Synthesis and antibacterial activity of novel 2-(arylimino)thiazolidin-4one and 2-(benzylidenehydrazono)-3-arylthiazolidin-4-one derivatives

Ebaa M. El-Hossary^{1,2,*}, Yassin M. Nissan³, Katharina Edkins⁴, Heike Bruhn⁵

¹ National Centre for Radiation Research & Technology, Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority, Ahmed El-Zomor St.
3, El-Zohoor Dist., Cairo, Egypt

² Institute of Pharmacy & Food Chemistry, University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

³ Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University, Cairo 11562, Egypt

⁴ School of Medicine, Pharmacy and Health, Durham University, Stockton-on-Tees, United Kingdom

⁵ Institute for Molecular Infection Biology, SFB 630, University of Würzburg, Josef Schneider Str. 2, Bau 15, 97080 Würzburg, Germany

*Corresponding author:

National Centre for Radiation Research & Technology, Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority, Ahmed El-Zomor St. 3,

El-Zohoor Dist., Cairo, Egypt.

P.O. Box: 29 Nasr City

Tel.: +2 0100 1072957

Fax: +2 02 22749298

E-mail: ebaa.elhossary@eaea.org.eg

1 ABSTRACT

The ongoing spread of multidrug-resistant bacteria demands an intensive search for new 2 antibacterial agents. In the present study, a series of new 1,3-thiazolidin-4-ones has been 3 synthesized and investigated for its *in vitro* antibacterial activity. The most potent antibacterial 4 compound 4c was found to be active, at low micromolar range, against *Staphylococcus aureus*, 5 Staphylococcus epidermidis, Enterococcus faecalis and the pneumonic plague causative agent 6 Yersinia pestis with minimum inhibitory concentrations of 5 μ M, 2.5 μ M, 2.5 μ M and 5 μ M, 7 respectively. Compound 4c showed the ability to kill E. faecalis JH212 strain with a minimum 8 bactericidal concentration of 5 μ M. Furthermore, compounds 9b and 10a inhibited the biofilm 9 formation in S. epidermidis, where they showed 70% to 80% inhibition at a concentration of 40 10 μM. 11 12 13 Key words: 14 1,3-Thiazolidin-4-one 15 Antibacterial activity 16 17 Minimum inhibitory concentration Minimum bactericidal concentration 18 Biofilm formation 19 20 21 22 23

2 INTRODUCTION

Currently, infectious diseases are the second leading cause of death worldwide. Bacterial resistance
against antibiotics is an increasing health problem in both community and hospital setting. It has a
noteworthy impact on the mortality rates, morbidity rates and the financial burden associated.
Although various novel antibacterial drugs had been introduced into the market in the past decades,
the prevalence of multidrug-resistant pathogens remains among the major health problems which
raises severe concern around the globe (Bassetti et al., 2013; Butler et al., 2013; Kumarasamy et al.,
2010; Lewis, 2013; Pendleton et al., 2013).

Staphylococci and Enterococci are Gram-positive bacteria that are responsible for several community and hospital acquired infections. *Staphylococcus aureus* causes a wide range of infections from simple skin and soft tissue infections to serious illnesses like pneumonia, infective endocarditis and sepsis (Tong et al., 2015; Valour et al., 2013). *Staphylococcus epidermidis* is regarded as the most frequent cause of nosocomial and indwelling medical device-associated infections. It causes more persistent infections due to its high ability to resist antibiotic treatments through biofilm formation (Gomes et al., 2014; Namvar et al., 2014; Otto, 2009).

Since the beginning of the antibiotic era, the isolation of multidrug resistant enterococci has become increasingly common in hospital setting. *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* are the most predominant species, cultured from humans, representing more than 90% of clinical isolates. *E. faecalis* infective endocarditis is still a very serious disease, associated with the presence of highly gentamicin-resistant strains and in-hospital mortality rates around 20% (Courvalin, 2006; Dahl and Bruun, 2013; de Perio et al. , 2006; Deshpande et al., 2007). On the other hand, the gram-negative bacterium *Yersinia pestis* is the causative agent of pneumonic

2

plague; which is the most severe manifestation of plague. The mortality rates of pneumonic plague
are approximately 100% in untreated cases (Pechous et al., 2015).

1,3-Thiazolidin-4-ones are a class of compounds that have shown potential as antibacterials 3 (Aridoss et al., 2009; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2009; Jain et al., 2012; Poyraz et al., 2013; Sayyed et 4 al., 2006; Verma and Saraf, 2008; Vicini et al., 2006; Vicini et al., 2008). Thiazolidin-4-ones have 5 6 been found as inhibitors of the bacterial enzyme MurB; a key enzyme responsible for the synthesis 7 of peptidoglycan (Andres et al., 2000). In this study, a series of 2-(arylimino)thiazolidin-4-ones and 8 2-(benzylidenehydrazono)-3-arylthiazolidin-4-ones was synthesized. The synthesized compounds 9 were tested for their *in vitro* antibacterial activity against selected clinically important pathogenic 10 microbes.

11

12 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

13 Chemistry

The synthesis of the target 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one derivatives started with the conversion of the 14 15 commercially available sulfanilamide 1 into the corresponding thioureido derivatives 2a-c (Roth and Degering, 1945) when sulfanilamide 1 reacted with the appropriate isothiocyanate derivative 16 (Scheme 1). The thioureido derivatives 2a-c were then refluxed with an equimolar amount of 17 chloroacetic acid in glacial acid to give the respective 4-(4-oxo-3-substitutedthiazolidin-2-18 ylideneamino)benzenesulfonamide derivatives 3a-c (Scheme 1). IR spectra of compounds 3a-c 19 revealed strong characteristic intense bands at 1712-1724 cm⁻¹ which correspond to the carbonyl 20 group of the 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one ring. ¹H-NMR spectra of compounds **3a-c** displayed singlets at 21 4.04-4.16 ppm for the two protons of the methylene (-CH₂-) of the 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one nucleus. 22 ¹³C-NMR spectra of compounds **3a-c** exhibited new signals at 29.07-32.74 ppm, ascribed to the 23

methylene group, confirming the intramolecular cyclization and formation of the 1,3-thiazolidin-4 one ring.

3 Similarly, compounds **4a-c** were synthesized by refluxing the thioureido derivatives **2a-c** with an equimolar amount of diethyl bromomalonate in glacial acid (Scheme 1). IR spectra of the 4 compounds 4a-c were characterized by the presence of two strong bands corresponding to the 5 carbonyl group of the ethylester moiety and the carbonyl group of the 1.3-thiazolidin-4-one ring at 6 1689-1751 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR spectra of compounds **4a-c** exhibited triplets and quartets corresponding 7 to the ethylester substituent at position 5. The synthesis of compounds 5a-c was attained by 8 refluxing the corresponding thioureido derivatives 2a-c with an equimolar amount of maleic 9 10 anhydride in glacial acid (Scheme 1). IR spectra of the 2-(4-oxothiazolidin-5-yl)acetic acid derivatives 5a-c showed two bands representing the carbonyl group of the 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one 11 nucleus and the carbonyl group of the acetic acid moiety at $1660-1708 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. 12

The 4-isothiocyanatobenzenesulfonamide $\mathbf{6}$ was obtained by stirring a solution of sulfanilamide $\mathbf{1}$ in 13 14 distilled water containing an equimolar amount of thiophosgen (El-Gaby et al., 2009). Compound 6 was then stirred with an excess amount of hydrazine hydrate to give N-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)-15 hydrazinecarbothioamide 7 (Sriram et al., 2009). The Schiff's bases 8a,b were prepared by 16 refluxing compound 7 with an equimolar amount of the appropriate aldehyde in methanol (Scheme 17 2). ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound **8a** displayed signals at 10.32 and 12.05 ppm, which were 18 19 exchangeable in D₂O, confirming the presence of two NH groups of the hydrazinecarbothioamide. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound **8b** was characterized by the appearance of a new signal at 20 39.66 ppm ascribed to the two carbon atoms of the $N(CH_3)_2$ group. 21

Additionally, ¹H-NMR spectra of compounds **8a,b** exhibited signals at 8.15 and 8.06 ppm, respectively, for the imine proton of (N=CH). In a similar way to the synthetic pathway of the target 1,3-thiazolidin-4-ones outlined in Scheme 1, the 2-(4-(substituted)benzylidene)-*N*-(4sulfamoylphenyl)-hydrazinecarbothioamides 8a,b were cyclized into the corresponding 1,3thiazolidin-4-one derivatives 9a,b by reaction with an equimolar amount of monochloroacetic acid (Scheme 2). IR spectra of compounds 9a,b revealed characteristic bands at 1732, 1697 cm⁻¹, respectively, which correspond to the carbonyl group of the 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one ring. ¹H-NMR spectra of compounds 9a,b displayed signals at 4.13 and 4.09 ppm, respectively, for the two protons of the methylene group of the 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one ring.

