



## Keeping warm; a review of deep geothermal potential of the UK

Journal:	<i>Part A: Journal of Power and Energy</i>
Manuscript ID	JPE-17-0226.R3
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue: Underground Technologies
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Gluyas, Jon; Durham University, Earth Sciences                      Adams, Charlotte; Durham University, Geography                      Busby, Jon; British Geological Survey                      Craig, Jonathan; ENI Upstream &amp; Technical Services                      Hirst, Catherine; COWI                      Manning, David; Newcastle University                      McCay, Alistair; University of Glasgow College of Science and Engineering                      Narayan, Nadia; Durham University Department of Earth Sciences                      Robinson, Helen; University of Glasgow College of Science and Engineering                      Watson, Sean; University of Glasgow College of Science and Engineering                      WEstaway, Robert; University of Glasgow College of Science and Engineering                      Younger, Paul; University of Glasgow College of Science and Engineering</p>
Keywords:	geothermal, low carbon, baseload, CO2 emissions, heat flow, climate change, granite, sedimentary basin, geothermal energy, heat
Abstract:	<p>In 2015 the primary energy demand in the UK was 202.5 million tonnes of oil equivalent (mtoe = 848 EJ). Of this about 58 mtoe (2.43 EJ) was used for space heating. Almost all of this heat was from burning fossil fuels either directly (50% of all gas used is for domestic purposes) or indirectly for power generation. Burning fossil fuels for heat released about 160 million tonnes of carbon dioxide in 2015. The UK must decarbonize heating for it to meet its commitments on emissions reduction.</p> <p>UK heat demand can be met from ultra-low-carbon, low enthalpy geothermal energy. Here we review the geothermal potential of the UK, comprising a combination of deep sedimentary basins, ancient warm granites and shallower flooded mines. A conservative calculation of the contained accessible heat in these resources is 200 EJ, about 100 years</p>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

	<p>supply. Presently only one geothermal system is exploited in the UK. It has been supplying about 1.7MWT (heat) to Southampton by extracting water at a temperature of 76°C from a depth of 1.7 km in the Wessex Basin. Like Southampton, most of the major population centers in the UK lie above or adjacent to major geothermal heat sources. The opportunity for using such heat within district heating schemes is considerable.</p> <p>The consequences of developing a substantial part of the UK’s geothermal resource are profound. The baseload heating that could be supplied from low enthalpy geothermal energy would cause a dramatic fall in the UK’s emissions of greenhouse gases, reduce the need for separate energy storage required by the intermittent renewables (wind and solar) and underpin a significant position of the nation’s energy security for the foreseeable future, so lessening the UK’s dependence on imported oil and gas. Investment in indigenous energy supplies would also mean retention of wealth in the UK.</p>

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

Pre Peer Review

## Keeping warm; a review of deep geothermal potential of the

### UK

J.G. Gluyas<sup>1,8</sup>, C.A. Adams<sup>2,8</sup>, J.P. Busby<sup>3,8</sup>, J.Craig<sup>4</sup>, C. Hirst<sup>5</sup>, D.A.C. Manning<sup>6,8</sup>, A. McCay<sup>7,8</sup>,

N.S. Narayan<sup>1,8</sup>, H.L. Robinson<sup>7,8</sup>, S. Watson<sup>7,8</sup>, R. Westaway<sup>7,8</sup> and P.L. Younger<sup>7,8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Durham, DH3 1LE, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geography, Durham University, Durham, DH3 1LE, UK

<sup>3</sup>British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham, NG12 5GG, UK

<sup>4</sup>Eni Upstream & Technical Services, Milan, Italy

<sup>5</sup>COWI Ltd., Glasgow, G3 8AZ, UK

<sup>6</sup>School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne,  
NE1 7RU, UK

<sup>7</sup>School of Engineering, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK

<sup>8</sup>BritGeothermal research group <http://www.britgeothermal.org>

### Abstract

In 2015 the primary energy demand in the UK was 202.5 million tonnes of oil equivalent (mtoe = 848 EJ). Of this about 58 mtoe (2.43 EJ) was used for space heating. Almost all of this heat was from burning fossil fuels either directly (50% of all gas used is for domestic purposes) or indirectly for power generation. Burning fossil fuels for heat released about 160 million tonnes of carbon dioxide in 2015. The UK must decarbonize heating for it to meet its commitments on emissions reduction.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UK heat demand can be met from ultra-low-carbon, low enthalpy geothermal energy. Here  
7  
8 we review the geothermal potential of the UK, comprising a combination of deep  
9  
10 sedimentary basins, ancient warm granites and shallower flooded mines. A conservative  
11  
12 calculation of the contained accessible heat in these resources is 200 EJ, about 100 years  
13  
14 supply. Presently only one geothermal system is exploited in the UK. It has been supplying  
15  
16 about  $1.7\text{MW}_T$  (heat) to Southampton by extracting water at a temperature of  $76^\circ\text{C}$  from a  
17  
18 depth of 1.7 km in the Wessex Basin. Like Southampton, most of the major population  
19  
20 centers in the UK lie above or adjacent to major geothermal heat sources. The opportunity  
21  
22 for using such heat within district heating schemes is considerable.  
23  
24

25  
26  
27  
28 The consequences of developing a substantial part of the UK's geothermal resource are  
29  
30 profound. The baseload heating that could be supplied from low enthalpy geothermal  
31  
32 energy would cause a dramatic fall in the UK's emissions of greenhouse gases, reduce the  
33  
34 need for separate energy storage required by the intermittent renewables (wind and solar)  
35  
36 and underpin a significant position of the nation's energy security for the foreseeable future,  
37  
38 so lessening the UK's dependence on imported oil and gas. Investment in indigenous energy  
39  
40 supplies would also mean retention of wealth in the UK.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

## 47 Introduction

48  
49  
50 Currently, geothermal energy provides only 0.3% of global power production<sup>1</sup>.

51  
52 Although the total power production from geothermal energy is tiny, there has been steady  
53  
54 growth in the quantity supplied each year for the past decade or so. During the period from  
55  
56 March to September 2016, the construction of 44 new geothermal power plants began in 23  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 countries around the world. These will add 1,562.5 MW of developed capacity<sup>2</sup> and by the  
4  
5 end of 2016, 440 MW<sub>E</sub> (3.4% increase) was on stream<sup>1</sup>. Total geothermal power capacity is  
6  
7 at the end of 2016 was 13,000 MW<sup>3</sup>.  
8  
9

10 The global use of direct geothermal heat is not as well reported. The most up to  
11  
12 date figures appear to be from 2015 and these indicate that 70,329 MW<sub>T</sub> was installed  
13  
14 globally at that time with 50% of global capacity shared equally between China and the  
15  
16 USA<sup>4</sup>. Thus, the installed capacity for geothermal heat is about 5 times greater than that for  
17  
18 installed geothermal power.  
19

