

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon.



ISSN: 0015-5659

e-ISSN: 1644-3284

Hepatic arterial variations detected at multidetector computer tomography angiography in the Romanian population

Authors: Laura Andreea Bolintineanu Ghenciu, Sorin Lucian Bolintineanu, Roxana Iacob, Emil Robert Stoicescu, Delia Elena Zahoi

DOI: 10.5603/FM.a2023.0050

Article type: Original article

Submitted: 2023-05-04

Accepted: 2023-07-13

Published online: 2023-07-26

This article has been peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance. It is an open access article, which means that it can be downloaded, printed, and distributed freely, provided the work is properly cited.

Articles in "Folia Morphologica" are listed in PubMed.

Hepatic arterial variations detected at multidetector computer tomography angiography in the Romanian population

Laura Andreea Bolintineanu Ghenciu et al., Hepatic arterial variants in the Romanian population

Laura Andreea Bolintineanu Ghenciu^{1,2}, Sorin Lucian Bolintineanu², Roxana Iacob³, Emil Robert Stoicescu^{3,4}, Delia-Elena Zăhoi²

¹Department of Functional Sciences, Victor Babeş University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania

²Department of Anatomy and Embryology, Victor Babeş University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania

³Discipline of Radiology and Medical Imaging, Victor Babeş University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania

⁴Research Center for Pharmaco-Toxicological Evaluations, Victor Babeş University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania

Address for correspondence: Sorin Lucian Bolintineanu, Department of Anatomy and Embryology, E. Murgu Sq., no.2, 300041 Timisoara, Romania, tel: +40745398981, e-mail: s.bolintineanu@umft.ro

ABSTRACT

Background: Our purpose was to determine the prevalence of normal hepatic vascularization and variations of the hepatic arteries using multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) angiography. These variants should be known before any surgery of the upper abdomen, the downside being that there aren't many studies on large groups of patients using high-specialized imaging.

Materials and methods: This study was carried out on 4192 patients. We performed MDCT angiography on each one of the patients and had a specialized team observe the images.

Results: Using Michels' classification, the normal anatomy (type I) was present in 3392 (80.91%) cases, while abnormal hepatic arteries were observed in 800 (19.08%) cases. The variations were distributed as follows: type II in 40 (0.95%) cases, type III in 442 (10.54%) cases, type IV in 13 (0.31%) cases, type V in 285 (6.79%) cases, type VI in 12 (0.28%) cases, type VII in 3 (0.07%) cases, type VIII in 108 (2.57%) cases, type IX in 6 (0.14%) cases and type X in one case (0.02%). 170 (4.05%) unclassified cases were observed. Using Hiatt's classification, the variations were: type II in 325 (7.75%) cases, type III in 454 (10.83%) cases, type IV in 124 (2.95%) cases, type V in 6 cases (0.14%) and type VI in 69 (1.64%) cases. 102 (2.43%) unclassified cases were observed.

Conclusions: We observed well-known variations of the hepatic arterial pattern and also found a large number of rare, unclassified cases.

Key words: hepatic patterns, anatomical variations, multidetector computed tomography angiography, aberrant artery

INTRODUCTION

Comprehensive knowledge of the morphological variations of hepatic vascularization plays a very important role in hepatic transplantation, resection and tumor embolization . For the last decades, anatomists as well as radiologists and surgeons have tried to decide on a standardization of the normal anatomy of the abdominal aorta (AA), celiac trunk (CT) and their variations. Standard hepatic arterial anatomy does occur in most cases (50-80%), but still, the remaining cases account for a higher percentage than it was believed in the past and these cases are sometimes overlooked [1]

A high number of complications, including vascular damage, can be avoided if we use specialized imaging before surgeries or liver donation. Because there are important percentages of hepatic artery variations observed in different nationalities worldwide, we can safely say that the presurgical study and knowledge of these variations can give us useful information

beforehand[2]. Three-dimensional imaging and multiplanar reconstruction may give us insight into standard anatomy and variants.

Haller was the first one to define and describe the CT and its branching pattern in the year 1756. Since then, this aspect has been considered standard anatomy and is commonly present within study lots with a prevalence that varies between 72.29% and 90.78% [3,4]. Nowadays the most common classifications which are being used for the description of the CT and its variants are the ones reported by Morita[5] and Michels[6].

