

Publication status: Not informed by the submitting author

Foliar structural differences between glyphosate-resistant and susceptible biotypes of *Digitaria insularis* (L.) Fedde

Silvio Douglas Ferreira, Jaqueline De Araújo Barbosa, Shirley Martins Silva, Neumarcio Vilanova da Costa

<https://doi.org/10.1590/SciELOPreprints.3778>

Submitted on: 2022-03-14

Posted on: 2022-03-17 (version 1)

(YYYY-MM-DD)

1 **Foliar structural differences between glyphosate-resistant and susceptible biotypes of**

2 *Digitaria insularis* (L.) Fedde

3 **Diferenças estruturais foliares entre biótipos resistente e suscetível ao glyphosate de**

4 *Digitaria insularis* (L.) Fedde

5
6 Silvio Douglas Ferreira^{1*}, Jaqueline de Araújo Barbosa¹, Shirley Martins Silva² and

7 Neumarcio Vilanova da Costa¹

8
9 **Foliar differences of *Digitaria insularis***

10 *Corresponding author: agrosilvio@outlook.com

11 ¹Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, Grupo de Estudo em Matologia do Oeste
12 Paranaense (GEMOP), Departamento de Ciências Agrárias, Campus de Marechal Cândido
13 Rondon, Rua Pernambuco, n^o 1777, CEP 85960-000, Marechal Cândido Rondon, PR, Brasil.

14 ²Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, Curadora do Herbário UNOP Universitário,
15 Departamento de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Rua Universitária, n^o 2069, CEP 85819-110
16 - Cascavel, PR, Brasil.

17
18 Silvio Douglas Ferreira - ORCID - <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8989-4498>

19 Jaqueline de Araújo Barbosa - ORCID - <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8954-5204>

20 Shirley Martins Silva - ORCID - <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7696-8865>

21 Neumarcio Vilanova da Costa - ORCID - <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2576-7470>

22

23

24

25

26

27 **Abstract** - We had, as an objective, characterizing, anatomically, the leaves of two
28 populations of *D. insularis* (resistant biotype and susceptible biotype), which were collected
29 in cropping areas in Paraná. In order to do this, a trial design in random sets was employed,
30 which were repeated ten times. To obtain the data, it was evaluated the structural and
31 quantitative variables of foliar blades of anatomical parts, which belonged to different leaves
32 in the mature stage that were removed from distinct resistant and susceptible biotypes of *D.*
33 *insularis*. The structural variables of the cross-sectional parts evaluated from the foliar blades
34 were: the thickness of the foliar blade in the intercostal region (TBI); thickness of the keel
35 (TK); stomata number (SN) and thickness of cuticle (TC) in the adaxial and abaxial faces.
36 The resistant biotypes of *D. insularis* differ from the susceptible ones in several structural
37 parameters, among them, there is the foliar blade in the interveinal region that was 7.3 thicker
38 in the resistant biotype, which was also observed in the thickness of the keel, in the
39 percentage of 11.3%, and in the thickness of the cuticle in the adaxial face (TCD), which was
40 53.8% thicker in the resistant biotype. In this way, we concluded that the anatomical foliar
41 characteristics observed in the resistant biotypes, which differ from the susceptible ones, can
42 be related to the reduction in the absorption and the herbicides translocation speed,
43 constituting, therefore, possible mechanisms of resistance to glyphosate.

44 **Key words:** biology, leaf, sourgrass, thickness of cuticle, weed.

45
46 **Resumo** - Tivemos como objetivo caracterizar anatomicamente as folhas de duas populações
47 de *D. insularis* (biótipo resistente e biótipo suscetível) coletadas em áreas agrícolas no Paraná.
48 Para isso, utilizou-se delineamento experimental em blocos ao acaso, com dez repetições.
49 Para a obtenção dos dados, foram avaliadas variáveis estruturais quantitativas de secções
50 anatômicas da lâmina foliar, pertencentes a diferentes folhas no estágio maduro retiradas de
51 distintos biótipos resistentes e suscetíveis de *D. insularis*. Entre as variáveis estruturais das

