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The near wake of the polygonal cylinder with the side number $N=3 \sim \infty$ is systematically studied using particle image velocimetry (PIV) at $R e=1.6 \times 10^{4}$. The proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) analysis is carried out to extract the large-scale coherent vortex structures and their evolution. It has been found that the vortex circulation grows to the maximum at the vortex formation length by entraining the vorticity from the separated shear layer and then undergoes a two-stage decay. The maximum circulation scales with the wake width, defined as the vertical distance between the two peaks of streamwise velocity fluctuation at vortex formation length. The vortex center trajectory indicates that the vortices move towards the centerline first and then away, with the vortex size monotonically increasing over the examined streamwise range. The vortex size at the maximum circulation also scales with the wake width. The vortex convection velocity increases gradually in the streamwise direction, and the ratio of the lateral and streamwise components of the vortex convection velocity, when scaled by wake width and vortex formation length respectively, approaches asymptotically 0.18 in the downstream, irrespective of the cylinder orientation or $N$.
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## 1. Introduction

Polygonal cylinders have many engineering applications, such as in fluid machineries, nuclear power generation systems, architecture and ocean engineering (Szalay 1989; Tang et al. 2013). Polygonal cylinders with different side number $N$ can manifest very different characteristics in flow separation, vortex formation and vortex convection in the wake, which may cause dramatic changes to the fluid forces acting on the cylinders (Apelt et al. 1973; Chopra \& Mittal 2019) and the stability of their wake (Monkewitz \& Nguyen 1987; Unal \& Rockwell 1988). Thus, it is important to understand the vortex shedding mechanism and their evolution in the wake behind polygonal cylinders with different side numbers.

While the studies of the wake behind the circular cylinder ( $N=\infty$ ) amount to hundreds in the literature, investigations on the polygonal cylinder wake are rather scattered. The square cylinder $(N=4)$ wake (e.g. Lyn et al. 1995; Vickery 1966; Zhou \& Antonia 1994) has attracted more attention than other polygonal cylinders ( $4<N<\infty$ ). Zhou \& Antonia $(1994,1995)$ compared the wakes of triangular $(N=3)$, square $(N=4)$ and circular $(N=\infty)$ cylinders and noted that, given the same Reynolds number $(R e)$, the square cylinder produced larger velocity deficit, Reynolds stresses and vorticity magnitudes than that of the triangular and circular cylinders. Further, the Strouhal number (St) was different from one cylinder to another. Agrwal, Dutta \& Gandhi (2016) discussed the effect of the apex angle of a triangular cylinder on the wake and found that the size of the reverse flow zone depended on the flow separation angle at the separation point, which was very much determined by the apex angle. Khaledi \& Andersson (2011) investigated numerically the near wake of a hexagonal cylinder for both corner and face orientations at $R e=100,500$ and 1000. They found that $S t$ was generally higher for the face orientation than the corner. The $S t$ increased from $R e=100$ to 500 but not anymore from $R e=500$ to 1000, irrespective of the orientation. Kim et al. (2015) studied experimentally the wind-induced vibration on the straight and helical super-tall buildings of various polygonal sections of $N=3 \sim 6,8,12$ and $\infty$. They found that vibration was gradually suppressed as $N$ becomes larger. The helical cylinders were found to vibrate less than the straight cylinders. However, the difference diminished between the two types for $N>5$.

Xu et al. (2017) systematically studied aerodynamic forces on the polygonal cylinders of $N=2 \sim 8,12,16$, along with $N=\infty$. They established the dependence of drag coefficient $C_{D}, S t$ and the flow separation angle on $N$, and found that $C_{D}$ and $S t$ scaled with a corrected separation angle $\xi$. However, in the above mentioned studies, the characteristics of the wake and vortex evolution behind those polygonal cylinders and their dependence on $N$ were not systematically investigated.

In this work, we aim to experimentally study the vortex street evolution behind the polygonal cylinders and its dependence on side number $N$ using particle image velocimetry (PIV) at subcritical regime, $R e=1.6 \times 10^{4}$. Most of the researches to date on the cylinder wake are conducted over this subcritical regime, because of the relatively stable vortex shedding behavior (Bearman 1969; Lin et al. 1995; Norberg 2003; Pereira 2019). The proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) technique is deployed to extract the coherent motions from the PIV data. Experimental details are given in Section 2. Statistical quantities in the wake of polygonal cylinder are presented in Section 3.1. The wake POD analysis is conducted in Section 3.2. Coherent vortex structures and their evolution obtained from the POD analysis are shown in Section 3.3, followed by the discussion and conclusions in Section 4.

## 2. Experimental details

Experiments were carried out in an open-circuit low-speed wind tunnel with a square working section of $0.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$. The flow speed $U_{\infty}$ within the test section ranges from $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ to $40 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and the streamwise turbulence intensity is less than $0.5 \%$ for the current experimental velocity. The polygonal cylinder was supported horizontally in the symmetry plane of the working section, as shown in figure 1(a) where a square cylinder was installed. Two end plates were attached at the ends of the cylinder in order to suppress the end effect. Figure 1(b) shows the test models of polygonal cylinders with side number $N=3 \sim 8,12,16$ and a circular cylinder $(N=\infty)$. Measurements were conducted for two orientations of each polygonal cylinder, corner orientation or face orientation (see figure 1 b for notations and abbreviations). In order to obtain the same $R e$ at the same $U_{\infty}$ and the same blockage ratio for all cylinders, two sets of cylinder models were designed for the
polygons of even $N$ to ensure projected cylinder width $D=25 \mathrm{~mm}$ for both corner and face orientations. The blockage of all polygonal cylinders is 5\%. The cylinder length $L$ between the two end plates was 420 mm , giving an aspect ratio $L / D=16.8$, at which the blockage as well as the three-dimensional end effect can be negligible. The Reynolds number, $R e=$ $U_{\infty} D / v$, is set at $1.6 \times 10^{4}$, where $v$ is the kinematic viscosity of air, corresponding to the subcritical regime of the polygonal cylinder (Xu et al. 2017).

(b)


FIGURE 1. Experimental setup (not to scale), (a) one example of the test model, (b) the notations and abbreviations for the test models.

