

1 INSECT LARVAE MEAL DIGESTIBILITY

2

3 Metabolism and Nutrition

4 **Amino acid digestibility of larval meal (*Musca domestica*) for broiler chickens**

5 H.N. Hall¹, H.V. Masey O'Neill^{1*}, D. Scholey², E. Burton², M. Dickinson³, E.C. Fitches^{3,4}

6

7 ¹AB Agri Limited, Peterborough, PE2 6FL, UK

8 ²Nottingham Trent University, Southwell, Nottinghamshire, NG25 0QF

9 ³ FERA Science Ltd, Sand Hutton, York, YO41 1LZ

10 ⁴School of Biosciences, University of Durham, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE

11

12 *Corresponding Author: Helen Masey O'Neill

13 AB Agri, 64 Innovation Way, Peterborough Business Park, Lynchwood, Peterborough,

14 Cambridgeshire, PE2 6FL, UK

15 Telephone: 0044 (0) 7738881597

16 Facsimile: 0044 (0) 1733 422258

17 Email: Helen.MaseyONeill@abagri.com

18

ABSTRACT

19 Work was undertaken to investigate the potential use of house-fly (*Musca domestica*) larvae
20 reared on broiler manure as a source of nutrition for poultry production in the UK. Nutritional
21 analysis showed that larvae have a high (>45% dry wt.) protein content and a favorable
22 amino acid profile that is rich in key amino acids such as lysine and methionine. A broiler
23 digestibility trial was carried out to determine the apparent ileal digestibility coefficients
24 (AIDC) and true ileal digestibility coefficients (TIDC) of amino acids (AA) from insect larval
25 meal (ILM) from *M. domestica* and fishmeal (FM) in broiler chickens. This was calculated
26 using multiple linear regression technique based upon three inclusions of each protein source
27 in a semisynthetic diet. One hundred and forty four day-old male (Ross 308) broilers were fed
28 from hatch on a commercial starter diet for 20 days. Experimental diets were fed from day 21
29 to 28 and feed intakes were measured daily. On day 28 the trial was terminated, ileal digesta
30 was collected for the determination of AIDC and TIDC of AA and inflammatory responses
31 (gizzard erosion and eye discharge) were measured. No significant differences were observed
32 in digestibilities between protein sources for any AA. Furthermore, ILM feeding did not
33 induce gizzard erosion or eye discharge at any inclusion. These results provide strong
34 evidence to suggest that ILM of the common house fly can provide a successful alternative
35 protein source to FM in broiler diets.

36

37 Key words

38 Amino Acid; ileal digestibility; Broiler; House fly; Insect meal; *Musca domestica*;

39

40 Abbreviations

41 Amino acid, AA; Apparent ileal digestibility, AID; Apparent ileal digestibility coefficients,
42 AIDC; Acid hydrolysis (Oil B), AH; Body Weight Gain, BWG; Crude Protein, CP; Feed
43 Conversion Ratio, FCR; Feed Intake, FI; Fishmeal, FM; Insect larval meal, ILM,; True ileal
44 digestibility, TID; True ileal digestibility coefficients, TIDC;

45

47 A rising global population and growing appetite for animal products puts pressure on the
48 supply of high quality proteins for animal production. Certain insects can be mass produced
49 presenting an opportunity to alleviate reliance upon crop and animal products for livestock
50 production. Whilst commercial scale production has already been achieved, relatively little is
51 known of the nutritional value of insect meal for individual livestock species.

52 The rearing of houseflies for livestock feed has been researched since the early 20th century
53 (McHargue, 1917) with comparisons of quality and nutritional value being discussed in the
54 mid 1970's (DeFoliart, 1975) when poultry manure was evaluated as a substrate for rearing
55 *Musca. Domestica* (common housefly) (Calvert et al., 1969, Calvert et al., 1970, Morgan et
56 al., 1970, Miller et al., 1974, Teotia and Miller, 1974). More recent publications reporting the
57 potential use of insects in poultry nutrition are based upon trials conducted in Asia, Africa,
58 China, US and EU (Hwangbo et al., 2009, Veldkamp et al., 2012, Van Huis, 2013, Makker et
59 al., 2014). However, the use of manure as a feeding substrate for housefly in industrialised
60 countries has received less attention to date with exceptions of Pretorius (2011) who
61 supported the production of insects on poultry manure for feeding to poultry as a circular
62 economy. This is perhaps due to concerns related to their pest status and the safe use of
63 insects reared on manures as compared to the black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) that is able
64 to grow on a wider range of vegetable and animal waste streams (Zheng et al., 2013).

65 Insects for use in animal nutrition have been gaining increased commercial interest since the
66 recent EU regulation (2017/893) which has permitted insect meal to be fed in aquatic diets. It
67 is expected that this will then be allowed in monogastric diets from as early as 2020
68 (ABN:AMRO, 2017). However few commercially relevant insect studies have been carried
69 out to understand the nutritional characteristics and in vitro effects of feeding the novel

70 ingredients. For instance, insects are relatively high in chitin which can account for up to 8%
71 (w/w) of the total CP content when calculated by $N \times 6.25$. Chitin is a fibrous amino
72 polysaccharide and therefore is hypothesised to provide similar gizzard stimulation as
73 ingestion of coarse fibres from oat hulls and sugar beet pulp which have previously been
74 shown to increase gastric acid secretion, gizzard activity and thereby lowering the pH of
75 gizzard contents and in some cases causing gizzard erosion (Jiménez-Moreno *et al.*, 2009).
76 Gizzard scoring was thus incorporated in this study to compare the effect of feeding high
77 levels of insect meal to broilers. Eye discharge has also been recorded as a measure of the
78 presence of allergenic conjunctivitis. Insects have been reported to contain similar allergenic
79 compounds as shellfish which may stimulate an allergic reaction in both animals and humans
80 consuming animals which have been reared on insects (EFSA Scientific committee, 2015).

