Vikings, peat formation and settlement abandonment: a multi-method chronological approach from Shetland

- 3
- 4 Graeme T. Swindles^{1,2*}, Zoe Outram³, Catherine M. Batt⁴, W. Derek Hamilton⁵, Mike J. Church⁶,
- 5 Julie M. Bond³, Elizabeth J. Watson¹, Gordon T. Cook⁵, Thomas G. Sim¹, Anthony J. Newton⁷ &
- 6 Andrew J. Dugmore⁷.
- 7
- 8 ¹School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
- 9 ²Ottawa-Carleton Geoscience Centre and Department of Earth Sciences, Carleton University,
- 10 Ottawa, K1S 5B6, Canada
- ¹¹ ³Historic England, Brooklands Avenue, Cambridge, CB2 8BU, UK
- 12 ⁴Archaeological and Forensic Sciences, University of Bradford, Bradford, BD7 1DP
- 13 ⁵Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre, Radiocarbon Dating Laboratory, Scottish
- 14 Enterprise Technology Park, East Kilbride, G75 0QF, UK
- 15 ⁶Department of Archaeology, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK
- 16 ⁷School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3FE, UK
- 17
- 18 *Corresponding author
- 19 Tel. +44 (0)1133 439127; Email address: <u>g.t.swindles@leeds.ac.uk</u>
- 20
- 21 Keywords: Radiocarbon; Tephrochronology; Archaeomagnetism; Norse; Viking; Peat; Unst;

- 22 Shetland
- 23
- 24 Manuscript for Quaternary Science Reviews
- 25
- 26
- 27

28 Abstract

Understanding the chronology of Norse settlement is crucial for deciphering the archaeology of 29 30 many sites across the North Atlantic region and developing a timeline of human-environment interactions. There is ambiguity in the chronology of settlements in areas such as the Northern Isles 31 of Scotland, arising from the lack of published sites that have been scientifically dated, the presence 32 of plateaus in the radiocarbon calibration curve, and the use of inappropriate samples for dating. 33 34 This novel study uses four absolute dating techniques (AMS radiocarbon, tephrochronology, 35 spheroidal carbonaceous particles and archaeomagnetism) to date a Norse house (the "Upper 36 House"), Underhoull, Unst, Shetland Isles and to interpret the chronology of settlement and peat 37 which envelops the site. Dates were produced from hearths, activity surfaces within the structure, 38 and peat accumulations adjacent to and above the structure. Stratigraphic evidence was used to assess sequences of dates within a Bayesian framework, constraining the chronology for the site as 39 well as providing modelled estimates for key events in its life, namely the use, modification and 40 abandonment of the settlement. The majority of the absolute dating methods produced consistent 41 and coherent datasets. The overall results show that occupation at the site was not a short, single 42 phase, as suggested initially from the excavated remains, but instead a settlement that continued 43 throughout the Norse period. The occupants of the site built the longhouse in a location adjacent to 44 an active peatland, and continued to live there despite the encroachment of peat onto its margins. 45 46 We estimate that the Underhoull longhouse was constructed in the period cal. AD 805-1050 (95% probability), and probably in cal. AD 880-1000 (68% probability). Activity within the house ceased 47 in the period cal. AD 1230-1495 (95% probability), and most probably in cal. AD 1260-1380 (68% 48 49 probability). The Upper House at Underhoull provides important context to the expansion and abandonment of Norse settlement across the wider North Atlantic region. 50

- 51
- 52

53

54 1. Introduction

The overall aim of this paper is to establish a multi-method chronology of settlement and 55 environment changes at the site of Underhoull in Unst, Shetland Isles. This is important for both 56 Quaternary science and global environmental change research because it typifies the challenges of 57 dating the Viking Age-Medieval Scandinavian colonisation of the North Atlantic islands. The term 58 'Viking' usually refers to raiding activity and the initial territorial expansion of Scandinavian 59 peoples from the last decades of 8th century to the 11th century, whereas 'Norse' covers the whole 60 61 cultural period from first settlement to the mid-15th century in the Northern Isles when the islands 62 were ceded to the Scottish crown (Batey and Sheehan, 2000). This movement of people involved the migration into, and enduring occupation of, both long settled-lands in Atlantic Scotland and 63 64 mid-oceanic islands that were some of the last places on Earth to be colonised by people. The former provide instructive cases of culture contact, the latter provide recent case studies of the 65 impact of people on pristine environments with clear pre-human environmental baselines. Both 66 provide 'completed experiments' of human interactions with the environment during the Medieval 67 Climate Anomaly (a time of warm climate lasting from ~AD 950 to AD 1250) in NW Europe 68 (Goosse et al., 2012) that are relevant to contemporary debates about global change that include 69 societal resilience, the basis of sustainability over multi-century time scales, causes of human 70 insecurity, climate change adaptation and the limits to adaptation (e.g. Nelson et al., 2016). 71

72

Increasing attention has been paid to the study of Norse sites across the North Atlantic and the Distributed Long-Term Observing Network of the Past (DONOP) that they provide (Hambrecht et al., 2018). The investigation of DONOP has involved archaeological excavation and related multiproxy environmental studies which can be used to address Grand Challenges in archaeology, including questions of 1) societal resilience, persistence and collapse; 2) the movement, mobility and migration of people, and 3) human environment interactions (Kintigh et al., 2014). The drivers of the Scandinavian migrations and the expansion of the Viking Age settlements across this region

have been attributed to a variety of factors, such as stresses of population change (Fossier, 1999),
climate (Dugmore et al., 2007), economic factors and political tension (Frei et al., 2015; Pálsson
and Edwards 1981; Sawyer, 2003), while similar theories have been postulated for the abandonment
of Norse settlements in Greenland (Dugmore et al., 2012). An accurate and precise chronology is
essential for the assessment of specific Norse sites and their utilisation as DONOP to allow the
archaeological evidence to be directly compared and understood across this vast geographical area,
and be mobilised to address Grand Challenges (Kintigh et al., 2014, Nelson et al., 2016).

87

88 Over the last 30 years, the chronological assessment of Norse sites across the North Atlantic realm have made widespread use of radiocarbon or in the case of Iceland, radiocarbon and the use of 89 90 visible tephra layers (e.g. Barrett et al., 2000; Dugmore et al., 2005; Arge et al., 2005; Lawson et al., 2005; Church et al., 2005; 2007; Schmid et al., 2017). However, many existing chronological 91 frameworks have significant limitations due to a primary reliance on artefact and structural 92 typologies (e.g. Hamilton, 1956; Small, 1966; Stummann Hansen, 2000) or on scientific dating 93 approaches that utilise inappropriate materials, including non-native species such as Spruce (Picea) 94 or mixtures of materials. In Iceland, classic tephrochronology, based on the identification and 95 96 correlation of layers of volcanic ash (tephra), is a very powerful dating tool for establishing a robust chronology for the Viking Age settlement. The utility and accuracy of classic tephrochronology 97 stems from the very widespread distribution of the Landnám tephra as a visible layer, and the 98 99 extensive occurrence of a series of other visible tephra layers within the 10th century, such as the Katla c. AD 920 tephra and the Eldgjá tephra from AD 939 (Schmid et al., 2017). The great 100 101 precision of classic tephrochronology in Viking Age Iceland is because two of these crucial layersthe Landnám tephra and the Eldgjá tephra- have been traced to Greenland and dated in ice core 102 103 records (Grönvold et al., 1995; Zielinski et al., 1995, 1997; Sigl et al., 2015; Schmid et al., 2017). While the use of visible tephra layers is routine in Icelandic archaeology, the use of cryptotephras in 104 105 archaeological sites elsewhere in the North Atlantic is not, despite their discovery in terrestrial Scottish peat deposits 30 years ago (Dugmore 1989, Dugmore et al., 1995a; 1995b). This represents significant opportunity for archaeology, because of the continental scale dispersal of the tephras as crypto deposits, and their very precise dating- either through connections with ice cores, or through contemporary written sources, such as the dating of Hekla eruptions to AD 1104 and AD 1158.

110

111 Cryptotephrochronology is making vital contributions to the precise correlation of long-term proxy 112 records of Quaternary environments (e.g. Davies, 2015; Lane et al., 2012). The great potential for the use of cryptotephras in archaeology and correlating archaeological DONOP (e.g. Lane et al., 113 114 2014) is largely untapped. As its potential is realised, an effective integration of cryptotephrochronology with other Quaternary dating techniques presents particularly interesting 115 116 opportunities. Thus, we present an integrated chronology for the establishment, use and abandonment of a peat-covered Norse longhouse at the site of Underhoull, Shetland, UK 117 (60.71888°N, 0.94735°W) using the novel combination of radiocarbon, cryptotephra, spheroidal 118 carbonaceous particles and archaeomagnetic dating. We critically assess and compare these 119 techniques within a Bayesian framework in order to produce a robust chronology for the site. We 120 address the following research questions: 1. When was the site occupied and then subsequently 121 abandoned? 2. What is the chronostratigraphic relationship between the longhouse and peat 122 accumulation? The answers to these questions contribute significantly to evaluation of Norse 123 124 settlement in Shetland and demonstrate methodologies applicable across Northwest Europe and 125 North America.

126

127 2. Study site selection and context

Archaeological sites in Shetland, such as Old Scatness (Dockrill et al., 2010), Norwick (Ballin Smith, 2007), Hamar and Underhoull (Bond et al., 2013) form a DONOP and provide a window into the culturally turbulent Viking Age, set within the equable conditions of the Medieval Climate Anomaly.