20  
21 The use of geothermal energy whether directly for heat (and cooling) or for power  
22  
23 generation is a well established and stable technology. Considered in terms of the energy  
24  
25 trilemma; sustainability, equity and security, geothermal energy is able to address each. It  
26  
27 has a distinct advantage over many renewable forms of energy usage in that it has good  
28  
29 base load properties. Unlike intermittent wind or sunshine, geothermal energy is always  
30  
31 available. Geothermal energy also compares very favourably against fossil fuels and nuclear  
32  
33 insofar as its emissions are near zero for both greenhouse gases and radioactive waste  
34  
35 (minor and low level). In addition, geothermal energy is highly equitable. Unlike the  
36  
37 heterogeneous distribution of fossil fuels and fissile material, every part of the Earth gets  
38  
39 hotter with depth. Although resources will vary depending upon geothermal gradient, every  
40  
41 nation on Earth could develop geothermal energy for heat. Where geothermal energy loses  
42  
43 out to nuclear and fossil fuels is in terms of energy density. A kilogram of uranium will  
44  
45 deliver 80 million MJ of energy, 1 kg of petroleum about 50 MJ of energy, 1kg coal about 24  
46  
47 MJ of energy and cooling 1kg of water by 30°C about 0.126 MJ of energy. Despite the low  
48  
49 energy density, low enthalpy geothermal energy is ideal for space heating and hot water  
50  
51 requirements, greenhouses and aqua-culture amongst other applications.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The aim of this paper is to examine the potential for geothermal heat to replace  
4 fossil fuels as the main source of space heating in domestic and industrial premises and the  
5 impact that could have on reducing the UK's emissions of greenhouse gases in line with the  
6 UK government's commitments on climate change mitigation. Heat from industrial  
7 processes could also be used to lessen that use of fossil fuels but this will not be considered  
8 in this paper.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

## 16 Background

17  
18  
19 Total primary energy demand in UK in 2015 was 202.5 million tonnes of oil  
20 equivalent (mtoe = 8.48 EJ), slightly up on the warm year of 2014 but well down from about  
21 240 mtoe a decade earlier<sup>5</sup>. About 28% of this energy was lost in energy transformation and  
22 distribution or used by the energy industry itself. Of the remaining 145.7 mtoe about 40%  
23 (58 mtoe, 2.43 EJ) of energy is used on an annual basis for space heating<sup>6</sup>; the percentage is  
24 higher in the colder north of the UK and lower in the warmer south. The heating demand  
25 dominates our use of gas in the UK. Over 50% of the total gas consumption is for domestic  
26 heating and cooking and a further 25% is used by industry (51.75 mtoe<sup>5</sup>). Domestic heating  
27 also accounts for about 25% (6.25 mtoe) of coal use and 4% (2.96 mtoe) of oil use in the UK.  
28 The heat is produced from these fossil fuels by combustion with the resultant release of  
29 carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 The temperature at which coal, methane and heating oil burn varies considerably  
45 depending upon the fuel air mixtures, but in broad terms it is between about 1500 °C and  
46 2000 °C. These high temperatures contrast with domestic radiators which run at a  
47 temperature of 60-80 °C and domestic hot water which, typically, is stored at 60 °C. A  
48 considerable portion of the heat generated is lost through a flue or chimney. The efficiency  
49 of condensing boilers which recover heat from the flue gases may be as high as 90%, that of  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 non-condensing boilers is lower at around 70% while for open fires, possibly as little as 30%  
4  
5 of the generated heat is transmitted to the building and the air it encloses.  
6  
7

8 If we take the optimistic view that the UK has only gas fired condensing boilers and  
9  
10 an energy industry which is 72% efficient (28% losses above), then at best, the conversion of  
11  
12 primary energy to home heating is 65% but at the same time every molecule of gas, oil or  
13  
14 coal combusted produces carbon dioxide. In 2014 the UK produced achieved a ten-year low  
15  
16 in carbon dioxide emissions of 404 million tonnes<sup>7</sup> of which 40% or 162 million tonnes of  
17  
18 carbon dioxide was produced from combusting fossil fuels for heat.  
19  
20

21 The UK Climate Change Act (2008) contains a binding commitment to reduce  
22  
23 emissions of greenhouse gases in the UK by 80% by 2050. To date progress has been good  
24  
25 with a significant proportion of the UK's power generation having been decarbonised by  
26  
27 mid-2017. Offshore wind and photovoltaic electricity production continue to deliver success  
28  
29 in this regard. On the 22<sup>nd</sup> April 2017, UK news agencies reported that no coal had been  
30  
31 burned for power production on that day and on 25<sup>th</sup> May 2017, a particularly sunny day in  
32  
33 the UK, enabling delivery of 23% of the nation's power requirement was met by solar  
34  
35 photovoltaics. In July 2017, the UK government announced that petrol and diesel-powered  
36  
37 cars would not be sold in the UK after 2040<sup>8</sup>, marking another significant step in the  
38  
39 decarbonisation of the transport sector. However, The UK Committee on Climate Change<sup>9</sup>  
40  
41 stated that only decarbonisation of heating in the UK could deliver the major reduction in  
42  
43 emissions needed to meet the 2050 target.  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 In addition to the role that geothermal energy can play in reducing greenhouse gas  
49  
50 emissions in the UK, it also has the potential to increase energy security. About half of the  
51  
52 UK's current energy supply is from natural gas (methane) of which around about 55% is  
53  
54 imported from: Norway (36%), Qatar as LNG (12%), Belgium & The Netherlands via the  
55  
56 Interconnector (6%), Algeria as LNG (0.5%) and elsewhere as LNG (0.5%)<sup>5</sup>. Within Europe  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 and hence affecting the Interconnector, Russia controls about 35% of gas supply. In recent  
4  
5 years, Russia has used its dominant position within the European gas markets to its political  
6  
7 advantage. Qatar has in 2017 had its own political issues that could affect UK gas supply and  
8  
9 the single pipeline from Norway to the UK (Langeled) has had technical problems. Given  
10  
11 that there have been several occasions in the past few winters when gas supply in the UK  
12  
13 has only been 1% above gas demand, it is clear that gas security for the UK is a major issue;  
14  
15 one which would be eased considerably if geothermal energy replaced gas as a major source  
16  
17 of heat for domestic and industrial properties in the UK.  
18  
19

### 20 21 **UK onshore geology - basins, granites, heat flow and thermal gradients** 22

23  
24 The geology of the United Kingdom is both diverse and complex, a product of its position on  
25  
26 the margin of the Eurasian continental mass; a position it has occupied for much of the last  
27  
28 billion years or more. Repeated rifting from and collision with, the North American and  
29  
30 African continents has produced an array of sedimentary basins, many of them partially  
31  
32 exhumed, and plutonic rock masses (granites). Both basins and granites have geothermal  
33  
34 potential, albeit modest when compared with the geothermal energy systems developed in  
35  
36 tectonically active countries such as Iceland, Italy and New Zealand.  
37

38  
39 The surface geology of the UK is extremely well known, not least because it has been  
40  
41 mapped in great detail by the officers of the British Geological Survey, since the Survey was  
42  
43 founded in 1835 (as the Ordnance Geological Survey). However, we know much less about  
44  
45 the deep geology of the UK onshore, particularly compared with those areas of the North  
46  
47 Sea and Atlantic Margin that have been explored for petroleum. Much of the UK onshore is  
48  
49 covered by 2D seismic data (of variable vintage and quality) but such data does not contain  
50  
51 information on temperature or heat flow. Temperature, and hence heat flow, can be  
52  
53 measured in mines and boreholes. Heat flow can also be measured at the surface although  
54  
55 it is possible that many such measurements do not give a true picture of heat flow at depth  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 because of near surface chilling during the last Ice Age<sup>10</sup>. Many areas in the UK were mined  
4  
5 for coal, minerals and stone and temperature data are available for some of these mines.  
6  
7 The UK also has more than 2000 onshore (compared with about 9000 offshore wells)  
8  
9 petroleum exploration wells drilled since the beginning of the twentieth century<sup>11</sup>. Most of  
10  
11 these were drilled in the proven petroleum provinces of the East Midlands, Wessex,  
12  
13 Hampshire and the Weald. Fewer wells have been drilled in the minor petroleum provinces  
14  
15 of the Scottish Midland Valley and Cheshire areas and a few wells have been drilled  
16  
17 elsewhere in the UK. Most of the onshore wells terminate at depths of between 1km and  
18  
19 2km beneath the land surface. A few penetrate to depths of greater than 2km. This  
20  
21 contrasts with the offshore where most wells have been drilled to depths of around 3km  
22  
23 and many in excess of 5km.  
24  
25