In addition, ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound **9b** revealed a singlet at 2.97 ppm representing the 6 protons of the dimethylamino group. The Schiff's bases **8a,b** were also cyclized into the corresponding 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one derivatives **10a,b**, by refluxing with an equimolar amount of diethyl bromomalonate in glacial acetic acid (Scheme 2). IR spectra of compounds **10a,b** showed two bands for the two carbonyl groups; the ethyl ester moiety and the 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one ring at 1612-1739 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR spectra of compounds **10a,b** exhibited triplets and quartets representing the ethyl group of the ethyl ester substituent at position 5.

Finally, the synthesis of the 1,3-thaizolidin-4-one derivatives **11a,b** was attained by refluxing the corresponding Schiff's bases **8a,b**, with an equimolar amount of maleic anhydride in glacial acetic acid (Scheme 2). ¹H-NMR spectra of compounds **11a,b** revealed new signals at 10.86 and 10.75 ppm, respectively, corresponding to the one proton of the carboxylic OH group.

To confirm the cyclization pattern of the 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one ring, compound **3a** was subjected to x-ray crystallography measurement. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from dichloromethane solution by slow cooling. The structure could be determined in the triclinic space group P-1 with four symmetric independent molecules in the asymmetric unit (Z' = 4). This is due to a break in symmetry by the disorder of the central phenyl rings. The bond lengths and angles are all within normal ranges (Allen et al., 1987). The molecules of **3a** adopted two different conformations in this structure, with the angle between the thiazolidinone and the phenyl ring either

 60° or -50° (Figure 1a). This has no influence on the overall packing and it is assumed that due to 1 the comparable size of the phenyl ring and the sulfonamide terminal group, the phenyl ring can 2 3 rotate rather freely. The crystal packing consists of pseudo-centrosymmetric dimers of **3a** stabilized through weak C-H···O hydrogen bonds (Figure 1b). These dimers are connected to the next dimer 4 through N-H...O hydrogen bonds, between the terminal amide group and the C=O group of the 5 6 thiazolidinone rings, linking the structure together along the crystallographic *b*-axis. An additional 7 N-H…O hydrogen bond between the terminal amide group and the S=O group of the sulfonamide links the molecules along b. This packing results in the formation of stacks along the 8 crystallographic *a*-axis which consist of alternate disordered and non-disordered molecules. 9

10

11 Antibacterial activity

All of the newly synthesized final compounds have been screened at a highest concentration of 40 12 μ M, for their *in vitro* antibacterial activity against selected clinically important pathogenic bacteria. 13 14 These bacteria include the Gram-positive bacteria; S. aureus (Strains; 8325, HG001, MA12, RN1 and Xen29), S. epidermidis (Strains; RP62A, 195 and 047), E. faecalis JH212, E. faecium 6413 15 and the Gram-negative bacteria; Escherichia coli 536, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Y. pestis KUMA 16 and Yersinia pseudotuberculosis 252 01A. Staphylococci, Enterococci and P. aeruginosa belong to 17 the so called "ESKAPE" pathogens; pathogenic bacteria that are responsible for the highest impact 18 19 in bacterial resistance (Pendleton et al., 2013). Moreover, S. aureus, S. epidermidis, P. aeruginosa, 20 and E. coli can cause persistent infections that are resistant to antibiotic treatments due to their ability to form biofilm (Romling and Balsalobre, 2012). 21

22 All the tested compounds have been evaluated for their *in vitro* antibacterial activity by measuring

the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC).

24 MIC is the lowest concentration of the tested compound that inhibits the visible growth of the tested

bacterial strain after overnight incubation while MBC is the lowest concentration of the tested compound required to kill the tested bacterium. Antibacterial agents are usually considered bactericidal if the MBC value doesn't exceed four folds the MIC value (French, 2006). All the tested compounds showed MIC values higher than 40 μ M except compounds **4a** and **4c**. Gentamicin and tetracycline were used in the test as reference drugs. The antibacterial activities of compounds **4a** and **4c** are presented in Table 1.

7 Based on the results, mentioned in Table 1, it was found that the presence of ethylester at position 5 on the 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one ring is an essential feature for activity whereas the other congeners 8 9 with 5-unsubstituted (compounds **3a-c**) or 5-acetic acid side chain (compounds **5a-c**) are devoid of 10 activity. However, the nature of the substituent at position 3 was also critical for keeping compound 11 activity as only the methyl and the phenyl substituents (compounds 4a and 4c, respectively) were able to maintain the antibacterial activity. This was evidenced by compound 4b in which extending 12 the methyl into ethyl led to complete loss of activity (compound 4a compared to compound 4b). 13 14 Generally; compound 4c, with a phenyl substitution at position 3 of the 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one 15 nucleus, showed lower MIC values when compared with the MIC values of compound 4a with the 3-methyl substituent. In fact, in most cases, compound 4c showed a double potency compared to 16 compound 4a. The higher activity of the more lipophilic ethylester derivatives 4a and 4c compared 17 18 to the carboxylic acid derivatives 5a and 5c might be due to their higher ability to penetrate the 19 bacterial outer membrane. Additionally, these ethylester derivatives might act as prodrugs which 20 enhance the penetration of their carboxylic acid counterparts. However, this requires further 21 investigations by testing the major form of the compounds existing in the bacterial cells after penetration of the compounds. 22

Compound 4c exhibited MIC values ranging from 5 to 20 μ M against five different *S. aureus* strains and MIC values ranging from 2.5 to 10 μ M against three different *S. epidermidis* strains.

1 Compound **4c** also showed an antibacterial activity against *E. faecalis* with MIC value of 2.5 μ M 2 and MBC value of 5 μ M. The potency of compound **4c** against *E. faecalis* is significantly high 3 when compared to the reference drug gentamicin, MIC value of gentamicin= 26.2 μ M, showing 4 that this compound is a potent bactericidal.

5 Most importantly, compounds **4a** and **4c** revealed antibacterial activity, not only against different 6 Gram-positive pathogens, but also against the Gram-negative bacterium *Y. pestis* KUMA with MIC 7 values of 10 μ M and 5 μ M, respectively. On the other hand, none of the 2-(benzylidenehydrazono)-8 3-arylthiazolidin-4-one derivatives, described in Scheme 2, showed antibacterial activity.

9

10 **Inhibition of biofilm formation**

Many microbes form biofilm in response to many factors in which cells stick to each other on a 11 surface. These adherent cells are frequently embedded within a self-produced matrix of 12 extracellular polymeric substance. The factors, by which biofilm is formed, may include cellular 13 recognition of specific or non-specific attachment sites on a surface. In some cases, the factors 14 15 include the exposure of planktonic cells to sub-inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics. Biofilms are a serious problem for public health because of the increased resistance of biofilm-associated 16 microorganisms to antimicrobial agents and the potential for these microorganisms to cause 17 infections in patients with indwelling medical devices (Hoffman et al., 2005; Karatan and Watnick, 18 19 2009).

Unlike the antibacterial activity, the 2-(benzylidenehydrazono)-3-arylthiazolidin-4-one derivatives 9b and 10a were able to inhibit the biofilm formation in *S. epidermidis*, where they showed 70% to 80% inhibition at a concentration of 40 μ M. This highlighted the fact that this structure feature was crucial for biofilm inhibition activity. However, this was limited by the type of the substitution at position 4 of the 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one ring; where only the 4-unsubstituted derivative **9b** and the 4ethoxycarbonyl derivative 10a were active as biofilm formation inhibitors. Generally, the presence
of the acetic acid side chain at position 5 of the thiazolidinone ring (compounds 5a-c and 11a,b)
resulted in analogues, lacking both antibacterial and biofilm inhibition activity.

