

University of Mississippi

eGrove

Examinations and Study

American Institute of Certified Public
Accountants (AICPA) Historical Collection

1984

**Accounting Practice: selected questions and unofficial answers
indexed to content specification outline, Uniform CPA
Examination/May 1979-November 1983**

James D. Blum

David S. Dexter

Aubrey Kosson

Follow this and additional works at: https://egrove.olemiss.edu/aicpa_exam



Part of the [Accounting Commons](#)

Uniform CPA Examination/May 1979—November 1983

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
LIBRARY
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10036

accounting practice

Selected Questions
And Unofficial Answers
Indexed To
Content Specification
Outline

edited by James D. Blum, David S. Dexter and Aubrey Kosson

AICPA

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

accounting practice

Uniform CPA Examination
May 1979—November 1983

HF
5661
.A277
1984

Uniform CPA Examination/May 1979—November 1983

accounting practice

Selected Questions And Unofficial Answers Indexed To Content Specification Outline

edited by

James D. Blum

Assistant Director, Examinations Division

David S. Dexter

Technical Manager, Examinations Division

Aubrey Kosson

Technical Manager, Examinations Division

AICPA

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Copyright © 1984 by the
American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Inc.
1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, N.Y. 10036-8775
1234567890 Ex 8987654

FOREWORD

The Uniform CPA Examination is prepared by the Board of Examiners of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and is used by the examining boards of all fifty states of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, and the Virgin Islands, as a prerequisite for issuance of CPA certificates.

This booklet contains selected questions and unofficial answers from the last ten Accounting Practice sections (Parts 1 and 2) of the Uniform Certified Public Accountant Examination. The questions and unofficial answers have been indexed in accordance with the Accounting Practice Content Specification Outline for the Uniform Certified Public Accountant Examination.

All questions are identified by a boldface code indicating the part (1 or 2), the month—May (M) or November (N)—the year (79 through 83), and the question number in the original examination. Within the content specification areas and groups, questions and answers have been arranged in reverse chronological order.

Each individual multiple choice question is indexed according to the area and group it tests. In some cases, a common fact pattern is used for two or more multiple choice questions. In such cases, where different areas and groups are being tested by questions referring to a common fact pattern, the fact pattern is repeated to accompany the questions indexed in each applicable area or group.

Where problems and their answers involve more than one part—for example, part a. and part b.—the problems have been separated and indexed according to areas and groups tested. Thus, all parts of a problem and its answer may not appear in their original examination sequence.

Although the questions and unofficial answers may be used for many purposes, the principal reason for their publication is to aid candidates in preparing to take the examination. Candidates are also encouraged to read *Information for CPA Candidates*, which describes the content, grading, and other administrative aspects of the Uniform CPA Examination.

The unofficial answers were prepared by the staff of the Examinations Division and reviewed by the Board of Examiners but are not purported to be official positions of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

William C. Bruschi, *Vice President—Examinations and Regulation*
American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

March 1984

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Selected Questions | Pages | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Multiple Choice Items | Problems |
| I. Presentation of Financial Statements or Worksheets | 1 | 145 |
| A. Balance Sheet | * | 145 |
| B. Income Statement | * | 148 |
| C. Statement of Changes in Financial Position | 1 | 149 |
| D. Statement of Owners' Equity | * | 152 |
| E. Consolidated Financial Statements or Worksheets | * | 153 |
| F. Disclosures in Notes to the Financial Statements | * | * |
| G. Supplementary Statements | * | * |
| II. Measurement, Valuation, Realization, and Presentation of Assets in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles | 4 | 158 |
| A. Cash | 4 | * |
| B. Marketable Securities and Investments | 5 | 158 |
| C. Receivables and Accruals | 8 | 159 |
| D. Inventories | 10 | 161 |
| E. Property, Plant, and Equipment | 13 | 164 |
| F. Capitalized Leased Assets | 16 | 165 |
| G. Intangibles | 17 | 165 |
| H. Prepaid Expenses and Deferred Charges | 18 | * |
| III. Valuation, Recognition, and Presentation of Liabilities in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles | 19 | 167 |
| A. Payables and Accruals | 19 | * |
| B. Deferred Revenues | 22 | * |
| C. Deferred Income Tax Liabilities | 22 | * |
| D. Capitalized Lease Liability | 23 | * |
| E. Bonds Payable | 24 | 167 |
| F. Long-Term Notes Payable | 26 | * |
| G. Contingent Liabilities and Commitments | 27 | 167 |
| IV. Ownership Structure, Presentation, and Valuation of Equity Accounts in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles | 28 | 168 |
| A. Preferred and Common Stock | 28 | * |
| B. Additional Paid-in Capital | 29 | * |
| C. Retained Earnings and Dividends | 29 | * |
| D. Treasury Stock and Other Contra Accounts | 32 | * |
| E. Stock Options, Warrants, and Rights | 34 | 168 |
| F. Reorganization and Change in Entity | 35 | * |
| G. Partnerships | 37 | 168 |

* No multiple choice items/problems were indexed for this group.

| | <u>Pages</u> | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | <u>Multiple Choice Items</u> | <u>Problems</u> |
| V. Measurement and Presentation of Income and Expense Items, Their Relationship to Matching and Periodicity, and Their Relationship to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles | 39 | 169 |
| A. Sales or Revenues | 39 | 169 |
| B. Cost of Goods Sold | 45 | * |
| C. Expenses | 45 | 170 |
| D. Provision for Income Tax | 49 | 171 |
| E. Recurring Versus Nonrecurring Transactions and Events | 51 | * |
| F. Accounting Changes | 53 | * |
| G. Earnings Per Share | 54 | 171 |
| VI. Other Financial Topics | 56 | * |
| A. Accounting Policies | * | * |
| B. Nonmonetary Transactions | 56 | * |
| C. Interim Financial Statements | 57 | * |
| D. Historical Cost, Constant Dollar Accounting, and Current Cost | 58 | * |
| E. Loss or Gain Contingencies | 59 | * |
| F. Segments and Lines of Business | 60 | * |
| G. Long-Term Contracts | * | * |
| H. Employee Benefits | 61 | * |
| I. Analysis of Financial Statements | 61 | * |
| J. Development Stage Enterprises | * | * |
| K. Personal Financial Statements | * | * |
| VII. Cost Accumulation, Planning, and Control | 65 | 172† |
| A. Nature of Cost Elements | 65 | |
| B. Job Order Costing | 67 | |
| C. Process Costing | 68 | |
| D. Standard Costing and Variance Analysis | 71 | |
| E. Joint Costing | 74 | |
| F. By-Product Costing | 77 | |
| G. Spoilage, Waste, and Scrap | 78 | |
| H. Absorption and Direct Costing | 79 | |
| I. Transfer Pricing | 80 | |
| J. Product Pricing | * | |
| K. Budgeting and Flexible Budgeting | 81 | |
| L. Breakeven and Cost-Volume-Profit Analysis | 85 | |
| M. Gross Profit Analysis | 88 | |
| N. Differential Cost Analysis | 88 | |
| O. Capital Budgeting Techniques | 91 | |
| P. Performance Analysis | 95 | |
| Q. Quantitative Techniques for Planning and Control | 95 | |
| VIII. Not-for-Profit and Governmental Accounting | 99 | 177† |
| A. Fund Accounting | 99 | |
| B. Types of Funds and Fund Accounts | 99 | |
| C. Presentation of Financial Statements for Various Not-for-Profit and Governmental Organizations | 100 | |
| D. Various Types of Not-for-Profit and Governmental Organizations | 101 | |

† Questions in this area are not classified according to group.

| | <u>Pages</u> | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | <u>Multiple Choice Items</u> | <u>Problems</u> |
| IX. Federal Taxation — Individuals | 102 | * |
| A. Inclusions for Gross Income and Adjusted Gross Income | 102 | * |
| B. Exclusions and Other Deductions (including adjustments to arrive at Adjusted Gross Income) | 108 | * |
| C. Gain or Loss on Property Transactions | 110 | * |
| D. Deductions from Adjusted Gross Income | 111 | * |
| E. Filing Status and Exemptions | 117 | * |
| F. Tax Determination | 119 | * |
| G. Statute of Limitations | * | * |
| H. Effect of Gift and Estate Taxation on Individuals | 119 | * |
| X. Federal Taxation — Corporations and Partnerships | 121 | * |
| Corporations | | |
| A. Determination of Taxable Income or Loss | 121 | * |
| B. Tax Determination | 128 | * |
| C. Subchapter S Corporations | 129 | * |
| D. Personal Holding Companies | 130 | * |
| E. Accumulated Earnings Tax | 131 | * |
| F. Distributions | 131 | * |
| G. Tax-Free Incorporation | 132 | * |
| H. Reorganizations | 133 | * |
| I. Liquidations and Dissolutions | 133 | * |
| Partnerships | | |
| J. Formation of Partnership | 134 | * |
| K. Basis of Partner's Interest | 135 | * |
| L. Basis of Property Contributed to Partnership | 135 | * |
| M. Determination of Partners' Taxable Income | 135 | * |
| N. Accounting Periods of Partnership and Partners | 137 | * |
| O. Partner Dealing with Own Partnership | 137 | * |
| P. Treatment of Liabilities | * | * |
| Q. Distributions of Partnership Assets | 137 | * |
| R. Termination of Partnership | 138 | * |
| Selected Multiple Choice Items — Unofficial Answers | 139 | |
| Problems — Selected Questions | 145 | |
| Selected Problems — Unofficial Answers | 185 | |
| Suggested References | 249 | |
| Appendix — Content Specification Outline | 251 | |

MULTIPLE CHOICE ITEMS — SELECTED QUESTIONS

I. Presentation of Financial Statements or Worksheets

C. Statement of Changes in Financial Position

2M83

Items 24 through 30 are based on the following information:

Best Corporation
BALANCE SHEETS

| | <i>December 31,</i> | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|
| | <u>1982</u> | <u>1981</u> |
| <i>Assets</i> | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash | \$ 480,000 | \$ 220,000 |
| Accounts receivable—net | 840,000 | 560,000 |
| Merchandise inventory | <u>760,000</u> | <u>470,000</u> |
| Total current assets | <u>2,080,000</u> | <u>1,250,000</u> |
| Land, buildings, and fixtures | 1,330,000 | 800,000 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | <u>210,000</u> | <u>150,000</u> |
| | <u>1,120,000</u> | <u>650,000</u> |
| Total assets | <u>\$3,200,000</u> | <u>\$1,900,000</u> |
| <i>Liabilities and stockholders' equity</i> | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 830,000 | \$ 440,000 |
| Accrued expenses | 300,000 | 130,000 |
| Dividends payable | <u>40,000</u> | <u>—</u> |
| Total current liabilities | <u>1,170,000</u> | <u>570,000</u> |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock (\$10 par value) | 1,200,000 | 900,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 200,000 | 100,000 |
| Retained earnings | <u>630,000</u> | <u>330,000</u> |
| | <u>2,030,000</u> | <u>1,330,000</u> |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | <u>\$3,200,000</u> | <u>\$1,900,000</u> |

Best Corporation
INCOME STATEMENTS

| | <i>Year ended December 31,</i> | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| | <u>1982</u> | <u>1981</u> |
| Credit sales | \$6,300,000 | \$4,000,000 |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>4,900,000</u> | <u>3,200,000</u> |
| Gross profit | 1,400,000 | 800,000 |
| Expenses (including income taxes) | <u>700,000</u> | <u>630,000</u> |
| Net income | <u>\$ 700,000</u> | <u>\$ 170,000</u> |

Best Corporation
CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

| | <i>Year ended December 31,</i> | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| | <u>1982</u> | <u>1981</u> |
| <i>Common stock</i> | | |
| Balance, 1/1 | \$ 900,000 | \$900,000 |
| Sold, 4/1/82 | 100,000 | — |
| 20% stock dividend, 6/1/82 | <u>200,000</u> | <u>—</u> |
| Balance, 12/31 | <u>\$1,200,000</u> | <u>\$900,000</u> |
| <i>Additional paid-in capital</i> | | |
| Balance, 1/1 | \$ 100,000 | \$100,000 |
| Sold, 4/1/82 | 25,000 | — |
| 20% stock dividend, 6/1/82 | <u>75,000</u> | <u>—</u> |
| Balance, 12/31 | <u>\$ 200,000</u> | <u>\$100,000</u> |
| <i>Retained earnings</i> | | |
| Balance, 1/1 | \$ 330,000 | \$250,000 |
| Net income | 700,000 | 170,000 |
| Cash dividends | (125,000) | (90,000) |
| Stock dividends | <u>(275,000)</u> | <u>—</u> |
| Balance, 12/31 | <u>\$ 630,000</u> | <u>\$330,000</u> |

Additional available information included the following:

- Although Best will report all changes in finan-

Accounting Practice

cial position, management has adopted a format emphasizing the flow of working capital.

- During 1982, Best sold, at a \$10,000 loss, fixtures with a book value of \$30,000 (\$100,000 cost minus \$70,000 accumulated depreciation). This loss was included in the income statement. Depreciation expense for 1982 was \$130,000. Best purchased \$630,000 of new fixtures during 1982.

- Common stock issued during 1982 was as follows:

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Number of shares</u> |
|-------------|-------------------------|
| 4/1/82 | 10,000 |
| 6/1/82 | 20,000 |

24. How much working capital provided by operations during 1982 should be reported in the statement of changes in financial position?

- \$300,000
- \$700,000
- \$830,000
- \$840,000

25. How much working capital was provided by the sale of common stock during 1982?

- \$100,000
- \$125,000
- \$200,000
- \$400,000

26. How much working capital was used for dividends during 1982?

- \$ 85,000
- \$125,000
- \$325,000
- \$400,000

1N81#18. Selected information from Brook Corporation's accounting records and financial statements for 1980 is as follows:

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Working capital provided by operations | \$1,500,000 |
| Mortgage payable issued to acquire land and building | 1,800,000 |
| Common stock issued to retire preferred stock | 500,000 |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment | 400,000 |
| Cost of office equipment purchased | 200,000 |

On the statement of changes in financial position for the year ended December 31, 1980, Brook should disclose total sources of funds in the amount of

- \$1,700,000
- \$2,400,000
- \$3,700,000
- \$4,200,000

1N81#20. The net income for Mountain Corporation was \$4,000,000 for the year ended December 31, 1980.

Additional information is as follows:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Depreciation on fixed assets | \$2,000,000 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts on short-term receivables | 200,000 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts on long-term receivables | 300,000 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | 400,000 |

The working capital provided from operations in the statement of changes in financial position for the year ended December 31, 1980, should be

- \$4,900,000
- \$6,000,000
- \$6,300,000
- \$6,500,000

2M81

Items 25 through 28 relate to data to be reported in the Statement of Changes in Financial Position of Debbie Dress Shops, Inc., based on the following information:

Debbie Dress Shops, Inc.
BALANCE SHEETS

| | <u>December 31,</u> | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | <u>1980</u> | <u>1979</u> |
| <u>Assets</u> | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash | \$ 300,000 | \$ 200,000 |
| Accounts receivable—net | 840,000 | 580,000 |
| Merchandise inventory | 660,000 | 420,000 |
| Prepaid expenses | 100,000 | 50,000 |
| Total current assets | <u>1,900,000</u> | <u>1,250,000</u> |
| Long-term investments | 80,000 | — |
| Land, buildings, and fixtures | 1,130,000 | 600,000 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 110,000 | 50,000 |
| | <u>1,020,000</u> | <u>550,000</u> |
| Total assets | <u><u>\$3,000,000</u></u> | <u><u>\$1,800,000</u></u> |
| <u>Equities</u> | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 530,000 | \$ 440,000 |
| Accrued expenses | 140,000 | 130,000 |
| Dividends payable | 70,000 | — |
| Total current liabilities | <u>740,000</u> | <u>570,000</u> |
| Note payable — due 1983 | 500,000 | — |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock | 1,200,000 | 900,000 |
| Retained earnings | 560,000 | 330,000 |
| | <u>1,760,000</u> | <u>1,230,000</u> |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | <u><u>\$3,000,000</u></u> | <u><u>\$1,800,000</u></u> |

Selected Questions

Debbie Dress Shops, Inc.
INCOME STATEMENTS

| | <i>Year ended December 31,</i> | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| | <u>1980</u> | <u>1979</u> |
| Net credit sales | \$6,400,000 | \$4,000,000 |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>5,000,000</u> | <u>3,200,000</u> |
| Gross profit | 1,400,000 | 800,000 |
| Expenses (including income taxes) | <u>1,000,000</u> | <u>520,000</u> |
| Net income | <u>\$ 400,000</u> | <u>\$ 280,000</u> |

Additional information available included the following:

- Although the Corporation will report all changes in financial position, management has adopted a format emphasizing the flow of cash.

- All accounts receivable and accounts payable relate to trade merchandise. Accounts payable are recorded net and always are paid to take all of the discount allowed. The Allowance for Doubtful Accounts at the end of 1980 was the same as at the end of 1979; no receivables were charged against the Allowance during 1980.

- The proceeds from the note payable were used to finance a new store building. Capital stock was sold to provide additional working capital.

25. Cash collected during 1980 from accounts receivable amounted to

- \$5,560,000
- \$5,840,000
- \$6,140,000
- \$6,400,000

26. Cash payments during 1980 on accounts payable to suppliers amounted to

- \$4,670,000
- \$4,910,000
- \$5,000,000
- \$5,150,000

27. Cash receipts during 1980 which were not provided by operations totaled

- \$140,000
- \$300,000
- \$500,000
- \$800,000

28. Cash payments for noncurrent assets purchased during 1980 were

- \$ 80,000
- \$530,000
- \$610,000
- \$660,000

2M81

Items 29 and 30 are based on the following information:

Magnolia, Inc.
BALANCE SHEETS

| | <i>December 31,</i> | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|
| | <u>1980</u> | <u>1979</u> |
| Current assets | \$ 474,000 | \$ 320,000 |
| Equipment | 1,230,000 | 1,200,000 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (436,000) | (420,000) |
| Goodwill | <u>480,000</u> | <u>500,000</u> |
| Total assets | <u>\$1,748,000</u> | <u>\$1,600,000</u> |
| Current liabilities | \$ 360,000 | \$ 160,000 |
| Bonds payable | 400,000 | 600,000 |
| Discount on bonds | (12,000) | (20,000) |
| Common stock | 1,112,000 | 1,112,000 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | <u>(112,000)</u> | <u>(252,000)</u> |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | <u>\$1,748,000</u> | <u>\$1,600,000</u> |

You have discovered the following facts:

- During 1980, Magnolia sold at no gain or loss equipment with a book value of \$76,000 and purchased new equipment costing \$150,000.

- During 1980, bonds with a face and book value of \$200,000 were extinguished, with no gain or loss. They were not current liabilities prior to their extinguishment.

- Retained earnings was affected only by the 1980 net income or loss.

29. How much working capital was provided by operations during 1980?

- \$208,000
- \$212,000
- \$220,000
- \$228,000

30. Assume that \$200,000 face value of bonds became current at December 31, 1980, to be repaid in early 1981. What should be the change in working capital under this assumption after considering all changes in financial position?

- \$ 46,000 increase.
- \$ 46,000 decrease.
- \$246,000 increase.
- \$246,000 decrease.

Accounting Practice

1M80#19. The following information was taken from the accounting records of Oregon Corporation for 1979:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock | \$4,000,000 |
| Dividends paid on preferred stock | 400,000 |
| Bonds payable converted to common stock | 2,000,000 |
| Purchases of treasury stock, common | 500,000 |
| Sale of plant building | 1,200,000 |
| 2% stock dividend on common stock | 300,000 |

Oregon's statement of changes in financial position for the year ended December 31, 1979, should show the following sources and uses of funds, based on the information above:

| | <u>Sources</u> | <u>Uses</u> |
|----|----------------|-------------|
| a. | \$5,200,000 | \$1,200,000 |
| b. | \$5,500,000 | \$1,200,000 |
| c. | \$7,200,000 | \$2,900,000 |
| d. | \$7,500,000 | \$3,200,000 |

1N79#18. The following information on selected cash transactions for 1978 has been provided by the Smith Company:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Proceeds from short-term borrowings | \$1,200,000 |
| Proceeds from long-term borrowings | 4,000,000 |
| Purchases of fixed assets | 3,200,000 |
| Purchases of inventories | 8,000,000 |

Proceeds from sale of Smith's common stock 2,000,000

What is the increase in working capital for the year ended December 31, 1978, as a result of the above information?

- a. \$ 800,000
- b. \$2,000,000
- c. \$2,800,000
- d. \$4,000,000

1M79#16. The working capital provided from operations in Seat's statement of changes in financial position for 1978 was \$8,000,000. For 1978, depreciation on fixed assets was \$3,800,000, amortization of goodwill was \$100,000, and dividends on common stock were \$2,000,000. Based on the information given above, Seat's net income for 1978 was

- a. \$ 2,100,000
- b. \$ 4,100,000
- c. \$ 8,000,000
- d. \$11,900,000

2M79#20. During 1978, Boyd Corporation, which uses the allowance method of accounting for uncollectible accounts, recorded charges to bad debt expense of \$50,000 and in addition it wrote off, as uncollectible, accounts receivable of \$42,000. As a result of these transactions, working capital was decreased by

- a. \$50,000
- b. \$42,000
- c. \$ 8,000
- d. \$0

II. Measurement, Valuation, Realization, and Presentation of Assets in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

A. Cash

1M83#20. Greenfield Company had the following cash balances at December 31, 1982:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Cash in banks | \$1,500,000 |
| Petty cash funds (all funds were reimbursed on December 31, 1982) | 20,000 |
| Cash legally restricted for additions to plant (expected to be disbursed in 1984) | 2,000,000 |

Cash in banks includes \$500,000 of compensating balances against short-term borrowing arrangements at December 31, 1982. The compensating balances are not legally restricted as to withdrawal by Greenfield. In the current assets section of Greenfield's December 31, 1982, balance sheet, what total amount should be reported as cash?

- a. \$1,020,000
- b. \$1,520,000
- c. \$3,020,000
- d. \$3,520,000

1M82#6. In preparing its bank reconciliation for the month of March 1982, Derby Company has available the following information:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Balance per bank statement, 3/31/82 | \$36,050 |
| Deposit in transit, 3/31/82 | 6,250 |
| Outstanding checks, 3/31/82 | 5,750 |
| Credit erroneously recorded by bank in Derby's account, 3/12/82 | 250 |
| Bank service charges for March | 50 |

What should be the correct balance of cash at March 31, 1982?

- a. \$35,250
- b. \$36,250
- c. \$36,300
- d. \$36,550

2N81#2. Lee Corporation's checkbook balance on December 31, 1980, was \$4,000. In addition, Lee held the following items in its safe on December 31:

Selected Questions

Check payable to Lee Corporation, dated January 2, 1981, not included in December 31 checkbook balance \$1,000

Check payable to Lee Corporation, deposited December 20, and included in December 31 checkbook balance, but returned by bank on December 30, stamped "NSF." The check was re-deposited January 2, 1981, and cleared January 7 200

Postage stamps received from mail-order customers 75

Check drawn on Lee Corporation's account, payable to a vendor, dated and recorded December 31, but not mailed until January 15, 1981 500

The proper amount to be shown as Cash on Lee's balance sheet at December 31, 1980, is
 a. \$3,800
 b. \$4,000
 c. \$4,300
 d. \$4,875

2M80#1. The following bank reconciliation is presented for the Kingston Company for the month of November 1979:

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| Balance per bank statement, 11/30/79 | | \$18,040 |
| Add: Deposit in transit | | 4,150 |
| | | <u>22,190</u> |
| Less: Outstanding checks | \$ 6,300 | |
| Bank credit recorded in error | 20 | 6,320 |
| Balance per books, 11/30/79 | | <u>\$15,870</u> |

Data for the month of December 1979 follows:

| | |
|------------------------|----------|
| <u>Per bank</u> | |
| December deposits | \$26,100 |
| December disbursements | 22,420 |
| Balance, 12/31/79 | 21,720 |

All items that were outstanding as of November 30, cleared through the bank in December, including the bank credit. In addition, \$2,500 in checks were outstanding as of December 31, 1979. What is the balance of cash per books at December 31, 1979?

- a. \$19,220
- b. \$19,240
- c. \$21,720
- d. \$24,220

B. Marketable Securities and Investments

1M83#2. During 1982, Anthony Company purchased marketable equity securities as a long-term investment. Pertinent data are as follows:

| | <u>Security</u> | <u>Cost</u> | <u>Market value at 12/31/82</u> |
|--|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| | A | \$ 20,000 | \$ 18,000 |
| | B | 40,000 | 30,000 |
| | C | 90,000 | 93,000 |
| | | <u>\$150,000</u> | <u>\$141,000</u> |

Anthony appropriately carries these securities at the lower of aggregate cost or market value. The amount of unrealized loss on these securities to flow through Anthony's income statement for 1982 should be

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 3,000
- c. \$ 9,000
- d. \$12,000

1M83#3. On January 1, 1982, Weaver Company purchased as a long-term investment \$500,000 face value of Park Corporation's 8% bonds for \$456,200. The bonds were purchased to yield 10% interest. The bonds mature on January 1, 1988, and pay interest annually on January 1. Weaver uses the interest method of amortization. What amount should Weaver report on its December 31, 1982, balance sheet as long-term investment?

- a. \$450,580
- b. \$456,200
- c. \$461,820
- d. \$466,200

2M83#10. Denso Corporation reports on a calendar-year basis. Its December 31, 1982, financial statements were issued on February 3, 1983. The auditor's report was dated January 22, 1983. The following information pertains to Denso's aggregate marketable equity securities portfolio:

| | |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Cost | \$500,000 |
| Market value, 12/31/82 | 400,000 |
| Market value, 1/22/83 | 350,000 |
| Market value, 2/3/83 | 300,000 |

How much should be reported on Denso's balance sheet at December 31, 1982, for marketable equity securities?

- a. \$500,000
- b. \$400,000
- c. \$350,000
- d. \$300,000

1N82#2. On January 1, 1976, Darby Company purchased, at par, 500 of the \$1,000 face value, 8% bonds of Clark Corporation as a long-term investment. The bonds mature on January 1, 1986, and pay interest semiannually on July 1 and January 1. Clark incurred heavy losses from operations for several years and defaulted on the July 1, 1980, and January 1, 1981, interest payments. Because of the permanent decline in market value of Clark's bonds, Darby wrote down its invest-

ment to \$400,000 at December 31, 1980. Pursuant to Clark's plan of reorganization effected on July 1, 1981, Darby received 5,000 shares of \$100 par value, 8% cumulative preferred stock of Clark in exchange for the \$500,000 face value bond investment. The quoted market value of the preferred stock was \$70 per share on July 1, 1981. What amount of loss should be included in the determination of Darby's net income for 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 50,000
- c. \$100,000
- d. \$150,000

2M82#8. In 1978, Cromwell Corporation bought 30,000 shares of Fleming Corporation's listed stock for \$300,000. This stock was not accounted for by the equity method. In 1981, when the market value had declined to \$200,000, Cromwell changed its classification of this investment from current to noncurrent. In January 1982, before Cromwell's 1981 year-end statements were issued, the market value of the Fleming stock had risen to \$230,000. How much should Cromwell record as a realized loss in its determination of net income for 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 30,000
- c. \$ 70,000
- d. \$100,000

2M82#2. On January 2, 1981, Portela, Inc., bought 30% of the outstanding common stock of Bracero Corporation for \$258,000 cash. Portela accounts for this investment by the equity method. At the date of acquisition of the stock, Bracero's net assets had a book and fair value of \$620,000. The excess of Portela's cost of the investment over its share of Bracero's net assets has an estimated life of 40 years. Bracero's net income for the year ended December 31, 1981, was \$180,000. During 1981, Bracero declared and paid cash dividends of \$20,000. On December 31, 1981, Portela should have carried its investment in Bracero in the amount of

- a. \$234,000
- b. \$258,000
- c. \$304,200
- d. \$306,000

2M82#10. On January 2, 1980, Troquel Corporation bought 15% of Zafacon Corporation's capital stock for \$30,000. Troquel accounts for this investment by the cost method. Zafacon's net income for the years ended December 31, 1980, and December 31, 1981, were \$10,000 and \$50,000 respectively. During 1981, Zafacon declared a dividend of \$70,000. No dividends were declared in 1980. How much should Troquel show on its 1981 income statement as income from this investment?

- a. \$ 1,575
- b. \$ 7,500
- c. \$ 9,000
- d. \$10,500

2M82#12. In January 1979, Cameron Corporation established a sinking fund in connection with its issue of bonds due in 1989. A bank was appointed as independent trustee of the fund. At December 31, 1981, the trustee held \$364,000 cash in the sinking fund account, representing \$300,000 in annual deposits to the fund, and \$64,000 of interest earned on those deposits. How should the sinking fund be reported in Cameron's balance sheet at December 31, 1981?

- a. No part of the sinking fund should appear in Cameron's balance sheet.
- b. \$64,000 should appear as a current asset.
- c. \$364,000 should appear as a current asset.
- d. \$364,000 should appear as a noncurrent asset.

1N81#3. On July 1, 1980, Hilltop Company purchased as a long-term investment Essex Company's ten-year 9% bonds, with a face value of \$100,000 for \$95,200. Interest is payable semiannually on January 1 and July 1. The bonds mature on July 1, 1984. Hilltop uses the straight-line method of amortization. What is the amount of interest income and amortization of bond discount that Hilltop should report in its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1980?

- a. \$4,284 and \$240.
- b. \$4,284 and \$600.
- c. \$4,500 and \$240.
- d. \$4,500 and \$600.

2N81#7. In 1980, Wallace Corporation purchased marketable securities, and at December 31, 1980, had the following marketable equity securities:

| | <u>Cost</u> | <u>Market</u> | <u>Unrealized gain (loss)</u> |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| In Current Assets: | | | |
| Security X | \$80,000 | \$50,000 | \$(30,000) |
| Y | 15,000 | 20,000 | 5,000 |
| Totals | <u>\$95,000</u> | <u>\$70,000</u> | <u>\$(25,000)</u> |
| In Noncurrent Assets: | | | |
| Security Q | \$ 60,000 | \$ 70,000 | \$ 10,000 |
| R | 90,000 | 45,000 | (45,000) |
| Totals | <u>\$150,000</u> | <u>\$115,000</u> | <u>\$(35,000)</u> |

Valuation allowances at December 31, 1980, should be established with a corresponding charge against

| | <u>Income</u> | <u>Stockholders' equity</u> |
|----|---------------|-----------------------------|
| a. | \$0 | \$60,000 |
| b. | \$25,000 | \$0 |
| c. | \$25,000 | \$35,000 |
| d. | \$60,000 | \$0 |

Selected Questions

2N81#11. On January 1, 1980, Rey Corporation paid \$150,000 for 10,000 shares of Rio Corporation's common stock, representing a 15% investment in Rio. Rio declared and paid a dividend of \$1 a share to its common stockholders during 1980. Rio's net income was \$130,000 for the year ended December 31, 1980. At what amount should Rey's investment in Rio appear on Rey's balance sheet as of December 31, 1980?

- a. \$140,000
- b. \$150,000
- c. \$159,500
- d. \$169,500

2M81#4. In January 1980 Farley Corporation acquired 20% of the outstanding common stock of Davis Company for \$800,000. This investment gave Farley the ability to exercise significant influence over Davis. The book value of the acquired shares was \$600,000. The excess of cost over book value was attributed to an identifiable intangible asset which was undervalued on Davis's balance sheet and which had a remaining useful life of ten years.

For the year ended December 31, 1980, Davis reported net income of \$180,000 and paid cash dividends of \$40,000 on its common stock. What is the proper carrying value of Farley's investment in Davis at December 31, 1980?

- a. \$772,000
- b. \$780,000
- c. \$800,000
- d. \$808,000

1N80#12. On July 1, 1979, Glenn Company purchased Dell Corporation 10-year, 9% bonds with a face value of \$200,000, for \$216,000, which included \$6,000 of accrued interest. The bonds, which mature on March 1, 1986, pay interest semiannually on March 1 and September 1. Glenn uses the straight-line method of amortization. The amount of income Glenn should report for the calendar year 1979 as a result of the above long-term investment would be

- a. \$ 7,800
- b. \$ 8,250
- c. \$ 9,000
- d. \$15,000

1N80#13. On January 10, 1979, Wayne, Inc., purchased 5,000 shares of Jason Corporation's common stock at \$60 per share. The purchase is a long-term investment and is less than 20% of Jason's outstanding shares. This investment is appropriately reflected in Wayne's balance sheet as a noncurrent marketable equity security at December 31, 1979. The market value of Wayne's investment in Jason's common stock was as follows:

| Date | Market value | |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | Per share | Total |
| December 15, 1979 | \$47 | \$235,000 |
| December 31, 1979 | 46 | 230,000 |

On December 15, 1979, Wayne determined that there had been an other than temporary decline in the market value. What amount should Wayne record as a loss in its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1979?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 5,000
- c. \$65,000
- d. \$70,000

1N80#20. An analysis of Pickwick Corporation's short-term marketable equity securities portfolio acquired in 1979 reveals the following totals at the end of its 1979 calendar year:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Aggregate cost | \$90,000 |
| Aggregate market value | 80,000 |
| Aggregate lower of cost or market value applied to each security in the portfolio | 76,000 |

What is the amount of the valuation allowance that Pickwick should record at December 31, 1979?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 4,000
- c. \$10,000
- d. \$14,000

2N80#13. The Action Corporation issued nonvoting preferred stock with a fair market value of \$4,000,000 in exchange for all of the outstanding common stock of Master Corporation. On the date of the exchange, Master had tangible net assets with a book value of \$2,000,000 and a fair value of \$2,500,000. In addition, Action issued preferred stock valued at \$400,000 to an individual as a finder's fee in arranging the transaction. As a result of this transaction, Action should record an increase in net assets of

- a. \$2,000,000
- b. \$2,500,000
- c. \$2,900,000
- d. \$4,400,000

1M80#15. On January 1, 1979, Star Company paid \$1,200,000 for 40,000 shares of Comet Corporation's common stock which represents a 25% investment in the net assets of Comet. Star has the ability to exercise significant influence over Comet. Star received a dividend of \$3 per share from Comet in 1979. Comet reported net income of \$640,000 for the year ended December 31, 1979. The balance in Star's balance sheet account "Investment in Comet Corporation" at December 31, 1979, should be

- a. \$1,200,000
- b. \$1,240,000
- c. \$1,360,000
- d. \$1,480,000

Accounting Practice

2M79#3. On its December 31, 1977, balance sheet, the Noble Corporation reported the following as investments in long-term marketable equity securities:

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Investment in long-term marketable equity securities at cost | \$300,000 |
| Less allowance to reduce long-term equity securities to market | 28,000 |
| | <u>\$272,000</u> |

At December 31, 1978, the market valuation of the portfolio was \$298,000. What should Noble report on its 1978 Statement of Income as a result of the increase in the market value of the investments in 1978?

- a. \$0
- b. Unrealized loss of \$2,000
- c. Realized gain of \$26,000
- d. Unrealized gain of \$26,000

1M79#8. On October 1, 1978, Mann Company purchased 500 of the \$1,000 face value, 8% bonds of Womann, Incorporated, for \$540,000, which includes accrued interest of \$10,000. The bonds, which mature on January 1, 1985, pay interest semiannually on January 1 and July 1. Assuming that Mann uses the straight-line method of amortization and that the bonds are appropriately recorded as a long-term investment, the bonds should be shown on Mann's December 31, 1978, balance sheet at

- a. \$528,400
- b. \$528,800
- c. \$530,000
- d. \$540,000

1M79#9. On December 1, 1978, Chest Corporation purchased 200,000 shares representing 45% of the outstanding stock of Park Company for cash of \$2,500,000. As a result of this purchase, Chest has the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of Park. 45% of the net income of Park amounted to \$20,000 for the month of December and \$350,000 for the year ended December 31, 1978. The appropriate amount of goodwill amortization to be recorded by Chest in 1978 as a result of its purchase of Park stock would be \$10,000. On January 15, 1979, cash dividends of \$0.30 per share were paid to stockholders of record on December 31, 1978. Chest's long-term investment in Park should be shown in Chest's December 31, 1978, balance sheet at

- a. \$2,450,000
- b. \$2,460,000
- c. \$2,500,000
- d. \$2,510,000

C. Receivables and Accruals

1N83#19. Grant, Inc., has current receivables from affiliated companies at December 31, 1982, as follows:

- A \$50,000 cash advance to Adams Corporation.

Grant owns 30% of the voting stock of Adams and accounts for the investment by the equity method.

- A receivable of \$160,000 from Bullard Corporation for administrative and selling services. Bullard is 100% owned by Grant and is included in Grant's consolidated statements.
- A receivable of \$100,000 from Carpenter Corporation for merchandise sales on open account. Carpenter is a 90% owned, unconsolidated subsidiary of Grant.

In the current assets section of its December 31, 1982, consolidated balance sheet, Grant should report accounts receivable from investees in the total amount of

- a. \$ 90,000
- b. \$140,000
- c. \$150,000
- d. \$310,000

1N83#21. Barrett Company's account balances at December 31, 1982, for accounts receivable and the related allowance for doubtful accounts were \$1,200,000 and \$60,000, respectively. An aging of accounts receivable indicated that \$106,000 of the December 31, 1982, receivables may be uncollectible. The net realizable value of accounts receivable was

- a. \$1,034,000
- b. \$1,094,000
- c. \$1,140,000
- d. \$1,154,000

1N83#22. Anderson Company accepted a \$20,000, 90-day, 12% interest-bearing note dated September 15, 1982, from a customer. On October 15, 1982, Anderson discounted the note at Provident National Bank at a 15% discount rate. The customer paid the note at maturity. Based on a 360-day year, what amount should Anderson report as net interest revenue from the note transaction?

- a. \$ 85
- b. \$100
- c. \$150
- d. \$200

1N82#3. Alden Corporation provides an allowance for its doubtful accounts receivable. At December 31, 1980, the allowance account had a credit balance of \$8,000. Each month Alden accrues bad debt expense in an amount equal to 2% of credit sales. Total credit sales during 1981 amounted to \$2,000,000. During 1981 uncollectible accounts receivable totaling \$22,000 were written off against the allowance account. An aging of accounts receivable at December 31, 1981, indicates that an allowance of \$42,000 should be provided for doubtful accounts as of that date. Accordingly, bad debt expense previously accrued during 1981 should be increased by

- a. \$62,000
- b. \$42,000
- c. \$26,000
- d. \$16,000

Selected Questions

1N82#6. Tallent Company received a \$30,000, 6-month, 10% interest-bearing note from a customer. After holding the note for two months, Tallent was in need of cash and discounted the note at the United National Bank at a 12% discount rate. The amount of cash received by Tallent from the bank was

- a. \$31,260
- b. \$30,870
- c. \$30,300
- d. \$30,240

1N82#18. On December 31, 1979, Marsh Company entered into a debt restructuring agreement with Saxe Company, which was experiencing financial difficulties. Marsh restructured a \$100,000 note receivable as follows:

- Reduced the principal obligation to \$70,000.
- Forgave \$12,000 of accrued interest.
- Extended the maturity date from December 31, 1979, to December 31, 1981.
- Reduced the interest rate from 12% to 8%. Interest was payable annually on December 31, 1980, and 1981.

In accordance with the agreement, Saxe made payments to Marsh on December 31, 1980, and 1981. How much interest income should Marsh report for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 5,600
- c. \$ 8,400
- d. \$11,200

1M82#3. Tillary Company, which began business on January 1, 1981, appropriately uses the installment sales method of accounting. The following data are available for 1981:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Installment accounts receivable, | |
| December 31, 1981 | \$200,000 |
| Deferred gross profit, December 31, 1981 | |
| (before recognition of realized gross profit) | \$140,000 |
| Gross profit on sales | 40% |

The cash collections and the realized gross profit on installment sales for the year ended December 31, 1981, should be

| | <u>Cash collections</u> | <u>Realized gross profit</u> |
|----|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| a. | \$100,000 | \$80,000 |
| b. | \$100,000 | \$60,000 |
| c. | \$150,000 | \$80,000 |
| d. | \$150,000 | \$60,000 |

1M82#4. Based upon its past collection experience, Alden Company provides for bad debt expense at the rate of 2% of credit sales. On January 1, 1981, the allowance for doubtful accounts balance was \$10,000. During 1981, Alden wrote off \$18,000 of uncollectible receivables and recovered \$5,000 of bad debts written off in prior years. If credit sales for 1981 totaled \$1,000,000, the allowance for doubtful accounts balance at December 31, 1981, should be

- a. \$12,000
- b. \$17,000
- c. \$20,000
- d. \$30,000

2N81#1. The following accounts were abstracted from the December 31, 1980, trial balance of Robby Company:

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Credit sales | | \$750,000 |
| Sales discounts | \$15,000 | |

On January 1, 1980, Allowance for Doubtful Accounts had a credit balance of \$18,000. During 1980, \$30,000 of uncollectible accounts receivable were written off. Past experience indicates that 3% of gross sales proves to be uncollectible. What should be the balance of Allowance for Doubtful Accounts at December 31, 1980, after provision is made for the current year?

- a. \$10,050
- b. \$10,500
- c. \$22,050
- d. \$34,500

2N81#8. Marmol Corporation uses the allowance method for bad debts. During 1980, Marmol charged \$30,000 to bad debt expense, and wrote off \$25,200 of uncollectible accounts receivable. These transactions resulted in a decrease in working capital of

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 4,800
- c. \$25,200
- d. \$30,000

2N81#17. Bibi Corporation owns 80% of the outstanding capital stock of Daniels Corporation. On July 1, 1980, Bibi advanced \$50,000 in cash to Daniels. On the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 1980, how much of the advance should be eliminated?

- a. \$0
- b. \$10,000
- c. \$40,000
- d. \$50,000

2M81#5. At the end of its first year of operations, December 31, 1980, Wonder Company had accounts receivable of \$500,000, which were net of the related allowance for doubtful accounts. During 1980 Wonder recorded charges to bad debt expense of \$80,000 and wrote off as uncollectible, accounts receivable of \$20,000. What should Wonder report on its balance

Accounting Practice

sheet at December 31, 1980, as accounts receivable before the allowance for doubtful accounts?

- a. \$500,000
- b. \$520,000
- c. \$560,000
- d. \$600,000

2M81#19. Steven Corporation began operations in 1980. For the year ended December 31, 1980, Steven made available the following information:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Total merchandise purchases for the year | \$350,000 |
| Merchandise inventory at December 31, 1980 | 70,000 |
| Collections from customers | 200,000 |

All merchandise was marked to sell at 40% above cost. Assuming that all sales are on a credit basis and all receivables are collectible, what should be the balance in accounts receivable at December 31, 1980?

- a. \$ 50,000
- b. \$192,000
- c. \$250,000
- d. \$290,000

1N80#8. On January 1, 1980, Liberty Company sold a machine to Bell Corporation in an "arms length" transaction. Bell signed a noninterest bearing note requiring payment of \$20,000 annually for ten years. The first payment was made on January 1, 1980. The prevailing rate of interest for this type of note at date of issuance was 12%. Information on present value factors is as follows:

| <u>Period</u> | <u>Present value of \$1 at 12%</u> | <u>Present value of ordinary annuity of \$1 at 12%</u> |
|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 9 | 0.361 | 5.328 |
| 10 | 0.322 | 5.650 |

Liberty should record the above sale in January 1980 at

- a. \$ 64,400
- b. \$ 84,980
- c. \$113,000
- d. \$126,560

2M80#17. An analysis and aging of the accounts receivable of the Franklin Company at December 31, 1979, revealed the following data:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Accounts receivable | \$450,000 |
| Allowance for uncollectible accounts per books | 25,000 |
| Accounts deemed uncollectible | 32,000 |

Based upon the above data, the net realizable value of the accounts receivable at December 31, 1979, was

- a. \$393,000
- b. \$418,000
- c. \$425,000
- d. \$443,000

2N79#13. On the December 31, 1978, balance sheet of the Stat Company, the current assets were comprised of the following items:

| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Cash | \$ 70,000 |
| Accounts receivable | 120,000 |
| Inventories | 60,000 |
| | \$250,000 |

An examination of the accounts revealed that the accounts receivable were composed of the following items:

Accounts receivable

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Trade accounts | \$ 93,000 |
| Allowance for uncollectible accounts | (2,000) |
| Claim against shipper for goods lost in transit (November 1978) | 3,000 |
| Selling price of unsold goods sent by Stat on consignment at 130% of cost (and not included in Stat's ending inventory) | 26,000 |
| | \$120,000 |

What is the correct amount of current assets as of December 31, 1978?

- a. \$221,000
- b. \$224,000
- c. \$244,000
- d. \$250,000

D. Inventories

1N83#1. Dixon Menswear Shop regularly buys shirts from Colt Company and is allowed trade discounts of 20% and 10% from the list price. Dixon purchased shirts from Colt on May 27, 1983, and received an invoice with a list price amount of \$5,000, and payment terms of 2/10, n/30. Dixon uses the net method to record purchases. Dixon should record the purchase at

- a. \$3,600
- b. \$3,528
- c. \$3,500
- d. \$3,430

1N83#3. Moore Company carries product A in inventory on December 31, 1982, at its unit cost of \$7.50. Because of a sharp decline in demand for the product, the selling price was reduced to \$8.00 per unit. Moore's normal profit margin on product A is \$1.60, disposal costs are \$1.00 per unit, and the replacement cost is

Selected Questions

\$5.30. Under the rule of cost or market, whichever is lower, Moore's December 31, 1982, inventory of product A should be valued at a unit cost of

- a. \$5.30
- b. \$5.40
- c. \$7.00
- d. \$7.50

1N83#20. On December 31, 1981, Kern Company adopted the dollar value LIFO inventory method. All of Kern's inventories constitute a single pool. The inventory on December 31, 1981, using the dollar value LIFO inventory method was \$600,000. Inventory data for 1982 are as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 12/31/82 inventory at year-end prices | \$780,000 |
| Relevant price index at year-end (base year 1981) | 120 |

Under the dollar value LIFO inventory method, Kern's inventory at December 31, 1982, would be

- a. \$650,000
- b. \$655,000
- c. \$660,000
- d. \$720,000

2N82#11. The following information pertains to a flange that is carried in the inventory of Mills Wholesalers, Inc.:

| | <i>Per unit</i> |
|--|-----------------|
| Original cost | \$3.00 |
| Replacement cost | 1.20 |
| Net realizable value | 2.40 |
| Net realizable value, less normal markup | 1.68 |

What should be the carrying value per unit on the basis of lower of cost or market?

- a. \$1.20
- b. \$1.68
- c. \$2.40
- d. \$3.00

2M82#3. The following items were included in Venicio Corporation's inventory account at December 31, 1981:

- Merchandise out on consignment, at sales price, including 40% markup on selling price \$14,000
- Goods purchased, in transit, shipped f.o.b. shipping point 12,000
- Goods held on consignment by Venicio 9,000

Venicio's inventory account at December 31, 1981, should be reduced by

- a. \$14,600
- b. \$17,400
- c. \$23,000
- d. \$35,000

2M82#19. At December 31, 1981, the following information was available from Crisford Company's books:

| | <i>Cost</i> | <i>Retail</i> |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Inventory, 1/1/81 | \$14,700 | \$ 20,300 |
| Purchases | 83,300 | 115,500 |
| Additional markups | — | 4,200 |
| Available for sale | \$98,000 | \$140,000 |

Sales for the year totaled \$110,600; markdowns amounted to \$1,400. Under the approximate lower of average cost or market retail method, Crisford's inventory at December 31, 1981, was

- a. \$19,600
- b. \$21,560
- c. \$28,000
- d. \$30,800

2N81#13. The following pertains to an inventory item held by Moore Wholesalers, Inc., at December 31, 1980:

| | |
|----------------------------|------|
| Cost | \$60 |
| Estimated selling price | 68 |
| Estimated cost of disposal | 1 |
| Normal profit margin | 11 |
| Replacement cost | 51 |

Under the lower of cost or market rule, this inventory item should be valued at

- a. \$51
- b. \$56
- c. \$60
- d. \$67

2N81

Items 15 and 16 are based on the following data: City Stationers, Inc., had 200 calculators on hand at January 1, 1981, costing \$18 each. Purchases and sales of calculators during the month of January were as follows:

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Purchases</i> | <i>Sales</i> |
|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| Jan. 12 | | 150 @ \$28 |
| 14 | 100 @ \$20 | |
| 29 | 100 @ \$22 | |
| 30 | | 100 @ \$32 |

City does not maintain perpetual inventory records. According to a physical count, 150 calculators were on hand at January 31, 1981.

15. The cost of the inventory at January 31, 1981, under the FIFO method is

- a. \$ 400
- b. \$2,700
- c. \$3,100
- d. \$3,200

Accounting Practice

16. The cost of the inventory at January 31, 1981, under the LIFO method is
- \$ 400
 - \$2,700
 - \$3,100
 - \$3,200

- The weighted average unit cost of raw material X at January 12, 1980, is
- \$1.00
 - \$1.05
 - \$1.06
 - \$1.10

1M81#2. The following data were available from the records of the Bricker Department Store for the year ended December 31, 1980:

| | <i>At cost</i> | <i>At retail</i> |
|--|----------------|------------------|
| Merchandise inventory, January 1, 1980 | \$180,000 | \$260,000 |
| Purchases | 660,000 | 920,000 |
| Markups | | 20,000 |
| Markdowns | | 80,000 |
| Sales | | 960,000 |

- Using the retail method, an estimate of the merchandise inventory at December 31, 1980, valued at the lower of average cost or market, would be
- \$220,000
 - \$160,000
 - \$120,000
 - \$112,000

2M81#14. Hestor Company's records indicate the following information:

| | |
|--|------------|
| Merchandise inventory, January 1, 1980 | \$ 550,000 |
| Purchases, January 1 through December 31, 1980 | 2,250,000 |
| Sales, January 1 through December 31, 1980 | 3,000,000 |

- On December 31, 1980, a physical inventory determined that ending inventory of \$600,000 was in the warehouse. Hestor's gross profit on sales has remained constant at 30%. Hestor suspects some of the inventory may have been taken by some new employees. At December 31, 1980, what is the estimated cost of missing inventory?
- \$100,000
 - \$200,000
 - \$300,000
 - \$700,000

2M81#35. Janis Manufacturing Company recorded the following data pertaining to raw material X:

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Units</i> | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| | <i>Received</i> | <i>Cost</i> | <i>Issued</i> | <i>On hand</i> |
| 1/1/80 In- ventory | | \$1.00 | | 400 |
| 1/8/80 Purchase | 600 | \$1.10 | | 1,000 |
| 1/12/80 Issue | | | 800 | 200 |

2N80#11. The following information is available for the Silver Company for the three months ended March 31, 1979:

| | |
|--|------------|
| Merchandise inventory, January 1, 1979 | \$ 900,000 |
| Purchases | 3,400,000 |
| Freight-in | 200,000 |
| Sales | 4,800,000 |

- The gross margin recorded was 25% of sales. What should be the merchandise inventory at March 31, 1979?
- \$ 700,000
 - \$ 900,000
 - \$1,125,000
 - \$1,200,000

2M80#6. The Gilbert Department Store uses the retail inventory method to approximate the lower of cost or market. The following information is available for the month of August 1979:

| | <i>Cost</i> | <i>Retail</i> |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Cost of goods available for sale | \$180,000 | \$225,000 |
| Net markups | | 25,000 |
| Net markdowns | | 10,000 |
| Sales | | 170,000 |

- What was the inventory approximation at the lower of cost or market at August 31, 1979?
- \$50,400
 - \$52,500
 - \$56,000
 - \$57,600

2N79#9. The Good Trader Company values its inventory by using the retail method (FIFO basis, lower of cost or market). The following information is available for the year 1978.

| | <i>Cost</i> | <i>Retail</i> |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Beginning inventory | \$ 80,000 | \$140,000 |
| Purchases | 297,000 | 420,000 |
| Freight-in | 4,000 | |
| Shortages | — | 8,000 |
| Markups (net) | — | 10,000 |
| Markdowns (net) | — | 2,000 |
| Sales | — | 400,000 |

Selected Questions

At what amount would The Good Trader Company report its ending inventory?

- a. \$112,000
- b. \$113,400
- c. \$117,600
- d. \$119,000

E. Property, Plant, and Equipment

1N83#23. On August 29, 1983, Hadley Company sustained a loss from a fire that completely destroyed a machine with a fair value of \$30,000. The machine was covered by an insurance policy with a face amount of \$18,000 and a coinsurance clause of 80%. How much should Hadley expect to recover from the insurance company?

- a. \$24,000
- b. \$22,500
- c. \$18,000
- d. \$14,400

1N83#25. On June 30, 1982, a fire in Ruffing Company's plant caused a total loss to a production machine. The machine had a book value of \$80,000 at December 31, 1981, and was being depreciated at an annual rate of \$10,000. The machine had a fair value of \$110,000 at the date of the fire, and Ruffing received insurance proceeds of \$100,000 in October 1982. The same month Ruffing purchased a replacement machine for \$130,000. Ignoring income taxes, what amount should Ruffing report on its 1982 income statement as involuntary conversion gain or loss?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$10,000 loss.
- c. \$20,000 gain.
- d. \$25,000 gain.

1N83#27. On January 1, 1978, Walton Company purchased a machine for \$200,000 and established an annual straight-line depreciation rate of 10%, with no salvage value. During 1982 Walton determined that the machine will not be economically useful in its production process after December 31, 1982. Walton estimated that the machine had no scrap value at December 31, 1982, and would be disposed of in early 1983 at a cost of \$5,000. In its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1982, what amount(s) and type of charge(s) should Walton report for the machine?

| | <i>Depreciation expense</i> | <i>Loss on abandonment</i> |
|----|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| a. | \$0 | \$125,000 |
| b. | \$ 20,000 | \$100,000 |
| c. | \$ 20,000 | \$105,000 |
| d. | \$120,000 | \$ 5,000 |

1N83#35. Crowder Company acquired a tract of land containing an extractable natural resource. Crowder is required by the purchase contract to restore the land to a condition suitable for recreational use after it has

extracted the natural resource. Geological surveys estimate that the recoverable reserves will be 5,000,000 tons, and that the land will have a value of \$1,000,000 after restoration. Relevant cost information follows:

| | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Land | \$9,000,000 |
| Estimated restoration costs | 1,500,000 |

If Crowder maintains no inventories of extracted material, what should be the charge to depletion expense per ton of extracted material?

- a. \$2.10
- b. \$1.90
- c. \$1.80
- d. \$1.60

1M83#5. On January 4, 1982, Hadley Company signed a 10-year nonrenewable lease for a building to be used in its manufacturing operations. During January 1982 Hadley incurred the following costs:

- \$64,000 for general improvements to the leased premises with an estimated useful life of eight years.
- \$32,000 for a movable assembly line equipment installation with an estimated useful life of eight years.

A full year's amortization is taken for the calendar year 1982. What amount should Hadley record as amortization of leasehold improvements for 1982?

- a. \$ 6,400
- b. \$ 8,000
- c. \$ 9,600
- d. \$12,000

2M83#1. On September 1, 1982, Sol, Inc., exchanged 2,000 shares of its \$25 par value common stock held in treasury, for a parcel of land to be held for a future plant site. The treasury shares were acquired by Sol at a cost of \$60 per share. Sol's common stock had a fair market value of \$80 per share on September 1, 1982. Sol received \$9,000 from the sale of scrap when an existing building on the site was razed. The land should be carried at

- a. \$111,000
- b. \$120,000
- c. \$151,000
- d. \$160,000

2M83#14. Herr, Inc., has a fiscal year ending April 30. On May 1, 1982, Herr borrowed \$10,000,000 at 15% to finance construction of its own building. Repayments of the loan are to commence the month following completion of the building. During the year ended April 30, 1983, expenditures for the partially completed structure totaled \$6,000,000. These expenditures were incurred evenly throughout the year. Interest earned on the unexpended portion of the loan

Accounting Practice

amounted to \$400,000 for the year. How much should be shown as capitalized interest on Herr's financial statements at April 30, 1983?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 50,000
- c. \$ 450,000
- d. \$1,100,000

2M83#23. On June 18, 1982, Paul Printing Company incurred the following costs for one of its printing presses:

| | |
|--|------------------------|
| Purchase of collating and stapling attachment | \$42,000 |
| Installation of attachment | 18,000 |
| Replacement parts for overhaul of press | 13,000 |
| Labor and overhead in connection with overhaul | <u>7,000</u> |
| Total | <u><u>\$80,000</u></u> |

The overhaul resulted in a significant increase in production. Neither the attachment nor the overhaul increased the estimated useful life of the press. How much of the above costs should be capitalized?

- a. \$42,000
- b. \$55,000
- c. \$60,000
- d. \$80,000

2M83

Items 31 through 33 are based on the following information:

Vorst Corporation's schedule of depreciable assets at December 31, 1981, was as follows:

| <u>Asset</u> | <u>Cost</u> | <u>Accumulated depreciation</u> | <u>Acquisition date</u> | <u>Salvage value</u> |
|--------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| A | \$100,000 | \$ 64,000 | 1980 | \$20,000 |
| B | 55,000 | 36,000 | 1979 | 10,000 |
| C | 70,000 | 33,600 | 1979 | 14,000 |
| | <u>\$225,000</u> | <u>\$133,600</u> | | <u>\$44,000</u> |

Vorst takes a full year's depreciation expense in the year of an asset's acquisition, and no depreciation expense in the year of an asset's disposition. The estimated useful life of each depreciable asset is five years.

31. Vorst depreciates asset A on the double-declining-balance method. How much depreciation expense should Vorst record in 1982 for asset A?

- a. \$32,000
- b. \$25,600
- c. \$14,400
- d. \$ 6,400

32. Using the same depreciation method as used in 1979, 1980, and 1981, how much depreciation expense should Vorst record in 1982 for asset B?

- a. \$ 6,000
- b. \$ 9,000
- c. \$11,000
- d. \$12,000

33. Vorst depreciates asset C by the straight-line method. On June 30, 1982, Vorst sold asset C for \$28,000 cash. How much gain or (loss) should Vorst record in 1982 on the disposal of asset C?

- a. \$2,800
- b. (\$2,800)
- c. (\$5,600)
- d. (\$8,400)

1N82#13. White Airlines sold a used jet aircraft to Brown Company for \$800,000, accepting a five-year 6% note for the entire amount. Brown's incremental borrowing rate was 14%. The annual payment of principal and interest on the note was to be \$189,930. The aircraft could have been sold at an established cash price of \$651,460. The present value of an ordinary annuity of \$1 at 8% for five periods is 3.99. The aircraft should be capitalized on Brown's books at

- a. \$651,460
- b. \$757,820
- c. \$800,000
- d. \$949,650

2N82#2. The following expenditures were among those incurred by Jensen Corporation during the year ended December 31, 1981:

- Replacement of tiles on portion of roof that had been leaking \$4,000
- Overhaul of machinery that is expected to extend its useful life for another two years 6,000

How much should be charged to repairs and maintenance in 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 4,000
- c. \$ 6,000
- d. \$10,000

2N82#6. On July 1, 1982, a fire destroyed \$100,000 of Brody Company's \$300,000 inventory (fair market values). Brody carried a \$120,000 fire insurance policy with an 80% coinsurance clause. What is the maximum amount of insurance that Brody can collect as a result of this loss?

- a. \$ 50,000
- b. \$ 80,000
- c. \$ 96,000
- d. \$100,000

Selected Questions

1M82#2. Wright Company bought a building on July 1, 1979, for \$130,000. A fire insurance policy with a face amount of \$100,000 and a coinsurance clause of 80% was taken out on the building. On February 2, 1982, the building was partially destroyed by fire and the loss was estimated at \$120,000. Assuming that the fair market value of the building was \$180,000 at the date of the fire, how much should Wright expect to recover from the insurance company?

- a. \$ 80,000
- b. \$ 83,333
- c. \$ 96,000
- d. \$100,000

1M82#9. In January 1980 Colonial Company purchased equipment for \$120,000, to be used in its manufacturing operations. The equipment was estimated to have a useful life of 8 years, with salvage value estimated at \$12,000. Colonial considered various methods of depreciation and selected the sum-of-the-years'-digits method. On December 31, 1981, the related allowance for accumulated depreciation should have a balance

- a. \$15,000 less than under the straight-line method.
- b. \$15,000 less than under the double-declining-balance method.
- c. \$18,000 greater than under the straight-line method.
- d. \$18,000 greater than under the double-declining-balance method.

1M82#12. On July 1, 1981, Stone Corporation received a condemnation award of \$300,000 as compensation for the forced sale of a plant located on company property which stood in the path of a new highway. On this date the plant building had a depreciated cost of \$150,000 and the land cost was \$50,000. On October 1, 1981, Stone purchased a parcel of land for a new plant site at a cost of \$125,000. Ignoring income taxes, Stone should report on its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1981, a gain of

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 25,000
- c. \$ 75,000
- d. \$100,000

2N81#4. On January 2, 1979, Luco Manufacturing Company bought a new machine for \$1,000,000. The machine has an estimated useful life of eight years and a salvage value of \$100,000. Depreciation was computed by the sum-of-the-years'-digits method. What amount should appear for this machine on Luco's balance sheet at December 31, 1980, net of accumulated depreciation?

- a. \$525,000
- b. \$625,000
- c. \$787,500
- d. \$825,000

2N81#14. During 1980, Belardo Corporation constructed and manufactured certain assets, and incurred the following interest costs in connection with those activities:

| | <i>Interest costs incurred</i> |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Warehouse constructed for Belardo's own use | \$20,000 |
| Special-order machine for sale to unrelated customer, produced according to customer's specifications | 9,000 |
| Inventories routinely manufactured, produced on a repetitive basis | 7,000 |

All of these assets required an extended period of time for completion. Assuming the effect of interest capitalization is material, what is the total amount of interest costs to be capitalized?

- a. \$0
- b. \$20,000
- c. \$29,000
- d. \$36,000

2N81#23. On July 1, 1980, Mundo Corporation purchased factory equipment for \$50,000. Salvage value was estimated at \$2,000. The equipment will be depreciated over ten years using the double-declining-balance method. Counting the year of acquisition as one-half year, Mundo should record 1981 depreciation expense of

- a. \$ 7,680
- b. \$ 9,000
- c. \$ 9,600
- d. \$10,000

2N81#31. On January 1, 1980, Yuki Yogurt Company decided to replace its obsolete refrigeration system with a more efficient one. The old system had a book value of \$9,000 and a fair market value of \$1,000. Yuki's new refrigeration system has a fair market value of \$190,000, for which Yuki paid \$189,000 after permitting the contractor to keep the old refrigeration equipment. How much should Yuki capitalize as the cost of the new refrigeration system?

- a. \$189,000
- b. \$190,000
- c. \$197,000
- d. \$198,000

2N81#34. Caravan Corporation owned a warehouse located in the path of a proposed highway. Caravan bought the land in 1940 for \$10,000. That same year, it built the warehouse at a cost of \$50,000. In 1980, after prolonged litigation, the state exercised its right of eminent domain and condemned the property, awarding Caravan \$200,000. Depreciation accumulated to the date of the award was \$45,000. On its 1980 federal

income tax return, Caravan elected not to recognize the gain since replacement property was bought for \$225,000. For income statement purposes, Caravan should recognize a gain in 1980 of

- a. \$0
- b. \$160,000
- c. \$185,000
- d. \$200,000

1M81#9. On January 1, 1979, Current Company purchased a new machine for \$5,000,000. The new machine has an estimated useful life of five years and the salvage value was estimated to be \$500,000. Current uses the sum-of-the-years'-digits method of depreciation. The amount of depreciation expense for 1980 (the second year) would be

- a. \$ 800,000
- b. \$1,200,000
- c. \$1,333,333
- d. \$1,500,000

1M81#11. On January 1, 1980, Richmond, Inc., signed a fixed-price contract to have Builder Associates construct a major plant facility at a cost of \$4,000,000. It was estimated that it would take three years to complete the project. Also on January 1, 1980, to finance the construction cost, Richmond borrowed \$4,000,000 payable in 10 annual installments of \$400,000, plus interest at the rate of 11%. During 1980 Richmond made deposit and progress payments totaling \$1,500,000 under the contract; the average amount of accumulated expenditures was \$650,000 for the year. The excess borrowed funds were invested in short-term securities, from which Richmond realized investment income of \$250,000. What amount should Richmond report as capitalized interest at December 31, 1980?

- a. \$ 71,500
- b. \$165,000
- c. \$190,000
- d. \$440,000

2M81#34. Electro Corporation bought a new machine and agreed to pay for it in equal annual installments of \$5,000 at the end of each of the next five years. Assume a prevailing interest rate of 15%. The present value of an ordinary annuity of \$1 at 15% for five periods is 3.35. The future amount of an ordinary annuity of \$1 at 15% for five periods is 6.74. The present value of \$1 at 15% for five periods is 0.5. How much should Electro record as the cost of the machine?

- a. \$12,500
- b. \$16,750
- c. \$25,000
- d. \$33,700

1N80#1. Shaw Company purchased a machine on January 1, 1978, for \$350,000. The machine has an estimated useful life of five years and a salvage value of \$50,000. The machine is being depreciated using the double-declining-balance method. The asset balance

net of accumulated depreciation at December 31, 1979, should be

- a. \$126,000
- b. \$158,000
- c. \$170,000
- d. \$224,000

2M80#10. The Hickory Company made a lump sum purchase of three pieces of machinery for \$115,000 from an unaffiliated company. At the time of acquisition, Hickory paid \$5,000 to determine the appraised value of the machinery. The appraisal disclosed the following values:

| | |
|-----------|----------|
| Machine A | \$70,000 |
| Machine B | 42,000 |
| Machine C | 28,000 |

What cost should be assigned to machines A, B, and C, respectively?

- a. \$40,000; \$40,000; \$40,000.
- b. \$57,500; \$34,500; \$23,000.
- c. \$60,000; \$36,000; \$24,000.
- d. \$70,000; \$42,000; \$28,000.

2M79#19. On April 1, 1978, Milo Corporation purchased for \$270,000 a tract of land on which were located a warehouse and office building. The following data were collected concerning the property:

| | <u>Current Assessed Valuation</u> | <u>Vendor's Original Cost</u> |
|-----------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Land | \$ 87,500 | \$ 70,000 |
| Warehouse | 37,500 | 40,000 |
| Office building | 100,000 | 90,000 |
| | <u>\$225,000</u> | <u>\$200,000</u> |

What are the appropriate amounts that Milo should record for the land, warehouse, and office building, respectively?

- a. Land, \$70,000; warehouse, \$40,000; office building, \$90,000.
- b. Land, \$87,500; warehouse, \$37,500; office building, \$100,000.
- c. Land, \$94,500; warehouse, \$54,000; office building, \$121,500.
- d. Land, \$105,000; warehouse, \$45,000; office building, \$120,000.

F. Capitalized Leased Assets

1N83#28. Barker Company leased a new machine from Bell Company on July 1, 1983, under a lease with the following pertinent information:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Lease term | 10 years |
| Annual rental payable at the beginning of each lease year | \$30,000 |
| Useful life of the machine | 12 years |

Selected Questions

| | |
|---|------|
| Implicit interest rate | 14% |
| Present value of an annuity of \$1 in advance for 10 periods at 14% | 5.95 |
| Present value of \$1 for 10 periods at 14% | 0.27 |

Barker has the option to purchase the machine on July 1, 1993, by paying \$40,000, which approximates the expected fair value of the machine on the option exercise date. The cost of the machine on Bell's accounting records is \$150,000. On July 1, 1983, Barker should record a capitalized leased asset of

- a. \$150,000
- b. \$178,500
- c. \$189,300
- d. \$190,000

2M83

Items 21 and 22 are based on the following information:

On January 2, 1982, Doe Company leased a new crane from Leasement Corp. under the following terms:

- Noncancellable for eight years
- Annual lease payments of \$10,000 beginning January 2, 1982, through January 2, 1989
- Nonrenewable
- Crane to be returned to Leasement on January 2, 1990

Doe properly recorded the crane as a "Leased asset — crane" in the amount of \$52,880, based on a 14% interest rate implicit in the lease. Leasement paid \$56,000 for the crane on December 31, 1981. The crane has an estimated useful life of ten years, with no salvage value. Both Doe and Leasement use the straight-line method of depreciation.

21. How much depreciation expense should Doe record in 1982 for "Leased asset — crane"?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 6,610
- c. \$ 7,000
- d. \$10,000

2M82#18. On January 2, 1982, Amadeo Corporation entered into a ten-year noncancellable lease requiring year-end payments of \$100,000. Amadeo's incremental borrowing rate is 12%, while the lessor's implicit interest rate, known to Amadeo, is 10%. Present value factors for an ordinary annuity for ten periods are 6.14457 at 10%, and 5.65022 at 12%. Ownership of the property remains with the lessor at expiration of the lease. There is no bargain purchase option. The leased property has an estimated economic life of 12 years. How much should be capitalized by Amadeo for this leased property?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 565,022
- c. \$ 614,457
- d. \$1,000,000

2N81#9. On January 2, 1980, Lafayette Machine Shops, Inc., signed a ten-year noncancellable lease for a heavy duty drill press, stipulating annual payments of \$15,000 starting at the end of the first year, with title passing to Lafayette at the expiration of the lease. Lafayette treated this transaction as a capital lease. The drill press has an estimated useful life of 15 years, with no salvage value. Lafayette uses straight-line depreciation for all of its fixed assets. Aggregate lease payments were determined to have a present value of \$92,170, based on implicit interest of 10%. For 1980, Lafayette should record

| | <i>Interest expense</i> | <i>Depreciation expense</i> |
|----|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| a. | \$0 | \$0 |
| b. | \$7,717 | \$6,145 |
| c. | \$9,217 | \$6,145 |
| d. | \$9,217 | \$9,217 |

2N81#28. On July 1, 1981, Molloy Corporation entered into a 10-year noncancellable lease with Macless, Inc., for a machine owned by Macless. The machine had a fair value of \$200,000 at inception of the lease, and an estimated useful life of 13 years. Present value of the minimum lease payments is \$120,000, and executory costs amounted to \$3,000. Molloy is obligated to return the machine to Macless upon expiration of the lease. No bargain purchase option is provided. How much should Molloy record as an asset and corresponding liability at the inception of this lease?

- a. \$0
- b. \$120,000
- c. \$123,000
- d. \$200,000

G. Intangibles

1N83#40. Metropal Football Company had a player contract with Allen that is recorded in its books at \$250,000 on July 1, 1983. Wildcat Football Company had a player contract with Baxter that is recorded in its books at \$300,000 on July 1, 1983. On this date Metropal traded Allen to Wildcat for Baxter and paid a cash difference of \$25,000. The fair value of the Baxter contract was \$350,000 on the exchange date. After the exchange, the Baxter contract should be recorded in Metropal's books at

- a. \$275,000
- b. \$300,000
- c. \$325,000
- d. \$350,000

1M83#4. On April 1, 1983, Union Company paid \$1,600,000 for all the issued and outstanding common stock of Cable Corporation in a transaction properly accounted for as a purchase. The recorded assets and liabilities of Cable on April 1, 1983, were as follows:

| | |
|-----------|-----------|
| Cash | \$160,000 |
| Inventory | 480,000 |

Accounting Practice

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Property, plant and equipment (net) | 960,000 |
| Liabilities | (360,000) |

On April 1, 1983, it was determined that Cable's inventory had a fair value of \$460,000, and the property, plant and equipment (net) had a fair value of \$1,040,000. What is the amount of goodwill resulting from the business combination?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 20,000
- c. \$300,000
- d. \$360,000

1M82#10. On January 1, 1982, Robert Harrison signed an agreement to operate as a franchisee of Perfect Pizza, Inc., for an initial franchise fee of \$40,000. Of this amount, \$15,000 was paid when the agreement was signed and the balance is payable in five annual payments of \$5,000 each beginning January 1, 1983. The agreement provides that the down payment is not refundable and no future services are required of the franchisor. Harrison's credit rating indicates that he can borrow money at 12% for a loan of this type. Information on present and future value factors is as follows:

| | |
|--|-------|
| Present value of \$1 at 12% for 5 periods | .567 |
| Future amount of \$1 at 12% for 5 periods | 1.762 |
| Present value of an ordinary annuity of \$1 at 12% for 5 periods | 3.605 |

Harrison should record the acquisition cost of the franchise on January 1, 1982, at

- a. \$29,175
- b. \$33,025
- c. \$40,000
- d. \$44,050

2M82#13. Howe Corporation bought a cola franchise from Pennington, Inc., on January 2, 1981, for \$100,000. A highly regarded independent research company estimated that the remaining useful life of the franchise was 50 years. Its unamortized cost on Pennington's books at January 1, 1981, was \$15,000. Howe has decided to write off the franchise over the longest possible period. How much should be amortized for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. \$ 375
- b. \$ 2,000
- c. \$ 2,500
- d. \$15,000

1N81#12. Sherwood Corporation incurred \$68,000 of research and development costs in its laboratory to develop a patent which was granted on January 2, 1980. Legal fees and other costs associated with registration of the patent totaled \$13,600. Sherwood estimates that the economic life of the patent will be eight years. What amount should Sherwood charge to patent amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 800
- c. \$ 1,700
- d. \$10,200

1N81#19. Evergreen Company purchased a patent on January 1, 1977, for \$178,500. The patent was being amortized over its remaining legal life of 15 years expiring on January 1, 1992. During 1980 Evergreen determined that the economic benefits of the patent would not last longer than ten years from the date of acquisition. What amount should be charged to patent amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 1980?

- a. \$10,500
- b. \$17,850
- c. \$20,400
- d. \$35,700

2N81#5. During 1975, Traco Machine Company spent \$176,000 on research and development costs for an invention. This invention was patented on January 2, 1976, at a nominal cost that was expensed in 1976. The patent had a legal life of 17 years and an estimated useful life of 8 years. In January 1980, Traco paid \$16,000 for legal fees in a successful defense of the patent. Amortization for 1980 should be

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 1,231
- c. \$ 4,000
- d. \$26,000

2N79#3. On November 30, 1978, Eagle, Incorporated, purchased for cash at \$25 per share all 300,000 shares of the outstanding common stock of Perch Company. Perch's balance sheet at November 30, 1978, showed a book value of \$6,000,000. Additionally, the fair value of Perch's property, plant, and equipment on November 30, 1978, was \$800,000 in excess of its book value. What amount, if any, will be shown in the balance sheet caption "Goodwill" in the November 30, 1978, consolidated balance sheet of Eagle, Incorporated, and its wholly owned subsidiary, Perch Company?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 700,000
- c. \$ 800,000
- d. \$1,500,000

H. Prepaid Expenses and Deferred Charges

1M83#6. Under Gerber Company's accounting system, all insurance premiums paid are debited to prepaid insurance. For interim financial statements, Gerber makes monthly estimated charges to insurance expense with an offset to prepaid insurance. Additional information for the year ended December 31, 1982, is as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Prepaid insurance at December 31, 1981 | \$150,000 |
| Charges to insurance expense during 1982 (including a year-end adjustment of \$25,000) | 625,000 |
| Unexpired insurance premiums at December 31, 1982 | 175,000 |

Selected Questions

What was the total amount of insurance premiums paid by Gerber during 1982?

- a. \$475,000
- b. \$600,000
- c. \$625,000
- d. \$650,000

2N81#30. On January 1, 1980, Ulmer Corporation incurred organization costs of \$12,000. For financial accounting purposes, Ulmer is amortizing these costs on the same basis as the maximum allowable for federal income tax purposes. What portion of the organization costs will Ulmer defer to years subsequent to 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 2,400
- c. \$ 9,600
- d. \$12,000

1M81#19. In 1980 Waldo Company paid the annual premiums of \$80,000 on officers' life insurance (on which the company is the beneficiary) and received interest income of \$120,000 on municipal obligations. Also in 1980 Waldo collected \$200,000 in royalties. For income tax reporting, the royalties are taxed when collected. For financial statement reporting, the royalties are recognized as income in the period earned. The unearned portion of the royalties collected in 1980 amounted to \$150,000 at December 31, 1980. Assuming that the income tax rate is 40%, what amount of deferred taxes would be recorded as a result of these transactions?

- a. \$ 60,000
- b. \$ 76,000
- c. \$ 96,000
- d. \$108,000

III. Valuation, Recognition, and Presentation of Liabilities in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

A. Payables and Accruals

1N83#24. On January 7, 1983, Dean Company discounted its own \$100,000, 180-day note at United National Bank at a discount rate of 20%. Dean repaid the note on the July 6, 1983, due date. Based on a 360-day year, the effective rate of interest on the borrowing was

- a. 18.2%
- b. 20.0%
- c. 22.2%
- d. 25.0%

1N83#30. Bronson Apparel, Inc., operates a retail store and must determine the proper December 31, 1982, year-end accrual for the following expenses:

- The store lease calls for fixed rent of \$1,000 per month, payable at the beginning of the month, and additional rent equal to 6% of net sales over \$200,000 per calendar year, payable on January 31 of the following year. Net sales for 1982 are \$800,000.
- Bronson has personal property subject to a city property tax. The city's fiscal year runs from July 1 to June 30 and the tax, assessed at 3% of personal property on hand at April 30, is payable on June 30. Bronson estimates that its personal property tax will amount to \$6,000 for the city's fiscal year ending June 30, 1983.

In its December 31, 1982, balance sheet, Bronson should report accrued expenses of

- a. \$39,000
- b. \$39,600
- c. \$51,000
- d. \$51,600

2N83#3. All of Rolf Co.'s employees are entitled to two weeks of paid vacation for each full year in Rolf's employ. Unused vacation time can be accumulated and

carried forward to succeeding years, and will be compensated at the salary in effect when the vacation is taken. Mary Beal started her employment with Rolf on January 1, 1976. As of December 31, 1982, when Beal's salary was \$500 per week, Beal had used ten weeks of her accumulated vacation time. In December 1982, Beal notified Rolf of Beal's intention to use her accumulated vacation weeks in June 1983. Rolf regularly scheduled salary adjustments in July of each year. Rolf properly did not deduct compensation for unused vacations in Rolf's 1982 income tax return. How much should Rolf report as a liability at December 31, 1982, for Beal's accumulated vacation time?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 500
- c. \$1,000
- d. \$2,000

1M83#1. The balance in Ashwood Company's accounts payable account at December 31, 1982, was \$900,000 before any necessary year-end adjustment relating to the following:

- Goods were in transit from a vendor to Ashwood on December 31, 1982. The invoice cost was \$50,000, and the goods were shipped F.O.B. shipping point on December 29, 1982. The goods were received on January 4, 1983.
- Goods shipped F.O.B. shipping point on December 20, 1982, from a vendor to Ashwood were lost in transit. The invoice cost was \$25,000. On January 5, 1983, Ashwood filed a \$25,000 claim against the common carrier.
- Goods shipped F.O.B. destination on December 21, 1982, from a vendor to Ashwood were received on January 6, 1983. The invoice cost was \$15,000.

Accounting Practice

What amount should Ashwood report as accounts payable on its December 31, 1982, balance sheet?

- a. \$925,000
- b. \$940,000
- c. \$950,000
- d. \$975,000

1M83#7. Morgan Company determined that: (1) it has a material obligation relating to employees' rights to receive compensation for future absences attributable to employees' services already rendered, (2) the obligation relates to rights that vest, and (3) payment of the compensation is probable. The amount of Morgan's obligation as of December 31, 1982, is reasonably estimated for the following employee benefits:

| | |
|--------------|-----------|
| Vacation pay | \$100,000 |
| Holiday pay | 25,000 |

What total amount should Morgan report as its liability for compensated absences in its December 31, 1982, balance sheet?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 25,000
- c. \$100,000
- d. \$125,000

1M83#9. The books of Curtis Company for the year ended December 31, 1982, showed income of \$360,000 before provision for income tax. In computing the taxable income for federal income tax purposes, the following differences were taken into account:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Depreciation deducted for tax purposes in excess of depreciation recorded on the books | \$16,000 |
| Income from installment sale reportable for tax purposes in excess of income recognized on the books | 12,000 |

Assuming a corporate income tax rate of 40%, what should Curtis record as its current federal income tax liability at December 31, 1982?

- a. \$137,600
- b. \$142,400
- c. \$144,000
- d. \$145,600

1M83#13. Dell Company sells its products in reusable, expensive containers. The customer is charged a deposit for each container delivered and receives a refund for each container returned within two years after the year of delivery. Dell accounts for the containers not returned within the time limit as being retired by sale

at the deposit amount. Information for 1982 is as follows:

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Containers held by customers at December 31, 1981, from deliveries in: | | |
| 1980 | \$ 50,000 | |
| 1981 | 145,000 | \$195,000 |
| | | |
| Containers delivered in 1982 | | 260,000 |
| Containers returned in 1982 from deliveries in: | | |
| 1980 | 30,000 | |
| 1981 | 85,000 | |
| 1982 | 95,000 | 210,000 |
| | | |

What amount should Dell report as a liability for returnable containers at December 31, 1982?

- a. \$165,000
- b. \$215,000
- c. \$225,000
- d. \$245,000

2M82#12. Lee Corporation has a noncontributory pension plan covering substantially all of its employees. Lee's policy is to fund pension costs as accrued. At December 31, 1981, the actuarially computed value of vested benefits equalled the cash on deposit with the trustee. The total pension expense for 1981 was \$900,000, which included normal cost of \$700,000 and amortization of past service cost of \$200,000. The unamortized balance of past service cost at December 31, 1981, was \$600,000. How much should appear on Lee's balance sheet at December 31, 1981, for pension liability?

- a. \$0
- b. \$200,000
- c. \$600,000
- d. \$900,000

2M82#20. Reynella Corporation commenced operations on January 1, 1981. For the year ended December 31, 1981, Reynella had pretax income of \$1,500,000, after accruing estimated warranty expense of \$570,000. Reynella's effective income tax rate was 40%, resulting in income tax payable of \$624,000 and deferred income tax of \$24,000 at December 31, 1981. What was the amount of actual warranty payments in 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$510,000
- c. \$570,000
- d. \$630,000

1M81#3. During 1978 Lawton Company introduced a new line of machines that carry a three-year warranty against manufacturer's defects. Based on industry experience, warranty costs are estimated at 2% of sales in the year of sale, 4% in the year after sale, and 6% in the second year after sale. Sales and actual warranty

Selected Questions

expenditures for the first three-year period were as follows:

| | <i>Sales</i> | <i>Actual warranty expenditures</i> |
|------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1978 | \$ 200,000 | \$ 3,000 |
| 1979 | 500,000 | 15,000 |
| 1980 | 700,000 | 45,000 |
| | <u>\$1,400,000</u> | <u>\$63,000</u> |

What amount should Lawton report as a liability at December 31, 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 5,000
- c. \$ 68,000
- d. \$105,000

1M81#7. On January 1, 1980, Pierce, Inc., adopted a noncontributory pension plan for all of its eligible employees. The plan requires Pierce to make annual payments to the designated trustee three months after the end of each year. The first payment was due on March 31, 1981. Information relating to the plan is as follows:

| | |
|--|------------|
| Normal cost for 1980 | \$ 200,000 |
| Past service cost at January 1, 1980 (unfunded) | 1,000,000 |
| Funds held by the trustee are expected to earn an 8% return: | |

Assuming that Pierce elects to maximize its pension expense in accordance with GAAP, what would be the amount of accrued pension expense at December 31, 1980?

- a. \$216,000
- b. \$280,000
- c. \$300,000
- d. \$380,000

2M81#9. Bold Company estimates its annual warranty expense at 2% of annual net sales. The following data are available:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Net sales for 1980 | <u>\$ 4,000,000</u> |
| Warranty liability account: | |
| December 31, 1979 | \$60,000 credit |
| Warranty payments during 1980 | 50,000 debit |

After recording the 1980 estimated warranty expense, the warranty liability account would show a December 31, 1980, balance of

- a. \$10,000
- b. \$70,000
- c. \$80,000
- d. \$90,000

2M81

Items 31 and 32 pertain to classification of short-term obligations expected to be refinanced, and are based on the following data:

Royal Corporation's liabilities at December 31, 1980, were as follows:

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Trade accounts payable | \$100,000 |
| 16% notes payable issued November 1, 1980, maturing July 1, 1981 | 30,000 |
| 14% debentures payable issued February 1, 1980; final installment due February 1, 1985; balance at December 31, 1980, including annual installment of \$50,000 due February 1, 1981 | <u>300,000</u> |
| | <u>\$430,000</u> |

Royal's December 31, 1980, financial statements were issued on March 31, 1981. On January 5, 1981, the entire \$300,000 balance of the 14% debentures was refinanced by issuance of a long-term obligation. In addition, on March 1, 1981, Royal consummated a non-cancellable agreement with the lender to refinance the 16% note payable on a long-term basis, on readily determinable terms that have not yet been implemented. Both parties are financially capable of honoring the agreement, and there have been no violations of any of the agreement's provisions.

31. The total amount of Royal's short-term obligations that may properly be excluded from current liabilities at December 31, 1980, is

- a. \$0
- b. \$30,000
- c. \$50,000
- d. \$80,000

32. Assume the same facts for Royal Corporation's liabilities, except that the agreement with the lender to refinance the 16% note payable on a long-term basis is cancellable at any time upon ten days' notice by the lender. The total amount of Royal's short-term obligations that may properly be excluded from current liabilities at December 31, 1980, is

- a. \$0
- b. \$30,000
- c. \$50,000
- d. \$80,000

2M80#12. A new product introduced by Maude Corporation carries a two-year warranty against defects. The estimated warranty costs related to dollar sales are as follows:

| | |
|-----------------|----|
| Year of sale | 3% |
| Year after sale | 5% |

Sales and actual warranty expenditures for the years ended December 31, 1978, and 1979 are as follows:

| | <u>Sales</u> | <u>Actual Warranty Expenditures</u> |
|------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1978 | \$400,000 | \$10,000 |
| 1979 | 500,000 | 35,000 |

What amount should Maude report as its estimated warranty liability as of December 31, 1979?

- a. \$ 2,000
- b. \$12,000
- c. \$27,000
- d. \$37,000

B. Deferred Revenues

1N83#4. Weaver Company sells magazine subscriptions for a one-year, two-year, or three-year period. Cash receipts from subscribers are credited to magazine subscriptions collected in advance, and this account had a balance of \$1,700,000 at December 31, 1981. Information for the year ended December 31, 1982, is as follows:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Cash receipts from subscribers | \$2,100,000 |
| Magazine subscriptions revenue (credited at 12/31/82) | 1,500,000 |

In its December 31, 1982, balance sheet, what amount should Weaver report as the balance for magazine subscriptions collected in advance?

- a. \$1,400,000
- b. \$1,900,000
- c. \$2,100,000
- d. \$2,300,000

1M83#16. On December 31, 1982, Tower Pizza, Inc., signed an agreement authorizing Greene Company to operate as a franchisee for an initial franchise fee of \$50,000. Of this amount, \$20,000 was received upon signing of the agreement and the balance is due in three annual payments of \$10,000 each beginning December 31, 1983. The agreement provides that the down payment (representing a fair measure of the services already performed by Tower) is not refundable and substantial future services are required of Tower. Greene's credit rating is such that collection of the note is reasonably certain. The present value at December 31, 1982, of the three annual payments discounted at 14% (the implicit rate for a loan of this type) is \$23,220. On December 31, 1982, Tower should record unearned franchise fees in respect of the Greene franchise of

- a. \$23,220
- b. \$30,000
- c. \$43,220
- d. \$50,000

1N82#5. Wright Company sells for cash major household appliance service contracts agreeing to service customers' appliances for a one-year, two-year, or three-year period. Cash receipts from contracts are credited to unearned service contract revenues and this account had a balance of \$1,440,000 at December 31, 1981, before year-end adjustment. Service contract costs are charged to service contract expense as incurred and this account had a balance of \$360,000 at December 31, 1981. Outstanding service contracts at December 31, 1981, expire as follows:

| | |
|-------------|-------------|
| During 1982 | — \$300,000 |
| During 1983 | — 450,000 |
| During 1984 | — 200,000 |

What amount should Wright report as unearned service contract revenues at December 31, 1981?

- a. \$ 490,000
- b. \$ 712,500
- c. \$ 950,000
- d. \$1,080,000

C. Deferred Income Tax Liabilities

1N83#29. On December 20, 1982, Sussex Corporation received a condemnation award of \$300,000 as compensation for the forced sale of a company plant with a book value of \$200,000. In its income tax return for the year ended December 31, 1982, Sussex elected to replace the condemned plant within the allowed replacement period. Accordingly, the \$100,000 gain was not reported as taxable income for 1982. Sussex has an effective income tax rate of 40% for 1982. In its December 31, 1982, balance sheet, what amount should Sussex report as a liability for deferred taxes on the above gain?

- a. \$60,000
- b. \$40,000
- c. \$20,000
- d. \$0

1N83#31. Martin Company began operations on January 1, 1981, and a substantial part of its sales are made on an installment basis. For financial reporting Martin recognizes revenues from all sales under the accrual method. However, on its income tax returns, Martin reports revenues from installment sales under the installment method. Information concerning gross profit from installment sales under each method is as follows:

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Accrual method</u> | <u>Installment method</u> |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| 1981 | \$400,000 | \$150,000 |
| 1982 | 650,000 | 350,000 |

For both years, assume the effective income tax rate is 40% and there are no other timing differences. In its

Selected Questions

December 31, 1982, balance sheet, Martin should report a liability for deferred taxes of

- a. \$220,000
- b. \$200,000
- c. \$180,000
- d. \$120,000

1M83#12. Saratoga, Inc., owns 75% of the voting common stock of its domestic subsidiary, Bell Corporation. During 1982 Bell reported earnings of \$150,000 and paid dividends of \$50,000. Saratoga assumes that all of the undistributed earnings of Bell will be distributed as dividends in future periods. Assuming that Saratoga's income tax rate is 40%, the amount of deferred tax to be reported for 1982 is

- a. \$ 4,500
- b. \$ 6,750
- c. \$30,000
- d. \$40,000

2M81#33. On January 2, 1978, Gow Corporation bought a press for \$22,000, with an estimated useful life of four years and a salvage value of \$6,000. Straight-line depreciation is used for financial statement purposes and the sum-of-the-years'-digits method is used for income tax purposes. Assuming an income tax rate of 50%, and no other timing differences, what amount should be reported in the balance sheet as deferred income taxes at December 31, 1980?

- a. \$ 400 debit.
- b. \$1,200 credit.
- c. \$1,600 credit.
- d. \$2,400 credit.

D. Capitalized Lease Liability

1N83#33. On December 31, 1982, Jackson Company leased a new machine from Nash Corporation. The following information relates to the lease transaction:

- The machine has an estimated useful life of seven years which coincides with the lease term.
- Lease rentals consist of seven equal annual payments of \$100,000, the first of which was paid on December 31, 1982.
- Nash's implicit interest rate is 12%, which is known by Jackson.
- Jackson's incremental borrowing rate is 14% at December 31, 1982.
- Present value of an annuity of \$1 in advance for seven periods at 12% is 5.11.
- Present value of an annuity of \$1 in advance for seven periods at 14% is 4.89.

At the inception of the lease, Jackson should record a capitalized lease liability of

- a. \$389,000
- b. \$489,000
- c. \$500,000
- d. \$511,000

1N82#1. Star Company leased a new machine from Fox Company on December 31, 1981, under a lease with the following pertinent information:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Lease term | 10 years |
| Annual rental payable at the beginning of each year | \$200,000 |
| Useful life of the machine | 15 years |
| Implicit interest rate | 10% |
| Present value of an annuity of \$1 in advance for 10 periods at 10% | 6.76 |
| Present value of \$1 for 10 periods at 10% | 0.39 |

Star has the option to purchase the machine on December 31, 1991, by paying \$250,000, which is significantly less than the \$500,000 expected fair market value of the machine on the option exercise date. Assume that, at the inception of the lease, the exercise of the option appears to be reasonably assured. At the inception of the lease, Star should record a capitalized lease liability of

- a. \$1,254,500
- b. \$1,352,000
- c. \$1,449,500
- d. \$1,547,000

1N82#8. Harris, Inc., leased equipment under a capital lease for a period of seven years, contracting to pay \$100,000 rent in advance at the start of the lease term on December 31, 1980, and \$100,000 annually on December 31 of each of the next six years. The present value at December 31, 1980, of the seven rent payments over the lease term discounted at 10% (the implicit interest rate) was \$535,000. Harris amortizes its liability under capital lease using the effective interest method. In its December 31, 1981, balance sheet, Harris should report a liability under capital lease of

- a. \$378,500
- b. \$391,500
- c. \$437,350
- d. \$500,000

1M82#8. Bond Company leased equipment from Howe, Inc., on December 31, 1980, for a ten-year period (the useful life of the asset) expiring December 30, 1990. Equal annual payments under the lease are \$100,000 and are due on December 31 of each year. The first payment was made on December 31, 1980, and the second payment was made on the due date. The present value at December 31, 1980, of the minimum lease payments over the lease term discounted at 10% (the implicit rate computed by Howe and known by Bond) was \$676,000. Bond's incremental borrowing rate was 12% at December 31, 1980. The lease is appropriately accounted for as a capital lease by Bond. What should be the balance in Bond's liability under capital lease account at December 31, 1981?

- a. \$533,600
- b. \$545,120
- c. \$607,960
- d. \$800,000

E. Bonds Payable

1N83#2. On January 1, 1982, Hansen, Inc., issued for \$939,000 one thousand of its 9%, \$1,000 bonds. The bonds were issued to yield 10%. The bonds are dated January 1, 1982, and mature on December 31, 1991. Interest is payable annually on December 31. Hansen uses the interest method of amortizing bond discount. In its December 31, 1982, balance sheet, Hansen should report unamortized bond discount of

- a. \$57,100
- b. \$54,900
- c. \$51,610
- d. \$51,000

1N83#5. On April 1, 1983, Girard Corporation issued at 98 plus accrued interest, two hundred of its 10%, \$1,000 bonds. The bonds are dated January 1, 1983, and mature on January 1, 1993. Interest is payable semiannually on January 1 and July 1. From the bond issuance Girard would realize net cash receipts of

- a. \$191,000
- b. \$196,000
- c. \$198,500
- d. \$201,000

1N83#7. On January 1, 1975, Gilson Corporation issued for \$1,030,000, one thousand of its 9%, \$1,000 callable bonds. The bonds are dated January 1, 1975, and mature on December 31, 1989. Interest is payable semiannually on January 1 and July 1. The bonds can be called by the issuer at 102 on any interest payment date after December 31, 1979. The unamortized bond premium was \$14,000 at December 31, 1982, and the market price of the bonds was 99 on this date. In its December 31, 1982, balance sheet, at what amount should Gilson report the carrying value of the bonds?

- a. \$1,020,000
- b. \$1,016,000
- c. \$1,014,000
- d. \$ 990,000

1M83#8. On December 31, 1981, Dumont Corporation had outstanding 8%, \$2,000,000 face value convertible bonds maturing on December 31, 1985. Interest is payable annually on December 31. Each \$1,000 bond is convertible into 60 shares of Dumont's \$10 par value common stock. The unamortized balance on December 31, 1982, in the premium on bonds payable account was \$45,000. On December 31, 1982, an individual holding 200 of the bonds exercised the conversion privilege when the market value of Dumont's common stock was \$18 per share. Using the book value method, Dumont's entry to record the conversion should include a credit to additional paid-in capital of

- a. \$ 80,000
- b. \$ 84,500
- c. \$ 96,000
- d. \$125,000

1M83#11. On July 1, 1976, Belmont Corporation issued for \$960,000, one thousand of its 9%, \$1,000 call-

able bonds. The bonds are dated July 1, 1976, and mature on July 1, 1986. Interest is payable semiannually on January 1 and July 1. Belmont uses the straight-line method of amortizing bond discount. The bonds can be called by the issuer at 101 at any time after June 30, 1981.

On July 1, 1982, Belmont called in all of the bonds and retired them. Ignoring income taxes, how much loss should Belmont report on this early extinguishment of debt for the year ended December 31, 1982?

- a. \$50,000
- b. \$34,000
- c. \$26,000
- d. \$10,000

1M83#19. On January 1, 1982, Jaffe Corporation issued at 95, five hundred of its 9%, \$1,000 bonds. Interest is payable semiannually on July 1 and January 1, and the bonds mature on January 1, 1992. Jaffe paid bond issue costs of \$20,000 which are appropriately recorded as a deferred charge. Jaffe uses the straight-line method of amortizing bond discount and bond issue costs. On Jaffe's December 31, 1982, balance sheet, the bonds payable should be reported at their carrying value of

- a. \$459,500
- b. \$477,500
- c. \$495,500
- d. \$522,500

2M83#20. On June 4, 1982, Xmar Corporation sold \$200,000 face amount of 12% bonds for \$198,000, with interest payable semiannually beginning December 3, 1982. Each \$1,000 bond had ten detachable warrants entitling the holder to buy one share of Xmar's common stock for each warrant surrendered, plus \$20 cash. Shortly after the bonds were sold, each bond was selling for \$1,000 without the warrants, while the warrants were selling for \$10 each. What portion of the \$198,000 proceeds should be credited to "Additional paid-in capital — warrants"?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 2,000
- c. \$18,000
- d. \$20,000

2N82#7. On January 1, 1976, Roper Corporation issued 2,000 of its 10%, \$1,000 bonds for \$2,080,000. These bonds were to mature on January 1, 1986, but were callable at 101 any time after December 31, 1980. Interest was payable semiannually on July 1 and January 1. On July 1, 1981, Roper called all of the bonds and retired them. Bond premium was amortized on a straight-line basis. Ignoring income taxes, how much was Roper's gain or loss in 1981 on this early extinguishment of debt?

- a. \$16,000 gain.
- b. \$20,000 loss.
- c. \$24,000 gain.
- d. \$60,000 gain.

Selected Questions

2N82#14. On July 1, 1982, Glendora Corporation issued \$1,000,000 of 10% nonconvertible bonds at 103, due June 30, 2002. Each \$1,000 bond was issued with 30 detachable stock warrants, each of which entitled the bondholder to buy one share of Glendora's \$10 par value common stock for \$25. On July 1, 1982, the market values of Glendora's common stock and warrants were \$30 and \$4, respectively. How much should Glendora record on July 1, 1982, as paid-in capital from stock warrants?

- a. \$ 30,000
- b. \$120,000
- c. \$150,000
- d. \$300,000

2N82#20. On July 1, 1982, Menzie Corporation sold a \$1,000,000, 20-year, 10% bond issue for \$1,060,000. Each \$1,000 bond had a detachable warrant eligible for the purchase of one share of Menzie's \$50 par value common stock for \$60. Immediately after sale of the bonds, Menzie's securities had the following market values:

| | |
|------------------------------|---------|
| 10% bond without warrants | \$1,040 |
| Warrants | 20 |
| Common stock, \$50 par value | 56 |

How much should Menzie credit to premium on bonds payable?

- a. \$0
- b. \$20,000
- c. \$40,000
- d. \$60,000

2M82#15. On January 1, 1981, when the market rate for bond interest was 14%, Luba Corporation issued bonds in the face amount of \$500,000, with interest at 12% payable semiannually. The bonds mature on December 31, 1990, and were issued at a discount of \$53,180. How much of the discount should be amortized by the interest method at July 1, 1981?

- a. \$1,277
- b. \$2,659
- c. \$3,191
- d. \$3,723

1N81#8. On December 31, 1979, Livonia Corporation had outstanding 7%, \$2,000,000 face value, 15-year bonds maturing on December 31, 1989. Interest is payable on June 30 and December 31. The unamortized balances on December 31, 1979, in the premium on bonds payable and deferred bond issue costs accounts were \$50,000 and \$25,000, respectively. Livonia reacquired all of these bonds at 95 on December 31, 1980. Livonia uses the straight-line method for the amortization of bond premium and bond issue costs. Ignoring income taxes, what is the amount of gain or loss that Livonia should report on this early extinguish-

ment of debt in its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1980?

- a. \$122,500 gain.
- b. \$122,500 loss.
- c. \$167,500 gain.
- d. \$167,500 loss.

2N81#29. On March 1, 1981, Harbour Corporation issued 10% debentures dated January 1, 1981, in the face amount of \$1,000,000, with interest payable on January 1 and July 1. The debentures were sold at par and accrued interest. How much should Harbour debit to cash on March 1, 1981?

- a. \$ 966,667
- b. \$ 983,333
- c. \$1,016,667
- d. \$1,033,333

1M81#16. On January 1, 1980, Battle Corporation sold at 97 plus accrued interest, two hundred of its 8%, \$1,000 bonds. The bonds are dated October 1, 1979, and mature on October 1, 1989. Interest is payable semiannually on April 1 and October 1. Accrued interest for the period October 1, 1979, to January 1, 1980, amounted to \$4,000. As a result, on January 1, 1980, Battle would record bonds payable, net of discount, at

- a. \$190,000
- b. \$194,000
- c. \$196,000
- d. \$198,000

2M81#21. Elba Corporation issued \$200,000 face amount of 8% bonds with interest payable on April 1 and October 1. The bonds were callable at 105. Interest and amortization of bond discount have been accounted for up to October 1, 1980, at which date the bonds were called. Unamortized bond discount on that date amounted to \$16,000. Ignoring the income tax effect, what was Elba's gain or loss on the bond retirement?

- a. \$ 6,000 gain.
- b. \$ 6,000 loss.
- c. \$10,000 loss.
- d. \$26,000 loss.

2N80#19. On March 1, 1979, Danna Corporation issued \$500,000 of 8% nonconvertible bonds at 103 which are due on February 28, 1999. In addition, each \$1,000 bond was issued with 30 detachable stock warrants, each of which entitled the bondholder to purchase, for \$50, one share of Danna common stock, par value \$25. On March 1, 1979, the fair market value of Danna's common stock was \$40 per share and the fair market value of each warrant was \$4. What amount of the proceeds from the bond issue should Danna record as an increase in stockholders' equity?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 15,000
- c. \$ 60,000
- d. \$375,000

2N80#20. On January 1, 1975, Gilbert Corporation issued \$1,200,000 of 6% ten-year bonds at 103. The bonds are callable at the option of Gilbert at 105. Gilbert has recorded amortization of the bond premium on the straight-line method (which was not materially different from the interest method).

On December 31, 1979, Gilbert repurchased \$600,000 of the bonds in the open market at 98. Gilbert has recorded interest and amortization for 1979. Ignoring income taxes and assuming that all amounts involved are material, Gilbert should report the gain from this reacquisition as

- a. Other income of \$21,000.
- b. An extraordinary gain of \$21,000.
- c. Other income of \$42,000.
- d. An extraordinary gain of \$42,000.

1M80#17. During 1979, Criterion Corporation issued at 105, two hundred \$1,000 bonds due in ten years. One detachable stock purchase warrant entitling the holder to buy 20 shares of Criterion's common stock was attached to each bond. Shortly after issuance, each bond had a market value of \$940, and each warrant was quoted at \$60. What amount, if any, of the proceeds from the bond issuance should be recorded as part of Criterion's stockholders' equity?

- a. \$0
- b. \$12,000
- c. \$12,600
- d. \$13,404

1M80#20. On March 1, 1980, Williams Corporation issued at 103 plus accrued interest, one hundred of its 9%, \$1,000 bonds. The bonds are dated January 1, 1980, and mature on January 1, 1990. Interest is payable semiannually on January 1 and July 1. Williams paid bond issue costs of \$5,000. Based on the information above, Williams would realize net cash receipts from the bond issuance of

- a. \$ 98,000
- b. \$ 99,500
- c. \$103,000
- d. \$104,500

2N79#14. The December 31, 1978, general ledger of The North Company contained an account "6% Bonds Payable." This account had a balance of \$95,000 as of that date. Further examination revealed that the bonds had a face value of \$100,000, with a yield of 8% and were issued at a discount. The amortization of the bond discount was recorded under the effective interest method. Interest was paid on January 1 and July 1 of each year. On July 1, 1979, several years before their maturity, North retired the bonds at 102, excluding accrued interest. What is the extraordinary loss that North should record on the early retirement of the bonds on July 1, 1979?

- a. \$4,200
- b. \$6,200
- c. \$7,000
- d. \$7,800

1M79#12. On December 1, 1978, the Simpson Company issued at 103, one hundred of its 5%, \$1,000 bonds. Attached to each bond was one detachable stock purchase warrant entitling the holder to purchase 10 shares of Simpson's common stock. On December 1, 1978, the market value of the bonds, without the stock purchase warrants, was 94, and the market value of each stock purchase warrant was \$60. The amount of the proceeds from the issuance that should be accounted for as the initial carrying value of the bonds payable would be

- a. \$ 94,000
- b. \$ 96,820
- c. \$ 97,000
- d. \$103,000

2M79#4. Spare Corporation had two issues of securities outstanding: common stock and a 5% convertible bond issue in the face amount of \$10,000,000. Interest payment dates of the bond issue are June 30th and December 31st. The conversion clause in the bond indenture entitles the bondholders to receive forty shares of \$20 par value common stock in exchange for each \$1,000 bond. On June 30, 1978, the holders of \$900,000 face value bonds exercised the conversion privilege. The market price of the bonds on that date was \$1,100 per bond and the market price of the common stock was \$35. The total unamortized bond discount at the date of conversion was \$500,000. In applying the book value method, what amount should Spare credit to the account "capital in excess of par," as a result of this conversion?

