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\begin{abstract}
Lattices in Euclidean spaces are important research objects in geometric number theory, and they have important applications in many areas, such as cryptology. The shortest vector problem (SVP) and the closest vector problem (CVP) are two famous computational problems about lattices. In this paper, we define so-called \(p\) adic lattices, and consider the \(p\)-adic analogues of SVP and CVP in local fields. We find that, in contrast with lattices in Euclidean spaces, the situation is completely different and interesting. We also develop relevant algorithms, indicating that these problems are computable.
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\section*{1. Introduction}

Let \(\mathbb{R}\) be the field of real numbers, and let \(n\) be a positive integer. Denote \(\mathbb{R}^{n}=\) \(\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}\). Let \(\|\cdot\|\) be a norm on \(\mathbb{R}^{n}\), namely, for \(a \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\|\mathbf{x}\|\) is a nonnegative real number satisfying: (1) \(\|\mathbf{x}\|=0\) if and only if \(\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}\); (2) \(\|a \mathbf{x}\|=|a|\|\mathbf{x}\| ;(3)\|\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\| \leq\|\mathbf{x}\|+\|\mathbf{y}\|\). An important family of norm functions is given by the \(l_{p}(1 \leq p \leq \infty)\) norms. For any real \(p \geq 1\), the \(l_{p}\) norm of a vector \(\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\) is
\[
\|\mathbf{x}\|_{p}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]

And the \(l_{\infty}\) norm is
\[
\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|x_{i}\right|
\]

Let \(m\) be a positive integer with \(1 \leq m \leq n\). Let \(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\) be \(m \mathbb{R}\)-linearly independent vectors. A lattice in \(\mathbb{R}^{n}\) is the set
\[
\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \alpha_{i} \mid a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}
\]
of all integral linear combinations of \(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\). The integers \(m\) and \(n\) are called the rank and dimension of the lattice, respectively. When \(n=m\), we say that the lattice is full rank. A lattice in \(\mathbb{R}^{n}\) is a discrete additive subgroup of it, and the reverse is also true. See [2] for a proof of this fact.

Given a lattice \(\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{n}\), and a norm \(\|\cdot\|\) on \(\mathbb{R}^{n}\), there are two famous computational problems, i.e., the shortest vector problem (SVP) and the closest vector problem (CVP). SVP is to find a nonzero lattice vector \(\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) such that
\[
\|\mathbf{v}\|=\min \left\{\|\mathbf{x}\| \mid 0 \neq \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\right\}
\]

Given a target vector \(\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\) and a lattice \(\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{n}\). CVP is to find a lattice vector \(\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) such that
\[
\|\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{v}\|=\min \left\{\|\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{x}\| \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\right\} .
\]

Note that, since the zero vector is in fact the shortest vector in a lattice, SVP is to find a second shortest vector in a lattice.

Lattices are important research objects in geometric number theory, see [7]. Algorithmic studies of SVP and CVP can be found in [4]. Lattices in Euclidean spaces have important applications in many areas, such as cryptology. The reader can easily find numerous literatures in recent cryptographic conference proceedings, such as Crypto, Eurocrypt, Asiacrypt, etc.

We know that \(\mathbb{R}\) is the completion of the field \(\mathbb{Q}\) of rational numbers with respect to the usual absolute value. Let \(p\) be a prime number, and let \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\) be the completion of \(\mathbb{Q}\) with respect to the \(p\)-adic absolute value. Let \(n\) be a positive integer, and let \(K\) be an extension field of \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\) of degree \(n\). We know that the \(p\)-adic absolute value on \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\) can be extended uniquely to \(K\). In this paper, we define so-called \(p\) adic lattices in \(K\), and consider the \(p\)-adic analogues of SVP and CVP in the local field \(K\). We find that, in contrast with lattices in Euclidean spaces, the situation is completely different and interesting. The reason is that \(K\) not only is a vector space of dimension \(n\) over \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\), but also itself is a field. However, \(\mathbb{R}^{n}\) can be viewed as a field only when \(n=1,2,4\). The case \(n=2\) is the field of complex numbers and when \(n=4\), the field is non-commutative (i.e., Hamilton quaternions). This is the famous Frobenius Theorem. We also develop relevant algorithms, indicating that these problems are computable.

