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#### Abstract

Necessary and sufficient conditions for neutrosophic Poisson probability distribution series to be in the classes $M_{\gamma}^{n}(\theta)$ were derived by means of coefficient inequalities. The results obtained further strengthening the relationships between geometric function theory and statistics and by extension, fuzzy logic.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that are analytic in the open unit disk $D=\{z:|z|<1\}$ with normalization condition $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$. Denote by $S$ the subclass of $A$ which consist of univalent functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| z^{k} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The well known subclasses of $S$ are starlike and convex functions. The classes of starlike and convex functions of order zero are respectively denoted by $S^{*}$ and $K$. Other class of

[^0]interest to the researcher here present is the class of close-to-convex functions with the geometrical representation
$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \delta} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in D .
$$

The class of all close-to-convex functions is denoted by $C$ and was introduced by Kaplan in [5] where $g$ is convex. Let $g(z) \equiv z$, the class $C$ reduces to class introduced and investigated by Mahzoon and Kargar in [13] and defined by

$$
R=\left\{f \in A: \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{i \beta} f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right)>0, \text { for } \beta \in \mathcal{R}, \quad z \in D\right\} .
$$

where $\delta \in \mathcal{R}$.
Definition 1. A function $f$ of the form (2) belongs to class $M_{\gamma}^{n}(\theta)$ if it satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1+e^{i \theta}}{2} z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\}>\gamma, \quad 0 \leq \gamma<1, \quad-\pi<\theta \leq \pi \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$ and $D^{n}$ is the well-known Salangean derivative operator.
Remark A. If we let $n=0$ in the Definition 1, the class introduced and investigated by in [13] is obtained. Other chains of new and existing function classes can be obtained with various choices of $n$ and other parameters involved in the definition.

The concept of neutrosophic theory is a new branch of philosophy as a generalization for the fuzzy logic and a generalization of instrinstic fuzzy logic. The concept was introduced and investigated in [19] by Smarandache, (see also [3,4-7,20-21] it provides a new foundation for dealing with issues that have indeterminate data (may be numbers). The use of neutrosophic crisp sets theory alongside classical probability (Poisson, exponential, uniform distribution) opens a new stairway for dealing with issues that follows the classical distribution and at the same time contain data not specified accurately [15] as example. Neutrosophic Poisson distribution of discrete variable $X$ is a classical Poisson distribution of $x$, its parameters are imprecise, $m$ can be set with two or more elements and the most common of such distribution is when $m$ is interval say $[1,7]$.

The proposed work will addresses issues that follows classical distribution and at the same time containing data not specified accurately or indeterminate, which makes it different from the existing works which only deals with classical distribution in Geometric Function Theory. The novelty of this investigation is such that the earlier existing works in Geometric Function Theory/Univalent Function Theory addresses classical Poisson distribution whose data are specific and accurate, whereas the present investigation captured both the classical Poisson distribution and neutrosophic Poisson distribution whose data are indeterminate which in turn addresses physical problems with fluctuations in the situations of our daily living.

The current work is limited due to its restriction to solving few initial coefficients estimate of neutrosophic Poisson distribution in the unit disk, though with potential applications in telecommunication industry, signal processing and transport industry.

A variable $x$ is said to be Neutrosophic Poisson distributed if it takes the values $0,1,2,3, \ldots$ the probability $e^{-m_{N}}, \frac{m e^{-m_{N}}}{1!}, \frac{m^{2} e^{-m_{N}}}{2!}, \frac{m^{3} e^{-m_{N}}}{3!}, \ldots$ respectively, where $m_{N}$ is called distribution parameter which is equal to the expected value and the variance,

$$
P(x=k)=m_{N}^{k} \frac{e^{-m_{N}}}{x!}, k=0,1,2,3, \ldots
$$

and

$$
N E(x)=N V(x)=m_{N}
$$

where $N=d+I$ is a nuetrosophic statistical number (see $[1,7]$ ) and the reference therein. Recently, Alhabib et al. [1] introduced and investigated a power series of neutrosophic Poisson distribution which was further investigated by Oladipo [15] via coefficient inequalities expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(m_{N}, z\right)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} z^{k}, \quad z \in D \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \in[1, \infty]$ and by ratio test, the radius of convergence of the above series was shown to be infinity. Further, we define a series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega\left(m_{N}, z\right)=2 z-K\left(m_{N}, z\right)=z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} z^{k}, \quad z \in D \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By convolution principle (Hadamard product), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega K\left(m_{N}, z\right)=K\left(m_{N}, z\right) * f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad z \in D \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $*$ denote the convolution or Hadamard product of two series.
Motivated by the earlier works in $[9,11,15,17,18,22]$ we determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for neutrosophic Poisson distribution class $\Omega\left(m_{N}, z\right)$ to be in the following analytic function classes $M_{\gamma}^{0}(\theta), M_{\gamma}^{1}(\theta)$ and $M_{\gamma}^{2}(\theta)$.
In what follows, we state conditions for the integral

