Slow-blue nuclear hypervariables in PanSTARRS-1

A. Lawrence,¹* A. G. Bruce,¹ C. MacLeod,¹ S. Gezari,² M. Elvis,³ M. Ward,⁴ S. J. Smartt,⁵ K. W. Smith,⁵ D. Wright,⁵ M. Fraser,^{5,6} P. Marshall,⁷ N. Kaiser,⁸ W. Burgett,⁸ E. Magnier,⁸ J. Tonry,⁸ K. Chambers,⁸ R. Wainscoat,⁸ C. Waters,⁸ P. Price,⁹ N. Metcalfe,⁴ S. Valenti,⁵ R. Kotak,⁵ A. Mead,¹ C. Inserra,⁵ T. W. Chen⁵ and A. Soderberg³

¹Institute for Astronomy, SUPA (Scottish Universities Physics Alliance), University of Edinburgh, Royal Observatory, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK

²Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-2421, USA

³Harvard–Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

⁵Astrophysics Research Centre, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK

⁶Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 OHA, UK

⁷KIPAC, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

⁸Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

⁹Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

Accepted 2016 August 4. Received 2016 July 29; in original form 2015 December 1

ABSTRACT

We discuss 76 large amplitude transients ($\Delta m > 1.5$) occurring in the nuclei of galaxies, nearly all with no previously known active galactic nucleus (AGN). They have been discovered as part of the Pan-STARRS1 (PS1) 3π survey, by comparison with Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) photometry a decade earlier, and then monitored with the Liverpool Telescope, and studied spectroscopically with the William Herschel Telescope (WHT). Based on colours, light-curve shape, and spectra, these transients fall into four groups. A few are misclassified stars or objects of unknown type. Some are red/fast transients and are known or likely nuclear supernovae. A few are either radio sources or erratic variables and so likely blazars. However the majority $(\sim 66 \text{ per cent})$ are blue and evolve slowly, on a time-scale of years. Spectroscopy shows them to be AGN at $z \sim 0.3 - 1.4$, which must have brightened since the SDSS photometry by around an order of magnitude. It is likely that these objects were in fact AGN a decade ago, but too weak to be recognized by SDSS; they could then be classed as 'hypervariable' AGN. By searching the SDSS Stripe 82 quasar database, we find 15 similar objects. We discuss several possible explanations for these slow-blue hypervariables – (i) unusually luminous tidal disruption events; (ii) extinction events; (iii) changes in accretion state; and (iv) large amplitude microlensing by stars in foreground galaxies. A mixture of explanations (iii) and (iv) seems most likely. Both hold promise of considerable new insight into the AGN phenomenon.

Key words: accretion, accretion discs – gravitational lensing: micro – galaxies: active – galaxies: nuclei – quasars: general.

1 INTRODUCTION

Searches for extreme optical extragalactic transients are of great interest in a variety of ways – as a method to find rare types of supernovae (SNe), tidal disruption events (TDEs) around dormant black holes, rare blazars, and the possibility of accretion outbursts in active galactic nuclei (AGNs). In recent years systematic searches have been made using wide field instruments. These have been either

targeted at finding SNe, or at finding candidates for TDEs, typically with a fast rise and decay over months – for example with GALEX (Gezari et al. 2008, 2009), Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; van Velzen et al. 2011), PTF (Cenko et al. 2012; Arcavi et al. 2014), ASASSN (Holoien et al. 2014), and PanSTARRS-1 (PS1; Gezari et al. 2012; Chornock et al. 2014). In addition the Time Domain Spectroscopic Survey (TDSS; Morganson et al. 2015), a subset of the SDSS-IV programme, targets variable objects for follow-up spectroscopy, including already confirmed quasars that show more than 0.7 mag of variability.

⁴Department of Physics, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

The PS1 nuclear transients reported so far (Gezari et al. 2012; Chornock et al. 2014) have been based on data from the Medium Deep Survey (MDS), 10 fields with 8 deg² each, observed with a cadence of a few days. In this paper, we report on a very large area search for large amplitude ($\Delta m > 1.5$ mag) nuclear changes in faint extragalactic objects, by comparing the PS1 3π survey with the SDSS sky a decade earlier, over 11 663 deg² Our original aim was to find candidates for TDEs, but in fact we seem to have found a class of slow-blue extreme AGN 'hypervariables' at $z \sim 1$, with intriguing properties. These objects are statistically consistent with being an extrapolation of the extreme tail of more well-known AGN variability, (e.g. MacLeod et al. 2012; Morganson et al. 2014), but it is far from clear what the cause of the variability is, and whether it is the same as more normal AGN variability. We have collected spectra and carried out monitoring over the last few years, and find these objects to (mostly) show slow smooth order of magnitude outbursts over several years, to show large colour changes between the SDSS and PanSTARRS epochs, and to have weaker than average broad emission line strength.

These transients were first reported in a conference paper by Lawrence et al. (2012). In this paper we present extensive results and analysis for these objects. In Section 2, we describe the Pan-STARRS1 programme, and the follow-up data taken with the Liverpool Telescope (LT) and the William Herschel Telescope (WHT). Section 3 presents basic data and analysis, including colours, light curves, and spectroscopic results. In Section 4, we present a further analysis, including luminosities, emission line properties, colour changes, and the statistics of variability in the context of AGN in general. In Section 5, we discuss four possible explanations of the cause of these slow smooth outbursts – TDEs, extinction events, accretion instabilities, and foreground microlensing.

2 OBSERVATIONS

Our sample is based on Pan-STARRS1 and SDSS data, followed up with an LT monitoring, and spectroscopy with the WHT. We begin by describing each of these data sets, plus a small amount of additional data from other sources.

2.1 The Pan-STARRS1 programme

Pan-STARRS1 (PS1) is a 1.8 m optical telescope with a 7 deg² field of view, imaging on to a mosaic CCD camera with 60 detectors each with 4800 × 4800 pixels of size 0.258 arcsec, operating at the summit of Haleakala on the island of Maui, Hawaii. The system is described more fully in Kaiser et al. (2010). Images are obtained through a set of five filters designated g_{P1} , r_{P1} , i_{P1} , z_{P1} , y_{P1} , described in Stubbs et al. (2010) and Tonry et al. (2012). Four of these are similar to the SDSS g, r, i, z set. The fifth is a y-band filter covering roughly 0.92–1.05 µm. The system was built by the University of Hawaii, but was operated by the PS1 Science Consortium (PS1SC: see http://ps1sc.org) up until 2014 March. The telescope is now part of the ongoing Pan-STARRS2 project. The PS1 data will be publicly available through the MAST facility (https://archive.stsci.edu/).

PS1 undertook several surveys. The two major surveys, and the most important for extragalactic transients, are the MDS and the 3π survey, described in Magnier et al. (2013). The MDS repeatedly imaged a set of 10 individual PS1 fields, with a roughly 4 d cadence. The 3π survey, as the name suggests, mapped three quarters of the sky. In any one filter the aim (subject to weather of course) was to visit each piece of sky four times per year. The filter-visits are spread out so that each piece of sky is visited twenty times a year in total.

Table 1. Characteristics of the 3π survey. Row 1 gives the typical nightly 5σ depth in AB magnitudes for the 3π survey, estimated by Inserra et al. (2013). The next two rows are from the Small Area Survey as analysed by Metcalfe et al. (2013). Row 2 gives the estimated final depth of the 3π survey, measured as the magnitude where counts are 50 per cent of their peak values, roughly equivalent to 5σ . Row 3 gives the magnitude at which source counts peak. Row 4 gives the depth of SDSS in the same piece of sky, estimated as the magnitude where source counts peak.

	Survey Depths							
Method	g	r	i	z	у			
3π (nightly, 5σ)	22.0	21.6	21.7	21.4	19.3			
3π (3 yr, 50 per cent)	23.4	23.4	23.2	22.4	21.3			
3π (est.final, pk cts)	23.0	22.8	22.5	21.7	20.8			
SDSS (pk cts)	22.8	22.2	21.6	20.3	-			

The 3π survey began in 2010 May, and completed in 2014 March. Table 1 shows the typical nightly depth, along with the predicted stacked depth after 3 yr, compared to the SDSS survey depth in the equivalent filters. Note that this paper concerns only that part of the 3π survey that contains the SDSS region.

The PS1 images are processed by the PS1 image processing pipeline (IPP), which performs a standard reduction sequence followed by object cataloguing, astrometry and photometry in the natural PS1 system. For the purposes of the current paper, g_{P1} , r_{P1} , i_{P1} , z_{P1} , y_{P1} AB magnitudes are roughly equivalent to both the related SDSS magnitudes, and the LT magnitudes (see the next section). For the MDS, difference imaging is used to search for transient events; for the 3π survey, which is the main focus of this paper, transients are located by comparing catalogue objects as described below.

The catalogues produced by IPP were made available to the PS1SC on a nightly basis and ingested into a MySQL data base at Queen's University Belfast. These were cross-matched with SDSS objects from the DR7 catalogue (Abazajian et al. 2009), looking for significant changes. These potential transients went through an extensive sequence of both automated and human filtering and quality control, as well as preliminary classification, described in more detail in Inserra et al. (2013). This quality control process is intended to err on the side of reliability rather than completeness, which means that any derived population statistics are only approximate, as we discuss later.

2.2 SDSS data

The SDSS data we use in this paper comes from data release seven (DR7; Abazajian et al. 2009). Although there have been subsequent SDSS releases, because selection was made from DR7, we have continued to use DR7 data for consistency. We have confirmed that the revised values from later releases make negligible difference. The magnitudes we have used for the pre-existing galaxies are the composite 'cmodel' magnitudes, which use a linear combination of exponential and de Vaucouleurs light profiles, and should in general be the most appropriate estimate of total flux for galaxies, and which also agree with the *Point Spread Function (PSF)* magnitude for stellar sources. We also use the standard template-fitting photometric redshifts calculated for DR7, as described in Abazajian et al. (2009) and on the SDSS web pages. In a few cases where they were available, we have also made use of SDSS-I, SDSS-II or SDSS-III (BOSS) spectra.

The SDSS observations are roughly a decade earlier than the PS1 observations. The majority of our objects come from the SDSS Legacy Survey, which began in 2000 and according to Abazajian et al. (2009) was essentially complete by 2006 July. Around 10 per cent of our targets come from the SEGUE imaging stripes, which were observed during 2005-2008. This seems to under-represent the fractional area of SEGUE imaging in DR7 (28 per cent) which may be connected with the slow nature of most of our transients.

2.3 Liverpool Telescope observations

Objects selected as nuclear transients as described below have been monitored with the LT. Although no new targets are being produced, the monitoring programme continues for existing targets. The LT observations give us denser sampling than provided by PS1, and also crucial u-band coverage. The LT is a 2.0 m robotic telescope on the island of La Palma, operated by Liverpool John Moore's University. The system is described in Steele et al. (2004). Observations from 2011 October onwards have used either the RATCAM or IO:O instruments, gradually converting to the latter. RATCAM is a CCD camera with 2048×2048 pixels of size of 0.135 arcsec, but normally used with 2×2 binning. IO:O is a CCD camera with 4096×4096 pixels of size of 0.15 arcsec, also normally used with 2×2 binning. The field of view (4.6 arcmin for RATCAM and 10.2 arcmin for IO:O) provides many SDSS stars for photometric calibration, so that we do not have to rely on completely transparent conditions. Both systems have an extensive set of filters. We have used filters which closely approximate the Sloan filters. For the purposes of this paper, we take the derived magnitudes to be on the SDSS AB magnitude system, and designate the magnitudes simply u, g, r.

The standard LT pipeline performs bias subtraction, flat fielding, and astrometric reduction before passing data files to users. We then measured target magnitudes using simple aperture photometry with a software aperture diameter of 2 arcsec, using SDSS DR7 catalogued stars in the field, of which there are typically several tens, as photometric calibrators. (LT makes occasional standard star observations during the night, but we did not use these). The seeing in our LT images varies between 0.7 and 2.0 arcsec. With seeing worse than this, we do not use the data. Most of our targets are dominated by the unresolved transient, so the aperture photometry is simple to interpret regardless of the seeing.

Our usual strategy was to initially follow targets every few days or weekly, until it became clear how fast they were fading. Fast fading objects were followed until they were too faint to measure in a reasonable exposure time. More slowly changing objects are then monitored roughly fortnightly or monthly while they are in season. Targets brighter than g = 20 are exposed for 100 s each in g and r, and 400 s in u. Given the (very blue) colours of most of our targets, this gives 5 per cent photometry or better in all bands. For targets fainter than g = 20 we use 200 s in g and r, but still use 400 s in u as attempting to maintain accurate u-band photometry becomes too expensive.

2.4 Sample definition for this paper

We have used a combination of PS1, SDSS, and LT data to construct our sample for further study. The starting point is the 'Faint Galaxy Supernova Search (FGSS)' programme run by QUB, as described in Inserra et al (2013). This starts with catalogued objects from nightly visits of the PS1 3π survey in the SDSS footprint (11 667 deg² in DR7) and cross matches with SDSS DR7 objects. Selection in any one filter requires that the PS1 object has a magnitude fainter than 15 and brighter than 20, and is within 3 arcsec of an SDSS object with magnitude between 18 and 23. To be selected as a transient, the change in magnitude between SDSS and PS1 has to be at least 1.5 mag in at least one of g, r, i, z as compared to the respective matching filter.

Finkbeiner et al. (2016) show that PS1 and SDSS photometric systems are consistent in these bands to ± 9 mmag, and much better after plate-to-plate adjustment of SDSS, down to at least r = 20. Most of our objects are brighter than this in PS1, and fainter than this in SDSS, but systematic differences will be small enough that that a 1.5 mag difference is an extremely significant flux difference. Likewise there are colour terms (Tonry et al. 2012), but they are small enough to be unimportant in transient selection. Of course, many of the SDSS magnitudes are of relatively low signal-to-noise ratio, so that the precise interpretation of the flux difference is not so clear. This makes essentially no difference to the reality of transient detection (as is obvious from direct comparison of images), but is one of several reasons why we do not consider our list to be a statistical sample.

This selection routinely produced several thousand apparent transients per month. After both automated and eyeball quality control, this was reduced to around a hundred good transients per month. Most of the rejected objects are simply artefacts of one kind or another [see Inserra et al. (2013)], but some will be real transients, which makes it hard to construct reliable population statistics.

The 3 arcsec limit was aimed at finding SNe. To select and study nuclear transients, we additionally required that the PS1 object was within 0.5 arcsec of an object previously classified morphologically as a galaxy in SDSS DR7. At z = 0.3 in a standard cosmology this angular size corresponds to a linear scale of ~ 2 kpc. In addition, as a comparison, we included in our follow-up two objects morphologically classified as stars in DR7. Of these, one (J061829) turned out to be a cataclysmic variable; the other (J083544) turned out to be a quasar at z = 1.327. Selection of such nuclear transient candidates began in 2011 October, but was not done systematically until mid-2012. The selected objects were then monitored with the LT, as described in Section 2.3 above. The chain of selection concentrates on reliability rather than completeness. This means that our sample will give only a lower limit for event rates. However it should be representative of the properties of nuclear transients. It should also be noted that because our baseline is SDSS, from a decade before PS1, we are sensitive to long-term changes, as opposed to a seasonby-season comparison within the PS1 data, which would only be sensitive to short-term changes.

For this paper, we wanted to have a reasonably long stretch of follow-up coverage with LT. We have therefore defined the sample for present study as those selected as described above, that had at least three LT photometry epochs by 2013 May. (Most have many more photometry points since.) Table A1 lists the 76 targets that meet these criteria, with some basic information.

The requirement for the SDSS object to be classified as a galaxy was made because originally we were hunting for TDEs. However as we shall see, most of the objects we have found are in fact AGN that were presumably just below the detection threshold in SDSS imaging (see Section 4.1). There may then be objects already classified as AGN which are just extremely variable. This is in fact the case, as we show in Section 4.5.

2.5 WHT spectroscopy

Since late 2012 we have been collecting spectroscopic observations of our targets with the Intermediate dispersion Spectrograph and

Imaging System (ISIS) on the WHT. The WHT is a 4.2 m telescope on the island of La Palma, Spain, and is part of the Isaac Newton Group of Telescope. ISIS is a high-efficiency, double-armed, medium-resolution spectrograph.

The WHT observations were made with the ISIS spectrograph using the standard 5300 Å dichroic and the R158R/R300B gratings in the red/blue channels. This gave a spectral resolution of 1500 at 5200 Å in the blue arm and 1000 at 7200 Å in the red arm for a typical slit width of 1 arcsec. The GG495 order sorting filter was used in the red channel and both detectors used $2 \times$ binning in the spatial direction. Reductions were performed using custom PYRAF scripts and the mean extinction curve for the observatory was assumed when performing the flux calibrations.

Table A1 lists the observation dates at the WHT. We have more than one epoch for a number of objects, but the date given corresponds to the data we describe and analyse later in this paper, and is generally near the peak of the light curve. In this paper, we include results from all spectra taken by 2014 December by which time we had spectroscopic data for 51/76 (66 per cent) of our sample (46 of these are new spectra, nearly all from WHT). A small number of objects have spectroscopic information from other sources - in particular from the INT, from the NOT telescope, and from the PESSTO programme on the ESO NTT telescope.

Most of the spectra were taken under photometric conditions, but as ever with spectroscopy, seeing changes and centring issues mean that absolute photometry is probably reliable only to 20 per cent or so. (The relative spectrophotometry is much more accurate.) Some sessions had thin cloud. We have used a smooth interpolation between LT *g*-band photometry points to calibrate these spectra. Given the short time-scale variability seen on top of the long-term trends that that we will discuss in Section 3, this calibration is also likely to be accurate to around 20 per cent.

2.6 Other data

In Table A2 we show associations with sources in other relevant surveys.

(i) By definition, our targets are also objects in SDSS from DR7 (Abazajian et al. 2009). Table A2 shows the standard International Astronomical Union (IAU) designation for these objects. (ii) A large fraction are also detected in one or other of the UKIDSS surveys (Lawrence et al. 2007). The identifier here is again the standard IAU positional designation. (iii) 16 of our targets have also been detected as transients by the Catalina Real Time Transient Survey (CRTS; Drake et al. (2009). The identifiers here follow the nomenclature from the CRTS website, specifying the telescope concerned, the trigger date, and the position of the object. (iv) Finally, we have searched the combined radio catalogue of Kimball & Ivezić (2014) for sources within 30 arcsec of our targets, finding six objects. In Table A2 we show the sequential source number from the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS; Condon et al. 1998). Most of these sources are also detected in FIRST, GB6, WENS, or VLSS.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Colours and amplitudes

Table A3 shows the basic photometry results – the SDSS photometry, and the LT photometry near the time that the transient was first flagged by the PS1-QUB system – typically an average of the first three to five LT epochs. (Note that no Milky Way extinction correction has been applied, but this is always small.)



Figure 1. Colours of the PS1 nuclear transients. The horizontal and vertical dashed lines divide the plane into colour classes, as explained in the text. The grey translucent ellipse shows the location of 90 per cent of SDSS spectroscopic quasars (see the text). The points connected by arrows show two versions of PS1-10jh, the TDE candidate from Gezari et al. (2012). The upper circle is the version published by Gezari et al, based on difference imaging; the lower circle is the LT 2 arcsec photometry version, which includes the host galaxy contribution.

Fig. 1 shows the u - g versus g - r colours of the transient objects. There is a reasonably clear distinction between red and blue objects. For future reference, we define the red objects as those with u - g > 0.45 and g - r > 0.25. The majority of the blue objects are consistent with the colours of quasars at moderate redshift (e.g. Richards et al. 2001). Taking the SDSS quasar catalogue of Shen et al. (2011) we have extracted a reduced catalogue of 31 502 quasars with photometric errors less than 0.03 mag on all of u, g, and r. The grey ellipse shows a colour range including 90 per cent of these quasars. A significant fraction (14 per cent) of our blue objects are much bluer than the typical quasar. For future reference we define ultra-blue objects as those with u - g < -0.05. These colour classifications – red, blue, and ultra-blue – are listed in Table A4.

In our reduced SDSS catalogue, only 1091 (3.5 per cent) are as blue as our ultra-blue objects, compared to 11 of our sample (14 per cent). This seems to show a significant overrepresentation of such ultra-blue objects in our sample. However, as we discuss in Section 3.5, we believe that almost all of these objects are AGN that happen to have strong line contamination near a relevant bandcentre. The fraction presumably differs from SDSS overall because of the specific redshift distribution, which is not the same as the SDSS quasar sample. In many of the figures that follow, we separate the colour classes, but in all the diagrams we explored, there was never any significant difference between the blue and ultra-blue objects, so for simplicity we do not distinguish them in the figures that follow.

Fig. 2 shows the g - r versus r - i colours of the pre-existing SDSS host galaxies. (The u - g colours are too noisy to be informative on such a plot). For objects where the transient is classified as blue or ultra-blue (see Fig. 1), the host galaxies are almost always redder than the transient, with the median $\Delta(g - r) \sim 0.4$. (Colour changes are discussed in more detail in Section 4.4.) The host colours show a rather large spread. At $g \sim 22$ we might expect galaxies to be at redshift $z \sim 0.2$. Fig. 2 shows representative colours from Blanton et al. (2003) for galaxies between z = 0 and 0.22, with a range of types. Many of the galaxies are consistent with this range, but a few have relatively blue colours that could be consistent with



Figure 2. Colours of the pre-existing SDSS host galaxies, from the SDSS DR7 photometry. The objects are divided by the colour of the transient object, as defined in the text and Fig. 1, with blue (filled) circles representing the blue and ultra-blue transients, and red (open) circles representing the red transients. The light grey ellipse represents typical quasar colours, as in Fig. 1. The blue (middle) ellipse and the red (right-hand side) ellipse indicate the location of blue cloud and red sequence galaxies respectively, out to z = 0.22, from Blanton et al. (2003).



Figure 3. Amplitudes of the transients in u and g, divided by colour-class as defined in the text. Red (open) circles are the red objects, blue (filled) circles the blue and ultra-blue ones. The diagonal line shows equality. The vertical dashed line shows the nominal 1.5 mag trigger level. These amplitudes are lower limits, as discussed in the text.

either being AGN dominated, or being very late-type (star forming) galaxies. A number have rather peculiar colours, being for example blue in r - i and red in g - r. This could be partly due to emission lines in cases of strong AGN contamination, or a mixture of an AGN with a $z \sim 1$ red host.

