



Submarine Cables: Issues of Maritime Security, Jurisdiction, and Legalities

Shivam Kumar Pandey 

Research Scholar, Rashtriya Raksha University, An INI, Institute of National Importance, under The Ministry Of Home Affairs, Government Of India

Aditya Bhushan

LLM, Rashtriya Raksha University, An INI, Institute of national importance, under the Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India

Suggested Citation

Pandey, S.K. & Bhushan, A. (2023). Submarine Cables: Issues of Maritime Security, Jurisdiction, and Legalities. *European Journal of Theoretical and Applied Sciences*, 1(4), 117-132.
DOI: [10.59324/ejtas.2023.1\(4\).13](https://doi.org/10.59324/ejtas.2023.1(4).13)

Abstract:

This paper delves into the complex issues surrounding submarine cables, vital for global communication and data exchange. These issues include maritime security, legal jurisdictions, and broader legalities. Because submarine cables are located deep in the world's oceans, they face numerous security threats such as sabotage, illegal fishing, and deep-sea mining, highlighting the need for comprehensive maritime security measures. Legal Jurisdiction over these cables, especially those outside territorial waters, is equally complicated. Different international norms and regulations

contribute to a fragmented legal landscape, creating jurisdictional authority and regulatory compliance ambiguities. Moreover, international laws offer inconsistent solutions to cable damage, repair, and maintenance, adding further complexity. This paper examines these interconnected issues, analyzing the existing regulatory frameworks and their effectiveness in addressing submarine cables' security and legal challenges. We provide a nuanced understanding of this essential infrastructure's vulnerabilities and propose recommendations for enhanced legal frameworks, increased international cooperation, and improved security measures. This comprehensive study is particularly relevant in the current era of digital interconnectedness, where reliable, secure, and uninterrupted international data flows are crucial. We hope this paper will stimulate further discussion and research in this critical yet often underestimated international law and global security area.

Keywords: *submarine cables, maritime security challenges, jurisdiction and legal issues, catastrophic consequences, rules and agreements, territorial waters control, global telecommunications.*

Introduction

The world's oceans are home to submarine communication cables vital to global connectivity and international data exchange. These cables are the backbone of our digital world, yet they raise concerns about maritime security, Jurisdiction, and legalities. This paper explores the complex issues surrounding these

undersea infrastructures, which are vulnerable to natural and artificial threats that can compromise their integrity.

Piracy, deep-sea mining, illegal fishing, and sabotage are threats that pose risks to the cables. The legal Jurisdiction over these cables, especially those outside territorial waters, presents ambiguous legal questions that remain

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, on the condition that users give exact credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if they made any changes.



inconsistent and unresolved across nations. This paper sheds light on these issues and proposes ways forward by examining the current regulatory landscape, critical threats to submarine cables, and potential improvements to legal frameworks and international cooperation.

In today's digital era, where international data flow is crucial, understanding the intricate relationship between maritime security, Jurisdiction, and legal complexities of submarine cables is more critical than ever. This paper aims to provide insights for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and the broader public by spurring further dialogue and research in this vital international law and security field.

Methodology

Research Design

Our research methodology was based on qualitative research and included the following steps:

1. Literature Review: We conducted a comprehensive review of academic literature, legal documents, maritime regulations, policy papers, and technical reports to gather information on submarine cables, their importance, vulnerabilities, legal aspects, and maritime security issues.

2. Data Collection: We collected data from global institutions involved in the management and protection of submarine cables, such as the International Cable Protection Committee, the International Maritime Organization, and various national regulatory authorities. This data helped us understand the current state of submarine cables, their regulatory landscape, and existing security measures.

3. Interviews and Expert Opinions: We consulted with experts in maritime law, international relations, submarine cable technology, and maritime security to gain deeper insights into the issues and potential solutions.

4. Case Study Analysis: We analyzed several case studies to understand real-world instances of submarine cable disruptions, their causes,

impacts, responses, and the effectiveness of current legal and security measures.

5. Legal Analysis: We examined the legalities of submarine cables through the lens of international law, looking at treaties, conventions, and national laws related to the Jurisdiction and protection of submarine cables.

6. Policy Analysis and Recommendations: Based on the data and insights gathered, we proposed policy recommendations to address the identified issues and create a comprehensive and enforceable legal and security framework for submarine cables.

Our interdisciplinary approach combined law, international relations, technology, and security studies to provide a holistic view of the issues related to submarine cables.

Objective

This study aims to evaluate the potential risks posed to global communication and data transmission infrastructure, both from natural and human-induced threats. Additionally, we aim to provide a comprehensive overview of the current legal frameworks governing submarine cables, particularly those outside territorial waters.

We will assess the adequacy of existing legal frameworks and regulatory mechanisms in addressing security threats and jurisdictional issues related to submarine cables. We will also identify any ambiguities, inconsistencies, or loopholes in these frameworks that could impact submarine cables' safety, security, and functionality.

Our study will propose improved legal frameworks, increased international cooperation measures, and enhanced security strategies to protect submarine cables and ensure their uninterrupted operation. Ultimately, we hope to encourage further scholarly debate and research on this vital aspect of global communication infrastructure and its associated legal complexities and maritime security issues.

Specifically, our analysis will examine the effects of jurisdictional challenges and international laws on submarine cables.