52 secções transversais da lâmina foliar avaliadas foram: espessura da lâmina foliar na região
53 intercostal (ELI); espessura da quilha (EQ); número de estômatos (NE); espessura da cutícula
54 (EC) nas faces adaxial e abaxial. Os biótipos resistentes de *D. insularis* diferem dos
55 susceptíveis em vários parâmetros estruturais, entre eles, quanto a lâmina foliar na região
56 internervural que foi 7,3% mais espessa no biótipo resistente, o que também foi observado na
57 espessura da quilha com 11,3% e na espessura da cutícula na face adaxial (ECD) que foi
58 53,8% mais espessa no resistente. Dessa forma, concluímos que as características anatômicas
59 foliares observadas nos biótipos resistentes que diferem dos susceptíveis, podem estar
60 relacionadas com a redução na absorção e na velocidade de translocação de herbicidas,
61 constituindo assim possíveis mecanismos de resistência ao glyphosate.

62 **Palavra-chave:** biologia, folha, capim-amargoso, espessura da cutícula, planta daninha.

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74 **Introduction**

75 The type *Digitaria* involves several plant species distributed in every region of the
76 world. In Brazil, there are 26 native species and 12 exotic ones, among them, the *Digitaria*
77 *insularis* (L.) Fedde, popularly known as sourgrass Gemelli *et al.* (2012).

78 It is a herbaceous and rhizomatous plant, perennial, present slow initial growth, grows
79 in bunches and into the upright position, has fluted culms, with up to 150 centimetres of
80 length, has leaves with acuminate and linear blades, long sheath, with little hairiness and
81 present a membranous ligule and photosynthetic metabolism C₄ (Moreira & Bragança 2010).
82 Regarding its inflorescences, in *D. insularis*, these are terminal with long stems, with
83 branched panicles that may measure 30 centimeters, the spikelets have silky trichomes and
84 can be oval to lanceolate shaped Carvalho *et al.* (2011).

85 Among the weed plants resistant to glyphosate, the *D. insularis* is one of the species
86 that present the higher amount of cases reported in Brazil Brunharo *et al.* (2014); Gazola *et al.*
87 (2016). In Literature, many cases of resistance in populations of *D. insularis* in Brazilian
88 cropping areas are reported, however, with many variations regarding the Resistance Factor
89 (FR). Martins *et al.* (2016) mentioned that this species has FR = 3.1 and Reinert *et al.* (2013)
90 FR > 16. In the West of Paraná, Ferreira *et al.* (2018) verified FRs between 2.7 and 7.7, while
91 Licorini *et al.* (2015) observed the FR with a variation of 6.2 to 16.8. On the other hand,
92 (Pavan 2018), described FR > 129 in biotypes collected in the municipality of Assis
93 Chateaubriand, therefore, this place becomes the location to be considered with the biggest
94 trouble in the matter of controlling plants.

95 The emergence of resistant biotypes arises from the alteration of different
96 mechanisms, which can be related to the absorbance and herbicide translocation, target
97 enzyme alteration and foliar anatomy (DNA) (Alcantara de La Cruz *et al.* 2016; Carvalho *et*
98 *al.* 2012; González-Torralva *et al.* 2014; Kaundun *et al.* 2011; Nandula *et al.* 2012; Sammons

99 & Gaines 2014; Salas *et al.* 2015; Yu *et al.* 2015). In the case of *D. insularis*, the mechanisms
100 of resistance to glyphosate can be related to alterations in the foliar anatomy, in the
101 absorbance and differential translocation and, still, in the genetic mutations (Carvalho *et al.*
102 2011; Gomes *et al.* 2017; Takano *et al.* 2017).

103 In the leaves of resistant plants, alterations that impose several impediments to the
104 absorbance of the herbicide were verified (Barroso *et al.* 2015). The variation in the distance
105 of vascular bundles, as much as the stomata and trichomes quantity are among the verified
106 modifications.

107 The fact is that the characteristics related to the foliar structures can change in the
108 same species, according to the plant age or having relation only with its resistance. In this
109 regard, Lopez-Ovejero *et al.* (2017) revealed that the populations of *D. insularis* resistant to
110 glyphosate occurrence in Brazil, and this result was a combination of biological
111 characteristics with cropping practices that employed specifically the glyphosate as a
112 herbicide in the areas of soy and corn plantations. Therefore, it is believed that the resistant
113 biotype *D. insularis*, employed in the current study, can present biological characteristics that
114 have been changed.

