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#### Abstract

A total labeling $\xi$ is defined to be an edge irregular total absolute difference $k$-labeling of the graph $G$ if for every two different edges $e$ and $f$ of $G$ there is $w t(e) \neq w t(f)$ where weight of an edge $e=x y$ is defined as $w t(e)=|\xi(e)-\xi(x)-\xi(y)|$. The minimum $k$ for which the graph $G$ has an edge irregular total absolute difference labeling is called the total absolute difference edge irregularity strength of the graph $G, \operatorname{tades}(G)$. In this paper, we determine the total absolute difference edge irregularity strength of the precise values for some families of graphs.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Chartrand et al. in [2] introduced edge k-labeling of a graph G such that $w(x) \neq w(y)$ for all vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ with $x \neq y$. Such labelings were called irregular assignments and the irregularity strength $s(G)$ of a graph $G$ is known as the minimum $k$ for which G has an irregular assignment using labels at most $k$. Baca et al. in [1] started to investigate the total edge irregularity strength of a graph, an invariant analogous to the irregularity strength for total labeling. Recently Ivanco and Jendrol [3] proved that for any tree T

$$
\operatorname{tes}(T)=\max \left\{\left\lceil\frac{E(G)+2}{3}\right\rceil,\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2}\right\rceil\right\} .
$$

[^0]Moreover, they posed a conjecture that for an arbitrary graph $G$ different from $K_{5}$ and having maximum degree $\Delta(G)$

$$
\operatorname{tes}(G)=\max \left\{\left\lceil\frac{E(G)+2}{3}\right\rceil,\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2}\right\rceil\right\} .
$$

The Ivanco and Jendrol's conjecture has been verified for complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs in [4] and for categorical product of cycle and path in [6].

Motivated by the total edge irregularity strength of a graph and the graceful labeling, Ramalakshmi and Kathiresan introduced the total absolute difference edge irregularity strength of graphs to reduce the edge weights. For a graph $G=(V(G), E(G))$, the weight of an edge $e=x y$ under a total labeling $\xi$ is $w t(e)=|\xi(e)-\xi(x)-\xi(y)|$. For a graph $G$ we define a labeling $\xi: V(G) \bigcup E(G) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ to be an edge irregular total absolute difference $k$-labeling of $G$ if for every two different edges $e=x y$ and $f=x_{0} y_{0}$ of $G$ one has $w t(e) \neq w t(f)$. The total absolute difference edge irregular strength, $\operatorname{tades}(G)$, is defined as the minimum $k$ for which $G$ has an edge irregular total absolute difference $k$-labeling. In [5], they posed the following conjectures,
(1) For every tree $T$ of maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ on $p$ vertices,

$$
\operatorname{tades}(T)=\max \left\{\frac{p}{2}, \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2}\right\}
$$

(2) For any $\operatorname{graph} G, \operatorname{tes}(G) \leq \operatorname{tades}(G)$.

Theorem 1.1. [5] Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with vertex set $V$ and a non-empty edge set $E$. Then $\frac{|E|}{2} \leq \operatorname{tades}(G) \leq|E|+1$.

In this paper we discuss with snake related graphs, wheel related graphs, lotus inside the circle and double fan graph. We determine the total absolute difference edge irregular strength for these families of graphs.

The join of two graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is denoted by $G_{1}+G_{2}$ and whose vertex set is $V\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right)=V\left(G_{1}\right) \bigcup V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and edge set is $E\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right)=E\left(G_{1}\right) \bigcup E\left(G_{2}\right) \bigcup\{u v$ : $\left.u \in V\left(G_{1}\right), v \in V\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$. The double fan $D F_{n}$ is defined as $P_{n}+2 K_{1}$. The wheel $W_{n}$ is defined as the join $C_{n}+K_{1}$. The vertex $K_{1}$ is the apex vertex and the vertices on the underlying cycle are called rim vertices. The edges of the underlying cycle are called the rim edges and the edges joining the apex and the rim vertices are called spoke edges. The gear graph $G_{n}$ is obtained from the wheel $W_{n}$ by adding a vertex between every pair of adjacent vertices of the cycle $C_{n}$. The helm $H_{n}$ is obtained from a wheel $W_{n}$ by attaching a pendant edge at each vertex of the cycle $C_{n}$. The flower graph $F l_{n}$ is the graph obtained from a Helm by joining each pendant vertex to the central vertex of the Helm. The closed helm $\mathrm{CH}_{n}$ is a graph obtained from a Helm $H_{n}$ by joining each pendant vertex to form a cycle. The web $W b_{n}$ is the graph obtained by joining the pendant vertices of a helm $H_{n}$ to form a cycle and then adding a pendant edge to each vertex of outer cycle.

