1		
2		
3	Journal of Medical Entomology	Lance A. Durden
4	MORPHOLOGY, SYSTEMATICS,	Department of Biology
5	EVOLUTION	Georgia Southern University
6	Running Head:	4324 Old Register Road
7	DURDEN ET AL.:	Statesboro, Georgia 30458, USA
8	NEW LEMUR LOUSE	Telephone: (912)478-5591
9		Fax: (912)478-0845
10		E-mail: ldurden@georgiasouthern.edu
11		
12		
13	A New Species of Sucking Louse (Phthir	aptera: Anoplura: Polyplacidae) from the Gray
14	Mouse Lemur, Microcebus murinus, in N	Aadagascar
15		
16	Lance A. Durden ^{1,2} , Sharon E. Kessler ^{3,4} , U	Jte Radespiel ⁵ , Elke Zimmermann ⁵ , Alida F.
17	Hasiniaina ^{.5,6} and Sarah Zohdy ⁷	
10		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		

23 Abstract

24	Lemurpediculus madagascariensis sp. nov. (Phthiraptera: Anoplura: Polyplacidae) is described
25	from the Gray Mouse lemur, Microcebus murinus (J. F. Miller), from Ankarafantsika National
26	Park, Madagascar. Lemurs were trapped using Sherman Live Traps and visually inspected for
27	lice, which were preserved in 90% ethanol. Adults of both sexes and the third instar nymph of
28	the new species are illustrated and distinguished from the four previously known species of
29	Lemurpediculus: L. verruculosus (Ward), L. petterorum Paulian, L. claytoni Durden, Blanco and
30	Seabolt, and L. robbinsi Durden, Blanco and Seabolt. It is not known if the new species of louse
31	is a vector of any pathogens or parasites.
32	
33	Key Words: Phthiraptera, Anoplura, new species, mouse lemur, Madagascar
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	

45	The mouse and dwarf lemurs of Madagascar (family Cheirogaleidae) are among the smallest
46	primates in the world (Zimmermann and Radespiel 2014, Lehman et al. 2016, Zohdy and Durden
47	2016). Ectoparasites of cheirogaleid lemurs are inadequately known (Blanco et al. 2013, Zohdy
48	and Durden 2016, Durden et al. 2017) and sucking lice (Phthiraptera: Anoplura) have been
49	described from only three of the more than 30 species of cheirogaleids currently recognized
50	(Hotaling et al. 2016). The three previously described species are Lemurpediculus vertuculosus
51	(Ward), an ectoparasite of the eastern mouse lemur, Microcebus rufus É. Geoffroy,
52	Lemurpediculus claytoni Durden, Blanco and Seabolt, an ectoparasite of Sibree's dwarf lemur,
53	Cheirogaleus sibreei Forsyth Major, and Lemurpediculus robbinsi, which parasitizes Crossley's
54	dwarf lemur, Cheirogaleus crossleyi A. Grandidier (Durden et al. 2017). Another congeneric
55	species, Lemurpediculus petterorum Paulian, parasitizes a different species of lemur which was
56	stated to probably be Lepilemur mustelinus I. Geoffroy by Paulian (1958). Sucking lice are often
57	host-specific (Durden and Musser 1994), and because few lemur species have been sampled for
58	ectoparasites, there are undoubtedly additional undescribed species of Lemurpediculus associated
59	with other species of cheirogaleids. Durden et al. (2017) amended the description of the genus
60	Lemurpediculus to accommodate new developments in the systematics of Anoplura since the
61	genus was erected by Paulian (1958). In this paper, we describe a new species of Lemurpediculus
62	from the gray mouse lemur from Ankarafantsika National Park in northwestern Madagascar.
63	
64	Materials and Methods

As part of a study on lemur health and communication, mouse lemurs were trapped in

