# Strategies for the synthesis of HBGl<sub>3</sub>, a glutamic acid derived ligand bearing phenolic and azacarboxylate donor groups at the nitrogen atom

Raminder S. Mulla<sup>(a)\*</sup>, Melissa T. Walden<sup>(a)</sup>, Dmitry S. Yufit<sup>(a)</sup>, Tanya Desa<sup>(b)</sup>, Elena Lurie-Luke<sup>(b)</sup> and J. A. Gareth Williams<sup>(a)\*</sup>

(a) Department of Chemistry, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, U.K.

(b) Procter and Gamble Technical Centres Limited, Rusham Park, Whitehall Lane, Egham, Surrey, TW2 9NW.

## Abstract

The development of a route applicable to the preparation of acyclic glutamic acidbased chelating ligands bearing two different auxiliary donor groups linked to the nitrogen atom by methylene spacers is described and applied to the synthesis of the new polydentate ligand **HBGI**<sub>3</sub>, the first example of such a structure. The synthesis is accomplished using a strategy employing reductive amination and t-butyl ester protected intermediates. The most basic  $pK_a$  values for the **HBGI**<sub>3</sub> ligand have been estimated via potentiometric and UV-Visible titration techniques.

*Keywords:* polydentate ligand, polyaminocarboxylate ligand, phenolate, reductive amination, lactam, crystal structure

<sup>\*</sup> E-mails: raminder.mulla@durham.ac.uk j.a.g.williams@durham.ac.uk Fax: +44 191 334 2051

### 1. Introduction

The naturally occurring glutamic acid motif (**Fig. 1**) has often been used as a coordinating unit, binding to metal ions via the amine and  $\alpha$ -carboxylate to form a 5-membered chelate. Such a coordination mode leaves the  $\varepsilon$ -carboxylate non-coordinating and thus available, for example, to suppress the interaction of complexes of these ligands with anionic species<sup>1,2</sup> or to serve as a point of conjugation to other molecules through amide or ester formation.<sup>3</sup>



Fig. 1. The structure of L-glutamic acid

Another incentive for its incorporation into a ligand is that the presence of naturally occurring fragments in organic molecules can improve their biodegradability.<sup>4</sup> One such example is the well-known ligand **GLDA** {N,N-bis(carboxymethyl)glutamic acid or L-glutamic acid <u>dia</u>cetic acid}, which has been shown to be readily biodegradable and is produced on a commercial scale (**Fig. 2A**).<sup>5</sup>

However, to the best of our knowledge, there has been no previous work on the preparation of acyclic glutamic acid-based chelating agents related to GLDA in which the donor groups pendent from the tertiary amine group are (i) different from one another, and (ii) linked to the amine group via methylene spacers. Owing to our interest in the development of biodegradable ligands that target both main group and first-row transition metal ions, we sought to prepare such a chelating agent that incorporates both aminocarboxylate and phenolate donors. We therefore chose the phenolic derivative **HBGl**<sub>3</sub> as a synthetic target in the pursuit of a strategy towards the preparation of differentially N-substituted glutamic acid-based ligands;  $HBCl_3 = (S)$ -2-{(carboxymethyl)[(o-hydroxyphenyl)methyl]amino}glutaric acid. In this compound, introduction of the phenol may be expected to increase the selectivity of the system for Fe<sup>3+</sup> compared to GLDA, as well as adding a useful UV/Vis spectroscopic handle.



**Fig. 2.** Structures of the **GLDA**, **HBGl**<sub>3</sub> and **HBIDA** ligands, with some potential disconnection points towards **HBGl**<sub>3</sub> shown. (HBIDA = hydroxybenzyliminodiacetic acid).

# 2. Results and Discussion

## 2.1 Synthetic strategies

From the disconnections that would lead us back to L-glutamic acid as a starting material (**Fig. 2B**), we first investigated the introduction of the amine as a separate fragment along disconnection **A**, which could be reacted with an  $\alpha$ -haloglutarate alkylating agent. In this strategy, the use of separate amine fragments was considered advantageous since the fragments could also be used in other syntheses.

As such, the racemic  $\alpha$ -haloglutarate alkylating compound **1a** was easily accessed from glutamic acid via diazotisation,<sup>6</sup> and could be subject to Finkelstein conditions to afford the more reactive iodo-compound **1b** (Scheme 1). So in order to evaluate the feasibility of a route based on disconnection **A**, **1a** was subjected to a test reaction with dibenzylamine which gave **2** in modest yields. Buoyed by this result, we prepared amine **3a** via reductive amination, for subsequent reaction with **1a**, but observed no consumption of starting materials.



**Scheme 1.** Intermediates used towards the preparation of  $HBGI_3$  via the use of an  $\alpha$ -haloglutarate electrophile

Using the corresponding benzyl ether of 3a, namely 3b, we were able to obtain key intermediate 4 after a prolonged reaction period with the reactive electrophile 1b (6 days). At this point, the low yields and racemisation along the route from the preparation of 1a, together with the need for multiple deprotection steps, prompted us to abandon an approach based on disconnection A.

We then looked to reductive amination chemistry to introduce the salicylaldehyde unit onto glutamic acid (disconnection **B**) via intermediate **5** (**Scheme 2**),<sup>7</sup> since a route along this disconnection would involve fewer steps and retain chirality. Although **5** was easily accessible due to it being isolable through a simple precipitation-filtration purification procedure, N-alkylations of **5** attempted with either chloroacetic acid<sup>8</sup> or t-butyl bromoacetate under basic conditions (NaOH, KHCO<sub>3</sub> or Et<sub>3</sub>N) in water, acetonitrile or DMF were all unsuccessful, giving recovered starting material only.



Scheme 2. Reactions of glutamic acid derivatives leading to cyclisation

We therefore opted to protect the carboxyl groups of **5**, using a Fisher-type esterification, since many reported ligand syntheses involving N-alkylation utilise protected carboxyl intermediates to prevent the possible detrimental influence of the carboxylate groups in subsequent reactions. In the first instance then, we chose to convert the carboxyl groups to their ethyl esters using thionyl chloride, in the hope that competing lactam formation would be suppressed by the protonation of the amine group in **5** under these conditions. This proved not to be the case. Indeed, lactam **6a** was the sole product isolated. It may be noted that the cyclisation proceeded with the preservation of the *S*-stereocentre of **5**, as verified by single-crystal X-ray crystallography (**Fig. 3**).



Fig. 3. Molecular structure in the crystals of 6a. The stereocentre at C4 is shown for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

We then looked to prepare 7, the di-isopropyl ester of L-glutamic acid as a reductive amination substrate, in the hope that the bulkier isopropyl esters would be base-labile

but also large enough to inhibit lactam formation. Unfortunately, cyclisation was also observed using this approach, giving lactam **6b** as the main product.

Finally, we turned our attention to intermediates bearing the bulky t-butyl ester protecting group, which has shown the ability to prevent the attack of some strong nucleophiles on a given carboxyl group,<sup>9</sup> in the hope of preventing the cyclisation seen earlier (Scheme 2). This change to t-butyl esters allowed HBGl<sub>3</sub> to be obtained according to Scheme 3.



Scheme 3. Successful preparation of HBGl<sub>3</sub> via t-butyl ester intermediates

Di-*t*-butyl-glutamate, **8**, is commercially available, and could be converted into protected intermediate **10** using reductive amination in either a one-pot process using NaBH(OAc)<sub>3</sub>,<sup>10</sup> or a two-pot procedure via the isolated imine **9**. In our hands, the use of the two-pot procedure, using NaBH<sub>4</sub> as the reducing agent, offered much better reproducibility.

Alkylation of amine **10** with t-butyl bromoacetate to form **HBGl<sub>3</sub>-tBu** was slow (> 1 week for the disappearance of starting material by TLC), but afforded the compound in reasonable yields. Unfortunately, attempts to shorten the reaction time (*e.g.* using

microwave irradiation and high boiling solvents like DMF) failed, often leading to complex mixtures even after repeated chromatographic purification.