Morita proposed in 1935 five types of the CT: type I is normal coeliac trunk, type II is hepatogastric trunk, type III is gastrosplenic trunk, type IV is hepatogastric trunk, and type V is the absence of the coeliac trunk [5]. Michels defined the hepatic arterial patterns and their variations using 200 cadavers and identified 10 different types of hepatic arterial anatomy [2]. His classification [6] and subsequently the revised Hiatt classification [7] defined the anatomical variations of the hepatic arterial system.

Multidetector computer tomography (MDCT) angiography is an accurate paraclinical investigation which can be very reliable in detecting normal and abnormal hepatic anatomy. MDCT uses faster volume imaging of the entire liver and can deliver thinner slices in high spacial resolution[8].

Our main goal was to determine the standard and abnormal arterial pattern of the liver on a large sized study group using the gold-standard investigation, MDCT angiography. Additionally, we wanted to emphasize that some variations who were previously thought to be extremely rare are nowadays, with the help of the radiological investigations, more common and that there are still many variations yet to be defined and included in the classifications

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We have taken into consideration a number of 4315 patients from Timisoara, Romania who were referred to undergo MDCT angiography for various simptomatology. The exclusion criteria applied were: tumors affecting the upper abdominal region, complete occlusion of CT and motion artifacts/suboptimal contrast images. 123 cases were excluded and, consequently,

MDCT angiographies of a total number of 4192 patients, from August 2015 to December 2021 were included in the study. Patients' ages were within a range of 18 to 93 years old and belonged to the Romanian population. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethics Committee of “Victor Babes” University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania (protocol code 26/2019).

The device that has been used for the radiological investigation was the 64-row scanner MDCT Angiography machine. The MDCT radiological examination was performed with a tube voltage of 120 kVp and a reference tube current of 110 mAs. The scanning has been done in a craniocaudal direction, while the images were displayed in axial, coronal and sagittal planes with 5 mm-thick slices.

Angiography was performed by injecting 120 mL of iodinated contrast medium at the rate of 4 mL/s through an 18-20 Gauge cannula in an antecubital vein using a standard dual-head computer tomograph power injector, followed by a fixed saline chase of 50 mL at the same flow rate.

In this study, we used Multiplanar Reformation (MPR), Maximum Intensity Projection (MIP), Volume Rendering Techniques (VRT) and SSD (Shaded Surface Display) for visualization and post-process images of vascular components in the upper abdominal region. Beforehand, we performed reconstruction of the images at 0,625 mm.

We used Microsoft Office Excel 2007 in order to gather all of the cases, while for data correlation and distribution determination, we used IBM SPSS Statistics Version 29.

RESULTS

Our study revealed a standard anatomical pattern of the hepatic arteries in 3392 (80.91%) of all cases. We observed 800 cases with variants of the hepatic arteries, out of which 724 cases showed variants of the left and right hepatic arteries and the remaining 76 cases presented replaced common hepatic arteries (RCHA).

We highlighted a number of 326 cases with left aberrant hepatic arteries, out of which a number of 286 (87,730%) cases presented ALHA and a number of 40 (12,270%) cases presented

RLHA. The vast majority of the left aberrant hepatic arteries (99.69%) had their origin in LGA (Figure 1), while one case of ALHA originated at AA level (0.307%). 314 cases (96.31%) were cases described by Michels in his classification. A percentage of 3.68% (12 cases) were new cases not classified by Michels. The study revealed a higher occurrence of left aberrant hepatic arteries in males (71,779%) than in females (28,221%). The mean age of this group was 65.58 years (table 1).

In 554 cases we observed right aberrant hepatic arteries, 14 cases (2,527%) were ARHAs and a number of 540 (97,47%) were RRHAs. The majority of the right aberrant hepatic arteries (81,949%; 454/554 cases) had their origin in SMA (Figure 2); the second source of origin was the CT (10,229%; 57/554 cases). Seven further levels of origin for RRHA were also highlighted (Table 2), accounting for 18,18% of cases. 449 cases (81.04%) fell within the types described by Michels, while 18.95% (105 cases) were new cases not classified by Michels. The vast majority (71,841%, 398/554 cases) were males and 28,159% (156/554 cases) were females. The mean age of this group was 66.42 years (table 3)

Out of the 800 total cases with hepatic arteries variants, we observed a group of 76 cases (9.5%) with RCHA.

The study of their origin revealed three distinct morphological types: (i) AA (90.78%) (Figure 3); (ii) SMA (7.89%) and (iii) LGA(1.31%). Within the group, 71.05% of cases were male and 28.94% of cases were female. The average age of the group was 66.49 years (Table 4).

