Old Dominion University
ODU Digital Commons

CCPO Publications

Center for Coastal Physical Oceanography

2023

Comments on "Reconsidering the Relationship Between Gulf Stream Transport and Dynamic Sea Level at U.S. East Coast" by Chi et al.

Tal Ezer Old Dominion University, tezer@odu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/ccpo_pubs

Part of the Climate Commons, Hydrology Commons, and the Oceanography Commons

Original Publication Citation

Ezer, T. (2023). Comments on "Reconsidering the relationship between Gulf Stream transport and dynamic sea level at U.S. East Coast" by Chi et al. ESS Open Archive, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.22541/ essoar.168626392.22220862/v1

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for Coastal Physical Oceanography at ODU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in CCPO Publications by an authorized administrator of ODU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@odu.edu.

Comments on "Reconsidering the Relationship Between Gulf Stream Transport and Dynamic Sea Level at U.S. East Coast" by Chi et al.

Tal Ezer¹

¹Center for Coastal Physical Oceanography, Old Dominion University 4111 Monarch Way, Norfolk, Virginia, 23508, USA

Corresponding author: Tal Ezer (tezer@odu.edu)

ORCiD: Ezer (0000-0002-2018-6071)

Submitted to Geophysical research Letters on June 07, 2023

1

2 Key Points	
•	Correlations between the Gulf Stream flow and coastal sea level along the U.S. East Coast occur
	over a wide range of time scales.
•	Geostrophic adjustment of the Gulf Stream could not be ruled out as one of the drivers of coastal
	sea level variability, but this driver may be overlooked in monthly altimeter data.
•	The Gulf Stream plays an important role in temporal rise of coastal sea level and unpredictable
	flooding post hurricanes.
	Key Po • •

9 Abstract

Numerous recent studies found significant correlations between weakening of the Gulf Stream (GS) and 10 rising coastal sea level (CSL) along the U.S. East Coast. Based on monthly altimeter data and Florida 11 Current transport, Chi et al. (2023; here, CH23) argued that geostrophic adjustment of the GS is unlikely 12 to drive variations in CSL in the Mid-Atlantic Bight (MAB). It is argued here that this conclusion cannot 13 be universally applicable to all cases, since the monthly data disregard correlations previously found for 14 15 short time scales based on hourly and daily data; the impact of GS variability on time scales of decades and longer as well as potential time lags between the GS and CSL variability were also not considered 16 by CH23. Examples are given here to demonstrate the important role of the GS in post hurricane coastal 17 flooding. 18

19

20 Plain Language Summary

Analysis of monthly altimeter data by Chi et al. (2023) interpreted to show that variations in the Gulf Stream (GS) transport can drive sea level variability only south but not north of Cape Hatteras. In contrast, it is shown here that the Gulf Stream plays an important role in short-term sea level variability, for example, causing an increase in flooding when the GS suddenly weakens following a nearby hurricane. It also should be noted that impact of decadal and longer GS variability could not be inferred from the relatively short altimeter data.

27

28 **1 Introduction**

Numerous studies addressed predicted climate-related weakening in the Atlantic Meridional 29 Overturning Circulation, AMOC, and its potential consequences (Bryden et al., 2005; Ezer 2015; 30 Rahmstorf et al., 2015; Caesar et al. 2018; Smeed et al., 2018; Ezer and Dangendorf, 2020; Pietrafesa et 31 32 al., 2022). However, direct observations of AMOC are relatively short (<20 years) so studies often used reconstructions, proxies or numerical models to study long-term AMOC trends of the past or future 33 34 AMOC under climate change scenarios. Since the Gulf Stream (GS) is part of AMOC and provides the main northward transport of mass and heat in the Atlantic Ocean, long-term weakening of the GS would 35 36 cause significant disruption to weather systems and ocean circulation patterns, and potentially affect coastal sea level (CSL). However, because the GS system is dominated by mesoscale variability, 37 meanders, eddies, and gyres, detecting long-term trends in the GS transport is still quite elusive, and 38

