

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Molecular kinetic modelling of nano-scale slip flow using a continuum approach

Citation for published version:

Shan, B, Wang, P, Wang, R, Zhang, Y & Guo, Z 2022, 'Molecular kinetic modelling of nano-scale slip flow using a continuum approach: Continuum modelling of molecular-scale slip', *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 939, A9. https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2022.186

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1017/jfm.2022.186

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Journal of Fluid Mechanics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Banner appropriate to article type will appear here in typeset article

Molecular kinetic modelling of nano-scale slip flow using a continuum approach

³ Baochao Shan¹, Peng Wang ¹, Runxi Wang ², Yonghao Zhang²[†], and Zhaoli Guo¹[‡]

⁴ ¹State Key Laboratory of Coal Combustion, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan

5 430074, China

6 ²School of Engineering, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FB, UK

7 (Received xx; revised xx; accepted xx)

One major challenge for a continuum model to describe nano-scale confined fluid flows 8 is the lack of a boundary condition that can capture molecular-scale slip behaviours. In 9 this work, we propose a molecular-kinetic boundary condition to model the fluid-surface 10 and fluid-fluid molecular interactions using the Lennard-Jones type potentials, and add a 11 mean-field force to the momentum equation. This new boundary condition is then applied to 12 investigate the nanoscale Couette and Poiseuille flows using the generalised hydrodynamic 13 model developed by Guo et al. (2006a). The accuracy of our model is validated by molecular 14 dynamics simulations and other models for a broad range of parameters including density, 15 shear rate, wettability and channel width. Our simulation results reveal some unexpected and 16 unintuitive slip behaviours at the nanoscale, including the epitaxial layering structure of fluids 17 and the slip length minimum. The slip length minimum, which is analogous to the Knudsen 18 minimum, can be explained by competing fluid-solid and fluid-fluid molecular interactions 19 as density varies. A new scaling law is proposed for the slip length to account for not 20 only the competing effect between the fluid-solid and fluid-fluid molecular interactions, but 21 also many other physical mechanisms including the competition between the fluid internal 22 potential energy and kinetic energy, and the confinement effect. While the slip length is 23 nearly constant at the low shear rates, it increases rapidly at the high shear rates due to 24 friction reduction. These molecular-scale slip behaviours are caused by energy corrugations 25 at the fluid-solid interface where strong fluid-solid and fluid-fluid molecular interactions 26 interplay. 27

28 Key words: Molecular kinetic theory, gas kinetic theory, slip length, slip dynamics, nanoflow

29 1. Introduction

30 Although the no-slip boundary condition is widely adopted in continuum fluid dynamics, it

- 31 fails to account for the unexpected fast mass transport of fluids in the nanoscale systems (Holt
- 32 et al. 2006; Falk et al. 2010; Secchi et al. 2016), and may even lead to unrealistic simulated

† Email address for correspondence: yonghao.zhang@ed.ac.uk ‡ Email address for correspondence: zlguo@hust.edu.cn





B.C. Shan, et al.

flow behaviours in, e.g. a liquid spreading on a solid substrate, corner flows, and extrusion of 33 polymer melts from a capillary tube (Thompson & Troian 1997). In recent years, the rapid 34 development of micro/nano technologies and related industrial processes including shale gas 35 extraction, gas separation, self-cleaning surfaces, and tribology and lubrication (Wu et al. 36 2016; Cai et al. 2019; Sholl & Johnson 2006; Yao et al. 2015; Urbakh et al. 2004; Ma et al. 37 2015; Ho et al. 2019a) poses a research challenge in understanding and quantifying fluid slip 38 dynamics at a fluid-solid interface, which may help to develop energy-efficient transportation 39 with significant drag-reduction (Martini et al. 2008a). 40

Slip dynamics of fluids over a solid surface has been extensively studied experimen-41 tally (Zhu & Granick 2001; Secchi et al. 2016; Henot et al. 2018), theoretically (Richardson 42 1973; Lauga & Stone 2003; Nott 2011; Wu et al. 2017; Zampogna et al. 2018; Wang & 43 44 Hadjiconstantinou 2019), and numerically (Thompson & Troian 1997; Sholl & Johnson 2006; Martini et al. 2008a,b; Falk et al. 2010; Bailey et al. 2017; Ho et al. 2020). Due to 45 difficulties and limitations of experimental and theoretical studies, molecular dynamics (MD) 46 simulations have been widely adopted to probe the microscopic slip behaviours of fluids at 47 the fluid-solid interface (Thompson & Troian 1997; Hsu & Patankar 2010). MD results 48 show that surface effect is prominent in nanofluidics, and molecular-scale slip behaviours 49 are greatly affected by the wall molecular potentials (Guo et al. 2006a; Mashayak & Aluru 50 2012), the cross-sectional fluid density and viscosity oscillations (Bhadauria et al. 2015; 51 Heiranian & Aluru 2020), and the fluid molecular interactions (Thompson & Trojan 1997; 52 Martini et al. 2008a,b; Ho et al. 2011; Bitrián & Principe 2018). These valuable insights 53 help to understand the mechanisms of molecular-scale slips at a fluid-solid interface from 54 the molecular perspective. Due to the computational cost, MD simulations are restricted 55 to extremely small systems and time steps, which has motivated researchers to develop 56 computationally more efficient methods, e.g. continuum models. However, to date, it remains 57 an open question on how to capture these molecular characteristics in a continuum modelling 58 framework. 59

The difficulties of a continuum model in reproducing molecular-scale slip behaviours are 60 mainly caused by inhomogeneities of the fluids with a vast fluid/surface region in nanoscale 61 systems (Richardson 1973; Hocking 1976; Priezjev & Troian 2006), where the fluid properties 62 and its dynamical behaviour are significantly different from the bulk region (Sochi 2011; 63 Guo et al. 2006a; Mashayak & Aluru 2012; Bhadauria et al. 2015). For example, the 64 65 epitaxial layering structure of fluids near the wall surface of a nano-confined system is associated with the molecular-scale slip at the fluid-solid interface (Voronov et al. 2008), 66 which can dramatically change the momentum transfer efficiency between the fluid and solid 67 surface (Cao et al. 2006). Consequently, in order to reproduce molecular-scale slip behaviours 68 by a continuum model, it is imperative to take these molecular-scale characteristics into 69 account in a continuum modelling approach (Hsu & Patankar 2010; Bhadauria et al. 2015; 70 Heiranian & Aluru 2020). 71

In recent years, some attempts have been made to develop continuum models to investigate molecular-scale slip behaviours in a nanoscale system (Hsu & Patankar 2010) where the continuum fluid mechanics meets the molecular nature of matters (Secchi *et al.* 2016). For example, Hsu & Patankar (2010) studied the molecular-scale slip behaviours in the nano-channels, which indicates that the continuum approach can describe molecular-scale slip behaviours provided underlying molecular-scale physics can be properly considered. According to Hsu & Patankar (2010), the following two challenges remain to be tackled.

(i) An effective solid-fluid potential, consistent with MD simulations, is needed toaccurately describe surface fluid interactions.

(ii) The molecular interactions between fluid molecules require to consider the non-ideal
 gas effect in a dense fluid.

The generalised hydrodynamic model developed previously by one of the authors has 83 partly addressed the second challenge (Guo et al. 2006a), where the Enskog equation for 84 dense gases was used to take account of non-ideal gas effect, and considered the surface-fluid 85 interactions through the Lennard-Jones (LJ) type potentials, which has shown to be able to 86 predict fluid layering structure in nano-confined spaces (Guo et al. 2006b; Shan et al. 2020). 87 While these works indicate the feasibility of the continuum approach, the molecular-scale 88 89 slip behaviours at the surface are not encapsulated, which will be addressed in the present work. 90

The molecular kinetic theory (MKT) has been proved to be effective in modelling chemical 91 reactions (Glasstone et al. 1941), viscous flow (Martini et al. 2008a; Wang & Zhao 2011; 92 Wang & Hadjiconstantinou 2019), and wetting dynamics (De Coninck & Blake 2008; Yuan 93 & Zhao 2013), which bridges the molecular and continuum scales. While progress has been 94 made to understand slip dynamics at the nanoscale, the underlying slip mechanisms are 95 not fully understood. A slip model for a predictive simulation tool which encapsulates 96 essential slip physics in particular fluid molecular interaction is still lacking (Wang & 97 Hadjiconstantinou 2019). 98

Here, a new MKT slip model will be developed to consider the effect of molecular 99 100 interactions between the fluid molecules and between the fluid/solid molecules on slip dynamics at the nano-scale. Together with the generalised hydrodynamic model (Guo et al. 101 2006*a*), the fluid dynamics at the nanometer scale can be described by a continuum approach. 102 In § 2, a brief introduction to the generalised hydrodynamic model and slip dynamics at the 103 nano-scale is given. In § 3, the molecular-scale slip dynamics in strongly inhomogeneous 104 nano-scale system is discussed, leading to the new molecular-kinetic boundary condition. 105 The simulation details and discussions are presented in § 4, and the conclusions are given in 106 § 5. 107

108 2. Governing equation and molecular-scale slip

Here, we will use a continuum model to describe slip behaviour of fluid flows in confined 109 nano-scale spaces. At the nanometer scale, the van der Waals forces between fluid molecules 110 and between fluid-solid molecules become important, which are normally ignored in a 111 continuum model. The fluid properties including density and viscosity oscillate significantly 112 113 over a molecule size due to the fluid-solid and fluid-fluid molecular interactions (Bitsanis et al. 1988; Bhadauria et al. 2015; Heiranian & Aluru 2020). Therefore, such a system at the 114 nano-scale is strongly inhomogeneous (Davis 1987; Bitsanis et al. 1988; Vanderlick et al. 115 1989; Pozhar & Gubbins 1993; Guo et al. 2006b; Dalton et al. 2015; Shan et al. 2020). As 116 shown in figure 1 (a) and (b), a depletion area exists in the immediate vicinity of the wall due 117 118 to the volume exclusion effect or the short-range repulsion between solid and fluid molecules, which leads to difference between the physical boundary and the slip plane (Bhadauria et al. 119 120 2015).