Of the 800 cases with variations of hepatic arteries, 124 cases (15.5%) involved the association of right and left aberrant hepatic arteries. The following types associations were observed: ARHA-RLHA, 3 cases (2.41%), ARHA-ALHA, 3 cases (2.41%), RRHA-ALHA, 105 cases (84.67%), RRHA-RLHA, 13 cases (10.48%).

According to Michels' classification, normal hepatic vascularization (type I) was present in 80.91% of cases, while variants of the hepatic arterial patterns were observed in the remaining 19.08% of cases. The variations were distributed as it follows: type II was observed in 40 cases (0.95%), type III in 442 cases (10.54%), type IV in 13 cases (0.31%), type V in 285 cases (6.79%), type VI in 12 cases (0.28%), type VII in 3 cases (0.07%), type VIII in 108 cases (2.57%), type IX in 6 cases (0.14%) and, lastly, type X in 1 case (0.02%). We also found other

variants of unclassified cases in our study. Following Hiatt's classification, the variants were distributed as it follows: type II was observed in 325 cases (7.75%), type III in 454 cases (10.83%), type IV in 124 cases (2.95%), type V in 6 cases (0.14%), type VI in 69 cases (1.64%). We also found several other unclassified cases .

DISCUSSION

Taking into consideration studies on hepatic anatomy using angiographic imaging, we can discuss differences and similarities between our study and other studies from the specialized literature . A comparative analysis of Michels' study [6], Fonseca-Neto [9], Gümüş [10], and Coco [11] revealed the highest prevalence out of the aberrant hepatic arteries of the right replaced hepatic artery, with a variation range of the prevalence of RRHA from the total cases of hepatic arteries studied between 5.63-15%. Thangarajah [12] discovered type V of Michels' classification, the presence of left accessory hepatic artery, as the most common abnormality (8.5% of all cases), followed by Type III (8%) and Type II (6%). In the study of Choi, ARHAs and ALHAs were highlighted in 15.63% and 16.32% of the patients, respectively. Both an ARHA and an ALHA were found in 4.53% of patients[13]. Chen highlighted 0.7% of cases with Michels type IV [14], as well as Zaki [15], Koops [1] and the well-known study of Michels (each one 1%) [6].

Types VII, VIII, IX and X are rarely described in the specialized literature, whereas in our study type VIII of Michels classification showed a higher percentage than usual, accounting for 108 cases (2.57%). Compared to studies found in the literature discussing the association of left and right aberrant hepatic arteries, the present study found the association of RRHA-ALHA as the most common association between two aberrant hepatic arteries (type VIII Michels). Michels reported a higher percentage of type VII (2%) [6].

Zimmitti et al [16] emphasized the presence of RCHA with a variation range between 0.4-4.5%. Sureka et al reported this origin in 0.33% of cases [17], Zaki et al in 0.4% [15] and Chen et al in 0.5% of cases [14]. Yang observed 2.34% of cases presented RCHA originating from SMA [17].

Song found [18] 183 patients with RCHAs with the following site of origin: (1) LGA (0.16%), (2) SMA (3%), and (3) AA (0.4%).

Thangarajah et al [12] found 3 cases of RCHA with origin in SMA (1.5%), and one case originating from LGA (0.5%). According to Hiatt [7], 1.5% showed RCHA with an origin at SMA level, while 0.2% of cases showed RCHA with origin in the abdominal aorta. In Hiatt's classification type III was the most common out of the hepatic arterial variants and was present in 10.6% of cases, while the second most common variation was type II with a percentage of 9.7% of cases. Malviya et al [19] found 3.64% cases with type III according to Hiatt, after which type II was found in 1.82% of cases.

Compared to some studies that used MDCT angiography, cadaveric studies could show higher rates of these hepatic artery variations because in radiological investigations, the usage of contrast agents may lead to insufficiency of opacification in extremely thin vessels [20].

The main strengths of this present study are, undoubtedly, the large scale study group, as well as our findings: 4.05% of cases were new variants, not mentioned by Michels and 2.43 % of cases not mentioned in Hiatt's classification. In addition to that, we observed type VII, VII, IX, X by Michels' classification, which are rare variants described in the specialized literature. Taking into account all associations between variants of left and right hepatic arteries, our study surprisingly provided a very large number of RRHA-ALHA and ARHA-RLHA, unlike many others above mentioned large studies.

