

ISSN 0022-9032

KARDIOLOGIA Polska

Polish Heart Journal The Official Peer-reviewed Journal of the Polish Cardiac Society since 1957

Online first

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon

Survival in nonagenarians with acute myocardial infarction in 2014–2020: A nationwide analysis

Authors: Małgorzata Kupisz-Urbańska, Piotr Jankowski, Roman Topór-Mądry, Michał Chudzik, Mariusz Gąsior, Robert Gil, Patrycja Gryka, Zbigniew Kalarus, Jacek Kubica, Jacek Legutko, Przemysław Mitkowski, Jarosław Pinkas, Radosław Sierpiński, Janina Stępińska, Zbigniew Siudak, Paweł Teisseyre, Adam Witkowski, Urszula Zielińska-Borkowska, Tomasz Zdrojewski, Ryszard Gellert Article type: Short communication Received: March 4, 2023 Accepted: May 22, 2023 Early publication date: July 10, 2023

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially.

Survival in nonagenarians with acute myocardial infarction in 2014–2020: A nationwide analysis

Short title: Survival in nonagenarians with acute myocardial infarction

Małgorzata Kupisz-Urbańska¹, Piotr Jankowski^{1, 2}, Roman Topór-Mądry³, Michał Chudzik¹, Mariusz Gąsior⁴, Robert Gil⁵, Patrycja Gryka³, Zbigniew Kalarus⁶, Jacek Kubica^{7, 8}, Jacek Legutko^{9, 10}, Przemysław Mitkowski¹¹, Jarosław Pinkas¹², Radosław Sierpiński¹³, Janina Stępińska¹⁴, Zbigniew Siudak¹⁵, Paweł Teisseyre^{3, 16, 17}, Adam Witkowski¹⁸, Urszula Zielińska-Borkowska¹⁹, Tomasz Zdrojewski²⁰, Ryszard Gellert²¹

¹Department of Internal Medicine and Geriatric Cardiology, Center of Postgraduate Medical Education, Warszawa, Poland

²Department of Epidemiology and Health Promotion, School of Public Health, Center of Postgraduate Medical Education, Warszawa, Poland

³Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Tariff System, Warszawa, Poland

⁴3rd Department of Cardiology, Faculty of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

⁵Department of Invasive Cardiology, Center of Postgraduate Medical Education, Warszawa, Poland

⁶Department of Cardiology, Congenital Heart Diseases and Electrotherapy, Division of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Silesian Medical University, Zabrze, Poland

⁷Interventional Cardiology and Cardiovascular Medicine Research, Department of Cardiology and Internal Medicine, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Bydgoszcz, Poland

⁸SIRIO MEDICINE Research Network, Bydgoszcz, Poland

⁹Department of Interventional Cardiology, Institute of Cardiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland

¹⁰Clinical Department of Interventional Cardiology, John Paul II Hospital, Kraków, Poland

¹¹1st Department of Cardiology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznań, Poland

¹²School of Public Health, Center of Postgraduate Medical Education, Warszawa, Poland

¹³Faculty of Medicine, Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński University, Warszawa, Poland

¹⁴Department of Communication in Medicine, School of Public Health, Center of Postgraduate Medical Education, Warszawa, Poland

¹⁵Collegium Medicum, Jan Kochanowski University, Kielce, Poland

¹⁶Institute of Computer Science, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa, Poland
¹⁷Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science, Warsaw University of Technology, Warszawa, Poland
¹⁸Department of Interventional Cardiology and Angiology, National Institute of Cardiology, Warszawa, Poland
¹⁹Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Centre of Postgraduate Medical Education, Warszawa, Poland
²⁰Department of Preventive Medicine and Education, Medical University of Gdansk, Gdańsk, Poland
²¹Department of Nephrology and Internal Medicine, Medical Center for Postgraduate Education, Warszawa, Poland

Correspondence to:

Prof. Piotr Jankowski, MD, PhD, Department of Internal Medicine and Geriatric Cardiology, Center of Postgraduate Medical Education, Czerniakowska 231, 00–416 Warszawa, Poland, phone: +48 22 58 41 147, e-mail: piotrjankowski@interia.pl

INTRODUCTION

Myocardial infarction (MI) has been stated as a major cause of death in developed countries. The prevalence of MI and mortality of patients with MI is strongly influenced by age [1–4]. The evidence of changes in the survival and management in nonagenarians with acute coronary artery disease in recent years is limited. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate changes in in-hospital and post-hospital survival of patients aged at least 90 years diagnosed with acute MI.

METHODS

We included all patients aged at least 90 years hospitalized for acute MI (the ICD-10 codes I21–I22) in Poland from 2014 to 2020 and reported to the National Health Fund (NHF) database and followed up to one year. The analysis was conducted based on Polish personal identification numbers (PESEL). Medical history of patients was defined according to the data from the NHF database and survival was determined according to the national database of

deaths (Central Statistical Office). Hospitalization was defined as an admission to a health care facility longer than 24 hours excluding patients who died within 24 hours. The hospitalization for MI was defined as a continuous stay in the hospital, including any transfers between wards or hospitals for whatever reason, and also the subsequent admission for myocardial infarction within 24 hours after hospital discharge. Recurrent hospitalizations were determined using the NHF database. The primary endpoint was defined as death from any cause, whereas secondary endpoint was defined as all-cause death or MI or stroke.

