#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Tao Lin, Baylor College of Medicine, United States

REVIEWED BY Béla Dénes, University of Veterinary Medicine Budapest, Hungary Rigoberto Hernandez-Castro, Hospital General Dr. Manuel Gea Gonzalez, Mexico

\*CORRESPONDENCE Pascale Bourhy Deourhy@pasteur.fr

RECEIVED 08 June 2023 ACCEPTED 21 July 2023 PUBLISHED 17 August 2023

#### CITATION

Garcia-Lopez M, Lorioux C, Soares A, Trombert-Paolantoni S, Harran E, Ayral F, Picardeau M, Djelouadji Z and Bourhy P (2023) Genetic diversity of *Leptospira* strains circulating in humans and dogs in France in 2019-2021. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:1236866. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1236866

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Garcia-Lopez, Lorioux, Soares, Trombert-Paolantoni, Harran, Ayral, Picardeau, Djelouadji and Bourhy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Genetic diversity of *Leptospira* strains circulating in humans and dogs in France in 2019-2021

Marta Garcia-Lopez<sup>1,2</sup>, Celine Lorioux<sup>1</sup>, Anais Soares<sup>3</sup>, Sabine Trombert-Paolantoni<sup>4</sup>, Elena Harran<sup>2</sup>, Florence Ayral<sup>2</sup>, Mathieu Picardeau<sup>1</sup>, Zouheira Djelouadji<sup>2</sup> and Pascale Bourhy<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biology of Spirochetes Unit, National Reference Center for Leptospirosis, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France, <sup>2</sup>USC 1223-RS2GP, Laboratory of Leptospira and Veterinary Analysis, VetAgro Sup, University of Lyon, Marcy l'Etoile, France, <sup>3</sup>Department of Infectiology, Eurofins Biomnis, Lyon, France, <sup>4</sup>Cerba Laboratory, Saint-Ouen L'Aumône, France

Leptospirosis is a bacterial zoonotic disease. Humans and dogs are susceptible hosts, with similar clinical manifestations ranging from a febrile phase to multiple organ dysfunction. The incidence of leptospirosis in mainland France is relatively high, at about 1 case per 100,000 inhabitants, but our knowledge of the strains circulating in humans and dogs remains limited. We studied the polymorphism of the *lfb1* gene sequences in an exhaustive database, to facilitate the identification of Leptospira strains. We identified 46 species-groups (SG) encompassing the eight pathogenic species of Leptospira. We sequenced the lfb1 gene amplification products from 170 biological samples collected from 2019 to 2021: 110 from humans and 60 from dogs. Epidemiological data, including vaccination status in dogs, were also collected. Three Leptospira species displaying considerable diversity were identified: L. interrogans, with eight lfb1 species-groups (including five new *lfb1* species-groups) in humans and dogs; L. kirschneri, with two lfb1 species-groups in humans and dogs; and L. borgpetersenii, with one lfb1 species-group in humans only. The lfb1 speciesgroup L. interrogans SG1, corresponding to serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae or Copenhageni, was frequently retrieved from both humans and dogs (n=67/ 110; 60.9% and n=59/60; 98.3% respectively). A high proportion of the affected dogs developed the disease despite vaccination (n=30/60; 50%). Genotyping with the polymorphic *lfb1* gene is both robust and simple. This approach provided the first global picture of the Leptospira strains responsible for acute infections in mainland France, based on biological samples but without the need for culture. Identification of the Leptospira strains circulating and their changes over time will facilitate more precise epidemiological monitoring of susceptible and reservoir species. It should also facilitate the monitoring of environmental contamination, making it possible to implement preventive measures and to reduce the burden of this disease.

#### KEYWORDS

leptospirosis, zoonotic disease, human, dog, France, lfb1 gene

### **1** Introduction

With an estimated one million cases of severe leptospirosis in humans each year, resulting in 60,000 deaths, leptospirosis is considered one of the commonest zoonoses worldwide. It has been recognized as an emerging global public health problem, because its incidence is increasing in both developing and developed countries (World Health Organization, 2003; Costa et al., 2015; Pijnacker et al., 2016; Bourhy et al., 2017). Leptospirosis is essentially considered a tropical disease, as high temperatures and humid climates favour the survival of the causal bacterium (Evangelista and Coburn, 2010; Costa et al., 2015), and it predominantly affects impoverished populations. Nevertheless, it is also widely reported in temperate areas, including Europe (Goarant, 2016; Pijnacker et al., 2016). Leptospirosis also has a major impact on the health of wild and domestic mammals, and can cause major economic losses in the livestock sector (Noguera et al., 2022). Dogs are particularly susceptible to leptospirosis, and vaccines are available to protect them from disease (Azócar-Aedo et al., 2014; Ellis, 2015).

The genus *Leptospira* is currently subdivided into 68 genomic species, including saprophytic and pathogenic species. Infections in humans and animals are caused by only eight pathogenic species: *L. interrogans, L. kirschneri, L. noguchi, L. santarosai, L. mayottensis, L. borgpetersenii, L. alexanderi* and *L. weilli* (Vincent et al., 2019). Serological classification based on polymorphism of the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) O-antigen has made it possible to identify more than 300 serovars (sv) grouped into 26 serogroups (sg) (Nieves C et al., 2023).

Infection with *Leptospira* spp. occurs predominantly through contact between abraded skin or mucous membranes and water or soil contaminated with the urine of infected animals, such as rodents, which are the main reservoir of human leptospirosis (Ellis, 2015). The rat (*Rattus* spp.) serves as a host for the most widespread serovars worldwide, Icterohaemorrhagiae and Copenhageni (Boey et al., 2019). Other studies have demonstrated the existence of several other hosts, such as mice, voles, and hedgehogs, harboring other *Leptospira* serovars (Ayral et al., 2016; Izquierdo-Rodríguez et al., 2020; Jeske et al., 2021). Moreover, livestock can also be a source of environmental contamination (Zarantonelli et al., 2018; Sykes et al., 2022).

Human leptospirosis is not a notifiable disease in France. The French National Reference Center (FNRC) conducts passive surveillance by compiling biologically confirmed cases through a network of partners (hospitals and diagnostic laboratories). The incidence of leptospirosis in mainland France is one of the highest in Europe, with approximately 1 case per 100,000 inhabitants or more than 600 cases per year (Bourhy et al., 2017). This disease is associated with working in contact with animals, environmentrelated activities and recreational activities linked to water (Nardone et al., 2004; Guillois et al., 2018; Velardo et al., 2022).