The flow field behind the cylinder was measured using a standard LaVision planar PIV system. Flow illumination was provided by a double-pulsed Nd-YAG Laser source with a wavelength of 532 nm and a maximum energy output of 120 mJ per pulse. A high sensitivity Imager Pro X CCD camera with a resolution of 2048 pixels $\times 2048$ pixels was deployed to capture the particle images. The PIV $\Delta t$ was set at $85 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. Flow was seeded with smoke particles of about $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, generated from paraffin oil via Laskin nozzles.

The origin of the coordinate system is set at the center of the polygonal cylinder (figure 1a). The field of view (FOV) was fixed at $0.7 \lesssim x / D \lesssim 8.0$ and $-2.0 \lesssim y / D \lesssim$
2.0. The PIV sampling rate was 4 Hz . The sample size for each testing case was 1000 , which had been verified to reach a good convergence for all the statistical quantities examined in the present study. A careful assessment of the $S t$ values reported previously in Xu et al. (2017), measured at the same $R e$, indicates that the present sampling rate has no phase-locking issues for all the testing cases. That is, sufficiently random samples were acquired at all phases of the vortex shedding cycle. The raw PIV images were processed using DaVis 7.2, with a final interrogation window (IW) size of $32 \times 32$ pixels and $50 \%$ overlap, resulting in a spatial resolution of 1.85 mm based on the IW size.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Statistical characteristics

Distributions of the time mean velocity and Reynolds stresses fields in the polygonal cylinder wake are studied in this section. First, we introduce two parameters to facilitate the analysis and discussion. The vortex formation length $L_{f}{ }^{*}$ is defined as the streamwise position where the root mean square (RMS) value $u_{r m s}^{\prime}$ of the fluctuating streamwise velocity $u^{\prime}$ on the wake centerline reaches the maxima (Bloor 1964; Alam, Zhou \& Wang 2011). The wake width $D_{w}{ }^{*}$ is defined to be the lateral separation between the two $u_{r m s}^{\prime}$ peaks at $x^{*}=L_{f}^{*}$ (Griffin 1995). In this paper, asterisk denotes normalizations by cylinder characteristic width $D$. These two length parameters are often used to characterize the wake size behind a two dimensional bluff body, and are obtained from the streamwise component of the Reynolds normal stresses.



FIGURE 2. Dependence on $N$ of the vortex formation length $L_{f}{ }^{*}$ and the wake width $D_{w}{ }^{*}$ at $R e=1.6 \times 10^{4}$.
The dependence of $L_{f}{ }^{*}$ and $D_{w}{ }^{*}$ on $N$ and orientation is presented in figure 2. It shows that the corner and face orientations exhibit very different behaviors for $N \leq 8$. For corner
cases, $L_{f}{ }^{*}$ displays a small rise and then a large monotonic drop to the minimum at $N=8$. Whilst for face cases, $L_{f}^{*}$ drops initially until $N=5$ and then rises above the corner cases, reaching its peak at $N=8$. For $N>8, L_{f}^{*}$ approaches a constant about 1.5 for corner orientation and 1.7 for face orientation approximately, and then gradually increase to the circular cylinder case at 2.1 . On the other hand, $D_{w}{ }^{*}$ is a strong increasing function of $N$ for $3 \leq N \leq 6$ of the corner cases, but after reaching the peak at $N=6$, it declines quickly to the minimum value at $N=8$. For face cases, $D_{w}{ }^{*}$ reflects a large drop from the maximum value at $N=4$ to the minimum at $N=5$ and then rises till $N=8$. For $N>8, D_{w}{ }^{*}$ also gradually approaches a constant about 0.85 . Overall, 8 C and 5 F cases have the smallest $L_{f}{ }^{*}$ and $D_{w}{ }^{*}$ for corner and face orientations.



FIGURE 3. Mean streamwise velocity profiles along the transverse direction at different downstream distances for different polygonal cylinders, (a) corner orientation; (b) face orientation.

Figure 3 presents the time mean streamwise velocity $\bar{U}$ profiles at various downstream locations. As expected, the maximum velocity deficit deceases gradually with increasing $x^{*}$. Given the same projection height $D$, the velocity deficit of 4C is laterally
largest at $x^{*}=1$ of the corner facing cases and that of 8 C is smallest (figure 3a), it stems from the different separation point locations for the two cases and is in consistence with the transverse distance from the vortex centroid to the wake centerline. In addition, 8C shows the fastest velocity recovery in the streamwise direction, which is attributed to the relatively small vortex strength of this case (see section 3.3). The face orientation cases show similar patterns; see figure 3(b). The width of velocity deficit is largest for 3 F and smallest for 5 F . The latter also corresponds to the fastest velocity recovery. That is, at Re $=1.6 \times 10^{4}, 8 \mathrm{C}$ and 5 F are characterized by the smallest wake width, which is consistent with figure 2(b). It will be shown later that the two cases correspond to the smallest distance between the upper and lower vortex center trajectories and also the weakest vortex strength.


FIGURE 4. Non-dimensional mean velocity deficit profiles based on the wake width $D_{w}$, (a) corner orientation; (b) face orientation.

The normalised mean velocity deficit based on the wake width $D_{w}$ is shown in Figure 4, where $U_{c l}$ being the mean streamwise velocity on the wake centerline. It can be seen that the profiles collapse reasonably well especially for $x \leq 2 D$. Further downstream, Wygnanski, Champagne \& Marasli (1986) suggests that the momentum defect $\Theta$ is an important characteristic length scale in a small-deficit wake, i.e., the far wake. The momentum defect $\Theta$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\int_{-2 D}^{2 D} \frac{\bar{U}}{U_{\infty}}\left(1-\frac{\bar{U}}{U_{\infty}}\right) d y . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\Theta$ normalised velocity deficit is presented in Figure 5 for $x \geq 3 D$. It is evident that $\Theta$ is indeed a suitable length scale for the far field wake width and is independent of the polygonal cylinder side number $N$. Closer to the cylinders, the premise of small-deficit wake breaks and therefore the normalisation fails to work.