26  
27 A suit of maps illustrating the main geological elements, heat flow, thermal gradient and  
28  
29 data coverage for deep wells and 2D seismic lines for the UK are presented in Figure 1. In  
30  
31 broad terms, seismic and well data density is greatest in the sedimentary basins because of  
32  
33 their perceived or real potential for hosting fossil fuel resources (coal, gas, oil). While such  
34  
35 areas can be of interest for geothermal prospecting, potential geothermal resources are  
36  
37 more widespread and include areas between these basins, where there are often  
38  
39 radiothermal granites.  
40  
41

42  
43 There are several deep sedimentary basins in the UK in which the thickness of sedimentary  
44  
45 and thus likely porous, water bearing, sedimentary, rock fill exceeds 2km. The geological  
46  
47 age of these basins is typically older in northern England and Scotland and younger in the  
48  
49 south of England (Figure 1, Table2). Although these sedimentary basins will certainly  
50  
51 contain porous sedimentary rocks (sandstones, limestones, dolomites) we cannot be sure  
52  
53 without drilling that the pore spaces will be interconnected, so that the rock will be  
54  
55 permeable enough to transmit fluid.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Basin	Approximate thickness of strata (km)	Stratigraphic age of strata	Average thermal gradient ( $^{\circ}\text{C km}^{-1}$ )	Possible maximum temperature in basin center ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )	Reference
Orcadian Basin	3-4	Devonian	40 inferred	>100	12
Scottish Midland Valley	4 estimated	Devono- Carboniferous	30 calculated from data in 14	130	13, 14
Northumberla nd Trough	>3	Carboniferous and possibly older	35	140	15, 16, 17
Stainmore Trough	2.5	Carboniferous and possibly older	40	125	16, 18
Cleveland/ Lincolnshire Basins	3.5	Triassic to Cretaceous	32	107	19, 20

East Midlands Basin	2.5	Devono- Carboniferous	29	81.4 recorded in Pre-Cambrian basement at 2.5km in Welton A1 well	21
Cheshire Basin	4.7 to base of Permian	Carboniferous to Triassic	>80	21	22, 23
South Wales Basin	2 to base of Coal Measures	Devono- Carboniferous	60	25	19
Worcester Graben	>3	Permo-Triassic	18	63 measured in basement below Permian	20
Wessex Basin	3	Permian to Cretaceous	34.5	76.6 measured in Southampton bore hole at 1.818 km	20
Northern	2.5	Triassic to	85	58	19

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Ireland		Jurassic			
---------	--	----------	--	--	--

Table 1 The main onshore, sedimentary basins of the UK

In addition to the sedimentary basins, the UK also hosts several suits of granite batholiths (Table 2). Granites typically contain small quantities of thorium and uranium. Both elements are radioactive. They decay over periods of billions of years and in doing so produce heat. This means that even very ancient granites are likely to be significant heat sources hundreds of millions of years after emplacement. Unlike sedimentary rocks, granites are not porous (typically <1% pore space) and therefore unlikely to be permeable unless fractured. Some of the UK granites are naturally fractured as a result of earth movements that have occurred since their emplacement, while others could be stimulated to transmit fluids after thermal fracturing.

Name	Age of emplacement	Average thermal gradient ( $^{\circ}\text{C km}^{-1}$ )	Average heat production ( $\mu\text{W m}^{-3}$ )	Reference
Caledonian – Cromarty suite	Devonian	Not available	2.5-4	24, 25, 26
Caledonian – Great Glen suite	Devonian	Not available	2	24, 25

Caledonian – Cairngorm suite	Silurian/ Devonian	24 <sup>26</sup>	5-7	24, 25, 26
Caledonian – Argyll suite	Devonian	Not available	2	24, 25
Caledonian – South of Scotland suite	Devonian	Not available	2	24, 25
Weardale (Durham)	Devonian	38	3.7	19, 27
Cornwall	Permian	39.5	3.1 (Bodmin) to 6.5 (Lands End)	19

Table 2 Granite batholiths of the UK

### Review geothermal exploration and previous studies

Miners in the UK had long realised that below ground it could be warm long before anyone considered the geothermal potential of the UK. Temperatures were recorded in mines initially because of health and safety concerns rather than an interest in the sub-subsurface thermal gradient<sup>28</sup>, although as far back as 1869, Lord Kelvin measured elevated temperatures down shallow boreholes. Similarly, the hot springs at Bath and rather more

1  
2  
3 tepid springs, elsewhere in the UK, have attracted bathers since at least Roman times<sup>29</sup>. The  
4  
5 first successful oil well in the UK was drilled at Hardstoft in Derbyshire, striking oil at 3070 ft  
6  
7 (936 m) in May 1919<sup>30</sup> but no mention was made of the temperature at depth. The same  
8  
9 was true when Falcon and Kent<sup>31</sup> summarised the results of the search for petroleum in the  
10  
11 UK from Hardstoft until the late 1950s; again no mention of temperature. It was however in  
12  
13 1961 that the UK science community was awakened to the fact that hot rocks exist at depth  
14  
15 when the Department of Geology at Durham University drilled a well at Weardale in County  
16  
17 Durham. The aim of the well was not to discover petroleum but rather to prove the  
18  
19 hypothesis advanced by Martin Bott that the negative gravity anomaly he had mapped in  
20  
21 Weardale was caused by the presence of a cryptic granite, buried at depth and not exposed  
22  
23 anywhere at surface<sup>32</sup>. The Rookhope well did indeed find granite at a depth of 385m below  
24  
25 the surface but in what was a surprise at the time the granite was found to be both eroded  
26  
27 and hot<sup>33</sup>. Three further wells were drilled for research purposes on or adjacent to the  
28  
29 Weardale Pluton<sup>34</sup>.

30  
31  
32  
33  
34 The deliberate search for geothermal energy in the UK began in the 1970s in response to the  
35  
36 global oil crisis and at a time when the petroleum resource in the UK lay largely  
37  
38 undiscovered. A total of seven deep boreholes were drilled on the basis of the studies done  
39  
40 in the 1970s, although all these wells were drilled in between 1980 and 1985 by which time  
41  
42 the UK was a petroleum exporting country and oil was selling at around \$30 per barrel in  
43  
44 money of the day (Table 3). Four of these wells were targeted at deeply buried, saline  
45  
46 aquifers (Antrim, Lincolnshire & Hampshire), while three wells targeted radiothermal granite  
47  
48 (Cornwall). The three wells drilled at Rosemanowes, Cornwall became known as the hot, dry  
49  
50 rocks programme and received much attention<sup>35</sup>. However, none of the three wells drilled  
51  
52 made it into production. Success was delivered by the Southampton borehole which was  
53  
54 put on production and has supplied water at 75°C and 1.7MW<sub>T</sub> since 1987 and forms the  
55  
56 basis for the Southampton District Energy Scheme which supplies heat and power to a  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 hospital, university and commercial buildings in central Southampton. The Southampton  
4  
5 geothermal scheme was not, however, developed by the UK government, but was the result  
6  
7 of the foresight of the accountant at Southampton Council, Mike Smith, who rescued the  
8  
9 well from premature abandonment as the UK government programme was closed<sup>36</sup>.  
10