66 Ankarafantsika National Park, Madagascar in Jardin Botanique A using Sherman Live Traps (H.

67	B. Sherman Traps, Inc., Tallahassee, FL) baited with banana between May and November in
68	2010 and 2011 (dry season). The study was conducted in the dry season because that is when the
69	lemurs most readily enter traps for the banana. Lemurs were removed from the traps, manually
70	restrained, and inspected for parasites by parting the fur down to the skin. Ectoparasites were
71	collected primarily from the face, ears, legs, back and tail and stored in 90% ethanol in
72	individually labeled vials. All lemurs were released at their capture site following collection of
73	data and ectoparasites. This study was approved by Madagascar National Parks
74	(N101/11/MEF/SG/DGF/DCB.SAP/SCB, N102/11/MEF/SG/DGF/DCB.SA/SCB) and the
75	Arizona State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol: 10-1077R).
76	Lice were cleared in 10% potassium hydroxide for ~24 h, rinsed in distilled water,
77	transferred to 70% ethanol and then slide mounted in PVA medium (Bioquip Products, Rancho
78	Dominguez, CA). Slide-mounted lice, including specimens of previously described congeneric
79	species (in the Anoplura collections of LAD), were examined at high magnification under phase-
80	contrast using an Olympus BH-2 microscope (Olympus Corporation of the Americas, Center
81	Valley, PA) connected to an Ikegami MTV-3 video camera attachment and monitor (Ikegami
82	Electronics, Neuss, Germany). Drawings of diagnostic morphological features were made from
83	specimens examined at 100x - 400x. Specimen measurements were made using a calibrated
84	graticule fitted into a microscope eyepiece.
85	Descriptive format for the new species follows Durden et al. (2010) and names and

abbreviations of setae and morphological structures follow Kim and Ludwig (1978). Names of
setae and certain structures are spelled out in full at first mention (with the abbreviation listed
parenthetically) and then abbreviated when subsequently mentioned. The holotype male, allotype
female and paratype third instar nymph of the new species are deposited in the U.S. National

90 Museum of Natural History (NMNH) (Smithsonian Institution), Department of Entomology, Washington DC. 91 Lemur taxonomy and common names used in this paper follow Groves (2005) and 92 93 Hotaling et al. (2016). 94 95 **Results** We trapped a total of 107 *M. murinus*. The entire ectoparasite faunas of these mouse lemurs will 96 be reported in a separate paper. 97 98 Lemurpediculus madagascariensis sp. nov. (Figs. 1-3) 99 Male (Fig. 1A,B,C) 100 101 Total body length: 1.07-1.13 mm; mean, 1.10 mm (n=5). Head, thorax and abdomen lightly sclerotized. 102 103 Head: More heavily sclerotized along anterior dorsal margin and antero-laterally adjacent to first antennal segment; longer than broad with squarish anterior margin. One long Dorsal 104 Principal Head Seta (DPHS), one small Dorsal Accessory Head Seta (DAcHS) anteromedial to 105 106 DPHS, one Dorsal Posterior Central Head Seta (DPoCHS), 2-3 Dorsal Preantennal Head Setae 107 (DPaHS), two Sutural Head Setae (SHS), three Dorsal Marginal Head Setae (DMHS), 3-4 Apical Head Setae (ApHS) and one Ventral Preantennal Head Seta (VPaHS) on each side. Antennae 108 109 five-segmented with basal segment slightly wider than long and distinctly broader than second segment; fourth segment slightly extended posterolaterally. 110 111 Thorax: Much longer than wide, slightly wider than head. Thoracic sternal plate (Fig. 1B) 112 lightly sclerotized, with narrow anterior extension and broadly curved lateral margins; tiny

sclerite bearing two long setae immediately posterior to thoracic sternal plate. Dorsal Principal
Thoracic Seta (DPTS) mean length 0.13 mm (range, 0.12-0.14 mm, n=8), with adjacent small
Dorsal Mesothoracic Seta (DMsS) on each side; mesothoracic spiracle mean maximum diameter
0.025 mm (range, 0.023-0.027 mm, n=8). Legs with subtriangular coxae; forelegs each
terminating in small tibio-tarsal claw; mid and hindlegs subequal in size, each terminating in
large, robust tibio-tarsal claw.

