a microwave reactor (Biotage, Model: Initiator EXP EU 355301, 012180). We were pleased to note that the desired product, **4a** was formed much faster (12 min) with improved yield from 88 to 92% (Table 4, entry 1). Based on this observation and for comparison purposes, we screened additional substrates and the results are summarised in Table 2. The improvement in terms of yields and time economy was observed in almost all the cases compared to the conventional route, which undoubtedly

Table 2

Substrate scope for β -phosphonomalonates^a.



Entry	Product 4(a-h)	Time		Yield (%) ^b		mp (°C)	
		Reflux	mw	Reflux	mw	obs.	lit.
1.	$O_{S} \frac{OEt}{P-OEt}$ CN CN 4a	12 h	12 min	88	92	55–56	53–54 [17]
2.		8 h	8 min	90	95	59–60	60–62 [17]
3.	$O_{S} \frac{OEt}{p'-OEt}$ $O_{2}N \xrightarrow{CN} CN$	16 h	15min	75	78	106	104–105 [17]
4.	$\begin{array}{c} O_{\overset{O}{\overset{O}{\overset{D}{\overset{D}{\overset{O}{\overset{D}{\overset{O}{\overset{D}{\overset{O}{\overset{D}{\overset{O}{\overset{D}{\overset{O}{\overset{D}{\overset{O}{\overset{D}{D$	15 h	14 min	77	80	76	75–77 [17]
5.	$Cl \rightarrow CN$	12 h	12 min	89	91	94	93–95 [17]
6.	$O_{CN} \rightarrow O_{CN} \rightarrow O$	12 h	12 min	88	90	94	93–95 [17]
7.	$O_{SP}OMe$ POMe CN 4g	13 h	14 min	80	84	72	73 [47]
8.	$O_{\sim p'-OEt}$ $CI \xrightarrow{OEt}_{CN}$	15 h	13 min	75	79	Oil [21]	-

^a All reactions were carried out with 1 mmol of each of **1**, **2** and **3** in 1 mL of water.

^b Yields are for isolated products.

Table 3									
Comparative of	data	of	DMAP	with	previously	reported	catalysts	for	the
synthesis of 4	b.								

Entry	Catalyst (mol %)	Method	Time	Yield (%)
1	 DMAP (20)	Microwave ^a	8 min	95 ^b
2	DMAP (20)	Conventional ^a	8 h	90 ^b
3	Diethylamine (10)	Conventional	15 min	95 [35]
4	Ethylenediamine	Conventional	3 h	72 [34]
	diacetate(20)			
5	Silica-bonded 2-HEAA (1)	Conventional	15 min	81 [33]
6	$HClO_4 - SiO_2(3)$	Conventional	3 h	83 [16]
7	3-Aminopropylated	Conventional	2 h	85 [14]
	silica (30)			
8	Py-GO (3)	Conventional	1 h	73 [20]
9	PS-DABCO (3)	Conventional	2.5 h	88 [19]

 $^{\rm a}$ Reactions were carried out with 1 mmol of each of anisaldehyde, malononitrile and triethyl phosphite in 1 mL of water at 100 $^\circ\text{C}.$

^b Yields are for isolated products.

recognized the synergism between water and microwaves under the present study.

Although it is tricky to quantitatively compare the efficiency of different catalysts, for **4b**, our catalyst gave either a better or comparable yield to that reported in the literature (Table 3, entries1-8) with an additional advantage of time economy by combining microwave technology and aqueous conditions strictly in agreement with principles of green chemistry. Notably the catalysts (Table 3, entry 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9) were effective at lower loadings.

To further maximize the synthetic potential of this protocol, equimolar concentrations of differently substituted salicylaldehyde, malononitrile/ethylcyanoacetate and triethyl/trimethyl-phosphite were exposed to the microwave reactor under optimized reaction conditions. To our delight, entirely different scaffolds, 2-amino-4*H*-chromen-4-ylphosphonates were rapidly constructed providing the products **6a**–**h** in 84–95% yields as summarized in Table 4, with maximum yield obtained for **6a**, 95% (Table 4, entry 1). However, the yield decreased (84%, entry 7) with the use of

Table 4

Synthesis of 2-amino-4H-chromen-4-yl-phosphonates under optimized conditions^a.



