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#### Abstract

When nontrivial local structures are present in a topological space $X$, a common approach to characterizing the isomorphism type of the $n$-th homotopy group $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is to consider the image of $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ in the $n$ th Čech homotopy group $\check{\pi}_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ under the canonical homomorphism $\Psi_{n}: \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \check{\pi}_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$. The subgroup $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$ is the obstruction to this tactic as it consists of precisely those elements of $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$, which cannot be detected by polyhedral approximations to $X$. In this paper, we use higher dimensional analogues of Spanier groups to characterize $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$. In particular, we prove that if $X$ is paracompact, Hausdorff, and $L C^{n-1}$, then $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$ is equal to the $n$-th Spanier group of $X$. We also use the perspective of higher Spanier groups to generalize a theorem of Kozlowski-Segal, which gives conditions ensuring that $\Psi_{n}$ is an isomorphism.


## 1. Introduction

When nontrivial local structures are present in a topological space $X$, a common approach to characterizing the isomorphism type of $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is to consider the image of $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ in the $n$-th Čech (shape) homotopy group $\check{\pi}_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ under the canonical homomorphism $\Psi_{n}: \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \check{\pi}_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$. The $n$-th shape kernel $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$ is the obstruction to this tactic as it consists of precisely those elements of $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$, which cannot be detected by polyhedral approximations to $X$. This method has proved successful in many situations for both the fundamental group [Cannon and Conner 2006; Eda and Kawamura 1998; Fischer and Guilbault 2005; Fischer and Zastrow 2005] and higher homotopy groups [Brazas 2021; Eda and Kawamura 2000a; 2010; Eda et al. 2013; Kawamura 2003]. In this paper, we study the map $\Psi_{n}$ and give a characterization the $n$-th shape kernel in terms of higher-dimensional analogues of Spanier groups.

The subgroups of fundamental groups, which are now commonly referred to as "Spanier groups," first appeared in E.H. Spanier's unique approach [1966] to

[^0]covering space theory. If $\mathscr{U}$ is an open cover of a topological space $X$ and $x_{0} \in X$, then the Spanier group with respect to $\mathscr{U}$ is the subgroup $\pi_{1}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ of $\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ generated by path-conjugates $[\alpha][\gamma][\alpha]^{-1}$ where $\alpha$ is a path starting at $x_{0}$ and $\gamma$ is a loop based at $\alpha(1)$ with image being contained in some element of $\mathscr{U}$. These subgroups are particularly relevant to covering space theory since, when $X$ is locally path-connected, a subgroup $H \leq \pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ corresponds to a covering map $p:\left(Y, y_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ if and only if $\pi_{1}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right) \leq H$ for some open cover $\mathscr{U}$ [Spanier 1966, 2.5.12]. The intersection $\pi_{1}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)=\bigcap_{\mathscr{U}} \pi_{1}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ is called the Spanier group of $\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ [Fischer et al. 2011]. The inclusion $\pi_{1}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{1}\right)$ always holds [Fischer and Zastrow 2007, Proposition 4.8]. It is proved in [Brazas and Fabel 2014, Theorem 6.1] that $\pi_{1}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{1}\right)$ whenever $X$ is paracompact Hausdorff and locally path connected. The upshot of this equality is having a description of level-wise generators (for each open cover $\mathscr{U}$ ) whereas there may be no readily available generating set for the kernel of a homomorphism induced by a canonical map from $X$ to the nerve $|N(\mathscr{U})|$. Indeed, 1-dimensional Spanier groups have proved useful in persistence theory [Virk 2020]. Since much of applied topology is based on a geometric refinement of polyhedral approximation from shape theory, there seems potential for higher dimensional analogues to be useful as well.

Higher dimensional analogues of Spanier groups recently appeared in [Bahredar et al. 2021] and are defined in a similar way: $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ is the subgroup of $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ consisting of homotopy classes of path-conjugates $\alpha * f$ where $\alpha$ is a path starting at $x_{0}$ and $f: S^{n} \rightarrow X$ is based at $\alpha(1)$ with image being contained in some element of $\mathscr{U}$. Then $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is the intersection of these subgroups. In this paper, we prove a higher-dimensional analogue of the 1 -dimensional equality $\pi_{1}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{1}\right)$ from [Brazas and Fabel 2014].

A space $X$ is $L C^{n}$ if for every neighborhood $U$ of a point $x \in X$, there is a neighborhood $V$ of $x$ in $U$ such that every map $f: S^{k} \rightarrow V, 0 \leq k \leq n$ is nullhomotopic in $U$. When a space is $L C^{n}$, "small" maps on spheres of dimension $\leq n$ contract by null-homotopies of relatively the same size. Certainly, every locally $n$-connected space is $L C^{n}$. However, when $n \geq 1$, the converse is not true even for metrizable spaces. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $n \geq 1$ and $x_{0} \in X$. If $X$ is paracompact, Hausdorff, and $L C^{n-1}$, then $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$.

This result confirms that higher Spanier groups, like their 1-dimensional counterparts, often identify precisely those elements of $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ which can be detected by polyhedral approximations to $X$. More precisely, under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, $g \in \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ if and only if $f_{\#}(g)=0$ for every map $f: X \rightarrow K$ to a polyhedron $K$. A first countable path-connected space is $L C^{0}$ if and only if it
is locally path connected. Hence, in dimension $n=1$, Theorem 1.1 only expands [Brazas and Fabel 2014, Theorem 6.1] to some nonfirst countable spaces.

Regarding the proof of Theorem 1.1, the inclusion $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$ was first proved for $n=1$ in [Fischer and Zastrow 2007, Proposition 4.8] and for $n \geq 2$ in [Bahredar et al. 2021, Theorem 4.14]. We include this proof for the sake of completion (Corollary 3.11). The proof of the inclusion $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right) \subseteq \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ appears in Section 5 and is more intricate, requiring a carefully chosen sequence of open cover refinements using the $L C^{n-1}$ property. These refinements allow one to recursively extend maps on simplicial complexes skeleton-wise. These extension methods, established in Section 4, are similar to methods found in [Kozlowski and Segal 1977; 1978].

We also put these extension methods to work in Section 6 where we identify conditions that imply $\Psi_{n}$ is an isomorphism. Kozlowski and Segal [1978], proved that if $X$ is paracompact Hausdorff and $L C^{n}$, then $\Psi_{n}$ is an isomorphism. Fischer and Zastrow [2007], generalized this result in dimension $n=1$ by replacing " $L C^{1}$ " with "locally path connected and semilocally simply connected." Similar, to the approach of Fischer and Zastrow, our use of Spanier groups shows that the existence of small null-homotopies of small maps $S^{n} \rightarrow X$ (specifically in dimension $n$ ) is not necessary to prove that $\Psi_{n}$ is injective. We say a space $X$ is semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial if for every $x \in X$ there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ such that every map $S^{n} \rightarrow U$ is null-homotopic in $X$. This definition is independent of lower dimensions but certainly $L C^{n} \Rightarrow\left(L C^{n-1}\right.$ and semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial). Our second result proves Kozlowski-Segal's theorem under a weaker hypothesis and is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. Let $n \geq 1$ and $x_{0} \in X$. If $X$ is paracompact, Hausdorff, $L C^{n-1}$, and semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial, then $\Psi_{n}: \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \check{\pi}_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is an isomorphism.

The hypotheses in Theorem 1.2 are the homotopical versions of the hypotheses used in [Mardešić 1959] to ensure that the canonical homomorphism $\varphi_{*}: H_{n}(X) \rightarrow$ $\check{H}_{n}(X)$ is an isomorphism; see also [Eda and Kawamura 2000b] regarding the surjectivity of $\varphi_{*}$. Examples show that $\Psi_{n}$ can fail to be an isomorphism if $X$ is semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial but not $L C^{n-1}$ (Example 7.4) or if $X$ is $L C^{n-1}$ but not semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial (Example 7.5).

The authors are grateful to the referee for many suggestions, which substantially improved the exposition of this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries and notation

Throughout this paper, $X$ is assumed to be a path-connected topological space with basepoint $x_{0}$. The unit interval is denoted $I$ and $S^{n}$ is the unit $n$-sphere with basepoint $d_{0}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$. The $n$-th homotopy group of $\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is denoted
$\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$. If $f:\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$ is a based map, then $f_{\#}: \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$ is the induced homomorphism.

A path in a space $X$ is a map $\alpha: I \rightarrow X$ from the unit interval. The reverse of $\alpha$ is the path given by $\alpha^{-}(t)=\alpha(1-t)$ and the concatenation of two paths $\alpha, \beta$ with $\alpha(1)=\beta(0)$ is denoted $\alpha \cdot \beta$. Similarly, if $f, g: S^{n} \rightarrow X$ are maps based at $x \in X$, then $f \cdot g$ denotes the usual $n$-loop concatenation and $f^{-}$denotes the reverse map. We may write $\prod_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}$ to denote an $m$-fold concatenation $f_{1} \cdot f_{2} \cdots f_{m}$.
2.1. Simplicial complexes. We make heavy use of standard notation and theory of abstract and geometric simplicial complexes, which can be found in texts such as [Mardešić and Segal 1982; Munkres 1984]. We briefly recall relevant notation.

For an abstract (geometric) simplicial complex $K$ and integer $r \geq 0, K_{r}$ denotes the $r$-skeleton of $K$. If $K$ is abstract, $|K|$ denotes the geometric realization of $K$ with the weak topology. If $K$ is geometric, then $\mathrm{sd}^{m} K$ denotes the $m$-th barycentric subdivision of $K$ and if $v$ is a vertex of $K$, then $\operatorname{st}(v, K)$ denotes the open star of the vertex $v$. When $L \subseteq K$ is a subcomplex, $\mathrm{sd}^{m} L$ is a subcomplex of $\mathrm{sd}^{m} K$. If $\sigma=\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}$ is a $r$-simplex of $K$, then $\left[v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right]$ denotes the $r$-simplex of $|K|$ with the indicated orientation.

We frequently make use of the standard $n$-simplex $\Delta_{n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ spanned by the origin $\boldsymbol{o}$ and standard unit vectors. Since the boundary $\partial \Delta_{n}=\left(\Delta_{n}\right)_{n-1}$ is homeomorphic to $S^{n-1}$, we fix a based homeomorphism $\partial \Delta_{n} \cong S^{n-1}$ that allows us to represent elements of $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ by maps $\left(\partial \Delta_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{o}\right) \rightarrow\left(X, x_{0}\right)$.
2.2. The Čech expansion and shape homotopy groups. We now recall the construction of the first shape homotopy group $\check{\pi}_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ via the Čech expansion. For more details; see [Mardešić and Segal 1982].

Let $\mathcal{O}(X)$ be the set of open covers of $X$ directed by refinement; we write $\mathscr{V} \succeq \mathscr{U}$ when $\mathscr{V}$ refines $\mathscr{U}$. Similarly, let $\mathcal{O}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ be the set of open covers with a distinguished element containing $x_{0}$, i.e., the set of pairs ( $\mathscr{U}, U_{0}$ ) where $\mathscr{U} \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, $U_{0} \in \mathscr{U}$, and $x_{0} \in U_{0}$. We say $\left(\mathscr{V}, V_{0}\right)$ refines ( $\mathscr{U}, U_{0}$ ) if $\mathscr{V} \succeq \mathscr{U}$ and $V_{0} \subseteq U_{0}$.