Abdomen: Wider than thorax with six annulated spiracles on each side. Paratergal plates, 119 tergites and sternites absent. One row of two long Dorsal Central Abdominal Setae (DCAS) 120 121 anteriorly, followed by five rows of 4-6 long DCAS and then two rows of two shorter DCAS. Six Dorsal Lateral Abdominal Setae (DLAS) on each side, each adjacent to corresponding 122 spiracle; DLAS 1-5 each with adjacent small seta; DLAS 1 and adjacent small seta both inserted 123 124 on small ridge. DLAS 6 borne on small sclerite and distinctly longer than other DLAS and extending away from abdomen; five rows of four long Ventral Central Abdominal Setae 125 (VCAS); VCAS in most posterior row slightly shorter than other VCAS. One posterior Ventral 126 Lateral Abdominal Seta (VLAS) on each side adjacent to corresponding DLAS and most 127 posterior spiracle. ~10 tiny to small dorsal setae near posterior apex of abdomen. 128 129 Genitalia (Figs 1A,C): Subgenital plate (Fig. 1A) well sclerotized, somewhat urn-shaped with bulging medio-lateral margins and small antero-lateral extensions. Basal apodeme longer 130 131 than parameters and other genitalic components combined, slightly expanded posteriorly into two 132 paddle-shaped plates on each side; C-shaped anterior endomere with posteriorly converging arms; anteriorly acuminate aedeagal sclerite located inside anterior endomere; posteriorly 133 134 acuminate central endomere bordered laterally by one broad accessory sclerite on each side;

parameres broad anteriorly and tapering posteriorly to rounded apex; pseudopenis relativelysmall but extending posteriorly beyond apices of parameres and terminating in acute apex.

137

138 Female (Fig. 2A,B,C)

Body length: 1.32-1.50 mm; mean, 1.43 mm (n=6). Head, thorax and abdomen as in male unlessindicated otherwise.

141 Head: Slightly wider than in male.

142Thorax: Mesothoracic spiracle mean maximum diameter 0.0275 mm (range, 0.0250-

143 0.0283, n=6).

Abdomen: Dorsally with eight rows of four long DCAS anteriorly followed by one row of six slightly shorter DCAS and one row six small Tergal Abdominal Setae (TeAS) inserted on broad, curved tergite immediately posterior to subgenital plate. One row of one DLAS on each side anteriorly followed by six rows of two DLAS on each side and then one very long DLAS borne on small sclerite posterior to last spiracle. One row of two long VCAS anteriorly followed by five rows of four VCAS. One very long VLAS posteriorly, associated with last DLAS and most posterior spiracle.

Genitalia (Fig. 2C): Subgenital plate broadly rounded anteriorly and extending posteriorly to broad apex, with small, distinct lateral lacuna on each side; each lacuna with four small setae inserted anteriorly; three small setae inserted on each side of subgenital plate near postero-lateral margins. Vulvar fimbriae distinct and extensive collectively forming a V shape; gonopods VIII and IX indistinct and with ~13 setae attached to each gonopod VIII and two slightly larger setae attached to each gonopod IX; gonopod setae collectively forming postero-