different trends are often found at different locations along the GS path (Andres et al., 2020; Zhang et 39 al., 2020). Sea level rise and increased flooding along the U.S., East Coast of the U.S. is of great concern 40 (Ezer and Atkinson, 2014; Sweet and Park, 2014; Wdowinski et al., 2016), so it is important to assess 41 contribution to CSL from various processes such as AMOC, GS, wind pattern, Rossby Waves, etc. 42 (Sallenger et al., 2012; Ezer and Corlett, 2012; Ezer et al., 2013; Goddard et al., 2015; Ezer, 2015; Ezer 43 and Atkinson, 2014, 2017; Little et al., 2019; Ezer, 2019, 2020a, 2020b; Dangendorf et al., 2021, 2023). 44 These studies indicate relation between different open ocean dynamic processes and the coast, and in 45 46 particular, many studies found significant GS-CSL correlations on a wide range of time scales from daily to seasonal and decadal. One aspect of the GS-CSL connection is attributed to the geostrophic 47 balance which implies that the sea level slope across the GS is proportional to the flow strength, so 48 weakening GS could reduce the slope and raise CSL along the U.S. East Coast. Therefore, even though 49 50 detecting long-term trends in the GS flow is challenging with existing data, relation between the GS and CSL on shorter time scales can help us understand the mechanisms involved. 51

To this end, Chi et al. (2023) (hereafter CH23) analyzed 27 years of monthly Gulf Stream (GS) transport at the Florida Straits and 10 satellite altimeter tracks across the GS and came up with two main conclusions. It is thus important to put their findings in the right perspective with respect to past studies:

- 1. "...GS transport decorrelates quickly along its path, indicating it is misleading to assume 55 56 that transport at a particular location represents strength of the GS as a whole.". This conclusion is consistent with the fact that the GS system includes meanders and gyres, so 57 58 observations show large differences in sections taken not far apart along the GS path (Andres et al., 2020). However, this result does not contradict any of the studies that found significant 59 GS-CSL correlation, because those studies never used a correlation along altimeter track, as 60 done here, but instead used averaged GS strength over large area from many altimeter tracks 61 62 that filter out the mesoscale variability (e.g., Ezer at al., 2013; Ezer, 2019; Ezer and 63 Dangendorf, 2020).
- 64 2. "GS transport south of Cape Hatteras is significantly correlated with coastal sea level …
 65 North of Cape Hatteras, sea level changes associated with GS transport decay rapidly away
 66 from GS on the onshore side … In this region … coastal sea level is unlikely to be driven by
 67 geostrophic adjustment to changes in GS transport." The fact that CSL responds to forcing
 68 differently north and south of Cape Hatteras is not new (Valle-Levinson et al., 2017;
 69 Domingues et al., 2018; Ezer, 2019), and partly explained by the fact that the GS flows close

to the coast in the South-Atlantic Bight (SAB) but is separated from the coast in the Mid-70 71 Atlantic Bight (MAB). However, there is no evidence in CH23 that geostrophic adjustment 72 does not play a role in CSL variability in the MAB, especially for time scales that were not resolved by CH23 analysis. For example, Ezer (2019) showed that on decadal time scales 73 CSL in the MAB and the SAB are out of phase and may respond to GS variability in opposite 74 way, while on shorter time scales CSL in the MAB and SAB are correlated. In fact, analysis 75 of *daily* variations in the Florida Current transport or *hourly* tide gauge data show highly 76 coherent CSL variations along the entire US East Coast, as seen in observations and in 77 models (Ezer, 2016; Ezer and Atkinson, 2017). 78

79 Examples of past studies below demonstrate why the findings of CH23 could not conclusively exclude

80 GS-CSL relation based on geostrophic adjustment. In fact, CH23's statement that "significant

81 correlations between coastal sea level and the GS transport are rarely found north of Cape Hatteras" is

82 not accurate given dozens of published papers that did find statistically significant correlations (see

83 many of these examples in: <u>http://www.ccpo.odu.edu/~tezer/FCvsSL/</u>).