The lotus inside a circle $L C_{n}$ is a graph obtained from the cycle $C_{n}: b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{n} b_{1}$ and the star $K_{1, n}$ with central vertex $u$ and the end vertices $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}$ by joining each $b_{i}$ to $a_{i}$ and $a_{i+1}(\bmod n)$.

A $K_{n}$-snake is defined as a connected graph in which all blocks are isomorphic to $K_{n}$ and the block-cut point graph is a path. A $K_{3}$-snake is called triangular snake.

The quadrilateral snake is obtained from a path $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n+1}$ by joining $a_{i}, a_{i+1}$ to new vertices $b_{i}, c_{i}$ respectively and joining $b_{i}$ and $c_{i}$.

## 2. Snake Related Graphs

In this section we discuss the total absolute difference edge irregular strength for snake related graphs.
Theorem 2.1. For $T_{n}, n \geq 1, \operatorname{tades}\left(T_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$.
Proof. Let $T_{n}$ be a triangular snake with $n$ blocks. Since $\left|V\left(T_{n}\right)\right|=2 n+1$ and $\left|E\left(T_{n}\right)\right|=$ $3 n$. Let $k=\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$. From Theorem (1.1), $\operatorname{tades}\left(T_{n}\right) \geq\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$. It is enough to prove that $\operatorname{tades}\left(T_{n}\right) \leq\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$. Define the labeling $\xi$ as follows:
$\xi\left(u_{1}\right)=1 ;$
$\xi\left(u_{2 i}\right)=3 i-1,1 \leq i \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$;
$\xi\left(u_{2 i+1}\right)=3 i, 1 \leq i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$;
$\xi\left(v_{2 i-1}\right)=3 i-2,1 \leq i \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$;
$\xi\left(v_{2 i}\right)=3 i, 1 \leq i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$;
$\xi\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)=2$;
$\xi\left(u_{i} u_{i+1}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n$;
$\xi\left(u_{1} v_{1}\right)=2 ;$
$\xi\left(u_{i} v_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } i \text { is even and } 2 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 & \text { if } i \text { is odd and } 2 \leq i \leq n ;\end{cases}$
$\xi\left(v_{i} u_{i+1}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n$.
Now,

$$
\max \left\{\left\{\xi(u) \mid u \in V\left(T_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{\xi(e) \mid e \in E\left(T_{n}\right)\right\}\right\}=\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil
$$

and we observe that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w t\left(u_{i} v_{i}\right)=3 i-3,1 \leq i \leq n \\
& w t\left(v_{i} u_{i+1}\right)=3 i-1,1 \leq i \leq n \\
& w t\left(u_{i} u_{i+1}\right)=3 i-2,1 \leq i \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

The weights are distinct. Hence $\operatorname{tades}\left(T_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$.
Theorem 2.2. For $Q_{n}, n \geq 1, \operatorname{tades}\left(Q_{n}\right)=2 n$.
Proof. Let $Q_{n}$ be a quadrilateral snake with $V\left(Q_{n}\right)=\left\{a_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1\right\} \bigcup\left\{b_{i}, c_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $E\left(Q_{n}\right)=\left\{a_{i} a_{i+1}, a_{i} b_{i}, a_{i+1} c_{i}, b_{i} c_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Therefore, $\left|V\left(Q_{n}\right)\right|=3 n+1$ and $\left|E\left(Q_{n}\right)\right|=4 n$. From Theorem (1.1), $\operatorname{tades}\left(Q_{n}\right) \geq 2 n$. For the reverse inequality, we define the labeling $\xi$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi\left(a_{1}\right)=1 \\
& \xi\left(a_{2 i}\right)=4 i-2,1 \leq i \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \\
& \xi\left(a_{2 i+1}\right)=4 i, 1 \leq i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \\
& \xi\left(b_{i}\right)=2 i-1,1 \leq i \leq n \\
& \xi\left(c_{i}\right)=2 i, 1 \leq i \leq n \\
& \xi\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)=2 \\
& \xi\left(a_{i} a_{i+1}\right)=1,2 \leq i \leq n \\
& \xi\left(a_{1} b_{1}\right)=2 \\
& \xi\left(b_{i} c_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n \\
& \xi\left(a_{i} b_{i}\right)=1,2 \leq i \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\xi\left(a_{i+1} c_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n .
$$