132 The site of Underhoull is located on Unst, the most northerly of the Shetland Isles, and of Britain (Figure 1). Unst is particularly significant because it may have played an important role in the 133 westwards expansion of the Viking/Norse populations, acting as a staging post between Norway, 134 Britain and the islands further west (Ritchie, 1996; Graham-Campbell and Batey, 1998). Recent 135 discoveries have produced early dates for Scandinavian settlement in the Northern Isles (Orkney 136 and Shetland), which have important implications for understanding the timing, pace and nature of 137 138 the westward migrations of the Viking Age. The site of Norwick, for example, now has evidence for an early phase of Scandinavian settlement in the 7th-9th centuries AD (Ballin Smith, 2007). If 139 140 the pattern from Norwick is replicated elsewhere, it would stretch the chronology of westward Norse expansion earlier, and modify ideas of its development and consequences. 141

142

A large number of Norse longhouses have been recorded on Unst, with Dyer et al. (2013) 143 identifying some 30 individual sites, together with another 20 possible longhouses. This implies 144 that the island played a very significant role in the westwards expansion of the Norse. Despite this 145 significance, only a small number of Norse sites have been investigated to date, including Sandwick 146 (Bigelow, 1985), Underhoull (Small, 1966), Norwick (Ballin Smith, 2007), Hamar (Bond et al., 147 2013) and Belmont (Larsen et al., 2013). At Underhoull, Small (1966) recorded a Norse structure 148 that sealed an Iron Age roundhouse and souterrain, demonstrating one of many Shetlandic examples 149 150 of site continuity linked to transformative cultural changes (Figure 2). A 10th century date was 151 assigned to the Norse site following Small's work based on the artefact evidence, although a later date has been suggested by a reassessment of the structural and artefact typologies (Graham-152 153 Campbell and Batey, 1998). Radiometric dating evidence has been produced for the sites of Sandwick, Norwick, Hamar and Belmont (Figure 1), although only the sites of Hamar and Belmont 154 155 have been fully published to date. The remaining published site chronologies in Shetland, such as the iconic site of Jarlshof (Hamilton, 1956), are largely based on artefact typologies. While these 156 157 traditional approaches provide a general framework, they have limited precision. More rigorous

chronologies based on a wider range of approaches and scientific methodologies will provide an
enhanced understanding of the pattern and timing of Norse occupation of Shetland, the longevity of
settlement and its wider significance within the Norse diaspora.

161

162 **3. Establishing chronology: The sampled contexts**

The site discussed within this paper is located upslope from the excavations carried out by Small 163 164 (1966), and so to avoid confusion with this earlier work it will be referred to as the "Upper House", Underhoull. The Upper House site (Figure 2) consists of a longhouse with two associated annexes. 165 166 The addition of annexes to longhouses has been considered a characteristic feature of Late Norse longhouses, recorded on sites such as Underhoull, Hamar and Belmont (Graham-Campbell and 167 168 Batey, 1998; Bond et al., 2013; Larsen et al., 2013), which suggests that the surviving structure at Underhoull dates to the late 10th century at the earliest. Several features were recorded within the 169 structure including a paved area in the western end of the main structure and three hearths, one in 170 each of the annexes and a third in the eastern part of the main structure. An area of paving (context 171 [029]) was also identified to the south of the main structure overlying the peat, and has been 172 interpreted as an attempt by the occupants to maintain a dry area around the longhouse despite the 173 174 close proximity to the peat accumulations.

175

Understanding the formation processes is crucial in the selection of appropriate samples, as well as the interpretation of the results, so the formation processes of the anthropogenic deposits are summarised under the heading 'depositional context'. A classification of deposits in terms of chronological significance is derived from the work of Schiffer (1987) and Dockrill et al. (2006), and is summarised in Table 1. The peat dates were not categorised using this approach due to the potential mobility of the different fractions. The materials finally selected for dating formed two groups: the deposits associated with the occupation of the structure, and the peat located in the

183 south-west area of the site. The dates have been summarised in Table 2 (radiocarbon), Table 3

184 (archaeomagnetic) and Table 4 (tephra).

185

The deposits located within the structure were dated by AMS radiocarbon and archaeomagnetic 186 dating techniques, including occupation surfaces (contexts [189] & [185]), hearths (contexts [166], 187 [214] and [201]), a surface interpreted as a yard to the north of the main structure (context [170]), 188 189 and a possible industrial deposit (context [093]). The peat accumulations adjacent to the longhouse were sampled for cryptotephra and for AMS radiocarbon dating; the flagged surface (context [029]) 190 191 associated with the structural remains effectively acted as a horizon dividing the peat layers into those that pre- and post-dated the construction of the longhouse (Figure 3). The dating evidence 192 193 produced from these deposits therefore brackets this event, providing an opportunity to investigate when the occupation of the Upper House commenced relative to the peat and the impact that the 194 peat development had on the occupation of Underhoull. The date of the paved surface [029] is also 195 important as it provides the upper limit for the construction of the longhouse, as well as dating an 196 attempt by the occupants to maintain the site. 197

198

199 4. Materials and methods

Three dating methods (AMS radiocarbon, archaeomagnetic dating and cryptotephrochronology) 200 201 were employed in addition to the conventional archaeological methods of stratigraphy and 202 typology. In addition to these approaches, spheroidal carbonaceous particles (SCPs) within the peat were used to infer a post 19th-century date for the top of the sampled sequences (e.g. Swindles, 203 204 2010). All of the dates presented here are quoted at 2 sigma (σ)/95.4% confidence levels with the exception of the SCPs (post-AD1850 markers) and the tephra isochrones dated to the 12th century 205 206 AD based on historical observation and documentary evidence. The Hekla-Selsund tephra (also referred to as the Kebister tephra by Dugmore et al., 1995b) has been previously wiggle-match ¹⁴C 207 208 dated (Wastegård et al., 2008).

209 4.1 AMS Radiocarbon dating

AMS radiocarbon determinations (Table 2) were produced by the Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre (SUERC), and the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Radiocarbon Facility, East Kilbride, and calibrated using OxCal v4.3 (Bronk Ramsey, 2012), with IntCall3 (Reimer et al., 2013).

214

215 The materials selected for dating included charred grains of barley (Hordeum sp.) and Sphagnum 216 remains extracted from the peat (although Sphagnum was only found in a 5-cm horizon in one of 217 the peat monoliths), as these represent chronologically coherent entities that did not require a marine correction (Harris, 1987). Barley grains represent a single entity produced in a single 218 219 season's growth, removing some of the problems of 'old' carbon being incorporated (Ashmore, 220 1999), and were selected from discrete contexts such as hearths, floor surfaces and a yard area. Both the barley grains and Sphagnum leaves and stems were hand-picked from samples using tweezers 221 under a low-power binocular microscope. Above-ground macrofossils (e.g. Sphagnum remains) 222 were mostly not present or in low abundance in the peats, therefore the humin and humic acid 223 fractions of humified peats were extracted from discrete samples for dating. 224

225

The composition of peat varies depending on the plant communities, the accumulation rate, the 226 227 water-table level, bioturbation, root penetration, and the incorporation of residual material, as well 228 as any anthropogenic activity in the area (Rydin and Jeglum, 2008). It can therefore be argued that no two accumulations of peat are the same, making it difficult to state with confidence which of the 229 230 fractions would represent the 'true' age of peat accumulation as all of these factors are site specific (Tonneijck et al., 2006; Wüst et al., 2008; Brock et al., 2011). A number of radiocarbon dates were 231 232 produced for this study using both the humic and humin fractions from the same sample, allowing 233 these processes to be evaluated.

234

235 The charted barley grains and Sphagnum remains were pre-treated using the standard acid-base-acid procedure for removal of carbonates and organic acids (Ascough et al., 2007). The peat humin 236 237 fraction was extracted through the digestion of the peat in 2M HCl (80°C, 8 hours) followed by 1M KOH (80°C, 2 hours) until no further humic material was extracted. The residue was then rinsed 238 free of alkali, before being immersed in 1M HCl (80°C, 2 hours), rinsed free of acid, dried and then 239 240 homogenised. The peat humic acid fraction was extracted using a similar approach, but the filtrate 241 was retained and the humic fraction precipitated following the addition of 2M H₂SO₄. The precipitate was recovered, rinsed free of acid, dried and homogenised (Gulliver, 2011). The pre-242 243 treated remains were then converted to graphite for subsequent AMS analysis using standard methods defined by Slota et al. (1987). The δ^{13} C value of the sample CO₂ was determined on a VG 244 245 SIRA 10 stable isotope mass spectrometer using NBS standards 22 (oil) and 19 (marble) to determine the 45/44 and 46/44 mass ratios, from which a sample δ^{13} C value could be calculated 246 (Ascough et al., 2007). The δ^{13} C ratios were used to correct the sample ¹⁴C activities for 247 fractionation by normalisation to -25%. 248

249

The potential problem of post-depositional movement of the barley grains or the mobility of the different fractions of peat was investigated through the production of multiple dates analysed in stratigraphic order, a comparison of paired dates produced on different fractions and by a comparison between different methods.

254

255 4.2 Archaeomagnetic dating

Archaeomagnetic dating can yield significant chronological information as the dated event relates to the last use of the features which usually corresponds to anthropogenic activity (Clark et al., 1988; Batt et al., 2017). Three features were sampled for archaeomagnetic dating from Underhoull: hearths located in each of the two annexes (contexts [166] and [214]) and a possible industrial feature (context [093]) located to the North of the site (Table 3). A fourth hearth was identified

261 within the main structure (context [201]), but it did not contain sufficient material for archaeomagnetic dating. Plastic tubes were inserted into the fired material using the methodology 262 263 defined by Clark et al. (1988). A magnetic compass was used to record the orientation of the samples; this method can be problematic as the feature itself may deflect the compass, introducing 264 265 errors into the sampling procedure. A sun compass can be used, but due to the variable nature of the 266 sun in Shetland, a magnetic compass was deemed more reliable. All of the features sampled were 267 assessed in the field prior to the use of the magnetic compass and it was concluded that no distortion was present (Meng and Noel, 1989; Lange and Murphy, 1990). 268

269

The direction of remanent magnetisation of the samples was measured using a Molspin spinner magnetometer. The stability of this magnetisation was then determined by step-wise alternating field demagnetisation of pilot samples to allow removal of any less stable magnetisations acquired after the firing event, leaving the magnetisation of archaeological interest, known as the characteristic remanent magnetisation (ChRM).

275

Pilot samples were selected as they represented the range of characteristics displayed by the 276 assemblage. The demagnetisation data were assessed using methods defined by Tarling and Symons 277 (1967), Kirschvink (1980) and Sagnotti (2013) and principal component analysis (PCA) was used 278 279 to investigate the linearity of the magnetic vector throughout the demagnetisation process and to 280 select the field used to remove the unstable component of the magnetisation, leaving the magnetisation of archaeological interest. Values of less than 2° were taken as evidence that the plots 281 282 were acceptably linear between the selected vector, and that the magnetisation was likely to be stable (Linford, 2006). It was noted that a field of 5mT was suitable to remove the less stable 283 284 component for all of the samples investigated.

285

286 The magnetic directions of the samples collected from a feature were combined to give a mean direction, the precision of which is defined using Fisherian statistics (Fisher, 1953). The alpha-95 287 (α_{95}) value represents a 95% probability that the true direction lies with that cone of confidence 288 289 around the observed mean direction, and should be less than 5° for dating purposes (Tarling and Dobson, 1995). A value larger than this indicates that the magnetic directions of the samples are 290 291 scattered and therefore do not all record the same magnetic field, making the material undatable. Outlier samples were statistically defined using the approaches defined by Beck (1983) and 292 McElhinny and McFadden (2000); if the values failed these tests they were statistically classified as 293 lying significantly from the mean and therefore removed from the analysis. 294

295

296 Context [166] was sampled twice as a portion of the sampled feature lay underneath an unexcavated 297 area of the site. When the area of excavation was extended the remaining part of the feature was 298 exposed and sampled (AM150). The mean directions were shown to be statistically 299 indistinguishable (McFadden and Lowes, 1981) and so they were combined to give a single 300 magnetic direction.