The nerve of a cover $\left(\mathscr{U}, U_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is the abstract simplicial complex $N(\mathscr{U})$ whose vertex set is $N(\mathscr{U})_{0}=\mathscr{U}$ and vertices $A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \mathscr{U}$ span an n-simplex if $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} A_{i} \neq \varnothing$. The vertex $U_{0}$ is taken to be the basepoint of the geometric realization $|N(\mathscr{U})|$. Whenever $\left(\mathscr{V}, V_{0}\right)$ refines $\left(\mathscr{U}, U_{0}\right)$, we can construct a simplicial map $p_{\mathscr{U}}: N(\mathscr{V}) \rightarrow N(\mathscr{U})$, called a projection, by sending a vertex $V \in N(\mathscr{V})$ to a vertex $U \in \mathscr{U}$ such that $V \subseteq U$. In particular, we make a convention that $p_{\mathscr{U}}\left(V_{0}\right)=U_{0}$. Any such assignment of vertices extends linearly to a simplicial map. Moreover, the induced map $\left|p_{\mathscr{U}}\right|:|N(\mathscr{V})| \rightarrow|N(\mathscr{U})|$ is unique up to based homotopy. Thus the homomorphism $p_{\mathscr{U} \mathscr{V} \#}: \pi_{1}\left(|N(\mathscr{V})|, V_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(|N(\mathscr{U})|, U_{0}\right)$ induced on fundamental groups is (up to coherent isomorphism) independent of the choice of simplicial map.

Recall that an open cover $\mathscr{U}$ of $X$ is normal if it admits a partition of unity subordinated to $\mathscr{U}$. Let $\Lambda$ be the subset of $\mathcal{O}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ (also directed by refinement) consisting of pairs ( $\mathscr{U}, U_{0}$ ) where $\mathscr{U}$ is a normal open cover of $X$ and such that there is a partition of unity $\left\{\phi_{U}\right\}_{U \in \mathscr{U}}$ subordinated to $\mathscr{U}$ with $\phi_{U_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right)=1$. It is well-known that every open cover of a paracompact Hausdorff space $X$ is normal. Moreover, if $\left(\mathscr{U}, U_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$, it is easy to refine $\left(\mathscr{U}, U_{0}\right)$ to a cover $\left(\mathscr{V}, V_{0}\right)$ such that $V_{0}$ is the only element of $\mathscr{V}$ containing $x_{0}$ and therefore $\left(\mathscr{V}, V_{0}\right) \in \Lambda$. Thus, for paracompact Hausdorff $X, \Lambda$ is cofinal in $\mathcal{O}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$.

The $n$-th shape homotopy group is the inverse limit

$$
\check{\pi}_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)=\underset{\rightleftarrows}{\lim }\left(\pi_{n}\left(|N(\mathscr{U})|, U_{0}\right), p_{\mathscr{U V} \#}, \Lambda\right) .
$$

This group is also referred to as the $n$-th Čech homotopy group.
Given an open cover $\left(\mathscr{U}, U_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$, a map $p_{\mathscr{U}}: X \rightarrow|N(\mathscr{U})|$ is a (based) canonical map if $p_{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{st}(U, N(\mathscr{U})) \subseteq U\right.$ for each $U \in \mathscr{U}$ and $p_{\mathscr{U}}\left(x_{0}\right)=U_{0}$. Such a canonical map is guaranteed to exist if $\left(\mathscr{U}, U_{0}\right) \in \Lambda$ : find a locally finite partition of unity $\left\{\phi_{U}\right\}_{U \in \mathscr{U}}$ subordinated to $\mathscr{U}$ such that $\phi_{U_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right)=1$. When $U \in \mathscr{U}$ and $x \in U$, determine $p_{\mathscr{U}}(x)$ by requiring its barycentric coordinate belonging to the vertex $U$ of $|N(\mathscr{U})|$ to be $\phi_{U}(x)$. According to this construction, the requirement $\phi_{U_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right)=1$ gives $p_{\mathscr{U}}\left(x_{0}\right)=U_{0}$.

A canonical map $p_{\mathscr{U}}$ is unique up to based homotopy and whenever ( $\mathscr{V}, V_{0}$ ) refines ( $\mathscr{U}, U_{0}$ ), the compositions $p_{\mathscr{U}} \circ p_{\mathscr{V}}$ and $p_{\mathscr{U}}$ are homotopic as based maps. Hence, for $n \geq 1$, the homomorphisms

$$
p_{\mathscr{U} \#}: \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(|N(\mathscr{U})|, U_{0}\right)
$$

satisfy $p_{\mathscr{U} V \#} \circ p_{\mathscr{V} \#}=p_{\mathscr{U} \#}$. These homomorphisms induce the following canonical homomorphism to the limit, which is natural in the continuous maps of based spaces:

$$
\Psi_{n}: \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \check{\pi}_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \quad \text { given by } \Psi_{n}([f])=\left(\left[p_{\mathscr{U}} \circ f\right]\right) .
$$

The subgroup $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$, which we refer to as the $n$-th shape kernel is, in a rough sense, an algebraic measure of the $n$-dimensional homotopical information lost when approximating $X$ by polyhedra. Since ( $p_{\mathscr{U}}$ ) forms an HPol-expansion of $X$ [Mardešić and Segal 1982, Appendix 1, Sectin 3.2, Theorem 8], we have $[f] \in \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \backslash \operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$ if and only if there exist a polyhedron $K$ and a map $p:\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(K, k_{0}\right)$ such that $p_{\#}([f]) \neq 0$ in $\pi_{n}\left(K, k_{0}\right)$. Of utmost importance is the situation when $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)=0$. In this case, $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ can be understood as a subgroup of $\check{\pi}_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$, that is, the $n$-th shape group retains all the data in the $n$-th homotopy group of $X$. A space for which $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)=0$ is said to be $\pi_{n}$-shape injective.

## 3. Higher Spanier groups

To define higher Spanier groups as in [Bahredar et al. 2021], we briefly recall the action of the fundamental groupoid on the higher homotopy groups of a space. Fix a retraction $R: S^{n} \times I \rightarrow S^{n} \times\{0\} \cup\left\{d_{0}\right\} \times I$. Given a map $f:\left(S^{n}, d_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(X, y_{0}\right)$ and a path $\alpha: I \rightarrow X$ with $\alpha(0)=x_{0}$ and $\alpha(1)=y_{0}$, define $F: S^{n} \times\{0\} \cup\left\{d_{0}\right\} \times I \rightarrow X$ so that $g(x, 0)=f(x)$ and $f\left(d_{0}, t\right)=\alpha(1-t)$. The path-conjugate of $f$ by $\alpha$ is the map $\alpha * f:\left(S^{n}, d_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ given by $\alpha * f(x)=F(R(x, 1))$.

Path-conjugation defines the basepoint-change isomorphism $\varphi_{\alpha}: \pi_{n}\left(X, y_{0}\right) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right), \varphi_{\alpha}([f])=[\alpha * f]$. In particular, $[\alpha * f][\alpha * g]=[\alpha *(f \cdot g)]$. Additionally, if $[\alpha]=[\beta]$, which we write to mean that the paths $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are homotopic relative to their endpoints, then $[\alpha * f]=[\beta * f]$. Note that when $n=1, f: S^{1} \rightarrow X$ is a loop and $\alpha * f \simeq \alpha \cdot f \cdot \alpha^{-}$.
Definition 3.1. Let $n \geq 1$ and $\alpha:(I, 0) \rightarrow\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ be a path and $U$ be an open neighborhood of $\alpha$ (1) in $X$. Define

$$
[\alpha] * \pi_{n}(U)=\left\{[\alpha * f] \in \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \mid f\left(S^{n}\right) \subseteq U, f\left(d_{0}\right)=\alpha(1)\right\} .
$$

Since $[\alpha * f][\alpha * g]=[\alpha *(f \cdot g)]$, the set $[\alpha] * \pi_{n}(U)$ is a subgroup of $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$.
Definition 3.2. Let $n \geq 1, \mathscr{U}$ be an open cover of $X$, and $x_{0} \in X$. The $n$-th Spanier group of $\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ with respect to $\mathscr{U}$ is the subgroup $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ of $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ generated by the subgroups $[\alpha] * \pi_{n}(U)$ for all pairs $(\alpha, U)$ with $\alpha(1) \in U$ and $U \in \mathscr{U}$. In short

$$
\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)=\left\langle[\alpha] * \pi_{n}(U) \mid U \in \mathscr{U}, \alpha(1) \in U\right\rangle .
$$

The $n$-th Spanier group of ( $X, x_{0}$ ) is the intersection

$$
\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)=\bigcap_{\mathscr{U} \in O(X)} \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right) .
$$

We may refer to subgroups of the form $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ as relative Spanier groups and to $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ as the absolute Spanier group.

Remark 3.3. We note that our definition of $n$-th Spanier group is the "unbased" definition from [Bahredar et al. 2021]; see also [Fischer et al. 2011] for more on "based" Spanier groups, which is defined using covers of $X$ by pointed open sets. The two notions agree for locally path connected spaces. When $n=1$, Spanier groups (absolute and relative to a cover) are normal subgroups of $\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$. In the case $n=1$, Spanier groups have been studied heavily due to their relationship to covering space theory [Spanier 1966].

Remark 3.4 (functorality). Let $\mathrm{Top}_{*}$ denote the category of based topological spaces and based continuous functions and Grp and Ab denote the usual categories
of groups and abelian groups respectively. If $f:\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$ is a map and $\mathscr{V}$ is an open cover of $Y$, then $\mathscr{U}=\left\{f^{-1}(V) \mid V \in \mathscr{V}\right\}$ is an open cover of $X$ such that $f_{\#}\left(\pi_{n}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)\right) \subseteq \pi_{n}\left(\mathscr{V}, y_{0}\right)$. It follows that $f_{\#}\left(\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right) \subseteq$ $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$. Thus $\left.\left(f_{\#}\right)\right|_{\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)}: \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$ is well-defined showing that $\pi_{1}^{S p}: \operatorname{Top}_{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{Grp}$ and $\pi_{n}^{S p}: \operatorname{Top}_{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}, n \geq 2$, are functors [Bahredar et al. 2021, Theorem 4.2]. Moreover, if $g:\left(Y, y_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is a based homotopy inverse of $f$, then $\left.\left(f_{\#}\right)\right|_{\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)}$ and $\left.\left(g_{\#}\right)\right|_{\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(Y, y_{0}\right)}$ are inverse isomorphisms. Hence, these functors descend to functors $\mathrm{hTop}_{*} \rightarrow$ Grp and $\mathrm{hTop}_{*} \rightarrow$ Ab where hTop ${ }_{*}$ is the category of based spaces and basepoint-relative homotopy classes of based maps.
Remark 3.5 (basepoint invariance). Suppose $x_{0}, x_{1} \in X$ and $\beta: I \rightarrow X$ is a path from $x_{1}$ to $x_{0}$, and $\varphi_{\beta}: \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{1}\right), \varphi_{\beta}([g])=[\beta * g]$ is the basepointchange isomorphism. If $[\alpha * f]$ is a generator of $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$, then $\varphi_{\beta}([\alpha * f])=$ $[(\beta \cdot \alpha) * f]$ is a generator of $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{1}\right)$. It follows that $\varphi_{\beta}\left(\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)\right)=$ $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{1}\right)$. Moreover, in the absolute case, we have $\varphi_{\beta}\left(\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right)=\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{1}\right)$. In particular, changing the basepoint of $X$ does not change the isomorphism type of the $n$-th Spanier group, particularly its triviality.