The paper is organized as follows. We give some necessary basic facts about local fields in Section 2. We consider the \(p\)-adic analogues of the shortest vector
problem and the closest vector problem in local fields in Sections 3,4, respectively. We describe a simple relationship between the discriminant of a lattice and \(\lambda_{2}\) in Section 5.

\section*{2. Basic facts about local fields}

In this section, we recall some basic facts about local fields, for detailed study of local fields, see \([3,1,6]\).

Let \(p\) be a prime number. For \(x \in \mathbb{Q}\) with \(x \neq 0\), write \(x=p^{t} \frac{a}{b}\) with \(t, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\) and \(p \nmid a b\). Define \(|x|_{p}=p^{-t}\) and \(|0|_{p}=0\). Then \(|\cdot|_{p}\) is a non-Archimedean absolute value on \(\mathbb{Q}\). Namely, we have: (1) \(|x|_{p} \geq 0\) and \(|x|_{p}=0\) if and only if \(x=0\); (2) \(|x y|_{p}=|x|_{p}|y|_{p} ;(3)|x+y|_{p} \leq \max \left(|x|_{p},|y|_{p}\right)\). If \(|x|_{p} \neq|y|_{p}\), then \(|x+y|_{p}=\max \left(|x|_{p},|y|_{p}\right)\).

Let \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\) be the completion of \(\mathbb{Q}\) with respect to \(|\cdot|_{p}\). Denote \(\mathbb{Z}_{p}=\{x \in\) \(\left.\mathbb{Q}_{p} \|\left. x\right|_{p} \leq 1\right\} . \mathbb{Z}_{p}\) is a discrete valuation ring, it has a unique nonzero principal maximal ideal \(p \mathbb{Z}_{p}\) and \(p\) is called a uniformizer of \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\). The unit group of \(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\) is \(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p} \|\left. x\right|_{p}=1\right\}\). The residue class field \(\mathbb{Z}_{p} / p \mathbb{Z}_{p}\) is a finite field with \(p\) elements. We have \(\mathbb{Z}_{p}=\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} p^{i} \mid a_{i} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, p-1\}, i \geq 0\right\}\) and \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}=\left\{\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} a_{i} p^{i} \mid a_{i} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, p-1\}, i \geq j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} . \mathbb{Z}_{p}\) is compact and \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\) is locally compact.

Let \(n\) be a positive integer, and let \(K\) be an extension field of \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\) of degree \(n\). We fix some algebraic closure \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}\) of \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\) and view \(K\) as a subfield of \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}\). Such \(K\) exists, for example, let \(K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}(\alpha)\) with \(\alpha^{n}=p\). Because \(X^{n}-p\) is an Eisenstein polynomial over \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\), it is irreducible over \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\), so \(K\) has degree \(n\) over \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\). Further, there are only finitely many extension fields of \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\) of degree \(n\) contained in \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}\), see [5]. The \(p\)-adic absolute value \(|\cdot|_{p}\) on \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\) can be extended uniquely to \(K\), i.e., for \(x \in K\), we have \(|x|_{p}=\left|N_{K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}(x)\right|_{p}^{\frac{1}{n}}\), where \(N_{K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}\) is the norm map from \(K\) to \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\). And \(K\) is complete with respect to \(|\cdot|_{p}\). See [1] for a proof.

Denote \(\mathcal{O}_{K}=\left\{x \in K \|\left. x\right|_{p} \leq 1\right\} . \mathcal{O}_{K}\) is also a discrete valuation ring, it has a unique nonzero principal maximal ideal \(\pi \mathcal{O}_{K}\) and \(\pi\) is called a uniformizer of \(K\). \(\mathcal{O}_{K}\) is a free \(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\)-module of rank \(n . \mathcal{O}_{K}\) is compact and \(K\) is locally compact. The unit group of \(\mathcal{O}_{K}\) is \(\mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}=\left\{x \in K \|\left. x\right|_{p}=1\right\}\). The residue class field \(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \pi \mathcal{O}_{K}\) is a finite extension of \(\mathbb{Z}_{p} / p \mathbb{Z}_{p}\). Call the positive integer \(f=\left[\mathcal{O}_{K} / \pi \mathcal{O}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}_{p} / p \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right]\) the residue field degree of \(K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\). As ideals in \(\mathcal{O}_{K}\), we have \(p \mathcal{O}_{K}=\pi^{e} \mathcal{O}_{K}\). Call the positive integer \(e\) the ramification index of \(K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\). We have \(n=\left[K: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]=e f\). When \(e=1\), the extension \(K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\) is unramified, and when \(e=n, K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\) is totally ramified. Each element \(x\) of the multiplicative group \(K^{\times}\)of nonzero elements of \(K\) can be written uniquely as \(x=u \pi^{t}\) with \(u \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}\)and \(t \in \mathbb{Z}\). We have \(p=u \pi^{e}\) with \(u \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}\), so \(|\pi|_{p}=p^{-\frac{1}{e}}\). The valuation group of \(K\) is
\[
\left\{|x|_{p} \mid x \in K^{\times}\right\}=p^{\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{e}} .
\]

