Recent understanding of Antarctic supraglacial lakes using satellite remote sensing

Jennifer F Arthur¹, Chris R Stokes¹, Stewart S.R. Jamieson¹, J. Rachel Carr², Amber A. Leeson³

¹ Department of Geography, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK

² School of Geography, Politics and Sociology, Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK

³Lancaster Environment Centre/Data Science Institute, Lancaster University, Bailrigg, Lancaster, LA1 4YW, UK

Abstract

Supraglacial lakes (SGLs) are now known to be widespread in Antarctica, where they represent an important component of ice sheet mass balance. This paper reviews how recent progress in satellite remote sensing has substantially advanced our understanding of SGLs in Antarctica, including their characteristics, geographic distribution and their impacts on ice sheet dynamics. Important advances include: (i) the capability to resolve lakes at sub-metre resolution at weekly timescales, (ii) the measurement of lake depth and volume changes at seasonal time-scales, including sporadic observations of lake drainage events, and (iii) the integration of multiple optical satellite datasets to obtain continent-wide observations of lake distributions. Despite recent progress, however, there remain important gaps in our understanding, most notably: (1) the relationship between seasonal variability in SGL development and near-surface climate; (2) the prevalence and impact of SGL drainage events on both grounded and floating ice, and (3) the sensitivity of individual ice shelves to lake-induced hydrofracture. Given that surface melting and SGL development is predicted to play an increasingly important role in the surface mass balance of Antarctica, bridging these gaps will help constrain predictions of future rapid ice loss from Antarctica.

Keywords: supraglacial lakes, hydrology, Antarctica, remote sensing

1. Introduction

Supraglacial lakes (SGLs) form when meltwater accumulates in topographic depressions on top of glaciers, ice sheets and ice shelves, primarily during the ablation season (Echelmeyer et al., 1991). They are an important component of ice sheet hydrology because they can influence ice sheet dynamics in one of three ways (Das et al., 2008; Bell et al., 2018). Firstly, their albedo-lowering effect can intensify surface melt and induce a warming effect on the adjacent ice column (Lüthje et al., 2006; Tedesco et al., 2012; Hubbard et al., 2016). Secondly, their rapid drainage via hydrofracturing can deliver meltwater pulses to the ice sheet bed. It is well-known that SGL drainage causes transient accelerations in grounded ice velocity on the Greenland Ice Sheet (Zwally et al., 2002; Das et al., 2008; Bartholomew et al., 2010; Schoof, 2010; Tedesco et al., 2013), and recent work has shown similar effects on the Antarctic Peninsula (Tuckett et al., 2019). Thirdly, SGLs may be an important precursor for ice shelf collapse (Scambos et al., 2003; Glasser and Scambos, 2008; Banwell et al., 2013). On the Antarctic Peninsula, for example, the filling and drainage of SGLs induces ice shelf flexure and trigger widespread fracturing and disintegration (Rott et al., 1996; van den Broeke, 2005; Glasser and Scambos, 2008; Scambos et al., 2009; Banwell et al., 2013, 2014, 2019). Ice shelf disintegration plays a major role in ice sheet dynamics because the resulting loss in buttressing accelerates inland ice flow, increasing the ice discharge (Scambos et al., 2004; Glasser et al., 2011; Fürst et al., 2016).

Despite an abundance of SGL research focusing on the GrIS (Chu, 2014), much less is known about the role of SGLs in Antarctica. However, advances in satellite remote sensing capabilities since the 1970s have revealed that SGLs are present not only on Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves (Scambos *et al.*, 2000, 2003, 2009; Banwell *et al.*, 2014; Luckman *et al.*, 2014; Hubbard *et al.*, 2016), but are also far more widespread than previously thought around the margins of Antarctica, including the periphery of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet (EAIS) (Figure 1, Langley *et al.*, 2016; Kingslake *et al.*, 2017; Lenaerts *et al.*, 2017; Stokes *et al.*, 2019; Moussavi *et al.*, 2020).