**HBGl<sub>3</sub>-tBu** was then treated with a mixture of TFA/DCM in the presence of anisole as a t-butyl cation scavenger to give **HBGl<sub>3</sub>** in 15–18% cumulative yield, which is fairly typical for the synthesis of polyaminocarboxylate ligands.<sup>11,12</sup>

The low reaction rate for the alkylation of **10** to **HBGl<sub>3</sub>-tBu** might be attributable either to steric hindrance of the amine group in **10**, or to strong intramolecular hydrogen bonding, and to determine the root cause we sought to investigate both of these possibilities. First, we investigated the role of sterics via the synthesis of the less hindered, benzylated intermediate **11**. The alkylation of **11** to form **12** proceeded readily within a 24-hour period (**Scheme 4**), a much faster alkylation than that to form **HBGl<sub>3</sub>-tBu**.



13

Scheme 4. Synthesis of the alkylation test substrate 11 and alternative HBGl<sub>3</sub> precursor

We also speculated as to whether an intramolecular hydrogen bond in **10** could be a contributing factor accounting for the slow rate of alkylation. The question was posed on the basis of the single crystal X-ray structure of **9**, which shows the presence of a strong intramolecular O–H····N bond, d(H···N) = 1.723(3) Å, see **Fig. 4**. We reasoned that the structure of **9** could help us predict the hydrogen bonding behaviour of **10** because the hydroxybenzyl group is unchanged in going from 9 to 10 and intramolecular hydrogen bonding in other hydroxybenzylamines is known.<sup>13,14</sup> As a

control, we therefore prepared a TBDMS-ether of **10**, that is **10a** (**Scheme 3**), which would be unable to form an intramolecular hydrogen bond like that seen in **9**. Preliminary alkylation studies on **10a** were then performed and showed very slow conversion (**10a** was not fully consumed even after 7 days), indicating that the reason behind the slow alkylation of **10** is likely to be the presence of the *ortho*-substituted aromatic group hindering attack of an incoming electrophile as opposed to an intramolecular hydrogen bond.



*Fig. 4.* Molecular structure in the crystal of *9*. The N-H distance is shown in Å. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level

To assess whether introduction of the salicylaldehyde moiety further along the route to  $HBGl_3$ -tBu would give improved yields and reaction times, 12 was debenzylated via catalytic hydrogenation to form tri-ester 13 (also accessible via 8 – see Experimental Section), which was in turn subjected to reductive amination with salicylaldehyde in attempts to form  $HBGl_3$ -tBu. However these attempts were unsuccessful and only starting materials were recovered.

## 2.2 Spectroscopic properties and pK<sub>a</sub>

With **HBGl<sub>3</sub>** in hand, we were able to estimate the  $pK_a$  values for the amine group and the phenol as being around 8 and 13 respectively. The value for the amine (R<sub>2</sub>NH<sup>+</sup>  $\Rightarrow$ R<sub>2</sub>NH) equilibrium was determined from the pH titration curve and could also be followed by UV-Vis spectroscopy.

The largest bathochromic shift (15 nm) that occurs in the UV-Vis spectrum of **HBGl<sub>3</sub>** as a function of increasing pH was used to determine the  $pK_a$  of the phenolic group by

taking the ratio of absorbances at 277 and 291 nm. This bathochromic shift is assignable to the presence of smaller energy gaps between the molecular orbitals involved in the transitions of interest, evidenced by TD-DFT studies at the B3LYP/ 6-31G++ level of theory. Indeed, seen in **Fig. 5C**, there is a lower energy feature for the calculated spectrum of the phenoxide form of **HBGl**<sub>3</sub> that is not present in the calculated spectrum of the phenolic form. For both the phenolic and phenoxide forms of **HBGl**<sub>3</sub>, the molecular orbitals with the greatest contribution to the lowest energy bands ( $\lambda_{max}$  277 and 291 nm for the phenolic and phenoxide forms respectively) are the HOMO and LUMO+1.

It is also noteworthy that the localisation of the HOMOs in the phenolic and phenoxide forms of **HBGl<sub>3</sub>** are different: the phenolic form can be considered to have predominantly *n* character whereas the phenoxide HOMO possesses  $\pi$  character. It is clear that destabilisation of the HOMO, more so than the stabilisation of the LUMO+1, is responsible for the reduced energy gap between these two orbitals in the phenoxide form of **HBGl<sub>3</sub>** (**Fig. 5D**).



Fig. 5. (A) UV-Visible spectra for HBGl<sub>3</sub> as a function of pH (T = 25°C, I = 0.1M KCl). (B) Plot of the ratio of absorbance values at 291 and 277nm (A<sub>291</sub>/A<sub>277</sub>) as a function of pH. (C) Comparison of the experimental spectra (solid lines) of the phenolic (predominant at pH 10-12, black) and phenoxide (predominant at pH >13, blue) forms of HBGl<sub>3</sub> with those calculated (dashed lines) from TD-DFT calculations. (D) Main orbitals involved in transitions, HOMO and LUMO+1 for the HBGl<sub>3</sub> ligand in the phenolic and phenoxide forms.

The amine dissociation event is also observed when spectra obtained between pH 5 and 11 are considered in isolation and an absorbance ratio plotted from the pH titration data in (**Fig. 5A**). A sigmoid curve results with a midpoint at pH = 8.2, which is in reasonable agreement with the value obtained from the potentiometric data (**Fig. 6B**).



**Fig. 6.** (A) UV-Visible spectra (between pH 5 and 11) of **HBGI**<sub>3</sub> ( $T = 25^{\circ}C$ , I = 0.1M KCl) used to confirm the amine  $pK_a$  value obtained by pH-metric titration. (B) Plot of the ratio of absorbance values at 267 and 273nm ( $A_{267}/A_{273}$ ) as a function of pH

At a first glance the value for the amine pK<sub>a</sub> might appear rather low compared to other amine-bearing ligands like **IDA** or **NTA** (iminodiacetic acid and nitrilotriacetic acid respectively). Martell and others have reported similarly low pK<sub>a</sub> values for the amino group of **HBIDA** (hydroxybenzyl-iminodiacetic acid) and related ligands,<sup>15,16,17</sup> and these values have usually been assigned to the formation of an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the free amine and the un-ionised phenol. This may also be the reason behind the relatively high phenol pK<sub>a</sub> observed for **HBGI<sub>3</sub>** compared to phenol itself, in that ionisation of the phenolic group to phenoxide in **HBGI<sub>3</sub>** is penalised by loss of this intramolecular hydrogen bond.

## 3. Conclusions

A route has been devised to prepare the first acyclic glutamic acid based ligand that bears different chelating groups attached to the nitrogen atom via a methylene bridge, **HBGI**<sub>3</sub>. The ligand is prepared using stepwise functionalisation at the nitrogen of a glutamic acid equivalent, as opposed to pre-forming an amine fragment for alkylation onto an  $\alpha$ -haloglutarate type intermediate. t-Butyl ester protection is employed to prevent unwanted cyclisation reactions that occur when smaller ethyl or isopropyl protecting groups are used to mask the glutamic carboxyl groups on the synthetic intermediates. It is envisaged that the adoption of this route will facilitate the straightforward synthesis of other glutamic acid based chelating ligands.

## 4. Experimental

*Materials.* Reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Solvent extractions were performed in a 250 mL separating funnel with ca. 50 mL for each phase, unless otherwise stated. For procedures involving dry solvent, glassware was oven-dried for at least 8 h prior to use. Dedicated oxygen-free nitrogen or argon cylinders (BOC, UK) were used to provide an inert atmosphere.

*Instrumentation.* NMR: Routine <sup>1</sup>H (400MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101MHz) spectra were acquired on Bruker Avance 400, or Varian Mercury 400 NMR spectrometers. Two-dimensional NMR (COSY, HSQC and HMBC), and certain <sup>1</sup>H / <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, were acquired by the solution state NMR service at Durham University on Varian VNMRS-600 (600 MHz) or VNMRS-700 (700 MHz) instruments. Where visible, signals corresponding to CDCl<sub>3</sub> were referenced to  $\delta$  =7.26 ppm in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra and  $\delta$  =77.2 ppm for <sup>13</sup>C spectra.