301

302 4.3 Cryptotephrochronology

Tephrochronology is based on the identification and correlation of tephra layers (Thórarinsson, 303 304 1944). The recognition and correlation of cryptotephra deposits (those hidden from view) has extended the precision of tephrochronological correlations to continental scales (Dugmore, 1989; 305 Dugmore et al., 1995a; Swindles et al., 2010; Watson et al., 2017). Calendar dates for the various 306 tephra layers have been obtained through the use of written records (e.g. Thórarinsson, 1967), 307 correlation to precise timescales such as those provided by ice cores (e.g. Zielinski et al., 1995; 308 1997; Sigl et al., 2015), or complementary dating techniques such as radiocarbon (Dugmore et al., 309 1995a; 1995b; Wastegård et al., 2008; Swindles et al., 2011). The precision of the associated 310 radiocarbon dates have been greatly improved in recent years through the application of both 311

312 radiocarbon wiggle-matching and sophisticated age-depth models, including Bayesian approaches,

and for some tephra layers this exceeds the available precision associated with a single radiocarbon

determination for the same period of time (Hall and Pilcher, 2002; Wastegård et al., 2003).

315

Despite the potential of tephrochronology for both chronological and palaeoenvironmental studies, only limited work has been carried out in Shetland (Dugmore 1991; Bennett et al., 1992; Swindles et al., 2013). A number of cryptotephra layers may have been deposited on Shetland during the periods that pre- and post-date the settlements at Underhoull (Dugmore et al., 1995b; Hall and Pilcher, 2002; Swindles et al., 2011). These aid the chronological constraint of the sites, as well as allowing the evidence recorded at Underhoull to be unambiguously linked to sites across the North Atlantic and major paleoclimate archives.

323

Monolith samples were extracted from peat faces at the site using box guttering (de Vleeschouwer 324 et al., 2010). A series of three cores were collected from the accumulations of peat under- and over-325 lying the archaeology in the south-west area of the site (Figures 3 and 4): 'SF238/239', 'SCHO', 326 and 'UHM'. The peat cores were stored at 4°C prior to sub-sampling at contiguous 1-cm intervals. 327 Tephra layers in each profile were determined using the conventional ashing and extraction 328 technique (following Swindles et al., 2010). As the samples contained some minerogenic material, 329 LST Fastfloat (2.3-2.5 g cm⁻³) was used to concentrate the shards. The total number of tephra 330 shards within a 1 cm³ sample was counted under light microscopy at 100× magnification. No 331 basaltic shards were encountered in the samples. 332

333

Peat samples from depths of peak shard concentration were selected for subsequent geochemical analysis. Approximately 5cm^3 of peat was acid digested (H₂SO₄ and HNO₃) following standard procedures (Dugmore et al., 1992, Pilcher and Hall, 1992) and density separation was undertaken as before. The samples were sieved through a 10µm mesh and washed with deionised water, before

being centrifuged to concentrate the tephra shards. The tephra were then mounted onto glass slides,

339 which were polished using 0.25-μm diamond paste, before being carbon coated (Swindles et al.,

340 2010).

341

Geochemical analysis was carried out at the NERC Tephra Analytical Unit at the University of 342 343 Edinburgh. A CAMECA SX100 electron microprobe with a beam current of 2nA and diameter of 5µm was used. The microprobe was calibrated using Lipari obsidian and synthetic oxides with X-344 PHI correction, undertaken on PeakSight version 4.0 software. Energy-dispersive spectroscopy 345 (EDS) using the Princeton Gamma Tech Spirit EDS system was used to aid in the detection of 346 tephra shards. Once a shard was located, the beam was moved to a flat section of the shard 347 348 (avoiding vesicles) for wavelength-dispersive spectroscopy and all analyses with a value of >95 349 wt% were logged.

350

It has been suggested that acid digestion can alter the geochemistry of tephra shards (Blockley et al., 2005). However, the use of this method allows 'like-with-like' comparisons with type data which have been prepared in this way (e.g. Dugmore et al., 1992). The case for chemical alteration by acid digestion has also been refuted in subsequent studies (Roland et al., 2015; Watson et al., 2016). Biplots were used to compare our data to those on Tephrabase (Newton et al., 2007), with the identified tephra layers summarised in Table 4.

357

358 4.4 Spheroidal carbonaceous particles

Spheroidal carbonaceous particles (SCPs) are formed following the high-temperature combustion of fossil fuels and are predominately composed of elemental carbon. SCPs are associated with industrial activities that occurred from the mid-19th century onwards, and so the presence of SCPs within a deposit can therefore be used to indicate a post-AD1850 date for the layer (Rose, 1994;

363 Swindles, 2010; Swindles et al., 2015). The SCPs were extracted from the peat cores using the

364 methodology defined by Swindles (2010).

365

366 4.5. Data analysis

The chronological information from the Upper House, Underhoull was investigated within a 367 Bayesian framework, which utilises prior information to interrogate and refine the scientific dates 368 369 (Buck et al., 1991; 1994). All the chronological modelling was undertaken using OxCal v4.3 (Bronk Ramsey, 2012). The samples selected have been discussed above, and were recovered from 370 371 a number of discrete and secure contexts. Primary contexts were prioritised, such as hearth deposits, with short-lived species of charred and waterlogged plant remains preferred so as to avoid the 'old-372 373 wood-effect'. Radiocarbon ages were all calibrated using the international agreed northern 374 hemisphere calibration curve (IntCal13) of Reimer et al. (2013). Archaeomagnetic dates were incorporated into the model as prior probabilities, which were derived from their individual 375 calibrations using the Rendate software and the UK secular variation calibration dataset (Batt et al., 376 2017). The dates of tephra layers were incorporated as normal probability distributions using a 377 mean and standard deviation with the C Date parameter in OxCal. 378

379

Inclusion of stratigraphic information can refine the resulting age ranges through the production of posterior density estimates but it is important to note that the resulting age ranges are the result of a statistical model imposed on the data and the interpretation of the stratigraphy within the field. Any new information, such as additional dating evidence or a different model being imposed on the data, will produce different posterior density estimates. The *modelled estimates* are given in *italics* when discussed within the text to differentiate them from the raw calibrated age ranges.

386

387

388

389 5. Results

The dates produced for the Upper House site have been summarised in Tables 2-4. A summary of each of the results of the dating programme are provided in this section before the chronology of the site is discussed.

393

394 5.1 ¹⁴C dating

A total of 22 AMS radiocarbon dates were produced for the Upper House, Underhoull, with the majority sampling either the humin or humic acid fractions extracted from the peat (owing to lack of suitable macrofossils). An assessment of the dates obtained from the peat demonstrated that several of the radiocarbon dates (humin fractions) were not in chronological order and appeared to be too old for their stratigraphic position when compared to the tephra dates (Table 2; Figure 4).

400

Two radiocarbon dates were produced on the same sample of peat: SUERC-33130 and SUERC-401 34106 sampled the humic acid fraction and humin fractions respectively, which allowed the dates 402 produced on different fractions of the same sample to be directly compared. It was clear that 403 SUERC-34106 (humin fraction) gave an older age estimate than SUERC-33130 (humic acid 404 fraction; see Table 2), which may be due to the peat formation processes (Brock et al., 2011). The 405 discrepancy noted between the fractions radiocarbon dated may relate to the microscopic charcoal 406 407 present throughout the peat profiles of the 'SCHO' core (Edwards et al., 2013, Fig 4.6b) and the 408 'UHM' core (Figure 5). The small size of the fragments of charcoal made it impossible to identify the species, which may have provided information about the origin of the material and whether the 409 410 charcoal related to local species, bog- or drift wood. In situations where wood is scarce, such as the 411 Northern Isles, the use of recycled wood, bog- or drift wood can result in 'old' material becoming 412 incorporated into the archaeological record (Schiffer, 1986). It was therefore also possible that the discrepancy noted in the dates may have resulted from the presence of residual charcoal within the 413

414 humin fraction. The resulting radiocarbon age would therefore lie between the age of the charcoal

415 present and the peat, rather than giving a date for the accumulation of the peat.

416

The presence of the peat accumulations so close to a domestic structure would have provided regular opportunities for burnt material to have become incorporated into the peat, for example, from the burning of bog- or drift wood or 'old' peat as a fuel source within the structure itself or in the industrial feature to the north of the site. In addition, burnt material may have been carried to site as hill-wash, or from the land clearance activities to create grazing land for sheep and cattle.

422

423 5.2 Archaeomagnetic dating

424 A total of three features were sampled for archaeomagnetic dating, two of which related to hearths located in the S and SW annexes (contexts [166] and [214 respectively) and one to a possible 425 industrial feature (context [093]) to the north of the longhouse. Context [093] butted against the 426 outer wall of the longhouse and was therefore created at a later stage. All of the sampled features 427 recorded remanent magnetisation that was considered stable, with the directions being generally 428 well grouped, as demonstrated by small alpha-95 values (Table 3). An assessment of the samples 429 demonstrated that the magnetisation was stable, but there were a small number of outliers. These 430 samples may have been disturbed in antiquity: all of the anomalous samples were on the edge of the 431 432 features, the area that is vulnerable to slumping or being trampled on by activity within the 433 structure.

434

The calibrated archaeomagnetic dates (Batt et al., 2017) suggest two different phases of activity. The feature sampled in the SW Annexe represented the earliest area of burning sampled at Underhoull, with a date of AD 800–1080 (AM151). The calibrated date is broad due to slow changes in the geomagnetic field between AD 900–1100, limiting the precision available within this period. A radiocarbon date on material interpreted as the occupation deposits associated with the

hearth (SUERC-34111), produced a calibrated date of *cal*. AD 1045-1265, which suggests that the
latter part of the archaeomagnetic range may better represent the 'true' age of the feature, and
placing the last use to the 11th century AD at the earliest.

443

The feature sampled by AM149/AM150 gave a later date than AM151, AD 1240–1310, suggesting that the activity in the S Annexe continued after the SW Annexe went out of use. This date is supported by a radiocarbon date (SUERC-34108) of *cal.* AD 1045–1260 produced on charred grains recovered from the hearth. A comparison of these two dates suggests that the later part of the radiocarbon range may represent the 'true' age of the feature, indicating that the hearth in the S Annexe was in use in the 13th century, but potentially earlier if the full range of the radiocarbon date is considered.

