

Missouri University of Science and Technology Scholars' Mine

Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Research & Creative Works

Mathematics and Statistics

01 Jan 1977

## **Convex Cones And Dentability**

J. C. Hankins

R. (Roy) M. Rakestraw Missouri University of Science and Technology

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/math\_stat\_facwork

Part of the Mathematics Commons, and the Statistics and Probability Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

J. C. Hankins and R. M. Rakestraw, "Convex Cones And Dentability," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 580 - 585, Elsevier, Jan 1977. The definitive version is available at https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(77)90163-9

This Article - Journal is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Research & Creative Works by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.

## Convex Cones and Dentability

J. C. HANKINS

University of Missouri, Rolla, Missouri 65401

AND

R. M. RAKESTRAW

Wheaton College, Wheaton, Illinois 60187 Submitted by Ky Fan

The notion of a dentable subset of a Banach space was introduced by Rieffel [7] in conjunction with a Radon–Nikodym theorem for Banach space-valued measures. Davis and Phelps [1] (also Huff [2]) have shown that those Banach spaces in which Rieffel's Radon–Nikodym theorem is valid are precisely the ones in which every bounded closed convex set is dentable (definition below). Subsequently, Phelps [5] showed that the Banach spaces which have this property (the "Radon–Nikodym property") coincide with those which have the property that every bounded closed convex subset is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed points (definition below). Saab [8, 9, 10] has extended some of these results to Fréchet spaces. Of immediate interest to us is Saab's characterization of Fréchet spaces with the Radon–Nikodym property as those which have the property that every bounded subset is dentable.

In the present paper, we consider additional geometric characterizations of the Radon–Nikodym property. In particular, we prove that a Banach space has the Radon–Nikodym property if and only if every closed convex cone with a bounded closed base is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed rays. In Fréchet space, we give a necessary and a sufficient condition in terms of cones for the space to have the Radon–Nikodym property. We wish to thank Professor Phelps for suggesting the construction used in the proof of Theorem 3 which resulted in a shorter proof of that theorem.

DEFINITION 1. Let E be a Hausdorff locally convex space and let  $E^*$  denote the topological dual of E.

(i) A subset  $C \subseteq E$  is said to be *dentable* if for every nbhd U of O, there is a point  $x \in C$  such that  $x \notin \text{cl-conv} [C \setminus (x + U)]$ , where cl-conv denotes "closed convex hull."

(ii) A point  $x \in C \subset E$  is called a *denting point* of C if for every nbhd U of x,  $x \notin \text{cl-conv}(C \setminus U)$ .

It follows from the separation theorem for convex sets that  $x_0$  is a denting point of C if and only if for each nbhd U of  $x_0$  there exist  $f \in E^*$  and  $\alpha \in R$ such that

$$x_0 \in \{x: f(x) < \alpha\} \cap C \subseteq C \cap U.$$

It is clear that any set whose closed convex hull has a denting point is dentable.

DEFINITION 2. A ray  $\rho = \{x + \lambda z : \lambda \ge 0, z \ne 0\}$  of a convex set X in a Hausdorff locally convex space is a *denting ray* of X if for any nbhd U of O,

$$ho' \cap \operatorname{cl-conv}[X' \setminus (x + \langle z \rangle + U)] = \phi,$$

where X' is any bounded convex subset of X,  $\rho' = \rho \cap X'$ , and  $\langle z \rangle$  denotes the one-dimensional subspace generated by z.

THEOREM 1. Let X be a closed convex cone in a Hausdorff locally convex space E with a bounded closed base Y (that is, there exists  $f \in E^*$ ,  $f \neq 0$ , such that  $Y = \{x: f(x) = 1\} \cap X$  and  $X = \{\lambda y: \lambda \ge 0, y \in Y\}$ ) and let  $\rho = \{\lambda x_0: \lambda \ge 0\}$ , where  $x_0 \in Y$ . Then  $\rho$  is a denting ray of X if and only if  $x_0$  is a denting point of Y.