Ethics committee approval was not needed as the authors analysed the national database. Informed consent was not required.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are presented as means (standard deviations [SD]) or medians (interquartile ranges [IQRs]), while categorical values are presented as percentages with 95% confidence intervals (CI) when appropriate. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess the normality. In order to compare variables without normal distribution we used the Mann-Whitney U test or Kruskal–Wallis test, as appropriate. The Pearson χ^2 test was applied to all categorical variables. A *P*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Kaplan-Meier methods were used to construct unadjusted survival curves. Log-rank tests were performed to evaluate differences between groups. Statistical analysis was conducted using the STATISTICA 13 software (TIBCO Software, Palo Alto, CA, US).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 2019 (71.6% females), 2007 (69.7% females), 2197 (67.9% females), 2313 (70.6% females), 2321 (67.5% females), 2282 (67.7% females), and 1831 (67.0% females) patients aged at least 90 years old and diagnosed with acute MI in Poland in 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively. Median age (interquartile range [IQR]) was 92.0 (90.9–93.8) years, 92.0 (90.9–93.6) years, 92.1 (90.9–93.7) years, 92.1 (91.0–93.7) years, 92.1 (90.9–93.9) years, 92.2 (91.0–94.1) years, and 92.1 (90.9–94.1) years in the aforementioned years. Most patients were hospitalized in only one hospital (87.6% [95% CI, 87.1%–88.1%]), while 10.5% [10.0%–11.1%], 1.8% [1.6%–2.0%], 0.07% [0.03%–0.12%], and 0.01% [0.00%–0.04%] of patients were hospitalized in two, three, four, and five hospitals, respectively. The proportion of patients hospitalized who were transferred at least once between hospitals decreased during the observation period (14.8% [13.3%–16.4%] in 2014, 12.5% [11.1%–14.0%] in 2015, 14.2% [12.8%–15.7%] in 2016, 12.1% [10.8%–13.6%] in 2017, 11.8%

[10.5%-13.2%] in 2018, 11.5% [10.2%-12.9%] in 2019, and 10.1% [8.8%-11.6%] in 2020, *P* <0.05). The median duration of hospitalization was 7.0 (4.0–11.0) days, while the mean duration of hospitalization was 8.5 (4.0–11.0) days.

Overall, invasive management (at least coronary angiography) was applied in 47.0% [46.2%–47.8%] of patients (42.0% [39.8%–44.2%] in 2014, 44.3% [42.1%–46.5%] in 2015, 47.2% [45.1%–49.3%] in 2016, 46.5% [44.4%–48.6%] in 2017, 49.0% [46.9%–51.0%] in 2018, 49.9% [47.8%–52.0%] in 2019, and 51.5% [49.2%–53.8%] in 2020, P < 0.001). In total, 35.4% [33.3%–37.5%], 37.5% [35.4%–39.7%], 39.3% [37.2%–41.4%], 41.0% [39.0%–43.0%], 40.8% [38.8%–42.9%], 42.4% [40.4%–44.4%], and 42.9% [40.6%–45.2%] (P < 0.001) underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), while 0.1% [0.0%–0.4%], 0.2% [0.1%–0.5%], 0.3% [0.1%–0.7%], 0.0% [0.0%–0.2%], 0.3% [0.1%–0.6%], 0.1% [0.0%–0.3%], and 0.1% [0.0%–0.4%] (P = 0.33) underwent coronary artery bypass grafting in 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively. In-hospital mortality was 27.8% (25.9%–29.5%), 26.1% (24.3%–27.9%), and 29.5% (27.4%–31.6%) in 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020. In hospital mortality was significantly higher in 2020 compared to 2019 (P = 0.02).

All-cause one-year mortality following discharge was 41.7% (95% CI, 39.2%-44.3%), 38.1% (35.6%-40.7%), 36.9% (34.5%-39.3%), 38.0% (35.7%-44%), 34.7% (32.4%-37.1%), 35.3% (33.0%-37.6%), and 48.5% (45.7%-51.3%), (P < 0.001), whereas the proportions for endpoint consisting of all-cause death or MI or stroke were 47.0% (44.8%-49.2%), 43.0% (38.2%-42.5%), 41.1% (39.0%-43.2%), 42.8% (40.8%-44.9%), 39.4% (37.4%-41.4%), 39.8% (38.8%-41.8%), and 50.0% (48.8%-52.3%), (P < 0.001) in 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively (Figure 1).

The characteristic of our study group (the median age slightly over 90 years, in the majority women) did not differ during the observation period and is comparable to other studies focused on nonagenarians [5]. We observed the tendency, comparable to other studies, towards a higher number of the oldest old \geq 90 years age admitted to hospital for MI, up to the year 2020, when this number was significantly lower [6]. The proportion of patients hospitalized who were transferred at least once between hospitals decreased during the observation period, which could be connected with more specialized medical care.