81 The aim of this study was to understand amino acid (AA) digestibility of insect larval meal
82 (ILM), as part of a wider feasibility study in which efforts were undertaken to understand the
83 risks and value of this novel protein in livestock feeding. The ILM used was reared on
84 poultry manure to understand the risks and values in this circular economy. Processing
85 followed standards set out in European regulations and was found to be suitable to reduce
86 microbial risks that were outlined in the risk assessment and were comparable to those
87 outlined in the recent publication of our colleagues (Charlton *et al.*, 2015).

88 The value in formulating livestock diets based on digestible AA content has long since been
89 acknowledged (Rostagno *et al.*, 1995 and Mosenthin *et al.*, 2000), therefore this work
90 provides vital information that underpins the development of appropriate diet formulations
91 and estimations of commercial value.

92 MATERIALS AND METHODS

93 The study was carried out at the Brackenhurst Campus of Nottingham Trent University (UK).
94 Institutional and UK national NC3R ARRIVE guidelines and European directive 2010/63/EU
95 for the care, use and reporting of animals in research (Kilkenny *et al.*, 2010) were followed
96 and all experimental procedures involving animals were approved by the University's
97 College of Arts and Science ethical review committee and the Food Standards Agency
98 requirements for feeding of a non-approved feed material (ILM) to poultry.

99

100 ***Insect Larval Meal***

101 The ILM was derived from *M. domestica* larvae reared on poultry manure and was produced
102 by Grantbait Ltd., East Yorkshire, UK. It was subsequently processed using a method in
103 alignment with the method 7 as set out in the EU processed animal proteins regulations (EC
104 142/2011, annex IV chapter III) in which microbial limits are outlined. Larvae were separated
105 from the growth substrate before pupation and gut cleared on sand, the kill step consisted of
106 submersion in boiled water before being dried (air-dried at ambient temperature for 12 hours,
107 followed by 65°C for 3 hours). Whole larvae were then oven cooked for 40 minutes in a fan-
108 assisted oven preheated to 95°C and ground to ensure biological risks were mitigated,
109 Salmonella spp., *E. Coli* and Enterobacteria including coliforms were analysed on processed
110 ILM for animal trials and were found to be below feed material limits as set out in animal
111 feeding regulations EU directive 2002/32/EC as were other undesirable components.
112 Sufficient quantity was produced for a broiler digestibility study in which the ILM was
113 compared to a commercially available fishmeal (FM) (UFI Ltd, Grimsby (UK)) in order to
114 understand the digestible AA levels using a multiple linear regression (as described in
115 Batterham *et al.*, 1979) with three feeding levels of each protein source, previously shown to
116 be sufficient for analysis (Short *et al.*, 1999; Rodehutsord *et al.*, 2004).

117 ***Animals and housing***

118 One hundred and forty four day-old male Ross 308 broilers were obtained (PD Hook
119 Hatcheries Ltd, Cote, Oxford, UK) from a parent flock aged forty weeks. Ross 308 chicks
120 were randomly allocated to wire mesh pens bedded on shavings and were housed in groups of
121 six until day 21. On day 21, birds of a similar weight were re-housed in groups of four;
122 unusual weight birds (+/- 100g of the mean weight) were removed from the trial. Each
123 treatment was fed to six replicate pens of four birds. Pens were 0.64 m² with feed provided in
124 30 cm troughs and water via two nipple drinkers per pen. Prior to the trial period (day 1 to
125 21), chicks were fed a commercial starter, wheat: soyabean meal pelleted diet (Table 1),
126 formulated to be sufficient in energy, AA, vitamins and minerals (228 g/kg of crude protein
127 (CP); 12.8 MJ/kg metabolizable energy). At day 21 the birds were assigned to trial diets.
128 Between days 21 and 28, feed intake was measured. At all times, feed and water were
129 provided on an *ad libitum* basis and care was taken to ensure birds ate and drank on day 1.
130 During the trial period the birds were kept under artificial light for twenty three hours per
131 day, with one hour of dark on day 1 increasing by an hour of darkness each day until day 6.
132 Six hours of darkness (22:00-24:00 and 02:00-06:00) was then maintained for the remainder
133 of the study. The room was thermostatically controlled to produce an initial temperature of
134 32°C on day 1 and reduced in steps of 0.5°C per day, reaching 21°C by day 14. Temperatures
135 were recorded daily from different areas of the unit and health checks made twice daily. Prior
136 to culling on day 28, the birds were fed fresh diet for a minimum of 30 minutes to ensure gut
137 fill. Post weighing, birds were assessed for potential allergic response by the presence of eye
138 discharge. Birds were then culled by cervical dislocation. The weight of each carcass was
139 recorded and the gizzard removed from one bird per pen, emptied and washed before scoring
140 for erosion. The ileal region of the gut was dissected out from the Meckel's diverticulum to
141 the ileal-caecal junction. Ileal digesta was collected to determine the apparent ileal
142 digestibility (AID) and thus the true ileal digestibility (TID) using the multiple linear

143 approach as set out by Short et al., 1999). Digesta was pooled per cage (four birds) and sent
144 for AA analysis. Apparent ileal digestibility coefficients (**AIDC**) and true ileal digestibility
145 coefficients (**TIDC**) are communicated in this paper for brevity.