In terms of our choice of generators, a generic element of $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ is a product $\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left[\alpha_{i} * f_{i}\right]$ where each map $f_{i}: S^{n} \rightarrow X$ has an image in some open set $U_{i} \in \mathscr{U}$ (see Figure 1). The next lemma identifies how such products might actually appear in practice and motivates the proof of our key technical lemma, Lemma 5.1. Recall that $\left(\mathrm{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}\right)_{n}$ is the union of the boundaries of the $(n+1)$-simplices in the $m$-th barycentric subdivision sd ${ }^{m} \Delta_{n+1}$.
Lemma 3.6. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathscr{U}$ be an open cover of $X$ and $f:\left(\left(\operatorname{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}\right)_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ be a map such that for every $(n+1)$-simplex $\sigma$ of $\mathrm{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}$, we have $f(\partial \sigma) \subseteq U$ for some $U \in \mathscr{U}$. Then $f_{\#}\left(\pi_{n}\left(\left(\operatorname{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}\right)_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)\right) \subseteq \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$.
Proof. The case $n=1$ is proved in [Brazas and Fabel 2014]. Suppose $n \geq 2$ and set $K=\operatorname{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}$. The set $\mathscr{W}=\left\{f^{-1}(U) \mid U \in \mathscr{U}\right\}$ is an open cover of $K_{n}=\left(\operatorname{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}\right)_{n}$ such that $f_{\#}\left(\pi_{n}^{S p}(\mathscr{W}, \boldsymbol{o})\right) \subseteq \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ and for every $(n+1)$ simplex $\sigma$ in $K$, we have $\partial \sigma \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$ for some $U \in \mathscr{U}$. Thus it suffices to prove $\pi_{n}\left(K_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right) \subset \pi_{n}^{S p}(\mathscr{W}, \boldsymbol{o})$. Let $S$ be the set of $(n+1)$-simplices of $K$. Since $n \geq 2$, $K_{n}$ is simply connected. Standard simplicial homology arguments give that the reduced singular homology groups of $K_{n}$ are trivial in dimension $<n$ and $H_{n}\left(K_{n}\right)$ is a finitely generated free abelian group. A set of free generators for $H_{n}\left(K_{n}\right)$ can be chosen by fixing the homology class of a simplicial map $g_{\sigma}: \partial \Delta_{n+1} \rightarrow K_{n}$ that sends $\partial \Delta_{n+1}$ homeomorphically onto the boundary of an $(n+1)$-simplex $\sigma \in S$. Thus $K_{n}$ is $(n-1)$-connected and the Hurewicz homomorphism $h: \pi_{k}\left(K_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right) \rightarrow H_{k}\left(K_{n}\right)$ is an isomorphism for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. In particular, let $p_{\sigma}: I \rightarrow K_{n}$ be any path from $\boldsymbol{o}$ to $g_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{o})$. Then $\pi_{n}\left(K_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)$ is freely generated by the path-conjugates [ $p_{\sigma} * g_{\sigma}$ ], $\sigma \in S$. By assumption, for every $\sigma \in S,\left[p_{\sigma} * g_{\sigma}\right]$ is a generator of


Figure 1. An element of $\pi_{2}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$, which is a product of three path-conjugate generators $\left[\alpha_{i} * f_{i}\right]$.
$\pi_{n}^{S p}(\mathscr{W}, \boldsymbol{o})$. Since $\pi_{n}^{S p}(\mathscr{W}, \boldsymbol{o})$ contains all the generators of $\pi_{n}\left(K_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)$, the inclusion $\pi_{n}\left(K_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right) \subset \pi_{n}^{S p}(\mathscr{W}, \boldsymbol{o})$ follows.

To characterize the triviality of relative Spanier groups, we establish the following terminology.

Definition 3.7. Let $n \geq 0$ and $x \in X$. We say the space $X$ is:
(1) Semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial at $x$ if there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in $X$ such that every map $S^{n} \rightarrow U$ is null-homotopic in $X$.
(2) Semilocally n-connected at $x$ if there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in $X$ such that every map $S^{k} \rightarrow X, 0 \leq k \leq n$ is null-homotopic in $X$.

We say $X$ is semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial (resp. semilocally $n$-connected) if it has this property at all of its points.

It is straightforward to see that $X$ is semilocally $n$-connected at $x$ if and only if $X$ is semilocally $\pi_{k}$-trivial at $x$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Remark 3.8. A space $X$ is semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial if and only if $X$ admits an open cover $\mathscr{U}$ such that $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ is trivial [Bahredar et al. 2021, Theorem 3.7]. Moreover, $X$ is semilocally $n$-connected if and only if $X$ admits an open cover $\mathscr{U}$ such that $\pi_{k}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ is trivial for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. Note that local path connectivity is independent of the properties given in Definition 3.7.

Attempting a proof of Theorem 1.1, one should not expect the groups $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{U} \#}\right)$ to agree "on the nose." Indeed, the following example shows that we should not expect the equality $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{U} \#}\right)$ to hold even in the "nicest" local circumstances.

Example 3.9. Let $X=S^{2} \vee S^{2}$ and $W$ be a contractible neighborhood of $d_{0}$ in $S^{2}$. Set $U_{1}=S^{2} \vee W$ and $U_{2}=W \vee S^{2}$ and consider the open cover $\mathscr{U}=\left\{U_{1}, U_{2}\right\}$ of $X$. Then $\pi_{3}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is freely generated by the homotopy classes of the two inclusions $i_{1}, i_{2}: S^{2} \rightarrow X$. However, $\pi_{3}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{3}$ is freely generated by $\left[i_{1}\right]$, $\left[i_{2}\right]$, and the Whitehead product $\llbracket i_{1}, i_{2} \rrbracket$. However $|N(\mathscr{U})|$ is a 1 -simplex and is therefore contractible. Thus $\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{U}} \#\right)$ is equal to $\pi_{3}(X)$ and contains $\llbracket i_{1}, i_{2} \rrbracket$. Even though the spaces $X, U_{1}, U_{2}$ are locally contractible and the elements of $\mathscr{U}$ are 1 -connected, $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ is a proper subgroup of $\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{U} \#}\right)$. One can view this failure as the result of two facts: (1) The sets $U_{i}$ are not 2-connected and (2) the definition of Spanier group does not allow one to generate homotopy classes by taking Whitehead products of maps $S^{2} \rightarrow U_{i}$ in the neighboring elements of $\mathscr{U}$.

First, we show the inclusion $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$ holds in full generality. Recall that the intersections $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)=\bigcap_{\mathscr{U} \in O(X)} \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)=$ $\bigcap_{\left(\mathscr{U}, U_{0}\right) \in \Lambda} \operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{U} \#}\right)$ are formally indexed by different sets.

Lemma 3.10. For every open cover $\mathscr{U}$ of $X$ and canonical map $p_{\mathscr{U}}: X \rightarrow|N(\mathscr{U})|$, there exists a refinement $\mathscr{V} \succeq \mathscr{U}$ such that $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{V}, x_{0}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{U} \#}\right)$ in $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{U} \in O(X)$. The stars $\operatorname{st}(U,|N(\mathscr{U})|), U \in \mathscr{U}$ form an open cover of $|N(\mathscr{U})|$ by contractible sets and therefore $\mathscr{V}=\left\{p_{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}(\operatorname{st}(U,|N(\mathscr{U})|)) \mid U \in \mathscr{U}\right\}$ is an open cover of $X$. Since $p_{\mathscr{U}}$ is a canonical map, we have $p_{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}(\operatorname{st}(U,|N(\mathscr{U})|)) \subseteq U$ for all $U \in \mathscr{U}$. Thus $\mathscr{V}$ is a refinement of $\mathscr{U}$. A generator of $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{V}, x_{0}\right)$ is of the form $[\alpha * f]$ for a map $f: S^{n} \rightarrow p_{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}(\operatorname{st}(U,|N(\mathscr{U})|))$. However, $p_{\mathscr{U}} \circ f$ has image in the contractible open $\operatorname{set} \operatorname{st}(U,|N(\mathscr{U})|)$ and is therefore null-homotopic. Thus $p_{\mathscr{U} \#}([\alpha * f])=0$. We conclude that $p_{\mathscr{U} \#}\left(\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{V}, x_{0}\right)\right)=0$.

Corollary 3.11 [Bahredar et al. 2021, Theorem 4.14]. Let $n \geq 1$. For any based space $\left(X, x_{0}\right)$, we have $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$.
Proof. Suppose $[f] \in \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$. Given a normal, based open cover $\left(\mathscr{U}, U_{0}\right) \in \Lambda$ and any canonical map $p_{\mathscr{U}}: X \rightarrow|N(\mathscr{U})|$, Lemma 3.10 ensures we can find a refinement $\mathscr{V} \succeq \mathscr{U}$ such that $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{V}, x_{0}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{U} \#}\right)$. Thus $[f] \in \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{V}, x_{0}\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{U} \#}\right)$. Since $\left(\mathscr{U}, U_{0}\right)$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $[f] \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$.

Example 3.12 (higher earring spaces). An important space, which we will call upon repeatedly for examples, is the $n$-dimensional earring space

$$
\mathbb{E}_{n}=\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid\|\boldsymbol{x}-(1 / j, 0,0, \ldots, 0)\|=1 / j\right\}
$$

which is a shrinking wedge (one-point union) of $n$-spheres with basepoint the origin $\boldsymbol{o}$. It is known that $\mathbb{E}_{n}$ is $(n-1)$-connected, locally $(n-1)$-connected, and $\pi_{n}$-shape injective for all $n \geq 1$ [Eda and Kawamura 2000a; Morgan and Morrison 1986]. However, $\mathbb{E}_{n}$ is not semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial. Thus $\pi_{n}^{S p}(\mathscr{U}, \boldsymbol{o}) \neq 0$ for any open cover $\mathscr{U}$ of $\mathbb{E}_{n}$ even though in the absolute case $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)$ is trivial.

Example 3.13. Let $n \geq 3$ and notice that $\mathbb{E}_{1} \vee \mathbb{E}_{n}$ is not semilocally $\pi_{1}$-trivial (since it has $\mathbb{E}_{1}$ as a retract) and therefore fails to be semilocally $(n-1)$-connected. However, it has recently been shown that $\pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{E}_{1} \vee \mathbb{E}_{n}\right)=0$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ and that $\mathbb{E}_{1} \vee \mathbb{E}_{n}$ is $\pi_{n}$-shape injective [Brazas 2021]. Thus $\mathbb{E}_{1} \vee \mathbb{E}_{n}$ is semilocally $\pi_{k}$-trivial for all $k \leq n-1$ except $k=1$ and $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathbb{E}_{1} \vee \mathbb{E}_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)=0$. Thus the failure to be semilocally $n$-connected can occur at single dimension less than $n$.