Figures 4 and 5 thus suggest that $D_{w}$ works well for the near wake with large velocity deficit where $x \leq L_{f}$, whilst $\Theta$ is a better scaling parameter for $x \gg L_{f}$.

(b)

FIGURE 5. Non-dimensional mean velocity deficit profiles based on the momentum defect $\Theta$, (a) corner orientation; (b) face orientation.


FIGURE 6. Profiles of the streamwise Reynolds normal stress $\overline{u^{\prime} u^{\prime}} / U_{\infty}^{2}$ for different polygonal cylinders, (a) the corner orientation; (b) the face orientation.

The streamwise Reynolds normal stress $\overline{u^{\prime} u^{\prime}}$ profiles are presented for different polygonal cylinders in figure 6. The profiles display a twin-peak pattern in the near wake, reflecting shear layers associated with vortices separated from the upper and lower surfaces of the cylinders (He, Li \& Wang 2014). The rapidly growing peaks at $x^{*}<2$ indicates the enhanced velocity fluctuation during the separated shear layer rollup process. For the corner orientation cases (figure 6a), the two peaks in $\overline{u^{\prime} u^{\prime}}$ exhibit the largest lateral distance in case 6 C . The maximum $\overline{u^{\prime} u^{\prime}}$ magnitude of 6 C is about $0.14 U_{0}{ }^{2}$ at $x^{*}=$ 2, but the lateral distance between the two peaks is the smallest at this $x$ location. For the face orientation (figure 6 b ), case 4 F has the strongest $\overline{u^{\prime} u^{\prime}}$. The streamwise variation of $\overline{u^{\prime} u^{\prime}}$ shows its maximum value at $x^{*}=1$ for 8 C and 5 F , resulting in the smallest $L_{f}^{*}$ of all. This is consistent with figure 2(a).


FIGURE 7. Profiles of the Reynolds normal stress $\overline{v^{\prime} v^{\prime}} / U_{\infty}^{2}$ for different polygonal cylinders, (a) the corner orientation; (b) the face orientation.

The profiles of the Reynolds normal stress $\overline{v^{\prime} v^{\prime}}$ (figure 7) display a single-peak distribution. The lateral width of the $\overline{v^{\prime} v^{\prime}}$ profile is in general correlated with the lateral distance between the oppositely signed vortex rows separated from the upper and lower cylinder surface. The peak in $\overline{v^{\prime} v^{\prime}}$, corresponding to the strongest interactions between the two vortex rows, reaches the maximum value between $x^{*}=2$ and $x^{*}=3$ in general. After that, the maximum $\overline{v^{\prime} v^{\prime}} / U_{\infty}^{2}$ retreats with increasing $x^{*}$, due to a decay of the vortex strength. Evidently, 6 C and 4 F case have the largest $\overline{v^{\prime} v^{\prime}}$ magnitude for the corner and face orientations, respectively, which agrees with the observation in $\overline{u^{\prime} u^{\prime}}$ behaviour. On the contrary, 8 C and 5 F are associated with the smallest $\overline{v^{\prime} v^{\prime}}$ for $x^{*}>2$.


FIGURE 8. Profiles of the Reynolds shear stress $\overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}} / U_{\infty}^{2}$ for different polygonal cylinders, (a) corner orientation; (b) face orientation.

Figure 8 presents the Reynolds shear stress $\overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}} / U_{\infty}^{2}$. The peak and valley located around the upper and lower shear layers, move away from the centerline and the magnitude decreases with the increase of streamwise distance. In consistent with figure 6, 6 C and 4 F cases have the highest $\overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}} / U_{\infty}^{2}$ magnitude and the widest distribution in $y$ direction $\left(x^{*}>1\right)$, corresponding to the widest wake width $D_{w}{ }^{*}$ (figure 2b). On the other hand, 8 C and 5 F cases have the lowest magnitude and narrowest the distribution, in line with the shortest vortex formation length $L_{f}{ }^{*}$ and wake width $D_{w}{ }^{*}$.

### 3.2. POD analysis

In this section, flow field reduced order reconstruction using the snapshot-based POD technique (Sirovich 1987; Meyer, Pedersen \& Ozcan 2007) is deployed to extract the coherent vortex street structures to better understand the observations made above. Recently, POD method has been successfully applied for extracting the coherent structures in turbulent wakes, jets and channel flows (e.g. Feng et al. 2011; Kaffel et al. 2016;

Muralidhar 2019; Qu et al. 2017). It has also been used to reconstruct the lower order flow structures to reflect the dominant flow dynamics with the least number of modes (Bai et al. 2019; Shi \& Feng 2015; Tang et al. 2015).

Due to the quasi-two dimensional nature of the flow field, the information captured by the current experimental arrangement is a good reflection of the true total energy content in the flow, which is then decomposed into orthogonal modes via POD. Constructing an auto covariance matrix $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=U^{T} U, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U=\left[\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{2} \ldots \boldsymbol{u}_{N s}\right]$, with subscripts $N_{s}$ representing the total snapshot number and $\boldsymbol{u}$ the instantaneous velocity vectors in each snapshot reorganized into a single column, a standard eigenvalue problem is solved

$$
\begin{equation*}
M A_{i}=\lambda_{i} A_{i}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain the eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$, which represents the energy content in mode $i$ and the eigenvector $A_{i}$. The associated POD mode $i$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}=\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{s}} A_{i, n} u_{n}}{\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N_{s}} A_{i, n} u_{n}\right\|}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N_{s}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sorting the eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$ in descending order ranks the energy level contained in the corresponding POD mode $\phi_{i}$. Then the first few modes having higher energy content can be used to reconstruct the flow field containing only the coherent components; the higher order modes (with higher $\lambda_{i}$ ranks) have lower energy level and represent incoherent structures or noise. For a detailed mathematical discussions about POD, readers are referred to Sirovich (1987); Berkooz, Holmes \& Lumley (1993); Chatterjee (2000).