According to the fluid density distribution across the channel, the fluid can be divided into 121 122 an interfacial region near the wall and a bulk region in the center as shown in figure 1 (a). The interfacial region arises due to competing solid-fluid and fluid molecular interactions, 123 124 exhibiting a layering structure near the wall (Patashinski et al. 2019; Kavokine et al. 2021). Consequently, the interfacial region is also called gas adsorption area or adsorption layers, 125 where the fluid density is normally larger than bulk density due to usually strong solid-fluid 126 molecular attractions near the wall. In the bulk region, fluid molecules would not be affected 127 by the wall potential, so the fluid density is constant. 128

Generally, the conventional Navier-Stokes (NS) equation, which describes fluid flows in a homogeneous system, will not be applicable to the interfacial region of strongly inhomogeneous fluids. In this work, we attempt to model nano-scale flows using a continuum

- 132 approach, focusing on simple fluids.
- 133 2.1. Generalised hydrodynamic model

To reproduce molecular-scale slip behaviours by a continuum model, the fluid-solid and fluid molecular interactions should be appropriately taken into account (Hsu & Patankar 2010). As an extension of the NS equation, a generalised hydrodynamic model was proposed by Guo *et al.* (2006*a*) based on the gas kinetic theory, which is applicable to both homogeneous and inhomogeneous systems. The mass and momentum conservation equations in this generalised hydrodynamic model can be written as

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u}) = 0, \qquad (2.1a)$$

141
$$\partial_t(\rho \boldsymbol{u}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{u}) + RT \boldsymbol{\nabla} \rho + \frac{\rho}{m} [\boldsymbol{\nabla}(\phi_w + \phi_m) - \boldsymbol{G}] = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\eta \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}) - \rho RT (2A\chi + \boldsymbol{B}\overline{n}) V_0,$$

142 (2.1b)

14

where $\rho = mn$ is the fluid density with *m* being the mass of a fluid molecule and *n* being the fluid number density, i.e. the fluid molecule numbers per unit volume; *u*, the fluid velocity; *t*, the time; ϕ_w and ϕ_m , the wall potential and the fluid potential related to intermolecular attractions, respectively; *G*, the body force; η , the fluid viscosity; $R = k_B/m$, the gas constant with k_B being the Boltzmann constant; *T*, the fluid temperature; χ , the radial distribution function; $V_0 = 2\pi\sigma^3/3$; \bar{n} , the local average density (Bitsanis *et al.* 1987); $\overline{\nabla u} = \nabla u + (\nabla u)^{T}$; and *A* and *B*, the two vector functions defined by (Guo *et al.* 2005)

150
$$A(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{D} \int_{|\mathbf{r}'| < \sigma/2} \mathbf{r}' \overline{n} (\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}') \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}', \qquad (2.2a)$$

$$\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{D} \int_{|\boldsymbol{r}'| < \sigma/2} \boldsymbol{r}' \chi[\overline{n}(\boldsymbol{r} + \boldsymbol{r}')] \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}', \qquad (2.2b)$$

where $D = \pi \sigma^5 / 120$ with σ being the fluid molecule diameter, and r is the spatial position. According to the Enskog theory for dense fluids (Chapman & Cowling 1970), fluid viscosity needs to be corrected for dense fluids as fluid molecule size is not negligible and collisional transfer of momentum and energy needs to be considered, which can be evaluated by

157
$$\eta = \eta_0 \overline{n}_f V_0 [(\overline{n}_f V_0 \chi)^{-1} + 0.8 + 0.7614 \overline{n}_f V_0 \chi], \qquad (2.3)$$

158 where η_0 is the fluid viscosity for a dilute gas.

For a two-dimensional flow as shown in figure 1(b), equation (2.1*b*) in the *y*-direction reduces to

161
$$\frac{d}{dy}\left(\ln n_f + \frac{\phi_w + \phi_m}{k_B T}\right) = -(2A\chi + B\overline{n}_f)V_0, \qquad (2.4)$$

162 from which the density distribution across the channel can be obtained.

For Couette and Poiseuille flows, the velocity component in the x-direction can be determined by

$$\frac{d}{dy}\left(\eta\frac{du}{dy}\right) = 0,\tag{2.5}$$

166 and

165

167
$$\frac{d}{dy}\left(\eta\frac{du}{dy}\right) + G_x n = 0, \qquad (2.6)$$

respectively, with G_x in (2.6) being a constant external force in the flow direction.

Focus on Fluids articles must not exceed this page length

Continuum modelling of molecular-scale slip



Figure 1: Schematic of a nano-scale Couette flow and illustration of molecular-scale slip at the surface: (*a*) division of flow regions according to the density distribution; (*b*) the true and extrapolated velocity of a Couette flow; (*c*) the relationship between the micro and apparent velocities.

As we can see from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), both density and velocity profiles are positiondependent in nano-confined channels due to the effects of wall potential and fluid molecular

171 interactions. This position-dependence of fluid properties lead to some interesting and

unexpected flow behaviours. However, in order to solve (2.5) and (2.6), appropriate boundary

173 conditions, which are currently lacking, need to be specified.

174

190

2.2. Molecular scale slip

As discussed above, some unique features exist in strongly inhomogeneous fluid flows: (i) 175 there is an interfacial region (also called an adsorption layer) near the wall, where the fluid 176 density is much higher than in the bulk region, while the cross-sectional density is uniform in 177 a conventional homogeneous system; (ii) in addition to the density and viscosity oscillations, 178 the shear rate of a Couette flow is no longer constant and the velocity distribution is not 179 linear, see figure 1. Similarly, the shear rate in a Poiseuille flow is no longer linear, with 180 181 the velocity distribution being non-parabola; (iii) due to the finite size of fluid and solid molecules, and the strong repulsion between them when they are close, the first fluid layer 182 is away from the physical boundary, i.e. the solid surface, which is illustrated by the dashed 183 lines in figure 1(b). These molecular features affect dynamics of strongly inhomogeneous 184 fluid including both the velocity slip at the fluid-solid interface and the velocity distribution 185 186 across the channel.

The slip phenomenon is generally quantified by the slip length L_s (Thompson & Troian 1997; Martini *et al.* 2008*a*; Hsu & Patankar 2010; Wang & Hadjiconstantinou 2019), which can be calculated according to the Navier slip boundary condition

$$L_s = \frac{u_s^{\alpha p \cdot p}}{\gamma},\tag{2.7}$$

where u_s^{app} is the apparent slip velocity as shown in figure 1(c), and γ is the shear rate 191 evaluated in the bulk region. This is to say, the slip length in (2.7) is associated with the bulk 192 region properties, where the NS equation is assumed to be valid. As shown in figure 1(b), 193 a slip length is positive when the extrapolated position, where the extrapolated velocity 194 becomes equal to the wall velocity, is outside the physical boundary. And the slip is also 195 called a positive slip. Otherwise, it is a negative or stick slip. Not only does the velocity 196 distribution depend on fluid information in the bulk region (e.g. viscosity), but also the 197 molecular interactions in the interfacial region (e.g. the fluid layering structure). 198



Figure 2: The schematic of fluid molecules passing over a solid surface. (a) The total resistance from the fluid-solid interaction ΔE_{wf} and fluid-fluid molecular interaction ΔE_{ff} . (b) The lattice structure of a solid wall and the related energy corrugations over the surface.

Taking a Couette flow as an example, in order to obtain the velocity distribution across the 199 whole channel which is non-linear as shown in figure 1(b), the fluid velocity at the boundary 200 needs to be determined. For strongly inhomogeneous nano-scale flows, a velocity difference 201 exists between the wall and the fluid at the wall due to slip, which is called micro slip velocity 202 $u_s = |u_w - u_f|$, as shown in figure 1(c). While the apparent slip velocity u_s^{app} can be close 203 to the true slip velocity u_s when the interfacial region is negligible, their difference can 204 be significant when the characteristic length of the flow domain reduces to the nano-scale. 205 Therefore, we need to determine the true slip velocity u_s to obtain the velocity distribution 206 of strongly inhomogeneous flows. 207

208 **3. Slip mechanisms and molecular kinetic modelling**

In the previous slip models that are based on MKT, the slip resistance is usually considered to
be caused by the fluid-solid molecular interaction only, e.g. (Glasstone *et al.* 1941; Wang &
Zhao 2011). However, fluid molecular interaction was found to contribute to slip resistance
in nanoscale flows, which should be considered properly in a MKT slip model. (Wang &
Hadjiconstantinou 2019).

3.1. *Slip mechanisms and related models*

The slip dynamics of strongly inhomogeneous flows at the solid-liquid interface can be modelled as a thermally activated process (Glasstone *et al.* 1941; Lichter *et al.* 2007; Wang & Zhao 2011; Wang & Hadjiconstantinou 2019). Two key factors in MKT are the hopping length δ and the hopping rate α . At equilibrium, fluid molecules preferentially occupy the most stable vacancies in energy corrugations. The hopping rates for the fluid molecules to move forwards and backwards are equal, which follows the Arrhenius dynamics (Glasstone

221 et al. 1941; Martini et al. 2008b) as

222
$$\alpha_{+} = \alpha_{-} = \alpha_{0} = \frac{k_{B}T}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E}{k_{B}T}\right), \qquad (3.1)$$

where α_+ , α_- and α_0 are the hopping rates of moving forwards, backwards and at equilibrium, respectively; *h* is the Planck constant; ΔE is the total energy barrier depth, which represents the total slip resistance from fluid-fluid and fluid-solid molecular interactions.

If a shear stress τ is exerted on fluid molecules in the flow direction, the energy of a fluid molecule to move forwards is elevated by the magnitude of $0.5\tau S\delta$, where *S* is the effective area that the fluid molecule experiences the shear, so the probability of the fluid molecules to move forwards and backwards can be expressed by

230
$$\alpha_{+} = \frac{k_{B}T}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E - 0.5\tau S\delta}{k_{B}T}\right), \qquad (3.2a)$$

$$\alpha_{-} = \frac{k_{B}T}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E + 0.5\tau S\delta}{k_{B}T}\right).$$
(3.2b)

233 The slip velocity $u_s = \delta(\alpha_+ - \alpha_-)$ can consequently be calculated by

234
$$u_s = \delta \frac{2k_B T}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E}{k_B T}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\tau S \delta}{2k_B T}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

235 This is the classic MKT slip model proposed by Glasstone *et al.* (1941).

Based on the slip model (3.3), many other MKT models have been proposed to extend its applicability. Yang (2020) took the critical shear stress into account and proposed a new slip model. Similarly, Wang & Zhao (2011) considered both the critical shear stress and the energy dissipation near the liquid-solid interface, and proposed the following extended MKT slip model

241
$$u_s = f_d \delta \frac{2k_B T}{h} \frac{F^+}{F_0} \exp\left(-\frac{E_0}{k_B T}\right) \sinh\left[\frac{\operatorname{Hev}(\tau - \tau_c)S\delta}{2k_B T}\right], \quad (3.4)$$

where E_0 is the activation energy at the absolute zero, f_d is a dissipation factor, accounting for the energy dissipation between liquid layers at high shear stress, which is unity at low and moderate shear stress; and Hev() is the Heaviside function.

The critical shear stress τ_c was conjectured from experiments (Granick *et al.* 2003), but was found to be negligible in numerical simulations (Ma *et al.* 2011). In the linear flow regime, the energy dissipation factor f_d may be neglected, so the slip model (3.4) reduces to (3.3). The above models (3.3) and (3.4) only consider the effect of fluid-solid molecular interaction on the slip resistance, and ignor the fluid molecular interaction.