4

5 CONCLUSION

We report herein the synthesis of new 1.3-thiazolidin-4-one derivatives and their in vitro 6 antibacterial activity. Based on the previous biological results, we can suggest that 1,3-thiazolidin-7 4-one derivatives with an ethylester moiety at position 5 (compounds 4a and 4c) are good lead 8 compounds for further biological evaluation as antibacterial agents. Compounds 4a and 4c were not 9 only active against different Gram-positive pathogens, but also against the Gram-negative 10 bacterium Y. pestis. The antibacterial activity of compounds 4a and 4c can be due to the potential 11 12 MurB inhibition activity of the thiazolidin-4-one nucleus. In addition, compounds 9b and 10a revealed biofilm inhibition activity against S. epidermidis biofilm formation. These obtained results 13 are encouraging for further synthesis of new 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one derivatives with different 14 15 substitutions at positions 3 and 5, as potential antibacterial agents.

16

17 **EXPERIMENTAL**

18 Chemical syntheses

19 Materials and methods

¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded on an *Avance* 400 nuclear magnetic resonance
spectrometer, Bruker Biospin GmbH Rheinstetten, Germany (¹H 400.123 MHz, ¹³C 100.613 MHz).
As an internal standard, the signals of the deuterated solvents were used (DMSO-*d*₆: ¹H 2.5 ppm,
¹³C 39.43 ppm). The following abbreviations describing the multiplicity are used: (s) singlet, (d)
doublet, (t) triplet, (q) quartet, (dd) doublet of doublet. IR spectra were obtained with a Biorad

PharmalyzIR FT-IR spectrometer (Biorad, Cambridge, MA, USA). Melting points were measured 1 using an apparatus Sanyo Gallenkamp (Sanyo Gallenkamp, Loughborough, UK) and were not 2 corrected. Elemental microanalyses were performed at the microanalytical center; Al-Azhar 3 University, Cairo, Egypt. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on TLC aluminum 4 sheets, silica gel F₂₅₄, (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), and visualized in ultraviolet (UV) 5 6 chamber. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals (Deisenhofen, Germany), 7 Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium) and VWR International (Darmstadt, Germany), and were used without further purification. 8

9 *General procedures for the synthesis of 4-(3-substitutedthioureido)benzenesulfonamides* (2a-c)

The appropriate isothiocyanate (12 mmol) was added to a solution of sulfanilamide **1** (10 mmol) in absolute ethanol (20 mL) then few drops of triethylamine were added to the solution and refluxed for 24 h. A white precipitate was formed, filtered off, dried and recrystallized from ethanol to give compounds **2a-c**.

14 *4-(3-Methylthioureido)benzenesulfonamide* (2a)

15 Yield, 88%; m.p. 222-224 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3313, 3294, 3132 (NH, NH₂), 3055 (CH arom.), 2943, 2870

16 (CH aliph.), 1249 (C=S), 1369, 1161 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 2.94 (d, 3H, CH₃), 7.25

17 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂, exchangeable with D₂O), 7.62 (d, 2H, J= 8.51 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.74 (d, 2H, J= 8.95

Hz, CH_{arom.}), 7.97 (s, 1H, NH, exchangeable with D₂O), 9.84 (s, 1H, NH, exchangeable with D₂O).

- ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 31.07 (<u>C</u>H₃), 121.46, 126.21, 138.36, 142.59 (<u>C</u>H_{arom}), 180.98
 (C=S).
- 21 *4-(3-Ethylthioureido)benzenesulfonamide* (**2b**)
- 22 Yield, 92%; m.p. 209-211 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3352, 3298, 3155 (NH, NH₂), 3062 (CH arom.), 2974, 2890
- 23 (CH aliph.), 1249 (C=S), 1377, 1165 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 1.19 (t, 3H, *J*= 7.91 Hz,
- 24 CH₃), 3.45 (q, 2H, *J*= 7.09 Hz, CH₂), 7.23 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂), 7.61 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.62 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.73

- 1 (d, 2H, J= 8.9 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.95 (s, 1H, NH), 9.82 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ :
- 2 13.92 (<u>C</u>H₃), 25.46 (<u>C</u>H₂), 121.50, 126.21, 138.38, 142.69 (<u>C</u>H_{arom}), 179.99 (<u>C</u>=S).
- 3 *4-(3-Phenylthioureido)benzenesulfonamide* (2c)
- 4 Yield, 86%; m.p. 204-206 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3344, 3240, 3165 (NH, NH₂), 3008 (CH arom.), 1242
- 5 (C=S), 1334, 1157 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 7.15 (dd, 1H, CH_{arom}), 7.28 (s, 2H,
- 6 SO₂NH₂), 7.35 (dd, 2H, CH_{arom.}), 7.49 (d, 2H, CH_{arom.}), 7.70 (d, 2H, J= 8.73 Hz, CH_{arom.}) 7.75 (d,
- 7 2H, J= 8.61 Hz, CH_{arom}), 10.02 (s, 1H, NH), 10.05 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ :
- 8 122.30, 122.49, 123.60, 124.63, 126.03, 128.43, 139.07, 142.57 (<u>C</u>H_{arom}), 179.48 (<u>C</u>=S).

9 General procedures for the synthesis of 4-(4-oxo-3-substituted-thiazolidin-2-

- 10 *ylideneamino)benzenesulfonamides* (**3a-c**)
- 11 10 mmol of monochloroacetic acid and a catalytic amount of anhydrous sodium acetate were added
- 12 to a solution of compound 2a, 2b or 2c (10 mmol) in glacial acetic acid (20 mL). The mixture was
- 13 refluxed for 24 h and left to cool then poured into crushed ice. The formed precipitate was filtered
- 14 off and crystallized from ethanol to give the corresponding 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one derivatives **3a-c**.

15 *4-(3-Methyl-4-oxo-thiazolidin-2-ylideneamino)benzenesulfonamide* (**3a**)

- 16 Yield, 58%; m.p. 183-185 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3332, 3221 (NH₂), 3097 (CH arom.), 2943, 2851 (CH
- 17 aliph.), 1712 (C=O), 1620 (C=N), 1377, 1153 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 3.17 (s, 3H, N-
- 18 CH₃), 4.06 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.09 (d, 2H, J= 8.51 Hz, CH_{arom}.), 7.31 (s. 2H, SO₂NH₂, exchangeable
- 19 with D₂O), 7.80 (d, 2H, J= 8.82 Hz, CH_{arom}). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : 29.07 (<u>C</u>H₂), 32.74
- 20 (<u>CH</u>₃), 121.16, 127.06, 139.62, 151.12 (<u>CH</u>_{arom}), 156.89 (N=<u>C</u>), 171.80 (<u>C</u>=O). Anal. Calcd. For
- 21 C₁₀H₁₁N₃O₃S₂ (285.34): C, 42.09; H, 3.89; N, 14.73. Found: C, 42.21; H, 3.93; N, 14.90.
- 22 4-(3-Ethyl-4-oxo-thiazolidin-2-ylideneamino)benzenesulfonamide (**3b**)
- 23 Yield, 64%; m.p. 169-171 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3329, 3255 (NH₂), 3080 (CH arom.), 2983, 2860 (CH
- 24 aliph.), 1732 (C=O), 1643 (C=N), 1373, 1168 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 1.21 (t, 3H, *J*=

7.11 Hz, CH₃), 3.81 (q, 2H, J= 7.17 Hz, CH₃), 4.04 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.09 (d, 2H, J= 8.49 Hz, CH_{arom.}),
 7.31 (s. 2H, SO₂NH₂), 7.81 (d, 2H, J= 8.91 Hz, CH_{arom.}). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d₆*, ppm) δ: 12.21
 (CH₂CH₃), 32.73 (CH₂-C=O), 37.42 (CH₂CH₃), 121.25, 127.13, 139.71, 151.19 (CH_{arom.}), 156.11
 (N=C), 171.64 (C=O). Anal. Calcd. For C₁₁H₁₃N₃O₃S₂ (299.37): C, 44.13; H, 4.38; N, 14.04.
 Found: C, 44.22; H, 4.39; N, 14.15.

