

## INTRODUCTION

- Prenatal detection (PND) and counseling of congenite disease by fetal echocardiography (FE) improves out allows families time to plan for delivery.
- Less wealthy neighborhood of origin and rurality are a with lower likelihood of obtaining PND of important fet disease.
- The two primary methods of FE delivery, ambulatory v based have not been compared in detail

## **OBJECTIVES**

- To compare socioeconomic status (SES) and geograp characteristics of pregnant women receiving FE at a surgical center, Children's National Hospital (CNH) ve community-based outreach maternal-fetal medicine clinics.
- To assess access patterns of timing and distance to FE

### **METHODS**

- A retrospective comparative effectiveness study of di patients using tertiary care versus MFM FE visits from Jo February 2022.
- Collected maternal age, FE indication, fetal gestation maternal address (used to gather SES and geo. Data)
- Custom software (CDXZipstream, 2018, Version 1.1 Bridgewater, Nj) was used to extract census tract variables (based on 2020 Census) reflecting wealth, income, education and occupation from maternal address.
- A neighborhood summary score (NSS) (Peiris et al 2009) was calculated using 6 variables used to create composite Z score (NSS)
- Continuous variables were compared using a t test. SES variables were assessed individually and as a composite score (NSS)

# A Comparative Study of Access to Fetal Echocardiography in Children's National. Hospital-based versus Ambulatory sites at a Single Cardiac Center Elena Tsemberis, BA; Bryanna N. Schwartz, MD, MPH; Megan B. Barbosa, MS; Jennifer H. Klein, MD, MPH; Mary Donofrio, MD; Anita Krishnan, MD

#### Table 1: Comparison of SES and MFM Cohorts

al heart comes and	Characteristic	CNH	Community Outreach	P value
associated tal cardiac	Total patients included(n) Composite SES z score Average maternal age at time of FE (years)	178 0.2 ± 2.8 33.6 ± 5.8	94 0.6 ± 2.4 35.14 ± 5.74	0.572 0.024*
vs. hospital-	Average gestational age at time of FE (weeks) % HH living in poverty (%) % Employed persons age 16+ in professional occupations (%)	25.5 ± 4.4 9.4 ±7.8 52.6 ±18.2	23.7 $\pm$ 3.2 7.6 $\pm$ 6.7 54.0 $\pm$ 17.1	0.0010* 0.070 0.527
large ersus a (MFM) E.	% persons 25+ Bachelor's Degree or higher (%) Poverty (>20% census block) Distance to care center (miles)	45.6 ± 24.2 18/177 26.5 ± 80.6	52.8 ± 10.9 4/94 14.3 ±10.9	<b>0.027*</b> 0.153
ifferences in lanuary-	Time to care center, driving (minutes) Time to care center, public transportation	42.9 ± 36.6 150.6 ± 230.0	24.7 ± 13.8 97.538 ± 111.0	<0.001*
nal age, and				

#### Figure 1: Map of MFM Clinics with SES measured by % of population with income below poverty line (last 12 mo.) (ArcGIS)

overty\_Census\_Tracts\_Within\_Buffe poverty leve



#### RESULTS

- n=94)

- 45.6 ± 24.2, p=0.03)
- p=0.001).
- MFM cohort.

# CONCLUSIONS

# LIMITATIONS

#### REFERENCES

- doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2021.08.080 doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.053062



A total of 272 patients were studied (CNH: n=178; and MFM:

No significant differences found in SES composite score. • No patients in either cohort lived in a rural census tract.

MFM patients were older on average than those who received an echo at CNH (35.1 ± 5.7 vs 33.6 ±5.8 years, p=0.02)

MFM cohort lived in areas with a higher percentage of people having completed a bachelors' degree or higher (52.8 ± 10.9 vs

• GA at FE earlier among the MFM cohort ( $23.7\pm 3.2$  vs  $25.6\pm 4.4$ ,

Driving time and public transport time to clinic were lower in the

 Neither care method was accessed by patients in rural or lowest SES areas, possibly reflecting lower MFM availability in some areas

 Novel methods of outreach for FE are needed leveraging the strengths of current community-based methods to improve access for rural and less wealthy populations.

#### Small population size, short window of data collection

1. Klein J, Dwyer G, Donofrio MT, Krishnan A. Geographic Distribution of Congenital Heart Disease: A Single Surgical Center Experience. The Journal of pediatrics. 2022;240:117-121.

2. Krishnan A, Jacobs MB, Morris SA, et al. Impact of Socioeconomic Status, Race and Ethnicity, and Geography on Prenatal Detection of Hypoplastic Left Heart Syndrome and Transposition of the Great Arteries. Circulation (New York, NY). 2021;143(21):2049-2060.

3. Peiris et al. Association of Socioeconomic Position and Medical Insurance With Fetal Diagnosis of Critical Congenital Heart Disease. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes. 2009;2:354–360. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.108.802868