11  
12 Twenty years elapsed before the next geothermal borehole was drilled in the UK and it was  
13  
14 a return to Weardale in County Durham where the first accidental discovery of hot  
15  
16 geothermal water had been made in 1961. The well was drilled at Eastgate (Table 4) on the  
17  
18 site of a former cement works that had been designated as the hub for a possible eco-village  
19  
20 development led by the then Regional Development Agency for the region, One North East.  
21  
22 Embryonic plans were made by One North East for the village to be powered by wind and  
23  
24 photovoltaics. The opportunity to add geothermal energy to this mix of renewables planned  
25  
26 for the village was identified by Paul Younger, then a professor of hydrogeology at  
27  
28 Newcastle University. The village never was developed because of the combination of the  
29  
30 global economic downturn at the end of the first decade of the 21<sup>st</sup> century and the demise  
31  
32 of the Regional Development Agencies at about the same time. However, the well at  
33  
34 Eastgate was drilled in 2004. Manning et al<sup>27</sup> reported on the plan to drill Eastgate and its  
35  
36 execution. The well was a stunning success. Drilled to a depth of 998m, the mid to deep part  
37  
38 of the well encountered naturally fractured Weardale Granite as planned. The bottom hole  
39  
40 temperature was 46°C and the well flowed saline water from a zone at 411m and at a  
41  
42 temperature of 27°C. The Eastgate No1 borehole as it became known, proved capable of  
43  
44 producing water at a rate of 140m<sup>3</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup> (39 l s<sup>-1</sup>) from per meter of drawdown and the heat  
45  
46 flow was measured at 111 mW m<sup>-2</sup>. An appraisal well, Eastgate 2, was drilled in 2010 some  
47  
48 700m from Eastgate 1 to determine whether the fractures were pervasive throughout the  
49  
50 granite or were limited to the bounding fault to the granite, known locally as the Slitt Vein.  
51  
52 The granite at Eastgate 2 proved the same thermal gradient as Eastgate 1, but also proved to  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 be impermeable, confirming that the fracture permeability in Eastgate 1 is associated with  
4  
5 the bounding fault<sup>37</sup>.  
6  
7

8 The most recent geothermal exploration well to be drilled in the UK followed on from  
9  
10 Eastgate 2 and targeted the same fracture system as Eastgate 1. The well, called Newcastle  
11  
12 Science Central, was drilled in central Newcastle by a consortium headed by Newcastle  
13  
14 University, that included Durham University, the British Geological Survey and Newcastle  
15  
16 City Council. The well was drilled to a depth 1.8 km and targeted Lower Carboniferous Fell  
17  
18 Sandstones in an area close to what is known as the Ninety Fathom Fault (equivalent to the  
19  
20 Slitt Vein). The well proved the high thermal gradient but failed to flow water to surface<sup>17</sup>.  
21  
22

23 The reasons for the failure are not entirely clear.  
24  
25

26 No new geothermal wells have been drilled in Cornwall since the 1980s but recently funding  
27  
28 has been obtained from the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) to drill two deep  
29  
30 geothermal wells at United Downs near the town of Redruth and to the original  
31  
32 Rosemanowes borehole. The wells will penetrate to depths of 2.5 km and 4.5 km  
33  
34 respectively. Assuming the injected water descends through the artificially created fracture  
35  
36 system and is heated, the deeper well is expected to produce water at 175°C and so deliver  
37  
38 1 MW of power<sup>38</sup>.  
39  
40

41 Downing and Gray<sup>19</sup> provided the first comprehensive nationwide assessment of geothermal  
42  
43 potential for production of hot water from Permian and younger strata in the UK. Their  
44  
45 work has formed the basis for two more recent reviews by SKM<sup>39</sup> and Atkins<sup>40</sup>. Busby<sup>20</sup>  
46  
47 provided a summary of the geothermal heat resource potential for the UK (Table 5) and  
48  
49 indicated that, at a minimum, the resource potential is 200 EJ. Such a resource, if exploited  
50  
51 could, supply the current annual UK heating requirements for about 100 years.  
52  
53

54  
55 The total heat resource in a basin or granite is clearly an important parameter to calculate  
56  
57 and to balance against energy needs. However, it is the rate at which heat can be extracted  
58  
59  
60



determines whether the use of the geothermal energy is economically viable. Such flow rate tests are few in the UK, at least for boreholes drilled for geothermal exploration. However, it is possible to supplement the few tests from geothermal wells with data from petroleum fields and from the small number of hot or tepid springs known in the UK. In some areas, it may also be valid to use analogue data from (nearby) offshore petroleum producing fields (Table 6). Of particular note in Table 6 is that most the data that are available come from petroleum fields within Carboniferous reservoirs, an interval which was not part of the quantitative geothermal resource assessment of Downing and Gray<sup>19</sup>. There are two reasons for this; Upper Carboniferous sandstones are the most common petroleum bearing reservoir intervals onshore UK<sup>41,42</sup> and karstified Lower Carboniferous limestones form the primary reservoir for the UK's hot and tepid springs<sup>43</sup> as well as hosting a small number of oilfields.

Location	Completion	Well depth (m)	Bottom hole temperature (°C)	Main aquifer depth (m)	Temperature of aquifer (°C)
Rosemanowes RH11, Cornwall	December 1981	2175	90	2100	55-70
Rosemanowes RH12, Cornwall	October, 1981	2143	90	Not identified	Not applicable
Rosemanowes RH15,	January 1985	2652	100	Not identified	Not applicable

Cornwall					
Marchwood, Hampshire	February 1980	2609	88	1672-1686	74
Larne, N. Ireland	July 1981	2873	91	960-1247	40
Southampton, Hampshire	November 1981	1823	77	1725-1749	76
Cleethorpes, Lincolnshire	June 1984	2092	69	1093-1490	44-55

Table 3 Summary of deep boreholes drilled during the UK 1980s geothermal campaign  
(modified from<sup>25</sup>)

Location	Completion	Well depth (m)	Bottom hole temperature (°C)	Main aquifer depth (m)	Temperature of aquifer (°C)
Eastgate (1)	December 2004	995	46	411	27
Eastgate 2	July 2010	420	-	Not present	No flow
Science	July 2011	1821	73	1418.5-1795	No flow

Central					
---------	--	--	--	--	--

Table 4 Summary of deep boreholes drilled in the UK during the 2000s and 2010s (modified from<sup>17,25</sup>)

Basin	Aquifer	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Geothermal resource (@>40°C, EJ)	Identified resource (EJ) reject temperature 25°C, recovery factor 33%	Maximum temperature (°C)	Maximum thermal store (GJm <sup>-2</sup> )
Eastern England	Triassic Sherwood Sandstone	4827	122	24.6	65	60
Wessex	Triassic Sherwood Sandstone	4188	27	6.5	95	18
Worcester	Triassic Sherwood Sandstone	500	8	1.5	55	35

Worcester	Permian Collyhurst Sandstone	1173	60	11.8	65	110
Cheshire	Triassic Sherwood Sandstone	677	36	7.6	80	75
Cheshire	Permian Collyhurst Sandstone	1266	38	9.1	100	60
Northern Ireland	Triassic Sherwood Sandstone	1618	35	4.7	60	25
Total			326	65.8		

Table 5 Summary of the UK's low-enthalpy geothermal resources above 40°C<sup>44</sup>.