115 In face of the exposed facts, the current essay had as an objective the evaluation of
116 leaves' anatomical characteristics, in two populations of *D. insularis* collected in cropping
117 areas in Paraná.

118

119 **Material And Methods**

120 It was employed a trial design in random sets, with ten repetitions. The treatment was
121 composed of the biotype of *D. insularis* resistant and susceptible to glyphosate.

122 It was used seeds of the F₁ generation, which were stored in the low-temperature
123 chamber, with a temperature of 10°C and relative humidity of 4.6%.

124 The seeding took place on November 19th, 2018. After 14 days from the seeding
125 (DAS), the seedlings were transplanted in plastic vases with a capacity of 3 dm⁻³, containing
126 clay-textured soil. The two last leaves completely expanded of each plant were harvested
127 when plants presented six actual leaves.

128 The harvested leaves were taken into the laboratory for washing and separation. After
129 the washing, the leaves were immediately fixed on FAA 50 (formaldehyde 37% glacial acetic
130 acid and alcohol 50% in the proportion 1:1:18) (Johansen 1940) and stored in ethanol 70%.

131 The data referring to the quantitative and structural variables were obtained from 10
132 anatomic parts, removed from 10 different leaves, from different resistant and susceptible to
133 *D. insularis* biotypes. In order to have an anatomic analysis, the middle third of two leaves of
134 each one of the repetitions was employed. In this region, transversal sections were performed,
135 made through freehand cuts and with the help of a razor blade. The sections were clarified in
136 sodium hypochlorite, in the concentration of 50%, washed with distilled water and colored
137 with Alcian Blue and Basic Fuchsin (Kraus & Arduin 1998) and assembled in semi-
138 permanent plates in glycerin 80% (kaiser 1880).

139 To observe the stomata through paradermal views, portions of the leaves' middle third
140 were disassociated with hydrogen peroxide and acetic acid in a concentration of 1:1,
141 according to the methodology adapted from Franklin, (1945) and colored with safranin. All
142 the plates were assembled in a semi-permanent glycerin 70% environment. The anatomic
143 images were taken through an optical microscope attached to a camera (CMOS – Bioptika
144 model) and connected to the computer, considering that the evaluations were made through
145 software, called TCCapture 5.1.

146 The data referring to the structural variables were analyzed from ten anatomical
147 sections, which were originated from ten different leaves, collected from different resistant
148 and susceptible to *D. insularis* biotypes. With the help of the software Excel 2010, the

149 following characteristics were measured: 1) the thickness of the foliar blade in the intercostal
150 region (TBI); 2) thickness of the keel (TK); 3) thickness of chlorophyllin parenchyma (TCP);
151 4) the of stomata number (SN); 5) stomatal index (SI); 6) thickness of cuticle in the adaxial
152 (TCB) and abaxial (TCD) faces; 7) the thickness of the outer tangential wall of the epidermic
153 cells in the abaxial (EPB) and adaxial (EPD) faces; 8) length of bulliform cells (BC); 9)
154 diameter of the central vascular bundle (DCB); 10) diameter of the secondary vascular bundle
155 (DSB); 11) distance between the terciary vascular bundles (DTB); 12) diameter of the tertiary
156 bundles vascular cells of the sheath (DBS); 13) size of the fibre strings associated to the keel
157 (SS) in the adaxial e abaxial faces; 14) size of the fibre strings located in the internervural
158 regions in the abaxial face (SSIB); 15) phloem area of the central vascular bundle (PAC); 16)
159 phloem area of the secondary vascular bundles (PAS); 17) xylem size of the central vascular
160 bundle (XSC) and 18) xylem size of the secondary vascular bundles (XSS).

161 The stomatal index were obtained through the formula calculation: $SI = SN / (EC +$
162 $SN) * 100$, in which SI is the stomatal index, SN is the stomata number and CE is the
163 epidermal cells number.

164 The data obtained from the calculations were submitted to variance analysis, with the
165 comparison of the averages through the Tukey test, with a probability percentage of 5%.