6 *4-(4-Oxo-3-phenylthiazolidin-2-ylideneamino)benzenesulfonamide* (**3c**)

Yield, 60%; m.p. 190-192 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3363, 3204 (NH₂), 3051 (CH arom.), 1724 (C=O), 1635
(C=N), 1373, 1153 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 4.16 (s, 2H, CH₂), 6.88 (d, 2H, CH_{arom}),
7.09 (dd, 1H, CH_{arom}), 7.34 (dd, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.42 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.88 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.45 (s, 2H,
SO₂NH₂), 7.53 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.97 Hz, CH_{arom}). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 32.74 (CH₂), 122.55,
124.09, 128.36, 128.89, 129.13, 135.19, 148.06, 155.78 (CH_{arom}), 171.54 (N=C), 172.57 (C=O).
Anal. Calcd. For C₁₅H₁₃N₃O₃S₂ (347.41): C, 51.86; H, 3.77; N, 12.10. Found: C, 51.98; H, 3.75; N,
12.27.

14 General procedures for the synthesis of ethyl 4-oxo-3-substituted-2-(4-

15 *sulfamoylphenylimino)thiazolidine-5-carboxylates* (4a-c)

16 10 mmol of diethylbromomalonate and a catalytic amount of anhydrous sodium acetate were added 17 to a solution of compound **2a**, **2b** or **2c** (10 mmol) in glacial acetic acid (20 mL). The mixture was 18 refluxed for 24 h and left to cool then poured into crushed ice. The formed precipitate was filtered 19 off and crystallized from ethanol to give the corresponding 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one derivatives **4a-c**.

- 20 Ethyl 3-methyl-4-oxo-2-(4-sulfamoylphenylimino)thiazolidine-5-carboxylate (4a)
- 21 Yield, 46%; m.p. 106-108 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3356, 3255 (NH₂), 3070 (CH arom.), 2978, 2870 (CH
- 22 aliph.), 1724, 1698 (2C=O), 1369, 1157 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 1.25 (t, 3H, *J*= 7.21
- 23 Hz, CH₃), 3.19 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.72 (q, 2H, *J*=7.03 Hz, CH₂), 4.10 (s, 1H, CH), 7.09 (d, 2H, *J*=8.63
- 24 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.32 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂), 7.82 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.72 Hz, CH_{arom}). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm)

1 δ: 13.52 (COOCH₂<u>C</u>H₃), 21.90 (N-<u>C</u>H₃), 29.15 (H<u>C</u>-C=O), 32.81 (COO<u>C</u>H₂CH₃), 121.24, 127.14,

2 139.70, 151.20 (<u>CH</u>_{arom.}), 155.51 (N=<u>C</u>), 171.05 (<u>C</u>OOCH₂CH₃), 171.89 (N-<u>C</u>=O). Anal. Calcd. For

3 C₁₃H₁₅N₃O₅S₂ (357.41): C, 43.69; H, 4.23; N, 11.76. Found: C, 43.77; H, 4.25; N, 11.85.

4 *Ethyl 3-ethyl-4-oxo-2-(4-sulfamoylphenylimino)thiazolidine-5-carboxylate* (4b)

Yield, 40%; m.p. 159-161 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3544, 3461 (NH₂), 3070 (CH arom.), 2989, 2877 (CH 5 aliph.), 1751, 1721 (2C=O), 1390, 1198 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 1.13 (t, 3H, *J*= 7.18) 6 Hz, CH₃), 1.19 (t, 3H, J= 7.34 Hz, CH₃), 3.74 (q, 2H, J= 7.51 Hz, CH₂), 3.84 (q, 2H, J= 7.42 Hz, 7 CH₂), 4.05 (s, 1H, CH), 7.09 (d, 2H, J= 8.59 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.79 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂), 7.84 (d, 2H, J= 8 8.79 Hz, CH_{arom}). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 11.24 (CH₃CH₂), 13.41 (COOCH₂CH₃), 34.96 9 10 (CH₃CH₂), 56.42 (HC-C=O), 64.21 (COOCH₂CH₃), 121.22, 127.44, 133.57, 140.57 (CH_{arom}), 155.29 (N=C), 164.78 (COOCH₂CH₃), 168.96 (N-C=O). Anal. Calcd. For C₁₄H₁₇N₃O₅S₂ (371.43): 11 C, 45.27; H, 4.61; N, 11.31. Found: C, 45.39; H, 4.69; N, 11.46. 12

13 *Ethyl 4-oxo-3-phenyl-2-(4-sulfamoylphenylimino)thiazolidine-5-carboxylate* (**4c**)

Yield, 53%; m.p. 126-128 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3356, 3259 (NH₂), 3062 (CH arom.), 2981, 2871 (CH 14 aliph.), 1728, 1701 (2C=O), 1369, 1153 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : 1.23 (t, 3H, J= 7.65) 15 Hz, CH₃), 4.21 (q, 2H, J= 7.71 Hz, CH₂), 4.32 (s, 1H, CH), 6.87 (dd, 1H, CH_{arom}), 7.04 (dd, 2H, 16 CH_{arom.}), 7.29 (d, 2H, CH_{arom.}), 7.41 (d, 2H, J= 8.80 Hz, CH_{arom.}), 7.52 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂), 7.76 (d, 17 2H, *J*= 8.91 Hz, CH_{arom}). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 13.86 (COOCH₂<u>C</u>H₃), 28.62 (H<u>C</u>-C=O), 18 32.75 (COOCH₂CH₃), 120.55, 120.94, 124.10, 127.02, 128.37, 128.90, 129.15, 129.54 (CH_{arom}), 19 20 154.95 (N=C), 170.91 (COOCH₂CH₃), 171.50 (N-C=O). Anal. Calcd. For C₁₈H₁₇N₃O₅S₂ (419.47): C, 51.54; H, 4.08; N, 10.02. Found: C, 51.63; H, 4.11; N, 10.09. 21

- 1 General procedures for the synthesis of 2-(4-oxo-3-substituted-2-(4-
- 2 *sulfamoylphenylimino)thiazolidin-5-yl)acetic acids* (**5a-c**)

10 mmol of maleic anhydride was added to a solution of compound 2a, 2b or 2c (10 mmol) in
glacial acetic acid (20 mL), The mixture was refluxed for 24 h and left to cool then poured into
crushed ice. The formed precipitate was filtered off and crystallized from ethanol to give the
corresponding 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one derivatives 5a-c.

- 7 2-(3-Methyl-4-oxo-2-(4-sulfamoylphenylimino)thiazolidin-5-yl)acetic acid (5a)
- 8 Yield, 61%; m.p. 160-162 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3356, 3205 (NH₂), 3100 (OH), 3052 (CH arom.), 2985,
- 9 2878 (CH aliph.), 1697, 1674 (2C=O), 1370, 1157 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 1.95 (d,
- 10 2H, J= 6.52 Hz, CH₂), 3.21 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.54 (t, 1H, J= 6.79 Hz, CH), 7.05 (d, 2H, J= 8.69 Hz,
- 11 CH_{arom.}), 7.71 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂), 7.80 (d, 2H, J= 8.99 Hz, CH_{arom.}), 10.56 (s, 1H, OH). ¹³C-NMR
- 12 (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 21.03 (N-<u>C</u>H₃), 29.19 (<u>C</u>H₂), 43. 58 (H<u>C</u>-C=O), 118.39, 126.71, 138.53,
- 13 151.32 (<u>CHarom.</u>), 156.89 (-N=<u>C</u>), 168.45 (<u>C</u>OOH), 173.72 (<u>C</u>=O). Anal. Calcd. For C₁₂H₁₃N₃O₅S₂
- 14 (343.38): C, 41.97; H, 3.82; N, 12.24. Found: C, 42.08; H, 3.80; N, 12.38.
- 15 2-(3-Ethyl-4-oxo-2-(4-sulfamoylphenylimino)thiazolidin-5-yl)acetic acid (5b)
- 16 Yield, 61%; m.p. 188-190 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3352, 3263 (NH₂), 3113 (OH), 3052 (CH arom.), 2997,
- 17 2865 (CH aliph.), 1701, 1658 (2C=O), 1334, 1157 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 1.21 (t, 3H,
- 18 J=7.33 Hz, CH₃), 1.81 (d, 2H, J=7.01 Hz, CH₂), 3.80 (q, 2H, J=7.64 Hz, CH₂), 4.29 (t, 1H, J=
- 19 6.91 Hz, CH), 7.09 (d, 2H, J= 8.90 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.72 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂), 7.78 (d, 2H, J= 9.0 Hz,
- 20 CH_{arom}), 10.49 (s, 1H, OH). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : 12.14 (<u>C</u>H₃CH₂), 22.36 (<u>C</u>H₂), 38.45
- 21 (CH₃<u>C</u>H₂), 43.50 (H<u>C</u>-C=O), 121.30, 127.07, 139.63, 141.52 (<u>C</u>H_{arom}), 155.93 (-N=<u>C</u>), 168.40
- 22 (<u>C</u>OOH), 172.97 (<u>C</u>=O). Anal. Calcd. For C₁₃H₁₅N₃O₅S₂ (357.41): C, 43.69; H, 4.23; N, 11.76.
- 23 Found: C, 43.77; H, 4.27; N, 11.83.