- a. \$135,000
- b. \$180,000
- c. \$460,000
- d. \$540,000

F. Long-Term Notes Payable

1N83#34. During 1982 Peterson Company experienced financial difficulties and is likely to default on a \$500,000, 15%, three-year note dated January 1, 1981, payable to Forest National Bank. On December 31, 1982, the bank agreed to settle the note and unpaid interest of \$75,000 for 1982 for \$50,000 cash and marketable securities having a current market value of \$375,000. Peterson's acquisition cost of the securities is \$385,000. Ignoring income taxes, what amount should Peterson report as a gain from the debt restructuring in its 1982 income statement?

- a. \$ 65,000
- b. \$ 75,000
- c. \$140,000
- d. \$150,000

1M81#15. Stark, Inc., has \$1,000,000 of notes payable due June 15, 1981. At the financial statement date of December 31, 1980, Stark signed an agreement to borrow up to \$1,000,000 to refinance the notes payable on a long-term basis. The financing agreement called for borrowings not to exceed 80% of the value of the col-

Selected Questions

lateral Stark was providing. At the date of issue of the December 31, 1980, financial statements the value of the collateral was \$1,200,000 and was not expected to fall below this amount during 1981. On the December 31, 1980, balance sheet, Stark should classify

- a. \$40,000 of notes payable as short-term and \$960,000 as long-term obligations.
- b. \$200,000 of notes payable as short-term and \$800,000 as long-term obligations.
- c. \$1,000,000 of notes payable as short-term obligations.
- d. \$1,000,000 of notes payable as long-term obligations.

G. Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

1M83#10. Starr Trading Stamp Company records stamp service revenue and provides for the cost of redemptions in the year stamps are furnished to licensees. Starr's past experience indicates that only 90% of the stamps sold to licensees will be redeemed. Starr's liability for stamp redemptions was \$18,000,000 at December 31, 1981. Additional information for 1982 is as follows:

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Stamp service revenue from stamps furnished to licensees | \$10,000,000 |
| Cost of redemptions | \$ 8,500,000 |
| Estimated cost of future redemptions as a percentage of stamps redeemable | 60% |

What amount should Starr report as a liability for stamp redemptions at December 31, 1982?

- a. \$ 9,500,000
- b. \$14,900,000
- c. \$18,500,000
- d. \$19,500,000

2M83#8. On March 1, 1982, a suit was filed against Dean Company for patent infringement. Dean's legal counsel believes an unfavorable outcome is probable, and estimates that Dean will have to pay between \$500,000 and \$900,000 in damages. However, Dean's legal counsel is of the opinion that \$600,000 is a better estimate than any other amount in the range. The situation was unchanged when the December 31, 1982, financial statements were released on February 24, 1983. How much of a liability should Dean report on its balance sheet at December 31, 1982, in connection with this suit?

- a. \$0
- b. \$500,000
- c. \$600,000
- d. \$900,000

1N82#7. In an effort to increase sales, Mills Company inaugurated a sales promotional campaign on June 30, 1981. Mills placed a coupon redeemable for a premium in each package of cereal sold. Each premium costs Mills \$1 and five coupons must be presented by a cus-

tomer to receive a premium. Mills estimated that only 60% of the coupons issued will be redeemed. For the six months ended December 31, 1981, the following information is available:

| Packages of cereal sold | Premiums purchased | Coupons redeemed |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 1,600,000 | 120,000 | 400,000 |

What is the estimated liability for premium claims outstanding at December 31, 1981?

- a. \$ 80,000
- b. \$112,000
- c. \$144,000
- d. \$192,000

2N82#15. Warren Waste Products Company carries a \$5,000,000 comprehensive public liability policy which contains a \$50,000 deductible clause. A personal injury liability suit was brought against Warren in 1981, which probably will be settled for \$75,000. How much should appear on Warren's December 31, 1981, balance sheet for contingent liabilities?

- a. \$0
- b. \$25,000
- c. \$50,000
- d. \$75,000

1N81#1. Blake Foods Corporation mails coupons to consumers which may be presented by a stated expiration date at retail food stores to obtain discounts on certain Blake products. Retailers are reimbursed for the face value of coupons redeemed, plus 10% of coupon value as compensation for handling costs. Blake honors requests for coupon redemption by retailers received up to three months after the consumer expiration date. In Blake's experience, 60% of the coupons issued ultimately are redeemed. Information with respect to the two separate series of coupons issued by Blake during 1980 is as follows:

| | Series A | Series B |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Consumer expiration date | June 30, 1980 | December 31, 1980 |
| Total face value of coupons issued | \$100,000 | \$200,000 |
| Total payments to retailers as of December 31, 1980 | \$ 60,500 | \$ 40,500 |

What amount should Blake report as a liability for unredeemed coupons at December 31, 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$79,500
- c. \$91,500
- d. \$97,000

2N81#12. On January 10, 1981, an explosion and fire occurred at Staren Chemical Corporation's plant, causing extensive property damage to neighboring buildings. On March 1, 1981, Staren's management and attorneys concluded that \$2,000,000 would be a rea-

sonable estimate of liability for damages, although no claims had yet been asserted against Staren in connection with the accident. Of the \$2,000,000 potential liability, only \$500,000 was covered by insurance. In Staren's December 31, 1980, financial statements, which were issued on April 1, 1981, how should this item be reported?

- As a footnote disclosure indicating the possible loss of \$1,500,000.
- As an accrued liability of \$1,500,000, with a corresponding direct charge to retained earnings.
- As an accrued liability of \$2,000,000, with a corresponding charge to income.
- As an accrued liability of \$1,500,000, with a corresponding charge to income.

- \$18,000
- \$42,000

2M81#7. Fulton Cereal Company inaugurated a new sales promotional program. For every 10 cereal box tops returned to the company, customers receive an attractive prize. Fulton estimates that only 30% of the cereal box tops reaching the consumer market will be redeemed.

Additional information is as follows:

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Amounts</u> |
|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Sales of cereal boxes | 2,000,000 | \$1,400,000 |
| Purchase of prizes | 36,000 | 18,000 |
| Prizes distributed to customers | 28,000 | |

At the end of its year, Fulton recognized a liability equal to the estimated cost of potential prizes outstanding. What is the amount of this estimated liability?

- \$ 4,000
- \$16,000

1N80#2. Taylor Company was involved in a tax dispute with the Internal Revenue Service at the close of its year ended December 31, 1979. The company's tax counsel believes that an unfavorable outcome is probable. A reasonable estimate of additional tax payments is in the range between \$300,000 and \$800,000, but \$500,000 is a better estimate than any other amount in that range. The situation was unchanged when the financial statements were issued on March 5, 1980. What amount of additional taxes should be accrued and charged to income in 1979?

- \$0
- \$300,000
- \$500,000
- \$800,000

1M80#4. A truck owned and operated by Green Company was involved in an accident with an auto driven by White on November 15, 1979. Green received notice on January 10, 1980, of a lawsuit for \$750,000 damages for a personal injury suffered by White. The company counsel believes it is probable that the plaintiff will be successful against the company for an estimated amount of \$250,000. Counsel also believes there is a chance the plaintiff will be awarded as much as \$350,000. Green's accounting year ends on December 31, and the 1979 financial statements were issued on March 15, 1980. What amount of loss, if any, must be accrued by a charge to income in 1979?

- \$0
- \$250,000
- \$350,000
- \$750,000

IV. Ownership Structure, Presentation, and Valuation of Equity Accounts in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

A. Preferred and Common Stock

2M83#34. The stockholders' equity section of Peter Corporation's balance sheet at December 31, 1982, was as follows:

| | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Common stock (\$10 par value, authorized 1,000,000 shares, issued and outstanding 900,000 shares) | \$ 9,000,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,700,000 |
| Retained earnings | 1,300,000 |
| Total stockholders' equity | <u><u>\$13,000,000</u></u> |

On January 2, 1983, Peter purchased and retired 100,000 shares of its stock for \$1,800,000. Immediately

after retirement of these 100,000 shares, the balances in the additional paid-in capital and retained earnings accounts should be

| | <u>Additional paid-in capital</u> | <u>Retained earnings</u> |
|----|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| a. | \$ 900,000 | \$1,300,000 |
| b. | \$1,400,000 | \$ 800,000 |
| c. | \$1,900,000 | \$1,300,000 |
| d. | \$2,400,000 | \$ 800,000 |

1N82#4. During 1980 Bradley Corporation issued for \$110 per share, 5,000 shares of \$100 par value convertible preferred stock. One share of preferred stock can be converted into three shares of Bradley's \$25 par value common stock at the option of the preferred

Selected Questions

shareholder. On December 31, 1981, all of the preferred stock was converted into common stock. The market value of the common stock at the conversion date was \$40 per share. What amount should be credited to the common stock account on December 31, 1981?

- a. \$375,000
- b. \$500,000
- c. \$550,000
- d. \$600,000

2N82#5. Ventura Corporation was organized on January 1, 1981, with the following capital structure:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 10% cumulative preferred stock, par and liquidation value \$100; authorized, issued, and outstanding 1,000 shares | \$100,000 |
| Common stock, par value \$5; authorized 20,000 shares; issued and outstanding 10,000 shares | 50,000 |

Ventura's net income for the year ended December 31, 1981, was \$450,000, but no dividends were declared. How much was Ventura's book value per common share at December 31, 1981?

- a. \$44
- b. \$45
- c. \$49
- d. \$50

2M81#20. Maple Corporation's stockholders' equity at June 30, 1980, consisted of the following:

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Preferred stock, 10%, \$50 par value; liquidating value \$55 per share; 20,000 shares issued and outstanding | \$1,000,000 |
| Common stock, \$10 par value; 500,000 shares authorized; 150,000 shares issued and outstanding | 1,500,000 |
| Retained earnings | 500,000 |

The book value per share of common stock is

- a. \$10.00
- b. \$12.67
- c. \$13.33
- d. \$17.65

2M81#22. Pine Corporation's stockholders' equity at December 31, 1980, consisted of the following:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Cumulative preferred stock, 6%, \$100 par value; 1,000 shares issued and outstanding | \$100,000 |
| Common stock, \$10 par value; 300,000 shares authorized; 50,000 shares issued and outstanding | 500,000 |
| Retained earnings | 90,000 |

Dividends have not been declared on the preferred stock for the years 1976 through 1980. The book value per share of common stock is

- a. \$10.00
- b. \$11.20
- c. \$11.80
- d. \$14.12

B. Additional Paid-in Capital

2N81#21. Goodel Corporation was organized on January 1, 1980, with authorized capital of 500,000 shares of \$10 par value common stock. During 1980, Goodel had the following transactions affecting stockholders' equity:

January 10 — Issued 10,000 shares @ \$12 per share

May 8 — Purchased 1,000 shares of treasury stock @ \$13 per share

September 10 — Sold 1,000 shares of treasury stock @ \$14 per share

Goodel used the cost method for recording treasury stock transactions. What is the amount of additional paid-in capital at December 31, 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 1,000
- c. \$20,000
- d. \$21,000

2N81#22. On July 14, 1981, JX Corporation exchanged 1,000 shares of its \$8 par value common stock for a plot of land. JX's common stock is listed on the NYSE and traded at an average price of \$21 per share on July 14. The land was appraised by independent real estate appraisers on July 14 at \$23,000. As a result of this exchange, JX's additional paid-in capital will increase by

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 8,000
- c. \$13,000
- d. \$15,000

C. Retained Earnings and Dividends

2N83#6. The following information pertains to a property dividend of marketable securities, declared by Tyson Corp.:

| | <i>Fair value</i> |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Declaration date—December 20, 1982 | \$300,000 |
| Record date—January 10, 1983 | 310,000 |
| Distribution date—January 28, 1983 | 305,000 |

Accounting Practice

Carrying value of the securities on Tyson's books was \$200,000. How much gain should Tyson recognize in 1982 as a result of this property dividend?

- a. \$0
- b. \$100,000
- c. \$105,000
- d. \$110,000

2M83#5. During 1983, Olsen Company discovered that the ending inventories reported on its financial statements were understated as follows:

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Understatement</u> |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| 1980 | \$50,000 |
| 1981 | \$60,000 |
| 1982 | \$0 |

Olsen ascertains year-end quantities on a periodic inventory system. These quantities are converted to dollar amounts using the FIFO cost flow method. Assuming no other accounting errors, Olsen's retained earnings at December 31, 1982, will be

- a. Correct.
- b. \$ 60,000 understated.
- c. \$ 60,000 overstated.
- d. \$110,000 understated.

1N82#9. On September 30, 1982, Grey Company issued 3,000 shares of its \$10 par common stock in connection with a stock dividend. No entry was made on the stock dividend declaration date. The market value per share immediately after issuance was \$15. Grey's stockholders' equity accounts immediately before issuance of the stock dividend shares were as follows:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Common stock, \$10 par; 50,000 shares authorized; 20,000 shares outstanding | \$200,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 300,000 |
| Retained earnings | 350,000 |

What should be the retained earnings balance immediately after the stock dividend?

- a. \$305,000
- b. \$320,000
- c. \$327,500
- d. \$350,000

2N82#1. Anton Corporation's retained earnings at December 31, 1981, amounted to \$1,000,000. On that date Anton declared a property dividend. The property to be distributed had a carrying value of \$100,000 and a fair market value of \$180,000 at the declaration date. How much gain should Anton recognize as a result of this distribution?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 80,000
- c. \$100,000
- d. \$180,000

1N81#11. Effective April 27, 1981, the stockholders of Bennett Corporation approved a two-for-one split of the company's common stock, and an increase in authorized common shares from 100,000 shares (par value \$20 per share) to 200,000 shares (par value \$10 per share). Bennett's stockholders' equity accounts immediately before issuance of the stock split shares were as follows:

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Common stock, par value \$20; 100,000 shares authorized; 50,000 shares outstanding | \$1,000,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital (premium of \$3 per share on issuance of common stock) | 150,000 |
| Retained earnings | 1,350,000 |

What should be the balances in Bennett's additional paid-in capital and retained earnings accounts immediately after the stock split is effected?

| | <u>Additional paid-in capital</u> | <u>Retained earnings</u> |
|----|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a. | \$0 | \$ 500,000 |
| b. | \$ 150,000 | \$ 350,000 |
| c. | \$ 150,000 | \$1,350,000 |
| d. | \$1,150,000 | \$ 350,000 |

2N81#18. George Corporation declared a cash dividend of \$10,000 on January 17, 1981. This dividend was payable to stockholders of record on February 10, 1981, and payment was made on March 2, 1981. As a result of this cash dividend, working capital will increase (decrease) on

| | <u>January 17</u> | <u>February 10</u> |
|----|-------------------|--------------------|
| a. | \$ 0 | \$0 |
| b. | \$ 10,000 | \$0 |
| c. | \$(10,000) | \$0 |
| d. | \$(10,000) | \$10,000 |

2N81#19. Doe Corporation owned 1,000 shares of Spun Corporation. These shares were purchased in 1977 for \$9,000. On September 15, 1981, Doe declared a property dividend of one share of Spun for every ten shares of Doe held by a stockholder. On that date, when the market price of Spun was \$14 per share, there were 9,000 shares of Doe outstanding. What gain and net reduction in retained earnings would result from this property dividend?

| | <u>Gain</u> | <u>Net reduction in retained earnings</u> |
|----|-------------|---|
| a. | \$0 | \$ 8,100 |
| b. | \$0 | \$12,600 |
| c. | \$4,500 | \$ 3,600 |
| d. | \$4,500 | \$ 8,100 |

2N81#20. On June 30, 1980, Rickert Corporation declared and issued a 10% common stock dividend. Prior

Selected Questions

to this dividend, Rickert had 10,000 shares of \$5 par value common stock issued and outstanding. The market price of Rickert's common stock on June 30 was \$12 per share. As a result of this stock dividend, by what amount should Rickert's total stockholders' equity increase (decrease)?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 5,000
- c. \$ 7,000
- d. \$(12,000)

2N81#38. On July 1, 1981, Boulevard Corporation split its common stock 4 for 1, when the market value was \$80 per share. Prior to the split, Boulevard had 50,000 shares of \$12 par value common stock issued and outstanding. After the split, the par value of the stock

- a. Remained the same.
- b. Was reduced by \$3 per share.
- c. Was reduced to \$3 per share.
- d. Was reduced by \$4 per share.

2M81#13. On December 31, 1979, the stockholders' equity section of Mercedes Corporation was as follows:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Common stock, par value \$5; authorized 30,000 shares; issued and outstanding, 9,000 shares | \$ 45,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 58,000 |
| Retained earnings | 73,000 |
| Total stockholders' equity | \$176,000 |

On April 1, 1980, the board of directors declared a 10% stock dividend, and accordingly 900 additional shares were issued, when the fair market value of the stock was \$8 per share. For the three months ended March 31, 1980, Mercedes sustained a net loss of \$16,000.

What amount should Mercedes report as retained earnings as of April 1, 1980?

- a. \$49,800
- b. \$52,500
- c. \$54,300
- d. \$57,000

2N80#5. Sprint Company has 1,000,000 shares of common stock authorized with a par value of \$3 per share, of which 600,000 shares are outstanding. When the market value was \$8 per share, Sprint issued a stock dividend whereby for each six shares held one share was issued as a stock dividend. The par value of the stock was not changed. What entry should Sprint make to record this transaction?

| | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| a. Retained earnings | \$300,000 | |
| Common stock | | \$300,000 |
| b. Additional paid-in capital | 300,000 | |
| Common stock | | 300,000 |

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| c. Retained earnings | 800,000 | |
| Common stock | | 300,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 500,000 |
| d. Additional paid-in capital | 800,000 | |
| Common stock | | 300,000 |
| Retained earnings | | 500,000 |

2N80#6. The following information was abstracted from the accounts of the Oar Corporation at December 31, 1979:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Total income since incorporation | \$840,000 |
| Total cash dividends paid | 260,000 |
| Proceeds from sale of donated stock | 90,000 |
| Total value of stock dividends distributed | 60,000 |
| Excess of proceeds over cost of treasury stock sold | 140,000 |

What should be the current balance of retained earnings?

- a. \$520,000
- b. \$580,000
- c. \$610,000
- d. \$670,000

2N80

Items 7 and 8 are based on the following information:

The Shannon Corporation began operations on January 1, 1978. Financial statements for the years ended December 31, 1978, and 1979, contained the following errors:

| | | <i>December 31,</i> | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | | <i>1978</i> | <i>1979</i> |
| Ending inven- tory | \$16,000 understated | | \$15,000 overstated |
| Depreciation expense | \$ 6,000 understated | | — |
| Insurance expense | \$10,000 overstated | | \$10,000 understated |
| Prepaid in- surance | \$10,000 understated | | — |

In addition, on December 31, 1979, fully depreciated machinery was sold for \$10,800 cash, but the sale was not recorded until 1980. There were no other errors during 1978 or 1979 and no corrections have been made for any of the errors.

7. Ignoring income taxes, what is the total effect of the errors on 1979 net income?

- a. Net income overstated by \$30,200.
- b. Net income overstated by \$11,000.
- c. Net income overstated by \$5,800.
- d. Net income understated by \$1,800.

Accounting Practice

8. Ignoring income taxes, what is the total effect of the errors on the amount of working capital at December 31, 1979?

- a. Working capital overstated by \$4,200.
- b. Working capital understated by \$5,800.
- c. Working capital understated by \$6,000.
- d. Working capital understated by \$9,800.

Dividends on preferred stock have been in arrears for 1977 and 1978. On December 31, 1979, a total cash dividend of \$90,000 was declared. What are the amounts of dividends payable on both the common and preferred stock, respectively?

- a. \$57,600 and \$32,400.
- b. \$62,400 and \$27,600.
- c. \$67,200 and \$22,800.
- d. \$72,000 and \$18,000.

1M79#13. Cash dividends on the \$10 par value common stock of Ray Company were as follows:

| | |
|---------------------|------------|
| 1st quarter of 1978 | \$ 800,000 |
| 2nd quarter of 1978 | 900,000 |
| 3rd quarter of 1978 | 1,000,000 |
| 4th quarter of 1978 | 1,100,000 |

The 4th quarter cash dividend was declared on December 20, 1978, to stockholders of record on December 31, 1978. Payment of the 4th quarter cash dividend was made on January 9, 1979.

In addition, Ray declared a 5% stock dividend on its \$10 par value common stock on December 1, 1978, when there were 300,000 shares issued and outstanding and the market value of the common stock was \$20 per share. The shares were issued on December 21, 1978.

What was the effect on Ray's stockholders' equity accounts as a result of the above transactions?

| | <i>Common Stock</i> | <i>Additional Paid-In Capital</i> | <i>Retained Earnings</i> |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| a. \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$3,800,000 debit | |
| b. \$150,000 credit | \$ 0 | \$3,950,000 debit | |
| c. \$150,000 credit | \$150,000 credit | \$4,100,000 debit | |
| d. \$300,000 credit | \$300,000 debit | \$3,800,000 debit | |

D. Treasury Stock and Other Contra Accounts

1M83#15. Victor Corporation was organized on January 2, 1982, with 100,000 authorized shares of \$10 par value common stock. During 1982 Victor had the following capital transactions:

- January 5—issued 75,000 shares at \$14 per share.
- December 27—purchased 5,000 shares at \$11 per share.

Victor used the par value method to record the purchase of the treasury shares. What would be the balance in the paid-in capital from treasury stock account at December 31, 1982?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 5,000
- c. \$15,000
- d. \$20,000

1N82#10. The stockholders' equity account balances of Rice Corporation as of December 31, 1981, are as follows:

2N80#12. The following changes in account balances of the Marvel Corporation during 1979 are presented below:

| | <i>Increase</i> |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Assets | \$356,000 |
| Liabilities | 108,000 |
| Capital stock | 240,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 24,000 |

Assuming there were no charges to retained earnings other than for a dividend payment of \$52,000, the net income for 1979 should be

- a. \$16,000
- b. \$36,000
- c. \$52,000
- d. \$68,000

2N80#16. On June 30, 1979, the stockholders' equity section of Comet Corporation was as follows:

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Common stock, par value \$25; authorized 500,000 shares; issued and outstanding 300,000 shares | \$ 7,500,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,400,000 |
| Retained earnings | 1,890,000 |
| | \$10,790,000 |

On July 1, 1979, the board of directors of Comet declared a 5% stock dividend on common stock, to be distributed on August 10, 1979, to shareholders of record on July 31, 1979. The market price of Comet's common stock on each of these dates was as follows:

| | |
|-----------|------|
| July 1 | \$30 |
| July 31 | 31 |
| August 10 | 32 |

What is the amount of the charge to retained earnings as a result of the declaration and distribution of this stock dividend?

- a. \$375,000
- b. \$450,000
- c. \$465,000
- d. \$480,000

2M80#13. The Culture Corporation had the following classes of stock outstanding as of December 31, 1979:

Common stock, \$20 par value, 20,000 shares outstanding.
Preferred stock, 6%, \$100 par value, cumulative and fully participating, 1,000 shares outstanding.

Selected Questions

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Common stock, \$10 par; 50,000 shares authorized; 25,000 shares issued | \$250,000 |
| Paid-in capital in excess of par | 50,000 |
| Retained earnings | 100,000 |
| Less treasury stock, 2,000 shares at cost | <u>(32,000)</u> |
| Total stockholders' equity | <u>\$368,000</u> |

On January 4, 1982, Rice sold the treasury shares on the open market at \$20 per share. The entry to record this sale on Rice's books should include a credit to

- a. Gain from sale of treasury stock of \$8,000.
- b. Paid-in capital from treasury stock of \$8,000.
- c. Retained earnings of \$8,000.
- d. Paid-in capital from treasury stock of \$12,000.

2M81#6. An analysis of the stockholders' equity of Barton Corporation as of January 1, 1980, is as follows:

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Common stock, par value \$20; authorized 200,000 shares; issued and outstanding, 120,000 shares | \$2,400,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 280,000 |
| Retained earnings | <u>1,540,000</u> |
| Total | <u>\$4,220,000</u> |

Barton uses the cost method of accounting for treasury stock and during 1980 recorded the following transactions:

- Acquired 2,000 shares of its stock for \$70,000
- Sold 1,200 treasury shares at \$40 per share
- Retired the remaining treasury shares

Assuming no other equity transactions occurred during 1980, what should Barton report at December 31, 1980, as additional paid-in capital?

- a. \$274,000
- b. \$280,000
- c. \$304,000
- d. \$316,000

2N80#4. Newton Corporation was organized on January 1, 1977. On that date it issued 200,000 shares of its \$10 par value common stock at \$15 per share (400,000 shares were authorized). During the period January 1, 1977, through December 31, 1979, Newton reported net income of \$750,000 and paid cash dividends of \$380,000. On January 5, 1979, Newton purchased 12,000 shares of its common stock at \$12 per share. On December 31, 1979, 8,000 treasury shares were sold at \$8 per share. Newton used the cost method of accounting for treasury shares. What is the total stockholders' equity of Newton as of December 31, 1979?

- a. \$3,290,000
- b. \$3,306,000
- c. \$3,338,000
- d. \$3,370,000

2M80#16. Theodore Corporation's stockholders' equity section of its December 31, 1978, balance sheet was as follows:

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| Common stock, authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued 900,000 shares; outstanding 800,000 shares; \$10 par value | \$ 9,000,000 |
| Capital in excess of par | 2,200,000 |
| Retained earnings | 5,600,000 |
| Less shares held in treasury, 100,000 shares at cost | <u>(800,000)</u> |
| Total stockholders' equity | <u>\$16,000,000</u> |

During 1979 Theodore reissued 50,000 shares of the treasury stock at \$12 per share. No other treasury stock transactions occurred during 1979. What amount and type of income should be reported on this transaction on the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 1979?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$100,000 ordinary income.
- c. \$200,000 ordinary income.
- d. \$200,000 extraordinary income.

2M80#19. Jordon Corporation has 80,000 shares of \$50 par value common stock authorized, issued and outstanding. All 80,000 shares were issued at \$55 per share. Retained earnings of the company amounts to \$160,000. If 1,000 shares of Jordon common stock are reacquired at \$62 and the par value method of accounting for treasury stock is used, stockholders' equity would decrease by

- a. \$0
- b. \$50,000
- c. \$55,000
- d. \$62,000

1N79#20. On August 1, 1979, Winston Company reacquired 4,000 shares of its \$15 par value common stock for \$18 per share. Winston uses the cost method to account for treasury stock. What journal entry should Winston make to record the acquisition of treasury stock?

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| a. Treasury stock | \$60,000 | |
| Additional paid-in capital | \$12,000 | |
| Cash | | \$72,000 |
| b. Treasury stock | 60,000 | |
| Retained earnings | 12,000 | |
| Cash | | 72,000 |
| c. Retained earnings | 72,000 | |
| Cash | | 72,000 |
| d. Treasury stock | 72,000 | |
| Cash | | 72,000 |

2N79#8. Jenny Corporation was organized on January 1, 1978, with an authorization of 500,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$5 per share.

During 1978 the corporation had the following capital transactions:

- January 5 — issued 100,000 shares @ \$5 per share
- April 6 — issued 50,000 shares @ \$7 per share
- June 8 — issued 15,000 shares @ \$10 per share
- July 28 — purchased 25,000 shares @ \$4 per share
- December 31 — sold the 25,000 shares held in treasury @ \$8 per share

Jenny used the par value method to record the purchase and reissuance of the treasury shares.

What is the amount of paid-in capital in excess of par value as of December 31, 1978?

- a. \$175,000
- b. \$200,000
- c. \$250,000
- d. \$275,000

2M79#1. Presented below is the stockholders' equity section of Caper Corporation at December 31, 1977:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Common stock, par value \$20; authorized 50,000 shares; issued and outstanding 30,000 shares | \$600,000 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 150,000 |
| Retained earnings | 230,000 |
| | \$980,000 |

During 1978 the following transactions occurred relating to stockholders' equity:

- 1,000 shares were reacquired at \$28 per share.
- 900 shares were reacquired at \$30 per share.
- 1,500 shares of treasury stock were sold at \$32 per share.

For the year ended December 31, 1978, Caper reported net income of \$110,000. The state in which Caper is incorporated places a restriction on the distribution of retained earnings equal to the cost of treasury stock. Assuming Caper accounts for treasury stock under the cost method, what should it report as total stockholders' equity on its December 31, 1978, balance sheet?

- a. \$1,071,000
- b. \$1,078,000
- c. \$1,083,000
- d. \$1,090,000

E. Stock Options, Warrants, and Rights

1N82#17. On January 1, 1981, Stoner Corporation granted stock options to key employees for the purchase of 10,000 shares of the company's common stock at \$25 per share. The options are intended to compensate employees for the next two years. The options are exercisable within a four-year period beginning January 1, 1983, by grantees still in the employ of the company. The market price of Stoner's common stock was \$32 per share at the date of grant. Stoner plans to distribute up to 10,000 shares of treasury stock when options are exercised. The treasury stock was acquired by Stoner

during 1980 at a cost of \$28 per share and was recorded under the cost method. Assume that no stock options were terminated during the year. How much should Stoner charge to compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. \$70,000
- b. \$35,000
- c. \$30,000
- d. \$15,000

2M81

Items 10 and 11 are based on the following information:

On January 1, 1980, Karva Company granted James Dean, the president, an option to purchase 1,000 shares of Karva's \$30 par value common stock at \$40 per share. The option becomes exercisable on January 1, 1982, after Dean has completed two years of service.

10. Assume that the quoted market prices of Karva's \$30 par value common stock were as follows:

| | |
|-------------------|------|
| January 1, 1980 | \$40 |
| December 31, 1980 | 55 |

As a result of the option granted to Dean, Karva should recognize compensation expense in 1980 of

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 5,000
- c. \$ 7,500
- d. \$15,000

11. Assume that the quoted market prices of Karva's \$30 par value common stock were as follows:

| | |
|-------------------|------|
| January 1, 1980 | \$45 |
| December 31, 1980 | 55 |

As a result of the option granted to Dean, Karva should recognize compensation expense in 1980 of

- a. \$0
- b. \$2,500
- c. \$5,000
- d. \$7,500

2M81#12. On July 1, 1980, Metaro Corporation purchased for \$108,000, 2,000 shares of Jean Corporation's newly issued 6% cumulative \$20 par value preferred stock. Each share also had one stock warrant attached, which entitled the holder to acquire, at \$19, one share of Jean \$10 par value common stock for each two warrants held. On July 2, 1980, the market price of the preferred stock (without warrants) was \$50 per share and the market price of the stock warrants was \$10 per warrant. On September 1, 1980, Metaro sold all the stock warrants for \$19,800.

What should be the gain on the sale of the stock warrants?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 800
- c. \$1,800
- d. \$9,800

Selected Questions

F. Reorganization and Change in Entity

1N83#8. Scott Company filed a voluntary bankruptcy petition on June 25, 1982, and the statement of affairs reflects the following amounts:

| | <i>Book carrying amount</i> | <i>Estimated current value</i> |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Assets: | | |
| Assets pledged with fully secured creditors | \$160,000 | \$190,000 |
| Assets pledged with partially secured creditors | 90,000 | 60,000 |
| Free assets | 200,000 | 140,000 |
| | <u>\$450,000</u> | <u>\$390,000</u> |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Liabilities with priority | \$ 20,000 | |
| Fully secured creditors | 130,000 | |
| Partially secured creditors | 100,000 | |
| Unsecured creditors | 260,000 | |
| | <u>\$510,000</u> | |

Assume that the assets are converted into cash at the estimated current values and the business is liquidated. What total amount of cash should the partially secured creditors receive?

- a. \$ 60,000
- b. \$ 84,000
- c. \$ 90,000
- d. \$100,000

2M83#12. Following is the condensed balance sheet of Fine Products, an individual proprietorship, at December 31, 1982:

| | |
|--------------------------|------------------|
| Current assets | \$100,000 |
| Equipment | 200,000 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (120,000) |
| | <u>\$180,000</u> |
| Liabilities | \$ 40,000 |
| Silvia Fine, Capital | 140,000 |
| | <u>\$180,000</u> |

Fair market values of assets at December 31, 1982, were as follows:

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| Current assets | \$110,000 |
| Equipment | 290,000 |

The liabilities were fairly stated at book values. On January 2, 1983, the proprietorship was incorporated, with 2,000 shares of \$20 par value common stock issued. How much should be credited to additional paid-in capital?

- a. \$100,000
- b. \$140,000
- c. \$320,000
- d. \$360,000

2N82

Items 3 and 4 are based on the following data:

On March 1, 1982, Agront Corporation issued 10,000 shares of its \$1 par value common stock for all of the outstanding stock of Barcelo Corporation, when the fair market value of Agront's stock was \$50 per share. In addition, Agront made the following payments in connection with this business combination:

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Finder's and consultants' fees | \$20,000 |
| SEC registration costs | 7,000 |

3. If this business combination is treated as a pooling of interests, how much should be recorded as business combination expenses in 1982?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 7,000
- c. \$20,000
- d. \$27,000

4. If this business combination is treated as a purchase, Agront's acquisition cost would be capitalized at

- a. \$0
- b. \$500,000
- c. \$520,000
- d. \$527,000

1N81#13. On January 1, 1980, Platt Company issued 200,000 additional shares of \$5 par value voting common stock in exchange for all of Drew Company's voting common stock in a business combination appropriately accounted for by the pooling of interests method. Immediately before the business combination, the total stockholders' equity of Platt was \$16,000,000 and of Drew was \$4,000,000. Net income for the year ended December 31, 1980, was \$1,500,000 for Platt, exclusive of any consideration of Drew, and \$450,000 for Drew. During 1980, Platt paid \$750,000 in dividends to its stockholders. The consolidated stockholders' equity at December 31, 1980, should be

- a. \$17,750,000
- b. \$19,250,000
- c. \$21,200,000
- d. \$21,950,000

2N81

Items 36 and 37 are based on the following data:

On January 1, 1981, Rolan Corporation issued 10,000 shares of common stock in exchange for all of Sandin Corporation's outstanding stock. Condensed balance sheets of Rolan and Sandin immediately prior to the combination are as follows:

| | <i>Rolan</i> | <i>Sandin</i> |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Total assets | <u>\$1,000,000</u> | <u>\$500,000</u> |
| Liabilities | \$ 300,000 | \$150,000 |
| Common stock (\$10 par) | 200,000 | 100,000 |
| Retained earnings | 500,000 | 250,000 |
| Total equities | <u>\$1,000,000</u> | <u>\$500,000</u> |

Accounting Practice

Rolan's common stock had a market price of \$60 per share on January 1, 1981. The market price of Sandin's stock was not readily ascertainable.

36. Assuming that the combination of Rolan and Sandin qualifies as a purchase, Rolan's investment in Sandin's stock will be stated in Rolan's balance sheet immediately after the combination in the amount of

- a. \$100,000
- b. \$350,000
- c. \$500,000
- d. \$600,000

37. Assuming that the combination of Rolan and Sandin qualifies as a pooling of interests, rather than as a purchase, what should be reported as retained earnings in the consolidated balance sheet immediately after the combination?

- a. \$500,000
- b. \$600,000
- c. \$750,000
- d. \$850,000

1M81#20. Livingston Corporation has incurred losses from operations for several years. At the recommendation of the newly hired president, the board of directors voted to implement a quasi-reorganization, subject to stockholder approval. Immediately prior to the restatement, on June 30, 1980, Livingston's balance sheet was as follows:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Current assets | \$ 550,000 |
| Property, plant and equipment (net) | 1,350,000 |
| Other assets | 200,000 |
| | <u>\$2,100,000</u> |
| Total liabilities | \$ 600,000 |
| Common stock | 1,600,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 300,000 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | (400,000) |
| | <u>\$2,100,000</u> |

The stockholders approved the quasi-reorganization effective July 1, 1980, to be accomplished by a reduction in other assets of \$150,000, a reduction in property, plant and equipment (net) of \$350,000, and appropriate adjustment to the capital structure. To implement the quasi-reorganization, Livingston should reduce the common stock account in the amount of

- a. \$0
- b. \$100,000
- c. \$400,000
- d. \$600,000

2N80

Items 17 and 18 are based on the following information:

The Gaston Company has sustained heavy losses over a period of time and conditions warrant that Gaston undergo a quasi-reorganization at December 31, 1979.

Selected balance sheet items prior to the quasi-reorganization are as follows:

- Inventory was recorded in the accounting records at December 31, 1979, at its market value of \$6,000,000. Cost was \$6,500,000.
- Property, plant and equipment was recorded in the accounting records at December 31, 1979, at \$12,000,000, net of accumulated depreciation. The appraised value was \$8,000,000.
- Stockholders' equity on December 31, 1979, was as follows:

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| Common stock, par value \$10 per share; authorized, issued and outstanding, 700,000 shares | \$7,000,000 |
| Capital in excess of par | 1,600,000 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | (900,000) |
| | <u>\$7,700,000</u> |

- Under the terms of the quasi-reorganization, the par value of the common stock is to be reduced from \$10 per share to \$5 per share.

17. Immediately after the quasi-reorganization has been accomplished, the total of stockholders' equity should be

- a. \$3,300,000
- b. \$3,500,000
- c. \$3,700,000
- d. \$4,200,000

18. Immediately after the quasi-reorganization has been accomplished, retained earnings (deficit) should be

- a. \$0
- b. \$ (200,000)
- c. \$(4,400,000)
- d. \$(4,900,000)

1M80#2. On June 30, 1979, Needle Corporation purchased for cash at \$10 per share all 100,000 shares of the outstanding common stock of Thread Company. The total appraised value of identifiable assets less liabilities of Thread was \$1,400,000 at June 30, 1979, including the appraised value of Thread's property, plant, and equipment (its only noncurrent asset) of \$250,000. The consolidated balance sheet of Needle Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary at June 30, 1979, should reflect

- a. A deferred credit (negative goodwill) of \$150,000.
- b. Goodwill of \$150,000.
- c. A deferred credit (negative goodwill) of \$400,000.
- d. Goodwill of \$400,000.

1M80#3. The Troy Corporation was organized to consolidate the resources of Able Company and Baker, Inc., in a business combination appropriately accounted

Selected Questions

for by the pooling of interests method. On January 1, 1980, Troy issued 65,000 shares of its \$10 par value voting stock in exchange for all of the outstanding capital stock of Able and Baker. The equity account balances of Able and Baker on this date were:

| | <i>Able</i> | <i>Baker</i> | <i>Total</i> |
|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Par value of common stock | \$150,000 | \$450,000 | \$600,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 20,000 | 55,000 | 75,000 |
| Retained earnings | 110,000 | 210,000 | 320,000 |
| | \$280,000 | \$715,000 | \$995,000 |

What is the balance in Troy's "Additional Paid-in Capital" account immediately after the business combination?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 25,000
- c. \$ 75,000
- d. \$395,000

1N79#3. On December 1, 1978, Drew Company issued shares of its voting common stock in exchange for all of the voting common stock of Art Company in a business combination appropriately accounted for by the pooling of interests method. Net income for each company is as follows:

| | <i>Drew</i> | <i>Art</i> |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 12 months ended | | |
| December 31, 1978 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,200,000 |
| 1 month ended | | |
| December 31, 1978 | 220,000 | 115,000 |

During 1978 Drew paid \$900,000 in dividends to its stockholders. Art had paid \$500,000 in dividends to its stockholders in September 1978. Assuming that the net income of Drew given above does not include the equity in net income of Art, the consolidated net income for the year ended December 31, 1978, should be

- a. \$ 335,000
- b. \$2,115,000
- c. \$2,700,000
- d. \$3,200,000

2N79#17. During 1978 the Henderson Company purchased the net assets of John Corporation for \$800,000. On the date of the transaction, John had **no** long-term investments in marketable securities and had \$100,000 of liabilities. The fair value of John's assets when acquired were as follows:

| | |
|-------------------|-------------|
| Current assets | \$ 400,000 |
| Noncurrent assets | 600,000 |
| | \$1,000,000 |

How should the \$100,000 difference between the fair

value of the net assets acquired (\$900,000) and the cost (\$800,000) be accounted for by Henderson?

- a. The \$100,000 difference should be credited to retained earnings.
- b. The noncurrent assets should be recorded at \$500,000.
- c. The current assets should be recorded at \$360,000, and the noncurrent assets should be recorded at \$540,000.
- d. A deferred credit of \$100,000 should be set up and then amortized to income over a period not to exceed forty years.

1M79#3. On December 31, 1977, Kim, Inc., had 2,000,000 shares of authorized \$10 par value voting common stock of which 1,600,000 were issued and outstanding. On December 1, 1978, Kim issued 250,000 additional shares of its \$10 par value voting common stock in exchange for all 100,000 shares of Terry Company's outstanding \$20 par value voting common stock in a business combination appropriately accounted for by the pooling of interests method. The market value of Kim's voting common stock was \$30 per share on the date of the business combination. What is the total **consolidated** common stock issued and outstanding for Kim and its subsidiary, Terry, at December 31, 1978?

- a. \$17,000,000
- b. \$18,500,000
- c. \$22,500,000
- d. \$55,500,000

2M79#8. On January 1, 1978, Harry Corporation sold equipment costing \$2,000,000 with accumulated depreciation of \$500,000 to Anna Corporation, its wholly owned subsidiary, for \$1,800,000. Harry was depreciating the equipment on the straight-line method over twenty years with **no** salvage value, which Anna continued. In consolidation at December 31, 1978, the cost and accumulated depreciation, respectively, should be

- a. \$1,500,000 and \$100,000
- b. \$1,800,000 and \$100,000
- c. \$2,000,000 and \$100,000
- d. \$2,000,000 and \$600,000

G. Partnerships

2M83#11. Luca and Mira formed a partnership on July 1, 1982, and contributed the following assets:

| | <i>Luca</i> | <i>Mira</i> |
|--------|-------------|-------------|
| Cash | \$65,000 | \$100,000 |
| Realty | | 300,000 |

The realty was subject to a mortgage of \$25,000, which was assumed by the partnership. The partnership agreement provides that Luca and Mira will share profits and

Accounting Practice

losses in the ratio of one-third and two-thirds, respectively. Mira's capital account at July 1, 1982, should be

- a. \$400,000
- b. \$391,667
- c. \$375,000
- d. \$310,000

1N82

Items 11 and 12 are based on the following information:

The following condensed balance sheet is presented for the partnership of Cooke, Dorry, and Evans who share profits and losses in the ratio of 4:3:3, respectively:

| | |
|------------------|------------------|
| Cash | \$ 90,000 |
| Other assets | 820,000 |
| Cooke, loan | 30,000 |
| | <u>\$940,000</u> |
| | |
| Accounts payable | \$210,000 |
| Evans, loan | 40,000 |
| Cooke, capital | 300,000 |
| Dorry, capital | 200,000 |
| Evans, capital | 190,000 |
| | <u>\$940,000</u> |

11. Assume that the assets and liabilities are fairly valued on the balance sheet and the partnership decides to admit Fisher as a new partner with a one-fourth interest. No goodwill or bonus is to be recorded. How much should Fisher contribute in cash or other assets?

- a. \$172,500
- b. \$175,000
- c. \$230,000
- d. \$233,333

12. Assume that instead of admitting a new partner, the partners decide to liquidate the partnership. If the other assets are sold for \$600,000, how much of the available cash should be distributed to Cooke?

- a. \$170,000
- b. \$182,000
- c. \$212,000
- d. \$300,000

2N81#24. Cicci and Arias are partners who share profits and losses in the ratio of 7:3, respectively. On October 5, 1980, their respective capital accounts were as follows:

| | |
|-------|-----------------|
| Cicci | \$35,000 |
| Arias | <u>30,000</u> |
| | |
| Total | <u>\$65,000</u> |

On that date they agreed to admit Soto as a partner with a one-third interest in the capital and profits and losses, upon his investment of \$25,000. The new part-

nership will begin with a total capital of \$90,000. Immediately after Soto's admission, what are the capital balances of Cicci, Arias, and Soto, respectively?

- a. \$30,000; \$30,000; \$30,000
- b. \$31,500; \$28,500; \$30,000
- c. \$31,667; \$28,333; \$30,000
- d. \$35,000; \$30,000; \$25,000

2N81#25. On June 30, 1981, the balance sheet for the partnership of Coll, Maduro, and Prieto, together with their respective profit and loss ratios, were as follows:

| | |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Assets, at cost | \$180,000 |
| Coll, loan | \$ 9,000 |
| Coll, capital (20%) | 42,000 |
| Maduro, capital (20%) | 39,000 |
| Prieto, capital (60%) | 90,000 |
| | <u>\$180,000</u> |
| | |
| Total | <u>\$180,000</u> |

Coll has decided to retire from the partnership. By mutual agreement, the assets are to be adjusted to their fair value of \$216,000 at June 30, 1981. It was agreed that the partnership would pay Coll \$61,200 cash for Coll's partnership interest, including Coll's loan which is to be repaid in full. No goodwill is to be recorded. After Coll's retirement, what is the balance of Maduro's capital account?

- a. \$36,450
- b. \$39,000
- c. \$45,450
- d. \$46,200

2N81#26. The following condensed balance sheet is presented for the partnership of Alexander, Bell and Graham, who share profits and losses in the ratio of 6:2:2, respectively:

| | |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Cash | \$ 80,000 |
| Other assets | 280,000 |
| | <u>\$360,000</u> |
| | |
| Liabilities | \$140,000 |
| Alexander, capital | 100,000 |
| Bell, capital | 100,000 |
| Graham, capital | 20,000 |
| | <u>\$360,000</u> |

The partners agreed to liquidate the partnership after selling the other assets. If the other assets are sold for \$160,000, how much should Alexander receive upon liquidation?

- a. \$ 25,000
- b. \$ 26,000
- c. \$ 28,000
- d. \$100,000

2N81#27. On July 1, 1981, Motta and Puleo formed a partnership, agreeing to share profits and losses in

Selected Questions

the ratio of 4:6, respectively. Motta contributed a parcel of land that cost him \$25,000. Puleo contributed \$50,000 cash. The land was sold for \$50,000 on July 1, 1981, four hours after formation of the partnership. How much should be recorded in Motta's capital account on formation of the partnership?

- a. \$10,000
- b. \$20,000
- c. \$25,000
- d. \$50,000

2M80#4. James Dixon, a partner in an accounting firm, decided to withdraw from the partnership. Dixon's share of the partnership profits and losses was 20%. Upon withdrawing from the partnership he was paid \$74,000 in final settlement for his interest. The total of the partners' capital accounts **before** recognition of partnership goodwill prior to Dixon's withdrawal was \$210,000. After his withdrawal the remaining partners' capital accounts, excluding their share of goodwill, totaled \$160,000. The total agreed upon goodwill of the firm was

- a. \$120,000
- b. \$140,000
- c. \$160,000
- d. \$250,000

2M80

Items 8 and 9 are based on the following information:

Presented below is the condensed balance sheet of the partnership of Kane, Clark and Lane who share profits and losses in the ratio of 6:3:1, respectively:

| | |
|----------------|------------------|
| Cash | \$ 85,000 |
| Other assets | 415,000 |
| | <u>\$500,000</u> |
| Liabilities | \$ 80,000 |
| Kane, capital | 252,000 |
| Clark, capital | 126,000 |
| Lane, capital | 42,000 |
| | <u>\$500,000</u> |

8. The assets and liabilities on the above balance sheet are fairly valued and the partnership wishes to admit Bayer with a 25% interest in the capital and profits/losses **without** recording goodwill or bonus. How much should Bayer contribute in cash or other assets?

- a. \$ 70,000
- b. \$105,000
- c. \$125,000
- d. \$140,000

9. Assume that the partners agree instead to sell Bayer 20% of their respective capital and profit and loss interests for a total payment of \$90,000. The payment by Bayer is to be made directly to the individual partners. The partners agree that implied goodwill is to be recorded prior to the acquisition by Bayer. What are the capital balances of Kane, Clark and Lane, respectively, after the acquisition by Bayer?

- a. \$198,000; \$ 99,000; \$33,000
- b. \$201,600; \$100,800; \$33,600
- c. \$216,000; \$108,000; \$36,000
- d. \$255,600; \$127,800; \$42,600

V. Measurement and Presentation of Income and Expense Items, Their Relationship to Matching and Periodicity, and Their Relationship to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

A. Sales or Revenues

1N83#26. On July 1, 1982, Diamond, Inc., paid \$1,000,000 for 100,000 shares (40%) of the outstanding common stock of Ashley Corporation. At that date the net assets of Ashley totaled \$2,500,000 and the fair values of all of Ashley's identifiable assets and liabilities were equal to their book values. Ashley reported net income of \$500,000 for the year ended December 31, 1982, of which \$300,000 was for the six months ended December 31, 1982. Ashley paid cash dividends of \$250,000 on September 30, 1982. In its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1982, what amount of income should Diamond report from its investment in Ashley?

- a. \$ 80,000
- b. \$100,000
- c. \$120,000
- d. \$200,000

1N83#32. On December 27, 1982, Holden Company sold a building, receiving as consideration a \$400,000 noninterest bearing note due in three years. The build-

ing cost \$380,000 and the accumulated depreciation was \$160,000 at the date of sale. The prevailing rate of interest for a note of this type was 12%. The present value of \$1 for three periods at 12% is 0.71. In its 1982 income statement, how much gain or loss should Holden report on the sale?

- a. \$ 20,000 gain.
- b. \$ 64,000 gain.
- c. \$ 96,000 loss.
- d. \$180,000 gain.

1N83#37. On January 1, 1982, Kiner Company formed a foreign branch. The branch purchased merchandise at a cost of 720,000 local currency units (LCU) on February 15, 1982. The purchase price was equivalent to \$180,000 on this date. The branch's inventory at December 31, 1982, consisted solely of merchandise purchased on February 15, 1982, and amounted to 240,000 LCU. The exchange rate was 6 LCU to \$1 on December 31, 1982, and the average rate of exchange was 5 LCU to \$1 for 1982. Assume that the LCU is the functional currency of the branch. In Kiner's December 31, 1982,

Accounting Practice

balance sheet, the branch inventory balance of 240,000 LCU should be translated into United States dollars at

- a. \$40,000
- b. \$48,000
- c. \$60,000
- d. \$84,000

2N83#2. Adams Construction Co. uses the percentage-of-completion method of accounting. During 1982, Adams contracted to build an apartment house for Roper for \$10,000,000. Adams estimated that total costs would amount to \$8,000,000 over the period of construction. In connection with this contract, Adams incurred \$1,000,000 of construction costs during 1982. Adams billed and collected \$1,500,000 from Roper in 1982. How much gross profit should Adams recognize in 1982?

- a. \$300,000
- b. \$250,000
- c. \$187,500
- d. \$125,000

1M83#17. Wildwood Company's usual sales terms are net 60 days, F.O.B. shipping point. Sales, net of returns and allowances, totaled \$2,000,000 for the year ended December 31, 1982, before year-end adjustment. Additional information is as follows:

- Goods with an invoice amount of \$40,000 were billed to a customer on January 3, 1983. The goods were shipped on December 31, 1982.
- On January 5, 1983, a customer notified Wildwood that goods billed and shipped to it on December 21, 1982, were lost in transit. The invoice amount was \$50,000.
- On December 27, 1982, Wildwood authorized a customer to return, for full credit, goods shipped and billed at \$25,000 on December 15, 1982. The returned goods were received by Wildwood on January 4, 1983, and a \$25,000 credit memo was issued on the same date.

Wildwood's adjusted net sales for 1982 should be

- a. \$1,965,000
- b. \$1,975,000
- c. \$1,990,000
- d. \$2,015,000

2M83#9. Empire Corporation owns an office building and leases the offices under a variety of rental agreements involving rent paid monthly in advance and rent paid annually in advance. Not all tenants make timely payments of their rent. Empire's balance sheets contained the following information:

| | <i>1982</i> | <i>1981</i> |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Rentals receivable | \$3,100 | \$2,400 |
| Unearned rentals | 6,000 | 8,000 |

During 1982, Empire received \$20,000 cash from tenants. How much rental revenue should Empire record for 1982?

- a. \$17,300
- b. \$18,700
- c. \$21,300
- d. \$22,700

2M83

Items 21 and 22 are based on the following information:

On January 2, 1982, Doe Company leased a new crane from Leasement Corp. under the following terms:

- Noncancellable for eight years
- Annual lease payments of \$10,000 beginning January 2, 1982, through January 2, 1989
- Nonrenewable
- Crane to be returned to Leasement on January 2, 1990

Doe properly recorded the crane as a "Leased asset — crane" in the amount of \$52,880, based on a 14% interest rate implicit in the lease. Leasement paid \$56,000 for the crane on December 31, 1981. The crane has an estimated useful life of ten years, with no salvage value. Both Doe and Leasement use the straight-line method of depreciation.

22. How much interest income should Leasement recognize in 1982?

- a. \$10,000
- b. \$ 7,403
- c. \$ 6,003
- d. \$0

2M83

Items 38 through 40 are based on the following information:

The general ledger of Rosson Corporation showed the following investments at January 1, 1982:

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| Common stock: | |
| Joyce Corp. (2,000 shares) | \$ 100,000 |
| James Corp. (8,000 shares) | 400,000 |
| Real estate: | |
| Vacant lot #4 (leased to Whit Corp.) | 1,000,000 |
| Other: | |
| Textbook, <i>Ancient Accounting</i> (original preparation and printing costs) | 80,000 |
| Total investments | <u>\$1,580,000</u> |

Rosson owns 2% of Joyce and 30% of James. A majority of Rosson's directors are also directors of James. The Whit lease is for ten years, starting December 31, 1980, at an annual rental of \$60,000. In addition, Whit paid a nonrefundable rental deposit of \$100,000 on December 31, 1980, as well as a security deposit of

Selected Questions

\$50,000 to be refunded upon expiration of the lease. *Ancient Accounting*, a textbook written by Rosson's personnel in 1979, was sold to Endless Hall, Inc., for royalties of 20% of sales. Royalties are payable semi-annually on April 30 (for sales in July through December of the previous year) and on October 31 (for sales in January through June of the same year).

During the year ended December 31, 1982, Rosson received cash dividends of \$2,000 from Joyce and \$24,000 from James, whose 1982 net incomes were \$80,000 and \$200,000, respectively. Rosson also received \$60,000 of rent from Whit in 1982, and the following royalty checks from Endless:

| | <i>April 30</i> | <i>October 31</i> | |
|------|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 1981 | \$12,000 | \$15,000 | |
| 1982 | 10,000 | 13,000 | |

Endless estimated that sales of *Ancient Accounting* would total \$70,000 for the last half of 1982.

38. How much dividend income should Rosson report in its 1982 income statement?
- a. \$0
 - b. \$ 2,000
 - c. \$26,000
 - d. \$61,600

39. How much rental revenue should Rosson report in its 1982 income statement?
- a. \$0
 - b. \$60,000
 - c. \$70,000
 - d. \$75,000

40. How much royalty revenue should Rosson report in its 1982 income statement?
- a. \$23,000
 - b. \$25,000
 - c. \$26,000
 - d. \$27,000

1N82#14. On April 1, 1980, Pine Construction Company entered into a fixed-price contract to construct an apartment building for \$6,000,000. Pine appropriately accounts for this contract under the percentage-of-completion method. Information relating to the contract is as follows:

| | <i>At December 31, 1980</i> | <i>At December 31, 1981</i> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Percentage of completion | 20% | 60% |
| Estimated costs at completion | \$4,500,000 | \$4,800,000 |
| Income recognized (cumulative) | \$ 300,000 | \$ 720,000 |

What is the amount of contract costs incurred during the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. \$1,200,000
- b. \$1,920,000
- c. \$1,980,000
- d. \$2,880,000

2N82#13. Tollner Company sold a machine to Snead Corporation on January 1, 1980, for which the cash sales price was \$379,100. Snead entered into an installment sales contract with Tollner, calling for annual payments of \$100,000 for five years, including interest at 10%. The first payment was due on December 31, 1980. How much interest income should be recorded by Tollner in 1981?

- a. \$27,910
- b. \$31,701
- c. \$37,910
- d. \$50,000

1M82#18. On January 1, 1981, Gray Company sold a building which cost \$190,000 and had accumulated depreciation of \$80,000 on the date of sale. Gray received as consideration a \$200,000 non-interest-bearing note due on January 1, 1984. There was no established exchange price for the building, and the note had no ready market. The prevailing rate of interest for a note of this type at January 1, 1981, was 10%. The present value of \$1 at 10% for three periods is 0.75. What amount of interest income should be included in Gray's 1981 income statement?

- a. \$ 6,750
- b. \$15,000
- c. \$16,667
- d. \$20,000

1M82#19. Melville Company leased equipment from Rice Corporation on July 1, 1981, for an eight-year period expiring June 30, 1989. Equal payments under the lease are \$600,000 and are due on July 1 of each year. The first payment was made on July 1, 1981. The rate of interest contemplated by Melville and Rice is 10%. The cash selling price of the equipment is \$3,520,000 and the cost of the equipment on Rice's accounting records is \$2,800,000. Assuming that the lease is appropriately recorded as a sales-type lease, what is the amount of profit on the sale and interest income that Rice should record for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. \$0 and \$0.
- b. \$0 and \$146,000.
- c. \$720,000 and \$146,000.
- d. \$720,000 and \$160,000.

2M82#1. On November 30, 1980, Tyrola Publishing Company, located in Colorado, executed a contract with Ernest Blyton, an author from Canada, providing for payment of 10% royalties on Canadian sales of Blyton's book. Payment is to be made in Canadian dollars each January 10 for the previous year's sales. Canadian sales of the book for the year ended Decem-

Accounting Practice

ber 31, 1981, totaled \$50,000 Canadian. Tyrola paid Blyton his 1981 royalties on January 10, 1982. Tyrola's 1981 financial statements were issued on February 1, 1982. Spot rates for Canadian dollars were as follows:

| | |
|-------------------|-------|
| November 30, 1980 | \$.87 |
| January 1, 1981 | \$.88 |
| December 31, 1981 | \$.89 |
| January 10, 1982 | \$.90 |

How much should Tyrola accrue for royalties payable at December 31, 1981?

- a. \$4,350
- b. \$4,425
- c. \$4,450
- d. \$4,500

2M82#4. Warren Construction Company has consistently used the percentage-of-completion method of recognizing income. In 1980, Warren started work on a \$6,000,000 construction contract, which was completed in 1981. The accounting records disclosed the following data:

| | <u>1981</u> | <u>1980</u> |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Progress billings | \$3,800,000 | \$2,200,000 |
| Costs incurred | 3,600,000 | 1,800,000 |
| Collections | 4,600,000 | 1,400,000 |
| Estimated cost to complete | — | 3,600,000 |

How much income should Warren have recognized in 1980?

- a. \$200,000
- b. \$220,000
- c. \$300,000
- d. \$400,000

2M82#6. Bicar Corporation owns 10% of the outstanding capital stock of Kopel, Inc. On December 31, 1981, when Kopel's retained earnings was \$50,000, Bicar received a plot of land from Kopel in a nonreciprocal transfer. Kopel's cost of the land was \$7,000 and its fair market value at December 31, 1981, was \$15,000. At what amount should this land be recorded on Bicar's books?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 5,000
- c. \$ 7,000
- d. \$15,000

2M82#7. Dale, Inc., a U.S. corporation, bought machine parts from Kluger Company of West Germany on March 1, 1981, for 30,000 marks, when the spot rate for marks was \$.4895. Dale's year-end was March 31, 1981, when the spot rate for marks was \$.4845. Dale bought 30,000 marks and paid the invoice on April 20, 1981, when the spot rate was \$.4945. How much should be shown in Dale's income statements as foreign ex-

change gain or loss for the years ended March 31, 1981 and 1982?

| | <u>1981</u> | <u>1982</u> |
|----|-------------|-------------|
| a. | \$0 | \$0 |
| b. | \$0 | \$150 loss |
| c. | \$150 loss | \$0 |
| d. | \$150 gain | \$300 loss |

2M82#11. On December 31, 1981, Paulison Corporation signed an operating lease for a warehouse with Outwater Company for ten years, at \$12,000 per year. Upon execution of the lease, Outwater paid Paulison \$24,000, covering rent for the first two years. Paulison closed its books on December 31, and correctly reported \$24,000 as gross rental income on its 1981 federal income tax return. How much should be shown in Paulison's 1981 income statement as gross rental income?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 1,000
- c. \$12,000
- d. \$24,000

1N81#16. Damon, Inc., leased equipment to Union Company on January 1, 1980. The lease is for an eight-year period expiring January 1, 1988. The first equal annual payment of \$800,000 was made on January 1, 1980. The cash selling price of the equipment is \$4,695,000, which is equal to the present value of the lease payments at 10%. Damon had purchased the equipment for \$4,200,000. The lease is appropriately recorded as a sale by Damon. What amount of interest income should Damon record in 1980 as a result of the lease?

- a. \$389,500
- b. \$420,000
- c. \$469,500
- d. \$560,000

2N81#33. On January 1, 1980, Cardow Corporation sold a machine to Simpson Corporation, and simultaneously leased it back for three years. Pertinent data are:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Estimated remaining useful life at | |
| December 31, 1979 | 10 years |
| Sales price | \$120,000 |
| Carrying value at December 31, 1979 | \$ 20,000 |
| Monthly rental under leaseback | \$ 1,266 |
| Interest rate implicit in lease | 12% |
| Present value of lease rentals | |
| (\$1,266 for 36 months @ 12%) | \$ 38,116 |

How much profit should Cardow recognize on January 1, 1980, on the sale of the machine?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 33,333
- c. \$ 61,884
- d. \$100,000

Selected Questions

2N81#40. Bucca Warehousing Corporation bought a building at auction on June 30, 1980, for \$1,000,000. On July 2, 1980, before occupying the building, Bucca sold it to a triple-A rated company for \$1,200,000. Bucca received a cash down payment of \$300,000 and a first mortgage note at the market rate of interest, for the balance. No additional payments were required until 1981. On September 1, 1980, an independent appraiser valued the property at \$1,500,000. On its 1980 income tax return, Bucca reported the sale on the installment basis. How much gain should Bucca recognize in its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 50,000
- c. \$200,000
- d. \$300,000

1M81#5. Mercer Construction Company recognizes income under the percentage-of-completion method of reporting income from long-term construction contracts. During 1978 Mercer entered into a fixed-price contract to construct a bridge for \$15,000,000. Contract costs incurred and estimated costs to complete the bridge were as follows:

| | <i>Cumulative contract costs incurred</i> | <i>Estimated costs to complete</i> |
|----------------------|---|--|
| At December 31, 1978 | \$ 1,000,000 | \$8,000,000 |
| At December 31, 1979 | 5,500,000 | 5,500,000 |
| At December 31, 1980 | 10,000,000 | 2,000,000 |

How much income should Mercer recognize on the above contract for the year ended December 31, 1980?

- a. \$ 500,000
- b. \$ 833,333
- c. \$1,350,000
- d. \$2,500,000

2M81#38. Howard Company sublet a portion of its warehouse for five years at an annual rental of \$18,000, beginning on May 1, 1980. The tenant paid one year's rent in advance, which Howard recorded as a credit to unearned rental income. Howard reports on a calendar-year basis. The adjustment on December 31, 1980, should be

| | <i>Dr.</i> | <i>Cr.</i> |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| a. No entry | | |
| b. Unearned rental income | \$ 6,000 | |
| Rental income | | \$ 6,000 |
| c. Rental income | \$ 6,000 | |
| Unearned rental income | | \$ 6,000 |
| d. Unearned rental income | \$12,000 | |
| Rental income | | \$12,000 |

1N80#14. Benedict Company leased equipment to Mark, Inc., on January 1, 1978. The lease is for an

eight-year period expiring December 31, 1985. The first of 8 equal annual payments of \$600,000 was made on January 1, 1978. Benedict had purchased the equipment on December 29, 1977, for \$3,200,000. The lease is appropriately accounted for as a sales-type lease by Benedict. Assume that the present value at January 1, 1978, of all rent payments over the lease term discounted at a 10% interest rate was \$3,520,000. What amount of interest income should Benedict record in 1979 (the second year of the lease period) as a result of the lease?

- a. \$261,200
- b. \$292,000
- c. \$320,000
- d. \$327,200

1N80#16. Arrow Company purchased a machine on January 1, 1979, for \$1,440,000 for the purpose of leasing it. The machine is expected to have an eight-year life from date of purchase, no residual value, and be depreciated on the straight-line basis. On February 1, 1979, the machine was leased to Baxter Company for a three-year period ending January 31, 1982, at a monthly rental of \$30,000. Additionally, Baxter paid \$72,000 to Arrow on February 1, 1979, as a lease bonus. What is the amount of income before income taxes that Arrow should report on this leased asset for the year ended December 31, 1979?

- a. \$172,000
- b. \$187,000
- c. \$222,000
- d. \$237,000

2N80#10. On January 1, 1979, Barton Corporation acquired as a long-term investment for \$500,000, a 30% common stock interest in Buffer Company. On that date, Buffer had net assets with a book value and current market value of \$1,600,000. During 1979 Buffer reported net income of \$180,000 and declared and paid cash dividends of \$40,000. What is the maximum amount of income that Barton should report from this investment for 1979?

- a. \$12,000
- b. \$42,000
- c. \$53,500
- d. \$54,000

1M80#14. On January 1, 1979, the Carpet Company lent \$100,000 to its supplier, Loom Corporation, evidenced by a note, payable in 5 years. Interest at 5% is payable annually with the first payment due on December 31, 1979. The going rate of interest for this type of loan is 10%. The parties agreed that Carpet's inventory needs for the loan period will be met by Loom at favorable prices. Assume that the present value (at the going rate of interest) of the \$100,000 note is \$81,000 at January 1, 1979. What amount of interest income, if any, should be included in Carpet's 1979 income statement?

- a. \$0
- b. \$4,050
- c. \$5,000
- d. \$8,100

1N79

Items 14 and 15 are based on the following information:

The Morn Company leased equipment to the Lizard Company on May 1, 1978. At that time the collectibility of the minimum lease payments was **not** reasonably predictable. The lease expires on May 1, 1980. Lizard could have bought the equipment from Morn for \$900,000 instead of leasing it. Morn's accounting records showed a book value for the equipment on May 1, 1978, of \$800,000. Morn's depreciation on the equipment in 1978 was \$200,000. During 1978, Lizard paid \$240,000 in rentals to Morn. Morn incurred maintenance and other related costs under the terms of the lease of \$18,000 in 1978. After the lease with Lizard expires, Morn will lease the equipment to the Cold Company for another two years.

14. The income before income taxes derived by Morn from this lease for the year ended December 31, 1978, should be
- a. \$ 22,000
 - b. \$100,000
 - c. \$122,000
 - d. \$240,000

- 2N79#4. On January 1, 1970, Burry Corporation purchased for \$76,000, equipment having a useful life of ten years and an estimated salvage value of \$4,000. Burry has recorded monthly depreciation of the equipment on the straight-line method. On December 31, 1978, the equipment was sold for \$15,000. As a result of this sale, Burry should recognize a gain of
- a. \$0
 - b. \$ 3,800
 - c. \$ 7,400
 - d. \$11,400

- 2N79#11. Delta, Inc., is a retail store operating in a state with a 5% retail sales tax. The state law provides that the retail sales tax collected during the month must be remitted to the state during the following month. If the amount collected is remitted to the state on or before the twentieth of the following month, the retailer may keep 2% of the sales tax collected. On April 10, 1979, Delta remitted \$16,905 sales tax to the state tax division for March 1979 retail sales. What was Delta's March 1979 retail sales subject to sales tax?
- a. \$331,340
 - b. \$331,480
 - c. \$338,100
 - d. \$345,000

2N79

Items 19 and 20 are based on the following information:

On January 1, 1978, Avow, Inc., purchased 30% of the outstanding common stock of Depot Corporation for \$129,000 cash. Avow is accounting for this investment on the equity method. On the date of acquisition, the fair value of Depot's net assets was \$310,000. Avow has determined that the excess of the cost of the in-

vestment over its share of Depot's net assets has an indeterminate life. Depot's net income for the year ended December 31, 1978, was \$90,000. During 1978 Depot declared and paid cash dividends of \$10,000. There were no other transactions between the two companies.

20. Ignoring income taxes, Avow's statement of income for the year ended December 31, 1978, should include "equity in net income of Depot Corporation" in the amount of
- a. \$17,000
 - b. \$26,100
 - c. \$27,000
 - d. \$27,900

1M79#11. The Standard Company leased a piece of equipment to the Piping Company on July 1, 1977, for a one-year period expiring June 30, 1978, for \$90,000 a month. On July 1, 1978, Standard leased this piece of equipment to the Tacking Company for a three-year period expiring June 30, 1981, for \$100,000 a month. The original cost of the piece of equipment was \$6,000,000. The piece of equipment, which has been continually on lease since July 1, 1973, is being depreciated on a straight-line basis over an eight-year period with no salvage value. Assuming that both the lease to Piping and the lease to Tacking are appropriately recorded as operating leases for accounting purposes, what is the amount of income (expense) before income taxes that each would record as a result of the above facts for the year ended December 31, 1978?

| | <u>Standard</u> | <u>Piping</u> | <u>Tacking</u> |
|----|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| a. | \$ 390,000 | (\$540,000) | (\$600,000) |
| b. | \$ 390,000 | (\$540,000) | (\$975,000) |
| c. | \$1,140,000 | (\$165,000) | (\$225,000) |
| d. | \$1,140,000 | (\$915,000) | (\$600,000) |

- 2M79#6. On October 1, 1978, The Ajax Company consigned one hundred television sets to M & R Retailers, Inc. Each television set had a cost of \$150. Freight on the shipment was paid by Ajax in the amount of \$200.

On December 1, 1978, M & R submitted an *account sales* stating that it had sold sixty sets and it remitted the \$12,840 balance due. The remittance was net of the following deductions from the sales price of the televisions sold:

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Commission (20% of sales price) | |
| Advertising | \$500 |
| Delivery and installation charges | 100 |

- What was the total sales price of the television sets sold by M & R?
- a. \$13,440
 - b. \$15,000
 - c. \$16,800
 - d. \$17,000

Selected Questions

B. Cost of Goods Sold

1M83#18. Paulson Company had inventories at the beginning and end of 1982 as follows:

| | <i>1/1/82</i> | <i>12/31/82</i> |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Raw materials | \$55,000 | \$65,000 |
| Work-in-process | 96,000 | 80,000 |
| Finished goods | 50,000 | 85,000 |

During 1982 the following costs were incurred:

| | |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| Raw materials purchased | \$400,000 |
| Direct-labor payroll | 220,000 |
| Factory overhead | 330,000 |

Paulson's cost of goods sold for 1982 was

- a. \$921,000
- b. \$956,000
- c. \$966,000
- d. \$979,000

1M82#13. The following information is available for Cooke Company for 1981:

| | |
|--------------------|-------------|
| Net sales | \$1,800,000 |
| Freight-in | 45,000 |
| Purchase discounts | 25,000 |
| Ending inventory | 120,000 |

The gross margin is 40% of net sales. What is the cost of goods available for sale?

- a. \$ 840,000
- b. \$ 960,000
- c. \$1,200,000
- d. \$1,220,000

2M82#14. The following costs were among those incurred by Woodcroft Corporation during 1981:

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Merchandise purchased for resale | \$500,000 |
| Salesmen's commissions | 40,000 |
| Interest on notes payable to vendors | 5,000 |

How much should be charged to the cost of the merchandise purchases?

- a. \$500,000
- b. \$505,000
- c. \$540,000
- d. \$545,000

2N81#6. The following information is available for Wagner Corporation for 1980:

| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Sales | \$500,000 |
| Beginning inventory | 180,000 |
| Ending inventory | 95,000 |
| Freight-out | 45,000 |
| Purchases | 215,000 |

How much is the cost of goods sold?

- a. \$200,000
- b. \$300,000
- c. \$345,000
- d. \$440,000

C. Expenses

1N83#6. Essex Company purchased a machine on July 1, 1982, for \$300,000. The machine has an estimated useful life of five years and a salvage value of \$40,000. The machine is being depreciated from the date of acquisition by the 150% declining balance method. For the year ended December 31, 1982, Essex should record depreciation on this machine of

- a. \$39,000
- b. \$45,000
- c. \$60,000
- d. \$90,000

1N83#10. Frye Company incurred research and development costs in 1982 as follows:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Equipment acquired for use in research and development projects | \$1,000,000 |
| Depreciation on the above equipment | 150,000 |
| Materials used | 200,000 |
| Compensation costs of personnel | 500,000 |
| Outside consulting fees | 100,000 |
| Indirect costs appropriately allocated | 250,000 |

The total research and development costs charged in Frye's 1982 income statement should be

- a. \$ 650,000
- b. \$ 900,000
- c. \$1,200,000
- d. \$1,800,000

1N83#11. On July 1, 1981, Stone Company lent \$120,000 to a foreign supplier, evidenced by an interest bearing note due on July 1, 1982. The note is denominated in the currency of the borrower and was equivalent to 840,000 local currency units (LCU) on the loan date. The note principal was appropriately included at \$140,000 in the receivables section of Stone's December 31, 1981, balance sheet. The note principal was repaid to Stone on the July 1, 1982, due date when the exchange rate was 8 LCU to \$1. In its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1982, what amount should Stone include as a foreign currency transaction gain or loss?

- a. \$0
- b. \$15,000 loss.
- c. \$15,000 gain.
- d. \$35,000 loss.

1N83#12. On January 15, 1981, Ward Company purchased 10,000 shares (10%) of the outstanding common stock of Diamond, Inc., for \$25 per share. The purchase was appropriately recorded as a long-term investment

Accounting Practice

and accounted for under the cost method. The market price of the stock was \$24 per share on December 31, 1981. During 1982 Diamond experienced severe financial difficulties and Ward disposed of its entire investment in Diamond stock for \$10 per share on November 10, 1982. Ward's effective income tax rate was 40% for 1982. In its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1982, how much should Ward report as unusual loss from disposal of the long-term investment?

- a. \$150,000
- b. \$140,000
- c. \$ 90,000
- d. \$ 84,000

1N83#36. Effective with the year ended December 31, 1982, Grimm Company adopted a new accounting method for estimating the allowance for doubtful accounts at the amount indicated by the year-end aging of accounts receivable. The following data are available:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts, 1/1/82 | \$24,000 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts during 1982 (2% on credit sales of \$1,000,000) | 20,000 |
| Bad debts written off, 11/30/82 | 19,500 |
| Estimated uncollectible accounts per aging, 12/31/82 | 21,000 |

After year-end adjustment, the bad debt expense for 1982 would be

- a. \$16,500
- b. \$19,500
- c. \$20,000
- d. \$21,000

1N83#38. On January 1, 1982, Chestnut Corporation adopted a noncontributory pension plan. The actuarial consultant recommended a 7% interest rate, and applying an acceptable actuarial method, determined that the past service cost is \$500,000 at January 1, 1982. The normal cost will be funded fully each year and the past service cost will be amortized and funded over 20 years. Information relating to the plan for 1982 is as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Normal pension cost | \$100,000 |
| Past service cost amortized and funded | 47,200 |

In its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1982, Chestnut should report pension expense of

- a. \$100,000
- b. \$135,000
- c. \$147,200
- d. \$150,000

1N83#39. Marsh, Inc., has an incentive compensation plan under which the president is paid a bonus of 10% of corporate income in excess of \$100,000 before in-

come tax but after deducting the bonus. The 1982 income before income tax and bonus is \$430,000. The bonus should be

- a. \$39,091
- b. \$36,667
- c. \$33,000
- d. \$30,000

2M83#16. On July 1, 1982, Seco Company sold machinery to an unaffiliated company for its fair value of \$275,000. Simultaneously, Seco leased back the machinery at \$750 per month for five years, with no option to renew the lease or to repurchase the machinery. At July 1, 1982, this machinery had a book value of \$250,000 and a remaining useful life of ten years. Seco's rent expense for this machinery for the year ended December 31, 1982, should be

- a. \$0
- b. \$2,000
- c. \$2,500
- d. \$4,500

1M82#7. Marshall Company prepared an aging of its accounts receivable at December 31, 1981, and determined that the net realizable value of the receivables at that date is \$50,000. Additional information is available as follows:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Accounts receivable at December 31, 1980 | \$48,000 |
| Accounts receivable at December 31, 1981 | 54,000 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31, 1980 — credit balance | 6,000 |
| Accounts written off as uncollectible during 1981 | 5,000 |

Marshall's bad debt expense for the year ended December 31, 1981, was

- a. \$3,000
- b. \$4,000
- c. \$5,000
- d. \$7,000

1M82#14. On January 1, 1981, Dorr Company borrowed \$200,000 from its major customer, Pine Corporation, evidenced by a note payable in three years. The promissory note did not bear interest. Dorr agreed to supply Pine's inventory needs for the loan period at favorable prices. The going rate of interest for this type of loan is 14%. Assume that the present value (at the going rate of interest) of the \$200,000 note is \$135,000 at January 1, 1981. What amount of interest expense should be included in Dorr's 1981 income statement?

- a. \$0
- b. \$18,900
- c. \$21,667
- d. \$28,000

2M82#17. In 1981 Collazo Corporation developed a new product to be marketed in 1982. The following costs were incurred during 1981 in the development of this product:

Selected Questions

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Research and development departmental costs | \$400,000 |
| Materials and supplies consumed | 100,000 |
| Compensation paid to research consultants | 120,000 |
| Total | \$620,000 |

These costs are expected to be recovered by 1984. How much should be charged to income in 1981 for research and development costs?

- a. \$0
- b. \$120,000
- c. \$500,000
- d. \$620,000

1N81#7. Kipling Company does not carry insurance on its office typewriters. On December 28, 1980, one of its typewriters was stolen. The book value of the typewriter at the date of the burglary was \$500. On January 15, 1981, another typewriter was vandalized. The book value of that typewriter, depreciated to the date of the vandalism, was \$600. On February 1, 1981, before the issuance of the 1980 financial statements, the vandalized typewriter was repaired for \$120. The total amount of losses that should be charged to income in 1980 is

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 500
- c. \$ 620
- d. \$1,100

2N81#10. Tech Products, Inc., incurred the following costs during the year ended December 31, 1980:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Laboratory research aimed at discovery of new knowledge | \$ 7,000 |
| Design, construction, and testing of pre-production prototypes | 9,000 |
| Design of tools, jigs, molds, and dies involving new technology | 15,000 |
| Quality control during commercial production, including routine testing of products | 18,000 |

The total amount to be classified and expensed as research and development is

- a. \$ 7,000
- b. \$22,000
- c. \$31,000
- d. \$49,000

2N81#32. U. S. Importers, Inc., bought 5,000 dolls from Latin American Exporters, S. A., at 12.5 pesos each, when the rate of exchange was \$.08 per peso.

How much should U. S. Importers record on its books as the total dollar cost for the merchandise purchased?

- a. \$ 400
- b. \$ 625
- c. \$5,000
- d. \$6,250

1M81#14. During 1980 Trencher, Inc., incurred research and development costs as follows:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Experimental and development costs of a new process patented in December 1980 | \$250,000 |
| Testing for evaluation of new products | 300,000 |
| Modification of the formulation of a chemical product | 150,000 |
| Research and development costs reimbursable under a contract with Quality Chemicals Corporation | 500,000 |

What amount should Trencher report as research and development expense in its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$450,000
- c. \$700,000
- d. \$950,000

2M81#2. Town Corporation purchased factory equipment that was installed and put into service January 2, 1979, at a total cost of \$64,000. Salvage value was estimated at \$4,000. The equipment is being depreciated over eight years using the double-declining-balance method. For the year 1980, Park should record depreciation expense on this equipment of

- a. \$11,250
- b. \$12,000
- c. \$15,000
- d. \$16,000

2N80#14. The December 31, 1979, trial balance of the Mark Company before adjustments included the following accounts:

| | <i>Debit</i> | <i>Credit</i> |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 2,000 | |
| Sales | | \$830,000 |
| Sales returns and allowances | 10,000 | |

Mark estimates its bad debts based upon 2% of net sales. What amount should Mark record as bad debt expense for 1979?

- a. \$14,400
- b. \$14,600
- c. \$16,400
- d. \$16,600

2N80#15. On January 2, 1975, Hermes Corporation acquired a patent for \$192,000. The patent had a re-

maining legal life of twelve years and an estimated useful life of eight years. In January 1979 Hermes paid \$12,000 in legal fees in a successful defense of the patent. What should Hermes record as patent amortization for 1979?

- a. \$16,000
- b. \$24,000
- c. \$25,500
- d. \$27,000

1M80

Items 10 and 11 are based on the following information:

Fox Company, a dealer in machinery and equipment, leased equipment to Tiger, Inc., on July 1, 1979. The lease is appropriately accounted for as a sale by Fox and as a purchase by Tiger. The lease is for a 10-year period (the useful life of the asset) expiring June 30, 1989. The first of 10 equal annual payments of \$500,000 was made on July 1, 1979. Fox had purchased the equipment for \$2,675,000 on January 1, 1979, and established a list selling price of \$3,375,000 on the equipment. Assume that the present value at July 1, 1979, of the rent payments over the lease term discounted at 12% (the appropriate interest rate) was \$3,165,000.

11. Assuming that Tiger uses straight-line depreciation, what is the amount of depreciation and interest expense that Tiger should record for the year ended December 31, 1979?

- a. \$158,250 and \$159,900.
- b. \$158,250 and \$189,900.
- c. \$168,750 and \$159,900.
- d. \$168,750 and \$189,900.

2M80#2. The Plaza Company was organized late in 1978 and began operations on January 1, 1979. Plaza is engaged in conducting market research studies on behalf of manufacturers. Prior to the start of operations, the following costs were incurred:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Attorney's fees in connection with organization of Plaza | \$ 4,000 |
| Improvements to leased offices prior to occupancy | 7,000 |
| Meetings of incorporators, state filing fees and other organization expenses | 5,000 |
| | \$16,000 |

Plaza has elected to record amortization of organization costs over the maximum period allowable under generally accepted accounting principles. What is the amount of organization costs amortized for 1979?

- a. \$ 225
- b. \$ 400
- c. \$1,800
- d. \$3,200

2M80#3. The following information is available for the Leer Company:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Credit sales during 1979 | \$200,000 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31, 1978 | 2,400 |
| Accounts receivable deemed worthless and written off during 1979 | 3,200 |

During 1979 Leer estimated that its bad debt expense should be 1% of all credit sales.

As a result of a review and aging of accounts receivable in early January 1980, it has been determined that an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,200 is needed at December 31, 1979. What amount should Leer record as bad debt expense for the year ended December 31, 1979?

- a. \$2,000
- b. \$3,000
- c. \$3,200
- d. \$4,200

2M80#20. The Vandiver Corporation provides an incentive compensation plan under which its president receives a bonus equal to 10% of the corporation's income in excess of \$100,000 before income tax but after the bonus. If income before income tax and bonus is \$320,000 and the effective tax rate is 40%, the amount of the bonus would be

- a. \$20,000
- b. \$22,000
- c. \$29,090
- d. \$32,000

1N79

Items 14 and 15 are based on the following information:

The Morn Company leased equipment to the Lizard Company on May 1, 1978. At that time the collectibility of the minimum lease payments was **not** reasonably predictable. The lease expires on May 1, 1980. Lizard could have bought the equipment from Morn for \$900,000 instead of leasing it. Morn's accounting records showed a book value for the equipment on May 1, 1978, of \$800,000. Morn's depreciation on the equipment in 1978 was \$200,000. During 1978 Lizard paid \$240,000 in rentals to Morn. Morn incurred maintenance and other related costs under the terms of the lease of \$18,000 in 1978. After the lease with Lizard expires, Morn will lease the equipment to the Cold Company for another two years.

15. **Ignoring income taxes**, the amount of expense incurred by Lizard from this lease for the year ended December 31, 1978, should be

- a. \$ 22,000
- b. \$200,000
- c. \$218,000
- d. \$240,000

2N79#16. In January 1978, the Under Mine Corporation purchased a mineral mine for \$3,400,000 with

Selected Questions

removable ore estimated by geological surveys at 4,000,000 tons. The property has an estimated value of \$200,000 after the ore has been extracted. The company incurred \$800,000 of development costs preparing the mine for production. During 1978, 400,000 tons were removed and 375,000 tons were sold. What is the amount of depletion that Under Mine should record for 1978?

- a. \$375,000
- b. \$393,750
- c. \$400,000
- d. \$420,000

2M79#5. Dobbin Corporation, a manufacturer of household paints, is preparing annual financial statements at December 31, 1978. Because of a recently proven health hazard in one of its paints, the government has clearly indicated its intention of having Dobbin recall all cans of this paint sold in the last six months. The management of Dobbin estimates that this recall would cost \$1,000,000. What accounting recognition, if any, should be accorded this situation?

- a. No recognition.
- b. Footnote disclosure.
- c. Operating expense of \$1,000,000.
- d. Extraordinary loss of \$1,000,000.

2M79#11. On December 1, 1978, Branch Corporation leased office space for 10 years at a monthly rental of \$15,000. On that date Branch paid the landlord the following amounts:

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Rent deposit | \$ 15,000 |
| First month's rent | 15,000 |
| Last month's rent | 15,000 |
| Installation of new walls and offices | 96,000 |
| | <u>\$141,000</u> |

The entire amount of \$141,000 was charged to rent expense in 1978. What amount should Branch have charged to expense for the year ended December 31, 1978?

- a. \$15,000
- b. \$15,800
- c. \$30,800
- d. \$96,000

2M79#14. In January 1975 Tracy Corporation purchased a patent for a new consumer product for \$180,000. At the time of purchase, the patent was valid for fifteen years. Due to the competitive nature of the product however, the patent was estimated to have a useful life of only ten years. During 1978 the product was permanently removed from the market under governmental order because of a potential health hazard present in the product. What amount should Tracy

charge to expense during 1978, assuming amortization is recorded at the end of each year?

- a. \$ 12,000
- b. \$ 18,000
- c. \$126,000
- d. \$144,000

2M79#16. On January 1, 1974, Hal Company purchased equipment at a cost of \$31,000. The equipment was estimated to have a salvage value of \$1,000 and it is being depreciated over five years under the sum-of-the-years-digits method. What should be the charge for depreciation of this equipment for the year ended December 31, 1978?

- a. \$1,000
- b. \$2,000
- c. \$3,000
- d. \$6,000

D. Provision for Income Tax

2N83#8. Agard Company's effective income tax rate is 40%. For the year ended December 31, 1982, Agard's income statement reflected depletion expense of \$1,000,000 based on the cost of assets being depleted. However, Agard properly deducted \$4,000,000 for percentage depletion on its 1982 tax return. How much should be reported as provision for deferred income taxes in Agard's 1982 financial statements?

- a. \$1,600,000
- b. \$1,200,000
- c. \$ 400,000
- d. \$0

2N83#9. Andan Corp. purchased machinery in 1982 that qualified for an investment tax credit of \$10,000. This machinery is being depreciated over a five-year period. Andan's 1982 taxable income and book income before income taxes, was \$250,000. Andan's effective income tax rate for 1982 was 40%. If Andan accounts for the investment tax credit by the flow-through method, how much should Andan report in its 1982 income statement for income tax expense?

- a. \$ 90,000
- b. \$ 96,000
- c. \$ 98,000
- d. \$100,000

2M83

Items 36 and 37 are based on the following information:

Bee Corp. prepared the following reconciliation between book income and taxable income for the year ended December 31, 1982:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| Income before income taxes, per books | \$500,000 |
| Taxable income, per Form 1120 | 300,000 |
| Difference | <u>\$200,000</u> |

Accounting Practice

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Permanent difference — interest on municipal bonds | \$ 50,000 |
| Timing difference — lower depreciation per books | 150,000 |
| Total differences | \$200,000 |

Assuming that the income tax rate is 40%, the amount of deferred taxes charged to expense in Lelak's 1980 income statement should be

- a. \$ 40,000
- b. \$120,000
- c. \$180,000
- d. \$200,000

Bee's effective income tax rate for 1982 is 40%.
Bee reported the following information in its annual report:

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Income before income taxes | \$500,000 |
| Provision for income taxes: | |
| Current | \$? |
| Deferred | ? |
| Net income | \$ _____ |

1N79#12. In 1978 West Company accrued, for financial statement reporting, estimated losses on disposal of unused plant facilities of \$800,000. The facilities were sold in March 1979. Also, in 1978 West paid \$100,000 of premiums on officers' life insurance. Assuming that the effective income tax rate was 40%, the amount reported in the provision for deferred income taxes in West's income statement for the year ended December 31, 1978, should be a

36. What amount should Bee report as the current portion of its provision for income taxes?
- a. \$120,000
 - b. \$140,000
 - c. \$180,000
 - d. \$200,000

- a. \$320,000 credit.
- b. \$320,000 debit.
- c. \$360,000 credit.
- d. \$360,000 debit.

37. What amount should Bee report as the deferred portion of its provision for income taxes?
- a. \$ 20,000
 - b. \$ 60,000
 - c. \$ 80,000
 - d. \$120,000

2N79#12. The Swenson Company reported the following results for the two years ended December 31, 1978, and 1977, respectively:

| | <i>December 31</i> | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|
| | <i>1978</i> | <i>1977</i> |
| Income (per books before income taxes) | \$1,200,000 | \$800,000 |
| Taxable income | 1,600,000 | 120,000 |

1M82#15. For calendar year 1981 Steiner Corporation reported depreciation of \$300,000 in its income statement. On its 1981 income tax return Steiner reported depreciation of \$500,000. Additionally, Steiner's income statement included interest income of \$50,000 on municipal obligations. Assuming an income tax rate of 40%, the amount of deferred taxes reported on Steiner's 1981 income statement should be

The disparity between book income and taxable income is attributable to timing differences. What should Swenson record as income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 1978, assuming an income tax rate of 40%?

- a. \$ 60,000
- b. \$ 80,000
- c. \$100,000
- d. \$120,000

- a. \$640,000
- b. \$480,000
- c. \$368,000
- d. \$208,000

1N81#9. Lelak Company was formed on January 1, 1979. Its machinery is being depreciated using an accelerated method of depreciation for income tax reporting and the straight-line method for financial statement reporting.

Information concerning depreciation amounts under each method is as follows:

| <i>Year</i> | <i>Accelerated method</i> | <i>Straight-line method</i> |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1979 | \$600,000 | \$400,000 |
| 1980 | 800,000 | 500,000 |

1M79#2. The Raff Company purchased a machine on January 1, 1978, for \$5,500,000. The machine has an estimated useful life of ten years with no salvage. The machine is being depreciated using the sum-of-the-years'-digits method for income tax reporting and the straight-line method for financial statement reporting. Assuming that the income tax rate is 50%, the amount of deferred taxes charged to Raff's 1978 income statement would be

- a. \$225,000
- b. \$275,000
- c. \$450,000
- d. \$550,000

E. Recurring Versus Nonrecurring Transactions and Events

1N83#13. On May 1, 1982, the board of directors of Edgewood, Inc., approved a formal plan to sell its electronics division. The division is considered a segment of the business. It is expected that the actual sale will occur in the first three months of 1983. During 1982 the electronics division had a loss from operations of \$1,200,000 which was incurred evenly during the year. Edgewood's effective tax rate for 1982 is 40%. For the year ended December 31, 1982, Edgewood should report a loss from operations of discontinued electronics division of

- a. \$240,000
- b. \$400,000
- c. \$480,000
- d. \$720,000

1N83#14. On July 1, 1982, Chatham, Inc., called for redemption all of its \$1,000,000 face amount bonds payable outstanding at the call price of 105. As of June 30, 1982, the unamortized discount was \$50,000 and the unamortized bond issue costs were \$30,000. The market value of the bonds was \$1,060,000 on July 1, 1982. Chatham's effective income tax rate was 40% for 1982. In its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1982, what amount should Chatham report as extraordinary gain or loss from bond redemption?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$30,000 gain.
- c. \$60,000 loss.
- d. \$78,000 loss.

1M83#14. Bricker Company is indebted to Springburn Bank under a \$200,000, 16%, three-year note dated January 1, 1981. Interest, payable annually on December 31, was paid on the December 31, 1981, due date. During 1982 Bricker experienced severe financial difficulties and is likely to default on the note and interest unless a concession is made by the bank. On December 31, 1982, the bank agreed to settle the note and interest for 1982 for \$10,000 cash and a tract of land having a current market value of \$140,000. Bricker's acquisition cost of the land is \$100,000. Ignoring income taxes, what amount should Bricker report as extraordinary gain on the debt restructure in its income statement for the year ended December 31, 1982?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 50,000
- c. \$ 82,000
- d. \$122,000

2M83#18. Palo Corporation incurred the following losses, net of applicable taxes, for the year ended December 31, 1982:

- Loss on disposal of a segment of Palo's business \$400,000
- Loss on translation of foreign currency due to major devaluation 500,000

How much should Palo report as extraordinary losses on its 1982 income statement?

- a. \$0
- b. \$400,000
- c. \$500,000
- d. \$900,000

2M83#35. Electro Corporation had an operating loss carryforward of \$250,000 at December 31, 1981, for which the benefit was fully realized at the end of 1982, when the income tax rate was 40%. For the year ended December 31, 1982, the tax benefit of the \$250,000 loss carryforward should be reported as

- a. An extraordinary item of \$100,000.
- b. A \$100,000 reduction of 1982 income tax expense.
- c. An extraordinary item of \$150,000.
- d. A \$150,000 reduction of 1982 income tax expense.

2N82#19. Gulliver Company is disposing of a segment of its business. At the measurement date the net loss from the disposal is estimated to be \$475,000. Included in this \$475,000 are severance pay of \$50,000 and employee relocation costs of \$25,000, both of which are directly associated with the decision to dispose of the segment, and estimated net operating losses of the segment from the measurement date to the disposal date of \$100,000. Net losses of \$75,000 from operations from the beginning of the year to the measurement date are not included in the \$475,000 estimated disposal loss. Ignoring income taxes, how much should be reported on Gulliver's income statement as the total loss under the heading "discontinued operations"?

- a. \$175,000
- b. \$425,000
- c. \$450,000
- d. \$550,000

2N81#35. On April 30, 1980, Empire Corporation, whose fiscal year-end is September 30, adopted a plan to discontinue the operations of Bello Division on November 30, 1980. Bello contributed a major portion of Empire's sales volume. Empire estimated that Bello would sustain a loss of \$460,000 from May 1, 1980, through September 30, 1980, and would sustain an additional loss of \$220,000 from October 1, 1980, to November 30, 1980. Empire also estimated that it would realize a gain of \$600,000 on the sale of Bello's assets. At September 30, 1980, Empire determined that Bello had actually lost \$1,120,000 for the fiscal year, of which \$420,000 represented the loss from May 1 to September 30, 1980.

Ignoring income tax effects, how much should Empire report in its September 30, 1980, financial statements as gain or loss on disposal of Bello?

- a. \$ 40,000 loss.
- b. \$ 80,000 loss.
- c. \$180,000 gain.
- d. \$600,000 gain.

Accounting Practice

2N81#39. On July 1, 1981, an erupting volcano destroyed Coastal Corporation's operating plant, resulting in a loss of \$1,500,000, of which only \$500,000 was covered by insurance. Coastal's income tax rate is 46%. How should this event be shown in Coastal's income statement for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. As an operating loss of \$540,000, net of \$460,000 income tax.
- b. As an extraordinary loss of \$540,000, net of \$460,000 income tax.
- c. As an operating loss of \$1,000,000.
- d. As an extraordinary loss of \$1,000,000.

| | <u>1979</u> | <u>1978</u> |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Net sales | \$10,000,000 | \$9,600,000 |
| Cost of sales | <u>6,200,000</u> | <u>6,000,000</u> |
| Gross profit | 3,800,000 | 3,600,000 |
| Operating expenses | <u>2,200,000</u> | <u>2,400,000</u> |
| Operating income | 1,600,000 | 1,200,000 |
| Gain on sale of division | <u>900,000</u> | — |
| | 2,500,000 | 1,200,000 |
| Provision for income taxes | <u>1,250,000</u> | <u>600,000</u> |
| Net income | <u>\$ 1,250,000</u> | <u>\$ 600,000</u> |

2M81

Items 39 and 40 are based on the following data: Marvel Construction Co., Inc., had a net income of \$600,000 for the year ended December 31, 1980, after inclusion of the following special events that occurred during the year:

- The decision was made on January 2 to discontinue the cinder block manufacturing segment.
- The cinder block manufacturing segment was actually sold on July 1.
- Operating income from January 1 to June 30 for the cinder block manufacturing segment amounted to \$90,000 before taxes.
- Cinder block manufacturing equipment with a book value of \$250,000 was sold for \$100,000.

Marvel was subject to income tax at the rate of 40%.

39. Marvel's after-tax income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 1980, was

- a. \$360,000
- b. \$564,000
- c. \$600,000
- d. \$636,000

40. Marvel's aggregate income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 1980, should be

- a. \$216,000
- b. \$240,000
- c. \$264,000
- d. \$400,000

2N80

Items 2 and 3 are based on the following information:

The following condensed statement of income of Helen Corporation, a diversified company, is presented for the two years ended December 31, 1979 and 1978:

On January 1, 1979, Helen entered into an agreement to sell for \$3,200,000 the assets and product line of one of its separate operating divisions. The sale was consummated on December 31, 1979, and resulted in a gain on disposition of \$900,000. This division's contribution to Helen's reported income before income taxes for each year was as follows:

| | |
|------|------------------|
| 1979 | \$(640,000) loss |
| 1978 | \$(500,000) loss |

Assume an income tax rate of 50%.

2. In the preparation of a revised comparative statement of income, Helen should report income from continuing operations after income taxes for 1979 and 1978, respectively, amounting to

- a. \$1,120,000 and \$600,000.
- b. \$1,120,000 and \$850,000.
- c. \$1,250,000 and \$600,000.
- d. \$1,250,000 and \$850,000.

3. In the preparation of a revised comparative statement of income, Helen should report under the caption "discontinued operations" for 1979 and 1978, respectively

- a. Income of \$130,000 and a loss of \$250,000.
- b. Income of \$130,000 and \$0.
- c. Income of \$260,000 and a loss of \$500,000.
- d. A loss of \$640,000 and a loss of \$500,000.

2M79#13. A review of the December 31, 1978, financial statements of Rhur Corporation revealed that under the caption "Extraordinary Losses," Rhur reported a total of \$260,000. Further analysis revealed that the \$260,000 in losses was comprised of the following items:

1. Rhur recorded a loss of \$50,000 incurred in the abandonment of equipment formerly used in the business.
2. In an unusual and infrequent occurrence, a loss of \$75,000 was sustained as a result of hurricane damage to a warehouse.
3. During 1978, several factories were shut

Selected Questions

down during a major strike by employees. Shutdown expenses totaled \$120,000.

4. Uncollectible accounts receivable of \$15,000 were written off as uncollectible.

Ignoring income taxes, what amount of loss should Rhur report as extraordinary on its 1978 Statement of Income?

- a. \$ 50,000
- b. \$ 75,000
- c. \$135,000
- d. \$260,000

F. Accounting Changes

2N83#7. Patel Co. bought a patent for \$300,000 on January 2, 1979, at which time the patent had an estimated useful life of 10 years. On February 2, 1982, it was determined that this patent's useful life would expire at the end of 1985. How much should Patel record as amortization expense for this patent for the year ending December 31, 1983?

- a. \$70,000
- b. \$60,000
- c. \$52,500
- d. \$30,000

2M83#19. On January 1, 1979, Cabal Company bought a machine for \$1,500,000. At January 1, 1979, this machine had an estimated useful life of six years, with no salvage value. Cabal uses straight-line depreciation. As a result of additional information, Cabal determined on January 1, 1982, that the machine had an estimated useful life of eight years from the date it was acquired, with no salvage value. Accordingly, the appropriate accounting change was made in 1982. How much depreciation expense for this machine should Cabal record for the year ended December 31, 1982?

- a. \$125,000
- b. \$150,000
- c. \$187,500
- d. \$250,000

1N82#15. Effective January 1, 1981, Younger Company adopted the accounting principle of expensing as incurred advertising and promotion costs. Previously, advertising and promotion costs applicable to future periods were recorded in prepaid expenses. Younger can justify the change, which was made for both financial statement and income tax reporting purposes. Younger's prepaid advertising and promotion costs totaled \$500,000 at December 31, 1980. Assume that the income tax rate is 40% for 1980 and 1981. The adjustment for the effect of this change in accounting principle should result in a net charge against income in the 1981 income statement of

- a. \$0
- b. \$200,000
- c. \$300,000
- d. \$500,000

2M82#5. On January 2, 1979, Tiri Corporation acquired machinery at a cost of \$150,000. This machinery was being depreciated by the double declining balance method over an estimated useful life of ten years, with no residual value. At the beginning of 1981, it was decided to change to the straight-line method of depreciation. Ignoring income tax considerations, the cumulative effect of this accounting change is

- a. \$0
- b. \$24,000
- c. \$28,200
- d. \$54,000

1M81#8. Shannon Company was formed on January 1, 1978, and used an accelerated method of depreciation on its machinery until January 1, 1980. At that time, Shannon adopted the straight-line method of depreciation for the machinery previously acquired as well as for any new machinery acquired in 1980.

Information concerning depreciation amounts under each method is as follows:

| Year | <i>Depreciation if accelerated method used</i> | <i>Depreciation if straight-line method used</i> |
|------|--|--|
| 1978 | \$400,000 | \$300,000 |
| 1979 | 530,000 | 375,000 |
| 1980 | 600,000 | 400,000 |

Assume that the direct effects of this change are limited to the effect on depreciation and the related tax provisions, and that the income tax rate was 40% in each of these years. What should be reported in Shannon's income statement for the year ended December 31, 1980, as the cumulative effect on prior years of changing to a different depreciation method?

- a. \$0
- b. \$153,000
- c. \$255,000
- d. \$273,000

1M81#13. On January 1, 1980, Belmont Company changed its inventory cost flow method to the FIFO cost method from the LIFO cost method. Belmont can justify the change, which was made for both financial statement and income tax reporting purposes. Belmont's inventories aggregated \$4,000,000 on the LIFO basis at December 31, 1979. Supplementary records maintained by Belmont showed that the inventories would have totaled \$4,800,000 at December 31, 1979, on the FIFO basis. Ignoring income taxes, the adjustment for the effect of changing to the FIFO method from the LIFO method should be reported by Belmont in the 1980

- a. Income statement as an \$800,000 debit.
- b. Retained earnings statement as an \$800,000 debit adjustment to the beginning balance.
- c. Income statement as an \$800,000 credit.
- d. Retained earnings statement as an \$800,000 credit adjustment to the beginning balance.

1N80#3. On January 1, 1979, Jay Company changed to the weighted-average cost method from the first-in, first-out (FIFO) cost method for inventory cost flow purposes. Jay can justify the change, which was made for both financial statement and income tax reporting purposes. The change will result in a \$120,000 decrease in the beginning inventory at January 1, 1979. Ignoring income taxes, the cumulative effect of changing to the weighted-average method from the FIFO method must be reported by Jay in the 1979

- Income statement as a \$120,000 debit.
- Retained earnings statement as a \$120,000 debit adjustment to the beginning balance.
- Income statement as a \$120,000 credit.
- Retained earnings statement as a \$120,000 credit adjustment to the beginning balance.

1M80#1. From inception of operations, Essex Corporation recognized income in its financial statements and for income tax reporting under the completed-contract method of reporting income from long-term construction contracts. On January 1, 1979, Essex changed to the percentage-of-completion method of income recognition for financial statement reporting but **not** for income tax reporting. Essex can justify the change.

As of December 31, 1978, Essex compiled data showing that income under the completed-contract method aggregated \$350,000. If the percentage-of-completion method had been used, the accumulated income for these contracts through December 31, 1978, would have been \$440,000. Assume that the income tax rate for all years is 50%. The cumulative effect of changing from the completed-contract method to the percentage-of-completion method must be reported by Essex in the 1979

- Retained earnings statement as a \$45,000 credit adjustment to the beginning balance.
- Income statement as a \$45,000 credit.
- Retained earnings statement as a \$90,000 credit adjustment to the beginning balance.
- Income statement as a \$90,000 credit.

1N79

Items 1 and 2 are based on the following information:

Bond Company purchased a machine on January 1, 1975, for \$3,000,000. At the date of acquisition, the machine had an estimated useful life of six years with no salvage. The machine is being depreciated on a straight-line basis. On January 1, 1978, Bond determined, as a result of additional information, that the machine had an estimated useful life of eight years from the date of acquisition with no salvage. An accounting change was made in 1978 to reflect this additional information.

1. Assuming that the direct effects of this change are limited to the effect on depreciation and the related tax provision, and that the income tax rate was 50% in 1975, 1976, 1977 and 1978, what should be reported in Bond's income statement for the year ended December

31, 1978, as the cumulative effect on prior years of changing the estimated useful life of the machine?

- \$0
- \$187,500
- \$250,000
- \$375,000

G. Earnings Per Share

1N83#15. Appling Company had 300,000 shares of common stock issued and outstanding at December 31, 1981. No common stock was issued during 1982. On January 1, 1982, Appling issued 200,000 shares of non-convertible preferred stock. During 1982 Appling declared and paid \$150,000 cash dividends on the common stock and \$120,000 on the preferred stock. Net income for the year ended December 31, 1982, was \$660,000. What should be Appling's 1982 earnings per common share?