Figure 1. Locations around Antarctica where supraglacial lakes have been observed, together with examples: (a) Larsen C Ice Shelf, (b) George VI Ice Shelf, (c) Riiser-Larsen Ice Shelf, (d) Langhovde Glacier, (e) Ross Archipelago, (f) McMurdo Ice Shelf, (g) Sørsdal Glacier, (h) Mawson Glacier. Green shaded regions in the central map represent the number of published studies reporting supraglacial lakes in that location. Note that the number of studies reporting lakes in a given location does necessarily correspond to the number of lakes forming, or how long lakes have been present in this location. Lakes mapped in January 2017 in a recent East Antarctic assessment by Stokes *et al.* (2019) are shown in purple. Images reproduced from: Martin Truffer (a), Frithjof Kuepper (b), Matti Lepparanta (c), Takehiro Fukuda (d), NASA Operation IceBridge (e), Chris Larsen (f), Sarah Thompson (g), and Richard Stanaway (h).

In this paper we review how satellite remote sensing developments have transformed our understanding of the distribution and characteristics of SGLs in Antarctica, including their potential impact on ice sheet mass balance. Following a brief overview of SGL formation in Section 2, Section 3 highlights how satellite remote sensing has advanced our understanding of Antarctic SGLs, specifically the progress in detecting and quantifying SGL distributions, volumes and their evolution through the melt season. Section 4 provides an Antarctic-wide synthesis of SGL characteristics and their potential impact on ice dynamics. In Section 5 we identify some important gaps in understanding and suggest possible directions for future research.

2. Controls on supraglacial lake formation in Antarctica

SGLs form seasonally in Antarctica when an energy surplus at the ice surface causes ice to melt (Trusel *et al.*, 2012; Fitzpatrick *et al.*, 2013). The spectral albedo of liquid meltwater (~0.4 – 0.6) is approximately half of snow-covered ice (Figure 2; Box and Ski, 2007; Tedesco, 2014), which leads to a positive feedback, whereby the lower albedo of the SGLs enhances melting and can lead to further increase in lake area and depth (Tedesco *et al.*, 2012; Morriss *et al.*, 2013; Banwell et al., 2015). For meltwater to pond in SGLs in areas of firn cover, percolation into the near-surface firn layer must be impeded by firn over-saturation or refrozen englacial meltwater (Reynolds and Smith, 1981; Harper *et al.*, 2012; Hubbard *et al.*, 2016; Lenaerts *et al.*, 2017; Alley *et al.*, 2018).

The location of surface depressions on the ice sheet is an important influence on where SGLs form (Echelmeyer *et al.*, 1991). On grounded ice, topographic undulations in subglacial bedrock are translated into ice surface depressions, which lakes tend to re-occupy in the same location annually (Echelmeyer *et al.*, 1991; Ignéczi *et al.*, 2016, 2018; Langley *et al.*, 2016). On slower-moving ice that is grounded, SGLs often grow larger and deeper than those on floating ice further downstream (Sergienko, 2013; Banwell et al., 2014; MacDonald *et al.*, 2018). This is because lakes tend to re-occupy surface depressions for longer, allowing them to expand and deepen by lake bottom ablation, and are often fed by surface channels which increase lake catchment areas (Das *et al.*, 2008; Leeson *et al.*, 2012; Tedesco *et al.*, 2012). The surface of slower-moving, thicker ice also supports larger,



Figure 2. (a) Spectral albedos for a sequence of snow-covered ice to mature supraglacial lake through a melt season. Modified from Tedesco (2014). (b) Summer albedo derived from MODIS imagery on the Roi Baudouin Ice Shelf. Grounding line is represented as the thick black line. Modified from Lenaerts *et al.* (2017).

smoother undulations compared to thinner, faster-flowing ice (Gudmundsson, 2003) and is less likely to be subject to crevassing. In contrast, lakes on floating ice form in surface depressions that migrate with ice flow (MacDonald *et al.*, 2018). These surface depressions are produced in response to spatial and seasonal variations in ice flow, ice thickness and ice flexure (Banwell *et al.*, 2019). Surface depressions are also controlled by the location of basal channels that are incised by subsurface melting (Dow *et al.*, 2018) and basal crevasses (McGrath *et al.*, 2012), because thinner ice in these regions that has reached hydrostatic equilibrium will sit lower in the water. Surface depressions can also be associated with flow stripes, shear-margins and suture zones (Reynolds and Smith, 1981; Glasser and Gudmundsson, 2012; Banwell *et al.*, 2014; Luckman *et al.*, 2014; Bell *et al.*, 2017; Ely *et al.*, 2017). Reduced firn air content and ice surface topography are therefore first order controls on SGL locations.