$$
G\left(m_{N}, z\right)=\int_{0}^{z} \epsilon^{-1} K\left(m_{N}\right)(\epsilon) d \epsilon
$$

to be in the class $M_{\gamma}^{0}(\theta), \quad M_{\gamma}^{1}(\theta)$ and $M_{\gamma}^{2}(\theta)$.

## 2. Preliminary and Lemmas

Lemma 1. A function $f$ of the form (2) belongs to class $M_{\gamma}^{n}(\theta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n}[2 k+k(k-1)(1+\cos \theta)]\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 2(1-\gamma) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=0,1,2, \ldots, 0 \leq \gamma<1,-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$. The result (7) is sharp for the relation

$$
f(z)=z-\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{k^{n}[2 k+k(k-1)(1+\cos \theta)]} z^{k}, \quad k \geq 2
$$

Proof. Suppose that the function $f(z) \in M_{\gamma}^{n}(\theta)$. Then by (3) we have

$$
R\left\{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n}\left[\frac{2 k+k(k-1)(1+\cos \theta)}{2}\right]\left|a_{k}\right| z^{k-1}\right\}>\gamma
$$

Choose $z$ to be real and let $z \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we obtain

$$
1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n}\left[\frac{2 k+k(k-1)(1+\cos \theta)}{2}\right]\left|a_{k}\right|>\gamma
$$

which is equivalent to (7). Conversely, suppose that (7) is true, then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1+e^{i \theta}}{2} z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| & <\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n}[2 k+k(k-1)(1+\cos \theta)]\left|a_{k}\right| \\
\leq & 2(1-\gamma)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $f(z) \in M_{\gamma}^{n}(\theta)$.
A function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class $R^{T}(A, B), T \in \mathbb{C} /\{0\},-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ if

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-1}{(A-B) T-B\left(f^{\prime}(z)-1\right)}\right|<1
$$

Lemma 2. [10] If $f$ of the form (1) belongs to class $R^{T}(A, B)$, then

$$
\left|a_{k}\right| \leq(A, B) \frac{|T|}{k}, \quad k \in N-\{1\} .
$$

for $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. The result is sharp for

$$
f(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left[1+(A+B) \frac{T t^{t-1}}{1+B t^{t-1}}\right] d t, \quad(z \in D, \quad k \in N-\{1\}) .
$$

## 3. Necessary and sufficient conditions

For easy handling, throughout the investigation, we shall refer to the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}=e^{m_{N}}-1 \quad \text { and } \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}=m_{N}^{j-1} e^{m_{N}}, \quad j \geq 2 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $m \in[1, \infty], 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$. Then, $\Omega\left(m_{N}, z\right) \in M_{\gamma}^{0}(\theta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\cos \theta) m_{N}^{2}+2(2+\cos \theta) m_{N}+2\left(1-e^{-m_{N}}\right) \leq 2(1-\gamma) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In order to prove that $\Omega\left(m_{N}, z\right) \in M_{\gamma}^{0}(\theta)$, using Lemma 1 we have to show that

$$
P_{1}=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[2 k+k(k-1)(1+\cos \theta)] \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} \leq 2(1-\gamma) .
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=(k-1)+1, \quad k^{2}=(k-1)(k-2)+3(k-1)+1, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{1}=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[2 k+k(k-1)(1+\cos \theta)] \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} \\
=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[(1+\cos \theta)(k-1)(k-2)+2(2+\cos \theta)(k-1)+2] \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} \\
=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(1+\cos \theta)(k-1)(k-2) \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2(2+\cos \theta)(k-1) \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} \\
\quad+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2 \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} \\
=(1+\cos \theta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-3)!} e^{-m_{N}}+2(2+\cos \theta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-2)!} e^{-m_{N}}+2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and by (8), we note that

$$
P_{1}=(1+\cos \theta) m_{N}^{2}+2(2+\cos \theta) m_{N}+2\left(1-e^{-m_{N}}\right)
$$