Percutaneous coronary intervention remains the most effective treatment for acute MI patients and our data revealed that also among the oldest subjects the proportion of patients managed invasively has increased significantly during recent years in Poland. However, the proportion is still significantly lower when compared with younger adults in Poland [3]. A national analysis in the United States stated that PCI is related to better survival of acute MI patients over 90 years of age [7]. Similar conclusions could be drawn based on analyses from other countries [8–10]. Indeed, our data provides evidence that despite benefits, primary PCI may remain underutilized in the elderly in Poland.

We showed no favourable trend in in-hospital mortality up-to 2019, which agrees with data from other countries [7]. The one-year all-cause mortality following discharge in nonagenarians was gradually decreasing in Poland up-to 2019 with a sharp increase in patients hospitalized in 2020, which could be attributed to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic [11]. However, in other studies it was suggested the upward trend in MI mortality was most pronounced for the youngest adults when compared to the oldest age groups [12].

In conclusion, we did not find a significant trend in in-hospital mortality from 2014 to 2019 in nonagenarians, but we showed increased in-hospital mortality in 2020. On the other hand, we found a decreasing trend in one-year post discharge mortality from 2014 to 2019 and a significant increase in 2020 in Poland.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at https://journals.viamedica.pl/kardiologia_polska.

Article information

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Funding: None.

Open access: This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, which allows downloading and sharing articles with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at kardiologiapolska@ptkardio.pl.

REFERENCES

- Jankowski P, Topór-Mądry R, Gąsior M, et al. Management and predictors of clinical events in 75 686 patients with acute myocardial infarction. Kardiol Pol. 2022; 80(4): 468–475, doi: <u>10.33963/KP.a2022.0058</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>35188220</u>.
- 2. Jankowski P, Topór-Mądry R, Gąsior M, et al. Innovative managed care may be related to improved prognosis for acute myocardial infarction survivors. Circ Cardiovasc Qual

Outcomes. 2021; 14(8): e007800, doi: <u>10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.120.007800</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>34380330</u>.

- Seguchi M, Sakakura K, Yamamoto K, et al. Comparison of in-hospital clinical outcomes of acute myocardial infarction between nonagenarians and octogenarians. Int Heart J. 2020; 61(1): 7–14, doi: <u>10.1536/ihj.19-266</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>31956138</u>.
- Numasawa Y, Inohara T, Ishii H, et al. Comparison of Outcomes After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Elderly Patients, Including 10 628 Nonagenarians: Insights From a Japanese Nationwide Registry (J-PCI Registry). J Am Heart Assoc. 2019; 8(5): e011183, doi: <u>10.1161/JAHA.118.011017</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>30791799</u>.
- Elsisy MF, Schaff HV, Crestanello JA, et al. Outcomes of cardiac surgery in nonagenarians. J Card Surg. 2022; 37(6): 1664–1670, doi: <u>10.1111/jocs.16396</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>35285545</u>.
- De Rosa S, Spaccarotella C, Basso C, et al. Reduction of hospitalizations for myocardial infarction in Italy in the COVID-19 era. Eur Heart J. 2020; 41(22): 2083–2088, doi: <u>10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa409</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>32412631</u>.
- Ismayl M, Machanahalli Balakrishna A, Walters RW, et al. In-hospital mortality and readmission after ST-elevation myocardial infarction in nonagenarians: A nationwide analysis from the United States. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2022; 100(1): 5–16, doi: <u>10.1002/ccd.30227</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>35568973</u>.
- Lee J, Seo KW, Park JS, et al. Managing nonagenarians with acute myocardial infarction: invasive versus conservative treatment. Cardiol Res Pract. 2020; 2020: 8885518, doi: <u>10.1155/2020/8885518</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>33224527</u>.
- Nishihira K, Watanabe N, Kuriyama N, et al. Clinical outcomes of nonagenarians with acute myocardial infarction who undergo percutaneous coronary intervention. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2020; 9(5): 488–495, doi: 10.1177/2048872620921596, indexed in Pubmed: 32324045.
- Kim JY, Jeong MHo, Choi YW, et al. Temporal trends and in-hospital outcomes of primary percutaneous coronary intervention in nonagenarians with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Korean J Intern Med. 2015; 30(6): 821–828, doi: 10.3904/kjim.2015.30.6.821, indexed in Pubmed: 26552457.
- Kubica J, Ostrowska M, Stolarek W, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on acute heart failure admissions and mortality: a multicentre study (COV-HF-SIRIO 6 study).
 ESC Heart Fail. 2022; 9(1): 721–728, doi: <u>10.1002/ehf2.13680</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>34786869</u>.

12. Yeo YH, Wang M, He X, et al. Excess risk for acute myocardial infarction mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Med Virol. 2023; 95(1): e28187, doi: <u>10.1002/jmv.28187</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>36176195</u>.



Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier curves displaying the estimated one-year event-free survival probability according to the year of hospitalization. **A.** All-cause death. **B.** All-cause death or myocardial infarction or stroke