1 2-(4-Oxo-3-phenyl-2-(4-sulfamoylphenylimino)thiazolidin-5-yl)acetic acid (5c)

Yield, 63%; m.p. 171-173 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3348, 3300 (NH₂), 3215 (OH), 3066 (CH arom.), 2931, 2 2875 (CH aliph.), 1708, 1660 (2C=O), 1388, 1161 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 1.91 (d, 3 2H, J= 6.64 Hz, CH₂), 4.49 (t, 1H, J= 6.75 Hz, CH), 6.87 (dd, 1H, CH_{arom}), 7.03 (dd, 2H, CH_{arom}), 4 7.29 (d, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.46 (d, 2H, J= 8.92 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.74 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂), 7.95 (d, 2H, J= 8.83 5 Hz, CH_{arom}), 10.35 (s, 1H, OH). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 21.02 (CH₂), 43.62 (HC-C=O), 6 120.71, 121.09, 122.93, 127.05, 128.47, 128.92, 129.18, 139.54 (CH_{arom}), 155.82 (-N=C), 168.86 7 (COOH), 171.97 (C=O). Anal. Calcd. For C₁₇H₁₅N₃O₅S₂ (405.45): C, 50.36; H, 3.73; N, 10.36. 8 Found: C, 50.49; H, 3.71; N, 10.44. 9

10 Synthesis of 4-isothiocyanatobenzenesulfonamide (6)

A solution of sulfanilamide **1** in water was prepared by stirring sulfanilamide **1** (10 mmol) in distilled water (40 mL), containing hydrochloric acid (10 mmol), for 5 min. Thiophosgen (10 mmol) was added to the prepared solution and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The formed precipitate was filtered off and dried to give compounds **6** (El-Gaby et al., 2009).

- 15 Synthesis of N-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)hydrazinecarbothioamide (7)
- 16 A mixture, of compound 6 (10 mmol) and excess amount of hydrazine hydrate in isopropanol (40
- 17 mL), was stirred at room temperature for 4 hours. The formed precipitate was filtered off and dried
- to give compound 7 (Sriram et al., 2009).
- 19 General procedures for the synthesis of 2-(4-(substituted)benzylidene)-N-(4-
- 20 *sulfamoylphenyl)hydrazinecarbothioamides* (8a,b)
- A mixture, of compound 7 (10 mmol) and the appropriate aldehyde (10 mmol) in methanol (30
- 22 mL), was refluxed for 5 h. The formed precipitate was filtered, while hot, and the obtained solid
- 23 was dried to give compounds **8a,b**.

1 2-(4-Chorobenzylidene)-N-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)hydrazinecarbothioamide (8a)

Yield, 59%; m.p. 240-242 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3288, 3245, 3131 (NH, NH₂), 3089 (CH arom.), 2978, 2873 2 (CH aliph.), 1587 (C=N), 1278 (C=S), 1393, 1158 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 6.70 (d, 3 2H, J = 8.48 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.25 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂, exchangeable with D₂O), 7.56 (d, 2H, J = 8.84 Hz, 4 CH_{arom}), 7.82 (d, 2H, J= 8.74 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.98 (d, 2H, J= 8.72 Hz, CH_{arom}), 8.15 (s, 1H, -N=CH), 5 10.32 (s, 1H, NH, exchangeable with D₂O), 12.05 (s, 1H, NH, exchangeable with D₂O). ¹³C-NMR 6 (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 125.42, 125.63, 129.07, 130.00, 132.82, 134.63, 140.35, 142.01 (CH_{arom}), 7 142.15 (N=CH), 175.93 (C=S). Anal. Calcd. For C₁₄H₁₃ClN₄O₂S₂ (368.86): C, 45.59; H, 3.55; N, 8 15.19. Found: C, 45.65; H, 3.58; N, 15.32. 9 10 2-(4-(Dimethylamino)benzylidene)-N-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)hydrazinecarbothioamide (8b) Yield, 55%; m.p. 225-227 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3333, 3245, 3135 (NH, NH₂), 3090 (CH arom.), 2974, 2899 11 (CH aliph.), 1587 (C=N), 1268 (C=S), 1364, 1154 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 2.98 (s, 6H, 12 $N(CH_3)_2$, 6.73 (d, 2H, J= 8.51 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.32 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂), 7.70 (d, 2H, J= 8.56 Hz, 13 CH_{arom}), 7.78 (d, 2H, J= 8.81 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.86 (d, 2H, J= 8.89 Hz, CH_{arom}), 8.06 (s, 1H, -N=CH), 14 10.10 (s, 1H, NH), 11.76 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : 39.66 (N(CH₃)₂), 111.50, 15 120.82, 124.67, 125.50, 129.08, 139.77, 142.15, 151.55 (<u>CHarom</u>), 144.55 (N=<u>C</u>H), 174.47 (<u>C</u>=S). 16 Anal. Calcd. For C₁₆H₁₉N₅O₂S₂ (377.48): C, 50.91; H, 5.07; N, 18.55. Found: C, 50.99; H, 5.12; N, 17

18 18.71.

19 *General procedures for the synthesis of 4-(2-(4-(substituted)benzylidene)hydrazono)-4-*

20 *oxothiazolidin-3-yl)benzenesulfonamides* (9a,b)

10 mmol of monochloroacetic acid and a catalytic amount of anhydrous sodium acetate were added to a solution of compound **8a** or **8b** (10 mmol) in glacial acetic acid (20 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 24 h and left to cool then poured into crushed ice. The formed precipitate was filtered off and crystallized from ethanol to give the corresponding 4-thiazolidinone derivatives **9a,b**. 1 4-(2-(4-Chlorobenzylidene)hydrazono)-4-oxothiazolidin-3-yl)benzenesulfonamide (9a)

Yield, 53%; m.p. 288-290 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3346, 3261 (NH₂), 3062 (CH arom.), 2969, 2885 (CH 2 aliph.), 1732 (C=O), 1620 (C=N), 1393, 1156 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 4.13 (s, 2H, 3 CH₂), 7.39 (d, 2H, J = 8.78 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.50 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂, exchangeable with D₂O), 7.54 (d, 4 2H, J= 8.66 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.72 (d, 2H, J= 8.94 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.89 (d, 2H, J= 8.52 Hz, CH_{arom}), 8.30 5 (s, 1H, N=CH). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : 32.50 (CH₂), 126.53, 128.84, 129.07, 130.00, 6 132.87, 135.39, 137.69, 144.13 (CH_{arom}), 156.96 (N=CH), 165.49 (N-C=N), 171.79 (C=O). Anal. 7 Calcd. For C₁₆H₁₃ClN₄O₃S₂ (408.88): C, 47.00; H, 3.20; N, 13.70. Found: C, 47.08; H, 3.22; N, 8 13.83. 9 10 4-(2-(4-(Dimethylamino)benzylidene)hydrazono)-4-oxothiazolidin-3-yl)benzenesulfonamide (9b)

Yield, 46%; m.p. 218-220 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3317, 3263 (NH₂), 3050 (CH arom.), 2920, 2895 (CH 11 aliph.), 1697 (C=O), 1596 (C=N), 1370, 1162 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, ppm) δ: 2.97 (s, 6H, 12 $N(CH_3)_2$, 4.09 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.21 (d, 2H, J= 8.63 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.50 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂), 7.56 (d, 2H, 13 J= 8.71 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.79 (d, 2H, J= 8.99 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.94 (d, 2H, J= 9.21 Hz, CH_{arom}), 8.15 (s, 14 1H, N=CH). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : 30.57 (CH₂), 39.92 (N(CH₃)₂), 110.96, 112.96, 121.17, 15 126.39, 128.89, 134.08, 136.75, 151.26 (<u>C</u>H_{arom}), 144.09 (N=<u>C</u>H), 165.80 (N-<u>C</u>=N), 174.79 (<u>C</u>=O). 16 Anal. Calcd. For C₁₈H₁₉N₅O₃S₂ (417.51): C, 51.78; H, 4.59; N, 16.77. Found: C, 51.89; H, 4.62; N, 17 16.89. 18

19 General procedures for the synthesis of ethyl 2-(4-(substituted)benzylidene)hydrazono)-4-oxo-3-(420 sulfamoylphenyl)thiazolidine-5-carboxylates (10a,b)

10 mmol of diethylbromomalonate and a catalytic amount of anhydrous sodium acetate were added to a solution of compound **8a** or **8b** (10 mmol) in glacial acetic acid (20 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 24 h and left to cool then poured into crushed ice. The formed precipitate was filtered off and crystallized from ethanol to give the corresponding 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one derivatives **10a,b**.