*Mass spectrometry.* ES-MS data (positive and negative ionisation modes) were obtained on a Waters TQD mass spectrometer interfaced with an Acquity UPLC system. GC-MS (EI ionisation) was performed on an Agilent instrument equipped with a 5973 model quadrupole mass spectrometer.

*FT-IR.* All infra-red spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 90 spectrometer equipped with an ATR stage. Substances for analysis were used neat unless otherwise indicated.

*Chromatography.* TLC was performed on silica using Merck foil-backed TLC plates. Column chromatography on silica was undertaken in one of two ways. Method i) involved the use of a standard glass column and silica sourced from Fluorochem Limited and elution was performed according to the method of Clark Still.<sup>18</sup> Method ii) involved the use of a Teledyne ISCO CombiFlash instrument equipped with RediSep R<sub>f</sub> silica cartridges to perform automated gradient elution. Analytical and preparative HPLC was performed by the chromatography service at Durham University.

*Elemental Analysis.* Elemental analyses were performed using an Exeter CE-440 Elemental Analyser device.

*X-Ray crystallography.* The X-ray single crystal data for compounds **6a** and **9** have been collected on a Bruker D8Venture ( $\lambda$ CuK $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54178$  Å, Photon100 CMOS detector, I $\mu$ S-microsource, focusing mirrors,  $\omega$ - and  $\varphi$ -scan, 1.0°/frame) and Bruker SMART CCD 6000 ( $\lambda$ MoK $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å, fine-focus sealed tube, graphite monochromator, MonoCap optics,  $\omega$ -scan, 0.3°/frame) diffractometers equipped with a Cryostream (Oxford Cryosystems) open-flow nitrogen cryostats at temperatures 120.0(2)K. All structures were solved by direct method and refined by full-matrix least squares on F<sup>2</sup> for all data using Olex2<sup>19</sup> and SHELXTL<sup>20</sup> software. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, hydrogen atoms in structures **3a** and **9** were refined isotropically, the hydrogen atoms in structure **6a** were placed in the calculated positions and refined in riding mode.

The compounds **1a**, **5** and **7** were prepared using previously reported conditions, as summarised in the Supporting Information.

Dimethyl 2-iodoglutarate, 1b



Sodium iodide (2.22 g, 13.4 mmol) was partially dissolved in acetone (12 mL) and ester **4a** (0.66 g, 2.76 mmol) was added at once forming a yellow-orange solution that

was refluxed for 2 h. Volatiles were then removed *in vacuo* and the resulting brown solid was triturated in diethyl ether and then filtered. Concentration of the filtrate *in vacuo* afforded the product as a brown oil (0.59 g, 2.06 mmol, 75%).  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 4.46 (1H, dd, *J* 8.5, 6.0 Hz, H<sup>3</sup>), 3.75 (3H, s, H<sup>1</sup>), 3.68 (3H, s, H<sup>7</sup>), 2.47 – 2.43 (2H, m, H<sup>5</sup>), 2.29 – 2.25 (2H, m, H<sup>4</sup>);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 172.1 (C<sup>6</sup>), 171.3 (C<sup>2</sup>), 52.8 (C<sup>1</sup>), 51.7 (C<sup>7</sup>), 33.2 (C<sup>3</sup>), 30.8 (C<sup>5</sup>), 18.9 (C<sup>4</sup>); *m/z* (GC-EI<sup>+</sup>) 286.0 (M<sup>++</sup>, M= C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>11</sub>IO<sub>4</sub>), 256.0 (M–2Me<sup>++</sup>).

### Dimethyl 2-[bis(benzyl)amino]glutarate, 2



A solution of haloester 1a (400 mg, 1.69 mmol) in acetonitrile (4 mL, dried over molecular sieves) was prepared and allowed to stir at room temperature under nitrogen in a Schlenk tube prior to the addition of triethylamine (0.5 mL, 3.61 mmol) at once followed by the dropwise addition of dibenzylamine over 15 minutes (0.39 mL, 2.03 mmol). The brown solution was heated at 60 °C for 40 h under nitrogen leading to the formation of a precipitate that was filtered off after cooling to room temperature. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* and partitioned between diethyl ether and water; the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulphate and concentrated in vacuo prior to purification of the yellow residue via column chromatography (method ii, dichloromethane:methanol  $100:0 \rightarrow 90:10$ ) to afford the product as a yellow oil (140 mg, 0.394 mmol, 23 %).  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.37 – 7.27 (8H, m, H<sup>6</sup>, H<sup>7</sup>, H<sup>9</sup>, H<sup>10</sup>), 7.21 – 7.13 (2H, m, H<sup>8</sup>), 3.89 (2H, d, *J* 14.0 Hz, H<sup>4</sup>), 3.77 (3H, s, H<sup>1</sup>), 3.54 (3H, s, H<sup>14</sup>), 3.52 (2H, d, J 14.0 Hz, H<sup>4</sup>), 3.38 – 3.33 (1H, m,  $H^3$ ), 2.47 – 2.41 (1H, m,  $H^{11}$ ), 2.34 – 2.27 (1H, m,  $H^{11}$ ), 2.05 – 2.00 (2H, m,  $H^{12}$ );  $\delta_{C}$  (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 173.5 (C<sup>13</sup>), 172.9 (C<sup>2</sup>), 139.4 (C<sup>5</sup>), 129.1, 128.4, 127.2 (C<sup>6</sup>, C<sup>7</sup>, C<sup>8</sup>, C<sup>9</sup>), 59.8 (C<sup>3</sup>), 54.6 (C<sup>14</sup>), 51.6 (C<sup>4</sup>), 51.3 (C<sup>1</sup>), 30.5 (C<sup>11</sup>), 24.5 (C<sup>12</sup>); *m/z* (ES-

 $MS^+$ ) 356.2 (100 %,  $[M+H]^+$ ); HRMS (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) MH<sup>+</sup> found 356.1854,  $[C_{21}H_{26}NO_4]^+$  requires 356.1862.

Methyl {[(o-hydroxyphenyl)methyl]amino}acetate, 3a



Glycine methyl ester hydrochloride (1.01 g, 8.01 mmol) was added to a solution of triethylamine (1.2 mL, 8.60 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (30 mL) followed by the addition of salicylaldehyde (0.87 mL, 8.89 mmol). The reaction mixture was subsequently stirred under nitrogen for 10 minutes prior to the addition of sodium triacetoxyborohydride (2.10 g, 9.91 mmol) and monitored via TLC (90:10 dichloromethane:methanol) and ES-MS techniques until the absence of starting material and derivative imines were observed (< 4 h). The mixture was then quenched with aqueous, saturated potassium bicarbonate (30 mL) and the biphasic mixture was stirred for a few minutes prior to extraction of the organic layer with dichloromethane  $(2 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The combined extracts were dried with magnesium sulphate and concentrated in vacuo to a yellow solid which was purified by column chromatography (method ii, dichloromethane:methanol, compound eluted in 90:10 v/v dichloromethane:methanol) to give the title compound as a crystalline sold (0.36 g, 1.84 mmol, 23%). Slow evaporation of a sample from acetonitrile gave crystals suitable for analysis by X-ray crystallography.  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.22 – 6.75 (4H, m, H<sup>7</sup>, H<sup>8</sup>, H<sup>9</sup>, H<sup>10</sup>), 4.00 (2H, s, H<sup>4</sup>), 3.76 (3H, s, H<sup>1</sup>), 3.45 (2H, s, H<sup>3</sup>); δ<sub>C</sub> (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 172 (C<sup>2</sup>), 158 (C<sup>5</sup>), 130, 129, 122, 120, 117 (C<sup>6</sup>, C<sup>7</sup>, C<sup>8</sup>, C<sup>9</sup>, C1<sup>0</sup>), 53 (C<sup>4</sup>), 52.5 ( $C^1$ ), 49 ( $C^3$ ); *m/z* (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) 196 (100 %, [M+H]<sup>+</sup>);