## 4. Recursive extension lemmas

Toward a proof of the inclusion $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right) \subseteq \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ for $L C^{n-1}$ space $X$, we introduce some convenient notation and definitions. If $\mathscr{U}$ is an open cover and $A \subseteq X$, then $\operatorname{St}(A, \mathscr{U})=\bigcup\{U \in \mathscr{U} \mid A \cap U \neq \varnothing\}$. Note that if $A \subseteq B$, then $\operatorname{St}(A, \mathscr{U}) \subseteq \operatorname{St}(B, \mathscr{U})$. Also if $\mathscr{V} \succeq \mathscr{U}$, then $\operatorname{St}(A, \mathscr{V}) \subseteq \operatorname{St}(A, \mathscr{U})$. We take the following terminology from [Willard 1970].

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{V} \in O(X)$ :
(1) We say $\mathscr{V}$ is a barycentric-star refinement of $\mathscr{U}$ if for every $x \in X$, we have $\operatorname{St}(x, \mathscr{V}) \subseteq U$ for some $U \in \mathscr{U}$. We write $\mathscr{V} \succeq_{*} \mathscr{U}$.
(2) We say $\mathscr{V}$ is a star refinement of $\mathscr{U}$ if for every $V \in \mathscr{V}$, we have $\operatorname{St}(V, \mathscr{V}) \subseteq U$ for some $U \in \mathscr{U}$. We write $\mathscr{V} \succeq_{* *} \mathscr{U}$.

Note that if $\mathscr{U} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{W}$, then $\mathscr{U} \preceq_{* *} \mathscr{W}$.
Lemma 4.2 [Stone 1948]. A $T_{1}$ space $X$ is paracompact if and only if for every $\mathscr{U} \in O(X)$ there exists $\mathscr{V} \in O(X)$ such that $\mathscr{V} \succeq_{*} \mathscr{U}$.

Definition 4.3. [Mardešić and Segal 1982, Chapter I, Section 3.2.5] Let $n \in$ $\{0,1,2,3, \ldots, \infty\}$. A space $X$ is $L C^{n}$ at $x \in X$ if for every neighborhood $U$ of $x$, there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $x$ such that $V \subseteq U$ and such that for all $0 \leq k \leq n(k<\infty$ if $n=\infty)$, every map $f: \partial \Delta_{k+1} \rightarrow V$ extends to a map $g: \Delta_{k+1} \rightarrow U$. We say $X$ is $L C^{n}$ if $X$ is $L C^{n}$ at all of its points.

We have the following evident implications for both the point-wise and global properties:
$X$ is locally $n$-connected $\Rightarrow X$ is $L C^{n} \Rightarrow X$ is semilocallyn-connected.
For first countable spaces, the $L C^{n}$ property is equivalent to the " $n$-tame" property in [Brazas 2021] defined in terms of shrinking sequences of maps.

Definition 4.4. Suppose $\mathscr{V} \succeq \mathscr{U}$ in $O(X)$ :
(1) We say $\mathscr{V}$ is an $n$-refinement of $\mathscr{U}$, and write $\mathscr{V} \succeq^{n} \mathscr{U}$, if for all $0 \leq k \leq n$, $V \in \mathscr{V}$, and maps $f: \partial \Delta_{k+1} \rightarrow V$, there exists $U \in \mathscr{U}$ with $V \subseteq U$ and a continuous extension $g: \Delta_{k+1} \rightarrow U$ of $f$.
(2) We say $\mathscr{V}$ is an $n$-barycentric-star refinement of $\mathscr{U}$, and write $\mathscr{V} \succeq_{*}^{n} \mathscr{U}$, if for every $0 \leq k \leq n$, for every $x \in X$, and every map $f: \partial \Delta_{k+1} \rightarrow \operatorname{St}(x, \mathscr{V})$, there exists $U \in \mathscr{U}$ with $\operatorname{St}(x, \mathscr{V}) \subseteq U$ and a continuous extension $g: \Delta_{k+1} \rightarrow U$ of $f$.

Note that if $\mathscr{V} \succeq^{n} \mathscr{U}$ (resp. $\left.\mathscr{V} \succeq_{*}^{n} \mathscr{U}\right)$, then $\mathscr{V} \succeq^{k} \mathscr{U}$ (resp. $\mathscr{V} \succeq_{*}^{k} \mathscr{U}$ ) for all $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Lemma 4.5. Suppose $X$ is paracompact, Hausdorff, and $L C^{n}$. For every $\mathscr{U} \in$ $O(X)$, there exists $\mathscr{V} \in O(X)$ such that $\mathscr{V} \succeq_{*}^{n} \mathscr{U}$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{U} \in O(X)$. Since $X$ is $L C^{n}$, for every $U \in \mathscr{U}$ and $x \in U$, there exists an open neighborhood $W(U, x)$ such that $W(U, x) \subseteq U$ and such that for all $0 \leq k \leq n$, each map $f: \partial \Delta_{k+1} \rightarrow W(U, x)$ extends to a map $g: \Delta_{k+1} \rightarrow U$. Let $\mathscr{W}=\{W(U, x) \mid U \in \mathscr{U}, x \in U\}$ and note $\mathscr{W} \succeq^{n} \mathscr{U}$. Since $X$ is paracompact Hausdorff, by Lemma 4.2, there exists $\mathscr{V} \in O(X)$ such that $\mathscr{V} \succeq_{*} \mathscr{W}$.

Fix $x^{\prime} \in X$. Then $\operatorname{St}\left(x^{\prime}, \mathscr{V}\right) \subseteq W(U, x)$ for some $x \in U \in \mathscr{U}$. Then $\operatorname{St}\left(x^{\prime}, \mathscr{V}\right) \subseteq U$. Moreover, if $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $f: \partial \Delta_{k+1} \rightarrow \operatorname{St}\left(x^{\prime}, \mathscr{V}\right)$ is a map, then since $f$ has image in $W(U, x)$, there is an extension $g: \Delta_{k+1} \rightarrow U$. This verifies that $\mathscr{V} \succeq_{*}^{n} \mathscr{U}$.

For the next two lemmas, we fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a geometric simplicial complex $K$ with $\operatorname{dim} K=n+1$, and a subcomplex $L \subseteq K$ with $\operatorname{dim} L \leq n$. Let $M[k]=L \cup K_{k}$ denote the union of $L$ and the $k$-skeleton of $K$. Since $L \subseteq K_{n}, M[n]=K_{n}$ is the union of the boundaries of the $(n+1)$-simplices of $K$. Later we will consider the cases where (1) $K=\mathrm{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}$ and $L=\operatorname{sd}^{m} \partial \Delta_{n+1}$ and (2) $K=\mathrm{sd}^{m} \partial \Delta_{n+2}$ and $L=\{\boldsymbol{o}\}$.

Lemma 4.6 (recursive extensions). Suppose $1 \leq k \leq n, \mathscr{U} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V} \preceq_{*}^{k-1} \mathscr{W}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f: M[k-1] \rightarrow X$ is a map such that for every $(n+1)$-simplex $\sigma$ of $K$, we have $f(\sigma \cap M[k-1]) \subseteq W_{\sigma}$ for some $W_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{W}$. Then there exists a continuous extension $g: M[k] \rightarrow X$ of $f$ such that for every $(n+1)$-simplex $\sigma$ of $K$, we have $g(\sigma \cap M[k]) \subseteq U_{\sigma}$ for some $U_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{U}$.

Proof. Supposing the hypothesis, we must extend $f$ to the $k$-simplices of $M[k]$ that do not lie in $L$. Let $\tau$ be a $k$-simplex of $M[k]$ that does not lie in $L$ and let $S_{\tau}$ be the set of $(n+1)$-simplices in $K$ that contain $\tau$. By assumption, $S_{\tau}$ is nonempty. We make some general observations first. Since $f$ maps the $(k-1)$-skeleton of each $(n+1)$-simplex $\sigma \in S_{\tau}$ into $W_{\sigma}$ and $\partial \tau$ lies in this $(k-1)$-skeleton, we have
$f(\partial \tau) \subseteq \bigcap_{\sigma \in S_{\tau}} W_{\sigma}$. Thus, for all $\tau$, we have

$$
f(\partial \tau) \subseteq \bigcap_{\sigma \in S_{\tau}} \operatorname{St}\left(W_{\sigma}, \mathscr{V}\right)
$$

Fix a vertex $v_{\tau}$ of $\tau$ and let $x_{\tau}=f\left(v_{\tau}\right)$. Then $x_{\tau} \in W_{\sigma} \subseteq \operatorname{St}\left(x_{\tau}, \mathscr{W}\right)$ whenever $\sigma \in S_{\tau}$. Since $\mathscr{W} \succeq_{*}^{k-1} \mathscr{V}$, we may find $V_{\tau} \in \mathscr{V}$ such that $\operatorname{St}\left(x_{\tau}, \mathscr{W}\right) \subseteq V_{\tau}$ and such that every map $\partial \Delta_{k} \rightarrow \operatorname{St}\left(x_{\tau}, \mathscr{W}\right)$ extends to a map $\Delta_{k} \rightarrow V_{\tau}$. In particular, $\left.f\right|_{\partial \tau}: \partial \tau \rightarrow W_{\sigma}$ extends to a map $\tau \rightarrow V_{\tau}$. We define $g: M[k] \rightarrow X$ so that it agrees with $f$ on $M[k-1]$ and so that the restriction of $g$ to $\tau$ is a choice of continuous extension $\tau \rightarrow V_{\tau}$ of $\left.f\right|_{\partial \tau}$.

We now choose the sets $U_{\sigma}$. Fix an $(n+1)$-simplex $\sigma$ of $K$. If the $k$-skeleton of $\sigma$ lies entirely in $L$, we choose any $U_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{U}$ satisfying $W_{\sigma} \subseteq U_{\sigma}$. Suppose there exists at least one $k$-simplex in $\sigma$ not in $L$. Then whenever $\tau$ is a $k$-simplex of $\sigma$ not in $L$, we have $W_{\sigma} \subseteq \operatorname{St}\left(x_{\tau}, \mathscr{W}\right) \subseteq V_{\tau}$. Fix a point $y_{\sigma} \in W_{\sigma}$. The assumption that $\mathscr{V} \succeq_{*} \mathscr{U}$ implies that there exists $U_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{U}$ such that $\operatorname{St}\left(y_{\sigma}, \mathscr{V}\right) \subseteq U_{\sigma}$. In this case, we have $W_{\sigma} \subseteq V_{\tau} \subseteq U_{\sigma}$ whenever $\tau$ is a $k$-simplex of $\sigma$ not in $L$.

Finally, we check that $g$ satisfies the desired property. Again, fix an $(n+1)$ simplex $\sigma$ of $K$. If $\tau$ is a $k$-simplex of $\sigma$ not in $L$, our definition of $g$ gives $g(\tau) \subseteq V_{\tau} \subseteq U_{\sigma}$. If $\tau^{\prime}$ is a $k$-simplex in $\sigma \cap L$, then $g\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=f\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \subseteq W_{\sigma} \subseteq U_{\sigma}$. Overall, this shows that $g(\sigma \cap M[k]) \subseteq U_{\sigma}$ for each $(n+1)$-simplex $\sigma$ of $K$.

A direct, recursive application of the previous lemma is given in the following statement.

