Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

BioSystems

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/biosystems

Propagation of electrical signals by fungi

Richard Mayne^{a,b,*}, Nic Roberts^{a,c}, Neil Phillips^a, Roshan Weerasekera^d, Andrew Adamatzky^a

^a Unconventional Computing Laboratory, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK

^b Mayne Bio Analytics Ltd., Cinderford, UK

^c Advanced Machinery and Productivity Institute, University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, UK

^d School of Computer Science, Electrical and Electronic Engineering and Engineering Maths, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Dataset link: https://zenodo.org/record/44309 68#.Y8MJgOLP3z8

Keywords: Mycelium Signalling Fungal materials Data transfer Harmonic information NARX

1. Introduction

Manufacturing electronic devices makes negative environmental impacts especially when a disposal of electronic devices is concerned (Cenci et al., 2021). An alternative eco-friendly approach could be to use of organic living materials to build electronic devices (Han et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Wu, 2021). Living computing substrates might create novel properties impossible to replicate with silicon, expanding the world of computing and electronics (Lee et al., 2017; Chang et al., 2017; van De Burgt et al., 2018; Friederich et al., 2019). The sister field of organic electronics is unconventional computing, which uncovers novel principles of efficient information processing in physical, chemical, biological systems, to develop novel computing substrates, algorithms, and architectures (Adamatzky, 2016; Adamatzky and Lestocart, 2021).

Whilst a substantial number of organic electronic devices offer a high degree of flexibility in the application domain, few prototypes show stability and biocompatibiliy (Feron et al., 2018). Electronic devices made from living fungi might offer a feasible opportunity to design and prototype self-growing living circuits. In Adamatzky et al. (2022) we introduced fungal electronics — a family of living electronic devices made of mycelium-bound composites or pure mycelium. Fungal electronic devices are capable of changing their impedance and generating spikes of electrical potential in response to external control parameters. Fungal electronics can be embedded into fungal materials

and wearables or used as stand alone sensing and computing devices. In experimental laboratory conditions we demonstrated that fungi can be used as memristors (Beasley et al., 2022), photosensors (Beasley et al., 2020; Adamatzky et al., 2021a), chemical sensors (Dehshibi et al., 2021; Adamatzky et al., 2021b), humidity sensors (Phillips et al., 2023), and tactile sensors (Adamatzky et al., 2021a). To make functional fungal circuits one must connect several fungal electronic devices. Thus, in present paper we analyse how suitable mycelium networks could be for propagating electric signals.

Living fungal mycelium networks are proven to have properties of memristors, capacitors and various sensors.

To further progress our designs in fungal electronics we need to evaluate how electrical signals can be propagated through mycelium networks. We investigate the ability of mycelium-bound composites to convey

electrical signals, thereby enabling the transmission of frequency-modulated information. Mycelium networks

were found to reliably transfer signals with a recoverable frequency comparable to the input, in the 100 Hz to

10 000 Hz frequency range. Mycelial adaptive responses, such as tissue repair, may result in fragile connections,

however. While the mean amplitude of output signals was not reproducible among replicate experiments

exposed to the same input frequency, the variance across groups was highly consistent. Our work is supported

by NARX modelling through which an approximate transfer function was derived. These findings advance the state of the art of using mycelium-bound composites in analogue electronics and unconventional computing.

The elucidation of signalling mechanisms within and between fungi is furthermore significant to numerous fields of study. It furthers our efforts to define data transfer between living organisms as a fundamentally algorithmic process and helps us understand the factors that define the dynamics of the natural environment, such as host-fungal interactions in both synergistic and pathogenic contexts.

Using basidiomycete *Ganoderma lucidum* as a model organism, we examine the potential for information transmission via mycelium networks. In particular, we examine through laboratory experimental studies whether it is possible to recover precise frequency information from an input signal that has traversed an inoculated substrate, allowing frequency modulated information to be transmitted through mycelial structures.

Through data-driven modelling, we extend our analysis and generalise the dynamics and signal transfer function therein. We opt for

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2023.104933

Received 11 April 2023; Received in revised form 18 May 2023; Accepted 18 May 2023 Available online 29 May 2023

0303-2647/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).