*Crystal data for 3a*:  $C_{10}H_{13}NO_3$ , M = 195.21, orthorhombic, space group P bca, a = 9.2513(8), b = 9.0842(8), c = 22.8517(19) Å, U = 1920.5(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, F(000) = 832, Z = 8, D\_c = 1.350 mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 0.10 mm^{-1}$ . 18663 reflections were collected (2 $\Theta$  3.56- 60°) yielding 2799 unique data ( $R_{merg} = 0.0546$ ). Final w $R_2(F^2) = 0.1146$  for all data (179 refined parameters), conventional  $R_1$  (F) = 0.0404 for 1966 reflections with I  $\ge 2\sigma$ , GOF = 0.987. CCDC-1551895



o-(Benzyloxy)benzaldehyde (510 mg, 2.41 mmol) was dissolved in 1,2dichloroethane (10 mL) and methyl glycinate hydrochloride (330 mg, 2.63 mmol) was suspended in the mixture prior to the addition of triethylamine (0.5 mL, 3.6 mmol) and sodium triacetoxyborohydride (1.01 g, 4.77 mmol). The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 17 h prior to the addition of a solution of saturated sodium carbonate. Dichloromethane was then added to the biphasic mixture and the organic layer extracted, dried over magnesium sulphate and the solvent removed *in vacuo* to afford the title compound (0.50 g, 1.75 mmol, 74 %) as a colourless oil.  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (700 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.47 – 7.42 (2H, m, H<sup>14</sup>), 7.43 – 7.34 (2H, m, H<sup>15</sup>), 7.36 – 7.28 (1H, m, H<sup>16</sup>), 7.28 (1H, dd, *J* 7.5, 2.0 Hz, H<sup>7</sup>), 7.22 (1H, dd, *J* 8.0, 2.0 Hz, H<sup>9</sup>), 6.98 – 6.88 (2H, m, H<sup>8</sup>, H<sup>10</sup>), 5.08 (2H, s, H<sup>12</sup>), 3.89 (2H, s, H<sup>5</sup>), 3.63 (3H, s, H<sup>1</sup>), 3.40 (2H, s, H<sup>3</sup>), 2.64 (1H, s, H<sup>4</sup>);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (176 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 172.7 (C<sup>2</sup>), 156.8 (C<sup>11</sup>), 137.1 (C<sup>13</sup>), 130.0 (C<sup>7</sup>), 128.6 (C<sup>15</sup>), 128.5 (C<sup>9</sup>), 127.9 (C<sup>16</sup>), 127.9 (C<sup>6</sup>), 127.2 (C<sup>14</sup>), 120.8 (C<sup>8</sup>), 111.8 (C<sup>10</sup>), 69.9 (C<sup>12</sup>), 51.6 (C<sup>1</sup>), 50.0 (C<sup>3</sup>), 48.5 (C<sup>5</sup>).

Dimethyl-2-({[o-(benzyloxy)phenyl]methyl}(methoxycarbonylmethyl)amino) glutarate, 4



Alkyl iodide **4b** (181 mg, 0.625 mmol) and amine **6a** (170 mg, 0.596 mmol) were dissolved in acetonitrile (3 mL, dried over molecular sieves) and potassium carbonate (0.17 g, 1.23 mmol) added. The reaction mixture was then heated at 60  $^{\circ}$ C under nitrogen for 6 days. Once cooled to room temperature, solids were filtered off and the

solvent removed from the filtrate *in vacuo*. The residue was then purified by column chromatography (method ii, ethyl acetate:hexanes 0:100  $\rightarrow$  100:0 compound eluted in ca. 30:70 v/v ethyl acetate:hexanes) to afford the title compound (47.0 mg, 0.108 mmol, 18 %) as a colourless oil. v<sub>max</sub> (ATR) 1730 (ester C=O st), 1200 (ester C–O st);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.46 (1H, dd, *J* 7.5, 2.0 Hz H<sup>11</sup>), 7.42 (2H, dd, *J* 7.5, 1.5 Hz, H<sup>19</sup>), 7.38 (2H, dd, *J* 7.5, 1.0 Hz, H<sup>18</sup>), 7.33 – 7.28 (1H, m, H<sup>20</sup>), 7.23 – 7.15 (1H, m, H<sup>13</sup>), 6.95 (1H, dd, *J* 7.5, 1.0 Hz, H<sup>12</sup>), 6.89 (1H, dd, *J* 8.0, 1.0 Hz, H<sup>14</sup>), 5.07 (2H, s, H<sup>16</sup>), 4.04 (1H, d, *J* 14.0 Hz, H<sup>9</sup>), 3.84 (1H, d, *J* 14.0 Hz, H<sup>9</sup>), 3.62 (3H, s) and 3.61 (3H, s, H<sup>8</sup>, H<sup>22</sup>), 3.59 (3H, s, H<sup>1</sup>), 3.56 (1H, s H<sup>6</sup>), 3.51-3.44 (2H, m, H<sup>5</sup>, H<sup>6</sup>), 2.65-2.36 (2H, m, H<sup>3</sup>), 2.15-1.86 (2H, m, H<sup>4</sup>);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 173.9 (C<sup>2</sup>), 173.3, 172.3 (C<sup>7</sup>, C<sup>21</sup>), 157.1 (C<sup>15</sup>), 137.7 (C<sup>17</sup>), 130.8 (C<sup>11</sup>), 128.6 (C<sup>18</sup>), 128.4 (C<sup>13</sup>), 127.9 (C<sup>20</sup>), 127.3 (C<sup>19</sup>), 127.2 (C<sup>10</sup>), 120.9 (C<sup>12</sup>), 111.9 (C<sup>14</sup>), 70.1 (C<sup>16</sup>), 62.1 (C<sup>5</sup>), 51.9 (C<sup>6</sup>), 51.5 (C<sup>8</sup>, C<sup>22</sup>), 51.5 (C<sup>1</sup>), 51.3 (C<sup>8</sup>, C<sup>22</sup>), 50.0 (C<sup>9</sup>), 30.3 (C<sup>3</sup>), 25.0 (C<sup>4</sup>); *m/z* (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) 444.5 (100 %, [M+H]<sup>+</sup>); HRMS (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) MH<sup>+</sup> found 444.2026, [C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NO<sub>7</sub>]<sup>+</sup> requires 444.2022.

# Ethyl (2S)-1-[(o-hydroxyphenyl)methyl]-5-oxo-2-pyrrolidinecarboxylate, 6a



Amino acid **5** (420 mg, 1.66 mmol) was suspended in ethanol (7 mL) and thionyl chloride (0.6 mL, 8.27 mmol) was added dropwise. The suspension was then refluxed for 48 h under argon, cooled and then filtered. The filtrate was then concentrated *in vacuo* to give a white solid that was triturated with ethanol using ultrasound ( $3 \times 5$  mL), and then diethyl ether ( $3 \times 5$  mL), the solvent being removed *in vacuo* between cycles. Dichloromethane was used to suspend the resulting solid which was filtered through Celite<sup>®</sup> and the solvent removed from the pink filtrate to afford the title compound (270 mg, 1.03 mmol, 62 %) as a pink crystalline solid. Single crystals suitable for structural and stereochemical determination via X-ray crystallography were grown from this solid via slow evaporation from acetone. mp 111–112 °C;  $v_{max}$  (ATR) 3069 (br, phenol O–H st), 2978 (sp<sup>3</sup> C–H st), 1739 (ester C=O st), 1660