Entry	Product 6(a-h)	Time	Yield (%) ^b	mp (°C)	
				obs.	lit.
1.	$O_{S} P = OEt$ $O_{S} P = OEt$ $O_{NH_{2}}$ $O_{NH_{2}}$ Ga	10 min	95	139–141	140–142 [37a]
2.	$\begin{array}{c} O_{\sim} \begin{array}{c} OMe \\ O_{\sim} \begin{array}{c} P-OMe \\ O \\ O \\ O \\ O \\ NH_2 \end{array}$	10 min	89	151	156–158 [37a]
3.		10 min	90	150–151	148–150 [37a]
4.	$\begin{array}{c} O_{\sum p^{-}OMe} \\ CI_{1} \\ O_{0} \\ O_{NH_{2}} \\ 6d \end{array}$	10 min	87	167–169	166–168 [37a]
5.	OSP-OEt Br, CN 6e	10 min	90	177–178	177–178 [37a]
6.	O _S P-OMe Br OCN OCN O NH ₂	10 min	88	159–161	160–161 [37a]
7.	Oc DEt P-OEt COOEt OCOEt of NH2 6g	15 min	84	Oil [36b]	
8.	O ₂ P ^{OMe} P-OMe COOEt O NH ₂	15 min	85	Oil [36b]	

^a All reactions were carried out with 1 mmol of each of **1**, **2** and **3** in 1 mL of water.

^b Yields are for isolated products.

ethyl cyanoacetate as the active methylene partner in place of malononitrile, akin to that of our previous observation for the synthesis of β -phosphonates.

The proposed mechanism for the synthesis of **6a** is shown in Scheme 2. Firstly, salicylaldehyde, **5a** and malononitrile, **2a** undergoes Knoevenagel condensation in the presence of DMAP to form **I** followed by intramolecular cyclization to form imino coumarin, **II** through the intramolecular Pinner reaction. II upon further nucleophilic attack of triethylphosphite, **3a** gives the intermediate, **III**, which finally react to provide, **6a**.

3. Conclusion

In summary, we have established a DMAP catalyzed novel and expeditious route for the synthesis of β -phosphonomalonates and 2-amino-4*H*-chromen-4-ylphosphonates



Scheme 2. Proposed mechanism for the synthesis of 6a.

via a tandem Knoevenagel-phospha-Michael reaction by utilizing aqueous microwave chemistry. The presented protocol is simple, ecofriendly, high yielding and has undoubtedly recognized the synergism between water and microwaves.

Acknowledgements

We are highly thankful to IIIM, Jammu, for providing the spectra. P. K. thanks UGC, Govt. of India for a BSR fellowship.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data (data involving the general procedure; instrumentation and analytical data of product) related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.crci.2016.05.013.

References and notes

 (a) J. Zhu, Q. Wang, M. Wang (Eds.), Multicomponent Reactions in Organic Synthesis, Wiley-VCH, 2014;

(b) J. Zhu, H. Bienayme (Eds.), Multicomponent Reactions, Wiley-VCH, 2005;

(c) R.P. Herrera, E. Marques-López, Multicomponent Reactions: Concepts and Applications for Design and Synthesis, Wiley-VCH, 2015;

(d) A. Domling, W. Wang, K. Wang, Chem. Rev. 112 (2012) 3083–3135;

(e) J.D. Sunderhaus, S.F. Martin, Chem.—Eur. J. 15 (2009) 1300–1308;

(f) S. Samai, G.C. Nandi, R. Kumar, M.S. Singh, Tetrahedron Lett. 50 (2009) 7096–7098;

(g) Z. Hossaini, F.R. Charati, M. Ghasemian, Phosphorus, Sulphur Silicon Relat. Elem. 188 (2013) 555–560;

(h) F. Shi, N. Ma, Y. Zhang, G. Zhang, B. Jiang, S. Tu, Synth. Commun. 40 (2010) 235–241.

- [2] (a) B.B. Toure, D.G. Hall, Chem. Rev. 109 (2009) 4439-4486;
 - (b) E. Godineau, Y. Landais, Chem.—Eur. J. 15 (2009) 3044–3055; (c) L. Wu, X. Wang, Phosphorus, Sulphur Silicon Relat. Elem. 189 (2014) 1851–1857;

(d) N.K. Shah, M.P. Patel, R.G. Patel, Phosphorus, Sulphur Silicon Relat. Elem. 184 (2009) 2704–2719,

(e) M. Ghandi, A.-T. Ghomi, M. Kubicki, J. Org. Chem. 78 (2013) 2611–2616.

- [3] (a) H. Bienayme, C. Hulme, G. Oddon, P. Schmitt, Chem.—Eur. J. 6 (2000) 3321–3329;
 - (b) A. Mobinikhaledi, N. Foroughifar, T. Mosleh, A. Hamta, Phosphorus, Sulphur Silicon Relat. Elem. 187 (2012) 728–734.