451

The archaeomagnetic date for the industrial feature (AM148), AD 1280–1430, indicates that it could have been in use at the same time as the hearth in the S Annexe but it is likely to represent the last area of burning on the site. This is supported by the archaeological evidence which suggests that activity at the Upper House may have continued as late as the early 16th century, to the very end of the Late Norse period and in to the Medieval period.

457

458 5.3 Tephra and SCPs

Several cryptotephra layers were identified in the peat profiles (Figure 6, Supplementary file 1). The identification of tephra layers, through analysis of major element oxides, is illustrated through biplots shown in Figure 7. The tephras discovered include the Hekla-Selsund (Kebister) tephra in the SCHO profile that has been dated to 1800–1750 *cal*. BC by Wastegård et al. (2008). In addition, the historically dated Hekla-1104 and Hekla-1158 tephras (Thórarinsson, 1967) were identified in UHM and Hekla 1158 was identified in SF238-239. A mixed tephra layer was found between 32-42 cm in the SCHO profile that could not be assigned to a specific eruption (see Swindles et al., 2013).

The Hekla-1158 tephra provides a precise way of correlating the UHM and SF238-239 peat sections, with the Hekla-Selsund tephra dating the start of peat formation at the site. SCPs were found in the uppermost 3 cm of the UHM and SCHO profiles indicating a post 19th-century date.

469

470 5.4 Underhoull longhouse chronological model

471 A Bayesian approach was taken to the development of a chronological framework for the peat 472 accumulations and longhouse settlement at Underhoull (Supplementary file 2). In addition to the stratigraphic relationships of the accumulations and the archaeological features, additional 473 474 information, such as the pollen recorded with the peat deposits, was used to 'tie' the three peat sequences together. Edwards et al. (2013) have noted that the sediment accumulation rate may have 475 476 varied over time. It could have been slower following the accumulation of context [055], and a change in land use (or putative phase of abandonment) between the Iron Age and Norse period, as 477 indicated by the reduction in the grassland and the increase in heath between contexts [041] and 478 [026] (Edwards et al., 2013). 479

480

A single chronological model was constructed that allowed for the evaluation and interpretation of 481 both the longhouse settlement and its temporal relationship with the surrounding peatland. The 482 broad chronological narrative sees a period of peat formation at the site (contexts [055] and [041]), 483 484 with longhouse walls constructed overtop of [041]. Peat continued to accumulate (context [026]), 485 eventually sealing the walls of the longhouse structure. At some point during the use of the longhouse, a paved surface was laid over context [026], which itself formed over a cleared area of 486 487 bedrock. The chronological model is given in the form of a simplified Harris matrix (Figure 8), which can be related directly to the OxCal model and the description that follows. 488

489

490 The chronological model is separated into two main sequences. The first includes the peat 491 formation prior to the longhouse construction (peat sequences SCHO and SF238/239), as well the

492 archaeological activity associated with the longhouse. The second sequence focuses on the 493 beginning of the formation of the upper layers of peat (context [026]) that eventually cover the 494 longhouse and the construction of the paved surface. Tephra deposits from the Hekla eruptions of 495 AD 1104 and AD 1158 occur within context [026].

496

497 The first sequence begins with a date (SUERC-24946) on the humic acid fraction of a sample of 498 peat from the base of context [055]. Within [055] and overlying this peat sample was a layer of tephra from the Hekla-Selsund eruption. The previous wiggle-match date of 1800-1750 cal. BC 499 500 (Wastegård et al., 2008) is included in this model as a C Date of 1775 ±25 years BC. Above the tephra, and still within [055], a second radiocarbon result is available (SUERC-33130) on the humic 501 502 acid fraction of a sample of peat. The two peat samples are separated by only approximately 2 cm within the SCHO sequence. [055] transitions into context [041] and the humic acid fraction was 503 dated (SUERC-33129) on a sample of peat from near the base of the layer in sequence SCHO. A 504 second sample of peat, from sequence SF238/239, had its humic acid fraction dated (SUERC-505 33131). Although the relative depths would suggest SUERC-33129 is earlier than SUERC-33131, 506 because the two results are from different peat sequences they have been placed in an unordered 507 group. The longhouse was constructed on top of [041], and since it is impossible to know what, if 508 any, peat was removed during the construction, the model separates the pre-longhouse peat 509 510 sequence from the dating associated with the longhouse activity, while respecting the relative order 511 of the two groups of dates. None of the scientific dates from the structure are stratigraphically related to one another and are modelled as part of a single phase of activity that post-dates the 512 513 underlying peat. There are five radiocarbon dates (SUERC-24945, -34108, and -34111-3) on individual charred barley grains recovered in various contexts from the main structure, the two 514 515 annexes, and the yard. Furthermore, there are three archaeomagnetic dates from two hearths (AM149/150 and AM151) associated with the longhouse and an area of burning north of the house 516

517 (AM148). This portion of the model also includes a cross-reference to a date estimate for the laying

518 of the paved surface derived from the dating in the second sequence.

519

The second sequence is derived primarily from peat sequence UHM, which comprises dating 520 521 evidence from throughout context [026]. Although the humin fractions from the peat in [026] were 522 deemed unreliable due to the potential inclusion of allochthonous carbon, a sample of identifiable 523 Sphagnum leaves and stems (Figure 5) was collected and dated (SUERC-24946) from 8 cm above a 524 paving stone. Two tephra dates are available from levels above this radiocarbon sample, from 525 Hekla-1104 and Hekla-1158. It is important to note that the exceptional precision recorded for two of the tephra layers (Hekla-1104 and Hekla-1158) is due to the fact that both of these eruptions 526 527 occurred within historical time periods and so the specific date of the eruption is known. At some point after [026] began forming, but before the Hekla-1104 eruption, stone paving [029] was laid, 528 which butted against the outer wall face of the longhouse. As stated above, this sequence is linked 529 to the primary longhouse sequence through the dating estimate for the laying of the stone paving. 530

531

The chronological model has good agreement between the different dating techniques and the 532 observed stratigraphic relationships (Amodel=82). Although relatively imprecise, the dating 533 evidence estimates that peat formation began by 2795-1770 cal. BC (95% probability; Figure 9; 534 535 start: peat formation), and probably by 2135-1795 cal. BC (68% probability). The transition in the 536 peat sequence from [055] to [041], which the pollen indicated shows a sharp change from heath to grazing land, occurred in 675 cal. BC-cal. AD 235 (95% probability; Figure 9; transition 537 538 [055]/[041]), and probably in 495 cal. BC-cal. AD 130 (68% probability). A considerable amount of time passed between the start of agricultural improvement in the area and the construction of the 539 540 longhouse, with the model estimating the span covering 670-1625 years (95% probability; Figure 10; span: start [041] and longhouse construction), and probably 825-1425 years (68% probability). 541 542 The Underhoull longhouse was constructed in cal. AD 805-1050 (95% probability; Figure 9; start:

Underhoull longhouse), and probably in cal. AD 880–1000 (68% probability). The longhouse was
in use for 225–630 years (95% probability; Figure 10; span: Underhoull longhouse), and probably
295–485 years (68% probability). Activity within the house ended in cal. AD 1230–1495 (95%
probability; Figure 10; end: Underhoull longhouse), and probably in cal. AD 1260–1380 (68%
probability).

548

The modelling estimates the stone paving was laid in *cal. AD 1035–1105* (95% probability; Figure 9; *Paved surface laid*), and probably in *cal. AD 1070–1105* (68% probability). This would indicate that 25–280 years (95% probability; Figure 10; *span: longhouse construction and paving laid*), and probably 80–205 years (68% probability), passed between the initial construction of the longhouse and the laying of the paved surface.

554

555 6. Discussion

556

557 6.1 Before the Norse occupation of the site

The dates show that peat began to accumulate in the early second millennium BC, or during the 558 beginning of the Early-Middle Bronze Age. This peat initiation may have been triggered by climate 559 change (e.g. Morris et al., 2018), but recent studies have warned against this interpretation. For 560 561 example, Lawson et al. (2007) assessed the timing of peat formation in the Faroe Islands, which occurred before any known human settlement of the archipelago, and concluded that no strong 562 evidence could be found to suggest that climate change influenced the timing of peat initiation. Peat 563 564 formation in the Shetland Isles may be driven by similar processes to those in the Faroe Islands, but despite some similarities in terms of climate and biota, one crucial factor is the very different 565 566 history of human settlement.

567

The dating evidence reported here for a discontinuity in the peat between contexts [055] and [041] is consistent with sharp changes in the pollen stratigraphy reported by Edwards et al. (2013) indicative of a change in the landscape from heath to pasture. This event probably occurred between *495 cal. BC–cal. AD 130* (68% probability; Figure 9), placing it firmly within the Iron Age. It is possible that the identified landscape changes identified around Underhoull may be relate to the construction and use of the nearby broch tower.

574

575 6.2 The construction of the longhouse

576 We estimate that the longhouse was constructed in cal. AD 880-1000 (68% probability; Figure 9). This compares to the late 7th to late 9th century dates for the establishment of the early Viking 577 occupation of Norwick (Ballin Smith, 2007) and the probable 9th to 10th century earliest phase of 578 the longhouse at Belmont (Larsen et al., 2013). The 9th century dates for these longhouses are 579 contemporaneous with the settlement of Iceland (Schmid et al., 2017) and while this is consistent 580 with the possibility that Shetland could have played an important part in the westward expansion of 581 the Norse, it also highlights the rapid extension of Norse settlement westwards from Norway in the 582 9th -10th centuries. 583

584

585 6.3 The occupation of the structure

The end of the longhouse occupation at Underhoull occurred between *cal. AD 1260-1380* (68% probability; Figure 9). These dates also compare well with those produced for other longhouse sites in Unst, where the primary occupations of the longhouses at Hamar and Belmont were placed to the 11th-13th centuries AD (Larsen et al., 2013; Bond et al., 2013). However, it is important to note that the chronological evidence from Hamar and Belmont has not yet been fully investigated and so greater resolution may be available in the future.