- \$1.30
- \$1.70
- \$1.80
- \$2.20

2N82

Items 17 and 18 are based on the following data:

At December 31, 1981 and 1980, Gravin Corporation had 90,000 shares of common stock and 20,000 shares of convertible preferred stock outstanding, in addition to 9% convertible bonds payable in the face amount of \$2,000,000. During 1981, Gravin paid dividends of \$2.50 per share on the preferred stock. The preferred stock is convertible into 20,000 shares of common stock, and is considered a common stock equivalent. The 9% convertible bonds are convertible into 30,000 shares of common stock, but are not considered common stock equivalents. Net income for 1981 was \$970,000. Assume an income tax rate of 40%.

17. How much is the primary earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- \$ 7.70
- \$ 8.36
- \$ 8.82
- \$10.78

18. How much is the fully diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- \$ 7.70
- \$ 8.21
- \$ 9.35
- \$10.22

1M82#11. At December 31, 1980, Welsch, Inc., had 500,000 shares of common stock outstanding. On October 1, 1981, an additional 120,000 shares of common stock were issued for cash. Welsch also had \$4,000,000 of 8% convertible bonds outstanding at December 31, 1981, which are convertible into 100,000 shares of common stock. The bonds were considered common stock

Selected Questions

equivalents at the time of issuance and are dilutive in the 1981 earnings per share computation. No bonds were issued or converted into common stock during 1981. What is the number of shares that should be used in computing primary earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. 530,000
- b. 600,000
- c. 630,000
- d. 720,000

1M81#12. Redford Corporation's capital structure at December 31, 1979, was as follows:

Shares issued
and outstanding

| | |
|--------------------------------|---------|
| Common stock | 100,000 |
| Nonconvertible preferred stock | 20,000 |

On July 1, 1980, Redford issued a 10% stock dividend on its common stock, and paid a cash dividend of \$2.00 per share on its preferred stock. Net income for the year ended December 31, 1980, was \$780,000. What should be Redford's 1980 earnings per common share?

- a. \$6.73
- b. \$7.05
- c. \$7.09
- d. \$7.80

1M81#18. At December 31, 1979, Sonic Company had 20,000 shares of common stock issued and outstanding and 5,000 shares of nonconvertible preferred stock issued and outstanding. Sonic's net income for the year ended December 31, 1980, was \$120,000. During 1980 Sonic declared and paid \$50,000 cash dividends on common stock and \$8,000 cash dividends on the nonconvertible preferred stock. There were no common stock or preferred stock transactions during the year. The earnings per common share for the year ended December 31, 1980, should be

- a. \$3.50
- b. \$4.80
- c. \$5.60
- d. \$6.00

2M81#23. The following capital stock information pertains to Palisades Corporation:

| | <u>Number of shares issued</u> | <u>Amount</u> |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------|
| Common stock, \$10 par value; 300,000 shares authorized: | | |
| January 1, 1980 | 45,000 | \$450,000 |
| Sold on May 1, 1980 | <u>3,000</u> | <u>30,000</u> |
| Total, December 31, 1980 | <u>48,000</u> | <u>\$480,000</u> |

Preferred stock, 9%
cumulative nonconvertible, \$100 par value;
10,000 shares authorized 1,000 \$100,000

The number of shares on which the 1980 earnings per share computation should be based is

- a. 46,500
- b. 47,000
- c. 48,000
- d. 49,000

1N80#10. Weaver Company had 100,000 shares of common stock issued and outstanding at December 31, 1978. On July 1, 1979, Weaver issued a 10% stock dividend. Unexercised stock options to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock (adjusted for the 1979 stock dividend) at \$20 per share were outstanding at the beginning and end of 1979. The average market price of Weaver's common stock (which was not affected by the stock dividend) was \$25 per share during 1979. Net income for the year ended December 31, 1979, was \$550,000. What should be Weaver's 1979 primary earnings per common share, rounded to the nearest penny?

- a. \$4.82
- b. \$5.00
- c. \$5.05
- d. \$5.24

1N79#5. Faucet Company has 2,500,000 shares of common stock outstanding on December 31, 1977. An additional 500,000 shares of common stock were issued on April 1, 1978, and 250,000 more on July 1, 1978. On October 1, 1978, Faucet issued 5,000, \$1,000 face value, 7% convertible bonds. Each bond is convertible into 40 shares of common stock. The bonds were **not** considered common stock equivalents at the time of their issuance, and **no** bonds were converted into common stock in 1978. What is the number of shares to be used in computing primary earnings per share and fully diluted earnings per share, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 1978?

- a. 2,875,000 and 2,975,000.
- b. 2,875,000 and 3,075,000.
- c. 3,000,000 and 3,050,000.
- d. 3,000,000 and 3,200,000.

1N79#6. At December 31, 1977, the Merlin Company had 50,000 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. On April 1, 1978, an additional 10,000 shares of common stock were issued. Merlin's net income for the year ended December 31, 1978, was \$172,500. During 1978 Merlin declared and paid \$100,000 cash dividends on its nonconvertible preferred stock. The earnings per common share, rounded to the nearest penny, for the year ended December 31, 1978, should be

- a. \$1.26
- b. \$1.32
- c. \$3.00
- d. \$3.14

1M79#4. At December 31, 1978, the Suppa Company had 500,000 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, 400,000 of which had been issued and outstanding throughout the year and 100,000 of which were issued on October 1, 1978. Net income for the year ended December 31, 1978, was \$2,144,000. What

should be Suppa's 1978 earnings per common share, rounded to the nearest penny?

- a. \$4.29
- b. \$4.76
- c. \$5.04
- d. \$5.36

VI. Other Financial Topics

B. Nonmonetary Transactions

1N83#9. In October 1982 Ewing Company exchanged an old packaging machine, which cost \$120,000 and was 50% depreciated, for a dissimilar used machine and paid a cash difference of \$16,000. The market value of the old packaging machine was determined to be \$70,000. For the year ended December 31, 1982, what amount of gain or loss should Ewing recognize on this exchange?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$ 6,000 loss.
- c. \$10,000 loss.
- d. \$10,000 gain.

1N83#16. Madden Company owns a tract of land which it purchased in 1980 for \$100,000. The land is held as a future plant site and has a fair market value of \$140,000 on July 1, 1983. Hall Company also owns a tract of land held as a future plant site. Hall paid \$180,000 for the land in 1982 and the land has a fair market value of \$200,000 on July 1, 1983. On this date Madden exchanged its land and paid \$50,000 cash for the land owned by Hall. At what amount should Madden record the land acquired in the exchange?

- a. \$150,000
- b. \$160,000
- c. \$190,000
- d. \$200,000

1N83#17. On January 1, 1982, Nutley Corporation had monetary assets of \$2,000,000 and monetary liabilities of \$1,000,000. During 1982 Nutley's monetary inflows and outflows were relatively constant and equal so that it ended the year with net monetary assets of \$1,000,000. Assume that the Consumer Price Index was 200 on January 1, 1982, and 220 on December 31, 1982. In end-of-year constant dollars, what is Nutley's purchasing power gain or loss on net monetary items for 1982?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$ 50,000 gain.
- c. \$100,000 gain.
- d. \$100,000 loss.

2M83#2. On September 1, 1982, Bertz, Inc., exchanged a delivery truck for a parcel of land. Bertz bought this truck in 1980 for \$10,000. At September 1,

1982, the truck had a book value of \$6,500 and a fair market value of \$5,000. Bertz gave \$6,000 in cash in addition to the truck as part of this transaction. The previous owner of the land had listed the land for sale at \$12,000. At what amount should Bertz record the land?

- a. \$11,000
- b. \$11,500
- c. \$12,000
- d. \$12,500

1N81#5. In December 1980 Belmont Company exchanged an old bottling machine, which cost \$60,000 and was two-thirds depreciated, for a similar used machine having a current fair value of \$24,000, and received a cash difference of \$8,000. What is the amount of gain that Belmont should recognize on this exchange in the year ended December 31, 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$3,000
- c. \$5,000
- d. \$8,000

2M81#8. On December 1, 1980, Leonard Company exchanged a delivery truck (that was acquired in 1976) for a new delivery truck. The old truck was purchased for \$14,000 and had a book value of \$5,600. On the date of the exchange the old truck had a market value of \$6,000. In addition, Leonard paid \$7,000 cash for the new truck, which had a list price of \$16,000. At what amount should Leonard record the new truck for financial accounting purposes?

- a. \$10,000
- b. \$12,600
- c. \$13,000
- d. \$16,000

1N80#6. In January 1980 Kemper Construction Company exchanged an old truck, which cost \$54,000 and was one-third depreciated, and paid \$35,000 cash for a used crane having a current fair value of \$65,000. At what amount should the crane be recorded on the books of Kemper?

- a. \$54,000
- b. \$65,000
- c. \$71,000
- d. \$89,000

Selected Questions

C. Interim Financial Statements

2N83#1. In January 1983 Lee Corp. paid property taxes of \$40,000 covering the calendar year 1983. Also in January 1983, Lee estimated that its year-end bonuses to executives would amount to \$160,000 for 1983. What is the total amount of expense relating to these two items that should be reflected in Lee's quarterly income statement for the three months ended June 30, 1983?

- a. \$0
- b. \$10,000
- c. \$40,000
- d. \$50,000

2M83#17. Ross Corporation expects to sustain an operating loss of \$100,000 for the full year ending December 31, 1983. Ross operates entirely in one jurisdiction where the tax rate is 40%. Anticipated tax credits for 1983 total \$10,000. No permanent differences are expected. Realization of the full tax benefit of the expected operating loss and realization of anticipated tax credits are assured beyond any reasonable doubt because they will be carried back. For the first quarter ended March 31, 1983, Ross reported an operating loss of \$20,000. How much of a tax benefit should Ross report for the interim period ended March 31, 1983?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 8,000
- c. \$10,000
- d. \$12,500

1N81#15. On January 15, 1980, Forrester Company paid property taxes on its factory building for the calendar year 1980 in the amount of \$60,000. The first week of April 1980 Forrester made unanticipated major repairs to its plant equipment at a cost of \$240,000. These repairs will benefit operations for the remainder of the calendar year. How should these expenses be reflected in Forrester's quarterly income statements?

| | <i>Three months ended</i> | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <u>March 31, 1980</u> | <u>June 30, 1980</u> | <u>September 30, 1980</u> | <u>December 31, 1980</u> |
| a. | \$15,000 | \$ 95,000 | \$95,000 | \$95,000 |
| b. | \$15,000 | \$255,000 | \$15,000 | \$15,000 |
| c. | \$60,000 | \$240,000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| d. | \$75,000 | \$ 75,000 | \$75,000 | \$75,000 |

1N80#9. Bailey Company, a calendar year corporation, has the following income before income tax provision and estimated effective annual income tax rates for the first three quarters of 1979:

| <u>Quarter</u> | <u>Income before income tax provision</u> | <u>Estimated effective annual tax rate at end of quarter</u> |
|----------------|---|--|
| First | \$60,000 | 40% |
| Second | 70,000 | 40% |
| Third | 40,000 | 45% |

Bailey's income tax provision in its interim income statement for the third quarter should be

- a. \$18,000
- b. \$24,500
- c. \$25,500
- d. \$76,500

1M80#8. On January 1, 1979, Builder Associates entered into a \$1,000,000 long-term, fixed-price contract to construct a factory building for Manufacturing Company. Builder accounts for this contract under the percentage-of-completion method. Estimated percentage of completion and estimated costs at completion at the end of each quarter for 1979 were as follows:

| <u>Quarter</u> | <u>Estimated Percentage of Completion</u> | <u>Estimated Costs at Completion</u> |
|----------------|---|--|
| 1 | 10% | \$750,000 |
| 2* | 10% | \$750,000 |
| 3 | 25% | \$960,000 |
| 4* | 25% | \$960,000 |

*No work performed in the 2nd and 4th quarters.

What amounts should be reported by Builder as "Income on Construction Contract" in its quarterly income statements based on the above information?

| | <u>Gain (Loss) for the Three Months Ended</u> | | | |
|----|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <u>March 31, 1979</u> | <u>June 30, 1979</u> | <u>September 30, 1979</u> | <u>December 31, 1979</u> |
| a. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,000 |
| b. | \$25,000 | \$0 | \$(15,000) | \$0 |
| c. | \$25,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| d. | \$25,000 | \$0 | \$ 6,000 | \$0 |

1N79#11. In August 1978 Ella Company spent \$150,000 on an advertising campaign for subscriptions to the magazine it sells on getting ready for the skiing season. There are only two issues; one in October and one in November. The magazine is only sold on a subscription basis and the subscriptions started in October 1978. Assuming Ella's fiscal year ends on March 31, 1979, what amount of expense should be included in Ella's quarterly income statement for the three months ended December 31, 1978, as a result of this expenditure?

- a. \$ 37,500
- b. \$ 50,000
- c. \$ 75,000
- d. \$150,000

1M79#7. An inventory loss from market decline of \$600,000 occurred in May 1978. The Kup Company recorded this loss in May 1978 after its March 31, 1978, quarterly report was issued. None of this loss was re-

covered by the end of the year. How should this loss be reflected in Kup's quarterly income statements?

| | <u>Three Months Ended</u> | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | <u>March</u> <u>31, 1978</u> | <u>June</u> <u>30, 1978</u> | <u>September</u> <u>30, 1978</u> | <u>December</u> <u>31, 1978</u> |
| a. | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$600,000 |
| b. | \$ 0 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 |
| c. | \$ 0 | \$600,000 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| d. | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 |

D. Historical Cost, Constant Dollar Accounting, and Current Cost

2M83#15. Loy Corp. purchased a machine in 1980 when the average Consumer Price Index (CPI) was 180. The average CPI was 190 for 1981, and 200 for 1982. Loy prepares supplementary constant-dollar statements (adjusted for changing prices). Depreciation on this machine is \$200,000 a year. In Loy's supplementary constant dollar statement for 1982, the amount of depreciation expense should be stated as

- a. \$180,000
- b. \$190,000
- c. \$210,526
- d. \$222,222

2M82#8. Essex Corporation bought a machine for \$105,000 on January 3, 1981. The machine has an estimated useful life of ten years, with no salvage value. The current cost of this machine at December 31, 1981, was \$135,000. Using straight-line depreciation on an average current cost basis, how much depreciation should be charged to current cost income from continuing operations for 1981?

- a. \$10,500
- b. \$12,000
- c. \$13,500
- d. \$24,000

1N81#6. Cartwright Corporation prepared the following data needed to compute the purchasing power gain or loss on net monetary items for inclusion in its supplementary information for the year ended December 31, 1980:

| | <u>Amount in nominal dollars</u> | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | <u>December</u> <u>31, 1979</u> | <u>December</u> <u>31, 1980</u> |
| Monetary assets | \$ 600,000 | \$1,000,000 |
| Monetary liabilities | \$1,566,000 | \$2,449,000 |
| Net monetary liabilities | \$.966,000 | \$1,449,000 |
| Assumed Consumer Price Index numbers: | | |
| At December 31, 1979 | 210 | |
| At December 31, 1980 | 230 | |
| Average for 1980 | 220 | |

Cartwright's purchasing power gain or loss (expressed in average 1980 constant dollars) on net monetary items for the year ended December 31, 1980, should be

- a. \$109,000 gain.
- b. \$109,000 loss.
- c. \$111,000 gain.
- d. \$111,000 loss.

1N81#17. Information with respect to Roundtree Company's cost of goods sold for 1980 is as follows:

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Historical cost</u> |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Inventory, January 1, 1980 | 10,000 | \$ 530,000 |
| Production during 1980 | 45,000 | 2,790,000 |
| | 55,000 | 3,320,000 |
| Inventory, December 31, 1980 | 15,000 | 945,000 |
| Cost of goods sold | 40,000 | \$2,375,000 |

Roundtree estimates that the current cost per unit of inventory was \$58 at January 1, 1980, and \$72 at December 31, 1980. In Roundtree's supplementary information restated into average current cost, the cost of goods sold for the year ended December 31, 1980, should be

- a. \$2,290,000
- b. \$2,520,000
- c. \$2,600,000
- d. \$2,880,000

1M81#1. Details of Monmouth Corporation's fixed assets at December 31, 1980, are as follows:

| <u>Year</u> <u>acquired</u> | <u>Percent</u> <u>depreciated</u> | <u>Historical</u> <u>cost</u> | <u>Estimated</u> <u>current</u> <u>cost</u> |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 1978 | 30 | \$50,000 | \$70,000 |
| 1979 | 20 | 15,000 | 19,000 |
| 1980 | 10 | 20,000 | 22,000 |

Monmouth calculates depreciation at 10% per annum, using the straight-line method. A full year's depreciation is charged in the year of acquisition. There were no disposals of fixed assets. Monmouth prepares supplementary information for inclusion in its 1980 annual report as required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board. In Monmouth's supplementary information restated into current cost, the net current cost (after accumulated depreciation) of the fixed assets should be stated as

- a. \$58,000
- b. \$65,000
- c. \$84,000
- d. \$91,000

2M81#1. The following schedule lists the average consumer price index (all urban consumers) of the indicated year:

Selected Questions

| | |
|------|-----|
| 1978 | 100 |
| 1979 | 125 |
| 1980 | 150 |

Carl Corporation's plant and equipment at December 31, 1980, are as follows:

| <u>Date acquired</u> | <u>Percent depreciated</u> | <u>Historical cost</u> |
|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 1978 | 30 | \$30,000 |
| 1979 | 20 | 20,000 |
| 1980 | 10 | 10,000 |
| | | <u>\$60,000</u> |

Depreciation is calculated at 10% per annum, straight-line. A full year's depreciation is charged in the year of acquisition. There were no disposals in 1980.

What amount of depreciation expense would be included in the income statement adjusted for general inflation (historical cost/constant dollar accounting)?

- a. \$6,000
- b. \$7,200
- c. \$7,900
- d. \$9,000

1N80#18. Victor Company purchased a machine on December 31, 1977, for \$100,000. The machine is being depreciated on the straight-line basis with no salvage value and a five-year life. Assume that there was a rise in current (replacement) cost of the machine of 10% during 1978, and of 10% during 1979 (based on the December 31, 1978, current cost). In a supplementary current cost statement at December 31, 1979, Victor would report accumulated depreciation for the above machine of

- a. \$42,000
- b. \$44,000
- c. \$46,200
- d. \$48,400

1M80#12. Dart Company was formed on January 1, 1978. Selected balances from the historical cost balance sheet at December 31, 1979, were as follows:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Land (purchased January 1, 1978) | \$90,000 |
| Marketable securities, non-convertible bonds (purchased July 1, 1978, and expected to be held to maturity) | 50,000 |
| Long-term debt | 70,000 |

The average Consumer Price Index was 100 for 1978, and 110 for 1979. In a supplementary constant dollar balance sheet (adjusted for changing prices) at Decem-

ber 31, 1979, these selected account balances should be shown at

| | <u>Land</u> | <u>Marketable Securities</u> | <u>Long-term Debt</u> |
|----|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| a. | \$90,000 | \$50,000 | \$70,000 |
| b. | \$90,000 | \$55,000 | \$77,000 |
| c. | \$99,000 | \$50,000 | \$70,000 |
| d. | \$99,000 | \$55,000 | \$77,000 |

E. Loss or Gain Contingencies

1N82#19. A truck owned and operated by Ward Company was involved in an accident with an auto driven by Stillman on January 12, 1981. Ward received notice on April 24, 1981, of a lawsuit for \$800,000 damages for a personal injury suffered by Stillman. Ward's counsel believes it is reasonably possible that Stillman will be successful against the company for an estimated amount in the range between \$100,000 and \$400,000. No amount within this range is a better estimate of potential damages than any other amount. It is expected that the lawsuit will be adjudicated in the latter part of 1982. What amount of loss should Ward accrue at December 31, 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$100,000
- c. \$250,000
- d. \$400,000

1M82#20. In July 1977 Simpson Company filed suit in federal court against White Corporation seeking to recover \$750,000 for patent infringement. A court verdict was rendered in August 1981 awarding Simpson \$500,000 in damages. White has appealed the verdict but a final decision is not expected before October 1982. Simpson's counsel believes it is probable that Simpson will be successful against White for an estimated amount of \$400,000. What amount should Simpson accrue by a credit to income in the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$400,000
- c. \$500,000
- d. \$750,000

2M81#37. Volner Company's fire insurance premiums were increased from \$60,000 to \$200,000 in 1980. To avoid paying such a substantial additional expense, Volner increased the deductible on its policy from \$100,000 to \$1,000,000. Volner's income tax rate is 40%. At December 31, 1980, how much of a contingent liability should Volner accrue to cover possible future fire losses?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 540,000
- c. \$ 600,000
- d. \$1,000,000

F. Segments and Lines of Business

2N83#4. Kee Co. has five manufacturing divisions, each of which has been determined to be a reportable segment. Common costs are appropriately allocated on the basis of each division's sales in relation to Kee's aggregate sales. Kee's Sigma division comprised 40% of Kee's total sales in 1982. For the year ended December 31, 1982, Sigma had sales of \$1,000,000 and traceable costs of \$600,000. In 1982 Kee incurred operating expenses of \$100,000 that were not directly traceable to any of the five divisions. In addition, Kee incurred interest expense of \$80,000 in 1982. In reporting supplementary segment information, how much should be shown as Sigma's operating income for 1982?

- a. \$300,000
- b. \$328,000
- c. \$360,000
- d. \$400,000

1N82#20. Hines Corporation reports operating profit as to industry segments in its supplementary financial information annually. The following information is available for 1981:

| | <i>Sales</i> | <i>Traceable costs</i> |
|-----------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Segment A | \$ 750,000 | \$450,000 |
| Segment B | 500,000 | 225,000 |
| Segment C | 250,000 | 125,000 |
| | <u>\$1,500,000</u> | <u>\$800,000</u> |

Additional expenses not included above are as follows:

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Indirect operating expenses | \$240,000 |
| General corporate expenses | 180,000 |
| Interest expense | 96,000 |

Hines allocates common costs based on the ratio of a segment's sales to total sales. What should be the operating profit for segment B for 1981?

- a. \$103,000
- b. \$135,000
- c. \$163,000
- d. \$195,000

2M82#16. Kaycee Corporation's revenues for the year ended December 31, 1981, were as follows:

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| Consolidated revenue per income statement | \$1,200,000 |
| Intersegment sales | 180,000 |
| Intersegment transfers | <u>60,000</u> |
| Combined revenues of all industry segments | <u>\$1,440,000</u> |

Kaycee has a reportable segment if that segment's revenues exceed

- a. \$ 6,000
- b. \$ 24,000
- c. \$120,000
- d. \$144,000

1M81#4. Plains, Inc., engages in three lines of business, each of which is considered to be a significant industry segment. Company sales aggregated \$1,800,000 in 1980, of which Segment No. 3 contributed 60%. Traceable costs were \$600,000 for Segment No. 3 out of a total of \$1,200,000 for the company as a whole. In addition \$350,000 of common costs are allocated based on the ratio of a segment's income before common costs to the total income before common costs. What should Plains report as operating profit for Segment No. 3 in 1980?

- a. \$200,000
- b. \$270,000
- c. \$280,000
- d. \$480,000

2M80#15. The Jonas Company is a diversified company that discloses supplemental financial information as to industry segments of its business. The following information is available for 1979:

| | <i>Sales</i> | <i>Traceable Costs</i> | <i>Allocable Costs</i> |
|-----------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Product A | \$400,000 | \$225,000 | |
| Product B | 300,000 | 240,000 | |
| Product C | 200,000 | 135,000 | |
| | <u>\$900,000</u> | <u>\$600,000</u> | <u>\$150,000</u> |

Allocable costs are allocated based on the ratio of a segment's income before allocable costs to total income before allocable costs. This should be considered an appropriate method of allocation. What is the operating profit for Product B for 1979?

- a. \$0
- b. \$10,000
- c. \$30,000
- d. \$50,000

1N79#16. Chip Company operates in four different industries, each of which is appropriately regarded as a reportable segment. Total sales for 1978 for all the segments combined were \$1,000,000. Sales for Segment No. 2 were \$400,000 and traceable costs were \$150,000. Total common costs for all the segments combined were \$500,000. Chip allocates common costs based on the ratio of a segment's sales to total sales, an appropriate method of allocation. The operating profit presented for Segment No. 2 for 1978 should be

- a. \$ 50,000
- b. \$125,000
- c. \$200,000
- d. \$250,000

Selected Questions

H. Employee Benefits

2M83

Items 6 and 7 are based on the following information:

Stevenson Corporation adopted a pension plan in 1981 on a funded, noncontributory basis. Stevenson elected to amortize past service cost over twelve years and to fund past service cost over ten years. Normal cost is to be funded as incurred each year. The following schedule reflects both amortization of past service cost and funding for the years 1982 and 1981:

| | <i>1982</i> | <i>1981</i> |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 12-year amortization | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |
| Reduction for interest | 1,155 | — |
| Past service cost | 98,845 | 100,000 |
| 10-year funding | 109,628 | 109,628 |
| Balance sheet — deferred charge: | | |
| Balance | 20,411 | 9,628 |
| Increase | 10,783 | 9,628 |

6. If normal cost for 1981 was \$90,000, how much pension expense should Stevenson record for 1981?

- a. \$ 90,000
- b. \$109,628
- c. \$190,000
- d. \$199,628

7. If normal cost in 1982 was \$95,000, what entry should Stevenson make in 1982 to record pension expense and funding?

| | <i>Debit</i> | <i>Credit</i> |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| a. Pension expense | \$100,000 | |
| Deferred charge— funding in excess of cost | 9,628 | |
| Cash | | \$109,628 |
| b. Pension expense | \$193,845 | |
| Deferred charge— funding in excess of cost | 10,783 | |
| Cash | | \$204,628 |
| c. Pension expense | \$195,000 | |
| Deferred charge— funding in excess of cost | 9,628 | |
| Cash | | \$204,628 |
| d. Pension expense | \$195,000 | |
| Deferred charge— funding in excess of cost | 10,783 | |
| Cash | | \$205,783 |

2M83#13. Lucro Company pays a general manager's bonus based on 10% of Lucro's income after deducting

the bonus but before deducting income taxes. For the year ended December 31, 1982, Lucro's income was \$110,000 before deducting the bonus and income taxes. Lucro estimated its income tax expense at \$40,000 for 1982. How much bonus should Lucro pay the general manager for 1982?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 7,000
- c. \$10,000
- d. \$11,000

2N81#3. Malcolm Corporation has an incentive compensation plan under which the sales manager receives a bonus equal to 10% of the company's income after deducting income taxes, but before deducting the bonus. Income before income tax and the bonus is \$100,000. The effective income tax rate is 40%. How much is the bonus?

- a. \$ 5,400
- b. \$ 6,000
- c. \$ 6,250
- d. \$10,000

2M79#18. The Miller Corporation was established in 1970. In 1978 it adopted a pension plan for its employees. On December 31, 1978, the past service cost was determined to be \$500,000. Miller had elected to amortize past service cost over ten years and to fund past service cost over fifteen years. The past service cost of \$500,000 as of December 31, 1978, should be accounted for as a charge to

- a. Prior periods as a prior-period adjustment.
- b. Operations in 1978.
- c. Operations ratably from 1978 through 1987.
- d. Operations ratably from 1978 through 1992.

I. Analysis of Financial Statements

1N83#18. Selected information from the accounting records of Dalton Manufacturing Company is as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Net sales for 1982 | \$1,800,000 |
| Cost of goods sold for 1982 | 1,200,000 |
| Inventories at December 31, 1981 | 336,000 |
| Inventories at December 31, 1982 | 288,000 |

Assuming there are 300 working days per year, what is the number of days' sales in average inventories for 1982?

- a. 78
- b. 72
- c. 52
- d. 48

2N83#19. Barr Corporation's capital stock at December 31, 1982, consisted of the following:

Common stock, \$2 par value; 100,000 shares authorized, issued, and outstanding

Accounting Practice

10% noncumulative, nonconvertible preferred stock, \$100 par value; 1,000 shares authorized, issued, and outstanding

Barr's common stock, which is listed on a major stock exchange, was quoted at \$4 per share on December 31, 1982. Barr's net income for the year ended December 31, 1982, was \$50,000. The 1982 preferred dividend was declared. No capital stock transactions occurred during 1982. What was the price-earnings ratio on Barr's common stock at December 31, 1982?

- a. 8 to 1.
- b. 10 to 1.
- c. 16 to 1
- d. 20 to 1.

2N83#20. Ace Company's working capital at December 31, 1981, was \$5,000,000. The following additional information pertains to Ace for 1982:

| | |
|--|------------|
| Working capital provided by operations | \$ 850,000 |
| Capital expenditures | 1,500,000 |
| Short-term borrowings | 500,000 |
| Long-term borrowings | 1,000,000 |
| Payments on short-term borrowings | 250,000 |
| Payments on long-term borrowings | 300,000 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 700,000 |
| Dividends paid on common stock | 400,000 |

How much was Ace's working capital at December 31, 1982?

- a. \$5,350,000
- b. \$5,600,000
- c. \$5,750,000
- d. \$6,000,000

2M83

Items 24 through 30 are based on the following information:

Best Corporation
BALANCE SHEETS

| | <u>December 31,</u> | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | <u>1982</u> | <u>1981</u> |
| <i>Assets</i> | | |
| <u>Current assets:</u> | | |
| Cash | \$ 480,000 | \$ 220,000 |
| Accounts receivable | | |
| — net | 840,000 | 560,000 |
| Merchandise inventory | 760,000 | 470,000 |
| Total current assets | <u>2,080,000</u> | <u>1,250,000</u> |
| Land, buildings, and fixtures | 1,330,000 | 800,000 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 210,000 | 150,000 |
| | <u>1,120,000</u> | <u>650,000</u> |
| Total assets | <u>\$3,200,000</u> | <u>\$1,900,000</u> |

| | <u>December 31,</u> | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|
| | <u>1982</u> | <u>1981</u> |
| <i>Liabilities and stockholders' equity</i> | | |
| <u>Current liabilities:</u> | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 830,000 | \$ 440,000 |
| Accrued expenses | 300,000 | 130,000 |
| Dividends payable | 40,000 | — |
| Total current liabilities | <u>1,170,000</u> | <u>570,000</u> |
| <u>Stockholders' equity:</u> | | |
| Common stock (\$10 par value) | 1,200,000 | 900,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 200,000 | 100,000 |
| Retained earnings | 630,000 | 330,000 |
| | <u>2,030,000</u> | <u>1,330,000</u> |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | <u>\$3,200,000</u> | <u>\$1,900,000</u> |

Best Corporation
INCOME STATEMENTS

| | <u>Year ended December 31,</u> | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| | <u>1982</u> | <u>1981</u> |
| Credit sales | \$6,300,000 | \$4,000,000 |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>4,900,000</u> | <u>3,200,000</u> |
| Gross profit | 1,400,000 | 800,000 |
| Expenses (including income taxes) | <u>700,000</u> | <u>630,000</u> |
| Net income | <u>\$ 700,000</u> | <u>\$ 170,000</u> |

Best Corporation
CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

| | <u>Year ended December 31,</u> | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| | <u>1982</u> | <u>1981</u> |
| <i>Common stock</i> | | |
| Balance, 1/1 | \$ 900,000 | \$900,000 |
| Sold, 4/1/82 | 100,000 | — |
| 20% stock dividend, 6/1/82 | <u>200,000</u> | <u>—</u> |
| Balance, 12/31 | <u>\$1,200,000</u> | <u>\$900,000</u> |
| <i>Additional paid-in capital</i> | | |
| Balance, 1/1 | \$ 100,000 | \$100,000 |
| Sold, 4/1/82 | 25,000 | — |
| 20% stock dividend, 6/1/82 | <u>75,000</u> | <u>—</u> |
| Balance, 12/31 | <u>\$ 200,000</u> | <u>\$100,000</u> |

Selected Questions

| | <i>Year ended December 31,</i> | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| | <u>1982</u> | <u>1981</u> |
| <i>Retained earnings</i> | | |
| Balance, 1/1 | \$ 330,000 | \$250,000 |
| Net income | 700,000 | 170,000 |
| Cash dividends | (125,000) | (90,000) |
| Stock dividends | (275,000) | — |
| Balance, 12/31 | <u>\$ 630,000</u> | <u>\$330,000</u> |

Additional available information included the following:

- Although Best will report all changes in financial position, management has adopted a format emphasizing the flow of working capital.

- During 1982, Best sold, at a \$10,000 loss, fixtures with a book value of \$30,000 (\$100,000 cost minus \$70,000 accumulated depreciation). This loss was included in the income statement. Depreciation expense for 1982 was \$130,000. Best purchased \$630,000 of new fixtures during 1982.

- Common stock issued during 1982 was as follows:

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Number of shares</u> |
|-------------|-------------------------|
| 4/1/82 | 10,000 |
| 6/1/82 | 20,000 |

28. Best's current ratio at December 31, 1982, is
- 0.56
 - 0.89
 - 1.13
 - 1.78
29. Best's 1982 accounts receivable turnover is
- 5.83
 - 7.00
 - 7.50
 - 9.00
30. Best debited retained earnings on June 1, 1982, for the market value of the stock dividend. The market value per share of Best's common stock on June 1, 1982, was
- \$10.00
 - \$13.75
 - \$25.00
 - \$30.00

2N82

Items 9 and 10 are based on the following data:

Bretton Corporation's books disclosed the following information as of and for the year ended December 31, 1981:

| | |
|------------------|-------------|
| Net credit sales | \$2,000,000 |
| Net cash sales | 500,000 |

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Merchandise purchases | 1,000,000 |
| Inventory at beginning | 600,000 |
| Inventory at end | 200,000 |
| Accounts receivable at beginning | 300,000 |
| Accounts receivable at end | 700,000 |
| Net income | 100,000 |

9. Bretton's accounts receivable turnover is
- 2.9 times.
 - 3.6 times.
 - 4.0 times.
 - 5.0 times.
10. Bretton's percent of net income on sales is
- 4%
 - 9%
 - 44%
 - 56%

1M82

Items 16 and 17 are based on the following information:

Tudor Corporation's condensed financial statements provide the following information:

Balance Sheet
December 31, 1981 and 1980

| | <u>1981</u> | <u>1980</u> |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Cash | \$ 60,000 | \$ 50,000 |
| Accounts receivable (net) | 220,000 | 200,000 |
| Inventories | 260,000 | 230,000 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 730,000 | 650,000 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (330,000) | (260,000) |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 940,000</u> | <u>\$ 870,000</u> |
| Current liabilities | \$ 270,000 | \$ 330,000 |
| Stockholders' equity | 670,000 | 540,000 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | <u>\$ 940,000</u> | <u>\$ 870,000</u> |

Statement of Income
For the Year Ended December 31, 1981

| | |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| Net sales | \$1,200,000 |
| Cost of goods sold | 780,000 |
| Gross profit | 420,000 |
| Operating expenses | 240,000 |
| Net income | <u>\$ 180,000</u> |

16. Assuming that all sales are credit sales, what is Tudor's accounts receivable turnover ratio for 1981?
- 3.18
 - 5.45
 - 5.71
 - 6.00

Accounting Practice

17. What is Tudor's rate of return on average assets for 1981?
- 14.17%
 - 19.15%
 - 19.89%
 - 29.75%

16. Alpha's receivable turnover for 1980 is
- 5 times.
 - 10 times.
 - 23 times.
 - 46 times.

1N81#10. At December 31, 1979, Richmond Company had 100,000 shares of \$10 par value common stock issued and outstanding. There was no change in the number of shares outstanding during 1980. Total stockholders' equity at December 31, 1980, was \$2,800,000. The net income for the year ended December 31, 1980, was \$800,000. During 1980 Richmond paid \$3.00 per share in dividends on its common stock. The quoted market value of Richmond's common stock on a national stock exchange was \$24 on December 31, 1980. What was the price-earnings ratio on common stock for 1980?

17. Alpha's merchandise inventory turnover for 1980 is
- 8.3 times.
 - 10.0 times.
 - 11.1 times.
 - 13.3 times.

- 3.0 to 1
- 3.5 to 1
- 4.8 to 1
- 8.0 to 1

18. Alpha's current ratio at December 31, 1980, is
- 0.5 to 1.
 - 0.7 to 1.
 - 1.0 to 1.
 - 2.0 to 1.

2M81

Items 15 through 18 are based on the following information:

1N80#5. Utica Company's net accounts receivable were \$250,000 at December 31, 1978, and \$300,000 at December 31, 1979. Net cash sales for 1979 were \$100,000. The accounts receivable turnover for 1979 was 5.0. What were Utica's total net sales for 1979?

- \$1,475,000
- \$1,500,000
- \$1,600,000
- \$2,750,000

*Alpha Corporation
Selected Financial Data*

| | <i>As of December 31,</i> | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | <u>1980</u> | <u>1979</u> |
| Cash | \$ 10,000 | \$ 80,000 |
| Accounts receivable (net) | 50,000 | 150,000 |
| Merchandise inventory | 90,000 | 150,000 |
| Short-term marketable securities | 30,000 | 10,000 |
| Land and buildings (net) | 340,000 | 360,000 |
| Mortgage payable (no current portion) | 270,000 | 280,000 |
| Accounts payable (trade) | 70,000 | 110,000 |
| Short-term notes payable | 20,000 | 40,000 |

| | <i>Year ended December 31,</i> | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | <u>1980</u> | <u>1979</u> |
| Cash sales | \$1,800,000 | \$1,600,000 |
| Credit sales | 500,000 | 800,000 |
| Cost of goods sold | 1,000,000 | 1,400,000 |

1M80#7. Selected information for 1979 for the Prince Company is as follows:

| | |
|---------------------|-------------|
| Cost of goods sold | \$5,400,000 |
| Average inventory | 1,800,000 |
| Net sales | 7,200,000 |
| Average receivables | 960,000 |
| Net income | 720,000 |

Assuming a business year consisting of 360 days, what was the average number of days in the operating cycle for 1979?

- 72
- 84
- 144
- 168

15. Alpha's quick (acid test) ratio as of December 31, 1980, is

- 0.5 to 1.
- 0.7 to 1.
- 1.0 to 1.
- 2.0 to 1.

1M80#13. Smith Company had net income for 1979 of \$5,300,000 and earnings per share on common stock of \$2.50. Included in the net income was \$500,000 of bond interest expense related to its long-term debt. The income tax rate for 1979 was 50%. Dividends on preferred stock were \$300,000. The dividend-payout ratio on common stock was 40%. What were the dividends on common stock in 1979?

- \$1,800,000
- \$1,900,000
- \$2,000,000
- \$2,120,000

Selected Questions

1N79#7. Selected information from the accounting records of the Code Company is as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Cost of goods sold for 1978 | \$1,200,000 |
| Inventories at December 31, 1977 | 350,000 |
| Inventories at December 31, 1978 | 310,000 |

Assuming a business year consisting of 300 days, what was the number of days' sales in average inventories for 1978?

- a. 36.5
- b. 77.5
- c. 82.5
- d. 87.5

1M79#6. During 1978, Red, Incorporated, purchased \$2,000,000 of inventory. The cost of goods sold for 1978 was \$2,200,000, and the ending inventory at December 31, 1978, was \$400,000. What was the inventory turn-over for 1978?

- a. 4.0
- b. 4.4
- c. 5.5
- d. 11.0

VII. Cost Accumulation, Planning, and Control

A. Nature of Cost Elements

1N83#43. Regan Company operates its factory on a two-shift basis and pays a late-shift differential of 15%. Regan also pays a premium of 50% for overtime work. Since Regan manufactures only for stock, the cost system provides for uniform direct-labor hourly charges for production done without regard to shift worked or work done on an over-time basis. Overtime and late-shift differentials are included in Regan's factory overhead application rate. The May 1983 payroll for production workers is as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Wages at base direct-labor rates | \$325,000 |
| Shift differentials | 25,000 |
| Overtime premiums | 10,000 |

For the month of May 1983, what amount of direct labor should Regan charge to work-in-process?

- a. \$325,000
- b. \$335,000
- c. \$350,000
- d. \$360,000

1N83#44. Worley Company has underapplied overhead of \$45,000 for the year ended December 31, 1982. Before disposition of the underapplied overhead, selected December 31, 1982, balances from Worley's accounting records are as follows:

| | |
|--------------------|-------------|
| Sales | \$1,200,000 |
| Cost of goods sold | 720,000 |
| Inventories: | |
| Direct materials | 36,000 |
| Work-in-process | 54,000 |
| Finished goods | 90,000 |

Under Worley's cost accounting system, over or underapplied overhead is allocated to appropriate inventories and cost of goods sold based on year-end

balances. In its 1982 income statement, Worley should report cost of goods sold of

- a. \$682,500
- b. \$684,000
- c. \$756,000
- d. \$757,500

1M83

Items 22 and 23 are based on the following information:

Summit Company provided the following inventory balances and manufacturing cost data for the month of January 1983:

| | | |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Inventories: | <i>1/1/83</i> | <i>1/31/83</i> |
| Direct materials | \$30,000 | \$40,000 |
| Work-in-process | 15,000 | 20,000 |
| Finished goods | 65,000 | 50,000 |

| | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|
| | <i>Month of</i> |
| | <i>January 1983</i> |
| Cost of goods manufactured | \$515,000 |
| Factory overhead applied | 150,000 |
| Direct materials used | 190,000 |
| Actual factory overhead | 144,000 |

Under Summit's cost system, any over or underapplied overhead is closed to the cost of goods sold account at the end of the calendar year.

22. What was the total amount of direct-material purchases during January 1983?

- a. \$180,000
- b. \$190,000
- c. \$195,000
- d. \$200,000

23. How much direct-labor cost was incurred during January 1983?

- a. \$170,000
- b. \$175,000
- c. \$180,000
- d. \$186,000

Accounting Practice

1M83

Items 26 through 28 are based on the following information:

Wayne Company had the following inventories at the beginning and end of March 1983:

| | 3/1/83 | 3/31/83 |
|------------------|----------|----------|
| Direct materials | \$36,000 | \$30,000 |
| Work-in-process | 18,000 | 12,000 |
| Finished goods | 54,000 | 72,000 |

The following additional manufacturing cost data were available for the month of March 1983:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Direct materials purchased | \$84,000 |
| Direct-labor payroll | 60,000 |
| Direct-labor rate per hour | 7.50 |
| Factory overhead rate per direct-labor hour | 10.00 |

26. During March 1983 prime cost added to production was
- a. \$ 90,000
 - b. \$140,000
 - c. \$144,000
 - d. \$150,000

27. During March 1983 conversion cost added to production was
- a. \$ 60,000
 - b. \$ 80,000
 - c. \$140,000
 - d. \$150,000

28. The cost of goods manufactured for March 1983 was
- a. \$212,000
 - b. \$218,000
 - c. \$230,000
 - d. \$236,000

2N82

Items 31 and 32 are based on the following data:

Roja Corporation makes aluminum fasteners. Among Roja's 1981 manufacturing costs were the following:

| | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| <u>Wages and salaries</u> | |
| Machine operators | \$ 80,000 |
| Factory foremen | 30,000 |
| Machine mechanics | 20,000 |
| <u>Materials and supplies</u> | |
| Aluminum | \$400,000 |
| Machine parts | 18,000 |
| Lubricants for machines | 5,000 |

31. Direct labor amounted to
- a. \$ 80,000
 - b. \$100,000
 - c. \$110,000
 - d. \$130,000

32. Direct materials amounted to
- a. \$400,000
 - b. \$405,000
 - c. \$418,000
 - d. \$423,000

2N82

Items 37 and 38 are based on the following data:

Morton Company's manufacturing costs for 1981 were as follows:

| | |
|-------------------|-----------|
| Direct materials | \$300,000 |
| Direct labor | 400,000 |
| Factory overhead: | |
| Variable | 80,000 |
| Fixed | 50,000 |

37. Prime cost totaled
- a. \$300,000
 - b. \$380,000
 - c. \$700,000
 - d. \$830,000

38. Conversion cost totaled
- a. \$400,000
 - b. \$480,000
 - c. \$530,000
 - d. \$830,000

1M82#21. Hartwell Company distributes the service department overhead costs directly to producing departments without allocation to the other service departments. Information for the month of January 1982 is as follows:

| | <u>Service departments</u> |
|--|---------------------------------|
| | <u>Maintenance Utilities</u> |

| | | |
|-------------------------|----------|---------|
| Overhead costs incurred | \$18,700 | \$9,000 |
| Service provided to: | | |
| Maintenance department | — | 10% |
| Utilities department | 20% | — |
| Producing department A | 40% | 30% |
| Producing department B | 40% | 60% |
| Total | 100% | 100% |

The amount of utilities department costs distributed to producing department B for January 1982 should be

- a. \$3,600
- b. \$4,500
- c. \$5,400
- d. \$6,000

Selected Questions

B. Job Order Costing

1M83#24. Elliott Company manufactures tools to customer specifications. The following data pertain to Job 1501 for February 1983:

| | |
|--|---------|
| Direct materials used | \$4,200 |
| Direct-labor hours worked | 300 |
| Direct-labor rate per hour | \$8.00 |
| Machine hours used | 200 |
| Applied factory overhead rate per machine hour | \$15.00 |

What is the total manufacturing cost recorded on Job 1501 for February 1983?

- a. \$ 8,800
- b. \$ 9,600
- c. \$10,300
- d. \$11,100

1M83#32. Blackwood uses a job order cost system and applies factory overhead to production orders on the basis of direct-labor cost. The overhead rates for 1982 are 200% for department A and 50% for department B. Job 123, started and completed during 1982, was charged with the following costs:

| | <i>Department</i> | |
|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | <i>A</i> | <i>B</i> |
| Direct materials | \$25,000 | \$ 5,000 |
| Direct labor | ? | 30,000 |
| Factory overhead | 40,000 | ? |

The total manufacturing costs associated with Job 123 should be

- a. \$135,000
- b. \$180,000
- c. \$195,000
- d. \$240,000

1N82

Items 22 and 23 are based on the following information:

Hamilton Company uses job order costing. Factory overhead is applied to production at a predetermined rate of 150% of direct-labor cost. Any over or under-applied factory overhead is closed to the cost of goods sold account at the end of each month. Additional information is available as follows:

- Job 101 was the only job in process at January 31, 1982, with accumulated costs as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------|---------|
| Direct materials | \$4,000 |
| Direct labor | 2,000 |
| Applied factory overhead | 3,000 |
| | \$9,000 |

- Jobs 102, 103, and 104 were started during February.

- Direct materials requisitions for February totaled \$26,000.
- Direct-labor cost of \$20,000 was incurred for February.
- Actual factory overhead was \$32,000 for February.
- The only job still in process at February 28, 1982, was Job 104, with costs of \$2,800 for direct materials and \$1,800 for direct labor.

22. The cost of goods manufactured for February 1982 was

- a. \$77,700
- b. \$78,000
- c. \$79,700
- d. \$85,000

23. Over or underapplied factory overhead should be closed to the cost of goods sold account at February 28, 1982, in the amount of

- a. \$ 700 overapplied.
- b. \$1,000 overapplied.
- c. \$1,700 underapplied.
- d. \$2,000 underapplied.

1M82#23. Worrell Corporation has a job order cost system. The following debits (credits) appeared in the general ledger account work-in-process for the month of March 1982:

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| March 1, balance | \$ 12,000 |
| March 31, direct materials | 40,000 |
| March 31, direct labor | 30,000 |
| March 31, factory overhead | 27,000 |
| March 31, to finished goods | (100,000) |

Worrell applies overhead to production at a predetermined rate of 90% based on the direct-labor cost. Job No. 232, the only job still in process at the end of March 1982, has been charged with factory overhead of \$2,250. What was the amount of direct materials charged to Job No. 232?

- a. \$2,250
- b. \$2,500
- c. \$4,250
- d. \$9,000

1M81#38. Tillman Corporation uses a job-order cost system and has two production departments, M and A. Budgeted manufacturing costs for 1980 are as follows:

| | <i>Department</i> | |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | <i>M</i> | <i>A</i> |
| Direct materials | \$700,000 | \$100,000 |
| Direct labor | 200,000 | 800,000 |
| Manufacturing overhead | 600,000 | 400,000 |

The actual material and labor costs charged to Job No. 432 during 1980 were as follows:

| | | |
|-----------------|---------------|----------|
| Direct material | | \$25,000 |
| Direct labor: | | |
| Department M | \$ 8,000 | |
| Department A | <u>12,000</u> | 20,000 |

Tillman applies manufacturing overhead to production orders on the basis of direct-labor cost using departmental rates predetermined at the beginning of the year based on the annual budget. The total manufacturing cost associated with Job No. 432 for 1980 should be

- a. \$50,000
- b. \$55,000
- c. \$65,000
- d. \$75,000

C. Process Costing

1N83#45. Department A is the first stage of Mann Company's production cycle. The following information is available for conversion costs for the month of April 1983:

| | |
|--|--------------|
| | <u>Units</u> |
| Work-in-process, beginning (60% complete) | 20,000 |
| Started in April | 340,000 |
| Completed in April and transferred to department B | 320,000 |
| Work-in-process, ending (40% complete) | 40,000 |

Using the FIFO method, the equivalent units for the conversion cost calculation are

- a. 320,000
- b. 324,000
- c. 336,000
- d. 360,000

1N83#46. Barnett Company adds materials at the beginning of the process in department M. Conversion costs were 75% complete as to the 8,000 units in work-in-process at May 1, 1983, and 50% complete as to the 6,000 units in work-in-process at May 31. During May 12,000 units were completed and transferred to the next department. An analysis of the costs relating to work-in-process at May 1 and to production activity for May is as follows:

| | | |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | <u>Costs</u> | |
| | <u>Materials</u> | <u>Conversion</u> |
| Work-in-process, 5/1 | \$ 9,600 | \$ 4,800 |
| Costs added in May | 15,600 | 14,400 |

Using the weighted-average method, the total cost per equivalent unit for May was

- a. \$2.47
- b. \$2.50
- c. \$2.68
- d. \$3.16

1M83#21. Walden Company has a process cost system using the FIFO cost flow method. All materials are introduced at the beginning of the process in department One. The following information is available for the month of January 1983:

| | |
|--|--------------|
| | <u>Units</u> |
| Work-in-process, 1/1/83 (40% complete as to conversion costs) | 500 |
| Started in January | 2,000 |
| Transferred to department Two during January | 2,100 |
| Work-in-process, 1/31/83 (25% complete as to conversion costs) | 400 |

What are the equivalent units of production for the month of January 1983?

| | | |
|----|------------------|-------------------|
| | <u>Materials</u> | <u>Conversion</u> |
| a. | 2,500 | 2,200 |
| b. | 2,500 | 1,900 |
| c. | 2,000 | 2,200 |
| d. | 2,000 | 2,000 |

1M83#29. During April 1983 Clayton Company's department B equivalent unit product costs, computed under the weighted-average method, were as follows:

| | |
|----------------|-----|
| Materials | \$1 |
| Conversion | 3 |
| Transferred-in | 5 |

Materials are introduced at the end of the process in department B. There were 2,000 units (40% complete as to conversion costs) in work-in-process at April 30, 1983. The total costs assigned to the April 30, 1983, work-in-process inventory should be

- a. \$12,400
- b. \$13,600
- c. \$14,400
- d. \$18,000

1N82

Items 32 and 33 are based on the following information:

Bronson Company had 6,000 units in work-in-process at January 1, 1982, which were 60% complete as to conversion costs. During January 20,000 units were completed. At January 31, 1982, 8,000 units remained in work-in-process which were 40% complete as to conversion costs. Materials are added at the beginning of the process.

32. Using the weighted-average method, the equivalent units for January for conversion costs were

- a. 19,600
- b. 22,400
- c. 23,200
- d. 25,600

Selected Questions

33. How many units were started during January?
- 18,000
 - 19,600
 - 20,000
 - 22,000

1M82#26. Information for the month of January 1982 concerning department A, the first stage of Ogden Corporation's production cycle, is as follows:

| | <u>Materials</u> | <u>Conversion</u> |
|--|------------------|-------------------|
| Work-in-process, beginning | \$ 8,000 | \$ 6,000 |
| Current costs | <u>40,000</u> | <u>32,000</u> |
| Total costs | <u>\$48,000</u> | <u>\$38,000</u> |
| Equivalent units using weighted-average method | <u>100,000</u> | <u>95,000</u> |
| Average unit costs | <u>\$ 0.48</u> | <u>\$ 0.40</u> |

Goods completed 90,000 units
 Work-in-process, end 10,000 units

Materials are added at the beginning of the process. The ending work-in-process is 50% complete as to conversion costs. How would the total costs accounted for be distributed, using the weighted-average method?

| | <u>Goods completed</u> | <u>Work-in-process, end</u> |
|----|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| a. | \$79,200 | \$6,800 |
| b. | \$79,200 | \$8,800 |
| c. | \$86,000 | \$0 |
| d. | \$88,000 | \$6,800 |

1M82#28. Richardson Company computed the flow of physical units completed for department M for the month of March 1982 as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Units completed: | |
| From work-in-process on March 1, 1982 | 15,000 |
| From March production | <u>45,000</u> |
| | <u>60,000</u> |

Materials are added at the beginning of the process. The 12,000 units of work-in-process at March 31, 1982, were 80% complete as to conversion costs. The work-in-process at March 1, 1982, was 60% complete as to conversion costs. Using the FIFO method, the equivalent units for March conversion costs were

- 55,200
- 57,000
- 60,600
- 63,600

1N81#21. Materials are added at the start of the process in Cedar Company's blending department, the first

stage of the production cycle. The following information is available for the month of July 1981:

| | <u>Units</u> |
|--|--------------|
| Work-in-process, July 1 (60% complete as to conversion costs) | 60,000 |
| Started in July | 150,000 |
| Transferred to the next department | 110,000 |
| Lost in production | 30,000 |
| Work-in-process, July 31 (50% complete as to conversion costs) | 70,000 |

Under Cedar's cost accounting system, the costs incurred on the lost units are absorbed by the remaining good units. Using the weighted-average method, what are the equivalent units for the materials unit cost calculation?

- 120,000
- 145,000
- 180,000
- 210,000

1M81#32. Information concerning department A of Stover Company for the month of June is as follows:

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Materials costs</u> |
|----------------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Work-in-process, beginning | 17,000 | \$12,800 |
| Started in June | 82,000 | 69,700 |
| Units completed | 85,000 | |
| Work-in-process, end | 14,000 | |

All materials are added at the beginning of the process. Using the weighted-average method, the cost per equivalent unit for materials costs is

- \$0.83
- \$0.85
- \$0.97
- \$1.01

1N80#28. Sussex Corporation's production cycle starts in the Mixing Department. The following information is available for the month of April 1980:

| | <u>Units</u> |
|--|--------------|
| Work-in-process, April 1 (50% complete) | 40,000 |
| Started in April | 240,000 |
| Work-in-process, April 30 (60% complete) | 25,000 |

Materials are added in the beginning of the process in the Mixing Department. Using the weighted-average method, what are the equivalent units of production for the month of April 1980?

| | <u>Materials</u> | <u>Conversion</u> |
|----|------------------|-------------------|
| a. | 240,000 | 250,000 |
| b. | 255,000 | 255,000 |
| c. | 270,000 | 280,000 |
| d. | 280,000 | 270,000 |

1N80#33. The Cutting Department is the first stage of Mark Company's production cycle. Conversion costs for this department were 80% complete as to the beginning work-in-process and 50% complete as to the ending work-in-process. Information as to conversion costs in the Cutting Department for January 1980 is as follows:

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Conversion costs</u> |
|---|--------------|-------------------------|
| Work-in-process at January 1, 1980 | 25,000 | \$ 22,000 |
| Units started and costs incurred during January | 135,000 | 143,000 |
| Units completed and transferred to next department during January | 100,000 | |

Using the FIFO method, what was the conversion cost of the work-in-process in the Cutting Department at January 31, 1980?

- a. \$33,000
- b. \$38,100
- c. \$39,000
- d. \$45,000

1M80#32. Milton, Inc., had 8,000 units of work in process in its Department M on March 1, 1980, which were 50% complete as to conversion costs. Materials are introduced at the beginning of the process. During March 17,000 units were started, 18,000 units were completed and there were 2,000 units of normal spoilage. Milton had 5,000 units of work in process at March 31, 1980, which were 60% complete as to conversion costs. Under Milton's cost accounting system, spoiled units reduce the number of units over which total cost can be spread. Using the weighted-average method, the equivalent units for March for conversion costs were

- a. 17,000
- b. 19,000
- c. 21,000
- d. 23,000

1M80#33. Roy Company manufactures product X in a two-stage production cycle in Departments A and B. Materials are added at the beginning of the process in Department B. Roy uses the weighted-average method. Conversion costs for Department B were 50% complete as to the 6,000 units in the beginning work in process and 75% complete as to the 8,000 units in the ending work in process. 12,000 units were completed and transferred out of Department B during February 1980. An analysis of the costs relating to work in process (WIP) and production activity in Department B for February 1980 is as follows:

| | <u>Costs</u> | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | <u>Transferred In</u> | <u>Materials</u> | <u>Conversion</u> |
| WIP, February 1: | | | |
| Costs attached | \$12,000 | \$2,500 | \$1,000 |
| February activity: | | | |
| Costs added | 29,000 | 5,500 | 5,000 |

The total cost per equivalent unit transferred out for February 1980 of product X, rounded to the nearest penny, was

- a. \$2.75
- b. \$2.78
- c. \$2.82
- d. \$2.85

1N79#33. Maurice Company adds materials at the beginning of the process in the Forming Department, which is the first of two stages of its production cycle. Information concerning the materials used in the Forming Department in April 1979 is as follows:

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Materials Costs</u> |
|---|--------------|------------------------|
| Work in process at April 1, 1979 | 12,000 | \$ 6,000 |
| Units started during April | 100,000 | 51,120 |
| Units completed and transferred to next department during April | 88,000 | |

Using the weighted-average method, what was the materials cost of the work in process at April 30, 1979?

- a. \$ 6,120
- b. \$11,040
- c. \$12,000
- d. \$12,240

1N79#34. The Ace Company had computed the physical flow (of physical units) for Department A, for the month of April 1979 as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Units completed: | |
| From work in process on April 1, 1979 | 10,000 |
| From April production | 30,000 |
| | <u>40,000</u> |

Materials are added at the beginning of the process. Units of work in process at April 30, 1979, were 8,000. The work in process at April 1, 1979, was 80% complete as to conversion costs and the work in process at April 30, 1979, was 60% complete as to conversion costs.

Selected Questions

What are the equivalent units of production for the month of April 1979 using the FIFO method?

| | <i>Materials</i> | <i>Conversion Costs</i> |
|----|------------------|-----------------------------|
| a. | 38,000 | \$36,800 |
| b. | 38,000 | 38,000 |
| c. | 48,000 | 44,800 |
| d. | 48,000 | 48,000 |

1M79#35. The Wiring Department is the second stage of Flem Company's production cycle. On May 1, the beginning work in process contained 25,000 units which were 60% complete as to conversion costs. During May, 100,000 units were transferred in from the first stage of Flem's production cycle. On May 31, the ending work in process contained 20,000 units which were 80% complete as to conversion costs. Material costs are added at the end of the process. Using the weighted-average method, the equivalent units were

| | <i>Transferred-in costs</i> | <i>Materials</i> | <i>Conversion costs</i> |
|----|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| a. | 100,000 | 125,000 | 100,000 |
| b. | 125,000 | 105,000 | 105,000 |
| c. | 125,000 | 105,000 | 121,000 |
| d. | 125,000 | 125,000 | 121,000 |

D. Standard Costing and Variance Analysis

1N83#47. Geyer Company uses a standard cost system. For the month of April 1983, total overhead is budgeted at \$80,000 based on the normal capacity of 20,000 direct-labor hours. At standard each unit of finished product requires 2 direct-labor hours. The following data are available for the April 1983 production activity:

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Equivalent units of product | 9,500 |
| Direct-labor hours worked | 19,500 |
| Actual total overhead incurred | \$79,500 |

What amount should Geyer credit to the applied factory overhead account for the month of April 1983?

- a. \$76,000
- b. \$78,000
- c. \$79,500
- d. \$80,000

1N83#48. Information on Cox Company's direct-material costs for the month of January 1983 was as follows:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Actual quantity purchased | 18,000 |
| Actual unit purchase price | \$ 3.60 |
| Materials purchase price variance— unfavorable (based on purchases) | \$ 3,600 |
| Standard quantity allowed for actual production | 16,000 |
| Actual quantity used | 15,000 |

For January 1983 there was a favorable direct-material usage variance of

- a. \$3,360
- b. \$3,375
- c. \$3,400
- d. \$3,800

1N83#49. Harper Company uses a standard cost system. Data relating to direct labor for the month of August 1983 is as follows:

| | |
|---|---------|
| Direct-labor efficiency variance—favorable | \$5,250 |
| Standard direct-labor rate | \$ 7.00 |
| Actual direct-labor rate | \$ 7.50 |
| Standard hours allowed for actual production | 9,000 |

What are the actual hours worked for the month of August 1983?

- a. 9,750
- b. 8,400
- c. 8,300
- d. 8,250

1M83#39. Universal Company uses a standard cost system and prepared the following budget at normal capacity for the month of January 1983:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Direct-labor hours | 24,000 |
| Variable factory overhead | \$ 48,000 |
| Fixed factory overhead | \$108,000 |
| Total factory overhead per direct-labor hour | \$ 6.50 |

Actual data for January 1983 were as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Direct-labor hours worked | 22,000 |
| Total factory overhead | \$147,000 |
| Standard direct-labor hours allowed for capacity attained | 21,000 |

Using the two-way analysis of overhead variances, what is the budget (controllable) variance for January 1983?

- a. \$ 3,000 favorable.
- b. \$ 5,000 favorable.
- c. \$ 9,000 favorable.
- d. \$10,500 unfavorable.

2N82#22. The following information pertains to Bates Company's direct labor for March 1982:

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Standard direct-labor hours | 21,000 |
| Actual direct-labor hours | 20,000 |
| Favorable direct-labor rate variance | \$8,400 |
| Standard direct-labor rate per hour | \$ 6.30 |

What was Bates' total actual direct labor cost for March 1982?

- a. \$117,600
- b. \$118,000
- c. \$134,000
- d. \$134,400

Accounting Practice

2N82#24. Perkins Company, which has a standard cost-system, had 500 units of raw material X in its inventory at June 1, 1982, purchased in May for \$1.20 per unit and carried at a standard cost of \$1.00. The following information pertains to raw material X for the month of June 1982:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Actual number of units purchased | 1,400 |
| Actual number of units used | 1,500 |
| Standard number of units allowed for actual production | 1,300 |
| Standard cost per unit | \$1.00 |
| Actual cost per unit | \$1.10 |

The unfavorable materials purchase price variance for raw material X for June was

- a. \$0
- b. \$130
- c. \$140
- d. \$150

2N82#36. Cannon Cannery, Inc., estimated its factory overhead at \$510,000 for 1981, based on a normal capacity of 100,000 direct-labor hours. Standard direct-labor hours for the year totaled 105,000, while the factory overhead control account at the end of the year showed a balance of \$540,000. How much was the underapplied factory overhead for 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 4,500
- c. \$27,000
- d. \$30,000

1M82#22. Martin Company uses a two-way analysis of overhead variances. Selected data for the April 1982 production activity are as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Actual variable factory overhead incurred | \$196,000 |
| Variable factory overhead rate per direct-labor hour | \$6.00 |
| Standard direct-labor hours allowed | 33,000 |
| Actual direct-labor hours | 32,000 |

Assuming that budgeted fixed overhead costs are equal to actual fixed costs, the budget (controllable) variance for April 1982 is

- a. \$2,000 favorable.
- b. \$4,000 unfavorable.
- c. \$4,000 favorable.
- d. \$6,000 favorable.

1M82#25. Information on Hanley's direct-labor costs for the month of January 1982 is as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Actual direct-labor rate | \$7.50 |
| Standard direct-labor hours allowed | 11,000 |
| Actual direct-labor hours | 10,000 |
| Direct-labor rate variance—favorable | \$5,500 |

What was the standard direct-labor rate in effect for the month of January 1982?

- a. \$6.95
- b. \$7.00
- c. \$8.00
- d. \$8.05

1M82#31. Buckler Company manufactures desks with vinyl tops. The standard material cost for the vinyl used per Model S desk is \$27.00 based on twelve square feet of vinyl at a cost of \$2.25 per square foot. A production run of 1,000 desks in March 1982 resulted in usage of 12,600 square feet of vinyl at a cost of \$2.00 per square foot, a total cost of \$25,200. The usage variance resulting from the above production run was

- a. \$1,200 unfavorable.
- b. \$1,350 unfavorable.
- c. \$1,800 favorable.
- d. \$3,150 favorable.

1N81#24. Information on Townsend Company's direct-labor costs for May 1981 is as follows:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Standard direct-labor rate | \$ 6.00 |
| Actual direct-labor rate | \$ 5.80 |
| Standard direct-labor hours | 20,000 |
| Actual direct-labor hours | 21,000 |
| Direct-labor rate variance— favorable | \$ 4,200 |

What is Townsend's total direct-labor payroll for May 1981?

- a. \$116,000
- b. \$117,600
- c. \$120,000
- d. \$121,800

1N81#26. Throop Company had budgeted 50,000 units of output using 50,000 units of raw materials at a total material cost of \$100,000. Actual output was 50,000 units of product requiring 45,000 units of raw materials at a cost of \$2.10 per unit. The direct-material price variance and usage variance were

| <i>Price</i> | <i>Usage</i> |
|-------------------------|----------------------|
| a. \$ 4,500 unfavorable | \$10,000 favorable |
| b. \$ 5,000 favorable | \$10,500 unfavorable |
| c. \$ 5,000 unfavorable | \$10,500 favorable |
| d. \$10,000 favorable | \$ 4,500 unfavorable |

1N81#27. Union Company uses a standard cost accounting system. The following overhead costs and production data are available for August 1981:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Standard fixed overhead rate per direct-labor hour | \$1.00 |
| Standard variable overhead rate per direct-labor hour | \$4.00 |
| Budgeted monthly direct-labor hours | 40,000 |
| Actual direct-labor hours worked | 39,500 |

Selected Questions

| | |
|---|---------|
| Standard direct-labor hours allowed for actual production | 39,000 |
| Overall overhead variance — favorable | \$2,000 |

The applied factory overhead for August 1981 should be

- a. \$195,000
- b. \$197,000
- c. \$197,500
- d. \$199,500

1M81#26. Dickey Company had total underapplied overhead of \$15,000. Additional information is as follows:

Variable Overhead:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Applied based on standard direct-labor hours allowed | \$42,000 |
|--|----------|

| | |
|---|--------|
| Budgeted based on standard direct-labor hours | 38,000 |
|---|--------|

Fixed Overhead:

| | |
|--|--------|
| Applied based on standard direct-labor hours allowed | 30,000 |
|--|--------|

| | |
|---|--------|
| Budgeted based on standard direct-labor hours | 27,000 |
|---|--------|

What is the actual total overhead?

- a. \$50,000
- b. \$57,000
- c. \$80,000
- d. \$87,000

1M81#27. Information on Barber Company's direct-labor costs for the month of January 1981 is as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Actual direct-labor hours | 34,500 |
| Standard direct-labor hours | 35,000 |
| Total direct-labor payroll | \$241,500 |
| Direct-labor efficiency variance — favorable | \$3,200 |

What is Barber's direct-labor rate variance?

- a. \$17,250 unfavorable.
- b. \$20,700 unfavorable.
- c. \$21,000 unfavorable.
- d. \$21,000 favorable.

1M81#28. During March 1981 Younger Company's direct-material costs for the manufacture of product T were as follows:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Actual unit purchase price | \$6.50 |
| Standard quantity allowed for actual production | 2,100 |
| Quantity purchased and used for actual production | 2,300 |
| Standard unit price | \$6.25 |

Younger's material usage variance for March 1981 was

- a. \$1,250 unfavorable.
- b. \$1,250 favorable.
- c. \$1,300 unfavorable.
- d. \$1,300 favorable.

1N80#38. Durable Company installs shingle roofs on residential houses. The standard material cost for a Type R house is \$1,250 based on 1,000 units at a cost of \$1.25 each. During April 1980 Durable installed roofs on 20 Type R houses, using 22,000 units of material at a cost of \$1.20 per unit, and a total cost of \$26,400. Durable's material price variance for April 1980 is

- a. \$1,000 favorable.
- b. \$1,100 favorable.
- c. \$1,400 unfavorable.
- d. \$2,500 unfavorable.

1N80#39. Information on Ripley Company's overhead costs for the January 1980 production activity is as follows:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Budgeted fixed overhead | \$ 75,000 |
| Standard fixed overhead rate per direct-labor hour | \$3 |
| Standard variable overhead rate per direct-labor hour | \$6 |
| Standard direct-labor hours allowed for actual production | 24,000 |
| Actual total overhead incurred | \$220,000 |

Ripley has a standard absorption and flexible budgeting system, and uses the two-variance method (two-way analysis) for overhead variances. The volume (denominator) variance for January 1980 is

- a. \$3,000 unfavorable.
- b. \$3,000 favorable.
- c. \$4,000 unfavorable.
- d. \$4,000 favorable.

1M80#35. Alden Company has a standard absorption and flexible budgeting system and uses a two-way analysis of overhead variances. Selected data for the February 1980 production activity is as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Budgeted fixed factory overhead costs | \$ 64,000 |
| Actual factory overhead incurred | \$230,000 |
| Variable factory overhead rate per direct-labor hour | \$5 |
| Standard direct-labor hours | 32,000 |
| Actual direct-labor hours | 33,000 |

The budget (controllable) variance for February 1980 is

- a. \$1,000 favorable.
- b. \$1,000 unfavorable.
- c. \$6,000 favorable.
- d. \$6,000 unfavorable.

Accounting Practice

1M80#39. Lion Company's direct-labor costs for the month of January 1980 were as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Actual direct-labor hours | 20,000 |
| Standard direct-labor hours | 21,000 |
| Direct-labor rate variance — unfavorable | \$3,000 |
| Total payroll | \$126,000 |

What was Lion's direct-labor efficiency variance?

- a. \$6,000 favorable.
- b. \$6,150 favorable.
- c. \$6,300 favorable.
- d. \$6,450 favorable.

1N79#37. Information on Westcott Company's direct-labor costs is as follows:

| | |
|--|---------|
| Standard direct-labor rate | \$3.75 |
| Actual direct-labor rate | \$3.50 |
| Standard direct-labor hours | 10,000 |
| Direct-labor usage (efficiency) variance — unfavorable | \$4,200 |

What were the actual hours worked, rounded to the nearest hour?

- a. 10,714
- b. 11,120
- c. 11,200
- d. 11,914

1N79#38. Information on Kennedy Company's direct-material costs is as follows:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Standard unit price | \$3.60 |
| Actual quantity purchased | 1,600 |
| Standard quantity allowed for actual production | 1,450 |
| Materials purchase price variance — favorable | \$ 240 |

What was the actual purchase price per unit, rounded to the nearest penny?

- a. \$3.06
- b. \$3.11
- c. \$3.45
- d. \$3.75

1N79#39. Information on Fire Company's overhead costs is as follows:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Actual variable overhead | \$73,000 |
| Actual fixed overhead | \$17,000 |
| Standard hours allowed for actual production | 32,000 |
| Standard variable overhead rate per direct-labor hour | \$2.50 |
| Standard fixed overhead rate per direct-labor hour | \$0.50 |

What is the total overhead variance?

- a. \$1,000 unfavorable.
- b. \$6,000 favorable.
- c. \$6,000 unfavorable.
- d. \$7,000 favorable.

1M79#37. Information on Material Company's direct-material costs is as follows:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Actual units of direct materials used | 20,000 |
| Actual direct-material costs | \$40,000 |
| Standard price per unit of direct materials | \$ 2.10 |
| Direct-material efficiency variance — favorable | \$ 3,000 |

What was Material's direct-material price variance?

- a. \$1,000 favorable.
- b. \$1,000 unfavorable.
- c. \$2,000 favorable.
- d. \$2,000 unfavorable.

1M79#39. Information on Overhead Company's overhead costs is as follows:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Standard applied overhead | \$80,000 |
| Budgeted overhead based on standard direct-labor hours allowed | \$84,000 |
| Budgeted overhead based on actual direct-labor hours allowed | \$83,000 |
| Actual overhead | \$86,000 |

What is the total overhead variance?

- a. \$2,000 unfavorable.
- b. \$3,000 favorable.
- c. \$4,000 favorable.
- d. \$6,000 unfavorable.

E. Joint Costing

1N83

Items 51 and 52 are based on the following information:

Grafton Company produces joint products A and B in department One from a process which also yields by-product W. Product A and by-product W are sold after separation, but product B must be further processed in department Two before it can be sold. The cost assigned to the by-product is its market value less \$0.40 per pound for delivery expense (net realizable value method). Information relating to a batch produced in July 1983 is as follows:

| <u>Product</u> | <u>Production (in pounds)</u> | <u>Sales price per pound</u> |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| A | 2,000 | \$4.50 |
| B | 4,000 | 9.00 |
| W | 500 | 1.50 |

Selected Questions

Joint cost in department One \$18,000
 Product B additional process cost
 in department Two \$10,000

allocated to Y was \$60,000, using the relative-sales-value at split-off approach. How much were the total joint costs?

- a. \$ 80,000
- b. \$ 85,000
- c. \$ 90,000
- d. \$120,000

51. For joint cost allocation purposes, what is the net realizable value at the split-off point of product B?

- a. \$46,000
- b. \$45,000
- c. \$36,000
- d. \$26,000

1M83

Items 35 and 36 are based on the following information:

Warfield Corporation manufactures products C, D and E from a joint process. Joint costs are allocated on the basis of relative-sales-value at split-off. Additional information is as follows:

| | <i>Product</i> | | | <i>Total</i> |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|--------------|
| | <i>C</i> | <i>D</i> | <i>E</i> | |
| Units produced | 6,000 | 4,000 | 2,000 | 12,000 |
| Joint costs | \$ 72,000 | ? | ? | \$120,000 |
| Sales value at split-off | ? | ? | \$30,000 | \$200,000 |
| Additional costs if processed further | \$ 14,000 | \$10,000 | \$ 6,000 | \$ 30,000 |
| Sales value if processed further | \$140,000 | \$60,000 | \$40,000 | \$240,000 |

35. How much of the joint costs should Warfield allocate to product D?

- a. \$24,000
- b. \$28,800
- c. \$30,000
- d. \$32,000

36. Assuming that the 2,000 units of product E were processed further and sold for \$40,000, what was Warfield's gross profit on the sale?

- a. \$ 4,000
- b. \$14,000
- c. \$16,000
- d. \$22,000

2N82#25. Brill Company manufactures products Y and Z from a joint process. Sales value at split-off was \$100,000 for 6,000 units of Y and \$50,000 for 2,000 units of Z. The portion of total joint costs properly

2N82#26. Pendall Company manufactures products Dee and Eff from a joint process. Product Dee has been allocated \$2,500 of total joint costs of \$20,000 for the 1,000 units produced. Dee can be sold at the split-off point for \$3 per unit, or it can be processed further with additional costs of \$1,000 and sold for \$5 per unit. If Dee is processed further and sold, the result would be

- a. A break-even situation.
- b. An additional gain of \$1,000 from further processing.
- c. An overall loss of \$1,000.
- d. An additional gain of \$2,000 from further processing.

1N81#22. Ashwood Company manufactures products F, G, and W from a joint process. Joint costs are allocated on the basis of relative-sales-value at split-off. Additional information for the June 1981 production activity is as follows:

| | <i>Products</i> | | | <i>Total</i> |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|--------------|
| | <i>F</i> | <i>G</i> | <i>W</i> | |
| Units produced | 50,000 | 40,000 | 10,000 | 100,000 |
| Joint costs | ? | ? | ? | \$450,000 |
| Sales value at split-off | \$420,000 | \$270,000 | \$60,000 | \$750,000 |
| Additional costs if processed further | \$ 88,000 | \$ 30,000 | \$12,000 | \$130,000 |
| Sales value if processed further | \$538,000 | \$320,000 | \$78,000 | \$936,000 |

Assuming that the 10,000 units of W were processed further and sold for \$78,000, what was Ashwood's gross profit on this sale?

- a. \$21,000
- b. \$28,500
- c. \$30,000
- d. \$66,000

1M81#37. Stayman, Inc., manufactures products F, G, and H from a joint process.

Accounting Practice

Additional information is as follows:

| | Product | | | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| | F | G | H | |
| Units produced | 8,000 | 4,000 | 2,000 | 14,000 |
| Joint cost | ? | ? | \$18,000 | \$120,000 |
| Sales value at split-off | \$120,000 | ? | ? | \$200,000 |
| Additional costs if processed further | \$ 14,000 | \$10,000 | \$ 6,000 | \$ 30,000 |
| Sales value if processed further | \$140,000 | \$60,000 | \$50,000 | \$250,000 |

Assuming that joint product costs are allocated using the relative-sales-value at split-off approach, what were the joint costs allocated to product G?

- a. \$28,800
- b. \$30,000
- c. \$34,000
- d. \$51,000

1M81#39. Stowe, Inc., produces two joint products, PEL and VEL. The joint production costs for March 1981 were \$15,000. During March 1981 further processing costs beyond the split-off point, needed to convert the products into salable form, were \$8,000 and \$12,000 for 800 units of PEL and 400 units of VEL, respectively. PEL sells for \$25 per unit and VEL sells for \$50 per unit. Assuming that Stowe uses the net realizable value method for allocating joint product costs, what were the joint costs allocated to product PEL for March 1981?

- a. \$ 5,000
- b. \$ 6,000
- c. \$ 9,000
- d. \$10,000

1N80#24. Jonathan Company manufactures products N, P, and R from a joint process. The following information is available:

| | Product | | | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| | N | P | R | |
| Units produced | 6,000 | ? | ? | 12,000 |
| Sales value at split-off | ? | ? | \$25,000 | \$100,000 |
| Joint costs | \$ 24,000 | ? | ? | \$ 60,000 |
| Sales value if processed further | \$ 55,000 | \$45,000 | \$30,000 | \$130,000 |
| Additional costs if processed further | \$ 9,000 | \$ 7,000 | \$ 5,000 | \$ 21,000 |

Assuming that joint product costs are allocated using the relative-sales-value at split-off approach, what was the sales value at split-off for product N?

- a. \$33,000
- b. \$40,000
- c. \$46,000
- d. \$50,000

1N80#32. Ohio Corporation manufactures liquid chemicals A and B from a joint process. Joint costs are allocated on the basis of relative-sales-value at split-off. It costs \$4,560 to process 500 gallons of product A and 1,000 gallons of product B to the split-off point. The sales value at split-off is \$10 per gallon for product A and \$14 for product B. Product B requires an additional process beyond split-off at a cost of \$1 per gallon before it can be sold. What is Ohio's cost to produce 1,000 gallons of product B?

- a. \$3,360
- b. \$3,660
- c. \$4,040
- d. \$4,360

2M80#28. Stellar Corporation manufactures products R and S from a joint process. Additional information is as follows:

| | Product | | Total |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | R | S | |
| Units produced | 4,000 | 6,000 | 10,000 |
| Joint costs | \$36,000 | \$ 54,000 | \$ 90,000 |
| Sales value at split-off | ? | ? | ? |
| Additional costs if processed further | \$ 3,000 | \$ 26,000 | \$ 29,000 |
| Sales value if processed further | \$63,000 | \$126,000 | \$189,000 |
| Additional margin if processed further | \$12,000 | ? | \$ 40,000 |

Assuming that joint costs are allocated on the basis of relative-sales-value at split-off, what was the sales value at split-off for product S?

- a. \$ 72,000
- b. \$ 82,000
- c. \$ 98,000
- d. \$100,000

1N79#25. Sideways Company manufactures products A, B, and C from a joint process. Additional information is as follows:

| | Product | | | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------|-------|----------|-----------|
| | A | B | C | |
| Units produced | 8,000 | 4,000 | 2,000 | 14,000 |
| Joint costs | \$ 72,000 | ? | ? | \$120,000 |
| Sales value at split-off | ? | ? | \$30,000 | \$200,000 |

Selected Questions

| | Product | | | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| | A | B | C | |
| Additional costs if processed further | \$ 14,000 | \$10,000 | \$ 6,000 | \$ 30,000 |
| Sales value if processed further | \$140,000 | \$60,000 | \$40,000 | \$240,000 |

Assuming that joint costs are allocated using the relative-sales-value at split-off approach, what was the sales value at split-off for product A?

- \$116,667
- \$119,000
- \$120,000
- \$126,000

1N79#26. The Rote Company manufactures products C and R from a joint process. The total joint costs are \$60,000. The sales value at split-off was \$75,000 for 8,000 units of Product C and \$25,000 for 2,000 units of Product R. Assuming that total joint costs are allocated using the relative-sales-value at split-off approach, what were the joint costs allocated to Product C?

- \$15,000
- \$30,000
- \$45,000
- \$48,000

1M79#24. O'Connor Company manufactures Product J and Product K from a joint process. For Product J, 4,000 units were produced having a sales value at split-off of \$15,000. If Product J were processed further, the additional costs would be \$3,000 and the sales value would be \$20,000. For Product K, 2,000 units were produced having a sales value at split-off of \$10,000. If Product K were processed further, the additional costs would be \$1,000 and the sales value would be \$12,000. Using the relative-sales-value at split-off approach, the portion of the total joint product costs allocated to Product J was \$9,000. What were the total joint product costs?

- \$14,400
- \$15,000
- \$18,400
- \$19,000

F. By-Product Costing

1N83

Items 51 and 52 are based on the following information:

Grafton Company produces joint products A and B in department One from a process which also yields by-product W. Product A and by-product W are sold after separation, but product B must be further pro-

cessed in department Two before it can be sold. The cost assigned to the by-product is its market value less \$0.40 per pound for delivery expense (net realizable value method). Information relating to a batch produced in July 1983 is as follows:

| Product | Production (in pounds) | Sales price per pound |
|---------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| A | 2,000 | \$4.50 |
| B | 4,000 | 9.00 |
| W | 500 | 1.50 |

| | |
|---|----------|
| Joint cost in department One | \$18,000 |
| Product B additional process cost in department Two | \$10,000 |

52. How much of the joint cost incurred in department One should be allocated to the joint products?

- \$17,250
- \$17,450
- \$17,800
- \$18,550

1M83#38. Crowley Company produces joint products A and B from a process which also yields a by-product, Y. The by-product requires additional processing before it can be sold. The cost assigned to the by-product is its market value less additional costs incurred after split-off (net realizable value method). Information concerning a batch produced in January 1983 at a joint cost of \$40,000 is as follows:

| Product | Units produced | Market value | Costs after split-off |
|---------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| A | 800 | \$44,000 | \$4,500 |
| B | 700 | 32,000 | 3,500 |
| Y | 500 | 4,000 | 1,000 |

How much of the joint cost should be allocated to the joint products?

- \$35,000
- \$36,000
- \$37,000
- \$39,000

2N82

Items 39 and 40 are based on the following data:

Earl Corporation manufactures a product that gives rise to a by-product called "Zafa." The only costs associated with Zafa are selling costs of \$1 for each unit sold. Earl accounts for Zafa sales by deducting its separable costs from such sales, and then deducting this net amount from cost of sales of the major product. In 1981, 1,000 units of Zafa were sold at \$4 each.

39. If Earl changes its method of accounting for Zafa sales by showing the net amount as additional sales revenue, then Earl's gross margin would
- Be unaffected.
 - Increase by \$3,000.
 - Decrease by \$3,000.
 - Increase by \$4,000.

40. If Earl changes its method of accounting for Zafa sales by showing the net amount as "Other Income," then Earl's gross margin would
- Be unaffected.
 - Increase by \$3,000.
 - Decrease by \$3,000.
 - Decrease by \$4,000.

1M80#27. Superior Company manufactures products A and B from a joint process which also yields a by-product, X. Superior accounts for the revenues from its by-product sales as a deduction from the cost of goods sold of its main products.

Additional information is as follows:

| | Products | | | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | A | B | X | |
| Units produced | 15,000 | 9,000 | 6,000 | 30,000 |
| Joint costs | ? | ? | ? | \$264,000 |
| Sales value at split-off | \$290,000 | \$150,000 | \$10,000 | \$450,000 |

Assuming that joint product costs are allocated using the relative-sales-value at split-off approach, what was the joint cost allocated to product B?

- \$79,200
- \$88,000
- \$90,000
- \$99,000

G. Spoilage, Waste, and Scrap

1N83#54. During March 1983 Hart Company incurred the following costs on Job 109 for the manufacture of 200 motors:

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Original cost accumulation: | |
| Direct materials | \$ 660 |
| Direct labor | 800 |
| Factory overhead (150% of direct labor) | 1,200 |
| | <u>\$2,660</u> |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Direct costs of reworking 10 units: | |
| Direct materials | \$100 |
| Direct labor | 160 |
| | <u>\$260</u> |

The rework costs were attributable to exacting specifications of Job 109 and the full rework costs were

- charged to this specific job. The cost per finished unit of Job 109 was
- \$15.80
 - \$14.60
 - \$14.00
 - \$13.30

1M83#30. Barkley Company adds materials at the beginning of the process in department M. Data concerning the materials used in March 1983 production are as follows:

| | Units |
|---|--------|
| Work-in-process at March 1 | 16,000 |
| Started during March | 34,000 |
| Completed and transferred to next department during March | 36,000 |
| Normal spoilage incurred | 4,000 |
| Work-in-process at March 31 | 10,000 |

Using the weighted-average method, the equivalent units for the materials unit cost calculation are

- 30,000
- 34,000
- 40,000
- 46,000

1M83#37. Simpson Company manufactures electric drills to the exacting specifications of various customers. During April 1983, Job 403 for the production of 1,100 drills was completed at the following costs per unit:

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| Direct materials | \$10 |
| Direct labor | 8 |
| Applied factory overhead | 12 |
| | <u>\$30</u> |

Final inspection of Job 403 disclosed 50 defective units and 100 spoiled units. The defective drills were reworked at a total cost of \$500 and the spoiled drills were sold to a jobber for \$1,500. What would be the unit cost of the good units produced on Job 403?

- \$33
- \$32
- \$30
- \$29

1N82

Items 28 and 29 are based on the following information:

Harper Company's Job 501 for the manufacture of 2,200 coats was completed during August 1982 at the following unit costs:

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Direct materials | \$20 |
| Direct labor | 18 |
| Factory overhead (includes an allowance of \$1 for spoiled work) | 18 |
| | <u>\$56</u> |

Selected Questions

Final inspection of Job 501 disclosed 200 spoiled coats which were sold to a jobber for \$6,000.

28. Assume that spoilage loss is charged to all production during August 1982. What would be the unit cost of the good coats produced on Job 501?

- a. \$53.00
- b. \$55.00
- c. \$56.00
- d. \$58.60

29. Assume, instead, that the spoilage loss is attributable to exacting specifications of Job 501 and is charged to this specific job. What would be the unit cost of the good coats produced on Job 501?

- a. \$55.00
- b. \$57.50
- c. \$58.60
- d. \$61.60

1M82#27. Under Heller Company's job order cost system, estimated costs of defective work (considered normal in the manufacturing process) are included in the predetermined factory overhead rate. During March 1982, Job No. 210 for 2,000 handsaws was completed at the following costs per unit:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Direct materials | \$ 5 |
| Direct labor | 4 |
| Factory overhead (applied at 150% of direct-labor cost) | 6 |
| | <u>\$15</u> |

Final inspection of Job No. 210 disclosed 100 defective saws which were reworked at a cost of \$2 per unit for direct labor, plus overhead at the predetermined rate. The defective units on Job No. 210 fall within the normal range. What is the total rework cost and to what account should it be charged?

| | <u>Rework cost</u> | <u>Account charged</u> |
|----|--------------------|--------------------------|
| a. | \$200 | Work-in-process |
| b. | \$200 | Factory overhead control |
| c. | \$500 | Work-in-process |
| d. | \$500 | Factory overhead control |

1M82#29. Tooker Company adds materials at the beginning of the process in department A. Information concerning the materials used in April 1982 production is as follows:

| | <u>Units</u> |
|---|--------------|
| Work-in-process at April 1 | 10,000 |
| Started during April | 50,000 |
| Completed and transferred to next department during April | 36,000 |
| Normal spoilage incurred | 3,000 |
| Abnormal spoilage incurred | 5,000 |
| Work-in-process at April 30 | 16,000 |

Under Tooker's cost accounting system, costs of normal spoilage are treated as a part of the costs of the good units produced. However, the costs of abnormal spoilage are charged to factory overhead. Using the weighted-average method, what are the equivalent units for the materials unit cost calculation for the month of April?

- a. 47,000
- b. 52,000
- c. 55,000
- d. 57,000

H. Absorption and Direct Costing

2N83

Items 15 and 16 are based on the following data:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Bates Co. incurred the following costs: | |
| Direct materials and direct labor | \$600,000 |
| Variable factory overhead | 80,000 |
| Straight-line depreciation: | |
| Production machinery | 70,000 |
| Factory building | 50,000 |

15. Under absorption costing, the inventoriable costs are

- a. \$680,000
- b. \$730,000
- c. \$750,000
- d. \$800,000

16. Under variable (direct) costing, the inventoriable costs are

- a. \$600,000
- b. \$680,000
- c. \$720,000
- d. \$750,000

1M83

Items 33 and 34 are based on the following information:

Gordon Company began its operations on January 1, 1982, and produces a single product that sells for \$10 per unit. Gordon uses an actual (historical) cost system. In 1982, 100,000 units were produced and 80,000 units were sold. There was no work-in-process inventory at December 31, 1982.

Manufacturing costs and selling and administrative expenses for 1982 were as follows:

| | <u>Fixed costs</u> | <u>Variable costs</u> |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Raw materials | — | \$2.00 per unit produced |
| Direct labor | — | 1.25 per unit produced |
| Factory overhead | \$120,000 | .75 per unit produced |
| Selling and administrative | 70,000 | 1.00 per unit sold |

33. What would be Gordon's operating income for 1982 under the variable (direct) costing method?

- a. \$114,000
- b. \$210,000
- c. \$234,000
- d. \$330,000

Accounting Practice

34. What would be Gordon's finished goods inventory at December 31, 1982, under the absorption costing method?

- a. \$ 80,000
- b. \$104,000
- c. \$110,000
- d. \$124,000

1N82

Items 24 and 25 are based on the following information:

Selected information concerning the operations of Kern Company for the year ended December 31, 1981, is available as follows:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Units produced | 10,000 |
| Units sold | 9,000 |
| Direct materials used | \$40,000 |
| Direct labor incurred | \$20,000 |
| Fixed factory overhead | \$25,000 |
| Variable factory overhead | \$12,000 |
| Fixed selling and administrative expenses | \$30,000 |
| Variable selling and administrative expenses | \$ 4,500 |
| Finished goods inventory, January 1, 1981 | None |

There were no work-in-process inventories at the beginning and end of 1981.

24. What would be Kern's finished goods inventory cost at December 31, 1981, under the variable (direct) costing method?

- a. \$7,200
- b. \$7,650
- c. \$8,000
- d. \$9,700

25. Which costing method, absorption or variable costing, would show a higher operating income for 1981 and by what amount?

| | <i>Costing method</i> | <i>Amount</i> |
|----|-----------------------|---------------|
| a. | Absorption costing | \$2,500 |
| b. | Variable costing | \$2,500 |
| c. | Absorption costing | \$5,500 |
| d. | Variable costing | \$5,500 |

2N82#30. Keller Company, a manufacturer of rivets, uses absorption costing. Keller's 1981 manufacturing costs were as follows:

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Direct materials and direct labor | \$800,000 |
| Depreciation of machines | 100,000 |
| Rent for factory building | 60,000 |
| Electricity to run machines | 35,000 |

How much of these costs should be inventoried?

- a. \$800,000
- b. \$835,000
- c. \$935,000
- d. \$995,000

1M82

Items 39 and 40 are based on the following information:

Information from Peterson Company's records for the year ended December 31, 1981, is available as follows:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Net sales | \$1,400,000 |
| Cost of goods manufactured: | |
| Variable | \$ 630,000 |
| Fixed | \$ 315,000 |
| Operating expenses: | |
| Variable | \$ 98,000 |
| Fixed | \$ 140,000 |
| Units manufactured | 70,000 |
| Units sold | 60,000 |
| Finished goods inventory, January 1, 1981 | None |

There were no work-in-process inventories at the beginning and end of 1981.

39. What would be Peterson's finished goods inventory cost at December 31, 1981, under the variable (direct) costing method?

- a. \$ 90,000
- b. \$104,000
- c. \$105,000
- d. \$135,000

40. Under the absorption costing method, Peterson's operating income for 1981 would be

- a. \$217,000
- b. \$307,000
- c. \$352,000
- d. \$374,500

1M81#23. During January 1981 Gable, Inc., produced 10,000 units of product F with costs as follows:

| | |
|-------------------|----------|
| Direct materials | \$40,000 |
| Direct labor | 22,000 |
| Variable overhead | 13,000 |
| Fixed overhead | 10,000 |
| | \$85,000 |

What is Gable's unit cost of product F for January 1981 calculated on the direct costing basis?

- a. \$6.20
- b. \$7.20
- c. \$7.50
- d. \$8.50

I. Transfer Pricing

1N83

Items 57 and 58 are based on the following information:

Ajax Division of Carlyle Corporation produces electric motors, 20% of which are sold to Bradley Di-

Selected Questions

vision of Carlyle and the remainder to outside customers. Carlyle treats its divisions as profit centers and allows division managers to choose their sources of sale and supply. Corporate policy requires that all interdivisional sales and purchases be recorded at variable cost as a transfer price. Ajax Division's estimated sales and standard cost data for the year ending December 31, 1982, based on the full capacity of 100,000 units, are as follows:

| | <i>Bradley</i> | <i>Outsiders</i> |
|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Sales | \$ 900,000 | \$ 8,000,000 |
| Variable costs | (900,000) | (3,600,000) |
| Fixed costs | (300,000) | (1,200,000) |
| Gross margin | \$(300,000) | \$ 3,200,000 |
| Unit sales | 20,000 | 80,000 |

Ajax has an opportunity to sell the above 20,000 units to an outside customer at a price of \$75 per unit during 1982 on a continuing basis. Bradley can purchase its requirements from an outside supplier at a price of \$85 per unit.

57. Assuming that Ajax Division desires to maximize its gross margin, should Ajax take on the new customer and drop its sales to Bradley for 1982, and why?

- a. No, because the gross margin of the corporation as a whole would decrease by \$200,000.
- b. Yes, because Ajax Division's gross margin would increase by \$300,000.
- c. Yes, because Ajax Division's gross margin would increase by \$600,000.
- d. No, because Bradley Division's gross margin would decrease by \$800,000

58. Assume, instead, that Carlyle permits the division managers to negotiate the transfer price for 1982. The managers agreed on a tentative transfer price of \$75 per unit, to be reduced based on an equal sharing of the additional gross margin to Ajax resulting from the sale to Bradley of 20,000 motors at \$75 per unit. The actual transfer price for 1982 would be

- a. \$52.50
- b. \$55.00
- c. \$60.00
- d. \$67.50

K. Budgeting and Flexible Budgeting

1N83#41. Walman Company is budgeting sales of 42,000 units of product Y for March 1983. To make one unit of finished product, three pounds of raw material A are required. Actual beginning and desired ending inventories of raw material A and product Y are as follows:

| | <i>3/1/83</i> | <i>3/31/83</i> |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Raw material A | 100,000 pounds | 110,000 pounds |
| Product Y | 22,000 units | 24,000 units |

There is no work-in-process inventory for product Y

at the beginning and end of March. For the month of March, how many pounds of raw material A is Walman planning to purchase?

- a. 126,000
- b. 132,000
- c. 136,000
- d. 142,000

1N83#55. In preparing its cash budget for July 1983, Reed Company made the following projections:

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Sales | \$1,500,000 |
| Gross profit (based on sales) | 25% |
| Decrease in inventories | \$ 70,000 |
| Decrease in accounts payable for inventories | \$ 120,000 |

For July 1983 what were the estimated cash disbursements for inventories?

- a. \$ 935,000
- b. \$1,050,000
- c. \$1,055,000
- d. \$1,175,000

1N83#56. Fawcett Company uses a flexible budget system and prepared the following information for 1982:

| | <i>Normal capacity</i> | <i>Maximum capacity</i> |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Percent of capacity | 80% | 100% |
| Direct-labor hours | 32,000 | 40,000 |
| Variable factory overhead | \$ 64,000 | \$ 80,000 |
| Fixed factory overhead | \$160,000 | \$160,000 |
| Total factory overhead rate per direct-labor hour | \$7 | \$6 |

Fawcett operated at 90% of capacity during 1982. The actual factory overhead for 1982 was \$252,000. What was the budget (controllable) overhead variance for the year?

- a. \$36,000 unfavorable.
- b. \$20,000 unfavorable.
- c. \$18,000 unfavorable.
- d. \$0.

1M83#31. Lawton Company produces canned tomato soup and is budgeting sales of 250,000 units for the month of January 1983. Actual inventory units at January 1 and budgeted inventory units at January 31 are as follows:

| | <i>Units</i> |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Actual inventory at January 1: | |
| Work-in-process | None |
| Finished goods | 75,000 |
| Budgeted inventory at January 31: | |
| Work-in-process (75% processed) | 16,000 |
| Finished goods | 60,000 |

How many equivalent units of production is Lawton budgeting for January 1983?

- a. 235,000
- b. 247,000
- c. 251,000
- d. 253,000

1N82#21. Dean Company is preparing a flexible budget for 1982 and the following maximum capacity estimates for department M are available:

| | <u>At maximum capacity</u> |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Direct-labor hours | 60,000 |
| Variable factory overhead | \$150,000 |
| Fixed factory overhead | \$240,000 |

Assume that Dean's normal capacity is 80% of maximum capacity. What would be the total factory overhead rate, based on direct-labor hours, in a flexible budget at normal capacity?

- a. \$6.00
- b. \$6.50
- c. \$7.50
- d. \$8.13

1N82#27. Reid Company is budgeting sales of 100,000 units of product R for the month of September 1982. Production of one unit of product R requires two units of material A and three units of material B. Actual inventory units at September 1 and budgeted inventory units at September 30 are as follows:

| | <u>Actual inventory at September 1</u> | <u>Budgeted inventory at September 30</u> |
|------------|--|---|
| Product R | 20,000 | 10,000 |
| Material A | 25,000 | 18,000 |
| Material B | 22,000 | 24,000 |

How many units of material B is Reid planning to purchase during September 1982?

- a. 328,000
- b. 302,000
- c. 298,000
- d. 272,000

1N82#30. Eriksen Company has budgeted its activity for October 1982 based on the following information:

- Sales are budgeted at \$300,000. All sales are credit sales and a provision for doubtful accounts is made monthly at the rate of 3% sales.
- Merchandise inventory was \$70,000 at September 30, 1982, and an increase of \$10,000 is planned for the month.
- All merchandise is marked up to sell at invoice cost plus 50%.
- Estimated cash disbursements for selling and administrative expenses for the month are \$40,000.
- Depreciation for the month is projected at \$5,000.

Eriksen is projecting operating income for October 1982 in the amount of

- a. \$96,000
- b. \$56,000
- c. \$55,000
- d. \$46,000

1N82#34. Brooks Company uses the following flexible budget formula for the 1982 annual maintenance cost in department T:

$$\text{Total cost} = \$7,200 + \$0.60 \text{ per machine hour}$$

The July 1982 operating budget is based upon 20,000 hours of planned machine time. Maintenance cost included in this flexible budget is

- a. \$11,400
- b. \$12,000
- c. \$12,600
- d. \$19,200

1N82#38. In preparing its budget for July 1982, Robinson Company has the following accounts receivable information available:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Accounts receivable at June 30, 1982 | \$350,000 |
| Estimated credit sales for July | 400,000 |
| Estimated collections in July for credit sales in July and prior months | 320,000 |
| Estimated write-offs in July for uncollectible credit sales | 16,000 |
| Estimated provision for doubtful accounts for credit sales in July | 12,000 |

What is the projected balance of accounts receivable at July 31, 1982?

- a. \$402,000
- b. \$414,000
- c. \$426,000
- d. \$430,000

2N82#23. Pratt Company is preparing its cash budget for the month ending November 30, 1982. The following information pertains to Pratt's past collection experience from its credit sales:

| | |
|---|-----|
| Current month's sales | 12% |
| Prior month's sales | 75% |
| Sales two months prior to current month | 6% |
| Sales three months prior to current month | 4% |
| Cash discounts (2/30, net 90) | 2% |
| Doubtful accounts | 1% |

| | |
|----------------------|-----------|
| Credit sales: | |
| November — estimated | \$200,000 |
| October | 180,000 |
| September | 160,000 |
| August | 190,000 |

Selected Questions

How much is the estimated credit to accounts receivable as a result of collections expected during November?

- a. \$170,200
- b. \$174,200
- c. \$176,200
- d. \$180,200

2N82#28. Betz Company's sales budget shows the following projections for the year ending December 31, 1983:

| <u>Quarter</u> | <u>Units</u> |
|----------------|----------------|
| First | 60,000 |
| Second | 80,000 |
| Third | 45,000 |
| Fourth | 55,000 |
| Total | <u>240,000</u> |

Inventory at December 31, 1982, was budgeted at 18,000 units. The quantity of finished goods inventory at the end of each quarter is to equal 30% of the next quarter's budgeted sales of units. How much should the production budget show for units to be produced during the first quarter?

- a. 24,000
- b. 48,000
- c. 66,000
- d. 72,000

1N81#25. Jackson, Inc., is preparing a flexible budget for 1981 and requires a breakdown of the cost of steam used in its factory into the fixed and variable elements. The following data on the cost of steam used and direct-labor hours worked are available for the last six months of 1980:

| <u>Month</u> | <u>Cost of steam</u> | <u>Direct-labor hours</u> |
|--------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| July | \$ 15,850 | 3,000 |
| August | 13,400 | 2,050 |
| September | 16,370 | 2,900 |
| October | 19,800 | 3,650 |
| November | 17,600 | 2,670 |
| December | 18,500 | 2,650 |
| Total | <u>\$101,520</u> | <u>16,920</u> |

Assuming that Jackson uses the high-low points method of analysis, the estimated variable cost of steam per direct-labor hour should be

- a. \$4.00
- b. \$5.42
- c. \$5.82
- d. \$6.00

1N81#28. Sussex Company has budgeted its operations for February 1981. No change in inventory level during the month is planned. Selected data from estimated amounts are as follows:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Net loss | \$100,000 |
| Increase in accounts payable | 40,000 |
| Depreciation expense | 35,000 |
| Decrease in gross amount of trade accounts receivable | 60,000 |
| Purchase of office equipment on 45-day credit terms | 15,000 |
| Provision for estimated warranty liability | 10,000 |

How much change in cash position is expected for February?

- a. \$15,000 decrease.
- b. \$25,000 decrease.
- c. \$30,000 increase.
- d. \$45,000 increase.

1N81#33. Juniper Company is preparing its cash budget for the month of August 1981. Projections for the month include the following:

| | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Sales | \$400,000 |
| Gross profit (based on sales) | 25% |
| Increase in inventories | \$ 30,000 |
| Decrease in trade accounts payable | \$ 12,000 |

What are the estimated cash disbursements for inventories in August 1981?

- a. \$142,000
- b. \$312,000
- c. \$318,000
- d. \$342,000

1M81#24. Fields Corporation projects the following transactions for 1981, its first year of operations:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | \$1,000,000 |
| Sales on account | 2,200,000 |
| Collections of accounts receivable | 1,800,000 |
| Cost of goods sold | 1,400,000 |
| Disbursements for purchases of merchandise and expenses | 1,200,000 |
| Disbursements for income taxes | 250,000 |
| Disbursements for purchase of fixed assets | 800,000 |
| Depreciation on fixed assets | 150,000 |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 700,000 |
| Payments on borrowings | 80,000 |

The projected cash balance at December 31, 1981, is

- a. \$1,170,000
- b. \$1,220,000
- c. \$1,370,000
- d. \$1,820,000

1M81#25. Peters Company uses a flexible budget system and prepared the following information for 1980:

Accounting Practice

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Percent of capacity | <u>80%</u> | <u>90%</u> |
| Direct-labor hours | 24,000 | 27,000 |
| Variable factory overhead | \$ 48,000 | \$ 54,000 |
| Fixed factory overhead | \$108,000 | \$108,000 |
| Total factory overhead rate per direct-labor hour | \$6.50 | \$6.00 |

Peters operated at 80% of capacity during 1980, but applied factory overhead based on the 90% capacity level. Assuming that actual factory overhead was equal to the budgeted amount for the attained capacity, what is the amount of overhead variance for the year?

- a. \$ 6,000 overabsorbed.
- b. \$ 6,000 underabsorbed.
- c. \$12,000 overabsorbed.
- d. \$12,000 underabsorbed.

1M81#34. Mapes Corporation has estimated its activity for January 1981. Selected data from these estimated amounts are as follows:

- Sales \$1,400,000
- Gross profit (based on sales) 30%
- Increase in trade accounts receivable during month \$ 40,000
- Change in accounts payable during month \$ 0
- Increase in inventory during month \$ 20,000
- Variable selling, general and administrative expenses (S, G & A) include a charge for uncollectible accounts of 1% of sales.
- Total S, G & A is \$142,000 per month plus 15% of sales.
- Depreciation expense of \$80,000 per month is included in fixed S, G & A.