[4] (a) A. Hosseinian, H.R. Shaterian, Phosphorus, Sulphur Silicon Relat. Elem. 187 (2012) 1056–1063;

(b) S. Pal, L.H. Choudhury, T. Parvin, Synth. Commun. 43 (2013) 986–992;

(c) V. Estevez, M. Villacampa, J.C. Menendez, Chem. Soc. Rev. 39 (2010) 4402-4421;

(d) Z.-J. Quan, R.-G. Ren, Y.-X. Da, Z. Zhang, X.-C. Wang, Synth. Commun. 41 (2011) 3106–3116.

- [5] B. Stowasser, K.-H. Budt, L.J. Jian-Qi, A. Peyman, D. Ruppert, Tetrahedron Lett. 33 (1992) 6625–6628.
- [6] (a) M.C. Allen, W. Fuhrer, B. Tuck, R. Wade, J.M. Wood, J. Med. Chem. 32 (1989) 1652–1661;
 (b) D.D. Det K. P. P. Prov. Technology 101 (2016)
- (b) D.V. Patel, K. Rielly-Gauvin, D.E. Ryono, Tetrahedron Lett. 31 (1990) 5587-5590.
- [7] P. Kafarski, B. Lejczak, Phosphorus, Sulphur Silicon Relat. Elem. 63 (1991) 193–215.
- [8] (a) M. Ough, A. Lewis, E.A. Bey, J. Gao, J.M. Ritchie, W. Bornmann, D.A. Boothman, L.W. Oberley, J.J. Cullen, Cancer Biol. Ther. 4 (2005) 95–102;

(b)Li, C. J.; Li, Y. L. U. S. Patent Appl. Publ. U.S. 2,005,222,246 Al 20,051,006,2005.

- [9] D.O. Moon, Y.H. Choi, N.D. Kim, Y.M. Park, G.Y. Kim, Int. Immunopharmacol. 7 (2007) 506–514.
- [10] E. Perez-Sacau, A. Estevez-Braun, A.G. Ravelo, D.G. Yapu, A.G. Turba, Chem. Biodivers. 2 (2005) 264–274.
- [11] E.A.A. Hafez, M.H. Elnagdi, A.G.A. Elagamey, F.M.A.A. El-Taweel, Heterocycles 26 (1987) 903–907.
- [12] B.A. Dar, N. Pandey, S. Singh, R.K. Bamezai, M. Sharma, R.A. Vishwakarma, B. Singh, Tetrahedron Lett. 55 (2014) 623–628.
- [13] S. Sobhani, M. Bazrafshan, A.A. Delluei, Z.P. Parizi, Appl. Catal. A Gen. 454 (2013) 145–151.
- [14] S. Sobhani, Z.P. Parizi, S. Rezazadeh, J. Organomet. Chem. 696 (2011) 813–817.
- [15] S. Sobhani, Z.P. Parizi, Tetrahedron 67 (2011) 3540-3545.
- [16] S. Sobhani, S. Rezazadeh, Synlett (2010) 1485–1488.
- [17] H. Sharghi, S. Ebrahimpourmoghaddam, M.M. Doroodmand, Tetrahedron 69 (2013) 4708–4724.
- [18] S. Sobhani, Z. Pakdin-Parizi, RSC. Adv. 4 (2014) 13071–13077.
- [19] Y.-Q. Yu, D.-Z. Xu, Tetrahedron 71 (2015) 2853–2857.
- [20] S. Sobhani, F. Zarifi, RSC. Adv. 5 (2015) 96532–96538.
- [21] Y.-Q. Yu, D.-Z. Xu, RSC Adv. 5 (2015) 28857-28863.
- [22] R.M.N. Kalla, H. Park, H.R. Lee, H. Suh, I. Kim, ACS Comb. Sci. 17 (2015) 691–697.
- [23] M. Hosseini-Sarvari, S. Etemad, Tetrahedron 68 (2008) 5519-5523.
- [24] S. Sobhani, S. Rezazadeh, J. Iran. Chem. Soc. 8 (2011) 198–203.
- [25] G. Brahmachari, S. Laskar, Phosphorus, Sulphur Silicon Relat. Elem. 189 (2014) 873–888.
- [26] R. Mohammadi, M.Z. Kassaee, J. Mol. Catal. A Chem. 380 (2013) 152–158.
- [27] Y. Wang, Y. Wu, Y. Wang, L. Dai, Chin. J. Chem. 30 (2012) 1709–1714.
- [28] B. Das, P. Balasubramanyam, G.C. Reddy, N. Salvanna, Helv. Chim. Acta. 94 (2011) 1347–1350.
- [29] D.S. Gaikwad, K.A. Undale, T.S. Shaikh, D.M. Pore, C. R. Chimie 14 (2011) 865–868.
- [30] S.N. Murthy, B. Madhav, V.P. Reddy, Y.V.D. Nageswar, Tetrahedron Lett. 51 (2010) 3649–3653.
- [31] P. Jayashree, G. Shanthi, P.T. Perumal, Synlett (2009) 917–920.
- [32] M.N. Elinson, R.F. Nasybullin, G.I. Nikishin, Heteroat. Chem. 24 (2013) 398–403.
- [33] S. Sobhani, M. Honarmand, Catal. Lett. 143 (2013) 476-485.
- [34] S.R. Kolla, Y.R. Lee, Tetrahedron 68 (2012) 226–237.
- [35] M.A. Kulkarni, V.R. Pandurangi, U.V. Desai, P.P. Wadgaonkar, C. R. Chimie 15 (2012) 745–752.
- [36] (a) S. Sobhani, R. Jahanshahi, Synth. Commun. 43 (2013) 3247–3257;
 - (b) M. Rajasekhar, K.U.M. Rao, C.S. Sundar, N.B. Reddy, S.K. Nayak, C.S. Reddy, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 60 (2012) 854–858.
- [37] (a) R.M.N. Kalla, S.J. Byeon, M.S. Heo, I. Kim, Tetrahedron 69 (2013) 10544–10551;
 - (b) D. Simoni, F.P. Invidiata, M. Manferdini, I. Lampronti,