250

3.2. Effect of fluid molecular interactions on slip dynamics

According to Blake & De Coninck (2002) and Zhao & Cheng (2017), the total resistance in a rate process arises from both fluid-solid and fluid-fluid molecular interactions, as shown in figure 2(a). Assuming the superposition of the both potential contributions (Blake & De Coninck 2002; Wang & Hadjiconstantinou 2019), i.e.

$$\Delta E = \Delta E_{wf} + \Delta E_{ff}, \tag{3.5}$$

where ΔE_{wf} and ΔE_{ff} are the energy barrier depths related to fluid-solid and fluidfluid molecular interactions, respectively, Wang & Hadjiconstantinou (2019) proposed the 258 following slip model

259

267

278

292

8

$$u_s = 2\delta\omega_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E_{wf}}{k_B T}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{S\delta}{2k_B T}\eta\gamma\right).$$
(3.6)

In (3.6), the shear stress τ in (3.3) is replaced by the product of viscosity η and shear rate γ , which are evaluated at the interface between the interfacial and bulk regions. The slip length dependency on density, temperature and fluid-solid coupling strength has been fully investigated by Wang & Hadjiconstantinou (2019). However, the parameter δ and the term $\omega_0 \exp \left[-\Delta E_{wf}/(k_BT)\right]$ are treated as fitting parameters which need to be calibrated for every operation condition by MD or experimental data. Therefore, this model provides a way to post-process MD or experimental data and does not have predictive power.

3.3. Molecular kinetic modelling and analysis

Although the slip resistance arising from fluid molecular interactions should be considered, as pointed out by Wang & Hadjiconstantinou (2019), how to quantify its effect on slip velocity remains unresolved. As shown in figure 2(a), the resistance for a fluid molecule moving forwards originates from two components, namely the solid-liquid energy barrier ΔE_{wf} and the fluid-fluid energy barrier ΔE_{ff} (Blake & De Coninck 2002; Zhao & Cheng 2017; Wang & Hadjiconstantinou 2019). Here, we will develop our slip model through modelling of ΔE_{wf} and ΔE_{ff} .

In our model, the molecular interactions between molecules are treated by the 12-6 LJ potential as in MD simulations (Thompson & Troian 1997; Martini *et al.* 2008*a*; Morciano *et al.* 2017), i.e.

$$\phi_{ij} = 4\epsilon_{ij} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r}\right)^6 \right],\tag{3.7}$$

where ϵ_{ij} and σ_{ij} are the energy and length parameters, r is the distance between molecules, 279 and the subscript i and j denote two interacting molecules. While the 12-6 LJ potential is 280 widely adopted in MD simulations (Thompson & Troian 1997; Martini et al. 2008a,b; Falk 281 282 et al. 2010; Bailey et al. 2017; Morciano et al. 2017), it is seldom used in the mesoscopic kinetic and macroscopic continuum models (Mashayak & Aluru 2012; Bhadauria et al. 2015; 283 284 Shan et al. 2020). The effective radii of two different types of molecules is chosen at a position where repulsive interaction become pronounced. In addition, the energy parameter ϵ is related 285 to the induced dipole interactions between two molecules. Therefore, the mixed energy and 286 length parameters are determined by the Lorentz-Berthelot combination rule (Lorentz 1881; 287 288 Berthelot 1898)

289
$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_i + \sigma_j}{2}, \quad \epsilon_{ij} = \sqrt{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j}. \tag{3.8}$$

290 Considering the 12-6 LJ fluid-solid interactions and the lattice structure of the solids, the 291 fluid-solid energy barrier ΔE_{wf} can be expressed as

$$\Delta E_{wf} = a\epsilon_{wf},\tag{3.9}$$

where *a* is a constant characterizing the strength of fluid-solid interactions. Although the
relationship in (3.9) appeared in some studies (Wang & Zhao 2011; Wang & Hadjiconstantinou 2019), a full explanation of its physical meaning as well as its derivation is still missing.
We include the detailed derivation and numerical validation in Appendix A.

Now we focus on modelling the effect of fluid molecular interaction on slip. The overall impact of surrounding fluid molecules on the slip of a molecule can be depicted by this term: $\exp[-\Delta E_{ff}/(k_BT)]$ (Wang & Hadjiconstantinou 2019). However, an explicit determination of ΔE_{ff} is difficult (Wang & Hadjiconstantinou 2019). Since ΔE_{ff} is related to fluid molecules in both the interfacial and bulk regions, see figure 2(a), we propose the following transformation,

303 $\frac{\Delta E_{ff}}{k_B T} \sim \frac{\epsilon_{wf}}{\epsilon_{ff}}.$ (3.10)

It is noted that although the left term in (3.10) explicitly includes temperature *T*, the term $\Delta E_{ff}/(k_BT)$ can be regarded as independent of *T*. For the physical process of molecular slip, an empty site will be available in the flow direction when a fluid molecule moves forward. According to Glasstone *et al.* (1941), the work required to make such an empty site is equal to the energy of vaporization ΔE_{vap} , which can relate to ΔE_{ff} as

$$\Delta E_{vap} \sim \Delta E_{ff}.$$
 (3.11)

As $\Delta E_{vap}/(k_BT) = b(v_m/v_f)^{1/3}$ (with *b* being the packing number, v_m being the molecular volume, and v_f being the molecular free volume; these parameters are negligibly affected by temperature) (Glasstone *et al.* 1941; Blake & De Coninck 2002), $\Delta E_{vap}/(k_BT)$ can be considered to be independent of temperature. Based on equation (3.11) and the physical process of molecular slip, (3.10) is a reasonable approximation to explicitly consider the effect of fluid molecular interaction on slip.

Considering both fluid-fluid and fluid-solid molecular interactions on slip, our MKT slip model can be written as

318
$$u_s = f_{wet} \delta \frac{2k_B T}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{a\epsilon_{wf}}{k_B T}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\tau S\delta}{2k_B T}\right), \qquad (3.12)$$

where f_{wet} is a wettability factor accounting for fluid molecular interactions on slip, which can be expressed as

321
$$f_{wet} = c_1 \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{\epsilon_{wf}}{\epsilon_{ff}}\right).$$
(3.13)

where c_1 and c_2 are two fitting parameters depending on fluid and solid molecular properties. 322 The above equation (3.12) is an extended MKT slip model, which bridges the molecular 323 scale to the continuum hydrodynamics. Compared to the previous MKT slip models, all the 324 parameters of our model are straightforward to determine, which do not depend on flow 325 326 geometries or operation conditions. For example, δ is the distance between adjacent potential wells, which is related to the arrangement of solid molecules, see figure 2(b); S is the effective 327 area, which can be taken as the square of the average distance between fluid molecules in the 328 first adsorption layer; f_{wet} needs to be calibrated once by MD simulation for a given fluid-329 solid system. Both fluid-solid and fluid molecular interactions on slip are considered. The 330 331 fluid-solid energy barrier is modelled by equation (3.9), while the fluid-fluid energy barrier is modelled by the wettability factor f_{wet} in (3.13). According to Barrat & Bocquet (1999) 332 and Śliwińska-Bartkowiak et al. (2014), the ratio $\epsilon_{wf}/\epsilon_{ff}$ is directly related to the wettability. 333 This is physically reasonable since the distribution of fluid molecules under confinement is 334 335 controlled by the wettability. The critical shear stress and the energy dissipation between adsorption layers are not taken into account in (3.12), but it is convenient to consider them 336 337 if necessary as in Wang & Zhao (2011). Since all parameters can be straightforwardly determined, this extended MKT slip model can be employed as a boundary condition for 338 a continuum model to determine slip velocity at the fluid-solid interface, which will be 339 340 elaborated in \S 4.

It is noted that the slip velocity (3.12) models the hopping of molecules in the first adsorption layer, which corresponds to the surface diffusion rate of adsorbed gas (Ruckenstein & Rajora 1983; Shu *et al.* 2017). This is important in many engineering applications, such



Figure 3: Schematic of the physical model in MD simulations

as shale gas and coal bed methane development, where adsorbed gas accounts for large proportion in low-permeability shales and coals (Wu *et al.* 2019; Zhang *et al.* 2019).

346 4. Results and discussion

Here, we apply the molecular-kinetic slip boundary condition (3.12) together with the generalised continuum model (2.4) and (2.5) to investigate nano-scale surface-confined Couette and Poiseuille flows. In Couette flows, the top and bottom plates move in the *x* and -x directions at a speed of u_w , while a constant external force G_x is exerted on argon molecules in the *x* direction in Poiseuille flows. The details of determining slip velocities and slip lengths in Couette and Poiseuille flows are introduced in Appendices B and C, respectively.

Meanwhile, MD simulations using LAMMPS are conducted to validate the current 354 continuum approach. In our non-equilibrium MD simulations, argon molecules are confined 355 between two parallel graphite plates, as shown in figure 3. The 12-6 LJ potential is employed 356 to model fluid-solid and fluid-fluid molecular interactions with a cut-off distance of 1.2 nm. 357 The graphite molecules are fixed in our simulations. The system temperature is kept constant 358 by implementing a Nosé-Hoover thermostat (Evans & Holian 1985). The periodic boundary 359 condition is employed in the x and z directions, respectively. After reaching equilibrium, the 360 simulations are run with a time step of 2 fs to a minimum duration of 20 ns. 361

In our continuum and MD simulations of the nano-confined flows, we employ the 362 same input parameters to ensure quantitatively meaningful comparisons. Unless otherwise 363 specified, the corresponding parameters are: (i) the characteristic length of the flow path 364 is H = 5 nm, which is the distance between the first layer of solid molecules in the top 365 and bottom plates; (ii) an isothermal process with the system temperature of T = 313 K is 366 considered; (iii) the average density of the fluids is controlled at $\rho = 500 \text{ kg/m}^3$; (iv) in the 367 Couette flow, the top and bottom plates move with a speed of $u_w = 150$ m/s in the opposite 368 directions; in the Poiseuille flow, the external force is set as $G_x = 0.0001 \text{ kcal/(mol·Å)}$; the 369 plate velocity and the external force are chosen to ensure the flow in the linear flow regime, 370 which means the slip length is not affected by the flow velocity; (v) the length and energy 371 372 parameters of the solid and fluid as well as their masses are summarised in table 1.

373 Here, we examine the role of temperature, characteristic length of the flow domain,

Rapids articles must not exceed this page length

	<i>m</i> , g/mol	σ , nm	ϵ , kcal/mol
Argon	39.95	0.3405	0.2378
Graphene	12.01	0.3390	0.0692

Table 1: Input parameters of argon (Barisik & Beskok 2011) and graphene (Suk & Aluru2017) for the continuum and MD simulations.



Figure 4: The dependency of wettability factor f_{wet} on energy parameters ratio $\epsilon_{wf}/\epsilon_{ff}$. The fitting curve is (3.13) with $c_1 = 172.41$ and $c_2 = -1.28$.

wettability, and density in slip dynamics. We also compare our slip model with the previous ones and establish a universal scaling law for the slip length.