### UK geothermal plays

In petroleum terms, a play describes a paired system of reservoir rock and associated seal, the latter preventing the petroleum from leaking to surface. This same approach can be used to describe geothermal systems. A geothermal play will comprise a water bearing

1  
2  
3 reservoir rock overlain by a sealing rock. The quality of the resource (hotter or cooler water)  
4  
5 is not part of the play but of the prospect, that is the area to be drilled. Our approach here  
6  
7 is the same as that adopted by the UK Department of Trade and Industry<sup>45</sup> in dividing the UK  
8  
9 into its natural component geological parts (Figure 3). The DTI<sup>45</sup> only addressed areas that  
10  
11 might have petroleum potential. We have extended their work to include other areas that  
12  
13 have geothermal potential.  
14

15  
16 Hercynides - including the area of SW England containing the Permian granites (Isles of Scilly  
17  
18 to Dartmoor), this is the area associated with the Hot Dry Rock project of the 1980's and the  
19  
20 current United Downs geothermal power project. The play comprises the largely uncapped  
21  
22 Permian granites that were intruded into Carboniferous strata which act as partial lateral  
23  
24 heat seals. The United Downs project is based on an exploration paradigm that the  
25  
26 mineralized 'cross courses' are deep fractures with some existing natural permeability that  
27  
28 may or may not need to be enhanced by hydraulic fracturing. The mineralization in the area  
29  
30 demonstrates that there has been hydrothermal activity in the geological past. No other  
31  
32 plays have been evaluated in this area although abundant flooded mine workings in Devon  
33  
34 and Cornwall have potential as a geothermal energy resource.  
35  
36

37  
38 Wessex and Weald Province - comprises a single proven geothermal play and several  
39  
40 possible plays. The Southampton District Energy Scheme produces water at 75°C from  
41  
42 Triassic (Sherwood) sandstones overlain by a Triassic (Keuper) mudstone seal. From  
43  
44 petroleum exploration and production data, other transmissive reservoirs are known to exist  
45  
46 within the Triassic (Rhaetic limestone, Liassic seal), Jurassic (Bridport sandstone, Liassic Seal;  
47  
48 Frome Clay and its laterally equivalent Great Oolite limestone, Middle Jurassic Oxford Clay  
49  
50 seal; Upper Jurassic sandstones and seals) and Cretaceous (Lower Cretaceous, Greensand  
51  
52 and Wealden sandstones and seals; Upper Cretaceous Chalk, sealed by Tertiary mudstones).  
53  
54 However, the shallow depth of all but the Triassic Sherwood Sandstone makes it likely that  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 these reservoirs will be too cool for them to be of direct use resource for domestic heating.

4  
5 Karstified Lower Carboniferous Limestones have been penetrated below the Humbly Grove  
6  
7 (former) oilfield in Hampshire and similar limestones are known to exist beneath the  
8  
9 abandoned (Upper Carboniferous) coal mining area in Kent. The coalfields of Kent were  
10  
11 mined to depths between 0.6 and 1.5 km<sup>46</sup> and known to suffered from significant water  
12  
13 inflow at rates measured at around 60 l s<sup>-1</sup> from Cretaceous Greensand and Chalk<sup>47</sup>.

14  
15  
16 Welsh Caledonides - comprise tectonised Lower Palaeozoic meta-sedimentary and igneous  
17  
18 rocks for which no geothermal play has been defined. Some very low enthalpy geothermal  
19  
20 potential may exist in the many abandoned, flooded mineral mines of which there are many  
21  
22 in Wales. Similar flooded mine potential may exist in the now abandoned South Wales  
23  
24 Coalfield.

25  
26  
27 Worcester Graben - the plays in the Worcester Graben, Cheshire Basin, West Lancashire  
28  
29 Basin and its extension into the East Irish Sea Basin are all similar and will be discussed in the  
30  
31 section below devoted to the Cheshire Basin.

32  
33  
34 Midlands Microcraton - comprises a shallow Pre-Cambrian and Lower Palaeozoic basement  
35  
36 with thin Mesozoic cover. No geothermal plays have been defined.

37  
38  
39 London Brabant Platform - no geothermal plays have been defined for the area.

40  
41  
42 West Lancashire Basin - this area is also discussed below within the following Cheshire Basin  
43  
44 section.

45  
46  
47 Cheshire Basin - together with the Worcester Graben, West Lancashire Basin and East Irish  
48  
49 Sea Basin has significant geothermal potential (noted above in Table 5). The main evaluated  
50  
51 plays are the Triassic (Sherwood) sandstone sealed by Triassic shales and evaporites and the  
52  
53 Permian (Collyhurst) sandstone, partially sealed by the Permian Manchester Marl. Both  
54  
55

1  
2  
3 intervals are exploited as potable aquifers at shallow depth and both are proven, productive  
4  
5 petroleum reservoirs in the East Irish Sea Basin between depth of 1km and 2.6 km<sup>48,49</sup>.  
6  
7

8 Older plays include Carboniferous (Westphalian, Namurian and uppermost Dinantian)  
9  
10 sandstones each sealed by Carboniferous mudstones<sup>45</sup>. Karstified, Lower Carboniferous  
11  
12 limestones, sealed by a variety of younger mudstones are an additional play system,  
13  
14 evaluation of which is currently underway by Nadia Narayan of Durham University. This play  
15  
16 is proven, in the southern end of the Worcester Graben by the Bath Hot Springs and by  
17  
18 three oil discoveries in the adjacent East Midlands Province. Evaluation of seismic and well  
19  
20 data in the West Midlands indicates this play may well exist at depth, beneath Permian and  
21  
22 Triassic strata. As with South Wales, opportunities exist for exploiting the warm water in  
23  
24 abandoned, flooded coal mines.  
25  
26

27  
28 East Midlands Province - hosts most of the UK onshore oil and gas fields. Productive  
29  
30 intervals occur in Upper Carboniferous sandstones sealed by mudstones and Lower  
31  
32 Carboniferous limestones also sealed by mudstone<sup>20</sup>. Each of these proven petroleum plays  
33  
34 could also constitute a working geothermal play. Hirst et al<sup>20</sup> evaluated the geothermal  
35  
36 potential of the depleted (Carboniferous reservoir) Welton Field. Triassic sandstones sealed  
37  
38 by Triassic mudstones are also a potential play in the eastern part of the province where  
39  
40 buried to depths in excess of 1.5 km<sup>50</sup>. Devonian coarse grained, sedimentary rocks have  
41  
42 also been proven to exist beneath the Carboniferous strata in a few wells<sup>51</sup> and are inferred  
43  
44 from seismic data to be extensive in the East Midlands Province. These too could form a  
45  
46 viable play with lowermost Carboniferous mudstones acting as the seal horizon.  
47  
48

49  
50 Lake District Caledonides - do not contain an identified geothermal play.  
51  
52

53 NE England Province including the Cleveland Basin - has much in common with the East  
54  
55 Midlands Province insofar as the Carboniferous is concerned with additional plays associated  
56  
57 with the naturally fractured Weardale Granite<sup>27</sup> and possibly the Cheviot granites.  
58  
59  
60

In addition Permian (Lower Permian Yellow Sands; Upper Permian Zechstein carbonates), Triassic and possibly Jurassic reservoirs with contemporaneous mudstone seal plays occur in the Cleveland Basin.

Southern Uplands Caledonides - do not contain an identified geothermal play.

Midland Valley Basin - contains proven petroleum systems, flowing oil from Carboniferous sandstones with Carboniferous mudstone seals<sup>52</sup>.

Scottish Caledonides - includes Caledonian (Devonian) granites<sup>25</sup> and locally developed Devonian sedimentary cover.

NW Scotland - includes fractured Archean basement and the North Atlantic Tertiary Igneous Province.

Details of the successfully tested geothermal plays and tested analogue (petroleum) plays are given in Table 6.