146

147 *Treatment diets*

148 The six treatment diets were designed to allow determination of AA digestibility of ILM and
149 FM by regression analysis (Batterham et al., 1979; Short et al., 1999) and to enable a
150 comparison between these two protein sources. All diets were semisynthetic, in mash form
151 including 20, 40 or 60% ILM (w/w) or FM as the sole protein source, with the remaining diet
152 made up of a 50:50 mix of corn starch and glucose. All treatments contained a vitamin and
153 mineral premix (50 g/kg) designed for semisynthetic diets (Target Feeds, Shropshire, UK),
154 soyabean oil (50 g/kg) to bind the diet and reduce dustiness and titanium dioxide (5 g/kg) as
155 an indigestible marker. All experimental diets were manufactured on site at Nottingham
156 Trent University. Protein ingredients were ground on a Retsch mill (Retsch-Allee, Haan,
157 Germany) fitted with a 3mm screen and diets were then mixed using a commercial ribbon
158 mixer (Rigal-Bennett, UK) for 8 minutes to ensure homogeneity. All diets were stored at
159 ambient temperature.

160

161 *Inflammatory assessment*

162 Eye discharge assessment was carried out at day 28 by a single competent individual who
163 assessed presence or absence of discharge. Gizzards were removed from one bird per pen,
164 emptied and washed with distilled water before scoring for erosion of the lining on a 5 point
165 scale, amended slightly from that used by Okazaki et al. (1983) to increase the scoring range,
166 as detailed below:

- 167 **1** No erosion
- 168 **2** Light erosion (roughness of koilin layer)
- 169 **3** Modest erosion (roughness and gaps)
- 170 **4** Severe erosion (roughness, gaps and ulcers on stomach wall showing slight haemorrhaging)
- 171 **5** Extreme erosion (roughness, gaps and haemorrhagic ulcers on stomach wall and separation
172 of epithelia from stomach wall)

173

174 ***Chemical analyses and calculations***

175 For samples of diets, dry matter (**DM**) was determined in triplicate by weighing
176 approximately 500 mg samples that were dried to a constant weight at 100 °C in a forced air
177 convection oven. Due to their small sample size and collection directly into plastic
178 containers, digesta samples were frozen and then freeze-dried to a constant weight when
179 determining dry matter. The concentration of titanium dioxide (employed as an inert marker)
180 in diet and digesta samples was determined using the spectrophotometric method described
181 by Short et al. (1996). Crude protein was calculated as N x 6.25. AA analysis was conducted
182 as follows: briefly, diet and digesta samples (~500 mg) were freeze-dried before being
183 milled, and hydrolysed in duplicate using both 6N HCl and 4M NaOH at 110 °C under
184 vacuum for 22 hours. After hydrolysis the samples were allowed to cool before extraction
185 with 1 ml of de-ionized water. Extracts were filtered through 0.22 µm PTFE filters before a
186 10-fold dilution with water and analysis by liquid chromatography – UV detection (LC-UV).
187 A known protein (lysozyme) and a known reference sample (fishmeal) were concurrently
188 hydrolyzed and analyzed with each batch as quality controls. Detection by LC-UV used the
189 “Aracus” fully automatic AA analyser (MembraPure GmbH, Berlin, Germany) with an ion

190 exchange chromatography column (125 mm x 3 mm) to separate each AA before post
191 column derivatization with ninhydrin. Detection of acids by UV was monitored at 570 nm
192 and 440 nm. Total chromatographic run time was 2.5 hours per sample. Each AA was
193 quantified using a certified standard mix of AA (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) injected
194 alongside the analysis. Tryptophan concentration was calculated from the base hydrolysis; all
195 other concentrations were calculated from the acid hydrolysis.

196 Using the titanium dioxide measurements, the AA results were used to calculate AID using
197 the following equation:

$$198 \quad 1 - \frac{aa_{dig} * marker_{feed}}{aa_{feed} * marker_{dig}}$$

199 Where:

200 aa_{dig} represents the AA content of the digesta

201 $marker_{feed}$ represents the titanium concentration in the diet

202 aa_{feed} represents the AA concentration in the diet

203 $marker_{dig}$ represents the titanium dioxide concentration in the digesta

204 The AA content of protein sources and digesta was evaluated following methods set out in
205 EC 98/64/EC. The AID content of the diets was regressed against the rate of inclusion of the
206 ILM and FM. The linear regression was then extrapolated to a rate of inclusion of 100% (or
207 1000 g/kg) protein (Rodehutsord et al., 2004). This gave a figure for AID of the protein
208 sources for each AA measured. Dividing this figure by the total content of the specific AA in
209 the protein gave an AIDC. TID was then calculated by addition of the intercept of the
210 extrapolation to the AID values for each AA to account for endogenous losses as previously
211 described by Short et al. (1996; 1999). The figure for TID was then divided by the total
212 content to provide TIDC values.

213

214 *Statistical Analysis*

215 All data were exported to SPSS v.22 (IBM statistics, 2012) and after KS testing to confirm
216 normality. The mean values for the AIDC and TIDC for each protein source were separated
217 by paired t-test and were considered significant at $P < 0.05$.