What are the estimated cash disbursements for January 1981?

- a. \$1,238,000
- b. \$1,252,000
- c. \$1,258,000
- d. \$1,272,000

1N80#23. Reid Company is developing a forecast of March 1980 cash receipts from credit sales. Credit sales for March 1980 are estimated to be \$320,000. The accounts receivable balance at February 29, 1980, is \$300,000; one-quarter of the balance represents January credit sales and the remainder is from February sales. All accounts receivable from months prior to January 1980 have been collected or written off. Reid's history of accounts receivable collections is as follows:

| | |
|---|-----|
| In the month of sale | 20% |
| In the first month after month of sale | 50% |
| In the second month after month of sale | 25% |
| Written off as uncollectible at the end of the second month after month of sale | 5% |

Based on the above information, Reid is forecasting March 1980 cash receipts from credit sales of

- a. \$176,500
- b. \$195,250
- c. \$253,769
- d. \$267,125

1N80#25. Anthony Company has projected cost of goods sold as \$4,000,000, including fixed costs of \$800,000. Variable costs are expected to be 75% of net sales. What will be the projected net sales?

- a. \$4,266,667
- b. \$4,800,000
- c. \$5,333,333
- d. \$6,400,000

1M80#23. Davis Company has budgeted its activity for April 1980. Selected data from estimated amounts are as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Net income | \$120,000 |
| Increase in gross amount of trade accounts receivable during month | 35,000 |
| Decrease in accounts payable during month | 25,000 |
| Depreciation expense | 65,000 |
| Provision for income taxes | 80,000 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts receivable | 45,000 |

On the basis of the above data, Davis has budgeted a cash increase for the month in the amount of

- a. \$ 90,000
- b. \$195,000
- c. \$250,000
- d. \$300,000

1N79#21. Terry Company is preparing its cash budget for the month of April. The following information is available concerning its inventories:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Inventories at beginning of April | \$ 90,000 |
| Estimated purchases for April | 440,000 |
| Estimated cost of goods sold for April | 450,000 |
| Estimated payments in April for purchases in March | 75,000 |
| Estimated payments in April for purchases prior to March | 20,000 |
| Estimated payments in April for purchases in April | 75% |

What are the estimated cash disbursements for inventories in April?

- a. \$401,250
- b. \$405,000
- c. \$425,000
- d. \$432,500

Selected Questions

1N79#22. The Fresh Company is preparing its cash budget for the month of May. The following information is available concerning its accounts receivable:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Estimated credit sales for May | \$200,000 |
| Actual credit sales for April | \$150,000 |
| Estimated collections in May for credit sales in May | 20% |
| Estimated collections in May for credit sales in April | 70% |
| Estimated collections in May for credit sales prior to April | \$ 12,000 |
| Estimated write-offs in May for uncollectible credit sales | \$ 8,000 |
| Estimated provision for bad debts in May for credit sales in May | \$ 7,000 |

What are the estimated cash receipts from accounts receivable collections in May?

- a. \$142,000
- b. \$149,000
- c. \$150,000
- d. \$157,000

1N79#40. The Ernie Company has provided information concerning its 1979 projections as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------|--------------|
| Net sales | \$10,000,000 |
| Fixed manufacturing costs | 1,000,000 |

Ernie projects variable manufacturing costs of 60% of net sales. Assuming no change in inventory, what will the projected cost of goods sold be?

- a. \$5,000,000
- b. \$6,000,000
- c. \$7,000,000
- d. \$8,000,000

L. Breakeven and Cost-Volume-Profit Analysis

2N83

Items 10 and 11 are based on the following data:

Kalik Co. sells radios for \$60 each. Variable expenses are \$40 per unit, while fixed expenses total \$30,000.

10. How many radios must Kalik sell to earn an operating income of \$70,000?

- a. 5,000
- b. 3,500
- c. 2,500
- d. 1,500

11. What total dollar amount must Kalik sell to break even?

- a. \$ 40,000
- b. \$ 75,000
- c. \$ 90,000
- d. \$120,000

2N83#12. Koby Co. has sales of \$200,000 with variable expenses of \$150,000, fixed expenses of \$60,000, and an operating loss of \$10,000. By how much would Koby have to increase its sales in order to achieve an operating income of 10% of sales?

- a. \$400,000
- b. \$251,000
- c. \$231,000
- d. \$200,000

1N82#31. During March 1982 Adams Company had sales of \$5,000,000, variable costs of \$3,000,000 and fixed costs of \$1,500,000 for product M. Assume that cost behavior and unit selling price remain unchanged during April. In order for Adams to realize operating income of \$300,000 from product M for April, sales would have to be

- a. \$3,750,000
- b. \$4,050,000
- c. \$4,500,000
- d. \$4,800,000

1N82#39. Wilson Company prepared the following preliminary forecast concerning product G for 1982 assuming no expenditure for advertising:

| | |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Selling price per unit | \$10 |
| Unit sales | 100,000 |
| Variable costs | \$600,000 |
| Fixed costs | \$300,000 |

Based on a market study in December 1981, Wilson estimated that it could increase the unit selling price by 15% and increase the unit sales volume by 10% if \$100,000 were spent on advertising. Assuming that Wilson incorporates these changes in its 1982 forecast, what should be the operating income from product G?

- a. \$175,000
- b. \$190,000
- c. \$205,000
- d. \$365,000

2N82#27. Marling Company is contemplating an expansion program based on the following budget data:

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| Expected sales | \$600,000 |
| Variable costs | 420,000 |
| Fixed expenses | 120,000 |

What is the amount of break-even sales?

- a. \$400,000
- b. \$420,000
- c. \$540,000
- d. \$660,000

2N82#29. Spencer Company's regular selling price for its product is \$10 per unit. Variable costs are \$6 per unit. Fixed costs total \$1 per unit based on 100,000 units, and remain unchanged within the relevant range of 50,000 units to total capacity of 200,000 units. After sales of 80,000 units were projected for 1982, a special

order was received for an additional 10,000 units. To increase its operating income by \$10,000, what price per unit should Spencer charge for this special order?

- a. \$ 7
- b. \$ 8
- c. \$10
- d. \$11

2N82#33. Purvis Company manufactures a product that has a variable cost of \$50 per unit. Fixed costs total \$1,000,000, allocated on the basis of the number of units produced. Selling price is computed by adding a 10% markup to full cost. How much should the selling price be per unit for 100,000 units?

- a. \$55
- b. \$60
- c. \$61
- d. \$66

1M82#33. Kern Company prepared the following tentative forecast concerning product A for 1982:

| | |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Sales | \$500,000 |
| Selling price per unit | \$ 5.00 |
| Variable costs | \$300,000 |
| Fixed costs | \$150,000 |

A study made by the sales manager disclosed that the unit selling price could be increased by 20%, with an expected volume decrease of only 10%. Assuming that Kern incorporates these changes in its 1982 forecast, what should be the operating income from product A?

- a. \$ 66,000
- b. \$ 90,000
- c. \$120,000
- d. \$145,000

1M82#34. Singer, Inc., sells product R for \$5 per unit. The fixed costs are \$210,000 and the variable costs are 60% of the selling price. What would be the amount of sales if Singer is to realize a profit of 10% of sales?

- a. \$700,000
- b. \$525,000
- c. \$472,500
- d. \$420,000

1N81#30. Pitt Company is considering a proposal to replace existing machinery used for the manufacture of product A. The new machines are expected to cause increased annual fixed costs of \$120,000; however, variable costs should decrease by 20% due to a reduction in direct-labor hours and more efficient usage of direct materials. Before this change was under consideration, Pitt had budgeted product A sales and costs for 1981 as follows:

| | |
|----------------|--------------|
| Sales | \$2,000,000 |
| Variable costs | 70% of sales |
| Fixed costs | \$400,000 |

Assuming that Pitt implemented the above proposal by January 1, 1981, what would be the increase in budgeted operating profit for product A for 1981?

- a. \$160,000
- b. \$280,000
- c. \$360,000
- d. \$480,000

1N81#32. Lindsay Company reported the following results from sales of 5,000 units of product A for the month of June 1981:

| | |
|------------------|-----------|
| Sales | \$200,000 |
| Variable costs | 120,000 |
| Fixed costs | 60,000 |
| Operating income | 20,000 |

Assume that Lindsay increases the selling price of product A by 10% on July 1, 1981. How many units of product A would have to be sold in July 1981 in order to generate an operating income of \$20,000?

- a. 4,000
- b. 4,300
- c. 4,500
- d. 5,000

1N81#34. Birney Company is planning its advertising campaign for 1981 and has prepared the following budget data based on a zero advertising expenditure:

| | |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| Normal plant capacity | 200,000 units |
| Sales | 150,000 units |
| Selling price | \$25.00 per unit |
| Variable manufacturing costs | \$15.00 per unit |
| Fixed costs: | |
| Manufacturing | \$800,000 |
| Selling and administrative | \$700,000 |

An advertising agency claims that an aggressive advertising campaign would enable Birney to increase its unit sales by 20%. What is the maximum amount that Birney can pay for advertising and obtain an operating profit of \$200,000?

- a. \$100,000
- b. \$200,000
- c. \$300,000
- d. \$550,000

1N81#35. In planning its operations for 1981 based on a sales forecast of \$6,000,000, Wallace, Inc., prepared the following estimated data:

| | <i>Costs and expenses</i> | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| | <u>Variable</u> | <u>Fixed</u> |
| Direct materials | \$1,600,000 | |
| Direct labor | 1,400,000 | |
| Factory overhead | 600,000 | \$ 900,000 |
| Selling expenses | 240,000 | 360,000 |
| Administrative expenses | 60,000 | 140,000 |
| | <u>\$3,900,000</u> | <u>\$1,400,000</u> |

Selected Questions

What would be the amount of sales dollars at the break-even point?

- a. \$2,250,000
- b. \$3,500,000
- c. \$4,000,000
- d. \$5,300,000

1M81#35. Warfield Company is planning to sell 100,000 units of product I for \$12.00 a unit. The fixed costs are \$280,000. In order to realize a profit of \$200,000, what would the variable costs be?

- a. \$480,000
- b. \$720,000
- c. \$900,000
- d. \$920,000

1M81#36. Sun Company's tentative budget for product H for 1981 is as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| Sales | \$600,000 |
| Variable manufacturing costs | 360,000 |
| Fixed costs: | |
| Manufacturing | 90,000 |
| Selling and administrative | 110,000 |

Mr. Johnston, the marketing manager, proposes an aggressive advertising campaign costing an additional \$50,000 and resulting in a 30% unit sales increase for product H. Assuming that Johnston's proposal is incorporated into the budget for product H, what should be the increase in the budgeted operating profit for 1981?

- a. \$ 12,000
- b. \$ 22,000
- c. \$ 72,000
- d. \$130,000

1M81#40. Gerber Company is planning to sell 200,000 units of product O for \$2.00 a unit. The contribution margin is 25%. Gerber will break even at this level of sales. What would be the fixed costs?

- a. \$100,000
- b. \$160,000
- c. \$200,000
- d. \$300,000

1N80#29. Thomas Company sells products X, Y, and Z. Thomas sells three units of X for each unit of Z, and two units of Y for each unit of X. The contribution margins are \$1.00 per unit of X, \$1.50 per unit of Y, and \$3.00 per unit of Z. Fixed costs are \$600,000. How many units of X would Thomas sell at the breakeven point?

- a. 40,000
- b. 120,000
- c. 360,000
- d. 400,000

1M80#21. The Insulation Corporation sells two products, D and W. Insulation sells these products at a rate of 2 units of D to 3 units of W. The contribution margin

is \$4 per unit for D and \$2 per unit for W. Insulation has fixed costs of \$420,000. What would be the total units sold at the breakeven point?

- a. 140,000
- b. 150,000
- c. 168,000
- d. 180,000

1M80#26. Day Company is a medium-sized manufacturer of lamps. During 1979 a new line called "Twilight" was made available to Day's customers. The break-even point for sales of Twilight is \$400,000 with a contribution margin of 40%. Assuming that the operating profit for the Twilight line for 1979 amounted to \$200,000, total sales for 1979 amounted to

- a. \$600,000
- b. \$840,000
- c. \$900,000
- d. \$950,000

1M80#29. Moon Company sells product Q at \$6 a unit. In 1980 fixed costs are expected to be \$200,000 and variable costs are estimated at \$4 a unit. How many units of product Q must Moon sell to generate operating income of \$40,000?

- a. 50,000
- b. 60,000
- c. 100,000
- d. 120,000

1N79#27. The Ship Company is planning to produce two products, Alt and Tude. Ship is planning to sell 100,000 units of Alt at \$4 a unit and 200,000 units of Tude at \$3 a unit. Variable costs are 70% of sales for Alt and 80% of sales for Tude. In order to realize a total profit of \$160,000, what must the total fixed costs be?

- a. \$ 80,000
- b. \$ 90,000
- c. \$240,000
- d. \$600,000

1N79#28. The Meredith Company is planning to sell product Z for \$5 a unit. Variable costs are \$3 a unit and fixed costs are \$100,000. What must total sales be to break even?

- a. \$160,000
- b. \$166,667
- c. \$250,000
- d. \$266,667

1M79#25. Oxford Company had sales of \$3,000,000, variable costs of \$1,800,000 and fixed costs of \$800,000 for Product Brum. What would be the amount of sales dollars at the break-even point?

- a. \$2,000,000
- b. \$2,400,000
- c. \$2,600,000
- d. \$2,760,000

1M79#26. The Seahawk Company is planning to sell 200,000 units of Product B. The fixed costs are \$400,000 and the variable costs are 60% of the selling price. In order to realize a profit of \$100,000, the selling price per unit would have to be

- a. \$3.75
- b. \$4.17
- c. \$5.00
- d. \$6.25

M. Gross Profit Analysis

2N83#5. Balan Co.'s pricing structure has been formulated to yield a gross margin of 40%. The following data pertain to the year ended December 31, 1982:

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Sales | \$600,000 |
| Beginning inventory | 100,000 |
| Purchases | 400,000 |
| Physical inventory at year-end | 100,000 |

Balan is satisfied that all sales and purchases have been fully and properly recorded. How much might Balan reasonably estimate as missing inventory at December 31, 1982?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 40,000
- c. \$140,000
- d. \$160,000

1N81#31. Garfield Company, which sells a single product, provided the following data from its income statements for the calendar years 1980 and 1979:

| | <u>1980</u> |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Sales (150,000 units) | \$750,000 |
| Cost of goods sold | 525,000 |
| Gross profit | <u>\$225,000</u> |
| | <u>1979</u> (Base year) |
| Sales (180,000 units) | \$720,000 |
| Cost of goods sold | 575,000 |
| Gross profit | <u>\$145,000</u> |

In an analysis of variation in gross profit between the two years, what would be the effects of changes in sales price and sales volume?

| | <u>Sales price</u> | <u>Sales volume</u> |
|----|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. | \$150,000 favorable | \$120,000 unfavorable |
| b. | \$150,000 unfavorable | \$120,000 favorable |
| c. | \$180,000 favorable | \$150,000 unfavorable |
| d. | \$180,000 unfavorable | \$150,000 favorable |

N. Differential Cost Analysis

1N83#50. The manufacturing capacity of Jordan Company's facilities is 30,000 units of product a year. A summary of operating results for the year ended December 31, 1982, is as follows:

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Sales (18,000 units @ \$100) | \$1,800,000 |
| Variable manufacturing and selling costs | <u>990,000</u> |
| Contribution margin | 810,000 |
| Fixed costs | <u>495,000</u> |
| Operating income | <u>\$ 315,000</u> |

A foreign distributor has offered to buy 15,000 units at \$90 per unit during 1983. Assume that all of Jordan's costs would be at the same levels and rates in 1983 as in 1982. If Jordan accepted this offer and rejected some business from regular customers so as not to exceed capacity, what would be the total operating income for 1983?

- a. \$390,000
- b. \$705,000
- c. \$840,000
- d. \$855,000

1N83#59. Rice Corporation currently operates two divisions which had operating results for the year ended December 31, 1982, as follows:

| | <u>West</u> <u>Division</u> | <u>Troy</u> <u>Division</u> |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Sales | \$600,000 | \$300,000 |
| Variable costs | <u>310,000</u> | <u>200,000</u> |
| Contribution margin | 290,000 | 100,000 |
| Fixed costs for the Division | <u>110,000</u> | <u>70,000</u> |
| Margin over direct costs | 180,000 | 30,000 |
| Allocated corporate costs | 90,000 | 45,000 |
| Operating income (loss) | <u>\$ 90,000</u> | <u>\$(15,000)</u> |

Since the Troy Division also sustained an operating loss during 1981, Rice's president is considering the elimination of this division. Assume that the Troy Division fixed costs could be avoided if the division were eliminated. If the Troy Division had been eliminated on January 1, 1982, Rice Corporation's 1982 operating income would have been

- a. \$15,000 higher.
- b. \$30,000 lower.
- c. \$45,000 lower.
- d. \$60,000 higher.

1N82#35. Jordan Company budgeted sales of 400,000 calculators at \$40 per unit for 1982. Variable manufacturing costs were budgeted at \$16 per unit, and fixed manufacturing costs at \$10 per unit. A special order offering to buy 40,000 calculators for \$23 each was received by Jordan in March 1982. Jordan has sufficient plant capacity to manufacture the additional quantity;

Selected Questions

however, the production would have to be done on an overtime basis at an estimated additional cost of \$3 per calculator. Acceptance of the special order would not affect Jordan's normal sales and no selling expenses would be incurred. What would be the effect on operating profit if the special order were accepted?

- a. \$120,000 decrease.
- b. \$160,000 increase.
- c. \$240,000 decrease.
- d. \$280,000 increase.

2N82#21. Manor Company plans to discontinue a department with a contribution to overhead of \$24,000 and allocated overhead of \$48,000, of which \$21,000 cannot be eliminated. The effect of this discontinuance on Manor's pretax profit would be a (an)

- a. Decrease of \$3,000.
- b. Increase of \$3,000.
- c. Decrease of \$24,000.
- d. Increase of \$24,000.

2N82#35. The following standard costs pertain to a component part manufactured by Ashby Company:

| | |
|------------------------|-------------|
| Direct materials | \$ 2 |
| Direct labor | 5 |
| Factory overhead | <u>20</u> |
| Standard cost per unit | <u>\$27</u> |

Factory overhead is applied at \$1 per standard machine hour. Fixed capacity cost is 60% of applied factory overhead, and is not affected by any "make or buy" decision. It would cost \$25 per unit to buy the part from an outside supplier. In the decision to "make or buy," what is the total relevant unit manufacturing cost to be considered?

- a. \$ 2
- b. \$15
- c. \$19
- d. \$27

1M82#24. Wagner Company sells product A at a selling price of \$21 per unit. Wagner's cost per unit based on the full capacity of 200,000 units is as follows:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Direct materials | \$ 4 |
| Direct labor | 5 |
| Overhead (two-thirds of which is fixed) | <u>6</u> |
| | <u>\$15</u> |

A special order offering to buy 20,000 units was received from a foreign distributor. The only selling costs that would be incurred on this order would be \$3 per unit for shipping. Wagner has sufficient existing capacity to manufacture the additional units. In negotiating a price for the special order, Wagner should consider that the minimum selling price per unit should be

- a. \$14
- b. \$15
- c. \$16
- d. \$18

1M82#30. Gandy Company has 5,000 obsolete desk lamps that are carried in inventory at a manufacturing cost of \$50,000. If the lamps are reworked for \$20,000, they could be sold for \$35,000. Alternatively, the lamps could be sold for \$8,000 to a jobber located in a distant city. In a decision model analyzing these alternatives, the sunk cost would be

- a. \$ 8,000
- b. \$15,000
- c. \$20,000
- d. \$50,000

1N81#23. Lincoln Company, a glove manufacturer, has enough idle capacity available to accept a special order of 20,000 pairs of gloves at \$12.00 a pair. The normal selling price is \$20.00 a pair. Variable manufacturing costs are \$9.00 a pair, and fixed manufacturing costs are \$3.00 a pair. Lincoln will not incur any selling expenses as a result of the special order. What would be the effect on operating income if the special order could be accepted without affecting normal sales?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$ 60,000 increase.
- c. \$180,000 increase.
- d. \$240,000 increase.

1N81#40. Kingston Company needs 10,000 units of a certain part to be used in its production cycle. The following information is available:

| | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Cost to Kingston to make the part: | |
| Direct materials | \$ 6 |
| Direct labor | 24 |
| Variable overhead | 12 |
| Fixed overhead applied | <u>15</u> |
| | <u>\$57</u> |

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Cost to buy the part from Utica Company | <u>\$53</u> |
|---|-------------|

If Kingston buys the part from Utica instead of making it, Kingston could not use the released facilities in another manufacturing activity. 60% of the fixed overhead applied will continue regardless of what decision is made.

In deciding whether to make or buy the part, the total relevant costs to make the part are

- a. \$342,000
- b. \$480,000
- c. \$530,000
- d. \$570,000

1M81#29. Plainfield Company manufactures part G for use in its production cycle. The costs per unit for 10,000 units of part G are as follows:

| | |
|-------------------|-------------|
| Direct materials | \$ 3 |
| Direct labor | 15 |
| Variable overhead | 6 |
| Fixed overhead | <u>8</u> |
| | <u>\$32</u> |

Accounting Practice

Verona Company has offered to sell Plainfield 10,000 units of part G for \$30 per unit. If Plainfield accepts Verona's offer, the released facilities could be used to save \$45,000 in relevant costs in the manufacture of part H. In addition \$5 per unit of the fixed overhead applied to part G would be totally eliminated. What alternative is more desirable and by what amount is it more desirable?

| | <u>Alternative</u> | <u>Amount</u> |
|----|--------------------|---------------|
| a. | Manufacture | \$10,000 |
| b. | Manufacture | \$15,000 |
| c. | Buy | \$35,000 |
| d. | Buy | \$65,000 |

1N80#31. The Blade Division of Dana Company produces hardened steel blades. One-third of the Blade Division's output is sold to the Lawn Products Division of Dana; the remainder is sold to outside customers. The Blade Division's estimated sales and standard cost data for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1981, are as follows:

| | <u>Lawn Products</u> | <u>Outsiders</u> |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Sales | \$15,000 | \$40,000 |
| Variable costs | (10,000) | (20,000) |
| Fixed costs | (3,000) | (6,000) |
| Gross margin | <u>\$ 2,000</u> | <u>\$14,000</u> |
| Unit sales | 10,000 | 20,000 |

The Lawn Products Division has an opportunity to purchase 10,000 identical quality blades from an outside supplier at a cost of \$1.25 per unit on a continuing basis. Assume that the Blade Division cannot sell any additional products to outside customers. Should Dana allow its Lawn Products Division to purchase the blades from the outside supplier, and why?

- a. Yes, because buying the blades would save Dana Company \$500.
- b. No, because making the blades would save Dana Company \$1,500.
- c. Yes, because buying the blades would save Dana Company \$2,500.
- d. No, because making the blades would save Dana Company \$2,500.

1M80#37. Motor Company manufactures 10,000 units of Part M-1 for use in its production annually. The following costs are reported:

| | |
|-------------------|------------------|
| Direct materials | \$ 20,000 |
| Direct labor | 55,000 |
| Variable overhead | 45,000 |
| Fixed overhead | 70,000 |
| | <u>\$190,000</u> |

Valve Company has offered to sell Motor 10,000 units of Part M-1 for \$18 per unit. If Motor accepts the offer,

some of the facilities presently used to manufacture Part M-1 could be rented to a third party at an annual rental of \$15,000. Additionally, \$4 per unit of the fixed overhead applied to Part M-1 would be totally eliminated. Should Motor accept Valve's offer, and why?

- a. No, because it would be \$5,000 cheaper to make the part.
- b. Yes, because it would be \$10,000 cheaper to buy the part.
- c. No, because it would be \$15,000 cheaper to make the part.
- d. Yes, because it would be \$25,000 cheaper to buy the part.

1M80#40. Light Company has 2,000 obsolete light fixtures that are carried in inventory at a manufacturing cost of \$30,000. If the fixtures are reworked for \$10,000, they could be sold for \$18,000. Alternately, the light fixtures could be sold for \$3,000 to a jobber located in a distant city. In a decision model analyzing these alternatives, the opportunity cost would be

- a. \$ 3,000
- b. \$10,000
- c. \$13,000
- d. \$30,000

1N79#36. The Reno Company manufactures Part No. 498 for use in its production cycle. The cost per unit for 20,000 units of Part No. 498 are as follows:

| | |
|------------------------|-------------|
| Direct materials | \$ 6 |
| Direct labor | 30 |
| Variable overhead | 12 |
| Fixed overhead applied | 16 |
| | <u>\$64</u> |

The Tray Company has offered to sell 20,000 units of Part No. 498 to Reno for \$60 per unit. Reno will make the decision to buy the part from Tray if there is a savings of \$25,000 for Reno. If Reno accepts Tray's offer, \$9 per unit of the fixed overhead applied would be totally eliminated. Furthermore, Reno has determined that the released facilities could be used to save relevant costs in the manufacture of Part No. 575. In order to have a savings of \$25,000, the amount of relevant costs that would be saved by using the released facilities in the manufacture of Part No. 575 would have to be

- a. \$ 80,000
- b. \$ 85,000
- c. \$125,000
- d. \$140,000

1M79#38. Boyer Company manufactures basketballs. The forecasted income statement for the year before any special orders is as follows:

Selected Questions

| | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Per Unit</u> |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Sales | \$4,000,000 | \$10.00 |
| Manufacturing cost of goods sold | <u>3,200,000</u> | <u>8.00</u> |
| Gross profit | 800,000 | 2.00 |
| Selling expenses | <u>300,000</u> | <u>.75</u> |
| Operating income | <u>\$ 500,000</u> | <u>\$ 1.25</u> |

Fixed costs included in the above forecasted income statement are \$1,200,000 in manufacturing cost of goods sold and \$100,000 in selling expenses.

A special order offering to buy 50,000 basketballs for \$7.50 each was made to Boyer. There will be no additional selling expenses if the special order is accepted. Assuming Boyer has sufficient capacity to manufacture 50,000 more basketballs, by what amount would operating income be increased or decreased as a result of accepting the special order?

- a. \$ 25,000 decrease.
- b. \$ 62,500 decrease.
- c. \$100,000 increase.
- d. \$125,000 increase.

O. Capital Budgeting Techniques

2N83

Items 17 and 18 are based on the following data:

Amaro Hospital, a nonprofit institution not subject to income taxes, is considering the purchase of new equipment costing \$20,000, in order to achieve cash savings of \$5,000 per year in operating costs. The equipment's estimated useful life is ten years, with no net residual value. Amaro's cost of capital is 14%. For ten periods at 14%, the present value of \$1 is 0.270, while the present value of an ordinary annuity of \$1 is 5.216.

17. What factor contained in or developed from the above information should be used in computing the internal rate of return for Amaro's proposed investment in the new equipment?

- a. 5.216
- b. 4.000
- c. 1.400
- d. 0.270

18. How much is the accounting rate of return based on Amaro's initial investment in the new equipment?

- a. 27%
- b. 25%
- c. 15%
- d. 14%

1M83#40. Dillon, Inc., purchased a new machine for \$60,000 on January 1, 1983. The machine is being depreciated on the straight-line basis over five years with no salvage value. The accounting (book value) rate of return is expected to be 15% on the initial increase in required investment. Assuming a uniform cash flow,

this investment is expected to provide annual cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of

- a. \$ 7,200
- b. \$12,000
- c. \$13,800
- d. \$21,000

1N82#26. Heller Company purchased a machine for \$500,000 with a useful life of five years and no salvage value. The machine is being depreciated using the straight-line method and it is expected to produce annual cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$150,000. The present value of an ordinary annuity of \$1 for five periods at 14% is 3.43. The present value of \$1 for five periods at 14% is 0.52. Assuming that Heller uses a time-adjusted rate of return of 14%, what is the net present value?

- a. \$280,000
- b. \$250,000
- c. \$180,000
- d. \$ 14,500

1N82

Items 36 and 37 are based on the following information:

Hanley Company purchased a machine for \$125,000 which will be depreciated on the straight-line basis over a five-year period with no salvage value. The related cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, is expected to be \$45,000 a year. Assume that Hanley's effective income tax rate is 40% for all years.

36. What is the payback period?

- a. 2.1 years.
- b. 2.3 years.
- c. 2.8 years.
- d. 4.2 years.

37. What is the accounting (book value) rate of return on the initial increase in required investment?

- a. 16%
- b. 24%
- c. 28%
- d. 36%

1N82#40. Kipling Company invested in an eight-year project. It is expected that the annual cash flow from the project, net of income taxes, will be \$20,000. Information on present value factors is as follows:

| | |
|--|-------|
| Present value of \$1 at 12% for eight periods | 0.404 |
| Present value of an ordinary annuity of \$1 at 12% for eight periods | 4.968 |

Assuming that Kipling based its investment decision on an internal rate of return of 12%, how much did the project cost?

- a. \$160,000
- b. \$ 99,360
- c. \$ 80,800
- d. \$ 64,640

1M82#36. Womark Company purchased a new machine on January 1, 1981, for \$90,000 with an estimated useful life of five years and a salvage value of \$10,000. The machine will be depreciated using the straight-line method. The machine is expected to produce cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$36,000 a year in each of the next five years. The payback period would be

- a. 2.2 years.
- b. 2.5 years.
- c. 4.0 years.
- d. 4.5 years.

1M82#37. On January 1, 1981, Studley Company purchased a new machine for \$100,000 with an estimated useful life of five years and no salvage value. For book and tax purposes, the machine will be depreciated using the straight-line method and it is expected to produce annual cash flow from operations, before income taxes, of \$40,000. Assume that Studley uses a time-adjusted rate of 12% and that its income tax rate will be 40% for all years. The present value of \$1 at 12% for five periods is 0.57, and the present value of an ordinary annuity of \$1 at 12% for five periods is 3.61. The net present value of the machine should be

- a. \$15,520 positive.
- b. \$15,520 negative.
- c. \$14,000 positive.
- d. \$13,680 negative.

1M82#38. Hamilton Company invested in a two-year project having an internal rate of return of 12%. The project is expected to produce cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$60,000 in the first year and \$70,000 in the second year. The present value of \$1 for one period at 12% is 0.893 and for two periods at 12% is 0.797. How much will the project cost?

- a. \$103,610
- b. \$109,370
- c. \$116,090
- d. \$122,510

1N81#36. Nelson Company is planning to purchase a new machine for \$500,000. The new machine is expected to produce cash flow from operations, before income taxes, of \$135,000 a year in each of the next five years. Depreciation of \$100,000 a year will be charged to income for each of the next five years. Assume that the income tax rate is 40%. The payback period would be approximately

- a. 2.2 years.
- b. 3.4 years.
- c. 3.7 years.
- d. 4.1 years.

1N81#37. Hillsdale Company purchased a machine for \$480,000. The machine has a useful life of six years and no salvage value. Straight-line depreciation is to be used. The machine is expected to generate cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$140,000 in

each of the six years. Hillsdale's desired rate of return is 14%. Information on present value factors is as follows:

| <u>Period</u> | <u>Present value of \$1 at 14%</u> | <u>Present value of ordinary annuity of \$1 at 14%</u> |
|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | .877 | .877 |
| 2 | .769 | 1.647 |
| 3 | .675 | 2.322 |
| 4 | .592 | 2.914 |
| 5 | .519 | 3.433 |
| 6 | .456 | 3.889 |

What would be the net present value?

- a. \$ 63,840
- b. \$ 64,460
- c. \$218,880
- d. \$233,340

1N81#38. Saratoga Company is planning to purchase a new machine for \$600,000. The new machine will be depreciated on the straight-line basis over a six-year period with no salvage, and a full year's depreciation will be taken in the year of acquisition. The new machine is expected to produce cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$150,000 a year in each of the next six years. The accounting (book value) rate of return on the initial investment is expected to be

- a. 8.3%
- b. 12.0%
- c. 16.7%
- d. 25.0%

1N81#39. Garwood Company purchased a machine which will be depreciated on the straight-line basis over an estimated useful life of seven years and no salvage value. The machine is expected to generate cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$80,000 in each of the seven years. Garwood's expected rate of return is 12%. Information on present value factors is as follows:

| | |
|--|-------|
| Present value of \$1 at 12% for seven periods | 0.452 |
| Present value of an ordinary annuity of \$1 at 12% for seven periods | 4.564 |

Assuming a positive net present value of \$12,720, what was the cost of the machine?

- a. \$240,400
- b. \$253,120
- c. \$352,400
- d. \$377,840

1M81#21. Tracy Corporation is planning to invest \$80,000 in a three-year project. Tracy's expected rate of return is 10%. The present value of \$1 at 10% for one year is .909, for two years is .826, and for three years is .751. The cash flow, net of income taxes, will

Selected Questions

be \$30,000 for the first year (present value of \$27,270) and \$36,000 for the second year (present value of \$29,736). Assuming the rate of return is exactly 10%, what will the cash flow, net of income taxes, be for the third year?

- a. \$17,268
- b. \$22,000
- c. \$22,994
- d. \$30,618

1M81#22. On January 1, 1981, Jenkins, Inc., purchased for \$520,000 a new machine with a useful life of eight years and no salvage value. The machine will be depreciated using the straight-line method and it is expected to produce annual cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$120,000. The present value of an ordinary annuity of \$1 for eight periods at 14% is 4.639. The present value of \$1 for eight periods at 14% is 0.351. Assuming that Jenkins uses a time-adjusted rate of return of 14%, what is the net present value?

- a. \$ 36,680
- b. \$ 94,848
- c. \$154,440
- d. \$255,145

1M81#30. Brunswick Company is planning to purchase a new machine. The payback period will be six years. The new machine is expected to produce cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$3,500 a year for each of the first three years of the payback period and \$2,500 a year for each of the last three years of the payback period. Depreciation of \$2,000 a year will be charged to income for each of the six years of the payback period. How much will the machine cost?

- a. \$ 6,000
- b. \$12,000
- c. \$18,000
- d. \$21,000

1M81#31. On January 1, 1981, Welling Company purchased 100 of the \$1,000 face value, 8%, ten-year bonds of Mann, Inc. The bonds mature on January 1, 1991, and pay interest annually on January 1. Welling purchased the bonds to yield 10% interest. Information on present value factors is as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Present value of \$1 at 8% | |
| for 10 periods | 0.4632 |
| Present value of \$1 at 10% | |
| for 10 periods | 0.3855 |
| Present value of an annuity of \$1 | |
| at 8% for 10 periods | 6.7101 |
| Present value of an annuity of \$1 | |
| at 10% for 10 periods | 6.1446 |

How much did Welling pay for the bonds?

- a. \$ 87,707
- b. \$ 92,230
- c. \$ 95,477
- d. \$100,000

1N80#21. Jarvis, Inc., a calendar year company, purchased a new machine for \$28,000 on January 1, 1980. The machine has an estimated useful life of eight years with no salvage value and is being depreciated on the straight-line basis. The accounting (book value) rate of return is expected to be 15% on the initial increase in required investment. On the assumption of a uniform cash inflow, this investment is expected to provide annual cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of

- a. \$3,500
- b. \$4,025
- c. \$4,200
- d. \$7,700

1N80#22. Energy Company is planning to spend \$84,000 for a new machine which it will depreciate on the straight-line basis over ten years with no salvage value. The related cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, is expected to be \$10,000 a year for each of the first six years and \$12,000 for each of the next four years. What is the payback period?

- a. 4.4 years.
- b. 7.6 years.
- c. 7.8 years.
- d. 8.0 years.

1N80#26. Scott, Inc., is planning to invest \$120,000 in a ten-year project. Scott estimates that the annual cash inflow, net of income taxes, from this project will be \$20,000. Scott's desired rate of return on investments of this type is 10%. Information on present value factors is as follows:

| | <i>At 10%</i> | <i>At 12%</i> |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Present value of \$1 for ten periods | 0.386 | 0.322 |
| Present value of an annuity of \$1 for ten periods | 6.145 | 5.650 |

Scott's expected rate of return on this investment is

- a. Less than 10%, but more than 0%.
- b. 10%.
- c. Less than 12%, but more than 10%.
- d. 12%.

1N80#27. Hilltop Company invested \$100,000 in a two-year project. Hilltop's expected rate of return was 12%. The cash flow, net of income taxes, was \$40,000 for the first year. Information on present value and future value factors is as follows:

| <i>Period</i> | <i>Present value of \$1 at 12%</i> | <i>Future value of \$1 at 12%</i> |
|---------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | .8929 | 1.1200 |
| 2 | .7972 | 1.2544 |

Accounting Practice

Assuming that the rate of return was exactly 12%, what was the cash flow, net of income taxes, for the second year of the project?

- a. \$51,247
- b. \$60,000
- c. \$64,284
- d. \$80,638

1M80#22. Virginia Company invested in a four-year project. Virginia's expected rate of return is 10%. Additional information on the project is as follows:

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Cash inflow from operations, net of income taxes</u> | <u>Present value of \$1 at 10%</u> |
|-------------|---|------------------------------------|
| 1 | \$4,000 | .909 |
| 2 | 4,400 | .826 |
| 3 | 4,800 | .751 |
| 4 | 5,200 | .683 |

Assuming a positive net present value of \$1,000, what was the amount of the original investment?

- a. \$ 2,552
- b. \$ 4,552
- c. \$13,427
- d. \$17,400

1M80

Items 24 and 25 are based on the following information:

Plastics, Inc., is considering the purchase of a \$40,000 machine which will be depreciated on a straight-line basis over an eight-year period with no salvage value. The machine is expected to generate net cash income before income taxes of \$12,000 a year. Assume that the income tax rate is 50%.

24. What is the pay-back period?
- a. 2.4 years.
 - b. 2.6 years.
 - c. 3.3 years.
 - d. 4.7 years.
25. What is the accounting (book value) rate of return on the initial increase in required investment?
- a. 8.75%
 - b. 17.50%
 - c. 23.75%
 - d. 30.00%

1N79#23. The Polar Company is planning to purchase a new machine for \$30,000. The pay-back period is expected to be five years. The new machine is expected to produce cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$7,000 a year in each of the next three years and \$5,500 in the fourth year. Depreciation of \$5,000 a year will be charged to income for each of the five years of the pay-back period. What is the amount of cash flow from operations, net of taxes, that the new

machine is expected to produce in the last (fifth) year of the pay back period?

- a. \$1,000
- b. \$3,500
- c. \$5,000
- d. \$8,500

1N79#24. The Fudge Company is planning to purchase a new machine which it will depreciate on a straight-line basis over a ten-year period with no salvage value and a full year's depreciation taken in the year of acquisition. The new machine is expected to produce cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$66,000 a year in each of the next ten years. The accounting (book value) rate of return on the initial investment is expected to be 12%. How much will the new machine cost?

- a. \$300,000
- b. \$550,000
- c. \$660,000
- d. \$792,000

1N79#29. Cause Company is planning to invest in a machine with a useful life of five years and no salvage value. The machine is expected to produce cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$20,000 in each of the five years. Cause's expected rate of return is 10%. Information on present value and future amount factors is as follows:

| | <u>Period</u> | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | <u>1</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>3</u> | <u>4</u> | <u>5</u> |
| Present value of \$1 at 10% | .909 | .826 | .751 | .683 | .621 |
| Present value of annuity of \$1 at 10% | .909 | 1.736 | 2.487 | 3.170 | 3.791 |
| Future amount of \$1 at 10% | 1.100 | 1.210 | 1.331 | 1.464 | 1.611 |
| Future amount of annuity of \$1 at 10% | 1.000 | 2.100 | 3.310 | 4.641 | 6.105 |

How much will the machine cost?

- a. \$ 32,220
- b. \$ 62,100
- c. \$ 75,820
- d. \$122,100

1N79#30. Heap Company invested in a two-year project. Heap's expected rate of return is 10%. The present value of \$1 for one period at 10% is .909 and for two periods at 10% is .826. The machine is expected to produce cash flow from operations, net of income taxes,

Selected Questions

of \$40,000 in the first year and \$50,000 in the second year. How much will the project cost?

- a. \$74,340
- b. \$77,660
- c. \$81,810
- d. \$90,000

1M79#22. Bernie Company purchased a new machine with an estimated useful life of five years with no salvage value for \$45,000. The machine is expected to produce cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, as follows:

| | |
|----------|----------|
| 1st year | \$ 9,000 |
| 2nd year | 12,000 |
| 3rd year | 15,000 |
| 4th year | 9,000 |
| 5th year | 8,000 |

Bernie will use the sum-of-the-years-digits method to depreciate the new machine in its accounting records as follows:

| | |
|----------|----------|
| 1st year | \$15,000 |
| 2nd year | 12,000 |
| 3rd year | 9,000 |
| 4th year | 6,000 |
| 5th year | 3,000 |

What is the pay back period?

- a. 2 years
- b. 3 years
- c. 4 years
- d. 5 years

1M79#23. The Bread Company is planning to purchase a new machine which it will depreciate on a straight-line basis over a ten-year period. A full year's depreciation will be taken in the year of acquisition. The machine is expected to produce cash flow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$3,000 in each of the ten years. The accounting (book value) rate of return is expected to be 10% on the initial increase in required investment. The cost of the new machine will be

- a. \$12,000
- b. \$13,500
- c. \$15,000
- d. \$30,000

1M79#27. Gene, Inc., invested in a machine with a useful life of six years and no salvage value. The machine was depreciated using the straight-line method and it was expected to produce annual cash inflow from operations, net of income taxes, of \$2,000. The present value of an ordinary annuity of \$1 for six periods at 10% is 4.355. The present value of \$1 for six periods at 10% is 0.564. Assuming that Gene used a time-ad-

justed rate of return of 10%, what was the amount of the original investment?

- a. \$ 5,640
- b. \$ 8,710
- c. \$ 9,000
- d. \$11,280

1M79#40. Cooper plans to invest \$2,000 at the end of each of the next ten years. Assume that Cooper will earn interest at an annual rate of 6% compounded annually. The future amount of an ordinary annuity of \$1 for ten periods at 6% is 13.181. The present value of \$1 for ten periods at 6% is 0.558. The present value of an ordinary annuity of \$1 for ten periods at 6% is 7.360. The investment after the end of ten years would be

- a. \$14,720
- b. \$21,200
- c. \$26,362
- d. \$27,478

P. Performance Analysis

2N83

Items 13 and 14 are based on the following data:

The following selected data pertain to the belt division of Allen Corp. for 1982:

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| Sales | \$2,000,000 |
| Average invested capital | 500,000 |
| Operating income | 300,000 |
| Capital turnover | 4.0 |
| Imputed interest rate | 18% |

13. How much is the return on investment?

- a. 60%
- b. 33%
- c. 18%
- d. 15%

14. How much is the residual income?

- a. \$0
- b. \$200,000
- c. \$210,000
- d. \$246,000

Q. Quantitative Techniques for Planning and Control

1N83#42. Bolton Company produces a food product in 50 gallon batches. The basic ingredients used are material X costing \$8 per gallon and material Y costing \$12 per gallon. No more than 16 gallons of X can be used, and at least 18 gallons of Y must be used. How would the objective function (minimization of product cost) be expressed?

- a. $8X + 12Y$
- b. $8X + 18Y$
- c. $16X + 18Y$
- d. $16X + 34Y$

1N83#53. Barclay Company sells 20,000 pocket calculators evenly throughout the year. The cost of carrying one unit in inventory for one year is \$4 and the purchase order cost per order is \$64. What is the economic order quantity?

- a. 400
- b. 566
- c. 800
- d. 1,250

1N83#60. Gandy Company is considering a proposal to introduce a new product, RLX. An outside marketing consultant prepared the following payoff probability distribution describing the relative likelihood of monthly sales volume levels and related income (loss) for RLX:

| <u>Monthly sales volume</u> | <u>Probability</u> | <u>Income (loss)</u> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 6,000 | 0.10 | \$(70,000) |
| 12,000 | 0.20 | 10,000 |
| 18,000 | 0.40 | 60,000 |
| 24,000 | 0.20 | 100,000 |
| 30,000 | 0.10 | 140,000 |

The expected value of the monthly income from RLX is

- a. \$ 48,000
- b. \$ 53,000
- c. \$ 60,000
- d. \$240,000

1M83#25. The following information is available for Trencher Company's material B:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Annual usage in units | 10,000 |
| Working days per year | 250 |
| Safety stock in units | 400 |
| Normal lead time in working days | 30 |

Assuming that the units of material B will be required evenly throughout the year, the order point would be

- a. 400
- b. 800
- c. 1,200
- d. 1,600

2N82#34. Mori Company plans to begin production of a new product on July 1, 1983. An 80% learning curve is applicable to Mori's manufacturing operations. If it is expected to take 1,000 direct labor hours to produce the first unit, how many direct labor hours should it take to produce a total of four units?

- a. 4,000
- b. 3,200
- c. 2,560
- d. 2,048

1M82#35. In planning its budget for 1982, King Company prepared the following payoff probability distribution describing the relative likelihood of monthly sales volume levels and related contribution margins for product A:

| <u>Monthly sales volume</u> | <u>Contribution margin</u> | <u>Probability</u> |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| 4,000 | \$ 80,000 | .20 |
| 6,000 | 120,000 | .25 |
| 8,000 | 160,000 | .30 |
| 10,000 | 200,000 | .15 |
| 12,000 | 240,000 | .10 |

What is the expected value of the monthly contribution margin for product A?

- a. \$140,000
- b. \$148,000
- c. \$160,000
- d. \$180,000

1N81#29. Ridgefield, Inc., is considering a three-phase research project. The time estimates for completion of Phase 1 of the project are:

| | <u>Months</u> |
|-------------|---------------|
| Optimistic | 4 |
| Most likely | 8 |
| Pessimistic | 18 |

Using the Program Evaluation Review Technique (PERT), the expected time for completion of Phase 1 should be

- a. 8 months.
- b. 9 months.
- c. 10 months.
- d. 18 months.

1N80#30. Johnson, Inc., manufactures product X and product Y which are processed as follows:

| | <u>Type A machine</u> | <u>Type B machine</u> |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Product X | 6 hours | 4 hours |
| Product Y | 9 hours | 5 hours |

The contribution margin is \$12 for product X and \$7 for product Y. The available time daily for processing the two products is 120 hours for machine Type A and 80 hours for machine Type B. How would the restriction (constraint) for machine Type B be expressed?

- a. $4 X + 5 Y$
- b. $4 X + 5 Y \leq 80$
- c. $6 X + 9 Y \leq 120$
- d. $12 X + 7 Y$

Selected Questions

1N80#36. Duguid Company is considering a proposal to introduce a new product, XPL. An outside marketing consultant prepared the following payoff probability distribution describing the relative likelihood of monthly sales volume levels and related income (loss) for XPL:

| <u>Monthly sales volume</u> | <u>Probability</u> | <u>Income (loss)</u> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 3,000 | 0.10 | \$(35,000) |
| 6,000 | 0.20 | 5,000 |
| 9,000 | 0.40 | 30,000 |
| 12,000 | 0.20 | 50,000 |
| 15,000 | 0.10 | 70,000 |

If Duguid decides to market XPL, the expected value of the added monthly income will be

- \$ 24,000
- \$ 26,500
- \$ 30,000
- \$120,000

1N80#40. The following information is available for Digby Company's material Y:

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Annual usage in units | 10,000 |
| Working days per year | 250 |
| Normal lead time in working days | 30 |
| Maximum lead time in working days | 70 |

Assuming that the units of material Y will be required evenly throughout the year, the order point would be

- 1,200
- 1,600
- 2,000
- 2,800

1M80#31. The following information relates to the Gerald Company:

| | |
|---|------|
| Optimal production run | 500 |
| Average inventory in units | 250 |
| Number of production runs | 10 |
| Cost per unit produced | \$5 |
| Desired annual return on inventory investment | 10% |
| Set up costs per production run | \$10 |

Assuming that the units will be required evenly throughout the year, what are the total annual relevant costs using the economic-order-quantity approach?

- \$ 225
- \$ 350
- \$1,350
- \$2,625

1M80#36. The Beauty Company produces a cosmetic product in 60 gallon batches. The basic ingredients used are material X costing \$7 per gallon and material Y costing \$17 per gallon. No more than 18 gallons of X can be used, and at least 15 gallons of Y must be used.

How would the objective function (minimization of product cost) be expressed?

- $7X + 17Y$
- $17X + 7Y$
- $18X + 15Y$
- $18X + 42Y$

1M80#38. The following information related to Eagle Company's material A:

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Annual usage in units | 7,200 |
| Working days per year | 240 |
| Normal lead time in working days | 20 |
| Maximum lead time in working days | 45 |

Assuming that the units of material A will be required evenly throughout the year, the safety stock and order point would be

| | <u>Safety Stock</u> | <u>Order Point</u> |
|----|---------------------|--------------------|
| a. | 600 | 750 |
| b. | 600 | 1,350 |
| c. | 750 | 600 |
| d. | 750 | 1,350 |

1N79#31. Politan Company manufactures bookcases. Set up costs are \$2.00. Politan manufactures 4,000 bookcases evenly throughout the year. Using the economic-order-quantity approach, the optimal production run would be 200 when the cost of carrying one bookcase in inventory for one year is

- \$0.05
- \$0.10
- \$0.20
- \$0.40

1N79#32. Milford Company manufactures two models, medium and large. The contribution margin expected is \$12 for the medium model and \$20 for the large model. The medium model is processed two hours in the machining department and four hours in the polishing department. The large model is processed three hours in the machining department and six hours in the polishing department. How would the formula for determining the maximization of total contribution margin be expressed?

- $5X + 10Y$
- $6X + 9Y$
- $12X + 20Y$
- $12X(2 + 4) + 20Y(3 + 6)$

1M79#28. The Polly Company wishes to determine the amount of safety stock that it should maintain for Product D that will result in the lowest cost.

The following information is available:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Stockout cost | \$80 per occurrence |
| Carrying cost of safety stock | \$2 per unit |
| Number of purchase orders | 5 per year |

The available options open to Polly are as follows:

| <u>Units of Safety Stock</u> | <u>Probability of Running Out of Safety Stock</u> |
|------------------------------|---|
| 10 | 50% |
| 20 | 40% |
| 30 | 30% |
| 40 | 20% |
| 50 | 10% |
| 55 | 5% |

The number of units of safety stock that will result in the lowest cost are

- 20.
- 40.
- 50.
- 55.

1M79#30. The Aron Company requires 40,000 units of Product Q for the year. The units will be required evenly throughout the year. It costs \$60 to place an order. It costs \$10 to carry a unit in inventory for the year. What is the economic order quantity?

- 400
- 490
- 600
- 693

1M79#33. The Pauley Company plans to expand its sales force by opening several new branch offices. Pauley has \$10,400,000 in capital available for new branch offices. Pauley will consider opening only two types of branches: 20-person branches (Type A) and 10-person branches (Type B). Expected initial cash outlays are \$1,300,000 for a Type A branch and \$670,000 for a Type B branch. Expected annual cash inflow, net of income taxes, is \$92,000 for a Type A branch and \$36,000 for a Type B branch. Pauley will hire no more than 200 employees for the new branch offices and will not open more than 20 branch offices. Linear programming will be used to help decide how many branch offices should be opened.

In a system of equations for a linear programming model, which of the following equations would **not** represent a constraint (restriction)?

- $A + B \leq 20$.
- $20A + 10B \leq 200$.
- $\$92,000A + \$36,000B \leq \$128,000$.
- $\$1,300,000A + \$670,000B \leq \$10,400,000$.

Selected Questions

VIII. Not-for-Profit and Governmental Accounting

A. Fund Accounting

2M82#25. The following balances are included in the subsidiary records of Burwood Village's Parks and Recreation Department at March 31, 1982:

| | |
|------------------------------|---------|
| Appropriations - supplies | \$7,500 |
| Expenditures - supplies | 4,500 |
| Encumbrances - supply orders | 750 |

How much does the Department have available for additional purchases of supplies?

- a. \$0
- b. \$2,250
- c. \$3,000
- d. \$6,750

2M82#28. The Board of Commissioners of the City of Rockton adopted its budget for the year ending July 31, 1982, which indicated revenues of \$1,000,000 and appropriations of \$900,000. If the budget is formally integrated into the accounting records, what is the required journal entry?

| | <u>Dr.</u> | <u>Cr.</u> | |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| a. Memorandum entry only | | | |
| b. Appropriations | \$ 900,000 | | |
| General fund | 100,000 | | |
| Estimated revenues | | \$1,000,000 | |
| c. Estimated revenues | \$1,000,000 | | |
| Appropriations | | \$ 900,000 | |
| Fund balance | | 100,000 | |
| d. Revenues receivable | \$1,000,000 | | |
| Expenditures payable | | \$ 900,000 | |
| General fund balance | | 100,000 | |

2M82#29. Kingsford City incurred \$100,000 of salaries and wages for the month ended March 31, 1982. How should this be recorded at that date?

| | <u>Dr.</u> | <u>Cr.</u> | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|--|
| a. Expenditures - salaries and wages | \$100,000 | | |
| Vouchers payable | | \$100,000 | |
| b. Salaries and wages expense | \$100,000 | | |
| Vouchers payable | | \$100,000 | |
| c. Encumbrances - salaries and wages | \$100,000 | | |
| Vouchers payable | | \$100,000 | |
| d. Fund balance | \$100,000 | | |
| Vouchers payable | | \$100,000 | |

B. Types of Funds and Fund Accounts

2M82#22. The following assets are among those owned by the City of Foster:

| | |
|--|------------|
| Apartment building (part of the principal of a nonexpendable trust fund) | \$ 200,000 |
| City Hall | 800,000 |
| Three fire stations | 1,000,000 |
| City streets and sidewalks | 5,000,000 |

How much should be included in Foster's general fixed assets account group?

- a. \$1,800,000 or \$6,800,000.
- b. \$2,000,000 or \$7,000,000.
- c. \$6,800,000, without election of \$1,800,000.
- d. \$7,000,000, without election of \$2,000,000.

2M82#23. The following items were among Kew Township's expenditures from the general fund during the year ended July 31, 1981:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Minicomputer for tax collector's office | \$22,000 |
| Furniture for Township Hall | 40,000 |

How much should be classified as fixed assets in Kew's general fund balance sheet at July 31, 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$22,000
- c. \$40,000
- d. \$62,000

2M82

Items 26 and 27 are based on the following information:

The following events relating to the City of Albany's debt service funds occurred during the year ended December 31, 1981:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Debt principal matured | \$2,000,000 |
| Unmatured (accrued) interest on outstanding debt at Jan. 1, 1981 | 50,000 |
| Interest on matured debt | 900,000 |
| Unmatured (accrued) interest on outstanding debt at Dec. 31, 1981 | 100,000 |
| Interest revenue from investments | 600,000 |
| Cash transferred from general fund for retirement of debt principal | 1,000,000 |
| Cash transferred from general fund for payment of matured interest | 900,000 |

All principal and interest due in 1981 were paid on time.

Accounting Practice

26. What is the total amount of expenditures that Albury's debt service funds should record for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. \$ 900,000
- b. \$ 950,000
- c. \$2,900,000
- d. \$2,950,000

27. How much revenue should Albury's debt service funds record for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. \$ 600,000
- b. \$1,600,000
- c. \$1,900,000
- d. \$2,500,000

2M82

Items 32 and 33 are based on the following information:

During the year ended December 31, 1981, Leyland City received a state grant of \$500,000 to finance the purchase of buses, and an additional grant of \$100,000 to aid in the financing of bus operations in 1981. Only \$300,000 of the capital grant was used in 1981 for the purchase of buses, but the entire operating grant of \$100,000 was spent in 1981.

32. If Leyland's bus transportation system is accounted for as part of the city's general fund, how much should Leyland report as grant revenues for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. \$100,000
- b. \$300,000
- c. \$400,000
- d. \$500,000

33. If Leyland's bus transportation system is accounted for as an enterprise fund, how much should Leyland report as grant revenues for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. \$100,000
- b. \$300,000
- c. \$400,000
- d. \$500,000

2M82#35. Ariel Village issued the following bonds during the year ended June 30, 1981:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Revenue bonds to be repaid from admission fees collected by the Ariel Zoo enterprise fund | \$200,000 |
| General obligation bonds issued for the Ariel water and sewer enterprise fund which will service the debt | 300,000 |

How much of these bonds should be accounted for in Ariel's general long-term debt account group?

- a. \$0
- b. \$200,000
- c. \$300,000
- d. \$500,000

2M82

Items 36 and 37 are based on the following information:

On December 31, 1981, Madrid Township paid a contractor \$2,000,000 for the total cost of a new fire-house built in 1981 on Township-owned land. Financing was by means of a \$1,500,000 general obligation bond issue sold at face amount on December 31, 1981, with the remaining \$500,000 transferred from the general fund.

36. What should be reported on Madrid's 1981 financial statements for the capital project fund?

- a. Revenues, \$1,500,000; Expenditures, \$1,500,000.
- b. Revenues, \$1,500,000; Other financing sources, \$500,000; Expenditures, \$2,000,000.
- c. Revenues, \$2,000,000; Expenditures, \$2,000,000.
- d. Other financing sources, \$2,000,000; Expenditures, \$2,000,000.

37. What should be reported on Madrid's 1981 financial statements for the general fund?

- a. Expenditures, \$500,000.
- b. Other financing uses, \$500,000.
- c. Revenues, \$1,500,000; Expenditures, \$2,000,000.
- d. Revenues, \$1,500,000; Other financing uses, \$2,000,000.

C. Presentation of Financial Statements for Various Not-for-Profit and Governmental Organizations

2M82

Items 38 and 39 are based on the following information:

The following balances appeared in the City of Reedsbury's general fund at June 30, 1981:

| <u>Account</u> | <u>Balance</u> <u>Dr. (Cr.)</u> |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Encumbrances — current year | \$ 200,000 |
| Expenditures: | |
| Current year | 3,000,000 |
| Prior year | 100,000 |
| Fund balance reserved for encumbrances: | |
| Current year | (200,000) |
| Prior year | None |

Reedsbury maintains its general fund books on a legal budgetary basis, requiring revenues and expenditures to be accounted for on a modified accrual basis. In

Selected Questions

addition, the sum of current year expenditures and encumbrances cannot exceed current year appropriations.

38. What total amount of expenditures (and encumbrances, if appropriate) should Reedsbury report in the general fund column of its combined statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance for the year ended June 30, 1981?

- a. \$3,000,000
- b. \$3,100,000
- c. \$3,200,000
- d. \$3,300,000

39. What total amount of expenditures (and encumbrances, if appropriate) should Reedsbury report in the general fund "actual" column of its combined statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance — budget and actual — for the year ended June 30, 1981?

- a. \$3,000,000
- b. \$3,100,000
- c. \$3,200,000
- d. \$3,300,000

D. Various Types of Not-for-Profit and Governmental Organizations

2M82#21. Glenmore Hospital's property, plant, and equipment (net of depreciation) consists of the following:

| | |
|-------------------|------------|
| Land | \$ 500,000 |
| Buildings | 10,000,000 |
| Movable equipment | 2,000,000 |

What amount should be included in the restricted fund grouping?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 2,000,000
- c. \$10,500,000
- d. \$12,500,000

2M82#24. During the years ended June 30, 1980 and 1981, Sonata University conducted a cancer research project financed by a \$2,000,000 gift from an alumnus. This entire amount was pledged by the donor on July 10, 1979, although he paid only \$500,000 at that date. The gift was restricted to the financing of this particular research project. During the two-year research period, Sonata's related gift receipts and research expenditures were as follows:

| | <i>Year Ended June 30</i> | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | <u>1980</u> | <u>1981</u> |
| Gift receipts | \$1,200,000 | \$ 800,000 |
| Cancer research expenditures | 900,000 | 1,100,000 |

How much gift revenue should Sonata report in the restricted column of its statement of current funds rev-

enues, expenditures, and other changes for the year ended June 30, 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 800,000
- c. \$1,100,000
- d. \$2,000,000

2M82#30. During the year ended December 31, 1981, Melford Hospital received the following donations stated at their respective fair values:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Employee services from members of a religious group | \$100,000 |
| Medical supplies from an association of physicians. These supplies were restricted for indigent care, and were used for such purpose in 1981 | 30,000 |

How much revenue (both operating and nonoperating) from donations should Melford report in its 1981 statement of revenues and expenses?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 30,000
- c. \$100,000
- d. \$130,000

2M82#31. On January 2, 1982, John Reynolds established a \$500,000 trust, the income from which is to be paid to Mansfield University for general operating purposes. The Wyndham National Bank was appointed by Reynolds as trustee of the fund. What journal entry is required on Mansfield's books?

| | <u>Dr.</u> | <u>Cr.</u> |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
| a. Memorandum entry only | | |
| b. Cash | \$500,000 | |
| Endowment fund balance | | \$500,000 |
| c. Nonexpendable endowment fund | \$500,000 | |
| Endowment fund balance | | \$500,000 |
| d. Expendable funds | \$500,000 | |
| Endowment fund balance | | \$500,000 |

2M82#34. For the fall semester of 1981, Cranbrook College assessed its students \$2,300,000 for tuition and fees. The net amount realized was only \$2,100,000 because of the following revenue reductions:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Refunds occasioned by class cancellations and student withdrawals | \$ 50,000 |
| Tuition remissions granted to faculty members' families | 10,000 |
| Scholarships and fellowships | 140,000 |

How much should Cranbrook report for the period for unrestricted current funds revenues from tuition and fees?

- a. \$2,100,000
- b. \$2,150,000
- c. \$2,250,000
- d. \$2,300,000

2M82#40. On July 1, 1981, Lilydale Hospital's Board of Trustees designated \$200,000 for expansion of out-

patient facilities. The \$200,000 is expected to be expended in the fiscal year ending June 30, 1984. In Lilydale's balance sheet at June 30, 1982, this cash should be classified as a \$200,000

- a. Restricted current asset.
- b. Restricted noncurrent asset.
- c. Unrestricted current asset.
- d. Unrestricted noncurrent asset.

IX. Federal Taxation — Individuals

A. Inclusions for Gross Income and Adjusted Gross Income

2N83#21. Don Mott was the sole proprietor of a high-volume drug store which he owned for 15 years before he sold it to Dale Drug Stores, Inc., in 1982. Besides the \$900,000 selling price for the store's tangible assets and goodwill, Mott received a lump sum of \$30,000 in 1982 for his agreement not to operate a competing enterprise within ten miles of the store's location, for a period of six years. The \$30,000 will be taxed to Mott as

- a. \$30,000 ordinary income in 1982.
- b. \$30,000 short-term capital gain in 1982.
- c. \$30,000 long-term capital gain in 1982.
- d. Ordinary income of \$5,000 a year for six years.

2N83#33. In June 1982, Olive Bell bought a house for use partially as a residence and partially for operation of a retail gift shop. In addition, Olive bought the following furniture:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Kitchen set and living room pieces for the residential portion | \$ 8,000 |
| Showcases and tables for the business portion | 12,000 |

How much of this furniture comprises capital assets?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 8,000
- c. \$12,000
- d. \$20,000

2N83#36. Dr. Berger, a physician, reports on the cash basis. The following items pertain to Dr. Berger's medical practice in 1982:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Cash received from patients in 1982 | \$200,000 |
| Cash received in 1982 from third-party reimbursers for services provided by Dr. Berger in 1981 | 30,000 |
| Salaries paid to employees in 1982 | 20,000 |
| Year-end 1982 bonuses paid to employees in 1983 | 1,000 |
| Other expenses paid in 1982 | 24,000 |

What is Dr. Berger's net income for 1982 from his medical practice?

- a. \$155,000
- b. \$156,000
- c. \$185,000
- d. \$186,000

2N83#37. Morris Babb, CPA, reports on the cash basis. In March 1983, Babb billed a client \$1,000 for accounting services rendered in connection with the client's divorce settlement. No part of the \$1,000 fee was ever paid. In July 1983, the client went bankrupt and the \$1,000 obligation became totally worthless. What loss can Babb deduct on his 1983 tax return?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$1,000 short-term capital loss.
- c. \$1,000 business bad debt.
- d. \$1,000 nonbusiness bad debt.

2N83#38. Fred Bly, who is single and does not qualify as head of a household, had taxable income of \$40,000 for 1982, exclusive of capital gains and losses. After offsetting capital gains, Bly had a net short-term capital loss of \$5,000 for 1982. How much of this net short-term capital loss can Bly offset against his ordinary income for 1982?

- a. \$1,000
- b. \$1,500
- c. \$3,000
- d. \$5,000

2N83#40. Ernest Sosa files a joint return with his wife. Sosa's employer pays 100% of the cost of all employees' group-term life insurance under a qualified plan. What is the maximum amount of tax-free coverage that may be provided for Sosa by his employer under this plan?

- a. \$ 5,000
- b. \$ 10,000
- c. \$ 50,000
- d. \$100,000

1M83#41. Dr. Chester is a cash basis taxpayer. His office visit charges are usually paid on the date of visit

Selected Questions

or within one month. However, services rendered outside the office are billed weekly, and are usually paid within two months as patients collect from insurance companies. Information relating to 1982 is as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Cash received at the time of office visits | \$ 35,000 |
| Collections on accounts receivable | 130,000 |
| Accounts receivable, January 1 | 16,000 |
| Accounts receivable, December 31 | 20,000 |

Dr. Chester's gross income from his medical practice for 1982 is

- a. \$165,000
- b. \$169,000
- c. \$181,000
- d. \$185,000

1M83#42. Paul Bristol, a cash basis taxpayer, owns an apartment building. The following information was available for 1982:

- An analysis of the 1982 bank deposit slips showed recurring monthly rents received totaling \$50,000.
- On March 1, 1982, the tenant in apartment 2B paid Bristol \$2,000 to cancel the lease expiring on December 31, 1982.
- The lease of the tenant in apartment 3A expired on December 31, 1982, and the tenant left improvements valued at \$1,000. The improvements were not in lieu of any rent required to have been paid.

In computing net rental income for 1982, Bristol should report gross rents of

- a. \$50,000
- b. \$51,000
- c. \$52,000
- d. \$53,000

1M83#43. Anthony and Lucy Grady were divorced in May 1982. In accordance with the decree, Anthony made the following payments to Lucy in 1982:

| | |
|------------------------------|----------|
| Lump-sum cash settlement | \$25,000 |
| Indefinite periodic payments | 15,000 |

How much should Lucy include in her 1982 taxable income as alimony (separate maintenance)?

- a. \$40,000
- b. \$25,000
- c. \$15,000
- d. \$0

1M83#44. For the year 1982 Diana Clark had salary income of \$38,000. In addition she had the following capital transactions during the year:

| | |
|-------------------------|----------|
| Long-term capital gain | \$14,000 |
| Short-term capital gain | 6,000 |
| Long-term capital loss | (4,000) |
| Short-term capital loss | (8,000) |

There were no other items includible in her gross income. What is her adjusted gross income for 1982?

- a. \$38,000
- b. \$41,200
- c. \$42,800
- d. \$46,000

1M83#45. The following information is available for Ann Drury for 1982:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Salary | \$36,000 |
| Premiums paid by employer on group-term life insurance in excess of \$50,000 | 500 |
| Proceeds from state lottery | 5,000 |

How much should Drury report as gross income on her 1982 tax return?

- a. \$36,000
- b. \$36,500
- c. \$41,000
- d. \$41,500

1N82#42. In 1980, Jack Bard loaned \$1,500 to his cousin, Milton, for a wedding ring. Milton gave Jack a 10% interest-bearing note for \$1,500, maturing in 1982. Milton made timely interest payments on the note, but declared bankruptcy in 1982 and defaulted on the principal. What loss can Jack claim on his 1982 tax return?

- a. \$0
- b. \$1,400 casualty loss.
- c. \$1,500 short-term capital loss.
- d. \$1,500 long-term capital loss.

1N82#46. Mr. and Mrs. Alvin Charak took a foster child, Robert, into their home in 1981. A state welfare agency paid the Charaks \$3,900 during the year for related expenses. Actual expenses incurred by the Charaks during 1981 in caring for Robert amounted to \$3,000. The remaining \$900 was spent by the Charaks in 1981 towards their own personal expenses. How much of the foster child payments is taxable income to the Charaks in 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 900
- c. \$2,900
- d. \$3,900

1N82#47. David Hetnar is covered by a \$90,000 group-term life insurance policy of which his wife is the beneficiary. Hetnar's employer pays the entire cost of the policy, for which the uniform annual premium is \$8 per \$1,000 of coverage. How much of this premium is taxable to Hetnar?

- a. \$0
- b. \$320
- c. \$360
- d. \$720

1N82#50. Edward Ryan, who is single, had adjusted gross income, other than unemployment compensation, of \$25,000 in 1981. Ryan had no disability income ex-

clusion, but received \$3,000 in unemployment compensation benefits during the year. How much of the unemployment compensation is taxable in 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$1,500
- c. \$2,500
- d. \$3,000

1M82#41. James Harper, a self-employed individual, owned a truck driven exclusively for business use. The truck had an original cost of \$8,000 and had an adjusted basis on December 31, 1980, of \$3,600. On January 2, 1981, he traded it in for a new truck costing \$10,000 and was given a trade-in allowance of \$2,000. The new truck will also be used exclusively for business purposes and will be depreciated with no salvage value. The basis of the new truck is

- a. \$ 8,000
- b. \$ 8,400
- c. \$10,000
- d. \$11,600

1M82#42. On July 1, 1978, William Greene paid \$45,000 for 450 shares of Acme Corporation common stock. Greene received a nontaxable stock dividend of 50 new common shares in December 1979. On December 15, 1981, Greene sold the 50 new shares of common stock for \$5,500. In respect of this sale Greene should report on his 1981 tax return

- a. No gain or loss since the stock dividend was nontaxable.
- b. \$500 of long-term capital gain before capital gain deduction.
- c. \$1,000 of long-term capital gain before capital gain deduction.
- d. \$5,500 of long-term capital gain before capital gain deduction.

1M82#47. Howard O'Brien, an employee of Ogden Corporation, died on June 30, 1981. During July Ogden made employee death payments of \$10,000 to his widow, and \$10,000 to his 15-year-old son. What amounts should be included in gross income by the widow and son in their respective tax returns for 1981?

| | <u>Widow</u> | <u>Son</u> |
|----|--------------|------------|
| a. | \$0 | \$0 |
| b. | \$5,000 | \$ 5,000 |
| c. | \$5,000 | \$10,000 |
| d. | \$7,500 | \$ 7,500 |

1M82#48. William Linnett, a cash basis sole proprietor, had the following receipts and disbursements for 1981:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Gross receipts | \$60,000 |
| Dividend income (on personal investment) | 400 |
| Cost of sales | 30,000 |
| Other operating expenses | 6,000 |

| | |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| State business tax | 600 |
| Federal self-employment tax | 1,600 |

What amount should Linnett report as net earnings from self-employment for 1981?

- a. \$24,000
- b. \$23,800
- c. \$23,400
- d. \$21,800

1M82#58. Harold Crowe had the following capital transactions for the year 1981:

| |
|-----------------------------------|
| \$3,000 long-term capital loss |
| 9,000 long-term capital gain |
| 2,000 net short-term capital gain |

What is the amount of Crowe's reportable capital gain in the 1981 Schedule D summary?

- a. \$4,400
- b. \$5,200
- c. \$5,600
- d. \$7,400

2N81#41. Paul Beyer, who is unmarried, has taxable income of \$30,000 exclusive of capital gains and losses and his personal exemption. In 1980, Paul incurred a \$1,000 net short-term capital loss and a \$5,000 net long-term capital loss. His long-term capital loss carryover to 1981 is

- a. \$0
- b. \$1,000
- c. \$2,500
- d. \$5,000

2N81

Items 47 and 48 are based on the following data: Donald Duval owns a two-family home. He rents out the first floor and resides on the second floor. The following expenses attributable to the building were incurred by Duval for the year ended December 31, 1980:

| | <u>Expenses for</u> | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | <u>Entire building</u> | <u>First floor</u> | <u>Second floor</u> |
| Depreciation | \$2,000 | | |
| Realty taxes | 1,800 | | |
| Mortgage interest | 1,200 | | |
| Utilities | 1,000 | | |
| Repairs | | \$300 | |
| Painting | | | \$400 |

47. What portion of the expenses can Duval deduct on Schedule E of Form 1040?

- a. \$1,800
- b. \$3,300
- c. \$6,000
- d. \$6,300

Selected Questions

2N81#59. During 1980, Harry Gibbs, a resident of Florida, received the following dividends:

| <i>Source</i> | <i>Amount</i> |
|--|--------------------|
| Real estate investment trust Delaware corporation operating exclusively in Puerto Rico | \$1,000 500 |

The total amount of gross dividends eligible for the dividend exclusion on Gibbs' 1980 federal income tax return is

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 500
- c. \$1,000
- d. \$1,500

1M81#42. Richard and Alice Kelley lived apart during 1980 and did not file a joint tax return for the year. Under the terms of the written separation agreement they signed on July 1, 1980, Richard was required to pay Alice \$1,500 per month of which \$600 was designated as child support. He made six such payments in 1980. Additionally, Richard paid Alice \$1,200 per month for the first six months of 1980, no portion of which was designated as child support. Assuming that Alice has no other income, her tax return for 1980 should show gross income of

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 5,400
- c. \$ 9,000
- d. \$12,600

1M81#45. Henry Adams, an unmarried taxpayer, received the following amounts during 1980:

| | |
|--|---------|
| Interest on savings accounts | \$1,000 |
| Interest on municipal bonds | 500 |
| Dividends on General Steel common stock | 750 |
| Dividends on life insurance policies | 200 |

Adams should report taxable income, after exclusions, if any, from dividends and interest for 1980 in the total amount of

- a. \$1,650
- b. \$1,750
- c. \$1,850
- d. \$2,150

1M81#51. Alan Kupper had the following transactions during 1980:

- Gain of \$7,000 on sale of common stock purchased on June 15, 1978, and sold on April 15, 1980.
- Gain of \$5,000 on sale of common stock purchased on October 15, 1979, and sold on July 25, 1980.

- Receipt of a \$10,000 installment payment on an installment contract created in 1977 when Kupper sold for \$100,000 (exclusive of 6% interest on installments) land acquired in 1975 for \$20,000. The contract provides for ten equal annual principal payments of \$10,000 beginning on July 1, 1977, and ending on July 1, 1986.

What is the taxable amount of Kupper's long-term capital gain for 1980?

- a. \$8,000
- b. \$7,500
- c. \$6,800
- d. \$6,000

2N80#21. On January 1, 1979, James Davis was awarded a post-doctorate fellowship grant of \$4,500 by a tax-exempt educational organization. Davis is not a candidate for a degree and was awarded the grant to continue his research. The grant was awarded for the period March 1, 1979, through July 31, 1980.

On March 1, 1979, Davis elected to receive the full amount of the grant. What amount should be included in his gross income for 1979?

- a. \$0
- b. \$1,500
- c. \$3,000
- d. \$4,500

2N80#25. During 1979 John Bulvon had the following capital losses on security transactions:

\$2,000 net short-term capital loss
\$1,200 net long-term capital loss

In addition, for 1979 he reported ordinary income of \$36,000. How much of this loss can Bulvon offset against ordinary income in 1979?

- a. \$2,600
- b. \$2,720
- c. \$3,000
- d. \$3,200

2N80#28. Seymour Thomas named his wife Penelope the beneficiary of a \$100,000 (face amount) insurance policy on his life. The policy provided that upon his death, the proceeds would be paid to Penelope with interest over her present life expectancy, which was calculated at 25 years. Seymour died during 1979 and Penelope received a payment of \$5,200 from the insurance company. What amount should she include in her gross income for 1979?

- a. \$ 200
- b. \$1,200
- c. \$4,200
- d. \$5,200

2N80#37. During the current year Mike Larsen sustained a serious injury in the course of his employment.

Accounting Practice

As a result of the injury sustained, he received the following payments during the year:

| | |
|--|---------|
| Workmen's compensation | \$1,200 |
| Reimbursement from his employer's accident and health plan for medical expenses paid by Larsen | 900 |
| Damages for personal injuries | 4,000 |

The amount to be included in Larsen's gross income for the current year should be

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 900
- c. \$4,000
- d. \$6,100

2N80#40. Grace Allen is the owner of a two-family house which contains two identical apartments. Allen lives in one apartment and rents out the other. During the current year, the rental apartment was fully occupied and she received \$4,800 in rent. During the year she paid the following amounts:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------|
| Real estate taxes | \$2,200 |
| Painting of rental apartment | 600 |
| Annual fire insurance premium | 400 |

For the current year depreciation for the entire house was determined to be \$3,000. Allen should include in her adjusted gross income for the current year

- a. Income of \$500.
- b. Loss of \$1,000.
- c. Loss of \$1,400.
- d. Income of \$1,400.

1M80#42. For the year 1979 Peter Paul had the following capital transactions:

\$3,000 net long-term capital gain
\$1,000 net short-term capital loss

What is the amount of Paul's long-term capital gain deduction for 1979?

- a. \$ 600
- b. \$ 800
- c. \$1,200
- d. \$1,800

1M80#44. During 1979 John and Mary Leonard received the following dividends on their jointly held investments:

- Dividends of \$1,400 from Dominion, Ltd., an Australian corporation.
- Capital gain distribution of \$600 from Apollo Mutual Fund.
- Dividends of \$1,000 from United Utilities Corporation, which constitutes a return of capital.
- Dividends of \$100 from Truck Company, a taxable domestic corporation.

Assuming that the Leonards file a joint return for 1979, what amount should they report as dividend income after the allowable exclusion?

- a. \$1,300
- b. \$1,400
- c. \$2,900
- d. \$3,100

1M80#48. In 1979 Uriah Stone received the following interest payments:

- Interest of \$400 on refund of federal income tax for 1976.
- Interest of \$300 on award for personal injuries sustained in an automobile accident during 1978.
- Interest of \$1,500 on municipal bonds.
- Interest of \$1,000 on United States savings bonds (Series H).

What amount, if any, should Stone report as interest income on his 1979 tax return?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 700
- c. \$1,700
- d. \$3,200

2N79#25. Victor and Claire Anet, residents of a separate property state, were divorced in February 1978. Specific requirements of the divorce decree and Mr. Anet's performance of those requirements follow:

- Transfer title in their personal residence to Claire as part of a lump-sum property settlement. On the day of the transfer, Victor's basis in the house was \$38,000, the fair market value was \$42,000, and the property was subject to a mortgage of \$20,000.
- Make the mortgage payments on the twenty-year mortgage. He paid \$2,500 from March 1, 1978, through December 31, 1978.
- Repay to Claire a \$3,000 loan, which he did on April 1, 1978.
- Pay Claire \$700 per month of which \$200 is designated as child support. He made ten such payments in 1978.

Assuming that Claire has no other income, her 1978 gross income should be

- a. \$ 7,500
- b. \$ 9,500
- c. \$12,500
- d. \$16,000

2N79#26. Mrs. Grant, a widow, elected to receive the proceeds of a \$50,000 face value insurance policy on the life of her deceased husband in ten annual installments of \$6,800 each beginning in 1978. Of the \$6,800 received in 1978, the amount subject to income tax is

- a. \$ 800
- b. \$1,800
- c. \$5,000
- d. \$6,800

Selected Questions

2N79#27. Joseph Kurtz exchanged land that he held for four years as an investment, with a tax basis of \$36,000, for similar land valued at \$40,000 which was owned by Adrian Flemming. In connection with this transaction, Kurtz assumed Flemming's \$10,000 mortgage and Flemming assumed Kurtz's \$12,000 mortgage. As a result of this transaction Kurtz should report a long-term capital gain of

- a. \$0
- b. \$2,000
- c. \$4,000
- d. \$6,000

2N79#28. Gilbert Quinn loaned a friend \$2,000 in 1976 and it had not been repaid in 1978 when the friend died insolvent. For 1978 Quinn should account for the nonpayment of the loan as a (an)

- a. Ordinary loss.
- b. Long-term capital loss.
- c. Short-term capital loss.
- d. Deduction from adjusted gross income.

2N79#31. In July 1963 Dan Farley leased a building to Robert Shelter for a period of fifteen years at a monthly rental of \$1,000 with no option to renew. At that time the building had a remaining estimated useful life of twenty years.

Prior to taking possession of the building, Shelter made improvements at a cost of \$18,000. These improvements had an estimated useful life of twenty years at the commencement of the lease period. The lease expired on June 30, 1978, at which point the improvements had a fair market value of \$2,000. The amount that Farley, the landlord, should include in his gross income for 1978 is

- a. \$ 6,000
- b. \$ 8,000
- c. \$10,500
- d. \$18,500

2N79#33. For the year 1978 George and Mary Kay, residents of a separate property state, reported the following dividends received on their respective investments:

George

| | |
|--|-------|
| Able Corporation (a domestic publicly listed corporation) | \$ 70 |
| Garvey Corporation (a Subchapter S corporation paid out of current earnings and profits) | 200 |

Mary

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|
| Regan Corp. (a foreign corporation) | 100 |
|-------------------------------------|-----|

If the Kays file a joint tax return for 1978 what amount should they report as dividend income after the allowable exclusion?

- a. \$170
- b. \$200
- c. \$300
- d. \$370

2N79#40. On September 18, 1978, Dennis Hanes was killed in an automobile accident. In October 1978 his widow received a lump sum death benefit from his employer in the amount of \$15,000. For 1978 what amount should his widow include in adjusted gross income assuming a joint tax return was filed?

- a. \$0
- b. \$10,000
- c. \$14,000
- d. \$15,000

2M79#26. James Martin received the following compensation and fringe benefits from his employer during 1978:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Salary | \$50,000 |
| Year-end bonus | 10,000 |
| Medical insurance premiums paid by employer | 1,000 |
| Allowance paid for moving expenses | 5,000 |

What amount of the preceding payments should be included in Martin's 1978 gross income?

- a. \$60,000
- b. \$61,000
- c. \$65,000
- d. \$66,000

2M79#28. On July 1, 1976, the original date of issue, David Karp purchased for \$9,520, a \$10,000 ten-year bond of the Exproy Corporation. The bond was issued for long-term financing. On January 31, 1978, he sold the bond to an unrelated party for \$9,800. What amount should Karp report as a long-term capital gain from this transaction?

- a. \$ 76
- b. \$204
- c. \$200
- d. \$280

2M79#38. Gilda Bach is a cash basis self-employed consultant. For the year 1978 she determined that her net income from self-employment was \$80,000. In reviewing her books you determine that the following items were included as business expenses in arriving at the net income of \$80,000:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Salary drawn by Gilda Bach | \$20,000 |
| Estimated federal self-employment and income taxes paid | 6,000 |
| Malpractice insurance premiums | 4,000 |
| Cost of attending professional seminar | 1,000 |

Based upon the above information, what should Gilda Bach report as her net self-employment income for 1978?

- a. \$ 91,000
- b. \$105,000
- c. \$106,000
- d. \$110,000

B. Exclusions and Other Deductions (including adjustments to arrive at Adjusted Gross Income)

2N83#34. In 1981, Max Bayne filed a joint return with his wife, Lois, and excluded \$400 of interest earned on an all-savers certificate held in Max's name alone. In 1982, Max and Lois were divorced. Neither spouse remarried in 1982. In 1982, Max received interest of \$1,000 on his all-savers certificate. How much of this \$1,000 interest could Max exclude in 1982?

- a. \$1,000
- b. \$ 800
- c. \$ 700
- d. \$ 600

2N83#35. Mr. and Mrs. Carl Nido own 5,000 shares of common stock of Niagara Power Corporation, a qualified domestic public utility. Instead of receiving their dividends in cash on the Niagara stock, the Nidos have elected to receive common stock under Niagara's qualified dividend reinvestment plan. The Nidos earned \$2,000 in dividends on their Niagara stock in 1982. What portion of these dividends could the Nidos exclude from gross dividend income (before other allowable dividend exclusions) on their 1982 joint return?

- a. \$2,000
- b. \$1,800
- c. \$1,500
- d. \$0

1M83#46. Charles Gilbert, a corporate executive, incurred business-related, unreimbursed expenses in 1982 as follows:

| | |
|---------------|-------|
| Entertainment | \$900 |
| Travel | 700 |
| Education | 400 |

Assuming that Gilbert does not itemize deductions, how much of these expenses should he deduct on his 1982 tax return?

- a. \$ 700
- b. \$1,100
- c. \$1,300
- d. \$1,600

1M83#53. Mary and Robert Allen were granted a divorce in 1982. In accordance with the decree, Robert made the following payments to Mary in 1982:

| | |
|------------------------------|----------|
| Lump-sum cash settlement | \$20,000 |
| Indefinite periodic payments | 12,000 |

How much of the payments should Robert deduct in arriving at his adjusted gross income for 1982?

- a. \$0
- b. \$12,000
- c. \$20,000
- d. \$32,000

1N82#44. Daniel Kelly received interest income from the following sources in 1981:

| | |
|--------------------------------|---------|
| New York Port Authority bonds | \$1,000 |
| Puerto Rico Commonwealth bonds | 1,800 |

What portion of such interest is tax exempt?

- a. \$0
- b. \$1,000
- c. \$1,800
- d. \$2,800

1N82#45. Martin Dawson, who resided in Detroit, was unemployed for the last six months of 1981. In January 1982, he moved to Houston to seek employment, and obtained a full-time job there in February. He kept this job for the balance of the year. Martin paid the following expenses in 1982 in connection with his move:

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Rental of truck to move his personal belongings to Houston | \$ 800 |
| Penalty for breaking the lease on his Detroit apartment | 300 |
| Total | <u>\$1,100</u> |

How much can Martin deduct in 1982 for moving expenses?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 300
- c. \$ 800
- d. \$1,100

1N82#48. Lila Lux retired on December 31, 1980, with a monthly pension of \$300. Her contributions to the pension plan totaled \$6,000, while her employer's contributions to the plan totaled \$12,000. How much of the pension is taxable in 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$1,800
- c. \$2,400
- d. \$3,600

1N82#58. Ronald Birch, who is single, earned a salary of \$30,000 in 1982 as a plumber employed by Lupo Company. Birch was covered for the entire year 1982 under Lupo's qualified pension plan for employees. In addition, Birch had a net income of \$10,000 from self-employment in 1982. What is the maximum amount that Birch can deduct in 1982 for contributions to an individual retirement account (IRA)?

- a. \$4,500
- b. \$2,000
- c. \$1,500
- d. \$0

1M82#49. Herbert Mann is an engineer employed by a major chemical company. During 1981 he paid the following business related expenses:

Selected Questions

| | |
|--|---------|
| Travel expenses incurred while away from home overnight | \$2,500 |
| Executive search consultant fees paid in securing a new job in same profession | 1,500 |
| Professional society dues | 600 |
| Transportation expenses | 350 |

Mann received travel expense reimbursements totaling \$2,300 from his employer during 1981. How much should Mann deduct as employee business expenses in arriving at his adjusted gross income for 1981?

- a. \$ 550
- b. \$2,050
- c. \$2,650
- d. \$2,850

2N81#46. Richard Putney, who lived in Idaho for five years, moved to Texas in 1980 to accept a new position. His employer reimbursed him in full for all direct moving costs, but did not pay for any part of the following indirect moving expenses incurred by Putney:

| | |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| Househunting trips to Texas | \$800 |
| Temporary housing in Texas | \$900 |

How much of the indirect expenses can be deducted by Putney as moving expenses?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 900
- c. \$1,500
- d. \$1,700

2N81#60. Martin Kohl, who is 67 years old, was permanently and totally disabled when he retired in 1976. He has been receiving disability payments in lieu of wages since his retirement. In 1980, such payments amounted to \$6,200. Kohl also had \$10,800 of other income. Kohl's maximum disability income exclusion for 1980 is

- a. \$0
- b. \$3,200
- c. \$4,600
- d. \$5,200

1M81#46. Frank Clarke, an employee of Smithson Company, was covered under a noncontributory pension plan. Frank died on April 15, 1980, at age 64 and pursuant to the plan, his widow received monthly pension payments of \$500 beginning May 1, 1980. In addition Mrs. Clarke received an employee death payment of \$10,000 in May 1980. What is the total amount of the above receipts that the widow should exclude from her gross income for 1980?

- a. \$ 5,000
- b. \$ 9,000
- c. \$10,000
- d. \$14,000

1M81#60. Roger Burrows, age 19, is a full-time student at Marshall College and a candidate for a bach-

elor's degree. During 1980 he received the following payments:

| | |
|---|----------------|
| State scholarship for ten months | \$3,600 |
| Loan from college financial aid office | 1,500 |
| Cash support from parents | 3,000 |
| Cash dividends on qualified investments | 700 |
| Cash prize awarded in contest | 500 |
| | <u>\$9,300</u> |

What is Burrows's adjusted gross income for 1980?

- a. \$1,100
- b. \$1,200
- c. \$4,800
- d. \$9,300

2N80#31. Martin Hart, who is not an outside salesman, earned a salary of \$30,000 during the current year. During the year he was required by his employer to take several overnight business trips, and he received an expense allowance of \$1,500 for travel and lodging. In the course of these trips he incurred the following expenses which were either adjustments to income or deductions from adjusted gross income.

| | |
|----------------------------|---------|
| Travel | \$1,100 |
| Lodging | 500 |
| Entertainment of customers | 400 |

What is Hart's adjusted gross income?

- a. \$28,000
- b. \$29,500
- c. \$29,600
- d. \$29,900

1M80#49. For the year 1979 Frances Quinn had a time savings account with the Benevolent Savings Bank. The following entries appeared in her passbook for 1979:

| | |
|---|-------|
| March 30, 1979, interest credited | \$150 |
| June 29, 1979, interest credited | \$160 |
| July 25, 1979, penalty forfeiture because of a premature withdrawal | \$125 |
| September 28, 1979, interest credited | \$ 80 |
| December 28, 1979, interest credited | \$ 85 |

The above information should be reported by Ms. Quinn on her 1979 tax return as

- a. Interest income of \$350.
- b. Interest income of \$475.
- c. Interest income of \$475 and an itemized deduction for interest expense of \$125.
- d. Interest income of \$475 and a deduction of \$125 in arriving at adjusted gross income.

1M80#57. Richard Brown, who retired on May 31, 1979, receives a monthly pension benefit of \$700 payable for life. The first pension check was received on June 15, 1979. During his years of employment, Brown contributed \$14,700 to the cost of his company's pension plan. How much of the pension amounts received

may Brown exclude from taxable income for the years 1979, 1980, and 1981?

| | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
|----|---------|---------|---------|
| a. | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| b. | \$4,900 | \$4,900 | \$4,900 |
| c. | \$4,900 | \$8,400 | \$1,400 |
| d. | \$4,900 | \$8,400 | \$8,400 |

2M79#22. Art Hollender was divorced from his wife Diane in 1977. Under the terms of the divorce decree, he was required to make the following periodic payments each month to his former wife who retained custody of their children:

| | |
|---------------|-------|
| Alimony | \$600 |
| Child support | 400 |

For 1978 his only income was his salary of \$40,000, and he paid \$12,000 to his former wife under the terms of the divorce decree. What is his 1978 adjusted gross income?

- a. \$28,000
- b. \$32,800
- c. \$35,200
- d. \$40,000

2M79#39. Phil Collins owns numerous oil leases in the Southwest. During 1978 he made several trips to inspect oil wells on the leases and to consult about future oil wells to be drilled on these sites. As a result of these overnight trips, he paid the following expenses:

| | |
|----------------------|---------|
| Plane fares | \$4,000 |
| Hotels | 1,000 |
| Meals | 800 |
| Entertaining lessees | 500 |

Of the \$6,300 in expenses incurred, he can claim as deductible expenses

- a. \$6,300
- b. \$5,800
- c. \$5,500
- d. \$5,000

C. Gain or Loss on Property Transactions

2N83#39. An office building owned by Elmer Bass was condemned by the state on January 2, 1982. Bass received the condemnation award on March 1, 1983. In order to qualify for nonrecognition of gain on this involuntary conversion, what is the last date for Bass to acquire qualified replacement property?

- a. August 1, 1984.
- b. January 2, 1985.
- c. March 1, 1986.
- d. December 31, 1986.

1M83#47. On July 1, 1982, Riley exchanged investment real property, with an adjusted basis of \$160,000 and subject to a mortgage of \$70,000, and received

from Wilson \$30,000 cash and other investment real property having a fair market value of \$250,000. Wilson assumed the mortgage. What is Riley's recognized gain in 1982 on the exchange?

- a. \$ 30,000
- b. \$ 70,000
- c. \$ 90,000
- d. \$100,000

1N82#41. Robert Efron owned an apartment house that he bought in 1970. Depreciation was taken on a straight-line basis. In 1982, when Efron's adjusted basis for this property was \$100,000, he traded it for an office building having a fair market value of \$300,000. The apartment house has 100 dwelling units, while the office building has 50 units rented to business enterprises. The properties are not located in the same city. What is Efron's reportable gain on this exchange?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$200,000 long-term capital gain.
- c. \$200,000 Section 1231 gain.
- d. \$200,000 Section 1250 gain.

1M81#43. On July 1, 1980, Thomas Rich acquired certain stocks with a fair market value of \$22,000 by gift from his father. The stocks had been acquired by the father on April 1, 1978, at a cost of \$40,000. Thomas sold all the stocks for \$28,000 on December 12, 1980. What amount should Thomas report as capital gain or loss on his 1980 tax return as a result of the above?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$ 2,400 gain.
- c. \$ 6,000 gain.
- d. \$12,000 loss.

2N80#22. On January 5, 1979, Norman Harris purchased for \$6,000, 100 shares of Campbell Corporation common stock. On July 8, 1979, he received a nontaxable stock dividend of 10 shares of Campbell Corporation \$100 par value preferred stock. On that date the market values per share of the common and preferred stock were \$75 and \$150, respectively. Harris's tax basis for the common stock after the receipt of the stock dividend is

- a. \$2,000
- b. \$4,500
- c. \$5,000
- d. \$6,000

2N80#23. On June 8, 1979, Sam Meyer, age 62, sold for \$210,000 his principal residence which had an adjusted basis of \$60,000. On November 1, 1979, he purchased a new residence for \$80,000. Meyer elected the exclusion of realized gain available to taxpayers over age 55.

For 1979 Meyer should recognize a gain on the sale of his residence of

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 30,000
- c. \$ 50,000
- d. \$130,000

Selected Questions

1M80#43. Adam King, a self-employed accountant, sold a mahogany executive desk for \$1,300 on December 31, 1979. Additional information is as follows:

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Original cost | \$1,200 |
| Salvage value | \$ 100 |
| Purchase date | January 1, 1975 |
| Depreciation on the double-declining method properly deducted over the years held | \$ 800 |
| Straight-line depreciation allowable over the years held would have been | \$ 550 |

King would recognize gain on the sale of the desk in 1979 as

| | <i>Ordinary Income</i> | <i>Section 1231 Treatment</i> |
|----|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| a. | \$100 | \$800 |
| b. | \$350 | \$550 |
| c. | \$550 | \$350 |
| d. | \$800 | \$100 |

D. Deductions from Adjusted Gross Income

2N83#22. Al Daly's adjusted gross income for the year ended December 31, 1982, was \$20,000. He was not covered under any medical insurance plan. During 1982, he paid \$500 to a physician for treatment of a heart condition. He also owed the physician a balance of \$900 for an operation performed in December 1982, which he paid in January 1983. In addition, Daly incurred a \$1,700 hospital bill in 1982, which he charged to his bank credit card in December 1982 and paid to the bank in January 1983. Daly's total allowable medical deduction for 1982 is

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 500
- c. \$1,600
- d. \$2,500

2N83#29. Mr. & Mrs. Ben Bornn adopted a child in 1983. The child qualified for adoption assistance payments under the Social Security Act. In connection with the adoption, Bornn paid court costs and legal fees aggregating \$1,600. These expenses were considered reasonable and were not reimbursed to Bornn. If Mr. and Mrs. Bornn itemize their deductions on their 1983 return, how much will they be permitted to deduct for adoption expenses?

- a. \$0
- b. \$1,000
- c. \$1,500
- d. \$1,600

2N83#

Items 30 through 32 are based on the following data:

Frank Lyon, who itemized his deductions on his 1982 income tax return, paid the following unreimbursed expenses in 1982:

| | |
|---|---------|
| Realty taxes on the house in which he resides with his dependent mother; the house is owned by his mother | \$3,000 |
| State and city gasoline taxes | 100 |
| Physical examination required by Frank's employer | 200 |
| Meals in connection with overtime work | 180 |

In addition, Frank was held up and robbed of \$800 cash in June 1982. One month later, Frank had \$2,000 cash stolen from him by his housekeeper.

30. How much of the realty and gasoline taxes should be included in Frank's itemized deductions in 1982?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 100
- c. \$3,000
- d. \$3,100

31. How much was deductible by Frank as employee business expenses for 1982?

- a. \$0
- b. \$180
- c. \$200
- d. \$380

32. How much was deductible by Frank for theft losses in 1982?

- a. \$1,900
- b. \$2,600
- c. \$2,700
- d. \$2,800

1M83#49. William Dalton, age 30 and single, provided the following information for his 1982 income tax return:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Salary | \$30,000 |
| Payment to an Individual Retirement Account | \$ 2,000 |
| Total itemized deductions | \$ 3,400 |
| Number of exemptions claimed | 1 |

Dalton should report taxable income for 1982 of

- a. \$24,600
- b. \$25,900
- c. \$26,900
- d. \$27,900

1M83#50. Robert and Judy Parker made the following payments during 1982:

| | |
|--|---------|
| Interest on a life insurance policy loan (the related policy on Robert's life was purchased in 1950) | \$1,200 |
| Interest on home mortgage for period January 1 to October 4, 1982 | 3,600 |
| Penalty payment for prepayment of home mortgage on October 4, 1982 | 900 |

How much can the Parkers utilize as interest expense in calculating excess itemized deductions for 1982?

- a. \$5,700
- b. \$4,800
- c. \$4,500
- d. \$3,600

1M83#51. Henry Warren did not itemize his deductions on his 1981 and 1980 federal income tax returns. However, Warren plans to itemize his deductions for 1982. The following information relating to his state income taxes is available:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Taxes withheld in 1982 | \$2,000 |
| Refund received in 1982 of 1981 tax | 300 |
| Assessment paid in 1982 of 1980 tax | 200 |

What amount should Warren utilize as state and local income taxes in calculating excess itemized deductions for his 1982 federal income tax return?

- a. \$1,700
- b. \$1,900
- c. \$2,000
- d. \$2,200

1M83#52. Ruth Lewis has adjusted gross income of \$100,000 for 1982 and itemizes her deductions. On September 1, 1982, she made a contribution to her church of stock held for investment for two years which cost \$10,000 and had a fair market value of \$70,000. The church sold the stock for \$70,000 on the same date. Assume that Lewis made no other contributions during 1982 and made no special election in regard to this contribution on her 1982 tax return. How much should Lewis claim as a charitable contribution deduction for 1982?

- a. \$50,000
- b. \$30,000
- c. \$20,000
- d. \$10,000

1N82#51. Sara Harding is a cash basis taxpayer who itemizes her deductions. The following information pertains to Sara's state income taxes for the taxable year 1981:

| | |
|------------------------------|---------|
| Withheld by employer in 1981 | \$2,000 |
| Payments on 1981 estimate: | |
| 4/15/81 | \$300 |
| 6/15/81 | 300 |
| 9/15/81 | 300 |
| 1/15/82 | 300 |
| | 1,200 |
| Total paid and withheld | \$3,200 |
| Actual tax, per state return | 3,000 |
| Overpayment | \$ 200 |

There was no balance of tax or refund due on Sara's 1980 state tax return. How much is deductible for state income taxes on Sara's 1981 federal income tax return?

- a. \$2,800
- b. \$2,900
- c. \$3,000
- d. \$3,200

1N82#52. On December 15, 1981, Donald Calder made a contribution of \$500 to a qualified charitable organization, by charging the contribution on his bank credit card. Calder paid the \$500 on January 20, 1982, upon receipt of the bill from the bank. In addition, Calder issued and delivered a promissory note for \$1,000 to another qualified charitable organization on November 1, 1981, which he paid upon maturity six months later. If Calder itemizes his deductions, what portion of these contributions is deductible in 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 500
- c. \$1,000
- d. \$1,500

1M82#51. Charles Wolfe purchased the following long-term investments at par during 1981:

- \$20,000 general obligation bonds of Burlington County (wholly tax exempt)
- \$10,000 debentures of Arrow Corporation

Wolfe financed these purchases by obtaining a \$30,000 loan from the Union National Bank. For the year 1981, Wolfe made the following interest payments:

| | |
|---------------------------------|---------|
| Union National Bank | \$3,600 |
| Interest on home mortgage | 3,000 |
| Interest on credit card charges | 500 |

What amount can Wolfe utilize as interest expense in calculating excess itemized deductions for 1981?

- a. \$3,500
- b. \$4,700
- c. \$5,400
- d. \$7,100

1M82#52. During 1981 Jack and Mary Bronson paid the following taxes:

| | |
|---|---------|
| Taxes on residence (for period January 1 to September 30, 1981) | \$2,700 |
| State motor vehicle tax on value of the car | 360 |

The Bronsons sold their house on June 30, 1981, under an agreement in which the real estate taxes were not prorated between the buyer and sellers. What amount should the Bronsons deduct as taxes in calculating excess itemized deductions for 1981?

- a. \$1,800
- b. \$2,160
- c. \$2,700
- d. \$3,060

Selected Questions

1M82#53. Judy Bishop had adjusted gross income of \$35,000 in 1981 and itemizes her deductions. Additional information is available for 1981 as follows:

| | |
|--|---------|
| Cash contribution to church | \$2,500 |
| Purchase of an art object at her church bazaar (with a fair market value of \$500 on date of purchase) | 800 |
| Donation of used clothes to Goodwill Charities (fair value evidenced by receipt received) | 400 |

What is the maximum amount Bishop can claim as a deduction for charitable contributions in 1981?

- a. \$2,800
- b. \$3,200
- c. \$3,300
- d. \$3,400

1M82#54. The following information is available for Seymour and Ruth Atkinson, who reside in Pennsylvania, for 1981:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Adjusted gross income | \$31,500 |
| Tax-exempt interest received | \$1,500 |
| Exemptions (including exemption claimed for their son John, a full-time student at State University) | 3 |

An abstract from the Optional Sales Tax Table for Pennsylvania is presented below:

| Income | Sales tax | |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Family size 1 & 2 | Family size Over 2 |
| \$30,001-\$32,000 | \$219 | \$248 |
| \$32,001-\$34,000 | \$230 | \$261 |

Assuming that the Atkinsons elect to use the Optional Sales Tax Table, what is the maximum amount of general sales taxes that they can utilize in calculating excess itemized deductions for 1981?

- a. \$219
- b. \$230
- c. \$248
- d. \$261

1M82#55. Frank Lanier is a resident of a state that imposes a tax on income. The following information pertaining to Lanier's state income taxes is available:

| | |
|--|---------|
| Taxes withheld in 1981 | \$3,500 |
| Refund received in 1981 of 1980 tax | 400 |
| Deficiency assessed and paid in 1981 for 1979: | |
| Tax | 600 |
| Interest | 100 |

What amount should Lanier utilize as state and local income taxes in calculating excess itemized deductions for his 1981 federal tax return?

- a. \$3,500
- b. \$3,700
- c. \$4,100
- d. \$4,200

2N81

Items 47 and 48 are based on the following data: Donald Duval owns a two-family home. He rents out the first floor and resides on the second floor. The following expenses attributable to the building were incurred by Duval for the year ended December 31, 1980:

| | Expenses for | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Entire building | First floor | Second floor |
| Depreciation | \$2,000 | | |
| Realty taxes | 1,800 | | |
| Mortgage interest | 1,200 | | |
| Utilities | 1,000 | | |
| Repairs | | \$300 | |
| Painting | | | \$400 |

48. What portion of the expenses can Duval take as itemized deductions on Schedule A of Form 1040?

- a. \$1,500
- b. \$1,900
- c. \$3,400
- d. \$6,400

2N81#51. Mr. and Mrs. Donald Curry's real property tax year is on a calendar-year basis, with payment due annually on August 1. The realty taxes on their home amounted to \$1,200 in 1981, but the Currys did not pay any portion of that amount since they sold the house on April 1, 1981, four months before payment was due. However, realty taxes were prorated on the closing statement. Assuming that they owned no other real property during the year, how much can the Currys deduct on Schedule A of Form 1040 for real estate taxes in 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 296
- c. \$ 697
- d. \$1,200

2N81#52. Magda Micale, a public school teacher, paid the following items in 1980, for which she received no reimbursement:

| | |
|---|-------|
| Initiation fee for membership in teachers' union | \$100 |
| Dues to teachers' union | 180 |
| Voluntary unemployment benefit fund contributions to union-established fund | 72 |

Accounting Practice

How much can Magda claim in 1980 as allowable miscellaneous deductions on Schedule A of Form 1040?

- a. \$180
- b. \$280
- c. \$252
- d. \$352

2N81#53. Martin Dale, single, paid the entire cost of maintaining his dependent mother in a home for the aged, for the whole year 1980. How much is Martin's zero bracket amount for 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$1,700
- c. \$2,300
- d. \$3,400

2N81#55. Gabriel Colon, a jet airplane mechanic, paid the following items in 1980, for which he received no reimbursement:

| | |
|--|-------|
| Tools used in connection with his work (bought on July 1, 1980; estimated useful life 5 years; no salvage value) | \$600 |
| Union dues | \$180 |
| Legal fee in connection with preparation of his will, 25% of which was attributable to income tax advice | \$300 |

How much can Colon claim in 1980 as allowable miscellaneous deductions on Schedule A of Form 1040?