592

593 The dating evidence and modelled estimates produced from the Upper House structure appear to fit within a developing pattern in Shetland and the wider region of Atlantic Scotland, for extensive 594 settlement late in 9th and through the 10th centuries AD. Conventionally, sites such as the Upper 595 House, Underhoull and Hamar have been interpreted as representing short-lived, single-phase 596 settlements based on a survey of the visible structural remains and surface features. However, now 597 that a number of these structures been excavated, there is evidence the structures underwent several 598 599 phases of use and modification over a prolonged time period. This included the division of the 600 structures into separate rooms, the addition of annexes, and use through to the end of the Late Norse 601 period (Bond et al., 2013; Larsen et al., 2013). Collectively, evidence produced from the Upper House, Underhoull combined with data from recently excavated sites of Hamar and Belmont 602 603 indicates that established ideas about the nature and use of such sites needs reassessment, in the light of longer, more complex and nuanced stories of settlement. 604

605

606 6.4. The abandonment of the structure and peat development

Following the production of posterior density estimates, dates associated with the use of the 607 structure, place occupation within the cal. 10th-13th centuries AD. The youngest features recorded 608 on the site relate to a possible industrial area associated with large quantities of fuel-ash slag and an 609 area of burning (context [093]). An archaeomagnetic date of AD 1280-1430 (AM148) was 610 611 produced on the area of burning. This suggests that activities at the site continued through the 13th-612 15th centuries, placing them between the very end of the Late Norse period and into the Medieval period. This correlates well with other examples of other well-dated Norse settlements in the 613 614 Northern and Western Isles of Scotland, such as Bornais (Sharples, 2005), Cille Pheadair (Sharples et al., 2004), and Pool (Hunter, 2007). Unfortunately, no material suitable for dating was recovered 615 616 from the final phase of occupation at Hamar (Phase 5), although an archaeomagnetic date of AD 1100-1330 (AM154) produced for a hearth assigned to the Phase 3 occupation can be used to 617 provide a terminus post quem for the final phase of activity (Bond et al., 2013). The dating evidence 618

from these sites may place their abandonment into a period of climate change, increased winter storminess (Dugmore et al., 2009), and "famine, war, and plague" that affected Atlantic Europe from the 14th century (McGovern, 2000; Dugmore et al., 2007). It is unclear at present why the Upper House site was abandoned and whether this related to environmental or economic factors that resulted in a change in the activities carried out in the area or a decline in the status of the site.

624

625 The excavation of the Upper House, Underhoull shows that the occupants built the longhouse in an 626 area where peat was already accumulating, which raises the possibility that continued peat growth 627 contributed to the abandonment of the site. The dates obtained for the construction of the paved surface over the peat (context [029]), could be interpreted as an attempt by the occupants to manage 628 629 the site and maintain a dry and stable area around the longhouse despite the close proximity to the peat. A modelled estimate of cal. AD 1070-1105 (68% probability; Figure 9) obtained for the paved 630 surface, places its construction in the 11th century AD at the earliest, but possibly as late as the early 631 12th century. When this is compared to the estimates obtained for the construction of the longhouse, 632 it is possible to argue that the features were contemporary as the modelled estimates overlap, but it 633 is also possible that the paving related to a later phase of activity. This uncertainty illustrates the 634 635 challenges of site interpretation, even in the context of high resolution, multi-method chronology.

636

637 When the occupation of the structure is compared to the dates of peat accumulation two of the deposits sampled from within the structure (SUERC-24945 and SUERC-34113) and the possible 638 industrial feature (AM148) are found to be younger than the Hekla-1158 tephra recorded in the peat 639 640 located 7 cm above the paved surface to the south of the structure. Two of these dates (SUERC-24945 and AM148) sampled primary, in situ contexts and indicate that the occupation of the 641 642 longhouse and the activity on the site continued even when the peat had encroached on the structure and paved surface. This was unexpected and suggests that the abandonment of the site cannot be 643 attributed solely to the growth of peat on the site. This illustrates how well-constrained chronologies 644

645 demand more nuanced explanations for settlement change (e.g. Dugmore et al., 2012) than the

646 mono-causal drivers that are often invoked.

647

648 7. Conclusions

The development of the chronology for the Upper House site at Underhoull demonstrates the 649 strength of using a multi-method approach including cryptotephrochronology; the different dating 650 651 techniques sampled different materials and targeted different dated events, which provided a more 652 complete assessment of the chronology. It was noted that the dates produced on the peat humin 653 fraction appeared to sample residual material. It can be concluded that the anthropogenic activity in the area adjacent to the peat has encouraged the incorporation of residual material, such as 'old' 654 655 wood or peat into the peat following their use as a fuel source on the site. This has complicated the determination of the chronology and acts as a warning to other studies that aim to produce dates on 656 the humin fraction of peat sampled so close to settlement/activity sites. Hand-picked plant 657 macrofossils (e.g. Sphagnum remains), when present, are best for reliable dates from peats from 658 archaeological contexts. We found that ¹⁴C dates on charred barley grains correlate well with 659 archaeomagnetic dates on hearths as both reflect the latest use of the feature. 660

661

The accurate and precise dating of the Upper House site, Underhoull required the detailed 662 663 consideration of the contexts, the stratigraphy, and the scientific dates. The integration of specialists 664 (dating and environmental) both in the planning stages of the project, and in the field, aided the development of the chronology. In addition, the assessment of dates in sequence further enhanced 665 666 the development of a robust chronology, combining the strengths of each method, compensating for their weaknesses and identifying any anomalous dates. One of the greatest advantages of this 667 668 approach was the ability to produce modelled estimates for key events in the life of the site that could not be directly dated, such as the construction of the longhouse and the truncation events 669 recorded within the peat that were indicative of the rearrangement of the landscape. The best results 670

were achieved when several dates from a sequence could be assessed, allowing the internal
consistency of the dates from each context to be determined as well as using the stratigraphic
relationships of the samples to refine the age ranges further.

674

The construction of the longhouse c. cal. AD 880-1000 lies between the very first phases of the 675 settlement of Iceland and the settlement of Greenland, indicating that the Norse were consolidating 676 677 settlement in the eastern North Atlantic region while simultaneously extending westward. The abandonment of the site echoes the demise of Norse settlement in Greenland (e.g. Dugmore et al., 678 679 2012). This reinforces the idea that settlement contraction was not happening simply at the margins of European settlement, but instead was more widespread, for example in Atlantic Scotland and the 680 681 more-marginal areas of Iceland (Vésteinsson et al., 2014). Multi-method chronologies combined in Bayesian analysis offer exciting opportunities to realise the potential of archaeology as Distributed 682 Long-term Observing Networks of the Past (DONOP - Hambrecht et al., 2018), to tackle Grand 683 Challenge agendas in archaeology (Kintigh et al., 2014), and also provide detailed and extensive 684 data on the changing lived environment of wide relevance in Quaternary science. 685

686

687 8. Acknowledgements

This research was supported by research grants to GTS from the Natural Environmental Research 688 689 Council UK for ¹⁴C dating (Allocations: 1493.0910, 1466.0410) and geochemical analysis of 690 tephras at Edinburgh University (Allocation: TAU58/1109). We thank the Shetland Amenity Trust for funding the excavation and post-excavation phases of the work (HLF-funded Viking Unst 691 692 Project). JB and MC acknowledge an IPY grant from the National Science Foundation (IPY 'Long Term Human Ecodynamics in the Norse North Atlantic: Cases of Sustainability, Survival and 693 694 Collapse', Award number 0732327, PI Tom McGovern). CMB acknowledges a British Academy Mid-Career Fellowship (MD120020). EW acknowledges NERC Doctoral Training Grant 695 696 NE/K500847/1.We thank Kevin Edwards and Ed Schofield for sampling one of the peat profiles.

We would like to thank John Craven and Chris Hayward (University of Edinburgh) for their advice on geochemical analysis of tephras. We thank Daniel Bashford for assistance with cartography. We thank Stephen Dockrill for co-directing the excavation at Underhoull and the excavation team from the University of Bradford, City University of New York, University of Glasgow, University of Groningen, and local volunteers from the Unst Heritage Group.

702

703 References

- Arge, S.V., Sveinbjanarðottir, G., Edwards, K.J., Buckland, P.C., 2005. Viking and Medieval
 settlement in the Faroe Islands: people, place and environment. The Holocene 33, 597-620.
- Ascough, P.A., Cook, G.T., Dugmore, A.J., Scott, E.M., 2007. The North Atlantic marine reservoir
 effect in the Early Holocene: Implications for defining and understanding MRE values.
- 708Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 259, 438-447.
- Ashmore, P.J., 1999. Radiocarbon dating: avoiding errors by avoiding mixed samples. Antiquity 73,
 124-130.
- Ballin Smith, B., 2007. Norwick: Shetland's first Viking settlement? In: Ballin Smith, B., Williams,
 G. (Eds.), West Over Sea: Studies in Scandinavian Sea-borne Expansion and Settlement
 Before 1300. Brill, Leiden, pp. 285-298.
- Barrett, J., Beukens, R., Simpson, I., Ashmore, P., Poaps, S., Huntley, J., 2000. What was the
 Viking Age and when did it happen? A view from Orkney. Norwegian Archaeological
 Review 33, 1-39.
- Batey, C.E., Sheehan, J., 2000. Viking expansion and cultural blending in Britain and Ireland. In:
 Fitzhugh, W.W., Ward, E.I. (Eds.), Vikings. The North Atlantic Saga. Smithsonian Books,
 Washington, pp. 127-141.
- 720 Batt, C.M., Brown, M., Clelland, S.J, Korte, M., Linford, P., Outram, Z., 2017. Advances in
- archaeomagnetic dating in Britain: new data, new approaches and a new calibration curve.Journal of Archaeological Science 85, 66-82.