Lemma 4.7. Suppose there is a sequence of open covers

$$
\mathscr{W}_{n} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}_{n} \preceq_{*}^{n-1} \mathscr{W}_{n-1} \preceq_{*} \cdots \preceq_{*}^{2} \mathscr{W}_{2} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}_{2} \preceq_{*}^{1} \mathscr{W}_{1} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}_{1} \preceq_{*}^{0} \mathscr{W}_{0}
$$

and a map $f_{0}: M[0] \rightarrow X$ such that for every $(n+1)$-simplex $\sigma$ of $K$, we have $f_{0}(\sigma \cap M[0]) \subseteq W$ for some $W \in \mathscr{W}_{0}$. Then there exists an extension $f_{n}: M[n] \rightarrow X$ of $f_{0}$ such that for every $(n+1)$-simplex $\sigma$ of $K$, we have $f_{n}(\partial \sigma) \subseteq U$ for some $U \in \mathscr{W}_{n}$.

## 5. A proof of Theorem 1.1

We apply the extension results of the previous section in the case where $K=$ $\operatorname{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L=\operatorname{sd}^{m} \partial \Delta_{n+1}$ so that $M[k]=L \cup K_{k}$ consists of the $n$-simplices of the boundary of $\Delta_{n+1}$ and the $k$-simplices of sd ${ }^{m} \Delta_{n+1}$ not in the boundary. Note that $M[n]$ is the union of the boundaries of the $(n+1)$-simplices of $\mathrm{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}$.
Lemma 5.1. Let $n \geq 1$. Suppose $X$ is paracompact, Hausdorff, and $L C^{n-1}$. Then for every open cover $\mathscr{U}$ of $X$, there exists $\left(\mathscr{V}, V_{0}\right) \in \Lambda$ such that $\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{V} \#}\right) \subseteq$ $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathscr{U} \in O(X)$. Since $X$ is paracompact, Hausdorff, and $L C^{n-1}$, we may apply Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5 to first find a sequence of refinements

$$
\mathscr{U}=\mathscr{U}_{n} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}_{n} \preceq_{*}^{n-1} \mathscr{U}_{n-1} \preceq_{*} \cdots \preceq_{*}^{2} \mathscr{U}_{2} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}_{2} \preceq_{*}^{1} \mathscr{U}_{1} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}_{1} \preceq_{*}^{0} \mathscr{U}_{0}
$$

and then one last refinement $\mathscr{V}_{0} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}_{0}=\mathscr{V}$. Let $V_{0} \in \mathscr{V}$ be any set containing $x_{0}$ and recall that since $X$ is paracompact Hausdorff $\left(\mathscr{V}, V_{0}\right) \in \Lambda$. We will show that $\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{V} \#}\right) \subseteq \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$. Note that $p_{\mathscr{V}}^{-1}(\operatorname{st}(V, N(\mathscr{V})) \subseteq V$ by the definition of canonical map $p_{V}$.

Suppose $[f] \in \operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\vartheta \sim \#}\right)$ is represented by a map $f:\left(\left|\partial \Delta_{n+1}\right|, \boldsymbol{o}\right) \rightarrow\left(X, x_{0}\right)$. We will show that $[f] \in \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$. Then $p_{\mathscr{V}} \circ f:\left|\partial \Delta_{n+1}\right| \rightarrow|N(\mathscr{V})|$ is nullhomotopic and extends to a map $h:\left|\Delta_{n+1}\right| \rightarrow|N(\mathscr{V})|$. Set $Y_{V}=h^{-1}(\operatorname{st}(V, N(\mathscr{V})))$ so that $\mathscr{Y}=\left\{Y_{V} \mid V \in \mathscr{V}\right\}$ is an open cover of $\left|\Delta_{n+1}\right|$.

We find a particular simplicial approximation for $h$ using the cover $\mathscr{Y}$ [Munkres 1984, Theorem 16.1]: let $\lambda$ be a Lebesgue number for $\mathscr{Y}$ so that any subset of $\Delta_{n+1}$ of diameter less than $\lambda$ lies in some element of $\mathscr{\mathscr { V }}$. Find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each simplex in $\mathrm{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}$ has diameter less than $\lambda / 2$. Thus the star st $\left(a, \mathrm{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}\right)$ of each vertex $a$ in sd ${ }^{m} \Delta_{n+1}$ lies in a set $Y_{V_{a}} \in \mathscr{Y}$ for some $V_{a} \in \mathscr{V}$. The assignment $a \mapsto V_{a}$ on vertices extends to a simplicial approximation $h^{\prime}: \mathrm{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1} \rightarrow N(\mathscr{V})$ of $h$, i.e., a simplicial map $h^{\prime}$ such that

$$
h\left(\operatorname{st}\left(a, \operatorname{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{st}\left(h^{\prime}(a), N(\mathscr{V})\right)=\operatorname{st}\left(V_{a}, N(\mathscr{V})\right)
$$

for each vertex $a$ [Munkres 1984, Lemma 14.1].
Let $K=\operatorname{sd}^{m} \Delta_{n+1}$ and $L=\mathrm{sd}^{m} \partial \Delta_{n+1}$ so that $M[k]=L \cup K_{k}$. First, we extend $f: L \rightarrow X$ to a map $f_{0}: M[0] \rightarrow X$. For each vertex $a$ in $K$, pick a point $f_{0}(a) \in V_{a}$. In particular, if $a \in L$, take $f_{0}(a)=f(a)$. This choice is well defined since, for a boundary vertex $a \in L$, we have $p_{\mathscr{V}} \circ f(a)=h(a) \in \operatorname{st}\left(V_{a},|N(\mathscr{V})|\right)$ and thus $f(a) \in p_{\mathscr{V}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{st}\left(V_{a}, \mid N(\mathscr{V} \mid)\right)\right) \subseteq V_{a}$.

Note that $h^{\prime}$ maps every simplex $\sigma=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right]$ of $K$ to the simplex of $N(\mathscr{V})$ spanned by $\left\{h^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right) \mid 0 \leq i \leq k\right\}=\left\{V_{a_{i}} \mid 0 \leq i \leq k\right\}$. By definition of the nerve, we have $\bigcap\left\{V_{a_{i}} \mid 0 \leq i \leq k\right\} \neq \varnothing$. Pick a point $x_{\sigma} \in \bigcap\left\{V_{a_{i}} \mid 0 \leq i \leq k\right\}$.

By our initial choice of refinements, we have $\mathscr{U}_{0} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}$. If $\sigma=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right]$ is an $(n+1)$-simplex of $K$, then $\operatorname{St}\left(x_{\sigma}, \mathscr{V}\right) \subseteq U_{\sigma}$ for some $U_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{U}$. In particular $\left\{f_{0}\left(a_{i}\right) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n+1\right\} \subseteq \bigcup\left\{V_{a_{i}} \mid 0 \leq i \leq n+1\right\} \subseteq U_{\sigma}$. Thus $f_{0}$ maps the 0 -skeleton of $\sigma$ into $U_{\sigma}$. If $1 \leq k \leq n, \tau$ is a $k$-face of $\sigma \cap L$ with $a_{i} \in \tau$, then $p_{\mathscr{V}} \circ f_{0}(\operatorname{int}(\tau))=p_{\mathscr{V}} \circ f(\operatorname{int}(\tau))=h(\operatorname{int}(\tau)) \subseteq h\left(\operatorname{st}\left(a_{i}, K\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{st}\left(V_{a_{i}},|N(\mathscr{V})|\right)$. It follows that

$$
f_{0}(\tau) \subseteq p_{\mathscr{V}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{st}\left(V_{a_{i}},|N(\mathscr{V})|\right)\right) \subseteq V_{a_{i}} \subseteq U_{\sigma} .
$$

Thus for every $n$-simplex in $\sigma \cap L$, we have $f_{0}(\tau) \subseteq U_{\sigma}$. We conclude that for every $(n+1)$-simplex $\sigma$ of $K$, we have $f_{0}(\sigma \cap M[0]) \subseteq U_{\sigma}$.

By our choice of sequence of refinements, we are precisely in the situation to apply Lemma 4.7. Doing so, we obtain an extension $f_{n}: M[n] \rightarrow X$ of $f$ such that for every $(n+1)$-simplex $\sigma$ of $K$, we have $f_{n}(\partial \sigma) \subseteq \boldsymbol{U}_{\sigma}$ for some $\boldsymbol{U}_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{U}_{n}=\mathscr{U}$. By Lemma 3.6, we have $[f]=\left[\left.f_{n}\right|_{\partial \Delta_{n+1}}\right] \in \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$.

Finally, both inclusions have been established and provide a proof of our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The inclusion $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$ holds in general by Corollary 3.11. Under the given hypotheses, the inclusion $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right) \subseteq \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ follows from Lemma 5.1.

When considering examples relevant to Theorem 1.1, it is helpful to compare $\pi_{n}$-shape injectivity with the following weaker property from [Ghane and Hamed 2009].

Definition 5.2. We say a space $X$ is $n$-homotopically Hausdorff at $x \in X$ if no nontrivial element of $\pi_{n}(X, x)$ has a representing map in every neighborhood of $x$. We say $X$ is $n$-homotopically Hausdorff if it is $n$-homotopically Hausdorff at each of its points.

Clearly, $\pi_{n}$-shape injectivity $\Rightarrow n$-homotopically Hausdorff. The next example, which highlights the effectiveness of Theorem 1.1 , shows the converse is not true even for $L C^{n-1}$ Peano continua.

Example 5.3. Fix $n \geq 2$ and let $\ell_{j}: S^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{n}$ be the inclusion of the $j$-th sphere and define $f: \mathbb{E}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{n}$ to be the shift map given by $f \circ \ell_{j}=\ell_{j+1}$. Let $M_{f}=\mathbb{E}_{n} \times[0,1] / \sim$, $(x, 0) \sim(f(x), 1)$ be the mapping torus of $f$. We identify $\mathbb{E}_{n}$ with the image of $\mathbb{E}_{n} \times\{0\}$ in $M_{f}$ and take $\boldsymbol{o}$ to be the basepoint of $M_{f}$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow M_{f}$ be the loop where $\alpha(t)$ is the image of $(\boldsymbol{o}, t)$. Then $M_{f}$ is locally contractible at all points other than those in the image of $\alpha$. Also, every point $\alpha(t)$ has a neighborhood that deformation retracts onto a homeomorphic copy of $\mathbb{E}_{n}$. Thus, since $\mathbb{E}_{n}$ is $L C^{n-1}$, so is $X$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(M_{f}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{n}\left(M_{f}, \boldsymbol{o}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\check{\pi}_{n}\left(M_{f}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)\right)$. In particular, the Spanier group of $M_{f}$ contains all elements $\left[\alpha^{k} * g\right]$ where $g: S^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{n}$ is a based map and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the universal covering map $E \rightarrow M_{f}$ that "unwinds" $\alpha$ and the relation $[g]=[\alpha *(f \circ g)]$ in $\pi_{n}\left(M_{f}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)$, it is not hard to show that these are, in fact, the only elements of the $n$-th Spanier group. Hence,

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{n}\left(M_{f}, \boldsymbol{o}\right) \rightarrow \check{\pi}_{n}\left(M_{f}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)\right)=\left\{\left[\alpha^{k} * g\right] \mid[g] \in \pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right), k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

which is an uncountable subgroup. Moreover, since $M_{f}$ is shape equivalent to the aspherical space $S^{1}$, we have $\check{\pi}_{n}\left(M_{f}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)=0$ and thus $\pi_{n}\left(M_{f}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)=\left\{\left[\alpha^{k} * g\right] \mid[g] \in\right.$ $\left.\pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right), k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$.

