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#### Abstract

The unbalanced oil and vinegar signature scheme (UOV) is one of signature schemes whose public key is a set of multivariate quadratic forms. Recently, a new variant of UOV called Cubic UOV was proposed at Inscrypt 2015. It was claimed that the cubic UOV was more efficient than the original UOV and its security was enough. However, an equivalent secret key of the cubic UOV can be recovered easily. In this note, we describe how to recover it.

After we posted the first version of this note, Duong et al. proposed two variants of Cubic UOV at ICISC 2016. We also explain their weakness in the second version.
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## 1 Introduction

The unbalanced oil and vinegar signature scheme (UOV) [4] is one of signature schemes whose public key is a set of multivariate quadratic forms. The signature generation of UOV is efficient since it requires only linear operations. On the other hand, the key size of UOV is relatively larger than other schemes.

Recently, a new variant of UOV called Cubic UOV was proposed at Inscrypt 2015 [6]. It was claimed that the cubic UOV was more efficient than the original UOV and its security was enough. However, an equivalent secret key of the cubic UOV can be recovered easily. In this note, we describe how to recover it.

After we posted the first version of this note, Duong et al. [2] proposed two variants of Cubic UOV at ICISC 2016. We also explain their weakness in the second version.

## 2 UOV

The original unbalanced oil and vinegar signature scheme (UOV) [4] is described as follows.
Let $n, o, v \geq 1$ be integers with $n:=o+v$ and $v>o, k$ a finite field and $q:=\# k$. Define the quadratic $\operatorname{map} G: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{o}$ by $G(x)=\left(g_{1}(x), \ldots, g_{o}(x)\right)^{t}$ where $g_{l}(x)(1 \leq l \leq o)$ is a quadratic polynomial in the form

$$
g_{l}(x)=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq o} x_{i} \cdot\left(\text { linear form of } x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\left(\text { quadratic form of } x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

[^0]The secret key of UOV is an invertible affine map $S: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{n}$ and the quadratic map $G: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{o}$. The public key is the quadratic map $F:=G \circ S: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{o}$. To generate a signature of a given message $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{o}\right)^{t} \in k^{o}$, first choose $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{v} \in k$ randomly and find $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{o} \in k$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{o}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{v}\right)=y_{1} \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& g_{o}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{o}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{v}\right)=y_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the above is a set of linear equations of $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{0}$. The signature for $y$ is $x=$ $S^{-1}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{o}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{v}\right)^{t}$. It is verified by $F(x)=y$.

It is known that an equivalent secret key of UOV can be recovered by Kipnis-Shamir's attack [5, 4] with the complexity $\ll q^{v-o}$. (polyn.). Then the parameter $v$ must be sufficiently larger than $o$.

## 3 Cubic UOV

The Cubic UOV [6] is constructed as follows.
Let $n, o, v \geq 1$ be integers with $n:=o+v, k$ a finite field and $q:=\# k$. For $x \in k^{n}$, define the polynomials $z_{1}(x), \ldots, z_{o}(x)$ and $y_{1}(x), \ldots, y_{o}(x)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{1}(x) & :=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq o} x_{i} \cdot\left(\text { linear form of } x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\left(\text { quadratic form of } x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \\
z_{l}(x) & :=\left(\text { linear form of } x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad(2 \leq l \leq o), \\
y_{1}(x) & :=r_{1} z_{1}(x)\left(1+z_{2}(x)\right)+g_{1}(x), \\
y_{2}(x) & :=r_{2} z_{1}(x) z_{2}(x)+g_{2}(x), \\
y_{l}(x) & :=r_{l} z_{l}(x)\left(z_{l-2}(x)+z_{l-1}(x)\right)+g_{l}(x), \quad(3 \leq l \leq o),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{o} \in k \backslash\{0\}, g_{1}(x), g_{2}(x), g_{3}(x)$ are cubic forms of $x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $g_{4}(x), \ldots$, $g_{o}(x)$ are quadratic forms of $x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Denote by $Y: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{o}$ the map $Y(x):=$ $\left(y_{1}(x), \ldots, y_{o}(x)\right)^{t}$.

The secret key of the cubic UOV is an affine map $S: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{n}$ and the polynomial map $Y: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{o}$. The public key is $F:=Y \circ S: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{o}$. To generate a signature of a given message $m=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{o}\right)^{t} \in k^{o}$, choose $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{v} \in k$ randomly and compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{1} & :=r_{1}^{-1}\left(m_{1}-g_{1}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{v}\right)-r_{2}^{-1}\left(m_{2}-g_{2}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{v}\right)\right),\right. \\
w_{2} & :=r_{2}^{-1} w_{1}^{-1}\left(m_{2}-g_{2}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{v}\right)\right), \\
w_{l} & :=r_{l}^{-1}\left(w_{l-2}+w_{l-1}\right)^{-1}\left(m_{l}-g_{l}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{v}\right)\right), \quad(3 \leq l \leq o)
\end{aligned}
$$

recursively. Find $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{o} \in k$ such that

$$
z_{l}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{o}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{v}\right)=w_{l}, \quad(1 \leq l \leq o) .
$$

The signature for $m$ is $x=S^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{o}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{v}\right)^{-1}$. It is verified by $F(x)=m$.