723 Beck, M.E., 1983. Comment on: 'Determination of the angle of a Fisher distribution which will be exceeded with a given probability' by P.L. McFadden. Geophysics Journal of the Royal 724 725 Astronomical Society 73, 847-849. Bennett, K.D., Boreham, S., Sharp, M.J., Switsur, V.R., 1992. Holocene history of environment, 726 727 vegetation and human settlement on Catta Ness, Lunnasting, Shetland. Journal of Ecology 728 80, 173-241. 729 Bigelow, G.F., 1985. Sandwick, Unst and Late Norse Shetland Economy. In: Smith, B. (Ed.), Shetland Archaeology: New Work in Shetland in the 1970s. Shetland Times Ltd, Lerwick, 730 731 pp. 95-127. Blockley, S.P.E., Pyne-O'Donnell, S.D.F., Lowe, J.J., Matthews, I.P., Stone, A., Pollard, A.M., 732 733 Turney, C.S.M., Molyneux, E.G., 2005. A new and less destructive laboratory procedure for the physical separation of distal glass tephra shards from sediments. Quaternary Science 734 Reviews 24, 1952-1960. 735 Bond, J.M., Dockrill, S.J., Outram, Z., Batey, C.E., Summers, J., Friel, R., Brown, L.D., Campbell, 736 E., Cussans, J., Cook, G.T., Legg, R., Marshall, W., McDonnell, J.G., Mustchin, A., Church, 737 M.J., Hamlet, L.E., Simpson, I.A., 2013. Excavations at Hamar and Underhoull. In: Turner, 738 V.E., Bond, J.M., Larsen, A.C. (Eds.), Viking Unst: Viking Settlement in the Extreme North 739 of Britain. Shetland Heritage Publications, Lerwick, pp. 123-179. 740 Brock, F., Lee, S., Housley, R.A., Bronk Ramsey, C., 2011. Variation in the radiocarbon age of 741 different fractions of peat: a case study from Ahrenshöft, northern Germany. Quaternary 742 Geochronology 6, 550-555. 743 744 Bronk Ramsey, C., 2012. OxCal 4.1 manual. http://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/oxcalhelp/hlp_contents.html. Buck, C.E., Christen, J.A., Kenworthy, J.B., Litton, C.D., 1994. Estimating the duration of 745 archaeological activity using ¹⁴C determinations. Oxford Journal of Archaeology 3, 229-746 240. 747

- 748 Buck, C.E., Kenworthy, J.B., Litton, C.D., Smith, A.F.M., 1991. Combining archaeological and
- radiocarbon information: a Bayesian approach to calibration. Antiquity 65, 808-821.
- 750 Church, M.J., Arge, S.V., Brewington, S., McGovern, T.H., Woollett, J., Perdikaris, S., Lawson,
- 751 I.T., Cook, G.T., Amundsen, C., Harrison, R., Krivogorskaya, K., Dunbar, E., 2005. Puffins,
- Pigs, cod, and barley: Palaeoeconomy at UndirJunkarinsfløtti, Sandoy, Faroe Islands.
 Environmental Archaeology 10, 179-197.
- 754 Church, M.J., Dugmore, A.J., Mairs, K.-A., Millard, A., Cook, G.T., Sveinbjarnardóttir, G.,
- Ascough, P.L., Newton, A.J., Roucoux, K., 2007. Charcoal production during the Norse and
 early Medieval periods in Eyjafjallahreppur, Southern Iceland. Radiocarbon 49, 659-672.
- Clark, A.J., Tarling, D.H., Noel, M., 1988. Developments in Archaeomagnetic dating in Britain.
 Journal of Archaeological Sciences 15, 645-667.
- 759 Davies, S.M., 2015. Cryptotephras: the revolution in correlation and precision dating. Journal of
- 760 Quaternary Science. 30, 114-130.
- de Vleeschouwer, F., Chambers, F.M., Swindles, G.T., 2010. Coring and sub-sampling of peatlands
 for palaeoenvironmental research. Mires and Peat 7, 1-10.
- 763 Dockrill, S.J., Bond, J.M., Brown, L.D., Turner, V.E., Bashford, D., Cussans, J.E., Nicholson, R.A.
- 2010. (Eds.) Excavations at Old Scatness, Shetland Volume 1: The Pictish Village and
 Viking Settlement.. Shetland Heritage Publications, Lerwick.
- 766 Dockrill, S.J., Outram, Z., Batt, C.M., 2006. Time and place: a new chronology for the origin of the
- broch based on the scientific dating programme at the Old Scatness Broch, Shetland.
 Proceedings of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland 136, 89-110.
- Dugmore, A., 1989. Icelandic volcanic ash in Scotland. Scottish Geographical Magazine 105, 168172.
- 771 Dugmore, A.J. 1991. Tephrochronology and U.K. Archaeology. In: Budd, P., Chapman, B.,
- Jackson, C., Janaway, R., Ottaway, B. (Eds.) Archaeological Sciences, Oxbow Books,
 Oxford, pp. 242-250.

- Dugmore, A.J., Church, M.J., Buckland, P.C., Edwards, K.J., Lawson, I., McGovern, T.H.,
 Panagiotakopulu, E., Simpson, I., Skidmore, P., Sveinbjanarðottir, G., 2005. The Norse
 landnám on the North Atlantic islands: an environmental impact assessment. Polar Record
 41, 21-37.
- Dugmore, A.J., Cook, G.T., Shore, J.S., Newton, A.J., Edwards, K.J., Larsen, G., 1995a.
 Radiocarbon dating tephra layers in Britain and Iceland. Radiocarbon 37, 379-388.
- 780 Dugmore, A.J., Keller, C., McGovern, T.H., 2007. Norse Greenland settlement: reflections on
- climate change, trade, and the contrasting fates of human settlement in the North Atlanticislands. Arctic Anthropology 44, 12-36.
- Dugmore, A.J., Keller, C., McGovern, T.H., Casely, A.F., Smiarowski, K., 2009. Norse Greenland
 settlement and limits to adaptation. In: Adger, W.N., Lorenzoni, I., O'Brien, I. (Eds.),
 Adapting to Climate Change: Thresholds, Values, Governance. Cambridge University Press,
 Cambridge, 96-113.
- Dugmore, A.J., Larsen, G.R. and Newton, A.J., 1995b. Seven tephra isochrones in Scotland. The
 Holocene 5, 257-266.
- Dugmore, A.J., McGovern, T.H., Vésteinsson, O., Arneborg, J., Streeter, R., Keller, C., 2012. 789 Cultural adaptation, compounding vulnerabilities and conjunctures in Norse Greenland. 790 Proceedings of the National Academy 109, 3658-3663. 791 of Sciences 792 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1115292109
- Dugmore, A.J., Newton, A.J., Sugden, D.E., Larsen, G., 1992. Geochemical stability of fine grained silicic Holocene tephra in Iceland and Scotland. Journal of Quaternary Science 7,
 173-183.
- 796 Dyer, C., Outram, J., Turner, V.E., 2013. Gazetteer of longhouses in Unst. In: Turner, V.E., Bond,
- J.M., Larsen, A.C. (Eds.), Viking Unst: Viking Settlement in the Extreme North of Britain.
 Shetland Heritage Publications, Lerwick, pp. 109-121.

- Edwards, K.J., Schofield, J.E., Swindles, G.T. 2013. Paleoenvironmental investigations of mire
 deposits from Unst. In: Bond, J.M., Turner, V.E., Larsen, A.-C. (Eds.), Viking Unst: Viking
 Settlement in the Extreme North of Britain. Shetland Heritage Publications, Lerwick, pp. 7590.
- Fisher, R.A., 1953. Dispersion on a sphere. Proceedings of the Royal Society A217, 295-305.
- Fossier, R., 1999. Rural economy and country life. In: Reuter, T. (Ed.), The New Cambridge
 Medieval History: Volume III c.900-1024. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 2763.
- Frei, K.M., Coutu, A.N., Smiarowski, K., Harrison, R., Madsen, C.K., Arneborg, J., Frei, R.,
 Guðmundsson, G., Sindbæk, S.M., Woollett, J., Hartman, S., 2015. Was it for walrus?
 Viking Age settlement and medieval walrus ivory trade in Iceland and Greenland. World
 Archaeology 47, 439-466.
- Goosse, H., Guiot, J., Mann, M.E., Dubinkina, S. and Sallaz-Damaz, Y., 2012. The medieval
 climate anomaly in Europe: Comparison of the summer and annual mean signals in two
 reconstructions and in simulations with data assimilation. Global and Planetary Change 84,
 35-47.
- 815 Graham-Campbell, J., Batey, C.E., 1998. Vikings in Scotland: An Archaeological Survey.
 816 Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh.
- 817 Grönvold, K., Óskarsson, K., Johnsen, S.J., Clausen, H.B., Hammer, C.U., Bond, G., Bard, E.,
- 818 1995. Ash layers from Iceland in the Greenland GRIP ice core correlated with oceanic and
 819 land sediments. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 135, 149-155.
 820 http://dx.doi.org/10.1191/095968399669624108
- Gulliver, P., 2011. Natural Environment Research Council (Radiocarbon Facility) Radiocarbon
 Analysis Report (Allocation Number 1466.0410).
- 823 Hall, V.A., Pilcher, J.R., 2002. Late-Quaternary Icelandic tephras in Ireland and Great Britain:
- detection, characterisation and usefulness. The Holocene 12, 223-230.

- 825 Hambrecht, G., Anderung, C., Brewington, S., Dugmore, A., Edvardsson, R., Feeley, F., Gibbons,
- 826 K., Harrison, R., Hicks, M., Jackson, R., Ólafsdóttir, G.Á., 2018. Archaeological sites as
- B27 Distributed Long-term Observing Networks of the Past (DONOP). Quaternary International.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2018.04.016. In Press.
- 829 Hamilton, J.R.C., 1956. Excavations at Jarlshof, Shetland. H.M.S.O, Edinburgh.
- Harris, D.R., 1987. The impact on archaeology of radiocarbon dating by accelerator mass
 spectrometry. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London (Series A) 323,
- 832 23-43.
- Hunter, J., 2007. Dating. In: Hunter, J., Bond, J.M., Smith, A.S. (Eds), Investigations in Sanday,
 Orkney. Vol 1: Excavations at Pool, Sanday. A Multi-Period Settlement from Neolithic to
 Late Norse Times. The Orcadian Ltd/Historic Scotland, Kirkwall, pp. 529-532.
- 836 Kintigh, K.W., Altschul, J.H., Beaudry, M.C., Drennan, R.D., Kinzig, A.P., Kohler, T.A., Limp,
- W.F., Maschner, H.D., Michener, W.K., Pauketat, T.R., Peregrine, P., 2014. Grand
 challenges for archaeology. American Antiquity 79, 5-24.
- Kirschvink, J.L., 1980. The least-squares line and plane and the analysis of palaeomagnetic data.
 Geophys. J. Int. 62, 699-718.
- Lane, C.S., Blockley, S.P.E., Lotter, A.F., Finsinger, W., Filippi, M.L., Matthews, I.P., 2012. A
 regional tephrostratigraphic framework for central and southern European climate archives
 during the Last Glacial to Interglacial transition: comparisons north and south of the Alps.
- 844 Quaternary Science Reviews 36, 50-58.
- Lane, C.S., Cullen, V.L., White, D., Bramham-Law, C.W.F., Smith, V.C., 2014. Cryptotephra as a
 dating and correlation tool in archaeology. Journal of Archaeological Science 42, 42-50.
- 847 Lange, R.C., Murphy, B.A., 1990. A discussion of the collection factors affecting the quality of
- 848 archaeomagnetic results. In: Eighmy, J.L., Sternberg, R.S. (Eds.), Archaeomagnetic Dating.
- 849 University of Arizona Press, Tucson, pp. 65-80.