The last expression is bounded above by $2(1-\gamma)$, if and only if (9) is satisfied. Using MATHEMATICA to solve for (9) for selected interval $m_{N}$, the table and the figure below are obtained. The values obtained are in agreement with existing literature with consideration for $\cos \theta$

| $\gamma$ | $\theta$ | $\exp ^{-(1,3)}$ | $\exp ^{-(4,6)}$ | $\exp ^{-(7,9)}$ | $\exp ^{-(10,12)}$ | $\exp ^{-(13,15)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | $-170^{\circ}$ | 0.742368 | 3.305782 | 3.71256 | 1.62463 | 5.191065 |
| 0.1 | $-150^{\circ}$ | 0.765961 | 3.315391 | 3.664105 | 1.333853 | 5.191065 |
| 0.2 | $-120^{\circ}$ | 0.739958 | 3.328393 | 3.652495 | 0.958093 | 5.191065 |
| 0.3 | $-90^{\circ}$ | 0.840355 | 3.344756 | 3.344756 | 0.457078 | 5.191065 |
| 0.4 | $-60^{\circ}$ | 0.806345 | 3.85672 | 3.234632 | 0.758511 | 4.651781 |
| 0.5 | $-30^{\circ}$ | 0.765961 | 1.073055 | 3.108090 | 0.855382 | 0.86886 |
| 0.6 | $0^{\circ}$ | 0.596623 | 3.344756 | 3.344756 | 0.657287 | -0.10607 |
| 0.7 | $30^{\circ}$ | 0.768936 | 4.810744 | 3.572167 | 0.734085 | -4.33072 |
| 0.8 | $60^{\circ}$ | 0.749724 | 5.703564 | 5.133456 | 0.945536 | -1.48213 |
| 0.9 | $90^{\circ}$ | 0.748955 | 5.007446 | 4.191590 | 1.050707 | 1.95339 |
| 0.1 | $120^{\circ}$ | 0.656740 | 3.999445 | 3.344756 | 0.720507 | 2.72255 |
| 0.2 | $150^{\circ}$ | 0.638521 | 3.344756 | 2.074505 | 0.742961 | 2.69613 |
| 0.3 | $170^{\circ}$ | 0.623452 | 3.325782 | 1.054101 | 0.442614 | 2.31235 |



Theorem 2.2. Let $m \in[1, \infty], 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$. Then, $\Omega\left(m_{N}, z\right) \in M_{\gamma}^{1}(\theta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\cos \theta) m_{N}^{3}+(7+5 \cos \theta) m_{N}^{2}+2(5+2 \cos \theta) m_{N}+2\left(1-e^{-m_{N}}\right) \leq 2(1-\gamma) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
T K\left(m_{N}, z\right)=z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} z^{k}
$$

and by Lemma 1 we have to prove that

$$
P_{2}=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[2 k+k(k-1)(1+\cos \theta)] \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} \leq 2(1-\gamma) e^{m_{N}} .
$$

Using (10) we have

$$
k^{3}=(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)+6(k-1)(k-2)+7(k-1)+1,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{2}=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[2 k+k(k-1)(1+\cos \theta)] \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} \\
=((1+\cos \theta)) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-4)!} e^{-m_{N}}+(7+5 \cos \theta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-3)!} e^{-m_{N}} \\
+2(5+2 \cos \theta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} e^{-m_{N}}+2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and by (8), we observe that

$$
P_{2}=(1+\cos \theta) m_{N}^{3} e^{m_{N}}+(7+5 \cos \theta) m_{N}^{2} e^{m_{N}}+2(5+2 \cos \theta) m_{N} e^{m_{N}}+\left(e^{m_{N}}-1\right)
$$

The last expression is bounded above by $2(1-\gamma)$, if and only if (11) is satisfied. ( $1+$ $\cos \theta) M_{n}^{2}+2(2+\cos \theta) M_{n}+\left(1-\exp ^{-M_{n}}\right)$
Theorem 2.3. Let $m \in[1, \infty], 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$. Then, $\Omega\left(m_{N}, z\right) \in M_{\gamma}^{2}(\theta)$ if and only if

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
(1+\cos \theta) m_{N}^{4}+(11+9 \cos \theta) m_{N}^{3}+ & (31
\end{array}+19 \cos \theta\right) m_{N}^{2}+2(11+4 \cos \theta) m_{N}+2\left(1-e^{-m_{N}}\right)
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\Omega\left(m_{N}, z\right)=z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} z^{k}
$$

and by Lemma 1 we have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{3}=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[2 k^{3}+k^{3}(k-1)(1+\cos \theta)\right] \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} \leq 2(1-\gamma) e^{m_{N}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (10) and setting
$k^{4}=(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)(k-4)+10(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)+25(k-1)(k-2)+15(k-1)+1$, in equation (13) and by simple computation we have the desired result.