Table A4 lists the *g*-band amplitudes of the transients, along with other information we will discuss later. The amplitude is the PS1 magnitude at the time of flagging, minus the SDSS DR7 magnitude. Fig. 3 compares the transient amplitudes Δg and Δu . The median Δg amplitude is 1.94 mag (a factor of 6), but of course these are lower limits, as we do not know how far below the SDSS flux the transient component was at the time of the SDSS measurement. For the red objects, Δu is normally smaller than Δg , whereas for the blue and ultra-blue objects it is almost always larger, and within the errors could be larger in all cases. (The median Δu is 1.81 mag for the red objects and 2.41 mag for the blue and ultra-blue objects of the blue and ultra-blue objects and 2.41 mag for the blue and ultra-blue objects of the blue and ultra-blue objects and 2.41 mag for the blue and ultra-blue objects and 2



Figure 4. A selection of light curves showing the differences between objects apparent during the first few months of monitoring. A constant (typically of plus or minus a few tenths of a magnitude) is added to the data for each object, to aid the clarity of the illustration. The symbols represent the colour of the transients (red, and blue/ultra-blue) as in Fig. 3. Note that the flag date does not necessarily represent the peak of the light curve. The data for J160928 = PS1-10jh are taken from Gezari et al. (2012). For spectroscopic information, see Section 3.5.

jects.) This does not necessarily mean that the transient itself has a larger amplitude in u than g – almost certainly it simply reflects the fact the host galaxy is redder than the transient, so the contrast is stronger in u.

Fig. 3 also shows that some objects fall below the normal 1.5 mag trigger level for our study. This occurs because the flagging was in the r, i or z bands, with the first g-band observation being a little later. For most objects this makes little difference, but in some cases the g-band or u-band flux had already fallen below the nominal trigger level – sometimes marginally so, sometime strongly so.

In most cases, our observed amplitudes are large enough that the underlying galaxy will have only a small effect on the transient colours. However this may not always be the case. This can be illustrated by the case of PS1-10jh, the TDE candidate reported by Gezari et al. (2012), which we include in some figures in this paper for comparison purposes, although it came from the MDS survey rather than the 3π /FGSS survey. (LT data were also taken). This means that its light-curve data came from difference imaging and/or galaxy subtraction. These transient-only data are shown in Fig. 1 by an open circle. Shown as a filled circle is the simple aperture photometry point from LT data. It can be seen that using the galaxy-subtracted data changes g - r by \sim 1 magnitude, transforming this object from blue (filled circle) to ultra-blue (open circle). However, such issues should affect only a small number of objects.

3.2 Early decay

From the earliest monitoring, a clear distinction was apparent within the sample – red objects decayed fast, and blue objects decayed slowly, or were even consistent with being still rising. Where the spectral type was known, the fast-red objects were SNe and the slowblue objects were AGN. This is illustrated by examples in Fig. 4. We quantified these effects by characterizing each light curve by a simple linear slope, in magnitudes per month, estimated over the first three months if this slope was clearly changing. (Here 'month' is taken as 30 d.) Some of the faster decaying objects were in fact too faint to measure before the three months was up. Note that falling



Figure 5. Initial decay rate versus transient amplitude. Colour classes are indicated by symbol – open circles are red, filled circles are blue and ultrablue. Note that J012514 is outside the plot – it is at $\Delta g = 4.66$, slope = 4.43 mag month⁻¹. The horizontal lines show fixed values of decay time-scale, calculated by converting from decay rate in mag month⁻¹ to the corresponding time-scale on which flux falls by a factor of 2. The data for the TDE candidate J160928 = PS1-10jh are taken from Gezari et al. (2012). The two versions, connected by an arrow, show the results measured including the background galaxy (lower left) and after difference imaging (upper right).

by a factor of 2 in three months, i.e. so that $t_{1/2} = 3$ months, means that the slope is 0.25 mag month⁻¹. Table A4 compiles the earlyslope results, along with the transient amplitudes and colour classes from Section 3.1, and the spectral classification from Section 3.5. In Table A4, we also show the decay corrected for time dilation, on the assumption that the causes are intrinsic to the source at the redshift found.

In Fig. 5, we compare the derived decay rates and Δg amplitudes, divided by colour class. The red objects nearly always show slopes of 0.2–1.5 mag month⁻¹, corresponding to fluxes with a two-folding time-scale of weeks to months. The blue and ultra-blue objects have a median slope of 0.03 mag month⁻¹, corresponding to fluxes with a two folding time-scale of 2 yr. Most of the blue and ultra-blue objects are falling, but some were apparently rising during this early period, and some still are. As we discuss later, the blue and ultrablue objects are AGN; the time dilation correction makes their decay rates faster by factors ranging from 1.5 to 2.5, but the difference with the red objects is still very clear.

With some investigation, the distinction between light-curve types is even clearer. Five blue or ultra-blue objects have slopes larger than 0.2 mag month⁻¹. One of these (J172639) has a large error bar on the decay rate and is consistent with being flat. J111706 is the solitary blue point with very large decay rate in Fig. 5, but is has a very large error on u - g and so its classification as a blue object is unsafe. Likewise, J154950, which is classified as blue but which is in fact an SN (see Section 3.5) also has a large u - g error and so is probably not really blue. Next, J142446, although it has a blue g - r = 0.14, also has a red u - g = 0.52 and so only just fell within our blue classification. It also turns out to be an SN (see Section 3.5). The only clear exception to the red-blue divide is J012514, which turns out to be an emission line star (see Section 4.6).

We also show the TDE candidate PS1-10jh (Gezari et al. 2012) for comparison. Once again it is quite distinct, with a large amplitude ($\Delta g = 5.85$) and a rapid decay (slope = 0.85 mag month⁻¹).



Figure 6. Examples of slowly evolving AGN light curves over a 3 yr period. The vertical axis is the difference between the magnitude at the date concerned, and the SDSS DR7 magnitude.

3.3 Three year light curves

Appendix B shows the full ~ 3 yr PS1 + LT light curves in the *g*band up to 2014 December for all the sample objects. Examples are shown in Fig. 6. We have categorized the light curves according to light-curve shape, colour type, and the spectroscopic classification of Section 3.5. The results are summarized in Table 2. Below we discuss this categorization.

Eight objects are known SNe, from our spectroscopy or elsewhere, and they are all red and decay rapidly. A further eight objects have no spectra but are also red and decay rapidly, and so are presumed SNe.

Six objects are known radio sources. Of these, four were found by us to be AGN, and so the other two very likely are also AGN. Several of these objects are erratically variable, as blazars are known to be. One (J094309) is smoothly evolving, like the AGN we will discuss next; however we note that the radio match in this case is 28 arcsec and so may be spurious (see Table A2).

Excluding the radio sources, 35 objects are now known (all but one from our new spectroscopy) to be AGN. Of these, 16 are fading over a time-scale of years, with a smooth decline that seems quite different from typical AGN, as well as being of larger amplitude, as we will discuss later. 12 objects are rising, or have risen to a peak and begun falling again, but like the falling AGN, doing so in a fairly smooth manner. In Fig. 6, we show examples of smoothly evolving AGN with falling, rising, and peaked light curves.

Seven further AGN do not quite fit the smoothly-falling-or-rising description. We have categorized them as 'complex', but mostly they look as if they have a second peak. Eight more objects do not yet have spectra, but are likely to be AGN – they are slowly evolving, and with two exceptions, blue. Of the two red objects in this category, one is falling slowly, and the other is falling relatively fast, but not as fast as the typical SN.

Of the remainder, for four objects the light-curve data quality was too poor to say anything sensible; four turned out to be emission line stars, and two look likely to be SNe. The one interesting remaining object, J133155, is blue and has an intermediate decay rate.

3.4 Decade long light curves

16 of our objects were also triggered as transients by the Catalina Real Time Transient Survey (CRTS; Drake et al. 2009). The CRTS

302 A. Lawrence et al.

Category	Number	Figure	Notes
Known and probable SNe	16	B1,B2	red, fast
Radio sources	6	B3	Three known AGN; most erratic
AGN, falling	16	B4,B5	Smooth, slow
AGN, rising	7	B6	Smooth, slow
AGN, peaked	5	B7	Smooth, slow
AGN, complex	7	B8	Mostly with two peaks
probable AGN	8	B9	Mostly smooth, slow, blue
Emission line stars	4	B10	Blue, fast or erratic
Unknown type	7	B10, B11	Mostly poor light-curve quality

Table 2. Classification of 3 yr light curves. Light curves for all objects shown in Appendix B. Examples shown in Fig. 6.



Figure 7. Examples of slowly evolving AGN light curves over a 10 yr period, coming to a clear peak and then declining. The open circles represent CRTS data, seasonally averaged. (CRTS data with less binning is shown in Figs B12 and B13) The filled circles to the right represent the PS1 and LT data; the filled circles to the left represent the SDSS era photometry. The grey curves are smooth polynomial Bezier curves simply meant to guide the eye.

identifications are listed in Table A2. For these objects, we were able to extract the retrospective CRTS data from their public data release, and, together with the SDSS magnitudes, make light curves which are from 10–13 yr long. These light curves are shown in Figs B12 and B13. The CRTS data points need to be treated with caution, both because they are typically of fairly low signal-to-noise ratio (we have used multiple-epoch averaging in several places), but also because, while calibrated to the Johnson V-band, the CRTS data were taken with a white light filter which will have significant colour effects. Although we need to be quantitatively cautious, qualitatively the general pattern is clear.

Eight of these 16 objects are known or likely SNe based on our analysis so far. All of these show no previous history of variability – their light curves are flat, followed by a sharp rise and a decay over months, exactly as expected for an SN event, although potentially some could TDEs.

Four objects were found by us spectroscopically to be AGN. These all show a slow smooth rise leading up to the PS1 detection. They have all peaked and are now declining. The two clearest examples are shown in Fig. 7. The most interesting is J150210 which seems to show inflections in its light curve, at MJD = 56,000 and 56,500.

Of the remaining four objects with long-term data, one (J121834) is a radio source, and likely to be a blazar. One is an object we

found spectroscopically to be an emission line star. Two others are of uncertain nature, but could be SNe.

For the radio sources, we have also looked for signs of variability by comparing fluxes from different surveys [using the combined catalogue of Kimball & Ivezić (2014)]. J094309 differs by two orders of magnitude between NVSS and VLSS, and J160329 by one order of magnitude. However in the latter case, FIRST and NVSS agree well. In other cases there is either no obvious sign of variability, or simply insufficient evidence, e.g. only seen in one survey.

3.5 Spectroscopic results

Table A4 summarizes the known spectroscopic information for our sample, which is dominated by the new spectroscopy which we have collected. We collected spectra for 47 objects from the WHT as part of this programme; in addition we have spectral information available for three objects from the Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT; J094612), from the Isaac Newton Telescope (INT, J122417); and the Palomar 5m (P5m, J221441), all of which were collected as part of the related FGSS SN programme. A further object (J105040) has a spectrum from SDSS, but no WHT spectrum - it was morphologically classified as a galaxy, but observed spectroscopically as a ROSAT target, and found to be an AGN at z = 0.306. Finally we note that J081916 has both a WHT spectrum and an earlier SDSS spectrum, which was likewise obtained because it was a ROSAT target. Of these 51 objects with spectral information, 8 were SNe, 4 were variable stars, and 38 were AGN. The remaining object (J025633) had two spectra near peak (from WHT and NOT) which were very blue and featureless. J025633 is a radio source, and so is likely to be a blazar, but could be a stellar variable of some kind. (The other radio sources are all clearly AGN, and are likely to be blazars.)

Overall, we have a sample of 39 extremely variable AGN (including J025633) with spectroscopic information. With the exception of J025633, they are all broad-line AGN. The median redshift is z = 0.7, and they cover the range z = 0.28-1.99. Three examples, at low, middling and high redshift, are shown in Fig. 8. We see Mg II in almost all objects, C III and C IV in higher redshift examples, and very clear Balmer series in lower redshift examples. At first glance, they look like fairly normal quasars, but to quantify this we have measured fluxes for some key lines.

Table A5 tabulates some measurements of emission line strengths for 37 objects (not including J025633, which is featureless, and J105040, which has only a low-state SDSS spectrum). The fluxes were measured by fitting a polynomial continuum to line-free regions, and subtracting this fit, and integrating the remaining flux. Because of the redshift range, we see different combinations of



Figure 8. Three example AGN spectra, taken from the lower, middle, and upper redshift ranges of our sample. The upper spectrum shows clear Balmer lines all the way through to H ϵ and possibly even H ζ , but only H α and H β are labelled. Note that the spectra are plotted against the AGN rest wavelength, rather than the observed wavelength, but the fluxes are observed fluxes, i.e. per unit observed wavelength.

lines; however broad Mg II λ 2798 is seen in all objects, so we take this as a representative flux for the broad-line region (BLR). To represent narrow-line region strength we used both [O II] λ 3727 and [O III] λ 5007. [O II] is in the visible range for more objects, but is often undetected in our spectra, and is more likely to have a significant contribution from star forming activity in the host galaxy, whereas [O III] will almost always be dominated by the AGN. In all cases, as well as line fluxes, we tabulate rest-frame equivalent widths (EWs) as these will be much less susceptible to any flux calibration issues. We examine the spectroscopic properties of our sample a little more closely in Section 4.2.

4 ANALYSIS

4.1 AGN and host luminosities

We calculated absolute magnitudes for the AGN transients, using the measured spectroscopic redshifts and a standard concordance cosmology with $H_0 = 72 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ and $\Omega_{\text{tot}} = 1$. We used the peak *g*-magnitude, and calculated *k*-corrections assuming $F_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha = 0.5$. The AGN transients then have median $M_r = -23.87$. This is typical of a low-luminosity quasar. However, given the typical outburst amplitude of 2 mag or more, the pre-outburst absolute magnitude is more like that of a Seyfert galaxy.

For the AGN hosts, we assumed colours typical of the red sequence: u - g = 1.8, g - r = 0.9, r - i = 0.42, i - z = 0.35. The pre-outburst SDSS colours are sometimes bluer than this, but this may represent a mixture of red host and weak AGN, with the AGN being more significant in g and u. Using these colours, the observed AGN spectroscopic redshift, where known, and the SDSS r-band magnitudes, we find absolute magnitudes with a median value of $M_r = -22.97$. [This is an extremely large galaxy, even by AGN host standards see e.g. Heckman & Best (2014).] The observed Petrosian radii of our targets in the SDSS data is typically ~1.5 arcsec. At the median redshift z = 0.7 this corresponds to a radius of 10.5 kpc, consistent with being a large galaxy. Alternatively, the r magnitude may have a large AGN contribution; or the SDSS object may have a contribution from a lower redshift foreground galaxy, as we discuss below.

All except two of our targets were morphologically classified as galaxies in SDSS DR7 and have photometric redshifts, based on

standard template fitting. (The photo-zs are listed in Table A4.) For the objects where we have spectroscopic redshifts, the photometric and spectroscopic redshifts agree well for the known SNe, but are almost always strongly discrepant for the AGN, in the sense that the photometric redshift is always smaller. The typical photometric redshift is $z \sim 0.25$, and the template fitting requires a late-type (Sc) galaxy in most cases. The most likely reason for the redshift discrepancy is that at the SDSS epoch our targets were actually a mixture of galaxy and AGN colours, with the AGN weaker than the galaxy at *r*, *i*, *z* but similar strength at *u*, *g*.

At the faint magnitudes of our targets, the SDSS morphological classification as galaxies may be unreliable. There is some evidence in the SDSS database that this is the case; although the probPSF parameter (which measures the probability of being consistent with the PSF) is always 0, the probPSF value for individual bands is sometimes set to 1. Another test is to compare the cmodel magnitude with the *PSF* magnitude. A little experimentation with stars of a similar magnitude in the same fields shows that the difference between cmodel and PSF magnitudes is well centred on zero, and usually within 0.05 mag. For our objects, the *cmodel* magnitude is always brighter than the PSF magnitude, but sometimes only by ~ 0.2 mag, and occasionally even less. Overall then, it looks like our objects are resolved in the SDSS epoch imaging, but probably marginally so, and quite possibly resolved in some bands and not others. Finally, we note that in DR9, the morphological classification for 8 out of our 76 objects had changed to being starlike (see Table A4), directly confirming that many of the classifications are marginal.

A second possible reason for the discrepancy between photometric and spectroscopic redshifts in at least some cases is that that the photometric redshift is correct, and we are seeing an intervening foreground galaxy. Such foreground objects will be galaxies drawn randomly from the galaxy luminosity function, rather than a flux-weighted sample, and so will typically be fairly small and blue late-type galaxies. We calculated absolute magnitudes using the observed *r*-magnitude and *k*-corrections based on typical blue cloud colours: u - g = 1.2, g - r = 0.04, r - i = 0.3, i - z = 0.05. (Colours are referenced to z = 0.1, but observed at a variety of redshifts.) Using the individual SDSS photo-*z* values we then find a median absolute magnitude $M_r = -19.72$, consistent with being a late-type spiral half a magnitude or so below L_* . This is at least



Figure 9. Deficit in Mg II equivalent width, compared to the prediction from the relation between EW and luminosity for normal AGN given by Dietrich et al. (2002). Mg II seems to be weaker than normal in our hypervariables, with the deficit loosely correlated with the amplitude of the outburst.

roughly consistent with what one would expect for a randomly selected line of sight, as opposed to the L_* galaxies that dominate flux limited surveys.

4.2 AGN line strengths

To a first approximation, the spectra of our objects look like quite normal AGN – blue continuum, strong broad emission lines plus some narrow lines. However, they seem to have somewhat weak lines, as we discuss below. The relative strength of line to continuum could be an important diagnostic for the physical cause of extreme variability – for example how the broad lines respond to continuum variations must give us clues about the structure and formation of the BLR (e.g. Goad, O'Brien & Gondhalekar 1993; Korista & Goad 2004; Peterson 2006; Elitzur, Ho & Trump 2014). Narrow lines on the other hand should remain constant, and so could tell us whether the low state or the high state is the normal one.

We first examine Mg II, as this is the line we see most consistently. The Mg II line in AGN has a clear 'Baldwin effect', i.e. the EW depends on luminosity (Dietrich et al. 2002). We start by predicting the expected EW W_{pred} , based on the Dietrich relation and the current observed luminosity (i.e. the high-state luminosity), calculated at a fixed wavelength of 1450 Å using a Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) with $\alpha = -0.5$. We then compare this to our observed rest-frame EW W_{obs} and calculate the deficit as $\Delta \log W = \log W_{obs} - \log W_{pred}$. The majority of objects (30/36) have $\Delta \log W < 0$, i.e. have a deficit compared to expectation (Fig. 9) There is considerable scatter. The median is $\log W = -0.11$ corresponding to a 30 per cent effect, but there are deficits up to a factor of 2. What if we had used the low-state luminosity to place our objects on the Dietrich et al. relation? Generally, we do not know this, but for example, if an object is now a factor of 10 brighter than its normal value, the correct value of log W_{pred} would be smaller by 0.1. The typical deficit may then be more like $\Delta \log W \sim -0.2$ i.e. a 60 per cent effect.

Regardless of the scatter in the EW relation, we have 6 points above the line and 30 below, which on simple binomial probability very strongly rules out our objects having normal EWs for their observed luminosities. There is some weak evidence for a dependence on the *g*-band amplitude of the outburst (see Fig. 9), in the sense that larger amplitude events have weaker lines. For $\Delta g < 2.0, 5/21$



Figure 10. Deficit in O_{III} equivalent width, compared to the prediction from the relation between EW and luminosity for normal AGN given by Zhang et al. (2013). Note that this test is only possible for the lower red-shift/luminosity part of our sample.

objects are actually above the line; for $\Delta g > 2.0$, only 1/12 objects is above the line.

What would we expect to see during the outburst? This depends on how the line responds to the continuum change. The Mg II line is known to have a very low responsivity (e.g. Goad et al. 1993). A recent observation by Cackett et al. (2015) shows a factor of 2 UV continuum change over several months while the Mg II line changes by at most 20 per cent. If the Mg II flux stayed constant during our continuum outburst, we would predict objects following the lower track in Fig. 9, which is strongly ruled out. So what we are seeing does not look like normal 'reverberation' style changes, for which we would have expected very distinct EW changes, and so very weak Mg II in the high state.

However, we are studying much longer time-scales than usual in reverberation studies. Time-scales of many years, as opposed to weeks-months, may well be comparable to the dynamical timescale in the BLR, so the BLR may physically respond, as opposed to simply having a changing illumination. A recent study of several 'changing look' AGN by MacLeod et al. (2016) shows the line flux in at least one well observed case being proportional to continuum flux over large long-term changes. Such proportional changes would predict the upper track in Fig. 9. This is also ruled out as the typical case. The middle track in Fig. 9 shows the expectation if the highstate to low-state ratio of line fluxes, $R_{\rm L}$, follows the continuum ratio $R_{\rm C}$ as $R_{\rm L} = R_{\rm C}^{\gamma}$, with $\gamma = 0.3$ chosen simply to roughly bracket the points. The data points are spread between the upper two lines. It seems that the line flux responds to the continuum, but with smaller amplitude - for example, for a factor of 10 continuum change, the line flux has varied by somewhere between a factor of 2 and a factor of 10.

In a similar way, in Fig. 10 we show the deficit in [O III] EW, $\Delta \log W_{O III}$, using the prediction from the relation between EW and luminosity found by Zhang et al. (2013). We use [O III] rather than [O II] because most of our [O II] measurements are upper limits, but not at a low enough level to be strongly constraining, and because [O III] is a better indicator of AGN activity, as opposed to star formation. It should be noted however that we only measure [O III]in the lower redshift range, z < 0.8, and hence lower luminosity, part of our sample. In Fig. 10, the upper line shows the prediction on the assumption that the current high state is the normal one, with the SDSS data point being anomalously low, for example because of an extinction-event at that epoch. The lower line shows the prediction if the SDSS epoch low state is the normal one, with the current state representing an outburst. Intriguingly, the points seem to be spread between these two lines. One interpretation could be that our objects are continually fluctuating at this extreme level, so that [O III] strength reflects a time average between the upper and lower states.

4.3 Ultra blue objects

In Section 3.1, we noted that while the majority of our targets have normal quasar colours, a significant minority (14 per cent) are ultrablue, with u - g < -0.05. With the spectroscopic results in hand, we can see the probable cause. Of our 11 ultra-blue objects, 6 have spectra. They are at a variety of redshifts, but in five out of six cases there is a strong emission line (C IV, C III] or Mg II) close to the centre of the *u* band, easily enough to distort the u - g colour. It is likely then that this is also the cause of the ultra-blueness for the five objects without spectra.