Information about the *Leptospira* strains circulating in susceptible species is scarce in France and, indeed, in Europe generally. There are several reasons for this. The first one is the laborious nature of *Leptospira* culture methods, which require fresh biological samples and reference laboratories capable of identifying

the strains concerned. Moreover, molecular diagnostic methods, such as Polymerase Chain Reactions (PCR), are gradually supplanting serological tests, which remain the only available epidemiological tool for serogroup identification in *Leptospira* spp. There is, therefore, a crucial need for a new *Leptospira* genotyping method suitable for direct use on biological samples that is sensitive, discriminant, simple to implement and inexpensive. Identification of the strains in circulation is essential for diagnosis (to ensure that the diagnostic methods used are capable of detecting these strains), epidemiology (to characterise the reservoirs), surveillance (to detect the occurrence of new genotypes) and prevention (to evaluate the efficacy of vaccines and for the development of new vaccines).

Epidemiological studies of circulating strains are difficult to implement because of the lack of clinical isolates. Pathogenic Leptospira strains are slow-growing bacteria that can be grown only on complex culture media. Current knowledge about the epidemiology of leptospirosis is based on serological results obtained with the reference microscopic agglutination test (MAT), which can be used to identify the infecting serogroup (Faine and Stallman, 1982; Picardeau, 2020). However, this technique has known inconsistencies and weaknesses (Kusum et al., 2005; Smythe et al., 2009). Molecular techniques for studying Leptospira epidemiology have been described, including a core-genome MLST scheme (cgMLST) for isolates (Guglielmini et al., 2019). In the absence of isolates, several alternative methods are available for genotyping, including multispacer sequence typing or MST (Zilber et al., 2014), variable number tandem repeat or VNTR methods (Salaün et al., 2006), and multilocus sequence typing or MLST (Ahmed et al., 2006). Various genes, including the 16S rRNA, lipL32, secY, lfb1, and lic12008 genes can also be sequenced for the direct genotyping of strains present in biological samples without the need for isolation in culture (Merien et al., 2005; Marquez et al., 2017; Santos et al., 2018).

Lfb1 is a putative adhesin of the "fibronectin-binding protein" family (Merien et al., 2000) and *lfb1* gene sequences have been shown to be congruent with the genomic species classification of pathogenic *Leptospira* strains (Merien et al., 2005). Perez et al. showed that the *lfb1* locus displayed greater phylogenetic polymorphism than the 16S rRNA gene, making it possible to identify strains down to subspecies level (Perez and Goarant, 2010). This identification method has since been used in numerous epidemiological studies in humans and/or animals (Grégoire et al., 2020; Soupé-Gilbert et al., 2022).

The FNRC has an exhaustive database of sequences of strains from many regions of the world and from a wide range of hosts. We used this database to evaluate the *lfb1* marker for large-scale use for identification purposes. The objectives of our study were to validate the robustness of the *lfb1* method for detecting and genotyping *Leptospira* strains directly from DNA and to propose a new classification system. We then applied this method to the genetic characterization of strains circulating in humans and dogs with acute leptospirosis in mainland France from 2019 to 2021. This work is completed to describe the incidence and geographical distribution in humans, and also the vaccination status in dogs.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 *lfb1*-derived phylogeny "reference classification"

*lfb1* sequences were extracted from the genomes of pathogenic species from the *Leptospira* cgMLST database (https:// bigsdb.pasteur.fr/leptospira/). This publicly available web-based database currently contains sequences from 834 pathogenic *Leptospira* strains from different hosts around the world. A clonal group (CG) is defined as a group of cgMLST allelic profiles differing from at least one other member of the group by no more than 40 allelic mismatches over the 545 genetic loci (Guglielmini et al., 2019).

A phylogenetic tree was generated with BioNumerics V7.6 (Applied-Maths, Saint-Martens-Latem, Belgium). The 46 *lfb1* sequence strains for the production of the phylogenetic tree are summarized in Table 1. The *lfb1* nucleotide sequences have been deposited in Genbank under accession number OR101259 - OR101474.

### 2.2 Samples from humans and dogs

PCR-positive samples collected from humans and dogs in mainland France between January 2019 and December 2021 were included in this study. Cases imported from French overseas territories were excluded from the study.

The samples studied were obtained from i) the routine diagnosis of human samples by real-time PCR (RT-PCR) SYBR-Green targeting *lfb1* (Merien et al., 2005), which is performed at the FNRC for Leptospirosis at the Pasteur Institute, and ii) dogs testing positive in routine diagnostic RT-PCR targeting the 16S rRNA (*rrs*) gene of pathogenic *Leptospira* strains (Waggoner et al., 2014) at the Laboratory of Leptospira and Veterinary Analysis (LAV) at VetAgro Sup (the Veterinary School of Lyon, France).

### 2.3 Data collection and ethics statement

The human samples and associated data were collected and used in the framework of the surveillance activities of the FNRC for Leptospirosis. These activities are performed in accordance with the mandate awarded to the FNRC by the French Ministry of Health and the French Public Health Code. Dog samples and associated data were collected and sent at by veterinarians from across the country in the context of leptospirosis suspicion. The confirmatory tests were performed by the LAV.

Associated clinical and epidemiological data are entered by clinicians on a document accompanying the samples. These data are often scarce, particularly for human infections. They included the sex and age of the human patient or dog, type of sample, sampling date, geographic information (zip code and region), and vaccination status for dogs. In the absence of geographic data for the patients/ dogs, the address of the laboratory or the veterinary clinic was used assuming that the patients/dogs were exposed in the same region.

# 2.4 Human case definition/serogroup identification

A case was defined as an individual resident in mainland France at the time of infection with clinical findings suggestive of leptospirosis and either a Microscopic Agglutination Test (MAT) titer  $\geq 100$  for at least one pathogenic serovar or other laboratory results indicative of leptospirosis (ELISA for IgM, PCR or culture). The panel of strains used for MAT included the serovars Australis, Autumnalis, Bataviae, Canicola, Castellonis, Copenhageni, Cynopteri, Djasiman, Grippotyphosa, Hardjo, Hebdomadis, Icterohaemorrhagiae, Javanica, Louisiana, Mini, Panama, Pomona, Pyrogenes, Sarmi, Sejroe, Shermani and Tarassovi. The infecting serogroup was determined based on the serovar with the highest titer. If the highest titer was recorded for several different serovars, the serogroup was considered to be undetermined. The incidence of annual leptospirosis in humans was calculated with the French population data for 2019, 2020 and 2021 obtained from the National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies (INSEE: https://www.insee.fr/).