^{*} Corresponding author at: Unconventional Computing Laboratory, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK. *E-mail address:* richard.mayne@uwe.ac.uk (R. Mayne).

nonlinear autoregressive exogenous model (NARX) modelling, which examines past values from both the input and output signals, in addition to a noise adjustment routine, to derive a mathematical representation of a system's input–output relationship. We conclude by discussing the applications of electrical signal transfer in fungi.

2. Methods

2.1. Mycelium cultivation

Ganoderma lucidum (strain M9724, Mycelia, BE) was cultivated on a rye seed and millet grain medium with a 1% spawn inoculation rate. Incubation was conducted for approximately 18 days at room temperature (23 °C), until a contiguous layer of mycelium was visible on both the upper and lower surfaces of the medium; initial experimentation demonstrated that the interior surfaces of the medium were well-colonised under these conditions. When mycelia were not used immediately for experiments, they were sealed in plastic bags and refrigerated until use.

2.2. Electrical stimulation and measurement

For each set of measurements, two blocks 'A' and 'B', each measuring $\sim 50 \times 50 \times 50$ mm were cut from a substrate that had been well colonised by *G. lucidum*. The blocks were separated by 10 mm and electrically connected by a 1 mm diameter platinum wire of length 40 mm, see Fig. 1.

Control experiments consisted of an identical setup with the exception that colonised blocks were replaced with blocks of compressed, clean culture medium that had been soaked in excess tap water for 30 min prior to beginning experiments. An electrically insulating resin board (resistance $> 200 \,\mathrm{M\Omega \, mm^{-1}}$) was used as a base for the experimental apparatus.

The 'input' and 'output' connections were Pt/Ir needle electrodes (Spes Medica S.r.l., IT) separated by 5 mm. Electrodes were positioned 20 mm from the platinum wire in both blocks. After the needle electrodes were inserted, the colonised substrate was left for \sim 24 h to allow the mycelium and electrodes to form electrical connections.

Input signals were ± 5 V square waves generated by AD9833 signal generator modules (Analog Devices Inc., USA) and controlled by a PC via an Arduino Mega (Elegoo Inc., China) analog-to-digital converter (ADC). In order to directly compare measured signals with stimulation, the ADC was also used to measure the input signal in synchronisation with block B measurements (see Section 2.3). The frequencies employed to stimulate block A ranged 100 Hz to 1000 Hz (100 Hz intervals) and 1 kHz to 10 kHz (1 kHz intervals), with each frequency being applied for 60 s, for a total of 19 frequency tests (n = 28 for each frequency).

Both input and output signals were sampled at 50kHz using a 16bit analogue to digital converter NI-USB-6210 (National Instruments, Austin, USA).

2.3. Analysis

All data analysis was conducted using Python 3.10 and graphing was done via Matplotlib 3.7 (Hunter, 2007). Numerical work consisted of:

- a Testing the hypothesis that output signals were derived from input signals (as opposed to no signal or the fundamental signalling events observed in Dehshibi and Adamatzky (2021)).
- b Quantifying how input signals became altered by transmission through two discrete mycelial networks.





Fig. 1. (a) Plan view of two mycelium blocks connected together by platinum wire (black arrow), showing electrode connections (red arrow). White patches are mycelium. (b) Schematic of computer (PC) controlled input from signal generator ('sig gen') and recording of the output via analogue to digital converter (ADC).

Statistical analysis was done for criterion (a) and modelling was conducted for (b) (see Section 2.4).

Initial analyses used Cross Spectral Density (CSD) in conjunction with Welch's method via SciPy 1.10.1 (Virtanen et al., 2020) to compare the output signal's strongest harmonic components to the input signal's fundamental frequency. All time series were subject to significant autocorrelation, partial autocorrelation, and non-stationarity, as input signals were periodic and output signals were likely to be subject to some adaptive responses from the mycelia.

Further, identification of an output frequency corresponding to an input frequency using this method is only indicative of signal transfer, as estimation of power is unreliable for nonstationary time series.