(amide C=O st), 1203 (C–O st);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 8.76 (1H, s, H<sup>8</sup>), 7.22 (1H, dd, *J* 7.5, 1.5 Hz, H<sup>5</sup>), 7.02 (1H, dd, *J* 7.5, 1.5 Hz, H<sup>3</sup>), 6.94 (1H, d *J* 7.5 Hz, H<sup>6</sup>), 6.79 (1H, dd, *J* 7.5, 1.5 Hz, H<sup>4</sup>), 4.64 (1H, d, *J* 15.0 Hz, H<sup>10</sup>), 4.26 – 4.28 (1H, m, H<sup>13</sup>), 4.18–4.11 (m, 2H, H<sup>13</sup>, H<sup>14</sup>), 4.06 (1H, dq, *J* 11.0, 7.0 Hz, H<sup>14</sup>), 2.65 – 2.52 (1H, m, H<sup>1</sup>), 2.49 – 2.37 (1H, m, H<sup>1</sup>), 2.35 – 2.25 (1H, m, H<sup>12</sup>), 2.17 – 2.06 (1H, m, H<sup>12</sup>), 1.22 (3H, t, *J* 7.0 Hz, H<sup>15</sup>);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 177.5 (C<sup>2</sup>), 171.3 (C<sup>13</sup>), 155.9 (C<sup>7</sup>), 131.0 (C<sup>3</sup>), 130.3 (C<sup>5</sup>), 121.3 (C<sup>9</sup>), 119.6 (C<sup>4</sup>) 117.8 (C<sup>6</sup>), 61.8 (C<sup>14</sup>), 60.4 (C<sup>11</sup>), 43.0 (C<sup>10</sup>), 29.2 (C<sup>1</sup>), 23.0 (C<sup>12</sup>),14.0 (C<sup>15</sup>); *m/z* (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) 264.0 (100%, [M+H]<sup>+</sup>); HRMS (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) MH<sup>+</sup> found 264.1243, [C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> requires 264.1236;

*Crystal data for* **6a**: C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>, M = 263.29, triclinic, space group P 1, a = 6.8353(3), b = 7.5669(3), c = 7.7552(3) Å,  $\alpha$  = 96.5965(19),  $\beta$  = 112.7236(19);  $\gamma$  = 106.441(2)°, U = 343.27(2) Å<sup>3</sup>, F(000) = 140, Z = 1, D<sub>c</sub> = 1.274 mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$  = 0.775 mm<sup>-1</sup>. 2382 reflections were collected (2 $\Theta$  12.6 - 139.9°) yielding 1722 unique data (R<sub>merg</sub> = 0.0554). Final wR<sub>2</sub>(F<sup>2</sup>) = 0.2218 for all data (174 refined parameters), conventional R<sub>1</sub> (F) = 0.0767 for 1666 reflections with I ≥ 2 $\sigma$ , GOF = 1.086, Hooft -0.1(3). CCDC-1551896.

# Isopropyl 1-[(o-hydroxyphenyl)methyl]-5-oxo-2-pyrrolidinecarboxylate, 6b



Diisopropyl glutamate hydrochloride 7 (610 mg, 2.28 mmol ) and salicylaldehyde (280 mg, 2.28 mmol) were dissolved in methanol (6 mL) and stirred under nitrogen at 40 °C for 1 h, and then at room temperature overnight. Then, sodium borohydride (410 g, 10.9 mmol) was then added portionwise and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 6 h. Solids were then filtered off and the filtrate concentrated *in vacuo* prior to re-dissolution in dichloromethane and the removal of precipitates via filtration. The filtrate was then washed with aqueous hydrochloric acid, extracted, dried using magnesium sulphate and the solvent removed *in vacuo* to provide an oil that was purified via column chromatography (method ii, ethyl acetate:hexanes compound eluted in 30:70 v/v ethyl acetate:hexanes) to afford the title compound

(87.0 mg, 0.31 mmol, 11%) as a pink oil.  $v_{max}$  (ATR) 3182 (br, phenol O–H st), 1735 (ester C=O st), 1660 (amide C=O st), 1103 (C–O st);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (700 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 8.79 (1H, s, H<sup>8</sup>), 7.25 – 7.18 (1H, m, H<sup>5</sup>), 7.04 – 6.97 (1H, m, H<sup>3</sup>), 6.96 – 6.91 (1H, m, H<sup>6</sup>), 6.83 – 6.77 (1H, m, H<sup>4</sup>), 5.05 – 4.97 (1H, m, J 6.5 Hz, H<sup>14</sup>), 4.68 (1H, d, J 15.0 Hz, H<sup>10</sup>), 4.17 (1H, dd, J 9.3, 3.3 Hz, H<sup>11</sup>), 4.10 (1H, d, J 15.0 Hz, H<sup>10</sup>), 2.65 – 2.54 (1H, m, H<sup>1</sup>), 2.43 – 2.36 (1H, m, H<sup>1</sup>), 2.36 – 2.38 (1H, m, H<sup>12</sup>), 2.13 – 2.03 (1H, m, H<sup>12</sup>), 1.28 (3H, d, J 6.5 Hz, H<sup>15</sup>), 1.16 (3H, d, J 6.5 Hz, H<sup>15</sup>);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (176 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 178 (C<sup>2</sup>), 171 (C<sup>13</sup>), 156 (C<sup>7</sup>), 131 (C<sup>3</sup>), 130.5 (C<sup>5</sup>), 121 (C<sup>9</sup>), 120 (C<sup>4</sup>), 118 (C<sup>6</sup>), 70 (C<sup>14</sup>), 61 (C<sup>11</sup>), 43 (C<sup>10</sup>), 29 (C<sup>1</sup>), 23 (C<sup>12</sup>), 22 (C<sup>15</sup>), 21.6 (C<sup>15</sup>); *m/z* (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) 278.0 (100%, [M+H]<sup>+</sup>); HRMS (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) MH<sup>+</sup>found 278.1412, [C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> requires 278.1392.

## Di-tert-butyl (2S)-2-{[(o-hydroxyphenyl)methyl]amino}glutarate, 10



### *One-pot method:*

l-Glutamic acid di-tert butyl ester hydrochloride, **8** (500 mg, 1.69 mmol) was suspended in 1,2-dichloroethane (10 mL) and triethylamine (0.26 mL, 1.86 mmol) was added to the stirred mixture under nitrogen prior to the addition of salicylaldehyde (0.175 mL, 1.65 mmol). The resulting pale yellow suspension was stirred under nitrogen for 20 minutes and sodium triacetoxyborohydride (780 mg, 3.68 mmol) was added. After 3 h of stirring at room temperature, a further equivalent of sodium triacetoxyborohydride (800 mg, 3.77 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for a further 36 h under nitrogen, at which point the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC. A saturated aqueous potassium bicarbonate solution (20 mL) was then used to quench the reaction mixture, and the resulting mixture extracted into dichloromethane (3 × 50 mL). The organic extracts were recombined and washed with brine prior to solvent removal *in vacuo*. Purification of the resulting oil via column chromatography (silica, 0  $\rightarrow$  20% ethyl acetate:hexanes) led to the isolation of the title molecule **10** as a colourless oil (450 mg, 1.23 mmol, 75%).

## Via imine 9:

l-Glutamic acid di-tert butyl ester hydrochloride, **8** (1.00 g, 3.38 mmol) was suspended in chloroform (10 mL) and triethylamine (0.94 mL, 6.74 mmol) added. The reaction mixture was then heated at 50 °C for 90 min and then transferred into a separating funnel and washed with water and then brine. The yellow organic layer was then dried over magnesium sulphate and concentrated to afford **9** as a yellow solid (1.10 g, 3.03 mmol, 89%). Recrystallisation of a sample of **9** from hot ethanol gave single crystals suitable for analysis via X-ray crystallography. *Crystal data for* **9**: C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>, M = 363.44, orthorhombic , space group P2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>, a = 5.8887(2), b = 10.4967(4), c = 32.3124(13) Å, U = 1997.3(1) Å<sup>3</sup>, F(000) = 784, Z = 4, D\_c = 1.209 mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 0.703 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ . 22828 reflections were collected (2 $\Theta$  5.47 - 141.89°) yielding 3816 unique data (R<sub>merg</sub> = 0.0286). Final wR<sub>2</sub>(F<sup>2</sup>) = 0.0628 for all data (351 refined parameters), conventional R<sub>1</sub> (F) = 0.0247 for 3752 reflections with I ≥ 2 $\sigma$ , GOF = 1.092, Hooft = 0.05(4). CCDC-1551897.