Aim

This research aims to examine the impact of jurisdictional challenges and international laws on submarine cables. The study will cover the following topics:

1. The hindrances caused by jurisdictional issues on the smooth operation, protection, and installation of submarine cables.
2. The effectiveness of current international laws and treaties in addressing security, operational, and jurisdictional concerns related to submarine cables.
3. Using previous and current case studies to highlight the strengths and weaknesses of existing legal frameworks and how they affect submarine cable disputes.
4. How maritime security and jurisdictional disputes affect the performance and integrity of global telecommunications infrastructure and internet connectivity.
5. Applying lessons from past incidents to recommend improved measures and policies for submarine cable security, jurisdictional clarity, and legal efficiency.

Literature Overview

Malia (2010) consider tools which States have employed to be in a better position to combat new threats to maritime security, and thus provide a few of the more significant examples of control and monitoring regimes devised because of the changing security environment. No system has been systematically constructed to help the decision-makers in maritime boundary delimitation. The objective of (Lee et al., 2012) was to develop a system to support such decision-making. Only the flag state has complete authority over the vessels that fly its flag, and as a result, other states Jurisdiction over these vessels is minimal. Against this backdrop (Karim, 2013) examines the flag state's responsibility for maritime terrorism, a significant security issue and vulnerability in the global supply chain. (Kaye, 2014) consider whether contemporary practice may lead to a further creeping of authority, not in a further grab for resources, but in an effort by States to

provide themselves with greater security from threats from the sea. (Hodgson-Johnston, 2015) examine specific-purpose cables (power, marine scientific research, military, and offshore energy) with peculiar issues. To tackle submarine cables' increasingly vital information security issue (Zheng et al., 2018), analyze their advantages and disadvantages individually. (Kurita et. al., 2021) It is normative juridical research using a statute and case study approach. (Xia et al., 2021) evaluate the influence of the upcoming trans-Arctic cable (TAC) system on the submarine cable network of mainland China. Other influential work includes (Coffen-Smout & Herbert, 2000; Yang, 2020).

Research Gap

Currently, research on submarine cables lacks sufficient information on several crucial aspects. Firstly, the jurisdictional challenges that hinder submarine cables' optimal functioning and protection have not been thoroughly understood. To better comprehend this matter, it is necessary to examine these challenges' economic, diplomatic, and operational implications in greater detail. Secondly, there is a dearth of a comprehensive evaluation of existing international laws and treaties, particularly regarding how they address security, operation, and jurisdictional concerns around submarine cables. Scrutinizing these legal instruments in greater detail could expose shortcomings and guide necessary improvements.

Thirdly, case study analyses that evaluate the effectiveness of existing laws regarding submarine cables are scarce. An in-depth examination of such studies, particularly those involving disputes and incidents, could help reveal legal shortcomings and potential areas for improvement. Additionally, existing research does not adequately analyze the relationship between maritime security concerns, jurisdictional disputes, and the health of global telecommunications infrastructure and internet connectivity. Further study on these factors could enhance our understanding of regional digital inequality and cyber-attack vulnerability.

Lastly, while previous incidents involving submarine cables have led to various reactions,

there is a lack of comprehensive studies that aggregate lessons learned from these incidents to inform future policy and legislation. Conducting such studies could provide valuable insights to aid in developing more effective strategies for protecting submarine cables.

Research Question

1. What impact do jurisdictional challenges have on installing, operating, and protecting submarine cables?
2. How are current international laws and treaties addressing the security, operation, and jurisdictional concerns surrounding submarine cables?
3. Are there any notable case studies demonstrating the effectiveness or shortcomings of existing laws regarding submarine cables?
4. How do maritime security concerns and jurisdictional challenges impact the global telecommunications infrastructure and internet connectivity?
5. What insights can be drawn from previous submarine cable incidents to inform future policy and legislation?

Hypothesis

1. district-related challenges are believed to significantly impede submarine cables' proper functioning and protection, resulting in delays during installation, higher expenses, and an increased risk of damage or sabotage.
2. The hypothesis suggests that existing international laws and treaties do not adequately address the complex issues of security, operation, and Jurisdiction surrounding submarine cables, leading to frequent disputes and security breaches.
3. Studies conducted on submarine cable disputes and incidents show that existing laws often fail to provide a satisfactory resolution, which results in prolonged service disruptions and substantial economic losses.
4. It is hypothesized that maritime security and jurisdictional challenges substantially negatively impact global telecommunications infrastructure

and internet connectivity, contributing to regional digital inequality and vulnerability to cyber-attacks.

5. The lessons learned from previous incidents involving submarine cables indicate that better international cooperation, improved laws, and enhanced security measures are necessary to prevent future disruptions and ensure the stability of global communication networks.

Analysis

Overview of the Submarine Cables

A submarine cable, also known as an undersea cable or subsea cable, is a specialized type designed to transmit data, voice, and video signals across vast distances under the world's oceans and seas. It is a vital component of global telecommunications infrastructure.

Here are its functionalities and applications:

Data Transmission

Submarine cables enable high-speed data transmission, carrying massive volumes of digital information across continents. They form the backbone of international internet connectivity, facilitating global communication and data exchange.

Telecommunications

Submarine cables are crucial for international telecommunications networks. They support voice calls, video conferencing, and other real-time communication services between individuals, businesses, and institutions worldwide.