In Antarctica, it has been noted that glaciological and climatic conditions around ice shelf grounding lines (i.e. where the ice begins to float) are conducive to SGL formation (Kingslake et al., 2017; Lenaerts et al., 2017; Stokes et al., 2019). The majority of SGLs form on ice shelves close to and just downstream of the grounding line because the lower elevations and large decrease in ice surface slope are conducive to meltwater ponding (Stokes et al., 2019). SGL distributions across Antarctica have been shown to reflect the complex interplay between local and regional wind patterns, ice surface topography and albedo (Kingslake et al., 2017; Lenaerts et al., 2017; Stokes et al., 2019). Figure 3 plots SGL locations and surface melt flux together with blue ice areas, rock outcrops, wind scour zones and near-surface wind speeds, which shows that the highest densities of SGLs do not necessarily coincide with regions of highest surface melt (Figure 3; Trusel et al., 2013; Kingslake et al., 2017; Lenaerts et al., 2017; Datta et al., 2019). On the Antarctic Peninsula, for example, the strength and frequency of westerly föhn winds dictate SGL distribution, resulting in intense melting (>400 mm w.e. yr⁻¹) and localised ponding on ice shelves, even in Antarctic winter (Figure 3a; Munneke et al., 2012; Trusel et al., 2013; Luckman et al., 2014; Lenaerts et al., 2017; Munneke et al., 2018; Wiesenekker et al., 2018; Datta et al., 2019). Likewise, in coastal East Antarctica, SGLs are often clustered near ice shelf grounding zones, whereas they are often absent further downstream despite higher surface melt fluxes (Figure 3b, 3c, 3d). Higher accumulation and firn air content in near coastal regions likely explain this absence, because surface meltwater can percolate into the firn before it can pond on the surface (Lenaerts et al., 2017). Warm air delivery to grounding zones from persistent katabatic winds exposes lower-albedo blue ice through wind scouring, which intensifies melting and ponding (Lenaerts et al., 2017).

In summary, SGLs are widespread around the periphery of Antarctica and form predominantly on floating ice shelves, clustered a few kilometres down-ice from the grounding line. The occurrence of SGLs is controlled by firn air content, as well as by short-lived föhn wind events or persistent katabatic winds which intensify surface melting.



Figure 3. Distribution of near-surface wind speed, wind scour zones, exposed rock, blue ice, surface melt flux, ice shelf vulnerability to surface-melt-induced collapse, and supraglacial lakes (from January 2017). Surface melt fluxes are derived from QuickScat scatterometer (2000 - 2009 average, Trusel *et al.*, 2013) and include meltwater that could refreeze in the snow/firn. Ice shelf vulnerability is derived from QuikSCAT data and represents that relative concentration of refrozen meltwater in the firn (Alley *et al.*, 2018). The grounding line and coastline are represented by dotted and solid black lines respectively. Arrows represent near-surface wind speed vectors (Luckman *et al.*, 2014; Lenaerts *et al.*, 2017). Supraglacial lake dataset are reproduced from Stokes *et al.*, (2019). On Larsen C Ice shelf (A) supraglacial lakes formation is restricted to inlets (blue stars), driven by föhn-enhanced melting. On Roi Baudouin Ice Shelf (B), lakes clustered at the grounding zone are associated with katabatic wind-enhanced melting. The co-occurrence of lakes with rock outcrops and wind-scoured blue ice is prominent on other East Antarctic ice shelves, such as Shackleton (C) and Amery (D).

3. Satellite remote sensing of supraglacial lakes

The revolution in satellite remote sensing capabilities since the 1970s has significantly improved our overall understanding of SGLs in Antarctica. This is reflected in the growing number of SGL studies in the last decade (Figure 4; Table 1), with the vast majority taking advantage of increasingly plentiful openly-available optical satellite imagery (Figure 5). Table 1 highlights the progression in remote sensing based assessments of SGLs in Antarctica, from the visual identification of lakes in earlier coarser optical and radar satellite imagery, to manual delineation of lake extents from medium resolution imagery, to semi-automated classification of lakes and extraction of lake characteristics such as areas, depths and volumes from high resolution optical imagery. A trade-off exists between resolution, return period, and swath width when selecting satellite imagery for investigating SGLs (Table 2; Leeson *et al.*, 2013). The integration of imagery from multiple sensors can therefore exploit their respective benefits for assessments of SGL evolution during and between melt seasons.