Imine 9 (1.10 g, 3.03 mmol) was then dissolved in methanol (12 mL) and sodium borohydride (230 mg, 6.05 mmol) was added at once CAUTION- gas evolution. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h, after which the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue taken up in chloroform (50 mL) and washed in saturated ammonium chloride (50 mL) to give an emulsion. The emulsion was dried over magnesium sulphate and concentrated in extracted, vacuo. Dichloromethane (50 mL) was added to the residue, which was then washed in brine (50 mL). The organic layer was extracted, dried over magnesium sulphate and concentrated *in vacuo* to afford **10** (775 mg, 2.11 mmol, 70%) as a yellow oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = -6.4 (c = 0.28, DCM);  $v_{max}$  (ATR) 2976 (sp<sup>3</sup> C–H), 1724 (ester C=O st), 1149 (ester C–O st); δ<sub>H</sub> (700 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.18 (1H, dd, J 8.0, 1.5 Hz, H<sup>8</sup>), 6.96 (1H, d, J 7.5, 1.5 Hz, H<sup>10</sup>), 6.85 (1H, dd, J 8.0, 1.0 Hz, H<sup>7</sup>), 6.78 (1H, dd, J 7.5, 1.0 Hz, H<sup>9</sup>), 4.04 (1H, d, J 13.5 Hz, H<sup>5</sup>), 3.74 (1H, d, J 13.5 Hz, H<sup>5</sup>), 3.24 (1H, dd, J 6.0, 1.5 Hz H<sup>4</sup>), 2.34 -2.28 (2H, m,  $H^{12}$ ), 2.03 – 1.91 (1H, m,  $H^{13}$ ), 1.92 – 1.81 (1H, m,  $H^{13}$ ), 1.50 (9H, s, H<sup>1</sup>), 1.42 (9H, s, H<sup>16</sup>); δ<sub>C</sub> (176 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 173.0 (C<sup>3</sup>), 172.0 (C<sup>14</sup>), 157.9 (C<sup>6</sup>), 129.2 (C<sup>8</sup>), 128.8 (C<sup>10</sup>), 122.3 (C<sup>11</sup>), 119.4 (C<sup>8</sup>), 116.7 (C<sup>7</sup>), 82.3 (C<sup>2</sup>), 80.9 (C<sup>15</sup>), 60.0  $(C^4)$ , 51.1  $(C^5)$ , 31.9  $(C^{13})$ , 28.5  $(C^{12})$ , 28.3  $(C^1)$ , 28.2  $(C^{16})$ ; m/z (ES-MS<sup>-</sup>) 366.2 (100 %, [M–H]<sup>-</sup>); HRMS MH<sup>-</sup> found 366.2288, [C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>]<sup>-</sup> requires 366.2280.

Di-tert-butyl (2S)-2-{[(o-hydroxyphenyl)methyl] (tert-butoxycarbonylmethyl) amino}glutarate, HBGl<sub>3</sub>-tBu



Aminoester 10, (775 mg, 2.11 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (10 mL, dried over molecular sieves) and potassium hydrogen carbonate (0.43 g, 4.30 mmol) was suspended in the stirred solution. A catalytic amount of potassium iodide (35.0 mg, 0.211 mmol) was then added followed by *t*-butyl bromoacetate (0.34 mL, 3.00 mmol). The mixture was then heated to 55°C under argon for 12 days. Upon cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered, concentrated in vacuo and the target compound isolated by column chromatography (ethyl acetate:hexanes  $0:100 \rightarrow 30:70$ compound eluted in ca. 15:85 v/v ethyl acetate:hexanes) as a colourless oil (470 mg, 0.981 mmol, 46%).  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -46.7$  (c = 0.094, DCM); v<sub>max</sub> (ATR) 3340 (O-H st), 2977 (sp<sup>3</sup> C–H st), 1722 (ester C=O st); 1137 (C–O st); δ<sub>H</sub> (700 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 9.12 (1H, s H<sup>16</sup>), 7.17 (1H, dd, *J* 7.5, 2.0 Hz, H<sup>13</sup>), 6.96 (1H, dd, *J* 7.5, 2.0 Hz, H<sup>11</sup>), 6.86 (1H, dd, J 7.5, 1.0 Hz, H<sup>14</sup>), 6.75 (1H, ddd, J 7.5, 1.0 Hz H<sup>12</sup>), 3.91 (1H, d, J 13.5 Hz, H<sup>9</sup>), 3.73 (1H, d, J 13.5 Hz, H<sup>9</sup>), 3.59 (1H, d, J 18.0 Hz, H<sup>5</sup>), 3.26 (1H, d, J 18.0 Hz, H<sup>5</sup>), 3.22  $(1H, dd, J9.0, 6.0 Hz, H^4), 2.37 - 2.19 (1H, m, H^{18}), 2.17 - 2.02 (1H, m, H^{18}), 2.02 -$ 1.84 (1H, m, H<sup>17</sup>), 1.84 – 1.68 (1H, m, H<sup>17</sup>), 1.48 (9H, s, H<sup>21</sup>), 1.47 (9H, s, H<sup>8</sup>), 1.35 (9H, s H<sup>1</sup>); δ<sub>C</sub> (176 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 172.3 (C<sup>19</sup>), 172.0 (C<sup>6</sup>), 171.2 (C<sup>3</sup>), 157.3 (C<sup>15</sup>), 130.2 ( $C^{11}$ ), 129.5 ( $C^{13}$ ), 121.6 ( $C^{10}$ ), 119.3 ( $C^{12}$ ), 116.6 ( $C^{14}$ ), 82.4 ( $C^{20}$ ), 81.8 ( $C^{7}$ ), 80.4 (C<sup>2</sup>), 60.5 (C<sup>4</sup>), 55.9 (C<sup>9</sup>), 51.5 (C<sup>5</sup>), 31.8 (C<sup>18</sup>), 28.3 (C<sup>21</sup>), 28.1 (C<sup>8</sup>), 28.0 (C<sup>1</sup>), 24.7 (C<sup>18</sup>); *m/z* (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) 480.5 (100 %, [M+H]<sup>+</sup>); HRMS (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) MH<sup>+</sup> found 480.2948,  $[C_{26}H_{42}NO_7]^+$  requires 480.2961.

(S)-2-{(Carboxymethyl)[(o-hydroxyphenyl)methyl]amino}glutaric acid, HBGl<sub>3</sub>



HBGl<sub>3</sub>-tBu (110 mg, 0.229 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (1 mL) and anisole (0.05 mL, 0.462 mmol) was added, followed by trifluoroacetic acid (1 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue was then taken up in dichloromethane (ca. 5 mL) and the solvent was then removed in vacuo. The residue was triturated with hexane (ca. 25 mL) using ultrasonic agitation to afford a white precipitate that was collected via centrifugation (4000 rpm, 5 minutes). This solid was then dissolved in aqueous hydrochloric acid (1 M, 10 mL), transferred to a round bottom flask and the solvent removed in vacuo to give **HBGl**<sub>3</sub> as an off-white solid (37.9 mg, 0.122 mmol, 53%).  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -14.3$  $(c = 0.028, MeOH); t_R (H_2O:MeOH, 95:5 H_2O:MeOH to 5:95 H_2O:MeOH = 5 mins,$ MS detection); 1.8 min; v<sub>max</sub> (ATR) 2960 (O-H st), 1730 (acid C=O st), 1220 (acid C–O st);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (700 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, pD ~ 2); 7.40 (1H, dd, *J* 8.0, 1.5 Hz, H<sup>12</sup>), 7.36 (1H, dd, J 8.0, 1.5 Hz, H<sup>14</sup>), 7.00 (1H, dd, J 8.0, 1.5 Hz, H<sup>13</sup>), 6.98 (1H, dd, J 8.0, 1.5 Hz, H<sup>11</sup>), 4.61 (1H, d, J 13.0 Hz, H<sup>8</sup>), 4.51 (1H, d, J 13.0 Hz, H<sup>8</sup>), 4.11 (1H, t, J 7.0 Hz, H<sup>4</sup>), 4.09 (2H, s, H<sup>2</sup>), 2.81 – 2.69 (1H, m, H<sup>6</sup>), 2.67 – 2.61 (1H, m, H<sup>6</sup>), 2.35 – 2.23 (2H, m, H<sup>5</sup>);  $\delta_{C}$  (176 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, pD ~ 2) 176.1 (C<sup>7</sup>), 170.7 (C<sup>3</sup>), 169.4 (C<sup>1</sup>), 155.4 (C<sup>10</sup>), 132.5 (C<sup>14</sup>), 132.2 (C<sup>12</sup>), 120.7 (C<sup>9</sup>), 115.6 (C<sup>13</sup>), 115.5 (C<sup>11</sup>), 64.4 (C<sup>4</sup>), 54.7 (C<sup>8</sup>), 52.6 (C<sup>2</sup>), 30.2 (C<sup>6</sup>), 21.25 (C<sup>5</sup>); *m/z* (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) 312.1 (100 %, [M+H]<sup>+</sup>); HRMS (ES- $MS^+$ )  $MH^+$  found 312.1079,  $[C_{14}H_{18}NO_7]^+$  requires 312.1083.