Emission-line effects on broad-band colours are of course well known (see e.g. Schmidt et al. 2012), but in section 3.1 we stressed that the fraction of ultra-blue objects was significantly larger than in SDSS. Almost certainly this is because of the difference in redshift distribution.

4.4 Colour changes

An important test of possible physical models will be whether there are colour changes during the extreme variability we have seen – for example, changing extinction should produce a strong colour change. First, we look at the difference between the SDSS epoch and the PS1 transient trigger, where there are clear colour changes. Taking all the objects classified as definite or likely AGN (54 targets), we find median values of $\Delta(g - r) = 0.41$, $\Delta(u - r) = 1.05$, $\Delta(u - g) = 0.26$, for the median changes of $\Delta g = 1.91$, $\Delta r = 1.66$, and $\Delta u = 2.41$. These large colour changes are very likely because the SDSS epoch was galaxy-dominated, whereas the PS1 epoch was AGN-dominated. As we saw in Section 3.1, the colours of the SDSS objects are mostly consistent with galaxy colours, but sometimes a little on the blue side, suggesting either late-type hosts or the presence of a weak AGN component, which of course would be stronger in the blue than in the red.

In Figs 11 and 12 we look at colour gradients during our monitoring with the LT. To simplify this, we selected objects that have been clearly consistently rising or falling during our monitoring period, restricting us to 25 targets. The u - r colour gives the most leverage, and Fig. 11 shows a clear colour trend. However, this seems to be consistent with the long-term colour trend due to changing AGN-galaxy mixture. Because the host galaxy will be red and the transient blue, as the transient fades, the *r*-band light will become dominated by the galaxy sooner than the u- or g-band light. The u - g colour should therefore be a better test of the behaviour of the transient. Fig. 12 shows a considerable scatter, but the best-fitting trend line is close to vertical, i.e. our light curves are consistent on average with achromatic changes. Note that for both u - g and u - r, the colour changes or lack thereof seem inconsistent with simple reddening changes.

4.5 Variability in context: extreme variables in Stripe 82

Our selection method required objects to be morphologically classified as galaxies in the SDSS epoch. Do objects already classified as



Figure 11. Net colour changes during our period of monitoring, for objects with a consistent trend. Negative Δr refers to rising objects. The dotted line shows a simple least-squares trend line fitted to the data, minimizing the deviations in both r and u - r. The arrow shows a standard reddening vector of length corresponding to $A_v = 1$. The dashed line indicates the change in the sample median values of r and u - r between the SDSS and PS1 epochs. If the PS1 peak epoch represents pure transient, and the SDSS epoch pure host galaxy, then the net colour should move along this line during the outburst.



Figure 12. Net colour changes during our period of monitoring. As for Fig. 11, but now for u - g versus Δg . The trend line is consistent with no change in colour. Note that the best fit may be not exactly vertical partly as a consequence of forcing the line to pass through the 0,0 point.

AGN occasionally show similar extreme variability? All AGN, including luminous quasars, vary, but this variability is typically only a few tenths of a magnitude, and is wavelength dependent (Giveon et al. 1999; Hawkins 2003, 2007; Vanden Berk et al. 2004; de Vries et al. 2005; Sesar et al. 2006; MacLeod et al. 2010; Schmidt et al. 2012). Examples of extreme variability are known, e.g. Lawrence, Pye & Elvis (1977), Penston & Perez (1984), Shappee et al. (2014), LaMassa et al. (2015) and MacLeod et al. (2016), but how common is this?

The best information to date comes from the study of MacLeod et al. (2012), who looked at repeat SDSS observations of the SDSS quasars. McLeod et al. fit population models to the histogram of Δm and predict numbers of variables to various survey depths. For quasars to PS1 depths, this analysis predicts one quasar in ~10⁵ to have $|\Delta g| > 1.5$. We examined this issue more directly by searching



Figure 13. Comparison of variability amplitude histograms between Stripe 82 and the sample of this paper. For Stripe 82, the Δg is the change between first and last epochs, as in the catalogue of MacLeod et al. (2012), as explained in the text.

their online data set for rare extreme variables. The MacLeod catalogue contains 33 881 quasars with at least two epochs in at least one band. Note that where more than two epochs were available, most notably for Stripe 82 objects, the longest time difference was used by MacLeod et al. From this sample, we removed objects with *g*-band error larger than 0.15 mag, and those with only one *g*-band observation, leaving 33 418 objects. For these, the mean absolute value of $|\Delta g|$ is 0.14 mag, with a standard deviation of 0.17 mag. Objects with large variability do exist, but they are very rare. There are 130, 19, and 6 objects, respectively with $|\Delta g| > 1.0, 1.5, 2.0,$ and only 1 with $|\Delta g| > 2.5$.

We note that the majority of these extreme variables come from the objects in the Stripe 82 survey. Out of our cleaned sample of 33 418 objects, 9078 are from Stripe 82; however 15 out of 18 objects with $|\Delta g| > 1.5$ are from Stripe 82. Very likely this is because the typical time difference between observations is longer for Stripe 82. For the non-Stripe 82 objects in the MacLeod et al. sample, the mean difference between the two observations used is 1.3 yr, whereas for the Stripe 82 objects it is 8.6 yr. The variability of AGN is known to increase with longer time-scales, representing something like a damped random walk, although with some debate about whether we have or have not reached the knee of the structure function (de Vries et al. 2005; MacLeod et al. 2012; Morganson et al. 2014).

Overall the Stripe 82 data set seems a much better comparison to our SDSS-versus-PS1 sample. Fig. 13 compares the cumulative histogram of amplitudes seen in the Stripe 82 sample to the amplitudes seen in our PS1-FGSS sample. We are clearly seeing a very rare tail of variability in AGN, and it could be even rarer than is apparent from this comparison – the PS1-FGSS amplitudes are lower limits, because the SDSS epoch was likely galaxy dominated. All in all, perhaps somewhere in the range 1 in 1000–10 000 AGN show the kind of extreme variability over a decade that we have been studying.

We also see several times more such 'hypervariable' objects than are in the Stripe 82 sample. This is because we are drawing from a larger potential pool of AGN, have a somewhat longer baseline, and are sensitive to objects which were extended at the earlier epoch. Our starting sample was SDSS galaxies to a depth of $g \sim 22$. From the tables in Yasuda et al. (2001) we estimate the density of SDSS galaxies to a depth of g = 22 to be 5000 sq. deg⁻¹. How many of these galaxies host an AGN? As we discuss in Section 4.1, the



Figure 14. 10 yr light curves for selected Stripe 82 hypervariables. The grey curves are smooth polynomial Bezier curves meant only to guide the eye. The thin solid line for J211817 is simply a 'join the dots' line, meant to illustrate the erratic variability of this object compared to the other two.

quiescent luminosities of our objects are similar to those of classic Seyferts (rather than for example dwarf Seyferts) and so perhaps 1 per cent of galaxies host such an AGN. Over the SDSS footprint (11,667 sq. deg) and a 10 yr time gap, we expect to see somewhere in the range 50–500 transients. This calculation is obviously very crude, but what we have seen is indeed roughly consistent with the PS1 hypervariables being the same population that we see in the extreme tail of the Stripe 82 quasars.

Do the Stripe 82 hypervariables behave the same way as the PS1 hypervariables? Table 3 lists the 15 Stripe 82 hypervariables with $|\Delta g| > 1.5$, and Fig. 14 shows example light curves. First we note that of the three objects that are radio detected, two have extremely erratic light curves, and so are very likely blazars. Of the remainder, almost all are smoothly changing over a decade, with two objects showing some kind of second peak. This is very similar to our PS1 hypervariables, except that in the Stripe 82 sample we are more sensitive to downward as well as upward changes. In fact, we see more objects going down than up (9 versus 4). However, on the assumption of symmetrical light curves, objects in their high state are more likely to be in the SDSS quasar sample than objects in their low state, so a bias towards declining light curves is expected. Overall it seems very likely that we are seeing the same phenomenon in a handful of hypervariable Stripe 82 quasars that we have seen in our larger sample of PS1-versus-SDSS transients.

4.6 Notes on individual objects

Before proceeding to discuss the nature of the slow-blue hypervariables, we present some short explanatory notes on a handful of individual objects.

J012514. This is morphologically classed as a galaxy in both SDSS DR7 and DR9, but our spectrum (in quiescence after a fast decay) shows weak H α at z = 0, and it therefore is presumably some kind of stellar variable. The *u*-band amplitude was 4.7 mag, which would be large but not unprecedented for a CV. It is not unnusual for a flare star (Kowalski et al. 2009), but such an extended duration is not normal.

J025633. This is one of the brightest transients, which varied erratically during the outburst. At peak it was very blue and had a featureless spectrum. Unforunately we therefore failed to get a redshift. It is a moderately strong radio source. As well as NVSS, it

SDSS ID	Δg	Z	Radio	ΔMJD	g_1	82	Light curve
J001016.22+004713.3	1.58	1.181	0	2215.9	19.77	21.36	Down, 2ndpeak
J001130.40+005751.7	3.24	1.4915	1	3321.0	17.88	21.12	Erratic
J001420.44-003620.3	2.38	0.9589	0	3330.9	19.83	22.21	Smooth down
J013815.05+002914.0	-1.58	0.9402	0	3310.0	21.22	19.64	Smooth up
J032544.82-011028.5	-1.51	1.2727	0	3321.0	21.18	19.67	Smooth up, flat top
J032946.99+000002.7	1.78	1.4147	0	3333.9	20.22	22.00	Smooth down
J033931.17+002905.6	1.51	0.9859	0	3310.0	20.44	21.96	Smooth down
J034137.03-000915.5	2.03	0.602	0	3313.0	20.28	22.32	Smooth down
J205518.60-005635.0	1.52	0.9237	0	2218.0	20.36	21.88	Down, 2nd peak
J211817.39+001316.7	- 2.36	0.4628	1	2951.0	20.16	17.80	Erratic
J215441.95+001008.0	- 1.68	1.1472	1	2934.9	21.37	19.69	Smooth up
J221302.57+003015.9	2.17	0.763	0	2919.9	20.05	22.22	Smooth down
J233317.38-002303.4	-1.50	0.5129	0	1880.3	21.22	19.72	Sharp rise
J234855.04+002539.1	1.52	1.2745	0	2937.9	19.42	20.93	Smooth down
J235439.14+005751.9	1.68	0.3896	0	3321.0	19.05	20.73	Smooth down

Table 3. Hypervariables in SDSS, Stripe 82 selected as explained in the text. The magnitudes are *PSF* magnitudes. The 'radio' flag indicates whether the object has an entry in the NVSS catalogue.

is detected in the GB6 4.8 GHZ survey (Gregory et al. 1996), and in the CLASS survey at 8.4 GHz (Myers et al. 2003). It has a flat radio spectrum and is therefore very likely a blazar. We note that in SDSS DR9 the morphological classification was changed to stellar, and the quiescent colour is very red, u - g = 1.01.

J044918. Like J012514, this is morphologically classed as a galaxy in both SDSS DR7 and DR9, but our spectrum shows weak $H\alpha$ at z = 0, and it therefore is presumably some kind of stellar variable.

J061829. This was one of two objects (along with J083544) classified as stellar in SDSS DR7, but which satisfied our other criteria, which we selected as a comparison. Our WHT spectrum shows it to be a cataclysmic variable, with strong Balmer line emission and a flat Balmer decrement. It has a very large outburst amplitude (5 mag) and erratic variability on top of a slow decline.

J081916. This is one of two objects (along with J105040) in our sample, which despite being morphologically classified as a galaxy in SDSS DR7, has an SDSS era spectrum, taken because the object was a ROSAT target. In 2002 February, the SDSS spectrum shows a galaxy dominated continuum, strong [O III], narrow H β , and weak broad Mg II, and so could be classified as Seyfert 1.9. Our 2013 spectrum shows a much stronger and bluer continuum, broad H β , and strong broad H α , a normal looking Type 1 AGN. This object is then an example of a 'changing look' AGN (cf. Shappee et al. 2014; LaMassa et al. 2015; MacLeod et al. 2016).

J083544. This is the second of two objects (along with J061829) classified as stellar in SDSS DR7, but which satisfied our other criteria, which we selected as a comparison. Our WHT spectrum shows it to be a quasar at z = 1.327. It is 2 mag brighter than the SDSS epoch, and still rising.

J094309. The radio source associated with this AGN is highly variable – the VLSS 20 cm flux is two orders of magnitude larger than the NVSS 20 cm flux. It is therefore very likely a blazar.

J105040. This is the second of two objects (along with J081916) in our sample, which despite being morphologically classified as a galaxy in SDSS DR7, has an SDSS era spectrum, taken because the object was a ROSAT target. In 2004 January this was a low redshift AGN with strong [O III], broad H β with a strong narrow core, and a continuum with significant galaxy contribution. Unfortunately we do not yet have a PS1 epoch spectrum. Although not detected as a CRTS transient, it is clearly variable in the CRTS data.

J133155. This object remains of unknown type; unfortunately we do not have a spectrum. It was one of the bluest transients in our sample, with u - g = -0.54, and had one of the largest outburst amplitudes, with $\Delta g = 2.71$ and $\Delta u = 3.64$. The decay rate (0.58 mag month⁻¹) was much faster than known AGN transients, with the exception of the (also very blue) TDE candidate PS1-10JH (Gezari et al. 2012). It is not as fast or erratic as the known emission line stars in our sample. The fast decay is consistent with being an SN, but it could also have been a TDE.

J160329. The radio source associated with this AGN has a flat spectrum between FIRST and NVSS at 20 cm, and GB6 at 6 cm. It is therefore very likely a blazar.

J202823. Like J012514 and J044918, this object was morphologically classed as a galaxy in both SDSS DR7 and DR9, but our spectrum shows it to have z = 0 emission lines, so that it is presumably a stellar variable of some kind. The spectrum is much richer than those of J012514 and J044918. It shows strong Balmer lines with a flat decrement, as well as strong He lines, both He 1 λ 5876 and He 11 λ 4686. It is probably therefore a cataclysmic variable of some kind.

5 DISCUSSION: WHAT ARE THE SLOW-BLUE NUCLEAR TRANSIENTS?

We have found a class of luminous AGN which have brightened by an order of magnitude since a decade ago, and are now found to be steadily changing, mostly fading and sometimes increasing in flux. (From here on we ignore the handful of erratically varying radio-loud objects.) Archival light curves for some show that they have smoothly evolved over ten years. Spectroscopically, these objects seem to be normal AGN, except that the Mg II broad lines seem to be somewhat weaker than expected for their luminosity. Because we selected objects classified as galaxies in the past, it is unknown how bright the AGN was a decade ago, or indeed whether it was there at all. However we have identified an analogous set of smoothly evolving hypervariable quasars in the SDSS Stripe 82 data set, that have clearly been AGN throughout. We examine four possibilities that may explain the observed behaviour: (i) TDEs, (ii) extinction events; (iii) eruptive accretion flares, and (iv) foreground microlensing.

5.1 Tidal disruption events

Our original aim was to locate examples of TDEs – dormant black holes which come temporarily to life when a star passing close to the black hole is torn apart by tidal forces. Good candidates have been found for such events, both in the optical/UV (Gezari et al. 2008, 2009, 2012; van Velzen et al. 2011; Chornock et al. 2014) and in X-rays (Brandt, Pounds & Fink 1995; Grupe et al. 1995; Bade, Komossa & Dahlem 1996; Halpern, Gezari & Komossa 2004; Komossa et al. 2004; Komossa 2012). Could our slow-blue transients be examples of TDEs?

Initial models suggested that such events will be extremely blue, have peak luminosities of the order 10^{37} W, and after a fast rise will decay on a time-scale of months with flux following $t^{-5/3}$ (Rees 1988; Evans & Kochanek 1989). Recent work shows that the details may be rather more subtle (Lodato & Rossi 2011; Guillochon & Ramirez-Ruiz 2013), but the broad characteristics are fairly clear. Such events will occur much more frequently around relatively low-mass black holes ($\sim 10^{6-7}$ M_{\odot}) because of the steeper force gradient, giving an Eddington limited luminosity of $L_{\rm bol} \sim 10^{37-38}$ W. Most disrupted stars will be of significantly less than a solar mass, and only a fraction of the mass will be accreted. Using $m_* = 0.3$ M_{\odot}, accretion fraction f = 0.5, and accretion efficiency $\mu = 0.1$ gives a total flare energy of $E = 3 \times 10^{45}$ J and at the Eddington luminosity, the time-scale to consume half the material is $t_{1/2} = 122$ d.

These characteristics agree fairly well with the events seen by Gezari et al. (2009, 2012), and van Velzen et al. (2011). However, they differ substantially from the events we have discussed in this paper. Using standard bolometric corrections from e.g. Elvis et al. (1994) or Richards et al. (2006), our objects have peak luminosities of $L_{bol} \sim 10^{39}$ W. At the Eddington limit, this requires black holes of mass $M > 10^8 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$. TDEs should occur rather rarely in such massive black holes, because for most star types, the tidal radius is inside the event horizon. The typical two folding time-scale for our hypervariables is $t_{1/2} \sim 900$ d, significantly longer than expected for TDEs. More strikingly, if we combine the high luminosity with the long time-scale, we find that the flare energy is typically $E \sim 10^{47}$ J. At accretion efficiency $\mu = 0.1$, if the accreted fraction is $f \sim 0.5$, then the star consumed must have had $m_* \sim 10 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$.

Similar values were found by Meusinger et al. (2010) to explain the event in the quasar seen behind M31 - a large star tidally disrupted by a large black hole. While this may be a plausible explanation for a specific event, it seems unlikely to be the explanation for the majority of the Pan-STARRS nuclear transients.

5.2 Extinction events

Another possibility is that the large change in flux we have seen is due to a change in extinction, with the PS1 high state being the normal state, and SDSS having seen the object during a period of high extinction. Extinction variations have occasionally been discussed as the possible cause of rare large amplitude changes in relatively nearby AGN, (e.g. Tohline & Osterbrock 1976; Goodrich 1995; Aretxaga et al. 1999; LaMassa et al. 2015). It is commonly believed that the parsec scale obscurer in AGN is patchy or clumpy (Krolik & Begelman 1988; Nenkova, Ivezić & Elitzur 2002); motion of these clumps across the line could be the simplest cause of such changes. The simplest picture is where the size of the obscuring cloud is larger than the optical source size, producing a broad flat bottomed eclipse, with the source flux recovering as the trailing edge of the cloud moves the face of the source. If we assume that the obscuring cloud is in Keplerian motion at a radial distance R_{cl} from the central black hole of mass M, and the optical source diameter is D_{opt} , then the recovery time-scale would be

$$t = 51.0 \text{ d} \left(\frac{M}{10^8 \text{ M}_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{D_{\text{opt}}}{100 R_{\text{S}}}\right) \left(\frac{R_{\text{cl}}}{1000 R_{\text{S}}}\right)^{1/2},$$

where $R_{\rm S}$ is the Schwarzschild radius. For our spectroscopic AGN sub-sample, the median luminosity at 1450 Å is $\lambda L_{\lambda} \sim 10^{38.0}$ W. Note that in this model, the peak luminosity would be the normal one. For a bolometric correction of 5 (Richards et al. 2006) and an Eddington fraction of f = 0.1, this implies a black hole mass of $4 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$. A time-scale of 10 yr therefore suggests a cloud at $3.2 \times 10^5 R_{\rm S} \sim 12.5$ pc. This is much too large to be a dust-bearing BLR cloud, but is a very plausible distance for where the bulk of the geometrically thick obscuring material resides. For example, based on equation (1) of Lawrence & Elvis (2010), the SED peak at ~10 μ m for an object of this luminosity would come from ~1.4 $\times 10^5 R_{\rm S}$.

The time-scale is therefore quite plausible. However, the lack of colour changes in the period of our monitoring (see Section 4.4) argues strongly against a simple change of optical depth. The smoothness of the changes we see suggests that if we are looking at an extinction event, what we see must be more like a covering factor change, as an opaque cloud moves across the face of the source (i.e. an 'unveiling' event). A more realistic model would be between these two extremes, with both covering factor and optical depth changes; it would seem surprising not to see erratic changes. Also, an opaque eclipse model would normally lead to a flat-topped light curve; to come to a peak and then decline again slowly, the cloud size must be similar to the source size, and one would need two successive events. Overall, an extinction event seems not the best explanation of what we are seeing, but it cannot be completely ruled out.

5.3 Accretion events

It is clear that large amplitude changes are not normal behaviour for AGN (see Section 4.5), but not completely unprecedented (e.g. Khachikian & Weedman 1971; Tohline & Osterbrock 1976; Lawrence et al. 1977; Penston & Perez 1984; Goodrich 1995; Aretxaga et al. 1999; Bischoff & Kollatschny 1999; Shappee et al. 2014; LaMassa et al. 2015; MacLeod et al. 2016, with perhaps one AGN in 10⁴ showing events as large as we have seen. It seems intrinsically unlikely that one AGN in every 10 000 varies in a different manner to all the others; however it is possible that every AGN has a short eruptive event of some kind once every 10 000 yr. Spatial variations of X-ray emission from molecular clouds surrounding Sgr A* have been interpreted as a light echo tracing of past large amplitude temporal variations from the black hole in the centre of our own Galaxy, on time-scales from a few years to thousands of years (Clavel et al. 2013; Ponti et al. 2013; Ryu et al. 2013).

It is hard to be confident in modelling such a possibility, as we do not yet understand normal AGN optical variability – it is fast, coordinated across wavelengths, and highly wavelength dependent in amplitude, all in contrast to expectation from simple accretion disc models [see Lawrence (2012) and references therein]. It is likely that the observed time-scales – days to months – correspond to a thermal or dynamical time-scale in the inner disc, with outer parts of the disc tracking variability in the inner parts through reprocessing of some kind [e.g. Lawrence (2012)]. The viscous time-scale is of the order 10⁴ yr for a disc around a 10⁸ M_☉ black hole (e.g. Frank, King & Raine 2002). This fits with the possible gap between events, but not the duration of the events we see.

Perhaps the event itself corresponds to crossing some critical point where the microphysics changes. For example, initially the disc may be cold, with a very low viscosity and accretion rate, but very slowly warming. When the temperature becomes warm enough for a modest ionization fraction, the magneto-rotational instability may quite suddenly switch on and greatly increase the viscosity and accretion rate. A model involving repeated changes of viscosity state due to the hydrogen ionization instability was produced by Siemiginowska & Elvis (1997) and further developed by Hatziminaoglou, Siemiginowska & Elvis (2001). From fig. 2 of the latter paper, it seems that to achieve a typical time between outbursts of 10⁴ yr requires a black hole of mass $\sim 10^7 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$, but the duration is then also $\sim 10^4$ yr which does not fit our observed events. For any similar model, the switch on, and any subsequent evolution, is likely to be on time-scales corresponding to the viscous time-scale, i.e. of the order of tens of thousands of years.