376 4.1. The wettability factor accounting for fluid molecular interactions

In the present slip model (3.12), the effect of fluid molecular interaction on slip is reflected 377 by the wettability factor f_{wet} , which represents the resistance a fluid molecule *feels* during 378 slip exerted by its surrounding fluid molecules. By comparing slip velocities obtained from 379 the slip model (3.12) and our MD simulations, the dependency of the wettability factor f_{wet} 380 on energy parameters ratio $\epsilon_{wf}/\epsilon_{ff}$ can be obtained, as shown in figure 4. Here, the fitting 381 parameter is only calibrated once for the argon and graphene surface by the MD simulation 382 in both Couette and Poiseuille flows. The calibrated relationship will then be used for all the 383 other cases where the same argon and graphene are used in the following discussion. 384

The wettability factor f_{wet} decreases rapidly with the energy parameters ratio $\epsilon_{wf}/\epsilon_{ff}$, indicating a larger fluid resistance on the slip of a molecule at stronger wettability conditions. As wettability increases, the fluid density becomes larger in the first adsorption layer, leaving less empty sites along the flow direction for an activated molecule to move forwards. Consequently, although the flow resistance from the bulk fluid becomes smaller, it is harder for a fluid molecule to slip. This also indicates that the flow resistance from the adsorbed fluid molecules is much larger than from the bulk ones.

392

4.2. Effect of temperature on slip length

The density and velocity profiles of the argon between the moving graphite plates are displayed in figure 5 at T = 253 K, T = 353 K, and T = 453 K, respectively. Here, to examine the effect of temperature, we keep all the parameters the same except for temperature. The effect of other parameters (width, wettability and density) is also analyzed in this way in the following subsections.

As shown in figure 5, a strong adsorption layer forms at the fluid-solid interface followed 398 by a second layer of weak adsorption due to the competition between the fluid-solid and 399 fluid-fluid molecular interactions. As previously reported (Voronov et al. 2008; Ho et al. 400 2011), these epitaxial layering structures in the vicinity of solid surface dominate the slip 401 402 dynamics at the fluid-solid interface at the nano-scale. Consequently, the boundary condition alone, no matter a hydrodynamic one or a molecular kinetic one, is not sufficient to describe 403 the true slip dynamics, since the inhomogeneity of the fluid flow has to be considered by the 404 governing equations (Hsu & Patankar 2010). Moreover, fluid adsorption is important in many 405 engineering applications, e.g. unconventional shale gas production (Germanou *et al.* 2018; 406 407 Zhang et al. 2019). Therefore, the required large-scale engineering simulations motivate us to develop a computationally efficient model to capture molecular-scale interactions and to 408 accurately predict hydrodynamic behaviour at the system scale (Ho et al. 2019b). 409

Figure 5 also shows good agreement between our continuum and MD simulations consid-410 ering the influence of temperature on the velocity profiles. Furthermore, from figure 5(d), we 411 can see that the slip velocity increases with temperature, which is reasonable since the kinetic 412 energy of the fluid molecules, which escape from the potential wells, is larger at a higher 413 temperature. While the bulk fluid flow can be described by classical continuum models with 414 slip boundary conditions, the detailed flow behavior of gas adsorption is completely ignored. 415 However, the nanoscale confinement effect is more than a slip velocity at the solid surface, 416 because the thickness of adsorption layers may not be negligibly small comparing to the flow 417 dimension, which depends on the strength of interaction potentials between the surface and 418 fluid molecules. Therefore, a slip velocity at the boundary is not sufficient to account for the 419 nanoscale effect in an inhomogeneous system, which we would like to emphasise again. 420

The effect of temperature on velocity profiles of Poiseuille flows is shown in figure 6. Again, a good agreement between the continuum and the MD results is observed at different temperatures. Due to the large slip velocity in nano-graphene channels, the velocity distribution is plug-like, significantly enhancing the mass transfer efficiency in such structures.

426

4.3. Effect of channel width on slip length

The effect of channel width H on the slip length of Couette and Poiseuille flows is shown in 427 figure 7 where H ranges from 2.0 nm to 13.0 nm. Previously, it was reported that the slip 428 length L_s was independent of the channel width H (Cieplak et al. 2001) where the range 429 of channel width was not specified. However, as shown in figure 7(a), the slip length does 430 increase with the channel width rapidly in highly-confined Couette flows, while it decreases 431 with the channel width H in Poiseuille flows. Keerthi et al. (2018) experimentally reported 432 the helium transport driven by pressure through 2D nanochannels for different wall materials 433 434 and observed that the slip length decreases with the channel width, which is consistent with our computational finding. However, helium has a smaller mass and weaker interactions with 435 436 surface molecules, and the experiment was conducted in an ultra-rarefied condition with Kn $> 10^4$. Therefore, the observed enhancement effect in the experiment (Keerthi *et al.* 2018) is 437 438 more significant than our simulations of dense argon flows with Kn close to 0.01. For both Couette and Poiseuille flows, when H becomes sufficiently large, the slip length plateaus, 439 which is consistent with Kannam et al. (2013). 440

For comparison, the slip length obtained from the NS equation with the present slip model (3.12) is also included in figure 7, where the wettability factor is chosen to ensure the slip velocities for our continuum model and the NS equation are the same at H = 5 nm. The parameters such as viscosity and the effective area are evaluated at the average density



Figure 5: The comparison of cross-sectional density and velocity distributions between our continuum and MD simulations at (a) T = 253 K, (b) T = 353 K, and (c) T = 453 K, respectively; and (d) comparison of the velocity profiles obtained by the continuum model at different temperatures.



Figure 6: The effect of temperature on the velocity profiles of the Poiseuille flows.

445 $\rho_{avg} = 500 \text{ kg/m}^3$. The NS model predicts that slip length does not depend on channel width, 446 which is different from the finding of our continuum and MD simulations. This further proves 447 that the a slip boundary condition alone cannot capture the slip dynamics accurately in small 448 nano-channels, e.g., H < 13 nm in this study.

On the other hand, the normalised slip length, i.e. ratio of slip length to channel width L_s/H , decreases with the channel width in both Couette and Poiseuille flows, as shown in



Figure 7: The effects of channel width *H* on the slip length, (*a*) the Couette flow, and (*b*) the Poiseuille flow.



Figure 8: The effects of channel width *H* on the normalised slip length and the resistance coefficient, (*a*) the Couette flow, and (*b*) the Poiseuille flow.

451 figure 8. According to Cao *et al.* (2006), the flow resistance coefficient can be calculated by

452
$$f_r = \frac{48}{Re} \frac{1}{1 + 6\frac{L_s}{H}},$$
 (4.1)

where Re is the Reynolds number, and f is the resistance coefficient. As indicated by (4.1), 453 the overall flow resistance is mainly controlled by the ratio L_s/H at a fixed Re. Figure 8 454 shows how the resistance coefficient varies with channel widths at Re = 1. Clearly, the flow 455 resistance is smaller in the narrower nanochannels due to the larger L_s/H , i.e., the slip reduces 456 the flow resistance in highly-confined nanochannels. Compared to a Couette flow, the flow 457 resistance in a Poiseuille flow is smaller due to lager slip length at narrow nano-channels. 458 This indicates that the nano-confinement effect on flow physics of Couette and Poiseuille 459 flows is different. 460

461

4.4. Effect of wettability on slip length

The fluid-surface molecular interactions are key to understanding slip flow behaviours at the nano-scale. One of the main differences of our continuum model from the previous ones is that the fluid-fluid and fluid-solid molecular interactions are considered exactly the same as those in MD simulations. Here, the effect of molecular interactions, as reflected by ratio of the fluid-solid energy parameter to the fluid-fluid energy parameter, i.e. $\epsilon_{wf}/\epsilon_{ff}$, is investigated. As shown in figure 9, the slip length decreases with $\epsilon_{wf}/\epsilon_{ff}$ via a power law relationship and tends to no-slip when this ratio is sufficiently large in both Couette and Poiseuille flows.



Figure 9: A comparison of the slip length predicted by our continuum and MD simulations at different wettability conditions, (*a*) the Couette flow, and (*b*) the Poiseuille flow.

Again, our continuum results agree well with the MD data. The strong interactions among fluid and solid molecules as well as the lack of empty sites along the flow direction resist the fluid molecules to slip over a solid substrate at larger $\epsilon_{wf}/\epsilon_{ff}$, while the solid surface becomes repulsive and the viscous resistance becomes smaller when the fluid-solid coupling becomes weaker, which prompts the fluid molecules to slip over the solid surface. This finding is also consistent with the results of previous MD simulations (Barrat & Bocquet 1999; Voronov *et al.* 2006).

476

4.5. Effects of density on slip length

It was reported that the slip length decreases with fluid density in the MD simulations (Koplik *et al.* 1989; Barrat & Bocquet 1999; Voronov *et al.* 2008), which is consistent with the kinetic theory for rarefied gas flows. However, it is shown by our continuum model that the slip length increases with density for large densities, as shown in figure 10.

We perform MD simulations for the Couette and Poiseuille flows to verify this new finding. Our results are shown in figure 10. In both types of flows, there are two distinct regimes *I* and *II* divided by the critical density marked by the vertical dashed line at $\rho = 400 \text{ kg/m}^3$. For a dense fluid flow, the *Kn* is defined (Chapman & Cowling 1970) as

$$Kn = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}n_{avg}\pi\sigma^2\chi H},\tag{4.2}$$

where n_{avg} is the average fluid number density. Using this definition, the Kn is approximately 486 0.046 in the system considered. Consequently, in the regime I, namely for $\rho < 400 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 487 (Kn > 0.046), the rarefaction effect is important, where the fluid-solid molecular interaction 488 dominates. In this regime, the slip length increases as density decreases, which is consistent 489 with the gas kinetic theory (Koplik et al. 1989; Barrat & Bocquet 1999; Voronov et al. 2008). 490 In the regime II for $\rho > 400 \text{ kg/m}^3$ (Kn < 0.046), the fluid becomes denser. In this regime, 491 the average distance between fluid molecules is much smaller, and the fluid-fluid molecular 492 interaction becomes non-negligible. The slip length in this regime is found to increase with 493 the fluid density, which is contrary to the kinetic theory. 494

The above competing fluid-solid and fluid molecular interactions on the slip length L_s can be intuitively explained by the definition of the slip length. In (2.7), the slip velocity can be expressed as $u_s = \tau/\zeta = \eta \gamma/\zeta$ with ζ being the friction coefficient between the fluid and solid, and the slip length can consequently be expressed by two properties of the fluid-solid



Figure 10: A comparison of the slip length dependence on density between the continuum and MD simulations, (*a*) the Couette flow, and (*b*) the Poiseuille flow.