Making use of Lemma 2, we shall study the effect of neutrsophic Poisson distribution series on the classes $M_{\gamma}^{0}(\theta), \quad M_{\gamma}^{1}(\theta)$ and $M_{\gamma}^{2}(\theta)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $m \in[1, \infty], 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$ and $f \in R^{T}(A, B)$. Then, $\Omega\left(m_{N}, z\right) \in M^{0} \gamma(\theta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A-B)|T|\left[(1+\cos \theta) m_{N}+2\left(1-e^{-m_{N}}\right)\right] \leq 2(1-\gamma) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 1 it suffices to prove that

$$
P_{4}=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[2+(k-1)(1+\cos \theta)] \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}} \leq 2(1-\gamma)
$$

and since $f \in R^{T}(A, B)$ from Lemma 2 we have

$$
P_{4} \leq(A-B)|T|\left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[2+k(k-1)(1+\cos \theta)] \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}}\right]
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{4} \leq(A-B)|T|\left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(1+\cos \theta)(k-1) \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}}+2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}}\right] . \\
& \leq(A-B)|T|\left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(1+\cos \theta) \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-2)!} e^{-m_{N}}+2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m_{N}^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m_{N}}\right] \\
& \leq(A-B)|T|\left[(1+\cos \theta) m_{N}+2\left(1-e^{-m_{N}}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last expression is bounded above by $2(1-\gamma)$, if and only if $(14)$ is satisfied.
Theorem 2.5. Let $m \in[1, \infty], 0 \leq \gamma<1,-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$ and if $f \in R^{T}(A, B),-1 \leq B<$ $A \leq 1$. Then, $\Omega\left(m_{N}, z\right) \in M_{\gamma}^{1}(\theta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A-B)|T|\left[(1+\cos \theta) m_{N}^{2}+2(2+\cos \theta) m_{N}+2\left(1-e^{-m_{N}}\right)\right] \leq 2(1-\gamma) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The prove follow the same process as in the last Theorem.
Theorem 2.6. Let $m \in[1, \infty], 0 \leq \gamma<1-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$ and if $f \in R^{T}(A, B),-1 \leq B<$ $A \leq 1$. Then, $\Omega\left(m_{N}, z\right) \in M_{\gamma}^{2}(\beta, \theta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
(A-B)|T|\left[(1+\cos \theta) m_{N}^{3}+(7+5 \cos \theta) m_{N}^{2}+2(5+2 \cos \theta) m_{N}+2\left(1-e^{-m_{N}}\right)\right] \\
\leq 2(1-\gamma) \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 4. Integral Operator

In this section we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the integral operator

$$
G(m)(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{F(m)() \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} d \varepsilon
$$

to be in $M_{\gamma}^{0}(\theta), \quad M_{\gamma}^{1}(\theta) \quad M_{\gamma}^{2}(\theta)$.
Theorem 4.1. Let $m$ in $[1, \infty], 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$, then, $G\left(m_{N}, z\right) \in$ $M_{\gamma}^{0}(\theta), M_{\gamma}^{1}(\theta) \quad M_{\gamma}^{2}(\theta)$ if and only if the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
&(1+\cos \theta) m_{N}+2\left(1-e^{-m_{N}}\right) \leq 2(1-\gamma)  \tag{17}\\
&(1+\cos \theta) m_{N}^{2}+2(2+\cos \theta) m_{N}+2\left(1-e^{-m_{N}}\right) \leq 2(1-\gamma) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\cos \theta) m_{N}^{3}+(7+5 \cos \theta) m_{N}^{2}+2(5+2 \cos \theta) m_{N}+2\left(1-e^{-m_{N}}\right) \leq 2(1-\gamma) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

are respectively satisfied.

## Example for case study 1

In a company, phone employee receives phone calls, the calls arrive with rate of $[1,3]$ calls per minute, we will estimate the probability that the employee will not receive any call within a minute.