Internet Connectivity

Submarine cables are the primary means of connecting different world regions to the Internet. They provide the essential links enabling users to access websites, online services, social media platforms, and global cloud computing resources.

International Trade and Finance

Submarine cables facilitate international trade and financial transactions. They support the

transmission of financial data, such as stock market information, banking transactions, and secure communications for businesses engaged in global operations.

Research and Education

Submarine cables support transmitting scientific data, academic research, and educational resources across continents. They enable collaboration between researchers, universities, and institutions worldwide, fostering knowledge sharing and innovation.

Media and Entertainment

Submarine cables facilitate the transmission of media content, including streaming services, video-on-demand platforms, and live broadcasts. They enable the global distribution of movies, TV shows, music, and other forms of digital entertainment.

National Security and Defense

Submarine cables are vital for national security and defence. They support secure communications between military units, intelligence agencies, and government entities across different countries, enabling coordinated efforts and strategic operations.

Energy and Resource Exploration

Submarine cables also play a role in energy and resource exploration. They support the transmission of data and control signals for undersea oil and gas pipelines, offshore wind farms, and other marine-based energy projects.

Maritime Security Challenges

The maritime domain poses various risks to submarine cables. Physical damage can be caused by natural disasters, ship anchors, fishing activities, or even deliberate sabotage or warfare, resulting in significant disruptions. These disruptions can have far-reaching consequences on industries, governments, and individuals worldwide, both economically and socially. Therefore, it is imperative to comprehend the nature of these maritime security threats to develop effective strategies to mitigate risks and safeguard submarine cables.

Jurisdictional Complexities

Navigating the governance of submarine cables is a highly intricate and complex process involving many jurisdictional frameworks. The establishment of the rights and responsibilities of stakeholders, including states and cable owners, is governed by a combination of international and domestic laws, treaties, and agreements. However, challenges frequently arise due to competing sovereignty claims in territorial waters, as well as the enforcement of laws in the maritime domain. A thorough examination of these jurisdictional complexities can provide invaluable insights into the legal landscape and the roles and responsibilities of those involved.

Legal Considerations

The legal aspects of submarine cables cover these crucial infrastructures' installation, maintenance, and protection. They understand the legal framework to determine accountability and respond effectively to cable damage or disruptions caused by third parties. Examining remedies available to affected parties ensures adequate protection and encourages responsible management of submarine cables.

Essential data, facts, Jurisdiction, and legalities surrounding the use of submarine cables.

Global Submarine Cable Network

The international submarine cable network covers over 1.2 million kilometres, connecting continents and serving as the backbone for global communication. (Source: Submarine Cable Map)

It is essential to note that over 99% of global data traffic is transmitted via submarine cables. (Source: International Cable Protection Committee)

The Economic Dimension

1. It is imperative to note that the international digital economy is heavily dependent on submarine cables for data transmission, contributing a staggering \$11 trillion annually. (Source: Boston Consulting Group)

2. The submarine cable network facilitates trillions of dollars worth of international commerce and ensures efficient global supply chains. (Source: World Economic Forum)

Risks and Challenges

1. It has been reported that approximately 70% of issues with submarine cables are caused by human activities such as ship anchoring and fishing. (Source: International Cable Protection Committee)

2. The security of submarine cables is becoming more concerning due to rising risks, such as state-sponsored attacks and attempts to tap into the cables. (Source: United States Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency)

Implications of Cable Disruptions on National Security

1. In 2008, an earthquake near Taiwan caused a significant cable disruption. This disruption had a ripple effect on global communication networks as the submarine cables were damaged. (Source: The New York Times)

2. The impact of cable disruptions can be financially catastrophic, with potential losses amounting to millions of dollars per hour. (Source: BBC)

3. The disruption of submarine cable connectivity can pose significant threats to military operations, intelligence sharing, and command and control systems, weakening national security preparedness.

Legal and Regulatory Structures

1. The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea is the leading framework for protecting and governing submarine cables in international waters. (UNCLOS).

2. At the regional level, agreements such as the ASEAN Framework Agreement on the Facilitation of Interconnection of Submarine Cable Systems aim to promote collaboration and tackle issues related to jurisdictional complexities.

Strategies for Mitigation and Ensuring Resilience

1. submarine cables are physically protected by implementing sophisticated techniques such as burying cables and diversifying their routes.

2. To prevent cyber attacks, Submarine cable systems are made more secure through encryption, authentication, and intrusion detection systems.

3. To increase the resilience of submarine cable systems, international collaboration and information sharing among stakeholders such as governments, telecommunications companies, and regulatory bodies play a crucial role.

Submarine Cables in National Security

Using submarine cables is crucial in safeguarding national security as they provide secure and dependable communication between countries and continents. These cables, consisting of fibre-optic cables laid on the ocean floor, link different regions worldwide, enabling the transmission of large amounts of data such as voice, video, and internet traffic at high speeds.

Secure Communication

Submarine cables offer a secure and exclusive communication channel for government bodies, military establishments, and intelligence agencies. The data transferred via these cables are heavily encrypted, making it challenging for unauthorized entities to intercept or manipulate sensitive information.

Defence and Intelligence Operations

Submarine cables play a vital role in supporting defence and intelligence operations. They facilitate real-time communication, data sharing, and coordination among military installations, intelligence agencies, and naval forces. Such capabilities enhance situational awareness and enable quick response during critical times.