499 system, namely

500

$$L_s = \frac{\eta}{\zeta}.\tag{4.3}$$

At different densities, the fluid viscosity η can be calculated by equation (2.3), while 501 the friction coefficient ζ can be derived from the slip model (3.12), see Appendix D. 502 The friction coefficient and viscosity can reflect the strength of fluid-solid and fluid-fluid 503 504 molecular interactions, respectively. Although both parameters increase with density, the friction coefficient increases faster for small densities and more slowly for large densities, 505 but the opposite is true for the viscosity, see figure 11. The competing effect between fluid-506 solid and fluid-fluid molecular interactions leads to slip length minimum analogous to the 507 Knudsen minimum phenomenon in rarefied gas dynamics as shown in figure 11(b). So 508 at small densities, the flow is dominated by fluid-solid interaction where the slip length 509 510 decreases when the density increases. While at large densities, the flow is dominated by fluid molecular interactions where the slip length increases with density. Furthermore, the 511 inflexion density is also at approximately $\rho = 425 \text{kg/m}^3$, which is close to the continuum 512 and MD simulation results presented in figure 10, supporting our explanation of the physical 513 mechanism underlying the slip length minimum. It is noted that the slip length shown in 514 515 figure 11 is higher than our continuum and MD simulation results presented in figure 10. This discrepancy arises from the fact that the effective area S in equation (D 3) is evaluated 516 using the average density, while the density of the adsorbed gas should be used since the slip 517 occurs at the adsorption layer in the immediate contact with the wall. With this correction, 518 the predicted slip lengths are consistent. 519

The slip length minimum can also explained from an force balance perspective. In a 520 Couette flow, for example, it is the friction force that drives the fluid layer adjacent to the 521 plates to move first, and the bulk fluid will resist this movement through the viscous shear 522 force. In the regime II, the fluid viscosity becomes large as density increases such that the 523 friction force at the fluid-solid interface is not sufficient to overcome the viscous shear force 524 in the central bulk region at a larger shear rate (i.e. a smaller slip length). Consequently, the 525 shear rate will decrease to reduce the viscous shear force to establish a steady Couette flow, 526 which explains the increasing slip length with density in this regime. This phenomenon can 527 also be observed at different fluid-solid coupling strengths, as shown in figure 10(b). 528

529

4.6. A scaling law for the slip length minimum

As we can see from figures 10 and 11(b), the regime *I*, where the fluid-solid interaction dominates the flow, transits to the regime *II*, where the fluid molecular interaction is more



Figure 11: (a) the variation of viscosity and friction coefficient with density, and (b) the competing effect of the fluid-solid and fluid-fluid molecular interactions on slip length.

important, at nearly the same inflection density. As discussed above, the non-monotonicity of the slip length dependency on density reflects the competition between the fluid-solid and fluid molecular interactions, which can be characterised by the friction coefficient and the viscosity, respectively. This slip length minimum is interestingly analogous to the Knudsen minimum (Sheng *et al.* 2020).

537 Meanwhile, as indicated by the generalised hydrodynamic model (2.1) and (2.4), wall 538 potential ϕ_w and fluid intermolecular potential ϕ_m as well as the system temperature *T* play 539 crucial roles in the strongly inhomogeneous systems. To quantify the effect of these factors, 540 the parameter \prod is devised as (Guo *et al.* 2006*a*)

541
$$\prod = \frac{1}{H - 2\iota} \int_{\iota}^{H - \iota} \frac{\phi_w + \phi_m}{k_B T} dy \approx \frac{\epsilon_{ff}}{k_B T} \left(b_1 \frac{\sigma}{H} \frac{\epsilon_{wf}}{\epsilon_{ff}} + b_2 n \sigma^3 \right), \tag{4.4}$$

where ι is the distance between the first fluid layer to the solid, and b_1 and b_2 are two constants related to the wall potential and the fluid intermolecular potential, respectively. Consequently, a typical nanoscale dense fluid system can be characterised by the four nondimensional parameters, i.e., the reduced temperature $T_r = k_B T / \epsilon_{ff}$, the confinement factor H/σ , the energy ratio $\epsilon_{wf}/\epsilon_{ff}$, and the reduced fluid density $n\sigma^3$.

Inspired by equation (4.4), we propose the following universal scaling law for nondimensional slip length as

549
$$L_s^n = \frac{L_s}{\sigma} \frac{H}{\sigma} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{wf}}{\epsilon_{ff}}\right)^{\beta} \frac{\epsilon_{ff}}{k_B T} \frac{\eta}{\eta_0} Kn, \tag{4.5}$$

550 where β is a constant for a given solid and fluid system. Here, the ratio $\epsilon_{wf}/\epsilon_{ff}$ reflects the competition between the fluid-wall and fluid molecular interactions, and the ratio $\epsilon_{ff}/(k_BT)$ 551 capitulates the competition between the fluid internal potential energy and kinetic energy. 552 The denseness effect is characterised by the viscosity ratio between the dense fluid and the 553 corresponding dilute one, i.e., η/η_0 , and the rarefaction effect is illustrated by the Knudsen 554 number *Kn*. Using this scaling law, the relationship between the non-dimensional slip length L_s^n and the reduced density $\hat{n} = n\sigma^3$ in different systems is shown in figure 12, where 555 556 all the data almost collapse into a single line. This confirms our statement that the non-557 monotonicity of slip length on density is a result of the competition between the fluid-solid 558 and fluid molecular interactions, analogous to the Knudsen minimum. At low densities, the 559 slip length decreases when the density increases, while it increases with the density linearly 560 at high densities, regardless of the fluid-solid coupling strength, the system temperature and 561 dimension. 562

B.C. Shan, et al.



Figure 12: A universal scaling law of slip length considering the effects of density, fluid-solid coupling strength, channel width, and system temperature.



Figure 13: Dependency of the slip length on (*a*) density; (*b*) fluid-solid coupling strength; and (*c*) comparison between our continuum model (symbols) and the general scaling law (4.6) of Thompson & Troian (1997) (dash line).

4.7. Slip length dependency on shear rate

The pioneering work of Thompson & Troian (1997) reported that the Navier slip boundary condition is only applicable at low shear rates, and the slip length increases rapidly with γ at high shear rates (Thompson & Troian 1997). Based on their MD simulation results, a general

563



Figure 14: The fluid slip length at low and high shear rates

567 scaling law for the slip length was proposed as

568

$$L_s = L_s^0 (1 - \Gamma)^{-0.5}, \tag{4.6}$$

where L_s^0 is the limiting slip length at low shear rates, Γ is the ratio of the shear rate γ to the critical shear rate γ_c .

The present continuum model allows us to simulate a wide range of nano-scale confined 571 flows with different densities, temperatures, fluid-solid coupling strengths, shear rates and 572 flow geometries to check the validity of the above scaling law and to unravel the underlying 573 mechanisms. Our simulation results are shown in figure 13. The slip length dependency on 574 density and fluid-solid coupling strength can be clearly observed. The slip length is nearly 575 constant at low shear rates and increases rapidly after the critical shear rate γ_c . The results 576 also suggest that the critical shear rate is not affected by the density, but increases with the 577 increasing fluid-solid coupling strength. It is noted that a stick slip, where the slip length is 578 negative, is found in at the strong fluid-solid coupling strength in figure 13(b). 579

In figure 13(c), the dash line is the results of the scaling law (4.6) obtained from the MD 580 simulations (Thompson & Troian 1997), which are in excellent agreement with our results. 581 As shown in figure 13(c), two slip flow regimes are divided by the non-dimensional shear 582 rate $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$ as indicated by the vertical dash line. With $\Gamma < \Gamma_0$, it is the linear Navier slip 583 flow regime (I), in which the slip length increases linearly with the shear rate, namely the so-584 called linear response regime. When $\Gamma > \Gamma_0$, the friction-reduction slip flow regime starts. In 585 this regime (II), the friction coefficient decreases with the shear rate. Our continuum model 586 is able to capture this unique molecular-scale slip characteristics, which further confirms 587 the validity of our model. So the present continuum mode is well-suited for large-scale 588 simulations beyond the reach of MD simulations. 589

At a fluid-solid interface, the microscopic friction coefficient ζ is defined as the ratio 590 of viscosity to slip length, namely equation (4.3). For Newtonian fluids, the viscosity η 591 is independent of shear rate (Thompson & Troian 1997). As the slip length increases, the 592 friction coefficient decreases with the shear rate. This reduction of the friction coefficient can 593 594 be explained by the landscape of energy corrugation. There are energy corrugations over the wall surface due to the lattice structure of solids, see figure 14. At a low shear rate, there is 595 sufficient time for fluid molecules to occupy the low energy sites and remain in a stable state, 596 as shown in figure 14 of the Navier slip flow regime. In this regime (I), the fluid molecules 597 (in red) need to overcome ΔE_l to hop from one site to another. As the shear rate increases, the 598 speed of fluid molecules at the interface also increases. When the fluid moves fast enough, 599 fluid molecules do not invaginate themselves into the lower potential energy levels, but rather 600 skim over the substrate (Martini *et al.* 2008*a*), exhibiting a smaller energy barrier depth ΔE_h . 601 When the shear rate, i.e. the fluid moving speed is sufficiently large, the energy barrier depth 602 603 tends to zero, namely $\Delta E \rightarrow 0$. In this case, the solid-fluid interface becomes frictionless, and the slip tends to be infinite (Wu *et al.* 2017), i.e. $L_s \rightarrow +\infty$. 604



Figure 15: Using the continuum approach to simulate the slip flows of $\rho = 800 \text{ kg/m}^3$, T = 353 K, H = 6 nm over a wide range of fluid-solid coupling strengths.

605

4.8. Model analysis

Here, we apply the continuum model (2.1 and 3.12) to simulate the slip flows of $\rho = 800$ 606 kg/m³, T = 353 K, H = 6 nm using the wettability factor f_{wet} relationship in figure 4 which 607 is calibrated at $\rho = 500 \text{ kg/m}^3$, T = 313 K and H = 5 nm. The results of the slip flows over 608 a wide range of fluid-solid coupling strengths are presented in figure 15. The corresponding 609 MD simulations are also conducted which show good agreement with the continuum results, 610 see figure 15. As the wettability weakens, the continuum results gradually deviate from the 611 MD data. Considering the uncertainties in MD simulations and the large slip in the simulated 612 system for low wettability, the relative difference is still not significant. Therefore, the new 613 614 boundary condition (3.12) can help the present continuum model to accurately simulate slip flows, which is computationally efficient to enable practical engineering simulations. Even if 615 the energy parameter of carbon molecules ϵ_w is changed, the boundary condition still holds 616 as long as the array of surface molecules remain unchanged, which further indicates the 617 applicability of the present continuum model for nanoscale confined flows. 618

619

4.9. A comparison with other slip models

The MKT slip model (3.4) proposed by Wang & Zhao (2011) reduces to (3.3), which is proposed by Glasstone *et al.* (1941), if the shear stress is low and the critical shear stress is neglegible. In our study, the simulated cases are in the linear flow regime and there is no critical shear stress observed. Therefore, we compare our model with the Glasstone MKT model described by equation (3.3). Meanwhile, the commonly employed second-order slip boundary condition for the NS equation is also included for comparison, which is

626
$$u_s^{app} - u_w = \pm C_1 \lambda \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)_s - C_2 \lambda^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial n^2}\right)_s, \qquad (4.7)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are the first- and second-order slip coefficients, which are taken as $C_1 = 1.0$ and $C_2 = 0.5$ in our study (Chapman & Cowling 1970). Combining the 2nd order slip boundary condition with the Stokes equation, the slip velocity can be calculated as

630
$$u_s^{app} = -\frac{H^2}{2\eta} \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}x} (C_1 K n + 2C_2 K n^2). \tag{4.8}$$



Figure 16: Model comparison for slip velocity under different wettability, where the MD results serve as the benchmark solution.