The map of the estimated mean annual incidence of leptospirosis in humans by region and the distribution of the different infecting species-groups were performed with R Studio version 2022.12.0 software, produced with the GeoJSON and Scatter Pie Plot functions. Background map was extracted from https://www.data.gouv.fr/fr/ datasets/contours-des-regions-francaises-sur-openstreetmap/and centroid data was extracted from https://www.ign.fr/reperes/centregeographique-des-regions-metropolitaines.

# 2.5 Application of *lfb1* genotyping to clinical samples

The *lfb1* genotyping method was applied to 170 clinical samples: 110 DNA samples from humans and 60 from dogs testing positive by RT-PCR for *lfb1* and 16S rRNA, respectively (Merien et al., 2005; Waggoner et al., 2014). The human DNA samples were obtained from 60 blood samples, 17 urine samples, 4 cerebrospinal fluid samples and 29 DNA extracts from associated laboratories. The dog DNA samples were extracted from 34 blood samples, 21 urine samples and 5 kidney tissue samples.

For both humans and dogs, DNA was extracted with the QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Germany), and PCR was performed as described by Merien et al. (2005). The CFX96 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad) was used for qPCR SYBR green assays. The amplification mixture consisted of  $0.4 \,\mu$ M primers (lfb1-F 3'-CATTCATGTTTCGAATCATTTCAAA-5' and lfb1-R 3'-GGCCCAAGTTCCTTCTAAAAG-5'), 10  $\mu$ l of SsoFast EvaGreen supermix (Bio-Rad), and 5  $\mu$ l sample DNA in a total volume of 20  $\mu$ l. Samples were amplified with the following program: initial denaturation at 98°C for 2 min, followed by 50 cycles of denaturation for 5 s at 98°C and annealing/elongation for 30 s at 57°C. A 331-bp fragment was amplified corresponding to the complete gene *lfb1* for *L.interrogans* serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae.

All positive PCR products were subjected to Sanger sequencing (Eurofins Scientific, Colonia, Germany and Genoscreen, Lille, France).

## **3** Results

# 3.1 *lfb1*-derived phylogeny "reference classification"

We selected a total of 834 genomes of pathogenic *Leptospira* isolates (corresponding to 227 different cgMLST clonal groups or CGs), from which *lfb1* sequences were extracted for phylogenetic analysis (Table 1). A single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the alignment between two sequences was considered significant for the creation of *Leptospira* species-groups (SGs).

The selected genomes belong to the eight pathogenic *Leptospira* species and the analysis of a 334 bp fragment from *lfb1* identified 46 different *Leptospira* SGs, distributed as follows: 13 groups for *L. interrogans*, corresponding to 76 CGs, 5 groups for *L. kirschneri* (28 CGs), 7 groups for *L. noguchii* (21 CGs), 6 for *L. borgpetersenii* (21 CGs), 2 groups for *L. alexanderi* (2 CGs), 3 groups for *L. mayottensis* (3 CGs), 4 groups for *L. weilli* (23 CGs), and 6 groups for *L. santarosai* (53 CGs) (Figure 1).

# 3.2 Application of *lfb1* genotyping to clinical samples

From 2019 to 2021, we collected 110 samples from humans and 60 samples from dogs that had tested positive for leptospirosis by RT-PCR. The *lfb1* gene was sequenced from these samples. The sequences obtained and compiled with the classification data proposed above (Figure 1) revealed considerable genetic diversity in samples from humans and dogs, which could be subdivided into three *Leptospira* species: *L. interrogans*, *L. kirschneri* and *L. borgpetersenii*, corresponding to a total of 11 SGs. We detected *L. interrogans* in 67/110 (60.9%) of humans and in 59/60 (98.3%) of dog samples, and *L. kirschneri* in 39/110 (35.5%) of humans and 1/ 60 (1.7%) in dog samples. *L. borgpetersenii* was detected only in 4/ 110 (3.6%) of human samples.

Based on the classification established above, we detected *L. interrogans* with eight *lfb1* SGs and *L. kirschneri* with two *lfb1* SGs in humans and dogs; *L. borgpetersenii* was represented by a single *lfb1* SG found exclusively in humans. More detailed information is provided in Table 2.

The *lfb1* phylogenetic analyses of the distribution *Leptospira* strains from human and dog samples are shown in Figure 2. In human samples, *L. interrogans* SG1 (sv Icterohaemorrhagiae/Copenhageni) was detected in 53/110 (48.2%), *L. interrogans* SG5 (sv Bratislava/Lora/ Jalna/Muenchen/Bataviae) in 9/110 (8.2%), *L. interrogans* SG10 (sv Canicola/Pomona) in 2/110 (1.8%), *L. kirschneri* SG1 (sv Grippotyphosa/Valbuzzi) in 34/110 (30.9%), *L. kirschneri* SG4 (sv Tsaratsovo) in 5/110 (4.5%), and *L. borgpetersenii* SG1 (sv Sejroë/ Ballum/Castellonis/Mini) in 4/110 (3.6%) samples. Three new *L. interrogans* SGs were detected in 3/110 (2.7%) samples: SG15, SG17 and SG18. In patients, the diversity SGs were retrieved in all types of biological matrices (blood, urine and CSF) with no particular tropism for an organ, such as the kidney or the brain. For example, the four CSF extracts were identified as *L. interrogans* SG1 (*n*=1), *L. interrogans* SG10 (*n*=1) and *L. kirschneri* SG1 (*n*=2). *L. interrogans* SG1 (sv Icterohaemorrhagiae/Copenhageni) was the most frequently detected SG in dog samples, being found in 39/ 60 samples (65.0%), followed by *L. interrogans* SG5 (sv Bratislava/ Lora/Jalna/Muenchen/Bataviae) in 15/60 (25.0%), *L. interrogans* SG10 (sv Canicola/Pomona) in 2/60 (3.3%) and *L. kirschneri* SG4 (sv Tsaratsovo) in 1/60 (1.7%). Two new *L. interrogans* SGs, SG14 and SG16, were identified in 3/60 (5.0%) samples.

# 3.3 Epidemiology of leptospirosis in both humans and dogs in France, 2019-2021

## 3.3.1 Incidence in general human population, seasonality and serotyping

676 human cases in 2019, 450 human cases in 2020, and 708 human cases in 2021 of leptospirosis were diagnosed clinically and biologically in mainland France, corresponding to an average incidence per 100,000 inhabitants of 1.04 for 2019, 0.69 for 2020, and 1.08 for 2021 (Supplementary Table S1). The number of cases clearly increased from July to November in 2019 and 2021, and increased to a lesser extent over this period in 2020 (Picardeau, 2020). Detailed information is available from Supplementary Figure S1. For cases with positive MAT results, the most frequently identified serogroup was Icterohaemorrhagiae (34.4%). More detailed information is provided in Supplementary Figure S2.