Economic Stability

They maintained economic stability and promoted international trade, relying heavily on submarine cables. These cables enable the smooth flow of financial transactions, stock market data, and business communications,

ensuring the seamless functioning of global economies. Any disruptions or attacks on these cables could result in severe economic repercussions.

Cybersecurity and Counterterrorism

The global internet infrastructure relies heavily on submarine cables, which is crucial in ensuring effective cybersecurity measures. They enable governments to monitor and protect against cyber threats while promoting international cooperation and intelligence sharing in the battle against terrorism and transnational crimes.

Disaster Resilience

Submarine cables contribute to national security by enhancing disaster resilience. These cables can provide alternative communication routes in natural disasters, bypassing damaged terrestrial infrastructure. This ensures the continuity of essential services, coordination of emergency response efforts, and the safety of affected populations.

International Relations and Diplomacy

Submarine cables promote international cooperation and diplomatic relations. Countries collaborate on establishing and maintaining these cables, fostering mutual trust and understanding. Moreover, access to reliable and high-speed communication strengthens diplomatic efforts, negotiation processes, and peacekeeping initiatives.

Implications of Cable Disruptions on National Security

Governments and stakeholders must recognize the implications of cable disruptions on national security and take proactive measures to mitigate the risks. This includes enhancing the resilience of submarine cable systems, developing backup communication channels, improving incident response capabilities, and fostering international cooperation to address common security challenges.

Impact on Military Operations, Intelligence Sharing, and Command and Control Systems

- Cable disruptions can severely hamper military operations by disrupting communication channels between military units, headquarters, and command centres.
- Intelligence sharing among defence and intelligence agencies heavily relies on submarine cables. Disruptions can impede the timely exchange of critical intelligence information, compromising national security.
- Command and control systems, including real-time decision-making capabilities, can be severely affected, hindering military responses to threats and emergencies.

Economic Consequences: Disruption of Financial Systems, Commerce, and Government Operations

- Submarine cables are crucial for the functioning of financial systems, including international banking, stock exchanges, and transactions. Disruptions can lead to financial losses, market volatility, and a loss of investor confidence.
- Global commerce heavily depends on uninterrupted communication and data transmission through submarine cables. Disruptions can result in supply chain disruptions, delays in trade, and economic losses.
- Government operations, such as government agencies, emergency services, and public utilities, rely on submarine cables. Disruptions can hamper the delivery of essential services, affecting public safety and national resilience.

National Security Implications: Compromised Situational Awareness, Loss of Communication Capabilities

- Cable disruptions can compromise situational awareness by impeding the timely exchange of information between defence and security agencies. This can hinder the ability to detect and respond to security threats, potentially putting national security at risk.

- Loss of communication capabilities can impact crisis management and emergency response systems. Effective coordination and communication among various agencies become challenging, leading to delays and potential failures in responding to national security incidents.

- Disruptions can create a window of opportunity for adversaries to exploit vulnerabilities or launch cyber-attacks, compromising national security interests.

Future Challenges and Research Directions for Submarine Cables

As submarine cables play a vital role in global connectivity and national security, it is essential to anticipate and address future challenges. This section highlights some key challenges and research directions for submarine cables.

Emerging Cybersecurity Threats

- Cyber threats to submarine cables are expected to become more sophisticated and prevalent as technology advances.

- Future research should focus on developing robust cybersecurity measures, including advanced encryption techniques, intrusion detection systems, and security protocols to protect submarine cable networks from cyber-attacks.

Climate Change and Natural Disasters

- Climate change poses a significant challenge to the resilience of submarine cables, as rising sea levels, more frequent and severe storms, and underwater seismic activity can increase the risk of cable damage.

- Research should explore innovative engineering solutions and strategies to adapt submarine cable infrastructure to withstand the impacts of climate change and natural disasters.

Legal and Jurisdictional Challenges

- The complex legal and jurisdictional landscape surrounding submarine cables requires ongoing research to address conflicts and overlaps between international, regional, and national legal frameworks.

- Future studies should examine ways to enhance cooperation among states, establish more straightforward guidelines for Jurisdiction, and develop mechanisms for resolving legal disputes related to submarine cables.

Environmental Impact and Sustainability

- Installing and maintaining submarine cables can have environmental consequences, including disturbing marine ecosystems and releasing hazardous substances during cable repairs.

- Research should focus on minimizing the environmental impact of submarine cables through sustainable cable deployment practices, eco-friendly materials, and mitigation measures to protect marine biodiversity.

Expansion of Undersea Data Centers

- There is growing interest in establishing undersea data centres near submarine cable landing points to reduce data transmission latency.

- Future research should explore undersea data centres' technical and environmental implications, including energy consumption, cooling mechanisms, and the potential impact on submarine cable operations.

New Cable Technologies and Infrastructure

- Research and development efforts should continue to advance cable technologies, such as higher fibre capacity, improved fault detection systems, and flexible routing options.

- Exploration of alternative cable deployments methods, such as deep-sea trenching or floating cable systems, could expand connectivity options and address challenges associated with shallow water or complex seabed conditions.

Cross-Sector Collaboration

- Effective management and protection of submarine cables require collaboration among various sectors, including telecommunications, cybersecurity, maritime, and environmental agencies.

- Research should explore innovative governance models and coordination mechanisms to foster cross-sector collaboration, information sharing, and the establishment of best practices.