Unit name/type	Location	Stratigraphic age/reservoir	Temperature (°C)	Flow rate (l s <sup>-1</sup> )	Heat delivery (MW <sub>t</sub> )	Notes
Southampton Single geothermal well	Southampton, Hampshire	Triassic Sherwood Sandstone	75	12	1.7	



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Wytch Farm Oilfield	Dorset & Poole Harbour	Triassic Sherwood Sandstone, Jurassic Bridport Sandstone	66	450	35	53
Liverpool Bay fields, Cheshire Basin	East Irish Sea Basin, Cheshire	Triassic Sherwood Sandstone, Permian Collyhurst Sandstone	30 at 2140m (Douglas Field, Triassic)	140 (32 oil, 108 water)	Not calculate d	Tempera ture gradient is 27°C/km
Welton Oilfield	Lincolnshire	Upper Carboniferous sandstones, Lower Carboniferous Limestone	52.5 (at 1.5 km)	18 (8.4 oil, 9.88 water)	1.6	30°C temperat ure depletio n at maximu m flow rate

East Midlands oilfields	Lincolnshire & Nottinghamshire	Upper Carboniferous sandstones, Lower Carboniferous Limestone	2-50 from 23 fields	15 water 15 oil	2.6 midpoint of range covering 0.78% of East Midlands area	42
Bath hot spring	Avon	Carboniferous limestone	45	17	Not calculated	
Eastgate geothermal well	Weardale, County Durham	Devonian granite	27	39	Not calculated	

Table 6 The UK's flow-tested geothermal systems and analogue petroleum systems

### UK potential geothermal resource and utilisation

The UK's geothermal heat resource is substantial. Figure 4a shows a map of the U.K. with the thermal resource (EJ) for each area annotated. This compares very favourably with the heat demand (Figure 4b). For many areas of the UK it would be technically feasible to use low enthalpy geothermal heat for space heating using district energy schemes.

1  
2  
3 The UK government has already created a suitable mechanism for helping develop low-  
4 carbon electrical power generators and the same could be utilised to help develop low-  
5 carbon heat sources such as geothermal. The Contract for Difference (CFD) is a contract  
6 between a low carbon electricity generator and the Low Carbon Contracts Company (LCCC),  
7 a government-owned company. A generator party to a CFD is paid the difference between  
8 the 'strike price' – a price for electricity reflecting the cost of investing in a particular low  
9 carbon technology – and the 'reference price' – a measure of the average market price for  
10 electricity in the GB market. It would be a simple matter to extend this concept to heat.

11  
12 Exploitation of low carbon heat needs to be developed now in order that the UK meets its  
13 next carbon budget and it could be achieved using CFD alongside the low-cost option of  
14 reusing oilfield assets (so deferring abandonment costs). The geothermal developments  
15 would also require the skills developed by staff within the petroleum industry and currently  
16 available from an oversupplied skills market for petroleum.

## 31 32 33 34 35 **Conclusions**

36  
37 In order to meet its emissions reduction commitments, the UK must decarbonise its heating.  
38  
39 The emissions associated with domestic, commercial and industrial heating in the UK are  
40 about 150 million tonnes per annum of carbon dioxide equivalent. A switch from burning  
41 fossil fuels (largely gas) to produce the required heat to use of naturally heated geothermal  
42 water can play a major role in reducing such emissions. District energy schemes, similar to  
43 that developed by Southampton Council would replace gas boilers in individual properties.  
44  
45 There is enough accessible geothermal heat resource adjacent to major population centers  
46 in the UK for this to be a technically feasible option and the resource base is such that at  
47 least 100 years supply could be delivered at present consumption rates. It would provide a  
48 good level of base load energy supply for heating, so lessening the need for the (wasteful)

1  
2  
3 energy storage that is required by renewable, but intermittent, energy supply from wind,  
4  
5 solar photovoltaics and solar thermal.  
6  
7

8 The time to make this change to geothermal from gas is now. Skills and know how from the  
9  
10 petroleum industry could be used to drill wells and produce hot water from deep below our  
11  
12 major cities and towns and the spent cold water reinjected to be rewarmed by the rock  
13  
14 below. Similarly the aging and non-productive infrastructure used for petroleum production  
15  
16 in the UK could be upcycled and repurposed for hot water production, so utilizing existing  
17  
18 facilities and deferring abandonment costs.  
19  
20

21 The last three UK governments have sequentially and successfully assisted development of  
22  
23 an offshore wind industry that has led the way in decarbonizing power production. The  
24  
25 commercial device used to effect this change has been the Contract For Difference. It has  
26  
27 ensured stability of income for developers and costs for consumers. Applied to heat CFDs  
28  
29 could help ensure a warm and secure energy future for the UK.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

## 36 References

- 37  
38 1 BP Statistical review of world energy 2017 [http://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/energy-](http://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/energy-economics/statistical-review-of-world-energy/renewable-energy/geothermal-power.html)  
39  
40 [economics/statistical-review-of-world-energy/renewable-energy/geothermal-power.html](http://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/energy-economics/statistical-review-of-world-energy/renewable-energy/geothermal-power.html) (accessed on 14 June  
41  
42 2017)  
43  
44  
45 2 GEA Geothermal Power: International market update, October 2016, Geothermal Power  
46  
47 Association, Washington, USA, [http://geo-](http://geo-energy.org/reports/2016/2016%20International%20Development%20Interim%20Report.pdf)  
48  
49 [energy.org/reports/2016/2016%20International%20Development%20Interim%20Report.pdf](http://geo-energy.org/reports/2016/2016%20International%20Development%20Interim%20Report.pdf) (accessed 18 June 2017)  
50  
51  
52 3 Matek B 2016 Annual U.S. & Global Geothermal Power Production Report, Geothermal  
53  
54 Energy Association, Washington, USA, 36pp, [http://geo-](http://geo-energy.org/reports/2016/2016%20International%20Development%20Interim%20Report.pdf)  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 [energy.org/reports/2016/2016%20Annual%20US%20Global%20Geothermal%20Power%20Production.pdf](http://energy.org/reports/2016/2016%20Annual%20US%20Global%20Geothermal%20Power%20Production.pdf) (accessed 18

4  
5 June 2017)

6  
7  
8 4 Lund JW and Boyd TL Direct utilization of geothermal energy 2015, worldwide review,

9  
10 Proceedings World Geothermal Congress 2015, Melbourne, Australia, 19-25 April 2015

11  
12  
13 5 Dukes Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics 2016, Department of Business, Energy &

14  
15 Industrial Strategy,

16  
17 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/577712/DUKES\\_2016\\_FINAL.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/577712/DUKES_2016_FINAL.pdf)

18  
19 (accessed on 13 June 2017)

20  
21  
22  
23  
24 6 DTI Energy Consumption in the United Kingdom, Department of trade and Industry 2002,

25  
26 National Statistics, <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/http://www.berr.gov.uk/files/file11250.pdf>

27  
28 (accessed 13 June 2017)

29  
30  
31 7 BEIS UK greenhouse gas emissions statistics, Department for Business, Energy & Industrial

32  
33 Strategy, Energy & climate change evidence and analysis 2015, updated March 2017,

34  
35 <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/uk-greenhouse-gas-emissions-statistics> (accessed 13 June 2017)

36  
37  
38 8 Asthana A and Taylor M Britain to ban sale of all diesel and petrol cars and vans from

39  
40 2040, The Guardian online, [https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2017/jul/25/britain-to-](https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2017/jul/25/britain-to-ban-sale-of-all-diesel-and-petrol-cars-and-vans-from-2040)