Figure 4. The number of Antarctic-focused published studies containing the keywords 'Antarctic' and 'supraglacial lake' or 'melt pond' or 'melt lake' from the ISI Web of Science catalogue (n = 41, search date: 25th September 2019, glaciological studies only). Studies in 2019 are from a corresponding Google Scholar search. Coloured bars represent operational periods of common multispectral and radar satellites that have been employed in SGL studies. Earlier studies reported field and remote sensing observations of supraglacial lakes on several Antarctic ice shelves (Amery, George VI, Justulstraumen/Fimbul) and, more recently, were motivated by the rapid disintegration of Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves. The first notable peak in 2009 coincides with the disintegration of

the Wilkins Ice Shelf. The most productive year in 2013 coincides with the first study to propose an explanatory mechanism for the synchronicity of lake drainages on Larsen B Ice Shelf prior to its collapse. The increase in publications since this date also coincides with the launch of higher resolution multispectral and radar satellites, notably Landsat 8 and the Sentinel constellations (see Fig. 5).



Figure 5. Most commonly applied remote sensing imagery sources for measuring and monitoring supraglacial lakes in Antarctica, along with field-based studies.

3.1 Coarse (>250 m) spatial resolution sensors

The comparatively coarse spatial resolution (0.25 - 1.09 km) and wide swath (2330 - 2990 km) MODerate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) sensors have been used to map SGL distributions at the regional scale, e.g. including large ice shelves (Hubbard et al., 2016; Lenaerts et al., 2017; MacDonald et al., 2019). For example, on the Antarctic Peninsula, MODIS has been used to highlight the presence of ice dolines (drained lake basins) on Larsen B Ice Shelf before its collapse (Bindschadler et al., 2002), and to show that SGLs persist over decadal timescales on parts of Larsen C Ice Shelf (Hubbard et al., 2016). In East Antarctica, MODIS has also been used to confirm the presence of SGLs during summer in the grounding zone of Roi Baudouin Ice Shelf (Lenaerts et al., 2016). The sub-daily repeat coverage of AVHRR has lent itself to documenting the presence of SGLs on Antarctic ice shelves before their break-up, including Wilkins and George VI ice shelves (Scambos et al., 2000). That said, frequent cloud coverage was found to reduce its temporal coverage to twice a month, preventing detailed analysis of lake extents or drainage (Scambos et al., 2000). The wide swath coverage of these two sensors comes at the expense of a coarser spatial resolution, resulting in the inability to accurately resolve SGLs below the pixel resolution (<0.0625 km² for MODIS, <1.18 km² for AVHRR). Given the tendency of Antarctic SGLs to be shallower and narrower than those on the GrIS (Banwell et al., 2014), this lower spatial resolution may bias the detection of rapid drainage events by missing smaller or rapidly draining lakes (Cooley and Christoffersen, 2017). In summary, the wide swath and rapid revisit period of coarse resolution sensors make them well-suited to continent- or region-wide studies, as well as those requiring high temporal frequency.

[Table 1]

3.2 Medium (15 – 250 m) spatial resolution sensors

Over 20 Antarctic SGL studies have exploited the Landsat suite of satellites (Figure 5; Table 2). In early studies, lakes were identified in Multispectral Scanner (MSS) imagery (60 m resolution) on

Justulstraumen (upstream of Fimbul Ice Shelf; Figure 1), but their area could not be accurately resolved (Orheim and Lucchitta, 1987; Winther *et al.*, 1996). The improved 30 m spatial resolution and two additional infrared bands of Thematic Mapper (TM) enabled subsequent studies to better resolve individual lakes, but features such as thin lake ice coverings were still unresolvable (Orheim and Lucchitta, 1987).

More recently, studies have exploited the 15 m resolution panchromatic band of Landsat-7 Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+) to pinpoint the timing of SGL overflow and/or refreezing (Kingslake *et al.*, 2015) and to extract lake characteristics such as extents, areas, and volumes (Banwell *et al.*, 2014). Landsat-7 ETM+ has also been used to produce time-series of lake frequencies, areas and volumes over 13 austral summers, with a maximum temporal resolution of 13 days (Langley *et al.*, 2016). The Landsat-7 ETM+ record has also been utilised to map SGL distributions at a continental scale (Kingslake *et al.*, 2017; Section 4.3).

The Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) provides a more favourable revisit period (1-2 days) than Landsat 7, and was employed to conduct detailed mapping of filled and drained lakes on Larsen B Ice Shelf pre-, during, and post-collapse (Glasser and Scambos, 2008). This rapid revisit period has also enabled individual lake disappearances and drainage mechanisms to be distinguished for the first time in East Antarctica (Langley *et al.*, 2016).