84

2 On the Relation Between the Gulf Stream and Coastal Sea Level

Based on both, tide gauge and altimeter data, Fig. 3a in CH23 shows significant negative 86 correlation between the Florida Current transport (Baringer and Larsen, 2001; Meinen et al., 2010) and 87 CSL along the U.S. East Coast from Florida to Massachusetts. Despite the fact that this result is 88 consistent with many past studies (Park and Sweet, 2015; Ezer, 2016, 2019, 2020a, 2020b; Ezer et al., 89 2013; Ezer and Atkinson, 2014, 2017; Wdowinski et al., 2018), CH23 tried to argue that mechanisms 90 other than geostrophic adjustment, such as changing atmospheric conditions (Piecuch et al., 2016), may 91 affect both the GS and CSL, so there is not necessarily a cause and effect relation between GS and CSL. 92 It is true that several factors can contribute to CSL variability, but the impact of the GS cannot be 93 94 dismissed. As a proof that there is a direct impact of the GS on CSL Ezer (2016) conducted controlled numerical simulations with fixed wind and time-dependent oscillations in the Florida Current transport, 95 96 and the results show response of coherent CSL variations along the U.S. Coast, like those found in tide 97 gauge observations. The simulations show that the response at the coast to wind-driven sea level is fundamentally different than the response to GS-driven sea level. Furthermore, numerical simulations of 98 99 hurricanes (Ezer et al., 2017; Ezer, 2018; Ezer 2020a; Park et al., 2022) found that in the days after the hurricanes disappeared and wind was no more a factor, CSL remained higher than normal directly due to 100

a weaker GS that has not recovered yet from the disruption caused by the storm. Fig. 1 shows an
example of sea level and flooding in Norfolk (North of Cape Hatteras) during and after hurricane
Florence passed the region (it did not make landfall in Virginia). In this case, CSL was first raised by
wind-driven storm surge (10-Sep-2018), but the hurricane also disrupted the GS flow (transport dropped
by ~10sv), which caused CSL to rise again by ~0.5 m and cause tidal flooding two weeks later (25-Sep2018), driven by the weakening GS.





108

Figure 1. Left: Example of the relation between hourly water level (colored lines) in Norfolk, VA, in the Mid-Atlantic Bight (southern Chesapeake Bay) and daily observed Florida Current (FC) transport (black heavy line), during and after the passage of hurricane Florence in September 2018. Blue, red, and green lines are predicted tides, tide gauge observations and the subtidal anomaly, respectively. Water level (left axis in m) is relative to the Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) and FC transport of maximum and minimum (in Sv; $1Sv=10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) are indicated. Right: Two weeks of "sunny-day" street flooding occurred in Norfolk due to weakening of the GS after the hurricane (picture taken by T. Ezer).

116

Like Fig. 1, remote GS influence on CSL in the MAB has been recorded after hurricanes Sandy (2012), Joaquin (2015), Mathew (2016) and Dorian (2019). Altimeter data before and after storms show reduction of sea level slope along the entire GS path, which coincides with raised CSL along the entire MAB coast (see Fig. 4 in Ezer, 2018); these observations suggest that geostrophic adjustment to changes in the GS transport may be an important driver of CSL in those cases (though such mechanism cannot be detected by a monthly data, hence the results of CH23). There is also evidence that seasonal variations in the GS transport contribute to the seasonal CSL cycle in the MAB whereas the highest monthly CSL

of the year occurs when the seasonal GS has its maximum decline (see the high correlation between the two in Fig. 10c in Ezer, 2020b); since CH23 filtered out the seasonal signal, this contribution could not be captured by their analysis.