## 3.3.2 Epidemiology of positive samples identified by *lfb1* sequencing

Over the study period, the number of human specimens analyzed was highest for 2021 (68 cases, 61.8%), followed by 2020 (23 cases) and 2019 (19 cases). In dogs, the number of cases was highest in 2021 (36 cases, 60%), followed by 2020 (12 cases) and 2019 (12 cases). More detailed information is provided in Table 3.

The month with the largest number of notifications of leptospirosis in humans was August with an average number of 30 cases (27.3%) whereas the numbers of notifications for dogs were highest in July and September, with 11 cases in each of these months (18.3%). For human samples, a male preponderance was observed, with 96/110 (87.3%) of cases obtained from male individuals *vs.* 14/ 110 (12.7%) from female individuals. More than four fifths (89%) of the patients were adults and the median age was 49 years (5–84 years). A male preponderance was also observed in dogs, with 66.7% of cases (40/60) occurring in male dogs *vs.* 33.3% (20/60) in females. Just over half the dogs were adults (56.7%) and the median age was four years (0-13 years) (Table 3).

The predominant SG in humans was *L. interrogans* SG1 - Icterohaemorrhagiae/Copenhageni in the 13 regions of mainland France (Figure 3). The genotypes circulating in human cases were identified in 34 departments (an administrative area equivalent to a county) and 12 regions. The largest number of cases in a region was recorded for Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes in 2021 (Ayral et al., 2016), followed by Pays de la Loire in 2020 (Ellis, 2015), and Bourgogne-Franche-Comté in 2019 (Goarant, 2016). The genotypes in canine cases were identified in 30 departments and 10 regions, with Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes having the largest number of cases in both 2021 (Ayral et al., 2016) and 2020

### TABLE 1 List of Leptospira species groups (SGs) defined on the basis of *lfb1* sequence polymorphism.

Species/ Group (ID) <i>n</i> =46	Serogroup	Serovar reference strains	Number of genomes <i>n=</i> 834	Number of differ- ent CGs <i>n</i> =227	Number CGs of cgMLST in BIGSdb				
L.interrogans SG1 (245)	Icterohaemorrhagiae	Icterohaemorrhagiae/ Copenhageni	119	1	6				
L.interrogans SG2 (16)	Pyrogenes Autumnalis	Pyrogenes Bim	32	9	9/10/11/30/39/76/77/81/400				
L.interrogans SG3 (1058)	Djasiman	Djasiman	4	1	37				
L.interrogans SG4 (1052)	Grippotyphosa Pyrogenes Sejröe Icterohaemorrhagiae	Grippotyphosa/Muelleri Pyrogenes Wolfii/Hardjo/Hardjobovis Lai/Naam	56	28	2/3/16/19/27/29/34/112/166/176/180/187/238/240/ 275/278/279/280/285/289/290/294/296/302/303/307/ 311/386				
L.interrogans SG5 (843)	Australis Bataviae Grippotyphosa	Bratislava/Lora/Jalna/Muenchen/ Fugis Bataviae Valbuzzi	57	14	4/12/38/40/69/106/108/175/272/281/297/298/306/345				
L.interrogans SG6 (25)	Grippotyphosa	Linhai	1	1	17				
L.interrogans SG7 (37)	Pyrogenes	Manilae	7	1	2				
L.interrogans SG8 (1121)	Canicola	Kuwait	4	1	349				
<i>L.interrogans</i> SG9 (1055)	Hebdomadis	Hebdomadis	1	1	331				
L.interrogans SG10 (478)	Canicola Pomona Sejröe	Canicola Pomona/Kennewicki Medanensis	111	11	5/26/28/41/75/277/284/287/288/300/322				
L.interrogans SG11 (802)	Grippotyphosa	Grippotyphosa	2	2	31/295				
L.interrogans SG12 (511)	Grippotyphosa	Unknown	1	1	228				
L.interrogans SG13 (686)	Autumnalis Louisiana	Autumnalis Lanka	5	5	74/266/269/271/321				
L.kirschneri SG1 (1046)	Grippotyphosa Cynopteri Pomona Mini Autumnalis Icterohaemorrhagiae	Grippotyphosa/Valbuzzi/ Vanderhoedeni Unknown Pomona/Mozdok Unknown Bim Mwogolo/Sokoine	62	17	20/49/59/62/63/64/65/70/73/83/84/85/123/184/353/354/ 355				
L.kirschneri SG2 (78)	Autumnalis Australis	Bulgarica Ramisi	6	6	42/43/57/178/299/323				
L.kirschneri SG3 (1038)	Grippotyphosa	Grippotyphosa	1	1	305				
L.kirschneri SG4 (304)	Pomona	Tsaratsovo	2	1	139				

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 Continued

Species/ Group (ID) <i>n</i> =46	Serogroup	Serovar reference strains	Number of genomes <i>n=</i> 834	Number of differ- ent CGs <i>n</i> =227	Number CGs of cgMLST in BIGSdb				
L.kirschneri SG5 (619)	Canicola Grippotyphosa	Unknown Ratnapura	10	3	22/129/185				
L.noguchi SG1 (1048)	Louisiana Panama Australis	Louisiana Mangus Rushan	9	9	113/162/224/225/226/227/385/423/424				
L.noguchi SG2 (254)	Australis	Unknown	3	3	114/115/116				
L.noguchi SG3 (846)	Panama Australis Autumnalis	Panama Peruviana Autumnalis	5	5	55/99/125/168/193				
L.noguchi SG4 (217)	Unknown	Unknown	1	1	100				
L.noguchi SG5 (429)	Australis	Bajan/Barbudensis	4	1	179				
L.noguchi SG6 (495)	Australis	Nicaragua	1	1	181				
L.noguchi SG7 (515)	Australis	Unknown	1	1	188				
L.borgpetersenii SG1 (933)	Sejröe Ballum Javanica Mini	Sejröe Ballum/Castellonis/Kenya/ Arborea/SarMini Javanica Mini/Szwajizak	193	12	8/15/24/6/78/165/267/309/313/318/348/352				
L.borgpetersenii SG2 (972)	Sejröe	Hardjo/Hardjobovis	11	1	72				
L.borgpetersenii SG3 (205)	Sejröe	Unknown	9	1	98				
L.borgpetersenii SG4 (13)	Pyrogenes	Pyrogenes/Balcanica	4	4	7/312/356/426				
L.borgpetersenii SG5 (152)	Pomona	Pomona	4	2	80/145				
L.borgpetersenii SG6 (786)	Tarassovi	Tarassovi/Guidae	2	1	167				
L.alexanderi SG1 (20)	Manhao	Manhao	1	1	13				
L.alexanderi SG2 (1249)	Unknown	Unknown	1	1	402				
L. mayottensis SG1 (178)	Unknown	Unknown	17	1	82				
L. mayottensis SG2 (1239)	Unknown	Unknown	1	1	366				
L. mayottensis SG3 (177)	Mini	Unknown	10	1	79				
L.weillii SG1 (49)	Hebdomadis Javanica	Unknown Coxi	9	9	18/44/104/273/282/283/320/401/403				
L.weillii SG2 (687)	Celledoni	Unknown	3	3	45/262/264				