- a. \$ 315
- b. \$ 780
- c. \$ 855
- d. \$1,080

2N81#56. George Granger sold a plot of land to Albert King on July 1, 1981. Granger had not paid any realty taxes on the land since 1979. Delinquent 1980 taxes amounted to \$600, and 1981 taxes amounted to \$700. King paid the 1980 and 1981 taxes in full in 1981, when he bought the land. What portion of the \$1,300 is deductible by King in 1981?

- a. \$ 353
- b. \$ 700
- c. \$ 953
- d. \$1,300

1M81#41. Phil and Joan Crawley made the following payments during 1980:

| | |
|---|---------|
| Interest on bank loan (loan proceeds were used to purchase United States savings bonds Series II) | \$4,000 |
| Interest on installment charge accounts | 500 |
| Interest on home mortgage for period April 1 to December 31, 1980 | 2,700 |
| Points paid to obtain conventional mortgage loan on April 1, 1980 | 900 |

What is the maximum amount that the Crawleys can utilize as interest expense in calculating excess itemized deductions for 1980?

- a. \$4,100
- b. \$7,200
- c. \$7,600
- d. \$8,100

1M81#50. Don and Cynthia Wallace filed a joint return for 1980 in which they reported adjusted gross income of \$35,000. During 1980 they made the following contributions to qualified organizations:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Land (stated at its current fair market value) donated to church for new building site | \$22,000 |
| Cash contributions to church | 300 |
| Cash contributions to the local community college | 200 |

Assuming that the Wallaces did not elect to reduce the deductible amount of the land contribution by 40% of the property's appreciation in value, how much can they claim as a deduction for charitable contributions in 1980?

- a. \$10,800
- b. \$11,000
- c. \$17,500
- d. \$22,500

1M81#54. Jon and Connie Cooke, who are filing a joint return for 1980, elect to use the Optional Sales Tax Table which allows them to deduct general sales taxes of \$400 based on their gross income and family size. During 1980 they paid general sales taxes on the following large purchases:

| | <u>General sales taxes paid</u> |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Purchase of a new car for \$8,500 | \$510 |
| Purchase of wearing apparel during year totaling \$3,000 | 180 |

What is the maximum amount of general sales taxes that the Cookes can utilize in calculating excess itemized deductions for 1980?

- a. \$ 400
- b. \$ 580
- c. \$ 910
- d. \$1,090

1M81#57. During 1980 Mr. and Mrs. West paid the following taxes:

| | |
|---|---------|
| Property taxes on residence | \$1,800 |
| Special assessment for installation of a sewer system in their town | 1,000 |
| State personal property tax on their automobile | 600 |
| Property taxes on land held for long-term appreciation | 300 |

Selected Questions

What amount can the Wests deduct as property taxes in calculating excess itemized deductions for 1980?

- a. \$2,100
- b. \$2,700
- c. \$3,100
- d. \$3,700

2N80#38. Harold Brodsky is an electrician employed by a contracting firm. During the current year he incurred and paid the following expenses:

| | |
|---|-------|
| Use of personal auto for company business (reimbursed by employer for \$200) | \$300 |
| Specialized work clothes | 550 |
| Union dues | 600 |
| Cost of income tax preparation | 150 |
| Preparation of will | 100 |

If Brodsky were to itemize his personal deductions, what amount should he claim as miscellaneous deductible expenses?

- a. \$1,300
- b. \$1,400
- c. \$1,500
- d. \$1,700

2N80#39. During 1979 William Clark was assessed a deficiency on his 1978 federal income tax return. As a result of this assessment he was required to pay \$1,120 determined as follows:

| | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Additional tax | \$900 |
| Late filing penalty | 60 |
| Negligence penalty | 90 |
| Interest | 70 |

What portion of the \$1,120 would qualify as itemized deductions for 1979?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 70
- c. \$150
- d. \$220

2N80#30. For the year ended December 31, 1979, David Roth, a married taxpayer filing a joint return, reported the following:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Investment income from dividends and interest | \$24,000 |
| Long-term capital gains | 25,000 |
| Investment expenses | 4,000 |
| Interest expense on funds borrowed in 1979 to purchase investment property | 70,000 |

What amount can Roth deduct in 1979 as investment interest expense?

- a. \$20,000
- b. \$30,000
- c. \$45,000
- d. \$70,000

1M80#50. Eugene and Linda O'Brien had adjusted gross income of \$30,000 in 1979. Additional information is available for 1979 as follows:

| | |
|---|---------|
| Cash contribution to church | \$1,500 |
| Tuition paid to parochial school | 1,200 |
| Contribution to a qualified charity made by a bank credit card charge on December 14, 1979. The credit card obligation was paid on January 11, 1980. | 250 |
| Cash contribution to needy family | 100 |

What is the maximum amount of the above that they can utilize in calculating excess itemized deductions for 1979?

- a. \$1,500
- b. \$1,750
- c. \$2,700
- d. \$3,050

1M80#51. Roger Goodfriend's adjusted gross income was \$50,000 in 1979. He made the following contributions to qualified charitable organizations during the year:

- \$10,000 cash
- 1,000 shares of common stock of Electronics Corporation (bought in 1974 for \$5,000) with a fair market value of \$17,000 on the date of the contribution.

What is the maximum amount Goodfriend can claim as a deduction for charitable contributions in 1979?

- a. \$15,000
- b. \$21,000
- c. \$22,200
- d. \$25,000

1M80#55. The following information is available for Jack and Jill Moore, who reside in Indiana, for 1979:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Adjusted gross income | \$10,500 |
| Exemptions (including 2 exemptions claimed for being over 65) | 4 |
| Social Security benefits received | \$3,000 |

An abstract from the Optional Sales Tax Table for Indiana is presented below:

| <i>Income</i> | <i>Sales Tax</i> | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | <i>Family Size 1&2</i> | <i>Family Size 3&4</i> |
| \$10,001-\$12,000 | \$124 | \$148 |
| \$12,001-\$14,000 | \$138 | \$165 |

Assuming that the Moores elect to use the Optional Sales Tax Table, what is the maximum amount of gen-

eral sales taxes that they can utilize in calculating excess itemized deductions for 1979?

- a. \$124
- b. \$138
- c. \$148
- d. \$165

1M80#56. Robert Weber resides in a state that imposes a tax on income. The following information relating to Weber's state income taxes is available:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Taxes withheld in 1979 | \$3,000 |
| Refund received in 1979 of 1978 tax | 300 |
| Assessment paid in 1979 of 1977 tax | 800 |
| Paid in 1980 with 1979 tax return | 200 |

What amount should Weber utilize as state and local income taxes in calculating excess itemized deductions for his 1979 federal income tax return?

- a. \$3,200
- b. \$3,500
- c. \$3,700
- d. \$3,800

1M80#58. Jerry and Ann Parsell paid the following expenses during 1979:

| | |
|---|---------|
| Interest on automobile loan | \$1,500 |
| Interest on bank loan (loan proceeds were used to purchase municipal bonds) | 5,000 |
| Interest on home mortgage for period January 1 to June 29, 1979 | 1,800 |
| Penalty payment for prepayment of home mortgage on June 29, 1979 | 1,200 |

What is the maximum amount that the Parsells can utilize as interest expense in calculating excess itemized deductions for 1979?

- a. \$3,300
- b. \$4,500
- c. \$8,300
- d. \$9,500

2N79#21. During 1978 James Lee paid the following interest charges:

| | |
|--|---------|
| Interest on home mortgage | \$2,400 |
| Installment charge accounts | 150 |
| Interest on personal loan (proceeds used to purchase tax-exempt bonds) | 400 |

In addition, \$9,000 was borrowed from a bank on October 1, 1978, for financing a new business venture. Interest of \$900 was deducted by the bank in advance and the loan is being repaid in twelve equal monthly installments. Beginning on November 1, 1978, Lee made timely payments on this loan. In itemizing his deductions for 1978, Lee can claim an interest expense deduction of

- a. \$2,550
- b. \$2,700
- c. \$2,900
- d. \$2,950

2N79#35. During 1978 Seth Parker, a self-employed individual, paid the following taxes:

| | |
|--|---------|
| Federal income tax | \$5,000 |
| State income tax | 2,000 |
| Real estate taxes on land in South America (held as an investment) | 900 |
| State sales taxes | 500 |
| Federal self-employment tax | 800 |
| State unincorporated business tax | 200 |

What amount can Parker claim for 1978 as an itemized deduction for taxes paid?

- a. \$7,500
- b. \$4,400
- c. \$3,600
- d. \$3,400

2N79#37. During 1978 Vincent Tally gave to the municipal art museum title to his private collection of rare books that was assessed and valued at \$60,000. However, he reserved the right to the collection's use and possession during his lifetime. For 1978 he reported an adjusted gross income of \$100,000. Assuming that this was his only contribution during the year, and that there were no carryovers from prior years, what amount can he deduct as contributions for 1978?

- a. \$0
- b. \$30,000
- c. \$50,000
- d. \$60,000

2N79#38. Sam Peterson is a plumber employed by a major contracting firm. During 1978 he paid the following miscellaneous personal expenses:

| | |
|--|-------|
| Specialized work clothes (required by employer) | \$410 |
| Union dues | 600 |
| Preparation of will | 150 |
| Cost of income tax preparation | 100 |
| Safe deposit box rental (used only for personal effects) | 20 |

If Peterson were to itemize his personal deductions, what amount could he claim as miscellaneous deductible expenses?

- a. \$ 680
- b. \$ 770
- c. \$1,110
- d. \$1,130

2M79#31. In gathering information for her income tax return for 1978, Mabel Herzog listed the following miscellaneous expenses incurred and paid for in 1978:

| | |
|--|-------|
| Hobby expenses (not engaged in for profit) | \$500 |
| Union dues | 400 |
| Employment agency fees paid in securing a new job in same profession | 200 |
| Legal fees paid in connection with a libel suit | 700 |

Selected Questions

What can Herzog report as allowable deductions from adjusted gross income?

- a. \$ 600
- b. \$1,100
- c. \$1,300
- d. \$1,800

2M79#33. During 1978 George Burke, a salaried taxpayer, paid the following taxes which were **not** incurred in connection with a trade or business:

| | |
|---|---------|
| Federal income tax (withheld by employer) | \$1,500 |
| State income tax (withheld by employer) | 1,000 |
| F.I.C.A. tax (withheld by employer) | 700 |
| State sales taxes | 900 |
| Federal auto gasoline taxes | 200 |
| Federal excise tax on telephone bills | 50 |

What taxes are allowable deductions from Burke's adjusted gross income for 1978?

- a. \$4,350
- b. \$2,850
- c. \$2,550
- d. \$1,900

2M79#34. During 1978 Richard Jason was assessed a deficiency on his 1976 federal income tax return. As a result of this assessment he had to pay \$635 determined as follows:

| | |
|----------------|-------|
| Additional tax | \$500 |
| Penalty | 50 |
| Interest | 85 |
| | \$635 |

If Jason itemizes his deductions on his 1978 return, this payment of \$635 will allow him to claim an allowable deduction of

- a. \$635
- b. \$135
- c. \$ 85
- d. \$ 50

2M79#35. During 1978, Albert Mason purchased the following long-term investments at par:

- \$10,000 general obligation bonds of Tulip County (wholly tax exempt)
- \$10,000 debentures of Laxity Corporation

He financed these purchases by obtaining a loan from the Community Bank for \$20,000. For the year 1978, he paid the following amounts as interest expense:

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Community Bank | \$1,600 |
| Interest on mortgage | 3,000 |
| Interest on installment purchases | 300 |
| | \$4,900 |

What amount can Mason deduct as interest expense in 1978?

- a. \$4,900
- b. \$4,100
- c. \$3,600
- d. \$3,300

E. Filing Status and Exemptions

2N83#23. Alex Kerr was 65 years old on January 21, 1983, and has been legally blind for the past three years. Alex's wife, Rose, lived with him until her death on January 5, 1982, at the age of 50. Rose had no income of her own. Alex did not remarry in 1982. How many personal exemptions was Alex entitled to on his 1982 income tax return?

- a. One
- b. Two
- c. Three
- d. Four

2N83#24. Jill Nolan's filing status for 1982 was that of a single individual. Jill claimed itemized deductions of \$5,000 on her 1982 income tax return. How much was Jill's zero bracket amount for 1982?

- a. \$1,700
- b. \$2,300
- c. \$2,700
- d. \$3,400

1M83#56. During 1982 Robert Moore, who is 50 years old and unmarried, maintained his home in which he and his widower father, age 75, resided. His father had \$1,600 interest income from a savings account and also received \$2,400 from social security during 1982. Robert provided 60% of his father's total support for 1982. What is Robert's filing status for 1982, and how many exemptions should he claim on his tax return?

- a. Head of household and 2 exemptions.
- b. Single and 2 exemptions.
- c. Head of household and 1 exemption.
- d. Single and 1 exemption.

1M83#57. During 1982 Mary Dunn provided 20% of her own support; the remaining 80% was provided by her three sons as follows:

| | |
|------|-----|
| Bill | 15% |
| Jon | 25% |
| Tom | 40% |
| | 80% |

Assume that a multiple support agreement exists and that the brothers will sign multiple support declarations as required. Which of the brothers is eligible to claim the mother as a dependent for 1982?

- a. None of the brothers.
- b. Tom only.
- c. Jon or Tom only.
- d. Bill, Jon or Tom.

1M83#58. John and Mary Arnold are a childless, married couple who lived apart (alone in homes maintained by each) the entire year 1982. On December 31, 1982, they were legally separated under a decree of separate maintenance. Which of the following is the only filing status choice available to them when filing for 1982?

- Single.
- Head of household.
- Married filing separate return.
- Married filing joint return.

1M82#43. During 1981 Murray Richman, who is 60 years old and unmarried, was the sole support of his aged mother. His mother was a resident of a home for the aged for the entire year and had no income. What is Richman's filing status for 1981, and how many exemptions should he claim on his tax return?

- Head of household and 2 exemptions.
- Single and 2 exemptions.
- Head of household and 1 exemption.
- Single and 1 exemption.

1M82#60. Mark Erickson, age 46, filed a joint return for 1981 with his wife Helen, age 24. Their son John was born on December 16, 1981. Mark provided 60% of the support for his 72-year-old widowed mother until April 10, 1981, when she died. His mother's only income was from social security benefits totaling \$1,100 during 1981. How many exemptions should the Ericksons claim on their 1981 tax return?

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

1M81#44. During 1980 Howard Thomson maintained his home in which he and his sixteen-year-old son resided. The son qualifies as his dependent. Thomson's wife died in 1979, for which year a joint return was appropriately filed. Thomson remarried on March 15, 1981. What is Thomson's filing status for 1980?

- Single.
- Head of household.
- Surviving spouse.
- Married filing jointly.

1M81#55. Albert and Lois Stoner, age 66 and 64, respectively, filed a joint tax return for 1980. They provided all of the support for their blind 19-year-old son, who has no gross income. Their 23-year-old daughter, a full-time student until her graduation on June 14, 1980, earned \$2,000, which was 40% of her total support during 1980. Her parents provided the remaining support. The Stoners also provided the total support of Lois' father, who is a citizen and life-long resident of Peru. How many exemptions can the Stoners claim on their 1980 income tax return?

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7

2N80#33. During 1979 Anita Simms was entirely supported by her three sons Dudley, Carlton, and Isidore, who provided support for her in the following percentages:

| | |
|---------|-----|
| Dudley | 8% |
| Carlton | 45% |
| Isidore | 47% |

Which of the brothers is entitled to claim his mother as a dependent, assuming a multiple support agreement exists?

- Dudley.
- Dudley or Carlton.
- Carlton or Isidore.
- Dudley, Carlton or Isidore.

1M80#41. John Wolf, who is 45 years old and unmarried, contributed \$600 monthly in 1979 to the support of his parents' household. The parents lived alone and their income for 1979 consisted of \$1,000 from qualifying dividends and interest, and \$3,600 from Social Security. Based on the above information, what is Wolf's filing status for 1979, and how many exemptions should he claim on his tax return?

- Single and 1 exemption.
- Head of household and 1 exemption.
- Single and 3 exemptions.
- Head of household and 3 exemptions.

1M80#60. Jim Planter, who reached age 65 on January 1, 1980, filed a joint return for 1979 with his wife Rita, age 50. Mary, their 21-year-old daughter, was a full-time student at a college until her graduation on June 2, 1979. The daughter had \$6,500 of income and provided 25% of her own support during 1979. In addition, during 1979 the Planters were the sole support for Rita's niece, who had no income. How many exemptions should the Planters claim on their 1979 tax return?

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

2N79#22. Mr. and Mrs. Vonce, both age 62, filed a joint return for 1978. They provided all the support for their daughter who is 19, legally blind, and who has no income. Their son, age 21 and a full-time student at a university, had \$4,200 of income and provided 70% of his own support during 1978. How many exemptions should Mr. and Mrs. Vonce have claimed on their 1978 joint income tax return?

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

2N79#29. John Abel, whose wife died in December 1977, filed a joint tax return for 1977. He did not remarry but continued to maintain his home in which his

Selected Questions

two dependent children lived. In the preparation of his tax return for 1978, Abel should file as a

- a. Single individual.
- b. Surviving spouse.
- c. Head of household.
- d. Married individual filing separately.

2M79#21. Mrs. Irma Felton, by herself, maintains her home in which she and her unmarried son reside. Her son, however, does **not** qualify as her dependent. Mrs. Felton's husband died in 1977. What is Mrs. Felton's filing status for 1978?

- a. Single.
- b. Surviving spouse.
- c. Head of household.
- d. Married filing jointly.

2M79#29. Mr. and Mrs. Morris Benson were 68 years old in June of 1978 when Mr. Benson died. In filing their tax return for 1978, the maximum number of exemptions that can be taken is

- a. 1
- b. 2
- c. 3
- d. 4

F. Tax Determination

1N82#53. One of the requirements for claiming the earned income credit is that the individual's

- a. Earned income must be \$10,000 or more.
- b. Earned income must be \$10,000 or less.
- c. Adjusted gross income must be less than \$10,000.
- d. Adjusted gross income must be equal to earned income.

1N82#57. Nora Hayes, a widow, maintains a home for herself and her two dependent preschool children. In 1982, Nora's earned income and adjusted gross income was \$29,000. During 1982, Nora paid work-related expenses of \$3,000 for a housekeeper to care for her children. How much can Nora claim for child care credit in 1982?

- a. \$0
- b. \$480
- c. \$600
- d. \$900

1M82#59. Philip and Joan Sampson, filing a joint tax return for 1981, had a tax liability of \$8,000 computed from the tax table. During 1981 Philip contributed \$150 to a candidate for a local elective public office. Assuming that the Sampsons do not claim any other credits against their tax, what is the amount of the political contributions tax credit they should claim on their tax return for 1981?

- a. \$150
- b. \$100
- c. \$ 75
- d. \$ 50

2N81#57. In 1980, Alex Burgos paid \$600 to Rita, his ex-wife, for child support. Under the terms of the divorce decree, Alex claims the exemption for his five-year-old son, William, who lived with Rita for the entire year. Alex's only income in 1980 was from wages of \$5,500, resulting in an income tax of \$172. How much is Alex's earned income credit for 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$328
- c. \$378
- d. \$500

2N81#58. Melvin Crane is 66 years old, and his wife, Matilda, is 65. They filed a joint income tax return for 1980, reporting an adjusted gross income of \$7,800, on which they paid a tax of \$60. They received \$1,250 from social security benefits in 1980. How much can they claim on Schedule R of Form 1040 in 1980, as a credit for the elderly?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 60
- c. \$315
- d. \$375

1M81#58. During 1980 William and Jane Conley made the following energy-conserving component additions to their personal residence (a five-year-old house purchased by them in July 1980):

| | |
|--|---------|
| Aluminum siding (on the north side of the house) | \$1,000 |
| Insulation | 750 |
| Automatic setback thermostat | 150 |
| Used storm windows (purchased from an unrelated party) | 300 |

Assuming that the Conleys have a tax liability of \$3,000 without any other credits against their tax for 1980, what amount can they claim as a residential energy credit on their 1980 income tax return?

- a. \$135
- b. \$180
- c. \$300
- d. \$330

H. Effect of Gift and Estate Taxation on Individuals

2N83#25. Mr. & Mrs. John Hance jointly gave a \$100,000 outright gift in 1982 to an unrelated friend, Fred Green, who needed the money to pay medical expenses. In filing their gift tax returns for 1982, Mr. & Mrs. Hance were entitled to exclusions aggregating

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 6,000
- c. \$10,000
- d. \$20,000

Accounting Practice

2N83#26. In 1970, Edwin Ryan bought 100 shares of a listed stock for \$5,000. In June 1982, when the stock's fair market value was \$7,000, Edwin gave this stock to his sister, Lynn. No gift tax was paid. Lynn died in October 1982, bequeathing this stock to Edwin, when the stock's fair market value was \$9,000. Lynn's executor did not elect the alternate valuation. What is Edwin's basis for this stock after he inherits it from Lynn's estate?

- a. \$0
- b. \$5,000
- c. \$7,000
- d. \$9,000

2N83#27. Martin Rowe died on January 5, 1982, bequeathing his entire \$1,000,000 estate to his brother, Art. The alternate valuation date was elected by the executor of Martin's estate, and the estate tax return was timely filed. Martin's estate included 1,000 shares of a listed stock for which Martin's basis was \$190,000. This stock was distributed to Art nine months after Martin's death. Fair market values of this stock were as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| As of the date of Martin's death | \$200,000 |
| Six months after Martin's death | 225,000 |
| Nine months after Martin's death | 240,000 |

What is Art's basis for this stock?

- a. \$190,000
- b. \$200,000
- c. \$225,000
- d. \$240,000

2N83#28. In January 1979, Melvin Axel bought 100 shares of a listed stock for \$4,000. In March 1980, when the fair market value was \$3,000, Melvin gave this stock to his cousin, Ellen. No gift tax was paid. Ellen sold this stock in June 1982 for \$3,500. How much is Ellen's reportable gain or loss in 1982 on the sale of this stock?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$ 500 loss.
- c. \$ 500 gain.
- d. \$3,500 gain.

1N82

Items 54 through 56 are based on the following data:

In 1978, John Cote bought 100 shares of a listed stock for \$2,400. In 1980, when the fair market value was \$2,200, John gave the stock to his brother, David. No gift tax was due.

54. If David sells this stock in 1982 for \$2,600, his basis is

- a. \$0
- b. \$2,200
- c. \$2,400
- d. \$2,600

55. If David sells this stock in 1982 for \$2,000, his basis is

- a. \$0
- b. \$2,000
- c. \$2,200
- d. \$2,400

56. If David sells this stock in 1982 for \$2,300, his reportable gain or loss is

- a. \$0.
- b. \$100 loss.
- c. \$100 gain.
- d. \$2,300 gain.

1N82#59. On January 10, 1970, Martin Mayne bought 3,000 shares of Hance Corporation stock for \$300,000. The fair market values of this stock on the following dates were as follows:

| | |
|---------------|-----------|
| Dec. 31, 1980 | \$210,000 |
| Mar. 31, 1981 | 240,000 |
| June 30, 1981 | 270,000 |

Martin died on December 31, 1980, bequeathing this stock to his son, Philip. The stock was distributed to Philip on March 31, 1981. The alternate valuation date was elected for Martin's estate. Philip's basis for this stock is

- a. \$210,000
- b. \$240,000
- c. \$270,000
- d. \$300,000

2N80#29. On January 10, 1979, Albert Hart received a gift of income-producing property having an adjusted basis of \$25,000 at the time of the gift. The fair market value of the property at the date of the gift was \$20,000. Hart decided to sell the property on August 1, 1979, and received \$23,000 on the sale. What is the amount of the gain or loss that Hart should report for 1979?

- a. \$2,000 ordinary loss.
- b. \$2,000 short-term capital loss.
- c. \$3,000 short-term capital gain.
- d. No gain or loss.

Selected Questions

X. Federal Taxation - Corporations and Partnerships

A. Determination of Taxable Income or Loss

2N83#43. Pym Corp. received the following dividends in 1982:

| | |
|---|---------|
| From a mutual savings bank | \$1,000 |
| From an unaffiliated domestic taxable corporation | 5,000 |

How much of these dividends qualifies for the 85% dividends-received deduction?

- a. \$0
- b. \$1,000
- c. \$5,000
- d. \$6,000

2N83#44. Yuki Corp., which began business in 1982, incurred the following costs in 1982 in connection with organizing the corporation:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Printing of stock certificates | \$ 5,000 |
| Underwriters' commissions on sale of stock | 100,000 |

What portion of these costs qualifies as amortizable organization expenses deductible ratably over a period of not less than 60 months?

- a. \$105,000
- b. \$100,000
- c. \$ 5,000
- d. \$0

2N83#45. For the year ended December 31, 1982, Seco Corp. had an operating income of \$9,500. In addition, Seco had the following capital gains and losses:

| | |
|-----------------------------|---------|
| Net short-term capital gain | \$1,000 |
| Net long-term capital loss | 9,000 |

How much of the excess of net long-term capital loss over net short-term capital gain could Seco offset against ordinary income in 1982?

- a. \$0
- b. \$3,000
- c. \$3,500
- d. \$8,000

2N83#47. For the year ended December 31, 1982, Haya Corp. had gross business income of \$600,000 and expenses of \$800,000. Contributions of \$5,000 to qualified charities were included in expenses. In addition to the expenses, Haya had a net operating loss carryover of \$9,000. What was Haya's net operating loss for 1982?

- a. \$209,000
- b. \$204,000
- c. \$200,000
- d. \$195,000

2N83

Items 48 and 49 are based on the following data:

Nilo Corp., a restaurant, commenced operations on January 1, 1982. For the year ended December 31, 1982, Nilo incurred a net operating loss of \$100,000. In addition, Nilo had a net capital loss of \$7,000 in 1982 from the sale of stock of an unrelated company.

48. What is the maximum carryover period for Nilo's 1982 net operating loss?

- a. 15 years.
- b. 7 years.
- c. 5 years.
- d. 3 years.

49. What is the maximum carryover period for Nilo's 1982 net capital loss?

- a. 3 years.
- b. 5 years.
- c. 8 years.
- d. Indefinite, until used.

2N83#50. When Kile Corp. was organized in 1975, it received \$100,000 from the sale of 10,000 shares of its \$10 par value common stock. In 1980, Kile reacquired 300 of these shares as treasury stock, at a cost of \$6,000. In 1983, Kile sold the 300 shares of treasury stock to an unrelated party for \$7,500. How much capital gain should Kile report in its 1983 tax return in connection with the sale of these 300 shares?

- a. \$4,500
- b. \$3,000
- c. \$1,500
- d. \$0

2N83#59. During the 1982 holiday season, Palo Corp. gave business gifts to 17 customers. These gifts, which were not of an advertising nature, had the following fair market values:

- 4 @ \$ 10
- 4 @ 25
- 4 @ 50
- 5 @ 100

How much of these gifts was deductible as a business expense for 1982?

- a. \$840
- b. \$365
- c. \$140
- d. \$0

2N83#43. During 1983 Wyld Corp., in need of additional factory space, exchanged 10,000 shares of its common stock with a par value of \$50,000 for a building with a fair market value of \$60,000. On the date of the exchange the stock had a fair market value of \$65,000.

Accounting Practice

For 1983, how much and what type of gain or loss should Wyld report on this transaction?

- a. \$10,000 section 1231 gain.
- b. \$10,000 capital gain.
- c. \$ 5,000 capital loss.
- d. No gain or loss.

2M83#44. Lonky Corporation's condensed income statement for the year ended December 31, 1982, was as follows:

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Business income | \$500,000 |
| Business costs and expenses | 475,000 |
| Operating income | \$ 25,000 |
| Charitable contributions | 5,000 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 20,000 |

The maximum amount deductible by Lonky for charitable contributions in its 1982 income tax return is

- a. \$1,000
- b. \$1,250
- c. \$2,000
- d. \$2,500

2M83#49. Barbaro Corporation's retained earnings at January 1, 1982, was \$600,000. During 1982 Barbaro paid cash dividends of \$150,000 and received a federal income tax refund of \$26,000 as a result of an IRS audit of Barbaro's 1979 tax return. Barbaro's net income per books for the year ended December 31, 1982, was \$274,900 after deducting federal income tax of \$183,300. How much should be shown in the reconciliation schedule M-2, of Form 1120, as Barbaro's retained earnings at December 31, 1982?

- a. \$443,600
- b. \$600,900
- c. \$626,900
- d. \$750,900

2M83#50. The following assets were among those owned by Yolanda Corporation at December 31, 1982:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Delivery truck | \$12,000 |
| Land used as parking lot for customers | 20,000 |

The capital assets amount to

- a. \$0
- b. \$12,000
- c. \$20,000
- d. \$32,000

2M83#52. Claudio Corporation and Stellar Corporation both report on a calendar-year basis. Claudio merged into Stellar on June 30, 1982. Claudio had an allowable net operating loss carryover of \$270,000. Stellar's taxable income for the year ended December 31, 1982, was \$360,000 before consideration of Claudio's net operating loss carryover. How much of Claudio's

net operating loss carryover can be used to offset Stellar's 1982 taxable income?

- a. \$0
- b. \$135,000
- c. \$180,000
- d. \$270,000

2N82#41. Richards Corporation had taxable income of \$280,000 before deducting charitable contributions for its tax year ended December 31, 1982. The dividends received deduction was \$34,000. Richards made cash contributions of \$35,000 to charitable organizations. How much can Richards deduct as contributions for 1982?

- a. \$28,000
- b. \$31,400
- c. \$32,000
- d. \$35,000

2N82#42. Anderson Corporation realized taxable income of \$72,000 from its regular business operations for calendar year 1981. In addition Anderson had the following capital gains and losses during 1981:

| | |
|-------------------------|----------|
| Short-term capital gain | \$17,000 |
| Short-term capital loss | (8,000) |
| Long-term capital gain | 3,000 |
| Long-term capital loss | (7,000) |

Anderson did not realize any other capital gains or losses since it began operations. What is Anderson's total taxable income for 1981?

- a. \$77,000
- b. \$81,000
- c. \$84,000
- d. \$92,000

2N82#43. In 1981 Studley Corporation, not a dealer in securities, realized taxable income of \$80,000 from the operation of its business. Additionally in 1981, Studley realized a long-term capital loss of \$12,000 from the sale of marketable securities. Studley did not realize any other capital gains or losses since it began operations. What is the proper treatment for the \$12,000 long-term capital loss in Studley's income tax returns?

- a. Use \$3,000 of the loss to reduce taxable income for 1981, and carry \$9,000 of the long-term capital loss forward five years.
- b. Use \$6,000 of the loss to reduce taxable income by \$3,000 for 1981, and carry \$6,000 of the long-term capital loss forward five years.
- c. Use \$12,000 of the long-term capital loss to reduce taxable income by \$6,000 for 1981.
- d. Carry the \$12,000 long-term capital loss forward five years, treating it as a short-term capital loss.

Selected Questions

2N82#46. During 1981 Culbert, Inc., made the following expenditures:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Promotional materials for use on customers' premises (1,000 @ \$40) | \$40,000 |
| Business gifts to customers (60 @ \$100) | 6,000 |
| Contribution to a candidate for public office | 1,000 |

How much of the above expenditures should Culbert deduct in determining its taxable income for 1981?

- a. \$26,500
- b. \$41,500
- c. \$42,500
- d. \$47,000

2N82#50. For the year ended December 31, 1981, Atkinson, Inc., had gross business income of \$160,000 and dividend income of \$100,000 from unaffiliated domestic corporations. Business deductions for 1981 amounted to \$170,000. What is Atkinson's dividends received deduction for 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$76,500
- c. \$85,000
- d. \$90,000

2N82#51. Martin Corporation purchased a machine for \$550,000 on January 1, 1979. Martin sold the machine for \$450,000 on December 31, 1981, at which date the accumulated depreciation amounted to \$270,000. How much should Martin report as a section 1231 gain for the year ended December 31, 1981?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 85,000
- c. \$100,000
- d. \$170,000

2N82#53. Bishop Corporation reported taxable income of \$700,000 on its federal income tax return for calendar year 1981. Selected information for 1981 is available from Bishop's records as follows:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Provision for federal income tax per books | \$280,000 |
| Depreciation claimed on the tax return | 130,000 |
| Depreciation recorded in the books | 75,000 |
| Life insurance proceeds on death of corporate officer | 100,000 |

Bishop reported net income per books for 1981 of

- a. \$855,000
- b. \$595,000
- c. \$575,000
- d. \$475,000

2M82#41. Cooma Corporation's book income before income taxes for the year ended December 31, 1981, was \$260,000. The company was organized three years earlier. Organization costs of \$130,000 are being written off over a ten-year period for financial statement

purposes. For tax purposes these costs are being written off over the minimum allowable period. For the year ended December 31, 1981, Cooma's taxable income was

- a. \$234,000
- b. \$247,000
- c. \$260,000
- d. \$273,000

2M82#42. Sportsworld, Inc., issued \$500,000 face amount of bonds in 1976, and established a sinking fund to pay the debt. An independent trustee was appointed by the bondholders to administer the sinking fund. In 1981, the sinking fund earned \$30,000 in interest on bank deposits, and \$2,000 in net short-term capital gains. How much of this income is taxable to Sportsworld?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 2,000
- c. \$30,000
- d. \$32,000

2M82#43. Bruce Williams owns 55% of the outstanding stock of Flextool Corporation. During 1981, Flextool sold a machine to Williams for \$40,000. The machine had an adjusted tax basis of \$46,000, and had been owned by Flextool for three years. What is the allowable loss that Flextool can claim in its 1981 income tax return?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$6,000 ordinary loss.
- c. \$6,000 Section 1231 loss.
- d. \$6,000 Section 1245 loss.

2M82#48. Dowell Corporation had operating income of \$100,000, after deducting \$6,000 for contributions, but not including dividends of \$10,000 received from non-affiliated domestic taxable corporations. How much is the base amount to which the percentage limitation should be applied in computing the maximum allowable deduction for contributions?

- a. \$106,000
- b. \$107,500
- c. \$110,000
- d. \$116,000

2M82#49. Monaro Corporation had the following income and expenses for the year ended December 31, 1981:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Gross profit on sales | \$300,000 |
| Administrative expenses | 700,000 |
| Dividends from nonaffiliated domestic taxable corporations | 20,000 |

How much is Monaro's net operating loss for 1981?

- a. \$380,000
- b. \$383,000
- c. \$397,000
- d. \$400,000

Accounting Practice

2M82#50. Olex Corporation's books disclosed the following data for the calendar year 1981:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Retained earnings at beginning of year | \$50,000 |
| Net income for year | 70,000 |
| Contingency reserve established at end of year | 10,000 |
| Cash dividends paid during year | 8,000 |

What amount should appear on the last line of reconciliation Schedule M-2 of Form 1120?

- a. \$102,000
- b. \$120,000
- c. \$128,000
- d. \$138,000

1N81#42. Paramount Corporation has consistently used the reserve method to compute the bad debt deduction on its tax returns. The year-end reserve for bad debts reported on the 1979 tax return was \$11,200. Additional information is available as follows:

| | <i>Accounts receivable at end of year</i> | <i>Bad debt</i> | |
|------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| | | <i>Losses</i> | <i>Recoveries</i> |
| 1975 | \$ 255,000 | \$12,000 | \$1,150 |
| 1976 | 265,000 | 13,500 | 1,300 |
| 1977 | 270,000 | 11,500 | 1,450 |
| 1978 | 250,000 | 12,000 | 1,500 |
| 1979 | 280,000 | 14,000 | 1,920 |
| 1980 | 300,000 | 18,000 | 2,400 |
| Totals | <u>\$1,620,000</u> | <u>\$81,000</u> | <u>\$9,720</u> |
| % of receivables | | <u>5.0%</u> | <u>0.6%</u> |

In December 1980 one of Paramount's important customers experienced financial difficulties, which could result in a bad debt write-off of \$10,000 during 1981 in respect of this customer. What is the maximum bad debt deduction that Paramount can claim on its tax return for 1980?

- a. \$13,200
- b. \$17,600
- c. \$19,400
- d. \$27,600

1N81#43. In 1980 Trapp, Inc., had \$400,000 of gross profit from operations and \$160,000 of dividends from nonaffiliated domestic corporations. Trapp's operating expenses totaled \$410,000. What is Trapp's dividends received deduction for 1980?

- a. \$ 80,000
- b. \$127,500
- c. \$136,000
- d. \$160,000

1N81#45. Bellamy Corporation reported Retained Earnings-Unappropriated of \$1,500,000 at December

31, 1979, on its 1979 tax return. Information for 1980 is available as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Net income per books | \$600,000 |
| Taxable income | 850,000 |
| Dividends paid on common stock | 450,000 |
| Debit adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings for correction of an accounting error | 500,000 |

What amount should Bellamy report for Retained Earnings-Unappropriated at December 31, 1980, on its 1980 tax return?

- a. \$1,150,000
- b. \$1,400,000
- c. \$1,650,000
- d. \$1,900,000

1N81#47. Carr, Inc., a calendar-year corporation incorporated in January 1975, had a net operating loss of \$75,000 in 1979. For each of the years 1975-1978, Carr reported taxable income (loss) before net operating loss deduction as follows:

| | |
|------|----------|
| 1975 | \$15,000 |
| 1976 | (20,000) |
| 1977 | 10,000 |
| 1978 | 30,000 |

When filing its tax return for 1979, Carr did not elect to give up the carryback of its loss for 1979. Carr's taxable income before net operating loss deduction for 1980 was \$80,000. Carr should report a net operating loss deduction on its tax return for 1980 of

- a. \$30,000
- b. \$35,000
- c. \$40,000
- d. \$55,000

1N81#50. Regan Corporation purchased a machine for \$180,000 on January 1, 1977, and established an annual depreciation rate of 10% using the straight-line method, with no salvage value. On December 31, 1980, Regan determined that the actual obsolescence was substantially higher than was anticipated at the time of purchase, and that the machine will not be economically useful after December 31, 1982. Assuming that Regan can justify the change, how much depreciation for this machine should Regan deduct on its tax return for the year ended December 31, 1980?

- a. \$18,000
- b. \$30,000
- c. \$42,000
- d. \$66,000

1N81#51. In 1980, its first year of operations, Rowley Corporation, not a dealer in securities, realized taxable income of \$128,000 from the operation of its business. In addition to its regular business operations, it realized the following gains and losses from the sale of marketable securities:

Selected Questions

| | |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| Short-term capital gain | \$ 10,000 |
| Short-term capital loss | (4,000) |
| Long-term capital gain | 12,000 |
| Long-term capital loss | (32,000) |

What is Rowley's total taxable income for 1980?

- a. \$114,000
- b. \$124,000
- c. \$128,000
- d. \$134,000

1N81#52. For the year ended December 31, 1980, Powell, Inc., reported \$900,000 income before federal taxes per books which included the following items:

| | |
|--|----------|
| State corporate income tax refunds | \$ 8,000 |
| Interest income on tax-exempt municipal securities | 30,000 |
| Loss on sale of land acquired in 1975 for investment | 40,000 |
| Interest expense on loan to purchase tax-exempt municipal securities | 16,000 |

What is the taxable income of Powell for 1980?

- a. \$886,000
- b. \$900,000
- c. \$918,000
- d. \$926,000

1N81#57. On December 31, 1980, Day Corporation sold machinery for \$48,000. The machinery which had been purchased on January 1, 1976, for \$40,000 had an adjusted basis of \$28,000 on the date of sale. For 1980 Day should report

- a. Ordinary income of \$20,000.
- b. Section 1231 gain of \$20,000.
- c. Section 1231 gain of \$12,000 and ordinary income of \$8,000.
- d. Section 1231 gain of \$8,000 and ordinary income of \$12,000.

2M81#41. On October 1, 1980, Derek Corporation sold 4,000 shares of its \$10 par value treasury stock for \$60,000. These shares were acquired by Derek on January 2, 1980, for \$50,000. For 1980 Derek should report

- a. Neither income nor capital gain.
- b. A long-term capital gain of \$10,000.
- c. A short-term capital gain of \$10,000.
- d. A long-term capital gain of \$20,000.

2M81#42. For the year ended December 31, 1980, Apollo Corporation had net income per books of \$1,200,000. Included in the determination of net income were the following items:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Interest income on municipal bonds | \$ 40,000 |
| Gain on settlement of life insurance policy (death of officer) | 200,000 |
| Interest paid on loan to purchase municipal bonds | 8,000 |
| Provision for federal income tax | 524,000 |

What should Apollo report as its taxable income for 1980?

- a. \$1,492,000
- b. \$1,524,000
- c. \$1,684,000
- d. \$1,692,000

2M81#44. On December 31, 1960, Homer Corporation issued \$2,000,000 of fifty-year bonds for \$2,600,000. On December 31, 1980, Homer issued new bonds with a face value of \$3,000,000 for which it received \$3,400,000 and used part of the proceeds to repurchase for \$2,320,000 the bonds issued in 1960. No elections were made to adjust the basis of any property. What is the taxable income to Homer on the repurchase of the 1960 bonds?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 40,000
- c. \$280,000
- d. \$360,000

2M81#45. Sarge Corporation sold machinery for \$40,000 on December 31, 1980. The machinery had been purchased on January 1, 1976, for \$34,000. The machinery had an adjusted basis of \$20,000 at the date of sale. For 1980 Sarge should report

- a. Ordinary income of \$6,000 and Section 1231 gain of \$14,000.
- b. Ordinary income of \$14,000 and Section 1231 gain of \$6,000.
- c. Ordinary income of \$20,000.
- d. Section 1231 gain of \$20,000.

2M81#53. During 1980 Waner Corporation exchanged 10,000 shares of its own common stock with a par value of \$10 per share for a building with a fair market value of \$150,000. What should Waner report in its 1980 tax return as a result of this transaction?

- a. No gain.
- b. \$50,000 ordinary income.
- c. \$50,000 Section 1231 gain.
- d. \$50,000 Section 1245 gain.

2M81#56. Delve Co., Inc., issued \$1,000,000 of 8-year convertible bonds on October 1, 1980, for \$880,000. The amount of bond discount deductible on Delve's income tax return for the year ended March 31, 1981, is

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 7,500
- c. \$ 15,000
- d. \$120,000

2M81#58. Elmo Corporation had the following income and expenses for the year ended December 31, 1980:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Gross profit on sales | \$150,000 |
| Dividends from domestic taxable corporations | 15,000 |
| Salaries and wages | 90,000 |

Accounting Practice

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| Interest expense | \$22,500 |
| Taxes on real estate and payroll | 52,500 |
| Depreciation | 15,000 |
| Contributions | 7,500 |

Elmo's net operating loss for 1980 is

- a. \$15,000
- b. \$17,250
- c. \$22,500
- d. \$27,750

1N80#42. Geyer, Inc., a calendar year corporation, had net income per books of \$80,000 for the year 1979. For each of the years 1975-1978, Geyer's net income (loss) per books was as follows:

| | |
|------|----------|
| 1975 | \$ 5,000 |
| 1976 | 15,000 |
| 1977 | 10,000 |
| 1978 | (60,000) |

Included in Geyer's gross revenues for 1978 were taxable dividends of \$20,000 received from an unrelated domestic corporation. When filing its tax return for 1978 on March 10, 1979, Geyer elected to give up the three-year carryback of the loss for 1978. Geyer should report a net operating loss carryover on its tax return for 1979 of

- a. \$30,000
- b. \$47,000
- c. \$60,000
- d. \$77,000

1N80#44. Thayer Corporation purchased an apartment building on January 1, 1976, for \$200,000. The building was depreciated on the straight-line basis. On December 31, 1979, the building was sold for \$220,000, when the asset balance net of accumulated depreciation was \$170,000. On its 1979 tax return, Thayer should report

- a. Section 1231 gain of \$20,000 and ordinary income of \$30,000.
- b. Section 1231 gain of \$30,000 and ordinary income of \$20,000.
- c. Ordinary income of \$50,000.
- d. Section 1231 gain of \$50,000.

1N80#51. For the year ended December 31, 1979, Canterbury Corporation had dividend income from non-affiliated domestic corporations of \$50,000 and gross business income of \$30,000. Business deductions for 1979 amounted to \$45,000. What is Canterbury's dividends received deduction for 1979?

- a. \$0
- b. \$29,750
- c. \$42,500
- d. \$50,000

1N80#52. Wright Corporation reported \$100,000 of book income before income taxes for the year ended December 31, 1979. The income statement disclosed the following information:

- Christmas gifts to 40 customers at \$100 each.
- Dividends of \$20,000 received from Morley, Ltd., a corporation not subject to United States income tax.
- Insurance premiums of \$15,000 on a policy insuring the life of the president of the corporation, under which Wright Corporation is the beneficiary.

What should Wright report as its taxable income for 1979?

- a. \$ 98,000
- b. \$103,000
- c. \$115,000
- d. \$118,000

1N80#53. For the year ended December 31, 1979, Marshall Corporation reported book income, before federal income taxes, of \$200,000. The following items were included in the determination of income before federal income taxes:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Provision for state corporation income tax | \$15,000 |
| Interest on United States obligations | 20,000 |
| Net long-term capital loss from the sale of marketable securities | (10,000) |
| Interest paid on loan to purchase United States obligations | 12,000 |

Marshall's taxable income on its 1979 federal income tax return would be

- a. \$192,000
- b. \$193,000
- c. \$210,000
- d. \$225,000

1N80#54. Chaucer Corporation reported taxable income of \$350,000 on its federal income tax return for the 1979 calendar year. Selected information for 1979 is available from Chaucer's records as follows:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Interest income on municipal bonds | \$20,000 |
| Depreciation claimed on the tax return based on the double-declining-balance method | 75,000 |
| Depreciation recorded on the books based on the straight-line method | 50,000 |
| Provision for federal income tax per books | 140,000 |

Based on the above information, Chaucer should report net income per books for 1979 in the amount of

- a. \$235,000
- b. \$255,000
- c. \$395,000
- d. \$445,000

1N80#55. Grady Corporation's book income before income taxes was \$300,000 for the year 1979 after recording amortization of organization costs. Organization costs of \$140,000 incurred at the organization date two years earlier are being written off over a ten-year period for financial reporting purposes, and over the

Selected Questions

minimum period for income tax purposes. Assuming that there were no other reconciling items, what is Grady's taxable income for 1979?

- a. \$272,000
- b. \$286,000
- c. \$300,000
- d. \$314,000

2M80#21. For the year 1979, Morris Corporation reported taxable income of \$100,000 **before** any special deductions. Included in taxable income was dividend income of \$120,000 received from unaffiliated domestic corporations. What is the dividends received deduction for 1979?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 17,000
- c. \$ 85,000
- d. \$102,000

2M80#23. On December 31, 1979, Mark Corporation sold machinery for \$48,000. The machinery which had been purchased on January 1, 1975, for \$40,000 had an adjusted basis of \$28,000 on the date of sale. For 1979 Mark should report

- a. A section 1231 gain of \$20,000.
- b. Ordinary income of \$20,000.
- c. A section 1231 gain of \$12,000 and ordinary income of \$8,000.
- d. A section 1231 gain of \$8,000 and ordinary income of \$12,000.

2M80#26. During 1979 Stearn Corporation, a cash-basis corporation, paid the following education expenses for its employees:

| | |
|-----------------|----------|
| Tuition | \$10,000 |
| Textbooks | 3,000 |
| Travel | 2,000 |
| Laboratory fees | 1,000 |
| | \$16,000 |

The education was **not** required of the employees to maintain or improve their skills in their present positions. For 1979, Stearn can claim a deduction for these expenses of

- a. \$0
- b. \$10,000
- c. \$11,000
- d. \$16,000

2M80#32. For the year ended December 31, 1979, Murray Corporation, a calendar-year corporation, reported book income before income taxes of \$120,000. Included in the determination of this amount were the following items:

| | |
|--|------------|
| Loss on sale of building depreciated on the straight-line method | (\$12,000) |
| Gain on sale of land used in business | 7,000 |
| Loss on sale of investments in marketable securities (long-term) | (8,000) |

For the year ended December 31, 1979, Murray's taxable income was

- a. \$113,000
- b. \$120,000
- c. \$125,000
- d. \$128,000

2M80#36. During 1979, its first year of operations, Emma Corporation had a loss from operations of \$38,000 and short-term capital gains of \$12,000. Included in the loss from operations was a fire loss of \$7,000. Emma has a net operating loss carryover from 1979 of

- a. \$19,000
- b. \$26,000
- c. \$31,000
- d. \$38,000

2M80#37. In 1979 Nugent Corporation sold for \$21,000, 1,000 shares of its own \$10 par value common stock that it had reacquired in 1977. The shares were originally issued for \$15 per share, and subsequently reacquired for \$19 per share. For 1979 Nugent should report a long-term capital gain of

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 2,000
- c. \$ 6,000
- d. \$11,000

2M80#38. For the year ended December 31, 1979, Ginny Corporation had gross income of \$180,000. Included in this amount was \$48,000 of dividend income from non-affiliated domestic corporations. Its deductions for 1979 were \$130,000 in business deductions and a net operating loss carryover of \$4,000. What is Ginny's 1979 dividends received deduction?

- a. \$39,100
- b. \$40,800
- c. \$42,500
- d. \$48,000

2M80#39. During 1979 Ashley Corporation charged the following payments to miscellaneous expense:

- Travel expense of \$300 for the company president to offer voluntary testimony at the state capital against proposed legislation regarded as unfavorable to its business.
- Christmas gifts to 20 customers at \$75 each.
- Contribution of \$600 to local political candidate.

The maximum deduction that Ashley can claim for these payments is

- a. \$ 800
- b. \$1,400
- c. \$1,800
- d. \$2,400

2M80#40. On July 2, 1979, Milford Corporation purchased for \$70,000 machinery that was installed in its

factory. The machinery was estimated to have a salvage value of \$4,000 and Milford elected to depreciate this machinery over eight years using the double-declining balance method of depreciation. Milford in addition elected to take additional first-year depreciation. This acquisition was the only investment in tangible personal property made during 1979. Counting the year of acquisition as one-half year, Milford should deduct depreciation on this machinery for 1979 of

- a. \$10,000
- b. \$10,250
- c. \$10,500
- d. \$10,750

B. Tax Determination

2N83#55. Orna Corp., a calendar-year taxpayer, had an unused investment credit of \$8,000 at December 31, 1982, its first taxable year. For how many years can Orna carry over this unused investment credit?

- a. 15
- b. 7
- c. 5
- d. 3

2M83#45. Finbury Corporation's taxable income for the year ended December 31, 1982, was \$2,000,000, on which its tax liability was \$900,250. In order for Finbury to escape the estimated tax underpayment penalty for the year ending December 31, 1983, Finbury's 1983 estimated tax payments must equal at least

- a. 60% of the 1983 tax liability.
- b. 65% of the 1983 tax liability.
- c. 75% of the 1983 tax liability.
- d. The 1982 tax liability of \$900,250.

2N82#48. Dorsett Corporation's income tax return for 1981 shows deductions exceeding gross income by \$56,800. Included in the tax return are the following items:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Net operating loss deduction (carryover from 1980) | \$15,000 |
| Dividends received deduction | 6,800 |

What is Dorsett's net operating loss for 1981?

- a. \$56,800
- b. \$50,000
- c. \$41,800
- d. \$35,000

2N82#57. Foster Corporation's tax liability for the year ended December 31, 1981, was \$15,000 before claiming an investment tax credit. On July 1, 1981, Foster purchased a new truck for \$180,000. The truck is appropriately categorized by Foster as five-year property under the accelerated cost recovery system. Foster's allowed investment tax credit for 1981 is

- a. \$ 9,000
- b. \$12,000
- c. \$15,000
- d. \$18,000

2M82#54. In 1981, Pianca Corporation bought the following new assets, both of which are in the five-year class under the accelerated cost recovery system:

| <u>Asset</u> | <u>Cost</u> |
|---|-------------|
| Solar panels | \$ 8,000 |
| Shredder for recycling of aluminum cans | 12,000 |

Pianca claimed the regular investment credit in 1981 for the qualifying property. What is the total amount of the above-mentioned assets eligible in 1981 for the business energy investment credit?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 8,000
- c. \$12,000
- d. \$20,000

2M82#60. On July 1, 1981, Pemberton Corporation bought a new drill press for \$20,000, which was placed in service the same day. The drill press qualifies as five-year accelerated cost recovery system property, for which an investment credit of \$2,000 was claimed. If Pemberton disposes of this drill press on May 31, 1983, how much of the investment credit must be recaptured in 1983?

- a. \$0
- b. \$1,200
- c. \$1,600
- d. \$2,000

1N81#55. During 1980 Bell Corporation had worldwide taxable income of \$675,000 and a tentative United States income tax of \$270,000. Bell's taxable income from business operations in Country A was \$300,000, and foreign income taxes imposed were \$135,000 stated in United States dollars.

How much should Bell claim as a credit for foreign income taxes on its United States income tax return for 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 75,000
- c. \$120,000
- d. \$135,000

2M81#59. Baxter Manufacturers, Inc., a calendar-year corporation, bought the following new assets during 1980:

| <u>Property</u> | <u>Date bought</u> | <u>Date placed in service</u> | <u>Useful life (years)</u> | <u>Cost</u> |
|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| Manuals | Jan. 2 | Jan. 4 | 2 | \$ 210 |
| Truck | April 1 | June 30 | 3 | 15,000 |
| Desk and chair | Dec. 1 | Dec. 31 | 7 | 1,200 |

Selected Questions

Baxter's total qualified investment in 1980 for computation of the investment credit is

- a. \$2,570
- b. \$3,920
- c. \$6,200
- d. \$6,270

C. Subchapter S Corporations

2N83#41. Luba Corp. was organized in 1983 with the intention of operating as an S corporation (Subchapter S). What is the maximum number of stockholders allowable for eligibility as an S corporation (Subchapter S)?

- a. 35
- b. 15
- c. 9
- d. 5

2N83#46. Which of the following is **not** a requirement for a corporation to elect S corporation status (Subchapter S)?

- a. Must be a member of a controlled group.
- b. Must confine stockholders to individuals, estates, and certain qualifying trusts.
- c. Must be a domestic corporation.
- d. Must have only one class of stock.

2M83#51. For the year ended December 31, 1982, Harlan, Inc., a Subchapter S corporation, had net income per books of \$108,000, which included \$90,000 from operations and an \$18,000 net long-term capital gain. During 1982, \$45,000 was distributed to Harlan's three equal shareholders, all of whom are on a calendar-year basis. On what amounts should Harlan compute its income and capital gain taxes?

| <u>Ordinary income</u> | <u>Long-term capital gain</u> |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| a. \$0 | \$0 |
| b. \$0 | \$18,000 |
| c. \$45,000 | \$0 |
| d. \$63,000 | \$0 |

2N82#52. Keller, Inc., a Subchapter S corporation, has three equal shareholders. For the year ended December 31, 1981, Keller had taxable income and current earnings and profits of \$150,000. Keller made cash distributions totaling \$60,000 during 1981. For the calendar year 1981, what amount should be included in each shareholder's gross income from Keller?

- a. \$20,000
- b. \$30,000
- c. \$50,000
- d. \$70,000

2N82#56. Grant Corporation, a Subchapter S corporation, had taxable income and current earnings and profits of \$36,000 for the year ended December 31, 1981. Included in the above is \$24,000 excess net long-

term capital gain over net short-term capital loss. Cash distributions to Mr. Hamlin, the sole shareholder, totaled \$72,000 during 1981. On December 31, 1980, Grant had accumulated earnings and profits of \$60,000, none of which had been previously taxed. What amount should Hamlin report on his 1981 individual income tax return as long-term gain passed through from Grant?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 8,000
- c. \$12,000
- d. \$24,000

2M82#51. Hazelwood Corporation (a calendar-year taxpayer) was organized on July 1, 1981, with paid-in capital of \$300,000. A valid election was filed for Subchapter S status. Prior to commencement of operations, the funds were deposited in savings certificates. Sales and interest income for the short taxable year ended December 31, 1981, aggregated \$250,000. Of that total, what is the maximum amount that can constitute interest, in order for Hazelwood to avoid loss of its Subchapter S status?

- a. \$ 2,999
- b. \$ 3,000
- c. \$49,999
- d. \$50,000

2M82#52. Brooke, Inc., a Subchapter S corporation, was organized on January 2, 1981, with two equal stockholders. Each stockholder invested \$5,000 in Brooke's capital stock, and each loaned \$15,000 to the corporation. Brooke then borrowed \$60,000 from a bank for working capital. Brooke sustained an operating loss of \$90,000 for the year ended December 31, 1981. How much of this loss can each stockholder claim on his 1981 income tax return?

- a. \$ 5,000
- b. \$20,000
- c. \$45,000
- d. \$50,000

2M81#46. For its year ended December 31, 1980, Valor Corporation, a Subchapter S Corporation, had net income per books of \$216,000 which included \$180,000 from operations and a \$36,000 net long-term capital gain. During 1980, \$90,000 was distributed to the corporation's nine equal shareholders, all of whom are on a calendar-year basis. Each shareholder should report for 1980

- a. \$10,000 ordinary income.
- b. \$20,000 ordinary income.
- c. \$20,000 ordinary income and \$4,000 long-term capital gain.
- d. \$24,000 ordinary income.

2M81#47. Marina Corporation, a Subchapter S Corporation, had taxable income and current earnings and profits of \$390,000 for the year ended December 31, 1980. There were no capital gains or losses during 1980. For the year ended December 31, 1979, Marina had undistributed taxable income of \$90,000. During 1980

Accounting Practice

Marina made the following cash distributions to its ten equal shareholders:

| | |
|------------|----------|
| January 31 | \$50,000 |
| March 1 | 80,000 |
| October 1 | 60,000 |

What is the undistributed taxable income for the year ended December 31, 1980?

- a. \$200,000
- b. \$290,000
- c. \$300,000
- d. \$330,000

2M81#54. Robert Elk paid \$100,000 for all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Elkom Corp., a Subchapter S corporation established in January 1978. Elkom's operating results and dividend distribution were as follows:

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Taxable income</u> | <u>Net operating loss</u> | <u>Dividend distribu- tion</u> |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| 12/31/78 | | (\$40,000) | |
| 9/30/79 | | | \$20,000 |
| 12/31/79 | \$60,000 | | |
| 12/31/80 | \$30,000 | | |

The basis of Elk's stock on December 31, 1980, is

- a. \$ 50,000
- b. \$100,000
- c. \$130,000
- d. \$150,000

1N80#45. Drury Corporation, a Subchapter S Corporation, had taxable income and current earnings and profits of \$45,000 for the year ended December 31, 1979. Included in the above is \$42,000 excess net long-term capital gain over net short-term capital losses. Drury paid \$4,760 in capital gains taxes for 1979. Cash distributions to Mr. Hoyt, the sole shareholder, totaled \$60,000 during 1979. On December 31, 1978, Drury had accumulated earnings and profits of \$50,000, none of which had been previously taxed. What amount should Hoyt report on his individual income tax return for 1979 as long-term capital gain passed through from Drury?

- a. \$37,240
- b. \$42,000
- c. \$45,000
- d. \$46,760

1N80#46. Redco, Inc., a Subchapter S Corporation, has five equal shareholders. For the year ended December 31, 1979, Redco had taxable income and current earnings and profits of \$100,000. Redco made cash distributions totaling \$40,000 during 1979. For the cal-

endar year 1979, what amount should be included in each shareholder's gross income from Redco?

- a. \$ 7,900
- b. \$ 8,000
- c. \$12,000
- d. \$20,000

2M80#27. The Vanity Corporation was organized and began operations in January 1979. The corporation's ten equal shareholders elected to have Vanity taxed as a Subchapter S Corporation, and such election was approved. For its year ended December 31, 1979, Vanity had taxable income and current earnings and profits of \$80,000 comprised of \$64,000 derived from operations and \$16,000 from short-term capital gains. During 1979 it distributed \$30,000 in cash to its ten shareholders. For 1979 each shareholder should include in his or her respective gross income

- a. Ordinary income of \$3,000.
- b. Ordinary income of \$3,400 and short-term capital gain of \$1,600.
- c. Ordinary income of \$6,400 and short-term capital gain of \$1,600.
- d. Ordinary income of \$8,000.

2M80#33. The Robert Corporation, a calendar-year company, has elected Subchapter S status for the past five years. For the year ended December 31, 1979, Robert had taxable income and current earnings and profits of \$185,000. At December 31, 1978, Robert had undistributed taxable income of \$45,000 earned in 1978. During 1979 Robert made the following cash distributions to its ten equal shareholders who are also on a calendar-year basis:

| | |
|-------------------|------------------|
| January 29, 1979 | \$ 30,000 |
| March 13, 1979 | 20,000 |
| July 8, 1979 | 15,000 |
| December 29, 1979 | 35,000 |
| | <u>\$100,000</u> |

For the calendar year 1979, what amount should be included in each shareholder's gross income from Robert?

- a. \$ 8,500
- b. \$10,000
- c. \$18,500
- d. \$19,000

D. Personal Holding Companies

2N83

Items 56 and 57 are based on the following statements which pertain *either* to the accumulated earnings tax, *or* to the personal holding company tax, *or* to both:

- (1) Imposition of the tax depends on a stock ownership test specified in the statute.

Selected Questions

- (2) Imposition of the tax can be mitigated by sufficient dividend distributions.
- (3) The tax should be self-assessed by filing a separate schedule along with the regular tax return.

57. Which of the foregoing statements pertain to the personal holding company tax?

- a. (1) only.
- b. (2) only.
- c. (3) only.
- d. (1), (2), and (3).

2M83#41. Hedge Holding Corporation has 100 unrelated stockholders, each of whom owns 100 shares of Hedge stock. For the year ended December 31, 1982, Hedge's adjusted gross income consisted of the following:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Dividends from domestic taxable corporations | \$20,000 |
| Interest earned on U.S. Treasury notes | 12,000 |
| Net rental income | 6,000 |

Deductible expenses for 1982 totaled \$8,000. Hedge paid no dividends in 1982. Hedge's liability for personal holding company tax for 1982 will be based on undistributed personal holding company income of

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 7,000
- c. \$13,000
- d. \$30,000

2M81#60. Cromwell Investors, Inc., has ten unrelated equal stockholders. For the year ended June 30, 1980, Cromwell's adjusted gross income comprised the following:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Dividends from domestic taxable corporations | \$10,000 |
| Dividends from savings and loan associations on passbook savings accounts | 1,000 |
| Interest earned on notes receivable | 5,000 |
| Net rental income | 3,000 |

The corporation paid no dividends during the taxable year. Deductible expenses totaled \$4,000 for the year. Cromwell's liability for personal holding company tax for the year will be based on undistributed personal holding company income of

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 3,500
- c. \$ 6,500
- d. \$15,000

E. Accumulated Earnings Tax

2N83

Items 56 and 57 are based on the following statements which pertain *either* to the accumulated earnings tax, *or* to the personal holding company tax, *or* to both:

- (1) Imposition of the tax depends on a stock ownership test specified in the statute.
- (2) Imposition of the tax can be mitigated by sufficient dividend distributions.
- (3) The tax should be self-assessed by filing a separate schedule along with the regular tax return.

56. Which of the foregoing statements pertain to the accumulated earnings tax?

- a. (1) only.
- b. (2) only.
- c. (3) only.
- d. (1), (2), and (3).

2M83#42. The minimum accumulated earnings credit beginning in 1982 is

- a. \$150,000 for all corporations.
- b. \$150,000 for nonservice corporations only.
- c. \$250,000 for all corporations.
- d. \$250,000 for nonservice corporations only.

2M80#25. The Tempest Corporation, **not** a dealer in securities, had accumulated earnings and profits of \$75,000 at the beginning of 1979. The earnings and profits for 1979 were \$25,000. On October 15, 1979, Tempest distributed to its shareholders as a dividend, marketable securities having a fair market value of \$12,000. The securities had cost \$7,000. As a result of the distribution, accumulated earnings and profits were

- a. Increased by \$5,000.
- b. Decreased by \$5,000.
- c. Decreased by \$7,000.
- d. Decreased by \$12,000.

2M80#35. In determining whether a corporation is subject to the accumulated earnings tax, which of the following items is **not** a subtraction in arriving at accumulated taxable income?

- a. Federal income tax.
- b. Capital loss carryback.
- c. Dividends paid deduction.
- d. Accumulated earnings credit.

F. Distributions

2N83#60. Lara Corporation's stock is owned by Toty, Inc., a Delaware corporation. At December 31, 1982, the close of Lara's taxable year, Lara had earnings and profits of \$90,000. In December 1982, Lara made a distribution of land to Toty. Lara's adjusted basis for this land was \$25,000, while the land's fair market value at the date of distribution was \$40,000. Lara had no

Accounting Practice

recognized gain or loss on this property distribution. How much of this property distribution should be treated as a dividend in 1982?

- a. \$0
- b. \$15,000
- c. \$25,000
- d. \$40,000

2N82#49. On December 31, 1981, King Corporation distributed to Mary Spencer, its sole shareholder, as a dividend in kind, a tract of land that was not an inventory asset. Immediately prior to the distribution on December 31, 1981, the following data were available:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| Adjusted basis of the land | \$30,000 |
| Fair market value of the land | 25,000 |
| Accumulated earnings and profits | 50,000 |

By how much should the dividend distribution reduce King's accumulated earnings and profits?

- a. \$20,000
- b. \$25,000
- c. \$30,000
- d. \$35,000

2M81#57. On June 30, 1980, Ral Corporation had retained earnings of \$100,000. On that date, it sold a plot of land to a stockholder for \$50,000. Ral had paid \$40,000 for the land in 1975, and it had a fair market value of \$80,000 when the stockholder bought it. The amount of dividend income taxable to the stockholder in 1980 (before the dividend exclusion) is

- a. \$0
- b. \$10,000
- c. \$20,000
- d. \$30,000

1N80#57. Davies Corporation (not a Subchapter S Corporation) had a deficit of \$160,000 at December 31, 1978. Its net income per books was \$80,000 for 1979. Cash dividends on common stock totaling \$40,000 were paid in December 1979. Davies should report the distribution to its shareholders as

- a. Return of capital 100%.
- b. Ordinary dividends 25%; return of capital 75%.
- c. Ordinary dividends 50%; return of capital 50%.
- d. Ordinary dividends 100%.

G. Tax-Free Incorporation

2M83#46. In 1982, Dr. Ernest Griffiths, a cash basis taxpayer, incorporated his medical practice. No liabilities were transferred. The following assets were transferred to the corporation:

| | |
|-------------------|-----------|
| Cash | \$ 20,000 |
| Equipment: | |
| Adjusted basis | 140,000 |
| Fair market value | 180,000 |

Immediately after the transfer, Griffiths owned 100% of the corporation's stock. The corporation's total basis for the transferred assets is

- a. \$140,000
- b. \$160,000
- c. \$180,000
- d. \$200,000

2N82#54. On April 1, 1982, Crowe and Greene formed Apex Corporation. The same day Crowe paid \$150,000 for 500 shares of Apex common stock, and Greene transferred land and building to Apex in exchange for 500 shares of common stock. The land and building had an adjusted basis to Greene of \$120,000, a fair market value of \$200,000, and was subject to a mortgage of \$60,000 on April 1, 1982. The mortgage was assumed by Apex. Apex had no other shares of stock outstanding on April 1, 1982. The basis of the land and building to Apex on April 1, 1982, is

- a. \$ 60,000
- b. \$120,000
- c. \$140,000
- d. \$150,000

2M82#45. On July 1, 1981, Alan Rees, sole proprietor of Kee Nail, transferred all of Kee's assets to Merit, Inc., a new corporation, solely in exchange for a certain percentage of Merit's stock. Al Clyde, who is not related to Rees, bought the rest of Merit's stock on July 1. Merit's outstanding capital stock consisted of 1,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$100 per share. For the transfer of Kee's assets to be tax-free, what is the minimum number of shares of Merit's stock that must be owned by Rees immediately after the exchange?

- a. 500
- b. 501
- c. 800
- d. 801

1N81#46. Roberta Warner and Sally Rogers formed the Acme Corporation on October 1, 1980. On the same date Warner paid \$75,000 cash to Acme for 750 shares of its common stock. Simultaneously, Rogers received 100 shares of Acme's common stock for services rendered. How much should Rogers include as taxable income for 1980, and what will be the basis of her stock?

| | <i>Taxable income</i> | <i>Basis of stock</i> |
|----|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. | \$0 | \$0 |
| b. | \$0 | \$10,000 |
| c. | \$10,000 | \$0 |
| d. | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |

1N80#43. On July 1, 1979, Mr. Grey formed Dover Corporation. The same date Grey paid \$100,000 cash and transferred property with an adjusted basis of \$50,000 to Dover in exchange for 3,000 shares of its common stock. The property had a fair market value of \$85,000 on the date of the exchange. Dover had no

Selected Questions

other shares of common stock outstanding on July 1, 1979. As a result of the above transaction, Grey's basis in his stock and Dover's basis in the property, respectively, are:

- a. \$150,000 and \$50,000
- b. \$150,000 and \$85,000
- c. \$185,000 and \$50,000
- d. \$185,000 and \$85,000

H. Reorganizations

2N82#55. Pursuant to a plan of reorganization adopted in 1981, Summit Corporation exchanged 1,000 shares of its common stock and paid \$40,000 cash for Hansen Corporation assets with an adjusted basis of \$200,000 (fair market value of \$300,000). The 1,000 shares of Summit common stock had a fair market value of \$260,000 on the date of the exchange. What is the basis to Summit of the assets acquired in the exchange?

- a. \$200,000
- b. \$240,000
- c. \$260,000
- d. \$300,000

2M82#46. Pursuant to a tax-free reorganization in 1981, Sandra Peel exchanged 100 shares of Lorna Corporation for 100 shares of Wood Corp., and in addition received \$1,000 cash, which was not in excess of Peel's ratable share of Lorna's undistributed earnings and profits. Peel paid \$20,000 in 1975 for the Lorna stock. The Wood stock had a fair market value of \$24,000 on the date of the exchange. What is the recognized gain to be reported by Peel in 1981?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$1,000 dividend.
- c. \$1,000 long-term capital gain.
- d. \$5,000 long-term capital gain.

2M82#53. In 1976, Celia Mueller bought a \$1,000 bond issued by Disco Corporation, for \$1,100. Instead of paying off the bondholders in cash, Disco issued 100 shares of preferred stock in 1981 for each bond outstanding. The preferred stock had a fair market value of \$15 per share. What is the recognized gain to be reported by Mueller in 1981?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$400 dividend.
- c. \$400 long-term capital gain.
- d. \$500 long-term capital gain.

1N81#41. On July 1, 1980, in connection with a recapitalization of Yorktown Corporation, Robert Moore exchanged 1,000 shares of stock which cost him \$95,000 for 1,000 shares of new stock worth \$108,000 and bonds in the principal amount of \$10,000 with a fair market value of \$10,500. What is the amount of Moore's recognized gain during 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$10,500
- c. \$23,000
- d. \$23,500

2M81#50. Pursuant to a plan of corporate reorganization adopted in 1980, Bart Smith exchanged 1,000 shares of Talbot Corporation common stock that he had purchased for \$150,000, for 1,800 shares of Mark Corporation common stock having a fair market value of \$172,000. As a result of this exchange, Smith's recognized gain and his basis in the Mark Corporation common stock should be

| | <i>Recognized Gain</i> | <i>Basis</i> |
|----|------------------------|--------------|
| a. | \$0 | \$150,000 |
| b. | \$0 | \$172,000 |
| c. | \$22,000 | \$150,000 |
| d. | \$22,000 | \$172,000 |

1N80#49. Pursuant to a plan of reorganization adopted in 1979, Daly Corporation exchanged property with an adjusted basis of \$100,000 for 1,000 shares of the common stock of Galen Corporation. The 1,000 shares of Galen common stock had a fair market value of \$110,000 on the date of the exchange. As a result of this exchange, what is Daly's recognized gain and what is its basis in the Galen common stock, respectively?

- a. \$0 and \$100,000.
- b. \$0 and \$110,000.
- c. \$10,000 and \$100,000.
- d. \$10,000 and \$110,000.

I. Liquidations and Dissolutions

2N83#51. At January 1, 1983, Pearl Corp. owned 90% of the outstanding stock of Seso Corp. Both companies were domestic corporations. Pursuant to a plan of liquidation adopted by Seso in March 1983, Seso distributed all of its property in September 1983, in complete redemption of all its stock, when Seso's accumulated earnings equalled \$18,000. Seso had never been insolvent. Pursuant to the liquidation, Seso transferred to Pearl a parcel of land with a basis of \$10,000 and a fair market value of \$40,000. How much gain must Seso recognize in 1983 on the transfer of this land to Pearl?

- a. \$0
- b. \$18,000
- c. \$27,000
- d. \$30,000

2M83#47. Silva Corporation adopted a one-month complete liquidation plan on July 1, 1982, when Silva's accumulated earnings and profits were \$30,000. John Blum, whose basis in Silva's stock was \$15,000, was Silva's sole stockholder. On July 25, 1982, all of Silva's assets were distributed to Blum in exchange for Blum's stock. These assets comprised the following:

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Cash | \$22,500 |
| Securities (fair market value) | 37,500 |
| Total | \$60,000 |

Accounting Practice

How much should Blum report as dividend income in 1982?

- a. \$0
- b. \$22,500
- c. \$30,000
- d. \$45,000

2M83#48. Carmela Corporation had the following assets on January 2, 1982, the date on which it adopted a 12-month complete liquidation plan:

| | <i>Adjusted basis</i> | <i>Fair market value</i> |
|-----------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Land | \$ 75,000 | \$150,000 |
| Inventory | 43,500 | 66,000 |
| Totals | \$118,500 | \$216,000 |

The land was sold on June 30, 1982, to an unrelated party at a gain of \$75,000. The inventory was sold to various customers during 1982 at an aggregate gain of \$22,500. On December 10, 1982, the remaining asset (cash) was distributed to Carmela's stockholders, and the corporation was liquidated. What is Carmela's recognized gain in 1982?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$22,500 ordinary income.
- c. \$75,000 capital gain.
- d. \$97,500 capital gain.

2N82#59. Edgewood Corporation was liquidated in 1981 by Roberts, its sole shareholder. Pursuant to the liquidation, Roberts' stock in Edgewood was cancelled and he received the following assets on July 15, 1981:

| | <i>Basis to Edgewood</i> | <i>Fair market value</i> |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Cash | \$ 40,000 | \$ 40,000 |
| Accounts receivable | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Inventory | 30,000 | 45,000 |
| Land | 50,000 | 75,000 |
| | \$140,000 | \$180,000 |

How much gain should be recognized by Edgewood Corporation on the liquidation?

- a. \$0
- b. \$15,000
- c. \$25,000
- d. \$40,000

2M82#47. On October 1, 1980, Arosa Corporation adopted a plan for a 12-month, complete liquidation. Land, with an adjusted basis of \$30,000 bought in 1975 for investment, was sold for \$90,000 on December 10, 1980. Negotiations for the sale of this land commenced in September 1980. On November 1, 1981, Arosa dis-

tributed all of its assets to the stockholders. What is Arosa's recognized gain in 1980 on the sale of the land?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$60,000 ordinary income.
- c. \$60,000 long-term capital gain.
- d. \$60,000 Section 1245 gain.

1N81#48. John Gerry, the sole shareholder in Rockville Corporation, elected to liquidate the corporation in a one-month liquidation which was begun and completed within the month of October 1980. Gerry received liquidating distribution during October 1980 as follows:

- Cash of \$6,000.
- Machinery (subject to a \$13,000 lien) with a fair market value of \$40,000.

At the time of liquidation, the basis of Gerry's stock investment in Rockville was \$20,000, and the accumulated earnings and profits of the corporation amounted to \$5,000. How much of the liquidating distribution is taxable to Gerry as ordinary income and as capital gain in 1980?

| | <i>Ordinary income</i> | <i>Capital gain</i> |
|----|------------------------|---------------------|
| a. | \$0 | \$6,000 |
| b. | \$5,000 | \$0 |
| c. | \$5,000 | \$1,000 |
| d. | \$6,000 | \$7,000 |

J. Formation of Partnership

2N83#53. Harry Arch, an attorney, rendered legal services in organizing an oil and gas partnership in 1983. Instead of submitting a bill for his services, Arch accepted a 10% interest in the partnership. Arch's normal charge for the services performed would have been \$10,000. The fair market value of the 10% interest received by Arch was \$12,000. How much should Arch report on his 1983 income tax return?

- a. \$12,000
- b. \$10,000
- c. \$ 2,000
- d. \$0

2N82#58. Browne and Whelan form a partnership on January 1, 1982, agreeing to share capital and profits equally. Browne contributes \$23,000 cash. Whelan contributes land with an adjusted basis to him of \$10,000 and a fair market value of \$25,000 on January 1, 1982. The land is subject to a \$2,000 mortgage which is assumed by the partnership. Whelan's tax basis in the partnership on January 1, 1982, should be

- a. \$ 8,000
- b. \$ 9,000
- c. \$10,000
- d. \$23,000

Selected Questions

2M82#56. On July 1, 1981, Lydia Amador received a 10% interest in the capital of Nido Associates, a partnership, for services rendered. Nido's net assets at July 1 had a basis of \$70,000 and a fair market value of \$100,000. What income must Lydia include in her 1981 tax return for the partnership interest transferred to her by the other partners?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$ 7,000 ordinary income.
- c. \$10,000 ordinary income.
- d. \$10,000 long-term capital gain.

2M82#59. On July 1, 1981, Donald Ambrose was admitted to partnership in the firm of Martin & Matthews. His contribution to capital consisted of 500 shares of stock in Cathcart Corporation, which he bought in 1970 for \$10,000 and which had a fair market value of \$50,000 on July 1, 1981. Ambrose's interest in the partnership's capital and profits is 25%. On July 1, 1981, the fair market value of the partnership's net assets (after Ambrose was admitted) was \$200,000. What was Ambrose's gain in 1981 on the exchange of stock for his partnership interest?

- a. \$0.
- b. \$40,000 ordinary income.
- c. \$40,000 long-term capital gain.
- d. \$40,000 Section 1231 gain.

1N81#49. Jeffrey, the sole proprietor of a hardware business, hired Eastwood on January 1, 1977, for an agreed salary and a promise to give him a 25% ownership interest if he were still employed at the end of three years, and an additional 25% interest if he continued in the business for a second three-year period. On January 1, 1980, a partnership was formed and Eastwood received a 25% interest in the capital and profits of the business. On that date the net worth of the partnership was \$60,000. What is Eastwood's tax basis of his partnership interest at January 1, 1980, and what amount should be added to his taxable income for 1980?

| | <i>Partnership interest</i> | <i>Addition to taxable income</i> |
|----|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| a. | \$0 | \$0 |
| b. | \$15,000 | \$15,000 |
| c. | \$30,000 | \$15,000 |
| d. | \$30,000 | \$30,000 |

K. Basis of Partner's Interest

2N83#54. Ralph Elin contributed a plot of land to the partnership of Anduz & Elin. Elin's adjusted basis for this land was \$50,000, and its fair market value was \$75,000. Under the partnership agreement, Elin's capital account was credited with the full fair market value of the land. Anduz matched Elin's contribution with a \$75,000 cash contribution to the partnership. Thus,

each partner's capital account was credited with \$75,000. Elin and Anduz share profits and losses equally. What is the adjusted basis of Elin's interest in the partnership?

- a. \$25,000
- b. \$37,500
- c. \$50,000
- d. \$75,000

2M83#58. On July 1, 1982, Clark acquired a 20% interest in the partnership of Davis & Denny, by contributing a parcel of land for which his basis was \$8,000. At July 1, 1982, the land had a fair market value of \$20,000 and was subject to a mortgage of \$4,000. Payment of the mortgage was assumed by the partnership. The basis of Clark's interest in the partnership is

- a. \$ 4,000
- b. \$ 4,800
- c. \$16,000
- d. \$16,800

2M82#57. On July 1, 1981, Bertram Bryant acquired a 30% interest in Windward Company, a partnership, by contributing property with an adjusted basis of \$5,000 and a fair market value of \$12,000. The property was subject to a mortgage of \$8,000, which was assumed by Windward. What is Bryant's basis of his interest in Windward?

- a. \$0
- b. \$4,000
- c. \$5,000
- d. \$6,400

L. Basis of Property Contributed to Partnership

2M82#58. On September 1, 1981, James Elton received a 25% capital interest in Bredbo Associates, a partnership, in return for services rendered plus a contribution of assets with a basis to Elton of \$25,000 and a fair market value of \$40,000. The fair market value of Elton's 25% interest was \$50,000. How much is Elton's basis for his interest in Bredbo?

- a. \$25,000
- b. \$35,000
- c. \$40,000
- d. \$50,000

M. Determination of Partners' Taxable Income

2N83#42. On December 31, 1981, Edward Baker gave his son, Allan, a gift of a 50% interest in a partnership in which capital is a material income-producing factor. For the year ended December 31, 1982, the partnership's ordinary income was \$100,000. Edward and Allan were the only partners in 1982. There were no guaranteed payments to partners. Edward's services performed for the partnership were worth a reasonable compensation of \$40,000 for 1982. Allan has never per-

Accounting Practice

formed any services for the partnership. What is Allan's distributive share of partnership income for 1982?

- a. \$20,000
- b. \$30,000
- c. \$40,000
- d. \$50,000

2M83#60. John Albin is a retired partner of Brill & Crum, a personal service partnership. Albin has not rendered any services to Brill & Crum since his retirement in 1975. Under the provisions of Albin's retirement agreement, Brill & Crum is obligated to pay Albin 10% of the partnership's net income each year. In compliance with this agreement, Brill & Crum paid Albin \$25,000 in 1982. How should Albin treat this \$25,000?

- a. Not taxable.
- b. Ordinary income.
- c. Short-term capital gain.
- d. Long-term capital gain.

1N81#53. At December 31, 1979, Burns and Cooper were equal partners in a partnership with net assets having a tax basis and fair market value of \$100,000. On January 1, 1980, Todd contributed securities with a fair market value of \$50,000 (purchased in 1978 at a cost of \$35,000) to become an equal partner in the new firm of Burns, Cooper and Todd. The partnership agreement provided that Todd would report all gain attributable to the pre-contribution appreciation in the securities and that post-contribution appreciation is to be shared equally by the partners. The securities were sold on December 15, 1980, for \$65,000. How much of the partnership's capital gain from the sale of these securities should be allocated to Todd?

- a. \$ 5,000
- b. \$10,000
- c. \$15,000
- d. \$20,000

1N81#59. Nash and Ford are partners who share profits and losses equally. For the year ended December 31, 1980, the partnership had book income of \$80,000 which included the following deductions:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| Guaranteed salaries to partners: | |
| Nash | \$35,000 |
| Ford | 25,000 |
| Contributions | 5,000 |

What amount should be reported as ordinary income on the partnership return for 1980?

- a. \$ 80,000
- b. \$ 85,000
- c. \$140,000
- d. \$145,000

2M81#49. The partnership of Felix and Oscar had the following items of income during the taxable year ended December 31, 1980:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Income from operations | \$156,000 |
| Tax-exempt interest income | 8,000 |
| Dividends from foreign corporations | 6,000 |
| Net rental income | 12,000 |

What is the total ordinary income of the partnership for 1980?

- a. \$170,000
- b. \$174,000
- c. \$176,000
- d. \$182,000

2M81#51. Charles Jordan files his income tax return on a calendar-year basis. He is the principal partner of a partnership reporting on a June 30 fiscal-year basis. Jordan's share of the partnership's ordinary income was \$24,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1979, and \$72,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1980. How much should Jordan report on his 1980 return as his share of taxable ordinary income from the partnership?

- a. \$24,000
- b. \$36,000
- c. \$48,000
- d. \$72,000

2M81#52. Richard Wilson is a partner in the firm of Day and Wilson. His profit and loss sharing ratio is 50%. In 1978 he contributed a capital asset to the business with a basis to him of \$40,000 and a fair market value of \$30,000 to the partnership. His capital account was credited for \$30,000. During 1980 the property was sold for \$36,000. There were no other sales of capital assets in 1980. As a result of the sale, Wilson's share of the partnership's capital asset transaction is a

- a. \$2,000 capital loss.
- b. \$3,000 capital gain.
- c. \$4,000 capital loss.
- d. \$6,000 capital gain.

1N80#58. For the year ended December 31, 1979, the partnership of Murray and Parker had book income of \$100,000 which included the following:

| | |
|--|---------|
| Long-term capital gain (on sale of securities) | \$7,000 |
| Section 1231 loss | (3,000) |
| Dividends qualifying for the \$100 exclusion | 200 |
| Interest paid to partners for use of capital | 12,000 |

The partners share profits and losses equally. What amount of partnership income (excluding all partnership items which must be reported separately) should each partner report in his individual income tax return for 1979?

- a. \$47,900
- b. \$48,000
- c. \$50,000
- d. \$53,900

2M80#30. The partnership of Spencer and Rey realized an ordinary loss of \$42,000 in 1979. Both the partnership and the two partners are on a calendar-year

Selected Questions

basis. The partners share profits and losses equally. At December 31, 1979, Rey had an adjusted basis of \$18,000 for his partnership interest before taking the 1979 loss into consideration. On his individual income tax return for 1979, Rey should deduct

- a. An ordinary loss of \$18,000.
- b. An ordinary loss of \$21,000.
- c. An ordinary loss of \$18,000 and a capital loss of \$3,000.
- d. A capital loss of \$21,000.

2M80#31. The partnership of Truman and Hanover realized the following items of income during the year ended December 31, 1979:

| | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Net income from sales | \$62,000 |
| Dividends from domestic corporations | 4,000 |
| Interest on corporate bonds | 3,000 |
| Net long-term capital gains | 5,000 |
| Net short-term capital gains | 1,000 |
| Net rental income | 7,000 |

Both the partnership and the partners are on a calendar-year basis. The total income which should be reported as ordinary income of the partnership for 1979 is

- a. \$72,000
- b. \$75,000
- c. \$76,000
- d. \$82,000

N. Accounting Periods of Partnership and Partners

1N81#58. Gilroy, a calendar-year taxpayer, is a partner in the firm of Adams and Company which has a fiscal year ending June 30. The partnership agreement provides for Gilroy to receive 25% of the ordinary income of the partnership. Gilroy also receives a guaranteed payment of \$1,000 monthly which is deductible by the partnership. The partnership reported ordinary income of \$88,000 for the year ended June 30, 1980, and \$132,000 for the year ended June 30, 1981. How much should Gilroy report on his 1980 return as total income from the partnership?

- a. \$25,000
- b. \$30,500
- c. \$34,000
- d. \$39,500

O. Partner Dealing with Own Partnership

2N83#52. In computing the ordinary income of a partnership, a deduction is allowed for

- a. The net operating loss deduction.
- b. Contributions to recognized charities.
- c. Partners' personal exemptions.
- d. Guaranteed payments to partners.

2N82#44. Barker owns a 40% interest in the capital and profits of the Murphy and Barker partnership. During 1981 Barker sold securities to the partnership

for their fair market value of \$36,000. Barker's adjusted tax basis in the securities was \$24,000. How much gain (before any long-term capital gain deduction) should Barker recognize on this transaction on his 1981 tax return?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 4,800
- c. \$ 7,200
- d. \$12,000

2N82#47. In computing the ordinary income of a partnership reportable on the partnership return, a deduction is allowed for

- a. Contributions to charitable organizations.
- b. The net operating loss deduction.
- c. A net short-term capital loss.
- d. Guaranteed payments to partners.

1N81#54. On December 1, 1980, Alan Younger, a member of a three-man equal partnership, bought securities from the partnership for \$27,000, their market value. The securities were acquired by the partnership for \$15,000 on March 1, 1980. By what amount will this transaction increase Younger's taxable income for 1980?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 1,600
- c. \$ 4,000
- d. \$12,000

Q. Distributions of Partnership Assets

2N83#58. Magda Shaw's adjusted basis for her partnership interest in Shaw & Zack was \$60,000. In complete liquidation of her interest in Shaw & Zack, Shaw received cash of \$44,000 plus the following assets:

| | |
|------------------|--|
| | <i>Adjusted basis to Shaw & Zack</i> |
| Land — Tract "A" | \$24,000 |
| Land — Tract "B" | 8,000 |

How much is Shaw's basis for Tract "B"?

- a. \$16,000
- b. \$15,000
- c. \$ 8,000
- d. \$ 4,000

2M83#54. Fred Elk's adjusted basis of his partnership interest in Arias & Nido was \$30,000. Elk received a current nonliquidating distribution of \$12,000 cash, plus property with a fair market value of \$26,000 and an adjusted basis to the partnership of \$24,000. How much is Elk's basis for the distributed property?

- a. \$18,000
- b. \$24,000
- c. \$26,000
- d. \$30,000

2M83#55. Daly & Shaw, a partnership, has an H.R. 10 plan. Daly's interest in the partnership is 95%, while Shaw's interest in the partnership is 5%. During 1982, Daly borrowed \$3,800 from the plan, and Shaw borrowed \$200 from the plan. How much of these loans will be automatically treated by the Internal Revenue Service as distributions from the plan?

- a. \$0
- b. \$ 200
- c. \$3,800
- d. \$4,000

2M83#59. At December 31, 1982, Max Curcio's adjusted basis in the partnership of Maduro & Motta was \$36,000. On December 31, 1982, Maduro & Motta distributed cash of \$6,000 and a parcel of land to Curcio in liquidation of Curcio's entire interest in the partnership. The land had an adjusted basis of \$18,000 to the partnership and a fair market value of \$42,000 at December 31, 1982. How much is Curcio's basis in the land?

- a. \$0
- b. \$12,000
- c. \$30,000
- d. \$36,000

1N80#59. Atley had an adjusted basis of \$11,000 for his interest in the Atley and Donald partnership on December 31, 1979. On this date Atley received from the partnership, in complete liquidation of his interest, \$10,000 cash and land with a basis to the partnership of \$2,000 and a fair market value of \$3,000. What is Atley's basis for the land distributed to him?

- a. \$0
- b. \$1,000
- c. \$2,000
- d. \$3,000

R. Termination of Partnership

2M83#53. On June 30, 1982, James Roe sold his interest in the calendar-year partnership of Roe & Doe for \$30,000. Roe's adjusted basis in Roe & Doe at June 30, 1982, was \$7,500 before apportionment of any 1982 partnership income. Roe's distributive share of partnership income up to June 30, 1982, was \$22,500. Roe acquired his interest in the partnership in 1970. How much long-term capital gain should Roe report in 1982 on the sale of his partnership interest?

- a. \$0
- b. \$15,000
- c. \$22,500
- d. \$30,000

2M83#56. Axel, Banner & Carr, a calendar-year partnership, had the following partners since 1970:

| | <u>Partnership interest (%)</u> |
|--------|-------------------------------------|
| Axel | 20 |
| Banner | 20 |
| Carr | 60 |

On October 20, 1982, Axel and Banner sold their partnership interests to Carr and withdrew from participation in the partnership's affairs. At what date was the partnership terminated for tax purposes?

- a. October 1, 1982.
- b. October 20, 1982.
- c. October 31, 1982.
- d. December 31, 1982.

2M83#57. David Beck and Walter Crocker were equal partners in the calendar-year partnership of Beck & Crocker. On July 1, 1982, Beck died. Beck's estate became the successor in interest and continued to share in Beck & Crocker's profits until Beck's entire partnership interest was liquidated on April 30, 1983. At what date was the partnership considered terminated for tax purposes?

- a. April 30, 1983.
- b. December 31, 1982.
- c. July 31, 1982.
- d. July 1, 1982.

2N82#60. On November 30, 1981, Diamond's adjusted basis for his one-third interest in the capital and profits of Peterson and Company was \$95,000 (\$80,000 capital account plus \$15,000 share of partnership liabilities). On that date Diamond sold his partnership interest to Girard for \$120,000 cash and the assumption of Diamond's share of the partnership liabilities. What amount and type of gain should Diamond recognize in 1981 from the sale of his partnership interest?

| | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Type of gain</u> |
|----|---------------|---------------------|
| a. | \$25,000 | Ordinary income |
| b. | \$25,000 | Capital gain |
| c. | \$40,000 | Ordinary income |
| d. | \$40,000 | Capital gain |

1N81#56. On April 1, 1980, George Hart, Jr., acquired a 25% interest in the Wilson, Hart and Company partnership by gift from his father. The partnership interest had been acquired by a \$50,000 cash investment by Hart, Sr., on July 1, 1965. The tax basis of Hart, Sr.'s partnership interest was \$60,000 at the time of the gift. Hart, Jr., sold the 25% partnership interest for \$85,000 on December 17, 1980. What type and amount (before consideration of the capital gain deduction) of capital gain should Hart, Jr., report on his 1980 tax return?

- a. A long-term capital gain of \$25,000.
- b. A short-term capital gain of \$25,000.
- c. A long-term capital gain of \$35,000.
- d. A short-term capital gain of \$35,000.