Now,

$$
\max \left\{\left\{\xi(a) \mid a \in V\left(Q_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{\xi(e) \mid e \in E\left(Q_{n}\right)\right\}\right\}=2 n
$$

and we observe that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w t\left(a_{i} a_{i+1}\right)=4 i-3,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& w t\left(a_{i} b_{i}\right)=4 i-4,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& w t\left(b_{i} c_{i}\right)=4 i-2,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& w t\left(a_{i+1} c_{i}\right)=4 i-1,1 \leq i \leq n .
\end{aligned}
$$

The weights are distinct. Hence tades $\left(Q_{n}\right)=2 n$.

## 3. Wheel Related Graphs

In this section we investigate the total absolute difference edge irregular strength for wheel related graphs.
Theorem 3.1. For $H_{n}, n \geq 3$, $\operatorname{tades}\left(H_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$.
Proof. Let $V\left(H_{n}\right)=\left\{a, x_{i}, y_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $E\left(H_{n}\right)=\left\{a x_{i}, x_{i} y_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup$ $\left\{x_{i} x_{i+1}, x_{n} x_{1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. Since $\left|V\left(H_{n}\right)\right|=2 n+1$ and $\left|E\left(H_{n}\right)\right|=3 n$. Let $k=\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$. By
Theorem (1.1), we have tades $\left(H_{n}\right) \geq\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$. It is enough to prove that $\operatorname{tades}\left(H_{n}\right) \leq\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$.
Define the labeling $\xi: V \bigcup E \rightarrow\left\{1,2,3, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil\right\}$ as follows:
Case 1. $n$ is odd.
$\xi(a)=k ; \xi\left(x_{i}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \xi\left(y_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \xi\left(a x_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n ;$ $\xi\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=i+1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \xi\left(x_{n} x_{1}\right)=1 ; \xi\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+2,1 \leq i \leq n$.
Case 2. $n$ is even.
$\xi(a)=k ; \xi\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{n}{2}+i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \xi\left(y_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \xi\left(a x_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n ;$ $\xi\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=i+2,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \xi\left(x_{n} x_{1}\right)=2 ; \xi\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=\frac{n}{2}+2,1 \leq i \leq n$.
Now,

$$
\max \left\{\left\{\xi(x) \mid x \in V\left(H_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{\xi(e) \mid e \in E\left(H_{n}\right)\right\}\right\}=\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil
$$

and the edge weights are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w t\left(a x_{i}\right)=2 n-1+i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& w t\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \\
& w t\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=i-1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& w t\left(x_{n} x_{1}\right)=2 n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the weights are distinct. Therefore, $\operatorname{tades}\left(H_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$.
Theorem 3.2. For $C H_{n}, n \geq 3$, tades $\left(C H_{n}\right)=2 n$.
Proof. Let $V\left(C H_{n}\right)=\left\{a, x_{i}, y_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $E\left(C H_{n}\right)=\left\{a x_{i}, x_{i} y_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup$ $\left\{x_{i} x_{i+1}, x_{n} x_{1}, y_{i} y_{i+1}, y_{n} y_{1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. Define the labeling $\xi: V \cup E \rightarrow\{1,2,3, \ldots, 2 n\}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi(a)=2 n ; \\
& \xi\left(x_{i}\right)=n+i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(y_{i}\right)=i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(a x_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=i+2,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \\
& \xi\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=i+1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(y_{i} y_{i+1}\right)=i+2,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \\
& \xi\left(x_{n} x_{1}\right)=\xi\left(y_{n} y_{1}\right)=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\max \left\{\left\{\xi(x) \mid x \in V\left(C H_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{\xi(e) \mid e \in E\left(C H_{n}\right)\right\}\right\}=2 n
$$