(Continued)

Species/ Group (ID) <i>n</i> =46	Serogroup	Serovar reference strains	Number of genomes <i>n=</i> 834	Number of differ- ent CGs <i>n</i> =227	Number CGs of cgMLST in BIGSdb			
L.weillii SG3 (232)	Tarassovi Sarmin	Topaz Sarmin	6	6	46/47/109/163/308/346			
L.weillii SG4 (79)	Unknown	Unknown	5	5	32/33/274/292/293			
L.santarosai SG1 (1066)	Shermani Javanica Sejröe Grippotyphosa Hebdomadis	Shermani Arenal Sejröe/Guaricura Grippotyphosa Kambale	30	30	53/54/56/103/118/119/120/126/310/338/389/391/392/ 393/395/396/398/404/405/406/409/410/411/412/413/ 414/415/416/417/422/427			
L.santarosai SG2 (1189)	Mini Pyrogenes Celledoni Tarassovi	Szwajizak Unknown Celledoni Corresdores	16	16	50/101/127/128/130/131/132/133/134/196/387/388/394/ 397/407/408			
L.santarosai SG3 (90)	Grippotyphosa	Canalzonae	4	4	51/122/186/399			
L.santarosai SG4 (91)	Javanica	Arenal	1	1	52			
L.santarosai SG5 (220)	Unknown	Unknown	1	1	102			
L.santarosai SG6 (1294)	Sarmin	Unknown	1	1	117			

#### TABLE 1 Continued

(Pijnacker et al., 2016). More detailed information is provided in Supplementary Table S2.

The human population included in this study had not been vaccinated against *Leptospira* spp. By contrast, 50% (n=30/60) of the infected dogs included in this study had completed the full vaccination protocol for *Leptospira*. The dogs had mostly been vaccinated with L4 vaccines (63.3% of dogs; vaccine active against serovars Icterohaemorrhagiae, Canicola, Grippotyphosa, and Pomona), L3 vaccines (20%, serovars Icterohaemorrhagiae, Canicola and Grippotyphosa), or L2 vaccines (16.7%, serovars Icterohaemorrhagiae and Canicola). More detailed information is provided in Supplementary Table S3.

## 4 Discussion

# 4.1 *lfb1*-derived phylogeny "reference classification"

The *lfb1* gene is found only in pathogenic species of the P1 clade (Vincent et al., 2019). It encodes a putative adhesin of the "fibronectin-binding protein" family (Merien et al., 2000),

suggesting that it may be important for virulence and/or colonization. This gene appears to have changed little during evolution, and is a potentially interesting marker for studies of the genetic diversity of pathogenic strains. Comparative analyses of the lfb1 sequences extracted from available Leptospira genomes can be used to define different clusters or species-groups differing by between 1 and 74 nucleotides over a total length of 281 nucleotides. We found that 119 lfb1 sequences from strains belonging to serovars Icterohaemorrhagiae or Copenhageni isolated from all continents and corresponding to L. interrogans SG1 (cgMLST 6) had identical lfb1 sequences. A single SNP may, therefore, be sufficient to define a new species-group. However, some lfb1 species-groups may contain several serovars or clonal groups, precluding precise identification. For example, L. interrogans SG2 is found in 32 genomes corresponding to different CGs (9, 10, 30, 39, 76, 77, 81 and 400) and different serovars. In this case, identification is less precise and may be a limitation of the proposed method.

The diversity of reservoir hosts for leptospirosis is an important parameter to be taken into account when considering the evolution of *Leptospira* spp. All these arguments suggest that this gene should be a robust phylogenetic marker.



#### FIGURE 1

Maximum likelihood tree inferred from a *Leptospira* spp. *Ifb* 1 partial gene in 46 reference strains. The ID BIGSdb accession numbers are indicated for the reference strains. Further information about the reference strains is provided in Table 1.

# 4.2 Application of *lfb1* genotyping to clinical samples

SG diversity was greatest in the species *L. interrogans* (*n*=13), for which five new SGs were identified in human or dog samples. *L. interrogans* is isolated more frequently from both humans and animals than the other pathogenic species. The discovery of new SGs was unexpected and suggests that our knowledge of the strains responsible for disease remains incomplete. The *Ifb1* genogroups circulating in humans and dogs were identical for *L. interrogans* SG1/SG5/SG10, with a strong representation of *L. interrogans* SG1 (sv Icterohaemorrhagiae or Copenhageni), which is responsible for the most severe forms and for which the predominant reservoir in France is the rat (Boey et al., 2019).

The genogroups of species *L. kirschneri* were frequent in humans and displayed very little diversity (*L. kirschneri* SG1 and SG4) probably because the *L. kirschneri* SG1 group contains many different serovars/cgMLST, rendering high-resolution strain discrimination impossible. Similarly, *L. interrogans* SG5 and *L. borgpetersenii* SG1 may correspond to several different clonal groups or serovars. The combination of this approach with more refined tools, such as MLST and VNTR, might facilitate more precise identification in such cases. However, our previous analysis of the core genome of strains isolated from several patients (Grillova et al., 2023) showed that strains belonging to cgMLST CG64 (n=10/12) corresponding to L. kirschneri sv Grippotyphosa sg Grippotyphosa (L. kirschneri SG1) and to cgMLST CG72 corresponding to L. borgpetersenii sg Sejroe sv Sejroe predominated in France. The L. kirschneri SG1 genogroup was not detected in any of the dog samples, possibly due to the low level of exposure of dogs to infected environments or a lower susceptibility to L. kirschneri SG1 strains. Indeed, dogs with few symptoms are not presented to veterinarians and recover spontaneously. The L. kirschneri SG4 group was represented by only two strains isolated from a mouse in Bulgaria (identified as serovar Tsaratsovo sg Pomona) and a strain isolated from a French patient in 1990. Samples corresponding to this group were identified only in 2021, and were obtained from five human patients and one dog. The number of samples analyzed was much larger in 2021 than in 2019 and 2020, and this may have made it possible to identify less frequent genogroups.