\section*{3. Longest vector problem in local fields}

As in the previous section, let \(p\) be a prime number, and let \(K\) be an extension field of \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\) of degree \(n\), where \(n\) is a positive integer. Let \(m\) be a positive integer with \(1 \leq m \leq n\). Let \(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m} \in K\) be \(m \mathbb{Q}_{p}\)-linearly independent vectors. A lattice in \(K\) is the set
\[
\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \alpha_{i} \mid a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}
\]
of all \(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\)-linear combinations of \(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\). The sequence of vectors \(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\) is called a basis of the lattice \(\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\). The integers \(m\) and \(n\) are called the rank and dimension of the lattice, respectively. When \(n=m\), we say that the lattice is full rank.

Lemma 3.1. The lattice \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) is compact in \(K\).
Proof. Since \(|\cdot|_{p}\) makes \(\mathcal{L}\) a metric space, compactness is equivalent to sequential compactness. We have therefore to show that every sequence \(\left\{A_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\) of elements of \(\mathcal{L}\) has a convergent subsequence. The proof applies the well-known "diagonal process" to the representation
\[
A_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{j}^{(i)} \alpha_{i} .
\]

Since \(a_{j}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}\) and \(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\) is compact, there is a convergent subsequence \(a_{n_{j 1}}^{(1)}\) of \(a_{j}^{(1)}\). Also, there is a convergent subsequence \(a_{n_{j 2}}^{(2)}\) of \(a_{n_{j 1}}^{(2)}\), there is a convergent subsequence \(a_{n_{j 3}}^{(3)}\) of \(a_{n_{j 2}}^{(3)}\), and so on. Finally, we obtain convergent subsequences \(a_{n_{j m}}^{(i)}\) of \(a_{j}^{(i)}\) for each \(1 \leq i \leq m\). Then
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{n_{j m}}^{(i)} \alpha_{i}
\]
is a convergent subsequence of \(A_{j}\).
For any element \(\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \alpha_{i} \in \mathcal{L}\), since each \(a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}\), we have
\[
|\alpha|_{p}=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \alpha_{i}\right|_{p} \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left(\left|a_{i} \alpha_{i}\right|_{p}\right) \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left(\left|\alpha_{i}\right|_{p}\right) .
\]

This indicates that the length \(|\alpha|_{p}\) of any element of the \(p\)-adic lattice \(\mathcal{L}\) is bounded above. Since the valuation group of \(K\) is discrete, as a subset of \(K\), the set of lengths of elements of the lattice \(\mathcal{L}\) is also discrete. So we have the following definition.

Definition 3.2. Let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) be a lattice in \(K\). We define recursively a sequence of positive real numbers: \(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \ldots\) as follows.
\[
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{1}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left(\left|\alpha_{i}\right|_{p}\right) \\
\lambda_{j+1}=\max \left\{|x|_{p}\left|x \in \mathcal{L},|x|_{p}<\lambda_{j}\right\} \text { for } j \geq 1\right.
\end{gathered}
\]

We have \(\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{3}>\ldots\) and \(\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{j}=0\). In fact, we have the following.
Lemma 3.3. Let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) be a lattice in \(K\), and let \(0 \neq \alpha \in \mathcal{L}\) be any nonzero element of the lattice. Then we have
\[
p^{-\frac{1}{e}} \lambda_{j} \geq \lambda_{j+1} \geq p^{-j}|\alpha|_{p} \text { for } j \geq 1,
\]
where \(e\) is the ramification index for \(K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\).
Proof. Induction on \(j\). Note that the valuation group of \(K\) is
\[
\left\{|x|_{p} \mid x \in K^{\times}\right\}=p^{\frac{Z}{e}} .
\]

Definition 3.4. Given a lattice \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) in \(K\), the longest vector problem \((L V P)\) is to find a lattice vector \(v \in \mathcal{L}\) such that \(|v|_{p}=\lambda_{2}\).