The following descriptive statistics were additionally gathered using SciPy, NumPy 1.24.2 (Harris et al., 2020), and StatsModels 0.13.5 (Seabold and Perktold, 2010) libraries:

- 1. Test of specific distribution of each sample, via Anderson– Darling test.
- 2. Number of samples with a recoverable frequency of an order divisible by the input frequency, via comparing the highest power frequency (rounded to 2 significant figures) obtained via CSD with the input frequency. Results were collected as percentage of samples which had a recoverable frequency, per input frequency group.
- 3. Dominant amplitude, extracted via discrete Fourier transform (DFT). Results collected as the average amplitude per input frequency group. Additional tests were conducted to determine whether average values of samples within input frequency categories were equal, which was achieved via Kruskal–Wallis test.
- 4. Stationarity, measured by Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test (Dickey and Fuller, 1979). This test examines whether the statistical properties of a time series change significantly during the

measurement period, through attempting to identify a unit root. The test's outcome dictates the choice of consequent statistical tests in addition to indicating whether the organisms' responses to stimuli change over time. Results collected as percentage of non-stationary samples, per input frequency group.

5. Granger causality to assess whether a causal relationship were likely present between input and output signals (Dean and Dunsmuir, 2016), using the Chi-Square distribution. Max lags were determined quantitatively via cross-correlation. Specifically, the test examines whether past values of the input signal have a statistically significant effect on the momentary value of the output signal, taking past output signals as regressors. Data were initially stationarized through differencing input and output signals. Results collected as a percentage of samples in which the null hypothesis were rejected, per each input frequency.

Usefully, statistically significant Granger causality also indicates whether a relationship between two time series may be adequately described with a linear component, results of which were used to inform approaches to answer (b).

2.4. Modelling

Modelling was performed using Python 3.10 and SysIdentPy 0.2.1 (Lacerda et al., 2020). The purpose of modelling was (a) to quantify the relationship between input and output signals for a given treatment via approximation of transfer function; (b) comment on variability of transfer functions between organisms.

Nonlinear AutoRegressive Exogenous (NARX) modelling was chosen as our approach for its demonstrable value in predicting time series values given past values with respect to a delay parameter (lags), rationale being that autoregressive time series forecasting may be used as an indicator of model fit (Lacerda et al., 2020). More explicitly, the model examines the relationship between input and output signals at different lags, whilst attempting to minimise the effects of noise. Even in instances where observed behaviour are linear, NARX models identify and, if necessary, separate nonlinear phenomena, through a model structure selection process which identifies components of input signals (regressors) which are useful in forecasting future values and eliminating the non-useful (Araújo et al., 2019). Where these models are usually used in time series forecasting applications, we employ them here to derive a polynomial term from the statistically significant regressors to describe system dynamics.

Specifically, we employ Forward Regression Orthogonal Least Squares algorithm (FROLS) as a method of selecting the most useful regressors from our system (Eq. (1)) (Chen et al., 1989). This step is necessary to reduce overfitting and was selected as part of preliminary data analysis (data not shown).

$$y_k = F^{\varepsilon}[y_{k-1}, \dots, y_{k-n_v}, x_{k-d}, x_{k-d-1}, \dots, x_{k-d-n_v}, e_{k-1}, \dots, e_{k-n_e}] + e_k$$
(1)

Where $n_y, n_x, n_e \in \mathbb{N}$ are the maximum lags for the system output, input and input noise regressors, respectively; $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$ is the system input and $y_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$ is the system output at discrete time $k \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $e_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_e}$ is uncertainties and possible noise in discrete time. \mathcal{F}^{ℓ} is the function of input and output regressors with nonlinearity degree $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and *d* is a fixed time delay (Lacerda et al., 2020).

Modelling experiments focused on only one input treatment, arbitrarily chosen as 900 Hz, rather than attempting to discover a 'generic' transfer function that would apply to a range of input frequencies, as electrical signal transformations in living systems are necessarily limited to a narrow range of operating parameters, i.e. signals must possess a certain magnitude to traverse biological barriers but not be so large as to damage the cells. Furthermore, we consider the utility of such a hypothetical function to be limited given the extra variation this generalisation would introduce. As will be described below, this process consisted of building a candidate model through single in-single out methodology (training), then running predictions on time series not seen during the training process (validation).

Initially, parameter estimation was conducted by doing a grid search through a computationally feasible hyperparameter search space, candidates for which were basis function (polynomial, Fourier), basis function degree, maximum number of terms (regressors) and use of extended least squares regression. Maximum lags were determined quantitatively for each sample through autocorrelation functions, see Section 2.3. Models were trained against 22 samples of 100 000 time steps, using an 80/20 train/test split and relative square root error (RRSE) as the performance metric.

Model performance was validated against 6 time series, reporting of which was through mean and variance of the RRSE from these runs, in addition to the estimated transfer function.