159	
160	Third Instar Nymph (Fig. 3)
161	Body length: 1.00-1.25 mm; mean, 1.11 mm (n=5).
162	Head: Shape as in male but with slightly more rounded anterior margin. One fairly long
163	DPTS and one adjacent small DAcHS, one DPoCHS, two DMHS, two SHS, 3-4 ApHS and one
164	VPaHS on each side. Antennae approximately as in male.
165	Thorax: Slightly wider than head, much longer than wide; one long DPTS (mean length,
166	0.125 mm, range, 0.110-0.129 mm, n=4) adjacent to mesothoracic spiracle (mean maximum
167	diameter, 0.025 mm, range, 0.020-0.028, n=4) on each side. Foreleg coxae subtriangular; mid
168	and hind coxae more irregular; forelegs each terminating in small tibio-tarsal claw; mid and
169	hindlegs subequal in size, each terminating in large, robust tibio-tarsal claw.
170	Abdomen: Wider than thorax with eight rows of two DCAS and nine rows of VCAS.
171	Eight DLAS on each side; DLAS 2-7 each with accompanying spiracle; DLAS 2 with adjacent
172	small accessory seta, both borne on small protuberance; two additional small setae adjacent to
173	DLAS 2 on each side; one additional small accessory seta on each side adjacent to each of DLAS
174	3-6; DLAS 7 and 8 both long, extending from postero-lateral abdomen and each associated with
175	one VLAS on each side.
176	HOLOTYPE 👌 ex Microcebus murinus (J. F. Miller) (gray mouse lemur) (male, Animal
177	25-09), MADAGASCAR: Boeny Region, Ankarafantsika National Park, Jardin Botanique A
178	(46 ⁰ 48' E, 16 ⁰ 19' S,), elevation 190 m, 17 October 2010, Coll: Sharon Kessler and Alida I. F.
179	Hasiniaina. Deposited in NMNH (accession barcode, USNMENT00981907).

lateral fan-like patches. Curved subterminal transverse sclerite with small anterior apex situated

between gonopods IX. Three small terminal setae ventrally on each side of genital opening.

157

158

180	ALLOTYPE \bigcirc ex <i>M. murinus</i> , same data as Holotype except (male, Animal 82-10) and
181	13 Nov. 2011. Deposited in NMNH (accession barcode, USNMENT00981908).
182	PARATYPES One nymph (third instar) same data as Holotype except (male, Animal 25-
183	10), 15 October 2010 (accession barcode, USNMENT00981909); $23, 22, 2$ nymphs (third
184	instars) same data as Holotype except different individual lemurs and various dates in 2010 and
185	2011; deposited in Georgia Southern University Insect Collection $(1^{\uparrow}_{\circ}, 1^{\bigcirc}_{\circ})$ (accession no. L-
186	3813) and Anoplura Collection of L. A. Durden $(13, 19)$.
187	ETYMOLOGY: This species is named for the faunistically unique island of Madagascar where
188	both the louse and its host co-occur.
189	For comparative purposes, the female subgenital plates and associated structures, for the
190	four previously described congeneric species, L. petterorum, L. claytoni, L. verruculosus, and L.
191	robbinsi, are illustrated in Fig. 4.
192	
193	Discussion
194	Males
195	Males of Lemurpediculus spp. can easily be separated by examination of the genitalia in
196	cleared slide-mounted specimens. In L. petterorum males, the parameres are about equal in
197	length to the basal apodeme (shown in Paulian 1958, Fig. 1B), whereas L. claytoni, L. robbinsi,
198	and L. verruculosus, the parameres are much shorter than the basal apodeme. The shape of the
199	parameres and the presence or absence of genitalic endomeres and accessory sclerites can be
200	used to separate these four species. The parameres have slightly concave medio-lateral margins
201	in L. claytoni (shown in Durden et al. 2017, Fig. 3B) and distinctly rounded convex medio-lateral
202	margins in both L. verruculosus (shown in Durden et al. 2010, Fig. 3) and L. robbinsi (shown in

203 Durden et al. 2017, Fig. 2B). The medial margins of the parameters of L. robbinsi have a distinctly rounded bulge (shown in Durden et al. 2017, Fig. 2B) which is absent in L. 204 verruculosus (shown in Durden et al. 2010, Fig. 3). Further, the pseudopenis extends well 205 beyond the posterior apices of the parametes in *L. robbinsi* (shown in Durden et al. 2017, Fig. 206 2B) but just barely beyond the apices in *L. verruculosus* (shown in Durden et al. 2010, Fig. 3). 207 208 The male genitalia of *L. madagascariensis* sp. nov. (Fig. 1C) have more acute anterior paramere margins than those of the other species in the genus and have additional adjacent small plates 209 that are not present in the other species – a central endomere and a pair of lateral sclerites. 210 211 Externally, the thoracic sternal plate of L. petterorum (shown in Paulian 1958, Fig. 2B) lacks an anterior projection which is clearly present in the other four species. 212