166

167 **Results and Discussion**

168 The occurrence and distribution of stomata on the foliar plate in paradermal views is
169 similar among the biotypes with stomata organized into parallel lines, in which the ribs are
170 apparent and the guard cells are shaped as dumbbells (Figure 1). This organization of stomata
171 and guard cells characteristics are considered common in the plant family Poaceae (Machado
172 *et al.* 2008; Nicolau *et al.* 2010). We also highlighted that, despite this kind of plant being

173 amphihypostomatic (stomata in both epidermal faces, but with predominance in the abaxial
174 face), in the current study, only the leaf's adaxial face was analyzed.

175 The thickness of the foliar blade in the internervural region (TBI) also varies among
176 the biotypes, considering it to be 7.3% thicker in the resistant biotype (Table 1). Also, the keel
177 (TK), in the central rib region is 11.3% thicker in the resistant biotype (Table 1), with a higher
178 number of cells' layers (5-6) of aquifer parenchyma, concerning the susceptible one (3-4)
179 (Figure 2C-D). As in bulliform cells, the investment in cells with wide vacuoles, as aquifer
180 parenchyma, can act in the herbicide storage, guaranteeing a broader resistance to glyphosate.

181 The difference observed in the number stomata (NS) among the biotypes highlighted
182 that the biotype of *D. insularis* that is resistant presents a number of stomata 7.3% higher in
183 comparison to the susceptible. The stomatal index results (SI), in turn, did not differ statically.
184 However, we must emphasize that the used plants presented only six actual leaves, being,
185 therefore, considered young plants and can suffer changes in these variables when they get
186 older.

187 Moreover, the relationship of the stoma variable with herbicide resistance is
188 considered controversial. To Tuffi-Santos *et al.* (2009), the stomata would be an unlikely path
189 to glyphosate absorption, once the higher number of stomata are located in the abaxial foliar
190 face. On the other hand, Procópio *et al.* (2003) reported that the fewer number of stomata in
191 the adaxial face would be one of the main obstacles to the herbicides' penetration.

192 Independent from the obstacles presented by the leaves, the surfactant employment
193 can diminish the leaves' natural impediments and guarantee the success in the plants'
194 chemical control (Shonherr, 2006), a fact also reported by Ferreira *et al.* (2002).

195 The thickness of the cuticles and outer tangential walls of the epidermic cells are
196 larger in the resistant biotype (Table 1). The TCD values were 53.8% higher in the resistant
197 biotype, however, there was no divergence regarding this aspect in the abaxial face. By

198 contrast, regarding the values of the outer tangential walls of the epidermic cells, there was a
199 difference in both faces, considering that they were thicker in the resistant biotypes, with a
200 difference of 78.6% in the EPD and 15.4% in the EPB.

201 Higher values regarding the thickness of cuticles and epidermic walls of cells stand
202 out for constituting the first obstacles to the herbicide, making its entrance into the foliar
203 blade difficult (Machado *et al.* 2008; Marques *et al.* 2012). Furthermore, about the cuticles of
204 *D. insularis* leaves, the wax deposition was reported by Barroso *et al.* (2015), which
205 contributes to the herbicide continuity on the foliar surface (Galon *et al.* 2013), with
206 absorption in a slower way (Galvani *et al.* 2012) due to the hydrophobic nature of the
207 epicuticular wax to the detriment of hydrophilic one of the glyphosate (Monquero *et al.*
208 2004).

209 The bulliform cells are higher in the resistant biotypes, with a difference of 18.6% in
210 relation to the susceptible ones. This observed difference can represent a higher capacity of
211 storing the herbicide in the interior of the vacuole, reducing, this way, their translocation.
212 According to Ge *et al.* (2010), in *Conyza canadensis* (L.) Cronq. resistant biotypes, it happens
213 higher glyphosate retention in the interior of the cells' vacuoles compared to the ones of
214 susceptible plants. These authors comment that, possibly, this difference is generated by the
215 presence of a higher concentration of a specific carrier to glyphosate in the vacuole in
216 resistant biotypes.