127 Finally, trying to relate simultaneous observations of monthly GS transport and CSL ignores potential lag difference between the two. On interannual to decadal time scales Ezer et al. (2013) found 128 129 that CSL has higher correlation with *changes* in GS flow (R=-0.85, p<0.001) than with GS strength itself (R=-0.58, p<0.001), i.e., CSL rises when the GS flow is in a downward trend, not necessarily when 130 131 the GS is at its minimum transport. Ezer et al., (2013) also show that a simple solution of the equations of motion points to a mechanism in which *time-changes* in sea level slope across the GS can produce 132 onshore/offshore transports that impact CSL variability. On hourly to monthly time scales Ezer and 133 134 Atkinson (2017) also found significant correlation between CSL and *changes* in GS transport. The time 135 lag between variations in the GS and the CSL response is near zero in the SAB when the GS is near the coast, but it is larger for the MAB where the GS is far from the coast and the coastal response is less 136 137 direct (Ezer and Atkinson, 2017). In the MAB, recirculation gyres, the Slope Current from the north and shifting in the GS position, all can affect CSL, so the relation between the GS and CSL is more complex 138 139 and more difficult to detect, especially with monthly data that ignores the largest instantaneous changes in the GS. Spectral analysis of daily transport of the GS and water level in Norfolk shows statistically 140 significant coherence with near opposite phase (~180°) for several different time scales from few days to 141 months and years (see Fig. 3 in Ezer and Atkinson, 2017), demonstrating the complex nature of the GS-142 CSL relation, which could not be captured by the monthly analysis of CH23. 143

In summary, while several offshore dynamic processes can contribute to variations of CSL on a 144 wide range of time and length scales, it is argued that geostrophic adjustment of the GS cannot be ruled 145 146 out as one of the important factors that impact CSL along the U.S. East Coast (including the MAB). On 147 the one hand the analysis of monthly data in CH23 could not explain correlations on short time scales, as 148 demonstrated here, and on the other hand long-term coastal sea level rise and variability on decadal time 149 scales associated with potential climate-related slowdown of ocean circulation could not be detected in 150 the relatively short altimeter record. The acceleration in flooding due to sea level rise makes attempts to understand all potential forcing more important than ever. Many past events of sea level rise were 151 152 unexplained by atmospheric forcing alone, pointing to the GS as an important factor that can raise CSL and cause additional flooding when it is weakening (Ezer and Atkinson, 2014). Prediction of potential 153 acceleration of future floods (Ezer, 2022; Sweet et al., 2018) thus should not ignore the contribution of 154

the GS to CSL variability, even if all mechanisms involved are not fully understood. In any case, thisarea of research should continue with longer data sets as well as models.

157

158 Acknowledgments

The author is affiliated with ODU's Center for Coastal Physical Oceanography (CCPO) and the Institutefor Coastal Adaptation and Resilience (ICAR).

161

162 Data Availability Statement

163 The hourly tide gauges sea level data are available from: (<u>https://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/</u>), and the

daily Florida Current transport data are available from: <u>http://www.aoml.noaa.gov/phod/floridacurrent/</u>.

166 **References**

- Andres M., Donohue, K. A., & Toole, J. M. (2020). The Gulf Stream's path and time-averaged velocity
 structure and transport at 68.5°W and 70.3°W. *Deep-Sea Research, Part I, 156*.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2019.103179
- Baringer, M. O., & Larsen, J. C. (2001). Sixteen Years of Florida Current Transport at 27°N.
 Geophysical Research Letters, 28(16), 3179-3182. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL013246</u>
- Bryden, H. L., Longworth, H. R., & Cunningham, S. A. (2005). Slowing of the Atlantic meridional
 overturning circulation at 25°N. *Nature*, 438, 655-657. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04385
- 174 Caesar, L., Rahmstorf, S., Robinson, A., Feulner, G., & Saba, V. (2018). Observed fingerprint of a
 175 weakening Atlantic Ocean overturning circulation. *Nature*, 556, 191-196.
 176 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0006-5
- 177 Chi, L., Wolfe, C. L. P., & Hameed, S. (2023). Reconsidering the relationship between Gulf Stream
- transport and dynamic sea level at U.S. East Coast. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 50,
- 179 e2022GL102018. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL102018</u>
- 180 Dangendorf, S., Frederikse, T., Chafik, L., Klinck, J., Ezer, T., & Hamlington, B. (2021). Data-driven
- reconstruction reveals large-scale ocean circulation control on coastal sea level. *Nature Climate Change, 11*, 514-520. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01046-1</u>
- 183 Dangendorf, S., Hendricks, N., Sun, Q., Klinck, J., Ezer, T., Frederikse, T., Calafat, F., Wahl, T., &
- 184 Tornquist, T. (2023). Acceleration of U.S. southeast and Gulf Coast sea-level rise amplified by