218

219 RESULTS

220 *Diet formulation*

221 The starter diet was fed prior to the study period, ingredients and calculated analysis is shown
222 in Table 1. Experimental diets were formulated following triplicate analysis of the ILM and
223 FM for DM, CP, crude fibre (**CF**), acid hydrolysis (**AH**), ash and total AA composition. This
224 analysis is shown in Table 2 and experimental diet formulation and analysis shown in Table
225 3. ILM analysed higher in DM, CF, AH but lower in CP and ash as compared to FM. AA
226 compositions were similar between the two protein sources with ILM higher in key AA such
227 as Cys, Try and Tyr but lower in Lys, Met and Val on an as fed basis.

228

229 *Bird Performance*

230 Bird performance was comparable to other digestibility trials at this facility. There were no
231 significant differences for the two protein sources in any performance parameters measured
232 over the study period (Table 4); initial body weight (**BW**) (day 21), final BW (day 28), body
233 weight gain (**BWG**) or feed intake (**FI**). No eye discharge of any kind was recorded for any
234 bird at any point during the trial. Gizzard erosion was higher in birds fed ILM ($P < 0.05$; Table
235 4) compared to FM but no severe or extreme erosion was seen in any inclusion for either
236 protein source.

237

238 *Amino acid digestibility*

239 The determined values for AIDC of the AA are shown in Table 5. There were no significant
240 differences seen between protein sources (P=0.119) (Table 5). FM values were similar to
241 those previously recorded in the facility for this age of bird. Lys, Met, Try and Cys are all
242 numerically higher for ILM with respective values of 0.87, 0.88, 0.81 and 0.82 versus
243 respective values of 0.86, 0.86, 0.55 and 0.79 for FM. Other AIDC values for the different
244 protein sources were either identical, or very similar.

245 The TIDC values for each protein source are shown in Table 5. The TIDC values for ILM
246 and FM did not significantly differ for any AA (P=0.385).

247

248 DISCUSSION

249 Proximate analysis of the protein sources showed the full fat ILM had a higher AH and lower
250 CP than FM, as the oil has not been removed from the ILM through further processing. The
251 removal of fats would result in a higher CP content and lower AH potentially providing an
252 even better replacement for high protein FM than full fat ILM. Defatting is suggested for the
253 meal obtained from housefly and other species as a way to improve their quality Henry et al.
254 (2015). This would be especially relevant for diet formulation as the oil content would limit
255 the inclusion of ILM for diet production constraints.

256 Nutritional composition of the protein sources was comparable to publically available sources
257 such as feedipedia, supported by INRA (Heuzé and Tran, 2015; Heuzé et al., 2015) and
258 recent FAO publications (Makkar et al., 2014). This would suggest that the method for
259 production and processing of ILM used in this study is suitable to produce a representable

260 sample for evaluation. The nutritional information on house fly larvae in the feedipedia data
261 sheet is compiled from more than 80 sources by Heuzé and Tran (2015). Differences in
262 reported nutritional profiles of *M. domestica* are potentially due to rearing conditions and
263 substrate used; this has not been evaluated for this study. However the authors have other
264 experiments due to be published which discuss the effect of rearing environment and diet on
265 nutritional profile of *M. domestica* (Fitches et al, personal communication).

266 The values for AIDC and TIDC were similar to those expected for FM in this trial facility for
267 the same age of birds. Values were also close to those published in literature reviews (Lemme
268 et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2012) although Cys and Try AID values were different in Ravindran
269 et al., (2005) with 0.57 and 0.77 for Cys and Try respectively versus 0.79 and 0.55 in this
270 study. This may be due to differences in AA analysis, digestibility methodology and
271 difficulties in accurately analysing these AA. It is well known that digestibility values
272 obtained for a raw material will depend on the specific method used (Kong and Adeola, 2013;
273 Masey O'Neill et al., 2014). Digestibility coefficients for larval meal were slightly lower than
274 those reported by Hwangbo et al., (2009) for broiler chickens fed on house fly meal. This
275 may be due to the processing that was used, a slow drying process of 55°C over 24 hours
276 (Hwangbo et al., 2009). Our process followed the EU requirements for processed animal
277 proteins and so a minimum temperature was maintained for 20 minutes to ensure microbial
278 parameters were met. This process would have likely resulted in more maillard reactions and
279 therefore reduced protein digestibility. Reported apparent digestibility coefficients for
280 essential AA were 0.976, 0.956, 0.956 and 0.945 for Lys, Met, Arg and Val respectively
281 compared to AIDC values of 0.87, 0.88, 0.88 and 0.81 documented from our study. These
282 differences may also be partly due to methodology used and the age of bird at time of
283 collection. Hwangbo et al. also used adult birds at 28- 35 days of age compared to our trial
284 which terminated at 28 days of age.

285 Gizzard erosion was higher in ILM treatments than FM and this may be due to the presence
286 of chitin in the ILM especially at the higher inclusion levels. Alternatively this may be as a
287 result of the presence of biogenic amines, as the heating of histidine and lysine can produce
288 gizzorosine which stimulates the secretion of acid and can increase gizzard lesions (Gjevre et
289 al., 2013). However, in commercial practice it is unlikely that inclusion of ILM would go
290 above 10%. Even the highest inclusion of ILM in this study (60%) did not produce a gizzard
291 score above light erosion only (score less than 2), so a 10% inclusion level is very unlikely to
292 lead to a detrimental effect in practice. However, this should be monitored in further studies.
293 Hossain and Blair (2007) found no negative impacts upon the performance of broilers fed on
294 diets containing up to 7.5% (w/w) of crustacean derived chitin, reporting true chitin
295 digestibility to be 0.87.