Here, we note that only the apparent slip velocity can be calculated from the 2nd order
slip boundary condition, while a micro slip velocity can only be calculated from MKT slip
models.

The comparison of different models are shown in figure 16, where the MD data serve as the benchmark solution. The Glasstone model (3.3) fails to capture the slip dynamics for different wettability, while the results of the current model are in excellent agreement with the MD data. Since the *Kn* is independent of the wettability, the slip velocity from the 2nd-order slip boundary condition is constant, which is much smaller than the other predictions, especially for weak wettability. Therefore, the 2nd-order slip boundary condition is not applicable for strongly inhomogeneous nano-scale flows.

641

4.10. Navier Stokes equation with the current slip model

As discussed above, a slip boundary condition alone cannot accurately capture the flow dynamics in nano-scale systems. Here, with a slip velocity known *in priori*, the velocity distributions obtained from the present continuum model and the NS equation are compared for two different wettabilities. Again, the MD simulation results serve as the benchmark solution.

In the NS equation, the molecule size is not considered. So the depletion area, arising from the finite size of solid and fluid molecules, as well as the possibly strong repulsion between the solid and fluid molecules, is neglected in the NS equation. Therefore, the physical channel width (defined in figure 1 as H) should be kept equal for equivalent comparison, which means the effective channel width in the NS model is increased by the thickness of two depletion areas at the channel walls. From the computational perspective, the width of depletion area cannot be determined in the NS model, and the physical channel width should be chosen.

In figure 17, the velocity distributions across the channel are compared, where the velocity \hat{u} is defined as

656

$$\hat{u} = u - u_s,\tag{4.9}$$

where u_s is the slip velocity. As shown in the figure, the velocity distribution across the channel depends on wettability. The fluid flows fast at the weak fluid-solid coupling, i.e., small $\epsilon_{wf}/\epsilon_{ff}$. In contrast, the effect of fluid-solid coupling is not considered in the NS equation and the simulated velocity is not affected by wettability, which deviates from the MD data, especially for large wettability, see figure 17. This indicates that a slip boundary condition alone cannot capture slip dynamics in strongly inhomogeneous nano-scale systems,



Figure 17: A comparison of the present continuum model with the NS solutions. The MD results serve as the benchmark solution.

where the fluid-fluid and fluid-solid molecular interactions need to be considered by the corresponding governing equations.

665 5. Conclusions

In this work, the molecular kinetic boundary condition is developed to allow the generalised hydrodynamic model to probe the molecular-scale slip behaviours in nanoscale channels. In this continuum model, the molecular-scale slip characteristics are captured using the projected 12-6 LJ and 10-4-3 LJ potentials for the fluid-fluid and fluid-solid molecular interactions, which are consistent with those used in MD simulations. Therefore, the surface influence is also considered in the momentum equation as a mean-field force. A slip boundary condition alone is not sufficient to capture the molecular-scale fluid dynamics.

Slip length is found to decrease with density when the density is below the critical value, 673 and increases with density at larger densities. This non-intuitive behaviour is a consequence of 674 the force balance between surface friction and viscous force, reflecting competing fluid-solid 675 and fluid molecular interactions. A universal scaling law for the slip length which captures 676 the slip length minimum is proposed. The shear-rate dependent slip length is reproduced by 677 the present continuum model, which shows the two distinctive slip regimes, i.e. the Navier 678 slip regime at low shear rates, and the friction-reduction regime at high shear rates. The 679 influence of temperature, density, channel width, and fluid/surface coupling strength (i.e. 680 wettability) on the slip length are also investigated. Interestingly, the slip length is found to 681 increase with the channel width in a Couette flow, and to decrease in a Poiseuille flow before 682 it becomes independent of the channel width when the channel width is beyond a critical 683 value. The results show that the proposed slip boundary condition is applicable to different 684 thermodynamic states and fluid-solid systems once the wettability factor f_{wet} is calibrated. 685 The present continuum model provides an efficient and accurate method to simulate 686 strongly inhomogeneous confined fluid flows at the nano-scale, which can describe both 687 molecular-scale and macroscopic fluid dynamics. In comparison with MD, which is compu-688

tationally costly, this continuum model can be used for large-scale practical simulations.

690 Acknowledgement

⁶⁹¹ This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.

692 51836003 and No. 12002130). And funding support from the UK's Engineering and Physical

- 693 Sciences Research Council under Grant No. EP/R041938/1 is also acknowledged. Valuable
- advice from Prof. Fengchao Wang of the University of Science and Technology of Chinaabout their MKT slip model is highly appreciated.

696 Declaration of interests

697 The authors declare no competing interests.

698 Appendix A. Modelling of fluid-solid energy barrier

Fluid-solid molecular interaction is responsible to fluid adsorption and slip in the vicinity 699 of the wall. Here, we attempt to develop a simplified model for the fluid-solid interaction, 700 701 which can retain the essential physics. We employ a structureless plate to depict the role 702 of fluid-solid interaction in the formation of adsorption layers, since adsorption is hardly affected by the lattice structure of the solid. As the fluid and solid molecules interact via 12-6 703 LJ potential (3.7), the total potential exerted by all the solid molecules on a fluid molecule 704 can be calculated by an integration of the 12-6 potential over the whole solid surface, which 705 707 is

708

$$E_{wf}(y) = 4n_w \epsilon_{wf} \int_0^{2\pi} d\omega \int_0^{+\infty} \left[\frac{\sigma_{wf}^{12}}{(r^2 + y^2)^6} - \frac{\sigma_{wf}^6}{(r^2 + y^3)^3} \right] r \, dr,$$

= $2n_w \pi \epsilon_{wf} \sigma_{wf}^2 \left[\frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{\sigma_{wf}}{y} \right)^{10} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{wf}}{y} \right)^4 \right].$ (A 1)

10

709 This is the so-called 10-4 LJ potential, acting upon a fluid molecule adjacent to the solid surface by all the wall molecules. We can see that solid-fluid molecular interaction depends 710 on the perpendicular distance between the fluid molecule and the planar wall. This surface 711 force is strongly repulsive when the distance is small, and becomes attractive when the fluid 712 molecule moves away from the surface. This type of wall potential has been extensively used 713 714 in the previous studies (Bitsanis et al. 1987; Guo et al. 2006a), and produced the results in 715 good agreement with the MD simulations. Under the influence of this potential, the fluid molecules would preferentially occupy the most stable positions, namely the locations with 716 the lowest energy potential. Let $\partial E_{wf}/\partial y = 0$, the location of equilibrium sites with the 717 lowest potential can be found at $y = \sigma_{wf}$. 718

719 According to the MD studies (Thompson & Troian 1997; Lichter et al. 2007; Martini et al. 2008a,b, there should be some energy undulations in the flow direction due to 720 the lattice structure of solid surfaces. The fluid density varies tangentially along the solid 721 surface because fluid molecules preferentially occupy sites of lower energy over the solid 722 surface. To encapsulate this mechanism, a small perturbation is implemented in the 10-4 LJ 723 potential to generate energy corrugations along the flow direction. According to the previous 724 725 studies (Martini et al. 2008a,b; Hsu & Patankar 2010), assuming that the energy corrugation satisfies a sine function with the amplitude of e, which is small comparing to the total energy 726 potential, the potential distribution over the solid surface can then be expressed as 727

728
$$E_{wf}(x, y) = E_{wf}(y) \left[1 + e \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{\delta}x\right) \right].$$
(A 2)

Here, we conduct numerical simulations to evaluate accuracy of the perturbation described by a sinusoidal function in (A 2). All the 12-6 fluid-solid interaction pairs between the solid and fluid molecules are summed numerically to compare with the analytical solution.



Figure 18: A schematic of numerical summation of the fluid-solid potential on the slip plane



Figure 19: The energy corrugation over a solid surface: validation of the analytical perturbation given by (A 2).

Assuming there are N_s solid molecules, the total potential exerted by all solid molecules on the position *j* in the slip plane (see figure 18) can be calculated by summation as

734
$$\hat{E}_{wf}(j) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \phi_{ij},$$
 (A3)

where $\hat{E}_{wf}(j)$ is the potential that a fluid molecules *feels* at an arbitrary position j on the slip 735 plane. The potential distribution along the slip plane can be obtained numerically, as shown 736 in figure 19. The energy corrugation is well described by (A 2), and the perturbation is small 737 comparing to the local average potential, i.e. $e \ll E_{wf}(\kappa)$, where κ is the normal distance 738 between the equilibrium sites and the solid surface, as shown in figure 2. At a constant height 739 over the solid surface, the fluid molecules would experience a fluctuating potential as they 740 pass over the discrete solid atoms (Steele 1973). Therefore, this inhomogeneous potential in 741 the surface of the first fluid layer dominates the slip dynamics at the boundary. In the bulk 742 region, this energy corrugation can be ignored, since it decreases very rapidly (exponentially) 743 with its distance to the wall. 744

According to (A 2), for an energy corrugation with the amplitude of e, the energy barrier depth ΔE can be expressed as

$$\Delta E = 2eE_{wf}(\kappa). \tag{A4}$$

This demonstrates a linear relationship between the energy barrier depth ΔE and the energy parameter ϵ_{wf} for a pair of solid-fluid molecules. In order to further prove this point, we

747



Figure 20: The energy corrugation over a graphite solid at the equilibrium surface (*a*) and the relationship between the energy barrier depth ΔE and the energy parameter ϵ_{wf} fitted by (3.9) with *a* = 1.77, 1.07, and 0.66 for $\kappa = 0.32$ nm, 0.33 nm, and 0.34 nm, respectively (*b*).

- take a two-dimensional graphene surface as an example. The total potential distribution in a surface at a distance $\kappa = 0.34$ nm from the solid is determined numerically by a superposition of each solid-fluid molecular interaction pair. This energy corrugation is clearly shown in figure 20(*a*). In figure 20(*b*), the linear relationship between ΔE and ϵ_{wf} in surfaces at different distances from the solid ($\kappa = 0.32$ nm, 0.33 nm and 0.34 nm) is confirmed by the linear fitting between ΔE and ϵ_{wf} , i.e. the equation (3.9).
- For the multiple solid layers, the fluid-solid interactions can be described by the 10-4-3 LJ potential, also known as the Steele potential (Steele 1973), which can be written as

758
$$E_{wf}(y) = 2n_w \pi \epsilon_{wf} \sigma_{wf}^2 L \left[\frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{\sigma_{wf}}{y} \right)^{10} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{wf}}{y} \right)^4 - \frac{\sigma_{wf}^4}{3L(y+0.61L)^3} \right],$$
(A 5)

where *L* is the distance between adjacent solid plates. The relationship (3.9) still holds for the 10-4-3 LJ potential, which can be proved similarly as the 10-4 LJ potential.