217 The difference between the resistant and susceptible biotypes of *Echinochloa* spp.
218 regarding this parameter, the length of the foliar blade, was also observed by Ferreira *et al.*
219 (2012).

220 Contrasting with the data present in this current study, Gomes *et al.* (2017), evaluating
221 12 biotypes of *D. insularis* with several levels of resistance (susceptible, mildly susceptible
222 and resistant), did not verify any difference between the biotypes regarding the foliar

223 thickness. Possibly, the difference of the resistance degree in the used plants might not have
224 been sufficient to cause alterations.

225 The thickness of the resistant biotype's chlorophyllin parenchyma (TCP) was also
226 numerically higher, although it did not differ statistically from the susceptible one. In both
227 biotypes, the chlorophyllin parenchyma is from the homogeneous type with reduced
228 intercellular space and cells radially arranged around the vascular sheath, which characterizes
229 the Kranz anatomy occurrence in the biotypes, aspects already indicated for the species and
230 related to the C₄ photosynthetic metabolism (Jesus *et al.* 2009; Paciullo *et al.* 2002).

231 The DCB and the DSB surpassed, in 65.9 and 51.9%, respectively, the susceptible
232 biotype. The resistant biotype presents a larger diameter of the central vascular bundle (DCB)
233 and the diameter of the secondary vascular bundles (DSB), with a difference of 738.8 and
234 218.6 µm, respectively (Table 1). However, the distance between the tertiary vascular bundles
235 (DTB) and the diameter of the vascular sheath cells of the tertiary bundles (DBS) were 10.4
236 and 26.2%, respectively, smaller compared to the susceptible ones.

237 The size of the fibre string associated with the keel in the adaxial e abaxial faces and
238 the internevural region in the abaxial face was smaller in the resistant biotype (Table 2). The
239 differences are 14.2 and 10.4% in the length and the width of the TCD, and 8.2 and 34.8% in
240 the length and width of the TCB. To the SSIB, the observed differences were 20.1% in the
241 length and 26% in the width.

242 In relation to the phloem, the resistant biotype possesses a larger area than the
243 susceptible one (Table 2). The phloem area of the central vascular bundle (PAC) and the
244 secondary vascular bundles (PAS) surpass the susceptible biotype in 315.7 and 117.2 µm,
245 respectively. In addition, the xylem tissue area of the central vascular bundle (XSC) and in
246 the secondary ones (XSS), the resistant biotype presented the smaller measurements. The

247 differences in length and width of the XSC were 4.2 e 7.5%, respectively, and the XSS
248 differed in 7.7% in the length and 16.3% in the width.

249 Morphoanatomical alterations between the resistant and susceptible biotypes can have
250 occurred in virtue of a biological change in the resistant plant. It is suggested that other
251 studies must be performed to verify if the anatomical differences patterns will be kept
252 between the resistant and susceptible biotypes. However, it must be highlighted that new
253 researches must be carried out with plants that present an elevated factor of resistance, as it
254 was tested in this essay, besides a susceptible biotype.

255

256 **Conclusion**

257 The resistant biotype of *D. insularis* presents differences in the anatomical
258 characteristics of the leaves in relation to the susceptible ones, such as the larger thickness of
259 the foliar blade in the region, the larger thickness of the keel, the larger thickness of the
260 cuticle in the adaxial face, outer tangential walls of the epidermic cells are more thickened in
261 the two faces and bigger bulliform cells that can function as the main resistance glyphosate
262 mechanisms in this biotype.

263

264 **Acknowledgements**

265 The authors would like to thank financial support the Coordenação de
266 Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) – Financing Code 001, and from the
267 Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq).