## 4 On the security of Cubic UOV

In this section, we propose an attack to recover an equivalent secret key.
Step 1. Let $f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{o}(x)$ be polynomials with $F(x)=\left(f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{o}(x)\right)^{t}$. Choose a constant $c \in k^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ randomly and compute the difference $D_{c} f_{i}(x):=f_{i}(x+c)-f_{i}(x)$ for $i=1,2$. Denote by $Q_{i}$ the coefficient matrix of the quadratic form $D_{c} f_{i}(x)$.
Step 2. Find $\beta \in k \backslash\{0\}$ such that the rank of $Q_{1}+\beta Q_{2}$ is at most $v$.
Since

$$
y_{1}(x)-r_{1} r_{2}^{-1} y_{2}(x)=z_{1}(x)+\left(\text { cubic form of } x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

and $z_{1}(x)$ is a quadratic form, there exists $\beta \in k \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
Q_{1}+\beta Q_{2}=S^{t}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0_{o} & 0  \tag{1}\\
0 & *_{v}
\end{array}\right) S .
$$

Such a constant $\beta$ is a common solution of univariate equations derived from the condition that the rank of $Q_{1}+\beta Q_{2}$ is at most $v$.
Step 3. Find a $v \times o$ matrix $M$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{o} & M^{t} \\
0 & I_{v}
\end{array}\right)\left(Q_{1}+\beta Q_{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{o} & 0 \\
M & I_{v}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0_{o} & 0 \\
0 & *_{v}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and put $f_{i}^{\prime}(x):=f_{i}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{o} & 0 \\ M & I_{v}\end{array}\right) x\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq o$.
Due to (1), we see that the matrix $M$ is found easily by elementary linear operations and $M$ satisfies

$$
S\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{o} & 0 \\
M & I_{v}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
*_{o} & * \\
0 & *_{v}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Once such a matrix $M$ is recovered, the attacker can generate dummy signatures easily, since $g_{l}\left(\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}*_{o} \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array} *_{v}^{*}\right) x\right)\right.$ is a polynomial of $x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$,
$z_{1}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}*_{o} & *^{\prime} \\ 0 & *_{v}\end{array}\right) x\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq o} x_{i} \cdot\left(\right.$ linear form of $\left.x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\left(\right.$ quadratic form of $\left.x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $z_{l}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}*_{0} & * \\ 0 & *_{v}\end{array}\right) x\right)$ is a linear form of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ for $2 \leq l \leq 0$.

Remark. After posting the first version of this note, Duong and Wang have presented at several places (e.g. http://www.imi.kyushu-u.ac.jp/seminars/view/2069 and http://www.math. hcmus.edu.vn/index.php?option=com_content\&task=view\&id=2490\&Itemid=82) to claim that my attack in this section was infeasible because there were not a matrix $M$ satisfying the condition in Step 3 with high probability. It is a foolish opinion since $M=-S_{22}^{-1} S_{21}$ satisfies the condition where $S_{21}, S_{22}$ are respectively $v \times o$ - and $v \times v$ matrices with $S=\left(\begin{array}{ll}S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22}\end{array}\right)$. They further claimed that it is not available when $S_{22}$ is taken not to be invertible. We omitted such a case because it is a minor situation and we cannot believe that there will exist a person recommending to take $S$ in that way to enhance the security. Even if such a situation will happen, the attacker can arrange the attack quite easily (for example, permute the variables before starting the attack).

## 5 Duong's variants of Cubic UOV

After the first version of this note was posted, Duong et al. [2] proposed two variants of Cubic UOV. We describe their construction and discuss the security of these schemes in this section.

### 5.1 CSSv

Let $n, o, v \geq 1$ be integers with $n:=o+v, k$ a finite field and $q:=\# k$. For $x \in k^{n}$, define the polynomials $z_{1}(x), \ldots, z_{o}(x)$ and $y_{1}(x), \ldots, y_{o}(x)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{1}(x) & :=\left(\text { quadratic form of } x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \\
z_{l}(x) & :=\left(\text { linear form of } x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad(2 \leq l \leq o), \\
y_{1}(x) & :=z_{1}(x)+g_{1}(x), \\
y_{l}(x) & :=z_{l-1}(x) z_{l}(x)+g_{l}(x), \quad(2 \leq l \leq o),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{2}(x)$ is a cubic form of $x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $g_{1}(x), g_{3}(x), \ldots, g_{o}(x)$ are quadratic forms of $x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Denote by $Y: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{o}$ the map $Y(x):=\left(y_{1}(x), \ldots, y_{o}(x)\right)^{t}$.