# Di-tert-butyl (2S)-2-{[(o-tertbutyldimethylsiloxyphenyl)methyl]amino}glutarate, 10a



Aminoester 10 (0.283 g, 0.775 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane (4 mL) and cooled in an ice bath prior to the addition of imidazole (160 mg, 2.35 mmol) and TBDMSCI (150 mg, 0.995 mmol) in rapid succession. The ice bath was then removed and the reaction mixture allowed to stir for 6 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then poured into a separating funnel and washed with water, and the organic layer was then extracted, dried over magnesium sulphate. Purification of the residue via column chromatography (method i, diethyl ether: hexanes  $0:100 \rightarrow$ 20:80 compound eluted in ca. 20:80 v/v diethyl ether:hexanes) gave 10a as a colourless oil (190 mg, 0.396 mmol, 51 %). δ<sub>H</sub> (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.33 (1H, d, J 7.5, 1.5 Hz, H<sup>11</sup>), 7.09 (1H, ddd, J 7.5, 1.5 Hz, H<sup>9</sup>), 6.90 (1H, ddd, J 7.5, 1.0 Hz H<sup>10</sup>), 6.76 (1H, dd, J 7.5, 1.0 Hz, H<sup>8</sup>), 3.78 (1H, d, J 14.0 Hz, H<sup>6</sup>), 3.65 (1H, d, J 14.0 Hz, H<sup>6</sup>), 3.21 - 3.05 (1H, m, H<sup>4</sup>), 2.41 - 2.31 (2H, m, H<sup>17</sup>), 1.95 - 1.77 (3H, m, H<sup>5</sup>, H<sup>16</sup>), 1.44 (9H, s, H<sup>1</sup>), 1.42 (9H, s, H<sup>20</sup>), 1.00 (9H, s, H<sup>15</sup>), 0.23 (6H, s, H<sup>13</sup>);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 174.4 (C<sup>3</sup>), 172.7 (C<sup>18</sup>), 153.6 (C<sup>12</sup>), 130.8 (C<sup>7</sup>), 129.4 (C<sup>11</sup>), 127.7 (C<sup>9</sup>), 121.1 (C<sup>10</sup>), 118.5 (C<sup>8</sup>), 81.0 (C<sup>2</sup>), 80.2 (C<sup>19</sup>), 61.0 (C<sup>4</sup>), 47.1 (C<sup>6</sup>), 32.1 (C<sup>17</sup>), 28.9 (C<sup>16</sup>), 28.2 (C<sup>1</sup>), 25.9 (C<sup>20</sup>), 18.2 (C<sup>15</sup>), -4.05 (C<sup>13</sup>, C<sup>14</sup>); m/z (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) 480.1 (100 %,  $[M+H]^+$ ; HRMS (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) MH<sup>+</sup> found 480.3143,  $[C_{26}H_{46}NO_5Si]^+$  requires 480.3145.

#### Di-tert-butyl (2S)-2-benzylaminoglutarate, hydrochloride salt, 11



l-Glutamic acid di-tert butyl ester hydrochloride, **8** (500 mg, 1.69 mmol) and triethylamine (0.28 mL, 3.82 mmol) were added to methanol (8 mL), resulting in the formation a white precipitate. Benzaldehyde (0.18 mL, 1.78 mmol) was then added and the mixture stirred under nitrogen for 2.5 h. Sodium borohydride (230 mg, 6.07 mmol) was subsequently added portionwise **CAUTION-** gas evolution and the mixture was allowed to stir under nitrogen for a further 3 h. Volatiles were then removed *in vacuo* and the residue partitioned between dichloromethane and aqueous hydrochloric acid (1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) and the organic layer extracted, washed with brine, dried with magnesium sulphate and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. The resulting white

solid was triturated in hexane to afford the title compound (290 mg, 0.751 mmol (based on molecular weight of the monohydrochloride salt), 45 %) as a white powder. mp 140-142 °C;  $v_{max}$  (ATR); 2982 (sp<sup>3</sup> C–H st), 2631 (N<sup>+</sup>–H st), 1725 (ester C=O st), 1149 (C–O st);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 10.81 (1H, s, H<sup>5</sup>), 9.84 (1H, s, H<sup>5</sup>), 7.63 (2H, d, *J* 7.0 Hz, H<sup>8</sup>), 7.42 – 7.31 (3H, m, H<sup>9</sup>, H<sup>10</sup>), 4.24 (2H, dd, *J* 22.0, 11.5 Hz, H<sup>6</sup>), 3.62 (1H, s, H<sup>4</sup>), 2.63 – 2.22 (4H, m, H<sup>11</sup>, H<sup>12</sup>), 1.51 (9H, s, H<sup>15</sup>), 1.35 (9H, s, H<sup>1</sup>);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 171.3 (C<sup>13</sup>), 166.7 (C<sup>3</sup>), 131.0 (C<sup>8</sup>), 130.0 (C<sup>7</sup>), 129.7, 129.3 (C<sup>9</sup>, C<sup>10</sup>), 84.9 (C<sup>14</sup>), 81.3 (C<sup>2</sup>), 57.5 (C<sup>4</sup>), 49.7 (C<sup>6</sup>), 31.3, 28.2 (C<sup>15</sup>), 28.1 (C<sup>1</sup>) 25.2 (C<sup>11</sup>, C<sup>12</sup>); *m/z* (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) 350.2 (100 %, [M+H]<sup>+</sup>); HRMS (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) MH<sup>+</sup> found 350.2330 , [C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> requires 350.2331.

Di-tert-butyl (2S)-2-[(1R)-(benzyl)(tert-butoxycarbonylmethyl)amino]glutarate, 12



Aminoester **11** (400 mg, 1.04 mmol) was added to acetonitrile (3.5 mL, Aldrich anhydrous) and potassium carbonate (570 mg, 4.13 mmol), potassium iodide (30.0 mg, 0.181 mmol) salts were added along with t-butyl bromoacetate (0.23 mL, 1.57 mmol) and the mixture was heated at 60 °C under nitrogen. TLC (ethyl acetate:hexanes 20:80 v/v) indicated that consumption of starting material was achieved within 6 h, but heating was maintained for a further 16 h prior to cooling to room temperature and removal of solids by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* and subjected to column chromatography (method ii, diethyl ether:hexanes 0:100  $\rightarrow$  40:60 compound eluted in ca. 15:85 v/v diethyl ether:hexanes) to afford the title compound (240 mg, 0.518 mmol, 50 %) as a colourless oil. v<sub>max</sub> (ATR); 2974 (sp<sup>3</sup> C–H st), 1719 (ester C=O st), 1137 (ester C–O st);  $_{\delta}$ H (700 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) ; 7.40-7.22 (5H, m, H<sup>11</sup>, H<sup>12</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>) , 3.95 (1H, d, *J* 13.5 Hz, H<sup>5</sup>), 3.74 (1H, d, *J* 13.5 Hz, H<sup>5</sup>), 3.43 (1H, d, J 17.0 Hz, H<sup>9</sup>), 3.34 – 3.20 (2H, m, H<sup>9</sup>, H<sup>4</sup>), 2.48 (1H, ddd, *J* 16.5, 9.5, 6.0 Hz, H<sup>15</sup>), 2.26 (1H, ddd, *J* 16.5, 9.5, 6.0 Hz, H<sup>15</sup>), 1.96 – 1.85 (2H, m, H<sup>14</sup>), 1.50

(9H, s, H<sup>8</sup>), 1.43 (9H, s, H<sup>18</sup>), 1.40 (9H, s, H<sup>1</sup>);  $\delta_{C}$  (176 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); 172.9 (C<sup>16</sup>), 171.9 (C<sup>6</sup>), 170.9 (C<sup>3</sup>), 129.3, 128.4, 127.4 (C<sup>10</sup>, C<sup>11</sup>, C<sup>12</sup>, C<sup>13</sup>), 81.5 (C<sup>17</sup>), 80.9 (C<sup>7</sup>), 80.2 (C<sup>2</sup>), 62.4 (C<sup>4</sup>), 56.7 (C<sup>5</sup>), 52.7 (C<sup>9</sup>), 32.0 (C<sup>15</sup>), 28.5 (C<sup>18</sup>), 28.3 (C<sup>8</sup>), 28.2 (C<sup>1</sup>), 25.2 (C<sup>14</sup>); *m/z* (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) 464.3 (100 %, [M+H]<sup>+</sup>); HRMS (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) MH<sup>+</sup> found 464.3009, [C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>42</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>]<sup>+</sup> requires 464.3012.