Note that using the instantaneous velocity $\boldsymbol{u}$ or the fluctuating velocity $\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}$ to construct $M$ leads to similar results (Meyer, Pedersen \& Ozcan 2007). If $\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}$ is used, the reconstruction of the instantaneous flow field can be written as equation (5), otherwise, the mean velocity term $U(x)$ will be taken into account in the summation term.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=U(x)+u^{\prime}(x)=U(x)+\sum_{n=1}^{N_{s}} a_{n} \phi_{n}(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}(x)$ is obtained from equation (4), $n$ being the order number of the POD mode.

The POD coefficient $a_{n}$ is obtained by projecting the velocity field (either the fluctuating part as shown in equation 5 or the instantaneous) onto the POD modes, i.e.

$$
a_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \ldots & \phi_{N_{s}} \tag{6}
\end{array}\right]^{T} u_{n},
$$

Reduced order reconstruction of instantaneous fields is realized by taking $n<N_{s}$ in equation (5), depending on the desired proportion of energy content.


FIGURE 9 Percentage of the cumulative POD mode energy to the total energy. Mode 0 , viz. the mean flow field, is excluded.

Figure 9 shows the cumulative percentage (proportion) of the mode energy $E_{u_{M}}$ based on the fluctuating velocities (both $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ ) which is calculated according to the sorted eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{u_{M}}=\lambda_{n} / \sum_{n=1}^{N_{s}} \lambda_{n} \times 100 \% \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently, the first two modes take $\gtrsim 40 \%$ of the total fluctuating (or turbulence kinetic) energy for all the cylinders. In particular, the energy summation of the first two modes of 6 C is the largest among corner orientation cases, about $50 \%$ and 8 C is the least, about $37.5 \%$. Since the first two modes mainly represent the asymmetric Karman vortex shedding process (Feng, Wang \& Pan 2011; Shi \& Feng 2015), it indicates that the vortex shedding process of 6 C case is the most energetic, which is in agreement with the distribution of $\overline{u^{\prime} u^{\prime}} / U_{\infty}^{2}$ in figure 6 and $\overline{v^{\prime} v^{\prime}} / U_{\infty}^{2}$ in figure 7, bearing in mind that POD takes into account the entire FOV, viz. $0.7 D \lesssim x \lesssim 8 D$. For face orientation, the distribution of modal energy is less scattered, with 4 F having the largest summation of the energy from the first two modes of $49.5 \%$ and 5 F the least about $40 \%$, also in consistent
with the Reynolds stresses.


FIGURE 10 The first and second POD modes of polygonal cylinders. Contours are based on $u^{\prime}$ only.
The first two POD modes of $u^{\prime}$ are shown in figure 10 to visualize their coherent structures related to the vortex shedding patterns, using the special cases discussed in section 3.1. The first two modes display an antisymmetric pattern, which represents the alternative vortex shedding process. The patterns of the first and second modes are similar, despite a about $1 / 4$ wavelength $\lambda$ advection in the streamwise direction when +/- signs are neglected, with the wavelength meaning the streamwise distance between two successive packets of the same sign at one side of the centerline within one mode. On the one hand, the downstream distance of the first antisymmetric packet pair in mode 1 has a qualitative indication of the vortex formation length, which means 8 C and 5 F has shorter formation length compared to other cases. On the other hand, the size of the first packet pair is qualitatively proportional to the peak circulation of the shed vortices, which will be quantified later.

The distance between the first pair in the transverse direction in mode 1 is related to the wake width. The cases 8 C and 5 F have smaller wake width, which is consistent with the discussion in section 3.1. The streamwise development of this distance can be used for an estimation of the wake width growth rate. It must be emphasized that the centroids of
these packets shown in figure 10 are not the centroids of the vortices shed from the cylinders, which is investigated next.

### 3.3. Evolution of vortex structures

### 3.3.1 Vortex detection and quantification method

Due to the relatively high $R e$, instantaneous vortices appear distorted and fragmented, as shown in figure 11(a), especially in the wind tunnel experiments, which increases the difficulty in identifying their centers. This problem is tackled as follows. Firstly, for each instantaneous snapshot, the spanwise vorticity $\omega_{\text {raw }}$ field is reconstructed based on the first few energetic POD modes such that the reconstructed vorticity $\omega_{r d}$ field contains $95 \%$ of the total energy in the $\omega_{\text {raw }}$ field. Here subscripts raw and $r d$ stand for the PIV-measured raw data and the POD reduced order reconstruction, respectively. The number of snapshots $n$ required to achieve this percentage varies from one case to another, but for most cases $n=3$. As such, the vortices appear better defined, as illustrated in figure 11(b). Secondly, to discriminate the rollup shear layers, which may contaminate the detection of vortices, from the $\omega_{r d}$ data (see the most upstream two vorticies in figure 11b as examples), the $\lambda_{c i}$ method proposed by Zhou et al. (1999) is applied, where $\lambda_{c i}$ is the imaginary part of the complex eigenvalue of the POD-reconstructed velocity gradient tensor. The $\lambda_{c i}$ provides a measure for the swirl strength to allow shear layers to be excluded from detections, as illustrated in figure 11(c), where a low level threshold of $\lambda_{c i} D / U_{\infty}=0.13\left(\lambda_{c i}=50 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ is applied to the snapshot. Thirdly, a standard watershed segmentation algorithm is applied on the $\lambda_{c i}$ fields to identify the boundary of each vortex (figure 11d). Following Sung \& Yoo (2003), we can then determine the vortex center ( $x_{c}$, $y_{c}$ ) by the $\lambda_{c i}$-weighted centroid. Symbol $\star$ in figure 11(a) illustrates the identified centroid or the 'center of swirl'. The results appear to be reasonable.


FIGURE 11. Procedure of centroid determination for individual vortices, where case 5 F is used as an example. (a) Instantaneous raw vorticity ( $\omega_{\text {raw }}$ ) field; (b) corresponding reduced order reconstructed vorticity $\left(\omega_{r d}\right)$ field; (c) $\lambda_{c i}$-contours; (d) identified vortex boundaries.