761 Appendix B. Slip velocity and slip length in Couette flows

In a Couette flow, the shear stress $\tau = \eta(y)\gamma(y)$ should be a constant according to (2.5). Integrating (2.5) over the channel, the shear stress can be obtained as

764
$$\tau = \frac{2(u_w - u_s)}{\int_0^H \frac{1}{\eta(y)} \, \mathrm{d}y}.$$
 (B1)

Combining (3.12) and (B 1), the slip velocity u_s can be solved, after which the velocity across the channel can be obtained by

$$u|_{y=y_0} = u_w - u_s - \int_{y_0}^{H} \frac{\tau}{\eta(y)} \, \mathrm{d}y. \tag{B2}$$

- For a Couette flow, the equation (2.7) for calculating the slip length reduces to
- $L_s = \frac{u_w}{\gamma} \frac{H}{2}.$ (B 3)

770 Appendix C. Slip velocity and slip length in Poiseuille flows

767

In a nano-scale confined Poiseuille flow, the shear stress is not explicitly specified. Integrating the equation (2.6) and combining the symmetric condition $\gamma(y = H/2) = 0$, the shear stress B.C. Shan, et al.

773 distribution can be obtained as

774
$$\tau|_{y=y_0} = \left(\eta \frac{du}{dy}\right)\Big|_{y=y_0} = G \int_{y_0}^{H/2} n(y) \, \mathrm{d}y, \tag{C1}$$

from which we can see that the stress is related to the external force, the density distribution and the position. The stress at the bottom solid-fluid interface can be expressed as

777
$$\tau|_{y=0} = \left(\eta \frac{du}{dy}\right)\Big|_{y=0} = G \int_0^{H/2} n(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = \frac{G n_{avg} H}{2}.$$
 (C2)

Taking this stress into (3.12), the slip velocity can be determined. The velocity distribution can then be obtained by integrating (C1)

780
$$u|_{y=0} = \int_0^{y_0} \frac{\tau}{\eta} \Big|_{y=0} dy + u_s.$$
(C3)

For the Poiseuille flow, the slip length can be characterised by the apparent and intrinsic mass flow rate as

783
$$L_s = \frac{(Q_{app}/Q_{ins} - 1)H}{6},$$
 (C4)

where Q_{app} and Q_{ins} are the apparent and intrinsic mass flow rates, respectively. The intrinsic mass flow rate refers to flow satisfying Poiseuille law with a no-slip boundary condition, which is calculated by

787
$$Q_{ins} = -\frac{\rho H^3 w}{12\eta_{avg}} \nabla p, \qquad (C5)$$

where *w* is the width of nano-channel in the *z* direction, and ∇p is the pressure gradient related to external force by $-\nabla p = Gn$.

The apparent mass flow rate considers the effect of fluid density inhomogeneities and slipat the fluid-solid interface, which can be evaluated by

792
$$Q_{app} = wH \int_0^H \rho(y)u(y) \,\mathrm{d}y. \tag{C6}$$

793 Appendix D. The derivation of the friction coefficient

The derivation is divided into two regimes depending on the value of $\frac{\tau S \delta}{2k_B T}$. At small $\frac{\tau S \delta}{2k_B T}$, the hyperbolic function can be approximated by

796
$$\sinh\left(\frac{\tau S\delta}{2k_BT}\right) \approx \frac{\tau S\delta}{2k_BT}.$$
 (D 1)

797 Consequently, the slip model (3.12) can be rewritten as

798
$$\tau = u_s \frac{h}{f_{wet} \delta^2 S} \exp\left(\frac{a\epsilon_{wf}}{k_B T}\right). \tag{D2}$$

According to the relationship $\tau = \zeta u_s$, the friction coefficient at small shear stress can be obtained as

801
$$\zeta = \frac{h}{f_{wet}\delta^2 S} \exp\left(\frac{a\epsilon_{wf}}{k_B T}\right). \tag{D3}$$

26

At large $\frac{\tau S \delta}{2k_B T}$, the hyperbolic function can be approximated by

$$\sinh\left(\frac{\tau S\delta}{2k_BT}\right) \approx \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(\frac{\tau S\delta}{2k_BT}\right),$$
 (D 4)

and the slip model (3.12) can be rewritten as

805
$$\tau = \frac{2k_BT}{S\delta} \ln \left[u_s \frac{h}{k_B T f_{wet} \delta} \exp \left(\frac{a\epsilon_{wf}}{k_B T} \right) \right].$$
(D 5)

806 Consequently, the friction coefficient at large stress can be evaluated by

807
$$\zeta = \frac{2k_BT}{S\delta u_s} \ln\left[u_s \frac{h}{k_B T f_{wet} \delta} \exp\left(\frac{a\epsilon_{wf}}{k_B T}\right)\right], \qquad (D 6)$$

from which we can see that the friction coefficient depends on not only the system properties, but also the slip velocity at high shear stress. This corresponds to the friction reduction

810 regime at high shear rate.

803

REFERENCES

- BAILEY, N. Y., HIBBERD, S. & POWER, H. 2017 Dynamics of a small gap gas lubricated bearing with navier
 slip boundary conditions. *J. Fluid Mech.* 818, 68–99.
- BARISIK, M. & BESKOK, A. 2011 Equilibrium molecular dynamics studies on nanoscale-confined fluids.
 Microfluid. Nanofluid. 11 (3), 269–282.
- BARRAT, J. L. & BOCQUET, L. 1999 Large slip effect at a nonwetting fluid-solid interface. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*82 (23), 4671–4674.
- 817 BERTHELOT, DANIEL 1898 Sur le mélange des gaz. Compt. Rendus 126, 1703–1706.
- BHADAURIA, R., SANGHI, T. & ALURU, N. R. 2015 Interfacial friction based quasi-continuum hydrodynamical
 model for nanofluidic transport of water. J. Chem. Phys. 143 (17), 174702.
- BITRIÁN, V. & PRINCIPE, J. 2018 Driving mechanisms and streamwise homogeneity in molecular dynamics
 simulations of nanochannel flows. *Phy. Rev. Fluids* 3 (1), 014202.
- BITSANIS, I., MAGDA, J. J., TIRRELL, M. & DAVIS, H. T. 1987 Molecular dynamics of flow in micropores. J.
 Chem. Phys. 87 (3), 1733–1750.
- BITSANIS, I., VANDERLICK, T. K., TIRRELL, M. & DAVIS, H. T. 1988 A tractable molecular theory of flow in strongly inhomogeneous fluids. *J. Chem. Phys.* 89 (5), 3152–3162.
- BLAKE, T. D. & DE CONINCK, J. 2002 The influence of solid–liquid interactions on dynamic wetting. *Adv. Colloid Interfac.* 96 (1-3), 21–36.
- CAI, J. C., ZHANG, Z. E., WEI, W., GUO, D. M., LI, S. & ZHAO, P. Q. 2019 The critical factors for permeability formation factor relation in reservoir rocks: Pore-throat ratio, tortuosity and connectivity. *Energy* 188, 116051.
- CAO, B. Y., CHEN, M. & GUO, Z. Y. 2006 Liquid flow in surface-nanostructured channels studied by
 molecular dynamics simulation. *Phys. Rev. E* 74 (6 Pt 2), 066311.
- CHAPMAN, S & COWLING, T. G. 1970 *The mathematical theory of non-uniform gases*. Cambridge, England:
 Cambridge University Press.
- CIEPLAK, M., KOPLIK, J. & BANAVAR, J. R. 2001 Boundary conditions at a fluid-solid interface. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86 (5), 803–806.
- DALTON, B. A., GLAVATSKIY, K. S., DAIVIS, P. J. & TODD, B. D. 2015 Nonlocal response functions
 for predicting shear flow of strongly inhomogeneous fluids. ii. sinusoidally driven shear and
 multisinusoidal inhomogeneity. *Phys. Rev. E* 92 (1), 012108.
- BAVIS, T. H. 1987 Kinetic theory of flow in strongly inhomogeneous fluids. *Chem. Eng. Commun.* 58 (1-6),
 413–430.
- BE CONINCK, J. & BLAKE, T. D. 2008 Wetting and molecular dynamics simulations of simple liquids. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* 38, 1–22.
- EVANS, D. J. & HOLIAN, B. L. 1985 The nose-hoover thermostat. J. Chem. Phys. 83 (8), 4069–4074.
- 845 FALK, K., SEDLMEIER, F., JOLY, L., NETZ, R. R. & BOCQUET, L. 2010 Molecular origin of fast water transport in