**Proof.** It is evident that if  $\rho$  is a denting ray of X, then  $x_0$  is a denting point of Y. Conversely, assume that  $x_0$  is a denting point of Y and  $Y \neq \{x_0\}$ . Let X' be a bounded convex subset of X and let U be a balanced convex nbhd of 0. We may assume  $X' \subset \{x: f(x) \leq 1\} \cap X$ . Since  $x_0$  is a denting point of Y, there exists  $g \in E^*$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$x_0 \in \{x: g(x) < \alpha\} \cap Y \subset (x_0 + U) \cap Y.$$

Let  $T = \{x: g(x) = \alpha\} \cap Y$ . Since  $Y \neq \{x_0\}$ , we may assume  $T \neq \phi$ . Now  $T + \langle x_0 \rangle$  is a closed convex set,  $[0, x_0] = \{\lambda x_0: 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}$  is a compact convex set, and  $[0, x_0] \cap (T + \langle x_0 \rangle) = \phi$ . Hence, there exist  $f_0 \in E^{\times}$  and  $\beta > 0$  such that  $[0, x_0] \subset \{x: f_0(x) < \beta\}$  and  $T + \langle x_0 \rangle \subset \{x: f_0(x) > \beta\}$ .

If  $y \in Y$  such that  $f_0(y) < \beta$ , then f(y) = 1 and  $[x_0, y] \cap T = \phi$ . It follows that  $g(y) < \alpha$  and hence,  $y \in (x_0 + U) \cap Y \subset \langle x_0 \rangle + U$ . On the other hand, if  $y \in X$  such that f(y) < 1 and  $f_0(y) < \beta$ , then there is a unique  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $f(y + \lambda x_0) = 1$ . From [3, p. 235] we have  $y + \lambda x_0 \in X$ . Hence,  $y + \lambda x_0 \in Y$ and  $f_0(y + \lambda x_0) = f_0(y) < \beta$ , since  $f_0(x_0) = 0$ . By the previous argument, it follows that  $y + \lambda x_0 \in x_0 + U$  and so  $y \in (1 - \lambda) x_0 + U \subset \langle x_0 \rangle + U$ . Thus, if  $y \in \{x: f_0(x) < \beta\} \cap X'$ , then  $y \in \langle x_0 \rangle + U$ . It follows that  $X''(x_0 - U) \subset \{x: f_0(x) \ge \beta\}$ . So

$$\rho' \cap \operatorname{cl-conv}[X' \setminus (\langle x_0 \rangle + U)] = \phi,$$

since  $f_0(\rho) = 0 < \beta$  and  $\rho' = X' \cap \rho$ . Therefore,  $\rho$  is a denting ray of X.

DEFINITION 3. Let C be a nonempty subset of the Hausdorff locally convex space and let  $x \in C$ . The point x is a *strongly exposed* point of C if there is an  $f \in E^*$  such that  $\{C_{\alpha}\} = \{\{y \in C: f(y) \leq \alpha\}: \alpha > f(x)\}$  is a nbhd base of x in C. The functional f is said to *strongly expose* x.

DEFINITION 4 (Zizler [12]). Let X be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E and let  $\rho$  be a closed ray in X. Then  $\rho$  is a *strongly exposed* ray of X if there exist  $f \in E^*$  and  $\alpha \in R$  such that (i)  $f(x) = \alpha$  for  $x \in \rho$  and  $f(x) > \alpha$  for  $x \in X \setminus \rho$ , and (ii) if U is any nbhd of O and  $\{x_i\}$  is a bounded net in X such that  $f(x_i) \to \alpha$ , then  $\{x_i\}$  is eventually in  $\rho + U$ . The functional f is said to strongly expose  $\rho$ .