- 850 Larsen, A.-C., Dyhrfjeld-Johnsen, M.D., Batt, C.M., Bond, J.M., Brown, L.D., Edwards, K.E., Hamlet, L.E., McDonnell, J.G., MacLeod Rivet, M., Outram, Z., Schofield, J.E., Summers, 851 J., Simpson, I.A., Steele, V.G., Turner, V.E., 2013. The excavation at Belmont, Wadbister, 852 Unst, Shetland. In: Turner, V.E., Bond, J.M., Larsen, A.-C., (Eds.), Viking Unst: Viking 853 Settlement in the Extreme North of Britain. Shetland Heritage Publications, Lerwick, pp. 854 181-216. 855 856 Lawson, I.T., Church, M.J., Edwards, K.J., Cook, G.T., Dugmore, A.J., 2007. Peat initiation in the 857 Faroe Islands: climate change, pedogenesis or human impact? Earth and Environmental 858 Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh 98, 15-28. Lawson, I.T., Church, M.J., McGovern, T.H., Arge, S.V., Woollett, J., Edwards, K.J., Gathorne-859 860 Hardy, J., Dugmore, A.J., Cook, G., Mairs, K.-A., Thomson, A.M., Sveinbjanarðottir, G., 2005. Historical ecology on Sandoy, Faroe Islands: palaeoenvironmental and archaeological 861 perspectives. Human Ecology 33, 651-684. 862 Linford, P., 2006. Archaeomagnetic Dating: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting 863 Archaeomagnetic Dates. English Heritage, Swindon. 864 McElhinny, M.W., McFadden, P.L., 2000. Palaeomagnetism: Continents and Oceans. London: 865 866 Academic Press. McFadden, P. L., Lowes, F.J., 1981. The discrimination of mean directions drawn from Fisher 867 868 distributions. Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astrological Society 67, 19-33. 869 McGovern, T.H., 2000. The demise of Norse Greenland. In: Fitzhugh, W.W., Ward, E.I. (Eds.), Vikings: the North Atlantic Saga. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, pp. 327-339. 870 871 Meng, Z., Noel, M., 1989. Archaeomagnetic evidence for the age and duration of firing of Medieval hearths from Coffee Yard, York. Geophysics Journal 97, 357-359. 872 873 Morris, P.J., Swindles, G.T., Valdes, P.J., Ivanovic, R.F., Gregoire, L.J., Smith, M.W., Tarasov, L., Haywood, A.M., Bacon, K.L., 2018. Global peatland initiation driven by regionally 874 875 asynchronous warming. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115, 4851-4856.
 - 34

- Nelson, M.C., Ingram, S.E., Dugmore, A.J., Streeter, R., Peeples, M.A., McGovern, T.H., Hegmon,
 M., Arneborg, J., Kintigh, K.W., Brewington, S., Spielmann, K.A., 2016. Climate
 challenges, vulnerabilities, and food security. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences 113, 298-303.
- Newton, A.J., Dugmore, A.J., Gittings, B.M., 2007. Tephrabase: tephrochronology and the
 development of a centralised European database. Journal of Quaternary Science 22, 737743.
- 883 Pálsson, H., Edwards, P., 1981. Orkneyinga Saga. Penguin Group, London.
- Pilcher, J.R., Hall, V.A., 1992. Towards a tephrochronology for the Holocene of the north of
 Ireland. The Holocene 2, 255-259.
- 886 Ritchie, A., 1996. Viking Scotland. BT Batsford Ltd/Historic Scotland, London.
- 887 Reimer, P.J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J.W., Blackwell, P.G., Ramsey, C.B., Buck, C.E., Cheng,
- 888 H., Edwards, R.L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P.M., Guilderson, T.P., Haflidason, H., Hajdas,
- 889 I., Hatté, C., Heaton, T.J., Hoffmann, D.L., Hogg, A.G., Hughen, K.A., Kaiser, K.F.,
- 890 Kromer, B., Manning, S.W., Niu, M., Reimer, R.W., Richards, D.A., Scott, E.M., Southon,
- J.R., Staff, R.A., Turney, C.S.M., van der Plicht, J., 2013. IntCal13 and Marine13
- Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curves 0–50,000 Years cal BP. Radiocarbon 55, 1869–1887.
- 893 Roland, T.P., Mackay, H., Hughes, P.D.M., 2015. Tephra analysis in ombrotrophic peatlands: A
- geochemical comparison of acid digestion and density separation techniques. Journal ofQuaternary Science 30, 3-8.
- Rose, N.L., 1994. A note on further refinements to a procedure for the extraction of carbonaceous
 flyash particles from sediments. Journal of Paleolimnology 11, 201–204.
- 898 Rydin, H., Jeglum, J., 2008. The Biology of Peatlands. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 899 Sagnotti, L., 2013. Demagnetization Analysis in Excel (DAIE). An open source workbook in Excel
- for viewing and analyzing demagnetization data from paleomagnetic discrete samples and u channels. Annals of Geophysics 56, 1-9.

- Sawyer, P., 2003. The Viking expansion. In: Helle, K. (Ed.), The Cambridge History of
 Scandinavia, Volume I: Prehistory to 1520. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp.
- 904 105-120.
- Schiffer, M.B., 1986. Radiocarbon dating and the "old wood" problem: the case of the Hohokam
 chronology. Journal of Archaeological Science 13, 13-30.
- 907 Schiffer, M.B., 1987. Formation Processes of the Archaeological Record. University of New
 908 Mexico Press, Albuquerque.
- Schmid, M.M., Dugmore, A.J., Vésteinsson, O., Newton, A.J., 2017. Tephra isochrons and
 chronologies of colonisation. Quaternary Geochronology 40, 56-66.
- Sharples, N., 2005. The end of the settlement on the machair. In: Sharples, N. (Ed.), A Norse
 Farmstead in the Outer Hebrides. Excavations at Mound 3, Bornais, South Uist. Oxbow,
 Oxford, pp. 195-197.
- 914 Sharples, N., Parker Pearson, M., Symonds, J., 2004. The archaeological landscape of South Uist.

In: Housely, R.A., Coles, G. (Eds.), Atlantic Connections and Adaptations: Economies,
Environments and Subsistence in Lands Bordering the North Atlantic. Oxbow, Oxford, pp.
28-47.

- Sigl, M., Winstrup, M., McConnell1, J.R., Welten, K.C., Plunkett, G., Ludlow, F., Büntgen, U.,
 Caffee, M., Chellman, N., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Kipfstuhl, S., Kostick, C., Maselli,
- 920 O.J., Mekhaldi, F., Mulvaney, R., Muscheler, R., Pasteris, D.R., Pilcher, J.R., Salzer, M.,
- Schüpbach, S., Steffensen, J.P., Vinther, B.M., Woodruff, T.E., 2015. Timing and climate
 forcing of volcanic eruptions for the past 2,500 years. Nature 523(7562), 543-562.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature14565.
- Slota Jr, P.J., Jull, A.J.T., Linick, T.W., Toolin, L.J., 1987. Preparation of small samples for ¹⁴C
 accelerator targets by catalytic reduction of CO. Radiocarbon 29, 303–306.
- Small A., 1966. Excavations at Underhoull, Unst, Shetland. Proceedings of the Society ofAntiquaries of Scotland 98, 225-248.

- 928 Stummann Hansen, S., 2000. Viking settlement in Shetland. Acta Archaeologica 71, 87-103.
- Swindles, G.T., 2010. Dating recent peat profiles using spheroidal carbonaceous particles. Mires
 and Peat 7, 1-5.
- 931 Swindles, G.T., De Vleeschouwer, F., Plunkett, G., 2010. Dating peat profiles using tephra:
 932 stratigraphy, geochemistry and chronology. Mires and Peat 7, 1-9.
- 933 Swindles, G.T., Galloway, J., Outram, Z., Turner, K., Schofield, J.E., Newton, A.J., Dugmore, A.J.,
- 934 Church, M.J., Watson, E.J., Batt, C., Bond, J., Edwards, K.J., Turner, V., Bashford, D.,
- 2013. Re-deposited cryptotephra layers in Holocene peats linked to anthropogenic activity.
 The Holocene 23, 1493-1501.
- 937 Swindles, G.T., Lawson, I.T., Savlov, I.P., Connor, C.B., Plunkett, G., 2011. A 7000-yr perspective
 938 on volcanic ash clouds affecting Northern Europe. Geology 39, 887-890.
- 939 Swindles, G.T., Watson, E., Turner, T.E., Galloway, J.M., Hadlari, T., Wheeler, J., Bacon, K.L.,
- 940 2015. Spheroidal carbonaceous particles are a defining stratigraphic marker for the941 Anthropocene. Scientific Reports 5, 10264.
- Tarling, D.H., Dobson, M.J., 1995. Archaeomagnetism: an error assessment of fired material
 observations in the British directional database. Journal of Geomagnetism and
 Geoelectricity 147, 5-18.
- Tarling, D.H., Symons, D.T.A., 1967. A stability index of remanence in palaeomagnetism.
 Geophysics Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society 12, 443-448.
- 947 Thórarinsson, S., 1944. Tefrokronologiska studier på Island. Geografiska Annaler 26, 1-215.
- Thórarinsson, S., 1967. The eruptions of Hekla in historical times. The eruption of Hekla 19471948 1, 1-170.
- 950 Tonneijck, F.H., van der Plicht, J., Jansen, B., Verstraten, J.M., Hooghiemstra, H., 2006.
- 951 Radiocarbon dating of soil organic matter fractions in andosols in northern Ecuador.952 Radiocarbon 48, 337-353.

- Vésteinsson, O., Church, M.J., Dugmore, A.J., McGovern, T.H., Newton, A.J., 2014. Expensive
 errors or rational choices: the pioneer fringe in Late Viking Age Iceland. European Journal
 of Post-Classical Archaeologies 4, 39-68.
- Wastegård, S., Hall, V.A., Hannon, G.E., van den Bogaard, C., Pilcher, J.R., Sigurgeirsson, M.Á.,
 Hermanns-Auðardóttir, M., 2003. Rhyolitic tephra horizons in northwestern Europe and
 Iceland from the AD 700s-800s: a potential alternative for dating first human impact. The
- 959 Holocene 13, 277-283.
- 960 Wastegård, S., Rundgren, M., Schoning, K., Andersson, S., Björck, S., Borgmark, A., Possnert, G.,
- 2008. Age, geochemistry and distribution of the mid-Holocene Hekla-S/Kebister tephra. The
 Holocene 18, 539-549.
- Watson, E.J., Swindles, G.T., Lawson, I.T., Savov, I.P., 2016. Do peatlands or lakes provide the
 most comprehensive distal tephra records? Quaternary Science Reviews 139, 110-128.
- Watson, E.J., Swindles, G.T., Savov, I., Lawson, I.T., Connor, C., Wilson, J., 2017. Estimating the
 frequency of volcanic ash clouds over northern Europe. Earth and Planetary Science Letters
 460, 41-49.
- Wüst, R.A., Jacobsen, G.E., van der Gaast, H., Smith, A.A., 2008. Comparison of radiocarbon ages
 from different organic fractions in tropical peat cores: insights from Kulimantan, Indonesia.
 Radiocarbon 50, 359-372.
- 971 Zielinski, G.A., Germani, M.S., Larsen, G., Baille, M.G.L., Whitlow, S., Twickler, M.S., Taylor,
- K., 1995. Evidence of the Eldgja (Iceland) eruption in the GISP2 Greenland ice core:
 relationship to eruption processes and climatic conditions in the tenth century. The Holocene
 5, 129-140. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/095968369500500201
- Zielinski, G.A., Germani, M.S., Larsen, G., Baille, M.G.L., Whitlow, S., Twickler, M.S., Taylor,
 K., 1997. Volcanic aerosol records and tephrochronology of the Summit, Greenland, ice
 cores. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 102, 26625-26640.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/96JC03547.