296 Although some insect meals have been shown to include tropomyosin which has allergenic
297 properties similar to shellfish (Charlton et al. 2015), there were no observed allergenic
298 reactions observed in this study, which suggests that either this molecule is not present in this
299 meal, or at levels which are not deleterious to the bird.

300 *M. domestica* larvae have been proven to be suitable ingredients in the diets of poultry
301 (Zuidhof et al., 2003) when used to replace up to 50% of FM or soyabean meal (Akpodiete
302 and Inoni, 2000; Hwangbo et al., 2009; Okah and Onwujiariri, 2012). Rearing insects on
303 poultry manure for animal feeding has been previously reviewed as a means to convert
304 nitrogenous waste into high value protein for livestock (Calvert, et al., 1970; El Boushy et al.,
305 1985; El Boushy, 1991; Hwangbo et al., 2009; Pretorius, 2011). However, as a feed material
306 the substrate used in this study may be of higher risk as compared to conventional protein
307 sources. The EFSA committee report published in 2015 ‘insects as food and feed’ highlighted
308 the need for further research where manures and wastes are utilised as substrates for insect
309 production. Consumer perception was also discussed in the EFSA review as a potential

310 barrier in western countries and many studies including those supported by the FAO and
311 Wageningen University (Van Huis et al., 2013) are working towards improved global protein
312 sustainability and consumer awareness. In a recent study, two thirds of both stakeholders and
313 members of the general public questioned were generally favourable towards the use of
314 insects to feed production animals (Verbeke et al., 2012).

315 As the world population nears 9 billion it will become increasingly more costly to produce
316 animal protein such as poultry, pork and fish as feed protein resources become more in-
317 demand and production of vegetable proteins and fishmeal cannot fulfil the requirement. The
318 use of insects in these diets can therefore be of benefit and housefly meal has been shown to
319 have the potential to reduce the cost of poultry production by as much as 75% in Africa
320 (Akpodiete and Inoni, 2000) and to significantly improve performance ($P < 0.05$) when it
321 replaced fishmeal by up to 50% (Okah and Onwujiariri, 2012).

322 Previously, *M. domestica* has been given a nutritive value between that of FM and soyabean
323 meal when fed to broiler chicks (Ocio and Rey, 1979). Teotia and Miller (1974) suggested
324 that for growing chicks, house fly pupae are a good source of limiting AA, particularly Arg,
325 Lys, and Met when compared to soyabean meal. In our study we have shown that processed
326 insect meal has comparable amino acid digestibility coefficients to that of commercial
327 fishmeal providing further evidence that insects offer significant potential for exploitation by
328 the animal feed industry

329 Processed insect meal is now allowed to be used in feeds for aquaculture (EU regulation
330 2017/893) and has been shown to provide an alternative to the use of fishmeal (Henry et al.,
331 2015), with *Dipteran* (fly species) reportedly having an AA content closest to FM (Barroso et
332 al., 2014). With this change to legislation it is expected that insect meal will be permitted into

333 the diets of non-ruminants in the near future. Providing the industry continues to carry out
334 research to help understand this novel material.

335 *Acknowledgements*

336 The technical input of John Sissins of AB Agri and the chemists at Sciantec Analytical
337 Services is gratefully acknowledged. Fishmeal (North Sea) was supplied by UFI Ltd, United
338 Fish Industries (UK) Limited. This research was supported by Innovate UK (TSB; Project
339 No. 101071) funding including AB Agri Ltd and FERA Science Ltd (formerly; Food and
340 Environment Research Agency).

341

REFERENCES

- 342 De Ruyter, J, ABN:AMRO. 2017. The cultivation of insects: a small sector with great
343 opportunities [https://insights.abnamro.nl/en/2017/01/the-cultivation-of-insects-a-small-sector-](https://insights.abnamro.nl/en/2017/01/the-cultivation-of-insects-a-small-sector-with-great-opportunities/publication)
344 [with-great-opportunities/publication](https://insights.abnamro.nl/en/2017/01/the-cultivation-of-insects-a-small-sector-with-great-opportunities/publication). Accessed 27/10/17
- 345 Akpodiete O.J., and O.E. Inoni 2000. Economics of production of broiler chickens fed
346 maggot meal as replacement for fish meal. *Nigerian J Ani Prod.* 27: 59-63.
- 347 Barroso F.G., C. de Haro, M.J. Sánchez-Muros, E. Venegas, A. Martínez-Sánchez , and C.
348 Pérez-Bañón. 2014. The potential of various insect species for use as food for fish.
349 *Aquaculture.* 422: 193–201.
- 350 Batterham E.S., R. D. Murison, and C.E. Lewis. 1979. Availability of lysine in protein
351 concentrates as determined by the slope-ratio assay with growing pigs and rats and by
352 chemical techniques. *Brit J Nutr.* 41: 383-391.
- 353 Calvert C.C., R.D. Martin, and N.O. Morgan. 1969. House fly pupae as food for poultry. *J*
354 *Eco Entom.* 62: 938-939.
- 355 Calvert C.C., N.O. Morgan, and R.D. Martin. 1970. House fly larvae: biodegradation of hen
356 excreta to useful products. *Poult Sci.* 49(2): 588-589.
- 357 Charlton, A.J., Dickinson, M., Wakefield, M.E., Fitches, E., Kenis, M., Han, R., Zhu, F.,
358 Kone, N., Grant, M., Devic, E. and Bruggeman, G., 2015. Exploring the chemical safety of
359 fly larvae as a source of protein for animal feed. *J Ins Food Feed*, 1(1), pp.7-16.
- 360 Commission Directive. 1998. 98/64/EC Establishing community methods for the
361 determination of amino-acids, crude oils and fats, and olanquinox in feeding stuff and