One possibility is that the disc becomes empty in the inner regions, and is accumulating (cold) material beyond some truncation radius. The waiting time is due to the ionization instability as discussed above, but following the transition the inner disc refills catastrophically on a dynamical time-scale. For a black hole mass of $\sim 10^8 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ this would require a truncation radius of $\sim 1000 R_{\rm S}$. A related 'stall and refill' model has been discussed by Grupe, Komossa & Saxton (2015) in relation to the recurring extreme X-ray AGN transient IC 3599.

Finally, it could be that what is needed is for some kind of instability to generate energy but not to radiate this energy immediately – rather, it needs to be stored in the disc and then released all at once. Then the decay time would be the thermal (cooling) time-scale of the disc. For standard disc models, this is of the order of the dynamical time-scale divided by α , the viscosity parameter, and could indeed be of the order of years (Collier & Peterson 2001; Kelly, Bechtold & Siemiginowska 2009). However, one would expect that the colour would change greatly during the flare, which does not seem compatible with the lack of systematic evolution in u - g discussed in section 4.4.

Overall, the idea of some kind of rare eruptive accretion flare that is very short compared to the time between events, is plausible but hard to judge without more detailed models.

5.4 Microlensing events

The fourth possibility for explaining large amplitude changes is microlensing by a star in a foreground galaxy. Microlensing has in the past been proposed as a general cause of quasar variability, due to intergalactic compact objects (Hawkins 1993, 1996, 2007). We are not reviving that idea here, but considering the possibility of rare exceptional events.

Microlensing is well established as an explanation of differential variability between components of lensed quasars, where the macrolensing is caused by the overall foreground galaxy potential, but each component suffers different amounts of microlensing as the light takes different paths through the galaxy (Irwin et al. 1989; Eigenbrod et al. 2008; Morgan et al. 2010; Blackburne et al. 2011; Mosquera & Kochanek 2011; Jiménez-Vicente et al. 2012, 2014; MacLeod et al. 2015). Here we are considering something different – rather than ongoing low-level statistical variability caused by the overlapping magnification effect of many stars, we have rare temporary large amplitude events caused by passage close to a single star, or a caustic caused by a small number of stars. As we argue below, this will most often happen where the foreground galaxy is small, and so the macrolensing is modest, with the macrolensed components having a small angular separation.

Microlensing events have been discussed as the explanation of large amplitude flares twice in the past. The first case is the blazar AO 0235+164 (Stickel, Fried & Kuehr 1988; Webb et al. 2000), but this seems unlikely to be the correct explanation because the flaring repeated, and also made new radio structures (Kayser 1988). The second case is that of a 3.5 mag 6 yr flare in a quasar behind M31 (Meusinger et al. 2010). Meusinger et al. consider two possibilities – that this was a microlensing event, or a TDE. They favour the latter, because of the rarity of such a high amplitude microlensing event, and because the light-curve shape, while looking roughly like the classically expected cuspy shape (see e.g. Schmidt & Wambsganss 2010), has a shoulder, requiring a two-star lens. On the other hand, the TDE explanation is also a little forced, requiring the disruption of a rather rare $10 \, M_{\odot}$ red giant in the presence of an already existing accretion disc.

What are the characteristics of an event that could explain what we see – large amplitude events with a time-scale of several years? In the following paragraphs we use the following canonical numbers. First we assume an amplitude of a factor A = 10, based on the median Δu . Next, we take the source to be $z_s = 1$, at angular diameter distance 1652 Mpc, and we take the lens to be at $z_1 = 0.25$, roughly halfway in angular diameter distance. Finally, we take a representative lens mass of $m = 1 \text{ M}_{\odot}$, which is roughly the median of the mass-weighted stellar mass function of Chabrier (2003). (Lensing area is proportional to mass, so this is the mass for which half the lensing area is above/below).

Lens and source sizes. For a standard single lens light curve (see e.g. Schmidt & Wambsganss 2010) the amplitude near peak is $A \sim 1/u_{\min}$ where u_{\min} is the impact parameter scaled to the Einstein radius, i.e. $u_{\min} = \Delta \theta_{\min} / \theta_{\rm E}$. For A = 10, $u_{\min} = 0.1$ and so

$$\theta_{\min} = 0.29 \ \mu as \ \left(m/M_{\odot} \right)^{1/2} \to R_{\min} = 7.19 \times 10^{13} \ m,$$

where R_{\min} is the corresponding physical size at the source plane. How does this compare to the likely source size? For a black hole of mass $M_{\rm H}$ we have

$$R_{\rm min}/R_{\rm S} = 244 \left(M_{\rm H}/10^8 \,{\rm M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1} \left(m/{\rm M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/2}$$

To a first approximation therefore the accretion disc will be unresolved and the BLR *partly* resolved, and so amplified, but by less than the continuum. This could be why we are seeing Mg II EWs that are weaker than normal (see Section 4.2) and gives us the fascinating prospect of measuring BLR structure (cf. Sluse et al. 2012). However, recalling that we are looking here at our canonical numbers, some events will resolve the continuum, and the peak of the light curve will hold information well below the scale of R_{min} . Furthermore, differential variability studies of strongly lensed multiple quasars seem to indicate that continuum source sizes are several times bigger than simple accretion disc models (e.g. Morgan et al. 2010; MacLeod et al. 2015), but this relies on statistical modelling of the stellar population in the parent galaxy. Direct model fitting of individual high amplitude events is therefore of considerable importance.

The lens size at the lens plane corresponds to 240 au. This means that we are almost always seeing the effect of single lenses, rather than the overlapping effect of many stars. (If say we are looking through a 5 kpc column of stars with a space density of 1 pc^{-3} , a box size of 240 au will on average have 0.007 stars in the line of sight). On the other hand, we should occasionally see double peaks – 50 per cent stars in the solar neighbourhood are in binaries, and while

their separations cover a large range, the median value is of the order of a few tens of au (Duquennoy & Mayor 1991; Fischer & Marcy 1992). We should also be sensitive to brown dwarf companions, and potentially even to extragalactic exoplanets, although these would produce very weak second peaks.

Time-scales. For a characteristic time-scale, we can use the crossing time of the lens across the source. The lensing star will be in motion within its galaxy with a typical value of perhaps 300 km s^{-1} , and the two galaxies will have peculiar velocities of a similar order, each in effectively random directions of course. For the net relative transverse velocity, we can use $v = 300 \text{ km}^{-1}$ as a characteristic value, and so find

$$t_{\rm ch} = 7.4 \text{ yr} (v/300)^{-1} (u_{\rm min}/0.1) (v/300)^{-1} (m/M_{\odot})^{1/2}$$

Note that this is the characteristic time-scale near the peak, and is much shorter than the 'Einstein time-scale' often quoted. It is about right for our observed events. How often would such an event repeat? This depends on the surface density of stars through the foreground galaxy. We estimate a typical value using the analysis of Kauffmann et al. (2003) who suggest a characteristic stellar mass of $6 \times 10^{10} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ and half-light radius $R_{50} \sim 3$ kpc. We then estimate a rough typical surface density as $\Sigma = N/pi R_{\mathrm{eff}}^2$ with $N = 6 \times 10^{10}$ and $R_{\mathrm{eff}} = \sqrt{2}R_{50}$. Placing this at our canonical distance of $z_1 = 0.25$ this gives a characteristic angular radius of 1.1 arcsec. If we think of a lens of the size above sweeping across a line of sight, we can find that the repeat time-scale will be

$$t_{\rm rpt} = 1354 \text{ yr } (v/300)^{-1} (u_{\rm min}/0.1)^{-1}$$

This would then give a duty cycle (fractional on-time) of $f_{\rm on} = 2t_{\rm ch}/t_{\rm rpt} = 1.1$ per cent.

Number of flaring AGN. Of course only some AGN will have a foreground galaxy in the line of sight. From the number counts in Yasuda et al. (2001), there are roughly 5000 galaxies deg⁻² to g = 22. If we take each of these to have radius 1.1 arcsec, as in the above calculation, we estimate that the fraction of background AGN with a foreground galaxy is $f_{\rm fg} = 0.14$ per cent. Combining this with the duty cycle above, we find that the fraction of AGN we will see flaring at any time is $f_{\rm fl} = 7.8 \times 10^{-6} (u_{\rm min}/0.1)^2$.

How does this compare with general AGN variability? If we extrapolate back to 1 mag changes, where we have reasonable statistics, roughly 1 per cent of Stripe 82 quasars vary by this much over a decade (see Section 4.5), whereas we predict roughly 1 in 10^4 AGN to be undergoing a microlensing flare. So it is unlikely that microlensing is the main cause of quasar variability at more modest levels, but it is possible that it dominates the extreme tail of variability.

How many potential background AGN are there? Traditional optical quasar surveys are not helpful here because they do not go deep enough, and because for the Seyfert-like luminosities we are concerned with here the light is dominated by the host galaxy. The best information comes from deep X-ray surveys. The deepest such survey is the *Chandra* Deep Field South survey of Lehmer et al. (2012). However, many of their sources are at lower luminosity and/or higher redshift than we are concerned with here. Keeping at $L_x \ge 2 \times 10^{36}$ W and $z \le 1.5$ we find about 2000 AGN/deg². Putting this together with the SDSS DR7 area (11 667 deg²) and the flaring fraction above, we predict that we should have seen 182 AGN currently in factor ten microlensing flares. Given the extreme roughness of all the estimates in this section, this is quite reasonable agreement with what we have in fact seen.

Light-curve shape. Some of the 10 yr light curves (J094511, J105502, J085759) show roughly the kind of peaky and symmet-

ric light curves one expects from a simple point-lens point-source system, especially given that intrinsic variability would be superimposed, and there may be flux-dependent offsets between the PS1/LT data and the CRTS data. J150210 seems too asymmetric. For the 3 yr light curves, we only have one side of the light curve. Some look consistent with the simple model, but some clearly do not, either because they are rather flat-topped (e.g. J031240) or because they have double peaks (e.g. J170845). However, although our canonical example has an unresolved accretion disc, some objects will be resolved, especially allowing for the evidence from multiple-quasar microlensing that accretion discs are $\sim 5 \times$ larger than predicted by standard models, e.g. Morgan et al. (2010). Furthermore, although the host galaxy itself is likely producing only modest magnification, it will produce a shear that breaks the point-source singularity and makes a caustic-like magnification map leading to double peaks in a large fraction of cases (Chang & Refsdal 1984). These issues are beyond the scope of the current paper, but quantitative modelling and testing of predicted light curves is a high priority for future work.

5.5 Other possible microlensing examples

Are there other possible examples in the existing literature of high amplification extragalactic microlensing events? The most obvious one is the flaring quasar behind M31 (Meusinger et al. 2010) as discussed in the previous section.

A second possible example is the TDE candidate PS1-10jh (Gezari et al. 2012). We note that the broad lines identified as He II lines at z = 0.17 could also be identified with Mg II and C III] at z = 0.97. The shorter time-scale (months) and the extremely large amplification (a factor of 200) are consistent with each other. However, overall the TDE explanation is probably still preferred. First, the host galaxy absorption redshift seems secure, so on the microlensing hypothesis, the misidentification of Mg II and C III] lines with HeII lines at the correct redshift would be a somewhat extraordinary coincidence. Secondly, for such a high amplification, the broad lines should be amplified far less than the continuum, predicting a very small EW, which is not seen. Thirdly, the light curve seems asymmetric. This could be caused by a double star, as discussed above, but for a such high amplification, the double star would need to have a very small separation, which is once again unlikely.

Another interesting possibility is the superluminous SN PS1-10afx (Chornock et al. 2013), at z = 1.388. This reached a peak luminosity of 4.1×10^{37} W, but its colours did not look like other known superluminous SNe, and the spectrum looked like a normal SNIc, which should be 50 times less luminous. Quimby et al. (2013) suggested that the object is actually a SNIa lensed by a foreground object by a factor of thirty. A foreground object at z = 0.117 was detected spectroscopically by Quimby et al. (2014), who also derive a stellar mass of $\sim 10^{10} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ from spectroscopic modelling. Magnification by a factor of thirty is very large for such a small galaxy, but Quimby et al. (2014) show it is statistically allowed, if the alignment is very good - roughly 0.02 arcsec. An alternative is that the background lensing could be produced temporarily (over a few years) by microlensing caused by a star in the foreground galaxy. A very large number of stars in background galaxies will at any one time be in the process of being microlensed by stars in foreground galaxies. This will normally be an undetectable effect; but every so often one of these magnified objects will be a SN precursor star.

5.6 Conclusions and next steps

Of the four explanations we have considered for our slow-blue transients, TDEs seem to be ruled out, and extinction events, while we expect them to happen at some level, seem a forced explanation for what we are seeing.

Microlensing looks promising – it is a phenomenon that *must* be happening at some level, the time-scale is about right, it explains the slow smooth nature of the majority of light curves, and possibly also the weak broad lines. It is a relatively simple and testable model, and holds the prospect of both accretion disc and BLR mapping. The required models have already been developed for multiple-quasar analysis, and have produced intriguing results (Morgan et al. 2010; Blackburne et al. 2011; Sluse et al. 2012). On the other hand, accretion instabilities as an explanation has the strong appeal that we need something like this to explain more modest variability in larger numbers of AGN, including objects at very low redshift that cannot plausibly be due to microlensing. On the other hand, in contrast to microlensing, we do not yet have a convincing physical model. It is of course quite possible that we are seeing some objects of each type – microlensing and intrinsic high amplitude variability.

How can we make progress? (i) We need to look for evidence of foreground objects, which is a prediction of the microlensing model. This will probably require deep post-outburst spectra and HST or AO imaging. (ii) We need continued long-term monitoring, to construct \sim 20 yr light curves or even longer. As well as fitting models, the key question is - do they do it again? (iii) We need spectroscopic monitoring. The microlensing model predicts that the broad lines will have light curves that are broader and flatter than the continuum. For intrinsic variability, we need model predictions of how the BLR should respond to such large amplitude changes, as opposed to the more modest changes that have been tracked in reverberation studies. (iv) We need a much larger sample to examine dependence on various parameters - for example luminosity or redshift in the intrinsic case, or lens mass and distance for the microlensing case. In the near term, the TDSS project will deliver additional spectra for hypervariable objects over the entire SDSS and PS1 footprint (Morganson et al. 2015). In the medium term, LSST should produce well sampled light curves of a large number of such extreme variables. (v) We need to catch some objects early in their rise and watch the whole outburst. This can only be done by systematic monitoring of very large numbers of AGN.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Pan-STARRS1 (PS1) Surveys have been made possible through contributions of the Institute for Astronomy, the University of Hawaii, the Pan-STARRS Project Office, the Max-Planck Society and its participating institutes, the Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Heidelberg and the Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, Garching, The Johns Hopkins University, Durham University, the University of Edinburgh, Queen's University Belfast, the Harvard–Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network Incorporated, the National Central University of Taiwan, the Space Telescope Science Institute, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant No. NNX08AR22G issued through the Planetary Science Division of the NASA Science Mission Directorate, the National Science Foundation under Grant No. AST-1238877, and the University of Maryland.

The LT is operated on the island of La Palma by Liverpool John Moores University in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias with financial support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council.

The WHT is operated on the island of La Palma by the Isaac Newton Group in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofsica de Canarias.

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC Grant agreement No. [291222] (PI : S. J. Smartt).

MF acknowledges support by the European Union FP7 programme through ERC grant number 320360.

Over an extended period, we have comments from three separate referees, all of which led to some very useful improvements. Peer review is a difficult process, but it is always better in the end!

REFERENCES

- Abazajian K. N. et al., 2009, ApJS, 182, 543
- Arcavi I. et al., 2014, ApJ, 793, 38
- Aretxaga I., Joguet B., Kunth D., Melnick J., Terlevich R. J., 1999, ApJ, 519, L123
- Bade N., Komossa S., Dahlem M., 1996, A&A, 309, L35
- Bischof K., Kollatschny W., 1999, A&A, 345, 49
- Blackburne J. A., Pooley D., Rappaport S., Schechter P. L., 2011, ApJ, 729, 34
- Blanton M. R. et al., 2003, ApJ, 592, 819
- Brandt W. N., Pounds K. A., Fink H., 1995, MNRAS, 273, L47
- Cackett E. M., Gultekin K., Bentz M. C., Fausnaugh M. M., Peterson B. M., Troyer J., Vestergaard M., 2015, ApJ, 810, 86
- Cenko S. B. et al., 2012, MNRAS, 420, 2684
- Chabrier G., 2003, PASP, 115, 763
- Chang K., Refsdal S., 1984, A&A, 132, 168
- Chornock R. et al., 2013, ApJ, 767, 162
- Chornock R. et al., 2014, ApJ, 780, 44
- Clavel M., Terrier R., Goldwurm A., Morris M. R., Ponti G., Soldi S., Trap G., 2013, A&A, 558, A32
- Collier S., Peterson B. M., 2001, ApJ, 555, 775
- Condon J. J., Cotton W. D., Greisen E. W., Yin Q. F., Perley R. A., Taylor G. B., Broderick J. J., 1998, AJ, 115, 1693
- de Vries W. H., Becker R. H., White R. L., Loomis C., 2005, AJ, 129, 615
- Dietrich M., Hamann F., Shields J. C., Constantin A., Vestergaard M., Chaffee F., Foltz C. B., Junkkarinen V. T., 2002, ApJ, 581, 912
- Drake A. J. et al., 2009, ApJ, 696, 870
- Duquennoy A., Mayor M., 1991, A&A, 248, 485
- Eigenbrod A., Courbin F., Meylan G., Agol E., Anguita T., Schmidt R. W., Wambsganss J., 2008, A&A, 490, 933
- Elitzur M., Ho L. C., Trump J. R., 2014, MNRAS, 438, 3340
- Elvis M. et al., 1994, ApJS, 95, 1
- Evans C. R., Kochanek C. S., 1989, ApJ, 346, L13
- Finkbeiner D. P. et al., 2016, ApJ, 822, 66
- Fischer D. A., Marcy G. W., 1992, ApJ, 396, 178
- Frank J., King A., Raine D. J., 2002, Accretion Power in Astrophysics, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge
- Gezari S. et al., 2008, ApJ, 676, 944
- Gezari S. et al., 2009, ApJ, 698, 1367
- Gezari S. et al., 2012, Nature, 485, 217
- Giveon U., Maoz D., Kaspi S., Netzer H., Smith P. S., 1999, MNRAS, 306, 637
- Goad M. R., O'Brien P. T., Gondhalekar P. M., 1993, MNRAS, 263, 149
- Goodrich R. W., 1995, ApJ, 440, 141
- Gregory P. C., Scott W. K., Douglas K., Condon J. J., 1996, ApJS, 103, 427
- Grupe D., Beuermann K., Mannheim K., Bade N., Thomas H.-C., de Martino D., Schwope A., 1995, A&A, 299, L5
- Grupe D., Komossa S., Saxton R., 2015, ApJ, 803, L28
- Guillochon J., Ramirez-Ruiz E., 2013, ApJ, 767, 25
- Halpern J. P., Gezari S., Komossa S., 2004, ApJ, 604, 572

- Hatziminaoglou E., Siemiginowska A., Elvis M., 2001, ApJ, 547, 90
- Hawkins M. R. S., 1993, Nature, 366, 242
- Hawkins M. R. S., 1996, MNRAS, 278, 787
- Hawkins M. R. S., 2003, MNRAS, 344, 492
- Hawkins M. R. S., 2007, A&A, 462, 581
- Heckman T. M., Best P. N., 2014, ARA&A, 52, 589
- Holoien T. W.-S. et al., 2014, MNRAS, 445, 3263
- Inserra C. et al., 2013, ApJ, 770, 128
- Irwin M. J., Webster R. L., Hewett P. C., Corrigan R. T., Jedrzejewski R. I., 1989, AJ, 98, 1989
- Jiménez-Vicente J., Mediavilla E., Muñoz J. A., Kochanek C. S., 2012, ApJ, 751, 106
- Jiménez-Vicente J., Mediavilla E., Kochanek C. S., Muñoz J. A., Motta V., Falco E., Mosquera A. M., 2014, ApJ, 783, 47
- Kaiser N. et al., 2010, in Steep H. A. D., Dorn D. A., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 7733, Ground-based and Airborne Telescopes III. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 77330E
- Kauffmann G. et al., 2003, MNRAS, 341, 33
- Kayser R., 1988, A&A, 206, L8
- Kelly B. C., Bechtold J., Siemiginowska A., 2009, ApJ, 698, 895
- Khachikian E. Y., Weedman D. W., 1971, ApJ, 164, L109
- Kimball A. E., Ivezić Ž., 2014, in Knezevic Z., Lemaitre, A., eds, Proc. IAU Symp. 9, Multiwavelength AGN surveys and studies. Cambridge Journals, p. 238
- Komossa S., 2012, in Saxton R., Komossa S., eds, EPJ Web Conf. 39, Tidal Disruption Events and AGN outbursts. 02001
- Komossa S., Halpern J., Schartel N., Hasinger G., Santos-Lleo M., Predehl P., 2004, ApJ, 603, L17
- Korista K. T., Goad M. R., 2004, ApJ, 606, 749
- Kowalski A. F., Hawley S. L., Hilton E. J., Becker A. C., West A. A., Bochanski J. J., Sesar B., 2009, AJ, 138, 633
- Krolik J. H., Begelman M. C., 1988, ApJ, 329, 702
- LaMassa S. M. et al., 2015, ApJ, 800, 144
- Lawrence A., 2012, MNRAS, 423, 451
- Lawrence A., Elvis M., 2010, ApJ, 714, 561
- Lawrence A., Pye J. P., Elvis M., 1977, MNRAS, 181, 93
- Lawrence A. et al., 2007, MNRAS, 379, 1599
- Lawrence A., Gezari S., Elvis M., Ward M., Smartt S., Smith K., Wright D., 2012, in, Saxton R., Komossa S., eds, EPJ Web Conf. 39, Tidal Disruption Events and AGN outbursts. 03002
- Lehmer B. D. et al., 2012, ApJ, 752, 46
- Lodato G., Rossi E. M., 2011, MNRAS, 410, 359
- MacLeod C. L. et al., 2010, ApJ, 721, 1014
- MacLeod C. L. et al., 2012, ApJ, 753, 106
- MacLeod C. L. et al., 2015, ApJ, 806, 258
- MacLeod C. L. et al., 2016, MNRAS, 457, 389
- Magnier E. A. et al., 2013, ApJS, 205, 20
- Metcalfe N. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 435, 1825
- Meusinger H. et al., 2010, A&A, 512, A1

- Morgan C. W., Kochanek C. S., Morgan N. D., Falco E. E., 2010, ApJ, 712, 1129
- Morganson E. et al., 2014, ApJ, 784, 92
- Morganson E. et al., 2015, ApJ, 806, 244
- Mosquera A. M., Kochanek C. S., 2011, ApJ, 738, 96
- Myers S. T. et al., 2003, MNRAS, 341, 1
- Nenkova M., Ivezić Ž., Elitzur M., 2002, ApJ, 570, L9
- Penston M. V., Pérez E., 1984, MNRAS, 211, 11P
- Peterson B. M., 2006, in Alloin D., Johnson R., Lira P., eds, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 693, Physics of Active Galactic Nuclei at all Scales. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- Ponti G., Morris M. R., Terrier R., Goldwurm A., 2013, in Torres D. F., Reimer O., eds, Astrophys. Space Sci. Proc. Vol. 34, Cosmic Rays in Star-Forming Environments. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- Quimby R. M. et al., 2013, ApJ, 768, L20
- Quimby R. M. et al., 2014, Science, 344, 396
- Rees M. J., 1988, Nature, 333, 523
- Richards G. T. et al., 2001, AJ, 121, 2308
- Richards G. T. et al., 2006, ApJS, 166, 470
- Ryu S. G., Nobukawa M., Nakashima S., Tsuru T. G., Koyama K., Uchiyama H., 2013, Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan, 65, 33
- Schmidt R. W., Wambsganss J., 2010, Gen. Relativ. Gravit., 42, 2127
- Schmidt K. B., Rix H.-W., Shields J. C., Knecht M., Hogg D. W., Maoz D., Bovy J., 2012, ApJ, 744, 147
- Sesar B. et al., 2006, AJ, 131, 2801
- Shappee B. J. et al., 2014, ApJ, 788, 48
- Shen Y. et al., 2011, ApJS, 194, 45
- Siemiginowska A., Elvis M., 1997, ApJ, 482, L9
- Sluse D., Hutsemékers D., Courbin F., Meylan G., Wambsganss J., 2012, A&A, 544, A62
- Steele I. A. et al., 2004, in Oschmann J. M., Jr, ed., Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 5489, Ground-based Telescopes. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 679
- Stickel M., Fried J. W., Kuehr H., 1988, A&A, 198, L13
- Stubbs C. W., Doherty P., Cramer C., Narayan G., Brown Y. J., Lykke K. R., Woodward J. T., Tonry J. L., 2010, ApJS, 191, 376
- Tohline J. E., Osterbrock D. E., 1976, ApJ, 210, L117
- Tonry J. L. et al., 2012, ApJ, 750, 99
- van Velzen S. et al., 2011, ApJ, 741, 73
- Vanden Berk D. E. et al., 2004, ApJ, 601, 692
- Webb J. R., Howard E., Benítez E., Balonek T., McGrath E., Shrader C., Robson I., Jenkins P., 2000, AJ, 120, 41
- Yasuda N. et al., 2001, AJ, 122, 1104
- Zhang K., Wang T.-G., Gaskell C. M., Dong X.-B., 2013, ApJ, 762, 51

APPENDIX A: TABLES

Here we provide the full versions of the tables referred to in the text.