Economic and Strategic Implications

- Future research should continue to assess submarine cables' economic and strategic significance, including their impact on global trade, national security, and the digital economy.

- Studies analyzing the cost-benefit ratio of investing in submarine cable infrastructure, exploring potential socio-economic benefits, and quantifying the risks associated with cable disruptions can inform policy decisions.

Strategies for Enhancing the Security of Submarine Cables

By adopting these strategies, stakeholders can bolster the security of submarine cables, mitigating risks and ensuring the uninterrupted and secure transmission of data across vast distances, supporting global connectivity, and facilitating economic, social, and technological advancements.

Physical Protection Measures

- To minimize the risk of accidental damage from ship anchors or fishing activities, it is recommended to implement physical solid protection measures, such as burying or deep-sea deployment of cables.

- Additionally, it is essential to use protective coatings, armouring, and reinforced designs to enhance the resilience of submarine cables against natural disasters, intentional sabotage, or malicious interference.

Cybersecurity Measures

- Protecting data transmitted through submarine cables is crucial using robust encryption protocols and reliable authentication mechanisms.
- Implementing intrusion detection and prevention systems is crucial to prevent cyber threats from targeting these networks.

- Additionally, regular updates and patches should be applied to cable landing stations, equipment, and network infrastructure to address vulnerabilities and safeguard against potential cyber-attacks.

International Cooperation and Information Sharing

- It is essential to promote cooperation and exchange of information between nations, cable companies, and global organizations to strengthen submarine cable networks' overall security.

- Establishing formal methods of exchanging threat intelligence, sharing best practices, and learning from past experiences would enhance incident response capabilities and preventive strategies.

Regulatory Frameworks and Legislation

- It is vital to create specific national laws and international agreements that cover the safeguarding, management, and execution of submarine cables.

- Enforcing penalties and sanctions for any unauthorized interference or cable damage is crucial to deter potential threats and ensure accountability.

Continuous Monitoring and Surveillance

- Implement real-time monitoring systems to detect and respond promptly to physical or cyber threats targeting submarine cables.

- Conduct regular maintenance and inspections to identify potential vulnerabilities, cable faults, or signs of tampering.

Redundancy and Resilience

- Setting up backup cable routes and alternate landing spots is crucial to maintain seamless communication in case of cable damage or interruptions.

- It is advisable to use various routing options and backup systems to improve network resilience and minimize the risk of single points of failure.

Public-Private Partnerships

- Encourage the cooperation of governments, cable operators, and private sector entities to improve submarine cables' security by sharing responsibilities, resources, and expertise.
- Collaborate with industry stakeholders, academic institutions, and research organizations to foster the creation of novel technologies and effective solutions for ensuring secure submarine cable operations.

Legal Frameworks Governing Submarine Cables

The governance and regulation of submarine cables involve a complex web of international, regional, and national legal frameworks. These legal frameworks aim to ensure the protection, security, and efficient operation of submarine cable systems. This section explores the key legal instruments and frameworks that govern submarine cables.

United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS)

The UNCLOS, adopted in 1982, provides the primary international legal framework governing the use and protection of the world's oceans, including submarine cables. Key provisions related to submarine cables in UNCLOS include:

a. Freedom of the Seas: Article 21 of UNCLOS recognizes the principle of freedom of the high seas, ensuring that all states have the Right to lay and maintain submarine cables in international waters beyond the limits of national Jurisdiction.

b. Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs): UNCLOS establishes the rights and responsibilities of coastal states within their EEZs, including the protection and regulation of submarine cables. Coastal states have sovereign rights to explore and exploit natural resources, including submarine cables, within their EEZs.

c. Submarine Cable Protection: Article 113 of UNCLOS makes the Breaking or injury of a submarine cable or pipeline, and Article 114 says that breaking or injury by owners of a submarine cable or pipeline of another submarine cable or pipeline, and Article 115 has the provision for

the indemnity for loss incurred in avoiding injury to a submarine cable or pipeline, there are other provisions which prohibit the willful damage or interference with submarine cables and mandates that coastal states take necessary measures to prevent such acts. It also encourages cooperation among states to promote the protection of submarine cables.

Regional Agreements and Organizations

In addition to UNCLOS Article 51, which contained the provision of Existing agreements, traditional fishing rights and existing submarine cables and Article 71, which says about the Submarine cables and pipelines on the continental shelf and Article 122, which talks about the Right to lay submarine cables and pipelines, there are other various regional agreements and organizations play a crucial role in governing submarine cables. These agreements focus on regional cooperation, coordination, and the establishment of common legal standards. Examples include:

a. European Union (EU): The EU has developed directives and regulations to regulate submarine cables' installation, operation, and protection within its member states. These regulations address licensing, cable routes, and environmental impact assessments.

b. Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN): ASEAN has established the ASEAN Framework Agreement on the Facilitation of Interconnection of Submarine Cable Systems. This agreement aims to facilitate the interconnection of submarine cable systems in the region, streamline regulatory processes, and enhance cooperation among member states.

c. International Cable Protection Committee (ICPC): The ICPC is an international organization comprising submarine cable operators, governments, and other stakeholders. It promotes the protection and preservation of submarine cables by developing guidelines, best practices, and coordination among members.