41  
42 [ban-sale-of-all-diesel-and-petrol-cars-and-vans-from-2040](https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2017/jul/25/britain-to-ban-sale-of-all-diesel-and-petrol-cars-and-vans-from-2040) (accessed 27 July 2017)

43  
44  
45 9 Bell M, Gault A, Thompson M, Hill J and Joffe D Next steps for UK heat policy, Committee

46  
47 on Climate Change, October 2016, 103pp [https://www.theccc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Next-](https://www.theccc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Next-steps-for-UK-heat-policy-Committee-on-Climate-Change-October-2016.pdf)

48  
49 [steps-for-UK-heat-policy-Committee-on-Climate-Change-October-2016.pdf](https://www.theccc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Next-steps-for-UK-heat-policy-Committee-on-Climate-Change-October-2016.pdf) (accessed 27 July 2017)

50  
51  
52 10 Westaway R and Younger PL Accounting for palaeoclimate and topography: a rigorous

53  
54 approach to correction of the British geothermal dataset, *Geothermics* **48**, 31-51, 2013

1  
2  
3 11 Davies RJ, Almond S, Ward R, Jackson R, Adams C, Worrall F, Herringshaw LG, Gluyas JG  
4 and Whitehead MA Oil wells and their integrity: Implications for shale and unconventional  
5 resource exploitation, *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **56**, 239-254, 2014  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 12 Hillier S and Marshall JEA Organic maturation, thermal history and hydrocarbon  
11 generation in the Orcadian Basin, Scotland, *J. Geol Soc Lond*, **149**, 491-502, 1992  
12  
13

14  
15 13 Ritchie JD, Johnson H, Browne MAE and Monaghan AA Late-Devonian tectonic evolution  
16 within the Firth of Forth, Midland Valley: as revealed from 2D seismic reflection data,  
17 *Scottish J. Geol.*, **39**, 121-134, 2003  
18  
19

20  
21  
22 14 Robinson RAJ, Townsend P, Steen P, Barron H, Abesser CA, Muschamp H, McGrath I, and  
23 Todd I Geothermal Energy Challenge Fund: the Guardbridge Geothermal Technology Project.  
24 105 pp, 2016  
25  
26

27  
28  
29 15 Johnson GA Subsidence and sedimentation in the Northumberland Trough, *Proc Yorks*  
30 *Geol Soc*, **45**, 71-83, 1984  
31  
32

33  
34 16 Burnett RD Regional maturation patterns for Late Visean (Carboniferous, Dinantian) rocks  
35 of northern England based on mapping of conodont colour, *Irish Journal of Earth Sciences*, **8**,  
36 165-185, 1987  
37  
38

39  
40  
41 17 Younger PL, Manning DAC, Millward D, Busby JP, Jones CRC and Gluyas JG Geothermal  
42 exploration in the Fell Sandstone Formation (Mississippian) beneath the city centre of  
43 Newcastle upon Tyne, UK: the Newcastle Science Central Deep Geothermal Borehole, *Quart*  
44 *J. Eng Geol & Hydrol*, **49**, 350-363, 2016  
45  
46

47  
48  
49 18 Bott MHP, Swinburn PM and Long RE Deep structure and origin of the Northumberland  
50 and Stainmore Troughs, *Proc Yorks Geol Soc*, **44**, 479-495, 1982  
51  
52

53  
54  
55 19 Downing RA and Gray DA Geothermal energy – the potential in the United Kingdom,  
56 *British Geological Survey*, 187pp, 1986  
57  
58

1  
2  
3 20 Busby JP Geothermal energy in sedimentary basins in the UK, *Hydrogeology Journal*, **22**,  
4 129-141, 2014  
5  
6

7  
8 21 Hirst CM, Gluyas JG and Mathias SA The late field life of the East Midlands Petroleum  
9 Province; a new geothermal prospect? *Quart J. Eng Geol & Hydrol*, **48**, 104-114, 2015  
10  
11

12  
13 22 Plant JA, Jones DG and Haslam HW The Cheshire Basin, basin evolution, fluid movement  
14 and mineral resources in a Permo-Triassic rift setting, *British Geological Survey*, 263pp, 1999  
15  
16

17  
18 23 Hirst CM The geothermal potential of low enthalpy deep sedimentary basins in the UK  
19 PhD Thesis Durham University, 290pp, 2016  
20  
21

22  
23 24 Stephens WE and Halliday AN Geochemical contrasts between late Caledonian granitoid  
24 plutons of northern, central and southern Scotland, *Trans Roy Soc Edinburgh*, **75**, 259-273,  
25 1984  
26  
27

28  
29  
30 25 Younger PL, Gluyas JG & Stephens WE Development of deep geothermal energy  
31 resources in the UK *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers*, **165**, 19-32, 2012  
32  
33

34  
35 26 Busby J, Gillespie M and Kender S How hot are the Cairngorms, *Scottish Journal of*  
36 *Geology*, 51, 105-115, 2015  
37  
38

39  
40 27 Manning, DAC, Younger PL, Smith,FW, Jones JM, Dufton DJ and Diskin S A deep  
41 geothermal exploration well at Eastgate, Weardale, UK: a novel exploration concept for low-  
42 enthalpy resources, *J. Geol Soc. Lond.*, **164**, 371-382, 2007  
43  
44

45  
46  
47 28 Prenskey S Temperature Measurements in Boreholes - An Overview of Engineering and  
48 Scientific Applications: *The Log Analyst*, v. 33, no. 3, p. 313 – 333, 1992  
49  
50

51  
52 29 Hembry PM British spas from 1815 to the present day, Fairleigh Dickinson University  
53 Press, 299pp, 1997  
54  
55

- 1  
2  
3 30 Craig J, Gluyas JG, Laing C and Schofield P Hardstoft – Britain’s first oil field, Oil Industry  
4 History 2014, Journal of Petroleum History Institute, Oil Industry History **14**, 97-116, 2014  
5  
6  
7  
8 31 Falcon NL and Kent PE Geological results of petroleum exploration in Britain 1945-1957,  
9 Geological Society Memoir **2**, 1960  
10  
11  
12 32 Bott MPH and Masson-Smith D The geological interpretation of a gravity survey of the  
13 Alston Block and the Durham Coalfield, Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society, **113**, 93-  
14 118, 1957  
15  
16  
17  
18 33 Bott MPH, Johnson GAL, Mansfield J and Wheilden J Terrestrial heat flow in north-east  
19 England, Geophysical Journal International, **27**, 277-288, 1972  
20  
21  
22  
23 34 Kimbell GS, Young B, Millward D & Crowley QG The North Pennine batholith (Weardale  
24 Granite) of northern England: new data on its age and form. Proceedings of the Yorkshire  
25 Geological Society, 58, 107–112, 2010 <http://doi.org/10.1144/pygs.58.1.273>  
26  
27  
28  
29 35 Richards HG, Willis-Richards J and Pye J A review of geological investigations associated  
30 with the UK Hot Dry Rock programme, In Geoscience of SW England, *Usher Society*, **7(4)** 321-  
31 326, 1991  
32  
33  
34  
35 36 Gearty M, Clark B and Smith M Southampton district energy scheme, A learning history  
36 workshop, VWS workshop 2008  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44 [http://people.bath.ac.uk/mnspwr/doc\\_theses\\_links/pdf/dt\\_mg\\_APPDXGSouthampton\\_case\\_issue\\_vws\\_A5.pdf](http://people.bath.ac.uk/mnspwr/doc_theses_links/pdf/dt_mg_APPDXGSouthampton_case_issue_vws_A5.pdf), (accessed  
45 on 20 June 2017)  
46  
47  
48 37 Hirst CM Reconnaissance Evaluation of Quarter Point Vein Systems in the North Pennines  
49 as Geothermal Exploration Targets, MSc thesis, University of Newcastle, 62pp, 2012  
50  
51  
52  
53 38 Cornish Stuff New hot opportunity at United Downs – ‘mining for heat’ gets £10 m Euro  
54 grant, <https://cornishstuff.com/2017/06/20/new-hot-opportunity-at-united-downs-mining-for-heat-gets-10m-euro-grant/>  
55 (accessed on 21 June 2017)  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 39 SKM Geothermal energy potential, Great Britain and Northern Ireland, <https://www.r-e->  
4  
5 [a.net/upload/skm\\_report\\_on\\_the\\_potential\\_for\\_geothermal\\_energy\\_in\\_gb\\_ni\\_may\\_2012.pdf](https://www.r-e-a.net/upload/skm_report_on_the_potential_for_geothermal_energy_in_gb_ni_may_2012.pdf) 148pp, 2012  
6  
7 (accessed 21 June 2017)  
8  
9