362 amending directive 71/393/EEC, annex part A. Determination of Amino Acids. Official J.
363 Euro. Comms. L257:14-23

364 Commission Directive. 2002. 2002/32/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7
365 May 2002 on undesirable substances in animal feed. Official J. Euro. Comms. L140,
366 30.5.2002, 10

367 Commission Directive. 2010. 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of
368 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes Text with EEA
369 relevance

370 Commission Regulation (EU) No 142/2011 of 25 February 2011 implementing Regulation
371 (EC) No 1069/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down health rules
372 as regards animal by-products and derived products not intended for human consumption and
373 implementing Council Directive 97/78/EC as regards certain samples and items exempt from
374 veterinary checks at the border under that Directive Text with EEA relevance (Annex IV
375 chapter III).

376 Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/893 of 24 May 2017 amending Annexes I and IV to
377 Regulation (EC) No 999/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Annexes
378 X, XIV and XV to Commission Regulation (EU) No 142/2011 as regards the provisions on
379 processed animal protein.

380 DeFoliart G. R. 1975 "Insects as a source of protein." Bulletin Entom SocAm21: 161-164.

381 EFSA Scientific Committee, 2015. Risk profile related to production and consumption of
382 insects as food and feed. EFSA Journal, 13:10.

383 El Boushy A. R., G.J. Klaasen, and E.H. Kelelaars 1985. Biological conversion of poultry
384 and animal waste to a feedstuff for poultry. World Poult Sci. J. 41: 133-145

385 El Boushy A.R., 1991. House-fly pupae as poultry manure converters for animal feed: A
386 review. *Biores Tech.* 38:45-49.

387 Gjevre A., M. Kaldhusdal and G. Sundstøl Eriksen. 2013. Gizzard erosion and ulceration
388 syndrome in chickens and turkeys: a review of causal or predisposing factors. *Avian*
389 *Pathology.* 42:297-303 Henry M., L. Gasco, G. Piccolo, and E. Fountoulaki. 2015. Review on
390 the use of insects in the diet of farmed fish: past and future. *Anim Feed Sci Tech.* 203:1-22.

391 Heuzé V., Tran G., Kaushik S., 2015. Fish meal. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA,
392 CIRAD, AFZ and FAO. <https://feedipedia.org/node/208> Last updated on May 11, 2015,
393 14:32

394
395 Heuzé V. and G. Tran. 2015. Housefly maggot meal. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA,
396 CIRAD, AFZ and FAO. <http://www.feedipedia.org/node/671> last accessed on October 21,
397 2015, 11:30.

398 Hossain S.M. and R. Blair. 2007. Chitin utilisation by broilers and its effect on body
399 composition and blood metabolites. *Brit Poult Sci* 48:33-38.

400 Hwangbo J., E.C. Hong, A. Jang, H.K. Kang, J.S. Oh, B.W. Kim and B.S. Park. 2009.
401 Utilization of house fly-maggots, a feed supplement in the production of broiler chickens. *J.*
402 *Environ. Biol.* 30:609–614.

403 Jiminéz-Moreno, E., J.M. González-Alvarado, A. González-Serrano, R. Lázaro and G.G.
404 Mateos. 2009. Effect of dietary fibre and fat on the performance and digestive traits of
405 broilers from one to twenty-one days of age. *Poult Sci* 88: 2562-2574.

406 Kilkenny, C., W.J. Browne, I.C. Cuthill, M. Emerson, D.G. Altman. 2010. Improving
407 Bioscience Research Reporting: The ARRIVE Guidelines for Reporting Animal Research.
408 *PLoS Biol.* 8:e1000412

409 Kim E.J., P.L. Utterback and C.M.Parsons. 2012. Comparison of amino acid digestibility
410 coefficients for soyabean meal, canola meal, fish meal, and meat and bone meal among 3
411 different bioassays. Poult Sci 91:1350-1355.

412 Kong, C and O. Adeola. (2013). Ileal endogenous amino acid flow response to nitrogen free
413 diets with differing ratios of corn starch to dextrose in broiler chickens. Poult Sci 92:1276-
414 1282

415 Lemme A., V., V.Ravindran and W. L. Bryden. 2004. Ileal digestibility of amino acids in
416 feed ingredients for broilers. World Poult Sci J. 60: 421-435

417 Makkar H.P., G. Tran, V. Heuzé, and P. Ankers. 2014. State-of-the-art on use of insects as
418 animal feed. Anim Feed Sci Tech 197:1-33.

419 Masey O'Neill H.V., G.A. White, D. Li, M.R. Bedford, J.K. Htoo, and J. Wiseman (2014).
420 Influence of the in vivo method and basal dietary ingredients employed in the determination
421 of the amino acid digestibility of wheat-DDGS with broilers. Poultry Science 93: 1178-1185

422 McHargue, J.S. 1917. A study of the proteins of certain insects with reference their value as
423 food for poultry. J Agric Res. 10:633-637

424 Miller B.F., J.S. Teotia, and T.O Thatcher. 1974. Digestion of poultry manure by *Musca*
425 *domestica*. J Poult Sci 15:231-4.

426 Morgan N.O., C.C. Calvert and R.D. Martin. 1970. Biodegrading poultry excreta with house
427 fly larvae: the concept and equipment. Biodegrading poultry excreta with house fly larvae:
428 the concept and equipment. USDA, ARS. 3:33-136.