- internal climate variability. Nature Communications, 14, 1935, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-185 37649-9 186
- Domingues, R., Goni, G., Baringer, N., & Volkov, D. (2018). What caused the accelerated sea level 187 changes along the U.S. East Coast during 2010-2015? Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 13,367-
- 188
- 13.376. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081183 189
- 190 Ezer, T. (2015). Detecting changes in the transport of the Gulf Stream and the Atlantic overturning
- circulation from coastal sea level data: The extreme decline in 2009-2010 and estimated variations 191
- for 1935-2012. & 129, 23-36. 192 Global Planetary Change, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.03.002 193
- Ezer, T. (2016). Can the Gulf Stream induce coherent short-term fluctuations in sea level along the U.S. 194
- East Coast?: A modeling study. Ocean Dynamics, 66(2), 207-220. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-195 196 016-0928-0
- Ezer, T. (2018). On the interaction between a hurricane, the Gulf Stream and coastal sea level, Ocean 197 198 Dynamics, 68, 1259-1272. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-018-1193-1
- Ezer, T., (2019). Regional differences in sea level rise between the Mid-Atlantic Bight and the South 199 200 Atlantic Bight: Is the Gulf Stream to blame? *Earth's Future*, 7(7), 771-783.
- https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EF001174 201
- 202 Ezer, T. (2020a). The long-term and far-reaching impact of hurricane Dorian (2019) on the Gulf Stream 203 and the coast. Journal of Marine Systems, 208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2020.103370
- 204 Ezer, T. (2020b). Analysis of the changing patterns of seasonal flooding along the U.S. East Coast.
- Ocean Dynamics, 70(2), 241-255. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-019-01326-7 205
- 206 Ezer, T. (2022). A demonstration of a simple methodology of flood prediction for a coastal city under

threat of sea level rise: the case of Norfolk, VA, USA. Earth's Future, 10(9), 207

- 208 https://doi.org/10.1029/2022EF002786
- 209 Ezer, T., & Corlett, W. B. (2012). Is sea level rise accelerating in the Chesapeake Bay? A demonstration
- of a novel new approach for analyzing sea level data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(19), L19605. 210 https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL053435 211
- Ezer, T. & Atkinson, L. P. (2014). Accelerated flooding along the U. S. East Coast: On the impact of sea 212
- 213 level rise, tides, storms, the Gulf Stream and the North Atlantic Oscillations. Earth's Future, 2(8),
- 362-382. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014EF000252 214