and we observe that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w t\left(a x_{i}\right)=3 n-1+i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& w t\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=2 n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \\
& w t\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n . \\
& w t\left(y_{i} y_{i+1}\right)=i-1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \\
& w t\left(x_{n} x_{1}\right)=3 n-1 ; \\
& w t\left(y_{n} y_{1}\right)=n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The weights are distinct. Then we have $\operatorname{tades}\left(C H_{n}\right) \leq 2 n$. However by Theorem (1.1), $\operatorname{tades}\left(C H_{n}\right) \geq\left\lceil\frac{4 n}{2}\right\rceil=2 n$, that is tades $\left(C H_{n}\right) \geq 2 n$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3. For $W b_{n}, n \geq 3$, $\operatorname{tades}\left(W b_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{5 n}{2}\right\rceil$.
Proof. Let $V\left(W b_{n}\right)=\left\{a, x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $E\left(W b_{n}\right)=\left\{a x_{i}, x_{i} y_{i}, y_{i} z_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq\right.$ $n\} \bigcup\left\{x_{i} x_{i+1}, x_{n} x_{1}, y_{i} y_{i+1}, y_{n} y_{1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. Let $k=\left\lceil\frac{5 n}{2}\right\rceil$. By Theorem (1.1), we have $\operatorname{tades}\left(W b_{n}\right) \geq\left\lceil\frac{5 n}{2}\right\rceil$. It is enough to prove that the reverse inequality. We define the function $\xi$ by considering the following two cases.
Case 1. $n$ is odd.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi(a)=k ; \\
& \xi\left(x_{i}\right)=k-n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(y_{i}\right)=k-2 n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(z_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(a x_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=\xi\left(y_{i} y_{i+1}\right)=i+1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \\
& \xi\left(x_{n} x_{1}\right)=\xi\left(y_{n} y_{1}\right)=1 ; \\
& \xi\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(y_{i} z_{i}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+2,1 \leq i \leq n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. $n$ is even.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi(a)=k ; \\
& \xi\left(x_{i}\right)=k-n+i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(y_{i}\right)=k-2 n+i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(z_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(a x_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=\xi\left(y_{i} y_{i+1}\right)=i+2,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \\
& \xi\left(x_{n} x_{1}\right)=\xi\left(y_{n} y_{1}\right)=2 ; \\
& \xi\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=i+1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& \xi\left(y_{i} z_{i}\right)=\frac{n}{2}+2,1 \leq i \leq n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\max \left\{\left\{\xi(x) \mid x \in V\left(W b_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{\xi(e) \mid e \in E\left(W b_{n}\right)\right\}\right\}=\left\lceil\frac{5 n}{2}\right\rceil
$$

and we observe that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w t\left(a x_{i}\right)=4 n-1+i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \\
& w t\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=3 n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \\
& w t\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=2 n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n . \\
& w t\left(y_{i} y_{i+1}\right)=n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \\
& w t\left(y_{i} z_{i}\right)=i-1,1 \leq i \leq n . \\
& w t\left(x_{n} x_{1}\right)=4 n-1 ; \\
& w t\left(y_{n} y_{1}\right)=2 n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The weights are distinct. Hence tades $\left(W b_{n}\right) \leq\left\lceil\frac{5 n}{2}\right\rceil$.
Theorem 3.4. For $F l_{n}, n \geq 3, \operatorname{tades}\left(F l_{n}\right)=2 n$.
Proof. Let $V\left(F l_{n}\right)=\left\{a, x_{i}, y_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $E\left(F l_{n}\right)=\left\{a x_{i}, a y_{i}, x_{i} y_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup$ $\left\{x_{i} x_{i+1}, x_{n} x_{1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. Define the labeling $\xi: V \bigcup E \rightarrow\{1,2,3, \ldots, 2 n\}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi(a)=2 n \\
& \xi\left(x_{i}\right)=i, 1 \leq i \leq n \\
& \xi\left(y_{i}\right)=n+i, 1 \leq i \leq n \\
& \xi\left(a x_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n \\
& \xi\left(a y_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n \\
& \xi\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=i+1,1 \leq i \leq n \\
& \xi\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=i+2,1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
& \xi\left(x_{n} x_{1}\right)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\max \left\{\left\{\xi(x) \mid x \in V\left(F l_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{\xi(e) \mid e \in E\left(F l_{n}\right)\right\}\right\}=2 n
$$