It follows from this description that, even though $M_{f}$ is not $\pi_{n}$-shape injective, $M_{f}$ is $n$-homotopically Hausdorff. Indeed, it suffices to check this at the points $\alpha(t), t \in I$. We give the argument for $\alpha(0)=\boldsymbol{o}$, the other points are similar. If $0 \neq h \in \pi_{n}\left(M_{f}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)$ has a representative in every neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{o}$ in $M_{f}$, then clearly $h \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$. Hence, $h=\left[\alpha^{k} * g\right]$ for $[g] \in \pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $M_{f}$ retracts onto the circle parametrized by $\alpha$, the hypothesis on $h$ can only hold if $k=0$. However, there is a basis of neighborhoods of $\boldsymbol{o}$ in $M_{f}$ that deformation retract onto an open neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{o}$ in $\mathbb{E}_{n}$. Thus $[g]$ has a representative in every neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{o}$ in $\pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)$, giving $h=[g] \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right) \rightarrow \check{\pi}_{n}\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right)\right)=0$.

It is an important feature of Example 5.3 that $M_{f}$ is not simply connected and has multiple points at which it is not semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial. This motivates the following application of Theorem 1.1, which identifies a partial converse of the implication $\pi_{n}$-shape injective $\Rightarrow n$-homotopically Hausdorff.

Corollary 5.4. Let $n \geq 2$ and $X$ be a simply connected, $L C^{n-1}$, compact Hausdorff space such that $X$ fails to be semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial only at a single point $x \in X$. Then for every element $g \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right) \subseteq \pi_{n}(X, x)$ and neighborhood $V$ of $x, g$ is represented by a map with image in $V$. In particular, if $X$ is $n$-homotopically Hausdorff at $x$, then $X$ is $\pi_{n}$-shape injective.
Proof. Let $0 \neq g \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right) \subseteq \pi_{n}(X, x)$. By Theorem 1.1, $g \in \pi_{n}^{S p}(X, x)$. Since $X$ is compact Hausdorff, we may replace $O(X)$ by the cofinal subdirected order $O_{F}(X)$ consisting of finite open covers $\mathscr{U}$ of $X$ with the property that there is a unique $A_{\mathscr{U}} \in \mathscr{U}$ with $x \in A_{\mathscr{U}}$. For each $\mathscr{U} \in O_{F}(X)$, we can write $g=\prod_{i=1}^{m \mathscr{U}}\left[\alpha_{\mathscr{U}, i} * f_{\mathscr{U}, i}\right]$ where $f_{\mathscr{U}, i}: S^{n} \rightarrow U_{\mathscr{U}, i}$ is a non-nullhomotopic map for some $U_{\mathscr{U}, i} \in \mathscr{U}$ and $\alpha_{\mathscr{U}, i}$ is a path from $x$ to $f_{\mathscr{U}, i}\left(d_{0}\right)$.

Let $V$ be an open neighborhood of $x$. We check that $g$ is represented by a map with image in $V$. Since $X$ is $L C^{0}$ at $x$, there exists an open neighborhood $V^{\prime}$ of $x$ such that any two points of $V^{\prime}$ may be connected by a path in $V$. Fix $\mathscr{U}_{0} \in O_{F}(X)$ such that $A_{\mathscr{U}_{0}} \subseteq V^{\prime}$. Then $A_{\mathscr{V}} \subseteq V^{\prime}$ whenever $\mathscr{V} \in O_{F}(X)$ refines $\mathscr{U}_{0}$.

We claim that for sufficiently refined $\mathscr{V}$, all of the maps $f_{V, i}$ have image in $V^{\prime}$. Suppose, to obtain a contradiction, there is a subset $T \subseteq\left\{\mathscr{V} \in O_{F}(X) \mid \mathscr{V} \succeq \mathscr{U}_{0}\right\}$, which is cofinal in $O_{F}(X)$ and such that for every $\mathscr{V} \in T$ there exists $i_{\mathscr{V}} \in$ $\left\{1,2, \ldots, m_{\mathscr{V}}\right\}$ and $d_{\mathscr{V}} \in S^{n}$ such that $f_{\mathscr{V}, i \mathscr{V}}\left(d_{\mathscr{V}}\right) \in U_{\mathscr{V}, i} \backslash V^{\prime} \subseteq U_{\mathscr{V}, i} \backslash A_{\mathscr{U}}$. Since $X$ is compact, we may replace $\left\{f_{\mathscr{V}, i \mathscr{V}}\left(d_{\mathscr{Y}}\right)\right\}$ with a subnet $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ that converges to a point $y \in X$. Here, $x_{j}=f_{Y_{j}, i \mathscr{V}_{j}}\left(d_{V_{j}}\right)$ for some directed set $J$ and monotone, final function $J \rightarrow T$ given by $j \mapsto \mathscr{V}_{j}$. Let $Y$ be an open neighborhood of $y$ in $X$. Find $\mathscr{W} \in O_{F}(X)$ such that there exists $W_{0} \in \mathscr{W}$ such that $y \in W_{0}$ and $\operatorname{St}\left(W_{0}, \mathscr{W}\right) \subseteq Y$. Since $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ is subnet that converges to $y$, there exists $k \in J$ such that $\mathscr{V}_{k} \succeq \mathscr{W}$ and $x_{k} \in W_{0}$. We have $x_{k} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\gamma_{k}, i \gamma_{k}}\right) \subseteq U_{\gamma_{k}, \nu_{\gamma_{k}}} \subseteq W$ for some $W \in \mathscr{W}$ and thus $\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\mathscr{V}_{K_{k}}, i_{\mathcal{V}_{k}}}\right) \subseteq U_{\mathscr{V}_{k}, i} \subseteq \operatorname{St}\left(W_{0}, \mathscr{W}\right) \subseteq Y$. However, for every $\mathscr{V} \in O_{F}(X), f_{\mathscr{V}}, i_{\mathscr{V}}$ is
not null-homotopic in $X$. Thus, since $Y$ represents an arbitrary neighborhood of $y$, $X$ is not semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial at $y$. By assumption, we must have $x=y$. Since $\left\{x_{j}\right\} \rightarrow x$, the same argument, but where $Y$ is replaced by $V^{\prime}$, shows that there exists sufficiently refined $\mathscr{V}$ for which $\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\mathscr{V}, i \mathscr{V}}\right) \subseteq V^{\prime}$; a contradiction. Since the claim is proved, there exists $\mathscr{U}_{1} \succeq \mathscr{U}_{0}$ in $O_{F}(X)$ such that whenever $\mathscr{V} \succeq \mathscr{U}_{1}$, we have $\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\mathscr{V}, i}\right) \subseteq V^{\prime}$ for all $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, m_{\mathscr{V}}\right\}$.

Fix $\mathscr{V} \succeq \mathscr{U}_{1}$ in $O_{F}(X)$. For all $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, m_{\mathscr{V}}\right\}$, we may find a path $\beta_{\mathscr{V}, i}: I \rightarrow V$ from $x$ to $f_{\mathscr{V}, i}\left(d_{0}\right)$. Since $X$ is simply connected, we have $\left[\alpha_{\mathscr{V}, i} * f_{\mathscr{U}, i}\right]=\left[\beta_{\mathscr{V}, i} * f_{\mathscr{U}, i}\right]$ for all $i$. Thus $g$ is represented by $\prod_{i=1}^{m_{\mathscr{V}}} \beta_{\mathscr{V}, i} * f_{\mathscr{V}, i}$, which has image in $V$.

Remark 5.5 (topologies on homotopy groups). Given a group $G$ and a collection of subgroups $\left\{N_{j} \mid j \in J\right\}$ of $G$ such that for all $j, j^{\prime} \in J$, there exists $k \in J$ such that $N_{k} \subseteq N_{j} \cap N_{j^{\prime}}$, we can generate a topology on $G$ by taking the set $\left\{g N_{j} \mid j \in J, g \in G\right\}$ of left cosets as a basis. We can apply this to both the collection of Spanier subgroups $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ and the collection of kernels $\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{U} \#}\right)$ to define two natural topologies on $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ :
(1) The Spanier topology on $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is generated by the left cosets of Spanier groups $\pi_{n}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$ for $\mathscr{U} \in O(X)$.
(2) The shape topology on $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is generated by left cosets of the kernels $\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{U} \#}\right)$ where $\left(\mathscr{U}, U_{0}\right) \in \Lambda$. Equivalently, the shape topology is the initial topology with respect to the map $\Psi_{n}$ where the groups $\pi_{n}\left(|N(\mathscr{U})|, U_{0}\right)$ are given the discrete topology and $\check{\pi}_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is given the inverse limit topology.

Lemma 3.10 ensures the Spanier topology is always finer than the shape topology. Lemma 5.1 then implies that, whenever $X$ is paracompact, Hausdorff, and $L C^{n-1}$, the two topologies agree. Moreover, $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is Hausdorff in the shape topology if and only if $X$ is $\pi_{n}$-shape injective.

## 6. When is $\Psi_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ an isomorphism?

It is a result of Kozlowski and Segal [1978] that if $X$ is paracompact Hausdorff and $L C^{n}$, then $\Psi_{n}: \pi_{n}(X, x) \rightarrow \check{\pi}_{n}(X, x)$ is an isomorphism. This result was first proved for compact metric spaces in [Kuperberg 1975]. The assumption that $X$ is $L C^{n}$ assumes that small maps $S^{n} \rightarrow X$ may be contracted by small null-homotopies. However, if $\mathbb{E}_{n}$ is the $n$-dimensional earring space, then the cone $C \mathbb{E}_{n}$ is $L C^{n-1}$ but not $L C^{n}$. However, $C \mathbb{E}_{n}$ is contractible and so $\Psi_{n}$ is an isomorphism of trivial groups. Certainly, many other examples in this range exist. Our Spanier groupbased approach allows us to generalize Kozlowski-Segal's theorem in a way that includes this example by removing the need for "small" homotopies in dimension $n$. In this section, when $\mathscr{U}$ is an open cover of a space $X$ and a distinguished element
$U_{0} \in \mathscr{U}$ containing the basepoint $x_{0}$ has been established or is clear from context, we will often write $\mathscr{U}$ to represent the pair $\left(\mathscr{U}, U_{0}\right) \in \Lambda$.
Lemma 6.1. Let $n \geq 1$. Suppose that $X$ is paracompact, Hausdorff, and LC ${ }^{n-1}$. If $\left(\left[f_{\mathscr{U}}\right]\right) \mathscr{U}_{\in \Lambda} \in \check{\pi}_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$, then for every $\mathscr{U} \in \Lambda$, there exists $[g] \in \pi_{n}(X, x)$ such that $\left(p_{\mathscr{U}}\right) \#([g])=\left[f_{\mathscr{U}}\right]$.