268

269 **Interest conflict**

270 The authors declare that they have no known competing interests in the work reported
271 in this paper.

272

273 **References**

- 274 Alcantara De La Cruz R, Barro F, Domínguez-Valenzuela JA & De Prado R (2016)
275 Physiological, morphological and biochemical studies of glyphosate tolerance in Mexican
276 Cologania (*Cologania broussonetii* (Balb.) DC.). *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 98, 72-
277 80.
- 278 Barroso AAM, Galeano E, Albrecht AJP, Reis FC & Victoria Filho R (2015) Does Sourgrass
279 leaf anatomy influence glyphosate resistance ? *Comunicata Scientiae* 6, 4: 445-453.
- 280 Brunharo CACG, Christoffolrti PJ & Nicolai M (2014) Aspectos do mecanismo de ação do
281 amônio glufosinato: culturas resistentes e resistência de plantas daninhas. *Revista Brasileira*
282 *de Herbicidas* 13, 2: 163-177.
- 283 Carvalho LB, Alves PLCA, González-Torralva F, Cruz-Hipolito HE, Rojano-Delgado AM,
284 De Prado R, Gil-Humanes J, Barro F & Castro MDL (2012) Pool of Resistance Mechanisms
285 to Glyphosate in *Digitaria insularis*. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 60, 2: 615-
286 622.
- 287 Carvalho LB, Cruz-Hipolito H, González-Torralva F, Alves PLCA, Christoffoleti PJ & De
288 Prado R (2011) Detection of Sourgrass (*Digitaria insularis*) Biotypes Resistant to Glyphosate
289 in Brazil. *Weed Science Society of America* 59, 2: 171-176.
- 290 Ferreira EA, Concenço G, Galon L, Delgado MN, Aspiazú I, Silva AFD & Meira RMSA
291 (2012) Características micromorfológicas de biótipos de capim-arroz resistente e suscetível ao
292 quinclorac. *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira* 47, 8: 1048-1056.
- 293 Ferreira EA, Procópio SO, Silva EAM, Silva AA & Rufino RJN (2002) Estudos anatômicos
294 de folhas de plantas daninhas. I - *Nicandra physaloides*, *Solanum viarum*, *Solanum*
295 *americanum* e *Raphanus raphanistrum*. *Planta Daninha* 20, 2: 159-167.
- 296 Ferreira SD, Exteckoetter V, Gibbert AM, Barbosa JA & Costa NV (2018) Biological cycle

- 297 of susceptible and glyphosate-resistant sourgrass biotypes in two growth periods resistentes.
298 Planta Daninha 36, e018175923: 1-9.
- 299 Franklin GL (1945) Preparation of thin sections of synthetic resins and wood - resin
300 composites, and a new macerating method for wood. Nature 155, 3924: 51.
- 301 Galon L, Ferreira EA, Aspiazú I, Concenço G, Silva AF, Silva AA & Vargas L (2013)
302 Glyphosate translocation in herbicide tolerant plants. Planta Daninha 31 1: 193-201.
- 303 Galvani J, Rizzardi MA, Carneiro CM & Bianchi MA (2012) Anatomia foliar de *Lolium*
304 *multiflorum* sensível e resistente ao glyphosate. Planta daninha 30, 2: 407-413.
- 305 Gazola T, Belapart D, Castro EB, Cipola Filho ML & Dias MF (2016) Características
306 biológicas de *Digitaria insularis* que conferem sua resistência à herbicidas e opções de
307 manejo. Científica 44, 4: 557-567.
- 308 Ge X, D'avignon DA, Ackerman JJ & Sammons RD (2010) Rapid vacuolar sequestration: the
309 horseweed glyphosate resistance mechanism. Pest Management Science 66, 4: 345-348.
- 310 Gemelli A, Oliveira Jr RS, Cosntamtin J, Braz GBP, De Campos Jumes TM, De Oliveira
311 Neto AM & Biffe DF (2012) Aspectos da biologia de *Digitaria insularis* resistente ao
312 glyphosate e implicações para o seu controle. Revista Brasileira de Herbicidas 11, 2: 231-240.
- 313 Gomes LJP, Santos JI Gasparino EC & Correia NM (2017) Chemical control and
314 morphoanatomical analysis of leaves of different populations of sourgrass anatômicas. Planta
315 Daninha 35, e017158021: 1-11.
- 316 González-Torralva F, Gil-Humanes J, Barro F, Domínguez-Valenzuela JA & Prado R (2014)
317 First evidence for a target site mutation in the EPSPS₂ gene in glyphosate-resistant Sumatran
318 fleabane from citrus orchards. Agronomy for sustainable development 34, 2; 553-560.
- 319 Jesus SL, Arévalo RA & Romão GO, Rossi LM, Coscione AR & Nogueira NL (2009)
320 Potencial de utilização de *Cyperus rotundus* na descontaminação de áreas de descarte de
321 resíduos industriais com elevados teores de metais. Planta Daninha 27, 4: 641-645.