SELECTED MULTIPLE CHOICE ITEMS — UNOFFICIAL ANSWERS

I. Presentation of Financial Statements or Worksheets

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| C. Statement of Changes in Financial Position 2M83# 24 d 2M83# 25 b | 2M83# 26 b 1N81# 18 d 1N81# 20 c 2M81# 25 c 2M81# 26 d | 2M81# 27 d 2M81# 28 c 2M81# 29 d 2M81# 30 d | 1M80# 19 c 1N79# 18 c 1M79# 16 b 2M79# 20 a |
|--|--|--|--|

II. Measurement, Valuation, Realization, and Presentation of Assets in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| A. Cash 1M83# 20 b 1M82# 6 c 2N81# 2 c 2M80# 1 a | C. Receivables and Accruals 1N83# 19 c 1N83# 21 b 1N83# 22 a 1N82# 3 d 1N82# 6 d 1N82# 18 a 1M82# 3 d 1M82# 4 b 2N81# 1 b 2N81# 8 d 2N81# 17 d 2M81# 5 c 2M81# 19 b 1N80# 8 d 2M80# 17 b 2N79# 13 c | 1M81# 2 d 2M81# 14 a 2M81# 35 c 2N80# 11 b 2M80# 6 a 2N79# 9 a | 1M81# 9 b 1M81# 11 a 2M81# 34 b 1N80# 1 a 2M80# 10 c 2M79# 19 d |
| B. Marketable Securities and Investments 1M83# 2 a 1M83# 3 c 2M83# 10 b 1N82# 2 b 2N82# 8 d 2M82# 2 c 2M82# 10 c 2M82# 12 d 1N81# 3 d 2N81# 7 c 2N81# 11 b 2M81# 4 d 1N80# 12 b 1N80# 13 d 1N80# 20 c 2N80# 13 d 1M80# 15 b 2M79# 3 a 1M79# 8 b 1M79# 9 a | D. Inventories 1N83# 1 b 1N83# 3 b 1N83# 20 c 2N82# 11 b 2M82# 3 a 2M82# 19 a 2N81# 13 b 2N81# 15 d 2N81# 16 b | E. Property, Plant, and Equipment 1N83# 23 c 1N83# 25 d 1N83# 27 c 1N83# 35 b 1M83# 5 b 2M83# 1 c 2M83# 14 c 2M83# 23 d 2M83# 31 c 2M83# 32 a 2M83# 33 d 1N82# 13 a 2N82# 2 b 2N82# 6 a 1M82# 2 b 1M82# 9 c 1M82# 12 d 2N81# 4 b 2N81# 14 c 2N81# 23 b 2N81# 31 b 2N81# 34 c | F. Capitalized Leased Assets 1N83# 28 b 2M83# 21 b 2M82# 18 c 2N81# 9 c 2N81# 28 b |
| | | | G. Intangibles 1N83# 40 a 1M83# 4 c 1M82# 10 b 2M82# 13 c 1N81# 12 c 1N81# 19 c 2N81# 5 c 2N79# 3 b |
| | | | H. Prepaid Expenses and Deferred Charges 1M83# 6 d 2N81# 30 c 1M81# 19 a |

III. Valuation, Recognition, and Presentation of Liabilities in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

| | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| A. Payables and Accruals 1N83# 24 c 1N83# 30 a 2N83# 3 d | 1M83# 1 d 1M83# 7 d 1M83# 9 b 1M83# 13 c 2N82# 12 a 2M82# 20 b | 1M81# 3 d 1M81# 7 c 2M81# 9 d 2M81# 31 d 2M81# 32 c 2M80# 12 c | B. Deferred Revenues 1N83# 4 d 1M83# 16 a 1N82# 5 c |
|--|---|---|---|

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <p>C. Deferred Income Tax Liabilities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1N83# 29 b 1N83# 31 a 1M83# 12 a 2M81# 33 b | <p>E. Bonds Payable</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1N83# 2 a 1N83# 5 d 1N83# 7 c 1M83# 8 b 1M83# 11 c 1M83# 19 b 2M83# 20 c 2N82# 7 a 2N82# 14 b 2N82# 20 c 2M82# 15 a 1N81# 8 a 2N81# 29 c | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1M81# 16 b 2M81# 21 d 2N80# 19 c 2N80# 20 b 1M80# 17 c 1M80# 20 b 2N79# 14 b 1M79# 12 b 2M79# 4 a <p>F. Long-Term Notes Payable</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1N83# 34 d 1M81# 15 a | <p>G. Contingent Liabilities and Commitments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1M83# 10 b 2M83# 8 c 1N82# 7 b 2N82# 15 c 1N81# 1 c 2N81# 12 a 2M81# 7 b 1N80# 2 c 1M80# 4 b |
| <p>D. Capitalized Lease Liability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1N83# 33 d 1N82# 1 c 1N82# 8 a 1M82# 8 a | | | |

IV. Ownership Structure, Presentation, and Valuation of Equity Accounts in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

- | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| <p>A. Preferred and Common Stock</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2M83# 34 d 1N82# 4 a 2N82# 5 c 2M81# 20 b 2M81# 22 b | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2N81# 18 c 2N81# 19 d 2N81# 20 a 2N81# 38 c 2M81# 13 a 2N80# 5 c 2N80# 6 a 2N80# 7 a 2N80# 8 a 2N80# 12 b 2N80# 16 b 2M80# 13 b 1M79# 13 c | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2M80# 19 d 1N79# 20 d 2N79# 8 d 2M79# 1 c | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2N81# 37 c 1M81# 20 d 2N80# 17 c 2N80# 18 a 1M80# 2 a 1M80# 3 b 1N79# 3 d 2N79# 17 b 1M79# 3 b 2M79# 8 d |
| <p>B. Additional Paid-in Capital</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2N81# 21 d 2N81# 22 c | | | |
| <p>C. Retained Earnings and Dividends</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2N83# 6 b 2M83# 5 a 1N82# 9 a 2N82# 1 b 1N81# 11 c | <p>D. Treasury Stock and Other Contra Accounts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1M83# 15 c 1N82# 10 b 2M81# 6 a 2N80# 4 a 2M80# 16 a | <p>F. Reorganization and Change in Entity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1N83# 8 b 2M83# 12 c 2N82# 3 d 2N82# 4 c 1N81# 13 c 2N81# 36 d | <p>E. Stock Options, Warrants, and Rights</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1N82# 17 b 2M81# 10 a 2M81# 11 b 2M81# 12 c |
| | | | <p>G. Partnerships</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2M83# 11 c 1N82# 11 c 1N82# 12 b 2N81# 24 b 2N81# 25 c 2N81# 26 a 2N81# 27 d 2M80# 4 a 2M80# 8 d 2M80# 9 c |

V. Measurement and Presentation of Income and Expense Items, Their Relationship to Matching and Periodicity, and Their Relationship to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

- | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| <p>A. Sales or Revenues</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1N83# 26 c 1N83# 32 b 1N83# 37 a 2N83# 2 b 1M83# 17 d 2M83# 9 d 2M83# 22 c 2M83# 38 b 2M83# 39 c 2M83# 40 d 1N82# 14 c 2N82# 13 b | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1M82# 18 b 1M82# 19 c 2M82# 1 c 2M82# 4 a 2M82# 6 d 2M82# 7 d 2M82# 11 a 1N81# 16 a 2N81# 33 c 2N81# 40 c 1M81# 5 a 2M81# 38 d 1N80# 14 a 1N80# 16 a | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2N80# 10 c 1M80# 14 d 1N79# 14 a 2N79# 4 b 2N79# 11 d 2N79# 20 b 1M79# 11 a 2M79# 6 c | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2M82# 14 a 2N81# 6 b |
| | | | <p>C. Expenses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1N83# 6 b 1N83# 10 c 1N83# 11 d 1N83# 12 a 1N83# 36 a 1N83# 38 c 1N83# 39 d 2M83# 16 b 1M82# 7 a |
| | <p>B. Cost of Goods Sold</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1M83# 18 a 1M82# 13 c | | |

Unofficial Answers

1M82# 14 b
2M82# 17 d
1N81# 7 b
2N81# 10 c
2N81# 32 c
1M81# 14 c
2M81# 2 b
2N80# 14 c
2N80# 15 d
1M80# 11 a
2M80# 2 a
2M80# 3 b
2M80# 20 a
1N79# 15 d
2N79# 16 c
2M79# 5 c
2M79# 11 b
2M79# 14 c
2M79# 16 b

D. Provision for Income Tax

2N83# 8 d
2N83# 9 a
2M83# 36 a
2M83# 37 b
1M82# 15 b
1N81# 9 b
1N79# 12 a
2N79# 12 b
1M79# 2 a

1M83# 14 c
2M83# 18 a
2M83# 35 a
2N82# 19 d
2N81# 35 a
2N81# 39 b
2M81# 39 d
2M81# 40 d
2N80# 2 b
2N80# 3 a
2M79# 13 b

1M81# 13 d
1N80# 3 a
1M80# 1 a
1N79# 1 a

E. Recurring Versus Nonrecurring Transactions and Events

1N83# 13 a
1N83# 14 d

F. Accounting Changes

2N83# 7 c
2M83# 19 b
1N82# 15 c
2M82# 5 b
1M81# 8 b

G. Earnings Per Share

1N83# 15 c
2N82# 17 c
2N82# 18 a
1M82# 11 c
1M81# 12 a
1M81# 18 c
2M81# 23 b
1N80# 10 a
1N79# 5 c
1N79# 6 a
1M79# 4 c

VI. Other Financial Topics

B. Nonmonetary Transactions

1N83# 9 d
1N83# 16 a
1N83# 17 d
2M83# 2 a
1N81# 5 b
2M81# 8 b
1N80# 6 b

C. Interim Financial Statements

2N83# 1 d
2M83# 17 c
1N81# 15 a
1N80# 9 b
1M80# 8 b
1N79# 11 d
1M79# 7 c

D. Historical Cost, Constant Dollar Accounting, and Current Cost

2M83# 15 d
2M82# 8 b
1N81# 6 a
1N81# 17 c
1M81# 1 c
2M81# 1 c
1N80# 18 d
1M80# 12 c

F. Segments and Lines of Business

2N83# 4 c
1N82# 20 d
2M82# 16 d
1M81# 4 a
2M80# 15 c
1N79# 16 a

I. Analysis of Financial Statements

1N83# 18 a
2N83# 19 b
2N83# 20 a
2M83# 28 d
2M83# 29 d
2M83# 30 b
2N82# 9 c
2N82# 10 a
1M82# 16 c
1M82# 17 c
1N81# 10 a
2M81# 15 c
2M81# 16 a
2M81# 17 a
2M81# 18 d
1N80# 5 a
1M80# 7 d
1M80# 13 c
1N79# 7 c
1M79# 6 b

E. Loss or Gain Contingencies

1N82# 19 a
1M82# 20 a
2M81# 37 a

H. Employee Benefits

2M83# 6 c
2M83# 7 b
2M83# 13 c
2N81# 3 c
2M79# 18 c

VII. Cost Accumulation, Planning, and Control

A. Nature of Cost Elements

1N83# 43 a
1N83# 44 d
1M83# 22 d
1M83# 23 c
1M83# 26 d
1M83# 27 c
1M83# 28 d
2N82# 31 a
2N82# 32 a
2N82# 37 c

2N82# 38 c
1M82# 21 d

B. Job Order Costing

1M83# 24 b
1M83# 32 a
1N82# 22 a
1N82# 23 d
1M82# 23 c
1M81# 38 d

C. Process Costing

1N83# 45 b
1N83# 46 c
1M83# 21 d
1M83# 29 a
1N82# 32 c
1N82# 33 d
1M82# 26 a
1M82# 28 c
1N81# 21 c
1M81# 32 a
1N80# 28 d

1N80# 33 c
1M80# 32 c
1M80# 33 b
1N79# 33 d
1N79# 34 a
1M79# 35 c

D. Standard Costing and Variance Analysis

1N83# 47 a
1N83# 48 c

1N83# 49 d
 1M83# 39 a
 2N82# 22 a
 2N82# 24 c
 2N82# 36 b
 1M82# 22 a
 1M82# 25 d
 1M82# 31 b
 1N81# 24 d
 1N81# 26 a
 1N81# 27 a
 1M81# 26 d
 1M81# 27 b
 1M81# 28 a
 1N80# 38 b
 1N80# 39 a
 1M80# 35 d
 1M80# 39 b
 1N79# 37 b
 1N79# 38 c
 1N79# 39 b
 1M79# 37 c
 1M79# 39 d

1N82# 28 c
 1N82# 29 b
 1M82# 27 d
 1M82# 29 d

2N83# 12 d
 1N82# 31 c
 1N82# 39 c
 2N82# 27 a
 2N82# 29 a
 2N82# 33 d
 1M82# 33 c
 1M82# 34 a
 1N81# 30 a
 1N81# 32 a
 1N81# 34 a
 1N81# 35 c
 1M81# 35 b
 1M81# 36 b
 1M81# 40 a
 1N80# 29 b
 1M80# 21 b
 1M80# 26 c
 1M80# 29 d
 1N79# 27 a
 1N79# 28 c
 1M79# 25 a
 1M79# 26 d

1N82# 40 b
 1M82# 36 b
 1M82# 37 a
 1M82# 38 b
 1N81# 36 d
 1N81# 37 b
 1N81# 38 a
 1N81# 39 c
 1M81# 21 d
 1M81# 22 a
 1M81# 30 c
 1M81# 31 a
 1N80# 21 d
 1N80# 22 d
 1N80# 26 c
 1N80# 27 d
 1M80# 22 c
 1M80# 24 d
 1M80# 25 a
 1N79# 23 b
 1N79# 24 a
 1N79# 29 c
 1N79# 30 b
 1M79# 22 c
 1M79# 23 c
 1M79# 27 b
 1M79# 40 c

H. Absorption and Direct Costing

2N83# 15 d
 2N83# 16 b
 1M83# 33 b
 1M83# 34 b
 1N82# 24 a
 1N82# 25 a
 2N82# 30 d
 1M82# 39 a
 1M82# 40 c
 1M81# 23 c

I. Transfer Pricing

1N83# 57 c
 1N83# 58 c

M. Gross Profit Analysis

2N83# 5 b
 1N81# 31 a

E. Joint Costing

1N83# 51 d
 1M83# 35 c
 1M83# 36 c
 2N82# 25 c
 2N82# 26 b
 1N81# 22 c
 1M81# 37 b
 1M81# 39 c
 1N80# 24 b
 1N80# 32 d
 2M80# 28 a
 1N79# 25 c
 1N79# 26 c
 1M79# 24 b

K. Budgeting and Flexible Budgeting

1N83# 41 d
 1N83# 55 d
 1N83# 56 b
 1M83# 31 b
 1N82# 21 c
 1N82# 27 d
 1N82# 30 d
 1N82# 34 c
 1N82# 38 b
 2N82# 23 c
 2N82# 28 c
 1N81# 25 a
 1N81# 28 d
 1N81# 33 d
 1M81# 24 a
 1M81# 25 d
 1M81# 34 c
 1N80# 23 d
 1N80# 25 a
 1M80# 23 c
 1N79# 21 c
 1N79# 22 d
 1N79# 40 c

N. Differential Cost Analysis

1N83# 50 b
 1N83# 59 b
 1N82# 35 b
 2N82# 21 b
 2N82# 35 b
 1M82# 24 a
 1M82# 30 d
 1N81# 23 b
 1N81# 40 b
 1M81# 29 c
 1N80# 31 d
 1M80# 37 a
 1M80# 40 a
 1N79# 36 b
 1M79# 38 d

P. Performance Analysis

2N83# 13 a
 2N83# 14 c

F. By-Product Costing

1N83# 52 b
 1M83# 38 c
 2N82# 39 a
 2N82# 40 c
 1M80# 27 c

Q. Quantitative Techniques for Planning and Control

1N83# 42 a
 1N83# 53 c
 1N83# 60 b
 1M83# 25 d
 2N82# 34 c
 1M82# 35 b
 1N81# 29 b
 1N80# 30 b
 1N80# 36 b
 1N80# 40 d
 1M80# 31 a
 1M80# 36 a
 1M80# 38 d
 1N79# 31 d
 1N79# 32 c
 1M79# 28 d
 1M79# 30 d
 1M79# 33 c

G. Spoilage, Waste, and Scrap

1N83# 54 a
 1M83# 30 d
 1M83# 37 b

L. Breakeven and Cost-Volume-Profit Analysis

2N83# 10 a
 2N83# 11 c

O. Capital Budgeting Techniques

2N83# 17 b
 2N83# 18 c
 1M83# 40 d
 1N82# 26 d
 1N82# 36 c
 1N82# 37 a

VIII. Not-for-Profit and Governmental Accounting

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| <p>A. Fund Accounting</p> <p>2M82#25 b 2M82#28 c 2M82#29 a</p> <p>B. Types of Funds and Fund Accounts</p> <p>2M82#22 a 2M82#23 a</p> | <p>2M82#26 c 2M82#27 a 2M82#32 c 2M82#33 a 2M82#35 a 2M82#36 d 2M82#37 b</p> | <p>C. Presentation of Financial Statements for Various Not-for-Profit and Governmental Organizations</p> <p>2M82#38 b 2M82#39 c</p> | <p>D. Various Types of Not-for-Profit and Governmental Organizations</p> <p>2M82#21 a 2M82#24 c 2M82#30 d 2M82#31 a 2M82#34 c 2M82#40 d</p> |
|--|--|--|--|

IX. Federal Taxation — Individuals

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| <p>A. Inclusions for Gross Income and Adjusted Gross Income</p> <p>2N83#21 a 2N83#33 b 2N83#36 d 2N83#37 a 2N83#38 c 2N83#40 c 1M83#41 a 1M83#42 c 1M83#43 c 1M83#44 b 1M83#45 d 1N82#42 c 1N82#46 b 1N82#47 b 1N82#50 d 1M82#41 d 1M82#42 c 1M82#47 d 1M82#48 c 1M82#58 a 2N81#41 b 2N81#47 b 2N81#59 a 1M81#42 b 1M81#45 a 1M81#51 d 2N80#21 a 2N80#25 a 2N80#28 a 2N80#37 a 2N80#40 d 1M80#42 c 1M80#44 b 1M80#48 c 2N79#25 a 2N79#26 a 2N79#27 b 2N79#28 c 2N79#31 a 2N79#33 c</p> | <p>2N79#40 b 2M79#26 c 2M79#28 b 2M79#38 c</p> <p>B. Exclusions and Other Deductions (including adjustments to arrive at Adjusted Gross Income)</p> <p>2N83#34 b 2N83#35 c 1M83#46 a 1M83#53 b 1N82#44 d 1N82#45 d 1N82#48 a 1N82#58 b 1M82#49 a 2N81#46 c 2N81#60 a 1M81#46 a 1M81#60 a 2N80#31 d 1M80#49 d 1M80#57 c 2M79#22 b 2M79#39 a</p> <p>C. Gain or Loss on Property Transactions</p> <p>2N83#39 d 1M83#47 d 1N82#41 a 1M81#43 a 2N80#22 c 2N80#23 b 1M80#43 d</p> | <p>D. Deductions from Adjusted Gross Income</p> <p>2N83#22 c 2N83#29 c 2N83#30 a 2N83#31 c 2N83#32 b 1M83#49 b 1M83#50 a 1M83#51 d 1M83#52 b 1N82#51 b 1N82#52 b 1M82#51 b 1M82#52 b 1M82#53 b 1M82#54 d 1M82#55 c 2N81#48 a 2N81#51 b 2N81#52 b 2N81#53 c 2N81#55 a 2N81#56 a 1M81#41 d 1M81#50 b 1M81#54 c 1M81#57 b 2N80#38 a 2N80#39 b 2N80#30 b 1M80#50 b 1M80#51 d 1M80#55 b 1M80#56 d 1M80#58 b 2N79#21 b 2N79#35 d 2N79#37 a 2N79#38 c 2M79#31 a 2M79#33 d</p> | <p>2M79#34 c 2M79#35 b</p> <p>E. Filing Status and Exemptions</p> <p>2N83#23 c 2N83#24 b 1M83#56 d 1M83#57 d 1M83#58 a 1M82#43 a 1M82#60 c 1M81#44 c 1M81#55 b 2N80#33 c 1M80#41 d 1M80#60 d 2N79#22 b 2N79#29 b 2M79#21 c 2M79#29 d</p> <p>F. Tax Determination</p> <p>1N82#53 c 1N82#57 c 1M82#59 c 2N81#57 a 2N81#58 b 1M81#58 a</p> <p>H. Effect of Gift and Estate Taxation on Individuals</p> <p>2N83#25 d 2N83#26 b 2N83#27 c 2N83#28 a 1N82#54 c 1N82#55 c 1N82#56 a 1N82#59 b 2N80#29 d</p> |
|---|---|---|---|

X. Federal Taxation—Corporations and Partnerships

A. Determination of Taxable Income or Loss

- 2N83# 43 c
- 2N83# 44 d
- 2N83# 45 a
- 2N83# 47 d
- 2N83# 48 a
- 2N83# 49 b
- 2N83# 50 d
- 2N83# 59 b
- 2M83# 43 d
- 2M83# 44 d
- 2M83# 49 d
- 2M83# 50 a
- 2M83# 52 c
- 2N82# 41 b
- 2N82# 42 a
- 2N82# 43 d
- 2N82# 46 b
- 2N82# 50 b
- 2N82# 51 a
- 2N82# 53 c
- 2M82# 41 b
- 2M82# 42 d
- 2M82# 43 a
- 2M82# 48 d
- 2M82# 49 c
- 2M82# 50 a
- 1N81# 42 b
- 1N81# 43 b
- 1N81# 45 a
- 1N81# 47 c
- 1N81# 50 c
- 1N81# 51 c
- 1N81# 52 d
- 1N81# 57 d
- 2M81# 41 a
- 2M81# 42 a
- 2M81# 44 b
- 2M81# 45 b
- 2M81# 53 a
- 2M81# 56 b
- 2M81# 58 d
- 1N80# 42 d
- 1N80# 44 d
- 1N80# 51 c
- 1N80# 52 d
- 1N80# 53 c
- 1N80# 54 b
- 1N80# 55 b
- 2M80# 21 d
- 2M80# 23 d
- 2M80# 26 d

B. Tax Determination

- 2M80# 32 d
- 2M80# 36 b
- 2M80# 37 a
- 2M80# 38 b
- 2M80# 39 a
- 2M80# 40 c
- 2N83# 55 a
- 2M83# 45 c
- 2N82# 48 c
- 2N82# 57 c
- 2M82# 54 d
- 2M82# 60 c
- 1N81# 55 c
- 2M81# 59 c

C. Subchapter S Corporations

- 2N83# 41 a
- 2N83# 46 a
- 2M83# 51 a
- 2N82# 52 c
- 2N82# 56 d
- 2M82# 51 d
- 2M82# 52 b
- 2M81# 46 c
- 2M81# 47 b
- 2M81# 54 c
- 1N80# 45 a
- 1N80# 46 d
- 2M80# 27 d
- 2M80# 33 c

D. Personal Holding Companies

- 2N83# 57 d
- 2M83# 41 a
- 2M81# 60 a

E. Accumulated Earnings Tax

- 2N83# 56 b
- 2M83# 42 d
- 2M80# 25 c
- 2M80# 35 b

F. Distributions

- 2N83# 60 c
- 2N82# 49 c
- 2M81# 57 d
- 1N80# 57 d

G. Tax-Free Incorporation

- 2M83# 46 b
- 2N82# 54 b
- 2M82# 45 c
- 1N81# 46 d
- 1N80# 43 a

H. Reorganizations

- 2N82# 55 b
- 2M82# 46 b
- 2M82# 53 a
- 1N81# 41 b
- 2M81# 50 a
- 1N80# 49 a

I. Liquidations and Dissolutions

- 2N83# 51 a
- 2M83# 47 c
- 2M83# 48 b
- 2N82# 59 a
- 2M82# 47 c
- 1N81# 48 c

J. Formation of Partnership

- 2N83# 53 a
- 2N82# 58 b
- 2M82# 56 c
- 2M82# 59 a
- 1N81# 49 b

K. Basis of Partner's Interest

- 2N83# 54 c
- 2M83# 58 b
- 2M82# 57 a

L. Basis of Property Contributed to Partnership

- 2M82# 58 b

M. Determination of Partners' Taxable Income

- 2N83# 42 b
- 2M83# 60 b
- 1N81# 53 d
- 1N81# 59 b
- 2M81# 49 b
- 2M81# 51 d
- 2M81# 52 a
- 1N80# 58 a
- 2M80# 30 a
- 2M80# 31 a

N. Accounting Periods of Partnership and Partners

- 1N81# 58 c

O. Partner Dealing with Own Partnership

- 2N83# 52 d
- 2N82# 44 d
- 2N82# 47 d
- 1N81# 54 c

Q. Distributions of Partnership Assets

- 2N83# 58 d
- 2M83# 54 a
- 2M83# 55 d
- 2M83# 59 c
- 1N80# 59 b

R. Termination of Partnership

- 2M83# 53 a
- 2M83# 56 b
- 2M83# 57 a
- 2N82# 60 d
- 1N81# 56 a

PROBLEMS — SELECTED QUESTIONS

I. Presentation of Financial Statements or Worksheets

A. Balance Sheet

1M83

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

Bryant Corporation was incorporated on December 1, 1981, and began operations one week later. Bryant is a nonpublic enterprise. Before closing the books for the fiscal year ended November 30, 1982, Bryant's controller prepared the following financial statements:

Bryant Corporation
BALANCE SHEET
November 30, 1982

Assets

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Current assets | |
| Cash | \$ 150,000 |
| Marketable securities, at cost | 60,000 |
| Accounts receivable | 450,000 |
| Less allowance for doubtful accounts | (59,000) |
| Inventories | 430,000 |
| Prepaid insurance | 15,000 |
| Total current assets | 1,046,000 |
| Property, plant & equipment | 426,000 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (40,000) |
| Research & development costs | 120,000 |
| Total assets | \$1,552,000 |

Liabilities & Stockholders' Equity

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Current liabilities | |
| Accounts payable & accrued expenses | \$ 592,000 |
| Income taxes payable | 224,000 |
| Total current liabilities | 816,000 |
| Stockholders' equity | |
| Common stock, \$10 par value | 400,000 |
| Retained earnings | 336,000 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 736,000 |
| Total liabilities & stockholders' equity | \$1,552,000 |

Bryant Corporation
STATEMENT OF INCOME
For the Year Ended November 30, 1982

| | |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Net sales | \$2,950,000 |
| Operating expenses | |
| Cost of sales | 1,670,000 |
| Selling & administrative | 650,000 |
| Depreciation | 40,000 |
| Research & development | 30,000 |
| Total operating expenses | 2,390,000 |
| Income before income taxes | 560,000 |
| Provision for income taxes | 224,000 |
| Net income | \$ 336,000 |

Bryant is in the process of negotiating a loan for expansion purposes and the bank has requested audited financial statements. During the course of the audit, the following additional information was obtained:

1. The investment portfolio consists of short-term investments in marketable equity securities with a total market valuation of \$55,000 as of November 30, 1982.
2. Based on an aging of the accounts receivable as of November 30, 1982, it was estimated that \$36,000 of the receivables will be uncollectible.
3. Inventories at November 30, 1982, did not include work-in-process inventory costing \$12,000 sent to an outside processor on November 29, 1982.
4. A \$3,000 insurance premium paid on November 30, 1982, on a policy expiring one year later was charged to insurance expense.
5. Bryant adopted a pension plan on June 1, 1982, for eligible employees to be administered by a trustee. Based upon actuarial computations, the first 12 months' normal pension plan cost was estimated at \$45,000.
6. On June 1, 1982, a production machine purchased for \$24,000 was charged to repairs and maintenance. Bryant depreciates machines of this type on the straight-line method over a five-year life, with no salvage value, for financial and tax purposes.

1M83 Number 5 (cont.)

Bryant Corporation
**Worksheet for Balance Sheet
and Income Statement**
November 30, 1982

| <i>Balance Sheet</i> | <i>Unadjusted Balance</i> | <i>Adjustments</i> | | | | <i>Adjusted Balance</i> |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| | | <i>Debit</i> | <i>Credit</i> | | | |
| <i>Assets:</i> | | | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 150,000 | | | | | |
| Marketable securities, at cost | 60,000 | | | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 450,000 | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (59,000) | | | | | |
| Inventories | 430,000 | | | | | |
| Prepaid insurance | 15,000 | | | | | |
| Property, plant & equipment | 426,000 | | | | | |
| Accumulated depreciation | (40,000) | | | | | |
| Research & development costs | 120,000 | | | | | |
| | \$1,552,000 | | | | | |
| <i>Liabilities & Stockholders' Equity:</i> | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable & accrued expenses | \$ (592,000) | | | | | |
| Income taxes payable | (224,000) | | | | | |
| Common stock | (400,000) | | | | | |
| Retained earnings | (336,000) | | | | | |
| | \$ (1,552,000) | | | | | |
| <i>Statement of Income</i> | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$(2,950,000) | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 1,670,000 | | | | | |
| Selling & administrative expenses | 650,000 | | | | | |
| Depreciation expense | 40,000 | | | | | |
| Research & development expense | 30,000 | | | | | |
| Provision for income taxes | 224,000 | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ (336,000) | | | | | |

Selected Questions

7. Research and development costs of \$150,000 were incurred in the development of a patent which Bryant expects to be granted during the fiscal year ending November 30, 1983. Bryant initiated a five-year amortization of the \$150,000 total cost during the fiscal year ended November 30, 1982.

8. During December 1982 a competitor company filed suit against Bryant for patent infringement claiming \$200,000 in damages. Bryant's legal counsel believes that an unfavorable outcome is probable. A reasonable estimate of the court's award to the plaintiff is \$50,000.

9. The 40% effective tax rate was determined to be appropriate for calculating the provision for income

taxes for the fiscal year ended November 30, 1982. Ignore computation of deferred portion of income taxes.

Required:

Go to page 146 and remove tear-out worksheet.

Complete the tear-out worksheet to prepare a corrected balance sheet of Bryant Corporation as of November 30, 1982, and a corrected statement of income for the year ended November 30, 1982. Formal statements and journal entries are not required. Supporting computations should be in good form. Include the completed tear-out worksheet in the proper sequence and turn in with other answer sheets.

Accounting Practice

B. Income Statement

2M82
Number 4 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)

The following information pertains to Woodbine Circle Corporation:

Adjusted Trial Balance
December 31, 1981

| | <u>Dr.</u> | <u>Cr.</u> |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Cash | \$ 500,000 | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 1,500,000 | |
| Inventory | 2,500,000 | |
| Property, plant, and equipment | 15,100,000 | |
| Accumulated depreciation | | \$ 4,900,000 |
| Accounts payable | | 1,400,000 |
| Income taxes payable | | 100,000 |
| Notes payable | | 1,000,000 |
| Common stock (\$1 par value) | | 1,100,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 6,100,000 |
| Retained earnings, 1/1/81 | | 3,000,000 |
| Sales — regular | | 10,000,000 |
| Sales — AL Division | | 2,000,000 |
| Interest on municipal bonds | | 100,000 |
| Cost of sales — regular | 6,200,000 | |
| Cost of sales — AL Division | 900,000 | |
| Administrative expenses — regular | 2,000,000 | |
| Administrative expenses — AL Division | 300,000 | |
| Interest expense — regular | 210,000 | |
| Interest expense — AL Division | 140,000 | |
| Loss on disposal of AL Division | 250,000 | |
| Gain on repurchase of bonds payable | | 300,000 |
| Income tax expense | 400,000 | |
| | <u>\$30,000,000</u> | <u>\$30,000,000</u> |

Other financial data for the year ended December 31, 1981:

Federal income taxes

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Paid on Federal Tax Deposit Forms 503 | \$ 300,000 |
| Accrued | 100,000 |
| Total charged to income tax expense (estimated) | <u>\$ 400,000*</u> |

*Does not properly reflect current or deferred income tax expense or intraperiod income tax allocation for income statement purposes

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Income per tax return | <u>\$2,150,000</u> |
| Tax rate on all types of taxable income | <u>40%</u> |

Timing difference

| | |
|--|------------|
| Depreciation, per financial statements | \$ 600,000 |
| Depreciation, per tax return | 750,000 |

Permanent difference

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Interest on municipal bonds | \$100,000 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|

Discontinued operations

On September 30, 1981, Woodbine sold its Auto Leasing (AL) Division for \$4,000,000. Book value of this business segment was \$4,250,000 at that date. For financial statement purposes, this sale was considered as discontinued operations of a segment of a business. Since there was no phase-out period, the measurement date was September 30, 1981.

Liabilities

On June 30, 1981, Woodbine repurchased \$1,000,000 carrying value of its long-term bonds for \$700,000. All other liabilities mature in 1982.

Capital structure

Common stock, par value \$1 per share, traded on the New York Stock Exchange:

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Number of shares outstanding at 1/1/81 | 900,000 |
| Number of shares sold for \$8 per share on 6/30/81 | 200,000 |
| Number of shares outstanding at 12/31/81 | <u>1,100,000</u> |

Required:

Using the multiple-step format, prepare a formal income statement for Woodbine for the year ended December 31, 1981, together with the appropriate supporting schedules. Recurring and nonrecurring items in the income statement should be properly separated. All income taxes should be appropriately shown.

Selected Questions

C. Statement of Changes in Financial Position

1N82

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)

Presented below are the balance sheets of Farrell Corporation as of December 31, 1981 and 1980, and the statement of income and retained earnings for the year ended December 31, 1981.

Farrell Corporation
BALANCE SHEETS
December 31, 1981 and 1980

| <i>Assets</i> | <i>1981</i> | <i>1980</i> | <i>Increase (Decrease)</i> |
|---|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| Cash | \$ 275,000 | \$ 180,000 | \$ 95,000 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 295,000 | 305,000 | (10,000) |
| Inventories | 549,000 | 431,000 | 118,000 |
| Investment in Hall, Inc., at equity | 73,000 | 60,000 | 13,000 |
| Land | 350,000 | 200,000 | 150,000 |
| Plant and equipment | 624,000 | 606,000 | 18,000 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (139,000) | (107,000) | (32,000) |
| Goodwill | 16,000 | 20,000 | (4,000) |
| Total assets | \$2,043,000 | \$1,695,000 | \$348,000 |
| <i>Liabilities and Stockholders'</i> | | | |
| <i>Equity</i> | | | |
| | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 604,000 | \$ 563,000 | \$ 41,000 |
| Note payable, long-term | 150,000 | — | 150,000 |
| Bonds payable | 160,000 | 210,000 | (50,000) |
| Deferred income taxes | 41,000 | 30,000 | 11,000 |
| Common stock, par value \$10 | 430,000 | 400,000 | 30,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 226,000 | 175,000 | 51,000 |
| Retained earnings | 432,000 | 334,000 | 98,000 |
| Treasury stock, at cost | — | (17,000) | 17,000 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$2,043,000 | \$1,695,000 | \$348,000 |

1N82 Number 4 (cont.)

Farrell Corporation
**STATEMENT OF INCOME
 AND RETAINED EARNINGS**
For the Year Ended December 31, 1981

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Net sales | \$1,950,000 |
| Operating expenses: | |
| Cost of sales | 1,150,000 |
| Selling and administrative expenses | 505,000 |
| Depreciation | 53,000 |
| | <u>1,708,000</u> |
| Operating income | <u>242,000</u> |
| Other (income) expense: | |
| Interest expense | 15,000 |
| Equity in net income of Hall, Inc. | (13,000) |
| Loss on sale of equipment | 5,000 |
| Amortization of goodwill | 4,000 |
| | <u>11,000</u> |
| Income before income taxes | <u>231,000</u> |
| Income taxes: | |
| Current | 79,000 |
| Deferred | 11,000 |
| | <u>90,000</u> |
| Provision for income taxes | <u>90,000</u> |
| Net income | 141,000 |
| Retained earnings, January 1, 1981 | 334,000 |
| | 475,000 |
| Cash dividends, paid August 14, 1981 | 43,000 |
| Retained earnings, December 31, 1981 | <u>\$ 432,000</u> |

Additional information:

- On January 2, 1981, Farrell sold equipment costing \$45,000, with a book value of \$24,000, for \$19,000 cash.
- On April 1, 1981, Farrell issued 1,000 shares of common stock for \$23,000 cash.
- On May 15, 1981, Farrell sold all of its treasury stock for \$25,000 cash.
- On June 1, 1981, individuals holding \$50,000 face value of Farrell's bonds exercised their conversion privilege. Each of the 50 bonds was converted into 40 shares of Farrell's common stock.
- On July 1, 1981, Farrell purchased equipment for \$63,000 cash.
- On December 31, 1981, land with a fair market value of \$150,000 was purchased through the issuance of a long-term note in the amount of \$150,000. The note bears interest at the rate of 15% and is due on December 31, 1986.
- Deferred income taxes represent timing differences relating to the use of accelerated depreciation methods for income tax reporting and the straight-line method for financial statement reporting.

Required:

Using the cash basis approach (funds defined as cash), prepare a statement of changes in financial position of Farrell Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1981.

Selected Questions

2N80

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)

Presented below are comparative statements of financial position of Kenwood Corporation as of December 31, 1979, and December 31, 1978, respectively.

*Kenwood Corporation
Statement of Financial Position*

| | <i>December 31,</i> | | <i>Increase (Decrease)</i> |
|---|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| | <i>1979</i> | <i>1978</i> | |
| <u><i>Assets</i></u> | | | |
| Current assets: | | | |
| Cash | \$ 100,000 | \$ 90,000 | \$ 10,000 |
| Accounts receivable (net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$10,000 and \$8,000, respectively) | 210,000 | 140,000 | 70,000 |
| Inventories | 260,000 | 220,000 | 40,000 |
| Total current assets | 570,000 | 450,000 | 120,000 |
| Land | 325,000 | 200,000 | 125,000 |
| Plant and equipment | 580,000 | 633,000 | (53,000) |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (90,000) | (100,000) | 10,000 |
| Patents | 30,000 | 33,000 | (3,000) |
| Total assets | \$1,415,000 | \$1,216,000 | \$199,000 |
| <u><i>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</i></u> | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 260,000 | \$ 200,000 | \$ 60,000 |
| Accrued expenses | 200,000 | 210,000 | (10,000) |
| Total current liabilities | 460,000 | 410,000 | 50,000 |
| Deferred income taxes | 140,000 | 100,000 | 40,000 |
| Long-term bonds (due December 15, 1990) | 130,000 | 180,000 | (50,000) |
| Total liabilities | 730,000 | 690,000 | 40,000 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | | |
| Common stock, par value \$5, authorized 100,000 shares, issued and outstanding 50,000 and 42,000 shares, respectively | 250,000 | 210,000 | 40,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 233,000 | 170,000 | 63,000 |
| Retained earnings | 202,000 | 146,000 | 56,000 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 685,000 | 526,000 | 159,000 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$1,415,000 | \$1,216,000 | \$199,000 |

2N80 Number 4 (cont.)

Presented below is the income statement of Kenwood Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1979.

Kenwood Corporation
INCOME STATEMENT

For the Year Ended December 31, 1979

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Sales | \$1,000,000 |
| Expenses: | |
| Cost of sales | 560,000 |
| Salary and wages | 190,000 |
| Depreciation | 20,000 |
| Amortization | 3,000 |
| Loss on sale of equipment | 4,000 |
| Interest | 16,000 |
| Miscellaneous | 8,000 |
| Total expenses | 801,000 |
| Income before income taxes and extraordinary item | 199,000 |
| Income taxes | |
| Current | 50,000 |
| Deferred | 40,000 |
| Provision for income taxes | 90,000 |
| Income before extraordinary item | 109,000 |
| Extraordinary item - gain on repurchase of long-term bonds (net of \$10,000 income tax) | 12,000 |
| Net income | \$ 121,000 |
| Earnings per share: | |
| Income before extraordinary item | \$2.21 |
| Extraordinary item | .24 |
| Net income | \$2.45 |

Additional information:

- On February 2, 1979, Kenwood issued a 10% stock dividend to shareholders of record on January 15, 1979. The market price per share of the common stock on February 2, 1979, was \$15.
- On March 1, 1979, Kenwood issued 3,800 shares of common stock for land. The common stock and land had current market values of approximately \$40,000 on March 1, 1979.
- On April 15, 1979, Kenwood repurchased long-term bonds with a face value of \$50,000. The gain of \$22,000 was reported as an extraordinary item on the income statement.
- On June 30, 1979, Kenwood sold equipment costing \$53,000, with a book value of \$23,000, for \$19,000 cash.

- On September 30, 1979, Kenwood declared and paid a \$0.04 per share cash dividend to shareholders of record August 1, 1979.
- On October 10, 1979, Kenwood purchased land for \$85,000 cash.
- Deferred income taxes represent timing differences relating to the use of accelerated depreciation methods for income tax reporting and straight-line depreciation methods for financial statement reporting.

Required:

Using the working-capital concept of funds, prepare a statement of changes in financial position of Kenwood Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1979. (Do not prepare a schedule of changes in working capital.)

D. Statement of Owners' Equity**1N83****Number 4 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)**

Ashwood, Inc., is a public enterprise whose shares are traded in the over-the-counter market. At December 31, 1981, Ashwood had 6,000,000 authorized shares of \$10 par value common stock, of which 2,000,000 shares were issued and outstanding. The stockholders' equity accounts at December 31, 1981, had the following balances:

| | |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| Common stock | \$20,000,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 7,500,000 |
| Retained earnings | 6,500,000 |

Transactions during 1982 and other information relating to the stockholders' equity accounts were as follows:

- On January 5, 1982, Ashwood issued at \$54 per share, 100,000 shares of \$50 par value, 9% cumulative convertible preferred stock. Each share of preferred stock is convertible, at the option of the holder, into two shares of common stock. Ashwood had 600,000 authorized shares of preferred stock. The preferred stock has a liquidation value equal to its par value.
- On February 1, 1982, Ashwood reacquired 20,000 shares of its common stock for \$16 per share. Ashwood uses the cost method to account for treasury stock.
- On April 30, 1982, Ashwood sold 500,000 shares (previously unissued) of \$10 par value common stock to the public at \$17 per share.
- On June 18, 1982, Ashwood declared a cash dividend of \$1 per share of common stock, payable on July 12, 1982, to stockholders of record on July 1, 1982.
- On November 10, 1982, Ashwood sold 10,000 shares of treasury stock for \$21 per share.

Selected Questions

- On December 14, 1982, Ashwood declared the yearly cash dividend on preferred stock, payable on January 14, 1983, to stockholders of record on December 31, 1982.

- On January 20, 1983, before the books were closed for 1982, Ashwood became aware that the ending inventories at December 31, 1981, were understated by \$300,000 (after tax effect on 1981 net income was \$180,000). The appropriate correction entry was recorded the same day.

- After correcting the beginning inventory, net income for 1982 was \$4,500,000.

Required (show supporting computations in good form):

- Prepare a statement of retained earnings for the year ended December 31, 1982. Assume that only single-period financial statements for 1982 are presented.

- Prepare the stockholders' equity section of Ashwood's balance sheet at December 31, 1982.

- Compute the book value per share of common stock at December 31, 1982.

1M80

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

During May 1977 Gilroy, Inc., was organized with 3,000,000 authorized shares of \$10 par value common stock, and 300,000 shares of its common stock were issued for \$3,300,000. Net income through December 31, 1977, was \$125,000.

On July 3, 1978, Gilroy issued 500,000 shares of its common stock for \$6,250,000. A 5% stock dividend was declared on October 2, 1978, and issued on November 6, 1978, to stockholders of record on October 23, 1978. The market value of the common stock was \$11 per share on the declaration date. Gilroy's net income for the year ended December 31, 1978, was \$350,000.

During 1979 Gilroy had the following transactions:

- In February Gilroy reacquired 30,000 shares of its common stock for \$9 per share. Gilroy uses the cost method to account for treasury stock.

- In June Gilroy sold 15,000 shares of its treasury stock for \$12 per share.

- In September each stockholder was issued (for each share held) one stock right to purchase two additional shares of common stock for \$13 per share. The rights expire on December 31, 1979.

- In October 250,000 stock rights were exercised when the market value of the common stock was \$14 per share.

- In November 400,000 stock rights were exercised when the market value of the common stock was \$15 per share.

- On December 15, 1979, Gilroy declared its first cash dividend to stockholders of \$0.20 per share, pay-

able on January 10, 1980, to stockholders of record on December 31, 1979.

- On December 21, 1979, in accordance with the applicable state law, Gilroy formally retired 10,000 shares of its treasury stock and had them revert to an unissued basis. The market value of the common stock was \$16 per share on this date.

- Net income for 1979 was \$750,000.

Required:

Prepare a schedule of all transactions affecting the capital stock (shares and dollar amounts), additional paid-in capital, retained earnings, and the treasury stock (shares and dollar amounts) and the amounts that would be included in Gilroy's balance sheet at December 31, 1977, 1978, and 1979, as a result of the above facts. Show supporting computations in good form.

E. Consolidated Financial Statements or Worksheets

1N83

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

Amboy Corporation acquired all of the outstanding \$10 par voting common stock of Taft, Inc., on January 1, 1982, in exchange for 50,000 shares of its \$10 par voting common stock. On December 31, 1981, Amboy's common stock had a closing market price of \$15 per share on a national stock exchange. The acquisition was appropriately accounted for as a purchase. Both companies continued to operate as separate business entities maintaining separate accounting records with years ending December 31.

On December 31, 1982, after year-end adjustments but before the nominal accounts were closed, the companies had condensed general ledger trial balances as follows:

| | <i>Amboy</i> <i>Dr. (Cr.)</i> | <i>Taft</i> <i>Dr. (Cr.)</i> |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Net sales | \$(1,900,000) | \$(1,500,000) |
| Dividend income from Taft, Inc. | (40,000) | |
| Gain on sale of warehouse | (30,000) | |
| Cost of goods sold | 1,180,000 | 870,000 |
| Operating expenses (includes depreciation) | 550,000 | 440,000 |
| Cash | 285,000 | 150,000 |
| Accounts receivable (net) | 430,000 | 350,000 |
| Inventories | 530,000 | 410,000 |
| Land, plant & equipment | 660,000 | 680,000 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (185,000) | (210,000) |
| Investment in Taft, Inc. (at cost) | 750,000 | |
| Accounts payable & accrued expenses | (670,000) | (594,000) |
| Common stock (\$10 par) | (1,200,000) | (400,000) |
| Additional paid-in capital | (140,000) | (80,000) |
| Retained earnings (1/1/82) | (220,000) | (156,000) |
| Dividends paid | | 40,000 |
| Total | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |

Accounting Practice

1N83 Number 5 (cont.)

*Amboy Corporation and Subsidiary
Consolidated Statement Worksheet
December 31, 1982*

| <i>Income Statement</i> | <i>Amboy Corp.</i> | <i>Taft Inc.</i> | <i>Adjustments and Eliminations</i> | | | | <i>Adjusted Balance</i> |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---------------|--|-------------------------|
| | | | <i>Debit</i> | | <i>Credit</i> | | |
| Net sales | \$(1,900,000) | \$(1,500,000) | | | | | |
| Dividends from Taft | (40,000) | | | | | | |
| Gain on sale of warehouse | (30,000) | | | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 1,180,000 | 870,000 | | | | | |
| Operating expenses (incl. deprec.) | 550,000 | 440,000 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ (240,000) | \$ (190,000) | | | | | |
| <i>Retained Earnings Statement</i> | | | | | | | |
| Balance, 1/1/82 | \$ (220,000) | \$ (156,000) | | | | | |
| Net income | (240,000) | 190,000 | | | | | |
| Dividends paid | | 40,000 | | | | | |
| Balance, 12/31/82 | \$ (460,000) | \$ (306,000) | | | | | |
| <i>Balance Sheet</i> | | | | | | | |
| <i>Assets:</i> | | | | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 285,000 | \$ 150,000 | | | | | |
| Accounts receivable (net) | 430,000 | 350,000 | | | | | |
| Inventories | 530,000 | 410,000 | | | | | |
| Land, plant & equipment | 660,000 | 680,000 | | | | | |
| Accumulated depreciation | (185,000) | (210,000) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Investment in Taft (at cost) | 750,000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | \$ 2,470,000 | \$ 1,380,000 | | | | | |
| <i>Liabilities & Stockholders' Equity:</i> | | | | | | | |
| Accounts pay. & accrued exp. | \$ (670,000) | \$ (594,000) | | | | | |
| Common stock (\$10 par) | (1,200,000) | (400,000) | | | | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | (140,000) | (80,000) | | | | | |
| Retained Earnings | (460,000) | (306,000) | | | | | |
| | \$(2,470,000) | \$(1,380,000) | | | | | |

Selected Questions

Additional information is as follows:

- There were no changes in the common stock and additional paid-in capital accounts during 1982 except the one necessitated by Amboy's acquisition of Taft.

- At the acquisition date the current value of Taft's machinery exceeded its book value by \$54,000. The excess will be amortized over the estimated average remaining life of six years. The fair values of all of Taft's other assets and liabilities were equal to their book values. Any goodwill resulting from the acquisition will be amortized over a 20-year period.

- On July 1, 1982, Amboy sold a warehouse facility to Taft for \$129,000 cash. At the date of sale Amboy's book values were \$33,000 for the land and \$66,000 for the undepreciated cost of the building. Taft allocated the \$129,000 purchase price to the land for \$43,000 and to the building for \$86,000. Taft is depreciating the building over its estimated five-year remaining useful life by the straight-line method with no salvage value.

- During 1982 Amboy purchased merchandise from Taft at an aggregate invoice price of \$180,000, which included a 100% markup on Taft's cost. At December 31, 1982, Amboy owed Taft \$75,000 on these purchases, and \$36,000 of the merchandise purchased remained in Amboy's inventory.

Required:

Go to page 154 and remove tear-out worksheet. Complete the tear-out worksheet to prepare a consolidated income statement and retained earnings statement for the year ended December 31, 1982, and a consolidated balance sheet as at December 31, 1982, for Amboy Corporation and its subsidiary, Taft, Inc. Formal consolidated statements and journal entries are not required. Ignore income tax considerations. Supporting computations should be in good form. Include the completed tear-out worksheet in the proper sequence and turn in with other answer sheets.

1N80

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

Madison, Inc., acquired all of the outstanding \$10 par voting common stock of Adams Corporation on December 31, 1979, in exchange for 90,000 shares of its \$10 par voting common stock in a business combination which meets all of the conditions for a pooling of interests. On the acquisition date, Madison's common stock had a closing market price of \$26 per share on a national stock exchange. Both corporations continued to operate as separate businesses maintaining separate accounting records with years ending December 31.

On December 31, 1979, after the nominal accounts were closed and immediately after acquisition, the condensed balance sheets for both corporations were as follows:

| | <i>Madison</i> | <i>Adams</i> |
|--|----------------|--------------|
| <i>Assets:</i> | | |
| Cash | \$ 750,000 | \$ 300,000 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 1,950,000 | 750,000 |
| Inventories | 2,100,000 | 950,000 |
| Land | 500,000 | 200,000 |
| Depreciable assets, net | 4,160,000 | 1,800,000 |
| Investment in Adams Corporation | 2,205,000 | — |
| Long-term investments and other assets | 785,000 | 350,000 |
| Total assets | \$12,450,000 | \$4,350,000 |
| <i>Liabilities and stockholders' equity:</i> | | |
| Accounts payable and other current liabilities | \$ 1,750,000 | \$ 945,000 |
| Long-term debt | 1,500,000 | 1,200,000 |
| Common stock, par value \$10 per share | 3,000,000 | 900,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,370,000 | 175,000 |
| Retained earnings | 4,830,000 | 1,130,000 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$12,450,000 | \$4,350,000 |

Additional information:

- Madison recorded its investment in Adams at the underlying equity in the net assets of Adams of \$2,205,000.

- On December 31, 1979, Adams' assets and liabilities had fair values equal to the book balances with the exception of Land, which had a fair value of \$400,000.

- Madison's accounting policy is to amortize excess cost over fair market value of net assets acquired over a 40-year period.

- On December 15, 1979, Adams paid a cash dividend of \$3 per share on its common stock.

- Adams' Long-Term Debt consisted of 9%, ten-year bonds, issued at face value on June 30, 1975, and due on June 30, 1985. Interest is paid semiannually on June 30 and December 31. Madison had purchased Adams' bonds at face value of \$250,000. There was no change in Madison's ownership of Adams' bonds through December 31, 1979.

- During the three-month period ended December 31, 1979, Madison purchased merchandise from Adams at an aggregate invoice price of \$600,000. Madison had not paid for the merchandise as of December 31, 1979. The amount of profit realized by Adams on these transactions was \$120,000. At December 31, 1979, one-half of the merchandise remained in Madison's inventory. There were no intercompany merchandise transactions prior to October 1, 1979.

Accounting Practice

1N80 Number 5 (cont.)

Madison, Inc. and Subsidiary
 Consolidated Balance Sheet Worksheet
 December 31, 1979

| | Madison Inc. | Adams Corporation | Adjustments and Eliminations | | | | Consolidated |
|--|--------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--|--------|--|--------------|
| | | | Debit | | Credit | | |
| <i>Assets:</i> | | | | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 750,000 | \$ 300,000 | | | | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 1,950,000 | 750,000 | | | | | |
| Inventories | 2,100,000 | 950,000 | | | | | |
| Land | 500,000 | 200,000 | | | | | |
| Depreciable assets, net | 4,160,000 | 1,800,000 | | | | | |
| Investment in Adams Corp. | 2,205,000 | | | | | | |
| Long-term investments and other assets | 785,000 | 350,000 | | | | | |
| | \$12,450,000 | \$4,350,000 | | | | | |
| <i>Liabilities and Stockholders' equity:</i> | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and other current liabilities | \$ 1,750,000 | \$ 945,000 | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | 1,500,000 | 1,200,000 | | | | | |
| Common stock, \$10 par value | 3,000,000 | 900,000 | | | | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,370,000 | 175,000 | | | | | |
| Retained earnings | 4,830,000 | 1,130,000 | | | | | |
| | \$12,450,000 | \$4,350,000 | | | | | |

Selected Questions

- The 1979 net income amounts per the separate books of Madison and Adams were \$2,100,000 and \$1,125,000, respectively.

- The balances in Retained Earnings at December 31, 1978, were \$1,600,000 and \$275,000 for Madison and Adams, respectively.

Required:

1. Go to page 156 and remove tear-out worksheet. Complete the tear-out worksheet to prepare a consolidated balance sheet of Madison, Inc., and its subsidiary, Adams Corporation, as of December 31, 1979. A formal consolidated balance sheet and journal entries are not required. Supporting computations should be in good form. Include the completed tear-out worksheet in the proper sequence and turn in with other answer sheets.

2. Prepare a formal consolidated statement of retained earnings for the year ended December 31, 1979. Show supporting computations in good form.

2M80

Number 3 (Estimated time — 45 to 55 minutes)

The December 31, 1979, balance sheets of Encanto Corporation and its subsidiary, Norris Corporation, are presented below:

| <u>Assets</u> | <u>Encanto Corporation</u> | <u>Norris Corporation</u> |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Cash | \$ 167,250 | \$101,000 |
| Accounts receivable | 178,450 | 72,000 |
| Notes receivable | 87,500 | 28,000 |
| Dividends receivable | 36,000 | |
| Inventories | 122,000 | 68,000 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 487,000 | 252,000 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (117,000) | (64,000) |
| Investment in Norris Corporation | 240,800 | |
| | <u>\$1,202,000</u> | <u>\$457,000</u> |
| | | |
| <u>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</u> | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 222,000 | \$ 76,000 |
| Notes payable | 79,000 | 89,000 |
| Dividend payable | | 40,000 |
| Common stock, \$10 par value: | | |
| Encanto Corporation | 400,000 | |
| Norris Corporation | | 100,000 |
| Retained earnings: | | |
| Encanto Corporation | 501,000 | |
| Norris Corporation | | 152,000 |
| | <u>\$1,202,000</u> | <u>\$457,000</u> |

Additional information:

- Encanto initially acquired 60% of the outstanding common stock of Norris in 1977. This purchase resulted in no difference between cost and net assets acquired. As of December 31, 1979, the percentage owned is 90 percent. An analysis of the account "Investment in Norris Corporation" is as follows:

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Amount</u> |
|---------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| Dec. 31, 1977 | Acquired 6,000 shares | \$ 70,800 |
| Dec. 31, 1978 | 60% of 1978 net income of \$78,000 | 46,800 |
| Sept. 1, 1979 | Acquired 3,000 shares | 92,000 |
| Dec. 31, 1979 | Subsidiary income for 1979 | 67,200* |
| Dec. 31, 1979 | 90% of dividends declared | (36,000) |
| | | <u>\$240,800</u> |

*Subsidiary income for 1979:

| | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| 60% of \$96,000 | \$57,600 |
| 30% of \$96,000 x 33 1/3% | 9,600 |
| | <u>\$67,200</u> |

Assume that Norris's net income is earned ratably during the year. Amortization of the excess of cost over the net assets acquired is to be recorded over sixty months.

- On December 15, 1979, Norris declared a cash dividend of \$4 per share of common stock, payable to shareholders on January 7, 1980.

- During 1979 Encanto sold merchandise to Norris. Encanto's cost for this merchandise was \$68,000, and the sale was made at 125% of cost. Norris's inventory at December 31, 1979, included merchandise purchased from Encanto at a cost to Norris of \$35,000.

- In December 1978 Norris sold merchandise to Encanto for \$67,000, which was at a markup of 35% over Norris's cost. On January 1, 1979, \$54,000 of this merchandise remained in Encanto's inventory. This merchandise was subsequently sold by Encanto at a profit of \$11,000 during 1979.

- On October 1, 1979, Encanto sold for \$42,000 excess equipment to Norris. Data relating to this equipment is as follows:

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Book value on Encanto's records | \$36,000 |
| Method of depreciation | Straight-line |
| Estimated remaining life on October 1, 1979 | 10 years |

- Near the end of 1979, Norris reduced the balance of its intercompany account payable to Encanto to zero by transferring \$8,000 to Encanto. This payment was still in transit on December 31, 1979.

Required:

Prepare a consolidated balance sheet worksheet of Encanto Corporation and its subsidiary, Norris Corporation, as of December 31, 1979. Formal statements and journal entries are **not** required. Supporting computations should be in good form.

II. Measurement, Valuation, Realization, and Presentation of Assets in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

B. Marketable Securities and Investments

2N83

Number 5 (Estimated time — 40 to 50 minutes)

At December 31, 1982, Winsor Corp. properly reported as current assets the following marketable equity securities:

| | |
|---|-------------------------|
| Bea Corp., 1,000 shares, \$2.40 convertible preferred stock | \$ 40,000 |
| Cha, Inc., 6,000 shares of common stock | 60,000 |
| Dey Co., 2,000 shares of common stock | 55,000 |
| Marketable equity securities at cost | <u>\$155,000</u> |
| Less valuation allowance | <u>7,000</u> |
| Marketable equity securities at market | <u><u>\$148,000</u></u> |

On January 2, 1983, Winsor purchased 100,000 shares of Eddie Corp. common stock for \$1,700,000, representing 30% of Eddie's outstanding common stock and an underlying equity of \$1,400,000 in Eddie's net assets at January 2. Winsor, which had no other financial transactions with Eddie during 1983, amortizes goodwill over a 40-year period. As a result of Winsor's 30% ownership of Eddie, Winsor has the ability to exercise significant influence over Eddie's financial and operating policies.

During 1983, Winsor disposed of the following securities:

- January 18 — sold 2,500 shares of Cha for \$13 per share.
- June 1 — sold 500 shares of Dey, after a 10% stock dividend, for \$21 per share.
- October 1 — converted 500 shares of Bea's preferred stock into 1,500 shares of Bea's common stock, when the market price was \$60 per share for the preferred stock and \$21 per share for the common stock.

The following 1983 dividend information pertains to the stock held by Winsor:

- February 14 — Dey issued a 10% stock dividend, when the market price of Dey's common stock was \$22 per share.

- April 5 and October 5 — Bea paid dividends of \$1.20 per share on its \$2.40 preferred stock, to stockholders of record on March 9 and September 9, respectively. Bea did not pay any dividends on its common stock during 1983.
- June 30 — Cha paid a \$1.00 per share dividend on its common stock.
- March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1 — Eddie paid quarterly dividends of \$0.50 per share on each of these dates. Eddie's net income for the year ended December 31, 1983, was \$1,200,000.

At December 31, 1983, Winsor's management intended to hold the Eddie stock as a long-term investment, with the remaining investments being considered as temporary. Market prices per share of the marketable equity securities were as follows:

| | <u>At December 31,</u> | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | <u>1983</u> | <u>1982</u> |
| Bea Corp. — preferred | \$56 | \$42 |
| Bea Corp. — common | 20 | 18 |
| Cha, Inc. — common | 11 | 11 |
| Dey Co. — common | 22 | 20 |
| Eddie Corp. — common | 16 | 18 |

All of the foregoing stocks are listed on major stock exchanges. Declines in market value from cost would not be considered as permanent declines.

Required:

- Prepare a schedule of Winsor's *current* marketable equity securities at December 31, 1983, including any information necessary to determine the related valuation allowance and unrealized gross gains and losses.
- Prepare a schedule to show the carrying amount of Winsor's *noncurrent* marketable equity securities at December 31, 1983.
- Prepare a schedule showing all income, gains, and losses (realized and unrealized) relating to Winsor's investments, for the year ended December 31, 1983.

Selected Questions

1M81

Number 4 (Estimated time — 45 to 55 minutes)

Number 4 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part a. On June 1, 1979, Warner, Inc., purchased as a long-term investment 800 of the \$1,000 face value, 8% bonds of Universal Corporation for \$738,300. The bonds were purchased to yield 10% interest. Interest is payable semiannually on December 1 and June 1. The bonds mature on June 1, 1984. Warner uses the effective interest method of amortization. On November 1, 1980, Warner sold the bonds for \$785,000. This amount includes the appropriate accrued interest.

Required:

Prepare a schedule showing the income or loss before income taxes from the bond investment that Warner should record for the years ended December 31, 1979, and 1980. Show supporting computations in good form.

Part b. On January 1, 1979, Jeffries, Inc., paid \$700,000 for 10,000 shares of Wolf Company's voting common stock which was a 10% interest in Wolf. At that date the net assets of Wolf totaled \$6,000,000. The fair values of all of Wolf's identifiable assets and liabilities were equal to their book values. Jeffries does not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of Wolf. Jeffries received dividends of \$0.90 per share from Wolf on October 1, 1979. Wolf reported net income of \$400,000 for the year ended December 31, 1979.

On July 1, 1980, Jeffries paid \$2,300,000 for 30,000 additional shares of Wolf Company's voting common stock which represents a 30% investment in Wolf. The fair values of all of Wolf's identifiable assets net of liabilities were equal to their book values of \$6,500,000. As a result of this transaction, Jeffries has the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of Wolf. Jeffries received dividends of \$1.10 per share from Wolf on April 1, 1980, and \$1.35 per share on October 1, 1980. Wolf reported net income of \$500,000 for the year ended December 31, 1980, and \$200,000 for the six months ended December 31, 1980. Jeffries amortizes goodwill over a forty-year period.

Required:

1. Prepare a schedule showing the income or loss before income taxes for the year ended December 31, 1979, that Jeffries should report from its investment in Wolf in its income statement issued in March 1980.

2. During March 1981 Jeffries issues comparative financial statements for 1979 and 1980. Prepare schedules showing the income or loss before income taxes for the years ended December 31, 1979, and 1980, that

Jeffries should report from its investment in Wolf. Show supporting computations in good form.

C. Receivables and Accruals

1M83

Number 4

Number 4 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part a. From inception of operations to December 31, 1981, Harris Corporation provided for uncollectible accounts receivable under the allowance method: provisions were made monthly at 2% of credit sales; bad debts written off were charged to the allowance account; recoveries of bad debts previously written off were credited to the allowance account; and, no year-end adjustments to the allowance account were made. Harris's usual credit terms are net 30 days.

The balance in the allowance for doubtful accounts was \$130,000 at January 1, 1982. During 1982 credit sales totaled \$9,000,000, interim provisions for doubtful accounts were made at 2% of credit sales, \$90,000 of bad debts were written off, and recoveries of accounts previously written off amounted to \$15,000. Harris installed a computer facility in November 1982 and an aging of accounts receivable was prepared for the first time as of December 31, 1982. A summary of the aging is as follows:

| <i>Classification by month of sale</i> | <i>Balance in each category</i> | <i>Estimated % uncollectible</i> |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Nov-Dec 1982 | \$1,140,000 | 2% |
| Jul-Oct | 600,000 | 10 |
| Jan-June | 400,000 | 25 |
| Prior to 1/1/82 | 130,000 | 75 |
| | <u>\$2,270,000</u> | |

Based on the review of collectibility of the account balances in the "prior to 1/1/82" aging category, additional receivables totaling \$60,000 were written off as of December 31, 1982. Effective with the year ended December 31, 1982, Harris adopted a new accounting method for estimating the allowance for doubtful accounts at the amount indicated by the year-end aging analysis of accounts receivable.

Required:

1. Prepare a schedule analyzing the changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts for the year ended December 31, 1982. Show supporting computations in good form.

2. Prepare the journal entry for the year-end adjustment to the allowance for doubtful accounts balance as of December 31, 1982.

1M80
Number 4

Number 4 consists of three unrelated parts.

Part a. From inception of operations in 1975, Summit carried no allowance for doubtful accounts. Uncollectible receivables were expensed as written off and recoveries were credited to income as collected. On March 1, 1979 (after the 1978 financial statements were issued), management recognized that Summit's accounting policy with respect to doubtful accounts was not correct, and determined that an allowance for doubtful accounts was necessary. A policy was established to maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts based on Summit's historical bad debt loss percentage applied to year-end accounts receivable. The historical bad debt loss percentage is to be recomputed each year based on all available past years up to a maximum of five years.

Information from Summit's records for five years is as follows:

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Credit Sales</u> | <u>Accounts Written Off</u> | <u>Recoveries</u> |
|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| 1975 | \$1,500,000 | \$15,000 | \$ -0- |
| 1976 | 2,250,000 | 38,000 | 2,700 |
| 1977 | 2,950,000 | 52,000 | 2,500 |
| 1978 | 3,300,000 | 65,000 | 4,800 |
| 1979 | 4,000,000 | 83,000 | 5,000 |

Accounts receivable balances were \$1,250,000 and \$1,460,000 at December 31, 1978, and December 31, 1979, respectively.

Required:

1. Prepare the journal entry, with appropriate explanation, to set up the allowance for doubtful accounts as of January 1, 1979. Show supporting computations in good form.

2. Prepare a schedule analyzing the changes in the Allowance for Doubtful Accounts account for the year ended December 31, 1979. Show supporting computations in good form.

1M79
Number 4 (Estimated time — — 50 to 60 minutes)

Number 4 consists of three unrelated parts.

Part a. At January 1, 1978, the credit balance in the allowance for doubtful accounts of the Master Company was \$400,000. For 1978, the provision for doubtful

accounts is based on a percentage of net sales. Net sales for 1978 were \$50,000,000. Based on the latest available facts, the 1978 provision for doubtful accounts is estimated to be 0.7% of net sales. During 1978, uncollectible receivables amounting to \$410,000 were written off against the allowance for doubtful accounts.

Required:

Prepare a schedule computing the balance in Master's allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31, 1978. Show supporting computations in good form.

Part b. The Guide Company requires additional cash for its business. Guide has decided to use its accounts receivable to raise the additional cash as follows:

- On July 1, 1978, Guide assigned \$200,000 of accounts receivable to the Cell Finance Company. Guide received an advance from Cell of 85% of the assigned accounts receivable less a commission on the advance of 3%. Prior to December 31, 1978, Guide collected \$150,000 on the assigned accounts receivable, and remitted \$160,000 to Cell, \$10,000 of which represented interest on the advance from Cell.

- On December 1, 1978, Guide sold \$300,000 of net accounts receivable to the Factoring Company for \$260,000. The receivables were sold outright on a non-recourse basis.

- On December 31, 1978, an advance of \$100,000 was received from the Domestic Bank by pledging \$120,000 of Guide's accounts receivable. Guide's first payment to Domestic is due on January 30, 1979.

Required:

Prepare a schedule showing the income statement effect for the year ended December 31, 1978, as a result of the above facts. Show supporting computations in good form.

Part c. On January 1, 1977, the Lock Company sold to the Key Company property which originally cost Lock \$600,000. Key gave Lock a \$900,000 noninterest bearing note payable in six equal annual installments of \$150,000, with the first payment due and paid on January 1, 1977. There was no established exchange price for the property and the note has no ready market. The prevailing rate of interest for a note of this type is 12 percent. The present value of an annuity of \$1 in advance for six periods at 12% is 4.605.

Required:

1. Prepare a schedule computing the balance in Lock's net receivables from Key at December 31, 1978, based on the above facts. Show supporting computations in good form.

2. Prepare a schedule showing the income or loss before income taxes for the years ended December 31, 1977, and 1978, that Lock should record as a result of the above facts.

Selected Questions

D. Inventories

1M83

Number 4

Number 4 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part b. On January 1, 1981, Lucas Distributors, Inc., adopted the dollar value LIFO inventory method for income tax and external financial statements reporting purposes. However, Lucas continued to use the FIFO inventory method for internal accounting and management purposes. In applying the LIFO method Lucas uses internal conversion price indexes and the multiple-pools approach under which substantially identical inventory items are grouped into LIFO inventory pools. The following data were available for Inventory Pool No. 1, which is composed of products A and B, for the two years following the adoption of LIFO:

FIFO basis per records

| | <i>Units</i> | <i>Unit cost</i> | <i>Total cost</i> |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Inventory, 1/1/81 | | | |
| Product A | 12,000 | \$30 | \$360,000 |
| Product B | 8,000 | 25 | 200,000 |
| | | | \$560,000 |
| Inventory, 12/31/81 | | | |
| Product A | 17,000 | 35 | \$595,000 |
| Product B | 9,000 | 28 | 252,000 |
| | | | \$847,000 |
| Inventory, 12/31/82 | | | |
| Product A | 13,000 | 40 | \$520,000 |
| Product B | 10,000 | 32 | 320,000 |
| | | | \$840,000 |

Required:

1. Prepare a schedule to compute the internal conversion price indexes for 1981 and 1982. Round indexes to two decimal places.

2. Prepare a schedule to compute the inventory amounts at December 31, 1981 and 1982, using the dollar value LIFO inventory method.

1N81

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)

Number 4 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part a. On January 1, 1976, Grover Company changed its inventory cost flow method to the LIFO cost method from the FIFO cost method for its raw

materials inventory. The change was made for both financial statement and income tax reporting purposes. Grover uses the multiple-pools approach under which substantially identical raw materials are grouped into LIFO inventory pools; weighted average costs are used in valuing annual incremental layers. The composition of the December 31, 1978, inventory for the Class F inventory pool is as follows:

| | <i>Units</i> | <i>Weighted average unit cost</i> | <i>Total cost</i> |
|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Base year inventory — 1976 | 9,000 | \$10.00 | \$ 90,000 |
| Incremental layer — 1977 | 3,000 | 11.00 | 33,000 |
| Incremental layer — 1978 | 2,000 | 12.50 | 25,000 |
| Inventory, December 31, 1978 | 14,000 | | \$148,000 |

Inventory transactions for the Class F inventory pool during 1979 were as follows:

- On March 1, 1979, 4,800 units were purchased at a unit cost of \$13.50 for \$64,800.
- On September 1, 1979, 7,200 units were purchased at a unit cost of \$14.00 for \$100,800.
- A total of 15,000 units were used for production during 1979.

The following transactions for the Class F inventory pool took place during 1980:

- On January 10, 1980, 7,500 units were purchased at a unit cost of \$14.50 for \$108,750.
- On May 15, 1980, 5,500 units were purchased at a unit cost of \$15.50 for \$85,250.
- On December 29, 1980, 7,000 units were purchased at a unit cost of \$16.00 for \$112,000.
- A total of 16,000 units were used for production during 1980.

Required:

1. Prepare a schedule to compute the inventory (units and dollar amounts) of the Class F inventory pool at December 31, 1979. Show supporting computations in good form.

2. Prepare a schedule to compute the cost of Class F raw materials used in production for the year ended December 31, 1979.

3. Prepare a schedule to compute the inventory (units and dollar amounts) of the Class F inventory pool at December 31, 1980. Show supporting computations in good form.

Part b. Layne Corporation, a manufacturer of small tools, provided the following information from

Accounting Practice

its accounting records for the year ended December 31, 1980:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Inventory at December 31, 1980 (based on physical count of goods in Layne's plant at cost on December 31, 1980) | \$1,750,000 |
| Accounts payable at December 31, 1980 | 1,200,000 |
| Net sales (sales less sales returns) | 8,500,000 |

Additional information is as follows:

1. Included in the physical count were tools billed to a customer F.O.B. shipping point on December 31, 1980. These tools had a cost of \$28,000 and were billed at \$35,000. The shipment was on Layne's loading dock waiting to be picked up by the common carrier.

2. Goods were in transit from a vendor to Layne on December 31, 1980. The invoice cost was \$50,000, and the goods were shipped F.O.B. shipping point on December 29, 1980.

3. Work-in-process inventory costing \$20,000 was sent to an outside processor for plating on December 30, 1980.

4. Tools returned by customers and held pending inspection in the returned goods area on December 31, 1980, were not included in the physical count. On January 8, 1981, the tools costing \$26,000 were inspected and returned to inventory. Credit memos totaling \$40,000 were issued to the customers on the same date.

5. Tools shipped to a customer F.O.B. destination on December 26, 1980, were in transit at December 31, 1980, and had a cost of \$25,000. Upon notification of receipt by the customer on January 2, 1981, Layne issued a sales invoice for \$42,000.

6. Goods, with an invoice cost of \$30,000, received from a vendor at 5:00 P.M. on December 31, 1980, were recorded on a receiving report dated January 2, 1981. The goods were not included in the physical count, but the invoice was included in accounts payable at December 31, 1980.

7. Goods received from a vendor on December 26, 1980, were included in the physical count. However, the related \$60,000 vendor invoice was not included in accounts payable at December 31, 1980, because the accounts payable copy of the receiving report was lost.

8. On January 3, 1981, a monthly freight bill in the amount of \$4,000 was received. The bill specifically related to merchandise purchased in December 1980, one-half of which was still in the inventory at December 31, 1980. The freight charges were not included in either the inventory or in accounts payable at December 31, 1980.

Required:

Using the format shown below, prepare a schedule of adjustments as of December 31, 1980, to the initial amounts per Layne's accounting records. Show separately the effect, if any, of each of the eight transactions on the December 31, 1980, amounts. If the transactions would have no effect on the initial amount shown, state NONE.

| | <i>Inventory</i> | <i>Accounts payable</i> | <i>Net sales</i> |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Initial amounts | \$1,750,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$8,500,000 |
| Adjustments-* | | | |
| increase | | | |
| (decrease) | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| Total adjustments | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Adjusted amounts | \$ _____ | \$ _____ | \$ _____ |

1N79

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

Number 4 consists of three unrelated parts.

Part a. The Frate Company was formed on December 1, 1978. The following information is available from Frate's inventory records for Product Ply:

| | <i>Units</i> | <i>Unit Cost</i> |
|--|--------------|------------------|
| January 1, 1979 (beginning inventory) | 800 | \$ 9.00 |
| Purchases: | | |
| January 5, 1979 | 1,500 | \$10.00 |
| January 25, 1979 | 1,200 | \$10.50 |
| February 16, 1979 | 600 | \$11.00 |
| March 26, 1979 | 900 | \$11.50 |

A physical inventory on March 31, 1979, shows 1,600 units on hand.

Required:

Prepare schedules to compute the ending inventory at March 31, 1979, under each of the following inventory methods:

1. FIFO.
2. LIFO.
3. Weighted average.

Show supporting computations in good form.

Part b. The Red Department Store uses the retail inventory method. Information relating to the computation of the inventory at December 31, 1978, is as follows:

Selected Questions

| | <i>Cost</i> | <i>Retail</i> |
|--|-------------|---------------|
| Inventory at January 1, 1978 | \$ 32,000 | \$ 80,000 |
| Sales | | 600,000 |
| Purchases | 270,000 | 590,000 |
| Freight in | 7,600 | |
| Markups | | 60,000 |
| Markup cancellations | | 10,000 |
| Markdowns | | 25,000 |
| Markdown cancellations | | 5,000 |
| Estimated normal shrinkage is 2% of sales. | | |

Required:

Prepare a schedule to calculate the estimated ending inventory at the lower of average cost or market at December 31, 1978, using the retail inventory method. Show supporting computations in good form.

Part c. On November 21, 1978, a fire at Hodge Company's warehouse caused severe damage to its entire inventory of Product Tex. Hodge estimates that all usable damaged goods can be sold for \$10,000. The following information was available from Hodge's accounting records for Product Tex:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Inventory at November 1, 1978 | \$100,000 |
| Purchases from November 1, 1978, to date of fire | 140,000 |
| Net sales from November 1, 1978, to date of fire | 220,000 |

Based on recent history, Hodge had a gross margin (profit) on Product Tex of 30% of net sales.

Required:

Prepare a schedule to calculate the estimated loss on the inventory in the fire, using the gross margin (profit) method. Show supporting computations in good form.

2M79

Number 3 (Estimated time — — 50 to 60 minutes)

Number 3 consists of three unrelated parts.

Part a. On June 30, 1978, a flash flood damaged the warehouse and factory of Padway Corporation, completely destroying the work-in-process inventory. There was no damage to either the raw materials or finished goods inventories. A physical inventory taken after the flood revealed the following valuations:

| | |
|-----------------|-----------|
| Raw materials | \$ 62,000 |
| Work in process | -0- |
| Finished goods | 119,000 |

The inventory on January 1, 1978, consisted of the following:

| | |
|-----------------|-----------|
| Raw materials | \$ 30,000 |
| Work in process | 100,000 |
| Finished goods | 140,000 |
| | \$270,000 |

A review of the books and records disclosed that the gross profit margin historically approximated 25% of sales. The sales for the first six months of 1978 were \$340,000. Raw material purchases were \$115,000. Direct labor costs for this period were \$80,000, and manufacturing overhead has historically been applied at 50% of direct labor.

Required:

Compute the value of the work-in-process inventory lost at June 30, 1978. Show supporting computations in good form.

Part b. The Supreme Clothing Store values its inventory under the retail inventory method at the lower of cost or market. The following data are available for the month of November 1978:

| | <i>Cost</i> | <i>Selling Price</i> |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Inventory, November 1 | \$ 53,800 | \$ 80,000 |
| Markdowns | | 21,000 |
| Markups | | 29,000 |
| Markdown cancellations | | 13,000 |
| Markup cancellations | | 9,000 |
| Purchases | 173,200 | 223,600 |
| Sales | | 244,000 |
| Purchase returns and allowances | 3,000 | 3,600 |
| Sales returns and allowances | | 12,000 |

Required:

Based upon the data presented above, prepare a schedule in good form to compute the estimated inventory at November 30, 1978, at the lower of cost or market under the retail inventory method.

Part c. The Acute Company manufactures a single product. On December 31, 1975, Acute adopted the dollar-value LIFO inventory method. The inventory on that date using the dollar-value LIFO inventory method was determined to be \$300,000.

Inventory data for succeeding years are as follows:

| <i>Year Ended December 31,</i> | <i>Inventory at Respective Year-End Prices</i> | <i>Relevant Price Index (base year 1975)</i> |
|------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1976 | \$363,000 | 1.10 |
| 1977 | 420,000 | 1.20 |
| 1978 | 430,000 | 1.25 |

Required:

Compute the inventory amounts at December 31, 1976, 1977, and 1978, using the dollar-value LIFO inventory method for each year.

E. Property, Plant, and Equipment

1N82
Number 5

Number 5 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part b. On January 1, 1980, Brock Corporation purchased a tract of land (site number 101) with a building for \$600,000. Additionally, Brock paid a real estate broker's commission of \$36,000, legal fees of \$6,000, and title guarantee insurance of \$18,000. The closing statement indicated that the land value was \$500,000 and the building value was \$100,000. Shortly after acquisition, the building was razed at a cost of \$75,000.

Brock entered into a \$3,000,000 fixed-price contract with Barnett Builders, Inc., on March 1, 1980, for the construction of an office building on land site number 101. The building was completed and occupied on September 30, 1981. Additional construction costs were incurred as follows:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Plans, specifications, and blueprints | \$12,000 |
| Architects' fees for design and supervision | 95,000 |

The building is estimated to have a forty-year life from date of completion and will be depreciated using the 150% declining balance method.

To finance the construction cost, Brock borrowed \$3,000,000 on March 1, 1980. The loan is payable in ten annual installments of \$300,000 plus interest at the rate of 14 percent. Brock's average amounts of accumulated building construction expenditures were as follows:

| | |
|--|------------|
| For the period March 1 to December 31, 1980 | \$ 900,000 |
| For the period January 1 to September 30, 1981 | 2,300,000 |

Required:

1. Prepare a schedule which discloses the individual costs making up the balance in the land account in respect of land site number 101 as of September 30, 1981.
2. Prepare a schedule which discloses the individual costs that should be capitalized in the office building account as of September 30, 1981. Show supporting computations in good form.

3. Prepare a schedule showing the depreciation expense computation of the office building for the year ended December 31, 1981.

2N79
Number 3 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

Selected accounts included in the property, plant and equipment section of the Kingston Corporation's balance sheet at December 31, 1977, had the following balances:

| | |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| Land | \$175,000 |
| Land improvements | 90,000 |
| Buildings | 900,000 |
| Machinery and equipment | 850,000 |

During 1978 the following transactions occurred:

- A tract of land was acquired for \$125,000 as a potential future building site.
- A plant facility consisting of land and building was acquired from the Nostrand Company in exchange for 10,000 shares of Kingston's common stock. On the acquisition date, Kingston's stock had a closing market price of \$45 per share on a national stock exchange. The plant facility was carried on Nostrand's books at \$89,000 for land and \$130,000 for the building at the exchange date. Current appraised values for the land and building, respectively, are \$120,000 and \$240,000.
- Items of machinery and equipment were purchased at a total cost of \$300,000. Additional costs were incurred as follows:

| | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Freight and unloading | \$ 5,000 |
| Sales and use taxes | 12,000 |
| Installation | 25,000 |

- Expenditures totaling \$75,000 were made for new parking lots, streets and sidewalks at the corporation's various plant locations. These expenditures had an estimated useful life of fifteen years.
- A machine costing \$50,000 on January 1, 1970, was scrapped on June 30, 1978. Double-declining-balance depreciation has been recorded on the basis of a ten-year life.
- A machine was sold for \$20,000 on July 1, 1978. Original cost of the machine was \$36,000 on January 1, 1975, and it was depreciated on the straight-line basis over an estimated useful life of seven years and a salvage value of \$1,000.

Required:

1. Prepare a detailed analysis of the changes in each of the following balance sheet accounts for 1978:

| |
|-------------------------|
| Land |
| Land improvements |
| Buildings |
| Machinery and equipment |

Selected Questions

Disregard the related accumulated depreciation accounts.

2. List the items in the fact situation which were not used to determine the answer to 1. above, showing the pertinent amounts and supporting computations in good form for each item. In addition, indicate where, or if, these items should be included in Kingston's financial statements.

1M79
Number 3

Number 3 consists of three unrelated parts.

Part c. The Wing Company purchased a machine on January 1, 1975, for \$240,000. At the date of acquisition, the machine had an estimated useful life of ten years with an estimated salvage value of \$20,000. The machine is being depreciated on a straight-line basis. On January 1, 1978, Wing appropriately adopted the sum-of-the-years-digits method of depreciation for this machine.

Required:

1. Prepare a schedule computing the book value of this machine, net of accumulated depreciation, that would be included in Wing's balance sheet at December 31, 1978. Show supporting computations in good form.
2. Prepare a schedule computing the cumulative effect on prior years of changing to a different depreciation method for the year ended December 31, 1978. Assume that the direct effects of this change are limited to the effect on depreciation and the related tax provision, and that the income tax rate was 50% in all years. Show supporting computations in good form.

F. Capitalized Leased Assets

1M81
Number 5

Number 5 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part b. Dumont Corporation, a lessor of office machines, purchased a new machine for \$500,000 on December 31, 1979, which was delivered the same day (by prior arrangement) to Finley Company, the lessee. The following information relating to the lease transaction is available:

- The leased asset has an estimated useful life of seven years which coincides with the lease term.
- At the end of the lease term, the machine will revert to Dumont, at which time it is expected to have a residual value of \$60,000 (none of which is guaranteed by Finley).
- The 10% investment tax credit on the asset cost is retained by Dumont and is expected to be realized in its 1979 income tax return.
- Dumont's implicit interest rate (on its net investment) is 12%, which is known by Finley.

- Finley's incremental borrowing rate is 14% at December 31, 1979.
- Lease rentals consist of seven equal annual payments, the first of which was paid on December 31, 1979.
- The lease is appropriately accounted for as a direct financing lease by Dumont and as a capital lease by Finley. Both lessor and lessee are calendar-year corporations and depreciate all fixed assets on the straight-line basis.

Information on present value factors is as follows:

| | |
|--|-------|
| Present value of \$1 for seven periods at 12% | 0.452 |
| Present value of \$1 for seven periods at 14% | 0.400 |
| Present value of an annuity of \$1 in advance for seven periods at 12% | 5.111 |
| Present value of an annuity of \$1 in advance for seven periods at 14% | 4.889 |

Required (round all amounts to the nearest dollar):

1. Compute the annual rental under the lease. Show all computations in good form.
2. Compute the amounts of the gross lease rentals receivable and the unearned interest revenue that Dumont should disclose at the inception of the lease on December 31, 1979. Show all computations in good form.
3. What expense should Finley record for the year ended December 31, 1980? Show supporting computations in good form.

G. Intangibles

1N82
Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

Number 5 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part a. Information concerning Tully Corporation's intangible assets is as follows:

- On January 1, 1981, Tully signed an agreement to operate as a franchisee of Rapid Copy Service, Inc., for an initial franchise fee of \$85,000. Of this amount, \$25,000 was paid when the agreement was signed and the balance is payable in four annual payments of \$15,000 each beginning January 1, 1982. The agreement provides that the down payment is not refundable and no future services are required of the franchisor. The present value at January 1, 1981, of the four annual payments discounted at 14% (the implicit rate for a loan of this type) is \$43,700. The agreement also provides that 5% of the revenue from the franchise must be paid to the franchisor annually. Tully's revenue from the franchise for 1981 was \$900,000. Tully estimates the useful life of the franchise to be ten years.

- Tully incurred \$78,000 of experimental and development costs in its laboratory to develop a patent which was granted on January 2, 1981. Legal fees and other costs associated with registration of the patent totaled \$16,400. Tully estimates that the useful life of the patent will be eight years.

- A trademark was purchased from Walton Company for \$40,000 on July 1, 1978. Expenditures for successful litigation in defense of the trademark totaling \$10,000 were paid on July 1, 1981. Tully estimates that the useful life of the trademark will be 20 years from the date of acquisition.

Required:

1. Prepare a schedule showing the intangibles section of Tully's balance sheet at December 31, 1981. Show supporting computations in good form.

2. Prepare a schedule showing all expenses resulting from the transactions that would appear on Tully's income statement for the year ended December 31, 1981. Show supporting computations in good form.

**1M79
Number 3**

Number 3 consists of three unrelated parts.

Part a. On September 1, 1977, the Horn Company purchased 200,000 shares representing 45% of the outstanding stock of Mat Company for cash. As a result of the purchase, Horn has the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of Mat. Goodwill of \$500,000 was appropriately recognized by Horn at the date of the purchase.

On December 1, 1978, Horn purchased 300,000 shares representing 30% of the outstanding stock of Simon Company for cash of \$2,500,000. The stockholders' equity section of Simon's balance sheet at the date of the acquisition was as follows:

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| Common stock, par value \$2.00 a share | \$2,000,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,000,000 |
| Retained earnings | 4,000,000 |
| | <u>\$7,000,000</u> |

Furthermore, at the date of acquisition, the fair value of Simon's property, plant, and equipment, net, was \$3,800,000 whereas the book value was \$3,500,000. For all of the other assets and liabilities of Simon the fair value and book value was equal. As a result of the

transaction, Horn has the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of Simon.

Assume that Horn amortizes goodwill over the maximum period allowed and takes a full year's amortization in the year of purchase.

Required:

Prepare a schedule computing the amount of goodwill and accumulated amortization at December 31, 1978, and the goodwill amortization for the year ended December 31, 1978. Show supporting computations in good form.

Part b. The Barb Company has provided information on intangible assets as follows:

- A patent was purchased from the Lou Company for \$1,500,000 on January 1, 1977. Barb estimated the remaining useful life of the patent to be ten years. The patent was carried in Lou's accounting records at a net book value of \$1,250,000 when Lou sold it to Barb.

- During 1978, a franchise was purchased from the Rink Company for \$500,000. In addition, 5% of revenue from the franchise must be paid to Rink. Revenue from the franchise for 1978 was \$2,000,000. Barb estimates the useful life of the franchise to be ten years and takes a full year's amortization in the year of purchase.

- Barb incurred research and development costs in 1978 as follows:

| | |
|-------------------------|------------------|
| Materials and equipment | \$120,000 |
| Personnel | 140,000 |
| Indirect costs | 60,000 |
| | <u>\$320,000</u> |

Barb estimates that these costs will be recouped by December 31, 1981.

- On January 1, 1978, Barb, based on new events that have occurred in the field, estimates that the remaining life of the patent purchased on January 1, 1977, is only five years from January 1, 1978.

Required:

1. Prepare a schedule showing the intangibles section of Barb's balance sheet at December 31, 1978. Show supporting computations in good form.

2. Prepare a schedule showing the income statement effect for the year ended December 31, 1978, as a result of the above facts. Show supporting computations in good form.

Selected Questions

III. Valuation, Recognition, and Presentation of Liabilities in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

E. Bonds Payable

1N79

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

Number 5 consists of three unrelated parts.

Part a. On January 1, 1979, the Hopewell Company sold its 8% bonds that had a face value of \$1,000,000. Interest is payable at December 31, each year. The bonds mature on January 1, 1989. The bonds were sold to yield a rate of 10%. The present value of an ordinary annuity of \$1 for 10 periods at 10% is 6.1446. The present value of \$1 for 10 periods at 10% is 0.3855.

Required:

Prepare a schedule to compute the total amount received from the sale of the bonds. Show supporting computations in good form.

Part b. On September 1, 1978, the Junction Company sold at 104 (plus accrued interest) four thousand of its 9%, ten-year, \$1,000 face value, nonconvertible bonds with detachable stock warrants. Each bond carried two detachable warrants; each warrant was for one share of common stock, at a specified option price of \$15 per share. Shortly after issuance, the warrants were quoted on the market for \$3 each. No market value can be determined for the bonds above. Interest is payable on December 1 and June 1. Bond issue costs of \$40,000 were incurred.

Required:

Prepare in general journal format the entry to record the issuance of the bonds. Show supporting computations in good form.

Part c. On December 1, 1976, The Cone Company issued its 7%, \$2,000,000 face value bonds for \$2,200,000, plus accrued interest. Interest is payable on November 1 and May 1. On December 31, 1978, the book value of the bonds, inclusive of the unamortized premium, was \$2,100,000. On July 1, 1979, Cone reacquired the bonds at 98, plus accrued interest. Cone appropriately uses the straight-line method for the amortization of bond premium because the results do not materially differ from using the interest method.

Required:

Prepare a schedule to compute the gain or loss on this early extinguishment of debt. Show supporting computations in good form.

G. Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

1M82

Number 4

Number 4 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part b. Greenlaw, Inc., a publishing company, is preparing its December 31, 1981, financial statements and must determine the proper accounting treatment for each of the following situations:

1. Greenlaw sells subscriptions to several magazines for a one-year, two-year, or three-year period. Cash receipts from subscribers are credited to magazine subscriptions collected in advance, and this account had a balance of \$2,400,000 at December 31, 1981. Outstanding subscriptions at December 31, 1981, expire as follows:

During 1982 — \$600,000
During 1983 — 900,000
During 1984 — 400,000

2. On January 2, 1981, Greenlaw discontinued collision, fire, and theft coverage on its delivery vehicles and became self-insured for these risks. Actual losses of \$45,000 during 1981 were charged to delivery expense. The 1980 premium for the discontinued coverage amounted to \$100,000, and the controller wants to set up a reserve for self-insurance by a debit to delivery expense of \$55,000 and a credit to the reserve for self-insurance of \$55,000.

3. A suit for breach of contract seeking damages of \$1,000,000 was filed by an author against Greenlaw on July 1, 1981. The company's legal counsel believes that an unfavorable outcome is probable. A reasonable estimate of the court's award to the plaintiff is in the range between \$100,000 and \$500,000. No amount within this range is a better estimate of potential damages than any other amount.

4. During December 1981 a competitor company filed suit against Greenlaw for industrial espionage claiming \$2,000,000 in damages. In the opinion of management and company counsel, it is reasonably possible that damages will be awarded to the plaintiff. However, the amount of potential damages awarded to the plaintiff cannot be reasonably estimated.

Required:

For each of the situations above, prepare the journal entry that should be recorded as of December 31, 1981, or explain why an entry should not be recorded. Show supporting computations in good form.

IV. Ownership Structure, Presentation, and Valuation of Equity Accounts in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

E. Stock Options, Warrants, and Rights

1N81

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

Number 5 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part a. On January 1, 1978, Holt, Inc., granted stock options to officers and key employees for the purchase of 20,000 shares of the company's \$10 par common stock at \$25 per share. The options were exercisable within a four-year period beginning January 1, 1980, by grantees still in the employ of the company, and expiring December 31, 1983. The market price of Holt's common stock was \$33 per share at the date of grant. Holt prepares a formal journal entry to record this award.

On April 1, 1979, 2,000 option shares were terminated when the employees resigned from the company. The market value of the common stock was \$35 per share on this date.

On March 31, 1980, 12,000 option shares were exercised when the market value of the common stock was \$40 per share.

Required:

Prepare journal entries to record issuance of the stock options, termination of the stock options, exercise of the stock options, and charges to compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 1978, 1979, and 1980. Show supporting computations in good form.

G. Partnerships

1M82

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)

Number 4 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part a. On January 1, 1982, the partners of Allen, Brown, and Cox, who share profits and losses in the ratio of 5:3:2, respectively, decide to liquidate their partnership. The partnership trial balance at this date is as follows:

| | <i>Debit</i> | <i>Credit</i> |
|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Cash | \$ 18,000 | |
| Accounts receivable | 66,000 | |
| Inventory | 52,000 | |
| Machinery and equipment, net | 189,000 | |
| Allen, loan | 30,000 | |
| Accounts payable | | \$ 53,000 |
| Brown, loan | | 20,000 |
| Allen, capital | | 118,000 |
| Brown, capital | | 90,000 |
| Cox, capital | | 74,000 |
| | \$355,000 | \$355,000 |

The partners plan a program of piecemeal conversion of assets in order to minimize liquidation losses. All available cash, less an amount retained to provide for future expenses, is to be distributed to the partners at the end of each month. A summary of the liquidation transactions is as follows:

January 1982:

- a. \$51,000 was collected on accounts receivable; the balance is uncollectible.
- b. \$38,000 was received for the entire inventory.
- c. \$2,000 liquidation expenses were paid.
- d. \$50,000 was paid to outside creditors, after offset of a \$3,000 credit memorandum received on January 11, 1982.
- e. \$10,000 cash was retained in the business at the end of the month for potential unrecorded liabilities and anticipated expenses.

February 1982:

- f. \$4,000 liquidation expenses were paid.
- g. \$6,000 cash was retained in the business at the end of the month for potential unrecorded liabilities and anticipated expenses.

March 1982:

- h. \$146,000 was received on sale of all items of machinery and equipment.
- i. \$5,000 liquidation expenses were paid.
- j. No cash was retained in the business.

Required:

Prepare a schedule to compute safe installment payments to the partners as of January 31, 1982. Show supporting computations in good form.

Selected Questions

V. Measurement and Presentation of Income and Expense Items, Their Relationship to Matching and Periodicity, and Their Relationship to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

A. Sales or Revenues

1M82

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

Number 5 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part a. After a two-year search for a buyer, Hobson, Inc., sold its idle plant facility to Jackson Company for \$700,000 on January 1, 1977. On this date the plant had a depreciated cost on Hobson's books of \$500,000. Under the agreement Jackson paid \$100,000 cash on January 1, 1977, and signed a \$600,000 note bearing interest at 10%. The note was payable in installments of \$100,000, \$200,000, and \$300,000 on January 1, 1978, 1979, and 1980, respectively. The note was secured by a mortgage on the property sold. Hobson appropriately accounted for the sale under the cost recovery method since there was no reasonable basis for estimating the degree of collectibility of the note receivable. Jackson repaid the note with three late installment payments, which were accepted by Hobson, as follows:

| <u>Date of payment</u> | <u>Principal</u> | <u>Interest</u> |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| July 1, 1978 | \$100,000 | \$90,000 |
| December 31, 1979 | 200,000 | 75,000 |
| February 1, 1981 | 300,000 | 32,500 |

On April 1, 1981, Hobson exchanged a tract of land, which it had acquired for \$105,000 as a potential future building site, for a used printing press of Tyler Company, and paid a cash difference of \$30,000. The fair value of the land was \$190,000 on the exchange date based on a recent appraisal. The fair value of the printing press was not reasonably determinable, but it had a depreciated cost of \$210,000 on Tyler's books at April 1, 1981.

Required:

1. Prepare a schedule (using the format shown below) to record the initial transaction for the sale of the idle plant facility, the application of subsequent cash collections on the note, and the necessary journal entry on the date the transaction is complete.

Number 5

Part a.

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Cash received</u> | <u>Note receivable</u> | <u>Idle plant (net)</u> | <u>Deferred income</u> | <u>Income recognized</u> |
|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Dr. (Cr.)</u> | <u>(Credit)</u> | <u>Dr. (Cr.)</u> | <u>(Credit)</u> |
| January 1, 1977 | \$100,000 | | | | |
| July 1, 1978 | 190,000 | | | | |
| December 31, 1979 | 275,000 | | | | |
| February 1, 1981 | 332,500 | | | | |
| February 1, 1981 | | | | | |

2. Prepare the journal entry on Hobson's books to record the exchange transaction with Tyler. Show supporting computations in good form.

1N80

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)

Number 4 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part a. Curtiss Construction Company, Inc., entered into a firm fixed-price contract with Axelrod Associates on July 1, 1977, to construct a four-story office building. At that time, Curtiss estimated that it would take between two and three years to complete the project. The total contract price for construction of the building is \$4,000,000. Curtiss appropriately accounts for this contract under the completed-contract method in its financial statements and for income tax reporting. The building was deemed substantially completed on December 31, 1979. Estimated percentage of completion, accumulated contract costs incurred, estimated costs to complete the contract, and accumulated billings to Axelrod under the contract were as follows:

| | <u>At December 31, 1977</u> | <u>At December 31, 1978</u> | <u>At December 31, 1979</u> |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Percentage of completion | 10% | 60% | 100% |
| Contract costs incurred | \$ 350,000 | \$2,500,000 | \$4,250,000 |
| Estimated costs to complete the contract | \$3,150,000 | \$1,700,000 | — |
| Billings to Axelrod | \$ 720,000 | \$2,160,000 | \$3,600,000 |

Required:

1. Prepare schedules to compute the amount to be shown as "cost of uncompleted contract in excess of related billings" or "billings on uncompleted contract in excess of related costs" at December 31, 1977, 1978, and 1979. Ignore income taxes. Show supporting computations in good form.

2. Prepare schedules to compute the profit or loss to be recognized as a result of this contract for the years ended December 31, 1977, 1978, and 1979. Ignore income taxes. Show supporting computations in good form.

Part b. On April 1, 1979, Butler, Inc., entered into a cost-plus-fixed-fee contract to construct an electric generator for Dalton Corporation. At the contract date, Butler estimated that it would take two years to complete the project at a cost of \$2,000,000. The fixed fee stipulated in the contract is \$300,000. Butler appropriately accounts for this contract under the percentage-of-completion method. During 1979 Butler incurred costs of \$700,000 related to the project, and the estimated cost at December 31, 1979, to complete the contract is \$1,400,000. Dalton was billed \$500,000 under the contract.

Required:

Prepare a schedule to compute the amount of gross profit to be recognized by Butler under the contract for the year ended December 31, 1979. Show supporting computations in good form.

**1M80
Number 4**

Number 4 consists of three unrelated parts.

Part b. On January 1, 1978, the Pitt Company sold a patent to Chatham, Inc., which had a net carrying value on Pitt's books of \$10,000. Chatham gave Pitt an \$80,000 noninterest bearing note payable in five equal annual installments of \$16,000, with the first payment due and paid on January 1, 1979. There was no established exchange price for the patent, and the note has no ready market. The prevailing rate of interest for a note of this type at January 1, 1978, was 12%. Information on present value and future amount factors is as follows:

| | <i>Period</i> | | | | |
|---|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | <u>1</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>3</u> | <u>4</u> | <u>5</u> |
| Present value of \$1 at 12% | 0.89 | 0.80 | 0.71 | 0.64 | 0.57 |
| Present value of an annuity of \$1 at 12% | 0.89 | 1.69 | 2.40 | 3.04 | 3.60 |
| Future amount of \$1 at 12% | 1.12 | 1.25 | 1.40 | 1.57 | 1.76 |
| Future amount of an annuity of \$1 at 12% | 1.00 | 2.12 | 3.37 | 4.78 | 6.35 |

Required:

Prepare a schedule showing the income or loss before income taxes (rounded to the nearest dollar) that Pitt should record for the years ended December 31, 1978, and 1979, as a result of the above facts. Show supporting computations in good form.

Part c. The Maple Corporation sells farm machinery on the installment plan. On July 1, 1979, Maple entered into an installment sale contract with Agriculture, Inc., for an eight-year period. Equal annual payments under the installment sale are \$100,000 and are due on July 1. The first payment was made on July 1, 1979.

Additional information is as follows:

- The amount that would be realized on an outright sale of similar farm machinery is \$556,000.
- The cost of the farm machinery sold to Agriculture is \$417,000.
- The finance charges relating to the installment period are \$244,000 based on a stated interest rate of 12%, which is appropriate.
- Circumstances are such that the collection of the installments due under the contract is reasonably assured.

Required:

What income or loss before income taxes should Maple record for the year ended December 31, 1979, as a result of the above transaction? Show supporting computations in good form.

C. Expenses

**1M82
Number 5**

Number 5 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part b. Foster Corporation, a calendar-year company, adopted a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan on January 1, 1980. Foster's actuarial consulting firm recommended a 6% interest rate as appropriate and, applying an acceptable actuarial method, determined that the past service cost at the date of adoption of the plan is \$300,000. Management decided to amortize the past service cost over 16 years and to fund the past service cost by making equal payments to the pension fund trustee at the end of each of the first 20 years. As of December 31, 1981, no benefits have vested. The normal (current) pension cost is to be funded fully each year. Information provided by the actuarial consultant relating to the pension plan for the years 1980 and 1981 is as follows:

| | <u>1980</u> | <u>1981</u> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Amortization of past service cost | \$29,685 | \$29,685 |
| Funding of past service cost | 26,155 | 26,155 |
| Normal pension cost | 60,000 | 65,000 |

Selected Questions

Required:

1. Prepare schedules to compute the amounts relating to the pension plan that Foster should report on its income statement and balance sheet for 1980 and 1981. Show supporting computations in good form.

2. Compute the minimum and maximum pension cost limits allowable under generally accepted accounting principles for 1980. Show supporting computations in good form.

1M81

Number 5

Number 5 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part a. On February 20, 1980, Riley, Inc., purchased a machine for \$1,200,000 for the purpose of leasing it. The machine is expected to have a ten-year life, no residual value, and will be depreciated on the straight-line basis. The machine was leased to Sutter Company on March 1, 1980, for a four-year period at a monthly rental of \$18,000. There is no provision for the renewal of the lease or purchase of the machine by the lessee at the expiration of the lease term. Riley paid \$60,000 of commissions associated with negotiating the lease in February 1980.

Required:

1. What expense should Sutter record as a result of the above facts for the year ended December 31, 1980? Show supporting computations in good form.

2. What income or loss before income taxes should Riley record as a result of the above facts for the year ended December 31, 1980? Show supporting computations in good form.

D. Provision for Income Tax

2N82

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)

In January 1982, you began the examination of the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 1981, of Howe Corporation, a new audit client. During your examination the following information was disclosed:

- On January 2, 1979, packaging equipment was purchased at a cost of \$450,000. The equipment had an estimated useful life of five years and a salvage value of \$60,000. Howe was entitled to and claimed an investment credit of \$30,000 on its 1979 income tax return. For financial reporting purposes, the investment credit was treated as an offset against the cost of the equipment. The sum-of-the-years' digits method of depreciation was used for income tax reporting and the straight-line method was used on the financial statements.

- On January 3, 1980, \$120,000 was collected in advance rental of a building for a three-year period.

The \$120,000 was reported as taxable income in 1980, but \$80,000 was reported as deferred revenue in 1980 in the financial statements. The building will continue to be rented for the foreseeable future.

- On February 9, 1981, Howe sold land with a book and tax basis of \$300,000 for \$400,000. The gain, reported in full in 1981 on the financial statements, was reported by the installment method on the income tax return equally over a period of ten years and is taxable at the capital gains rate.

- On March 10, 1981, a patent was purchased at a cost of \$68,000. Howe is amortizing the patent over a period of four years on the financial statements and over 17 years on its income tax return. Howe elected to record a full year's amortization in 1981 on both its financial statements and income tax return.

Based on effective income tax rates of 40% on ordinary income and 28% on long-term capital gains, the following federal income tax liabilities were reported on Howe's income tax returns:

| | |
|------|-----------|
| 1979 | \$ 50,000 |
| 1980 | 142,400 |
| 1981 | 101,280 |

Required:

Prepare schedules computing

1. Net deductions for tax reporting purposes, giving rise to interperiod tax allocation on ordinary income for each year ended December 31, 1979, 1980, and 1981.

2. Net deductions for financial statements adjusted for applicable permanent differences, giving rise to interperiod tax allocation on ordinary income for each year ended December 31, 1979, 1980, and 1981.

3. Deferred tax credit at the capital gains rate at December 31, 1981.

4. Total net deferred tax credits and debits at December 31, 1979, 1980, and 1981.

5. Total income tax expense for financial statement purposes for each year ended December 31, 1979, 1980, and 1981.

G. Earnings Per Share

1N81

Number 5

Number 5 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part b. Mason Corporation's capital structure is as follows:

| | <i>December 31</i> | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | <i>1980</i> | <i>1979</i> |
| Outstanding shares of: | | |
| Common stock | 336,000 | 300,000 |
| Nonconvertible preferred stock | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 8% convertible bonds | \$1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 |

The following additional information is available:

- On September 1, 1980, Mason sold 36,000 additional shares of common stock.
- Net income for the year ended December 31, 1980, was \$750,000.
- During 1980 Mason paid dividends of \$3.00 per share on its nonconvertible preferred stock.
- The 8% convertible bonds are convertible into 40 shares of common stock for each \$1,000 bond, and were not considered common stock equivalents at the date of issuance.
- Unexercised stock options to purchase 30,000 shares of common stock at \$22.50 per share were outstanding at the beginning and end of 1980. The average market price of Mason's common stock was \$36 per share during 1980. The market price was \$33 per share at December 31, 1980.
- Warrants to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock at \$38 per share were attached to the preferred

stock at the time of issuance. The warrants, which expire on December 31, 1985, were outstanding at December 31, 1980.

- Mason's effective income tax rate was 40% for 1979 and 1980.

Required (show supporting computations in good form and round earnings per share to the nearest penny):

1. Compute the number of shares which should be used for the computation of primary earnings per common share for the year ended December 31, 1980.
2. Compute the primary earnings per common share for the year ended December 31, 1980.
3. Compute the number of shares which should be used for the computation of fully diluted earnings per common share for the year ended December 31, 1980.
4. Compute the fully diluted earnings per common share for the year ended December 31, 1980.

VII. Cost Accumulation, Planning, and Control

2M83

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)

Melford Hospital operates a general hospital, but rents space and beds to separately owned entities rendering specialized services such as pediatrics and psychiatric. Melford charges each separate entity for common services such as patients' meals and laundry, and for administrative services such as billings and collections. Space and bed rentals are fixed charges for the year, based on bed capacity rented to each entity.

Melford charged the following costs to pediatrics for the year ended June 30, 1982:

| | <u>Patient days</u> <i>(Variable)</i> | <u>Bed capacity</u> <i>(Fixed)</i> |
|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Dietary | \$ 600,000 | — |
| Janitorial | — | \$ 70,000 |
| Laundry | 300,000 | — |
| Laboratory | 450,000 | — |
| Pharmacy | 350,000 | — |
| Repairs and maintenance | — | 30,000 |
| General and administrative | — | 1,300,000 |
| Rent | — | 1,500,000 |
| Billings and collections | 300,000 | — |
| Totals | <u>\$2,000,000</u> | <u>\$2,900,000</u> |

During the year ended June 30, 1982, pediatrics charged each patient an average of \$300 per day, had a capacity of 60 beds, and had revenue of \$6,000,000 for 365 days.

In addition, pediatrics directly employed the following personnel:

| | <i>Annual salaries</i> |
|--------------------|------------------------|
| Supervising nurses | \$25,000 |
| Nurses | 20,000 |
| Aides | 9,000 |

Melford has the following minimum departmental personnel requirements based on total annual patient days:

| <u>Annual patient days</u> | <u>Aides</u> | <u>Nurses</u> | <u>Supervising nurses</u> |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Up to 21,900 | 20 | 10 | 4 |
| 21,901 to 26,000 | 26 | 13 | 4 |
| 26,001 to 29,200 | 30 | 15 | 4 |

These staffing levels represent full-time equivalents. Pediatrics always employs only the minimum number of required full-time equivalent personnel. Salaries of supervising nurses, nurses, and aides are therefore fixed within ranges of annual patient days.

Pediatrics operated at 100% capacity on 90 days during the year ended June 30, 1982. It is estimated that during these 90 days the demand exceeded 20 patients more than capacity. Melford has an additional 20 beds available for rent for the year ending June 30, 1983. Such additional rental would increase pediatrics' fixed charges based on bed capacity.

Required:

- a. Calculate the minimum number of patient days required for pediatrics to break even for the year ending June 30, 1983, if the additional 20 beds are not rented. Patient demand is unknown, but assume that

Selected Questions

revenue per patient day, cost per patient day, cost per bed, and salary rates will remain the same as for the year ended June 30, 1982.

b. Assume that patient demand, revenue per patient day, cost per patient day, cost per bed, and salary rates for the year ending June 30, 1983, remain the same as for the year ended June 30, 1982. Prepare a schedule of increase in revenue and increase in costs for the year ending June 30, 1983, in order to determine the net increase or decrease in earnings from the additional 20 beds if pediatrics rents this extra capacity from Melford.

2M82

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

Number 5 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part a. Lares Confectioners, Inc., makes a candy bar called Rey, which sells for \$.50 per pound. The manufacturing process also yields a product known as Nagu. Without further processing, Nagu sells for \$.10 per pound. With further processing, Nagu sells for \$.30 per pound. During the month of April, total joint manufacturing costs up to the point of separation consisted of the following charges to work in process:

| | |
|------------------|-----------|
| Raw materials | \$150,000 |
| Direct labor | 120,000 |
| Factory overhead | 30,000 |

Production for the month aggregated 394,000 pounds of Rey and 30,000 pounds of Nagu. To complete Nagu during the month of April and obtain a selling price of \$.30 per pound, further processing of Nagu during April would entail the following additional costs:

| | |
|------------------|---------|
| Raw materials | \$2,000 |
| Direct labor | 1,500 |
| Factory overhead | 500 |

Required:

Prepare the April journal entries for Nagu, if Nagu is:

1. Transferred as a by-product at sales value to the warehouse without further processing, with a corresponding reduction of Rey's manufacturing costs.
2. Further processed as a by-product and transferred to the warehouse at net realizable value, with a corresponding reduction of Rey's manufacturing costs.
3. Further processed and transferred to finished goods, with joint costs being allocated between Rey and Nagu based on relative sales value at the split-off point.

Part b. The following information was available from Montero Corporation's books:

| <u>1982</u> | <u>Purchases</u> | <u>Sales</u> |
|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| Jan. | \$42,000 | \$72,000 |
| Feb. | 48,000 | 66,000 |
| Mar. | 36,000 | 60,000 |
| Apr. | 54,000 | 78,000 |

Collections from customers are normally 70% in the month of sale, 20% in the month following the sale, and 9% in the second month following the sale. The balance is expected to be uncollectible. Montero takes full advantage of the 2% discount allowed on purchases paid for by the tenth of the following month. Purchases for May are budgeted at \$60,000, while sales for May are forecasted at \$66,000. Cash disbursements for expenses are expected to be \$14,400 for the month of May. Montero's cash balance at May 1 was \$22,000.

Required:

Prepare the following schedules:

1. Expected cash collections during May.
2. Expected cash disbursements during May.
3. Expected cash balance at May 31.

2N81

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)

Armando Corporation manufactures a product with the following standard costs:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Direct materials - 20 yards @ \$1.35 per yard | \$27 |
| Direct labor - 4 hours @ \$9.00 per hour | 36 |
| Factory overhead - applied at five-sixths of direct labor. Ratio of variable costs to fixed costs: 2 to 1 | <u>30</u> |

Total standard cost per unit of output \$93

Standards are based on normal monthly production involving 2,400 direct labor hours (600 units of output).

The following information pertains to the month of July 1981:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Direct materials purchased - 18,000 yards @ \$1.38 per yard | \$24,840 |
| Direct materials used - 9,500 yards | |
| Direct labor - 2,100 hours @ \$9.15 per hour | 19,215 |
| Actual factory overhead | 16,650 |

500 units of the product were actually produced in July 1981.

Required:

a. Prepare the following schedules computing:

1. Variable factory overhead rate per direct labor hour.

2. Total fixed factory overhead based on normal activity.

b. Prepare the following schedules for the month of July 1981, indicating whether each variance is favorable or unfavorable:

1. Materials price variance (based on purchases).
2. Materials usage variance.
3. Labor rate variance.
4. Labor efficiency variance.
5. Controllable factory overhead variance.
6. Capacity (volume) factory overhead variance.

2M81

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

Vogue Fashions, Inc., manufactures ladies' blouses of one quality, produced in lots to fill each special order from its customers, department stores located in various cities. Vogue sews the particular stores' labels on the blouses. The standard costs for a dozen blouses are:

| | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Direct materials | 24 yards @ \$1.10 | \$26.40 |
| Direct labor | 3 hours @ \$4.90 | 14.70 |
| Manufacturing overhead | 3 hours @ \$4.00 | <u>12.00</u> |
| Standard cost per dozen | | <u><u>\$53.10</u></u> |

During June 1980, Vogue worked on three orders, for which the month's job cost records disclose the following:

| <u>Lot No.</u> | <u>Units in Lot (dozens)</u> | <u>Material Used (yards)</u> | <u>Hours Worked</u> |
|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| 22 | 1,000 | 24,100 | 2,980 |
| 23 | 1,700 | 40,440 | 5,130 |
| 24 | 1,200 | 28,825 | 2,890 |

The following information is also available:

1. Vogue purchased 95,000 yards of material during June at a cost of \$106,400. The materials price variance is recorded when goods are purchased. All inventories are carried at standard cost.
2. Direct labor during June amounted to \$55,000. According to payroll records, production employees were paid \$5.00 per hour.
3. Manufacturing overhead during June amounted to \$45,600.
4. A total of \$576,000 was budgeted for manufacturing overhead for the year 1980, based on estimated production at the plant's normal capacity of 48,000 dozen blouses annually. Manufacturing overhead at this level of production is 40% fixed and 60% variable. Manufacturing overhead is applied on the basis of direct labor hours.
5. There was no work in process at June 1. Dur-

ing June, lots 22 and 23 were completed. All material was issued for lot 24, which was 80% completed as to direct labor.

Required:

- a. Prepare a schedule showing the computation of standard cost of lots 22, 23, and 24 for June 1980.
- b. Prepare a schedule showing the computation of the materials price variance for June 1980. Indicate whether the variance is favorable or unfavorable.
- c. Prepare a schedule showing, for each lot produced during June 1980, computations of the
 1. Materials quantity variance in yards.
 2. Labor efficiency variance in hours.
 3. Labor rate variance in dollars.

Indicate whether each variance is favorable or unfavorable.

d. Prepare a schedule showing computations of the total controllable and noncontrollable (volume) manufacturing overhead variances for June 1980. Indicate whether the variances are favorable or unfavorable.

2N80

Number 3 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)

Number 3 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part a. The Rebecca Corporation is a manufacturer which produces special machines made to customer specifications. All production costs are accumulated by means of a job-order costing system. The following information is available at the beginning of the month of October 1980.

- Direct materials inventory, October 1 \$16,200
- Work-in-process, October 1 3,600

A review of the job-order cost sheets revealed the composition of the work-in-process inventory on October 1, as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Direct materials | \$1,320 |
| Direct labor (300 hours) | 1,500 |
| Factory overhead applied | <u>780</u> |
| | <u><u>\$3,600</u></u> |

Activity during the month of October was as follows:

- Direct materials costing \$20,000 were purchased.
- Direct labor for job orders totaled 3,300 hours at \$5 per hour.
- Factory overhead was applied to production at the rate of \$2.60 per direct labor hour.