MNRAS 463, 296–331 (2016)

PS1 nuclear hypervariables 313

 Table A1. Basic sample properties. 'Name' is a short version of the standard PS1 coordinate name, used to cross-link to other tables.

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Name	Transient ID	RA(2000.0)	Dec (2000.0)	Flag Date	WHT Observation Date
1012714 PSi-12bac 01271/4.63 +005224.7 2012-10-10 1031240 PSi-12bac 012240.86 +183.641.1 2011-12-21 2011-12-21 1031300 PSi-12bax 0337300 PSi-12bax 033730.2 2012-00-16 2013-02-11 105182 PSi-12bax 06.1829.11 +555525 2012-00-16 2013-02-11 1051824 PSi-12bax 06.1829.11 +555525 2012-01-30 1081445 PSi-12bax 08.11455.05 +15550.49 2012-01-28 2014-02-07 108145 PSi-12bax 08.174.14 +2069.13 2013-01-08 2013-12-03 1081728 PSi-12bax 08.377.14.14 +2069.13 2013-02-03 2013-02-03 1083541 PSi-13ba 08.377.99.44 +2069.32.6 2012-02.75 2013-02-03 1084505 PSi-13ba 08.377.99.44 +2069.32.6 2012-02.17 2013-02.03 108451 PSi-12ba 09.377.04.14 +2069.32.6 2012-02.13 2014-02.01	J012514	PS1-12bwl	01:25:14.09	+48:05:51.8	2012-10-19	2014-12-17
102563 PSI-12bk 025633.7 +17:071:24 2012-09.2 202-09.21 103130 PSI-12bk 0317:20.06 +18:3641.1 2011-12:21 2011-12:21 1033730 PSI-12bi 04:491.8 +11:59:39.5 2012-00.55 - 1044918 PSI-12bi 06:18:29.11 +35:35:52.5 2012-01.66 2013-02.10 108145 PSI-12bi 08:12:20 +83:11:18 2012-01.28 - 108145 PSI-12bi 08:14:25:00 +15:55:04:9 2012-01.28 - 108145 PSI-12bi 08:17:28:63 +26:27:20:6 2012-01.28 - 108166 PSI-12bi 08:57:44:41 +20:07:26:2 2014-02:07 2013-03:11 1083714 PSI-12bi 08:57:59:89 +25:57:01:4 2013-01:07 2013-03:11 1085220 PSI-13bi 08:57:59:89 +25:57:01:4 2013-01:07 2013-03:11 1085230 PSI-13bi 09:25:57:01:4 2013-01:07 2013-03:11 109:0119 PSI-12bi 09:010:45:11 +0	J012714	PS1-12box	01:27:14.63	+00:52:24.7	2012-10-10	_
031240 PS1-12bx 031240.86 +18.364.11 2011-12.21 2011-12.21 1043918 PS1-12bx 03.3730 PS1-12bx 03.3720 PS1-12bx 1056129 PS1-12bx 05.12bx 05.12bx 0212-00-26 2013-02-11 1061829 PS1-12bx 06.1253.20 +28.311.18 2012-00-30 - 1081445 PS1-12bx 08.1145.05 +15.5554.94 2012-01-30 - 1081454 PS1-12bx 08.1145.05 +23.263.04 2012-01-38 - 1081916 PS1-12bx 08.174.44 +10.050.13 2013-01-08 2013-12.03 1085544 PS1-12bx 08.374.44 +10.050.13 2013-02.05 - 1085547 PS1-12bx 08.374.44 +10.050.13 2013-02.01 2013-02.03 108554 PS1-12bx 08.374.44 +10.050.13 2013-02.01 2013-02.01 108554 PS1-12bx 08.374.44 +10.050.13 2013-02.01 2013-02.01 1085519 PS1-12bx 08.374.44 +10	J025633	PS1-12bke	02:56:33.77	+37:07:12.4	2012-09-02	2012-09-21
1033730 PS1-12baw 03:37:30.14 -07:23:30.2 2012-00-19 2013-02-11 1061829 PS1-12ba 06:18:29.11 +35:35:52.5 2012-00-19 2013-02-09 1080223 PS1-12ba 08:11:35:50 +15:55:04.9 2012-02-32 - 1081145 PS1-12ba 08:11:35:50 +15:55:04.9 2012-00-28 2014-02-07 1081145 PS1-12ba 08:17:28:63 +26:27:20.6 2012-01-28 - 1081916 PS1-12ba 08:17:28:65 +5:55:05:14 2013-01-08 2013-12:03 1083544 PS1-12ba 08:37:44:14 +16:08:01.3 2013-01-07 2014-02:07 1084505 PS1-13ba 08:37:44:14 +16:08:01.3 2013-01-07 2013-03-31 1085759 PS1-13ba 08:37:04:14:14 +16:08:01.3 2013-01-07 2013-03-31 1090214 PS1-12ba 09:01:19:11 +06:294:36 2012-01-02 2014-02-07 1090214 PS1-12ba 09:01:19:11 +06:294:36 2012-01-02 2014-02-07 1090214	J031240	PS1-12el	03:12:40.86	+18:36:41.1	2011-12-21	2011-12-21
0144918 PS1-12bit 04-9:18.16 +11:59:39.5 2012.00-10 2013-02-11 0161829 PS1-12ari 06:02:23.20 +28:31:11.8 2012.01-03 2013.02-21 - 0181145 PS1-12git 08:14:35:0 +15:55:04.9 2012.01-03 0 - 0181145 PS1-12git 08:14:45:09 +23:26:30.4 2012.01-28 - - 0181146 PS1-12git 08:19:16:20 +33:14:05:9 2012.01-28 - - 0181156 PS1-12git 08:37:44.1 +10:08:01.3 2013-01-03 2013-02.01 2013-02.01 2013-02.01 2013-02.01 2013-02.01 2013-02.01 2013-02.01 2013-02.01 2013-02.01 2013-02.01	J033730	PS1-12bxw	03:37:30.14	-07:23:30.2	2012-10-25	_
1061829 PS1-12at 061829.1 +35.3552.5 2012.01-06 2013.02.0 1080223 PS1-12at 080.023.2 +28.311.18 2012.02.28 2.014.02.07 1081445 PS1-12bw 081145.50 +15.555.04.9 2012.01.28 2.014.02.07 1081728 PS1-12bw 081728.63 +26.272.06 2012.01.28 2.014.02.07 1083544 PS1-12bw 081575.02 2012.01.28 2.014.02.07 1083544 PS1-12bw 083574.41 +10.080.13 2013.02.03 2013.03.31 1085759 PS1-13bx 085.220.12 +25.57.01.4 2013.01.07 2013.03.31 1090144 PS1-12bw 090.119.11 +06.294.36 2012.02.16 2013.02.12 - 1090244 PS1-12bw 09.05.14.12 +50.362.85 2012.02.17 2.013.02.12 - 1092358 PS1-12bw 09.45.65.70 +07.25.53.72 2012.02.12 2.014.02.07 1094309 PS1-12bw 09.45.01.75 +55.03.50.84 2012.01.02 2 2.014.02.07	J044918	PS1-12blj	04:49:18.16	+11:59:39.5	2012-09-19	2013-02-11
1980/223 PS1-12ai 08/02/3.20 +28/31:11.8 2012/02-21 - 1981145 PS1-12g0 08:1145:09 +23/26:30.4 2012/01-28 2 1981145 PS1-12fx 08:1142:00 08:17:38 +23/26:30.4 2012/01-28 - 1981916 PS1-12fx 08:19:16.20 +33:14:05.9 2012-01-28 - 1983544 PS1-13x 08:35:20 212-02-25 - - 1983544 PS1-13x 08:33:5:55:70:14 2013-01-08 2013-02-08 2013-02-08 1985220 PS1-13x 08:37:99:89 +25:54:54:5 2013-01-07 2013-03-31 1909214 PS1-12x 09:02:44:51 +04:52:109 2012-02-18 2012-02-12 1909238 PS1-13ai 09:23:88:46 +62:47:59:6 2013-01-12 - 1902635 PS1-12x 09:24:51:08 +17:45:44:8 2012-02-21 2013-03-11 1902635 PS1-12x 09:46:12.91 +19:52:37 2012-02-21 2013-03-11 1902635 PS1-12x <t< td=""><td>J061829</td><td>PS1-12et</td><td>06:18:29.11</td><td>+35:35:52.5</td><td>2012-01-06</td><td>2013-02-09</td></t<>	J061829	PS1-12et	06:18:29.11	+35:35:52.5	2012-01-06	2013-02-09
J081145 PS1-12v 08114550 +1555049 2012-01-30 - J081455 PS1-12v 0811728.03 +252230.0 2012-01-28 2014-02-07 J081916 PS1-12a 081916.02 +3314.05.9 2012-01-07 2014-02-07 J083744 PS1-13au 083574.41 +100801.3 2013-01-08 2013-12-03 J083705 PS1-13b 0835759.89 +25545154 2013-02-08 2013-02-08 J085720 PS1-13cm 085759.89 +255454.5 2013-01-07 2013-03-12 J090244 PS1-12av 09001.19.11 +66:294.45 2012-01-07 2013-03-12 J090244 PS1-12av 0902538.1 PS1-12av 2014-02-18 2012-01-07 J090244 PS1-12av 0902538.1 PS1-12av 2014-02-12 2014-02-12 J090244 PS1-12av 0902538.1 PS1-12av 2014-02-07 2013-03-12 J092358 PS1-12av 094510.9 493-23-28 2012-02-12 2014-02-07 J094511 PS1-12av 09451	J080223	PS1-12ni	08:02:23.20	+28:31:11.8	2012-02-21	_
1081445 PS1-12/w 08:1445.09 +23:26:30.4 2012-01-28 - 1081728 PS1-12/w 08:19:16:20 +33:14:05.9 2012-01-07 2014-02-07 1081544 PS1-13/w 08:35:44.4 +10:08:01.3 2013-01-08 2013-12-03 1085547 PS1-13/w 08:35:14.14 +26:09:32.6 2012-01-25 - 1085520 PS1-13/w 08:57:59.89 +25:55:01.4 2013-01-07 2013-03:31 1085759 PS1-12/w 09:02:44.51 +45:55:45:45 2012-01-07 2013-03:21 109:0244 PS1-12/w 09:02:44.51 +04:52:10.9 2012-01-07 2013-03:21 109:0514 PS1-12/w 09:02:45.70 +07:25:32.7 2012-02:22 2013-02:12 10:05238 PS1-12/m 09:46:12.91 +10:50:36:08 2012-01-02 2014-02-07 10:05412 PS1-12/m 09:46:12.91 +10:50:36:08 2012-01-02 2014-02-07 10:0542 PS1-12/m 09:46:12.91 +10:50:36:08 2012-01-02 - 10:0542	J081145	PS1-12gd	08:11:45.50	+15:55:04.9	2012-01-30	_
10811728 PS1-121w 081172.88.63 +26,272.06 2012-01-07 2014-02-07 1081916 PS1-12a 08:35:44.41 +10:08:01.3 2013-01-08 2013-12-03 1083714 PS1-12a 08:35:44.41 +10:08:01.3 2013-02-25 - 1084305 PS1-13a 08:35:20.12 +25:57:01.4 2013-02-35 2013-03-31 1085759 PS1-13a 08:57:98.99 +25:54:54.5 2013-01-07 2013-03-31 1090214 PS1-12aw 09:01:19.11 +06:29:43.6 2012-02-18 2012-03-02 1090214 PS1-12aw 09:01:19.11 +06:29:43.6 2012-01-07 2013-03-31 1090214 PS1-12a 09:02:38.16 +62:27:59.6 2013-01-12 - 1092358 PS1-12a 09:26:35.70 +07:25:32.7 2012-01-22 2014-02-07 1094309 PS1-12a 09:46:12.91 +19:50:28.7 2012-01-23 2013-02-11 1094311 PS1-12ap 09:46:12.91 +19:50:28.7 2012-01-23 2013-03-03 1094511 <t< td=""><td>J081445</td><td>PS1-12fv</td><td>08:14:45.09</td><td>+23:26:30.4</td><td>2012-01-28</td><td>2014-02-07</td></t<>	J081445	PS1-12fv	08:14:45.09	+23:26:30.4	2012-01-28	2014-02-07
1081916 PS1-12a 08191-6.20 +33:14059 2012-01-07 2014-01-08 1083554 PS1-13a 08:37:14.14 +2609326 2013-01-08 2013-12-03 1084305 PS1-13b 08:37:54.14 +2609326 2013-02-25 - 1085520 PS1-13c1 08:57:50.81 2013-01-07 2013-03-31 1085759 PS1-12ac 09:02:44.51 +45:54:54.5 2012-01-18 2012-03-02 1090014 PS1-12ac 09:02:44.51 +04:52:10.9 2012-01-07 2013-03-12 1090514 PS1-12ac 09:02:35.8.46 +62:47:59.6 2012-01-22 2013-02-12 1092635 PS1-12ac 09:2:35.8.46 +22:35:08.4 2012-01-02 2014-02-07 1094511 PS1-12b 09:4:51:09 +17:4:54:48 2012-01-02 - - 109452 PS1-12b 09:4:61:19 +19:50:2a.7 2012-01-23 - - 109451 PS1-12b 09:4:61:19 +19:50:2a.7 2012-01-23 - - 109451	J081728	PS1-12fw	08:17:28.63	+26:27:20.6	2012-01-28	-
1083544 PS1-13au 0833544 +1008601.3 2013-01-08 2013-12-03 1083714 PS1-120 083735 PS1-131 0843305.55 +5500351.4 2013-02-03 2013-02-09 1085220 PS1-131 085572.021.2 +2557.014 2013-01-07 2013-03-31 1095759 PS1-13am 085759.89 +2554545 2012-01-25 2012-03-02 1090244 PS1-12m 090119 PS1-12m 0901219 2012-01-07 2013-03-21 1090244 PS1-12m 0902538 PS1-12m 092538 2012-01-07 2013-02-12 1092358 PS1-12m 0942635.70 +072532.7 2012-01-22 2014-02-07 1094309 PS1-12m 0943651.09 +174544.8 2012-02-21 2013-02-11 1094311 PS1-12m 094451.108 +174554.8 2012-04-01 - 1003511 PS1-12m 094451.108 +174544.8 2012-02-01 2013-02-12 1003511 PS1-12m 10-26532.2 +653508.0 2011-21-1 - <	J081916	PS1-12fa	08:19:16.20	+33:14:05.9	2012-01-07	2014-02-07
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	J083544	PS1-13cu	08:35:44.41	+10:08:01.3	2013-01-08	2013-12-03
1084305 PS1-13;1 08:43:05.55 +55:03:1.4 2013-02-03 2013-02-09 1085220 PS1-13;1 08:57:59.89 +25:57:01.4 2013-01-07 2013-03-31 1090119 PS1-12inv 09:01:19.11 +06:29:43.6 2012-00-18 2012-03-02 1090214 PS1-12inv 09:05:14.12 +50:36:28.5 2012-02-12 2013-02-12 1092338 PS1-13di 09:25:38:16 +6:247:59.6 2013-01-12 - 1092358 PS1-12in 09:26:35:70 +17:25:33:7. 2012-02-21 2013-02-11 1094309 PS1-12in 09:45:10.8 +17:45:44:48 2012-00-72 2013-02-15 1094612 PS1-12in 10:26:32.22 +0:53:50:60 2012-12-11 2012-12-20 103511 PS1-12in 10:36:54:8 +0:52:55:62 2012-02-05 - 103872 PS1-13in 10:56:43:8 +0:52:55:62 2012-02-10 2013-02-11 103874 PS1-12in 10:36:37:10 +0:2:15:08 2012-02-10 - 104565 PS1-12	J083714	PS1-12on	08:37:14.14	+26:09:32.6	2012-02-25	-
J085220 PS1-13cl 08:52:20.12 +2:55:70.14 2013-01-07 2013-03-31 J090119 PS1-12mv 09:01:9.11 +0:52:54:54:5 2013-01-07 2013-03-21 J090124 PS1-12fc 09:02:44:51 +0:4:52:10.9 2012-01-07 2013-03-31 J090244 PS1-12fc 09:02:44:51 +0:4:52:10.9 2012-01-02 2013-02-12 J092358 PS1-12m 09:2:55:70 +0:72:53:7.2 2012-02-25 2014-02-07 J094511 PS1-12m 09:4:3:09:64 +2:8:55:08:4 2012-01-23 - J094612 PS1-12m 09:4:6:12.91 +1:9:50:28.7 2012-01-23 - J102562 PS1-12mi 10:2:6:32.22 +0:5:53:08.6 2012-0:20 2013-02-11 J103576 PS1-12pi 10:3:72:6:93 - - - J103876 PS1-12pi 10:3:5:0:4 +0:5:2:0:5:2 2013-0:0:1 - J103876 PS1-12pi 10:3:6:0:48:3 +9:3:0:0:2 2013-0:0:1 - J105400 PS1-12gi 10:4:	J084305	PS1-13jh	08:43:05.55	+55:03:51.4	2013-02-03	2013-02-09
J085759 PS1-12m 09.57:59.89 +2554545.5 2013-01-07 2013-03-12 J090119 PS1-12m 09.02:44.51 +06:2943.6 2012-02-18 2012-03-02 J090514 PS1-12m 09.02:44.51 +06:2943.6 2012-02-25 2013-03-12 J092558 PS1-12m 09:26:35.70 +07:25:32.7 2012-02-21 2014-02-47 J094309 PS1-121 09:46:09.96 +22:35:08.4 2012-01-22 2014-02-47 J094511 PS1-12m 09:45:11.08 +17:45:44.8 2012-01-23 - J102652 PS1-12m 10:26:32.22 +05:35:08.0 2012-10:1 2012-12:0 J103511 PS1-12pi 10:35:11.67 +46:04:46.9 2012-03:01 - J103726 PS1-12pi 10:38:37:10 +02:11:98 2013-02:10 - J103817 PS1-12pi 10:38:37:10 +02:11:98 2012-03:01 - J103827 PS1-12pi 10:38:37:10 +02:11:98 2012-04:03 2013-02:11 J105502 PS1-12pi 10	J085220	PS1-13cl	08:52:20.12	+25:57:01.4	2013-01-07	2013-03-31
J090119 PSI-12mv 0901191 +06.29:43.6 2012-02-18 2012-03-02 J090244 PSI-12c 09.02:44.51 +404:52:10.9 2012-01-07 2013-03-31 J090514 PSI-12mp 09:23:58:46 +62:47:59.6 2013-01-12 - J092358 PSI-12mp 09:23:58:46 +62:47:59.6 2013-01-12 - J094511 PSI-12m 09:43:09.96 +28:35:08.4 2012-01-22 2014-02-07 J094511 PSI-12m 09:46:12.91 +19:50:28.7 2012-01-23 - J102632 PSI-12m 09:46:12.91 +19:50:28.7 2012-01-21 2012-12.20 J10376 PSI-12pa 10:35:11.67 +46:04:46.9 2012-03-01 - J103756 PSI-12pa 10:35:37.10 +02:11:19.8 2012-03-01 2013-03-11 J104576 PSI-12pi 10:35:20.20 +55:37.36.12 2013-02-14 - J105040 PSI-13a 10:50:40.83 +39:17:35.6 2013-02-14 - J105040 PSI-13a 11:547.78 </td <td>J085759</td> <td>PS1-13cm</td> <td>08:57:59.89</td> <td>+25:54:54.5</td> <td>2013-01-07</td> <td>2013-03-12</td>	J085759	PS1-13cm	08:57:59.89	+25:54:54.5	2013-01-07	2013-03-12
1090244 PS1-12c 090244, 1 +04:52:10.9 2012-01-07 2013-00-31 1090514 PS1-12cp 09:05:14.12 +50:36:28.5 2012-02-25 2013-02-12 - 1092635 PS1-12np 09:26:35.70 +07:25:32.7 2012-02-21 2014-02-07 1094309 PS1-12h 09:43:09:96 +28:35:08.4 2012-01-23 - 1094511 PS1-12h 09:43:09:96 +17:45:44.8 2012-02-07 2013-05:15 1094612 PS1-12h 09:45:11.08 +17:45:44.8 2012-01-23 - 110562 PS1-12ni 10:26:32.22 +05:35:08.0 2012-12:11 2012-12:20 1103511 PS1-12pb 10:37:26:93 -00:38:52.4 2013-02:45 - 1103837 PS1-12pb 10:38:57.10 +02:11:19.8 2012-03:08 - 110450 PS1-12wi 10:34:56.48 +05:20:56.2 2013-02:15 - 110450 PS1-12wi 10:54:02.18 +16:57:37.8 2013-03:17 - 110501 PS1-12wi	J090119	PS1-12mv	09:01:19.11	+06:29:43.6	2012-02-18	2012-03-02
19090514 PS1-12op 09:05:14.12 +50:36:28.5 2012-02-25 2013-02-12 1902535 PS1-13u1 09:23:58.46 +62:47:59:6 2013-01-12 - 1902635 PS1-12u 09:43:09.96 +72:35:27.5 2012-02-21 2013-02-11 1904511 PS1-12u 09:43:09.96 +28:35:08.4 2012-01-23 - 1906612 PS1-12u 09:46:12.91 +19:56:28.7 2012-01-23 - 190637 PS1-12u 10:35:11.67 +46:04:46.9 2012-03-01 - 190376 PS1-12pa 10:35:11.67 +46:04:46.9 2012-03-01 - 190376 PS1-13pb 10:38:37.10 +02:11:19.8 2012-03-04 - 190387 PS1-12pb 10:38:37.10 +02:11:19.8 2013-02-11 - 190387 PS1-12pb 10:38:37.10 +02:11:19.8 2012-03-08 - 190500 PS1-13u 10:54:08.3 +39:17:35.6 2013-03-17 - 190502 PS1-13u 11:547.78 +65:20:52.9 <td>J090244</td> <td>PS1-12fc</td> <td>09:02:44.51</td> <td>+04:52:10.9</td> <td>2012-01-07</td> <td>2013-03-31</td>	J090244	PS1-12fc	09:02:44.51	+04:52:10.9	2012-01-07	2013-03-31
1092358 PS1-13di 09:23:58:40 +02:47:59:60 2013-01-12 - 1094309 PS1-12n 09:43:09:96 +28:35:08:4 2012-02-21 2013-02-11 1094311 PS1-12h 09:46:12.91 +17:45:44:8 2012-02-207 2013-05:15 1094612 PS1-12h 09:46:12.91 +19:50:28:7 2012-01:23 - 1103531 PS1-12pa 10:35:11.67 +4:60:44:69 2012-02:30 - 1103726 PS1-13po 10:37:26:93 -00:38:52.4 2013-02:10 2013-02:11 1103837 PS1-12pv 10:45:56:48 +05:26:56:2 2013-03:30 - 110456 PS1-12av 10:45:56:48 +05:26:56:2 2013-02:11 - 1105402 PS1-12v 10:54:02.18 +16:57:37.8 2013-02:11 - 1105502 PS1-13v 11:05:50:20.00 +33:00:02.5 2013-02:14 - 1111706 PS1-13v 11:15:47.78 +65:20:25.9 2013-02:15 - 1111705 PS1-13v 11:15:47.78 +65:20:25.9 2013-02:15 - 1111742 PS1-13v <td>J090514</td> <td>PS1-12op</td> <td>09:05:14.12</td> <td>+50:36:28.5</td> <td>2012-02-25</td> <td>2013-02-12</td>	J090514	PS1-12op	09:05:14.12	+50:36:28.5	2012-02-25	2013-02-12
1092635 PS1-12np 0926:35.70 +07:25:32.7 2012-02-21 2014-02-71 1094361 PS1-12h 0934309.96 +28:35:08.4 2012-01-22 2014-02-07 1094612 PS1-12by 0934611.08 +17:45:44.8 2012-01-23 - 1002632 PS1-12cni 10:26:32.22 +19:55:08.0 2012-12-11 2012-12-20 1103511 PS1-12pa 10:35:11.67 +46:04:46.9 2012-03-01 - 1103537 PS1-12pb 10:37:26.93 -00:38:24 2012-02-25 2013-03-30 1103566 PS1-13ci 10:45:56.48 +05:26:56.2 2012-02-25 2013-03-30 1105402 PS1-13ci 10:50:40.83 +39:17:35.6 2013-01-10 - 1105502 PS1-13ci 10:55:02.00 +33:00:02.5 2013-01-20 2014-02-07 1116805 PS1-12yi 11:08:05.81 +62:15:00.8 2012-04-03 2013-02-11 - 1111547 PS1-13ai 11:17:06.68 -01:02:29.0 2013-02-14 - - 1111806 PS1-13ai 11:17:06.68 -01:02:29.0 2013-02-15 - </td <td>J092358</td> <td>PS1-13di</td> <td>09:23:58.46</td> <td>+62:47:59.6</td> <td>2013-01-12</td> <td>_</td>	J092358	PS1-13di	09:23:58.46	+62:47:59.6	2013-01-12	_
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	J092635	PS1-12np	09:26:35.70	+07:25:32.7	2012-02-21	2013-02-11