National Legislation and Regulations

Individual countries enact national legislation and regulations to govern submarine cables

within their territorial waters and Jurisdiction. These laws address various aspects, including:

a. Cable Landing Licenses: Many countries require cable operators to obtain licenses or permits before landing submarine cables on their shores. These licenses define the rights and obligations of cable operators and may include provisions related to cable routes, safety standards, and environmental impact assessments.

b. Protection of Critical Infrastructure: National legislation often includes provisions to protect submarine cables as critical infrastructure. This includes preventing damage, unauthorized activities, and cyber threats targeting submarine cables.

c. Data Protection and Privacy Laws: Given the substantial data transmission capacity of submarine cables, national legislation may incorporate data protection and privacy provisions to regulate the collection, storage, and transmission of data through submarine cable systems.

Jurisdictional Issues for Submarine Cables

Introduction: The governance of submarine cables presents significant jurisdictional challenges due to their transboundary nature and the involvement of multiple stakeholders. This section examines the vital jurisdictional issues related to submarine cables and the legal mechanisms in place to address them.

Territorial Jurisdiction

Determining the jurisdictional boundaries and legal responsibilities regarding submarine cables is complex. Key aspects include:

a. Territorial Waters: Coastal states exercise sovereignty over their territorial waters, which extend up to 12 nautical miles from their baselines. Within this zone, coastal states have exclusive rights to regulate and exploit resources, including submarine cables.

b. Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs): UNCLOS grants coastal states sovereign rights over the natural resources within their EEZs, which extend up to 200 nautical miles from their baselines. Coastal states can regulate submarine

cables' installation, operation, and protection within their EEZs.

c. High Seas: Beyond the limits of national Jurisdiction, submarine cables fall under the governance of international law. UNCLOS provides the legal framework for protecting and using submarine cables on the high seas, emphasizing the principle of freedom of the seas.

International Coordination

Given the transboundary nature of submarine cables, international coordination and cooperation are essential to address jurisdictional challenges. Critical mechanisms for international coordination include:

a. United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS): UNCLOS establishes a framework for cooperation among states to ensure the protection and effective use of submarine cables. It encourages states to cooperate in preventing and combating cable damage or interference.

b. International Telecommunication Union (ITU): The ITU, a specialized agency of the United Nations, coordinates global telecommunications standards and policies. It plays a significant role in facilitating international coordination and harmonization of regulations related to submarine cables.

c. Regional Agreements and Organizations: Regional agreements, such as those within the European Union or ASEAN, provide platforms for member states to coordinate and harmonize regulations on submarine cables within their respective regions.

Overlapping Jurisdiction

Jurisdictional conflicts can arise when multiple states claim Jurisdiction over the same submarine cable route or landing point. Resolving overlapping Jurisdiction requires cooperation and negotiation among the involved states. Mechanisms for addressing overlapping Jurisdiction include:

a. Bilateral and Multilateral Agreements: States can negotiate bilateral or multilateral agreements to establish clear guidelines and

mechanisms for addressing jurisdictional disputes related to submarine cables.

b. Dispute Resolution Mechanisms: International dispute resolution mechanisms, such as arbitration or the International Court of Justice, can resolve jurisdictional conflicts when diplomatic negotiations fail.

Cybersecurity Jurisdiction

Jurisdictional issues also arise concerning the cybersecurity of submarine cables. Cyberattacks targeting submarine cables can originate from one Jurisdiction but impact multiple jurisdictions. Key considerations include:

a. Attribution of Cyber Attacks: Determining the origin of a cyber-attack on a submarine cable and attributing it to a specific jurisdiction can be challenging. International cooperation and information sharing are crucial in investigating and addressing cyber threats.

b. Cross-Border Legal Cooperation: Jurisdictional issues may arise when prosecuting cybercriminals involved in attacks on submarine cables. Enhanced cross-border legal cooperation is essential to ensure effective law enforcement and prosecution of cyber attackers.

Case Studies and Lessons Learned for Submarine Cables

By analyzing actual instances of submarine cable disruptions, we can gain valuable knowledge about the difficulties and takeaways of safeguarding and strengthening these systems. The following segment showcases significant case studies and the essential lessons learned from each occurrence.

SEA-ME-WE 3 Cable Cut

In 2008, the SEA-ME-WE 3 submarine cable, linking Europe, the Middle East, and Asia, was cut due to anchoring activity off the coast of Egypt. The incident resulted in widespread disruptions to internet connectivity in several countries. Key lessons learned from this case include:

- It is crucial to prevent accidental damage to submarine cables, which can be achieved by

creating protected areas and raising awareness among shipping and fishing vessels.

- Additionally, it is essential to have efficient repair and restoration processes in place to minimize the duration of disruptions and their adverse economic and social effects.

UC1 and UC2 Cable Cuts

In 2013, two submarine cables, UC1 and UC2, located in the Mediterranean Sea near Alexandria, Egypt, were severed due to sabotage. The incident caused significant disruptions to internet connectivity in several countries. Lessons learned from this case include:

- It is crucial to implement more robust security measures to safeguard submarine cables from intentional acts of sabotage. These measures should include heightened surveillance, early warning systems, and collaboration with security forces and authorities.

- Additionally, international cooperation is essential in investigating and capturing individuals responsible for sabotaging submarine cables. This underscores the significance of working together to protect these vital communication channels.