- Ezer, T., & Atkinson, L. P. (2017). On the predictability of high water level along the U.S. East Coast:
- can the Florida Current measurement be an indicator for flooding caused by remote forcing? *Ocean*
- 217 *Dynamics*, 67(6), 751-766. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-017-1057-0</u>
- Ezer, T., & Dangendorf, S. (2020). Global sea level reconstruction for 1900-2015 reveals regional
- variability in ocean dynamics and an unprecedented long weakening in the Gulf Stream flow since
- the 1990s. Ocean Science, 16(4), 997-1016. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/os-2020-22</u>
- Ezer, T., Atkinson, L. P., Corlett, W. B., & Blanco, J. L. (2013). Gulf Stream's induced sea level rise and
 variability along the U.S. mid-Atlantic coast. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 118*, 685 697. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20091
- Ezer, T., Atkinson, L. P. & R. Tuleya (2017). Observations and operational model simulations reveal the
- impact of Hurricane Matthew (2016) on the Gulf Stream and coastal sea level, *Dynamics of*
- 226 Atmospheres & Oceans, 80, 124-138. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2017.10.006</u>
- 227 Goddard, P. B., Yin, J., Griffies, S. M., & Zhang, S. (2015). An extreme event of sea-level rise along the
- Northeast coast of North America in 2009–2010. *Nature Communications*, 6, 6346.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7346</u>
- Little, C. M., Hu, A., Hughes, C. W., McCarthy, G. D., Piecuch, C. G., Ponte, R. M., & Thomas, M. D.
- 231 (2019). The Relationship between U.S. East Coast sea level and the Atlantic Meridional Overturning
- 232 Circulation: A review. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124, 6435-6458.
- 233 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015152</u>
- Meinen, C. S., Baringer, M. O., & Garcia, R. F. (2010). Florida Current transport variability: An
 analysis of annual and longer-period signals. *Deep-Sea Research*, 57(7), 835-846.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2010.04.001
- Park, J., & Sweet, W. (2015). Accelerated sea level rise and Florida current transport. *Ocean Science*, *11*(4), 607–615. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/os-11-607-2015</u>
- Park, K., Federico, I., Di Lorenzo, E., Ezer, T., Cobb, K. M., Pinardi, N., & Coppini, G. (2022). The
 contribution of hurricane remote ocean forcing to storm surge along the Southeastern U.S. coast. *Coastal Engineering*, *173*, 104098. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2022.104098
- 242 Piecuch, C. G., Dangendorf, S., Ponte, R., & Marcos, M. (2016). Annual sea level changes on the North
- American Northeast Coast: influence of local winds and barotropic motions. *Journal of Climate*, 29,
- 244 4801-4816. <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0048.1</u>

- 245 Pietrafesa, L. J., Bao, S., Gayes, P. T., Carpenter, D. D. & Kowal, J. C. (2022). Variability and trends of
- the Florida current and implications for the future of the Gulf Stream. *Journal of Coastal Research*,
 38(6), 1096–1103. https://doi.org/10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-22A-00006.1
- 248 Rahmstorf, S., Box, J., Feulner, G., Mann, M. E., Robinson, A., Rutherford, S., & Schaffernicht, E. J.
- (2015). Exceptional twentieth-century slowdown in Atlantic Ocean overturning circulation. *Nature Climate Change*, 5, 475-480. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2554
- Sallenger, A. H., Doran, K. S., & Howd, P. (2012). Hotspot of accelerated sea-level rise on the Atlantic
 coast of North America. *Nature Climate Change*, 2, 884-888. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1597
- 253 Smeed, D. A., Josey, S. A., Beaulieu, C., Johns, W. E., Moat, B. I., Frajka-Williams, E., Rayner, D.,
- 254 Meinen, C. S., Baringer, M. O., Bryden, H. L., & McCarthy, G. D. (2018). The North Atlantic Ocean
- is in a State of reduced overturning. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(3).
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076350
- 257 Sweet, W., & Park, J. (2014). From the extreme to the mean: Acceleration and tipping points of coastal
- 258 inundation from sea level rise. *Earth's Future*, 2(12), 579–600.
 259 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2014EF000272</u>
- 260 Sweet, W., Dusek, G., Obeysekera, J., & Marra, J. J. (2018). Patterns and projections of high tide flooding along the U.S. coastline using a common impact threshold (p. 44). NOAA Technical report 261 262 NOS **CO-OPS** 086. NOAA, Silver Spring, MD. Retrieved from https://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/publications/techrpt86 PaP of HTFlooding.pdf 263
- Valle-Levinson, A., Dutton, A., & Martin, J. B. (2017). Spatial and temporal variability of sea level rise
 hot spots over the eastern United States. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 7876-7882.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073926
- Wdowinski, S., Bray, R., Kirtman, B. P., & Wu, Z. (2016). Increasing flooding hazard in coastal
 communities due to rising sea level: Case study of Miami Beach, Florida. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 126, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2016.03.002
- 270 Zhang, W., Chai, F., Xue, H., & Oey, L.-Y. (2020). Remote sensing linear trends of the Gulf Stream
- from 1993 to 2016. Ocean Dynamics, 70, 701-712. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-020-01356-6</u>

272