The mean vortex strength, which is quantified by the circulation $\Gamma$, can be calculated from a conditional averaging process. In particular, the $\omega_{\text {raw }}$ distribution along the streamwise and lateral directions, $r(x)$ and $r(y)$, centered at each $\left(x_{c}, y_{c}\right)$ are conditionally averaged based on the $x_{c}$ values. A bin size of $\pm 0.1 D$ is used to ensure a good sample size at each station, which is typically between $70 \sim 80$. The sample size is found to be similar at all stations, reassuring that the current PIV sampling rate is not locked at a certain phase of the wake during measurements. Figure 12(a) presents the wake behind 5F to illustrate the result of conditional averaging when $x_{c}$ of a negative-sensed vortex is found in the bin centered at $x=2.5 D$. The two $\left(x_{c}, y_{c}\right)$ trajectories of vortex centroid, obtained from the conditional averaging of individual centroids, are also presented together with the wake half width $\delta_{1 / 2}$ as reference, which is the vertical distance between the two points where the mean streamwise velocity falls to half of the maximum deficit.


FIGURE 12. Estimation of circulation for the vortex at $x=2.5 D$ behind the 5 F case. (a) The conditional averaged raw vorticity field, - - - , the trajectory of the detected vortex centroid $\left(x_{c}, y_{c}\right),-\cdot-$ the wake half width $\delta_{1 / 2}$; (b) the conditional averaged $\left\langle\omega_{z}\right\rangle$ profiles in both streamwise $r(x)$ and lateral $r(y)$ directions.

Figure $12(\mathrm{~b})$ shows the conditional averaged vorticity $\left\langle\omega_{z}\right\rangle$ profiles based on $\omega_{\text {raw }}$ and $\omega_{r d}$, respectively. A number of observations can be made. Firstly, the reduced order reconstruction yields a less pronounced vorticity peak, which is not surprising. It is for this reason that the subsequent $\Gamma$ calculation is based on $\omega_{\text {raw }}$ rather than $\omega_{r d}$. It can also be inferred that the shape of the vorticity distribution based on $\omega_{\text {raw }}$ is different from that based on $\omega_{r d}$. Secondly, a threshold $\lambda_{T}$, set at $\left|\left\langle\omega_{z}\right\rangle\right| D / U_{\infty}=0.1$, is applied when calculating $\Gamma$ for all the vortices detected, where $\left\langle\omega_{z}\right\rangle$ denotes the conditional averaged vorticity. The intersections of $\lambda_{T}$ with $\left\langle\omega_{z}(x)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\omega_{z}(y)\right\rangle$, denoted as $r_{x}$ and $r_{y}$ respectively, set the two principal radii of an ellipse (the white solid line in figure 12a). The circulation $\Gamma$ can then be estimated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)=\oint_{L} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot d \boldsymbol{l}=\pi\left[\int_{0}^{r_{x}} x\left\langle\omega_{z}(x)\right\rangle d x+\int_{0}^{r_{y}} y\left\langle\omega_{z}(y)\right\rangle d y\right], \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the path $L$ is the boundary of the ellipse. Thirdly, Zhou \& Antonia (1993) used a Lamb-Oseen (LO) vortex model to describe the vorticity distribution of vortices in a circular cylinder wake, which is examined presently. The LO vorticity distribution, equation (9), is found to be between the $\left\langle\omega_{z}(x)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\omega_{z}(y)\right\rangle$ distributions based on $\omega_{\text {raw }}$ in figure 12 (b).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega_{z}\right\rangle=\left\langle\omega_{z p}\right\rangle E X P\left(-r^{2} / r_{c}^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\langle\omega_{\text {zp }}\right\rangle$ is the local peak $\left\langle\omega_{z}\right\rangle$ and $r_{c}$ is the characteristic core radius. $\Gamma$ for the LO model can be readily integrated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\mathrm{LO})=\pi r_{c}^{2}\left\langle\omega_{z p}\right\rangle\left[1-\operatorname{EXP}\left(-r_{T}^{2} / r_{c}^{2}\right)\right], \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{T}$ (the dashed line in figure 12a) is determined by $\lambda_{T}$. It seems plausible from figure 12(b) that equation (8), based on the model of elliptical vortex shape, may provide a more reasonable estimate for vortex strength than the LO model or equation (10). For comparison, another method to estimate $\Gamma$ based on $\lambda_{c i}$ is proposed below,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\lambda_{c i}\right)=\int_{B} \omega_{r a v}(x, y) d B, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where area $B$ is enclosed by the contour of $\lambda_{c i}=50 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (figure 11c).
Figure 13(a) compares the downstream variations in $\Gamma$ calculated from equation (8) with $\left\langle\omega_{z}\right\rangle$ based on $\omega_{\text {raw }}$ and $\omega_{r d}$, respectively, denoted as $\lambda_{T}$ and $\lambda_{T, r d}$, and equations (10) (based on $\omega_{\text {raw }}(y)$ ) and (11), denoted as LO and $\lambda_{c i}$. The downstream variation in the equivalent diameter $D_{t}$ is shown in figure $13(\mathrm{~b})$, where $D_{t}=r_{x}+r_{y}$ for the elliptical model, $r_{x}=r_{y}=r_{T}$ for the LO model, and $D_{t}=\sqrt{4 B / \pi}$ for the $\lambda_{c i}$ method. Figure 13(c) shows the aspect ratio $r_{x} / r_{y}$ and the centroid scattering ratio $\sigma_{y_{c}} / \sigma_{x_{c}}$, where $\sigma_{x_{c}}$ and $\sigma_{y_{c}}$ denote the standard deviation of $x_{c}$ and $y_{c}$ from the conditional averaging process, respectively. The fact that $\sigma_{y_{c}}<\sigma_{x_{c}}$ for the entire range is ascribed to the finite bin size in the $x$ direction during conditional averaging.

It is evident in figure 13(a) that $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{c i}\right)$ agree reasonably well with each other. The former is consistently larger because of a relatively high threshold set for $\lambda_{c i}$ to minimize erroneous detections. Both $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{c i}\right)$ decrease with $x$, which is expected. On the other hand, $D_{t}$ changes little, when estimated from the $\lambda_{c i}$ method, but increases slowly when estimated by the $\lambda_{T}$ method (figure 13b). The increase in $D_{t}$ captured by the $\lambda_{T}$ method is consistent with our perception of downstream vorticity diffusion, thus suggesting a superiority, in this respect, to the $\lambda_{c i}$ method.