10 40 Atkins Deep geothermal review study, Final report, 2013, Department of Energy &  
11  
12 Climate Change (DECC)  
13  
14 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/251943/Deep\\_Geothermal\\_Review\\_Study\\_Fi](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/251943/Deep_Geothermal_Review_Study_Final_Report_Final.pdf)  
15 [nal\\_Report\\_Final.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/251943/Deep_Geothermal_Review_Study_Final_Report_Final.pdf) 142pp, (accessed 21 June 2017)  
16  
17

18 41 Underhill JR The tectonic and stratigraphic framework of the United Kingdom's oil and  
19  
20 gas fields, *In* Gluyas J G & Hichens H M (eds) United Kingdom Oil and Gas Fields,  
21  
22 Commemorative Millennium Volume. Geological Society, London, Memoir, **20**, 17–59, 2003  
23  
24

25 42 Hirst CM and Gluyas, JG The geothermal potential held within Carboniferous sediments  
26  
27 of the East Midlands: A new estimation based on oilfield data, Proceedings World  
28  
29 Geothermal Congress 2015, Melbourne, Australia, 19-25 April 2015  
30  
31

32 43 Gallios RW Hot springs at Bath, Geoscience in South-west England, **11**, 168-173, 2006  
33  
34

35 44 Rollin KE, Kirby GA, Rowley WJ, Buckley DK [Atlas of geothermal resources in Europe: UK](#)  
36  
37 [revision. Technical report WK/95/07, British Geological Survey, Keyworth, UK, 1995](#)  
38  
39

40 45 DTI The hydrocarbon prospectivity of the UK's onshore basins. Department of Trade and  
41  
42 Industry (2003) and Department of Energy and Climate Change (2013), UK government  
43  
44 publication.  
45  
46

47 46 Bloodworth AJ, Cameron DG, Wood SE, Bartlett EL, Hobbs SF, Steadman EJ, Evans, DJ,  
48  
49 Lott GK and Highley DE Mineral resource information in support of national, regional and  
50  
51 local planning, Kent (comprising Kent, Medway and the London boroughs Bexley and  
52  
53 Bromley), British Geological Survey Commissioned Report CR/02/125N, 2002  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 47 Dover Museum The discovery of coal in Kent

4  
5 [http://www.dovermuseum.co.uk/Exhibitions/Coal-Mining-in-Kent/History/The-Discovery-of-](http://www.dovermuseum.co.uk/Exhibitions/Coal-Mining-in-Kent/History/The-Discovery-of-Coal-in-Kent.aspx)  
6  
7 [Coal-in-Kent.aspx](http://www.dovermuseum.co.uk/Exhibitions/Coal-Mining-in-Kent/History/The-Discovery-of-Coal-in-Kent.aspx), (accessed on 14<sup>th</sup> August 2017)

8  
9  
10 48 Bunce J The history of exploration and development of the Liverpool Bay fields and the  
11  
12 East Irish Sea Basin, In Craig J (ed) History of the European Oil and Gas Industry, Geological  
13  
14 Society Special Publication **xx**, xxx-xxx, in press

15  
16  
17 49 Cowan G and Boycott-Brown T The North Morecambe Field, Block 110/2a, East Irish Sea,  
18  
19 In Gluyas JG and Hichens HM, (eds) *United Kingdom Oil and Gas Fields,*  
20  
21  
22 *Commemorative Millennium Volume.* Geological Society, London, Memoir, 20, 97–105, 2003

23  
24  
25 50 Green PF Thermal and tectonic history of the East Midlands Shelf (onshore UK) and  
26  
27 surrounding regions assessed by apatite fission track analysis, *J. Geol. Soc. Lond.*, **146**, 755-  
28  
29 773, 1989

30  
31  
32 51 Fraser AJ and Gawthorpe RL Tectono-stratigraphic development and hydrocarbon habit  
33  
34 of the Carboniferous in northern England. In Hardman, R.F.P. and Brooks, J. Eds Tectonic  
35  
36 events responsible for Britain's oil and gas reserves, Geological Society Special Publication  
37  
38 **55**, 49-86, 1990

39  
40  
41 52 Lees GM and Cox, PT The geological basis for the present search for oil in the United  
42  
43 Kingdom, *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society*, **93** 156-188, 1937

44  
45  
46 53 Adams CA, Auld AMC, Veegar F, Gluyas JG and Hogg S From hydrocarbons to heat:  
47  
48 mutual benefits of co-production for hydrocarbon and geothermal industries, Submitted to  
49  
50 applied Energy (in review), 2018

1  
2  
3 Figure 1a, simplified geology of the UK (from the British Geological Survey), b, heat flow  
4  
5 across the UK (from the British Geological Survey), c, seismic data coverage of the UK (from  
6  
7 the UK Onshore Geophysical Library)  
8  
9

10  
11 Figure 2 UK sedimentary basins and granite batholiths. The offshore extensions of onshore  
12  
13 sedimentary basins are omitted for clarity.  
14  
15

16  
17  
18 Figure 3 potential UK onshore, proven and potential geothermal provinces  
19

20  
21  
22 Figure 4 comparison of estimated geothermal resources (purple) and heat demand (yellow).  
23  
24 Data sources for heat demand are the English, Welsh and Scottish heat-maps published by  
25  
26 the UK and devolved governments.  
27  
28  
29

30  
31 Table 1 The main onshore, sedimentary basins of the UK  
32

33  
34 Table 2 Granite batholiths of the UK  
35

36  
37 Table 3 Summary of deep boreholes drilled during the UK 1980s geothermal campaign  
38  
39 (modified from<sup>25</sup>)  
40

41  
42 Table 4 Summary of deep boreholes drilled in the UK during the 2000s and 2010s (modified  
43  
44 from<sup>17,25</sup>)  
45

46  
47 Table 5 Summary of the UK's low-enthalpy geothermal resources above 40°C<sup>44</sup>.  
48

49  
50 Table 6 The UK's flow-tested geothermal systems and analogue petroleum systems  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 1a, simplified geology of the UK (from the British Geological Survey), b, heat flow across the UK (from the British Geological Survey), c, seismic data coverage of the UK (from the UK Onshore Geophysical Library)

240x105mm (72 x 72 DPI)




Figure 2 UK sedimentary basins and granite batholiths. The offshore extensions of onshore sedimentary basins are omitted for clarity.

134x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 3 potential UK onshore, proven and potential geothermal provinces

127x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)




Figure 4 comparison of estimated geothermal resources (purple) and heat demand (yellow). Data sources for heat demand are the English, Welsh and Scottish heat-maps published by the UK and devolved governments.

122x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)