3. Results

3.1. General characteristics & statistics

Exemplar plots from several input frequencies are shown in Fig. 2. Qualitative interpretation of graphs revealed waves whose frequencies were superficially similar to the input signal were present in the majority of samples. Wave shapes tended towards being closer to sawtooth than square in appearance, but were frequently irregular and occasionally exhibited envelope-like structures (See Fig. 2 100 Hz time series).

No signals were measured in control experiments, indicating that neither the growth medium or interstitial moisture were capable of conducting the input signals between blocks A and B.

Descriptive statistics in Table 1 illustrate that the majority of output signals across all input frequencies transmit a recoverable frequency comparable to the input frequency. Further, most samples were also non-stationary as indicated by the Augmented Dickey Fuller test, indicating that the mean voltage measurement was likely to change over time, potentially indicating an adaptive response by the mycelia.

The majority of samples had recoverable frequencies that were equal or next-order harmonics of the input signal. The repeatability of recovering these frequencies reduced as input frequency increased. It was not clear from this statistic whether samples that did not have a recoverable frequency were regardless transmitting a periodic signal.

The median amplitude of measured signals within input frequency groups was highly variable, as was evidenced by significance with the Kruskal–Wallis test for every input frequency. There were no significant differences in median amplitude between input frequency groups, however, indicating similar levels of variance.

Most samples were also demonstrated to Granger Cause. No correlations were observed between samples with/without recoverable frequencies, non-/stationarity or non-/significant Granger Causation.

3.2. Modelling

Optimal hyperparameters identified in model selection are shown in Table 2. Signal transfer was found to be approximated well with a comparatively simple five-term first order polynomial, indicating that the dominant portion of the signal measured was likely derived from the simple input square wave. Eq. (2) shows the approximate transfer function and Fig. 3 shows representative output from both training and validation stages.

$$y(k) = 0.33 \pm 0.99 \cdot y(k-1) + 0.21 \pm 5.5 \times 10^{-4} \cdot y(k-2) - 0.15 \pm 9.2 \times 10^{-4} \cdot y(k-27) + 0.20 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \cdot y(k-9)$$
(2)
+ 0.21 \pm 4.2 \times 10^{-3}

We may observe that the transfer function has wide error margins on the y(k-1) term, corresponding to the single step ahead. Aggregate test set RRSE was 0.255; validation set RRSE was 0.748.



Fig. 2. Representative output signals (left), recovered amplitudes from output signals (centre) and cross spectral densities from output signals (right), from three separate sets of mycelia stimulated with 100 Hz, 1 KHz and 10 KHz square waves.

Table 1

Descriptive statistics on time series. "PcRF" Percentage of replicate recordings with recoverable input frequency, percent; "MA (IQR)" Median of highest power amplitude with interquartile range, millivolts, \dagger : at least one median within group were significantly different via Kruskal–Wallis test, P < 0.001; "PcADF" Percentage of replicate recordings with Augmented Dickey Fuller test for stationarity significance at P < 0.05, percent; "Median (IQR)" Median and interquartile range for column. "PcGC" Percentage of replicate recordings in which Granger Causality hypothesis were rejected, percent.

Input frequency	PcRF	MA (IQR)	PcADF	PcGC
100 Hz	100.00	0.20 (0.17) †	82.14	100.00
200 Hz	100.00	0.09 (0.06) †	75.00	96.43
300 Hz	100.00	0.07 (0.05) †	60.71	100.00
400 Hz	100.00	0.07 (0.06) †	35.71	100.00
500 Hz	100.00	0.08 (0.06) †	35.71	85.71
600 Hz	100.00	0.09 (0.09) †	39.29	92.86
700 Hz	100.00	0.08 (0.09) †	53.57	100.00
800 Hz	96.43	0.08 (0.09) †	60.71	100.00
900 Hz	96.43	0.08 (0.10) †	57.14	100.00
1 KHz	100.00	0.09 (0.10) †	50.00	75.00
2 KHz	100.00	0.08 (0.11) †	100.00	75.00
3 KHz	82.14	0.08 (0.10) †	100.00	96.43
4 KHz	85.71	0.08 (0.11) †	92.86	82.14
5 KHz	100.00	0.08 (0.09) †	71.43	96.43
6 KHz	60.71	0.07 (0.10) †	96.43	96.43
7 KHz	71.43	0.08 (0.11) †	85.71	100.00
8 KHz	78.57	0.09 (0.09) †	96.43	96.43
9 KHz	46.43	0.08 (0.09) †	75.00	100.00
10 KHz	96.43	0.08 (0.09) †	39.29	78.57
Median (IQR)	100.00 (16.08)	0.09 (0.10)	71.43 (37.50)	96.43 (10.72)