CONCLUSIONS

This present study offers an overview of the variations of hepatic arteries present in the Romanian population. Our results shows know hepatic arterial variations, but also highlights the presence of unclassified variants of the hepatic arteries.

REFERENCES

1. Koops, A.; Wojciechowski, B.; Broering, D.C.; Adam, G.; Krupski-Berdien, G. Anatomic variations of the hepatic arteries in 604 selective celiac and superior mesenteric angiographies. *Surg. Radiol. Anat.* 2004, 26, 239–244
2. Noussios, G.; Dimitriou, I.; Chatzis, I.; Katsourakis, A. The Main Anatomic Variations of the Hepatic Artery and Their Importance in Surgical Practice: Review of the Literature. *J Clin Med Res* 2017, 9, 248–252
3. Jacob, N.; Pusztai, A.M.; Miclăuş, G.D.; Pop, E.; Matusz, P. An Anomalous Origin of the Gastrosplenic Trunk and Common Hepatic Artery Arising Independently from the Abdominal Aorta: A Case Report Using MDCT Angiography. *Rom J Morphol Embryol* 2018, 59, 353–357
4. Roma, S.; D’Amato, D.; Ranalli, T.; Nardone, V.; Pace, C.; Lenci, I.; Francioso, S.; Brega, A.; Manzia, T.M.; Orlacchio, A. Vascular Anomalies of the Celiac Trunk and Implications in Treatment of HCC with TACE. Description of a Case and Review of the Literature. *Radiology Case Reports* 2019, 14, 1221–1227
5. Morita M. Reports and conception of three anomalous cases in the area of the celiac and superior mesenteric arteries. *Igaku Kenkyu (Acta Medica)* 1935, 9, 159–72
6. Michels, N.A. Newer anatomy of the liver and its variant blood supply and collateral circulation. *Am. J. Surg.* 1966, 112, 337–347
7. Hiatt, J.R.; Gabbay, J.; Busuttil, R.W. Surgical anatomy of the hepatic arteries in 1000 cases. *Ann. Surg.* 1994, 220, 50–52.
8. Megally, H.I.; Badran, Y.M.; Abdelal, S.M.; Koriem, E.M. Role of MDCT Angiography in Assessment of Vascular Variant in Potential Living Liver Donor Transplantation. *The Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine* 2013, 44, 713–718
9. Fonseca-Neto, O.C.L.D.; Lima, H.C.D.S.; Rabelo, P.; Melo, P.S.V.D.; Amorim, A.G.; Lacerda, C.M. anatomic variations of hepatic artery: a study in 479 liver transplantations. *ABCD, arq. bras. cir. dig.* 2017, 30, 35–37
10. Gümüş, H.; Bükte, Y.; Özdemir, E.; Sentürk, S.; Tekbas, G.; Önder, H.; Ekici, F.; Bilici, A. Variations of the Celiac Trunk and Hepatic Arteries: A Study with 64-Detector Computed Tomographic Angiography. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2013, 17, 1636–1641
11. Coco, D.; Leanza, S. Celiac Trunk and Hepatic Artery Variants in Pancreatic and Liver Resection Anatomy and Implications in Surgical Practice. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci* 2019, 7, 2563–2568
12. Thangarajah, A.; Parthasarathy, R. Celiac axis, common hepatic and hepatic artery variants as evidenced on MDCT angiography in south indian population. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res. JCDR* 2016, 10, TC01–TC0
13. Choi, T.W.; Chung, J.W.; Kim, H.-C.; Lee, M.; Choi, J.W.; Jae, H.J.; Hur, S. Anatomic Variations of the Hepatic Artery in 5625 Patients. *Radiology: Cardiothoracic Imaging* 2021, 3, e210007
14. Chen, C.Y.; Lee, R.C.; Tseng, H.S.; Chiang, J.H.; Hwang, J.I.; Teng, M.M. Normal and Variant Anatomy of Hepatic Arteries: Angiographic Experience. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi (Taipei)* 1998, 61, 17–23