- 979 Figure captions:
- 980 Figure 1: Location map of Shetland and the island of Unst, highlighting the Norse sites excavated to
- 981 date. The Upper House site, Underhoull is located at 60.72°N, 0.95°W.

Figure 2: (a) The key archaeological sites located in the Westing area of Unst; (b) the Norse
longhouse excavated at Underhoull as part of the Viking Unst Project, referred to as the 'Upper
House'.

986

987 Figure 3: Extent of peat accumulations recorded adjacent to the Upper House site, Underhoull.

988

Figure 4: The relative positions of the three cores used to sample the peat. The position of thematerial sampled for dating has been highlighted.

991

Figure 5: Summary of the concentration of charcoal present within the 'UHM' core following
extraction using a 63 µm sieve. The presence of *Sphagnum* remains in the UHM core is also shown.

994

Figure 6: Tephrostratigraphy of the three peat profiles (number of tephra shards per cm³). The
horizon representing the first appearance of SCPs (dated to *c*. AD 1850 or later) are also shown

997

Figure 7: Tephra geochemistry biplots. Type analyses from tephrabase (Newton et al., 2007) areshown for comparison.

1000

1001 Figure 8: Simplified Harris matrix for the Upper House at Underhoull.

1002

1003 Figure 9. The chronological model for the Upper House at Underhoull.

1004

- Figure 10. Timing of key events associated with the Upper House at Underhoull. **Table captions:**Table 1: The definition of the types of deposits recorded at the Upper House, Underhoull using the methodology defined by Schiffer (1987) and Dockrill et al. (2006).
 Table 2: Summary of the AMS radiocarbon dates, calibrated using IntCall3 (Reimer et al., 2013).
 Table 3: Summary of the archaeomagnetic dates produced from the Upper House, Underhoull. All
- of the sampled deposits represented primary deposits. The mean directions are the characteristic
 remanent magnetisation directions at the site and have been calibrated using ARCH-UK.1 (Batt et
 al., 2017).
- 1017
- 1018 Table 4: Summary of the tephra horizons recovered from the peat.

- 1020 Supplementary files:
- 1021 Supplementary file 1: Tephra geochemical data.
- 1022 Supplementary file 2: Bayesian model code and prior files for the archaeomagnetic dates.

1023

1024





















Modelled date (cal BC/cal AD)

span: start [041] and longhouse construction

span: longhouse construction and paving laid

span: Underhoull longhouse



Interval (yrs)

| Deposit | Min. number of | Description and key | Example | Reference |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| type | times the material | features for identification | | |
| | has been moved | | | |
| Primary | None | An <i>in situ</i> deposit | Hearth deposits, | Schiffer, 1987, |
| | | | dedicatory | p.58 |
| | | | deposits, | |
| | | | Microrefuse | |
| | | | trodden into a floor | |
| Secondary | Once | The boundaries separating | A midden, the | Schiffer, 1987, |
| | | deposits would be clear and | material raked out | p.58; |
| | | distinct | from a hearth | Dockrill et al., |
| | | | | 2006 |
| Tertiary | Twice | The deposits would be | The use of a | Dockrill et al., |
| | | homogenised. The | midden deposits to | 2006 |
| | | boundaries separating | level an area | |
| | | deposits may be merging | | |
| | | and diffuse | | |

| | Context | Description | Lab. Ref. | Material | Monolith | Depth | Depositional | Uncalibrated | Calibrated | δ ¹³ C |
|----------|---------|--|-----------|----------|----------|---------|---|--------------|----------------|-------------------|
| | | | SUERC- | | | from | context | Years BP | 95% confidence | ‰ |
| | | | | | | surface | | | | |
| | | | | | | (cm) | | | | |
| | 201 | Dark red ashy material running down | 24945 | Charred | | | Secondary | 765±30 | AD1220-1280 | -26.5 |
| | | the edge of the interior, interpreted as | | barley | | | | | | |
| the | | a possible hearth | | | | | | | | |
| jt | 166 | Orange/red hard baked ash hearth | 34108 | Charred | | | Primary | 866±35 | AD1045-1260 | -24.8 |
| , ⊳ ≥ | | within S annexe | | barley | | | | | | |
| iate | 189 | Occupation deposit in the SW annexe | 34111 | Charred | | | Secondary | 856±37 | AD1045-1265 | -23.0 |
| soc | | | | barley | | | | | | |
| s as | 185 | Occupation deposit in the centre of | 34112 | Charred | | | Secondary | 849±37 | AD1045-1265 | -23.7 |
| osit | | the structure | | barley | | | | | | |
| Dep | 170 | Steatite and charcoal rich denosit in | 3/113 | Charred | | | Secondary/Tertiary | 792+35 | AD1175-1280 | -24.0 |
| | 170 | the vard area to the N of the structure | 34113 | harley | | | Secondary/rentiary | 792133 | AD1175-1280 | -24.0 |
| | | the yard area to the N of the structure | | barrey | | | | | | |
| | 026 | Purple/black peat overlying the | 35193 | Humin | UHM | 32.5 | Primary | 1769±37 | AD135-380 | -28.6 |
| | | bedrock | | fraction | | | | | | |
| | | | 35154 | Humin | LIHM | 34.5 | Primary | 1/3/1+35 | AD565-660 | -28.6 |
| | | | 55154 | fraction | OTIM | 54.5 | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | 1434133 | AB303 000 | 20.0 |
| | | | | indetion | | | | | | |
| | | | 35195 | Humin | UHM | 36.5 | Primary | 1578±35 | AD410-560 | -29.0 |
| | | | | fraction | | | | | | |
| Pea | | | 35196 | Humin | UHM | 38 5 | Primary | 2314+37 | 510-210BC | -29.3 |
| _ | | | 33130 | fraction | 01111 | 50.5 | , interview of the second s | 2314257 | 510 21000 | 25.5 |
| | | | | indetion | | | | | | |
| | | | 35199 | Humin | UHM | 40.5 | Primary | 2158±37 | 360-60BC | -30.1 |
| | | | | fraction | | | | | | |
| | | | 35200 | Humin | UHM | 42.5 | Primary | 1558±37 | AD420-580 | -29.5 |
| | | | 55200 | fraction | 0 | | | 1000107 | | _5.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | 35201 | Humin fraction | UHM | 44.5 | Primary | 1622±35 | AD345-540 | -29.0 |
|-----|---|-------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|------------------|---------|-------------|-------|
| | | 21072 | Sphagnum leaves & stems | UHM | 56.5 | Primary | 970±30 | AD1015-1155 | -27.4 |
| 041 | Brown peat sealed by flagstones [029] and peat [026] | 33131 | Humic acid | SF239 | 45-46 | Primary-Tertiary | 1358±37 | AD610-770 | -29.0 |
| 026 | Purple/black peat overlying the bedrock | 33126 | Humic acid | SCO | 31-32 | Primary-Tertiary | 1688±37 | AD255-425 | -28.9 |
| | | 34105 | Humin fraction | SCO | 44-45 | Primary | 1905±37 | AD20-220 | -29.8 |
| | | 33127 | Humic acid | SCO | 44-45 | Primary-Tertiary | 1708±37 | AD250-410 | -29.4 |
| | | 33128 | Humic acid | SCO | 47-48 | Primary-Tertiary | 1604±37 | AD385-550 | -29.3 |
| 041 | Brown peat sealed by flagstones [029] and peat [026] | 33129 | Humic acid | SCO | 71-72 | Primary-Tertiary | 1799±35 | AD130-335 | -29.4 |
| 055 | Dark peaty material sealed by [041] | 33130 | Humic acid | SCO | 73-74 | Primary-Tertiary | 2504±37 | 790-425BC | -29.3 |
| | | 34106 | Humin fraction | SCO | 73-74 | Primary | 2774±37 | 1010-830BC | -30.1 |
| | | 24946 | Humic acid | SCO | 76 | Primary-Tertiary | 3515±30 | 1920-1750BC | -29.0 |

| Context | Description | Lab. Ref. | Number of | Mean | Mean | Alpha-95 | Precision | Stability index | Calibrated |
|---------|----------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|----------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|
| | | (Bradford) | samples | Declination | Inclination | | parameter | | age range |
| | | | | Degrees | Degrees | Degrees | | | 95% |
| | | | | | | | | | confidence |
| 214 | Orange/red hard baked ash | AM151 | 14 | 28.1 | 70.4 | 4.1 | 115.5 | Stable | AD800-1080 |
| | hearth material within SW | | | | | | | | |
| | annexe | | | | | | | | |
| 166 | Orange/red hard baked ash | AM149 & | 51 | 10.2 | 58.1 | 1.9 | 122.7 | Stable-Very stable | AD1240-1310 |
| | hearth within S annexe | AM150 | (26 + 25) | | | | | | |
| 093 | Large area of burning | AM148 | 20 | -8.5 | 59.5 | 4.8 | 63.5 | Stable | AD1280-1430 |
| | associated with a possible | | | | | | | | |
| | industrial activity | | | | | | | | |

| Context | Description | Core | Depth from surface | Volcano | Date |
|---------|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | | | ст | | |
| 026 | Purple/black peat | UHM | 29.5 | Hekla | January 19 th |
| | overlying the bedrock | | | | AD1158 |
| | | 239 | 28.5 | Hekla | January 19 th |
| | | | | | AD1158 |
| | | UHM | 42.5 | Hekla | October AD1104 |
| 055 | Dark peaty material | SCO | 74.5 | Hekla (Selsund) | 1600-1650 cal. |
| | sealed by [041] | | | | BC |

Underhoull longhouse Shetland Isles, UK



Peat sampling







14C

Archaeomagnetism

- 1. We investigate the chronology of a Norse house in the Shetland Isles, UK.
- 2. A multi-method approach including ¹⁴C, tephra and archaeomagnetic dating is used.
- 3. The results have implications for Norse expansion across the North Atlantic.