Of course, the longest vector \(v\) is not unique, for, if \(u \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}\), then \(u v\) is also a longest vector in the lattice \(\mathcal{L}\).

Example 1. Put \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{K}\). Since any nonzero element \(\alpha\) of \(\mathcal{O}_{K}\) can be written uniquely as \(\alpha=u \pi^{t}\) with \(u \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}\)and \(t \in \mathbb{Z}, t \geq 0\), where \(\pi\) is a uniformizer of \(K\). So \(|\pi|_{p}=\lambda_{2}\) and the uniformizer \(\pi\) is a longest vector in \(\mathcal{O}_{K}\). Since uniformizers are important for a local field \(K\), so the LVP is significant.

Proposition 3.5. Given a lattice \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) in \(K\) with \(\left|\alpha_{1}\right|_{p} \geq\left|\alpha_{2}\right|_{p} \geq\) \(\left|\alpha_{3}\right|_{p} \geq \cdots \geq\left|\alpha_{m}\right|_{p}\). If \(K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\) is unramified, then, for \(j \geq 0\), \(p^{j} \alpha_{1} \in \mathcal{L}\) satisfying
\[
\left|p^{j} \alpha_{1}\right|_{p}=\lambda_{j+1}=p^{-j} \lambda_{1} .
\]

Proof. Since the valuation group of \(K\) is \(p^{\mathbb{Z}}\), the result follows.
The above proposition shows that the LVP is easy to solve for a unramified extension \(K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\).

Theorem 3.6. Given a lattice \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) in \(K\). Fix an integer \(j \geq 2\). There exists an algorithm to find a lattice vector \(v_{j} \in \mathcal{L}\) satisfying
\[
\left|v_{j}\right|_{p}=\lambda_{j} .
\]

The algorithm takes \(O\left(p^{m(j-1)}\right)\) many \(p\)-adic absolute value computations of elements of \(K\).

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume \(\left|\alpha_{1}\right|_{p} \geq\left|\alpha_{2}\right|_{p} \geq\left|\alpha_{3}\right|_{p} \geq \cdots \geq\) \(\left|\alpha_{m}\right|_{p}\). Let \(\alpha \in \mathcal{L}\) be an arbitrary vector. Write
\[
\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i}+p^{j-1} \beta,
\]
with \(b_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq b_{i} \leq p^{j-1}-1,1 \leq i \leq m\) and \(\beta \in \mathcal{L}\). Set
\[
S_{j}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i} \mid b_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq b_{i} \leq p^{j-1}-1,1 \leq i \leq m\right\} \bigcup\left\{p^{j-1} \alpha_{1}\right\}
\]

There are \(p^{m(j-1)}+1\) elements in \(S_{j}\). By Lemma 3.3, we have \(\left|p^{j-1} \beta\right|_{p} \leq\left|p^{j-1} \alpha_{1}\right| \leq\) \(\lambda_{j}\). If \(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i}\right|_{p}>\lambda_{j}\), then \(|\alpha|_{p}=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i}+p^{j-1} \beta\right|_{p}=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i}\right|_{p}\). If \(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i}\right|_{p} \leq \lambda_{j}\), then \(|\alpha|_{p}=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i}+p^{j-1} \beta\right|_{p} \leq \lambda_{j}\). Hence there are lattice vectors of length \(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j-1}\) in \(S_{j}\). Suppose there is no lattice vector of length \(\lambda_{j}\) in \(S_{j}\). Then we have \(\left|p^{j-1} \alpha_{1}\right|<\lambda_{j}\). If \(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i}\right|_{p}<\lambda_{j}\), then \(|\alpha|_{p}=\) \(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i}+p^{j-1} \beta\right|_{p}<\lambda_{j}\). Hence there is no lattice vector of length \(\lambda_{j}\) in \(\mathcal{L}\). It is impossible. So there is a lattice vector of length \(\lambda_{j}\) in \(S_{j}\). Hence \(v_{j}\) can be taken as the \(j\)-th longest vector in \(S_{j}\). The assertion about the time of the algorithm is obvious. We ignore the time of comparing.