*L. borgpetersenii* SG1 was found exclusively in samples from four patients in 2021. It was not found in dogs. It is possible that the

lfb1 Species-	Serogroup	Serovar	Hur	nans <i>n</i> =	110	Dogs <i>n</i> =60		
group			2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021
L.interrogans SG1	Icterohaemorrhagiae	Icterohaemorrhagiae/Copenhageni	14	18	21	8	7	24
L.interrogans SG5	Australis Bataviae Grippotyphosa	Bratislava/Lora/Jalna/Muenchen/Fugis Bataviae Valbuzzi		1	8	2	5	8
L.interrogans SG10	Canicola Pomona Sejröe	Canicola Pomona/Kennewicki Medanensis			2	1		1
L.interrogans SG14	New group - Unknown	New group - Unknown						1
L.interrogans SG15	New group - Unknown	New group - Unknown		1				
L.interrogans SG16	New group - Unknown	New group - Unknown						2
L.interrogans SG17	New group - Unknown serogroup	New group - Unknown	1					
L.interrogans SG18	New group - Unknown serogroup	New group - Unknown	1					
L.kirschneri SG1	Grippotyphosa Cynopteri Pomona Mini Autumnalis Icterohaemorrhagiae	Grippotyphosa/Valbuzzi/Vanderhoedeni Unknown Pomona/Mozdok Unknown Bim Mwogolo/Sokoine	3	3	28			
L.kirschneri SG4	Pomona	Tsaratsovo			5			1
L.borgpetersenii SG1	Sejröe Ballum Javanica Mini	Sejröe Ballum/Castellonis/Kenya/Arborea/SarMini Javanica Mini/Szwajizak			4			
Total number	11	11	19	23	68	12	12	36

TABLE 2 *lfb1* species-groups identification for positive human and dog samples, by year.

human patients were contaminated by cattle, mice or bats, as previously suggested (Grégoire et al., 2020).

Our data indicate that leptospirosis is common in dogs in mainland France. L. interrogans SG1 (sv Icterohaemorrhagiae/ Copenhageni) and L. interrogans SG5 (sv Bratislava/Lora/Jalna/ Muenchen/Bataviae) predominate in our study and were clearly linked to clinical leptospirosis, whereas L. kirschneri infections may be associated with mild clinical symptoms or possibly be linked to asymptomatic carriage (Renaud et al., 2013; Gov-Thollot et al., 2018). The genotype distribution in dogs were consistent with the findings of previous studies in France (Renaud et al., 2013; Ayral et al., 2014; André-Fontaine and Triger, 2018; Hidalgo Friaz et al., 2023). Prevention is the best way to protect dogs from leptospirosis. It can be achieved through vaccination, avoiding contact with contaminated water and infected animals. In this study, the human patients were not vaccinated, because vaccination against leptospirosis is reserved for individuals in certain high-risk professions in France. By contrast, the leptospirosis vaccine is one of the recommended the core vaccines for dogs in France. Nevertheless, 50% of dogs included in this study were diagnosed

with leptospirosis despite complete vaccination annually. Several studies have reported similar results, demonstrating the relative nature of the efficacy of current vaccines in France (Ayral et al., 2014; André-Fontaine and Triger, 2018; Bertasio et al., 2020). However, quadrivalent vaccines (L4, directed against serogroups Canicola, Icterohaemorrhagiae, Grippotyphosa and Australis) would be a more appropriate vaccine for preventing severe forms and deaths from leptospirosis (Klaasen et al., 2022; Hidalgo Friaz et al., 2023), provided that vaccination protocols are properly respected by owners and veterinarians.

### 4.3 Incidence of human of leptospirosis

The incidence of leptospirosis in humans over the three years of the study was about 1 per 100,000 inhabitants, but was lower, at only 0.69 per 100,000 inhabitants, in 2020. This decrease is probably related to the COVID-19 pandemic, during which recreational activities were limited and the number of imported cases was decreased by travel restrictions. Similarly, little seasonality was observed in 2020.



Incidence was highest in regions with livestock production, high rainfall, and large rivers. However, some fluctuation was observed from year to year and the data may not necessarily reflect the situation on the ground at a particular time point. For example, people may become infected during their summer vacation but are not diagnosed until they return to their home region.

The French Ministry of Health plans to declare leptospirosis to be a notifiable disease in the near future. This initiative will improve the follow-up of cases and provide associated epidemiological data (number of cases, disease severity, site of exposure, mode of contamination). The FNRC will play an important role in confirming the biological diagnosis and tracking the serogroups/genogroups involved in these infections. The follow-up of serogroups based on MAT shows that Icterohaemorrhagiae is the most frequently encountered serogroup, consistent with the results of *Ifb1* genotyping. However, very little information is available for

Characteristics n=170				Year		Sex		Age group				Vaccine			
			2019	2020	2021	Μ		Young ≤18 years (Dogs ≤2 years)	Adult 18- 65 years (Dogs 3-9 years)	Old ≥ 65 years (Dogs ≥ 10 years)	Median (range)	No	Yes	Unknown	Vaccination status
Humans	110	n	19	23	68	96	14	12	67	31	49	110	0	0	0%
		%	17.3	20.9	61.8	87.3	12.7	11	61	28	(5-84)	100	0	0	
Dogs	60	n	36	12	12	40	20	26	29	5	4	24	30	6	50%
		%	60	20	20	66.7	33.3	43.3	48.3	8.3	(0-13)	40	50	10	

#### TABLE 3 Epidemiological observations.



the other serogroups. The regional distribution of origin of samples and of the *lfb1* genogroups to identify in mainland France appears to be generally homogeneous for human infection, but not for dogs, for which samples were mostly obtained in the Rhone-Alpes region, in which the LAV laboratory location. More regular surveillance of canine cases in different French regions over a period of several years would be useful as it would provide a more precise idea of the *Leptospira* genotypes circulating in this species, and would make it possible to establish the epidemiological basis of transmission between humans and dogs. Such surveillance would also make it possible to update preventive measures in humans and animals.

### 4.4 Conclusion

We provide here the first global description of the *Leptospira* strains responsible for acute infections in humans and dogs in mainland France. We demonstrate that polymorphism of the *lfb1* gene is a robust method to provide rapid identification using biological samples. This tool has enabled us to also identify five *lfb1 L. interrogans* species-groups never before described. The availability of more precise epidemiological data in the future should facilitate the identification of sources of animal and environmental contamination, making it possible to establish public health control interventions.

## Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession

number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/, OR101259 - OR101474.