THEOREM 2. Let X be a closed convex cone in a Hausdorff locally convex space E with a bounded closed base Y and let  $\rho = \{\lambda x_0 : \lambda \ge 0\}$ , where  $x_0 \in Y$ . Then  $\rho$  is a strongly exposed ray of X if and only if  $x_0$  is a strongly exposed point of Y.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in E^*$ ,  $f \neq 0$ , such that  $\{x: f(x) = 1\} \cap X = Y$  and  $X = \{\lambda y: \lambda \ge 0, y \in Y\}$ . Assume  $Y \neq \{x_0\}$ . Let  $g \in E^*$  such that g strongly exposes  $x_0$  on Y and  $g(x_0) < g(y)$  for each  $y \in Y \setminus \{x_0\}$ . If  $g(x_0) \leq 0$ , then let

$$W = \{x: f(x) > 1\} \cap \{x: g(x) > g(x_0)\}$$

but if  $g(x_0) > 0$ , then let

$$W = \{x: f(x) < 1\} \cap \{x: g(x) > g(x_0)\}.$$

In either case, W is a nonempty open convex subset of E and  $W \cap L = \phi$ , where  $L = \langle x_0 \rangle$ . By the separation theorem there is  $f_0 \in E^*$  such that  $L \subset \{x: f_0(x) = 0\}$  and  $W \subset \{x: f_0(x) > 0\}$  (see [11, Theorem 3.6-E]). Therefore,  $f_0(x) > 0$  for  $x \in X \setminus \rho$  and  $\rho$  is an exposed ray of X.

Let U be a balanced convex nbhd of O. Since  $x_0$  is strongly exposed by g on Y, there is an  $\alpha \in R$  such that  $g(x_0) < \alpha$  and

$$\{x \in Y : g(x) \leqslant \alpha\} \subset (x_0 + U) \cap Y.$$

Since there are at least two points in Y,  $\alpha$  can be chosen so that  $\{x \in Y : g(x) = \alpha\} \neq \phi$ . Let  $z \in Y$  such that  $g(z) = \alpha$  and  $f_0(z) = \beta > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{x: f_0(x) = \beta\} \cap \{x: f(x) = 1\} &= (x - x_0) + \{x: f_0(x) = 0\} \cap \{x: f(x) = 1\} \\ &= (x - x_0) + \{x: g(x) = g(x_0)\} \cap \{x: f(x) = 1\} \\ &= \{x: g(x) = \alpha\} \cap \{x: f(x) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\{x \in Y : f_0(x) \leqslant \beta\} = \{x \in Y : g(x) \leqslant \alpha\} \subset (x_0 + U) \cap Y.$$

If  $\{x_i\}$  is a bounded net in X such that  $f_0(x_i) \to 0$ , then  $f_0[(1/M) x_i] \to 0$  and (1/M) U is a balanced convex nbhd of O, where  $M = \sup\{f(x_i)\}$ . Hence, there is a  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$\{x \in Y : f_0(x) \leq \beta\} \subseteq [x_0 + (1/M) \ U] \cap Y.$$

Since  $f_0[(1/M) x_i] \to 0$ , there is I so that  $f_0[(1/M) x_i] \leq \beta$ , whenever i > I. For each i > I, there is a  $\lambda_i \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f[(1/M) x_i + \lambda_i x_0] = 1$  and it follows that  $(1/M) x_i + \lambda_i x_0 \in Y$ . Then  $f_0[(1/M) x_i + \lambda_i x_0] = f_0[(1/M) x_i] \leq \beta$  for each i > I; that is,  $(1/M) x_i + \lambda_i x_0 \in x_0 + (1/M)$  U and  $x_i \in M(1 - \lambda_i) x_0 + U \subseteq \rho + U$ . Therefore,  $\rho$  is a strongly exposed ray of X.

THEOREM 3. Let C be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E such that  $0 \notin C$ . If the convex cone  $X = R^+C = \{\lambda x: \lambda \ge 0, x \in C\}$  has a strongly exposed ray, then C has a denting point.