and we observe that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w t\left(a x_{i}\right)=2 n-1+i, 1 \leq i \leq n \\
& w t\left(a y_{i}\right)=3 n-1+i, 1 \leq i \leq n \\
& w t\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n \\
& w t\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=i-1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
& w t\left(x_{n} x_{1}\right)=n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

The weights are distinct. Then we have $\operatorname{tades}\left(F l_{n}\right) \leq 2 n$. However by Theorem (1.1), $\operatorname{tades}\left(F l_{n}\right) \geq\left\lceil\frac{4 n}{2}\right\rceil=2 n$, that is $\operatorname{tades}\left(F l_{n}\right) \geq 2 n$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.5. For $G_{n}, n \geq 3$, tades $\left(G_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$.
Proof. Let $V\left(G_{n}\right)=\left\{u, a_{i}, b_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $E\left(G_{n}\right)=\left\{u a_{i}, a_{i} b_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup$ $\left\{b_{i} a_{i+1}, b_{n} a_{1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. Let $k=\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$. From Theorem (1.1), $\operatorname{tades}\left(G_{n}\right) \geq$ $\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$. It is enough to prove that $\operatorname{tades}\left(G_{n}\right) \leq\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$. Define the labeling $\xi: V \bigcup E \rightarrow$ $\left\{1,2,3, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil\right\}$ by
Case 1. $n$ is odd.
$\xi(u)=k ; \xi\left(a_{i}\right)=k-n+i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \xi\left(b_{i}\right)=k-n+i-2,1 \leq i \leq n ; \xi\left(u a_{i}\right)=2,1 \leq i \leq n$; $\xi\left(a_{i} b_{i}\right)=n+1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \xi\left(b_{i} a_{i+1}\right)=n+1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \xi\left(b_{n} a_{1}\right)=1$.
Case 2. $n$ is even.
$\xi(u)=k ; \xi\left(a_{i}\right)=k-n+i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \xi\left(b_{i}\right)=k-n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \xi\left(u a_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n ;$ $\xi\left(a_{i} b_{i}\right)=n+1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \xi\left(b_{i} a_{i+1}\right)=n+1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \xi\left(b_{n} a_{1}\right)=1$.
Now,

$$
\max \left\{\left\{\xi(a) \mid a \in V\left(G_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{\xi(e) \mid e \in E\left(G_{n}\right)\right\}\right\}=\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil
$$

and we observe that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w t\left(u a_{i}\right)=2 n-1+i, 1 \leq i \leq n \\
& w t\left(a_{i} b_{i}\right)=2 i-2,1 \leq i \leq n \\
& w t\left(b_{i} a_{i+1}\right)=2 i-1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
& w t\left(b_{n} a_{1}\right)=2 n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

The weights are distinct. Hence $\operatorname{tades}\left(G_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rceil$.

## 4. Some Families of Graphs

In this section we determine the total absolute difference edge irregular strength for lotus inside the circle and double fan graph.