14. Zaki, S.M.; Abdelmaksoud, A.H.K.; Khaled, B.E.A.; Abdel Kader, I.A. Anatomical Variations of Hepatic Artery Using the Multidetector Computed Tomography Angiography. *Folia Morphol* 2020, 79, 247–254
15. Zimmitti, G.; Manzoni, A.; Codignola, C.; et al. How to Deal with Replaced Common Hepatic Artery during Laparoscopic Pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Dig Med Res* 2019, 2.
16. Sureka, B.; Mittal, M.K.; Mittal, A.; Sinha, M.; Bhambri, N.K.; Thukral, B.B. Variations of celiac axis, common hepatic artery and its branches in 600 patients. *Indian J. Radiol. Imaging* 2013, 23, 223
17. Yang, S.H.; Yin, Y.H.; Jang, J.-Y.; et al. Assessment of Hepatic Arterial Anatomy in Keeping with Preservation of the Vasculature While Performing Pancreatoduodenectomy: An Opinion. *World J Surg* 2007, 31, pp. 2384–2391.
18. Song, S.-Y.; Chung, J.W.; Yin, Y.H.; et al. Celiac Axis and Common Hepatic Artery Variations in 5002 Patients: Systematic Analysis with Spiral CT and DSA. *Radiology* 2010, 255, pp. 278–288.
19. Malviya, K.K.; Verma, A.; Nayak, A.K.; Mishra, A.; More, R.S. Unraveling Variations in Celiac Trunk and Hepatic Artery by CT Angiography to Aid in Surgeries of Upper Abdominal Region. *Diagnostics* 2021, 11, 2262.
20. Cankal, F.; Kaya, M.; Guner, M.A. Evaluation of Celiac Trunk, Hepatic Artery Variations, and Their Collateral Arteries by Multi-Slice Computed Tomography. *Sisli. Etfal. Hastan. Tip. Bul* 2021, 55, pp.217–223




Figure 1. MDCT angiography, coronal view of AA and CT. MDCT angiography, anterior incidence. Male patient, 68 years old. Accessory left hepatic artery originating from the left gastric artery.




Figure 2. MDCT angiography.(1) axial view (2) coronal view. Replaced right hepatic artery originating in the superior mesenteric artery. Female patient, 83 years old.




Figure 3. MDCT Angiography. coronal view. RCHA originating from AA in association with RRHA originating from SA and a Gastro-Splenic Trunk. Male, 68 years old




Figure 4. MDCT angiography. (1) axial view. (2) coronal view. Association of accessory left hepatic artery and replaced right hepatic artery. Male patient, 77 years old.

Table 1. Distribution by age of left aberrant hepatic arteries

Study group	Nr.	L min.	L Max.	AM	M e	SD	SE
Entire group	32	22	91	65.5	66	10.8	0.60
	6			8		9	
Male group	23	22	88	64.3	65	10.6	0.70
	4			0		7	
Female group	92	40	91	68.8	68	10.8	1.13
				4		2	

Nr. – number of subjects ; L min. – minimum limit; L max. – maximum limit; AM – arithmetic mean; Me – median; SD – standard deviation; SE – standard error

Table 2. Prevalence of the origin of right aberrant hepatic arteries

Aberrant hepatic artery/origin	Nr.	Percent (%)
--------------------------------	-----	-------------

ARHA	14	2.52
SMA	12	2.16
CT	2	0.36
RRHA	540	97.47
SMA	442	79.78
CT	55	9.92
AA	12	2.16
CHA	15	2.7
H-S TR	3	0.54
SMA+CT	2	0.36
LGA	1	0.18
SA	2	0.36
IPDA	3	0.54
LGA-SA-GDA TR	4	0.72
GDA-SA TR	1	0.14
TOTAL	554	100

Table 3. Distribution by age of right aberrant hepatic arteries

Study group	Nr.	L min.	L Max.	AM	Me	SD	SE
Group of right aberrant hepatic arteries	554	18	91	66.42	66	10.47	0.44
Male group	398	29	91	65.22	65	10.13	0.51
Female group	156	18	91	69.48	70.5	10.73	0.86

Nr. – number of subjects ; L min. –minimum limit; L max. – maximum limit; AM –arithmetic mean; Me – median; SD – standard deviation; SE – standard error

Table 4. Distribution by age of cases with RCHA in the total group, in the male group and in the female group

Study group	Nr.	L min.	L Max.	AM	Me	SD	SE
Group with RCHA	76	19	93	66.49	67.5	12.08	1.39
Male cases	54	19	86	64.91	65.0	12.23	1.66
Female cases	22	40	93	70.36	72.5	11.03	2.35

Nr. – number of subjects ; L min. –minimum limit; L max. – maximum limit; AM –arithmetic mean; Me – median; SD – standard deviation; SE – standard error