We know, from the proof of the above theorem, that we can simultaneously find out the values \(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \ldots, \lambda_{j}\) and the corresponding vectors \(v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{j}\). From the proof of Theorem 3.6, the mentioned algorithm is a brute force searching algorithm. We provide a numerical example.

Example 2. Let \(K=\mathbb{Q}_{2}(\sqrt[3]{2})\). Here \(p=2\) and \(n=3\). Let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}+\mathbb{Z}_{p} \sqrt[3]{2}\) be a lattice in \(K\) of rank 2 . Here \(m=2\) and \(\alpha_{1}=1, \alpha_{2}=\sqrt[3]{2}\). Since \(\left|\alpha_{2}\right|_{2}=2^{-\frac{1}{3}}\), we have \(\lambda_{1}=1\). We want to find \(\lambda_{3}\). Set
\[
S_{3}=\left\{i+j \alpha_{2} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq 3\right\} \bigcup\{4\} .
\]

Using \(N_{K / \mathbb{Q}_{2}}\left(i+j \alpha_{2}\right)=i^{3}+2 j^{3}\), we can easily find out the 2-adic absolute value of each element of \(S_{3}\). A calculation shows that \(\lambda_{2}=2^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \lambda_{3}=2^{-1}\) and \(v_{2}=\alpha_{2}, v_{3}=\) 2.

\section*{4. Closest vector problem in local fields}

As in the previous section, let \(p\) be a prime number, and let \(K\) be an extension field of \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\) of degree \(n\), where \(n\) is a positive integer. Let \(m\) be a positive integer with \(1 \leq m \leq n\). Let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) be a lattice in \(K\). In this section, we consider the \(p\)-adic analogue of the closest vector problem in \(K\). Suppose \(\left|\alpha_{1}\right|_{p} \geq\left|\alpha_{2}\right|_{p} \geq\) \(\left|\alpha_{3}\right|_{p} \geq \cdots \geq\left|\alpha_{m}\right|_{p}\).

Given a target vector \(t \in K\). Since the function
\[
\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, v \longmapsto|t-v|_{p}
\]
is continuous on the compact set \(\mathcal{L}\), it can take the minimum and maximum on \(\mathcal{L}\). Set
\[
\mu_{\min }=\min _{v \in \mathcal{L}}|t-v|_{p} \text { and } \mu_{\max }=\max _{v \in \mathcal{L}}|t-v|_{p} .
\]

If \(t \in \mathcal{L}\), it is obvious that we have \(\mu_{\text {min }}=0\) and \(\mu_{\text {max }}=\lambda_{1}\). Here \(\lambda_{1}\) is the same as in Definition 3.2. So we below assume \(t \notin \mathcal{L}\). Hence \(\mu_{\text {min }}>0\). Since the valuation group of \(K\) is discrete, the above distance function will take only finitely many values. So we have the following definition.
Definition 4.1. Let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) be a lattice in \(K\) and let \(t \in K-\mathcal{L}\) be a target vector. Define s positive real numbers \(\mu_{1}>\mu_{2}>\mu_{3}>\cdots>\mu_{s}\) as follows, where \(s\) is a positive integer.
\[
\left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \ldots, \mu_{s}\right\}=\left\{|t-v|_{p} \mid v \in \mathcal{L}\right\} .
\]

So \(\mu_{\max }=\mu_{1}\) and \(\mu_{\min }=\mu_{s}\).
If \(|t|_{p}>\lambda_{1}\), since \(|t-v|_{p}=|t|_{p}\), we have \(\mu_{\min }=\mu_{\max }=|t|_{p}\). So we below assume \(|t|_{p} \leq \lambda_{1}\).

Definition 4.2. Let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) be a lattice in \(K\) and let \(t \in K-\mathcal{L}\) be a target vector with \(|t|_{p} \leq \lambda_{1}\). The closest vector problem (CVP) is to find a lattice vector \(v \in \mathcal{L}\) such that
\[
|t-v|_{p}=\mu_{\min } .
\]

And the farthest vector problem (FVP) is to find a lattice vector \(v \in \mathcal{L}\) such that
\[
|t-v|_{p}=\mu_{\max } .
\]

Proposition 4.3. Let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) be a lattice in \(K\) and let \(t \in K-\mathcal{L}\) be a target vector with \(|t|_{p} \leq \lambda_{1}\). Suppose \(|t|_{p} \neq \lambda_{j}\) for any \(j \geq 1\). Let \(j_{0} \geq 1\) be such that \(\lambda_{j_{0}+1}<|t|_{p}<\lambda_{j_{0}}\). Then we have \(s=j_{0}+1\) and \(\mu_{i}=\lambda_{i}\) for \(1 \leq i \leq j_{0}\) and \(\mu_{j_{0}+1}=|t|_{p}\).