4. Discussion

Our primary finding is that mycelia do support modes of electrical communication between them. The majority of samples we measured were found to transmit a signal of a frequency comparable to the input signal. The Granger Causality test, while not an indication of true causality, demonstrates that the input signal is helpful in forecasting the measured signal. We find therefore that these two statistics are highly

R. Mayne et al.



Fig. 3. Representative NARX modelling of input–output transfer function, showing time series data and model predictions. (a) Test data free run simulation, RRSE 0.255. (b) Validation data free run simulation, RRSE 0.748.

indicative that the output signal was derived from input signal in the majority of experiments.

In the light of recent work done on electrochemical signalling between discrete organisms, our results indicate that such communication is theoretically possible between mycelia.

There are several reasons for which samples may not exhibit a recoverable frequency, but broadly this occurred when either no signal was transmitted, or when the input signal was modified sufficiently to not be a harmonic of the input signal. Instances for the former result when no electrical connection was made with the organism — more explicitly, the surface area of electrode in contact with mycelium was insufficient to conduct a measurable signal. Reasons for poor connections could include adaptive responses from the mycelia, such as tissue damage and repair.

We observed no relationship between the percentage of samples that had no recoverable frequency, those exhibiting non-stationarity or those with significant Granger Causality. We envisage several scenarios for explaining this phenomenon. Firstly, samples with very weak or entirely absent output signals – possibly due to a failed electrical connection on one or more of the electrodes – would be unlikely to have a recoverable frequency or Granger Cause, but would be stationary. Secondly, samples where the input signal were transformed to a non-harmonic frequency would have no recoverable frequency in our measurement scheme, but would potentially Granger Cause and/or be non-stationary. Thirdly, samples with a recoverable frequency but exhibiting unusual wave patterns, potentially due to interference of electrochemical events such as calcium waves (Dehshibi and Adamatzky, 2021), would be unlikely to be stationary and may introduce enough uncertainty to lead to failure to Granger Cause. These observations highlight the inherent variability of living systems and hence the requirement for practical unconventional computing device design to compensate for or mitigate these effects.

We did find however that although the mean amplitude of output signals was not repeatable within replicate measurements exposed to the same input frequency, the amount of variation observed between groups was quite consistent. This highlights therefore that the organisms were tolerant to the electrical input we administered and further, that measurement of amplitude would support the creation of analogue computing devices if a certain error tolerance were factored in.

We find that the transfer function for mycelia in the 900 Hz input treatment may be approximated efficiently with NARX modelling, the basis function for which was determined quantitatively to be a first order polynomial with 5 terms. This does not imply that the system under observation was linear, but rather the dominant components that are useful in prediction of future values were.

Models generated with SISO methodology performed well, but were not as performant when doing inference runs using time series that had not been trained on (validation). Analysis of time series such as Fig. 3b illustrate how the model struggles to generalise between different organisms (overfitting). This highlights how variable signal transformations may be between mycelia and the challenges associated with making repeatable measurements of living systems.

5. Conclusions

The majority of samples we tested transferred a signal of a frequency comparable to the input signal, indicating that mycelia can be used for electrical connection between numerous parts of fungi-based organic electronic devices. Samples did not have a recoverable frequency when either no signal was broadcast or the input signal was modified enough to not be a harmonic. The former count occurs when no electrical connection was made with the organism, or when the electrode's surface area in contact with mycelium was inadequate to conduct a signal.

Although the mean amplitude of output signals was not repeatable within repeated samples exposed to the same input frequency, the amount of variation detected between groups was relatively consistent. This shows that the organisms were tolerant to our electrical input and that measuring amplitude may allow the building of analogue computing systems with a certain error tolerance. By extension, our work demonstrates live systems' inherent variability and the need for practical unconventional computing device design to offset these effects.

Specifically, through generating a characteristic equation of signal transfer for a given mycelium, we find that it will be possible to derive characteristics of the input signal. It is therefore possible to encode via the medium of a mycelium-computer interface, which provides a basis for information processing. Future work should examine derivation of further forms of information, such as amplitude and conduction velocity.