213 Females

Females of all five known species of *Lemurpediculus* can easily be separated based on 214 the shape of the subgenital plate which can be observed in either cleared or uncleared specimens. 215 In both L. petterorum and L. madagascariensis sp. nov., the anterior and posterior portions of the 216 subgenital plate are joined centrally and laterally and have two lateral lacunae in the anterior 217 portion (Figs. 2C, 4A), whereas the anterior and posterior sections of the subgenital plate are not 218 219 joined laterally in the other three species Fig. 4B-D). The two lacunae in the female subgenital plate of *L. petterorum* are much larger than those in *L. madagascariensis*, collectively making up 220 221 almost half of the plate size in the former species (Fig. 4A), but less than 10% in the latter 222 species (Fig. 2C). Also, the thoracic sternal plate in the female of L. petterorum lacks the anterior extension (shown in Paulian 1958, Fig. 2B) that is present in females of L. madagascariensis sp. 223 224 nov. (Fig. 2B in this paper). In females of L. verruculosus, the anterior portion of the subgenital 225 plate is 3-4 times larger than the posterior portion (Fig. 4C). The anterior and posterior sections

226	of the subgenital plate are subequal in size in females of L. claytoni (Fig. 4B) whereas the
227	anterior portion is slightly larger than the posterior portion in L. robbinsi (4D). Also, the shape of
228	the female subgenital plate is very different between these species (Figs. 2C, 4A-D).
229	Nymph
230	The third instar nymph of only one other species of Lemurpediculus has been described.
231	This nymphal stage of <i>L. verruculosus</i> was described and illustrated by Durden et al. (2010). The
232	third instar nymph is easily separated between these two species because L. verruculosus lacks
233	DLAS next to abdominal spiracles 2-5 (see Durden et al. 2010, Fig. 3) whereas L.
234	madagascariensis sp. nov. has one long DLAS next to each of these spiracles on each side (Fig.
235	2C).
236	With the description of the new species included in this paper, there are now five
237	recognized species of Lemurpediculus. Four of these species, L. verruculosus, L. robbinsi, L.
238	claytoni, and L. madagasarensis sp. nov., parasitize cheirogaleid lemurs while the host of the
239	fifth species, L. petterorum Paulian, was stated by Paulian (1958) to probably be Lepilemur
240	mustelinus (weasel sportive lemur) another nocturnal species which belongs to a different lemur
241	family, the Lepilemuridae. All five of these species of lice appear to be host-specific but the
242	host/s of L. petterorum requires verification. It would be premature to provide a dichotomous
243	identification key for known Lemurpediculus species because we anticipate the collection and
244	description of additional species in this genus in the future, especially considering the highly
245	diverse radiation of mouse lemur species around Madagascar (Yoder et al. 2010, 2016).
246	With few exceptions, very little is known about the potential for sucking lice of wild
247	mammals to transmit pathogens to their hosts (Durden 2001) and nothing is currently known
248	about any potential vectorial role of lice that parasitize lemurs. However, some pathogens and

parasites of lemurs, including certain viruses, bacteria and protozoans could feasibly be
transmitted by sucking lice. Future research should address the potential for blood-feeding
ectoparasites, including sucking lice, to transmit pathogens to lemurs, particularly in light of the
threatened or endangered status of many species of these primates.

Many authors have advocated conserving (or co-conserving) parasites of rare hosts (e.g., Durden and Keirans 1996, Whiteman and Parker 2005, Dunn et al. 2009) and we likewise advocate co-conservation of mouse lemurs and their unique host-specific parasites including their sucking lice.