Most recently, the Landsat-8 Operational Land Imager (OLI) has offered improved precision for mapping SGLs and extracting their characteristics, owing to its enhanced spatial (15 m) and radiometric resolution (12-bit, meaning greater dynamic range), high signal-to-noise ratio, and high temporal resolution (~725 scenes per day, compared to ~475 scenes per day from Landsat-7). This has enabled SGLs to be mapped in detail at the outlet glacier, ice shelf and pan-ice sheet scale (Bell *et al.*, 2017; Kingslake *et al.*, 2017; Stokes *et al.*, 2019).

[Table 2]

Furthermore, lake depths derived from Landsat-8 imagery have been used to test model predictions of SGL depths (Buzzard *et al.*, 2018b). However, Landsat-8's revisit frequency of 16 days precludes lake tracking over shorter timescales, meaning that rapid SGL drainages (typically of the order of hours) cannot be captured. In summary, medium resolution sensors offer suitable precision for seasonal assessments of areal and volumetric SGL changes, both at continental and smaller scales.

3.3 High (≤ 10 m) spatial resolution sensors

High resolution multispectral imagery provided by satellites launched within the last decade such as Sentinel-2 (10 m resolution, 5 day revisit period), Worldview-2 (1.84 m resolution, 1.1 day revisit period) and IKONOS (3.28 m, 3 day revisit period) have enabled very small or narrow lakes to be resolved (Labarbera and MacAyeal, 2011; Jawak and Luis, 2014; Bell *et al.*, 2017; Kingslake *et al.*, 2017; Stokes *et al.*, 2019; Moussavi *et al.*, 2020). In Figure 6 we compare SGLs on a tributary glacier of Amery Ice Shelf to demonstrate the vast improvement in the ability to resolve individual SGLs. It is clear that where individual lakes were unresolvable in coarser resolution imagery (AVHRR and MODIS), the bathymetry of individual lakes and partial lake ice coverage are now detectable in more detail. High resolution sensors also provide the capability to map narrower supraglacial channels occurring in association with SGLs, thus potentially enabling a better understanding of lake drainage mechanisms.

Despite the improvement in spatial resolution, the 5-day revisit period under cloud-free conditions provided by the Sentinel-2A/B constellation precludes the identification of the precise onset of SGL formation and the tracking of SGL behaviour (and possible rapid drainage) on daily to sub-daily timescales (Quincey and Luckman, 2009; Williamson *et al.*, 2018; Stokes *et al.*, 2019). Recent panice sheet assessments and studies tracking and quantifying meltwater depth and volume in individual lakes have maximised the combined potential of Landsat-8 and Sentinel-2 by increasing the number of available cloud-free scenes from which to map SGLs (Leeson *et al.*, 2015; Kingslake *et al.*, 2017; Miles *et al.*, 2017; Stokes *et al.*, 2019; Moussavi *et al.*, 2020). Daily commercial satellite imagery provide a highly valuable addition, though its restrictive cost and limited swath width (<20 km) has







AVHRR



MODIS



Landsat 1 MSS

2018



Landsat 4 TM



Landsat 7 ETM+





Landsat 8 OLI





Sentinel 2A MSI





WorldView-3



Figure 6. Examples of the evolution of satellite image sensor resolution and detection of supraglacial lakes for a tributary glacier of Amery Ice Shelf. Panels moving from top left to bottom right represent satellite sensors in order of increasing spatial resolution. Smaller insets below six of the panels show the same supraglacial lake to demonstrate the improvement in detail. The ability to resolve supraglacial lake extent, including very small and narrow ponds, has vastly improved since coarser sensors like AVHRR and MODIS which were unable to distinguish these features. Most recently, high (>10 m) resolution sensors such as Sentinel 2 MSI and Worldview-3 can resolve lake bathymetry and surface features such as partial lake ice coverage.

tended to limit its use to smaller areas. However, up to 10,000 km² imagery per month is freely available for research purposes from the recently launched PlanetScope satellite constellation (Planet Team, 2017). The substantially higher spatial (0.8 - 5 m) resolution and daily revisit period of this imagery offers excellent potential for more accurately characterising SGL onset, growth and drainage mechanisms, although Planet imagery has yet to be used in Antarctica for this purpose.