Proof. For any \(v \in \mathcal{L}\), we have \(|t-v|_{p}=\max \left(|t|_{p},|v|_{p}\right)\). If \(|v|_{p} \leq \lambda_{j_{0}+1}\), then \(|t-v|_{p}=|t|_{p}\). If \(|v|_{p} \geq \lambda_{j_{0}}\), then \(|t-v|_{p}=|v|_{p}\). The result follows.

Theorem 4.4. Let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) be a lattice in \(K\) and let \(t \in K-\mathcal{L}\) be a target vector with \(|t|_{p} \leq \lambda_{1}\). Suppose \(|t|_{p} \neq \lambda_{j}\) for any \(j \geq 1\). There exists an algorithm to find the values \(\mu_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s\) and the lattice vectors \(v_{i} \in \mathcal{L}\) such that
\[
\left|t-v_{i}\right|_{p}=\mu_{i} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq s
\]

The algorithm takes \(O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{|t|_{p}}\right)^{m n}\right)\) many p-adic absolute value computations of elements of \(K\).

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, \(\lambda_{j+1} \leq p^{-\frac{1}{e}} \lambda_{j}\) for \(j \geq 1\). Hence \(\lambda_{j} \leq p^{-\frac{j-1}{e}} \lambda_{1}\). Let \(j_{0} \geq 1\) be such that \(\lambda_{j_{0}+1}<|t|_{p}<\lambda_{j_{0}}\). We have \(|t|_{p}<p^{-\frac{j_{0}-1}{e}} \lambda_{1}\). Hence \(j_{0}<e \log _{p}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{|t|_{p}}\right)+\) \(1 \leq n \log _{p}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{|t|_{p}}\right)+1\). Now the result follows from Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 3.6.

Example 3. Let \(\mathcal{L}\) be as in Example 2. Suppose \(t=\alpha_{2}^{2}\). Since \(|t|_{2}=2^{-\frac{2}{3}}\), we see \(\lambda_{3}<|t|_{2}<\lambda_{2}\). So \(s=3\) and \(\mu_{1}=1, \mu_{2}=2^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \mu_{3}=2^{-\frac{2}{3}}\).

Theorem 4.5. Let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) be a lattice in \(K\) and let \(t \in K-\mathcal{L}\) be a target vector with \(|t|_{p} \leq \lambda_{1}\). Suppose \(|t|_{p}=\lambda_{j_{0}}\) for some \(j_{0} \geq 1\). Then \(s \geq j_{0}\) and there exists an algorithm to find the values \(\mu_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq j_{0}\) and the lattice vectors \(v_{i} \in \mathcal{L}\) such that
\[
\left|t-v_{i}\right|_{p}=\mu_{i} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq j_{0}
\]

The algorithm takes \(O\left(p^{-m}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{|t|_{p}}\right)^{m n}\right)\) many p-adic absolute value computations of elements of \(K\).

Proof. Now by assumption \(|t|_{p}=\lambda_{j_{0}}\) for some \(j_{0} \geq 1\). For \(v \in \mathcal{L}\) with \(|v|_{p}<\lambda_{j_{0}}\), then \(|t-v|_{p}=\lambda_{j_{0}}\). For \(v \in \mathcal{L}\) with \(|v|_{p}>\lambda_{j_{0}}\), then \(|t-v|_{p}=|v|_{p}\). For \(v \in \mathcal{L}\) with \(|v|_{p}=\lambda_{j_{0}}\), then \(|t-v|_{p} \leq \lambda_{j_{0}}\). Hence \(s \geq j_{0}\), and \(\mu_{i}=\lambda_{i}\) for \(1 \leq i \leq j_{0}\). From the proof of Theorem 4.4, we have \(j_{0}<n \log _{p}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{|t|_{p}}\right)+1\). Since we can put \(v_{j_{0}}=0\), we only need to know the vectors \(v_{i} \in \mathcal{L}\) such that \(\left|v_{i}\right|_{p}=\lambda_{i}\) for \(1 \leq i \leq j_{0}-1\), the theorem follows from Theorem 3.6.