257

258 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Malagasy government, Ministère De L'Environnement et des Forêts, 259 260 and Madagascar National Parks (MNP), Solofonirina Rasoloharijaona, Blanchard Randrianambinina, the Faculté des Sciences, Université de Mahajanga, Lisette Leliveld, Jhonny 261 Kennedy, and Jean de la Croix. This research was funded by an NSF Dissertation Improvement 262 Grant (#0961779), PEO Scholar Award, the Animal Behavior Society, Lewis and Clark Fund of 263 the American Philosophical Society, German Academic Exchange Service (#A/09/81743), 264 265 American Society of Primatologists, Sigma Xi (National Chapter, grant #G2009101504), Sigma Xi (Arizona State University chapter), Arizona State University Graduate and Professional 266 267 Student Association, Arizona State University School of Human Evolution and Social Change, 268 an Arizona State University Graduate College Dissertation Writing Fellowship, and a Marie Skłodowska-Curie fellowship (#703611) to SEK and the Institute of Zoology at The University 269 270 of Veterinary Medicine Hannover. We thank Collections Managers Colleen Evans (Georgia

271	Southern University) and Floyd Shockley (NMNH) for accessioning type specimens of the new
272	lice.

275

276 Blanco, M. B., M. A. Elfawal, L. A. Durden, L. Beati, G. Xu, L. R. Godfrey, and S. M. Rich

- 277 SM. 2013. Genetic diversity of ixodid ticks parasitizing eastern mouse and dwarf lemurs in
- 278 Madagascar, with descriptions of the larva, nymph, and male of *Ixodes lemuris* (Acari:
- 279 Ixodidae). J. Parasitol. 99: 11-18.
- 280 Dunn, R. R., N. C. Harris, R. K. Colwell, L. P. Koh, and N. S. Sodhi. 2009. The sixth mass
- extinction: are most endangered species parasites and mutualists? Proc. Roy. Soc. B 276: 30373045.
- 283 Durden, L. A. 2001. Lice (Phthiraptera), pp. 3-17, In: W. M. Samuel, M. J. Pybus and A. A. Kocan,
- editors, Parasitic diseases of wild mammals, 2nd edn., Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- 285 Durden, L. A., M. B. Blanco, and M. H. Seabolt. 2017. Two new species of sucking lice (Phthiraptera:
- Anoplura: Polyplacidae) from endangered, hibernating lemurs (Primates: Cheirogaleidae). J. Med.
- 287 Entomol. 54: 568-575.
- Durden, L. A., and J. E. Keirans. 1996. Host-parasite coextinction and the plight of tick conservation.
 Am. Entomol. 42: 87-91.
- 290 Durden, L. A., and G. G. Musser. 1994. The sucking lice (Insecta, Anoplura) of the world: a
- taxonomic checklist with records of mammalian hosts and geographical distributions. Bull.
- 292 Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 218: 1-90.
- 293 Durden, L. A., S. Zohdy, and J. Laakkonen. 2010. Lice and ticks of the eastern mouse lemur,
- 294 *Microcebus rufus*, with description of the male and third instar nymph of *Lemurpediculus*
- *verruculosus* (Phthiraptera: Anoplura). J. Parasitol. 96: 874-878.