429 Mosenthin R., W.C. Sauer, R. Blank, J. Huisman and M.Z. Fan. 2000. The concept of
430 digestible amino acids in diet formulation for pigs. Live Prod Sci 64:265-280.

431 Ocio E., R. Viñaras and J.M. Rey 1979. House fly larvae meal grown on municipal organic
432 waste as a source of protein in poultry diets. *Anim Feed Sci Tech* 4:227-231.

433 Okah U. and E.B.Onwujiariri. 2012. Performance of finisher broiler chickens fed maggot
434 meal as a replacement for fish meal. *J. Agric. Technol.* 8: 471-477.

435 Okazaki T., T. Noguchi, K. Igarashi, Y. Sakagami, H. Seto, K. Mori, H. Naito, T. Masumura
436 and M. Sugahara. 1983. Gizzerosine, a new toxic substance in fish meal, causes severe
437 gizzard erosion in chicks. *Agric Biol Chem.* 47:2949-2952

438

439 Pretorius Q., (Thesis dissertation) 2011. The Evaluation of Larvae of *Musca domestica*
440 (Common House Fly) as Protein Source for Broiler Production. University of Stellenbosch,
441 South Africa.

442 Ravindran V., L.I. Hew, G. Ravindran and W.L. Bryden. 2005. Apparent ileal digestibility of
443 amino acids in dietary ingredients for broiler chickens. *Anim Sci.* 81:85-97.

444 Rodehutsord M., M. Kapocius, R.Timmler and A. Dieckmann. 2004. Linear regression
445 approach to study amino acid digestibility in broiler chickens. *British Poultry Science.* 45:85-
446 92.

447 Rostagno H.S., J.M.R. Pupa and M.Pack. 1995. Diet formulation for broilers based on total
448 versus digestible amino acids. *J Appl Poult Res.* 4:293-299.Short F.J., P. Gorton, J.Wiseman
449 and K.N. Boorman. 1996. Determination of titanium dioxide added as an inert marker in
450 chicken digestibility studies. *Anim Feed Sci Tech.* 59:215-221.

451 Short F.J., J. Wiseman and K.N. Boorman. 1999. Application of a method to determine ileal
452 digestibility in broilers of amino acids in wheat. *Anim Feed Sci Tech* 79:195-209.

453 Teotia J.S. and B.F.Miller. 1974. Nutritive content of house fly pupae and manure residue 1.
454 Brit Poult Sci 15:177-182.

455 Van Huis A., 2013. Potential of insects as food and feed in assuring food security. Ann Rev
456 Entom. 58:563-583.

457 Van Huis, A., Van Itterbeeck, J., Klunder, H., Mertens, E., Halloran, A., Muir, G. and
458 Vantomme, P., 2013. Edible insects: future prospects for food and feed security (No. 171).
459 Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations (FAO)

460 Veldkamp T., G. Van Duinkerken, A. Van Huis, C.M.M. Lakemond, E. Ottevanger, G.
461 Bosch and T. Van Boekel. 2012. Insects as a Sustainable Feed Ingredient in Pig and Poultry
462 Diets: a Feasibility Study= Insecten als duurzame diervoedergrondstof in varkens-en
463 pluimveevoeders: een haalbaarheidsstudie (No. 638). Wageningen UR Livestock Research

464 Verbeke W., Spranghers T., De Clercq P., De Smet S., Sas B., Eeckhout M. 2015. Insects in
465 animal feed: acceptance and its determinants among famers, agricultural sector stakeholders
466 and citizens. Anim Feed Sci Tech. 204:72-87

467 Zheng, L., T.L. Crippen, B. Singh, A.M. Tarone, S. Dowd, Z.Yu, T.K. Wood and J.K. Tomberlin
468 (2013) A Survey of Bacterial Diversity From Successive Life Stages of Black Soldier Fly (Diptera:
469 Stratiomyidae) by Using 16S rDNA Pyrosequencing, J Med Entom, 50: 647–658

470 Zuidhof M.J., C.L. Molnar, F.M. Morley, T.L. , Wray, F.E. Robinson, B.A. Khan, L. Al-Ani
471 and L.A. Goonewardene. 2003. Nutritive value of house fly (*Musca domestica*) larvae as a
472 feed supplement for turkey poults. Anim Feed Sci Tech. 105:225-230.

473 Table 1. Starter feed diet formulation, g/kg except where stated

Ingredients	Calculated composition (of diet, all expressed as total)	
Wheat	541.0	ME, MJ/kg 12.8
Soyabean meal	260.0	Crude Protein 228.0
Fishmeal	50.0	Crude Ash 50.0
Extruded horse beans	40.0	Crude Fibre 30.0
Extruded rapeseed	35.0	Crude Oil & Fats 55.0
Soyabean oil	30.0	Calcium 8.0
Maize gluten	15.0	Lysine 14.5
Dicalcium phosphate	12.0	Methionine 4.7
Limestone	10.2	Methionine eq. value 7.0
Sodium bicarbonate	1.9	Phosphorus 6.0
Sodium chloride	1.8	Sodium 1.5
Vitamin and mineral premix ¹	3.0	
Maxiban ²	0.1	

474 Vitamin and mineral premix¹: Vitamin: A, 10,000 IU; Vitamin D3, 2,000 IU; vitamin D 25-HY-D 2000 IU
 475 vitamin E 75 IU, Zinc sulphate, monohydrate (E6–Zinc) 277.78 mg. Manganous oxide (E5–Manganese) 161.29
 476 mg. Ferrous sulphate, monohydrate (E1–Iron) 133.34 mg. Cupric sulphate, pentahydrate (E4–Copper) 60.00
 477 mg. Calcium iodate, anhydrous (E2–Iodine) 3.23 mg. Sodium selenite (E8–Selenium) 0.67 mg.