Although no general model for mycelial transfer functions was uncovered, we regardless find that simulations may be generated reasonably efficiently with NARX modelling, which opens possibilities for creating simulated fungal computers through computationally tractable methods.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Richard Mayne: Measurements, Data analysis, Modelling, Writing – review & editing. Nic Roberts: Measurements, Writing – original draft. Neil Phillips: Measurements, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Andrew Adamatzky: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: Andrew Adamatzky reports financial support was provided by Horizon 2020 European Innovation Council Fast Track to Innovation.

Data availability

The raw measurement dataset required to reproduce these findings is available to download from https://zenodo.org/record/4430968# .Y8MJgOLP3z8.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Mayne Bio Analytics Ltd for support with data analysis and National Instruments Ltd for guidance on digital converter NI-USB-6210. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme FET OPEN "Challenging current thinking" under grant agreement No 858132. The funders played no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data.

References

- Adamatzky, Andrew (Ed.), 2016. Advances in Unconventional Computing: Volume 1: Theory. Vol. 22. Springer.
- Adamatzky, Andrew, Ayres, Phil, Beasley, Alexander E, Chiolerio, Alessandro, Dehshibi, Mohammad M, Gandia, Antoni, Albergati, Elena, Mayne, Richard, Nikolaidou, Anna, Roberts, Nic, et al., 2022. Fungal electronics. Biosystems 212, 104588.
- Adamatzky, Andrew, Gandia, Antoni, Chiolerio, Alessandro, 2021a. Towards fungal sensing skin. Fungal Biol. Biotechnol. 8 (1), 1–7.
- Adamatzky, Andrew, Lestocart, Louis-Jose (Eds.), 2021. Thoughts on Unconventional Computing, Luniver Press.
- Adamatzky, Andrew, Nikolaidou, Anna, Gandia, Antoni, Chiolerio, Alessandro, Dehshibi, Mohammad Mahdi, 2021b. Reactive fungal wearable. Biosystems 199, 104304.
- Araújo, I., Cavalcante, G., Lúcio, Y., Araújo, F., 2019. Nonlinear system identification of a MIMO quadruple tanks system using NARX model. Prz. Elektrotech. 95 (6).
- Beasley, Alexander E, Abdelouahab, Mohammed-Salah, Lozi, René, Tsompanas, Michail-Antisthenis, Powell, Anna L, Adamatzky, Andrew, 2022. Mem-fractive properties of mushrooms. Bioinspiration Biomim. 16 (6), 066026.
- Beasley, Alexander E., Powell, Anna L., Adamatzky, Andrew, 2020. Fungal photosensors. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.07825.
- Cenci, Marcelo Pilotto, Scarazzato, Tatiana, Munchen, Daniel Dotto, Dartora, Paula Cristina, Veit, Hugo Marcelo, Bernardes, Andrea Moura, Dias, Pablo R, 2021. Eco-friendly electronics—A comprehensive review. Adv. Mater. Technol. 2001263.