J094511 PS1-12by 09-4612.9 +17.43:44.8 2012-02-07 2013-05-15 J0062632 PS1-12cin 10.26:32.22 +05:35:08.0 2012-12-11 2012-12-20 J1053511 PS1-12pi 10:35:11.67 +46:04:46.9 2012-03-01 - J103537 PS1-12pi 10:37:26.93 -00:38:52.4 2013-02-05 - J103837 PS1-12pi 10:38:37.10 +02:11:19.8 2012-03-01 2013-02-11 J104556 PS1-12qi 10:45:56.48 +05:25:56.2 2013-00-21 - J105402 PS1-13g 10:54:02.18 +16:57:37.8 2013-03-17 - J105502 PS1-13g 10:55:02.00 +33:00:02.5 2013-01-20 2014-02-07 J110805 PS1-13y1 11:5:57.37.8 2013-02-14 - - J111706 PS1-13y1 11:5:57.36 2013-02-15 - - J111706 PS1-13x1 11:47:42.78 +65:05:54.8 2013-02-15 - - J111707 PS1-13x1 11:55:57.06 </td <td>J094309</td> <td>PS1-12fl</td> <td>09:43:09.96</td> <td>+28:35:08.4</td> <td>2012-01-22</td> <td>2014-02-07</td>	J094309	PS1-12fl	09:43:09.96	+28:35:08.4	2012-01-22	2014-02-07
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J094511	PS1-12hy	09:45:11.08	+17:45:44.8	2012-02-07	2013-05-15
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	J094612	PS1-1210	09:46:12.91	+19:50:28.7	2012-01-23	-
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	J102632	PS1-12cm	10:26:32.22	+05:35:08.0	2012-12-11	2012-12-20
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J103511	PS1-12pa	10:35:11.07	+46:04:46.9	2012-03-01	—
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J105/20	PS1-15j0	10:37:20.95	-00.58.52.4	2013-02-03	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J103837	PS1-12p0	10:36.57.10	+02.11.19.0 +05.26.56.2	2012-03-01	2013-02-11
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	J104550	PS1-120W	10:46:17 75	$\pm 55.33.36.1$	2012-02-23	2013-03-30
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J104017 J105040	PS1_13ti	10:50:40.83	+39.17.356	2012-03-08	_
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1105402	PS1-12rv	10:54:02 18	+16.57.37.8	2013-03-17	_
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1105502	PS1-13eg	10:55:02.00	+33.00.02.5	2013-01-20	2014-02-07
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	J110805	PS1-12vi	11:08:05.81	+62:15:00.8	2012-04-03	2013-02-11
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J111547	PS1-13tv	11:15:47.78	+65:20:25.9	2013-02-14	_
J113309 PS1-13ud 11:33:09.68 -03:39:09.5 2013-02-15 - J114742 PS1-13zi 11:47:42.78 +65:05:54.8 2013-03-05 - J115553 PS1-13ch 11:55:53.06 +39:36:42.1 2012-12-30 - J120240 PS1-12pg 12:02:40.91 +29:50:30.0 2012-03-01 - J120921 PS1-12mp 12:09:21.46 +66:53:06.8 2012-02-21 - J124247 PS1-12ms 12:18:34.46 +06:59:49.8 2012-03-25 - J124724 PS1-12ke 12:24:17.03 +18:55:29.4 2012-03-25 - J124728 PS1-13aab 12:47:28.03 +24:56:53.8 2013-03-10 2013-05-14 J133004 PS1-13zt 13:30:04.98 +15:22:30.8 2013-03-07 2013-06-09 J133155 PS1-12yp 13:31:55.91 +23:54:05.7 2012-04-09 2014-06-26 J141056 PS1-12yq 14:10:56:35 +59:30:31.6 2012-04-09 2013-03-31 J142232 PS1-12agr 14:22:32.45 +01:40:26.7 2012-04-23 2013-02-11 J142446	J111706	PS1-13eh	11:17:06.68	-01:02:29.0	2013-01-20	_
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J113309	PS1-13ud	11:33:09.68	-03:39:09.5	2013-02-15	_
J115553 PS1-13ch 11:55:53.06 +39:36:42.1 2012-12-30 - J120240 PS1-12pg 12:02:40.91 +29:50:30.0 2012-03-01 - J120921 PS1-12mp 12:09:21.46 +66:53:06.8 2012-02-15 2012-02-24 J1212834 PS1-12ns 12:18:34.46 +06:59:49.8 2012-03-25 - J122417 PS1-12kw 12:24:17.03 +18:55:29.4 2012-03-25 - J124044 PS1-12tz 12:40:44.85 +12:53:21.2 2012-01-19 - J124728 PS1-13ab 12:47:28.03 +24:56:53.8 2013-03-10 2013-05-14 J133004 PS1-12yp 13:31:55.91 +23:54:05.7 2012-04-09 - J133155 PS1-12yp 13:31:55.91 +23:54:05.7 2012-04-02 2014-06-26 J141056 PS1-12yq 14:10:56.35 +59:30:31.6 2012-04-02 2013-02-11 J142242 PS1-12agr 14:22:32.45 +01:40:26.7 2012-04-23 2013-02-11 J142246 PS1-12agr 14:22:32.45 +01:40:26.7 2012-04-23 2013-02-10 J1	J114742	PS1-13zi	11:47:42.78	+65:05:54.8	2013-03-05	_
J120240 PS1-12pg 12:02:40.91 +29:50:30.0 2012-03-01 - J120921 PS1-12mp 12:09:21.46 +66:53:06.8 2012-02-15 2012-02-24 J121834 PS1-12ns 12:18:34.46 +06:59:49.8 2012-03-25 - J122417 PS1-12we 12:24:17.03 +18:55:29.4 2012-03-25 - J124044 PS1-13zt 12:40:44.85 +12:53:21.2 2012-01-19 - J124728 PS1-13aab 12:47:28.03 +24:56:53.8 2013-03-10 2013-05-14 J133004 PS1-13zt 13:30:04.98 +15:22:30.8 2013-03-07 2013-06-09 J133155 PS1-12yp 13:31:55.91 +23:54:05.7 2012-04-09 - J135846 PS1-12yt 13:58:46.66 +61:54:09.1 2012-04-02 2014-06-26 J140250 PS1-12agr 14:22:32.45 +01:40:26.7 2012-04-23 2013-02-11 J142246 PS1-12apt 14:25:02.69 +16:24:29.9 2012-05-23 2012-02-05 J142902 PS1-12apt 14:25:02.69 +16:24:29.9 2012-05-19 2013-02-09	J115553	PS1-13ch	11:55:53.06	+39:36:42.1	2012-12-30	_
J120921 PS1-12mp 12:09:21.46 +66:53:06.8 2012-02-15 2012-02-24 J121834 PS1-12ns 12:18:34.46 +06:59:49.8 2012-02-21 - J122417 PS1-12we 12:24:17.03 +18:55:29.4 2012-03-25 - J124044 PS1-12fz 12:40:44.85 +12:53:21.2 2012-01-19 - J124728 PS1-13aab 12:47:28.03 +24:56:53.8 2013-03-10 2013-05-14 J133004 PS1-12yp 13:30:04.98 +15:22:30.8 2013-03-07 2013-06-09 J13155 PS1-12yp 13:31:55.91 +23:54:05.7 2012-04-09 - J135846 PS1-12yq 14:10:56.35 +59:30:31.6 2012-04-02 2014-06-26 J141056 PS1-12agr 14:22:32.45 +01:40:26.7 2012-04-23 2013-03-31 J142232 PS1-12agr 14:20:20.69 +16:24:29.9 2012-05-23 2012-02-05 J142902 PS1-12agk 14:29:02.69 +10:40:26.7 2012-02-18 - - J150042 PS1-12arf 14:25:40.70 +06:39:31.6 2012-02-18 - - </td <td>J120240</td> <td>PS1-12pg</td> <td>12:02:40.91</td> <td>+29:50:30.0</td> <td>2012-03-01</td> <td>_</td>	J120240	PS1-12pg	12:02:40.91	+29:50:30.0	2012-03-01	_
J121834 PS1-12ns 12:18:34.46 +06:59:49.8 2012-02-21 - J122417 PS1-12we 12:24:17.03 +18:55:29.4 2012-03-25 - J124044 PS1-12fz 12:40:44.85 +12:53:21.2 2012-01-19 - J124728 PS1-13aab 12:47:28.03 +24:56:53.8 2013-03-10 2013-05-14 J133004 PS1-13zt 13:30:04.98 +15:22:30.8 2013-03-07 2013-06-09 J13155 PS1-12yp 13:31:55.91 +23:54:05.7 2012-04-09 - J135846 PS1-12yq 14:10:56.35 +59:30:31.6 2012-04-02 2013-03-31 J142232 PS1-12agr 14:22:32.45 +01:40:26.7 2012-04-23 2013-02-11 J142446 PS1-12arh 14:22:32.45 +01:40:26.7 2012-05-23 2013-02-05 J142902 PS1-12arh 14:22:32.45 +01:40:26.7 2012-05-23 2013-02-09 J145240 PS1-12arh 14:22:46.21 +46:13:48.7 2012-05-19 2013-02-09 J145240 PS1-12arh 14:52:40.70 +06:39:31.6 2012-02-18 -	J120921	PS1-12mp	12:09:21.46	+66:53:06.8	2012-02-15	2012-02-24
J122417PS1-12we12:24:17.03 $+18:55:29.4$ 2012-03-25 $-$ J124044PS1-12fz12:40:44.85 $+12:53:21.2$ 2012-01-19 $-$ J124728PS1-13aab12:47:28.03 $+24:56:53.8$ 2013-03-102013-05-14J133004PS1-13zt13:30:04.98 $+15:22:30.8$ 2013-03-072013-06-09J13515PS1-12yp13:31:55.91 $+23:54:05.7$ 2012-04-09 $-$ J135846PS1-12yt13:58:46.66 $+61:54:09.1$ 2012-04-022014-06-26J141056PS1-12yq14:10:56.35 $+59:30:31.6$ 2012-04-022013-03-31J142232PS1-12agr14:22:32.45 $+01:40:26.7$ 2012-04-232013-02-11J142446PS1-12arh14:24:46.21 $+46:13:48.7$ 2012-05-232012-02-05J142902PS1-12agk14:29:02.69 $+16:24:29.9$ 2012-05-192013-02-09J145240PS1-12nc14:35:31.51 $+07:13:32.7$ 2012-02-18 $-$ J150042PS1-12agw15:00:42.64 $+52:42:38.5$ 2012-02-18 $-$ J150210PS1-12agw15:00:21:0.46 $+23:09:15.3$ 2012-05-162013-04-30J151201PS1-12aix15:19:44.00 $+00:11:47.4$ 2012-05-262013-04-30J151445PS1-12aix15:44:5.52 $+27:99:14.4$ 2012-05-262013-04-30J154513PS1-12aix15:44:5.52 $+27:99:14.4$ 2012-05-262013-04-30J154513PS1-12aix15:45:13.66 $+27:50:19.1$ 2012-05-262013-04-3	J121834	PS1-12ns	12:18:34.46	+06:59:49.8	2012-02-21	_
J124044PS1-12fz12:40:44.85+12:53:21.22012-01-19 $-$ J124728PS1-13aab12:47:28.03+24:56:53.82013-03-102013-05-14J133004PS1-13zt13:30:04.98+15:22:30.82013-03-072013-06-09J133155PS1-12yp13:31:55.91+23:54:05.72012-04-09 $-$ J135846PS1-12yq14:10:56.35+59:30:31.62012-04-022014-06-26J141056PS1-12yq14:10:56.35+59:30:31.62012-04-092013-03-31J142232PS1-12agr14:22:32.45+01:40:26.72012-04-232012-02-11J142446PS1-12arh14:22:02.69+16:24:29.92012-05-232012-02-05J142902PS1-12apk14:29:02.69+16:24:29.92012-02-18 $-$ J150042PS1-12nf14:52:40.70+06:39:31.62012-02-18 $-$ J150042PS1-12agw15:00:42.64+52:42:38.52012-04-232014-07-24J150210PS1-12agg15:02:10.46+23:09:15.32012-05-162013-04-30J151201PS1-12aix15:12:01.72+05:00:56.22012-05-042013-05-15J151944PS1-12aix15:19:44.00+00:11:47.42012-04-282014-06-26J154455PS1-12aix15:19:44.00+00:11:47.42012-05-262013-04-30J154455PS1-12aix15:44:45.52+27:29:14.42012-05-262013-04-30J154455PS1-12aix15:44:5.52+27:29:14.42012-05-262014-06-26J154455	J122417	PS1-12we	12:24:17.03	+18:55:29.4	2012-03-25	-
J124728PS1-13aab12:47:28.03+24:56:53.82013-03-102013-05-14J133004PS1-13zt13:30:04.98+15:22:30.82013-03-072013-06-09J133155PS1-12yp13:31:55.91+23:54:05.72012-04-09-J135846PS1-12yt13:58:46.66+61:54:09.12012-04-022014-06-26J141056PS1-12yq14:10:56.35+59:30:31.62012-04-092013-03-31J142232PS1-12agr14:22:32.45+01:40:26.72012-04-232013-02-11J142446PS1-12arh14:24:46.21+46:13:48.72012-05-232012-02-05J142902PS1-12apk14:29:02.69+16:24:29.92012-05-192013-02-09J14531PS1-12nc14:35:31.51+07:13:32.72012-02-18-J150042PS1-12agw15:00:42.64+52:42:38.52012-04-232014-07-24J150210PS1-12aggw15:00:42.64+52:42:38.52012-02-18-J151201PS1-12aix15:12:01.72+06:09:56.22012-05-162013-04-30J151244PS1-12aix15:12:01.72+05:00:56.22012-05-042013-04-30J151944PS1-12aix15:19:44.00+00:11:47.42012-04-282014-06-26J154455PS1-12ars15:44:45.52+27:29:14.42012-05-162013-04-30J154455PS1-12aix15:19:44.00+00:11:47.42012-04-282014-06-26J154455PS1-12aix15:44:45.52+27:29:14.42012-05-262013-04-30J1545	J124044	PS1-12fz	12:40:44.85	+12:53:21.2	2012-01-19	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J124728	PS1-13aab	12:47:28.03	+24:56:53.8	2013-03-10	2013-05-14
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J133004	PS1-13zt	13:30:04.98	+15:22:30.8	2013-03-07	2013-06-09
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J133155	PS1-12yp	13:31:55.91	+23:54:05.7	2012-04-09	_
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J135846	PS1-12yt	13:58:46.66	+61:54:09.1	2012-04-02	2014-06-26
J142232 PS1-12agr 14:22:32.45 +01:40:26.7 2012-04-23 2013-02-11 J142446 PS1-12arh 14:22:32.45 +401:40:26.7 2012-04-23 2013-02-11 J142902 PS1-12arh 14:24:46.21 +46:13:48.7 2012-05-23 2013-02-09 J142902 PS1-12apk 14:29:02.69 +16:24:29.9 2012-05-19 2013-02-09 J143531 PS1-12nc 14:35:31.51 +07:13:32.7 2012-02-18 - J150042 PS1-12af 14:52:40.70 +06:39:31.6 2012-02-18 - J150042 PS1-12agw 15:00:42.64 +52:42:38.5 2012-04-23 2014-07-24 J150210 PS1-12apg 15:02:10.46 +23:09:15.3 2012-05-16 2013-04-30 J151201 PS1-12aix 15:10:17.2 +05:00:56.2 2012-05-04 2013-05-15 J151944 PS1-12aix 15:19:44.00 +00:11:47.4 2012-04-28 2014-06-26 J154445 PS1-12ars 15:44:45.52 +27:29:14.4 2012-05-26 2013-04-30 J154513 PS1-12bjg 15:45:13.66 +27:50:19.1 2012-08-23 - <td>J141056</td> <td>PS1-12yq</td> <td>14:10:56.35</td> <td>+59:30:31.6</td> <td>2012-04-09</td> <td>2013-03-31</td>	J141056	PS1-12yq	14:10:56.35	+59:30:31.6	2012-04-09	2013-03-31
J142446 PS1-12arh 14:24:46.21 +46:13:48.7 2012-05-23 2012-02-05 J142902 PS1-12apk 14:29:02.69 +16:24:29.9 2012-05-19 2013-02-09 J143531 PS1-12nc 14:35:31.51 +07:13:32.7 2012-02-18 2013-02-09 J145240 PS1-12nf 14:52:40.70 +06:39:31.6 2012-02-18 - J150042 PS1-12agw 15:00:42.64 +52:42:38.5 2012-04-23 2014-07-24 J150210 PS1-12apg 15:00:10.46 +23:09:15.3 2012-05-16 2013-04-30 J151201 PS1-12aix 15:12:01.72 +05:00:56.2 2012-05-04 2013-04-30 J151944 PS1-12aiu 15:19:44.00 +00:11:47.4 2012-04-28 2014-06-26 J154455 PS1-12aiu 15:19:44.00 +00:11:47.4 2012-04-28 2014-06-26 J154445 PS1-12aiu 15:19:44.00 +00:11:47.4 2012-04-28 2014-06-26 J154513 PS1-12bjg 15:44:45.52 +27:29:14.4 2012-05-26 2013-04-30 J154513 PS1-12bjg 15:45:13.66 +27:50:19.1 2012-08-23	J142232	PS1-12agr	14:22:32.45	+01:40:26.7	2012-04-23	2013-02-11
J142902 PS1-12apk 14:29:02.69 +16:24:29.9 2012-05-19 2013-02-09 J143531 PS1-12nc 14:35:31.51 +07:13:32.7 2012-02-18 2013-02-09 J145240 PS1-12nf 14:52:40.70 +06:39:31.6 2012-02-18 - J150042 PS1-12agw 15:00:42.64 +52:42:38.5 2012-04-23 2014-07-24 J150210 PS1-12apg 15:02:10.46 +23:09:15.3 2012-05-16 2013-04-30 J151201 PS1-12aigx 15:12:01.72 +05:00:56.2 2012-05-04 2013-04-30 J151244 PS1-12aiu 15:19:44.00 +00:11:47.4 2012-04-28 2014-06-26 J154445 PS1-12ars 15:44:45.52 +27:29:14.4 2012-05-26 2013-04-30 J154513 PS1-12bjg 15:45:13.66 +27:50:19.1 2012-05-26 2013-04-30 J154950 none 15:49:50.69 +14:49:30.0 2011-06-03 2011-06-09 J155427 none 15:54:27.15 +52:35:13.9 2011-05-09 2014-06-24	J142446	PS1-12arh	14:24:46.21	+46:13:48.7	2012-05-23	2012-02-05
J145351 PS1-12nc 14:55:31.51 +07:15:32.7 2012-02-18 2013-02-09 J145240 PS1-12nf 14:52:40.70 +06:39:31.6 2012-02-18 - J150042 PS1-12agw 15:00:42.64 +52:42:38.5 2012-04-23 2014-07-24 J150210 PS1-12agg 15:02:10.46 +23:09:15.3 2012-05-16 2013-04-30 J151201 PS1-12aix 15:12:01.72 +05:00:56.2 2012-05-04 2013-05-15 J151944 PS1-12aix 15:19:44.00 +00:11:47.4 2012-05-26 2014-06-26 J154445 PS1-12ars 15:44:45.52 +27:29:14.4 2012-05-26 2013-04-30 J154513 PS1-12bjg 15:45:13.66 +27:50:19.1 2012-05-26 2013-04-30 J154513 PS1-12bjg 15:45:13.66 +27:50:19.1 2012-08-23 - J154950 none 15:49:50.69 +14:49:30.0 2011-06-03 2011-06-09 J155427 none 15:54:27.15 +52:35:13.9 2011-05-09 2014-06-24	J142902	PS1-12apk	14:29:02.69	+16:24:29.9	2012-05-19	2013-02-09
J14:2240 FS1-12ni 14:22:40.70 +00:39:51.6 2012-02-18 - J150042 PS1-12agw 15:00:42.64 +52:42:38.5 2012-04-23 2014-07-24 J150210 PS1-12apg 15:02:10.46 +23:09:15.3 2012-05-16 2013-04-30 J151201 PS1-12ajx 15:12:01.72 +05:00:56.2 2012-05-04 2013-05-15 J151944 PS1-12aiu 15:19:44.00 +00:11:47.4 2012-04-28 2014-06-26 J154445 PS1-12ars 15:44:45.52 +27:29:14.4 2012-05-26 2013-04-30 J154513 PS1-12bjg 15:45:13.66 +27:50:19.1 2012-08-23 - J154950 none 15:49:50.69 +14:49:30.0 2011-06-03 2011-06-09 J155427 none 15:54:27.15 +52:35:13.9 2011-05-09 2014-06-24	J145531	PS1-12nc	14:55:51.51	+0/:13:32.7	2012-02-18	2013-02-09
J150042 FS1-12agw J50042.04 +52:42:38.5 2012-04-25 2014-07-24 J150210 PS1-12apg 15:02:10.46 +23:09:15.3 2012-05-16 2013-04-30 J151201 PS1-12ajx 15:12:01.72 +05:00:56.2 2012-05-04 2013-05-15 J151944 PS1-12aiu 15:19:44.00 +00:11:47.4 2012-04-28 2014-06-26 J154445 PS1-12ars 15:44:45.52 +27:29:14.4 2012-05-26 2013-04-30 J154513 PS1-12bjg 15:45:13.66 +27:50:19.1 2012-08-23 - J154950 none 15:49:50.69 +14:49:30.0 2011-06-03 2011-06-09 J155427 none 15:54:27.15 +52:35:13.9 2011-05-09 2014-06-24	J145240	PS1-12nf DS1-12a	14:52:40.70	+00:39:31.0	2012-02-18	-
J150210 FS1-12apg J5021040 +2509:15.5 2012-05-16 2013-04-30 J151201 PS1-12ajx 15:12:01.72 +05:00:56.2 2012-05-04 2013-05-15 J151944 PS1-12aiu 15:19:44.00 +00:11:47.4 2012-04-28 2014-06-26 J154445 PS1-12ars 15:44:45.52 +27:29:14.4 2012-05-26 2013-04-30 J154513 PS1-12bjg 15:45:13.66 +27:50:19.1 2012-08-23 - J154950 none 15:49:50.69 +14:49:30.0 2011-06-03 2011-06-09 J155427 none 15:54:27.15 +52:35:13.9 2011-05-09 2014-06-24	J150042	PS1-12agW	15:00:42.04	+32:42:38.3	2012-04-23	2012-04-20
J151201 FS1-12ajx 15:12:01.72 +05:00:50.2 2012-05-04 2013-05-15 J151944 PS1-12aiu 15:19:44.00 +00:11:47.4 2012-04-28 2014-06-26 J154445 PS1-12ars 15:44:45.52 +27:29:14.4 2012-05-26 2013-04-30 J154513 PS1-12bjg 15:45:13.66 +27:50:19.1 2012-08-23 - J154950 none 15:49:50.69 +14:49:30.0 2011-06-03 2011-06-09 J155427 none 15:54:27.15 +52:35:13.9 2011-05-09 2014-06-24	J150210	PS1-12apg	15:02:10.46	+23:09:15.3	2012-05-16	2013-04-30
J1517+4 IS1712au IS174400 +00:11:47.4 2012-04-28 2014-06-20 J154445 PS1-12ars 15:44:45.52 +27:29:14.4 2012-05-26 2013-04-30 J154513 PS1-12bjg 15:45:13.66 +27:50:19.1 2012-08-23 - J154950 none 15:49:50.69 +14:49:30.0 2011-06-03 2011-06-09 J155427 none 15:54:27.15 +52:35:13.9 2011-05-09 2014-06-24	J1J1201 J151044	PS1-12aJX DS1 12ain	15:12:01.72	+03:00:30.2 +00.11.47.4	2012-05-04	2013-03-13
J154445 F51-12ars 15:44:45.52 +27:29:14.4 2012-05-20 2013-04-30 J154513 PS1-12bjg 15:45:13.66 +27:50:19.1 2012-08-23 - J154950 none 15:49:50.69 +14:49:30.0 2011-06-03 2011-06-09 J155427 none 15:54:27.15 +52:35:13.9 2011-05-09 2014-06-24	J1J1944 I154445	PSI-12alu DS1 12arc	15:19:44.00	+00.11.4/.4	2012-04-28	2014-00-20
J154950 none 15:49:50.69 +14:49:30.0 2012-06-25 - J155427 none 15:54:27.15 +52:35:13.9 2011-05-09 2014-06-24	J134443 I154512	PSI-12ars	15:44:45.52	+27.29.14.4	2012-03-20	2013-04-30
J155427 none 15:54:27.15 +52:35:13.9 2011-05-09 2014-06-24	I154950	none	15.40.50.60	$\pm 14.40.30.0$	2012-06-23	- 2011_06_00
	J155427	none	15:54:27.15	+52:35:13.9	2011-05-09	2014-06-24