By the above Theorems 4.4 and 4.5, in any case, we always have \(\mu_{1}=\lambda_{1}\). When \(|t|_{p}<\lambda_{1}\), we can put \(v_{1}=\alpha_{1}\); when \(|t|_{p}=\lambda_{1}\), we can put \(v_{1}=0\). So the FVP is easy to solve.

Theorem 4.6. Let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)\) be a lattice in \(K\) and let \(t \in K-\mathcal{L}\) be a target vector with \(|t|_{p} \leq \lambda_{1}\). Suppose \(|t|_{p}=\lambda_{j_{0}}\) for some \(j_{0} \geq 1\). Then \(s \geq j_{0}\) and there exists an algorithm to find the values \(\mu_{i}, j_{0}<i \leq s\) and the lattice vectors \(v_{i} \in \mathcal{L}\) such that
\[
\left|t-v_{i}\right|_{p}=\mu_{i} \text { for } j_{0}<i \leq s
\]

The algorithm terminates within finite steps.
Proof. For \(v \in \mathcal{L}\), write
\[
v=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i}+p^{j_{0}} \beta
\]
with \(b_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq b_{i} \leq p^{j_{0}}-1\) for \(1 \leq i \leq m\) and \(\beta \in \mathcal{L}\). By Lemma 3.3, we have \(\left|p^{j_{0}} \beta\right|_{p} \leq \lambda_{j_{0}+1}\). Set \(\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i}\). If \(|\alpha|_{p}>\lambda_{j_{0}}\), then we have \(|t-v|_{p}=\) \(\left|t-\alpha-p^{j_{0}} \beta\right|_{p}=|\alpha|_{p}\). If \(|\alpha|_{p}<\lambda_{j_{0}}\), then we have \(|t-v|_{p}=\left|t-\alpha-p^{j_{0}} \beta\right|_{p}=\) \(|t|_{p}=\lambda_{j_{0}}\). If \(|\alpha|_{p}=\lambda_{j_{0}}\), then we have \(|t-v|_{p}=\left|t-\alpha-p^{j_{0}} \beta\right|_{p} \leq \lambda_{j_{0}}\).

Denote \(B_{1}\) the set of such \(\alpha\) with \(|\alpha|_{p}=\lambda_{j_{0}} . B_{1}\) is a non-empty finite set. Set
\[
\eta_{1}=\min \left\{|t-\alpha|_{p} \mid \alpha \in B_{1}\right\} .
\]

Then we have \(\eta_{1} \leq \lambda_{j_{0}}\). If \(\eta_{1}>p^{-j_{0}} \lambda_{1}\), since \(\left|p^{j_{0}} \beta\right|_{p} \leq p^{-j_{0}} \lambda_{1}\), we have \(\mu_{\text {min }}=\eta_{1}\). And
\[
\left\{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{s}\right\}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j_{0}}\right\} \bigcup\left\{|t-\alpha|_{p} \mid \alpha \in B_{1}\right\} .
\]

We have done. If \(\eta_{1} \leq p^{-j_{0}} \lambda_{1}\), assume \(\eta_{1}>p^{-j_{1}} \lambda_{1}\) with some integer \(j_{1}>j_{0}\). For \(v \in \mathcal{L}\), write
\[
v=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i}+p^{j_{1}} \beta
\]
with \(b_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq b_{i} \leq p^{j_{1}}-1\) for \(1 \leq i \leq m\) and \(\beta \in \mathcal{L}\). Repeating the above process. Set \(\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i}\). We need only to consider the case \(|\alpha|_{p}=\lambda_{j_{0}}\). Denote \(B_{2}\) the set of such \(\alpha\) with \(|\alpha|_{p}=\lambda_{j_{0}}\). \(B_{2}\) is a non-empty finite set. Set
\[
\eta_{2}=\min \left\{|t-\alpha|_{p} \mid \alpha \in B_{2}\right\} .
\]

Since \(B_{1}\) is a subset of \(B_{2}\), we have \(\eta_{2} \leq \eta_{1}\). If \(\eta_{2}>p^{-j_{1}} \lambda_{1}\), since \(\left|p^{j_{1}} \beta\right|_{p} \leq p^{-j_{1}} \lambda_{1}\), we have \(\mu_{\text {min }}=\eta_{2}\). And
\[
\left\{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{s}\right\}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j_{0}}\right\} \bigcup\left\{|t-\alpha|_{p} \mid \alpha \in B_{2}\right\}
\]