### Author contributions

MG-L: Data curation and analyses. CL, EH: Data curation. AS, ST-P: Samples for diagnostic. FA: Data analysis and review. PB contributed to conception and design of the study and data analyses. MG-L, ZD, MP, PB contributed to the writing and editing of manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

This study was supported and financed by the Pasteur Institute of Paris and the Veterinary Analysis Laboratory (LAV) at VetAgro Sup, Lyon, France.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the sampling department of Santé Pulique France for providing the laboratory with samples from hospitals. We thank the staff of the Reference Center for Leptospirosis at the Pasteur Institute, Farida Zinini, Jean-François Mariet and Vallier Sordoillet, for processing the human samples. We also thank the Laboratoire des analyses vétérinaires (LAV) at VetAgro Sup, and Marine Le Guyader, Elisa Boissy and Angeli Kodjo in particular, for providing the samples from dogs.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could appear to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

## References

Ahmed, N., Devi, S. M., Valverde M de los, A., Vijayachari, P., Machang'u, R. S., Ellis, W. A., et al. (2006). Multilocus sequence typing method for identification and genotypic classification of pathogenic Leptospira species. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 5, 28. doi: 10.1186/1476-0711-5-28

André-Fontaine, G., and Triger, L. (2018). MAT cross-reactions or vaccine crossprotection: retrospective study of 863 leptospirosis canine cases. *Heliyon [Internet]* 4 (11), e00869. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00869

Ayral, F. C., Bicout, D. J., Pereira, H., Artois, M., and Kodjo, A. (2014). Distribution of leptospira serogroups in cattle herds and dogs in France. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hygiene* 91 (4), 756. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.13-0416

Ayral, F., Djelouadji, Z., Raton, V., Zilber, A. L., Gasqui, P., Faure, E., et al. (2016). Hedgehogs and mustelid species: major carriers of pathogenic leptospira, a survey in 28 animal species in France (20122015). *PloS One* 11 (9), e0162549. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0162549

Azócar-Aedo, L., Smits, H. L., and Monti, G. (2014). Leptospirosis in dogs and cats: epidemiology, clinical disease, zoonotic implications and prevention. *Archivos med. veterinaria* 46 (3), 337–348. doi: 10.4067/S0301-732X2014000300002

Bertasio, C., Boniotti, M. B., Lucchese, L., Ceglie, L., Bellinati, L., Mazzucato, M., et al. (2020). Detection of new leptospira genotypes infecting symptomatic dogs: is a new vaccine formulation needed? *Pathogens* 9 (6), 484. doi: 10.3390/pathogens9060484

Boey, K., Shiokawa, K., and Rajeev, S. (2019). Leptospira infection in rats: A literature review of global prevalence and distribution. *PloS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 13 (8), e0007499. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0007499

Bourhy, P., Septfons, A., and Picardeau, M. (2017). Diagnostic, surveillance et épidémiologie de la leptospirose en France. Numéro thématique. La leptospirose dans les régions et départements français d'outre-mer. *Bull. Epidemiol. Hebd* 8, 131–137. doi: 10.1016/j.medmal.2017.03.364

Costa, F., Hagan, J. E., Calcagno, J., Kane, M., Torgerson, P., Martinez-Silveira, M. S., et al. (2015). Global morbidity and mortality of leptospirosis: A systematic review. *PloS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 9 (9), e0003898. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0003898

Ellis, W. A. (2015). Animal leptospirosis. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 387, 99–137. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-45059-8\_6

Evangelista, K. V., and Coburn, J. (2010). Leptospira as an emerging pathogen: a review of its biology, pathogenesis and host immune responses. *Future Microbiol.* 5 (9), 1413–1425. doi: 10.2217/fmb.10.102

Faine, S., and Stallman, N. D. (1982). Amended Descriptions of the Genus Leptospira Noguchi 1917 and the Species L. interrogans (Stimson 1907) Wenyon 1926 and L. biflexa (Wolbach and Binger 1914) Noguchi 1918. *Int. J. Sys. Evol. Microbiol.* 32 (4), 461–463.

Goarant, C. (2016). Leptospirosis: risk factors and management challenges in developing countries. Res. Rep. Trop. Med. 7, 49-62. doi: 10.2147/RRTM.S102543

Goy-Thollot, I., Djelouadji, Z., Nennig, M., Hazart, G., and Hugonnard, M. (2018). Screening for Leptospira DNA in blood and urine from 30 apparently healthy dogs. *Rev. Vétérinaire Clinique* 53 (3), 79–86. doi: 10.1016/j.anicom.2018.06.003

Grégoire, F., Bakinahe, R., Petitjean, T., Boarbi, S., Delooz, L., Fretin, D., et al. (2020). Laboratory diagnosis of bovine abortions caused by non-maintenance pathogenic leptospira spp.: necropsy, serology and molecular study out of a Belgian experience. *Pathogens* 9 (6), 413. doi: 10.3390/pathogens9060413

Grillova, L., Cokelaer, T., Mariet, J. F., da Fonseca, J. P., and Picardeau, M. (2023). Core genome sequencing and genotyping of Leptospira interrogans in clinical samples by target capture sequencing. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 23 (1), 157. doi: 10.1186/s12879-023-08126-x

Guglielmini, J., Bourhy, P., Schiettekatte, O., Zinini, F., Brisse, S., and Picardeau, M. (2019). Genus-wide Leptospira core genome multilocus sequence typing for strain

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1236866/ full#supplementary-material

taxonomy and global surveillance. *PloS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 13 (4), e0007374. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0007374

Guillois, Y., Bourhy, P., Ayral, F., Pivette, M., Decors, A., Aranda Grau, J. H., et al. (2018). An outbreak of leptospirosis among kayakers in Brittany, North-West France, 2016. *Euro Surveill* 23 (48), 1700848. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2018.23.48.1700848

Hidalgo Friaz, M., Barthélemy, A., Savoie, P., Freyburger, L., and Hugonnard, M. (2023). Vaccination contre la leptospirose canine en France : enquête sur les pratiques vétérinaires et leurs motivations. *Rev. Vétérinaire Clinique* 58 (1), 1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.anicom.2022.12.001

Izquierdo-Rodríguez, E., Fernández-Álvarez, Á, Martín-Carrillo, N., Marchand, B., Feliu, C., Miquel, J., et al. (2020). Pathogenic Leptospira species in rodents from Corsica (France). *PloS One* 15 (6), e0233776. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0233776

Jeske, K., Jacob, J., Drewes, S., Pfeffer, M., Heckel, G., Ulrich, R. G., et al. (2021). Hantavirus-Leptospira coinfections in small mammals from central Germany. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 149, e97. doi: 10.1017/S0950268821000443

Klaasen, H. L. B. M., van der Veen, M., Dorrestein-Spierenburg, C. M., and Cao, Q. (2022). An assessment and comparison of the efficacy of two licensed tetravalent leptospira vaccines for dogs using an improved challenge model. *Vaccines (Basel)* 10 (9), 1472. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10091472

Kusum, M., Boonsarthorn, N., Biaklang, M., Sina, U., Sawanpanyalert, P., and Naigowit, P. (2005). Comparison of leptospiral serovars identification by serology and cultivation in northeastern region, Thailand. *J. Med. Assoc. Thai* 88 (8), 1098–1102.