- Chang, Joseph S., Facchetti, Antonio F., Reuss, Robert, 2017. A circuits and systems perspective of organic/printed electronics: review, challenges, and contemporary and emerging design approaches. IEEE J. Emerg. Sel. Top. Circuits Syst. 7 (1), 7–26.
- Chen, S., Billings, S., Luo, W., 1989. Orthogonal least squares methods and their application to non-linear system identification. Internat. J. Control 50 (5), 1873–1896.
- Dean, R., Dunsmuir, 2016. Dangers and uses of cross-correlation in analyzing time series in perception, performance, movement, and neuroscience: The importance of constructing transfer function autoregressive models. Behav. Res. Methods 48, 783-802.
- Dehshibi, Mohammad Mahdi, Adamatzky, Andrew, 2021. Electrical activity of fungi: Spikes detection and complexity analysis. Biosystems 203, 104373.
- Dehshibi, Mohammad Mahdi, Chiolerio, Alessandro, Nikolaidou, Anna, Mayne, Richard, Gandia, Antoni, Ashtari-Majlan, Mona, Adamatzky, Andrew, 2021. Stimulating fungi pleurotus ostreatus with hydrocortisone. ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng. 7 (8), 3718–3726.
- Dickey, D., Fuller, W., 1979. Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 74 (366).
- Feron, Krishna, Lim, Rebecca, Sherwood, Connor, Keynes, Angela, Brichta, Alan, Dastoor, Paul C, 2018. Organic bioelectronics: materials and biocompatibility. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19 (8), 2382.
- Friederich, Pascal, Fediai, Artem, Kaiser, Simon, Konrad, Manuel, Jung, Nicole, Wenzel, Wolfgang, 2019. Toward design of novel materials for organic electronics. Adv. Mater. 31 (26), 1808256.
- Han, Won Bae, Lee, Joong Hoon, Shin, Jeong-Woong, Hwang, Suk-Won, 2020. Advanced materials and systems for biodegradable, transient electronics. Adv. Mater. 32 (51), 2002211.
- Harris, Charles R., Millman, K. Jarrod, van der Walt, Stéfan J., Gommers, Ralf, Virtanen, Pauli, Cournapeau, David, Wieser, Eric, Taylor, Julian, Berg, Sebastian, Smith, Nathaniel J., Kern, Robert, Picus, Matti, Hoyer, Stephan, van Kerkwijk, Marten H., Brett, Matthew, Haldane, Allan, del Río, Jaime Fernández, Wiebe, Mark, Peterson, Pearu, Gérard-Marchant, Pierre, Sheppard, Kevin, Reddy, Tyler, Weckesser, Warren, Abbasi, Hameer, Gohlke, Christoph, Oliphant, Travis E., 2020. Array programming with NumPy. Nature 585 (7825), 357–362.
- Hunter, J.D., 2007. Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. Comput. Sci. Eng. 9 (3), 90–95.
- Lacerda, Wilson Rocha, da Andrade, Luan Pascoal Costa, Oliveira, Samuel Carlos Pessoa, Martins, Samir Angelo Milani, 2020. SysIdentPy: A Python package for System Identification using NARMAX models. J. Open Source Softw. 5 (54), 2384.
- Lee, Eun Kwang, Lee, Moo Yeol, Park, Cheol Hee, Lee, Hae Rang, Oh, Joon Hak, 2017. Toward environmentally robust organic electronics: approaches and applications. Adv. Mater. 29 (44), 1703638.
- Li, Wenhui, Liu, Qian, Zhang, Yuniu, Li, Chang'an, He, Zhenfei, Choy, Wallace CH, Low, Paul J, Sonar, Prashant, Kyaw, Aung Ko Ko, 2020. Biodegradable materials and green processing for green electronics. Adv. Mater. 32 (33), 2001591.
- Phillips, Neil, Gandia, Antoni, Adamatzky, Andrew, 2023. Electrical response of fungi to changing moisture content. Fungal Biol. Biotechnol. 10 (1), 8.
- Seabold, Skipper, Perktold, Josef, 2010. Statsmodels: Econometric and statistical modeling with python. In: 9th Python in Science Conference.
- van De Burgt, Yoeri, Melianas, Armantas, Keene, Scott Tom, Malliaras, George, Salleo, Alberto, 2018. Organic electronics for neuromorphic computing. Nat. Electron. 1 (7), 386–397.
- Virtanen, Pauli, Gommers, Ralf, Oliphant, Travis E., Haberland, Matt, Reddy, Tyler, Cournapeau, David, Burovski, Evgeni, Peterson, Pearu, Weckesser, Warren, Bright, Jonathan, van der Walt, Stéfan J., Brett, Matthew, Wilson, Joshua, Millman, K. Jarrod, Mayorov, Nikolay, Nelson, Andrew R.J., Jones, Eric, Kern, Robert, Larson, Eric, Carey, C.J., Polat, İlhan, Feng, Yu, Moore, Eric W., VanderPlas, Jake, Laxalde, Denis, Perktold, Josef, Cimrman, Robert, Henriksen, Ian, Quintero, E.A., Harris, Charles R., Archibald, Anne M., Ribeiro, Antônio H., Pedregosa, Fabian, van Mulbregt, Paul, SciPy 1.0 Contributors, 2020. SciPy 1.0: Fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in python. Nature Methods 17, 261–272.
- Wu, Wei, 2021. Biodegradable polymer nanocomposites for electronics. In: Polymer Nanocomposite Materials: Applications in Integrated Electronic Devices. Wiley Online Library, pp. 53–75.