*Proof.* Choose  $f \in E^*$  such that  $Y = \{x: f(x) = 1\} \cap X$  is a base for X (for example, f is determined by a hyperplane which separates 0 and C). Let  $\rho$  be a strongly exposed ray of X and let  $g \in E^*$  such that g strongly exposes  $\rho$  (say  $g(\rho) - 0$  and g(x) > 0 for  $x \in X \setminus \rho$ ). Let  $z \in \rho$  such that  $z \neq 0$  and  $x_0 = \lambda_0 z \in C$ , where  $\lambda_0 = \sup\{\lambda: \lambda z \in C\}$ . Assume that  $x_0 \in Y$ ; that is,  $f(x_0) = 1$ . For each  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , let

$$A_{\beta} = C \cap \{x: f(x) > 1 - \beta\} \cap \{x: g(x) < \beta\}.$$

We will show that if U is any nbhd of O, then there exists  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $x_0 \in A_\beta \subset x_0 + U$ . It suffices to show that if  $\{\beta_i\}$  is a net of decreasing positive real numbers,  $\beta_i \to 0$  and  $x_i \in A_{\beta_i}$ , for each i, then  $x_i \to x_0$ . Note that  $f(x_i) \to 1$ . If not, then since f is bounded on C there is a subnet  $\{x_j\}$  of  $\{x_i\}$  such that  $f(x_j) \to t \neq 1$ . Since  $f(x_j) > 1 - \beta_j$ , we must have t > 1 and we can assume  $f(x_j) > 1$ , for all j. Let  $y_i = [1/f(x_i)] x_i$ , then  $y_i \in Y$  and  $g(y_i) \to 0 = g(x_0)$ . Since  $x_0$  is strongly exposed on Y by g, we must have  $y_i \to x_0$ . But then

$$y_j + (1 - [1/f(x_j)]) x_0 = [1/f(x_j)] x_j + (1 - [1/f(x_j)]) x_0$$

is in C and converges to  $x_0 + [1 - (1/t)] x_0 \in C \cap \rho$ , contradicting our choice of  $x_0$ . Then  $f(x_i) \to 1$  and  $y_i = [1/f(x_i)] x_i$  converges to  $x_0$ , which means  $x_i = f(x_i) y_i$  converges to  $x_0$ .

Now suppose  $x_0 \in \text{cl-conv}(C \setminus A_\beta)$  for some  $\beta > 0$ . Since

$$C \setminus A_{\beta} = [C \cap \{x : g(x) \ge \beta\}] \cup [C \cap \{x : f(x) \le 1 - \beta\}],$$

we can choose nets  $\{\lambda_i\} \subset [0, 1], \{x_i\} \subset C$  and  $\{y_i\} \subset C$  such that  $g(x_i) \ge \beta$  and  $f(y_i) \le 1 - \beta$  and  $z_i = \lambda_i x_i + (1 - \lambda_i) y_i \rightarrow x_0$ . Choosing a subnet if neces-

sary, we can assume  $\lambda_i \to \lambda \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , then since  $\{x_i\}$  is bounded  $y_i \to x_0$ , contradicting  $f(y_i) \leq 1 - \beta < 1 = f(x_0)$ . Thus,  $\lambda > 0$ . Since  $g(y_i) \geq 0$ , then

$$0 \leq g(x_i) = (1/\lambda_i) g(z_i) - (1/\lambda_i) (1 - \lambda_i) g(y_i)$$
$$\leq (1/\lambda_i) g(z_i) \rightarrow (1/\lambda) g(x_0) = 0,$$

contradicting  $g(x_i) \ge \beta > 0$ . Thus,  $x_0 \notin \text{cl-conv}(C \setminus A_\beta)$ , for each  $\beta > 0$ . Let U be a nbhd of 0, then there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that  $x_0 \in A_\beta \subset x_0 + U$  and  $x_0 \notin \text{cl-conv}(C \setminus A_\beta)$ . Therefore,  $x_0 \notin \text{cl-conv}[C \setminus (x_0 + U)]$  and it follows that  $x_0$  is a denting point of C.