## Di-tert-butyl (2S)-2-[(tert-butoxycarbonylmethyl)amino]glutarate, 13



## Via intermediate 12:

Ethanol (25 mL) was used to dissolve **12** (80.0 mg, 0.172 mmol) and the colourless solution was evacuated and back-filled with nitrogen 3 times prior to the addition of 5% palladium on charcoal (7 mg, 3 µmol with respect to Pd). Once the catalyst was added, the reaction mixture was evacuated and back-filled with hydrogen gas from a balloon three times prior to stirring at room temperature. Reaction progress was monitored by the use of <sup>1</sup>H NMR which indicated that debenzylation was achieved within 4 h. The reaction mixture was subsequently allowed to stand for a few minutes under a flow of nitrogen prior to catalyst removal via filtration over Celite<sup>®</sup>. Concentration of the filtrate *in vacuo*, followed by re-dissolution of the residue in chloroform and a second solvent removal *in vacuo* led to isolation of the title molecule (51 mg, 0.144 mmol, 84 %) as a colourless oil.

Direct synthesis from commercially available starting materials:

l-Glutamic acid di-tert butyl ester hydrochloride, **8** (1.50 g, 5.07 mmol) was stirred at room temperature in chloroform under argon in the presence of activated zinc dust (3.00 g, 46.1 mmol) for 1 h. The zinc dust was then filtered off, and the solvent removed from the filtrate *in vacuo* to afford the free base. The resulting oil was dissolved in acetonitrile (28 mL, dried over molecular sieves). When the solution was nearly homogeneous, anhydrous triethylamine (1.21 mL, 8.68 mmol) was added at once, followed by the dropwise addition of t-butyl bromoacetate (0.89 mL, 6.07 mmol). Finally, a catalytic amount of potassium iodide (ca. 0.1 g) was added and the resulting mixture stirred at room temperature under argon for 24 h. After the solvent

was removed *in vacuo*, the oily residue was partitioned between water and dichloromethane (50 mL of each) and the combined organic extracts were subsequently washed in brine prior to being dried with magnesium sulphate and the solvent being removed *in vacuo*. The resulting oil was dissolved in the minimum volume of dichloromethane and subjected to column chromatography (method i, silica, ethyl acetate:hexanes  $30:70 \rightarrow 60:40$ ) to afford the pure compound (0.44 g, 1.18 mmol, 23 %) as a colourless oil.

 $v_{max}$  (ATR) 2970 (N–H st), 2930 (sp<sup>3</sup> C–H), 1731 (ester C=O st), 1149 (ester C–O st);  $\delta_{H}$  (700 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3.29 (1H, d, *J* 17.0 Hz, H<sup>6</sup>), 3.22 (1H, d, *J* 17.0 Hz, H<sub>6</sub>), 3.14 (1H, dd, *J* 7.5, 6.0 Hz, H<sup>4</sup>), 2.34 (2H, t, *J* 7.5 Hz, H<sup>11</sup>), 1.92 – 1.89 (2H, m, H<sup>5</sup>, H<sup>10</sup>), 1.88-1.76 (1H, m, H<sup>10</sup>), 1.46 (9H, s, H<sup>1</sup>), 1.44 (9H, s, H<sup>14</sup>), 1.43 (9H, s, H<sup>9</sup>);  $\delta_{C}$  (176 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 173.8 (C<sup>3</sup>), 172.8 (C<sup>12</sup>), 171.3 (C<sup>7</sup>), 81.7 (C<sup>2</sup>), 81.5 (C<sup>13</sup>), 80.5 (C<sup>8</sup>), 60.8 (C<sup>4</sup>), 50.2 (C<sup>6</sup>), 32.2 (C<sup>11</sup>), 28.6 (C<sup>10</sup>), 28.4 (C<sup>1</sup>, C<sup>9</sup>, C<sup>14</sup>); *m/z* (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) 374.2 (100 %, [M+H]<sup>+</sup>); HRMS (ES-MS<sup>+</sup>) MH<sup>+</sup> found 374.2538, [C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>]<sup>+</sup> requires 374.2543.

## 5. Acknowledgements

We thank P&G and Durham University for support of this work.

### 6. Notes and references

Crystallographic data for the structures in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication numbers 15518951 – 1551897.

- 1. Messeri D, Lowe MP, Parker D, Botta M. Chem Commun. 2001: 2742–2743.
- 2. Pal R, Parker D. Org Biomol Chem. 2008; 6: 1020.
- 3. Elemento EM, Parker D, Aime S, Gianolio E, Lattuada L. *Org Biomol Chem*. 2009; 7: 1120.
- 4. Boethling RS, Sommer E, DiFiore D. Chem Rev. 2007; 107: 2207–2227.
- Itrich NR, McDonough KM, van Ginkel CG, Bisinger EC, LePage JN, Schaefer EC, Menzies JZ, Casteel KD, Federle TW. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2015; 49: 13314–13321.
- 6. Henig J, Tóth É, Engelmann J, Gottschalk S, Mayer HA. *Inorg Chem.* 2010; 49: 6124–6138.
- 7. Sreenivasulu B, Vittal JJ. Angew Chem. Int. Ed. 2004; 116: 5893–5896.
- 8. Frost AE, Martell AE. J Am Chem Soc. 1950; 72: 3743–3746.
- 9. Greene TW, Wuts PGM. Protective groups in organic synthesis, Wiley: New York, 3rd ed., 1999.

- 10. Abdel-Magid AF, Carson KG, Harris BD, Maryanoff CA, Shah RD. *J Org Chem.* 1996; 61: 3849–3862.
- 11. Price EW, Cawthray JF, Bailey GA, Ferreira CL, Boros E, Adam MJ, Orvig C. J Am Chem Soc. 2012; 134: 8670–8683.
- 12. Bechara G, Leygue N, Galaup C, Mestre-Voegtlé B, Picard C. *Tetrahedron*. 2010; 66: 8594–8604.
- Koll A, Melikova SM, Karpfen A, Wolschann P. J Mol Struct. 2001; 559: 127– 145.
- 14. Labby KJ, Xue F, Kraus JM, Ji H, Mataka J, Li H, Martásek P, Roman LJ, Poulos TL, Silverman RB. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2012; 20: 2435–2443.
- 15. Harris WR, Motekaitis RJ, Martell AE. Inorg Chem. 1975; 14: 974–978.
- Cui X, Cabral MF, Costa J, Delgado R. *Inorganica Chim Acta*. 2003; 356: 133– 141.
- 17. Jarjayes O, Mortini F, du Moulinet d'Hardemare A, Philouze C, Serratrice G. *Eur J Inorg Chem.* 2005; 2005: 4417–4424.
- 18. Still WC, Kahn M, Mitra A. J Org Chem 1978; 43:2923–2925.
- 19. Dolomanov OV, Bourhis LJ, Gildea RJ, Howard JAK, Puschmann H. *J Appl Crystallogr*. 2009; 42: 339–341.
- 20. Sheldrick GM. Acta Crystallogr A. 2008; 64: 112–122.