- 296 Groves, C. P. 2005. Order Primates, pp. 111-184, In: D. E. Wilson & D. M. Reeder (eds.),
- 297 Mammal species of the world: a taxonomic and geographic reference. 3rd edition. The Johns
- 298 Hopkins University Press: Baltimore.
- Hotaling, S., M. E. Foley, N. M. Lawrence, J. Bocanegra, M. B. Blanco, R. Rasoloarison, P.
- 300 M. Kappeler, M. A. Barrett, A. D. Yoder, and D. W. Weisrock. 2016. Species discovery and
- validation in a cryptic radiation of endangered primates: coalescent-based species delimitation in
- 302 Madagascar's mouse lemurs. Mol. Ecol. doi:10.1111/mec.13604.
- 303 Kim, K. C., and H. W. Ludwig. 1978. The family classification of the Anoplura. Syst. Entomol.
- **304 3**: 249-284.
- 305 Lehman, S. M., U. Radespiel, and E. Zimmermann, E. 2016. The Dwarf and Mouse Lemurs
- of Madagascar. Cambridge Studies in Biological and Evolutionary Anthropology, 73;
- 307 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 592 pp.
- **Paulian, R. 1958.** A propos des anoploures de lémuriens. Mem. Inst. Sci. Madagascar, Ser. E,
- 309 Entomol. 9: 14-19.
- 310 Whiteman, N. K., and P. G. Parker. 2005. Using parasites to infer host population history: a
- new rationale for parasite conservation. Anim. Conserv. 8: 175-181.
- 312 Yoder, A. D., R. M. Rasoloarison, S. M. Goodman, J. A. Irwin, S. Atsalis, M. J. Ravosa,
- and J. U. Ganzhorn. 2000. Remarkable species diversity in Malagasy mouse lemurs (Primates,
- 314 *Microcebus*). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97: 11325-11330.
- 315 Yoder, A. D., C. R. Campbell, M. B. Blanco, M. dos Reis, J. U. Ganzhorn, S. M. Goodman,
- 316 K. E. Hunnicutt, P. A. Larsen, P. M. Kappeler, R. M., Rasoloarison, J. M., Ralison, D. L.
- 317 Swofford, and D. W. Weisrock. 2016. Geogenetic patterns in mouse lemurs (genus
- 318 *Microcebus*) reveal the ghosts of Madagascar's forests past. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113:

319	8049-8056.
213	8049-8030.

- 320 Zimmermann, E., and U. Radespiel. 2014. Species concepts, diversity, and evolution in
- primates: lessons to be learned from mouse lemurs. Evol. Anthropol. 23: 11-14.
- **Zohdy, S., and L. A. Durden, L. A. 2016.** A review of ectoparasites in the Cheirogaleidae, pp.
- 323 221-233, In: S. Lehman, U. Radespial and E. Zimmerman (editors). The dwarf and mouse lemurs

of Madagascar. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

325

326 **Footnotes**

- ¹Department of Biology, Georgia Southern University, 4324 Old Register Road, Statesboro, GA
 30458.
- ²Corresponding author, e-mail: ldurden@georgiasouthern.edu
- ³Department of Anthropology, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom.
- ⁴School of Human Evolution and Social Change, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85281.
- ⁵Institute of Zoology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Buenteweg 17, 30559 Hannover, Germany.
- ⁶Facultés des Sciences, Technologies et de l'Environnement, Université de Mahajanga,
- 334 Madagascar.
- ⁷School of Forestry and Wildlife Sciences, College of Veterinary Sciences, Auburn University,
 AL 36849.

337

Figure Legends

- **Fig. 1.** *Lemurpediculus madagascariensis* sp. nov., adult male. A: Dorsoventral view. B:
- 341 Thoracic sternal plate. C. Genitalia. All scale bars, 0.1 mm.
- **Fig. 2.** *Lemurpediculus madagascariensis* sp. nov., adult female. A: Dorsoventral view. B:
- 343 Thoracic sternal plate. C. Genitalia. All scale bars, 0.1 mm.
- Fig. 3. *Lemurpediculus madagascariensis* sp. nov., third instar nymph: Dorsoventral view. Scale
 bar, 0.1 mm.
- **Fig. 4.** Female subgenital plates, associated setae, and vulvar fimbriae of the four previously
- 347 described species of *Lemurpediculus*. A: *L. petterorum* Paulian ex (probably) *Lepilemur*
- 348 *mustelinus*, Ambatolampy District, Madagascar. B. *L. claytoni* Durden, Blanco and Seabolt ex
- 349 *Cheirogaleus sibreei*, Tsinjoarivo, Amabatolampy District, Madagascar. C: *L. verruculosus*
- 350 (Ward) ex *Microcebus rufus*, Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar. D: L. robbinsi Durden,
- 351 Blanco and Seabolt ex *Cheirogaleus crossleyi*, Tsinjoarivo, Amabatolampy District,
- 352 Madagascar. Scale bar = 0.05 mm.

353

354