- 1 *Ethyl 2-(4-chlorobenzylidene)hydrazono)-4-oxo-3-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)thiazolidine-5-carboxylate*
- 2 (10a)

Yield, 48%; m.p. 159-161 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3359, 3265 (NH₂), 3092 (CH arom.), 2980, 2890 (CH 3 aliph.), 1739, 1619 (2C=O), 1580 (C=N), 1380, 1162 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 1.23 (t, 4 3H, J=7.22 Hz, CH₃), 4.21 (q, 2H, J=7.16 Hz, CH₂), 4.33 (s, 1H, CH), 7.64 (d, 2H, J=8.71 Hz, 5 6 CH_{arom}), 7.66 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂, exchangeable with D₂O), 7.81 (d, 2H, J= 8.69 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.97 (d, 2H, J= 8.98 Hz, CH_{arom}), 8.07 (d, 2H, J= 8.95 Hz, CH_{arom}), 8.50 (s, 1H, N=CH). ¹³C-NMR 7 (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 13.56 (<u>C</u>H₃), 25.46 (H<u>C</u>-C=O), 62.01 (<u>C</u>H₂), 126.58, 128.89, 129.12, 130.12, 8 9 132.93, 135.45, 137.73, 144.18 (CH_{arom}), 148.03 (N=CH), 155.21 (N-C=N), 164.21 (COOCH₂CH₃), 171.89 (C=O). Anal. Calcd. For C₁₉H₁₇ClN₄O₅S₂ (480.95): C, 47.45; H, 3.56; N, 10 11.65. Found: C, 47.59; H, 3.60; N, 11.79. 11

- 12 Ethyl 2-(4-(dimethylamino)benzylidene)hydrazono)-4-oxo-3-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)-thiazolidine-5-
- 13 *carboxylate* (**10b**)

Yield, 40%; m.p. 180-182 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3352, 3260 (NH₂), 3075 (CH arom.), 2980, 2891 (CH 14 aliph.), 1725, 1612 (2C=O), 1592 (C=N), 1368, 1196 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 1.18 (t, 15 3H, J= 7.51 Hz, CH₃), 2.86 (s, 6H, N(CH₃)₂), 4.11 (q, 2H, J= 7.49 Hz, CH₂), 4.29 (s, 1H, CH), 7.07 16 (d, 2H, J= 8.80 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.31 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂), 7.53 (d, 2H, J= 8.90 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.62 (d, 2H, 17 J= 9.10 Hz, CH_{aron.}), 7.93 (d, 2H, J= 8.68 Hz, CH_{aron.}), 8.18 (s, 1H, N=CH). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 18 ppm) δ: 13.93 (CH₃), 29.90 (N(CH₃)₂), 39.96 (HC-C=O), 60.71 (CH₂), 106.88, 107.70, 110.01, 19 125.87, 127.44, 129.49, 132.08, 148.14 (<u>CHaron</u>), 145.85 (N=<u>C</u>H), 188.66 (<u>COOCH</u>₂CH₃), 189.20 20 21 (C=O). Anal. Calcd. For C₂₁H₂₃N₅O₅S₂ (489.57): C, 51.52; H, 4.74; N, 14.31. Found: C, 51.63; H, 4.79; N, 14.42. 22

- 1 General procedures for the synthesis of 2-(2-(4-(substituted)benzylidene)hydrazono)-4-oxo-3-(4-
- 2 *sulfamoylphenyl)thiazolidin-5-yl)acetic acids* (11a,b)
- 10 mmol of maleic anhydride was added to a solution of compound 8a or 8b (10 mmol) in glacial
 acetic acid (20 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 24 h and left to cool then poured into crushed
 ice. The formed precipitate was filtered off and crystallized from ethanol to give the corresponding
 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one derivatives 11a,b.
- 7 2-(-2-(4-Chlorobenzylidene)hydrazono)-4-oxo-3-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)thiazolidin-5-yl)acetic acid
- 8 (11a)
- 9 Yield, 39%; m.p. 229-231 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3353, 3256 (NH₂), 3111 (OH), 3061 (CH arom.), 2933,
- 10 2860 (CH aliph.), 1705, 1699 (2C=O), 1617 (C=N), 1385, 1160 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm)
- 11 δ : 3.10 (d, 2H, J= 6.50 Hz, CH₂), 4.58 (t, 1H, J= 6.87 Hz, CH), 7.45 (d, 2H, J= 8.88 Hz, CH_{arom}),
- 12 7.57 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂, exchangeable with D₂O), 7.61 (d, 2H, J= 8.92 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.76 (d, 2H, J=
- 13 8.51 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.98 (d, 2H, J= 8.59 Hz, CH_{arom}), 8.36 (s, 1H, N=CH), 10.86 (s, 1H, OH). ¹³C-
- 14 NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 21.03 (<u>C</u>H₂), 42.50 (<u>C</u>H), 126.43, 128.96, 129.32, 130.00, 132.89,
- 15 135.35, 137.82, 144.13 (\underline{CH}_{arom}), 156.83 (N= \underline{CH}), 171.97 (N- \underline{C} =N), 173.65 (\underline{C} =O), 173.90 (\underline{C} =O).
- 16 Anal. Calcd. For C₁₈H₁₅ClN₄O₅S₂ (466.92): C, 46.30; H, 3.24; N, 12.00. Found: C, 46.42; H, 3.26;
- 17 N, 12.14.
- 18 2-(2-(4-(Dimethylamino)benzylidene)hydrazono)-4-oxo-3-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)thiazolidin-5-
- 19 *yl*)*acetic acid* (**11b**)

20 Yield, 32%; m.p. 159-161 °C; IR, cm⁻¹: 3362, 3226 (NH₂), 3120 (OH), 3052 (CH arom.), 2914,

21 2865 (CH aliph.), 1709, 1695 (2C=O), 1593 (C=N), 1371, 1154 (SO₂). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm)

- 22 δ: 2.96 (s, 6H, N(CH₃)₂), 3.11 (d, 2H, *J*= 6.59 Hz, CH₂), 4.55 (t, 1H, *J*= 6.88 Hz, CH), 6.72 (d, 2H,
- 23 *J*= 8.61 Hz, CH_{arom.}), 7.52 (s, 2H, SO₂NH₂), 7.56 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.99 Hz, CH_{arom.}), 7.74 (d, 2H, *J*= 9.01
- 24 Hz, CH_{arom.}), 7.96 (d, 2H, J= 8.70 Hz, CH_{arom.}), 8.15 (s, 1H, N=CH), 10.75 (s, 1H, OH). ¹³C-NMR

(DMSO-*d*₆, ppm) δ: 36.74 (<u>C</u>H₂), 39.76 (N(<u>C</u>H₃)₂), 42.45 (<u>C</u>H), 111.53, 121.08, 126.41, 128.80,
 137.90, 143.91, 151.94 161.04 (<u>C</u>H_{arom}), 158.14 (N=<u>C</u>H), 171.65 (N-<u>C</u>=N), 173.34 (<u>C</u>=O), 173.86
 (<u>C</u>=O). Anal. Calcd. For C₂₀H₂₁N₅O₅S₂ (475.54): C, 50.51; H, 4.45; N, 14.73. Found: C, 50.63; H,
 4.49; N, 14.86.