Proof. With $\left(\mathscr{U}, U_{0}\right) \in \Lambda$ and $p_{\mathscr{U}}$ fixed, consider a representing map

$$
f_{\mathscr{U}}:\left(\left|\partial \Delta_{n+1}\right|, \boldsymbol{o}\right) \rightarrow\left(|N(\mathscr{U})|, U_{0}\right) .
$$

Let $\mathscr{U}^{\prime}=\left\{p_{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}(\operatorname{st}(U,|N(\mathscr{U})|)) \mid U \in \mathscr{U}\right\}$. Since $p_{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}(\operatorname{st}(U,|N(\mathscr{U})|)) \subseteq U$ for all $U \in \mathscr{U}$, we have $\mathscr{U} \preceq \mathscr{U}^{\prime}$. Applying Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5 we can choose the following sequence of refinements of $\mathscr{U}^{\prime}$. First, we choose a star refinement $\mathscr{U}^{\prime} \preceq_{* *} \mathscr{W}$ so that for every $W \in \mathscr{W}$, there exists $U^{\prime} \in \mathscr{U}^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{St}(W, \mathscr{W}) \subseteq U^{\prime}$. In this case, we can choose the projection map $p_{\mathscr{U} \prime}^{\prime} \mathscr{W}:|N(\mathscr{W})| \rightarrow\left|N\left(\mathscr{U}^{\prime}\right)\right|$ so that if $p_{\mathscr{U}^{\prime} \mathscr{W}}(W)=U^{\prime}$ on vertices, then $\operatorname{St}(W, \mathscr{W}) \subseteq U^{\prime}$ in $X$. This choice will be important near the end of the proof.

To construct $g$, we must take further refinements. First, we choose a sequence of a refinements

$$
\mathscr{W}=\mathscr{W}_{n} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}_{n} \preceq_{*}^{n-1} \mathscr{W}_{n-1} \preceq_{*} \cdots \preceq_{*}^{2} \mathscr{W}_{2} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}_{2} \preceq_{*}^{1} \mathscr{W}_{1} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}_{1} \preceq_{*}^{0} \mathscr{W}_{0}
$$

followed by one last refinement $\mathscr{W}_{0} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}_{0}=\mathscr{V}$. Let $V_{0} \in \mathscr{V}$ be any set containing $x_{0}$ and recall that since $X$ is paracompact Hausdorff $\left(\mathscr{V}, V_{0}\right) \in \Lambda$. For some choice of canonical map $p_{\mathscr{V}}$, we have $p_{\mathscr{V}}^{-1}(\operatorname{st}(V, N(\mathscr{V}))) \subseteq V$ for all $V \in \mathscr{V}$.

Recall that we have assumed the existence of a map

$$
f_{\mathscr{V}}:\left(\partial \Delta_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{o}\right) \rightarrow\left(|N(\mathscr{V})|, V_{0}\right)
$$

such that $p_{\mathscr{U} \mathscr{V} \#}\left(\left[f_{\mathscr{V}}\right]\right)=\left[f_{\mathscr{U}}\right]$. Set $Y_{V}=f_{\mathscr{V}}^{-1}(\operatorname{st}(V, N(\mathscr{V})))$ so that $\mathscr{Y}=\left\{Y_{V} \mid V \in \mathscr{V}\right\}$ is an open cover of $\partial \Delta_{n+1}$. As before, we find a simplicial approximation for $f_{\mathcal{V}}$. Find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the star $\operatorname{st}\left(a, \operatorname{sd}^{m} \partial \Delta_{n+1}\right)$ of each vertex $a \operatorname{in~sd}^{m} \partial \Delta_{n+1}$ lies in a set $Y_{V_{a}} \in \mathscr{Y}$ for some $V_{a} \in \mathscr{V}$. Since $f_{\mathscr{V}}(\boldsymbol{o})=V_{0}$, we may take $V_{\boldsymbol{o}}=$ $V_{0}$. The assignment $a \mapsto V_{a}$ on vertices extends to a simplicial approximation $f^{\prime}: \mathrm{sd}^{m} \partial \Delta_{n+1} \rightarrow|N(\mathscr{V})|$ of $f_{\mathscr{V}}$, i.e., a simplicial map $f^{\prime}$ such that

$$
f_{\mathscr{V}}\left(\operatorname{st}\left(a, \operatorname{sd}^{m} \partial \Delta_{n+1}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{st}\left(f^{\prime}(a),|N(\mathscr{V})|\right)=\operatorname{st}\left(V_{a},|N(\mathscr{V})|\right)
$$

for each vertex $a$.
We begin to define $g$ with the constant map $\{\boldsymbol{o}\} \rightarrow X$ sending $\boldsymbol{o}$ to $x_{0}$. In preparation for applications of Lemma 4.6, set $K=\operatorname{sd}^{m} \partial \Delta_{n+1}$ and $L=\{\boldsymbol{o}\}$ so that $K[k]=K_{k}$. First, we define a map $g_{0}: M[0] \rightarrow X$ by picking, for each vertex $a \in K_{0}$, a point $g_{0}(a) \in V_{a}$. In particular, set $g_{0}(\boldsymbol{o})=x_{0}$. This choice is well defined since we have $p_{\mathscr{V}}\left(x_{0}\right)=V_{0} \in \operatorname{st}\left(V_{\boldsymbol{o}}, N(\mathscr{V})\right)$ and thus $g_{0}(\boldsymbol{o})=x_{0} \in$ $p_{\mathscr{V}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{st}\left(V_{\boldsymbol{o}}, N(\mathscr{V})\right)\right) \subseteq V_{\boldsymbol{o}}$. Note that $f^{\prime}$ maps every simplex $\sigma=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right]$ of $K$ to the simplex of $|N(\mathscr{V})|$ spanned by $\left\{V_{a_{i}} \mid 0 \leq i \leq k\right\}$. By definition of the
nerve, we have $\bigcap\left\{V_{a_{i}} \mid 0 \leq i \leq k\right\} \neq \varnothing$. Pick a point $x_{\sigma} \in \bigcap\left\{V_{a_{i}} \mid 0 \leq i \leq k\right\}$. By our initial choice of refinements, we have $\mathscr{U}_{0} \preceq_{*} \mathscr{V}$. If $\sigma=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ is a $n$-simplex of $K$, then $\operatorname{St}\left(x_{\sigma}, \mathscr{V}\right) \subseteq U_{0, \sigma}$ for some $U_{0, \sigma} \in \mathscr{U}_{0}$. In particular $\left\{g_{0}\left(a_{i}\right) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n+1\right\} \subseteq \bigcup\left\{V_{a_{i}} \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq U_{0, \sigma}$. Thus $g_{0}$ maps the 0 -skeleton of $\sigma$ into $U_{0, \sigma}$. If $\boldsymbol{o} \in \sigma$, then $g_{0}(\boldsymbol{o}) \in p_{\mathscr{V}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{st}\left(V_{\boldsymbol{o}}, N(\mathscr{V})\right)\right) \subseteq V_{\boldsymbol{o}} \subseteq U_{0, \sigma}$. Hence, for every $n$-simplex $\sigma$ of $K$, we have $g_{0}(\sigma \cap M[0]) \subseteq U_{0, \sigma}$.

We are now in the situation to recursively apply Lemma 4.6. This is similar to the application in the proof of Lemma 5.1 with the dimension $n+1$ shifted down by one so we omit the details. Recalling that $M[n]=\operatorname{sd}^{m} \partial \Delta_{n+1}$, we obtain an extension $g: K=M[n] \rightarrow X$ of $g_{0}$ such that for every $n$-simplex $\sigma$ of $K$, we have $g(\sigma) \subseteq W_{\sigma}$ for some $W_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{W}=\mathscr{U}_{n}$.

With $g$ being defined, we seek show that $f_{\mathscr{U}} \simeq p_{\mathscr{U}} \circ g$. Since $f^{\prime} \simeq f_{\mathscr{V}}$ (by simplicial approximation), $p_{\mathscr{U} V} \simeq p_{\mathscr{U} \mathscr{U}^{\prime}} \circ p_{\mathscr{U}^{\prime} \mathscr{W}} \circ p_{\mathscr{W} V}$ (for any choice of projection maps), and $p_{\mathscr{U} V} \circ f_{\mathscr{V}} \simeq f_{\mathscr{U}}$ (for any choice of projection $p_{\mathscr{U}}$ ), it suffices to show that $p_{\mathscr{U} \mathscr{U}^{\prime}} \circ p_{\mathscr{U}} \mathscr{W} \circ p_{\mathscr{W} V \mathscr{V}} \circ f^{\prime} \simeq p_{\mathscr{U}} \circ g$. We do this by proving that the simplicial map $F=p_{\mathscr{U} \mathscr{U}^{\prime}} \circ p_{\mathscr{U}^{\prime} \mathscr{W}} \circ p_{\mathscr{W} V} \circ f^{\prime}: K \rightarrow|N(\mathscr{U})|$ is a simplicial approximation for $p_{\mathscr{U}} \circ g$. Recall that this can be done by verifying the "star-condition" $p_{\mathscr{U}} \circ g(\operatorname{st}(a, K)) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{st}(F(a),|N(\mathscr{U})|)$ for any vertex $a \in K$ [Munkres 1984, Chapter 2, Section 14]. Since $n \geq 1$, we have $\mathscr{W} \preceq_{* *} \mathscr{V}$. Hence, just like our choice of $p_{\mathscr{U}^{\prime} \mathscr{W}}$, we may choose $p_{\mathscr{W} V}$ so that whenever $p_{\mathscr{W}}(V)=W$, then $\operatorname{St}(V, \mathscr{V}) \subseteq W$. Also, we choose $p_{\mathscr{U} \mathscr{O}^{\prime}}$ to map $p_{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}(\operatorname{st}(U,|N(\mathscr{U})|)) \mapsto U$ on vertices.

Fix a vertex $a_{0} \in K$. To check the star-condition, we'll check that $p_{\mathscr{U}} \circ g(\sigma) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{st}\left(F\left(a_{0}\right),|N(\mathscr{U})|\right)$ for each $n$-simplex $\sigma$ having $a_{0}$ as a vertex. Pick an $n$-simplex $\sigma=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right] \subseteq K$ having $a_{0}$ as a vertex. Recall that $f^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)=V_{a_{i}}$ for each $i$. Set $p_{\mathscr{W} \mathscr{V}}\left(V_{a_{i}}\right)=W_{i}$ and $p_{\mathscr{U} \prime} \mathscr{U}^{\prime}\left(W_{i}\right)=p_{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{st}\left(U_{i},|N(\mathscr{U})|\right)\right) \in \mathscr{U}^{\prime}$ for some $U_{i} \in \mathscr{U}$. Then $F\left(a_{i}\right)=U_{i}$ for all $i$. It now suffices to check that $p_{\mathscr{U}} \circ g(\sigma) \subseteq \operatorname{st}\left(U_{0},|N(\mathscr{U})|\right)$. Recall that by our choice of $p_{\mathscr{U} \prime} \mathscr{\mathscr { W }}$, we have $\operatorname{St}\left(W_{0}, \mathscr{W}\right) \subseteq p_{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{st}\left(U_{0},|N(\mathscr{U})|\right)\right)$. Thus it is enough to check that $g(\sigma) \subseteq \operatorname{St}\left(W_{0}, \mathscr{W}\right)$. By construction of $g$, we have $g(\sigma) \subseteq W_{\sigma}$ for some $W_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{W}$. Since $g\left(a_{0}\right) \in W_{0} \cap W_{\sigma}$, we have $g(\sigma) \subseteq W_{\sigma} \subseteq$ $\operatorname{St}\left(W_{0}, \mathscr{W}\right)$, completing the proof.