R. Rondanin, M. Roberti, G.P. Pollini, Tetrahedron Lett. 39 (1998) 7615-7618.

- [38] S. Sobhani, M. Honarmand, J. Iran. Chem. Soc. 9 (2012) 661-669.
- [39] (a) Y. Shang, C. Wang, X. He, K. Ju, M. Zhang, S. Yu, J. Wu, Tetrahedron 66 (2010) 9629-9633; (b) M. Khashi, A. Davoodnia, J. Chamani, Phosphorus, Sulphur Sili
 - con Relat. Elem. 189 (2014) 839-848; (c) A. Kumar, R.A. Maurya, Tetrahedron 64 (2008) 3477-3482.
- [40] F. Rezgui, M.M.E. Gaied, Tetrahedron Lett. 39 (1998) 5965-5966.
- [41] R. Hwu, H.V. Patel, R.J. Lin, M.O. Gray, J. Org. Chem. 59 (1994) 1577-1582.
- [42] I. Bosch, P. Romea, F. Urpi, J. Vilarrasa, Tetrahedron Lett. 34 (1993) 4671-4674
- [43] (a) R. Gedye, F. Smith, K. Westaway, H. Ali, L. Baldisera, L. Laberge, J. Rousell, Tetrahedron Lett. 27 (1986) 279-282; (b) R.J. Giguere, T.L. Bray, S.M. Duncan, G. Majetich, Tetrahedron (c) S.A. Yermolayev, N.Y. Gorobets, S.M. Desenko, J. Comb. Chem. 11
 - (2009) 44 46;
 - (d) K.-T. Li, Y.-B. Lin, D.-Y. Yang, Org. Lett. 14 (2014) 1190-1193;
 - (e) G. Naresh, R. Kant, T. Narender, Org. Lett. 16 (2014) 4528-4531; (f) K.B. Manjappa, W.-F. Jhang, S.-Y. Huang, D.-Y. Yang, Org. Lett. 16 (2014) 5690-5693.

- [44] (a) Y. Qiao, Q. Chen, S. Lin, B. Ni, A.D. Headley, J. Org. Chem. 78 (2013) 2693-2697; (b) M.A. Zolfigol, A. Khazaei, M. Mokhlesi, H. Vahedi, S. Sajadifar, M. Pirveysian, Phosphorus Sulphur Silicon Relat. Elem. 187 (2012) 295-304: (c) C.S. Sundar, D. Srinivasulu, S.K. Nayak, C.S. Reddy, Phosphorus, Sulphur Silicon Relat. Elem. 187 (2012) 523–534: (d) S. Kobayashi, T. Hamada, K. Manabe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 5640-5641.
- [45] (a) C.O. Kappe, B. Pieber, D. Dallinger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 1088;
 - (b) R.S. Varma, Green Chem. 1 (1999) 43;
 - (c) A.K. Rathi, M.B. Gawande, R. Zboril, R.S. Varma, Coord. Chem. Rev. 291 (2015) 68-94.
- [46] (a) A. Kumar, S. Kumar, K.K. Kapoor, Aust. J. Chem. 60 (2007) 621-623;
 - (b) V.K. Rai, P. Tiku, A. Kumar, Synth. Commun. 42 (2012) 1489-1499
 - (c) V.K. Rai, P.K. Rai, S. Bajaj, A. Kumar, Green Chem. 13 (2011) 1217-1223.
- [47] A.A. Fahmy, N.A. Ismail, T.S. Hafez, Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 66 (1992) 201-205.