FIGURE 13. Downstream evolution of vortices for case 5F. (a) Comparison of circulation calculated by equations (8), (10) and (11); (b) equivalent vortex diameter $D_{t}$; (c) ratios $r_{x} / r_{y}$ and $\sigma_{y_{c}} / \sigma_{x_{c}}$ where solid lines are polynomial fits to the raw data.

The predicted $\Gamma$ from the LO model tends to exceed those from other methods and its downstream variation exhibits appreciable difference from others (figure 13a). The observation is attributed to the unreasonable circular model of the LO method. This can be inferred from $r_{x} / r_{y}$ in figure 13 (c). The excess range of $\Gamma$, compared to $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{c i}\right)$, coincides with the range of $r_{x}<r_{y}$ which indicates a vortex elongation along the $y$ direction (cf. figure 12a). The result implies that the LO model may result in an overestimate of $\Gamma$. The POD-reconstructed $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T, r d}\right)$ is consistently larger than $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)$ because some areas of low vorticity are embraced as part of the coherent structure, as confirmed by the larger $D_{t}$ (figure 13b). The conditionally averaged vortex structure from the POD reconstruction displays a more circular shape throughout the measured $x$ range. That is, the $\left(r_{x} / r_{y}\right)_{r d}$ is closer to unity (figure 13c). As a result, the lateral elongation of actual vortices may have been under-represented in the POD-reconstructed model. In conclusion, $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)$ is considered to provide a better surrogate for the true $\Gamma$.

### 3.3.2 Strength, size and path of spanwise vortices



FIGURE 14. Trajectories of vortex centers: (a), (b) $x$ and $y$ scaled by $D$; (c), (d) $x$ and $y$ scaled by $L_{f}$ and $D_{w}$, respectively, where the abscissa is shifted by the virtual origin $x_{0}$.

The trajectories of the conditionally averaged vortex centroid $\left(x_{c}, y_{c}\right)$ are presented in figure 14(a, b) for various polygonal cylinders. In general, $y_{c}$ decreases first to a minimum during the vortex formation process and then increases gradually. A similar observation was reported in Kim, Yoo \& Sung (2006) and Shi \& Feng (2015) for the circular cylinder wake. The minimum $y_{c}$ occurs at $x \approx L_{f}$ for $N \leq 8$, but consistently at a downstream position beyond $L_{f}$ for $N>8$. As $L_{f}$ and $D_{w}$ are the characteristic length scales related to the vortex formation, it is physically meaningful to re-scale the ordinate and the abscissa
by $D_{w}$ and $L_{f}$, respectively. Furthermore, the origin of the abscissa is shifted to the virtual origin, $x_{0}$, which is the intersection point between the asymptotic straight line of the vortex center trajectory and the abscissa in the far field. As shown in figure $14(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}), y_{c} / D_{w}$ declines initially and the scaled rate $\left(d y / D_{w}\right) /\left(d x / L_{f}\right)$ (negative) is similar for all cases, regardless of $N$ and the orientation. Further downstream, $y_{d} / D_{w}$ increases, the trajectories collapse together on the curve $y / D_{w}=0.18\left(x / L_{f}-x_{0}\right)$ whose slope $k_{1}=k_{2} \approx$ 0.18 . That is, the ratio of the scaled lateral to streamwise components of the vortex convection velocity approaches 0.18 asymptotically after the vortex formation.


FIGURE 15. The evolution of $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)$ : (a), (b) raw data scaled by the constant $U_{\infty} D$; (c), (d) $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)$ scaled by the maximum value $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)_{\max }$, the abscissa is shifted by the formation length $L_{f}{ }^{*}$.

The evolution of the vortex strength $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)$ on $x^{*}$ is similar for all cylinders (figure 15a, b). At the early stage, $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)$ rises rapidly until reaching its maximum at $x \approx L_{f}$. This initial development corresponds to the shear layer rollup process, during which the vorticity in the shear layer is continuously entrained into the leading vortex; see figure 15(a). For instance, cases 8 C and 5 F reach the maximum $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)$ at the smallest $x^{*}$, that is, their $L_{f}^{*}$ is
smallest, which is consistent with figure 2(a). After that, the vortices quickly detach from the shear layer and are convected downstream at a velocity in the order of $U_{\infty}$, forming the Karman vortex street, At the same time, $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)$ decays under the combined effect of viscous dissipation and cancellation between opposite sensed vortices (figure 15a, b). The decay rate of $\Gamma$ in the circular cylinder wake agrees qualitatively well with Cantwell \& Coles’ (1983) observation at $\operatorname{Re}=1.4 \times 10^{5}$. Their measured $\Gamma$ is appreciably larger than the present estimate for the circular cylinder wake. The magnitude of $\Gamma$ and its decay rate are influenced by the threshold levels set to define the vortex boundary, which was $\left|\left\langle\omega_{z}\right\rangle\right| D / U_{\infty}=0.03$ in Cantwell \& Coles (1983) but 0.10 presently. Therefore, the difference between the two studies is not unexpected.

Figure $15(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$ shows $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)$, normalized by its maximum $\Gamma_{\max }$, against $x^{*}-L_{f}{ }^{*}$, which displays a reasonably good collapse, irrespective of $N$ and the cylinder orientation. Several common features may be extracted from the collapsed data. Firstly, the vortex reaches its maximum strength at the formation length $x \approx L_{f}$. Secondly, the vortex exhibits two stages of decay after reaching its maximum. The first stage is an almost linear decay, which extends to slightly beyond $4 D$ and near $5 D$ behind $L_{f}$ for the corner and face orientations, respectively. The slopes of the linear decay are very close to each other for all the cases, that is, the decay rate is almost independent of $N$ and the orientation. It might imply a decay process mainly driven by the vorticity diffusion and dissipation, also reflected by the decline of the peak vorticity at the vortex center. Further downstream, the decay rate becomes appreciably larger and is more sensitive to $N$. It is possibly owing to the combined effects of vorticity diffusion, dissipation and vigorous interactions and cancellation between neighboring vortices which grow to a significant size. More discussion on the latter point will be given later. To the authors' best knowledge, the two-stage decay in the vortex strength and their turning point have not been reported previously. Finally, the fact that $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)_{\max }$ occurs at $L_{f}^{*}$ suggests that $L_{f}$ is a suitable scaling factor for the streamwise length scale in the near wake.