27

- carbon nanotube membranes: superlubricity versus curvature dependent friction. *Nano. Lett.* 10 (10),
 4067–4073.
- GERMANOU, L., HO, M. T., ZHANG, Y. H. & WU, L. 2018 Intrinsic and apparent gas permeability of
 heterogeneous and anisotropic ultra-tight porous media. J. Natural Gas Sci. Eng. 60, 271–283.
- GLASSTONE, S., LAIDLER, K. J.S & EYRING, H. 1941 The theory of rate processes: The kinetics of chemical
 reactions, viscosity, diffusion and electrochemical phenomena. *Tech. Rep.*. McGraw-Hill Book
 Company.
- GRANICK, S., ZHU, Y. X. & LEE, H. 2003 Slippery questions about complex fluids flowing past solids. *Nat. Mater.* 2 (4), 221–227.
- GUO, Z. L., ZHAO, T. S. & SHI, Y. 2005 Simple kinetic model for fluid flows in the nanometer scale. *Phys. Rev. E* 71 (3), 035301.
- Guo, Z. L., Zhao, T. S. & Shi, Yong 2006a Generalized hydrodynamic model for fluid flows: From nanoscale to macroscale. *Phys. Fluids* 18 (6), 067107.
- Guo, Z. L., Zhao, T. S., Xu, C. & Shi, Y. 2006b Simulation of fluid flows in the nanometer: kinetic approach
 and molecular dynamic simulation. *Intl. J. Comput. Fluid. D.* 20 (6), 361–367.
- HEIRANIAN, M. & ALURU, N. R. 2020 Nanofluidic transport theory with enhancement factors approaching
 one. ACS Nano 14 (1), 272–281.
- HENOT, M., GRZELKA, M., ZHANG, J., MARIOT, S., ANTONIUK, I., DROCKENMULLER, E., LEGER, L. &
 RESTAGNO, F. 2018 Temperature-controlled slip of polymer melts on ideal substrates. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (17), 177802.
- Ho, M. T., LI, J., SU, W., WU, L., BORG, M., LI, Z. & ZHANG, Y. H. 2020 Rarefied flow separation in microchannel with bends. J. Fluid Mech. 901, A26.
- Ho, M. T., ZHU, L., WU, L., WANG, P., GUO, Z., LI, Z. & ZHANG, Y. H. 2019b A multi-level parallel solver
 for rarefied gas flows in porous media. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 234, 14–25.
- Ho, M. T., ZHU, L., WU, L., WANG, P., GUO, Z., MA, J. & ZHANG, Y. H. 2019a Pore-scale simulations of
 rarefied gas flows in ultra-tight porous media. *Fuel* 249, 341–351.
- Ho, T. A., PAPAVASSILIOU, D. V., LEE, L. L. & STRIOLO, A. 2011 Liquid water can slip on a hydrophilic
 surface. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108 (39), 16170–16175.
- HOCKING, L. M. 1976 A moving fluid interface on a rough surface. J. Fluid Mech. 76 (4), 801–817.
- HOLT, J. K., PARK, H. G., WANG, Y. M., STADERMANN, M., ARTYUKHIN, A. B., GRIGOROPOULOS, C. P., NOY,
 A. & BAKAJIN, O. 2006 Fast mass transport through sub-2-nanometer carbon nanotubes. *Science*312 (5776), 1034–1037.
- HSU, H. Y. & PATANKAR, N. A. 2010 A continuum approach to reproduce molecular-scale slip behaviour. J.
 Fluid Mech. 645, 59–80.
- KANNAM, S. K., TODD, B. D., HANSEN, J. S. & DAIVIS, P. J. 2013 How fast does water flow in carbon
 nanotubes? J. Chem. Phys. 138 (9), 094701.
- KAVOKINE, N., NETZ, R. R. & BOCQUET, L. 2021 Fluids at the nanoscale: From continuum to subcontinuum
 transport. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 53, 377–410.
- KEERTHI, A., GEIM, A. K., JANARDANAN, A, ROONEY, A. P., ESFANDIAR, A., HU, S., DAR, S. A., GRIGORIEVA,
 I. V., HAIGH, S. J., WANG, F. C. & OTHERS 2018 Ballistic molecular transport through two-dimensional
 channels. *Nature* 558 (7710), 420–424.
- KOPLIK, J., BANAVAR, J. R. & WILLEMSEN, J. F. 1989 Molecular dynamics of fluid flow at solid surfaces.
 Phys. Fluids 1 (5), 781–794.
- LAUGA, E. & STONE, H. A. 2003 Effective slip in pressure-driven stokes flow. J. Fluid Mech. 489, 55-77.
- LICHTER, S., MARTINI, A., SNURR, R. Q. & WANG, Q. 2007 Liquid slip in nanoscale channels as a rate
 process. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98 (22), 226001.
- LORENTZ, H. A. 1881 Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. *Anna. Phys.* 248 (1), 127–136.
- MA, L., GAISINSKAYA-KIPNIS, A., KAMPF, N. & KLEIN, J. 2015 Origins of hydration lubrication. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6060.
- MA, M. D., SHEN, L. M., SHERIDAN, J., LIU, J. Z., CHEN, C. & ZHENG, Q. S. 2011 Friction of water slipping
 in carbon nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. E* 83 (3), 036316.
- MARTINI, A., HSU, H. Y., PATANKAR, N. A. & LICHTER, S. 2008a Slip at high shear rates. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100 (20), 206001.
- MARTINI, A., ROXIN, A., SNURR, R. Q., WANG, Q. & LICHTER, S. 2008b Molecular mechanisms of liquid
 slip. J. Fluid Mech. 600, 257–269.

- MASHAYAK, S. Y. & ALURU, N. R. 2012 Coarse-grained potential model for structural prediction of confined
 water. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 8 (5), 1828–1840.
- MORCIANO, M., FASANO, M., NOLD, A., BRAGA, C., YATSYSHIN, P., SIBLEY, D. N., GODDARD, B. D.,
 CHIAVAZZO, E., ASINARI, P. & KALLIADASIS, S. 2017 Nonequilibrium molecular dynamics simulations
 of nanoconfined fluids at solid-liquid interfaces. J. Chem. Phys. 146 (24), 244507.
- Norr, P. R. 2011 Boundary conditions at a rigid wall for rough granular gases. J. Fluid Mech. 678, 179–202.
- PATASHINSKI, A. Z., RATNER, M. A., ORLIK, R. & MITUS, A. C. 2019 Nanofluidic manifestations of structure
 in liquids: A toy model. J. Phys. Chem. C 123 (27), 16787–16795.
- POZHAR, L. A. & GUBBINS, K. E. 1993 Transport theory of dense, strongly inhomogeneous fluids. J. Chem.
 Phys. 99 (11), 8970–8996.
- PRIEZJEV, N. V. & TROIAN, S. M. 2006 Influence of periodic wall roughness on the slip behaviour at
 liquid/solid interfaces: molecular-scale simulations versus continuum predictions. J. Fluid Mech.
 554 (1), 25–46.
- 915 RICHARDSON, S. 1973 On the no-slip boundary condition. J. Fluid Mech. 59 (4), 707–719.
- RUCKENSTEIN, E. & RAJORA, P. 1983 On the no-slip boundary condition of hydrodynamics. J. Colloid Interf.
 Sci. 96 (2), 488–491.
- SECCHI, E., MARBACH, S., NIGUES, A., STEIN, D., SIRIA, A. & BOCQUET, L. 2016 Massive radius-dependent
 flow slippage in carbon nanotubes. *Nature* 537 (7619), 210–213.
- SHAN, B. C., WANG, P., ZHANG, Y. H. & GUO, Z. L. 2020 Discrete unified gas kinetic scheme for all knudsen
 number flows. iv. strongly inhomogeneous fluids. *Phys. Rev. E* 101 (4-1), 043303.
- SHENG, Q., GIBELLI, L., LI, J., K BORG, M. K. & ZHANG, Y. H. 2020 Dense gas flow simulations in ultra-tight
 confinement. *Phys. Fluids* 32 (9), 544–550.
- SHOLL, D. S. & JOHNSON, J. K. 2006 Materials science. making high-flux membranes with carbon nanotubes.
 Science 312 (5776), 1003–1004.
- SHU, J. J., TEO, J. B.M. & CHAN, W. K. 2017 Fluid velocity slip and temperature jump at a solid surface.
 Appl. Mech. Rev. 69 (2).
- ŚLIWIŃSKA-BARTKOWIAK, M., STERCZYŃSKA, A., LONG, Y. & GUBBINS, K. E. 2014 Influence of microroughness on the wetting properties of nano-porous silica matrices. *Mol. Phys.* 112 (17), 2365–2371.
- 931 SOCHI, T. 2011 Slip at fluid-solid interface. Polym. Rev. 51 (4), 309–340.
- STEELE, W. A. 1973 The physical interaction of gases with crystalline solids: I. gas-solid energies and
 properties of isolated adsorbed atoms. *Surf. Sci.* 36 (1), 317–352.
- SUK, M. E. & ALURU, N. R. 2017 Modeling water flow through carbon nanotube membranes with
 entrance/exit effects. *Nanosc. Microsc. Therm.* 21 (4), 247–262.
- THOMPSON, P. A. & TROIAN, S. M. 1997 A general boundary condition for liquid flow at solid surfaces.
 Nature 389 (6649), 360–362.
- URBAKH, M., KLAFTER, J., GOURDON, D. & ISRAELACHVILI, J. 2004 The nonlinear nature of friction. *Nature* 430 (6999), 525–528.
- VANDERLICK, T. K., SCRIVEN, L. E. & DAVIS, H. T. 1989 Molecular theories of confined fluids. J. Chem.
 Phys. 90 (4), 2422–2436.
- VORONOV, R. S., PAPAVASSILIOU, D. V. & LEE, L. L. 2006 Boundary slip and wetting properties of interfaces:
 correlation of the contact angle with the slip length. J. Chem. Phys. 124 (20), 204701.
- VORONOV, R. S., PAPAVASSILIOU, D. V. & LEE, L. L. 2008 Review of fluid slip over superhydrophobic surfaces
 and its dependence on the contact angle. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 47 (8), 2455–2477.
- WANG, F. C. & ZHAO, Y. P. 2011 Slip boundary conditions based on molecular kinetic theory: The critical shear stress and the energy dissipation at the liquid–solid interface. *Soft Matter* 7 (18), 8628–8634.
- WANG, G. J. & HADJICONSTANTINOU, N. G. 2019 Universal molecular-kinetic scaling relation for slip of a simple fluid at a solid boundary. *Phy. Rev. Fluids* 4 (6), 064201.
- WU, K., CHEN, Z., LI, J., LI, X., XU, J. & DONG, X. 2017 Wettability effect on nanoconfined water flow. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 114 (13), 3358–3363.
- WU, L., LIU, H. H., REESE, J. M. & ZHANG, Y. H. 2016 Non-equilibrium dynamics of dense gas under tight
 confinement. J. Fluid Mech. 794, 252–266.
- WU, Y.Q., TAHMASEBI, P., LIN, C. Y., ZAHID, M. A., DONG, C. M., GOLAB, A. N. & REN, L. H. 2019
 A comprehensive study on geometric, topological and fractal characterizations of pore systems in low-permeability reservoirs based on sem, micp, nmr, and x-ray ct experiments. *Mar. Petrol. Geol.* 103, 12–28.

- YANG, F. Q. 2020 Slip boundary condition for viscous flow over solid surfaces. *Chem. Eng. Commun.* 197 (4), 092003.
- YAO, L., S., SANJAYAN, SONG, J. L., C., COLIN R., CARMALT, C. J. & PARKIN, I. P. 2015 Robust self-cleaning
 surfaces that function when exposed to either air or oil. *Science* 347 (6226), 1132–1135.
- YUAN, Q. Z. & ZHAO, Y. P. 2013 Multiscale dynamic wetting of a droplet on a lyophilic pillar-arrayed
 surface. J. Fluid Mech. 716, 171–188.
- ZAMPOGNA, G. A., MAGNAUDET, J. & BOTTARO, A. 2018 Generalized slip condition over rough surfaces. J.
 Fluid Mech. 858, 407–436.
- ZHANG, L. H., SHAN, B. C., ZHAO, Y. L. & GUO, Z. L. 2019 Review of micro seepage mechanisms in shale
 gas reservoirs. *Intl. J. Heat Mass Transfer* 139, 144–179.
- ZHAO, L. & CHENG, J. T. 2017 Analyzing the molecular kinetics of water spreading on hydrophobic surfaces
 via molecular dynamics simulation. *Sci. Rep.* 7 (1), 1–12.
- ZHU, Y. & GRANICK, S. 2001 Rate-dependent slip of newtonian liquid at smooth surfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*87 (9), 096105.