- 322 Johansen DA (1940) Plant microtechnique. McGraw-Hill, New York. 523p.
- 323 Kaiser E (1880) Verfahren zur Herstellung einer tadellosen Glycerin-Gelatine. Botanisch
324 Zentralb 180: 25-26.
- 325 Kaundun SS, Dale RP, Zelaya IA, Dinelli G, Marotti I, McIndoe E & Cairns A (2011) A
326 Novel P106L Mutation in EPSPS and an Unknown Mechanism(s) Act Additively To Confer
327 Resistance to Glyphosate in a South African *Lolium rigidum* Population. Journal Agriculture
328 Food Chemistry 59, 7: 3227-3233.
- 329 Kraus JE & Arduin M (1998) Manual básico de métodos em morfologia vegetal. Seropédica:
330 Edur, Rio de Janeiro. 198p.
- 331 Licorini L, Gandolfo M, Sorace MA, Osipe R, Cossa CA & Osipe JB (2015) Identificação e
332 controle de biótipos resistentes de *Digitaria insularis* (L.) Fedde ao glyphosate. Revista
333 Brasileira de Herbicidas 14, 3: 141-147.
- 334 Lopez-Ovejero RF, Takano HK, Nicolai M, Ferreira A, Melo MS, Cavenaghi A.L,
335 Christoffoleti PJ & Oliveira RS (2017) Frequency and Dispersal of Glyphosate-Resistant
336 Sourgrass (*Digitaria insularis*) Populations across Brazilian Agricultural Production Areas.
337 Weed Science Society of America 65, 2: 285-294.
- 338 Machado AFL, Meira RMS, Ferreira LR, Ferreira FA, Tuffi-Santos LD, Fialho CMT &
339 Machado MS (2008) Caracterização anatômica de folha, colmo e rizoma de *Digitaria*
340 *insularis*. Planta Daninha 26, 1: 1-8.
- 341 Marques RP, Rodella RA & Martins D (2012) Características da anatomia foliar de espécies
342 de braquiária e sua relação com a sensibilidade a herbicidas. Planta daninha 30, 4: 809-816.
- 343 Martins JF, Barroso AAM, Carvalho LB, Cesarin AE, Do Amaral CL, Nepomuceno MP,
344 Desidério JA & Alves PLDCA (2016) Plant growth and genetic polymorphism in glyphosate-
345 resistant sourgrass (*Digitaria insularis* L. Fedde). Australian Journal of Crop Science 10, 10:
346 1466-1473.

- 347 Monquero PA, Christoffoleti PJ, Osuna MD & De Prado RA (2004) Absorção, translocação e
348 metabolismo do glyphosate por plantas tolerantes e suscetíveis a este herbicida. *Planta*
349 *Daninha* 22, 3: 445-451.
- 350 Moreira HJC & Bragança HBN (2010) Manual de identificação de plantas infestantes:
351 cultivos de verão. FMC, Campinas. 642p.
- 352 Nandula VK, Reddy KN, Koger CH, Poston DH, Rimando AM, Duke SO, Bond JA &
353 Ribeiro DN (2012) Multiple Resistance to Glyphosate and Pyriithiobac in Palmer Amaranth
354 (*Amaranthus palmeri*) from Mississippi and Response to Flumiclorac. *Weed Science Society*
355 *of America* 60, 2: 179-188.
- 356 Nicolau BAP, Alvarenga TM, Fonseca e Silva F & Soares Júnior FJ (2010) Morfoanatomía
357 foliar de *Brachiaria decumbens* Stapf, colectada en la zona rural de Lavras, estado de Minas
358 Gerais, Brasil. *Revista Científica UDO Agrícola* 10, 1: 1-6.
- 359 Paciullo DSC, Gomide JA, Silva EAM, Queiroz DS & Gomide CAM (2002) Características
360 Anatômicas da Lâmina Foliar e do Colmo de Gramíneas Forrageiras Tropicais, em Função do
361 Nível de Inserção no Perfilho, da Idade e da Estação de Crescimento. *Revista Brasileira de*
362 *Zootecnia* 31, 2: 890-899.
- 363 Pavan GB (2018) Manejo de capim-amargoso perenizado e tolerante a glyphosate com
364 herbicidas associados ou não a 2,4-D sal de dimetilamina e 2,4-D choline. Dissertação de
365 Mestrado. Escola Superior de Agricultura “Luiz de Queiroz”, Piracicaba, 63p.
- 366 Procópio SO, Ferreira EA, Silva EAM, Silva AA, Rufino RJN & Santos JB (2003) Estudos
367 anatômicos de folhas de espécies de plantas daninhas de grande ocorrência no Brasil. III -
368 *Galinsoga parviflora*, *Crotalaria incana*, *Conyza bonariensis* e *Ipomoea cairica*. *Planta*
369 *daninha* 21, 1: 1-9.
- 370 Reinert CS, Prado ABCA & Christoffoleti PJ (2013) Curvas de dose-resposta comparativas
371 entre os biótipos resistente e suscetível de capim-amargoso (*Digitaria insularis*) ao herbicida