FIGURE 16. Dependence of $\Gamma_{\max }^{*}$ on (a) $N$ and (b) $D_{w}{ }^{*}$. The dashed line in figure (b) is the straight line least-square-fitted to the data of all polygonal cylinders, excluding the 3C case.
$\Gamma_{\text {max }}^{*}\left(=\Gamma_{\text {max }} /\left(U_{\infty} D\right)\right)$ depends on $N$ and the cylinder orientation, as shown in figure 16(a). It can be found that 6 C and 4 F cases has the maximum vortex circulation in the wake for corner and face orientation respectively, 8 C and 5 F cases are the opposite, which is consistent with the analysis of the Reynolds normal stress (figure 6) and POD mode energy (figure 9). Figure 2(b) indicates that $D_{w}^{*}$ distribution with $N$ is similar with $\Gamma_{\max }^{*}$ distribution; this prompts us to plot $\Gamma_{\max }^{*}$ against $D_{w}^{*}$, as shown in figure $16(\mathrm{~b})$. Interestingly, $\Gamma_{\max }^{*}$ and $D_{w}^{*}$ of the polygonal cylinders are indeed correlated linearly, except 3C case that are characterized by the largest flat leeward surface (lack of aft-body). The result points out that the maximum circulation of the vortices should scale with $U_{\infty}$ and $D_{w}^{*}$.

While the vortices decay in their strength downstream, their size grows due to vorticity diffusion. The characteristic size of the vortices may be represented by $D_{t}$. Although dependent on $N$ and the cylinder orientation, $D_{t}$ increases monotonically over the streamwise range examined (figure 17a, b). Furthermore, its growth rate is similar, albeit dropping slowly as $x$ increases. Use $D_{t 0}$ to denote $D_{t}$ at $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)_{\max }$ (figures $15 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and $17 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ and shift the ordinate by $D_{t 0}^{*}$, as shown in figure $17(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$. Since $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)_{\text {max }}$ occurs at $L_{f}^{*}$ (figure $15 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ), the abscissa in figure 17 ( $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ) is shifted by $L_{f}^{*}$ accordingly. We see immediately that the evolution of $D_{t}$ is approximately the same for all $N$ and the two orientations. The data scattering is more appreciable at $x>2 L_{f}$, especially with the corner orientation, and the cylinders of smaller $N$, i.e. $3 \mathrm{C} \sim 6 \mathrm{C}$ for the corner orientation and
$3 \mathrm{~F} \sim 4 \mathrm{~F}$ for the face orientation, are associated with smaller growth rate of $D_{t}$. For $x<L_{f}$, the growth of $D_{t}$ is owing to the entrainment of shear layer vortices and, as is evident in figure $15(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$, this effect is essentially the same for all cases, as is expected.





FIGURE 17. The evolution of the equivalent vortex diameter $D_{t}$. (a), (b) $D_{t} / D\left(D_{t}^{*}\right)$; (c), (d) the ordinate and the abscissa are shifted by $D_{t 0}^{*}$ and $L_{f}^{*}$, respectively.

Figure $18(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ presents the dependence of $D_{t 0}^{*}$ on $N$ and $D_{w}{ }^{*}$, respectively. The latter points out unequivocally that $D_{t 0}^{*}$ is linearly related with $D_{w}{ }^{*}$, which is fully consistent with the conception that the characteristic width of the wake is linked to the vortex size. At the location where the circulation reaches its maximum, the vortex size $D_{t 0}$ $\approx 1.6 D_{w}$ when the threshold is set at $\left|\left\langle\omega_{z}\right\rangle\right| D / U_{\infty}=0.1$. Case 3C is the exception, which is similar with $\Gamma_{\max }^{*}$ vs. $D_{w}{ }^{*}$ in figure $16(\mathrm{~b})$.

The deviation of 3C could be explained as follows. The flow separation points for 3C occurs at the most rear position compared to the other cases, according to the flow visualization in Xu et al (2017). This results in a very small $D_{w}{ }^{*}$ amongst all the cases as shown in figure 2. Furthermore, because of the location of the separation points, the 3 C case lacks of an aft-body, which minimizes the effect of the cylinder body on the vortex formation process.


FIGURE 18. Dependence of $D_{t 0}^{*}$ on (a) $N$ and (b) $D_{w}{ }^{*}$. The dashed line in figure (b) is the straight line least-square-fitted to the data of all polygonal cylinders, excluding the 3C case.

### 3.3.3 Convection velocity of vortices

In this section, we present the dependence on $N$ of the convection velocity of vortices in the wake, which is a fundamentally important quantity, especially in the framework of Taylor's hypothesis adopted widely for the spatiotemporal correlations of turbulent flows to explain entrainment and transport processes in the frame of reference translating with vortices (Cantwell \& Coles 1983). Zhou \& Antonia (1992) compared different methods to quantify vortex convection velocities in the wake of a circular cylinder for the range $10 \leq$ $x / D \leq 60$, where the convection velocity was estimated by the velocity at the vortex center. Theoretically, the averaged vortex convection velocity $\left\{U_{c}, V_{c}\right\}$ equal the conditional averaged velocity on the vortex centroid $\left(x_{c}, y_{c}\right)$ because Helmholtz first law states that the vortex lines move with the fluid, that is, a shed vortex is swept downstream at the local velocity at the vortex center. On the other hand, since an isolated vortex induces zero velocity at its own center, the detected velocity there will be the linear combination of the background velocity and the velocity induced by other vortices in the street at that
particular phase. The local vortex is then convected at this resultant velocity.


FIGURE 19. (a), (b) The variation of the streamwise vortex convection velocity $U_{c}$ for all cylinders, (c), (d) The variation of the scaled $U_{c}$ by $\bar{U}_{\max }$, the abscissa is rescaled by $L_{f}$.