On October 31, inventories consisted of the following components:

Selected Questions

| | |
|----------------------------|----------|
| Direct materials inventory | \$17,000 |
| Work-in-process inventory: | |
| Direct materials | \$4,320 |
| Direct labor (500 hours) | 2,500 |
| Factory overhead applied | 1,300 |
| | \$8,120 |

Required:

Prepare in good form a detailed statement of the cost of goods manufactured for the month of October.

Part b. Lakeview Corporation is a manufacturer that uses the weighted-average, process-cost method to account for costs of production. Lakeview manufactures a product that is produced in three separate departments: Molding, Assembling, and Finishing. The following information was obtained for the Assembling Department for the month of June 1980.

Work-in-process, June 1 — 2,000 units composed of the following:

| | <i>Amount</i> | <i>Degree of Completion</i> |
|--|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Transferred in from the Molding Department | \$32,000 | 100% |
| Costs added by the Assembling Department: | | |
| Direct materials | \$20,000 | 100% |
| Direct labor | 7,200 | 60% |
| Factory overhead applied | 5,500 | 50% |
| | 32,700 | |
| Work-in-process, June 1 | \$64,700 | |

The following activity occurred during the month of June:

- 10,000 units were transferred in from the Molding Department at a cost of \$160,000.
- \$150,000 of costs were added by the Assembling Department:

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| Direct materials | \$ 96,000 |
| Direct labor | 36,000 |
| Factory overhead applied | 18,000 |
| | \$150,000 |

- 8,000 units were completed and transferred to the Finishing Department.

At June 30, 4,000 units were still in work-in-process. The degree of completion of work-in-process at June 30, was as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------|-----|
| Direct materials | 90% |
| Direct labor | 70% |
| Factory overhead applied | 35% |

Required:

Prepare in good form a cost of production report for the Assembling Department for the month of June. Show supporting computations in good form. The report should include:

- Equivalent units of production;
- Total manufacturing costs;
- Cost per equivalent unit;
- Dollar amount of ending work-in-process;
- Dollar amount of inventory cost transferred out.

2M80

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

The Adept Company is a manufacturer of two products known as "Prep" and "Pride." Incidental to the production of these two products, it produces a by-product known as "Wilton." The manufacturing process covers two departments, Grading and Saturating.

The manufacturing process begins in the Grading department when raw materials are started in process. Upon completion of processing in the Grading department, the by-product "Wilton" is produced, which accounts for 20% of the material output. This by-product needs no further processing and is transferred to finished goods.

The net realizable value of the by-product "Wilton" is accounted for as a reduction of the cost of materials in the Grading department. The current selling price of "Wilton" is \$1.00 per pound and the estimated selling and delivery costs total ten cents per pound.

The remaining output is transferred to the Saturating department for the final phase of production. In the Saturating department, water is added at the beginning of the production process which results in a 50% gain in weight of the materials in production.

The following information is available for the month of November 1979:

| | <i>November 1</i> | | <i>November 30</i> | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Inventories</i> | <i>Quantity (pounds)</i> | <i>Amount</i> | <i>Quantity (pounds)</i> | <i>Amount</i> |
| Work-in-process: | | | | |
| Grading dept. | None | — | None | None |
| Saturating dept. | 1,600 | \$17,600 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Finished goods: | | | | |
| Prep | 600 | 14,520 | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| Pride | 2,400 | 37,110 | 800 | 800 |
| Wilton | None | — | None | None |

The work-in-process inventory (labor and overhead) in the Saturating department is estimated to be 50% complete both at the beginning and end of November.

Costs of production for November are as follows:

| <u>Costs of Production</u> | <u>Materials Used</u> | <u>Labor and Overhead</u> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Grading department | \$265,680 | \$86,400 |
| Saturating department | — | 86,000 |

The material used in the Grading department weighed 36,000 pounds.

Adept uses the first-in, first-out method of process costing.

Required:

Prepare a cost of production report for both the Grading and Saturating departments for the month of November. Show supporting computations in good form.

The answer should include:

- Equivalent units of production (in pounds);
- Total manufacturing costs;
- Cost per equivalent unit (pounds);
- Dollar amount of ending work in process;
- Dollar amount of inventory cost transferred out.

2N79

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

Part a. The Wing Manufacturing Corporation produces a chemical compound, product X, which deteriorates and must be discarded if it is not sold by the end of the month during which it is produced. The total variable cost of the manufactured compound, product X, is \$50 per unit and its selling price is \$80 per unit. Wing can purchase the same compound from a competing company at \$80 per unit plus \$10 freight per unit. Management has estimated that failure to fill orders would result in the loss of 80 percent of customers placing orders for the compound. Wing has manufactured and sold product X for the past 20 months. Demand for product X has been irregular and at present there is no consistent sales trend. During this period monthly sales have been as follows:

| <u>Units Sold per Month</u> | <u>Number of Months</u> |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 8,000 | 5 |
| 9,000 | 12 |
| 10,000 | 3 |

Required:

1. Compute the probability of sales of product X of 8,000, 9,000, or 10,000 units in any month.

2. Compute what the contribution margin would be if 9,000 units of product X were ordered and either 8,000, 9,000, or 10,000 units were manufactured in that same month, (with additional units, if necessary, being purchased).

3. Compute the average monthly contribution margin that Wing can expect if 9,000 units of product X are manufactured every month and all sales orders are filled.

Part b. In the production of product X, Wing uses a primary ingredient, K-1. This ingredient is purchased from an outside supplier at a cost of \$24 per unit of compound. It is estimated that there is a 70 percent chance that the supplier of K-1 may be shut down by a strike for an indefinite period. A substitute ingredient, K-2, is available at \$36 per unit of compound but Wing must contact this alternative source immediately to secure sufficient quantities. A firm purchase contract for either material must now be made for production of the primary ingredient next month. If an order were placed for K-1 and a strike occurred, Wing would be released from the contract and management would purchase the chemical compound from its competitor. Assume that 9,000 units are to be manufactured and all sales orders are to be filled.

Required:

1. Compute the monthly contribution margin from sales of 8,000, 9,000, and 10,000 units if the substitute ingredient, K-2, is ordered.

2. Prepare a schedule computing the average monthly contribution margin that Wing should expect if the primary ingredient, K-1, is ordered with the existing probability of a strike at the supplier. Assume that the expected average monthly contribution margin from manufacturing will be \$130,000 using the primary ingredient, and the expected average monthly loss from purchasing product X from the competitor (in case of a strike) will be \$45,000.

2M79

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 50 to 60 minutes)

You are engaged in the audit of the December 31, 1978, financial statements of Spirit Corporation, a manufacturer of a digital watch. You are attempting to verify the costing of the ending inventory of work in process and finished goods which were recorded on Spirit's books as follows:

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Cost</u> |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| Work in process (50% complete as to labor and overhead) | 300,000 | \$ 660,960 |
| Finished goods | 200,000 | \$1,009,800 |

Materials are added to production at the beginning of the manufacturing process and overhead is applied to each product at the rate of 60% of direct labor costs.

Selected Questions

There was no finished goods inventory on January 1, 1978. A review of Spirit's inventory cost records disclosed the following information:

| | Costs | | |
|---|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| | Units | Materials | Labor |
| Work in process January 1, 1978 (80% complete as to labor and over- head) | 200,000 | \$ 200,000 | \$ 315,000 |
| Units started in production | 1,000,000 | | |
| Material costs | | \$1,300,000 | |
| Labor costs | | | \$1,995,000 |
| Units completed | 900,000 | | |

Required:

a. Prepare schedules as of December 31, 1978, to compute the following:

- Equivalent units of production using the weighted-average method.
- Unit costs of production of materials, labor and overhead.
- Costing of the finished goods inventory and work-in-process inventory.

b. Prepare the necessary journal entry to correctly state the inventory of finished goods and work in process, assuming the books have not been closed. (Ignore income tax considerations.)

VIII. Not-for-Profit and Governmental Accounting

2N83

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)

A partial balance sheet of Rapapo State University as of the end of its fiscal year ended July 31, 1982, is presented below.

Rapapo State University
CURRENT FUNDS BALANCE SHEET
July 31, 1982

| <i>Assets</i> | | <i>Liabilities and Fund Balances</i> | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Unrestricted: | | Unrestricted: | |
| Cash | \$200,000 | Accounts payable | \$100,000 |
| Accounts receivable— tuition and fees, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$15,000 | 360,000 | Due to other funds | 40,000 |
| Prepaid expenses | 40,000 | Deferred revenue— tuition and fees | 25,000 |
| Total unrestricted | 600,000 | Fund balance | 435,000 |
| Restricted: | | Total unrestricted | 600,000 |
| Cash | 10,000 | Restricted: | |
| Investments | 210,000 | Accounts payable | 5,000 |
| Total restricted | 220,000 | Fund balance | 215,000 |
| Total current funds | \$820,000 | Total restricted | 220,000 |
| | | Total current funds | \$820,000 |

The following information pertains to the year ended July 31, 1983:

1. Cash collected from students' tuition totaled \$3,000,000. Of this \$3,000,000, \$362,000 represented accounts receivable outstanding at July 31, 1982; \$2,500,000 was for current year tuition; and \$138,000 was for tuition applicable to the semester beginning in August 1983.
2. Deferred revenue at July 31, 1982 was earned during the year ended July 31, 1983.

Accounting Practice

3. Accounts receivable at July 31, 1982, which were not collected during the year ended July 31, 1983, were determined to be uncollectible and were written off against the allowance account. At July 31, 1983, the allowance account was estimated at \$10,000.

4. During the year, an unrestricted appropriation of \$60,000 was made by the state. This state appropriation was to be paid to Rapapo sometime in August 1983.

5. During the year, unrestricted cash gifts of \$80,000 were received from alumni. Rapapo's board of trustees allocated \$30,000 of these gifts to the student loan fund.

6. During the year, investments costing \$25,000 were sold for \$31,000. Restricted fund investments were purchased at a cost of \$40,000. Investment income of \$18,000 was earned and collected during the year.

7. Unrestricted general expenses of \$2,500,000 were recorded in the voucher system. At July 31, 1983, the unrestricted accounts payable balance was \$75,000.

8. The restricted accounts payable balance at July 31, 1982 was paid.

9. The \$40,000 due to other funds at July 31, 1982 was paid to the plant fund as required.

10. One quarter of the prepaid expenses at July 31, 1982 expired during the current year, and pertained to general education expense. There was no addition to prepaid expensed during the year.

Required:

a. Prepare journal entries in summary form to record the foregoing transactions for the year ended July 31, 1983. Number each entry to correspond with the number indicated in the description of its respective transaction. Your answer sheet should be organized as follows:

| <u>Entry</u> <u>no.</u> | <u>Accounts</u> | <i>Current Funds</i> | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | | <i>Unrestricted</i> | | <i>Restricted</i> | |
| | | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |

b. Prepare a statement of changes in fund balances for the year ended July 31, 1983.

2M83

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

In 1950 a group of civic-minded merchants in Albany City organized the "Committee of 100" for the purpose of establishing the Community Sports Club, a nonprofit sports organization for local youth. Each of the Committee's 100 members contributed \$1,000 toward the Club's capital, and in turn received a participation certificate. In addition, each participant agreed to pay dues of \$200 a year for the Club's operations. All dues have been collected in full by the end of each fiscal year ending March 31. Members who have discontinued their participation have been replaced by an equal number of new members through transfer of the participation certificates from the former members to the new ones. Following is the Club's trial balance at April 1, 1982:

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Cash | \$ 9,000 | |
| Investments (at market, equal to cost) | 58,000 | |
| Inventories | 5,000 | |
| Land | 10,000 | |
| Building | 164,000 | |
| Accumulated depreciation— building | | \$130,000 |
| Furniture and equipment | 54,000 | |
| Accumulated depreciation— furniture and equipment | | 46,000 |
| Accounts payable | | 12,000 |
| Participation certificates (100 at \$1,000 each) | | 100,000 |
| Cumulative excess of revenue over expenses | | 12,000 |
| | <u>\$300,000</u> | <u>\$300,000</u> |

Selected Questions

Transactions for the year ended March 31, 1983, were as follows:

| | |
|---|----------|
| (1) Collections from participants for dues | \$20,000 |
| (2) Snack bar and soda fountain sales | 28,000 |
| (3) Interest and dividends received | 6,000 |
| (4) Additions to voucher register: | |
| House expenses | 17,000 |
| Snack bar and soda fountain | 26,000 |
| General and administrative | 11,000 |
| (5) Vouchers paid | 55,000 |
| (6) Assessments for capital improvements not yet incurred (assessed on March 20, 1983; none collected by March 31, 1983; deemed 100% collectible during year ending March 31, 1984) | 10,000 |
| (7) Unrestricted bequest received | 5,000 |

Adjustment data:

| | |
|--|---------|
| (1) Investments are valued at market, which amounted to \$65,000 at March 31, 1983. There were no investment transactions during the year. | |
| (2) Depreciation for the year: | |
| Building | \$4,000 |
| Furniture and equipment | 8,000 |
| (3) Allocation of depreciation: | |
| House expenses | 9,000 |
| Snack bar and soda fountain | 2,000 |
| General and administrative | 1,000 |
| (4) Actual physical inventory at March 31, 1983, was \$1,000, and pertains to the snack bar and soda fountain. | |

Required:

On a functional basis:

a. Record the transactions and adjustments in journal entry form for the year ended March 31, 1983. Omit explanations.

b. Prepare the appropriate all-inclusive activity statement for the year ended March 31, 1983.

2N82

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

The following selected information was taken from the books and records of Glendora Hospital (a voluntary hospital) as of and for the year ended June 30, 1982:

- Patient service revenue totaled \$16,000,000, with allowances and uncollectible accounts amounting to \$3,400,000. Other operating revenue aggregated \$346,000, and included \$160,000 from specific purpose funds. Revenue of \$6,000,000 recognized under cost reimbursement agreements is subject to audit and retroactive adjustment by third-party payors. Estimated

retroactive adjustments under these agreements have been included in allowances.

- Unrestricted gifts and bequests of \$410,000 were received.

- Unrestricted income from endowment funds totaled \$160,000.

- Income from board-designated funds aggregated \$82,000.

- Operating expenses totaled \$13,370,000, and included \$500,000 for depreciation computed on the straight-line basis. However, accelerated depreciation is used to determine reimbursable costs under certain third-party reimbursement agreements. Net cost reimbursement revenue amounting to \$220,000, resulting from the difference in depreciation methods, was deferred to future years.

- Also included in operating expenses are pension costs of \$100,000, in connection with a noncontributory pension plan covering substantially all of Glendora's employees. Accrued pension costs are funded currently. Prior service cost is being amortized over a period of 20 years. The actuarially computed value of vested and nonvested benefits at year-end amounted to \$3,000,000 and \$350,000 respectively. The assumed rate of return used in determining the actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits was 8%. The plan's net assets available for benefits at year-end were \$3,050,000.

- Gifts and bequests are recorded at fair market values when received.

- Patient service revenue is accounted for at established rates on the accrual basis.

Required:

1. Prepare a formal statement of revenues and expenses for Glendora Hospital for the year ended June 30, 1982.

2. Draft the appropriate disclosures in separate notes accompanying the statement of revenues and expenses, referencing each note to its respective item in the statement.

2N81

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

The following financial activities affecting Judbury City's general fund took place during the year ended June 30, 1981:

1. The following budget was adopted:

| | |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Estimated revenues: | |
| Property taxes | \$4,500,000 |
| Licenses and permits | 300,000 |
| Fines | 200,000 |
| Total | <u>\$5,000,000</u> |

Accounting Practice

| | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Appropriations: | |
| General government | \$1,500,000 |
| Police services | 1,200,000 |
| Fire department services | 900,000 |
| Public works services | 800,000 |
| Acquisition of fire engines | 400,000 |
| Total | <u><u>\$4,800,000</u></u> |

Required:

Prepare journal entries to record the foregoing financial activities in the general fund. Omit explanations. Ignore interest accruals.

2M81

Number 4 (Estimated time — — 45 to 55 minutes)

Number 4 consists of two unrelated parts.

Part a. The City of Merlot operates a central garage through an Internal (Intragovernmental) Service Fund to provide garage space and repairs for all city-owned and operated vehicles. The Central Garage Fund was established by a contribution of \$200,000 from the General Fund on July 1, 1977, at which time the building was acquired. The after-closing trial balance at June 30, 1979, was as follows:

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Cash | \$150,000 | |
| Due from General Fund | 20,000 | |
| Inventory of materials and supplies | 80,000 | |
| Land | 60,000 | |
| Building | 200,000 | |
| Allowance for depreciation — building | | \$ 10,000 |
| Machinery and equipment | 56,000 | |
| Allowance for depreciation — machinery and equipment | | 12,000 |
| Vouchers payable | | 38,000 |
| Contribution from General Fund | | 200,000 |
| Retained earnings | | 306,000 |
| | <u><u>\$566,000</u></u> | <u><u>\$566,000</u></u> |

The following information applies to the fiscal year ended June 30, 1980:

1. Materials and supplies were purchased on account for \$74,000.
2. The inventory of materials and supplies at June 30, 1980, was \$58,000, which agreed with the physical count taken.
3. Salaries and wages paid to employees totaled \$230,000, including related costs.
4. A billing was received from the Enterprise Fund for utility charges totaling \$30,000, and was paid.
5. Depreciation of the building was recorded in the amount of \$5,000. Depreciation of the machinery and equipment amounted to \$8,000.
6. Billings to other departments for services rendered to them were as follows:

2. Property tax bills totaling \$4,650,000 were mailed. It was estimated that \$300,000 of this amount will be delinquent, and \$150,000 will be uncollectible.

3. Property taxes totaling \$3,900,000 were collected. The \$150,000 previously estimated to be uncollectible remained unchanged, but \$630,000 was reclassified as delinquent. It is estimated that delinquent taxes will be collected soon enough after June 30, 1981, to make these taxes available to finance obligations incurred during the year ended June 30, 1981. There was no balance of uncollected taxes at July 1, 1980.

4. Tax anticipation notes in the face amount of \$300,000 were issued.

5. Other cash collections were as follows:

| | |
|--|-------------------------|
| Licenses and permits | \$270,000 |
| Fines | 200,000 |
| Sale of public works equipment (original cost, \$75,000) | 15,000 |
| Total | <u><u>\$485,000</u></u> |

6. The following purchase orders were executed:

| | <u>Total</u> | <u>Outstanding at 6/30/81</u> |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| General government | \$1,050,000 | \$ 60,000 |
| Police services | 300,000 | 30,000 |
| Fire department services | 150,000 | 15,000 |
| Public works services | 250,000 | 10,000 |
| Fire engines | 400,000 | — |
| Totals | <u><u>\$2,150,000</u></u> | <u><u>\$115,000</u></u> |

No encumbrances were outstanding at June 30, 1980.

7. The following vouchers were approved:

| | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| General government | \$1,440,000 |
| Police services | 1,155,000 |
| Fire department services | 870,000 |
| Public works services | 700,000 |
| Fire engines | 400,000 |
| Total | <u><u>\$4,565,000</u></u> |

8. Vouchers totaling \$4,600,000 were paid.

Selected Questions

| | |
|----------------------|-----------|
| General Fund | \$262,000 |
| Water and Sewer Fund | 84,000 |
| Special Revenue Fund | 32,000 |

7. Unpaid interfund receivable balances at June 30, 1980, were as follows:

| | |
|----------------------|----------|
| General Fund | \$ 6,000 |
| Special Revenue Fund | 16,000 |

8. Vouchers payable at June 30, 1980, were \$14,000.

Required:

1. For the period July 1, 1979, through June 30, 1980, prepare journal entries to record all of the transactions in the Central Garage Fund accounts.

2. Prepare closing entries for the Central Garage Fund at June 30, 1980.

Part b. The following information was abstracted from the accounts of the General Fund of the City of Rom after the books had been closed for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1980:

| | <i>Post-Closing Trial Balance June 30, 1979</i> | <i>Transactions July 1, 1979 to June 30, 1980</i> | | <i>Post-Closing Trial Balance June 30, 1980</i> |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|---|
| | | <i>Debit</i> | <i>Credit</i> | |
| Cash | \$ 700,000 | \$1,820,000 | \$1,852,000 | \$ 668,000 |
| Taxes receivable | 40,000 | 1,870,000 | 1,828,000 | 82,000 |
| | \$ 740,000 | | | \$ 750,000 |
| Allowance for uncollectible taxes | \$ 8,000 | 8,000 | 10,000 | \$ 10,000 |
| Vouchers payable | 132,000 | 1,852,000 | 1,840,000 | 120,000 |
| Fund balance: | | | | |
| Reserved for encumbrances | — | 1,000,000 | 1,070,000 | 70,000 |
| Unreserved | 600,000 | 140,000 | 60,000 30,000 } | 550,000 |
| | \$ 740,000 | | | \$ 750,000 |

Additional information:

The budget for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1980, provided for estimated revenues of \$2,000,000 and appropriations of \$1,940,000.

Required:

Prepare journal entries to record the budgeted and actual transactions for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1980.

2N80

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

The City of Westgate's fiscal year ends on June 30. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 1979, the City authorized the construction of a new library and sale of general obligation term bonds to finance the construction of the library. The authorization imposed the following restrictions:

- Construction cost was not to exceed \$5,000,000;
- Annual interest rate was not to exceed 8½%.

The City does not record project authorizations, but other budgetary accounts are maintained. The following transactions relating to the financing and constructing of the library occurred during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1980:

1. On July 1, 1979, the City issued \$5,000,000 of 30-year 8% general obligation bonds for \$5,100,000. The semiannual interest dates are

December 31 and June 30. The premium of \$100,000 was transferred to the library debt service fund.

2. On July 3, 1979, the library capital projects fund invested \$4,900,000 in short-term commercial paper. These purchases were at face value with no accrued interest. Interest on cash invested by the library capital projects fund must be transferred to the library debt service fund. During the fiscal year ending June 30, 1980, estimated interest to be earned is \$140,000.
3. On July 5, 1979, the City signed a contract with F&A Construction Company to build the library for \$4,980,000.
4. On January 15, 1980, the library capital projects fund received \$3,040,000, from the maturity of short-term notes purchased on July 3. The cost of these notes was \$3,000,000. The interest of \$40,000 was transferred to the library debt service fund.

5. On January 20, 1980, F&A Construction Company properly billed the City \$3,000,000 for work performed on the new library. The contract calls for 10% retention until final inspection and acceptance of the building. The Library Capital Projects Fund paid F&A \$2,700,000.
6. On June 30, 1980, the Library Capital Projects Fund made the proper adjusting entries (including accrued interest receivable of \$103,000) and closing entries.

Required:

1. Prepare in good form journal entries to record the six preceding sets of facts in the Library Capital Projects Fund. List the transaction numbers (1 to 6) and give the necessary entry or entries. Do not record journal entries in any other fund or group of accounts.
2. Prepare in good form a balance sheet for the City of Westgate—Library Capital Projects Fund as of June 30, 1980.

2N79

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

You have been engaged by the Town of Rego to examine its June 30, 1978, balance sheet. You are the first CPA to be engaged by the Town and find that acceptable methods of municipal accounting have not been employed. The Town clerk stated that the books had not been closed and presented the following pre-closing trial balance of the General Fund as at June 30, 1978:

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Cash | \$150,000 | |
| Taxes receivable — current year | 59,200 | |
| Estimated losses — current year taxes receivable | | \$ 18,000 |
| Taxes receivable — prior year | 8,000 | |
| Estimated losses — prior year taxes receivable | | 10,200 |
| Estimated revenues | 310,000 | |
| Appropriations | | 348,000 |
| Donated land | 27,000 | |
| Expenditures—building addition constructed | 50,000 | |
| Expenditures—Serial bonds paid | 16,000 | |
| Other expenditures | 280,000 | |
| Special assessment bonds payable | | 100,000 |
| Revenues | | 354,000 |
| Accounts payable | | 26,000 |
| Fund balance | | 44,000 |
| | <u>\$900,200</u> | <u>\$900,200</u> |

Additional information:

- The estimated losses of \$18,000 for current year taxes receivable were determined to be a reasonable estimate.
- Included in the Revenues account is a credit of \$27,000 representing the value of land donated by the state as a grant-in-aid for construction of a municipal park.
- The Building Addition Constructed account balance is the cost of an addition to the Town Hall building. This addition was constructed and completed in June 1978. The General Fund recorded the payment as authorized.
- The Serial Bonds Paid account reflects the annual retirement of general obligation bonds issued to finance the construction of the Town Hall. Interest payments of \$7,000 for this bond issue are included in expenditures.
- Operating supplies ordered in the prior fiscal year and chargeable to that year were received, recorded, and consumed in July 1977. The outstanding purchase orders for these supplies, which were not recorded in the accounts at June 30, 1977, amounted to \$8,800. The vendors' invoices for these supplies totaled \$9,400. Appropriations lapse one year after the end of the fiscal year for which they are made.
- Outstanding purchase orders at June 30, 1978, for operating supplies totaled \$2,100. These purchase orders were not recorded on the books.
- The special assessment bonds were sold in June 1978 to finance a street paving project. No contracts have been signed for this project and no expenditures have been made.
- The balance in the Revenues account includes credits for \$20,000 for a note issued to a bank to obtain cash in anticipation of tax collections and for \$1,000 for the sale of scrap iron from the Town's water plant. The note was still outstanding at June 30, 1978. The operations of the water plant are accounted for in the Water Fund.

Required:

- a. Prepare the formal adjusting and closing journal entries for the General Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1978.
- b. The foregoing information disclosed by your examination was recorded only in the General Fund even though other funds or groups of accounts were involved. Prepare the formal adjusting journal entries for any other funds or groups of accounts involved.

2M79

Number 5 (Estimated time — — 40 to 50 minutes)

In a special election held on May 1, 1977, the voters of the city of Nicknar approved a \$10,000,000 issue of 6% general obligation bonds maturing in 1997. The proceeds of this sale will be used to help finance the construction of a new civic center. The total cost of the project was estimated at \$15,000,000. The remaining \$5,000,000 will be financed by an irrevocable state grant

Selected Questions

which has been awarded. A capital projects fund was established to account for this project and was designated the Civic Center Construction Fund. The formal project authorization was appropriately recorded in a memorandum entry.

The following transactions occurred during the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1977, and ending June 30, 1978:

- On July 1, the General Fund loaned \$500,000 to the Civic Center Construction Fund for defraying engineering and other expenses.
- Preliminary engineering and planning costs of \$320,000 were paid to Akron Engineering Company. There had been no encumbrance for this cost.
- On December 1, the bonds were sold at 101. The premium on bonds was transferred to the Debt Service Fund.
- On March 15, a contract for \$12,000,000 was entered into the Candu Construction Company for the major part of the project.
- Orders were placed for materials estimated to cost \$55,000.

- On April 1, a partial payment of \$2,500,000 was received from the State.
- The materials that were previously ordered were received at a cost of \$51,000 and paid.
- On June 15, a progress billing of \$2,000,000 was received from Candu Construction for work done on the project. As per the terms of the contract, the city will withhold 6% of any billing until the project is completed.
- The General Fund was repaid the \$500,000 previously loaned.

Required:

Based upon the transactions presented above:

- a. Prepare journal entries to record the transactions in the Civic Center Construction Fund for the period July 1, 1977, through June 30, 1978, and the appropriate closing entries at June 30, 1978.
- b. Prepare a balance sheet of the Civic Center Construction Fund as of June 30, 1978.

PROBLEMS — UNOFFICIAL ANSWERS

I. Presentation of Financial Statements or Worksheets

A. Balance Sheet

1M83

Answer 5

Bryant Corporation
**WORKSHEET FOR BALANCE SHEET
 AND STATEMENT OF INCOME**
November 30, 1982

| | <i>Unadjusted balance</i> | <i>Adjustments</i> | | <i>Adjusted balance</i> |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | <i>Debit</i> | <i>Credit</i> | |
| Balance Sheet | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 150,000 | | | \$ 150,000 |
| Marketable securities, at cost | 60,000 | | | 60,000 |
| Allowance for reduction to market | | | [1] 5,000 | (5,000) |
| Accounts receivable | 450,000 | | | 450,000 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (59,000) | [2] 23,000 | | (36,000) |
| Inventories | 430,000 | [3] 12,000 | | 442,000 |
| Prepaid insurance | 15,000 | [4] 3,000 | | 18,000 |
| Property, plant & equipment | 426,000 | [6] 24,000 | | 450,000 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (40,000) | | [6] 2,400 | (42,400) |
| Research & development costs | 120,000 | | [7] 120,000 | — |
| | <u>\$ 1,552,000</u> | | | <u>\$ 1,486,600</u> |
| Liabilities & Stockholders' Equity | | | | |
| Accounts payable & accrued expenses | \$ (592,000) | | [5] 22,500 | (614,500) |
| Estimated liability from lawsuit | | | [8] 50,000 | (50,000) |
| Income taxes payable | (224,000) | [9] 55,160 | | (168,840) |
| Common stock | (400,000) | | | (400,000) |
| Retained earnings | (336,000) | [x] 82,740 | | (253,260) |
| | <u>\$(1,552,000)</u> | | | <u>\$(1,486,600)</u> |
| Statement of Income | | | | |
| Net sales | \$(2,950,000) | | | \$(2,950,000) |
| Cost of sales | 1,670,000 | | [3] 12,000 [6] 24,000 | 1,634,000 |
| Selling & administrative expenses | 650,000 | [5] 22,500 | [2] 23,000 [4] 3,000 | 646,500 |
| Depreciation expense | 40,000 | [6] 2,400 | | 42,400 |
| Research & development expense | 30,000 | [7] 120,000 | | 150,000 |
| Unrealized loss on marketable securities | | [1] 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| Estimated loss from lawsuit | | [8] 50,000 | | 50,000 |
| Provision for income taxes | 224,000 | | [9] 55,160 | 168,840 |
| Net income | <u>\$ (336,000)</u> | [x] 82,740 | | <u>\$ (253,260)</u> |

1M83

Answer 5 (cont.)

Bryant Corporation
ADJUSTING JOURNAL ENTRIES
November 30, 1982
 (Not required)

| | <i>Dr.</i> | <i>Cr.</i> |
|---|------------|------------|
| (1) | | |
| Unrealized loss on marketable securities | \$ 5,000 | |
| Allowance to reduce marketable securities to market | | \$ 5,000 |
| To reduce short-term investments to market valuation (\$60,000 – \$55,000) | | |
| (2) | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 23,000 | |
| Selling and administrative expenses (bad debts) | | 23,000 |
| To reduce allowance account to balance determined by aging of receivables (\$59,000 – \$36,000) | | |
| (3) | | |
| Inventories | 12,000 | |
| Cost of sales | | 12,000 |
| To adjust for work-in-process inventory held by outside processor | | |
| (4) | | |
| Prepaid insurance | 3,000 | |
| Selling and administrative expenses (insurance) | | 3,000 |
| To adjust for nonrecognition of prepaid expense | | |
| (5) | | |
| Selling and administrative expenses (pension) | 22,500 | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | | 22,500 |
| To accrue normal pension cost ($45,000 \times \frac{1}{12}$) | | |
| (6) | | |
| Property, plant, and equipment | 24,000 | |
| Depreciation | 2,400 | |
| Cost of sales (repairs & maintenance) | | 24,000 |
| Accumulated depreciation | | 2,400 |
| To adjust for charge to repairs and maintenance of machine purchased on 6/1/82, and to record depreciation to 11/30/82 ($24,000 \times 20\% \times \frac{1}{12}$) | | |
| (7) | | |
| Research and development expense | 120,000 | |
| Research and development costs | | 120,000 |
| To write off research and development costs in accordance with GAAP | | |
| (8) | | |
| Estimated loss from lawsuit | 50,000 | |
| Estimated liability from lawsuit | | 50,000 |
| To record probable damages payable re: lawsuit for patent infringement | | |
| (9) | | |
| Income taxes payable | 55,160 | |
| Provision for income taxes | | 55,160 |
| To adjust provision for year ended 11/30/82 (Schedule 1) | | |

Unofficial Answers

1M83

Answer 5 (cont.)

Schedule 1

Adjustment to Income Tax Provision—Year Ended November 30, 1982

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Unadjusted income before income taxes | | \$560,000 |
| Add adjustments increasing income | | |
| Reduction in allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 23,000 | |
| Work-in-process inventory at outside processor | 12,000 | |
| Recognition of prepaid insurance | 3,000 | |
| Reversal of 6/1/82 charge to repairs & maintenance | 24,000 | 62,000 |
| | | 622,000 |
| Deduct adjustments decreasing income | | |
| Unrealized loss on marketable securities | \$ 5,000 | |
| Pension expense | 22,500 | |
| Depreciation on machine purchased 6/1/82 | 2,400 | |
| Research & development expense | 120,000 | |
| Estimated loss from lawsuit | 50,000 | 199,900 |
| Adjusted income before income taxes | | 422,100 |
| Effective income tax rate | | × 40% |
| Adjusted provision for income taxes | | \$168,840 |
| Provision for income taxes per books | | \$224,000 |
| Adjusted provision for income taxes | | 168,840 |
| Adjustment to reduce provision [9] | | \$ 55,160 |

B. Income Statement

2M82

Answer 4 (10 points)

Woodbine Circle Corporation

INCOME STATEMENT

For the Year Ended December 31, 1981

| | | |
|--|------------------|--------------------|
| Sales | | \$10,000,000 |
| Cost of sales | | <u>6,200,000</u> |
| Gross profit | | 3,800,000 |
| Administrative expenses | | <u>2,000,000</u> |
| Operating income | | 1,800,000 |
| Other income and expense | | |
| Interest income | \$100,000 | |
| Interest expense | <u>(210,000)</u> | <u>(110,000)</u> |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | | 1,690,000 |
| Income taxes (Schedule 1) | | |
| Current | 576,000 | |
| Deferred | <u>60,000</u> | <u>636,000</u> |
| Income from continuing operations | | 1,054,000 |
| Discontinued operations (Schedule 2) | | |
| Operating income from discontinued AL Division (less applicable income taxes of \$264,000) | 396,000 | |
| Loss on disposal of AL Division (less applicable income tax saving of \$100,000) | <u>(150,000)</u> | <u>246,000</u> |
| Income before extraordinary item | | 1,300,000 |
| Extraordinary item—gain on repurchase of bonds payable (less applicable income taxes of \$120,000) | | <u>180,000</u> |
| Net income | | <u>\$1,480,000</u> |
| Earnings per share | | |
| From continuing operations | | \$1.054 |
| From discontinued operations | | <u>.246*</u> |
| Total before extraordinary item | | 1.300 |
| From extraordinary item | | <u>.180*</u> |
| Net income | | <u>\$1.480</u> |

*Optional

Schedule 1

Income Taxes on Continuing Operations

| | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | | \$1,690,000 |
| Less permanent difference—interest on municipal bonds | | <u>100,000</u> |
| Balance subject to tax | | \$1,590,000 |
| Income tax rate | | <u>× 40%</u> |
| Total income taxes on continuing operations | | <u>\$ 636,000</u> |
| Current | | |
| Income per tax return | | <u>2,150,000</u> |
| Less intraperiod tax allocations | | |
| Discontinued operations | | |
| Operating income | \$660,000 | |
| Loss on disposal | <u>(250,000)</u> | 410,000 |
| Extraordinary item | | |
| Gain on repurchase of bonds payable | | <u>300,000</u> |
| Current taxable income | | 1,440,000 |
| Income tax rate | | <u>× 40%</u> |
| | | <u>\$ 576,000</u> |
| Deferred | | |
| Depreciation, per tax return | | 750,000 |
| Depreciation, per books | | <u>600,000</u> |
| Timing difference | | 150,000 |
| Income tax rate | | <u>× 40%</u> |
| | | <u>60,000</u> |
| Total income taxes on continuing operations | | <u>\$ 636,000</u> |

Unofficial Answers

2M82

Answer 4 (cont.)

Schedule 2

| <u>Income From Operations of AL Division</u> | |
|---|-------------------|
| <u>For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 1981</u> | |
| <u>(Date of Discontinuance)</u> | |
| Sales | \$2,000,000 |
| Cost of sales | 900,000 |
| Gross profit | 1,100,000 |
| Administrative expenses | 300,000 |
| Operating income | 800,000 |
| Interest expense | 140,000 |
| Income before income taxes | 660,000 |
| Income taxes (at 40%) | 264,000 |
| Income from Operations of AL Division | <u>\$ 396,000</u> |

C. Statement of Changes in Financial Position

1N82

Answer 4 (10 points)

Farrell Corporation

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FINANCIAL POSITION (CASH BASIS)

For the Year Ended December 31, 1981

| <u>Financial resources provided</u> | |
|---|------------------|
| Cash provided by operations | |
| Net income | \$141,000 |
| Add (or deduct) items not affecting cash | |
| Depreciation | \$ 53,000 |
| Amortization of goodwill | 4,000 |
| Loss on sale of equipment | 5,000 |
| Equity in net income of Hall, Inc. | (13,000) |
| Deferred income taxes | 11,000 |
| Decrease in accounts receivable | 10,000 |
| Increase in inventories | (118,000) |
| Increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses | 41,000 |
| | <u>(7,000)</u> |
| | 134,000 |
| Cash from other sources | |
| Sale of equipment | 19,000 |
| Sale of common stock | 23,000 |
| Sale of treasury stock | 25,000 |
| Financial resources not affecting cash | |
| Issuance of note payable to purchase land | 150,000 |
| Issuance of common stock to convert bonds | 50,000 |
| Total financial resources provided | <u>401,000</u> |
| <u>Financial resources used</u> | |
| Cash dividends | 43,000 |
| Purchase of equipment | 63,000 |
| Financial resources not affecting cash | |
| Conversion of bonds to common stock | 50,000 |
| Purchase of land by issuance of note | 150,000 |
| Total financial resources used | <u>306,000</u> |
| Increase in cash | <u>\$ 95,000</u> |

1N82
Answer 4 (cont.)

Farrell Corporation
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FINANCIAL POSITION WORKSHEET (CASH BASIS)
For the Year Ended December 31, 1981
(Not Required)

| <u>Assets</u> | <u>1980</u> | <u>Dr.</u> | <u>Cr.</u> | <u>1981</u> |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Cash | \$ 180,000 | (x)\$ 95,000 | | \$ 275,000 |
| Accounts receivable | 305,000 | | (7)\$ 10,000 | 295,000 |
| Inventories | 431,000 | (8) 118,000 | | 549,000 |
| Investment in Hall, Inc. | 60,000 | (5) 13,000 | | 73,000 |
| Land | 200,000 | (10) 150,000 | | 350,000 |
| Plant and equipment | 606,000 | (15) 63,000 | (4) 45,000 | 624,000 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (107,000) | (4) 21,000 | (2) 53,000 | (139,000) |
| Goodwill | 20,000 | | (3) 4,000 | 16,000 |
| Total assets | <u>\$1,695,000</u> | | | <u>\$2,043,000</u> |
| <u>Liabilities and stockholders' equity</u> | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 563,000 | | (9) 41,000 | \$ 604,000 |
| Note payable, long-term | — | | (10) 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Bonds payable | 210,000 | (12) 50,000 | | 160,000 |
| Deferred income taxes | 30,000 | | (6) 11,000 | 41,000 |
| Common stock | 400,000 | | (11) 10,000 | 430,000 |
| | | | (12) 20,000 | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 175,000 | | (11) 13,000 | 226,000 |
| | | | (12) 30,000 | |
| | | | (13) 8,000 | |
| Retained earnings | 334,000 | (14) 43,000 | (1) 141,000 | 432,000 |
| Treasury stock | (17,000) | | (13) 17,000 | — |
| Total liabilities and equity | <u>\$1,695,000</u> | <u>\$553,000</u> | <u>\$553,000</u> | <u>\$2,043,000</u> |
| <u>Sources of Financial Resources</u> | | | | |
| Cash provided by operations | | | | |
| Net Income | | (1)\$141,000 | | |
| Depreciation | | (2) 53,000 | | |
| Amortization of goodwill | | (3) 4,000 | | |
| Loss on sale of equipment | | (4) 5,000 | | |
| Equity in net income of Hall, Inc. | | (5) (13,000) | | |
| Deferred income taxes | | (6) 11,000 | | |
| Decrease in accounts receivable | | (7) 10,000 | | |
| Increase in inventories | | (8) (118,000) | | |
| Increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses | | (9) 41,000 | | |
| | | 134,000 | | |
| Issuance of note payable to purchase land | | (10) 150,000 | | |
| Sale of equipment | | (4) 19,000 | | |
| Sale of common stock | | (11) 23,000 | | |
| Issuance of common stock to convert bonds | | (12) 50,000 | | |
| Sale of treasury stock | | (13) 25,000 | | |
| <u>Uses of Financial Resources</u> | | | | |
| Cash dividends | | | (14)\$ 43,000 | |
| Conversion of bonds to common stock | | | (12) 50,000 | |
| Purchase of land by issuance of note | | | (10) 150,000 | |
| Purchase of equipment | | | (15) 63,000 | |
| Increase in cash | | | (x) 95,000 | |
| | | <u>\$401,000</u> | <u>\$401,000</u> | |

2N80

Answer 4 (10 points)

Kenwood Corporation
**STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN
 FINANCIAL POSITION**
For the Year Ended December 31, 1979

Financial Resources Provided

| | | |
|---|----------|------------------|
| Working capital provided from operations | | |
| Income before extraordinary item | | \$109,000 |
| Add items not affecting working capital in the current period | | |
| Depreciation | \$20,000 | |
| Amortization | 3,000 | |
| Loss on sale of equipment | 4,000 | |
| Deferred income taxes | 40,000 | <u>67,000</u> |
| Working capital provided from operations | | 176,000 |
| Working capital from other sources | | |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment | | 19,000 |
| Financial resources not affecting working capital | | |
| Issuance of common stock to purchase land | | <u>40,000</u> |
| Total financial resources provided | | <u>\$235,000</u> |

Financial Resources Used

| | | |
|---|--|------------------|
| Extraordinary item—repurchase of long-term bonds (including income tax of \$10,000 on the gain) | | \$ 38,000 |
| Cash dividends | | 2,000 |
| Purchase of land | | 85,000 |
| Financial resources not affecting working capital | | |
| Purchase of land by issuance of common stock | | <u>40,000</u> |
| Total financial resources used | | <u>165,000</u> |
| Increase in working capital | | <u>\$ 70,000</u> |

2N80

Answer 4 (cont.)

Kenwood Corporation
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FINANCIAL POSITION WORKSHEET
For the Year Ended December 31, 1979
 (Not required)

| | 1978 | Dr. | Cr. | 1979 |
|---|-------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Current assets | \$ 450,000 | [x] \$120,000 | | \$ 570,000 |
| Land | 200,000 | } [9] 85,000 | | 325,000 |
| | | } [5] 40,000 | | |
| Plant and equipment | 633,000 | | [7] \$ 53,000 | 580,000 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (100,000) | [7] 30,000 | [2] 20,000 | (90,000) |
| Patents | 33,000 | | [3] 3,000 | 30,000 |
| Total assets | \$1,216,000 | | | \$1,415,000 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | | | |
| Current liabilities | 410,000 | | [x] 50,000 | 460,000 |
| Deferred income tax | 100,000 | | [10] 40,000 | 140,000 |
| Long-term bonds | 180,000 | [6] 50,000 | | 130,000 |
| Common stock | 210,000 | | } [4] 21,000 | 250,000 |
| | | | } [5] 19,000 | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 170,000 | | } [4] 42,000 | 233,000 |
| | | | } [5] 21,000 | |
| Retained earnings | 146,000 | } [4] 63,000 | } [1] 109,000 | 202,000 |
| | | } [8] 2,000 | } [6] 12,000 | |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,216,000 | \$390,000 | \$390,000 | \$1,415,000 |

| | Sources | | Uses |
|---|---------------|--|---------------|
| Sources of Financial Resources | | | |
| Working capital provided by operations | | | |
| Income before extraordinary item | [1] \$109,000 | | |
| Depreciation | [2] 20,000 | | |
| Amortization | [3] 3,000 | | |
| Loss on sale of equipment | [7] 4,000 | | |
| Deferred income tax | [10] 40,000 | | |
| | 176,000 | | |
| Working capital provided from other sources | | | |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment | [7] 19,000 | | |
| Financial resources not affecting working capital | | | |
| Issuance of common stock to acquire land | [5] 40,000 | | |
| Uses of Financial Resources | | | |
| Working capital applied | | | |
| Repurchase of bonds (including tax on gain of \$10,000) | | | [6] \$ 38,000 |
| Cash dividends | | | [8] 2,000 |
| Purchase of land | | | [9] 85,000 |
| Financial resources not affecting working capital | | | |
| Purchase of land by issuance of common stock | | | [5] 40,000 |
| Increase in working capital | | | [x] 70,000 |
| Total | \$235,000 | | \$235,000 |

D. Statement of Owners' Equity

1N83

Answer 4 (10 points)

1.

Ashwood, Inc.

STATEMENT OF RETAINED EARNINGS

For the Year Ended December 31, 1982

| | | |
|---|------------------|----------------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 1981 | | \$ 6,500,000 |
| As originally reported | | |
| Add prior period adjustment from error understating inventories at December 31, 1981 | \$ 300,000 | |
| Less income tax effect | <u>120,000</u> | <u>180,000</u> |
| As restated | | 6,680,000 |
| Net income | | <u>4,500,000</u> |
| | | <u>11,180,000</u> |
| Deduct cash dividends | | |
| On preferred stock at required rate [\$4.50 (\$50 × 9%) × 100,000 shares] | 450,000 | |
| On common stock, \$1.00 per share [\$1 × 2,480,000 shares (2,000,000 + 500,000 - 20,000)] | <u>2,480,000</u> | <u>2,930,000</u> |
| Balance, December 31, 1982 | | <u><u>\$ 8,250,000</u></u> |

2.

Ashwood, Inc.

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY SECTION OF BALANCE SHEET

December 31, 1982

| | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|
| Preferred stock, \$50 par value, 9% cumulative, convertible; 600,000 shares authorized; 100,000 shares issued and outstanding | | \$ 5,000,000 |
| Common stock, \$10 par value; 6,000,000 shares authorized; 2,500,000 shares issued (2,000,000 + 500,000), of which 10,000 shares are held in treasury | | 25,000,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital from preferred stock [100,000 × \$4 (\$54 - \$50)] | | 400,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital from common stock (Schedule 1) | | 11,050,000 |
| Retained earnings | | <u>8,250,000</u> |
| | | 49,700,000 |
| Less common stock in treasury, 10,000 shares at cost [\$16 × 10,000 (20,000 - 10,000)] | | <u>160,000</u> |
| Total stockholders' equity | | <u><u>\$49,540,000</u></u> |

Schedule 1

Additional Paid-In Capital from Common Stock

| | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 1981 | | \$ 7,500,000 |
| From issuance of 500,000 shares on April 30, 1982 [500,000 × \$7 (\$17 - \$10)] | | 3,500,000 |
| From sale of 10,000 shares treasury stock on November 10, 1982 [10,000 × \$5 (\$21 - \$16)] | | <u>50,000</u> |
| Balance, December 31, 1982 | | <u><u>\$11,050,000</u></u> |

1N83

Answer 4 (cont.)

3. Ashwood, Inc.
COMPUTATION OF BOOK VALUE PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK
December 31, 1982

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Total stockholders' equity | \$49,540,000 |
| Deduct allocation to preferred stock | |
| Preferred stock at liquidation value (100,000 shares × \$50) | 5,000,000 |
| Allocation to common stock [2,490,000 shares outstanding (2,500,000 – 10,000)] | \$44,540,000 |
| Book value per share of common stock (\$44,540,000 ÷ 2,490,000) | \$ 17.89 |

1M80

Answer 5 (10 points)

Gilroy, Inc.
COMPUTATION OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY ACCOUNTS
December 31, 1977

| | Capital Stock | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings |
|--|---------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | |
| Issuance of \$10 par value common stock in May 1977 | 300,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$300,000 | |
| Net income for 1977 | | | | \$125,000 |
| Balance, December 31, 1977 | 300,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$300,000 | \$125,000 |

Gilroy, Inc.
COMPUTATION OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY ACCOUNTS
December 31, 1978

| | Capital Stock | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings |
|---|---------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | |
| Balance, December 31, 1977 | 300,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$ 300,000 | \$125,000 |
| Issuance of \$10 par value common stock in July 1978 | 500,000 | 5,000,000 | 1,250,000 | |
| 5% stock dividend issued on November 6, 1978 (Schedule 1) | 40,000 | 400,000 | 40,000 | (440,000) |
| Net income for 1978 | | | | 350,000 |
| Balance, December 31, 1978 | 840,000 | \$8,400,000 | \$1,590,000 | \$ 35,000 |

Schedule 1

Stock Dividend

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Common stock issued and outstanding at October 23, 1978, the record date | 800,000 shares |
| Stock dividend shares issued on November 6, 1978 (5% × 800,000) | 40,000 shares |
| Market value of common stock on October 23, 1978 | × \$11.00 |
| Charge to retained earnings for stock dividend | \$440,000 |

1M80
Answer 5 (cont.)

Gilroy, Inc.
COMPUTATION OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY ACCOUNTS
December 31, 1979

| | <u>Capital Stock</u> | | <u>Additional Paid-In Capital</u> | <u>Retained Earnings</u> | <u>Treasury Stock</u> | |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | <u>Shares</u> | <u>Amount</u> | | | <u>Shares</u> | <u>Amount</u> |
| Balance, December 31, 1978 | 840,000 | \$ 8,400,000 | \$1,590,000 | \$ 35,000 | | |
| Reacquisition of shares for \$9 per share in February 1979 | | | | | 30,000 | \$270,000 |
| Sale of treasury stock for \$12 per share in June 1979 | | | 45,000 | | (15,000) | (135,000) |
| Exercise of stock rights for \$13 per share in October 1979 (250,000 × 2) | 500,000 | 5,000,000 | 1,500,000 | | | |
| Exercise of stock rights for \$13 per share in November 1979 (400,000 × 2) | 800,000 | 8,000,000 | 2,400,000 | | | |
| Cash dividend of \$0.20 declared on December 15, 1979 (<i>Schedule 2</i>) | | | | (425,000) | | |
| Retirement of treasury stock on December 21, 1979 | (10,000) | (100,000) | 10,000 | | (10,000) | (90,000) |
| Net income for 1979 | | | | 750,000 | | |
| Balance, December 31, 1979 | <u>2,130,000</u> | <u>\$21,300,000</u> | <u>\$5,545,000</u> | <u>\$360,000</u> | <u>5,000</u> | <u>\$ 45,000</u> |

Schedule 2

Cash Dividend

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| Common stock issued and outstanding at December 31, 1979, the record date | 2,130,000 shares |
| Deduct treasury stock held at December 31, 1979 | <u>5,000 shares</u> |
| Common stock shares subject to dividend | 2,125,000 shares |
| Cash dividend of \$0.20 per share | <u>× \$0.20</u> |
| Cash dividend | <u>\$ 425,000</u> |

E. Consolidated Financial Statements or Worksheets

1N83

Answer 5 (10 points)

Amboy Corporation and Subsidiary
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT WORKSHEET
December 31, 1982

| <i>Income Statement</i> | <i>Amboy Corp.</i> | <i>Taft Inc.</i> | <i>Adjustments & Eliminations*</i> | | <i>Adjusted Balance</i> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|---|
| | | | <i>Debit</i> | <i>Credit</i> | |
| Net sales | \$(1,900,000) | \$(1,500,000) | [6] | \$180,000 | \$(3,220,000) |
| Dividends from Taft | (40,000) | | [3] | 40,000 | — |
| Gain on sale of warehouse | (30,000) | | [4] | 30,000 | — |
| Cost of goods sold | 1,180,000 | 870,000 | | [6] \$162,000 | 1,888,000 |
| Operating expenses (incl. deprec.) | 550,000 | 440,000 | [2] | 12,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Net income | <u>\$ (240,000)</u> | <u>\$ (190,000)</u> | [a] | \$262,000 | <u>\$ (332,000)</u> |
| <i>Retained Earnings Statement</i> | | | | | |
| Balance, 1/1/82 | \$ (220,000) | \$ (156,000) | [1] | \$156,000 | \$ (220,000) |
| Net income | (240,000) | (190,000) | [a] | 262,000 | [a] \$164,000 (332,000) |
| Dividends paid | | 40,000 | | | [3] 40,000 — |
| Balance, 12/31/82 | <u>\$ (460,000)</u> | <u>\$ (306,000)</u> | [b] | \$418,000 | <u>\$ (552,000)</u> |
| <i>Balance Sheet</i> | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 285,000 | \$ 150,000 | | | \$ 435,000 |
| Accounts receivable (net) | 430,000 | 350,000 | | [7] 75,000 | 705,000 |
| Inventories | 530,000 | 410,000 | | [6] 18,000 | 922,000 |
| Land, plant & equipment | 660,000 | 680,000 | [1] | 54,000 | [4] 30,000 1,364,000 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (185,000) | (210,000) | [5] | 2,000 | [2] 9,000 (402,000) |
| Investment in Taft (at cost) | 750,000 | | | | [1] 750,000 — |
| Goodwill | | | [1] | 60,000 | [2] 3,000 57,000 |
| | <u>\$ 2,470,000</u> | <u>\$ 1,380,000</u> | | | <u>\$3,081,000</u> |
| Liabilities & Stockholders' Equity: | | | | | |
| Accounts pay. & accrued exp. | \$ (670,000) | \$ (594,000) | [7] | 75,000 | \$(1,189,000) |
| Common stock (\$10 par) | (1,200,000) | (400,000) | [1] | 400,000 | (1,200,000) |
| Additional paid-in capital | (140,000) | (80,000) | [1] | 80,000 | (140,000) |
| Retained earnings | (460,000) | (306,000) | [b] | 418,000 | [b] 204,000 (552,000) |
| | <u>\$ (2,470,000)</u> | <u>\$ (1,380,000)</u> | | <u>\$1,089,000</u> | <u>\$1,089,000</u> <u>\$ (3,081,000)</u> |

***Explanations of Adjustments & Eliminations**

[1] To eliminate the reciprocal elements in investment, equity and property accounts. Amboy's investment is carried at cost at December 31, 1982.

[2] To record amortization of current value in excess of book value of Taft's machinery at date of acquisition ($\$54,000 \div 6$) and amortization of goodwill ($\$60,000 \div 20$) for the year ended December 31, 1982.

[3] To eliminate Amboy's dividend income from Taft.

[4] To eliminate the intercompany profit on the sale of the warehouse by Amboy to Taft.

[5] To eliminate the excess depreciation on the warehouse building sold by Amboy to Taft [$(\$86,000 - \$66,000) \div 5$] $\times \frac{1}{2}$.

[6] To eliminate intercompany sales from Taft to Amboy and the intercompany profit in Amboy's ending inventory as follows:

| | <i>Total</i> | <i>On hand</i> |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Sales | \$180,000 | \$36,000 |
| Gross profit | 90,000 | 18,000 |

[7] To eliminate Amboy's intercompany balance for merchandise owed to Taft.

1N80

Answer 5 (10 points)

Madison, Inc., and Subsidiary
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET WORKSHEET
December 31, 1979

| <u>Balance Sheet</u> | <u>Madison Inc.</u> | <u>Adams Corporation</u> | <u>Adjustments & Eliminations</u> | | <u>Consolidated</u> |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | | | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 750,000 | \$ 300,000 | | | \$ 1,050,000 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 1,950,000 | 750,000 | (2)\$ 600,000 | | 2,100,000 |
| Inventories | 2,100,000 | 950,000 | (3) 60,000 | | 2,990,000 |
| Land | 500,000 | 200,000 | | | 700,000 |
| Depreciable assets, net | 4,160,000 | 1,800,000 | | | 5,960,000 |
| Investment in Adams Corporation | 2,205,000 | | (1) 2,205,000 | | — |
| Long-term investments and other assets | 785,000 | 350,000 | (4) 250,000 | | 885,000 |
| | <u>\$12,450,000</u> | <u>\$4,350,000</u> | | | <u>\$13,685,000</u> |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' equity | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and other current liabilities | \$ 1,750,000 | \$ 945,000 | (2)\$ 600,000 | | \$ 2,095,000 |
| Long-term debt | 1,500,000 | 1,200,000 | (4) 250,000 | | 2,450,000 |
| Common stock, \$10 par value | 3,000,000 | 900,000 | (1) 900,000 | | 3,000,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,370,000 | 175,000 | (1) 175,000 | | 1,370,000 |
| Retained earnings | 4,830,000 | 1,130,000 | (1) 1,130,000 (3) 60,000 | | 4,770,000 |
| | <u>\$12,450,000</u> | <u>\$4,350,000</u> | <u>\$3,115,000</u> | <u>\$3,115,000</u> | <u>\$13,685,000</u> |

Madison, Inc., and Subsidiary
ELIMINATION ENTRIES
December 31, 1979

(Not Required)

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> | | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|---|--------------|---------------|--|--------------|---------------|
| 1. Common stock— | | | 2. Accounts payable and other current liabilities | \$ 600,000 | |
| Adams Corporation | \$ 900,000 | | Accounts receivable, net | | \$ 600,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital—Adams Corporation | 175,000 | | To eliminate Madison's intercompany balance for merchandise owed to Adams | | |
| Retained earnings— | | | 3. Retained earnings | 60,000 | |
| Adams Corporation | 1,130,000 | | Inventories | | 60,000 |
| Investment in Adams Corporation | | \$2,205,000 | To eliminate intercompany profit in ending inventory of Madison (\$120,000 × 1/2 = \$60,000) | | |
| To eliminate reciprocal elements in investment and equity accounts. | | | 4. Long-term debt | 250,000 | |
| Madison's investment account was recorded at the underlying equity in the net assets of Adams | | | Long-term investments and other assets | | 250,000 |
| | | | To eliminate Madison's investment in Adams's bonds | | |

1N80
Answer 5 (cont.)

Madison, Inc., and Subsidiary
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF
RETAINED EARNINGS**
For the Year Ended December 31, 1979

| | |
|--|---------------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 1978 | |
| As originally reported | \$1,600,000 |
| Adjustment for pooling of interests with Adams Corporation | <u>275,000</u> |
| As restated | 1,875,000 |
| Net income (Schedule 1) | <u>3,165,000</u> |
| | 5,040,000 |
| Deduct cash dividend paid by pooled company prior to combination (\$3 × 90,000 shares) | <u>270,000</u> |
| Balance, December 31, 1979 | <u><u>\$4,770,000</u></u> |

Schedule 1

*Computation of Consolidated Net Income
for the Year Ended December 31, 1979*

| | |
|--|---------------------------|
| Madison, Inc. | \$2,100,000 |
| Adams Corporation | <u>1,125,000</u> |
| | 3,225,000 |
| Deduct intercompany profit in inventory (\$120,000 × ½) | <u>60,000</u> |
| Consolidated net income | <u><u>\$3,165,000</u></u> |

2M80
Answer 3 (10 points)

Encanto Corporation and Subsidiary
ADJUSTING AND ELIMINATION ENTRIES
December 31, 1979
(Not Required)

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|---|------------------------|---------------|
| (1) | | |
| Excess of cost over net assets acquired | \$ 14,000 | |
| Investment in Norris Corporation | | \$ 14,000 |
| To reclassify excess of cost over net assets required | | |
| \$260,000* × 30% = \$78,000 | | |
| 30% of investment | <u>92,000</u> | |
| | <u><u>\$14,000</u></u> | |

*[\$100,000 + (\$152,000 - 96,000 + 40,000) + 2/3 × 96,000]

| | | |
|---|---------|---------|
| (2) | | |
| Retained earnings — Encanto Corporation | \$ 933 | |
| Excess of cost over net assets acquired | | \$ 993 |
| To record amortization for four months \$14,000 ÷ 60 × 4 | | |
| (3) | | |
| Common Stock — Norris Corporation | 90,000 | |
| Retained earnings — Norris Corporation | 136,800 | |
| Investment in Norris Corporation | | 226,800 |
| To eliminate reciprocal elements in investment and equity accounts | | |
| (4) | | |
| Common stock — Norris Corporation | 10,000 | |
| Retained earnings — Norris Corporation | 15,200 | |
| Minority interest in common stock of Norris Corporation | | 10,000 |
| Minority interest in retained earnings of Norris Corporation | | 15,200 |
| To record minority interest's share of common stock and retained earnings of Norris Corporation | | |
| (5) | | |
| Dividends payable | 36,000 | |
| Dividends receivable | | 36,000 |
| To eliminate Encanto's share of intercompany dividends \$40,000 × 90% | | |
| (6) | | |
| Retained earnings — Encanto Corporation | 7,000 | |
| Inventory — Norris Corporation | | 7,000 |
| To eliminate intercompany profit in ending inventory of Norris Corporation \$35,000 ÷ 125% = \$28,000; \$35,000 - \$28,000 = \$7,000 profit | | |
| (7) | | |
| Accumulated depreciation | 150 | |
| Retained earnings — Encanto Corporation | 5,850 | |
| Property, plant, and equipment | | 6,000 |
| To eliminate intercompany gain and adjust accumulated depreciation on equipment sold by Encanto to Norris | | |

2M80
Answer 3 (cont.)

| | <u>Equip- ment</u> | <u>Depre- ciation</u> | | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Encanto's book value | \$36,000 | \$ 900 | | | |
| Selling price | 42,000 | 1,050 | (8) | \$ 8,000 | |
| Excess | <u>(\$ 6,000)</u> | <u>(\$ 150)</u> | Cash | | |
| | | | Accounts receivable | | \$ 8,000 |
| | | | To record payment in transit | | |

Encanto Corporation and Subsidiary
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET WORKSHEET
As of December 31, 1979

| | <u>Encanto Corporation</u> | <u>Norris Corporation</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>Adjustments and Eliminations</u> | | <u>Minority Interest</u> | <u>Consoli- dated</u> |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 167,250 | \$101,000 | \$ 268,250 | (8)\$ 8,000 | | | \$ 276,250 |
| Accounts receivable | 178,450 | 72,000 | 250,450 | | (8)\$ 8,000 | | 242,450 |
| Notes receivable | 87,500 | 28,000 | 115,500 | | | | 115,500 |
| Dividends receivable | 36,000 | | 36,000 | | (5) 36,000 | | — |
| Inventories | 122,000 | 68,000 | 190,000 | | (6) 7,000 | | 183,000 |
| Property, plant, and equipment | 487,000 | 252,000 | 739,000 | | (7) 6,000 | | 733,000 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (117,000) | (64,000) | (181,000) | (7) 150 | | | (180,850) |
| Investment in Norris Corporation | 240,800 | | 240,800 | | (3)226,800 | | — |
| | | | | | (1) 14,000 | | |
| Excess of cost over net assets acquired | | | | (1) 14,000 | (2) 933 | | 13,067 |
| Total assets | <u>\$1,202,000</u> | <u>\$457,000</u> | <u>\$1,659,000</u> | | | | <u>\$1,382,417</u> |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity: | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 222,000 | \$ 76,000 | \$ 298,000 | | | | \$ 298,000 |
| Notes payable | 79,000 | 89,000 | 168,000 | | | | 168,000 |
| Dividends payable | | 40,000 | 40,000 | (5)\$36,000 | | | 4,000 |
| Common stock | | | | | | | |
| Encanto Corporation | 400,000 | | 400,000 | | | | 400,000 |
| Norris Corporation | | 100,000 | 100,000 | (3) 90,000 | | | — |
| | | | | (4) 10,000 | | | |
| Minority interest in Norris Corporation | | | | | (4)\$10,000 | (4)\$10,000 | |
| Retained earnings | | | | | | | |
| Encanto Corporation | 501,000 | | 501,000 | (2) 933 | | | 487,217 |
| | | | | (7) 5,850 | | | |
| | | | | (6) 7,000 | | | |
| Norris Corporation | | 152,000 | 152,000 | (3)136,800 | | | — |
| | | | | (4) 15,200 | | | |
| Minority interest in Norris Corporation | | | | | (4) 15,200 | (4)(15,200) | |
| Minority interest | | | | | | <u>\$25,200</u> | 25,200 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | <u>\$1,202,000</u> | <u>\$457,000</u> | <u>\$1,659,000</u> | | | | <u>\$1,382,417</u> |

II. Measurement, Valuation, Realization, and Presentation of Assets in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

B. Marketable Securities and Investments

2N83

Answer 5 (10 points)

a.

Winsor Company
SCHEDULE OF CURRENT MARKETABLE EQUITY SECURITIES
December 31, 1983

| | <i>Number of shares</i> | <i>Cost</i> | <i>Market price per share</i> | <i>Market value</i> | <i>Unrealized gross gain or (loss)</i> |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Bea—preferred | 500 | \$ 20,000 | \$56 | \$ 28,000 | \$ 8,000 |
| Bea—common | 1,500 | 20,000 | 20 | 30,000 | 10,000 |
| Cha—common | 3,500 | 35,000 | 11 | 38,500 | 3,500 |
| Dey—common | 1,700 | 42,500 | 22 | 37,400 | (5,100) |
| | | \$117,500 | | \$133,900 | \$16,400 |
| Valuation allowance | | -0- | | | |
| Carried at cost | | \$117,500 | | | |

The valuation allowance of \$7,000 at December 31, 1982 for current marketable equity securities should be eliminated by a debit to valuation allowance—current, and a credit to unrealized gain on current marketable equity securities. This \$7,000 unrealized gain should be included in Winsor's income statement for the year ended December 31, 1983.

b.

Winsor Company
SCHEDULE OF NONCURRENT MARKETABLE EQUITY SECURITIES
December 31, 1983

Eddie Corp.—100,000 shares of common stock:

| | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|
| Cost: | | |
| In underlying equity | | \$1,400,000 |
| In excess of underlying equity | \$300,000 | |
| Less amortization of excess of cost over underlying equity | (7,500) | 292,500 |
| Net cost | | 1,692,500 |
| Increase in equity during 1983: | | |
| Equity in Eddie's earnings | | 360,000 |
| Less dividends received | | (200,000) |
| Carrying amount of Eddie Corp. investment | | \$1,852,500 |

c.

Winsor Company
SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT INCOME
For the Year Ended December 31, 1983

Dividends:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Bea Corp.—preferred (1,000 shares × \$2.40 per share) | \$ 2,400 |
| Cha, Inc.—common (3,500 shares × \$1.00 per share) | 3,500 |
| Total dividend income | \$ 5,900 |

Unofficial Answers

2N83

Answer 5 (cont.)

Realized gain (loss) on sale of securities:

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Cha, Inc.—common ($\$13 - \$10 = \$3 \times 2,500$ shares) | \$ 7,500 |
| Dey Co.—common ($\$55,000 / (2,000 \text{ shares} \times 110\%)$, or cost per share of $\$25 - \21 selling price per share = $\$4$ loss per share $\times 500$ shares sold) | <u>(2,000)</u> |
| Net realized gain on sale of securities | <u>\$ 5,500</u> |

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Unrealized gain on current marketable equity securities (see Required a.) | <u>\$ 7,000</u> |
|--|-----------------|

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Equity in income of Eddie Corp. | |
| Winsor's 30% interest in Eddie's net income of \$1,200,000 | \$360,000 |
| Amortization of excess of cost over underlying equity | <u>(7,500)</u> |
| Equity in income of Eddie Corp. | <u>\$352,500</u> |

1M81

Answer 4 (10 points)

Part a.

Warner, Inc.

**INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES FROM
BOND INVESTMENT**

For the Years Ended December 31, 1979 and 1980

| | <u>1979</u> | <u>1980</u> |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Interest income before amortiza- tion (<i>Schedules 1 and 2</i>) | \$37,333 | \$53,334 |
| Amortization of bond discount (<i>Schedule 3</i>) | 5,775 | 8,817 |
| Gain on sale of bonds (<i>Schedule 4</i>) | <u>—</u> | <u>5,441</u> |
| Income before income taxes | <u>\$43,108</u> | <u>\$67,592</u> |

Schedule 1

Interest Income Before Amortization for 1979

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Face value of bonds ($800 \times \$1,000$) | \$800,000 |
| Interest rate | $\times 8\%$ |
| Interest for year | <u>\$ 64,000</u> |
| Interest received December 1, 1979 ($\$64,000 \times 1/2$) | \$32,000 |
| Interest accrued at December 31, 1979 ($\$64,000 \times 1/12$) | <u>5,333</u> |
| Interest income before amortization for 1979 | <u>\$37,333</u> |

Schedule 2

Interest Income Before Amortization for 1980

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Interest accrued at December 31, 1979, reversed | \$(5,333) |
| Interest received June 1, 1980 (6 months) | 32,000 |
| Accrued interest paid by buyer (June 1 to November 1, $5/12 \times \$64,000$) | <u>26,667</u> |
| Interest income before amortization for 1980 | <u>\$53,334</u> |

Schedule 3

Amortization of Bond Discount—Effective Interest
Method for 1979 and 1980

| | |
|--|---------------------------|
| Face value of bonds ($800 \times \$1,000$) | \$800,000 |
| Purchase price of bonds | <u>738,300</u> |
| Bond discount | 61,700 |
| Amortization of bond discount for 1979 | |
| 6 months ended December 1, 1979 ($\$738,300 \times 5\% =$ $\$36,915$ effective interest $-$ $\$32,000$ cash interest) | \$4,915 |
| Month of December 1979 [$\$743,215$ ($\$738,300 +$ $\$4,915$) $\times 5\% = \$37,161$ effective interest $- \$32,000$ cash interest = $\$5,161 \times 1/6$] | <u>860</u> <u>5,775</u> |
| Balance of unamortized bond dis- count December 31, 1979 | 55,925 |
| Amortization of bond discount for 1980 | |
| 5 months ended June 1, 1980 ($\$5,161 - \860) | 4,301 |
| 5 months ended November 1, 1980 [$\$748,376$ ($\$743,215 +$ $\$5,161$) $\times 5\% = \$37,419$ effective interest $- \$32,000$ cash interest = $\$5,419 \times 5/6$] | <u>4,516</u> <u>8,817</u> |
| Balance of unamortized bond dis- count November 1, 1980 | <u>\$ 47,108</u> |

1M81

Answer 4 (cont.)

Schedule 4Gain on Sale of Bonds for 1980

| | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Selling price of bonds | | |
| Selling price of bonds, including accrued interest paid by buyer | \$785,000 | |
| Accrued interest paid by buyer (Schedule 2) | <u>(26,667)</u> | |
| Selling price of bonds | | \$758,333 |
| Book value of bonds | | |
| Purchase price of bonds | 738,300 | |
| Amortization of bond discount for 1979 (Schedule 3) | 5,775 | |
| Amortization of bond discount for 1980 (Schedule 3) | <u>8,817</u> | |
| Book value of bonds at date of sale | | <u>752,892</u> |
| Gain on sale of bonds | | <u>\$ 5,441</u> |

Warner, Inc.
**SCHEDULE OF INTEREST INCOME AND BOND DISCOUNT
 AMORTIZATION—EFFECTIVE INTEREST METHOD**
8% Bonds Purchased to Yield 10%
 (Not Required)

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Cash interest (4% semiannual)</u> | <u>Effective interest (5% semiannual)</u> | <u>Discount amortization</u> | <u>Balance unamortized discount</u> | <u>Carrying value of bonds</u> |
|-------------|--|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 6-1-79 | — | — | — | \$61,700 ^b | \$738,300 ^a |
| 12-1-79 | \$ 32,000 | \$ 36,915 | \$ 4,915 | 56,785 | 743,215 |
| 6-1-80 | 32,000 | 37,161 | 5,161 | 51,624 | 748,376 |
| 12-1-80 | 32,000 | 37,419 | 5,419 | 46,205 | 753,795 |
| 6-1-81 | 32,000 | 37,690 | 5,690 | 40,515 | 759,485 |
| 12-1-81 | 32,000 | 37,974 | 5,974 | 34,541 | 765,459 |
| 6-1-82 | 32,000 | 38,273 | 6,273 | 28,268 | 771,732 |
| 12-1-82 | 32,000 | 38,587 | 6,587 | 21,681 | 778,319 |
| 6-1-83 | 32,000 | 38,916 | 6,916 | 14,765 | 785,235 |
| 12-1-83 | 32,000 | 39,262 | 7,262 | 7,503 | 792,497 |
| 6-1-84 | 32,000 | 39,625 | 7,625 | (122) | 800,122 |
| 6-1-84 | — | —(122) ^c | (122) ^c | 122 ^c | 800,000 |
| | <u>\$320,000</u> | <u>\$381,700</u> | <u>\$61,700</u> | <u>—0—</u> | <u>\$800,000</u> |

^a Price paid for \$800,000 bonds equals present value of principal plus present value of interest payments:

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Principal \$800,000 × .614 (present value of \$1, at 5% for 10 periods) | \$491,200 |
| Interest payments \$32,000 (4% × \$800,000) × 7.722 (present value of an annuity of \$1 at 5% for 10 periods). | 247,100 |
| | <u>\$738,300</u> |

^b \$800,000 - \$738,300 = \$61,700.

^c Adjustment for fractional differences.

1M81

Answer 4 (cont.)

Part b.

1. *Jeffries, Inc.*

INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES FROM INVESTMENT IN WOLF COMPANY
For the Year Ended December 31, 1979

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| October 1, 1979—dividend received from Wolf Company (10,000 shares × \$0.90) | <u>\$ 9,000</u> |
|--|-----------------|

2. *Jeffries, Inc.*

INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES FROM INVESTMENT IN WOLF COMPANY
For the Years Ended December 31, 1980, and 1979, Restated

| | <u>1980</u> | <u>1979 Restated</u> |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Equity in earnings of Wolf Company (Schedule 1) | \$110,000 | \$ 40,000 |
| Amortization of goodwill (Schedule 2) | <u>(6,875)</u> | <u>(2,500)</u> |
| Income before income taxes | <u>\$103,125</u> | <u>\$ 37,500</u> |

Schedule 1

Equity in Earnings of Wolf Company

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Year ended December 31, 1979 ($\$400,000 \times 10\%$) | <u>\$ 40,000</u> |
| Year ended December 31, 1980 | |
| Six months ended June 30, 1980 ($\$300,000 (\$500,000 - \$200,000) \times 10\%$) | \$ 30,000 |
| Six months ended December 31, 1980 ($\$200,000 \times 40\%$) | <u>80,000</u> |
| Total | <u>\$110,000</u> |

Schedule 2

Amortization of Goodwill

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Cost of 10% investment in Wolf common stock (January 1, 1979) | \$ 700,000 |
| Deduct underlying equity in net assets of Wolf at January 1, 1979 ($\$6,000,000 \times 10\%$) | <u>600,000</u> |
| Goodwill on 10% investment | \$ 100,000 |
| Amortization rate (40 years) | $\times 2.5\%$ |
| Annual amortization of goodwill | <u>\$ 2,500</u> |
| Amortization for year ended December 31, 1979 | <u>\$ 2,500</u> |
| Cost of 30% investment in Wolf common stock (July 1, 1980) | \$2,300,000 |
| Deduct underlying equity in net assets of Wolf at July 1, 1980 ($\$6,500,000 \times 30\%$) | <u>1,950,000</u> |
| Goodwill on 30% investment | \$ 350,000 |
| Amortization rate (40 years) | $\times 2.5\%$ |
| Annual amortization of goodwill | <u>\$ 8,750</u> |
| Amortization for year ended December 31, 1980 | |
| On 10% investment | \$ 2,500 |
| On 30% investment ($\$8,750 \times 1/2$) | <u>4,375</u> |
| Amortization for year ended December 31, 1980 | <u>\$ 6,875</u> |

C. Receivables and Accruals

1M83

Answer 4 (10 points)

Part a.

1.

Harris Corporation
**ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN THE
 ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS**
For the Year Ended December 31, 1982

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Balance at January 1, 1982 | \$130,000 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts (\$9,000,000 × 2%) | 180,000 |
| Recovery in 1982 of bad debts written off previously | 15,000 |
| | 325,000 |
| Deduct write-offs for 1982 (\$90,000 + \$60,000) | 150,000 |
| Balance at December 31, 1982, before change in accounting estimate | 175,000 |
| Increase due to change in accounting estimate during 1982 (\$235,300 – \$175,000) | 60,300 |
| Balance at December 31, 1982, adjusted (Schedule 1) | \$235,300 |

Schedule 1

Computation of Allowance for Doubtful Accounts
at December 31, 1982

| <u>Aging category</u> | <u>Balance</u> | <u>Percent</u> | <u>Doubtful accounts</u> |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| November–December 1982 | \$1,140,000 | 2 | \$ 22,800 |
| July–October | 600,000 | 10 | 60,000 |
| January–June | 400,000 | 25 | 100,000 |
| Prior to 1/1/82 | 70,000 ^a | 75 | 52,500 |
| | | | \$235,300 |

^a \$130,000 – \$60,000

2.

Harris Corporation
JOURNAL ENTRY
December 31, 1982

| <u>Account</u> | <u>Dr.</u> | <u>Cr.</u> |
|---|------------|------------|
| Provision for doubtful accounts | \$60,300 | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | | \$60,300 |
| To increase the allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31, 1982, resulting from a change in accounting estimate. | | |

1M80

Answer 4 (10 points)

Part a.

1. *Summit Company*
JOURNAL ENTRY
January 1, 1979

| <u>Account</u> | <u>Dr.</u> | <u>Cr.</u> |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Retained earnings | \$20,000 | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | | \$20,000 |

To set up the allowance for doubtful accounts at January 1, 1979, resulting from the correction of an error (Schedule 1)

Schedule 1

Computation of Allowance for Doubtful Accounts
at January 1, 1979

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Accounts receivable at December 31, 1978 | \$1,250,000 |
| Doubtful accounts expense as a percentage of sales for the four years ended December 31, 1978 (Schedule 2) | × 1.60% |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | <u>\$ 20,000</u> |

Schedule 2

Computation of Doubtful Accounts Expense
as a Percentage to Credit Sales
From Inception to December 31, 1978

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Credit Sales</u> | <u>Accounts Written Off</u> <u>Net of Recoveries</u> |
|-------------|---------------------|---|
| 1975 | \$ 1,500,000 | \$ 15,000 (\$ 15,000 - \$ 0) |
| 1976 | 2,250,000 | 35,300 (\$ 38,000 - \$ 2,700) |
| 1977 | 2,950,000 | 49,500 (\$ 52,000 - \$ 2,500) |
| 1978 | 3,300,000 | 60,200 (\$ 65,000 - \$ 4,800) |
| | <u>\$10,000,000</u> | <u>\$160,000</u> (\$170,000 - \$10,000) |

Percentage of doubtful accounts expense to installment sales 1.60% (\$160,000 ÷ \$10,000,000)

2.

Summit Company
ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN THE
ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS
For the Year Ended December 31, 1979

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 1979 | \$ 20,000 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts required for 1979 (\$83,000 - \$20,000 - \$5,000 + \$24,820) | 82,820 |
| Recovery in 1979 of bad debts written off previously | 5,000 |
| | <u>107,820</u> |
| Deduct write-offs for 1979 | 83,000 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31, 1979 (Schedule 3) | <u>\$ 24,820</u> |

Schedule 3

Computation of Allowance for Doubtful Accounts
at December 31, 1979

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Accounts receivable at December 31, 1979 | \$1,460,000 |
| Doubtful accounts expense as a percentage of sales for the 5 years ended December 31, 1979 (Schedule 4) | × 1.70% |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | <u>\$ 24,820</u> |

Schedule 4

Computation of Doubtful Accounts Expense
as a Percentage to Credit Sales
Five Years Ended December 31, 1979

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Credit Sales</u> | <u>Accounts Written Off</u> <u>Net of Recoveries</u> |
|--------------|---------------------|---|
| 1975-1978 | | |
| (Schedule 2) | \$10,000,000 | \$160,000 (\$170,000 - \$10,000) |
| 1979 | 4,000,000 | 78,000 (\$ 83,000 - \$ 5,000) |
| | <u>\$14,000,000</u> | <u>\$238,000</u> (\$253,000 - \$15,000) |

Percentage of doubtful accounts expense to credit sales 1.70% (\$238,000 ÷ \$14,000,000)

1M79
Answer 4 (10 points)

a.

Master Company
**COMPUTATION OF ALLOWANCE
FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS**
December 31, 1978

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 1978 | \$400,000 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts for 1978 (\$50,000,000 × 0.7%) | 350,000 |
| Write-offs for 1978 | <u>(410,000)</u> |
| Balance at December 31, 1978 | <u>\$340,000</u> |

b.

Guide Company
INCOME STATEMENT EFFECT
For the year ended December 31, 1978

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Expenses resulting from accounts receivable assigned (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | \$15,100 |
| Expenses resulting from accounts receivable sold (\$300,000 – \$260,000) | <u>40,000</u> |
| Total expenses | <u>\$55,100</u> |

Schedule 1

Computation of Expenses for Accounts
Receivable Assigned

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Assignment expense: | |
| Accounts receivable assigned | \$200,000 |
| | × 85% |
| Advance by Cell | <u>170,000</u> |
| | × 3% |
| | \$ 5,100 |
| Interest expense | <u>10,000</u> |
| Total expenses | <u>\$15,100</u> |

c.

1. *Lock Company*
**COMPUTATION OF BALANCE IN NET
RECEIVABLES FROM KEY**
December 31, 1978

| | <i>Prin- cipal</i> | <i>Un- earned interest</i> | <i>Net receiv- able</i> |
|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Sales price (\$150,000 × 4.605) | \$900,000 | \$209,250 | \$690,750 |
| Payment made on January 1, 1977 | <u>150,000</u> | — | <u>150,000</u> |
| | 750,000 | 209,250 | 540,750 |
| Interest income for 1977 (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | — | <u>64,890</u> | <u>64,890</u> |
| Balance at December 31, 1977 | 750,000 | 144,360 | 605,640 |
| Payment made on January 1, 1978 | <u>150,000</u> | — | <u>150,000</u> |
| | 600,000 | 144,360 | 455,640 |
| Interest income for 1978 (<i>Schedule 2</i>) | — | <u>54,677</u> | <u>54,677</u> |
| Balance at December 31, 1978 | <u>\$600,000</u> | <u>\$ 89,683</u> | <u>\$510,317</u> |

2.

Lock Company
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES
For the years ended December 31, 1977 and 1978

| | 1977 | 1978 |
|---|------------------|-----------------|
| Profit on sale: | | |
| Sales price (\$150,000 × 4.605) | \$690,750 | |
| Cost of property | <u>600,000</u> | \$ 90,750 |
| Interest income (<i>Schedules 1 and 2</i>) | — | <u>64,890</u> |
| Income before income taxes | <u>\$155,640</u> | <u>\$54,677</u> |

Unofficial Answers

1M79

Answer 4 (cont.)

Schedule 1

Computation of Interest Income for 1977

| | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|
| Sales price | \$690,750 |
| Payment made on January 1, 1977 | <u>150,000</u> |
| | 540,750 |
| Interest rate | 12% |
| Interest income | <u>\$ 64,890</u> |

Schedule 2

Computation of Interest Income for 1978

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 1977 (\$540,750 + \$64,890) | \$605,640 |
| Payment made on January 1, 1978 | <u>150,000</u> |
| | 455,640 |
| Interest rate | 12% |
| Interest income | <u>\$ 54,677</u> |

D. Inventories

1M83
Answer 4

Part b.

1.

Lucas Distributors, Inc.
**COMPUTATION OF INTERNAL CONVERSION PRICE INDEX
FOR INVENTORY POOL NO. 1
DOUBLE EXTENSION METHOD**

| | <i>December 31, 1981</i> | <i>December 31, 1982</i> |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Current inventory at current year cost | | |
| Product A | 17,000 × \$35 = \$595,000 | 13,000 × \$40 = \$520,000 |
| Product B | 9,000 × \$28 = 252,000 | 10,000 × \$32 = 320,000 |
| | \$847,000 | \$840,000 |
| Current inventory at base cost | | |
| Product A | 17,000 × \$30 = \$510,000 | 13,000 × \$30 = \$390,000 |
| Product B | 9,000 × \$25 = 225,000 | 10,000 × \$25 = 250,000 |
| | \$735,000 | \$640,000 |
| Conversion price index | \$847,000 ÷ \$735,000 = 1.15 | \$840,000 ÷ \$640,000 = 1.31 |

2.

Lucas Distributors, Inc.
**COMPUTATION OF INVENTORY AMOUNTS
UNDER DOLLAR VALUE LIFO METHOD
FOR INVENTORY POOL NO. 1
At December 31, 1981, and 1982**

| | <i>Current inventory at base cost</i> | <i>Conversion price index</i> | <i>Inventory at LIFO cost</i> |
|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <i>December 31, 1981</i> | | | |
| Base inventory | \$560,000 | 1.00 | \$560,000 |
| 1981 layer (\$735,000 – \$560,000) | 175,000 | 1.15 ^a | 201,250 |
| Total | \$735,000 ^a | | \$761,250 |
| <i>December 31, 1982</i> | | | |
| Base inventory | \$560,000 | 1.00 | \$560,000 |
| 1981 layer (remaining) | 80,000 ^b | 1.15 ^a | 92,000 |
| 1982 layer | 0 | 1.31 ^a | 0 |
| Total | \$640,000 ^a | | \$652,000 |

^a See Computation of Internal Conversion Price Index, above.

^b After liquidation of \$95,000 at base cost:

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| Product A (4,000 × \$30) | \$120,000 |
| Product B (1,000 × \$25) | (25,000) |
| Net | \$ 95,000 |

1N81

Answer 4 (10 points)

Part a.

1. *Grover Company*
**COMPUTATION OF INVENTORY FOR CLASS F
 INVENTORY POOL UNDER LIFO METHOD**
December 31, 1979

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Weighted average unit cost</u> | <u>Total cost</u> |
|---|---------------|---|-----------------------|
| Base year inventory— 1976 | 9,000 | \$10.00 | \$ 90,000 |
| Incremental layer— 1977 (Portion) | <u>2,000</u> | 11.00 | <u>22,000</u> |
| Inventory, December 31, 1979 (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | <u>11,000</u> | | <u>\$112,000</u> |

Schedule 1

Computation of Units in Inventory for Class F
 Inventory Pool

| | <u>Units</u> |
|--|---------------|
| Inventory, December 31, 1978 | 14,000 |
| Add purchases during 1979 (4,800 + 7,200) | <u>12,000</u> |
| Inventory available for use | 26,000 |
| Deduct units used for production during 1979 | <u>15,000</u> |
| Inventory, December 31, 1979 | <u>11,000</u> |

2. *Grover Company*
**COMPUTATION OF COST OF CLASS F RAW
 MATERIALS USED IN PRODUCTION
 UNDER LIFO METHOD**
For Year Ended December 31, 1979

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Unit cost</u> | <u>Total cost</u> |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| From purchase of Sep- tember 1, 1979 | 7,200 | \$14.00 | \$100,800 |
| From purchase of March 1, 1979 | 4,800 | 13.50 | 64,800 |
| From incremental layer—1978 | 2,000 | 12.50 | 25,000 |
| From incremental layer—1977 (Portion) | <u>1,000</u> | 11.00 | <u>11,000</u> |
| Used in production dur- ing 1979 | <u>15,000</u> | | <u>\$201,600</u> |

3. *Grover Company*
**COMPUTATION OF INVENTORY FOR CLASS F
 INVENTORY POOL UNDER LIFO METHOD**
December 31, 1980

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Weighted average unit cost</u> | <u>Total cost</u> |
|---|---------------|---|-----------------------|
| Base year inventory— 1976 | 9,000 | \$10.00 | \$ 90,000 |
| Incremental layer— 1977 (Portion) (Part a. 1) | 2,000 | 11.00 | 22,000 |
| Incremental layer— 1980 (<i>Schedule 2</i>) | <u>4,000</u> | 15.30 | <u>61,200</u> |
| Inventory, December 31, 1980 (<i>Schedule 3</i>) | <u>15,000</u> | | <u>\$173,200</u> |

Schedule 2

Average Unit Cost for Incremental Layer—1980

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Total cost</u> |
|---|---------------|-------------------|
| Purchase of January 10, 1980 | 7,500 | \$108,750 |
| Purchase of May 15, 1980 | 5,500 | 85,250 |
| Purchase of December 29, 1980 | 7,000 | 112,000 |
| Totals | <u>20,000</u> | <u>\$306,000</u> |
| Average unit cost ($\$306,000 \div 20,000$) | | <u>\$ 15.30</u> |

Schedule 3

Computation of Units in Inventory for Class F
 Inventory Pool

| | <u>Units</u> |
|--|---------------|
| Inventory, December 31, 1979 (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | 11,000 |
| Add purchases during 1980 (<i>Schedule 2</i>) | <u>20,000</u> |
| Inventory available for use | 31,000 |
| Deduct units used for production during 1980 | <u>16,000</u> |
| Inventory, December 31, 1980 | <u>15,000</u> |

1N81
Answer 4 (cont.)

Part b.

Layne Corporation
ADJUSTMENTS TO INITIAL AMOUNTS
As of December 31, 1980

| | <u>Inventory</u> | <u>Accounts payable</u> | <u>Net sales</u> |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Initial amounts | \$1,750,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$8,500,000 |
| Adjustments | | | |
| Increase | | | |
| (decrease) | | | |
| 1 | NONE | NONE | (35,000) |
| 2 | 50,000 | 50,000 | NONE |
| 3 | 20,000 | NONE | NONE |
| 4 | 26,000 | NONE | (40,000) |
| 5 | 25,000 | NONE | NONE |
| 6 | 30,000 | NONE | NONE |
| 7 | NONE | 60,000 | NONE |
| 8 | 2,000 | 4,000 | NONE |
| Total adjustments | <u>153,000</u> | <u>114,000</u> | <u>(75,000)</u> |
| Adjusted amounts | <u>\$1,903,000</u> | <u>\$1,314,000</u> | <u>\$8,425,000</u> |

1N79
Answer 4 (10 points)

Part a.

1. *Frate Company*
COMPUTATION OF INVENTORY FOR PRODUCT PLY UNDER FIFO INVENTORY METHOD
March 31, 1979

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Unit cost</u> | <u>Total cost</u> |
|----------------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|
| March 26, 1979 | 900 | \$11.50 | \$10,350 |
| February 16, 1979 | 600 | 11.00 | 6,600 |
| January 25, 1979 (portion) | 100 | 10.50 | 1,050 |
| March 31, 1979, inventory | <u>1,600</u> | | <u>\$18,000</u> |

2. *Frate Company*
COMPUTATION OF INVENTORY FOR PRODUCT PLY UNDER LIFO INVENTORY METHOD
March 31, 1979

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Unit cost</u> | <u>Total cost</u> |
|---------------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Beginning inventory | 800 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 7,200 |
| January 5, 1979 (portion) | 800 | 10.00 | 8,000 |
| March 31, 1979, inventory | <u>1,600</u> | | <u>\$15,200</u> |

3. *Frate Company*
COMPUTATION OF INVENTORY FOR PRODUCT PLY UNDER WEIGHTED AVERAGE INVENTORY METHOD
March 31, 1979

| | <u>Units</u> | <u>Unit cost</u> | <u>Total cost</u> |
|--|--------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Beginning inventory | 800 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 7,200 |
| January 5, 1979 | 1,500 | 10.00 | 15,000 |
| January 25, 1979 | 1,200 | 10.50 | 12,600 |
| February 16, 1979 | 600 | 11.00 | 6,600 |
| March 26, 1979 | 900 | 11.50 | 10,350 |
| | <u>5,000</u> | | <u>\$51,750</u> |
| Weighted average cost ($\$51,750 \div 5,000$) | | <u>\$10.35</u> | |
| March 31, 1979, inventory | <u>1,600</u> | <u>\$10.35</u> | <u>\$16,560</u> |

Part b.

Red Department Store
COMPUTATION OF ESTIMATED INVENTORY USING RETAIL INVENTORY METHOD
December 31, 1978

| | <u>Cost</u> | <u>Retail</u> |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Inventory at January 1, 1978 | \$ 32,000 | \$ 80,000 |
| Purchases | 270,000 | 590,000 |
| Freight in | 7,600 | |
| Net markups (60,000 - 10,000) | | 50,000 |
| Goods available for sale | <u>\$309,600</u> | <u>720,000</u> |
| Cost ratio ($\$309,600 \div \$720,000$) | <u>43%</u> | |
| Sales | | 600,000 |
| Net markdowns (25,000 - 5,000) | | 20,000 |
| Estimated normal shrinkage (2% × 600,000) | | 12,000 |
| | | <u>632,000</u> |
| Estimated inventory at retail at December 31, 1978 | | <u>\$ 88,000</u> |
| Estimated inventory at December 31, 1978, lower of cost or market (\$88,000 × 43%) | <u>\$ 37,840</u> | |

Unofficial Answers

1N79

Answer 4 (cont.)

Part c.

| <i>Hodge Company</i> | | |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|
| CALCULATION OF ESTIMATED LOSS ON INVENTORY IN THE FIRE USING GROSS MARGIN (PROFIT) METHOD | | |
| <i>November 21, 1978</i> | | |
| Inventory at November 1, 1978 | | \$100,000 |
| Purchases from November 1, 1978, to date of fire | | <u>140,000</u> |
| Cost of goods available for sale | | 240,000 |
| Estimated cost of goods sold | | |
| Net sales from November 1, 1978, to date of fire | \$220,000 | |
| Less estimated gross margin (profit) (\$220,000 × 30%) | <u>66,000</u> | <u>154,000</u> |
| Estimated cost of inventory at date of fire | | 86,000 |
| Less salvage goods | | <u>10,000</u> |
| Estimated loss on inventory in the fire | | <u><u>\$ 76,000</u></u> |

2M79

Answer 3 (10 points)

Part a.

| <i>Padway Corporation</i> | | |
|---|-----------|-------------------------|
| COMPUTATION OF VALUE OF WORK-IN-PROCESS INVENTORY LOST | | |
| <i>June 30, 1978</i> | | |
| Sales | | \$340,000 |
| Less gross profit (25%) | | <u>85,000</u> |
| | | 255,000 |
| Add finished goods, June 30, 1978 | | <u>119,000</u> |
| Goods available for sale | | 374,000 |
| Less finished goods, January 1, 1978 | | <u>140,000</u> |
| Goods manufactured and completed | | <u><u>\$234,000</u></u> |
| Raw materials, January 1, 1978 | | \$ 30,000 |
| Purchases | | <u>115,000</u> |
| Total available | | 145,000 |
| Raw materials, June 30, 1978 | | <u>62,000</u> |
| | | 83,000 |
| Labor | \$ 80,000 | |
| Overhead | 40,000 | |
| Work-in-process, January 1, 1978 | | <u>100,000</u> |
| Cost of production | | 303,000 |
| Less cost of goods completed | | <u>234,000</u> |
| Work-in-process inventory lost | | <u><u>\$ 69,000</u></u> |

2M79
Answer 3 (cont.)

Part b.

Supreme Clothing Store
**COMPUTATION OF ESTIMATED INVENTORY AT THE LOWER OF COST OR MARKET
UNDER THE RETAIL INVENTORY METHOD**
November 30, 1978

| | <i>Cost</i> | <i>Selling Price</i> |
|--|-------------|----------------------|
| Inventory, November 1 | \$ 53,800 | \$ 80,000 |
| Add: Purchases | 173,200 | 223,600 |
| Less purchase returns and allowances | (3,000) | (3,600) |
| Markups | | 29,000 |
| Less markup cancellations | | (9,000) |
| Goods available for sale | \$224,000 | 320,000 |
| Cost ratio: $\$224,000 \div \$320,000 = 70\%$ | | |
| Less: Sales at retail | \$244,000 | |
| Sales returns and allowances | 12,000 | |
| Net sales | \$232,000 | |
| Markdowns | \$21,000 | |
| Less markdowns cancellations | 13,000 | 8,000 |
| Inventory, November 30, at retail | | \$ 80,000 |
| Inventory, November 30, at cost ($\$80,000 \times 70\%$) | \$ 56,000 | |

Part c.

Acute Company
**COMPUTATION OF INVENTORIES UNDER THE DOLLAR-VALUE
LIFO INVENTORY METHOD**

| <i>Year ended December 31</i> | <i>Inventory at respective year-end prices</i> | <i>External price index (base year 1975)</i> | <i>Inventory at base year (1975) price</i> |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1976 | \$363,000 | 1.10 | \$330,000 |
| 1977 | \$420,000 | 1.20 | \$350,000 |
| 1978 | \$430,000 | 1.25 | \$344,000 |
| <i>December 31, 1976</i> | | | |
| Base | | | \$300,000 |
| 1976 layer at 1976 cost: ($\$330,000 - \$300,000 = \$30,000 \times 1.10$) | | | 33,000 |
| | | | \$333,000 |
| <i>December 31, 1977</i> | | | |
| Base | | | \$300,000 |
| 1976 layer at 1976 cost | | | 33,000 |
| 1977 layer at 1977 cost: ($\$350,000 - \$330,000 = \$20,000 \times 1.20$) | | | 24,000 |
| | | | \$357,000 |
| <i>December 31, 1978</i> | | | |
| Base | | | \$300,000 |
| 1976 layer at 1976 cost | | | 33,000 |
| 1977 layer at 1977 cost: [$\$344,000 - \$350,000 = (\$6,000) + \$20,000 = \$14,000 \times 1.20$] | | | 16,800 |
| | | | \$349,800 |

E. Property, Plant, and Equipment

1N82
Answer 5

Part b.

1. *Brock Corporation*
LAND ACCOUNT (SITE NUMBER 101)
As of September 30, 1981

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Acquisition cost | \$600,000 |
| Real estate broker's commission | 36,000 |
| Legal fees | 6,000 |
| Title guarantee insurance | 18,000 |
| Cost of razing existing building | 75,000 |
| Balance, September 30, 1981 | <u>\$735,000</u> |

2. *Brock Corporation*
CAPITALIZED COST OF OFFICE BUILDING
As of September 30, 1981

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Contract cost | \$3,000,000 |
| Plans, specifications and blueprints | 12,000 |
| Architects' fees for design and supervision | 95,000 |
| Capitalized interest—1980 (\$900,000 × 14% × ¹⁰ / ₁₂) | 105,000 |
| Capitalized interest—1981 (\$2,300,000 × 14% × ⁹ / ₁₂) | 241,500 |
| Total capitalized cost, September 30, 1981 | <u>\$3,453,500</u> |

3. *Brock Corporation*
COMPUTATION OF DEPRECIATION OF OFFICE BUILDING USING 150% DECLINING BALANCE METHOD

For the Year Ended December 31, 1981

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Capitalized cost | \$3,453,500 |
| 150% declining balance rate (100% ÷ 40 years = 2.5% × 1.5) | × 3.75% |
| Annual depreciation | <u>\$ 129,506</u> |
| Depreciation October 1 to December 31, 1981 (\$129,506 × ³ / ₁₂) | <u>\$ 32,377</u> |

2N79
Answer 3 (10 points)

1. *Kingston Corporation*
ANALYSIS OF LAND ACCOUNT
1978

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 1978 | \$175,000 |
| Plant facility acquired from Nostrand—portion of fair value allocated to land (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | 150,000 |
| Balance at December 31, 1978 | <u>\$325,000</u> |

Kingston Corporation
ANALYSIS OF LAND IMPROVEMENTS ACCOUNT
1978

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 1978 | \$ 90,000 |
| Parking lots, streets, and sidewalks | 75,000 |
| Balance at December 31, 1978 | <u>\$165,000</u> |

Kingston Corporation
ANALYSIS OF BUILDINGS ACCOUNT
1978

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 1978 | \$ 900,000 |
| Plant facility acquired from Nostrand—portion of fair value allocated to building (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | 300,000 |
| Balance at December 31, 1978 | <u>\$1,200,000</u> |

Kingston Corporation
ANALYSIS OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT
1978

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Balance at January 1, 1978 | \$ 850,000 |
| Cost of new machinery and equipment acquired | |
| Invoice price | \$300,000 |
| Freight and unloading costs | 5,000 |
| Sales and use taxes | 12,000 |
| Installation costs | 25,000 |
| | <u>342,000</u> |
| | 1,192,000 |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Deduct cost of machines disposed of | |
| Machine scrapped June 30, 1978 | \$ 50,000 |
| Machine sold July 1, 1978 | 36,000 |
| | <u>86,000</u> |
| Balance at December 31, 1978 | <u>\$1,106,000</u> |

Schedule 1

Computation of Fair Value of Plant Facility Acquired From Nostrand and Allocation to Land and Building

| | |
|--|------------------|
| 10,000 shares of Kingston common stock at \$45 quoted market price on date of exchange (10,000 × \$45) | <u>\$450,000</u> |
|--|------------------|

2N79

Answer 3 (cont.)

Allocation to land and building accounts in proportion to appraised values at the exchange date:

| | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Percentage to total</u> | |
|----------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Land | \$120,000 | 33⅓ | |
| Building | 240,000 | 66⅔ | |
| Total | <u>\$360,000</u> | <u>100</u> | |
| Land | (\$450,000 × 33⅓%) | | \$150,000 |
| Building | (\$450,000 × 66⅔%) | | <u>300,000</u> |
| Total | | | <u>\$450,000</u> |

2. Items in the fact situation that were not used to determine the answer to 1, above, and where, or if, these items should be included in Kingston's financial statements are as follows:

- The tract of land, which was acquired for \$125,000 as a potential future building site, should be included in Kingston's balance sheet as an investment in land.
- The \$89,000 and \$130,000 book values respective to the land and building carried on Nostrand's books at the exchange date are not used by Kingston.
- The \$7,550 loss (*Schedule 2*) incurred on the scrapping of a machine on June 30, 1978, should be included as a normal operating expense in Kingston's income statement. The \$42,450 accumulated depreciation (*Schedule 3*) should be deducted from the accumulated depreciation-machinery and equipment account in Kingston's balance sheet.
- The \$1,500 gain on sale of a machine on July 1, 1978 (*Schedule 4*), should be included as a revenue item in Kingston's income statement. The \$17,500 accumulated depreciation (*Schedule 4*) should be deducted from the accumulated depreciation-machinery and equipment account in Kingston's balance sheet.

Schedule 2Loss on Scrapping of MachineJune 30, 1978

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Cost, January 1, 1970 | \$50,000 |
| Accumulated depreciation (double-declining-balance method, 10-year life) January 1, 1970, to June 30, 1978 (<i>Schedule 3</i>) | <u>42,450</u> |
| Asset book value June 30, 1978 | <u>\$ 7,550</u> |
| Loss on scrapping of machine | <u>\$ 7,550</u> |

Schedule 3Accumulated Depreciation Using Double-Declining-Balance MethodJune 30, 1978

(Double-declining-balance rate is 20%)

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Book value at beginning of year</u> | <u>Depreciation expense</u> | <u>Accumulated depreciation</u> |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1970 | \$50,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |
| 1971 | 40,000 | 8,000 | 18,000 |
| 1972 | 32,000 | 6,400 | 24,400 |
| 1973 | 25,600 | 5,120 | 29,520 |
| 1974 | 20,480 | 4,096 | 33,616 |
| 1975 | 16,384 | 3,277 | 36,893 |
| 1976 | 13,107 | 2,621 | 39,514 |
| 1977 | 10,486 | 2,097 | 41,611 |
| 1978 (6 months) | 8,389 | 839 | 42,450 |
| | | <u>\$42,450</u> | |

Schedule 4Gain on Sale of MachineJuly 1, 1978

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Cost, January 1, 1975 | \$36,000 |
| Depreciation (straight-line method, salvage value of \$1,000, 7-year life) January 1, 1975, to July 1, 1978 [3½ years (\$36,000 - \$1,000) ÷ 7] | <u>17,500</u> |
| Asset book value July 1, 1978 | <u>\$18,500</u> |
| Proceeds from sale | \$20,000 |
| Asset book value | <u>18,500</u> |
| Gain on sale | <u>\$ 1,500</u> |

1M79
Answer 3

Schedule 1

Computation of Depreciation for 1975, 1976, and 1977

Part c.

1. *Wing Company*
**COMPUTATION OF BOOK VALUE OF MACHINES,
NET OF ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**
December 31, 1978

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Cost of machine at date of purchase | \$240,000 |
| Estimated salvage value | <u>20,000</u> |
| Amount subject to depreciation | 220,000 |
| Depreciation rate | <u>10%</u> |
| Straight-line annual depreciation expense | <u>\$ 22,000</u> |

| | | |
|--|---------------|------------------|
| Cost of machine at date of purchase | \$240,000 | |
| Depreciation for 1975 (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | \$22,000 | |
| 1976 (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | 22,000 | |
| 1977 (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | <u>22,000</u> | |
| | | <u>66,000</u> |
| Book value of machine at December 31, 1977 | | 174,000 |
| Excess of sum-of-the-years digits depreciation method over straight-line depreciation method (<i>Computation per Require- ment 2</i>) | 42,000 | |
| Depreciation for 1978, using sum-of-the-years digits method (\$220,000 × 7/55— <i>Computation format per Requirement 2</i>) | <u>28,000</u> | <u>70,000</u> |
| Book value of machine at Decem- ber 31, 1978 | | <u>\$104,000</u> |

2.