In the proof of Theorem 3, the denting point  $x_0$  is not necessarily a strongly exposed point of C as the following example shows. Let  $D_1 = \{(x, y) = (x-1)^2 + (y-3)^2 \le 1\}$  and  $D_2 = \{(x, y): (x-1)^2 + (y-2)^2 \le 1\}$  be discs in the (x, y) plane. Let  $C = \operatorname{conv}(D_1 \cup D_2)$  and let X be the cone generated by C with vertex (0, 0). It is easy to see that the nonnegative y-axis is a strongly exposed ray of X which intersects C in the closed segment  $\{(0, y) = 2 \le y \le 3\}$ . However, neither (0, 2) nor (0, 3) is an exposed point of C.

If C is a bounded subset of a Banach space and the cone generated by cl-conv(C) is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed rays, then by Theorem 3, C is dentable. On the other hand, if a Banach space has the Radon-Nikodym property, then by Theorem 2, every closed convex cone with a bounded closed base is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed rays. Therefore, a Banach space has the Radon-Nikodym property if and only if every closed convex cone with a bounded closed base is the closed has the Radon-Nikodym property if and only if every closed convex cone with a bounded closed base is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed rays.

Zizler [12] showed that every closed convex weakly locally compact cone in a Banach space is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed rays. The following example shows that the above characterization of the Radon-Nikodym property can be used to extend this result. Let B be the unit ball in  $l_1$  and let  $f = (1, 1, 1, ...) \in l^{\infty}$ . Then  $H = \{x: f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\}$  is a closed hyperplane in  $l_1$  that meets B in a bounded closed convex subset  $Y = B \cap H$ . Let X be the closed convex cone generated by Y with vertex 0. The Banach space  $l_1$  has the Radon-Nikodym property. Therefore, X is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed rays. But by [6, Proposition 11.6; 4, Lemma 4.2] one can show that X is not a weakly locally compact cone.

If C is a bounded subset of a Fréchet space and the cone generated by cl-conv(C) is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed rays, then by Theorem 3, C is dentable. Thus, if in a Fréchet space, every closed convex cone with a bounded closed base is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed rays, then the space has the Radon-Nikodym property. On the other hand, if a Fréchet space has the Radon-Nikodym property, then by Theorem 1, every closed convex cone with a bounded closed base is the closed convex hull of its denting rays.

## References

- 1. W. J. DAVIS AND R. R. PHELPS, The Radon-Nikodym property and dentable sets in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 45 (1974), 119-121.
- 2. R. E. HUFF, Dentability and the Radon-Nikodym property, *Duke Math. J.* 41 (1974), 111-114.
- 3. V. KLEE, Extremal structure of convex sets, Arch. Math. 8 (1957), 234-240.
- C. G. LOONEY, A Krein-Milman type theorem for certain unbounded convex sets, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 48 (1974), 284-293.
- 5. R. R. PHELPS, Dentability and extreme points in Banach spaces, J. Functional Analysis 17 (1974), 78-90.
- 6. R. R. PHELPS, "Lectures on Choquet's Theorem," Van Nostrand, New York, 1966.
- M. A. RIEFFEL, Dentable subsets of Banach spaces, with application to the Radon-Nikodym theorem, *in* "Proceedings of a Conference on Functional Analysis," pp. 71-77, Thompson, Washington, D.C., 1967.
- E. SAAB, Dentabilité et points extrémaux dans les espaces localement convexes, Séminaire Choquet, 13° année, 1973–1974, nº 13.
- E. SAAB, Points extrémaux et propriété de Radon-Nikodym dans les espaces de Fréchet dentables, Séminaire Choquet, 13° année, 1973-1974, nº 19.
- 10. E. SAAB, "Sur la propriété de Radon-Nikodym," Thèse, Paris, 1975.
- 11. A. E. TAYLOR, "Introduction to Functional Analysis," Wiley, New York, 1958.
- 12. V. ZIZLER, On extremal structure of weakly locally compact convex sets in Banach spaces, Comment Math. Univ. Carolinae 13 (1972), 53-61.