The secret keys of CSSv are two invertible affine maps $S: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{n}$ and $T: k^{o} \rightarrow k^{o}$ with

$$
T(y)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { linear form of } y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, \ldots, y_{n}, 1 \\
\text { linear form of } y_{1}, y_{3}, \ldots, y_{n}, 1 \\
\vdots \\
\text { linear form of } y_{1}, y_{3}, \ldots, y_{n}, 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The public key is given by $F:=T \circ Y \circ S: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{o}$. To generate a signature of $m \in k^{o}$, first compute $y:=T^{-1}(m)$. The later process of the signature generation and the signature verification are similar to Cubic UOV (see [2] for the details).

### 5.2 SVSv

Let $n, o, v, r \geq 1$ be integers with $n:=o+v+r$. Note that $r=2$ if $q, v$ are even and $r=1$ otherwise. $k$ a finite field and $q:=\# k$. For $x \in k^{n}$, define the polynomials $z_{1}(x), \ldots, z_{o}(x)$ and $y_{1}(x), \ldots, y_{o}(x)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{l}(x) & :=\left(\text { linear form of } x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad(1 \leq l \leq o), \\
y_{1}(x) & :=z_{1}^{2}(x)+g_{1}(x), \\
y_{l}(x) & :=z_{l-1}(x) z_{l}(x)+g_{l}(x), \quad(2 \leq l \leq o),
\end{aligned}
$$

where and $g_{1}(x), g_{2}(x), \ldots, g_{o}(x)$ are quadratic forms of $x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Denote by $Y: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{o}$ the map $Y(x):=\left(y_{1}(x), \ldots, y_{o}(x)\right)^{t}$.

The secret keys of CSSv are two invertible affine maps $S: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{n}, T: k^{o} \rightarrow k^{o}$ and the public key is $F:=T \circ Y \circ S: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{o}$. The signature generation and verification are similar to CSSv (see [2] for the details).
SVSv2. In the second version of [2], SVSv was arranged to enhance the security against the high-rank attack. Let $n, o, v, r, k, q, Y, T$ be as defined for SVSv. The difference between SVSv2 and SVSv is the number of variables and the choice of $S$. Choose an additional integer
$s \geq 1$, put $n_{1}:=n+s$ and change $S: k^{n} \rightarrow k^{n}$ to be an affine map $S: k^{n_{1}} \rightarrow k^{n}$. The public key is $F:=T \circ Y \circ S: k^{n_{1}} \rightarrow k^{o}$.

### 5.3 Security of these schemes

In this subsection, we discuss the security of these schemes.
SVSv2. It is easy to see that $F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ is a public key of SVSv. SVSv2 is a non-sense modification of SVSv.

SVSv. Let $Z$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with

$$
\left(z_{1}(x), \ldots, z_{o}(x), x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{t}=Z x
$$

It is easy to see that $F=T \circ Y \circ S=T \circ \tilde{Y} \circ(Z \circ S)$, where $\tilde{Y}(x)=\left(\tilde{y}_{1}(x), \ldots, \tilde{y}_{o}(x)\right)^{t}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{y}_{1}(x) & :=x_{1}^{2}+g_{1}(x) \\
\tilde{y}_{l}(x) & :=x_{l-1} x_{l}+g_{l}(x), \quad(2 \leq l \leq o)
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that SVSv is almost same to a thin version of Tsujii's/Shamir's scheme [8, 7] proposed over 20 years ago. Then, similar to $[3,1]$, the attacker can recover an equivalent secret key easily.
CSSv. Let $Z$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with

$$
\left(1, z_{2}(x), \ldots, z_{o}(x), x_{o+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{t}=Z x
$$

It is easy to see that $F=T \circ Y \circ S=T \circ \tilde{Y} \circ(Z \circ S)$, where $\tilde{Y}(x)=\left(\tilde{y}_{1}(x), \ldots, \tilde{y}_{o}(x)\right)^{t}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{y}_{1}(x) & :=\left(\text { quadratic form of } x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right. \\
\tilde{y}_{2}(x) & :=\left(\text { cubic form of } x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
\tilde{y}_{l}(x) & :=x_{l-1} x_{l}+g_{l}(x), \quad(3 \leq l \leq o)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that the quadratic forms $f_{2}(x), \ldots, f_{o}(x)$ in the public key $F(x)=\left(f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{o}(x)\right)^{t}$ are linear sums of $\tilde{y}_{1}(S(Z(x))), \tilde{y}_{3}(S(Z(x))), \ldots, \tilde{y}_{o}(S(Z(x)))$. Since arbitrary linear sums of coefficient matrices of $\tilde{y}_{3}(x), \ldots, \tilde{y}_{o}(x)$ are of rank (at most) $n-1$, we can recover an equivalent secret key by the high rank attack similar to $[3,1]$.

We thus conclude that Duong's variants of Cubic UOV are not secure at all.
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