Finally, we prove our second result, Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $X$ is paracompact, Hausdorff, $L C^{n-1}$, we have $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(X, x_{0}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$ by Theorem 1.1. Since $X$ is semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial, we have $\pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)=1$ for some $\mathscr{U} \in \Lambda$. It follows that $\Psi_{n}$ is injective. Moreover, by Lemma 5.1, we may find $\mathscr{V} \in \Lambda$ with $\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\mathscr{V} \#}\right) \subseteq \pi_{n}^{S p}\left(\mathscr{U}, x_{0}\right)$. Thus $p_{\mathscr{V} \#}: \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(|N(\mathscr{V})|, V_{0}\right)$ is injective. Let $\left(\left[f_{\mathscr{U}}\right]\right)_{\mathscr{U} \in \Lambda} \in \check{\pi}_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$. By Lemma 6.1, for each $\mathscr{U} \in \Lambda$, there exists $\left[g_{\mathscr{U}}\right] \in \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ such that $p_{\mathscr{U}}\left(\left[g_{\mathscr{U}}\right]\right)=$ [ $f_{\mathscr{U}}$ ]. If $\mathscr{V} \preceq \mathscr{W}$, then we have

$$
p_{\mathscr{V} \#}\left[\left[g_{\mathscr{V}}\right]\right)=\left[f_{\mathscr{Y}}\right]=p_{\mathscr{V} W \#}\left(\left[f_{\mathscr{W}}\right]\right)=p_{\mathscr{V} W \#} \circ p_{\mathscr{W} \#}\left(\left[g_{\mathscr{W}}\right]\right)=p_{\mathscr{V} \#}\left(\left[g_{\mathscr{W}}\right]\right) .
$$

Since $p_{\mathscr{V} \#}$ is injective, it follows that $\left[g_{\mathscr{W}}\right]=\left[g_{\mathscr{V}}\right]$ whenever $\mathscr{V} \preceq \mathscr{W}$. Setting $[g]=\left[g_{\mathscr{V}}\right]$ gives $\Psi_{n}([g])=\left(\left[f_{\mathscr{U}}\right]\right)_{\mathscr{U} \in \Lambda}$. Hence, $\Psi_{n}$ is surjective.

## 7. Examples

Example 7.1. Fix $n \geq 2$. When $X$ is a metrizable $L C^{n-1}$ space, the cone $C X$ and unreduced suspension $S X$ are $L C^{n-1}$ and semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial but need not be $L C^{n}$. This occurs in the case $X=\mathbb{E}_{n}$ or if $X=Y \vee \mathbb{E}_{n}$ where $Y$ is a CW-complex. In such cases, $\Psi_{n}: \pi_{n}(S X) \rightarrow \check{\pi}_{n}(S X)$ is an isomorphism. One point unions of such cones and suspensions, e.g., $C X \vee C Y$ or $C X \vee S Y$ also meet the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 (checking this is fairly technical [Brazas 2021]) but need not be $L C^{n}$.
Example 7.2. The converse of Theorem 1.2 does not hold. For $n \geq 2, \mathbb{E}_{n}$ is $L C^{n-1}$ but is not semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial at the wedgepoint $x_{0}$. However, $\Psi_{n}: \pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow$ $\check{\pi}_{n}\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}, x_{0}\right)$ is an isomorphism where both groups are canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ [Eda and Kawamura 2000a]. Additionally, for the infinite direct product $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} S^{n}$, $\Psi_{k}: \pi_{k}\left(\prod_{\mathbb{N}} S^{n}, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \check{\pi}_{k}\left(\prod_{\mathbb{N}} S^{n}, x_{0}\right)$ is an isomorphism for all $k \geq 1$ even though $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} S^{n}$ is not $L C^{k-1}$ when $k-1 \geq n$.
Example 7.3. We can also modify the mapping torus $M_{f}$ from Example 5.3 so that $\Psi_{n}$ becomes an isomorphism (recall that $n \geq 2$ is fixed). Let $X=M_{f} \cup C \mathbb{E}_{n}$ be the mapping cone of the inclusion $\mathbb{E}_{n} \rightarrow M_{f}$. For the same reason $M_{f}$ is $L C^{n-1}$, the space $X$ is $L C^{n-1}$. Moreover, if $U$ is a neighborhood of $\alpha(t)$ that deformation retracts onto a homeomorphic copy of $\mathbb{E}_{n}$, then any map $S^{n} \rightarrow U$ may be freely homotoped "around" the torus and into the cone. It follows that $X$ is semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial. We conclude from Theorem 1.2 that $\Psi_{n}: \pi_{n}(X) \rightarrow \check{\pi}_{n}(X)$ is an isomorphism. Since sufficiently fine covers of $X$ always give nerves homotopy equivalent to $S^{1} \vee S^{n+1}$, we have $\check{\pi}_{n}(X)=0$. Thus $\pi_{n}(X)=0$.
Example 7.4. Let $n \geq 2$ and $X=\mathbb{E}_{1} \vee S^{n}$ (see Figure 2). Note that because $\mathbb{E}_{1}$ is aspherical [Cannon et al. 2002; Curtis and Fort 1957], $X$ is semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial. However, $X$ is not $L C^{1}$ because it has $\mathbb{E}_{1}$ as a retract. It is shown in [Brazas 2021] that $\pi_{n}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{E}_{1}\right)} \pi_{n}\left(S^{n}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{E}_{1}\right)} \mathbb{Z}$ and that $\Psi_{n}: \pi_{n}(X) \rightarrow \check{\pi}_{n}(X)$ is injective. In particular, we may represent elements of $\pi_{n}(X)$ as finite-support sums $\sum_{\beta \in \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{E}_{1}\right)} m_{\beta}$ where $m_{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We show that $\Psi_{n}$ is not surjective.

Identify $\pi_{1}(X)$ with $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{E}_{1}\right)$ and recall from [Eda 1992] that we can represent the elements of $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{E}_{1}\right)$ as countably infinite reduced words indexed by a countable linear order (with a countable alphabet $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \ldots$ ). Here $\beta_{j}$ is represented by a loop $S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{1}$ going once around the $j$-th circle. Let $X_{j}$ be the union of $S^{n}$ and the largest $j$ circles of $\mathbb{E}_{1}$ so that $X=\varliminf_{j} X_{j}$. Identify $\pi_{1}\left(X_{j}\right)$ with the free group $F_{j}$ on generators $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots \beta_{j}$ and note that $\pi_{n}\left(X_{j}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{F_{j}} \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we may view an element of $\pi_{n}\left(X_{j}\right)$ as a finite-support sums $\sum_{w \in F_{j}} m_{w}$ of integers indexed over reduced words in $F_{j}$. Let $d_{j+1, j}: F_{j+1} \rightarrow F_{j}$ be the homomorphism that deletes the


Figure 2. The one point union $\mathbb{E}_{1} \vee S^{2}$.
letter $\beta_{j+1}$. Consider the inverse limit $\check{\pi}_{1}(X)=\lim _{j}\left(F_{j}, d_{j+1, j}\right)$. The map $X \rightarrow X_{j}$ that collapses all but the first $j$-circles of $\mathbb{E}_{1}$ induces a homomorphism $d_{j}: \pi_{1}(X) \rightarrow$ $F_{j}$. There is a canonical homomorphism $\phi: \pi_{1}(X) \rightarrow \check{\pi}_{1}(X)=\varliminf_{j}\left(F_{j}, d_{j+1, j}\right)$ given by $\phi(\beta)=\left(d_{1}(\beta), d_{2}(\beta), \ldots\right)$, which is known to be injective [Morgan and Morrison 1986] but not surjective. For example, if $x_{k}=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[\beta_{1}, \beta_{j}\right]$, then $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \ldots\right)$ is an element of $\check{\pi}_{1}(X)$ not in the image of $\phi$.

The bonding map $b_{j+1, j}: \pi_{n}\left(X_{j+1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(X_{j}\right)$ sends a sum $\sum_{w \in F_{j+1}} m_{w}$ to $\sum_{v \in F_{j}} p_{v}$ where $p_{v}=\sum_{d_{j+1, j}(w)=v} m_{w}$. Similarly, projection map $b_{j}: \pi_{n}(X) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{n}\left(X_{j}\right)$ sends the sum $\sum_{\beta \in \pi_{1}(X)} n_{\beta}$ to $\sum_{v \in F_{j}} m_{v}$ where $m_{v}=\sum_{d_{j}(\beta)=v} m_{\beta}$. Let $y_{j} \in \pi_{n}(X)$ be the sum whose only nonzero coefficient is the $x_{j}$-coefficient, which is 1 . Since $d_{j+1, j}\left(x_{j+1}\right)=x_{j}$, it's clear that $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, \ldots\right) \in \check{\pi}_{n}(X)$. Suppose $\Psi_{n}\left(\sum_{\beta} m_{\beta}\right)=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, \ldots\right)$. Writing $\sum_{\beta} m_{\beta}$ as a finite sum $\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{\beta_{i}}$ for nonzero $m_{\beta_{i}}$, we must have $\sum_{d_{j}\left(\beta_{i}\right)=x_{j}} m_{\beta_{i}}=1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since there are only finitely many $\beta_{i}$ involved, there must exist at least one $i$ for which $d_{j}\left(\beta_{i}\right)=x_{j}$ for infinitely many $j$. For such $i$, we have $\phi\left(\beta_{i}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right)$, which, as mentioned above, is impossible. Hence $\Psi_{n}$ is not surjective.

The previous example shows why we cannot remove the $L C^{n-1}$ hypothesis in Theorem 1.2. Since we weakened the hypothesis from [Kozlowski and Segal 1978] in dimension $n$ and no hypothesis in dimension $n$ is required for Theorem 1.1, one might suspect that we might be able to remove the dimension $n$ hypothesis completely. The next example, which is a higher analogue of the harmonic archipelago [Bogley and Sieradski 1998; Conner et al. 2015; Karimov and Repovš 2012] shows why this is not possible.

Example 7.5. Let $n \geq 2$ and $\ell_{j}: S^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{n}$ be the inclusion of the $j$-th $n$-sphere in $\mathbb{E}_{n}$. Let $X$ be the space obtained by attaching $(n+1)$-cells to $\mathbb{E}_{n}$ using the attaching maps $\ell_{j}$. Since $\mathbb{E}_{n}$ is $L C^{n-1}$, it follows that $X$ is $L C^{n-1}$. However, $X$ is not
semilocally $\pi_{n}$-trivial at the wedgepoint $\boldsymbol{o}$ of $\mathbb{E}_{n}$. Indeed, the infinite concatenation maps $\prod_{j \geq k} \ell_{j}=\ell_{k} \cdot \ell_{k+1} \cdots$ are not null-homotopic (using a standard argument that works for the harmonic archipelago) but are all homotopic to each other. Thus, $\pi_{n}(X, \boldsymbol{o}) \neq 0$. However, for sufficiently fine open covers $\mathscr{U} \in O(X),|N(\mathscr{U})|$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of $(n+1)$-spheres and thus $\check{\pi}_{n}(X, \boldsymbol{o})=0$. Therefore, despite $X$ being $L C^{n-1}, \Psi_{n}$ is not an isomorphism. In fact, $\pi_{n}(X, \boldsymbol{o})=$ $\pi_{n}^{S p}(X, \boldsymbol{o})=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$. The reader might also note that since $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ is $(n-1)$ connected and $\pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}, \boldsymbol{o}\right) \cong H_{n}\left(\mathbb{E}_{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}, X$ will also be $(n-1)$-connected. A Meyer-Vietoris sequence argument similar to that in [Karimov and Repovš 2012] can then be used to show $\pi_{n}(X, \boldsymbol{o}) \cong H_{n}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}} / \oplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}$.
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