Marquez, A., Djelouadji, Z., Lattard, V., and Kodjo, A. (2017). Overview of laboratory methods to diagnose Leptospirosis and to identify and to type leptospires. *Int. Microbiol. Off. J. Spanish Soc. Microbiol.* 20), 184–193. doi: 10.2436/20.1501.01.302

Merien, F., Portnoi, D., Bourhy, P., Charavay, F., Berlioz-Arthaud, A., and Baranton, G. (2005). A rapid and quantitative method for the detection of Leptospira species in human leptospirosis. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 249 (1), 139–147. doi: 10.1016/j.femsle.2005.06.011

Merien, F., Truccolo, J., Baranton, G., and Perolat, P. (2000). Identification of a 36kDa fibronectin-binding protein expressed by a virulent variant of Leptospira interrogans serovar icterohaemorrhagiae. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 185 (1), 17–22. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2000.tb09034.x

Nardone, A., Capek, I., Baranton, G., Campèse, C., Postic, D., Vaillant, V., et al. (2004). Risk factors for leptospirosis in metropolitan France: results of a national casecontrol study, 1999-2000. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 39 (5), 751–753. doi: 10.1086/423272

Nieves C, G., Huete, S., Veyrier, F., and Picardeau, M. (2023). "Taxonomy and Phylogenomics of Leptospira," in *Phylogenomics: Foundations, Methods, and Pathogen Analysis.* Eds. E. Egor Shitikov and I. Moksrousov (Cambridge, Massachussets: Academic Press).

Noguera, Z. L. P., Charypkhan, D., Hartnack, S., Torgerson, P. R., and Rüegg, S. R. (2022). The dual burden of animal and human zoonoses: A systematic review. *PloS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 16 (10), e0010540. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0010540

Perez, J., and Goarant, C. (2010). Rapid Leptospira identification by direct sequencing of the diagnostic PCR products in New Caledonia. *BMC Microbiol.* 10, 325. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-10-325

Picardeau, M. (2020) Rapports d'activité du CNR de la Leptospirose. Available at: https://www.pasteur.fr/fr/sante-publique/CNR/les-cnr/leptospirose/rapports-d-activite.

Pijnacker, R., Goris, M. G. A., Te Wierik, M. J. M., Broens, E. M., van der Giessen, J. W. B., de Rosa, M., et al. (2016). Marked increase in leptospirosis infections in humans and dogs in the Netherlands, 2014. *Euro Surveill* 21 (17). doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.17.30211

Renaud, C., Andrews, S., Djelouadji, Z., Lecheval, S., Corrao-Revol, N., Buff, S., et al. (2013). Prevalence of the Leptospira serovars bratislava, grippotyphosa, mozdok and pomona in French dogs. *Vet. J.* 196 (1), 126–127. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2012.10.002

Salaün, L., Mérien, F., Gurianova, S., Baranton, G., and Picardeau, M. (2006). Application of multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis for molecular typing of the agent of leptospirosis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 44 (11), 3954–3962. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00336-06

Santos, L. A., Adhikarla, H., Yan, X., Wang, Z., Fouts, D. E., Vinetz, J. M., et al. (2018). Genomic comparison among global isolates of L. interrogans serovars copenhageni and icterohaemorrhagiae identified natural genetic variation caused by an indel. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* 8, 193. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2018.00193

Smythe, L. D., Wuthiekanun, V., Chierakul, W., Suputtamongkol, Y., Tiengrim, S., Dohnt, M. F., et al. (2009). The microscopic agglutination test (MAT) is an unreliable predictor of infecting Leptospira serovar in Thailand. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg* 81 (4), 695–697. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.2009.09-0252

Soupé-Gilbert, M. E., Oedin, M., Kainiu, M., Girault, D., Figuet, O., Brescia, F., et al. (2022). Original Leptospira spp. in island's native terrestrial mammals: A case study in Pteropus spp. bats of New Caledonia. *Transbound Emerg. Dis.* 69 (5), e2852–e2862. doi: 10.1111/tbed.14635

Sykes, J. E., Reagan, K. L., Nally, J. E., Galloway, R. L., and Haake, D. A. (2022). Role of diagnostics in epidemiology, management, surveillance, and control of leptospirosis. *Pathogens* 11 (4), 395. doi: 10.3390/pathogens11040395

Velardo, F., Bouziri, H., Adélaïde, L., Oliosi, E., Layan, M., Descamps, A., et al. (2022). A cross-sectional study on infectious health risks regarding freshwater sports

practice in Brittany, France. J. Water Health 20 (2), 356-368. doi: 10.2166/ wh.2022.232

Vincent, A. T., Schiettekatte, O., Goarant, C., Neela, V. K., Bernet, E., Thibeaux, R., et al. (2019). Revisiting the taxonomy and evolution of pathogenicity of the genus Leptospira through the prism of genomics. *PloS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 13 (5), e0007270. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0007270

Waggoner, J. J., Balassiano, I., Abeynayake, J., Sahoo, M. K., Mohamed-Hadley, A., Liu, Y., et al. (2014). Sensitive real-time PCR detection of pathogenic leptospira spp. and a comparison of nucleic acid amplification methods for the diagnosis of leptospirosis. *PloS One* 9 (11), e112356. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0112356

World Health Organization (2003). *Human Leptospirosis: Guidance for Diagnosis, Surveillance and Control* (Geneva: World Health Organization). Available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42667. Report No.: WHO/CDS/CSR/EPH 2002.23.

Zarantonelli, L., Suanes, A., Meny, P., Buroni, F., Nieves, C., Salaberry, X., et al. (2018). Isolation of pathogenic Leptospira strains from naturally infected cattle in Uruguay reveals high serovar diversity, and uncovers a relevant risk for human leptospirosis. *PloS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 12 (9), e0006694. doi: 10.1371/journal. pntd.0006694

Zilber, A. L., Picardeau, M., Ayral, F., Artois, M., Demont, P., Kodjo, A., et al. (2014). High-resolution typing of Leptospira interrogans strains by multispacer sequence typing. J. Clin. Microbiol. 52 (2), 564–571. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02482-13