The variation in $U_{c}$ with $x$ is given in figure 19 (a, b) over $3 \leq x / D \leq 8$, over which the vortices are completely detached from the shear layer under the influence of the convective instability. Apparently, $U_{c}<U_{\infty}$, though they are in the same order of magnitude. One observation can be made, that is, $U_{c}$ increases monotonically, with a gradually reducing $d U_{c} / d x$, irrespective of $N$ and the cylinder orientation. The result conforms to previous reports on $U_{c}$ for $N=\infty$ (Cantwell \& Coles 1983) and the 4F case (Hu, Zhou \& Dalton 2006). In the near field, $U_{c}$ is largely related to the local velocity deficit, which is strongly dependent on $N$ and the orientation. Cases 4C and 3F are associated with the lowest $U_{c}$, while 8 C and 5 F with the largest. This is internally consistent with the larger velocity deficit for the cases of 4C and 3F and smaller velocity deficit for the cases of 8 C and 5 F for the same $x^{*}$ range (figure 3 ). On the other hand, cases 4 C and 3 F correspond to the largest $d U_{c} / d x$, while 8 C and 5 F to the smallest. Further downstream, the velocity deficit shrinks and $U_{c}$ recovers. Near the end of FOV, $U_{c}$ rises to
( $0.72 \sim 0.82) U_{\infty}$, with the largest $U_{c}$ in the circular cylinder wake; its dependence on $N$ and the orientation becomes weaker.

With $U_{c}$ and $x$ normalized by $\bar{U}_{\text {max }}$ (the maximum mean streamwise velocity value near the cylinder in the wake) and $L_{f}$, respectively, the scattering in the normalized $U_{c}$ is greatly reduced, as shown in figure $19(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$. This allows us to extract one common feature of $U_{c}$ for all the cases, that is, the variation rate of $U_{c}$ exhibits a clear change at $x \approx 2 L_{f}$, which correspond two stages of convection velocity evolution. At $x<2 L_{f}, U_{c} / \bar{U}_{\max }$ increases dramatically because of the quick recovery of the velocity deficit in the near wake. At $x>2 L_{f}, U_{c} / \bar{U}_{\text {max }}$ keeps a constant value basically, because the mean streamwise velocity increases very slowly in the wake. It is also noticeable that the 3C case differs most in $U_{c} / \bar{U}_{\text {max }}$ from the other cases, which is attributed to its large $\Gamma_{\max }^{*}$ (figure 16b).

## 4. Conclusions

The wakes behind various polygonal cylinders are systematically studied at subcritical regime, $\operatorname{Re}=1.6 \times 10^{4}$. The side number $N$ of the polygonal cylinder is 3 to 16 , along with $N=\infty$ (a circular cylinder) which acts as a reference for comparison. Twe orientations are investigated for each cylinder: the corner or flat surface facing incoming flow. The dependence on $N$ and the cylinder orientation of the mean velocity, the Reynolds stresses, and the coherent structures of the near wake are examined carefully. Vortices in the wake are extracted from POD and phase averaging and are characterized in terms of their strength, path, size and convection velocity. Some conclusions can be drawn below.
(1) The near-wakes of the polygonal cylinders exhibit a significant dependence on $N$ and the cylinder orientation, as reflected by the mean velocity profiles, Reynolds stress distributions and the vortex formation length $L_{f}$ and wake width $D_{w}$. Cases 8C and 5F show the smallest $L_{f}, D_{w}$ and lowest Reynolds stress magnitude, with the smallest velocity deficit and fastest velocity recovery in the near wake. It is believed to relate to the smallest circulation $\Gamma$ of the shed vortices behind these cylinders. That is, 8 C and 5 F have the smallest $\Gamma$ and the fastest $\Gamma$ saturation among the cylinders in the two erientations (figure $13 a, b$ ), resulting in the shortest vertex formation and weakest

## Reynolds stresses.

(2) The vortex circulation grows to the maximum at the vortex formation length $\left(x \approx L_{f}\right)$ and then decays downstream. The decay may be divided into two stages. The first stage, up to $5 L_{f}^{*}$, is characterized by a linear decay rate almost independent of $N$ and orientation, and is probably dominated by vorticity diffusion and viscous dissipation. The second stage, beyond $5 L_{f}{ }^{*}$, is featured with a faster decay rate, which differs from one cylinder to another, probably resulting from the combined effect of diffusion, dissipation and vigorous vortex interactions. To our best knowledge, the two stage decay in the vortex strength and their turning point at $x^{*} \approx 5 L_{f}^{*}$ have not been reported previously. The maximum vortex strength $\Gamma_{\max }^{*}$ in the wake is found to be linearly related to $D_{w}{ }^{*}$ (figure 16 b ).
(3) The conditionally averaged vortex path indicates that the vortices move towards the centerline first and then away. In the normalized coordinate system $\left(x / L_{f}, y / D_{w}\right)$, the ratio of the lateral and streamwise components of the vortex convection velocity is fixed at $\left(d y / D_{w}\right) /\left(d x / L_{f}\right) \approx 0.18\left(\right.$ as $\left.d y / d x=V_{c} / U_{c}\right)$ for $x>2 L_{f}$, irrespective of the cylinder orientation and $N$. The equivalent diameter, $D_{t}$, of vortices grows monotonically downstream for all cylinders. While $D_{t}$ is clearly dependent on $N$ and the cylinder orientation, its growth rate scaled by $L_{f}$ is approximately the same for all the cases (figure $17 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ). $D_{t}{ }^{*}$ corresponding to $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{T}\right)_{\max }$ is linearly correlated with $D_{w}{ }^{*}$ (figure 18b). which is fully consistent with the coneeption that the characteristic width of the wake is linked to the vortex size. The streamwise component $U_{c}$ of the vortex convection velocity, scaled by $\bar{U}_{\text {max }}$, displays two distinct growth rates, separated at $x$ $\approx 2 L_{f} . U_{\epsilon}$ is influenced by the background averaged velocity. As inferred from figure 3 , the streamwise averaged velocity recovers rapidly for $x<2 L_{f}$ in the near wake, contributing to the high growth rate of $U_{c}$ and then approaches to a constant for $x>$ $2 L_{f}$. accounting for the lower growth rate.
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