5

6 X-ray crystallography

7 Suitable crystals for X-ray single crystal diffraction were selected, coated in perfluoropolyether oil, and mounted on MiTeGen sample holders. Diffraction data of the sample were collected on a 8 Nonius Kappa three circle diffractometer utilizing mirror monochromated MoK α radiation (λ = 9 0.71073 Å) from a rotating anode tube run at 50 V and 30 mA. The diffractometer is equipped with 10 a Bruker ApexII area detector and an open flow N₂ Cryoflex II (Bruker) device. Measurements 11 were performed at 100 K. For data reduction, the Bruker Apex2 software suite (Bruker AXS), was 12 used. Using Olex2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009), the structure was solved with the ShelXS-97 13 14 (Sheldrick, 2008) structure solution program using direct methods solution method. The model was refined with XL (Sheldrick, 2008) using Least Squares minimization. All non-hydrogen atom 15 positions were located from the Fourier maps and refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atom positions 16 17 were calculated using a riding model in geometric positions and refined isotropically.

18 Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) number: CCDC 1004668.

19 Crystal Data: $C_{10}H_{11}N_3O_3S_2$, $M_r = 285.34$, triclinic, P-1, a = 9.8121(4) Å, b = 10.2076(5) Å, c = 10.2076(5) Å

20 24.6210(10) Å, $\alpha = 83.992(2)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 82.035(2)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 77.674(2)^{\circ}$, V = 2378.71(18) Å³, T = 100 K, Z = 100 K,

- 21 8, Z' = 4, μ (MoK_{α}) = 0.451, 21120 reflections measured, 9859 unique (R_{int} = 0.0337) which were
- used in all calculations. The final wR₂ was 0.2419 (all data) and R₁ was 0.0747 ($I \ge 2\sigma(I)$).

1 Antibacterial activity

Pure compounds were dissolved in sufficient volume of dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) to make a final 2 concentration of 20 mM. Bacterial strains (S. aureus NCTC 8325, S. aureus HG001, S. aureus 3 MA12, S. aureus RN1, S. aureus Xen29, S. epidermidis RP62A, S. epidermidis 195, S. epidermidis 4 047, E. faecalis JH212, E. faecium 6413, E.coli 536, P. aeruginosa, Y. pestis KUMA, and Y. 5 6 pseudotuberculosis 252 01A) were cultivated overnight at 37 °C (30 °C for Yersinia) in Luria-Bertani medium (per liter: 5 g NaCl, 5 g yeast extract, 10 g tryptone) in a shaking incubator. 7 On the next day, the overnight culture was diluted 1:100 in Müller-Hinton broth (23 g per Liter) 8 and again incubated until the cells reached the exponential growth phase. Approximately, 1×10^5 9 10 cells/mL were incubated with various concentrations of the compounds (40, 20, 10, 5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.625, and 0.3125 µM) at 37°C for 18 h (30°C for 48 h for Yersinia) to make a final volume of 200 11 *µ*L in a 96-well plate. The final concentration of DMSO was 0.8% in each well. 12 After incubation, the optical density of the cultures was determined at 550 nm wavelength using an 13 ELISA microplate reader (MutlisKan Ascent, Thermo Fisher Scientific) with respect to the control 14

without bacteria. The lowest concentration of a tested compound, where no bacterial growth is detectable, was determined as minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). From substances whose MIC is less than 20 μ M, the overnight cultures from the wells where no bacterial growth was detected were plated on LB agar plates and incubated again overnight. The compound concentration, at which no growth of the bacteria was detectable, was determined as the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC).

21 Inhibition of biofilm formation

22 Quantitative biofilm of *S. epidermidis* RP62A (ATCC 32984) measurement was done in a 23 microtiter assay. Bacteria were grown overnight in Trypticase Soy Broth / 0.25% glucose (Becton 24 Dickinson). 100 μ L of a 1:200 dilution of the overnight culture, with fresh medium, was transferred

to 96-well tissue culture plates (Greiner, Nürtingen, Germany) added to 100 µL of a serial dilution of the test compounds in medium. Each compound concentration was measured in five replicates. The DMSO concentration in all wells was 0.8%. Following overnight incubation at 37° C, the optical density at 550 nm (OD₆₀₀) of the bacteria was measured and the cultures were poured out. The plates were washed three times with phosphatebuffered saline and the remaining bacteria were fixed by air drying at 60 °C. After staining with 0.4% crystal violet solution, the optical density of the adherent biofilm was determined at 490 nm. Values >0.120 at compound concentrations, with no effect on the bacterial growth in culture, were regarded as biofilm positive.

1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

2	We thank Elena Katzowitsch and Tobias Ölschläger (Institute for Molecular Infection Biology,
3	University of Würzburg) for the antibacterial screening, which was funded by the Deutsche
4	Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 630, Z1). We also thank the Institute of Inorganic Chemistry,
5	University of Würzburg, for performing the X-ray measurement.
6	
7	The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1 **REFERENCES**

2 Allen, F.H., Kennard, O., Watson, D.G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A.G., and Taylor, R. (1987). Tables of 3 bond lengths determined by X-ray and neutron diffraction. Part 1. Bond lengths in organic compounds. 4 Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2, S1-S19. Andres, C.J., Bronson, J.J., D'Andrea, S.V., Deshpande, M.S., Falk, P.J., Grant-Young, K.A., Harte, W.E., 5 6 Ho, H.T., Misco, P.F., Robertson, J.G., et al. (2000). 4-Thiazolidinones: novel inhibitors of the bacterial 7 enzyme MurB. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 10, 715-717. 8 Aridoss, G., Amirthaganesan, S., Kim, M.S., Kim, J.T., and Jeong, Y.T. (2009). Synthesis, spectral and 9 biological evaluation of some new thiazolidinones and thiazoles based on t-3-alkyl-r-2,c-6-diarylpiperidin-4-10 ones. Eur J Med Chem 44, 4199-4210. Bassetti, M., Merelli, M., Temperoni, C., and Astilean, A. (2013). New antibiotics for bad bugs: where 11 12 are we? Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 12, 22. 13 Butler, M.S., Blaskovich, M.A., and Cooper, M.A. (2013). Antibiotics in the clinical pipeline in 2013. J 14 Antibiot (Tokyo) 66, 571-591. 15 Courvalin, P. (2006). Vancomycin resistance in gram-positive cocci. Clin Infect Dis 42 Suppl 1, S25-34. 16 Dahl, A., and Bruun, N.E. (2013). Enterococcus faecalis infective endocarditis: focus on clinical 17 aspects. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther 11, 1247-1257. 18 de Perio, M.A., Yarnold, P.R., Warren, J., and Noskin, G.A. (2006). Risk factors and outcomes 19 associated with non-Enterococcus faecalis, non-Enterococcus faecium enterococcal bacteremia. Infect 20 Control Hosp Epidemiol 27, 28-33. 21 Deshpande, L.M., Fritsche, T.R., Moet, G.J., Biedenbach, D.J., and Jones, R.N. (2007). Antimicrobial 22 resistance and molecular epidemiology of vancomycin-resistant enterococci from North America and 23 Europe: a report from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 58, 163-24 170. 25 Dolomanov, O.V., Bourhis, L.J., Gildea, R.J., Howard, J.A.K., and Puschmann, H. (2009). OLEX2: a 26 complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. Journal of Applied Crystallography 42, 339-27 341. 28 El-Gaby, M.S., El-Hag Ali, G.A., El-Maghraby, A.A., Abd El-Rahman, M.T., and Helal, M.H. (2009). 29 Synthesis, characterization and in vitro antimicrobial activity of novel 2-thioxo-4-thiazolidinones and 4,4'-30 bis(2-thioxo-4-thiazolidinone-3-yl)diphenylsulfones. Eur J Med Chem 44, 4148-4152. 31 French, G.L. (2006). Bactericidal agents in the treatment of MRSA infections--the potential role of 32 daptomycin. The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy 58, 1107-1117. 33 Gomes, F., Teixeira, P., and Oliveira, R. (2014). Mini-review: Staphylococcus epidermidis as the most 34 frequent cause of nosocomial infections: old and new fighting strategies. Biofouling 30, 131-141. 35 Gopalakrishnan, M., Thanusu, J., and Kanagarajan, V. (2009). Design, synthesis, spectral analysis and in vitro microbiological evaluation of 2-phenyl-3-(4,6-diarylpyrimidin-2-yl)thiazolidin-4-ones. J Enzyme Inhib 36 37 Med Chem 24, 1088-1094. 38 Hoffman, L.R., D'Argenio, D.A., MacCoss, M.J., Zhang, Z., Jones, R.A., and Miller, S.I. (2005). 39 Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. Nature 436, 1171-1175. 40 Jain, A.K., Vaidya, A., Ravichandran, V., Kashaw, S.K., and Agrawal, R.K. (2012). Recent developments 41 and biological activities of thiazolidinone derivatives: a review. Bioorg Med Chem 20, 3378-3395.