We have done. If \(\eta_{2} \leq p^{-j_{1}} \lambda_{1}\), assume \(\eta_{2}>p^{-j_{2}} \lambda_{1}\) with some integer \(j_{2}>j_{1}\). And so on. Since \(\mu_{\min }>0\), there is some integer \(k \geq 1\) such that \(\mu_{\min }>p^{-j_{k-1}} \lambda_{1}\). Hence \(\eta_{k} \geq \mu_{\text {min }}>p^{-j_{k-1}} \lambda_{1}\). So \(\eta_{k}=\mu_{\text {min }}\). And
\[
\left\{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{s}\right\}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j_{0}}\right\} \bigcup\left\{|t-\alpha|_{p} \mid \alpha \in B_{k}\right\}
\]
where
\[
B_{k}=\left\{\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \alpha_{i} \mid b_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq b_{i} \leq p^{j_{k-1}}-1 \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq m,|\alpha|_{p}=\lambda_{j_{0}}\right\}
\]

We have done.
Example 4. We provide two toy examples to explain that both cases \(s=j_{0}\) and \(s>j_{0}\) will happen. In these two examples, let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}\), i.e., \(m=1\) and \(\alpha_{1}=1\). We have \(\lambda_{1}=1\). (1) Let \(K=\mathbb{Q}_{2}(\zeta)\), where \(\zeta\) is a primitive 3 -th root of unity. \(K / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\) is unramified, see [1]. Here \(n=2\) and \(p=2\). Suppose \(t=\zeta\). Since \(|t|_{2}=1\), we have \(j_{0}=1\). Hence \(B_{1}=\{1\}\). Since \(|t-1|_{2}=1\), we have \(\eta_{1}=1\). So \(s=1, \mu_{1}=1\). (2) Let \(K=\mathbb{Q}_{3}(\zeta)\), where \(\zeta\) is a primitive 3 -th root of unity. Here \(n=2\) and \(p=3\). Suppose \(t=\zeta\). Since \(|t|_{3}=1\), we have \(j_{0}=1\). Hence \(B_{1}=\{1,2\}\). Since \(|t-1|_{3}=3^{-\frac{1}{2}}\) and \(|t-2|_{3}=1\), we have \(\eta_{1}=3^{-\frac{1}{2}}\). Since \(\eta_{1}>p^{-j_{0}} \lambda_{1}\), we have \(s=2, \mu_{1}=1, \mu_{2}=3^{-\frac{1}{2}}\).

\section*{5. Discriminants and \(\lambda_{2}\)}

Let \(K\) be an extension of \(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\) of degree \(n\). Let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)\) be a lattice in \(K\) of full rank. Let \(\sigma_{i}: K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}(1 \leq i \leq n)\) be the \(n \mathbb{Q}_{p}\)-embeddings of \(K\). Recall the discriminant of \(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\) is defined as
\[
D\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)=\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\sigma_{i}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right)_{i, j}\right)^{2} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} .
\]

For another basis \(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\) of \(\mathcal{L}\), we have \(D\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right)=u D\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)\) with \(u \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}\right)^{2}\). So \(\left|D\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)\right|_{p}\) is an invariant of the lattice \(\mathcal{L}\). Define
\[
D(\mathcal{L})=\left|D\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)\right|_{p}
\]

Theorem 5.1. Let \(\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)\) be a lattice in \(K\) of full rank. Let \(m\) be the number of vectors amongst \(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\) whose length is \(\lambda_{1}\). Then we have
\[
D(\mathcal{L}) \leq \lambda_{1}^{2 m} \lambda_{2}^{2(n-m)}
\]

Proof. It is obvious from the definition of the discriminant \(D\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)\).

\section*{6. Remarks}

All the above results can be easily generalized to the general setting of local fields. A field \(k\) is a local field, we mean that \(k\) is complete with respect to a discrete valuation and has a finite residue class field. Let \(k\) be a local field, and let \(K / k\) be a finite extension. Then \(K\) is also a local field. We can define lattices in \(K\). And all the previous results still hold in this general setting.

The results in this paper are only of theoretic interest in nature, we do not implement the mentioned algorithms.
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