Name	Transient ID	RA(2000.0)	Dec (2000.0)	Flag Date	WHT Observation Date
J160329	PS1-12aha	16:03:29.42	+06:05:05.8	2012-04-23	2013-05-14
J160332	PS1-12atz	16:03:32.98	+58:03:05.9	2012-06-06	2013-05-15
J161022	PS1-12aji	16:10:22.86	+08:38:46.2	2012-04-28	2013-08-08
J170800	PS1-12arz	17:08:00.75	+10:24:25.0	2012-05-26	_
J170845	PS1-12asa	17:08:45.13	+19:05:11.7	2012-05-26	2013-06-09
J172534	PS1-12axc	17:25:34.88	+08:35:45.6	2012-06-14	_
J172639	PS1-12apd	17:26:39.90	+61:27:06.5	2012-05-14	_
J175610	PS1-12bcb	17:56:10.00	+46:39:58.7	2012-08-09	2014-07-23
J202823	PS1-12axd	20:28:23.49	+60:02:33.9	2012-06-14	2013-08-08
J221241	PS1-12baa	22:12:41.55	+00:30:43.1	2012-08-23	_
J223210	PS1-12bjx	22:32:10.51	-08:06:21.2	2012-08-30	2013-06-10
J233237	PS1-12bzm	23:32:37.52	-10:04:44.0	2012-11-02	2014-07-22
J234953	PS1-12baj	23:49:53.52	-09:16:06.9	2012-07-30	2014-07-22
J234953	PS1-12baj	23:49:53.52	-09:16:06.9	2012-07-30	2014-07-22

Table A2. Cross-identifications for the sample. Note that for UKIDSS, 'N/A' means that the object is outside the UKIDSS footprint, whereas '-' means the object is inside the UKIDSS footprint but not seen.

Name	SDSS ID	UKIDSS ID	NVSS ID	CRTS ID
J012514	J012514.10+480551.9	N/A	_	-
J012714	J012714.65+005224.6	-	_	CSS121013:012715+005224
J025633	J025633.76+370712.3	N/A	219702	_
J031240	J031240.88+183641.1	N/A	_	_
J033730	J033730.15-072330.2	N/A	_	_
J044918	J044918.15+115939.5	N/A	_	MLS121114:044918+115940
J061829	J061829.10+353552.5	N/A	_	_
J080223	J080223.16+283111.5	433796865918	_	_
J081145	J081145.50+155504.9	N/A	_	_
J081445	J081445.09+232630.3	433802373716	_	MLS120127:081146+155505
J081728	J081728.61+262720.8	_	_	_
J081916	J081916.20+331405.9	N/A	_	_
J083544	J083544.40+100801.2	_	_	_
J083714	J083714.13+260932.4	433799630267	_	_
J084305	J084305.50+550351.0	N/A	_	_
J085220	J085220.13+255701.2	433799627553	_	_
J085759	J085759.89+255454.3	_	_	CSS121115:085800+255454
J090119	J090119.10+062943.6	433832907807	_	_
J090244	J090244.50+045210.9	433840230630	678186	_
J090514	J090514.12+503628.4	N/A	_	_
J092358	J092358.39+624759.7	N/A	_	CSS130108:092358+624800
J092635	J092635.71+072532.5	433877433237	_	_
J094309	J094309.96+283508.4	N/A	728422	_
J094511	J094511.08+174544.7	N/A	_	CSS111231:094511+174545
J094612	J094612.91+195028.6	N/A	_	CSS120121:094613+195028
J102632	J102632.22+053508.1	-	_	CSS121114:102632+053508
J103511	J103511.66+460446.8	N/A	_	_
J103726	J103726.92-003852.6	433868153861	_	_
J103837	J103837.08+021119.7	433850380130	_	_
J104556	J104556.46+052655.9	433837504016	_	_
J104617	J104617.71+553336.4	N/A	_	_
J105040	J105040.82+391735.6	N/A	_	_
J105402	J105402.18+165738.0	N/A	_	_
J105502	J105502.00+330002.4	N/A	_	CSS130105:105502+330004
J110805	J110805.80+621500.9	N/A	_	_
J111547	J111547.76+652025.7	N/A	_	_
J111706	J111706.70-010228.8	_	_	MLS130122:111707-010229
J113309	J113309.67-033909.6	433883390451	_	_
J114742	J114742.76+650554.7	N/A	_	_
J115553	J115553.04+393642.1	N/A	_	_
J120240	J120240.90+295029.9	N/A	_	-
J120921	J120921.45+665306.3	N/A	_	_
J121834	J121834.46+065949.9	433830393521	921141	CSS110104:121834+065950

 Table A2
 - continued

Name	SDSS ID	UKIDSS ID	NVSS ID	CRTS ID
J122417	J122417.03+185529.4	N/A	_	CSS120328:122417+185529
J124044	J124044.82+125321.5	-	_	CSS120125:124045+125321
J124728	J124728.01+245653.6	433800999723	_	_
J133004	J133004.98+152230.6	433805594397	-	_
J133155	J133155.90+235405.8	433801910070	-	CSS120301:133156+235405
J135846	J135846.65+615409.2	N/A	-	_
J141056	J141056.34+593031.8	N/A	-	_
J142232	J142232.45+014026.8	433852135933	-	_
J142446	J142446.21+461348.6	N/A	-	_
J142902	J142902.67+162429.7	N/A	-	_
J143531	J143531.52+071332.6	433830056985	-	_
J145240	J145240.65+063931.4	_	-	_
J150042	J150042.63+524238.5	N/A	-	_
J150210	J150210.47+230915.2	N/A	-	CSS120514:150211+230915
J151201	J151201.71+050056.1	433839619010	-	_
J151944	J151944.00+001147.4	433862112851	-	_
J154445	J154445.51+272914.4	433879848087	-	_
J154513	J154513.66+275019.0	433879854735	-	_
J154950	J154950.71+144929.9	N/A	-	_
J155427	J155427.16+523513.8	N/A	-	_
J160329	J160329.43+060505.8	N/A	1199286	_
J160332	J160332.96+580305.8	N/A	-	_
J161022	J161022.87+083846.1	N/A	-	_
J170800	J170800.74+102425.4	N/A	-	_
J170845	J170845.12+190511.7	N/A	-	_
J172534	J172534.87+083545.5	N/A	1303932	_
J172639	J172639.90+612706.7	N/A	-	_
J175610	J175609.99+463958.6	N/A	-	_
J202823	J202823.50+600234.2	N/A	-	_
J221241	J221241.53+003042.7	433858000660	-	CSS120825:221242+003043
J223210	J223210.51-080621.3	N/A	-	_
J233237	J233237.53-100444.1	N/A	-	_
J234953	J234953.52-091607.1	N/A	-	_

Table A3.	Host and transient ph	otometry.	The host galaxy	photometry	uses the	cmodel	magnitudes	from SDS	S DR7.	The	transient
magnitudes	are 2 arcsec aperture	photometry	y from the Liver	pool Telesco	pe data.						

		Host	Galaxy			Transient	
Name	g	u–g	g-r	r-i	g_{\max}	u–g	g-r
J012514	23.68(0.27)	0.21(1.00)	1.02(0.32)	0.07(0.35)	19.02	0.18(0.04)	0.10(0.03)
J012714	22.76(0.19)	0.38(0.77)	-0.13(0.34)	0.92(0.36)	20.19	1.25(0.12)	0.56(0.05)
J025633	21.16(0.04)	1.01(1.19)	1.07(0.09)	0.05(0.09)	18.6	0.51(0.03)	0.47(0.02)
J031240	21.49(0.05)	0.63(0.25)	0.20(0.08)	0.31(0.10)	19.24	0.26(0.05)	-0.05(0.03)
J033730	21.37(0.06)	2.67(1.44)	1.04(0.07)	0.42(0.06)	19.68	0.51(0.14)	0.61(0.06)
J044918	22.46(0.10)	0.49(0.51)	0.38(0.16)	0.33(0.20)	19.26	0.54(0.05)	0.29(0.03)
J061829	21.72(0.05)	0.59(0.23)	0.38(0.07)	0.66(0.07)	16.52	0.39(0.01)	0.29(0.01)
J080223	21.85(0.07)	0.86(0.39)	0.51(0.09)	0.33(0.09)	19.49	>1.81	0.91(0.07)
J081145	22.37(0.14)	0.48(0.64)	0.53(0.18)	-0.22(0.24)	19.43	0.98(0.22)	0.48(0.08)
J081445	22.16(0.08)	0.13(0.24)	0.58(0.11)	-0.19(0.13)	19.71	0.04(0.04)	0.33(0.03)
J081728	22.54(0.15)	1.11(1.11)	0.61(0.20)	-0.06(0.24)	20.38	1.21(0.30)	0.46(0.05)
J081916	21.49(0.06)	0.25(0.22)	0.99(0.07)	0.67(0.05)	19.68	0.32(0.04)	0.19(0.03)
J083544	21.46(0.04)	-0.03(0.11)	0.88(0.05)	-0.28(0.06)	19.26	-0.25(0.04)	0.42(0.03)
J083714	21.83(0.07)	-0.28(0.16)	0.74(0.09)	0.12(0.09)	20.02	-0.36(0.05)	0.34(0.04)
J084305	22.25(0.19)	0.19(0.65)	0.74(0.24)	0.65(0.20)	19.97	0.06(0.04)	0.20(0.03)
J085220	20.87(0.03)	0.44(0.09)	0.17(0.04)	0.33(0.04)	19.5	0.22(0.05)	0.27(0.05)
J085759	21.17(0.05)	0.76(0.21)	-0.02(0.07)	0.24(0.08)	19.53	0.37(0.04)	0.19(0.03)
J090119	21.50(0.05)	0.77(0.27)	0.16(0.09)	0.41(0.13)	19.62	0.90(0.09)	0.45(0.04)
J090244	21.19(0.04)	0.23(0.16)	0.73(0.06)	0.64(0.05)	19.54	0.33(0.05)	0.21(0.03)
J090514	22.31(0.18)	0.01(0.39)	1.15(0.19)	-0.64(0.25v)	19.82	-0.36(0.04)	0.28(0.03)
J092358	21.20(0.06)	1.80(0.65)	0.37(0.10)	0.32(0.12)	19.87	0.47(0.12)	0.51(0.04)