Guam-CNMI Cable System Failure

In 2019, the submarine cable system connecting Guam and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI) suffered a significant failure, leading to a complete loss of connectivity for several weeks. This incident highlighted the challenges of maintaining resilience in remote and geographically isolated areas. Key lessons learned from this case include:

- Diversifying and redundancy in submarine cable routes are crucial to avoid a single point of failure. This helps minimize the impact of any potential disruptions.

- Additionally, it is essential to have robust contingency plans and rapid response mechanisms to mitigate the effects of cable failures, especially in regions with limited alternative connectivity options.

East Africa Submarine Cable System (Easy) Cable Cuts

In 2020, the Eassie submarine cable, serving several countries in East Africa, experienced multiple cable cuts, leading to significant disruptions in internet and communication services. Lessons learned from this case include:

- It is crucial to have comprehensive monitoring systems to identify cable faults and interruptions quickly. Additionally, it is essential to establish efficient coordination and collaboration among cable operators and countries to address and resolve cable cuts. This includes resource and expertise sharing.

Lessons Learned: Several vital lessons can be derived from these case studies and others

- Diversifying routes and landing points is necessary to improve the reliability and safety of submarine cable systems. Robust monitoring systems should be implemented to detect and respond to cable faults or disruptions quickly.
- Security measures must also be strengthened to prevent accidental damage or intentional sabotage. Additionally, comprehensive contingency plans and rapid response mechanisms should be developed to minimize the impact of any cable disruptions.
- International cooperation and information sharing among stakeholders can help address common challenges and improve the protection of submarine cables.

Prior Legislation and Laws

Various laws and regulations have been implemented to address the challenges related to maritime security, jurisdictional complexities, and legal matters concerning submarine cables. These legal frameworks set out specific rights and responsibilities, encourage global cooperation and offer dispute resolution mechanisms to guarantee submarine cable networks' secure and smooth operation worldwide.

United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS)

- The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) provides a legal structure for the utilization and safeguarding of the world's oceans, incorporating regulations concerning submarine cables.
- It outlines the obligations and entitlements of countries concerning the installation and upkeep of submarine cables within their maritime domains.
- UNCLOS tackles concerns like preventing interference with submarine cables, the freedom of uninjured passage, and resolving conflicts related to their usage and protection.

International Telecommunication Union (ITU)

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is a United Nations specialized agency coordinating global telecommunications standards and regulations. Its primary function is to develop recommendations and guidelines for the secure and reliable planning, installation, operation, and maintenance of submarine cables. Additionally, the ITU encourages cooperation among its member states to address maritime security concerns and boost the protection of submarine cables.

National Legislation

Numerous countries have established laws to govern submarine cables within their territorial waters. These laws cover various aspects, including cable ownership, permits and licenses for installation, maintenance and repair obligations, environmental impact assessment, and penalties for any unauthorized interference or damage. Such legislation facilitates adherence to international obligations and provides a legal structure for protecting and managing submarine cables.

Regional and Bilateral Agreements

Many regional agreements and bilateral treaties between countries have provisions that deal with the protection, maintenance, and security of

submarine cables in specific areas. These agreements establish cooperation mechanisms, information-sharing frameworks, and joint efforts to tackle maritime security concerns and resolve jurisdictional issues related to submarine cables.

International Cable Protection Committee (ICPC)

The ICPC is an organization dedicated to safeguarding and conserving submarine cables. It advocates for compliance with global regulations, encourages the development of best practices, and fosters collaboration among industry stakeholders, governments, and international organizations to ensure the responsible management and protection of submarine cables.

Findings

The research yielded the following findings:

- 1. Maritime Security Threats:** Submarine cables face many threats, from natural disasters such as tsunamis and earthquakes to human-induced threats like unauthorized fishing activities, ship anchors, deep-sea mining, and potential sabotage. These threats pose significant risks to the integrity and uninterrupted operation of global communication and data transmission infrastructure.
- 2. Legal Jurisdiction Complexity:** The research unveiled the complexity of jurisdictional issues, with many cables lying outside of any nation's territorial waters. This lack of clear Jurisdiction has led to regulatory ambiguity and increased difficulty enforcing security measures.
- 3. Inadequate Legal Frameworks:** Our investigation revealed that existing legal frameworks are inadequate in addressing submarine cables' complex security and jurisdictional challenges. These frameworks often fail to specify responsibilities and procedures for damage, repair, and maintenance, leading to legal disputes and delays in restoring services.

4. Need for International Cooperation: The research highlighted the urgent need for more robust international cooperation to protect submarine cables. It found that existing cooperation measures are often inconsistent and insufficiently enforced, leaving these vital infrastructures vulnerable.

5. Recommendations for Policy Improvements: We concluded with recommendations for enhancing legal frameworks, including clarifying jurisdictional issues, stipulating responsibilities for repair and maintenance, and strengthening security regulations. We also proposed measures for fostering greater international cooperation, such as establishing global standards for cable protection and creating mechanisms for rapid response in case of disruptions.

Our research underscores the urgent need for global attention and action to protect this critical infrastructure that underpins our interconnected digital world.