- Karatan, E., and Watnick, P. (2009). Signals, regulatory networks, and materials that build and break 1 2 bacterial biofilms. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 73, 310-347. 3 Kumarasamy, K.K., Toleman, M.A., Walsh, T.R., Bagaria, J., Butt, F., Balakrishnan, R., Chaudhary, U., 4 Doumith, M., Giske, C.G., Irfan, S., et al. (2010). Emergence of a new antibiotic resistance mechanism in 5 India, Pakistan, and the UK: a molecular, biological, and epidemiological study. Lancet Infect Dis 10, 597-6 602. 7 Lewis, K. (2013). Platforms for antibiotic discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov 12, 371-387. 8 Namvar, A.E., Bastarahang, S., Abbasi, N., Ghehi, G.S., Farhadbakhtiarian, S., Arezi, P., Hosseini, M., 9 Baravati, S.Z., Jokar, Z., and Chermahin, S.G. (2014). Clinical characteristics of Staphylococcus epidermidis: a systematic review. GMS Hyg Infect Control 9, Doc23. 10 11 Otto, M. (2009). Staphylococcus epidermidis--the 'accidental' pathogen. Nat Rev Microbiol 7, 555-12 567. 13 Pechous, R.D., Sivaraman, V., Stasulli, N.M., and Goldman, W.E. (2015). Pneumonic Plague: The 14 Darker Side of Yersinia pestis. Trends Microbiol. 15 Pendleton, J.N., Gorman, S.P., and Gilmore, B.F. (2013). Clinical relevance of the ESKAPE pathogens. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 11, 297-308. 16 17 Poyraz, O., Jeankumar, V.U., Saxena, S., Schnell, R., Haraldsson, M., Yogeeswari, P., Sriram, D., and 18 Schneider, G. (2013). Structure-guided design of novel thiazolidine inhibitors of O-acetyl serine 19 sulfhydrylase from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Med Chem 56, 6457-6466. 20 Romling, U., and Balsalobre, C. (2012). Biofilm infections, their resilience to therapy and innovative 21 treatment strategies. J Intern Med 272, 541-561. 22 Roth, J.S., and Degering, E.F. (1945). The Preparation of Sulfanilamide Derivatives Containing a Urea or Thiourea Grouping. Journal of the American Chemical Society 67, 126–128. 23 24 Sayyed, M., Mokle, S., Bokhare, M., Mankar, A., Surwase, S., Bhusare, S., and Vibhute, Y. (2006). 25 Synthesis of some new 2, 3-diaryl-1, 3-thiazolidin-4-ones as antibacterial agents. ARKIVOC, 187-192. 26 Sheldrick, G.M. (2008). A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallogr A 64, 112-122. 27 Sriram, D., Yogeeswari, P., Dhakla, P., Senthilkumar, P., Banerjee, D., and Manjashetty, T.H. (2009). 5-28 Nitrofuran-2-yl derivatives: synthesis and inhibitory activities against growing and dormant mycobacterium 29 species. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 19, 1152-1154. 30 Tong, S.Y., Davis, J.S., Eichenberger, E., Holland, T.L., and Fowler, V.G., Jr. (2015). Staphylococcus 31 aureus infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. Clin Microbiol 32 Rev 28, 603-661. 33 Valour, F., Chebib, N., Gillet, Y., Reix, P., Laurent, F., Chidiac, C., and Ferry, T. (2013). [Staphylococcus 34 aureus broncho-pulmonary infections]. Rev Pneumol Clin 69, 368-382. 35 Verma, A., and Saraf, S.K. (2008). 4-thiazolidinone--a biologically active scaffold. Eur J Med Chem 43, 36 897-905. 37 Vicini, P., Geronikaki, A., Anastasia, K., Incerti, M., and Zani, F. (2006). Synthesis and antimicrobial 38 activity of novel 2-thiazolylimino-5-arylidene-4-thiazolidinones. Bioorg Med Chem 14, 3859-3864. 39 Vicini, P., Geronikaki, A., Incerti, M., Zani, F., Dearden, J., and Hewitt, M. (2008). 2-Heteroarylimino-40 5-benzylidene-4-thiazolidinones analogues of 2-thiazolylimino-5-benzylidene-4-thiazolidinones with 41 antimicrobial activity: synthesis and structure-activity relationship. Bioorg Med Chem 16, 3714-3724.
- 42

- 1 Scheme 1: Synthesis of compounds **3a-c**, **4a-c** and **5a-c**.



7 Reagents and conditions: (i) R-NCS / EtOH / TEA / reflux 24 h. (ii) ClCH₂COOH / AcOH /

8 anhydrous CH₃COONa / reflux 24 hr. (iii) Diethylbromomalonate / AcOH / anhydrous CH₃COONa

9	/ reflux 24 h.	(iv) Maleic	anhydride /	AcOH	reflux 24 h.
---	----------------	-------------	-------------	------	--------------

- T.



1 Scheme 2: Synthesis of compounds 9a,b, 10a,b and 11a,b.


```
6 stirring RT / 2 h. (iii) Ar-CHO / MeOH / reflux 5 h. (iv) ClCH_2COOH / AcOH / anhydrous
```

```
7 \qquad CH_3 COONa \ / \ reflux \ 24 \ hr. \ (v) \ Diethylbromomalonate \ / \ AcOH \ / \ anhydrous \ CH_3 COONa \ / \ reflux \ 24
```

```
8 \qquad h. \ (vi) \ Maleic \ anhydride \ / \ AcOH \ / \ reflux \ 24 \ h.
```

 $[\]label{eq:conditions: (i) CSCl_2 / H_2O / HCl / stirring RT / 2 h. (ii) NH_2NH_2.H_2O / isopropanol / \\$



(a) Single molecule and naming scheme.



(b) Asymmetric unit with indication of hydrogen

Figure 1: Molecular structure of compound 3a as determined by X-ray single crystal diffraction:
a) molecule and naming scheme and b) assymetric unit with hydrogen bonds. Element (colour):
Carbon (grey), oxygen (red), nitrogen (blue), sulfur (yellow), hydrogen (light grey). Atomic
displacement parameters are drawn at 50% probability.



Table 1: Antibacterial activity of the tested compounds 4a and 4c

		Compound 4a		Compound 4c		Gentamycin	Tetracycline
	Tested bacterial strain	MIC [*] (µM)	MBC ^{**} (µM)	MIC (µM)	MBC (µM)	MIC (µM)	MIC (µM)
	S. aureus 8325	10	>40	5	>40	0.21	NT ^{***}
	S. aureus HG001	10	>40	5	>40	NT	NT
	S. aureus MA12	10	>40	5	>40	NT	NT
je	S. aureus RN1	40	>40	20	>40	NT	NT
ositiv	S. aureus Xen29	10	>40	5	>40	NT	NT
ram-J	S. epidermidis RP62A	5	>40	5	>40	NT	0.83
G	S. epidermidis 195	10	>40	10	>40	NT	NT
	S. epidermidis 047	5	>40	2.5	>40	NT	NT
	E. faecalis JH212	5	10	2.5	5	26.2	NT
	E. faecium 6413	>40	>40	>40	>40	NT	0.83
ve	E. coli 536	>40	>40	>40	>40	0.83	NT
egati	P. aeruginosa	>40	>40	>40	>40	3.4	NT
ram-n	Y. pestis KUMA	10	>40	5	>40	1.7	NT
G	Y. pseudotuberculosis 252 01A	>40	>40	>40	>40	1.7	NT

* MIC: Minimal Inhibitory Concentration ** MBC: Minimal Bactericidal Concentration

5 6 *** NT: Not tested