Corollary 4.1. Let $m$ in [1,3], $0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$. Then, $K\left(m_{N}, z\right) \in$ $\left[M_{\gamma}^{0}(\theta), M_{\gamma}^{1}(\theta) M_{\gamma}^{2}(\theta)\right]$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1+\cos \theta)[1,3]^{2}+2(2+\cos \theta)[1,3]+2\left(1-e^{-[1,3]}\right) \leq 2(1-\gamma) \\
(1+\cos \theta)[1,3]^{3}+(7+5 \cos \theta) m[1,3]^{2}+2(5+2 \cos \theta)[1,3]+2\left(1-e^{-[1,3]}\right) \leq 2(1-\gamma)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1+\cos \theta)[1,3]^{4}+(11+9 \cos \theta)[1,3]^{3}+(31+19 \cos \theta)[1,3]^{2} \\
+2(11+4 \cos \theta)[1,3]+2\left(1-e^{-[1,3]}\right) \leq 2(1-\gamma)
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively.
Corollary 4.2. Let $m \in[1,3], \gamma=0$ and $-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$. Then, $\Omega\left(m_{N}, z\right) \in\left[M_{0}^{0}(\theta), M_{0}^{1}(\theta) M_{0}^{2}(\theta)\right]$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1+\cos \theta)[1,3]^{2}+2(2+\cos \theta)[1,3]+2\left(1-e^{-[1,3]}\right) \leq 2 \\
(1+\cos \theta)[1,3]^{3}+(7+5 \cos \theta)[1,3]^{2}+2(5+2 \cos \theta)[1,3]+2\left(1-e^{-[1,3]}\right) \leq 2
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1+\cos \theta)[1,3]^{4}+(11+9 \cos \theta)[1,3]^{3}+(31+19 \cos \theta)[1,3]^{2} \\
+2(11+4 \cos \theta)[1,3]+2\left(1-e^{-[1,3]}\right) \leq 2
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively.

## Example for case study 2

In a company, phone employee receives phone calls, the calls arrive with rate of $[1,3]$ calls per minute, we will estimate the probability that the employee will not receive any call within 5 minutes.
Corollary 4.3. Let $m \in[1,3], \quad 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$. Then, $K\left(m_{N}, z\right) \in$ $\left[M_{\gamma}^{0}(\theta), M_{\gamma}^{1}(\theta) \quad M_{\gamma}^{2}(\theta)\right]$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1+\cos \theta)[5,15]^{2}+2(2+\cos \theta)[5,15]+2\left(1-e^{-[5,15]}\right) \leq 2(1-\gamma) \\
(1+\cos \theta)[5,15]^{3}+(7+5 \cos \theta)[5,15]^{2}+2(5+2 \cos \theta)[1,3]+2\left(1-e^{-[5,15]}\right) \leq 2(1-\gamma)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1+\cos \theta)[5,15]^{4}+(11+9 \cos \theta)[5,15]^{3}+(31+19 \cos \theta)[5,15]^{2} \\
& +2(11+4 \cos \theta)[5,15]+2\left(1-e^{-[1,3]}\right) \leq 2(1-\gamma) \\
& \text { respectively. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.4. Let $m \in[5,15], \quad 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$. Then, $K\left(m_{N}, z\right) \in$ $\left[M_{0}^{0}(\theta), M_{0}^{1}(\theta) M_{0}^{2}(\theta)\right]$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1+\cos \theta)[5,15]^{2}+2(2+\cos \theta)[5,15]+2\left(1-e^{-[1,3]}\right) \leq 2 \\
(1+\cos \theta)[5,15]^{3}+(7+5 \cos \theta)[5,15]^{2}+2(5+2 \cos \theta)[1,3]+2\left(1-e^{-[5,15]}\right) \leq 2
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1+\cos \theta)[5,15]^{4}+(11+9 \cos \theta)[5,15]^{3}+(31+19 \cos \theta)[5,15]^{2} \\
+2(11+4 \cos \theta)[5,15]+2\left(1-e^{-[5,15]}\right) \leq 2
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively. Using MATLAB we generate the following table and figures for specific values of $m_{N}$.