478 ²Supplied 50.00 mg of Narasin and 50.00 mg of Nicarbazin per kg of diet.

479

480 Table 2. The analysed proximate and total amino acid content of the experimental protein sources

Proximate analysis (g/kg as fed)	Protein sources ¹	
	Fishmeal	Insect meal
Dry Matter	908	920
Crude Protein	645	533
Crude Fibre	4.5	59
Acid Hydrolysis (Oil B)	97	203
Ash	162	65
Amino acids (g/kg as fed)		
Alanine	46.61	34.73
Arginine	42.11	30.16
Aspartic	65.88	62.08
Cysteine	14.83	17.38
Glutamic acid	92.99	84.41
Glycine	53.54	28.43
Histidine	16.91	18.17
Isoleucine	31.51	22.62
Leucine	54.44	38.30
Lysine	56.94	44.92
Methionine	22.59	15.77
Phenylalanine	27.60	37.80
Proline	31.79	23.82
Serine	16.78	15.82
Threonine	39.49	33.20
Tryptophan	23.90	41.00
Tyrosine	24.23	40.74
Valine	33.31	26.97

481 ¹ Fishmeal, commercial Fishmeal; Insect meal, ground full fat *Musca domestica*

482 Table 3. Experiment diet formulations (g/kg diet)

	Dietary treatments					
	20% Fishmeal	40% Fishmeal	60% Fishmeal	20% Insect meal	40% Insect meal	60% Insect meal
Fishmeal	200	400	600			
Insect Meal				200	400	600
Corn Starch	347.5	247.5	147.5	347.5	247.5	147.5
Glucose	347.5	247.5	147.5	347.5	247.5	147.5
Soyabean Oil	50	50	50	50	50	50
Vitamin and Mineral Premix ¹	50	50	50	50	50	50
TiO ₂	5	5	5	5	5	5
Analysed diet composition						
Dry Matter	927.18	937.05	939.46	931.48	945.98	960.79
Crude Protein*	141.56	282.14	433.10	118.76	223.31	355.18
Fat	67.17	67.98	66.32	67.7	66.49	66.83
Gross Energy (MJ/kg)**	17.94	18.30	18.92	18.95	20.29	22.05
Ash	70.37	103.58	143.69	45.08	60.70	79.29

483 ¹Vitamin and mineral pre-mix provided the following (per kg of diet): phosphorus, 5 g; magnesium, 90 mg;
484 calcium, 7.5 g; sodium, 1.5 g; copper, 0.6 mg (as copper sulphate); selenium, 160 µg (as selenium BCP);
485 vitamin A, 7500 IU; vitamin D3, 1500 IU; vitamin E, 10 IU (as α-tocopherol acetate); vitamin B1, 5 mg;
486 vitamin B2, 4 mg; vitamin B6, 4 mg; vitamin B12, 10 µg; pantothenic acid, 9 mg; folic acid, 1.5 mg; biotin, 150
487 µg; choline, 1500 mg.

488 *Analysed by DMS ** Analysed by PAS

489 Table 4. Performance results of broilers fed experimental protein sources measured from 21 to 28 days

	Protein sources ¹		P-Value
	Fishmeal	Insect meal	
D 21 BW (g)	1109	1083	0.801
D28 BW (g)	1475	1453	0.528
BWG D21-28 (g/d)	366	371	0.844
FI/bird (g/bird)	681	650	0.228
Gizzard score ²	1.06	1.56	0.006

490 ¹ Fishmeal, commercial Fishmeal; Insect meal, ground full fat *Musca domestica*

491 ² Gizzard scoring on a 5 point scale adapted from Okazaki et al., 1983

492 D, days; BW, Body weight; BWG, body weight gain; FI, feed intake

493 Table 5. The coefficient of apparent ileal digestibility (AIDC) and true ileal digestibility (TIDC) of amino acids
 494 in the experimental protein sources determined in 28 day old broilers

Amino acids (g/kg)	AIDC Protein sources ¹		TIDC Protein sources ¹	
	Fishmeal	Insect meal	Fishmeal	Insect meal
Alanine	0.83	0.85	0.92	0.89
Arginine	0.90	0.88	0.98	0.92
Aspartic	0.74	0.86	0.87	0.90
Cysteine	0.79	0.82	0.97	0.95
Glutamic acid	0.82	0.86	0.90	0.90
Glycine	0.76	0.77	0.83	0.83
Histidine	0.82	0.85	0.91	0.89
Isoleucine	0.81	0.80	0.91	0.85
Leucine	0.84	0.83	0.94	0.88
Lysine	0.86	0.87	0.93	0.90
Methionine	0.86	0.88	0.93	0.91
Phenylalanine	0.78	0.88	0.88	0.92
Proline	0.73	0.69	0.83	0.79
Serine	0.79	0.82	0.93	0.91
Threonine	0.78	0.78	0.90	0.87
Tryptophan	0.55	0.81	0.74	0.91
Tyrosine	0.88	0.91	0.99	0.95
Valine	0.81	0.81	0.91	0.87

495 ¹ Fishmeal, commercial Fishmeal; Insect meal, ground full fat *Musca domestica*

496 No significant difference between protein sources for AIDC (P=0.119) or TIDC (P=0.385)

497