Wing Company
**COMPUTATION OF CUMULATIVE EFFECT ON PRIOR YEARS OF CHANGING
TO A DIFFERENT DEPRECIATION METHOD**
For the year ended December 31, 1978

| | <u>Straight- line method</u> | <u>Sum-of- the-years digits method</u> | | <u>In- crease</u> |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Depreciation for 1975 | \$22,000 | \$ 40,000 | (\$220,000 × 10/55) | \$18,000 |
| 1976 | 22,000 | 36,000 | (\$220,000 × 9/55) | 14,000 |
| 1977 | 22,000 | 32,000 | (\$220,000 × 8/55) | 10,000 |
| | <u>\$66,000</u> | <u>\$108,000</u> | | <u>42,000</u> |
| Income tax effect | | | | <u>50%</u> |
| Cumulative effect on prior years of change | | | | <u>\$21,000</u> |

F. Capitalized Leased Assets1M81
Answer 5**Part b.**

1. *Dumont Corporation*

**COMPUTATION OF ANNUAL RENTAL
UNDER DIRECT FINANCING LEASE**
Dated December 31, 1979

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Cost of leased machine | \$500,000 |
| Deduct investment tax credit (\$500,000 × 10%) | <u>50,000</u> |
| Net cost to Dumont | 450,000 |
| Deduct present value of estimated residual value (\$60,000 × 0.452 (present value of \$1 at 12% for 7 periods)) | <u>27,120</u> |
| Net investment to be recovered | 422,880 |
| Present value of an annuity of \$1 in advance for 7 periods at 12% | <u>÷ 5.111</u> |
| Annual rental | <u>\$ 82,739</u> |

2. *Dumont Corporation*

**COMPUTATION OF GROSS LEASE RENTALS
RECEIVABLE AND UNEARNED INTEREST
REVENUE AT INCEPTION OF DIRECT
FINANCING LEASE**
Dated December 31, 1979

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Gross lease rentals receivable (\$82,739 × 7) | \$579,173 |
| Deduct recovery of net investment in machine on capital lease | |
| Cost of machine | \$500,000 |
| Investment tax credit (\$500,000 × 10%) | (50,000) |
| Residual value of machine | <u>(60,000)</u> |
| Unearned interest revenue | <u>\$189,173</u> |

3. *Finley Company*

**COMPUTATION OF EXPENSE ON LEASE
RECORDED AS A CAPITAL LEASE**
For the Year Ended December 31, 1980

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Depreciation [\$422,880 (Schedule 1) ÷ 7] | \$ 60,411 |
| Interest expense (Schedule 1) | <u>40,817</u> |
| Total expense on lease | <u>\$101,228</u> |

Schedule 1Interest Expense Year Ended December 31, 1980

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Liability under capital lease (initial value) [\$82,739 × 5.111 (present value of an annuity of \$1 in advance for 7 periods at 12%*)] | \$422,880 |
| Deduct lease payment on December 31, 1979 | <u>82,739</u> |
| Balance December 31, 1979 (after initial payment) | 340,141 |
| Interest rate | <u>× 12%*</u> |
| Interest expense year ended December 31, 1980 | <u>\$ 40,817</u> |

* Finley Company must use Dumont Corporation's (Lessor's) implicit rate of 12% (which is known to it), since it is lower than Finley's incremental borrowing rate of 14%.

1M81
Answer 5 (cont.)

Dumont Corporation
SCHEDULE OF AMORTIZATION—DIRECT FINANCING LEASE
Dated December 31, 1979
(Not Required)

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Lease Rental</i> | <i>Interest Income (12%)</i> | <i>Investment Recovery</i> | <i>Net Investment</i> |
|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 12-31-79 | Initial Value | — | — | \$450,000 ^{ad} |
| 12-31-79 | \$ 82,739 | — | \$ 82,739 | 367,261 |
| 12-31-80 | 82,739 | \$ 44,071 | 38,668 | 328,593 |
| 12-31-81 | 82,739 | 39,431 | 43,308 | 285,285 |
| 12-31-82 | 82,739 | 34,234 | 48,505 | 236,780 |
| 12-31-83 | 82,739 | 28,414 | 54,325 | 182,455 |
| 12-31-84 | 82,739 | 21,895 | 60,844 | 121,611 |
| 12-31-85 | 82,739 | 14,593 | 68,146 ^c | 53,465 ^f |
| 12-31-86 | — | 6,416 | (6,416) | 59,881 |
| 12-31-86 | — | 119 ^c | (119) ^c | 60,000 ^b |
| | <u>\$579,173</u> | <u>\$189,173</u> | <u>\$390,000</u> | <u>\$ 60,000</u> |

^a Net investment equals cost less investment tax credit (\$500,000 - \$50,000).

^b Residual value that remains in the asset account at expiration of the lease.

^c Adjustment for fractional differences.

^d Present value of lease payments (\$422,880) plus present value of the residual value (\$27,120) = \$450,000.

^e Includes unearned interest income of \$6,416.

^f Net of unearned interest income of \$6,416.

G. Intangibles

1N82
Answer 5

Part a.

1. *Tully Corporation*
INTANGIBLES SECTION OF BALANCE SHEET
December 31, 1981

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Franchise from Rapid Copy Service, Inc., net of accumulated amortization of \$6,870 (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | \$ 61,830 |
| Patent, net of accumulated amortization of \$2,050 (<i>Schedule 2</i>) | 14,350 |
| Trademark, net of accumulated amortization of \$7,294 (<i>Schedule 3</i>) | <u>42,706</u> |
| Total intangibles | <u>\$118,886</u> |

Schedule 1

Computation of Franchise From
Rapid Copy Service, Inc.

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Cost of franchise at January 1, 1981 | |
| Down payment | \$ 25,000 |
| Present value of installments | <u>43,700</u> |
| Initial amount capitalized | 68,700 |
| Amortization of franchise for 1981 (\$68,700 ÷ 10 years) | <u>(6,870)</u> |
| Franchise balance, December 31, 1981 | <u>\$ 61,830</u> |

Schedule 2

Computation of Patent

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Capitalized cost of patent at January 2, 1981—legal fees and other costs associated with registration | \$ 16,400 |
| Amortization of patent for 1981 (\$16,400 ÷ 8 years) | <u>(2,050)</u> |
| Patent balance, December 31, 1981 | <u>\$ 14,350</u> |

1N82
Answer 5 (cont.)

Schedule 3

Computation of Trademark

| | <u>Cost</u> | <u>Accumulated Amortization</u> |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Cost of trademark at July 1, 1978 | \$40,000 | |
| Amortization through December 31, 1980 (\$40,000 ÷ 20 years = \$2,000 × 2½ years) | | \$5,000 |
| Amortization for period January 1–June 30, 1981 (\$2,000 × ½) | | 1,000 |
| Cost of successful litigation in defense of trademark, July 1, 1981 | <u>10,000</u> | |
| Balance, July 1, 1981 | 50,000 | 6,000 |
| Amortization for period July 1–December 31, 1981 (\$50,000 – \$6,000 = \$44,000 trademark balance ÷ 17 year remaining life = \$2,588 × ½) | | 1,294 |
| Balance, December 31, 1981 | 50,000 | <u>\$7,294</u> |
| Deduct accumulated amortization | <u>7,294</u> | |
| Trademark balance, December 31, 1981 | <u>\$42,706</u> | |

2. Tully Company
**EXPENSES RESULTING FROM
INTANGIBLES TRANSACTIONS**
For the Year Ended December 31, 1981

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Franchise from Rapid Copy Service, Inc. Amortization of franchise (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | \$ 6,870 |
| Franchise fee on revenues from operations (\$900,000 × 5%) | 45,000 |
| Imputed interest expense on unpaid balance of initial franchise fee (\$43,700 × 14%) | <u>6,118</u> |
| | 57,988 |
| Amortization of patent (<i>Schedule 2</i>) | 2,050 |
| Amortization of trademark (\$1,000 + \$1,294) (<i>Schedule 3</i>) | <u>2,294</u> |
| Total expenses | <u>\$62,332</u> |

1M79
Answer 3

Part a.

Horn Company
**COMPUTATION OF GOODWILL AND
ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION**
December 31, 1978

| | <u>Goodwill</u> | <u>Accumulated Amortization</u> |
|--|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Mat Company: | | |
| Goodwill at date of purchase | \$500,000 | |
| Amortization of goodwill for 1977 (\$500,000 ÷ 40 years) | | \$12,500 |
| Amortization of goodwill for 1978 (\$500,000 ÷ 40 years) | | <u>12,500</u> |
| | | 25,000 |
| Simon Company: | | |
| Goodwill at date of purchase (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | 310,000 | |
| Amortization of goodwill for 1978 (\$310,000 ÷ 40 years) | | 7,750 |
| Totals | <u>\$810,000</u> | <u>\$32,750</u> |

Schedule 1

Computation of Goodwill—Simon Company

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Cost of 300,000 shares of outstanding stock | \$2,500,000 |
| Excess of fair value of property, plant, and equipment over book value (\$3,800,000 – \$3,500,000 = \$300,000 × 30%) | \$ 90,000 |
| Book value (\$7,000,000 × 30%) | <u>2,100,000</u> |
| | 2,190,000 |
| Goodwill | <u>\$ 310,000</u> |

Horn Company
COMPUTATION OF GOODWILL AMORTIZATION
For the year ended December 31, 1978

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Mat Company (\$500,000 ÷ 40 years) | \$12,500 |
| Simon Company (\$310,000 ÷ 40 years) | <u>7,750</u> |
| Goodwill amortization | <u>\$20,250</u> |

Unofficial Answers

1M79

Answer 3 (cont.)

Schedule 2

Computation of Franchise from Rink Company

Part b.

1. *Barb Company*
INTANGIBLES SECTION OF BALANCE SHEET
December 31, 1978

| | |
|---|---------------------------|
| Patent from Lou Company, net of accumulated amortization of \$420,000 (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | \$1,080,000 |
| Franchise from Rink Company, net of accumulated amortization of \$50,000 (<i>Schedule 2</i>) | <u>450,000</u> |
| Intangibles | <u><u>\$1,530,000</u></u> |

Schedule 1

Computation of Patent from Lou Company

| | |
|---|---------------------------|
| Cost of patent at date of purchase | \$1,500,000 |
| Amortization of patent for 1977 ($\$1,500,000 \div 10$ years) | <u>(150,000)</u> |
| | 1,350,000 |
| Amortization for patent for 1978 ($\$1,350,000 \div 5$ years) | <u>(270,000)</u> |
| Patent balance. | <u><u>\$1,080,000</u></u> |

| | |
|---|-------------------------|
| Cost of franchise at date of purchase | \$500,000 |
| Amortization of franchise for 1978 ($\$500,000 \div 10$) | <u>(50,000)</u> |
| Franchise balance | <u><u>\$450,000</u></u> |

2. *Barb Company*
INCOME STATEMENT EFFECT
For the year ended December 31, 1978

| | |
|---|-------------------------|
| Patent from Lou Company: Amortization of patent for 1978 ($\$1,350,000 \div 5$ years) | \$270,000 |
| Franchise from Rink Company: Amortization of franchise for 1978 ($\$500,000 \div 10$) | \$ 50,000 |
| Payment to Rink ($\$2,000,000 \times 5\%$) | <u>100,000</u> |
| Research and development costs | <u>320,000</u> |
| Total charged against income | <u><u>\$740,000</u></u> |

III. Valuation, Recognition, and Presentation of Liabilities in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

E. Bonds Payable

1N79

Answer 5 (10 points)

Part a.

Hopewell Company
COMPUTATION OF TOTAL AMOUNT RECEIVED FROM SALE OF BONDS
January 1, 1979

| | |
|---|-------------------------|
| Present value of the future principal ($\$1,000,000 \times 0.3855$) | \$385,500 |
| Present value of future annual interest payments ($\$80,000 (\$1,000,000 \times 8\%) \times 6.1446$) | <u>491,568</u> |
| Amount received from sale of bonds | <u><u>\$877,068</u></u> |

Part b.

Junction Company
JOURNAL ENTRY
September 1, 1978

| | <i>Debit</i> | <i>Credit</i> |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| Cash | \$4,210,000 | |
| Bond issue costs deferred | 40,000 | |
| Bonds payable (4,000 × \$1,000) | | \$4,000,000 |
| Premium on bonds payable (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | | 136,000 |
| Detachables stock warrants (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | | 24,000 |
| Bond interest expense (<i>Schedule 2</i>) | | 90,000 |
| To record the issuance of the bonds. | | |

1N79
Answer 5 (cont.)

Schedule 1

| <u>Premium on Bonds Payable and</u> | |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Value of Stock Warrants</u> | |
| Sales price (4,000 × \$1,040) | \$4,160,000 |
| Face value of bonds | 4,000,000 |
| | 160,000 |
| Deduct value assigned to stock warrants (4,000 × 2 = 8,000 warrants × \$3) | 24,000 |
| Premium on bonds payable | \$ 136,000 |

Schedule 2

| <u>Accrued Bond Interest to Date of Sale</u> | |
|--|-------------|
| Face value of bonds | \$4,000,000 |
| Interest rate | 9% |
| Annual interest | \$ 360,000 |
| Accrued interest (3 months) – (\$360,000 × 3/12) | \$ 90,000 |

Part c.

Cone Company
**COMPUTATION OF GAIN ON EARLY
EXTINGUISHMENT OF DEBT**
July 1, 1979

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Book value of bonds on December 1, 1976 | \$2,200,000 |
| Book value of bonds on December 31, 1978 | 2,100,000 |
| Amortization for 25 months | \$ 100,000 |
| Monthly amortization (\$100,000 ÷ 25) | \$ 4,000 |
| Book value of bonds on December 31, 1978 | \$2,100,000 |
| Amortization for 1979 to July 1, 1979 (\$4,000 × 6 months) | 24,000 |
| Book value of bonds on July 1, 1979 | 2,076,000 |
| Cost of reacquisition (2,000 × \$980) | 1,960,000 |
| Gain on early extinguishment of debt | \$ 116,000 |

G. Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

1M82
Answer 4

Part b.

Greenlaw, Inc.
JOURNAL ENTRY—SITUATION 1
December 31, 1981

| | <i>Debit</i> | <i>Credit</i> |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| Magazine subscriptions collected in advance | \$ 500,000 | |
| Magazine subscriptions revenue | | \$500,000 |
| To record subscriptions earned during 1981 | | |
| <i>Liability account</i> | | |
| Book balance at December 31, 1981 | 2,400,000 | |
| Adjusted balance (\$600,000 + \$900,000 + \$400,000) | 1,900,000 | |
| Credit to revenue account | | \$ 500,000 |

Greenlaw, Inc.
SITUATION 2
December 31, 1981

No entry should be made to accrue for an expense, because the absence of insurance coverage does not mean that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred as of the balance sheet date. Greenlaw may, however, appropriate retained earnings for self-insurance as long as actual costs or losses are not charged to the appropriation of retained earnings and no part of appropriation is transferred to income. The loss contingency may also be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. Appropriation of retained earnings and/or disclosure in the notes to the financial statements are not required.

1M82
Answer 4 (cont.)

Greenlaw, Inc.

JOURNAL ENTRY—SITUATION 3

December 31, 1981

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Estimated loss from pending lawsuit | \$100,000 | |
| Estimated liability from pending lawsuit | | \$100,000 |
| To record estimated minimum damages on breach-of-contract litigation | | |

Greenlaw, Inc.
SITUATION 4
December 31, 1981

No entry should be made for this loss contingency, because it is not probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred and the loss cannot be reasonably estimated as of the balance sheet date. The loss contingency should be disclosed in the notes to financial statements.

IV. Ownership Structure, Presentation, and Valuation of Equity Accounts in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

E. Stock Options, Warrants, and Rights

1N81
Answer 5
Part a.

Holt, Inc.

JOURNAL ENTRY (1)

January 1, 1978

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|---|------------------|---------------|
| Deferred compensation cost | \$160,000 | |
| Common stock options | | \$160,000 |
| To record compensatory stock options at grant date: | | |
| Compensation per share (\$33 - \$25) | \$8 | |
| Stock option shares | × 20,000 | |
| Common stock options and deferred compensation cost | <u>\$160,000</u> | |

Holt, Inc.

JOURNAL ENTRY (2)

December 31, 1978

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| Compensation expense | \$80,000 | |
| Deferred compensation cost (\$160,000 ÷ 2) | | \$80,000 |
| To record compensation expense for 1978, based on write-off of deferred compensation cost over the stipulated two-year period of service | | |

Holt, Inc.

JOURNAL ENTRY (3)

April 1, 1979

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Common stock options | \$16,000 | |
| Deferred compensation cost | | \$8,000 |
| Compensation expense | | 8,000 |
| To record termination of 2,000 option shares held by employees at date they resigned their positions: | | |
| Option shares terminated | | 2,000 |
| Compensation per share | × \$8 | |
| Common stock options and deferred compensation | \$16,000 | |
| Expensed year ended December 31, 1978 (\$16,000 ÷ 2) | | <u>8,000</u> |
| Deferred compensation cost at April 1, 1979 | | <u>\$ 8,000</u> |

Holt, Inc.

JOURNAL ENTRY (4)

December 31, 1979

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| Compensation expense | \$72,000 | |
| Deferred compensation cost (\$160,000 - \$80,000 - \$8,000) | | \$72,000 |
| To record compensation expense for 1979 and write-off of remaining deferred compensation cost | | |

1N81
Answer 5 (cont.)

Holt, Inc.
December 31, 1980
(Not Required)

Holt, Inc.
JOURNAL ENTRY (5)
March 31, 1980

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| Cash (12,000 × \$25) | \$300,000 | |
| Common stock options (12,000 × \$8) | 96,000 | |
| Common stock (12,000 × \$10) | | \$120,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 276,000 |
| To record issuance of 12,000 shares of \$10 par common stock in exchange for 12,000 stock options and cash of \$25 per share | | |

No entry for compensation expense for the stock options is required for year ended December 31, 1980, because the deferred compensation cost was properly expensed during 1978 and 1979.

G. Partnerships

1M82
Answer 4

Part a.

Allen, Brown, and Cox Partnership
COMPUTATION OF SAFE INSTALLMENT PAYMENTS TO PARTNERS
January 31, 1982

| | <i>Residual equities</i> | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | <u>Total</u> | <u>Allen</u> | <u>Brown</u> | <u>Cox</u> |
| Profit and loss ratio | <u>100%</u> | <u>50%</u> | <u>30%</u> | <u>20%</u> |
| Computation of January installment | | | | |
| Preliquidation balances | | | | |
| Capital | \$282,000 | \$118,000 | \$ 90,000 | \$74,000 |
| Add (deduct) loans | (10,000) | (30,000) | 20,000 | — |
| | <u>272,000</u> | <u>88,000</u> | <u>110,000</u> | <u>74,000</u> |
| Deduct January losses (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | (28,000) | (14,000) | (8,400) | (5,600) |
| Predistribution balances | 244,000 | 74,000 | 101,600 | 68,400 |
| Deduct potential losses (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | (199,000) | (99,500) | (59,700) | (39,800) |
| | 45,000 | (25,500) | 41,900 | 28,600 |
| Deduct potential loss—Allen's debit balance (Brown 3/5; Cox 2/5) | — | 25,500 | (15,300) | (10,200) |
| Safe payments to partners | <u>\$ 45,000</u> | <u>\$ -0-</u> | <u>\$ 26,600</u> | <u>\$18,400</u> |

1M82

Answer 4 (cont.)

Schedule 1

Computation of Actual and Potential

Liquidation Losses

January 1982

| | <u>Actual losses</u> | <u>Potential losses</u> |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Collection of accounts receivable (\$66,000 – \$51,000) | \$15,000 | |
| Sale of inventory (\$52,000 – \$38,000) | 14,000 | |
| Liquidation expenses | 2,000 | |
| Gain resulting from January credit memorandum offset against payments to creditors | (3,000) | |
| Machinery and equipment, net | | \$189,000 |
| Potential unrecorded liabilities and anticipated expenses | | 10,000 |
| Totals | <u>\$28,000</u> | <u>\$199,000</u> |

V. Measurement and Presentation of Income and Expense Items, Their Relationship to Matching and Periodicity, and Their Relationship to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

A. Sales or Revenues

1M82

Answer 5

Part a.

1.

Hobson, Inc.

APPLICATION OF CASH RECEIPTS FROM SALE OF IDLE PLANT FACILITY TO COST RECOVERY, DEFERRED INCOME, AND INCOME RECOGNIZED UNDER THE COST RECOVERY METHOD OF ACCOUNTING

For the Period January 1, 1977, to February 1, 1981

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Cash received</u> | <u>Note receivable</u> | <u>Idle plant (net)</u> | <u>Deferred income</u> | <u>Income recognized</u> |
|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Dr. (Cr.)</u> | <u>(Credit)</u> | <u>Dr. (Cr.)</u> | <u>(Credit)</u> |
| January 1, 1977 | \$100,000 | \$600,000 | \$(500,000) | \$(200,000) | |
| July 1, 1978 | 190,000 | (100,000) | | (90,000) | |
| December 31, 1979 | 275,000 | (200,000) | | (10,000) | \$ (65,000)* |
| February 1, 1981 | 332,500 | (300,000) | | | (32,500) |
| February 1, 1981 | | | | 300,000 | (300,000) |

* Total cash received \$565,000 (\$100,000 + \$190,000 + \$275,000)
 Idle plant (net) 500,000
 Income recognized \$ 65,000

1M82
Answer 5 (cont.)

2. *Hobson, Inc.*
JOURNAL ENTRY
April 1, 1981

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| Machinery and Equipment (\$190,000 + \$30,000) | \$220,000 | |
| Land | | \$105,000 |
| Gain on disposal of land (\$190,000 - \$105,000) | | 85,000 |
| Cash | | 30,000 |
| To record the exchange of land for a used printing press of Tyler Company | | |

1N80
Answer 4 (10 points)

Part a. (10 points)

1. *Curtiss Construction Company, Inc.*
**COMPUTATION OF BILLINGS ON UNCOMPLETED
CONTRACT IN EXCESS OF RELATED COSTS**
December 31, 1977

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Partial billings on contract during 1977 | \$720,000 |
| Deduct construction costs incurred during 1977 | <u>350,000</u> |
| Balance, December 31, 1977 | <u>\$370,000</u> |

Curtiss Construction Company, Inc.
**COMPUTATION OF COSTS OF UNCOMPLETED
CONTRACT IN EXCESS OF RELATED BILLINGS**
December 31, 1978

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 1977—excess of billings over costs | \$ (370,000) |
| Add construction costs incurred during 1978 (\$2,500,000 - \$350,000) | <u>2,150,000</u> |
| | 1,780,000 |
| Deduct provision for loss on contract recognized during 1978 (\$2,500,000 + \$1,700,000 - \$4,000,000) | <u>200,000</u> |
| | 1,580,000 |
| Deduct partial billings during 1978 (\$2,160,000 - \$720,000) | <u>1,440,000</u> |
| Balance, December 31, 1978 | <u>\$ 140,000</u> |

Curtiss Construction Company, Inc.
**COMPUTATION OF COSTS RELATING TO
SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETED CONTRACT
IN EXCESS OF BILLINGS**
December 31, 1979

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 1978—excess of costs over billings | \$ 140,000 |
| Add construction costs incurred during 1979 (\$4,250,000 - \$2,500,000) | <u>1,750,000</u> |
| | 1,890,000 |
| Deduct loss on contract recognized during 1979 (\$4,250,000 - \$4,000,000 - \$200,000) | <u>50,000</u> |
| | 1,840,000 |
| Deduct partial billings during 1979 (\$3,600,000 - \$2,160,000) | <u>1,440,000</u> |
| Balance, December 31, 1979 | <u>\$ 400,000</u> |

2. *Curtiss Construction Company, Inc.*
**COMPUTATION OF PROFIT OR LOSS TO BE
RECOGNIZED ON UNCOMPLETED CONTRACT**
Year Ended December 31, 1977

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Contract price | <u>\$4,000,000</u> |
| Deduct contract costs | |
| Incurred to December 31, 1977 | \$ 350,000 |
| Estimated costs to complete | <u>3,150,000</u> |
| Total estimated contract cost | <u>\$3,500,000</u> |
| Estimated gross profit on contract at completion | <u>\$ 500,000</u> |
| Profit to be recognized | <u>\$ -0-</u> |

(The completed-contract method recognizes income only when the contract is completed, or substantially so.)

Curtiss Construction Company, Inc.
**COMPUTATION OF LOSS TO BE RECOGNIZED
ON UNCOMPLETED CONTRACT**
Year Ended December 31, 1978

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Contract price | <u>\$4,000,000</u> |
| Deduct contract costs | |
| Incurred to December 31, 1978 | 2,500,000 |
| Estimated costs to complete | <u>1,700,000</u> |
| Total estimated contract cost | <u>4,200,000</u> |
| Loss to be recognized | <u>\$ (200,000)</u> |

(The completed-contract method requires that provision should be made for an expected loss.)

Unofficial Answers

1N80
Answer 4 (cont.)

Curtiss Construction Company, Inc.

**COMPUTATION OF LOSS TO BE RECOGNIZED
ON SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETED CONTRACT**
Year Ended December 31, 1979

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| Contract price | \$4,000,000 |
| Deduct contract costs incurred | <u>4,250,000</u> |
| Loss on contract | (250,000) |
| Deduct provision for loss booked at December 31, 1978 | <u>200,000</u> |
| Loss to be recognized | <u>\$ (50,000)</u> |

Part b.

Butler, Inc.

**COMPUTATION OF GROSS PROFIT TO BE
RECOGNIZED ON UNCOMPLETED CONTRACT**
Year Ended December 31, 1979

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Total contract price | |
| Estimated contract cost at completion (\$700,000 + \$1,400,000) | \$2,100,000 |
| Fixed fee | <u>300,000</u> |
| Total | 2,400,000 |
| Total estimated cost | <u>2,100,000</u> |
| Gross profit | <u>\$ 300,000</u> |
| Percentage-of-completion (\$700,000 ÷ \$2,100,000) | <u>33⅓%</u> |
| Gross profit to be recognized (\$300,000 × 33⅓%) | <u>\$ 100,000</u> |

1M80
Answer 4

Part b.

Pitt Company

**INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES ON
SALE OF PATENT**

For the Years Ended December 31, 1978, and 1979

| | <u>1978</u> | <u>1979</u> |
|--|-----------------|----------------|
| Profit on Sale | | |
| Sales price (\$16,000 × 3.60) | \$57,600 | |
| Cost of patent, net of amortization | <u>10,000</u> | \$47,600 |
| Interest income (Schedules 1 and 2) | <u>6,912</u> | <u>\$5,821</u> |
| Income before income taxes | <u>\$54,512</u> | <u>\$5,821</u> |

Schedule 1

Computation of Interest Income for 1978

| | |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Sales price | \$57,600 |
| Interest rate | <u>× 12%</u> |
| Interest income | <u>\$ 6,912</u> |

Schedule 2

Computation of Interest Income for 1979

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Balance at December 31, 1978 (\$57,600 + \$6,912) | \$64,512 |
| Deduct payment made on January 1, 1979 | <u>16,000</u> |
| | 48,512 |
| Interest rate | <u>× 12%</u> |
| Interest income | <u>\$ 5,821</u> |

Part c.

Maple Corporation

**INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES ON
INSTALLMENT SALE CONTRACT**

For the Year Ended December 31, 1979

| | |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| Sales | \$556,000 |
| Cost of sales | <u>417,000</u> |
| Gross profit | 139,000 |
| Interest income (Schedule 1) | <u>27,360</u> |
| Income before income taxes | <u>\$166,360</u> |

Schedule 1

Computation of Interest Income on
Installment Sale Contract

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Cash selling price | \$556,000 |
| Deduct payment made July 1, 1979 | <u>100,000</u> |
| | 456,000 |
| Interest rate | <u>× 12%</u> |
| Annual interest | <u>\$ 54,720</u> |
| Interest July 1, 1979, to December 31, 1979 (\$54,720 × ½) | <u>\$ 27,360</u> |

C. Expenses

1M82
Answer 5

Part b.

1. *Foster Corporation*
**COMPUTATION OF PENSION EXPENSE
REPORTED ON THE INCOME STATEMENTS**
For the Years Ended December 31, 1980, and 1981

| | <u>1980</u> | <u>1981</u> |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Normal cost | \$60,000 | \$65,000 |
| Past service cost | 29,685 | 29,685 |
| Interest on liability for pension expense not funded at December 31, 1980 (\$3,530 × 6%) | <u>—</u> | <u>212</u> |
| Total pension expense | <u>\$89,685</u> | <u>\$94,897</u> |

Foster Corporation
**COMPUTATION OF LIABILITY FOR
PENSION EXPENSE NOT FUNDED
REPORTED ON THE BALANCE SHEETS**
As of December 31, 1980, and 1981

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Past service cost amortization—1980 | \$29,685 |
| Deduct past service cost funded—December 31, 1980 | <u>26,155</u> |
| Liability for pension expense not funded—balance at December 31, 1980 | 3,530 |
| Add interest on liability for pension expense not funded at December 31, 1980 (\$3,530 × 6%) | 212 |
| Past service cost amortization—1981 | <u>29,685</u> |
| | 33,427 |
| Deduct past service cost funded—December 31, 1981 | <u>26,155</u> |
| Liability for pension expense not funded—balance at December 31, 1981 | <u>\$ 7,272</u> |

2. *Foster Corporation*
**COMPUTATION OF MINIMUM
PENSION PROVISION**
For the Year Ended December 31, 1980

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Normal cost | \$60,000 |
| Interest on unfunded past service cost (\$300,000 × 6%) | <u>18,000</u> |
| Minimum pension provision | <u>\$78,000</u> |

Foster Corporation
**COMPUTATION OF MAXIMUM
PENSION PROVISION**
For the Year Ended December 31, 1980

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Normal cost | \$60,000 |
| Past service cost amortization (\$300,000 × 10%) | <u>30,000</u> |
| Maximum pension provision | <u>\$90,000</u> |

1M81
Answer 5

Part a.

1. *Sutter Company*
**COMPUTATION OF EXPENSE
ON OPERATING LEASE**
For the Year Ended December 31, 1980

| | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| Rental expense (\$18,000 × 10 months) | <u>\$180,000</u> |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|

2. *Riley, Inc.*
**COMPUTATION OF INCOME BEFORE INCOME
TAXES ON OPERATING LEASE**
For the Year Ended December 31, 1980

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Rental income (\$18,000 × 10 months) | \$180,000 |
| Deduct | |
| Depreciation (\$1,200,000 ÷ 10 × 10/12) | \$100,000 |
| Amortization of commission for negotiating lease (\$60,000 × 10/48) | <u>12,500</u> |
| Income from operating lease | <u>\$ 67,500</u> |

D. Provision for Income Tax

2N82

Answer 4 (10 points)

1.

Howe Corporation

**COMPUTATION OF NET DEDUCTIONS FOR TAX REPORTING PURPOSES
GIVING RISE TO INTERPERIOD TAX ALLOCATION ON ORDINARY INCOME**

For the Years Ended December 31,

| | <u>1979</u> | <u>1980</u> | <u>1981</u> |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Depreciation—packaging equipment ($\$450,000 - \$60,000$) $\times \frac{5}{15}$ | \$130,000 | | |
| ($\$450,000 - \$60,000$) $\times \frac{4}{15}$ | | \$104,000 | |
| ($\$450,000 - \$60,000$) $\times \frac{3}{15}$ | | | \$78,000 |
| Patent amortization ($\$68,000 \div 17$) | | | 4,000 |
| Total deductions | <u>130,000</u> | <u>104,000</u> | <u>82,000</u> |
| Less rental income | | 120,000 | |
| Net deductions for income tax reporting | <u><u>\$130,000</u></u> | <u><u>\$(16,000)</u></u> | <u><u>\$82,000</u></u> |

NOTE: Investment credit is ignored in computing interperiod tax allocation.

2.

Howe Corporation

**COMPUTATION OF NET DEDUCTIONS FOR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
ADJUSTED FOR PERMANENT DIFFERENCE GIVING
RISE TO INTERPERIOD TAX ALLOCATION ON ORDINARY INCOME**

For the Years Ended December 31,

| | <u>1979</u> | <u>1980</u> | <u>1981</u> |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Depreciation—packaging equipment, based on cost less salvage value, before offset of investment credit ($\$450,000 - \$60,000$) $\div 5$ | \$78,000 | \$78,000 | \$78,000 |
| Patent amortization ($\$68,000 \div 4$) | | | 17,000 |
| Total deductions | <u>78,000</u> | <u>78,000</u> | <u>95,000</u> |
| Less rental income ($\$120,000 \div 3$) | | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| Net deductions for financial statements as adjusted | <u><u>\$78,000</u></u> | <u><u>\$38,000</u></u> | <u><u>\$55,000</u></u> |

3.

Howe Corporation

**COMPUTATION OF DEFERRED TAX CREDIT
AT CAPITAL GAINS RATE**

At December 31, 1981

| | |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Gain on sale of land for financial reporting purposes ($\$400,000 - \$300,000$) | \$100,000 |
| Gain on sale of land for tax reporting purposes ($\$400,000 - \$300,000$) $\div 10$ | <u>10,000</u> |
| Deferred gain for tax reporting purposes | 90,000 |
| Capital gains rate | <u>$\times 28\%$</u> |
| Deferred tax credit at capital gains rate | <u><u>\$ 25,200</u></u> |

2N82

Answer 4 (cont.)

4.

Howe Corporation
**COMPUTATION OF TOTAL NET
 DEFERRED TAX CREDITS (DEBITS)**
At December 31

| | <i>1979</i> | <i>1980</i> | <i>1981</i> |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Timing differences taxed at ordinary rates: | | | |
| Net deductions for income tax purposes | \$130,000 | \$(16,000) | \$82,000 |
| Net deductions for financial statement purposes as adjusted | 78,000 | 38,000 | 55,000 |
| Tax deductions in excess of financial statement deductions | 52,000 | (54,000) | 27,000 |
| Tax rate on ordinary income | × 40% | × 40% | × 40% |
| Deferred tax credits (debit) at ordinary rates | 20,800 | (21,600) | 10,800 |
| Deferred tax credit at 28% capital gains rate | | | 25,200 |
| Total net deferred tax credits (debit) | 20,800 | (21,600) | 36,000 |
| Cumulative total deferred tax credits | \$ 20,800 | \$ (800) | \$35,200 |

5.

Howe Corporation
**COMPUTATION OF TOTAL INCOME TAX EXPENSE
 FOR FINANCIAL STATEMENT PURPOSES**
For the Years Ended December 31

| | <i>1979</i> | <i>1980</i> | <i>1981</i> |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Income taxes per tax returns | \$ 50,000 | \$142,400 | \$101,280 |
| Add investment credit | 30,000 | | |
| Income taxes before investment credit | 80,000 | 142,400 | 101,280 |
| Add deferred tax credits (debit) | 20,800 | (21,600) | 36,000 |
| Total income tax expense before investment credit | 100,800 | 120,800 | 137,280 |
| Less amortization of investment credit | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 94,800 | \$114,800 | \$131,280 |

G. Earnings Per Share

1N81
Answer 5

Part b.

1. *Mason Corporation*
**NUMBER OF SHARES FOR COMPUTATION OF
PRIMARY EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE**
For Year Ended December 31, 1980

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | 312,000 |
| Common stock equivalents | |
| From stock options—dilutive (<i>Schedule 2</i>) | 11,250 |
| From warrants—antidilutive (<i>Schedule 3</i>) | <u>0</u> |
| Total number of shares for primary EPS computation | <u>323,250</u> |

Schedule 1

*Weighted Average Number of Common
Shares Outstanding—1980*

| <u><i>Dates</i></u> | <u><i>Shares</i></u> | <u><i>Months outstanding</i></u> | <u><i>Weighted shares</i></u> |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| January 1— | | | |
| August 31 | 300,000 | × 8 | 2,400,000 |
| September 1, sold additional shares | <u>36,000</u> | | |
| September 1— | | | |
| December 31 | <u>336,000</u> | × 4 | 1,344,000 |
| Total share— months | | | <u>3,744,000</u> ÷ 12 |
| Weighted average number of shares out- standing | | | <u>312,000</u> |

Schedule 2

*Common Stock Equivalents From Stock Options—
Treasury Stock Method*

| | |
|---|---------------|
| | <u>Shares</u> |
| Shares that would be issued upon exercise of options | 30,000 |
| Cash proceeds that would be realized upon exercise [30,000 shares × \$22.50 (option price) = \$675,000] | |
| Treasury shares that could be purchased [\$675,000 ÷ \$36 (average market price)]* | <u>18,750</u> |
| Dilutive common stock equivalents | <u>11,250</u> |

* For purposes of computing fully diluted earnings per share, the \$33 market price per share at December 31, 1980, is not used because it is lower than the \$36 average market price for 1980.

Schedule 3

*Common Stock Equivalents From Warrants—
Treasury Stock Method*

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Shares that would be issued upon exercise of warrants | 20,000 |
| Cash proceeds that would be realized upon exercise [20,000 shares × \$38 (exercise price) = \$760,000] | |
| Treasury shares that could be purchased [\$760,000 ÷ \$36 (average market price)]* | <u>21,111</u> |
| Antidilutive common stock equivalents (not included in EPS computations) | <u>(1,111)</u> |

* For purposes of computing fully diluted earnings per share, the \$33 market price per share at December 31, 1980, is not used because it is lower than the \$36 average market price for 1980.

2. *Mason Corporation*
**COMPUTATION OF PRIMARY EARNINGS
PER COMMON SHARE**
For Year Ended December 31, 1980

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Income: | |
| Net income | \$750,000 |
| Deduct dividends paid on preferred stock (10,000 shares × \$3) | <u>30,000</u> |
| Net income, adjusted | <u>\$720,000</u> |
| Number of shares (Part b. 1) | <u>323,250</u> |
| Primary earnings per share (\$720,000 ÷ 323,250) | <u>\$ 2.23</u> |

3. *Mason Corporation*
**NUMBER OF SHARES FOR COMPUTATION OF
FULLY DILUTED EARNINGS
PER COMMON SHARE**
For Year Ended December 31, 1980

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | 312,000 |
| Common stock equivalents | |
| From stock options—dilutive (<i>Schedule 2</i>) | 11,250 |
| From warrants—antidilutive (<i>Schedule 3</i>) | -0- |
| Shares assumed to be issued upon conversion of convertible bonds (\$1,000,000 ÷ \$1,000 = 1,000 bonds × 40) | <u>40,000</u> |
| Total number of shares for fully diluted EPS computation | <u>363,250</u> |

1N81

Answer 5 (cont.)

4. *Mason Corporation*
**COMPUTATION OF FULLY DILUTED EARNINGS
 PER COMMON SHARE**
For Year Ended December 31, 1980

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Income: | |
| Net income | \$750,000 |
| Deduct dividends paid on preferred stock (10,000 shares × \$3) | <u>30,000</u> |
| | 720,000 |
| Add interest expense (net of income tax effect) on convertible bonds [\$1,000,000 × 8% = \$80,000 × .60 (1.00 - .40 tax rate)] | <u>48,000</u> |
| Net income, adjusted | <u>\$768,000</u> |
| Number of shares (Part b. 3) | <u>363,250</u> |
| Fully diluted earnings per share (\$768,000 ÷ 363,250) | <u>\$ 2.11</u> |

VII. Cost Accumulation, Planning, and Control

2M83

Answer 4 (10 points)

- a. The breakeven point in patient days equals total fixed cost divided by contribution margin per patient day.

Pediatrics
**COMPUTATION OF BREAKEVEN POINT
 IN PATIENT DAYS**
For the Year Ending June 30, 1983

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Total fixed costs (<i>Schedule 1</i>) | \$3,380,000 |
| Divided by contribution margin per patient day (<i>Schedule 2</i>) | \$ 200 |
| Breakeven point in patient days | 16,900 |

Schedule 1

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | <u>Total Fixed Costs</u> | |
| Melford Hospital charges | | \$2,900,000 |
| Supervising nurses (\$25,000 × 4) | | 100,000 |
| Nurses (\$20,000 × 10) | | 200,000 |
| Aides (\$ 9,000 × 20) | | <u>180,000</u> |
| Total fixed costs | | <u>\$3,380,000</u> |

Schedule 2

| | | |
|---|--|--------------|
| | <u>Contribution Margin Per Patient Day</u> | |
| Revenue per patient day | | \$300 |
| Variable costs per patient day (\$6,000,000 ÷ \$300 = 20,000 patient days) | | |
| (\$2,000,000 ÷ 20,000 patient days) | | <u>100</u> |
| Contribution margin per patient day | | <u>\$200</u> |

2M83

Answer 4 (cont.)

b.

Pediatrics
**COMPUTATION OF
LOSS FROM RENTAL OF ADDITIONAL 20 BEDS**
For the Year Ending June 30, 1983

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Increase in revenue (20 additional beds × 90 days × \$300 charge per day) | <u>\$ 540,000</u> |
| Increase in expenses | |
| Variable charges by Melford Hospital (20 additional beds × 90 days × \$100 per day) | 180,000 |
| Fixed charges by Melford Hospital (\$2,900,000 ÷ 60 beds = \$48,333 per bed × 20 beds) or [\$2,900,000 × (20 ÷ 60)] | 966,667 |
| Salaries expense (20,000 patient days before additional 20 beds, + 20 additional beds × 90 days = 21,800, which does not exceed 21,900 patient days; therefore, no additional personnel are required) | — |
| Total increase in expenses | <u>1,146,667</u> |
| Net decrease in earnings from rental of additional 20 beds | <u>\$ 606,667</u> |

2M82

Answer 5 (10 points)

Part a.

| | <i>Debit</i> | <i>Credit</i> |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| 1. By-product inventory—Nagu | \$3,000 | |
| Work in process—Rey (30,000 lbs. @ \$.10/lb.) | | \$3,000 |
| 2. By-product inventory—Nagu | 9,000 | |
| Raw materials | | 2,000 |
| Direct labor | | 1,500 |
| Factory overhead | | 500 |
| Work in process—Rey (30,000 lbs. @ \$.30/lb.) | | 5,000 |
| 3. Work in process—Nagu | 4,500* | |
| Work in process—Rey | | 4,500 |
| Work in process—Nagu | 4,000 | |
| Raw materials | | 2,000 |
| Direct labor | | 1,500 |
| Factory overhead | | 500 |
| Finished goods—Nagu | 8,500 | |
| Work in process—Nagu | | 8,500 |
| <u>30,000 lbs. × \$.10</u> | | |
| 394,000 lbs. × \$.50 + 30,000 lbs. × \$.10 | | × \$300,000 |

Part b.

1. *Montero Corporation*
EXPECTED CASH COLLECTIONS
May 1982

| <i>Month</i> | <i>Sales</i> | <i>Percent</i> | <i>Expected collections</i> |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| March | \$60,000 | 9 | \$ 5,400 |
| April | 78,000 | 20 | 15,600 |
| May | 66,000 | 70 | 46,200 |
| Total | | | <u>\$67,200</u> |

2.

Montero Corporation
EXPECTED CASH DISBURSEMENTS
May 1982

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| April purchases to be paid in May | \$54,000 |
| Less: 2% cash discount | 1,080 |
| Net | \$52,920 |
| Cash disbursements for expenses | 14,400 |
| Total | <u>\$67,320</u> |

3.

Montero Corporation
EXPECTED CASH BALANCE
May 31, 1982

| | | |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| Balance, May 1 | | \$22,000 |
| Expected collections | \$67,200 | |
| Expected disbursements | 67,320 | (120) |
| Expected balance | | <u>\$21,880</u> |

2N81

Answer 4 (10 points)

a. *Armando Corporation*
**COMPUTATION OF VARIABLE AND
FIXED FACTORY OVERHEAD PER UNIT**

| | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Factory overhead per unit | |
| Variable (\$30 × 2/3) | \$ 20.00 |
| Fixed (\$30 × 1/3) | 10.00 |
| Total | <u>\$ 30.00</u> |

2N81
Answer 4 (cont.)

Schedule 1

Computation of Variable Factory
Overhead Rate Per Direct Labor Hour

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|
| Variable factory overhead per unit | \$20.00 | |
| Direct labor hours per unit | 4 | <u>\$ 5.00</u> |

Schedule 2

Computation of Total Fixed
Factory Overhead

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Direct labor hours (2,400) | |
| × Fixed factory overhead rate per direct labor hour (\$10.00 ÷ 4 hours) | <u>\$ 6,000</u> |

b. COMPUTATION OF VARIANCES
Month Ended July 31, 1981

Schedule 1

Materials Price Variance
Based on Purchases

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Direct materials actually purchased (18,000 × \$1.38) | \$24,840 |
| Standard cost of above (18,000 × \$1.35) | <u>24,300</u> |
| Materials price variance—unfavorable | <u>\$ 540</u> |

Schedule 2

Materials Usage Variance

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Actual quantity used at standard cost (9,500 × \$1.35) | \$12,825 |
| Standard quantity allowed (500 units × 20 yards) at standard cost (10,000 × \$1.35) | <u>13,500</u> |
| Materials usage variance—favorable | <u>\$ 675</u> |

Schedule 3

Labor Rate Variance

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Actual hours at actual rate (2,100 × \$9.15) | \$19,215 |
| Actual hours at standard rate (2,100 × \$9.00) | <u>18,900</u> |
| Labor rate variance—unfavorable | <u>\$ 315</u> |

Schedule 4

Labor Efficiency Variance

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Actual hours at standard rate (2,100 × \$9.00) | \$18,900 |
| Standard hours allowed (500 units × 4) at standard rate (2,000 × \$9.00) | <u>18,000</u> |
| Labor efficiency variance—unfavorable | <u>\$ 900</u> |

Schedule 5

Controllable Factory
Overhead Variance

| | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Actual total factory overhead | | \$16,650 |
| Budgeted factory overhead at standard hours | | |
| Fixed | \$ 6,000 | |
| Variable (500 units × 4 hours × \$5.00) | <u>10,000</u> | <u>16,000</u> |
| Controllable factory overhead variance—unfavorable | | <u>\$ 650</u> |

Schedule 6

Capacity (Volume) Factory
Overhead Variance

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Budgeted factory overhead at standard hours | \$16,000 |
| Applied total factory overhead | |
| Hours allowed—2,000 × \$7.50 (5/6 × \$9.00) | <u>15,000</u> |
| Capacity factory overhead variance—unfavorable | <u>\$ 1,000</u> |

2M81
Answer 5 (10 points)

a. *Vogue Fashions, Inc.*
STANDARD COST OF PRODUCTION
For the Month Ended June 30, 1980

| <u>Lot</u> | <u>Quantity (dozens)</u> | <u>Standard cost per dozen</u> | <u>Total standard cost</u> |
|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 22 | 1,000 | \$53.10 | \$ 53,100 |
| 23 | 1,700 | 53.10 | 90,270 |
| 24 | 1,200 | 47.76* | 57,312 |
| | | | <u>\$200,682</u> |
| | | | Standard cost of production |

* Standard material cost plus 80% of standard cost of labor and overhead [\$26.40 + (.80 × \$26.70)]

b. *Vogue Fashions, Inc.*
MATERIALS PRICE VARIANCE
For the Month Ended June 30, 1980

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Actual cost of materials purchased | \$106,400 |
| Standard cost of materials purchased (95,000 × \$1.10) | <u>104,500</u> |
| Unfavorable materials price variance | <u>\$ 1,900</u> |

Unofficial Answers

2M81

Answer 5 (cont.)

c. *Vogue Fashions, Inc.*
MATERIALS AND LABOR VARIANCES
For the Month Ended June 30, 1980

| | <i>Lot no.</i> | | |
|---|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| | <u>22</u> | <u>23</u> | <u>24</u> |
| Materials quantity variance | | | |
| Standard yards | | | |
| Units in lot | 1,000 | 1,700 | 1,200 |
| Standard yards per lot | <u>24</u> | <u>24</u> | <u>24</u> |
| Total standard quantity | 24,000 | 40,800 | 28,800 |
| Actual yards used | <u>24,100</u> | <u>40,440</u> | <u>28,825</u> |
| Variance in yards | <u>100</u> | <u>(360)</u> | <u>25</u> |
| Labor efficiency variance | | | |
| Standard hours | | | |
| Units in lot | 1,000 | 1,700 | 1,200 |
| Standard hours per lot | <u>3</u> | <u>3</u> | <u>3</u> |
| Total | 3,000 | 5,100 | 3,600 |
| Percentage of completion | <u>100</u> | <u>100</u> | <u>80</u> |
| Total standard hours | 3,000 | 5,100 | 2,880 |
| Actual hours worked | <u>2,980</u> | <u>5,130</u> | <u>2,890</u> |
| Variance in hours | <u>(20)</u> | <u>30</u> | <u>10</u> |
| Labor rate variance | | | |
| Actual hours worked | 2,980 | 5,130 | 2,890 |
| Rate paid in excess of standard (\$5.00 - 4.90) | \$.10 | \$.10 | \$.10 |
| Variance | <u>\$ 298</u> | <u>\$ 513</u> | <u>\$ 289</u> |

() Indicates favorable variance

d. *Vogue Fashions, Inc.*
MANUFACTURING OVERHEAD VARIANCES
For the Month Ended June 30, 1980

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Controllable variance | |
| Actual manufacturing overhead | \$45,600 |
| Budgeted for level of production attained | |
| Fixed (.40 × \$576,000/12) | \$19,200 |
| Variable (\$4.00 × .60 × 10,980 standard hours) | <u>26,352</u> |
| Total budgeted | 45,552 |
| Unfavorable controllable variance | <u>\$ 48</u> |

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Noncontrollable variance | |
| Budgeted for level of production attained | \$45,552 |
| Overhead applied to production (10,980 standard hours × \$4.00) | <u>43,920</u> |
| Unfavorable noncontrollable variance | <u>\$ 1,632</u> |

Alternate Solution

| | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Fixed manufacturing overhead (as above) | | \$19,200 |
| Overhead applied to production | \$43,920 | |
| Variable manufacturing overhead | <u>26,352</u> | <u>17,568</u> |
| Unfavorable noncontrollable variance | | <u>\$ 1,632</u> |

2N80

Answer 3

Part a. *The Rebecca Corporation*
STATEMENT OF COSTS OF GOODS MANUFACTURED
For the Month Ended October 31, 1980

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Materials inventory, October 1 | \$16,200 |
| Purchases | <u>20,000</u> |
| Materials available | 36,200 |
| Less: Materials inventory, October 31 | <u>17,000</u> |
| Materials used in production | 19,200 |
| Direct labor (3,300 hrs. × \$5.00) | 16,500 |
| Factory overhead applied (3,300 hrs. × \$2.60) | <u>8,580</u> |
| Total current manufacturing costs | 44,280 |
| Work-in-process inventory, October 1 | <u>3,600</u> |
| Total manufacturing costs | 47,880 |
| Less: Work-in-process inventory, October 31 | <u>8,120</u> |
| Cost of goods manufactured | <u>\$39,760</u> |

2N80
Answer 3 (cont.)

Part b.

Lakeview Corporation Assembling Department
COSTS OF PRODUCTION REPORT
For the Month Ended June 30, 1980

| <u>Description</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>Transferred in</u> | <u>Direct Materials</u> | <u>Direct Labor</u> | <u>Factory Overhead</u> |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Physical units to be accounted for | | | | | |
| Beginning inventory | 2,000 | | | | |
| Transferred in | 10,000 | | | | |
| Units to be accounted for | <u>12,000</u> | | | | |
| Equivalent units of production | | | | | |
| Transferred out | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| Ending inventory* | 4,000 | 4,000 | 3,600 | 2,800 | 1,400 |
| Equivalent units | <u>12,000</u> | <u>12,000</u> | <u>11,600</u> | <u>10,800</u> | <u>9,400</u> |

*4,000 × percentage of completion.

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Manufacturing costs | | | | | |
| Beginning inventory | \$ 64,700 | \$ 32,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 7,200 | \$ 5,500 |
| Current—June | 310,000 | 160,000 | 96,000 | 36,000 | 18,000 |
| Total manufacturing costs | <u>\$374,700</u> | <u>\$192,000</u> | <u>\$116,000</u> | <u>\$43,200</u> | <u>\$23,500</u> |
| Cost per equivalent unit* | <u>\$ 32.50</u> | <u>\$ 16.00</u> | <u>\$ 10.00</u> | <u>\$ 4.00</u> | <u>\$ 2.50</u> |

*Total manufacturing costs ÷
equivalent units.

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Allocation of total costs | | | | | |
| Amount of ending work-in-process | \$114,700 | \$ 64,000 | \$ 36,000 | \$11,200 | \$ 3,500 |
| Amount transferred out* | 260,000 | 128,000 | 80,000 | 32,000 | 20,000 |
| Total cost | <u>\$374,700</u> | <u>\$192,000</u> | <u>\$116,000</u> | <u>\$43,200</u> | <u>\$23,500</u> |

*8,000 × equivalent unit cost.

2M80

Answer 5 (10 points)

Adept Company
Grading Department
COST OF PRODUCTION REPORT
For the Month of November 1979

| <u>Description</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>Material</u> | <u>Labor/Overhead</u> |
|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Physical units in pounds to be accounted for: | | | |
| (a) Beginning inventory | -0- | | |
| (b) Added | 36,000 | | |
| (c) Less by-product* | <u>-7,200</u> | | |
| Pounds to be accounted for | <u>28,800</u> | | |
| Equivalent units in pounds: | | | |
| (d) Beginning inventory | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| (e) Started and completed | 28,800 | 28,800 | 28,800 |
| (f) Ending inventory | <u>-0-</u> | <u>-0-</u> | <u>-0-</u> |
| (g) Equivalent units | <u>28,800</u> | <u>28,800</u> | <u>28,800</u> |
| Manufacturing costs: | | | |
| (h) Beginning inventory | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| (i) Current — November | \$352,080 | \$265,680 | \$86,400 |
| (j) Less net realizable value of by-product | <u>6,480</u> | <u>6,480</u> | <u>-0-</u> |
| (k) Current costs | <u>\$345,600</u> | <u>\$259,200</u> | <u>\$86,400</u> |
| (l) Total costs | <u>\$345,600</u> | <u>\$259,200</u> | <u>\$86,400</u> |
| Equivalent unit cost (m) = (k ÷ g) | <u>\$ 12.00</u> | <u>\$ 9.00</u> | <u>\$ 3.00</u> |
| Amount of ending work-in-process (m × f) | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Amount transferred out (m × e) | <u>\$345,600</u> | <u>\$259,200</u> | <u>\$86,400</u> |
| Total manufacturing cost | <u>\$345,600</u> | <u>\$259,200</u> | <u>\$86,400</u> |

*36,000 × 20%

2M80

Answer 5 (cont.)

Adept Company
Saturating Department
COST OF PRODUCTION REPORT (cont.)
For the Month of November 1979

| <u>Description</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>Transferred in</u> | <u>Material</u> | <u>Labor/Overhead</u> |
|--|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Physical units in pounds to be accounted for: | | | | |
| (a) Beginning inventory | 1,600 | | | |
| (b) Transferred in | 28,800 | | | |
| (c) Water added (b) × 50% | <u>14,400</u> | | | |
| (d) Pounds to be accounted for | <u>44,800</u> | | | |
| Equivalent units in pounds: | | | | |
| (e) Beginning inventory | 1,600 | -0- | -0- | 800 |
| (f) Started and completed* | 41,200 | 41,200 | 41,200 | 41,200 |
| (g) Ending inventory | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 1,000 |
| (h) Equivalent units | <u>44,800</u> | <u>43,200</u> | <u>43,200</u> | <u>43,000</u> |
| Manufacturing costs: | | | | |
| (i) Beginning inventory | \$ 17,600 | | | |
| (j) Current — November | <u>431,600</u> | \$345,600 | -0- | \$86,000 |
| (k) Total costs | <u>\$449,200</u> | | | |
| Equivalent unit cost (m) = (j) ÷ (h) | <u>\$ 10.00</u> | <u>\$ 8.00</u> | <u>-0-</u> | <u>\$ 2.00</u> |
| Amount of ending work-in- process (g) × (m) | <u>\$ 18,000</u> | <u>\$ 16,000</u> | <u>-0-</u> | <u>\$ 2,000</u> |
| Amount transferred out: | | | | |
| Beginning inventory | \$ 17,600 | | | |
| Completion cost (e) × (m) | <u>1,600</u> | | | |
| First layer (1,600 lbs) | 19,200 | | | |
| Started and completed (e) × (m) | <u>412,000</u> | | | |
| Total transferred out | <u>431,200</u> | | | |
| Total Cost | <u>\$449,200</u> | | | |

*44,800 - (1,600 + 2,000) or (43,200 - 2,000)

2N79

Answer 4 (10 points)

Part a.

1. *Wing Manufacturing Corporation*
SCHEDULE COMPUTING THE PROBABILITY OF UNIT SALES PER MONTH OF PRODUCT X

| <u>Unit sales per month</u> | <u>Number of months</u> | <u>Probability</u> |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| 8,000 | 5 | 5/20 = 25% |
| 9,000 | 12 | 12/20 = 60% |
| 10,000 | 3 | 3/20 = 15% |
| | <u>20</u> | <u>100%</u> |

2. *Wing Manufacturing Corporation*
SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTION MARGIN FOR VARIOUS COMBINATIONS OF UNIT SALES AND UNITS MANUFACTURED OF PRODUCT X

Units Manufactured (and Purchased)

| <u>Unit sales</u> | <u>8,000</u> | <u>9,000</u> | <u>10,000</u> |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| 9,000 | 230,000 (c) | 270,000 (a) | 220,000 (b) |

Computation of Contribution Margin

(a) When all units manufactured are sold:

$$9,000 \times (\$80 - \$50) = \$270,000.$$

(b) Reduction per 1,000 units when more units are manufactured than are sold:

$$1,000 \times 50 = \$50,000.$$

$$\$270,000 - \$50,000 = \$220,000.$$

(c) Reduction per 1,000 units when units must be purchased to fill sales orders:

$$1,000 \times [(\$80 + 10) - \$80] = \$10,000.$$

$$8,000 \times (\$80 - \$50) - \$10,000 = \$230,000.$$

3. *Wing Manufacturing Corporation*
SCHEDULE COMPUTING EXPECTED CONTRIBUTION MARGIN IF 9,000 UNITS ARE MANUFACTURED AND ALL SALES ORDERS ARE FILLED

| <u>Unit sales</u> | <u>Probability</u> | <u>Contribution margin</u> | <u>Expected value</u> |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 8,000 | 25% | \$190,000 | \$ 47,500 |
| 9,000 | 60% | 270,000 | 162,000 |
| 10,000 | 15% | 260,000 | 39,000 |
| | | | <u>\$248,500</u> |

Part b.

1. *Wing Manufacturing Corporation*
COMPUTATION OF CONTRIBUTION MARGIN IF 9,000 UNITS ARE MANUFACTURED WITH SUBSTITUTE INGREDIENT K-2 AND ALL SALES ORDERS ARE FILLED

| <u>Sales units</u> | <u>Selling price</u> | <u>Variable cost</u> | <u>Marginal income</u> |
|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 8,000 × \$80 | | \$558,000* | = \$ 82,000 |
| 9,000 × 80 | | \$558,000* | = 162,000 |
| 10,000 × 80 | | \$558,000* + 1,000 (\$90) | = 152,000 |

*[9,000 (\$50 - \$24 + \$36)]

2. *Wing Manufacturing Corporation*
SCHEDULE COMPUTING EXPECTED CONTRIBUTION MARGIN WITH PROBABILITY OF STRIKE AT SUPPLIER'S PLANT AND ALL SALES ORDERS FILLED

| | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|
| Expected contribution margin from manufacturing | | \$130,000 |
| Probability of no strike | | <u>30%</u> |
| Expected value from manufacturing | | 39,000 |
| Expected marginal loss from purchasing if strike occurs | \$45,000 | |
| Probability of strike | <u>70%</u> | |
| Expected loss | | <u>(31,500)</u> |
| Expected contribution margin | | <u>\$ 7,500</u> |

2M79

Answer 4 (10 points)

a.

Spirit Corporation
ENDING INVENTORY SCHEDULES
December 31, 1978

Equivalent Units of Production (Weighted-Average Method)

| | <i>Materials</i> | <i>Labor</i> | <i>Overhead</i> |
|--|------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Units completed during year | 900,000 | 900,000 | 900,000 |
| Units on hand at December 31, 1978 (50% complete as to labor and overhead) | 300,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Equivalent units of production | 1,200,000 | 1,050,000 | 1,050,000 |

Unit Costs of Production

| | <i>Total</i> | <i>Materials</i> | <i>Labor</i> | <i>Overhead</i> |
|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Beginning costs | \$ 704,000 | \$ 200,000 | \$ 315,000 | \$ 189,000 |
| Added costs | 4,492,000 | 1,300,000 | 1,995,000 | 1,197,000 |
| Total costs | \$5,196,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,310,000 | \$1,386,000 |
| Equivalent units of production | — | 1,200,000 | 1,050,000 | 1,050,000 |
| Unit costs of production | \$ 4.77 | \$ 1.25 | \$ 2.20 | \$ 1.32 |

Costing of Inventories

| | <i>Units</i> | <i>Amounts</i> | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | | <i>Total</i> | <i>Finished goods</i> | <i>Work-in-process</i> |
| Finished goods: | | | | |
| 200,000 × \$4.77 | 200,000 | \$ 954,000 | \$ 954,000 | |
| Work-in-process: | 300,000 | | | |
| Materials @ \$1.25 | — | 375,000 | | \$375,000 |
| Labor @ \$2.20 @ 50% | — | 330,000 | | 330,000 |
| Overhead @ \$1.32 @ 50% | — | 198,000 | | 198,000 |
| Per costing test | 500,000 | 1,857,000 | 954,000 | 903,000 |
| Per books | 500,000 | 1,670,760 | 1,009,800 | 660,960 |
| Adjustment | — | \$ 186,240 | \$ (55,800) | \$242,040 |

b.

Spirit Corporation
JOURNAL ENTRY TO CORRECTLY STATE INVENTORIES
December 31, 1978

| | <i>Debit</i> | <i>Credit</i> |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| Work-in-process inventory | \$242,040 | |
| Finished goods inventory | | \$ 55,800 |
| Cost of sales | | 186,240 |
| To adjust inventory accounts to correct cost | | |

Unofficial Answers

VIII. Not-for-Profit and Governmental Accounting

2N83

Answer 4 (10 points)

a.

Rapapo State University
SUMMARY JOURNAL ENTRIES
For the Year Ended July 31, 1983

| Entry no. | Accounts | Current Funds | | | |
|--------------|--|---------------|------------|------------|----------|
| | | Unrestricted | | Restricted | |
| | | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit |
| 1. | Cash | \$3,000,000 | | | |
| | Accounts receivable—tuition and fees | | \$ 362,000 | | |
| | Revenue—tuition and fees | | 2,500,000 | | |
| | Deferred revenue—tuition and fees | | 138,000 | | |
| 2. | Deferred revenue—tuition and fees | 25,000 | | | |
| | Revenue—tuition and fees | | 25,000 | | |
| 3. | Allowance for doubtful accounts | 13,000 | | | |
| | Accounts receivable—tuition and fees | | 13,000 | | |
| | Provision for uncollectible tuition and fees | 8,000 | | | |
| | Allowance for doubtful accounts | | 8,000 | | |
| 4. | State appropriation receivable | 60,000 | | | |
| | Revenue—state appropriation | | 60,000 | | |
| 5. | Cash | 80,000 | | | |
| | Revenue—gifts | | 80,000 | | |
| | Fund Balance | 30,000 | | | |
| | Cash | | 30,000 | | |
| 6. | Cash | | | \$31,000 | |
| | Investments | | | | \$25,000 |
| | Fund balance | | | | 6,000 |
| | Investments | | | 40,000 | |
| | Cash | | | | 40,000 |
| | Cash | | | 18,000 | |
| | Fund balance | | | | 18,000 |
| 7. | Expenditures—general expenses | 2,500,000 | | | |
| | Accounts payable | | 2,500,000 | | |
| | Accounts payable | 2,525,000 | | | |
| | Cash | | 2,525,000 | | |
| 8. | Accounts payable | | | 5,000 | |
| | Cash | | | | 5,000 |
| 9. | Due to other funds | 40,000 | | | |
| | Cash | | 40,000 | | |
| 10. | Expenditures—general expenses | 10,000 | | | |
| | Prepaid expenses | | 10,000 | | |

2N83
Answer 4 (cont.)

b. *Rapapo State University*
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
For the Year Ended July 31, 1983

| | <i>Current Funds</i> | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | <u>Unrestricted</u> | <u>Restricted</u> |
| Revenues and other additions: | | |
| Tuition and fees | \$2,525,000 | |
| State appropriation | 60,000 | |
| Gifts | 80,000 | |
| Gain on sale of investments | | \$ 6,000 |
| Investment income | | 18,000 |
| Total revenues and other additions | <u>2,665,000</u> | <u>24,000</u> |
| Expenditures and other deductions: | | |
| Educational and general | <u>2,518,000</u> | |
| Transfer among funds (deduction): | | |
| Allocation to loan fund | <u>(30,000)</u> | |
| Net increase for the year | 117,000 | 24,000 |
| Fund balance at beginning of year | <u>435,000</u> | <u>215,000</u> |
| Fund balance at end of year | <u>\$ 552,000</u> | <u>\$239,000</u> |

2M83
Answer 5 (10 points)

a. *Community Sports Club*
TRANSACTIONS
For the Year Ended March 31, 1983

| | <u>Dr.</u> | <u>Cr.</u> |
|--|------------|------------|
| (1) Cash | \$20,000 | |
| Revenue — annual dues | | \$20,000 |
| (2) Cash | 28,000 | |
| Revenue — snack bar and soda fountain | | 28,000 |
| (3) Cash | 6,000 | |
| Investment income | | 6,000 |
| (4) Expense — house | 17,000 | |
| Expense — snack bar and soda fountain | 26,000 | |
| Expense — general and administrative | 11,000 | |
| Accounts payable | | 54,000 |
| (5) Accounts payable | 55,000 | |
| Cash | | 55,000 |
| (6) Assessments receivable | 10,000 | |
| Deferred capital support | | 10,000 |
| (7) Cash | 5,000 | |
| Revenue — bequest (unrestricted revenue) | | 5,000 |

2M83
Answer 5 (cont.)

Community Sports Club
ADJUSTMENTS
March 31, 1983

| | | | |
|----------|--|----------|----------|
| (1) | Investments | \$ 7,000 | |
| | Unrealized gain on investments | | \$ 7,000 |
| (2), (3) | Expense — house | 9,000 | |
| | Expense — snack bar and soda fountain | 2,000 | |
| | Expense — general and administrative | 1,000 | |
| | Accumulated depreciation - building | | 4,000 |
| | Accumulated depreciation - furniture and equipment | | 8,000 |
| (4) | Expense — snack bar and soda fountain | 4,000 | |
| | Inventories | | 4,000 |

b. *Community Sports Club*
STATEMENT OF REVENUE, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN
CUMULATIVE EXCESS OF REVENUE OVER EXPENSES
For the Year Ended March 31, 1983

Revenue

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Snack bar and soda fountain sales | \$28,000 |
| Dues | 20,000 |
| Investment income | 6,000 |
| Bequest | 5,000 |
| Total revenue | <u>59,000</u> |

Expenses

| | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Snack bar and soda fountain | \$32,000 |
| House | 26,000 |
| General and Administrative | 12,000 |
| Total expenses | <u>70,000</u> |

| | |
|--|----------|
| Deficiency of revenue over expenses before unrealized gain on investments | (11,000) |
|--|----------|

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Unrealized gain on investments | <u>7,000</u> |
|--------------------------------|--------------|

| | |
|---|----------|
| Deficiency of revenue over expenses after unrealized gain on investments | (4,000) |
|---|----------|

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Cumulative excess of revenue over expenses at April 1, 1982 | <u>12,000</u> |
|---|---------------|

| | |
|--|------------------------|
| Cumulative excess of revenue over expenses at March 31, 1983 | <u><u>\$ 8,000</u></u> |
|--|------------------------|

2N82

Answer 5 (10 points)

1.

Glendora Hospital
STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES
For the Year Ended June 30, 1982

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Patient service revenue (<i>Notes A and B</i>) | \$16,000,000 |
| Allowances and uncollectible accounts (<i>Note A</i>) | <u>(3,400,000)</u> |
| Net patient service revenue | 12,600,000 |
| Other operating revenue (including \$160,000 from specific purpose funds) | <u>346,000</u> |
| Total operating revenue | 12,946,000 |
| Operating expenses (including provision for depreciation of \$500,000; <i>Notes A, B, and C</i>) | <u>13,370,000</u> |
| Loss from operations | (424,000) |
| Nonoperating revenue: | |
| Unrestricted gifts and bequests (<i>Note A</i>) | \$410,000 |
| Unrestricted income from endowment funds | 160,000 |
| Income from board-designated funds | <u>82,000</u> |
| Total nonoperating revenue | <u>652,000</u> |
| Excess of revenues over expenses | <u><u>\$ 228,000</u></u> |

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements.

2.

Glendora Hospital
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note A—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*Patient service revenue*

Patient service revenue is accounted for at established rates on the accrual basis. Revenue under cost reimbursement agreements is subject to audit and retroactive adjustment by third-party payors. Estimated retroactive adjustments under these agreements are included in allowances.

Gifts and bequests

Gifts and bequests are recorded at fair market values when received.

Provision for depreciation

Depreciation of property, plant, and equipment is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the individual assets. However, accelerated depreciation is used to determine reimbursable costs under certain third-party reimbursement agreements. Net cost reimbursement revenue resulting from the difference in depreciation methods is deferred.

Pension costs

Accrued pension costs are funded currently. Prior service cost is amortized over a period of twenty years.

Note B—Cost Reimbursement Agreements

Revenue of \$6 million was recognized under cost reimbursement agreements. The net cost reimbursement revenue resulting from the difference in depreciation methods described in Note A amounted to \$220,000 and was deferred.

Note C—Pension Costs

Operating expenses include pension costs of \$100,000 in connection with a noncontributory pension plan covering substantially all employees. The actuarially computed value of vested and nonvested benefits at year end amounted to \$3 million and \$350,000, respectively. The assumed rate of return used in determining the actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits was 8 percent. The plan's net assets available for benefits at year end was \$3,050,000.

2N81

Answer 5 (10 points)

Judbury City
GENERAL FUND
JOURNAL ENTRIES
July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981

| | | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> | | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|----|------------------------|--------------|---------------|----|---------------------|---------------|
| 1. | Estimated revenues— | | | 5. | Cash | \$ 485,000 |
| | Property taxes | \$4,500,000 | | | Revenues—Licenses | |
| | Estimated revenues— | | | | and permits | \$ 270,000 |
| | Licenses and per- | | | | Revenues—Fines | 200,000 |
| | mits | 300,000 | | | Revenues—Sale of | |
| | Estimated revenues— | | | | fixed assets | 15,000 |
| | Fines | 200,000 | | 6. | Encumbrances—Gen- | |
| | Appropriations— | | | | eral government | 1,050,000 |
| | General govern- | | \$1,500,000 | | Encumbrances—Police | |
| | ment | | | | services | 300,000 |
| | Appropriations— | | | | Encumbrances—Fire | |
| | Police services | | 1,200,000 | | department ser- | |
| | Appropriations— | | | | vices | 150,000 |
| | Fire department | | | | Encumbrances—Public | |
| | services | | 900,000 | | works services | 250,000 |
| | Appropriations— | | | | Encumbrances—Fire | |
| | Public works ser- | | 800,000 | | engines | 400,000 |
| | vices | | | | Fund balance—Re- | |
| | Appropriations— | | 400,000 | | served for en- | |
| | Fire engines | | | | cumbrances | 2,150,000 |
| | Fund balance—Un- | | 200,000 | | Fund balance—Re- | |
| | reserved | | | | served for en- | |
| | | | | | cumbrances | 2,035,000 |
| | | | | | Encumbrances— | |
| 2. | Property taxes receiv- | | | | General govern- | |
| | able | 4,650,000 | | | ment | 990,000 |
| | Allowance for un- | | | | Encumbrances—Po- | |
| | collectible prop- | | 150,000 | | lice services | 270,000 |
| | erty taxes | | | | Encumbrances— | |
| | Revenue—Property | | 4,500,000 | | Fire department | |
| | taxes | | | | services | 135,000 |
| | | | | | Encumbrances— | |
| 3. | Cash | 3,900,000 | | | Public works ser- | |
| | Property taxes re- | | | | vices | 240,000 |
| | ceivable | | 3,900,000 | | Encumbrances— | |
| | Delinquent property | | | | Fire engines | 400,000 |
| | taxes receivable | 630,000 | | 7. | Expenditures—Gen- | |
| | Allowance for uncol- | | | | eral government | 1,440,000 |
| | lectible property | | | | Expenditures—Police | |
| | taxes | 150,000 | | | services | 1,155,000 |
| | Property taxes re- | | | | Expenditures—Fire | |
| | ceivable | | 630,000 | | department ser- | |
| | Allowance for un- | | | | vices | 870,000 |
| | collectible delin- | | | | Expenditures—Public | |
| | quent property | | 150,000 | | works services | 700,000 |
| | taxes | | | | Expenditures—Fire | |
| | | | | | engines | 400,000 |
| | | | | | Vouchers payable | 4,565,000 |
| 4. | Cash | 300,000 | | 8. | Vouchers payable | 4,600,000 |
| | Notes payable | | 300,000 | | Cash | 4,600,000 |

2M81
Answer 4 (10 points)

Part a.

| <i>City of Merlot</i> CENTRAL GARAGE FUND Journal Entries <i>July 1, 1979 to June 30, 1980</i> | | |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
| 1. Inventory of materials and supplies | \$ 74,000 | |
| Vouchers payable | | \$ 74,000 |
| To record purchases on account | | |
| 2. Materials and supplies expense | 96,000 | |
| Inventory of materials and supplies | | 96,000 |
| To record ending inventory and materials and supplies used | | |
| 3. Personal service expense | 230,000 | |
| Cash | | 230,000 |
| To record personal service expense paid | | |
| 4. Utility expense | 30,000 | |
| Cash | | 30,000 |
| To record payment of utility charges | | |
| 5. Depreciation expense—building | 5,000 | |
| Depreciation expense—machinery and equipment | 8,000 | |
| Allowance for depreciation—building | | 5,000 |
| Allowance for depreciation—machinery and equipment | | 8,000 |
| To record depreciation | | |
| 6. Due from General Fund | 262,000 | |
| Due from Water and Sewer Fund | 84,000 | |
| Due from Special Revenue Fund | 32,000 | |
| Service Revenue | | 378,000 |
| To record billings to departments for services rendered | | |
| 7. Cash | 376,000 | |
| Due from General Fund | | 276,000 |
| Due from Water and Sewer Fund | | 84,000 |
| Due from Special Revenue Fund | | 16,000 |
| To record collection of receivables | | |
| 8. Vouchers payable | 98,000 | |
| Cash | | 98,000 |
| To record payment of vouchers | | |

2. *City of Merlot*
CENTRAL GARAGE FUND
Closing Entries
June 30, 1980

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| Service revenue | \$378,000 | |
| Materials and supplies expense | | \$ 96,000 |
| Personal service expense | | 230,000 |
| Utility expense | | 30,000 |
| Depreciation expense—building | | 5,000 |
| Depreciation expense—machinery and equipment | | 8,000 |
| Income summary | | 9,000 |
| To close revenue and expense accounts | | |
| Income summary | 9,000 | |
| Retained earnings | | 9,000 |
| To close income summary to retained earnings | | |

Part b.

| <i>City of Rom</i> JOURNAL ENTRIES TO RECORD BUDGETED AND ACTUAL TRANSACTIONS <i>For the Year Ended June 30, 1980</i> | | |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
| 1. Estimated revenues (various subaccounts) | \$2,000,000 | |
| Appropriations (various subaccounts) | | \$1,940,000 |
| Fund balance—unreserved | | 60,000 |
| To record budget | | |
| 2. Taxes receivable | 1,870,000 | |
| Allowance for uncollectible taxes | | 10,000 |
| Revenues—taxes | | 1,860,000 |
| To record tax levy | | |
| 3. Cash | 1,820,000 | |
| Allowance for uncollectible taxes | 8,000 | |
| Taxes receivable | | 1,828,000 |
| To record tax collections | | |
| 4. Encumbrances (various subaccounts) | 1,070,000 | |
| Fund balance—reserved for encumbrances | | 1,070,000 |
| To record encumbrances | | |
| 5. Fund balance—reserved for encumbrances | 1,000,000 | |

Unofficial Answers

2M81

Answer 4 (cont.)

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> | | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|---|--------------|---------------|---|--------------|---------------|
| | | | Estimated revenues | \$ 140,000 | |
| | | | Appropriations | | \$ 140,000 |
| | | | To record estimated interest on investments | | |
| | | \$1,000,000 | 3. Encumbrances | 4,980,000 | |
| | | | Reserve for encumbrances | | 4,980,000 |
| 6. Expenditures (various subaccounts) | \$1,840,000 | | To record contract price for the building of the library | | |
| Vouchers payable | | 1,840,000 | 4. Cash | 3,040,000 | |
| 7. Vouchers payable | 1,852,000 | | Investments | | 3,000,000 |
| Cash | | 1,852,000 | Interest revenue | | 40,000 |
| To record payment of vouchers | | | To record maturing of commercial paper | | |
| 8. Fund balance—unreserved | 140,000 | | Operating transfers out | 40,000 | |
| Revenues—taxes | 1,860,000 | | Cash | | 40,000 |
| Estimated revenues (various subaccounts) | | 2,000,000 | To record transfer of interest earned on commercial paper to library debt service fund | | |
| To close actual and estimated revenues to fund balance | | | 5. Expenditures | 3,000,000 | |
| 9. Appropriations (various subaccounts) | 1,940,000 | | Cash | | 2,700,000 |
| Expenditures (various subaccounts) | | 1,840,000 | Contracts payable—retained percentage | | 300,000 |
| Encumbrances (various subaccounts) | | 70,000 | Reserve for encumbrances | 3,000,000 | |
| Fund balance—unreserved | | 30,000 | Encumbrances | | 3,000,000 |
| To close expenditures, encumbrances, and appropriations to fund balance | | | To record progress billing and pay contractor net of retained amount and reverse encumbrances | | |

2N80

Answer 5 (10 points)

1. *City of Westgate*
LIBRARY CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND
JOURNAL ENTRIES
July 1, 1979, to June 30, 1980

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| 1. Cash | \$5,100,000 | |
| Proceeds of general obligation bonds | | \$5,100,000 |
| To record issuance of bonds | | |
| Operating transfers out | 100,000 | |
| Cash | | 100,000 |
| To record transfer of premium to library debt service fund | | |
| 2. Investments | 4,900,000 | |
| Cash | | 4,900,000 |
| To record purchase of commercial paper | | |

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| 6. Accrued interest receivable | 103,000 | |
| Interest revenue | | 103,000 |
| Operating transfers out | 103,000 | |
| Due to library debt service fund | | 103,000 |
| To record accrued interest receivable and related inter-fund payable | | |
| Proceeds of general obligation bonds | 5,100,000 | |
| Interest revenue | 143,000 | |
| Fund balance | | 5,103,000 |
| Estimated revenues | | 140,000 |
| Appropriations | 140,000 | |
| Fund balance | 3,103,000 | |
| Expenditures | | 3,000,000 |
| Operating transfers out | | 243,000 |
| Fund balance | 1,980,000 | |
| Encumbrances | | 1,980,000 |
| To close temporary accounts | | |

Accounting Practice

2N80

Answer 5 (cont.)

2. *City of Westgate*
LIBRARY CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND
BALANCE SHEET
June 30, 1980

| Assets | |
|--|--------------------|
| Cash | \$ 400,000 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 103,000 |
| Investments | 1,900,000 |
| Total assets | \$2,403,000 |
| Liabilities and Fund Balances | |
| Contracts payable—retained percentage | \$ 300,000 |
| Due to library debt service | 103,000 |
| Total liabilities | 403,000 |
| Fund balances | |
| Reserve for encumbrances | 1,980,000 |
| Unappropriated | 20,000 |
| Total fund balances | 2,000,000 |
| Total liabilities and fund balances | \$2,403,000 |

2N79

Answer 5

a. *Town of Rego*
GENERAL FUND
Adjusting and Closing Journal Entries
July 1, 1977, to June 30, 1978

| | Debit | Credit |
|--|----------|----------|
| (1) | | |
| Estimated losses—prior year taxes receivable | \$ 2,200 | |
| Fund balance | | \$ 2,200 |
| To reduce balance of estimated losses on prior year taxes receivable to amount of receivables of \$8,000 | | |
| (2) | | |
| Revenues | 27,000 | |
| Donated land | | 27,000 |
| To remove accounts belonging to the general fixed assets | | |
| (3) | | |
| Fund balance | 8,800 | |
| Reserve for encumbrances of prior year | | 8,800 |
| To record purchase orders outstanding at June 30, 1977 | | |

| | Debit | Credit |
|--|----------|----------|
| (4) | | |
| Expenditures chargeable to reserve for encumbrances of prior year | \$ 9,400 | |
| Other expenditures | | \$ 9,400 |
| To reclassify purchases of supplies chargeable to prior year appropriations | | |
| (5) | | |
| Encumbrances | 2,100 | |
| Reserve for encumbrances—1977–78 | | 2,100 |
| To record encumbering of appropriations for purchase orders outstanding at June 30, 1978 | | |
| (6) | | |
| Special assessment bonds payable | 100,000 | |
| Due to Special Assessment Fund | | 100,000 |
| To record liability to Special Assessment Fund for cash obtained from sale of Special Assessment bonds | | |
| (7) | | |
| Revenues | 21,000 | |
| Tax anticipation notes payable | | 20,000 |
| Due to Water Utility Fund | | 1,000 |
| To record tax anticipation notes payable and liability to Water Utility Fund for funds obtained from sale of scrap | | |
| (8) | | |
| Taxes receivable—delinquent | 59,200 | |
| Estimated losses—current year taxes receivable | 18,000 | |
| Taxes receivable—current year | | 59,200 |
| Estimated losses—delinquent taxes receivable | | 18,000 |
| To reclassify current taxes as delinquent | | |
| (9) | | |
| Appropriations | 348,000 | |
| Other expenditures | | 270,600 |
| Expenditures—Building addition constructed | | 50,000 |
| Expenditures—Serial bonds paid | | 16,000 |
| Encumbrances | | 2,100 |
| Fund balance | | 9,300 |
| To close out to fund balance | | |

Unofficial Answers

2N79

Answer 5 (cont.)

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> | | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | | | (2) | | |
| (10) | | | Due from General Fund | \$100,000 | |
| Revenues | \$306,000 | | Bonds payable | | \$100,000 |
| Fund balance | 4,000 | | To record receivable due | | |
| Estimated revenues | | \$310,000 | from General Fund for | | |
| To close out to fund balance | | | proceeds of sale of bonds | | |
| (11) | | | Water Utility Fund | | |
| Reserve for encumbrances of | | | | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
| prior year | 8,800 | | Due from General Fund | \$ 1,000 | |
| Fund balance | 600 | | Other revenues | | \$ 1,000 |
| Expenditures chargeable | | | To record receivable from | | |
| to reserve for encum- | | 9,400 | General Fund for cash | | |
| brances of prior year | | | obtained on sale of scrap | | |
| To close out to fund balance | | | | | |

b.

Town of Rego

ADJUSTING JOURNAL ENTRIES
General Fixed Assets Group of Accounts

| (1) | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Land | \$ 27,000 | |
| Investment in general | | |
| fixed assets—state | | |
| grant-in-aid | | \$ 27,000 |
| To record donation of land | | |
| by the state | | |
| (2) | | |
| Structures and | | |
| improvements | 50,000 | |
| Investment in general | | |
| fixed assets | | 50,000 |
| To record the cost of | | |
| addition to town hall | | |

General Bonded Debt and Interest
Group of Accounts

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Bonds payable | \$ 16,000 | |
| Amount to be provided for | | |
| retirement of bonds | | \$ 16,000 |
| To reduce bond liability by | | |
| the amount of the bonds | | |
| matured | | |

Special Assessment Fund

| (1) | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Improvement authorized | \$100,000 | |
| Appropriations | | \$100,000 |
| To record the authorization | | |
| of project in the amount of | | |
| \$100,000 | | |

2M79

Answer 5 (10 points)

a.

City of Nicknar

CIVIC CENTER CONSTRUCTION FUND
Journal Entries

July 1, 1977 to June 30, 1978

| | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| (1) | | |
| Cash | \$ 500,000 | |
| Due to General Fund | | \$ 500,000 |
| To record loan received from General Fund | | |
| (2) | | |
| Expenditures | 320,000 | |
| Cash | | 320,000 |
| To record unencumbered expenses | | |
| (3) | | |
| Due from state government | 5,000,000 | |
| Revenues | | 5,000,000 |
| To record grant due from state government | | |
| (4) | | |
| Cash | 10,100,000 | |
| Premium on bonds | | 100,000 |
| Revenues | | 10,000,000 |
| To record sale of bonds | | |
| (5) | | |
| Premium on bonds | 100,000 | |
| Cash | | 100,000 |
| To record transfer of bond premium | | |
| (6) | | |
| Encumbrances | 12,000,000 | |
| Reserve for encum- | | |
| brances | | 12,000,000 |
| To record encumbrance for contract let | | |
| (7) | | |
| Encumbrances | 55,000 | |
| Reserve for encum- | | |
| brances | | 55,000 |
| To record encumbrance for materials ordered | | |

Accounting Practice

2M79

Answer 5 (cont.)

| | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| | (8) | |
| Cash | \$2,500,000 | |
| Due from state government | | \$2,500,000 |
| To record receipt of grant | | |
| | | |
| | (9) | |
| Reserve for encumbrances | 55,000 | |
| Expenditures | 51,000 | |
| Encumbrances | | 55,000 |
| Cash | | 51,000 |
| To record receipt of materials ordered and payment | | |
| | | |
| | (10) | |
| Reserve for encumbrances | 2,000,000 | |
| Encumbrances | | 2,000,000 |
| To reverse, in part; entry setting up encumbrance for contract with Candu Construction Company | | |
| | | |
| | (11) | |
| Expenditures | 2,000,000 | |
| Contracts payable | | 1,880,000 |
| Contracts payable—retained percentage | | 120,000 |
| To record expenditures to date on construction contract | | |
| | | |
| | (12) | |
| Due to General Fund | 500,000 | |
| Cash | | 500,000 |
| To record repayment of loan to General Fund | | |

| | | <u>Debit</u> | <u>Credit</u> |
|------------------------------|------|--------------|---------------|
| | (13) | | |
| Fund balance | | \$12,371,000 | |
| Encumbrances | | | \$10,000,000 |
| Expenditures | | | 2,371,000 |
| To close out to fund balance | | | |
| | | | |
| | (14) | | |
| Revenues | | 15,000,000 | |
| Fund balance | | | 15,000,000 |
| To close out to fund balance | | | |

b. *City of Nicknar*
CIVIC CENTER CONSTRUCTION FUND
BALANCE SHEET
June 30, 1978

| | | <u>Assets</u> | |
|---|--|---------------|---------------------|
| Cash | | | \$12,129,000 |
| Due from state government | | | 2,500,000 |
| Total assets | | | <u>\$14,629,000</u> |
| | | | |
| <u>Liabilities, reserve, and fund balance</u> | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | |
| Contracts payable | | | \$ 1,880,000 |
| Contracts payable—retained percentage | | | 120,000 |
| Total liabilities | | | <u>2,000,000</u> |
| Reserve for encumbrances | | | 10,000,000 |
| Fund balance | | | <u>2,629,000</u> |
| Total liabilities, reserve, and fund balance | | | <u>\$14,629,000</u> |

SUGGESTED REFERENCES

- AICPA, Industry Audit Guide, *Audits of Colleges and Universities*, 2d ed. (AICPA, 1975).
- AICPA, Industry Audit Guide, *Audits of State and Local Governmental Units*, 3d ed. (AICPA, 1981).
- AICPA, Industry Audit Guide, *Audits of Voluntary Health and Welfare Organizations* (AICPA, 1974).
- AICPA, Industry Audit Guide, *Hospital Audit Guide*, 3d ed. (AICPA, 1980).
- A standard tax service, the Internal Revenue Code, and Income Tax Regulations.
- Beams, *Advanced Accounting*, 2d ed. (Prentice-Hall, 1982).
- Edwards, Johnson, and Roemmich, *Intermediate Accounting* (Business Publications, 1981).
- FASB, *Accounting Standards, Current Text* (FASB).
- FASB, *Financial Accounting Standards, Original Pronouncements* (FASB).
- Fischer, Taylor, and Leer, *Advanced Accounting*, 2d ed. (South-Western, 1982).
- Griffin, Williams, and Larson, *Advanced Accounting*, 4th ed. (Irwin, 1980).
- Hay, *Accounting for Governmental and Nonprofit Entities*, 6th ed. (Irwin, 1980).
- Horngren, *Cost Accounting: A Managerial Emphasis*, 5th ed. (Prentice-Hall, 1982).
- Kieso and Weygandt, *Intermediate Accounting*, 4th ed. (Wiley, 1983).
- Lynn and Freeman, *Fund Accounting: Theory and Practice*, 2d ed. (Prentice-Hall, 1983).
- Matz and Usry, *Cost Accounting: Planning and Control*, 7th ed. (South-Western, 1980).
- Miller, Searfoss, and Smith, *Intermediate Accounting* (Irwin, 1982).
- Mosich and Larsen, *Intermediate Accounting*, 5th ed. (McGraw-Hill, 1982).
- National Council on Governmental Accounting, Statement 1, *Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Principles* (MFOA, 1979).
- Neuner and Deakin, *Cost Accounting: Principles and Practice*, 9th ed. (Irwin, 1977).
- Nikolai, Schroeder, Bazley, and Reynolds, *Intermediate Accounting* (Kent, 1980).
- Pahler and Mori, *Advanced Accounting: Concepts and Practice* (Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1981).
- Rayburn, *Principles of Cost Accounting: Managerial Applications*, Revised ed. (Irwin, 1983).
- Shillinglaw, *Managerial Cost Accounting*, 4th ed. (Irwin, 1977).
- Smith and Skousen, *Intermediate Accounting*, comp. vol., 7th ed. (South-Western, 1981).
- Welsch, Zlatkovich, and Harrison, *Intermediate Accounting*, 6th ed. (Irwin, 1982).

APPENDIX

Content Specification Outline

Background Information

The Board of Examiners of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants believes that content specification outlines will help assure the continuing validity and reliability of the Uniform CPA Examination. The development of the current content specification outlines was accomplished over several years. The Board of Examiners first requested Subcommittees of the Board of Examiners (Accounting Practice, Accounting Theory, Auditing, and Business Law) to draft content specification outlines for their respective sections.

The content specification outlines were drafted by each subcommittee with the assistance of the AICPA Examinations Division staff. The Chairman of the Board of Examiners then appointed an AICPA task force to coordinate the outlines and to recommend how the content specifications should be exposed to the profession. The task force recommended that the Board of Examiners approve the content specification outlines for exposure to the profession through an AICPA Exposure Draft for public comment.

On March 10, 1980, the Exposure Draft — *Proposed Content Specification Outlines for the Uniform Certified Public Accountant Examination* was issued. The Exposure Draft was sent to:

- *Members of AICPA Council,*
- *State Boards of Accountancy,*
- *Representatives of the National Association of State Boards of Accountancy (NASBA),*
- *AICPA Education Executive Committee,*
- *American Accounting Association — Committee on Professional Examinations,*
- *Persons who requested copies.*

The Board considered written comments received from the public, oral comments delivered at Board of Examiners' open meetings, and information submitted by NASBA, which gathered data through various state boards sponsoring special seminar sessions to review the *Proposed Content Specification Outlines for the Uniform Certified Public Accountant Examination*. Based on this input, the Board made certain modifications to the Exposure Draft. Content Specification Outlines which appear in this booklet were approved by the Board of Examiners on August 31, 1981.

Meaning and Use of Content Specification Outlines

The content specification outlines are divided into three levels — areas, groups, and topics, with the following outline notations:

- Areas by Roman numerals (I. Area),
- Groups by capital letters (A. Group),
- Topics by Arabic numbers (1. Topic).

The content specification outlines list the areas, groups, and topics to be tested, and also indicate the approximate percentage of the total test score devoted to each area. Some of the uses of the outlines will be to:

- Assure consistent subject matter coverage from one examination to the next.
- Assist candidates in preparing for the examination by indicating subjects which may be covered by the examination.

Accounting Practice

- Provide guidance to those who are responsible for preparing the examination in order to assure a balanced examination.
- Alert accounting educators as to the subject matter considered necessary to prepare for the examination.

The relative weight given to each area is indicated by its approximate percentage allocation. The examination will sample from the groups and topics listed within each area in order to meet the approximate percentage allocation. Generally, the group title should be sufficient to indicate the subject matter to be covered. However, in certain instances, topics have been explicitly listed in order to clarify or limit the subject matter covered within a group.

No weight allocation is given for groups or topics. For example, if there are several groups within an area or several topics within a group, no inference should be drawn about the relative importance or weight to be given to these groups or topics on an examination.

Candidates should realize that clear-cut distinctions as to subject matter do not always exist. Thus, there may be overlapping of subjects in the four sections of the examination. For example, Auditing questions often require a knowledge of accounting theory and practice, as well as of auditing procedures. Also, Business Law questions may be set in an accounting or auditing environment, and answers may involve integration with accounting and auditing knowledge.

The content specification outlines are considered to be complete as to the subjects to be tested on an examination, including recent professional developments as they affect these subjects. Candidates should answer examination questions, developed from these outlines, in terms of the most recent developments, pronouncements, and standards in the accounting profession. When new subject matter is identified, the outlines will be amended to include it and this will be communicated to the profession.

Accounting Practice Section

The Accounting Practice section tests the candidates' ability to apply current conceptual accounting knowledge. The scope of the Accounting Practice section includes financial accounting concepts relating to financial reports, assets, liabilities, equity, income and expense, and other financial topics; cost/managerial accounting concepts of cost accumulation, planning, and control; not-for-profit and governmental accounting; and federal taxation.

In preparing for this section, candidates should study the pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board, Internal Revenue Code and Income Tax Regulations, accounting textbooks, leading accounting journals, and other literature pertaining to accounting.

Accounting Practice — Content Specification Outline

- I. Presentation of Financial Statements or Worksheets (15%).
 - A. Balance Sheet
 - B. Income Statement
 - C. Statement of Changes in Financial Position
 - D. Statement of Owners' Equity
 - E. Consolidated Financial Statements or Worksheets
 1. Pooled Companies
 2. Purchased Companies
 3. Corrections
 4. Eliminations
 5. Intangibles - Goodwill
 - F. Disclosures in Notes to the Financial Statements
 - G. Supplementary Statements

- II. Measurement, Valuation, Realization, and Presentation of Assets in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (10%).
 - A. Cash
 - B. Marketable Securities and Investments
 - 1. Marketable Equity Securities
 - 2. Other Securities
 - 3. Investment in Bonds
 - 4. Investment in Stocks
 - 5. Sinking and Other Funds
 - C. Receivables and Accruals
 - 1. Accounts and Notes Receivable
 - 2. Affiliated Company Receivables
 - 3. Discounting of Notes
 - 4. Installment Accounts
 - 5. Interest and Other Accrued Income
 - 6. Allowance for Doubtful Accounts
 - D. Inventories
 - 1. Acquisition Costs
 - 2. Costing Methods
 - 3. Valuation Methods
 - E. Property, Plant, and Equipment
 - 1. Acquisition Costs
 - 2. Additions and Betterments
 - 3. Depreciation, Amortization, and Depletion
 - 4. Insurance
 - 5. Involuntary Conversion
 - 6. Leasehold Improvements
 - 7. Maintenance and Repairs
 - 8. Obsolescence and Write-Downs
 - 9. Rearrangement and Moving Costs
 - 10. Disposition
 - F. Capitalized Leased Assets
 - 1. Acquisition Costs
 - 2. Amortization
 - G. Intangibles
 - 1. Acquisition Costs
 - 2. Amortization
 - 3. Intangibles Carried as Investments (equity method)
 - H. Prepaid Expenses and Deferred Charges
 - 1. Prepaid Expenses
 - 2. Deferred Income Taxes
 - 3. Deferred Pension Costs

- III. Valuation, Recognition, and Presentation of Liabilities in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (5%).
 - A. Payables and Accruals
 - 1. Accounts and Notes Payable
 - 2. Accrued Employees' Costs
 - 3. Interest and Other Accrued Expenses
 - 4. Accrued Pension Expense
 - 5. Taxes Payable
 - 6. Guaranties and Warranties
 - 7. Deposits and Escrows
 - B. Deferred Revenues
 - 1. Unperformed Service Contracts
 - 2. Subscriptions or Tickets Outstanding
 - 3. Installment Sales
 - 4. Sale and Leaseback
 - C. Deferred Income Tax Liabilities
 - 1. Equity Method of Accounting for Investments
 - 2. Depreciation of Plant Assets
 - 3. Long-term Construction Contracts
 - 4. Other Timing Differences
 - D. Capitalized Lease Liability
 - 1. Measurement at Present Value
 - 2. Amortization
 - E. Bonds Payable
 - 1. Issue of Bonds
 - 2. Issue Costs
 - 3. Amortization of Discount or Premium
 - 4. Types of Bonds
 - 5. Conversion of Bonds
 - 6. Detachable Stock Warrants
 - 7. Retirement of Bonds
 - F. Long-Term Notes Payable
 - G. Contingent Liabilities and Commitments
- IV. Ownership Structure, Presentation, and Valuation of Equity Accounts in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (5%).
 - A. Preferred and Common Stock
 - 1. Issued
 - 2. Outstanding
 - 3. Legal Capital
 - 4. Retirement of Stock
 - 5. Book Value Per Share
 - 6. Classification
 - B. Additional Paid-in Capital
 - C. Retained Earnings and Dividends
 - 1. Prior Period Adjustments
 - 2. Net Income

3. Cash Dividends
 4. Property Dividends
 5. Liquidating Dividends
 6. Stock Dividends and Splits
 7. Appropriations of Retained Earnings
- D. Treasury Stock and Other Contra Accounts
1. Cost Method
 2. Par Value Method
 3. Restrictions on Acquisition of Treasury Stock
- E. Stock Options, Warrants, and Rights
- F. Reorganization and Change in Entity
1. Incorporation of an Unincorporated Enterprise
 2. Business Combinations
 3. Quasi-Reorganization
 4. Bankruptcy
- G. Partnerships
1. Formation
 2. Admission, Retirement, and Dissolution
 3. Profit or Loss Distribution and Other Special Allocations
- V. Measurement and Presentation of Income and Expense Items, Their Relationship to Matching and Periodicity, and Their Relationship to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (15%).
- A. Sales or Revenues
1. Cash
 2. At Time of Sale
 3. At Completion of Production
 4. During Production (percentage of completion)
 5. Installment Method or Cost Recovery
 6. Equity in Earnings of Investee
 7. Interest
 8. Dividends
 9. Royalties
 10. Rent
 11. Disposal of Assets and Liquidation of Liabilities
 12. Foreign Exchange
- B. Cost of Goods Sold
- C. Expenses
1. General and Administrative
 2. Selling
 3. Financial (interest)
 4. Depreciation, Amortization, and Depletion
 5. Research and Development
 6. Foreign Exchange
 7. Bad Debts
 8. Royalties
 9. Rent
 10. Compensation
 11. Unusual Gains or Losses
- D. Provision for Income Tax
1. Current
 2. Deferred

E. Recurring Versus Nonrecurring Transactions and Events

1. Discontinued Operations
2. Extraordinary Items

F. Accounting Changes

G. Earnings Per Share

VI. Other Financial Topics (5%).

A. Accounting Policies

B. Nonmonetary Transactions

C. Interim Financial Statements

D. Historical Cost, Constant Dollar Accounting, and Current Cost

E. Loss or Gain Contingencies

F. Segments and Lines of Business

G. Long-Term Contracts

H. Employee Benefits

I. Analysis of Financial Statements

J. Development Stage Enterprises

K. Personal Financial Statements

VII. Cost Accumulation, Planning, and Control (15%).

A. Nature of Cost Elements

1. Direct Materials
2. Direct Labor
3. Overhead (actual, applied, and allocation methods)

B. Job Order Costing

C. Process Costing

D. Standard Costing and Variance Analysis

E. Joint Costing

F. By-Product Costing

G. Spoilage, Waste, and Scrap

H. Absorption and Direct Costing

I. Transfer Pricing

J. Product Pricing

K. Budgeting and Flexible Budgeting

L. Breakeven and Cost-Volume-Profit Analysis

M. Gross Profit Analysis

N. Differential Cost Analysis

1. Activity Levels
2. Sunk Costs
3. Contribution to Profit
4. Uncertainty
5. Time Periods

O. Capital Budgeting Techniques

1. Net Present Value
2. Internal Rate of Return
3. Payback Period
4. Accounting Rate of Return

P. Performance Analysis

1. Return on Investment
2. Residual Income
3. Controllable Revenue and Costs

Appendix

Q. Quantitative Techniques for Planning and Control

1. Regression and Correlation Analysis
2. Learning Curves
3. Economic Order Quantity
4. PERT/Cost
5. Sensitivity Analysis
6. Probability Analysis
7. Linear Programming

VIII. Not-for-Profit and Governmental Accounting (10%).

A. Fund Accounting

1. Fund Balance
2. Estimated Revenues
3. Appropriations
4. Encumbrances
5. Reserve for Encumbrances
6. Revenues
7. Expenditures

B. Types of Funds and Fund Accounts

1. General Fund
2. Special Revenue Funds
3. Debt Service Funds
4. Capital Projects Funds
5. Enterprise Funds
6. Internal Service Funds
7. Trust and Agency Funds
8. Special Assessment Funds
9. General Fixed Asset Account Group
10. General Long-Term Debt Account Group
11. Endowment and Quasi-Endowment Funds
12. Restricted Funds
13. Nonrestricted Funds
14. Property Funds

C. Presentation of Financial Statements for Various Not-for-Profit and Governmental Organizations

D. Various Types of Not-for-Profit and Governmental Organizations

1. Local and State Governments
2. Educational Institutions
3. Hospitals
4. Charitable, Religious, and Other Organizations

IX. Federal Taxation - Individuals (10%).

A. Inclusions for Gross Income and Adjusted Gross Income

1. Reporting Basis of Taxpayer — Cash, Accrual, or Modified
2. Compensation for Services
3. Business Income
4. Interest
5. Rent and Royalties
6. Dividends
7. Alimony
8. Capital Gains and Losses
9. Miscellaneous Income

- B. Exclusions and Other Deductions (including adjustments to arrive at Adjusted Gross Income)
 - C. Gain or Loss on Property Transactions
 - 1. Character
 - 2. Recognition
 - 3. Basis and Holding Period
 - D. Deductions from Adjusted Gross Income
 - 1. Zero Bracket Amount
 - 2. Interest
 - 3. Taxes
 - 4. Contributions
 - 5. Medical Expenses
 - 6. Casualty Losses
 - 7. Miscellaneous Deductions
 - E. Filing Status and Exemptions
 - F. Tax Determination
 - 1. Tax Computations
 - 2. Tax Credits and Other Allowances
 - G. Statute of Limitations
 - 1. Claims for Refund
 - 2. Assessments
 - H. Effect of Gift and Estate Taxation on Individuals
- X. Federal Taxation — Corporations and Partnerships (10%).
- Corporations
- A. Determination of Taxable Income or Loss
 - 1. Determination of Gross Income Including Capital Gains and Losses
 - 2. Deductions from Gross Income
 - 3. Reconciliation of Taxable Income and Book Income
 - 4. Reconciliation of Opening and Closing Retained Earnings
 - 5. Consolidations
 - B. Tax Determination
 - 1. Tax Computations
 - 2. Tax Credits
 - C. Subchapter S Corporations
 - D. Personal Holding Companies
 - E. Accumulated Earnings Tax
 - F. Distributions
 - G. Tax-Free Incorporation
 - H. Reorganizations
 - I. Liquidations and Dissolutions
- Partnerships
- J. Formation of Partnership
 - 1. Contribution of Capital
 - 2. Contribution of Services

- K. Basis of Partner's Interest
 - 1. Acquired through Contribution
 - 2. Interest Acquired from Another Partner
 - 3. Holding Period of Partner's Interest
 - 4. Adjustments to Basis of Partner's Interest
- L. Basis of Property Contributed to Partnership
- M. Determination of Partners' Taxable Income
 - 1. Partner's Distributive Share of Income
 - 2. Elections Available to Partners (different reporting methods)
- N. Accounting Periods of Partnership and Partners
- O. Partner Dealing with Own Partnership
 - 1. Sales and Exchanges
 - 2. Guaranteed Payments
- P. Treatment of Liabilities
- Q. Distributions of Partnership Assets
 - 1. Current Distributions
 - 2. Distributions in Complete Liquidation
 - 3. Basis of Distributed Property
- R. Termination of Partnership
 - 1. Change in Membership
 - 2. Merger or Split-up of Partnership
 - 3. Sale or Exchange of Partnership Interest
 - 4. Payments to a Retiring Partner
 - 5. Payments to a Deceased Partner's Successor

079116