| $m_{N}$ | $\theta=-150^{\circ}$ | $\theta=-120^{\circ}$ | $\theta=-90^{\circ}$ | $\theta=-60^{\circ}$ | $\theta=-30^{\circ}$ | $\theta=0^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 3.662 | 4.7642 | 6.2642 | 7.7642 | 8.8623 | 9.2642 |
| 2.00 | 6.8011 | 9.7293 | 13.7293 | 17.7293 | 20.6575 | 21.7293 |
| 3.00 | 9.9100 | 15.4004 | 22.9000 | 30.4004 | 38.8908 | 37.9004 |
| 4.00 | 13.1788 | 21.9634 | 33.9634 | 45.9634 | 54.7480 | 57.9634 |
| 5.00 | 16.6756 | 29.4865 | 46.9865 | 64.4865 | 77.2974 | 81.9865 |
| 6.00 | 20.4258 | 37.9950 | 61.9950 | 85.9950 | 103.5643 | 109.9950 |
| 7.00 | 24.4386 | 47.4982 | 78.9982 | 110.4982 | 133.5578 | 141.9982 |
| 8.00 | 28.7173 | 57.9993 | 97.9993 | 137.9993 | 167.2814 | 177.9993 |
| 9.00 | 33.2632 | 69.4998 | 118.9998 | 168.4998 | 204.7363 | 217.9998 |
| 10.00 | 38.0769 | 81.9999 | 141.9999 | 201.9999 | 245.9230 | 261.9999 |
| 11.00 | 43.1583 | 95.5000 | 167.0000 | 238.5000 | 290.8416 | 310.0000 |
| 12.00 | 48.5077 | 110.0000 | 194.0000 | 278.0000 | 339.4923 | 362.0000 |
| 13.00 | 54.1250 | 125.5000 | 223.0000 | 320.5000 | 291.8749 | 418.0000 |
| 14.00 | 60.0103 | 142.000 | 254.0000 | 366.0000 | 447.9897 | 478.0000 |
| 15.00 | 66.1635 | 159.5000 | 287.0000 | 414.5000 | 507.8365 | 542.0000 |
| 16.00 | 72.5847 | 178.0000 | 322.0000 | 466.0000 | 571.4153 | 610.0000 |
| 17.00 | 79.2738 | 197.5000 | 359.0000 | 520.5000 | 638.7662 | 682.0000 |


| $m_{N}$ | $\theta=30^{\circ}$ | $\theta=60^{\circ}$ | $\theta=90^{\circ}$ | $\theta=120^{\circ}$ | $\theta=150^{\circ}$ | $\theta=180^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 8.8623 | 7.7642 | 6.2642 | 4.7642 | 3.6662 | 3.2642 |
| 2.00 | 20.6575 | 17.7293 | 13.7293 | 9.7293 | 6.8011 | 5.7293 |
| 3.00 | 35.8908 | 30.4004 | 22.9000 | 15.4004 | 9.9100 | 7.9004 |
| 4.00 | 54.7480 | 45.9634 | 33.9634 | 21.9634 | 13.1788 | 9.9634 |
| 5.00 | 77.2974 | 64.4865 | 46.9865 | 29.4865 | 16.6756 | 11.9865 |
| 6.00 | 103.5643 | 85.9950 | 61.9950 | 37.9950 | 20.4258 | 13.9950 |
| 7.00 | 133.5578 | 110.4982 | 78.9982 | 47.4982 | 24.4386 | 15.9982 |
| 8.00 | 167.2814 | 137.9993 | 97.9993 | 57.9993 | 28.7173 | 17.9993 |
| 9.00 | 204.7363 | 168.4998 | 118.9998 | 69.4998 | 33.2632 | 19.9998 |
| 10.00 | 245.9230 | 201.9999 | 141.9999 | 81.9999 | 38.0769 | 21.9999 |
| 11.00 | 290.8416 | 238.5000 | 167.0000 | 95.5000 | 43.1583 | 24.0000 |
| 12.00 | 339.4923 | 278.0000 | 194.0000 | 110.0000 | 48.5077 | 26.0000 |
| 13.00 | 391.8748 | 320.5000 | 223.0000 | 125.5000 | 54.1250 | 28.0000 |
| 14.00 | 447.9897 | 366.0000 | 254.0000 | 142.0000 | 60.0103 | 30.0000 |
| 15.00 | 507.8365 | 414.5000 | 287.0000 | 159.5000 | 66.1635 | 32.0000 |
| 16.00 | 571.4153 | 466.0000 | 322.0000 | 178.0000 | 72.5847 | 34.0000 |
| 17.00 | 863.7262 | 520.5000 | 359.0000 | 197.5000 | 79.2738 | 36.0000 |

## 5. Conclusion

Neutrosophic statistics is the extension of classical statistics and is applied when the data is coming from a complex process or from an uncertain environment. The current study can be extended using neutrosophic statistics as future research.
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