- 372 glyphosate. *Revista Brasileira de Herbicidas* 12, 3: 260-267.
- 373 Salas RA, Scott RC, Dayan FE & Burgos NR (2015) EPSPS Gene Amplification in
374 Glyphosate-Resistant Italian Ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* ssp. *multiflorum*) Populations from
375 Arkansas, USA. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 63, 25: 5885–5893.
- 376 Sammons Rd & Gaines TA (2014) Glyphosate resistance: state of knowledge. *Pest*
377 *Management Science* 70, 9: 1367–1377.
- 378 Shonherr J (2006) Characterization of aqueous pores in plant cuticles and permeation of ionic
379 solutes. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 57, 11: 2471–2491.
- 380 Takano HK, Oliveira Jr RS, Constantin J, Braz GBP & Gheno EA (2017) Goosegrass
381 resistant to glyphosate in Brazil. *Planta Daninha* 35, e017163071: 1-9.
- 382 Tuffi-Santos LD, Sant'anna-Santos BF, Meira RMSA, Ferreira FA, Tiburcio RAS & Machado
383 AFL (2009) Leaf anatomy and morphometry in three eucalypt clones treated with
384 glyphosate. *Brazilian Journal of Biology* 69, 1: 129-136.
- 385 Yu Q, Jalaludin A, Han H, Sammons RD & Powles SB (2015) Evolution of a Double Amino
386 Acid Substitution in the 5-Enolpyruvylshikimate-3-Phosphate Synthase in *Eleusine indica*
387 Conferring High-Level Glyphosate Resistance. *Plant physiology* 167, 4: 1440–1447.

This preprint was submitted under the following conditions:

- The authors declare that they are aware that they are solely responsible for the content of the preprint and that the deposit in SciELO Preprints does not mean any commitment on the part of SciELO, except its preservation and dissemination.
- The authors declare that the necessary Terms of Free and Informed Consent of participants or patients in the research were obtained and are described in the manuscript, when applicable.
- The authors declare that the preparation of the manuscript followed the ethical norms of scientific communication.
- The authors declare that the data, applications, and other content underlying the manuscript are referenced.
- The deposited manuscript is in PDF format.
- The authors declare that the research that originated the manuscript followed good ethical practices and that the necessary approvals from research ethics committees, when applicable, are described in the manuscript.
- The authors declare that once a manuscript is posted on the SciELO Preprints server, it can only be taken down on request to the SciELO Preprints server Editorial Secretariat, who will post a retraction notice in its place.
- The authors agree that the approved manuscript will be made available under a [Creative Commons CC-BY](#) license.
- The submitting author declares that the contributions of all authors and conflict of interest statement are included explicitly and in specific sections of the manuscript.
- The authors declare that the manuscript was not deposited and/or previously made available on another preprint server or published by a journal.
- If the manuscript is being reviewed or being prepared for publishing but not yet published by a journal, the authors declare that they have received authorization from the journal to make this deposit.
- The submitting author declares that all authors of the manuscript agree with the submission to SciELO Preprints.