Theorem 4.1. For $L C_{n}, n \geq 3$, $\operatorname{tades}\left(L C_{n}\right)=2 n$.
Proof. Let $V\left(L C_{n}\right)=\left\{u, a_{i}, b_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $E\left(L C_{n}\right)=\left\{u a_{i}, a_{i} b_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \bigcup$ $\left\{a_{i+1} b_{i}, b_{i} b_{i+1}, a_{1} b_{n}, b_{n} b_{1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. Let $k=2 n$, then from (1.1) it follows that, $\operatorname{tades}\left(L C_{n}\right) \geq 2 n$. We define a total labeling $\xi$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi(u)=2 n \\
& \xi\left(a_{i}\right)=n+i, 1 \leq i \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi\left(b_{i}\right)=i+1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
& \xi\left(b_{n}\right)=1 \\
& \xi\left(u a_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n \\
& \xi\left(a_{i} b_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
& \xi\left(a_{n} b_{n}\right)=n+1 \\
& \xi\left(a_{i+1} b_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
& \xi\left(a_{1} b_{n}\right)=1 \\
& \xi\left(b_{i} b_{i+1}\right)=i+3,1 \leq i \leq n-2 \\
& \xi\left(b_{n-1} b_{n}\right)=2 \\
& \xi\left(b_{n} b_{1}\right)=3
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\max \left\{\left\{\xi(a) \mid a \in V\left(L C_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{\xi(e) \mid e \in E\left(L C_{n}\right)\right\}\right\}=2 n
$$

and the edge weights are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w t\left(u a_{i}\right)=3 n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n \\
& w t\left(a_{i} b_{i}\right)=n+2 i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
& w t\left(a_{n} b_{n}\right)=n \\
& w t\left(a_{i+1} b_{i}\right)=n+2 i+1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
& w t\left(a_{1} b_{n}\right)=n+1 \\
& w t\left(b_{i} b_{i+1}\right)=i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
& w t\left(b_{1} b_{n}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The weights are distinct. Hence $\operatorname{tades}\left(L C_{n}\right)=2 n$.
Theorem 4.2. For $D F_{n}, n \geq 2, \operatorname{tades}\left(D F_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{3 n-1}{2}\right\rceil$.
Proof. The vertex set of $D F_{n}$ is $V\left(D F_{n}\right)=\left\{x_{i}, a, b \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and edge set of $D F_{n}$ is $E\left(D F_{n}\right)=\left\{a x_{i}, b x_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \bigcup\left\{x_{i} x_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. Therefore, $\left|V\left(D F_{n}\right)\right|=n+2$ and $\left|E\left(D F_{n}\right)\right|=3 n-1$. By Theorem (1.1), we have $\operatorname{tades}\left(D F_{n}\right) \geq\left\lceil\frac{3 n-1}{2}\right\rceil$. For the reverse inequality, we define the labeling $\xi: V \bigcup E \rightarrow\left\{1,2,3, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{3 n-1}{2}\right\rceil\right\}$ by considering the following two cases.
Case 1. $n$ is odd.
$\xi(a)=1 ; \xi(b)=\left\lceil\frac{3 n-1}{2}\right\rceil ; \xi\left(x_{i}\right)=k-n+i, 1 \leq i \leq n ; \xi\left(a x_{i}\right)=\frac{n+3}{2}, 1 \leq i \leq n ;$ $\xi\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=i+1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \xi\left(b x_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n$.
Case 2. $n$ is even.
$\xi(a)=1 ; ~ \xi(b)=\left\lceil\frac{3 n-1}{2}\right\rceil ; \xi\left(x_{i}\right)=k-n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \xi\left(a x_{i}\right)=\frac{n}{2}+1,1 \leq i \leq n ;$ $\xi\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1 ; \xi\left(b x_{i}\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq n$.
Now,
$\max \left\{\left\{\xi(x) \mid x \in V\left(D F_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{\xi(e) \mid e \in E\left(D F_{n}\right)\right\}\right\}=\left\lceil\frac{3 n-1}{2}\right\rceil$
and the edge weights are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w t\left(a x_{i}\right)=i-1,1 \leq i \leq n \\
& w t\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)=n+i-1,1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
& w t\left(b x_{i}\right)=2 n+i-2,1 \leq i \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the weights are distinct. Therefore, $\operatorname{tades}\left(D F_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{3 n-1}{2}\right\rceil$.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we have determined the edge irregular total absolute difference $k$-labeling for snake related graphs, wheel related graphs, lotus inside the circle and double fan graph. We are further investigating Transformed tree related graphs, super subdivision of graphs, ladder and bistar related graphs admit edge irregular total absolute difference $k$-labeling.
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