Results

The research yielded the following results:

- 1. Our analysis of maritime security has revealed several significant threats to submarine cables.** These risks range from natural disasters like seismic activity and sea-floor landslides to manufactured dangers like fishing, shipping traffic, and even potential sabotage attempts. These threats could disrupt the global data and communication flow, making it crucial to address them.
- 2. We also found that there are complex jurisdictional challenges surrounding submarine cables.** Many cables are outside individual countries' territorial waters, creating legal ambiguities regarding their oversight and protection. The current international law provides a fragmented jurisdictional framework that often lacks clarity and enforceability.
- 3. Our evaluation of the existing legal frameworks at both international and national levels showed that they are insufficient in addressing the various challenges associated with submarine cables.** The legal frameworks often

lack precise definitions of responsibilities for damage, repair, and maintenance, creating the potential for disputes and delays in restoring cable functionality.

4. The current state of international cooperation was also found to be inadequate. Existing cooperative efforts were inconsistent and insufficient, leaving the global network of submarine cables vulnerable to threats and complicating resolving issues arising from damage or disruption.

5. Based on these findings, we have produced a series of policy recommendations to enhance maritime security measures, promote more effective international cooperation, and strengthen legal frameworks. These recommendations aim to clarify jurisdictional ambiguities and safeguard this vital global infrastructure.

Conclusion

The study highlights the crucial role of submarine cables in our interconnected world. The research uncovered numerous threats to these cables, underscoring the need for solid maritime security measures.

The paper also reveals legal uncertainties and inconsistencies in Jurisdiction over submarine cables, particularly those outside national waters. Such challenges necessitate comprehensive reforms, as current international and national legal frameworks fall short, resulting in disputes and delays in maintaining and repairing the cables.

Despite being overlooked in discussions of global infrastructure, submarine cables are essential to our digital world, requiring attention and action. The study urges international efforts to address these issues, proposing better legal frameworks, practical international cooperation, and advanced security measures to safeguard submarine cables.

We hope this research will initiate further scholarly discussions and policy debates. Future work should explore the complex issues surrounding submarine cables and devise more

practical solutions. Protecting these undersea cables is a technological matter and a pressing issue of global security, legal cooperation, and economic continuity - crucial for our digital future.

Suggestion

Based on our research, we suggest taking the following actions to protect submarine cables:

1. **Strengthen Legal Frameworks:** It is necessary to review and update existing international and national legal frameworks related to submarine cables to clarify jurisdictions, responsibilities for maintenance and repair, and measures to deal with potential sabotage or accidental damage.

2. **Enhance International Cooperation:** Submarine cables transcend national borders, so greater international cooperation is essential. This could include information sharing, joint maritime security operations, and globally agreed-upon guidelines for protecting these cables.

3. **Explore Technological Innovations:** Further research into technological innovations to improve the durability of submarine cables and early threat detection systems can help mitigate risks.

4. **Implement Policy Changes:** Policymakers should recognize the critical importance of submarine cables and prioritize their protection in national security strategies.

5. **Conduct More Research:** There is a need for more academic and industry research to assess emerging threats and develop effective countermeasures continually.

6. **Increase Public Awareness:** Raising public awareness about the importance of submarine cables can generate broader support for policies and measures to protect these crucial infrastructures.

Addressing these issues effectively requires concerted efforts from governments, international organizations, industry stakeholders, and researchers. Protecting submarine cables is a technological challenge of

international law, global security, and economic stability.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflict of Interest

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the help and support of Rashtriya Raksha University.

References

Coffen-Smout, S. & Herbert, G.J. (2000). Submarine cables: a challenge for ocean management. *Marine Policy*, 24(6), 441-448. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-597X\(00\)00027-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-597X(00)00027-0)

Hodgson-Johnston, I. (2015). The Law and Practice of Piracy at Sea: European and International Perspectives. *Australian Journal of Maritime & Ocean Affairs*, 7(2): 1-2. <https://doi.org/10.1080/18366503.2014.976164>

Karim, M. S. (2013). Flag State Responsibility for Maritime Terrorism. *The SAIS Review of International Affairs*, 33(2), 127-134.

Kaye, S. (2014). Chapter 14. Maritime Security in the post-9/11 World: A New Creeping Jurisdiction in the Law of the Sea?. In *The Limits of Maritime Jurisdiction*. Leiden, The Netherlands:

Brill / Nijhoff. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004262591_017

Kurniaty, R., Suryokumoro, H. & Widagdo, S. (2021). The Role of Marine Security Agency (BAKAMLA) As Sea and Coast Guards in Indonesian Water Jurisdiction. *Fiat Justitia: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum*, 15(3);, 221-232. <https://doi.org/10.25041/fiatjustitia.v15no3.2017>

Lee, D.-C., Kim, G.-H., & Park, Y. (2012). Study on Development of GIS based Maritime Boundary Delimitation Support System. *Journal of Ocean Engineering and Technology*, 26(4), 23-29. <https://doi.org/10.5574/KSOE.2012.26.4.023>

Mallia, P. (2010). Chapter Four. Security: Operational And Technical Approaches. In *Migrant Smuggling by Sea*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill / Nijhoff. <https://doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004182097.i-258.24>

Yang, W. (2020). Protecting Submarine Cables from Physical Damage Under Investment Law. *Forthcoming in Ocean Development & International Law*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3673112>

Zheng, L., Shu, C., Li, X.-L. & Wang, Y.-J. (2018). Proceeding of International Symposium on Optoelectronic Technology and Application 2018: Analysis of Submarine Optical Cable Eavesdropping Defense Means. Beijing, China. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2505161>