Table A3	- continued
----------	-------------

		Host C	alaxy			Transient	
Name	g	u–g	g-r	r—i	g _{max}	u–g	g-r
J092635	21.43(0.06)	1.83(0.90)	1.16(0.07)	0.73(0.05)	19.76	0.27(0.06)	0.25(0.05)
J094309	20.45(0.02)	0.10(0.06)	0.93(0.03)	-0.02(0.03)	18.84	0.19(0.03)	0.36(0.02)
J094511	22.31(0.10)	0.89(0.57)	0.61(0.13)	0.40(0.13)	19.94	0.01(0.06)	0.16(0.05)
J094612	22.12(0.08)	1.37(0.67)	0.65(0.11)	-0.20(0.14)	18.33	1.05(0.38)	0.73(0.05)
J102632	21.65(0.12)	1.35(0.77)	0.15(0.18)	0.41(0.18)	20.14	1.59(0.21)	1.05(0.04)
J103511	21.80(0.08)	1.56(0.95)	0.34(0.11)	0.21(0.12)	19.82	0.23(0.05)	0.20(0.03)
J103726	22.61(0.15)	1.62(1.49)	0.83(0.18)	0.16(0.17)	20.11	0.21(0.05)	0.42(0.02)
J103837	21.46(0.06)	1.04(0.31)	0.16(0.09)	0.53(0.09)	19.51	0.49(0.05)	0.09(0.03)
J104556	21.40(0.05)	0.13(0.18)	0.38(0.07)	0.29(0.08)	19.21	0.19(0.05)	0.35(0.03)
J104617	21.59(0.05)	1.17(0.49)	0.69(0.07)	0.21(0.08)	19.99	1.05(0.11)	0.62(0.04)
J105040	20.44(0.03)	0.30(0.09)	1.02(0.04)	0.27(0.03)	18.84	0.05(0.02)	0.30(0.02)
J105402	22.03(0.11)	0.62(0.48)	0.74(0.14)	0.21(0.16)	19.53	>1.1	0.99(0.07)
J105502	20.46(0.03)	0.39(0.10)	0.87(0.04)	0.52(0.03)	18.96	0.15(0.02)	0.06(0.02)
J110805	21.90(0.09)	1.32(0.82)	0.93(0.11)	0.71(0.08)	19.27	0.04(0.03)	0.01(0.03)
J111547	20.45(0.03)	0.55(0.13)	0.58(0.04)	0.55(0.04)	18.93	0.13(0.02)	0.09(0.02)
J111706	22.29(0.13)	0.28(0.33)	0.73(0.16)	0.43(0.15)	19.41	0.20(0.35)	0.75(0.15)
J113309	20.58(0.05)	0.46(0.16)	0.79(0.06)	0.66(0.04)	18.92	0.14(0.02)	0.12(0.02)
J114742	21.83(0.07)	1.44(0.71)	0.19(0.11)	0.17(0.14)	20.2	0.61(0.06)	0.34(0.02)
J115553	21.92(0.11)	0.59(0.43)	0.41(0.15)	0.87(0.12)	19.7	0.10(0.04)	0.12(0.03)
J120240	23.00(0.19)	-0.52(0.31)	0.68(0.23)	-0.48(0.31)	22.77	-0.53(0.22)	0.36(0.25)
J120921	22.24(0.14)	0.98(1.07)	0.84(0.18)	-0.55(0.31)	20.24	1.49(0.20)	0.81(0.03)
J121834	22.38(0.12)	1.77(1.51)	0.31(0.18)	0.41(0.18)	20.53	0.33(0.15)	0.05(0.11)
J122417	19.67(0.03)	0.74(0.11)	0.23(0.04)	0.10(0.06)	18.1	0.82(0.04)	0.74(0.01)
J124044	21.31(0.06)	0.50(0.23)	0.08(0.10)	0.37(0.13)	20.55	1.30(0.17)	0.95(0.04)
J124728	20.73(0.03)	0.71(0.20)	0.95(0.04)	0.49(0.03)	18.95	0.02(0.02)	0.07(0.01)
J133004	21.37(0.05)	0.58(0.24)	0.74(0.06)	0.56(0.06)	18.97	0.22(0.03)	0.19(0.02)
J133155	22.58(0.13)	0.39(0.47)	1.36(0.14)	0.95(0.07)	19.87	-0.54(0.05)	0.05(0.06)
J135846	21.71(0.11)	0.20(0.29)	0.43(0.15)	0.78(0.12)	19.88	0.08(0.05)	0.17(0.03)
J141056	20.62(0.03)	0.78(0.15)	0.31(0.04)	0.39(0.04)	18.77	0.37(0.02)	0.14(0.02)
J142232	23.61(0.36)	1.07(1.69)	1.48(0.39)	0.06(0.26)	19.74	0.01(0.04)	0.22(0.03)
J142446	19.31(0.01)	0.36(0.04)	0.15(0.01)	0.45(0.01)	16.74	0.52(0.02)	0.14(0.02)
J142902	20.78(0.03)	0.53(0.16)	0.56(0.04)	0.60(0.04)	19.22	0.25(0.02)	0.09(0.02)
J143531	21.51(0.07)	0.20(0.27)	0.54(0.10)	0.25(0.11)	20.15	0.06(0.06	0.24(0.05)
J145240	23.51(0.29)	-0.23(0.60)	0.62(0.38)	0.91(0.31)	22.3	-	0.60(0.83)
J150042	22.12(0.09)	0.72(0.45)	0.16(0.13)	0.36(0.16)	19.9	0.31(0.05)	0.21(0.03)
J150210	21.48(0.06)	0.38(0.27)	0.43(0.08)	0.54(0.07)	18.82	-0.42(0.02)	-0.16(0.02)
J151201	21.87(0.06)	0.33(0.24)	0.17(0.09)	0.09(0.11)	19.92	0.15(0.05)	0.17(0.03)
J151944	21.42(0.04)	0.59(0.17)	0.37(0.06)	0.66(0.06)	19.15	0.33(0.02)	-0.02(0.02)
J154445	20.98(0.03)	0.40(0.12)	0.19(0.05)	0.33(0.06)	19.05	0.33(0.02)	-0.05(0.02)
J154513	21.31(0.04)	0.71(0.22)	0.36(0.06)	0.17(0.07)	21.24	0.56(0.17)	0.13(0.07)
J154950	21.82(0.07)	0.77(0.32)	0.52(0.09)	0.11(0.10)	18.95	0.27(0.73)	0.27(0.12)
J155427	22.13(0.10)	0.35(0.38)	0.54(0.13)	0.79(0.12)	19.33	0.33(0.05)	0.07(0.04)
J160329	22.61(0.11)	-0.32(0.24)	0.83(0.14)	-0.01(0.15)	19.84	-0.19(0.04)	0.29(0.02)
J160332	22.07(0.08)	0.53(0.38)	0.56(0.11)	0.38(0.10)	20.19	0.00(0.05)	0.46(0.03)
J161022	21.33(0.05)	0.59(0.22)	0.22(0.07)	0.42(0.07)	19.97	0.38(0.04)	0.17(0.03)
J170800	22.52(0.15)	0.26(0.57)	0.47(0.21)	0.06(0.27)	19.98	0.99(0.11)	0.60(0.14)
J170845	21.45(0.05)	1.31(0.36)	0.43(0.07)	0.90(0.06)	19.92	0.54(0.05)	-0.05(0.23)
J172534	23.58(0.40)	1.35(1.62)	1.44(0.43)	0.29(0.26)	21.01	0.65(0.10)	0.59(0.03)
J172639	22.65(0.21)	0.31(0.79)	0.71(0.27)	-0.02(0.29)	22.51	-0.50(0.44)	0.56(0.31)
J1/5610	21.19(0.05)	0.99(0.33)	0.13(0.08)	0.40(0.08)	19.51	0.51(0.07)	0.06(0.04)
J202823	22.97(0.17)	1.10(0.98)	0.79(0.21)	0.01(0.18)	18.92	0.89(0.05)	0.61(0.02)
J221241	18.97(0.02)	0.19(0.05)	0.08(0.03)	-0.04(0.04)	17.43	1.84(0.03)	0.89(0.01)
J225210	20.09(0.03)	-0.32(0.06)	0.90(0.04)	0.24(0.03)	18.29	-0.13(0.02)	0.15(0.02)
J233257	21.95(0.09)	-0.43(0.19)	0.50(0.12)	0.39(0.12)	20.02	-0.25(0.04)	0.31(0.03)
JZ34933	21.03(0.07)	-0.43(0.15)	0.79(0.09)	0.07(0.11)	20.02	-0.19(0.05)	0.28(0.04)

Table A4. Various quantities derived from the light curves, spectra. (1) Usual short name (2) Colour type as defined in the text – 1=red, 2=blue, 3=ultra-blue. (3) Transient amplitude in *g* band – SDSS magnitude minus PS1/LT magnitude at time of flag. The quantity in brackets is the error. (4) Spectral type. (5) Spectroscopic redshift. (6) Photometric redshift, from SDSS DR7. The quantity in brackets is the error. (7) Early decay rate in mag month⁻¹, as defined in the text. The first quantity in brackets is the error; the second in brackets is the slope in the AGN rest frame, i.e. multiplied by 1 = z. (8) Notes. 'DR7' and 'DR9' refer to SDSS releases. Objects are morphologically classified as galaxy in both DR7 and DR9 except where noted.

Name	ctype	g-amp	spectype	specz	photz	Slope	Note
J012514	2	4.66(0.27)	vstar	0.0	0.077(0.077)	+4.4300(0.7700)	
J012714	1	2.57(0.19)	nospec	_	0.090(0.009)	+0.3300(0.0300)	
J025633	1	2.56(0.04)	smooth	-	0.123(0.118)	+0.3800(0.0600)	DR9 class=star
J031240	2	2.25(0.05)	AGN	0.891	0.398(0.151)	+0.0157(0.0063) [+0.0297]	
J033730	1	1.69(0.06)	nospec	-	0.121(0.022)	+0.4110(0.0350)	
J044918	1	3.20(0.10)	vstar	0.0	0.362(0.028)	-0.2407(0.3160)	
J061829	2	5.20(0.05)	vstar	0.0	-	+0.0530(0.0100)	DR7 class=star
J080223	1	2.36(0.07)	nospec	-	0.143(0.090)	+1.1330(0.1750)	
J081145	1	2.94(0.14)	nospec	-	0.163(0.105)	+1.2890(0.1610)	
J081445	2	2.45(0.08)	AGN	1.17	0.286(0.085)	+0.0169(0.0050) [$+0.0367$]	
J081728	1	2.16(0.15)	nospec	-	0.039(0.020)	+0.5000(0.0490)	
J081916	2	1.81(0.06)	AGN	0.426	0.432(0.116)	+0.0502(0.0061) [+0.0716]	also spec from SDSS DR7; ROSAT target
J083544	3	2.20(0.04)	AGN	1.327	-	-0.0244(0.0066) [-0.0568]	DR7 class=star
J083714	3	1.81(0.07)	nospec	-	0.215(0.077)	+0.0522(0.0031)	
J084305	2	2.28(0.19)	AGN	0.894	0.490(0.078)	+0.0061(0.0066) [+0.0116]	
J085220	2	1.37(0.03)	AGN	0.854	0.442(0.021)	+0.0644(0.0280) [+0.1194]	
J085/59	2	1.64(0.05)	AGN	0.746	0.908(0.320)	+0.0488(0.0290) [$+0.0852$]	
J090119	1	1.88(0.05)	SNIIn	0.11	0.300(0.195)	+0.2783(0.0398)[+0.3089]	
J090244	2	1.05(0.04)	AGN	1.20	0.458(0.081)	+0.0207(0.0091)[+0.0297]	
J090514	3	2.49(0.18)	AGN	1.29	0.236(0.178)	+0.0266(0.0025)[0.0609]	
1092338	1	1.33(0.06)	nospec	-	0.061(0.022) 0.127(0.020)	+0.4340(0.0412)	
J092035	2	1.67(0.06) 1.61(0.02)	AGN	0.405	0.137(0.039)	+0.0043(0.0073)[+0.0063]	DD0 alass star
J094509	2	1.01(0.02)	AGN	1.209	0.181(0.119) 0.140(0.041)	-0.0039(0.0021)[-0.0088]	DR9 class=star
J094511 J004612	2 1	2.37(0.10) 2.70(0.08)	AUN	0.738	0.149(0.041)	+0.0480(0.0030) [$+0.083$]	and from NOT, SN20121
J094012 J102632	1	5.79(0.08) 1.51(0.12)	SNIC	0.175	0.040(0.047) 0.055(0.027)	+1.4430(0.0939) [$+1.0980$]	spec from NO1; SN2012ff
J102032	2	1.31(0.12) 1.98(0.08)	nospec	0.045	0.033(0.027)	+1.1521(0.1059)[+1.2059]	
J103311 J102726	2	1.98(0.08) 2.50(0.15)	nospec	_	0.039(0.018) 0.086(0.027)	+0.0283(0.0092)	
J103720 J103837	2	2.30(0.13)	AGN	0.62	0.080(0.037) 0.061(0.020)	$\pm 0.0226(0.0079)$ [± 0.0366]	
J103037	2	2 19(0.05)	AGN	0.02	0.321(0.042)	-0.0363(0.0019) [-0.0724]	DR9 class-star
J104550	1	1.60(0.05)	nospec	0.775	0.021(0.042) 0.075(0.056)	+0.1191(0.0094)	Dity class=star
J104017	2	1.60(0.03)	AGN	0.306	0.302(0.043)	+0.0053(0.0244) [$+0.0069$]	spec from SDSS DR7: ROSAT target
J105402	1	2.50(0.11)	nospec	-	0.329(0.033)	+1.1183(0.2619)	spec from 5255 2R7, ROSTI target
J105502	2	1.50(0.03)	AGN	0.417	0.337(0.068)	+0.0127(0.0144) [+0.0180]	
J110805	2	2.63(0.09)	AGN	0.536	0.132(0.044)	+0.0419(0.0032) [+0.0644]	
J111547	2	1.52(0.03)	nospec	_	0.459(0.146)	+0.1787(0.0053)	
J111706	2	2.88(0.13)	nospec	_	0.304(0.033)	+1.2800(0.0760)	
J113309	2	1.66(0.05)	nospec	_	0.489(0.118)	-0.0459(0.0082)	
J114742	1	1.63(0.07)	nospec	_	0.100(0.049)	-0.0001(0.0076) [+0.0882]	DR9 class=star
J115553	2	2.22(0.11)	nospec	_	0.488(0.183)	+0.0683(0.0081)	
J120240	3	0.23(0.19)	nospec	_	0.159(0.145)	+0.0551(0.0344)	
J120921	1	2.00(0.14)	SNIa	0.058	0.072(0.090)	+0.3616(0.0253) [+0.3823]	
J121834	2	1.85(0.12)	nospec	-	0.093(0.050)	+0.0275(0.0059)	
J122417	1	1.57(0.03)	SNII	0.019	0.021(0.006)	+0.5816(0.0485) [0.5927]	spec from INT
J124044	1	0.76(0.06)	nospec	-	0.315(0.070)	+0.0896(0.0144)	
J124728	2	1.78(0.03)	AGN	0.454	0.305(0.061)	-0.0155(0.0041) [-0.0225]	
J133004	2	2.40(0.05)	AGN	0.357	0.412(0.114)	-0.0420(0.0161) [-0.0570]	
J133155	3	2.71(0.13)	nospec	-	0.581(0.065)	+0.1537(0.0115)	
J135846	2	1.83(0.11)	AGN	0.845	0.584(0.094)	+0.0084(0.0018) [+0.0155]	
J141056	2	1.85(0.03)	AGN	0.674	0.052(0.063)	+0.0452(0.0057)[+0.0757]	
J142232	2	3.87(0.36)	AGN	1.079	0.200(0.113)	+0.0201(0.0058) [+0.0418]	
J142446	2	2.57(0.01)	SNIc	0.107	0.110(0.022)	+0.3342(0.0046) [+0.3700]	PTF12dam
J142902	2	1.56(0.03)	AGN	0.439	0.422(0.168)	+0.0721(0.0040) [+0.1038]	
J143531	2	1.36(0.07)	AGN	0.439	0.098(0.059)	+0.0310(0.0029) [$+0.0446$]	DR9 class=star
J145240	_	1.21(0.29)	nospec	-	0.454(0.139)	+0.2122(0.1030)	
J150042	2	2.22(0.09)	AGN	0.752	1.145(0.202)	+0.0672(0.0037) [+0.1178]	
J150210	3	2.66(0.06)	AGN	0.630	0.438(0.078)	-0.01/5(0.0085) [-0.0285]	
J151201	2	1.95(0.06)	AGN	0.933	0.138(0.053)	-0.00/5(0.0037) [-0.0145]	
J151944	2	2.27(0.04)	AGN	0.534	0.443(0.191)	+0.0114(0.0039)[+0.0175]	
J154445	2	1.93(0.03)	AGN	0.548	0.139(0.024)	+0.0039(0.0050)[+0.0060]	

 Table A4 – continued

Name	ctype	g-amp	spec type	specz	photz	Slope	Note
J154513	2	0.07(0.04)	nospec	-	0.096(0.038)	+0.0311(0.0517)	
J154950	2	2.87(0.07)	SNIa	0.12	0.146(0.092)	+0.2717(0.017) [+0.3043]	SN2011er
J155427	2	2.78(0.10)	AGN	0.572	0.536(0.147)	-0.0180(0.0044) [-0.0283]	
J160329	3	2.77(0.11)	AGN	1.412	0.233(0.070)	+0.0058(0.0259) [$+0.0140$]	
J160332	2	1.88(0.08)	AGN	1.044	0.237(0.084)	+0.0233(0.0078) [+0.0476]	
J161022	2	1.36(0.05)	AGN	1.986	0.110(0.022)	+0.1504(0.0784)[+0.449]	DR9 class=star
J170800	1	2.54(0.15)	nospec	_	0.118(0.061)	+1.0754(0.1826)	
J170845	2	1.53(0.05)	AGN	0.586	0.133(0.056)	-0.0084(0.0111) [-0.0133]	
J172534	1	2.57(0.40)	nospec	_	0.222(0.100)	+0.2364(0.1211)	
J172639	3	0.4(0.21)	nospec	_	0.299(0.048)	+0.2273(0.1496)	
J175610	2	1.68(0.05)	AGN	0.677	0.049(0.013)	-0.0012(0.0053) [-0.0020]	
J202823	1	4.05(0.17)	vstar	0.0	0.152(0.076)	+0.1928(0.0365)	
J221241	1	1.54(0.02)	SNI-pec	0.0137	0.185(0.135)	+0.3104(0.0283) [+0.3147]	PTF12gzk;DR9-spec-starburst
J223210	3	1.80(0.03)	AGN	0.276	0.269(0.064)	-0.0054(0.0015) [-0.0069]	
J233237	3	1.91(0.09)	AGN	1.471	0.257(0.050)	+0.0113(0.0052) [+0.0279]	DR9 class=star
J234953	3	1.63(0.07)	AGN	1.278	0.586(0.293)	+0.0017(0.0338) [+0.0039]	DR9 class=star

Table A5. Quantities measured from the WHT spectra. Fluxes are in units of 10^{-15} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹. Equivalent widths are AGN rest-frame equivalent widths in units of Å.

Name	z	F(Mg II)	$F(Mg {\rm II}_{cont})$	W(Mg II)	F(OII)	$F(O II_{cont})$	W(OII)	F(OIII)	$F(O III_{cont})$	W(O III)
J031240	0.891	53.45(2.04)	0.55	51.649	< 0.75(0.22)	0.31	<1.266	_	_	_
J081445	1.17	28.35(0.81)	0.34	38.378	1.05(0.21)	0.23	2.129	_	_	_
J081916	0.42568	54.32(1.90)	0.44	87.424	2.11(0.51)	0.32	4.669	18.98(0.21)	0.23	57.52
J083544	1.3267	35.90(0.60)	0.42	37.086	1.03(0.34)	0.23	1.895	_	_	_
J084305	0.894	29.23(1.12)	0.4	38.984	0.81(0.12)	0.26	1.67	_	_	_
J085220	0.8542	55.74(1.24)	0.62	48.37	< 0.57(0.09)	0.39	< 0.798	_	_	_
J085759	0.7458	38.15(1.75)	0.52	42.221	< 0.70(0.20)	0.30	<1.326	2.72(0.24)	0.19	8.39
J090244	0.4365	76.41(1.24)	0.41	129.767	1.96(0.32)	0.26	5.217	8.30(0.12)	0.22	25.71
J090514	1.2895	30.33(0.92)	0.32	41.933	_	_	_	_	_	_
J092635	0.46499	21.46(1.47)	0.48	30.273	< 0.93(0.26)	0.40	<1.589	2.34(0.20)	0.34	4.76
J094309	1.2691	59.33(0.80)	0.76	34.26	<1.02(0.27)	0.48	< 0.937	_	_	_
J094511	0.7578	24.72(0.97)	0.21	66.531	1.04(0.12)	0.14	4.369	1.68(0.15)	0.09	10.45
J103837	0.61978	46.44(1.50)	0.6	47.799	0.83(0.19)	0.36	1.441	7.60(0.20)	0.18	25.4
J104556	0.995	45.21(0.72)	0.75	30.127	1.87(0.13)	0.47	2.017	_	_	_
J105502	0.41657	105.10(2.74)	1.57	47.211	3.81(0.66)	1.00	2.697	15.22(0.25)	0.61	17.65
J110805	0.536	48.87(2.24)	0.67	47.246	< 0.90(0.27)	0.37	<1.609	_	_	_
J124728	0.45396	103.90(3.93)	1.46	48.829	<2.16(0.65)	0.87	<1.714	<1.66(0.53)	0.61	<1.88
J133004	0.3574	77.34(1.63)	1.48	38.477	14.17(0.41)	1.14	9.159	32.27(0.19)	0.62	38.14
J135846	0.845	30.23(1.17)	0.59	27.89	_	_	_	_	-	_
J141056	0.6743	70.61(1.66)	0.82	51.52	3.17(0.22)	0.55	3.463	9.66(0.24)	0.33	17.34
J142232	1.079	20.47(0.66)	0.35	27.924	< 0.69(0.22)	0.24	<1.381	_	_	_
J142902	0.4393	63.20(1.14)	0.6	72.932	< 0.95(0.27)	0.41	<1.612	9.96(0.15)	0.32	21.48
J143531	1.5569	23.77(0.52)	0.14	65.202	< 0.90(0.41)	0.08	<4.445	_	-	_
J150042	0.7523	26.38(1.18)	0.27	55.539	2.86(0.16)	0.18	8.927	9.93(0.40)	0.12	48.96
J150210	0.6297	75.86(3.05)	1.16	39.972	<1.21(0.34)	0.54	<1.379	1.00(0.22)	0.29	2.13
J151201	0.933	30.03(1.47)	0.43	36.746	< 0.64(0.19)	0.25	<1.304	_	_	-
J151944	0.5339	70.00(1.95)	1.14	40.113	<1.22(0.22)	0.69	<1.059	2.05(0.15)	0.42	3.15
J154445	0.5478	72.65(5.25)	1.17	39.974	4.14(0.47)	0.68	3.904	10.41(0.39)	0.36	18.76
J155427	0.5718	59.26(1.45)	1.14	33.198	1.19(0.15)	0.66	1.15	5.24(0.17)	0.34	9.74
J160329	1.4124	18.79(0.86)	0.33	23.43	<1.48(0.64)	0.21	<2.981	_	_	-
J160332	1.0439	49.63(0.72)	0.27	89.368	-	_	_	_	-	_
J161022	1.986	21.17(0.71)	0.32	22.206	-	_	_	_	-	_
J170845	0.5855	58.41(1.20)	0.68	54.199	< 0.74(0.16)	0.41	1.137	5.41(0.16)	0.30	11.49
J175610	0.6762	48.82(1.34)	0.79	37.08	5.02(0.17)	0.45	6.697	7.70(0.19)	0.24	19.16
J223210	0.27605	278.50(5.16)	3.6	60.247	<3.43(0.59)	2.26	<1.19	20.49(0.29)	1.14	14.13
J233237	1.471	27.12(0.48)	0.27	40.913	< 0.60(0.22)	0.16	<1.505	_	_	-
J234953	1.2779	28.73(0.56)	0.29	42.909	1.14(0.234)	0.19	2.676	_	-	-

APPENDIX B: COMPLETE LIGHT CURVES

Here we provide the complete light curves for all objects; first the 3 yr light curves for all sample objects, and then the 10 yr light curves for 16 objects detected as CRTS transients.



Figure B1. 3 yr light curves in *g* band. (a) Objects which are known to be SNe. Filled symbols are LT data points; open symbols are PS1 data points. The vertical dotted line shows the date when flagged as a transient by PS1; the horizontal dotted line indicates the SDSS g-magnitude, approximately a decade earlier.







Figure B3. 3 yr light curves in g band. (c) Objects which are radio sources; most but not all also known to be AGN. Symbols as in Fig. B1.



Figure B4. 3 yr light curves in g band. (d) Objects which are AGN and have been falling since being flagged by PS1 – first eight of sixteen. Symbols as in Fig. B1.



Figure B5. 3 yr light curves in *g* band. (e) Objects which are AGN and have been falling since being flagged by PS1 – second eight of sixteen. Symbols as in Fig. B1.



Figure B6. 3 yr light curves in g band. (f) Objects which are AGN and have been rising since being flagged by PS1. Symbols as in Fig. B1.



Figure B7. 3 yr light curves in g band. (g) Objects which are AGN and have peaked during our monitoring period. Symbols as in Fig. B1.



Figure B8. 3 yr light curves in g band. (h) Objects which are AGN and show complex light curves, i.e. not simply falling or rising. Symbols as in Fig. B1.



Figure B9. 3 yr light curves in *g* band. (i) Objects which are not known to be AGN, but likely are, based on similarity in colour and light-curve shape. Symbols as in Fig. **B1**. (Note added in revised version: since defining the sample and writing the paper we have now in fact obtained spectra for all these objects apart from J104617, and all these did indeed turn out to be AGN.)



Figure B10. 3 yr light curves in g band. (i) Other objects - four emission line stars and four objects of unknown type. Symbols as in Fig. B1.



Figure B11. 3 yr light curves in g band. (k) Objects where the data are too poor to come to a decision. Symbols as in Fig. B1.



Figure B12. Long-term light curves in g band, for objects also detected as transients by CRTS. (a) Objects known or uspected to be SNe. Symbols as in Fig. B1.



Figure B13. Long-term light curves in g band, for objects also detected as transients by CRTS. (a) Objects not known to be SNe. Symbols as in Fig. B1. This paper has been typeset from a T_EX/L^AT_EX file prepared by the author.