3.4 Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) imagery

The most important limitations to optical satellite sensors, however, remain cloud cover and their inability to image during polar night (Quincey and Luckman, 2009). Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) removes the need for clear-sky imagery, daylight and the high solar zenith (Luckman et al., 2014) because it is an active sensor which transmits its own electromagnetic radiation, meaning data can be collected in winter (Miles et al., 2017; Munneke et al., 2018). ERS-1-2, Radarsat and Envisat SAR imagery have successfully detected refrozen lakes and melt streams on the Amery Ice Shelf in August, and on the Wilkins and northern Larsen ice shelves in July (Rott et al., 1996; Lucchitta and Rosanova, 1998, Phillips, 1998; Fricker, 2002). Wintertime surface ponding was linked to föhn-wind events on the Larsen C Ice Shelf using recently launched Sentinel-1A C-band SAR (Munneke et al., 2018). Sentinel-1 provides a promising means of tracking SGL growth and drainage through melt seasons into the winter (Miles et al., 2017) and this is an important area for future SGL research in Antarctica. However, undulating topography can be problematic for SAR, because this creates radiometric distortion which results in a failure to detect small, narrow SGLs (Johansson and Brown, 2012). Backscatter associated with high surface roughness (e.g. in areas of complex terrain with wet snow and firn), also pose difficulties for lake detection under certain polarization (horizontal transmit and horizontal receive, HH) (Miles et al., 2017). In summary, SAR provides excellent potential in Antarctica for obtaining SGL observations in austral winter and for detecting sub-surface meltwater bodies associated with SGLs.

3.5 Supraglacial lake detection and mapping methods

Manual delineation of SGLs from satellite imagery in a Geographic Information System (GIS) offers a reliable method for identifying individual SGLs (Leeson *et al.*, 2013) and for conducting detailed mapping of SGL networks on individual ice tongues and shelves (Glasser and Scambos, 2008; Langley *et al.*, 2016). However, manual digitisation is less suitable for larger-scale assessments because it is time intensive and can be subject to user bias (Jawak and Luis, 2014, Williamso*n et al.*, 2017). In contrast, semi- and fully-automated lake detection methods can be rapidly applied to hundreds of satellite scenes (Stokes *et al.*, 2019).

The well-established Normalised Difference Water Index adapted for ice (NDWI ice) classifies watercovered pixels based on exceedance of an empirically-selected red/blue reflectance threshold (typically >0.2 - 0.5; Fitzpatrick et al., 2013) and has been successfully applied in East Antarctica to delineate SGLs (Jawak & Luis, 2014; Bell et al., 2017; Stokes et al., 2019). This is a pixel-based method because classification is based on spectral information of individual pixels. Additional thresholds have successfully been applied to distinguish between shallow water/slush and mediumdeep water (0.12-0.14 and 0.14-0.25; Bell et al., 2017). Lakes have also been detected on the GrIS using dynamic band thresholding, which classifies pixels as water if their red band reflectance is less than a selected threshold of the mean reflectance in a surrounding moving window (Selmes et al., 2011, 2013; Everett et al., 2016; Williamson et al., 2017). Mis-classification of partially ice-covered lakes as bare ice can be problematic (Sundal et al., 2009; Banwell et al., 2014), but modified versions with elevated thresholds can eliminate false positives, such as slush zones with spectrally-similar signatures to SGLs (Yang & Smith, 2013; Jawak and Luis, 2014; Moussavi et al., 2020). This can, however, exclude very shallow lakes (Miles et al., 2017). NDWI is therefore a rapid semi-automated method for retrieving pixel-based SGL extents, which can then be polygonised for analysis in a Geographic Information System (GIS), though the presence of slush can confound SGL classification. A new threshold-based lake classification method has been successfully applied to five East Antarctic ice shelves, combining the NDWI ice with the Normalised Difference Snow Index (Hall et al., 1995) to help isolate clouds and rocks (Moussavi et al., 2020). This new method was found to produce fewer misclassification errors and was able to detect shallow lakes missed using the NDWI ice alone (Moussavi *et al.*, 2020).

Object-based classification is useful for exploiting SGL features distinguishable in very highresolution optical imagery. Rather than classifying individual pixels, object-orientated classification focuses on differences between groups of pixels (or 'objects') with similar texture, tone, pattern, shape (Johansson and Brown, 2013). For example, spectral matching and target detection applied to WorldView-2 images extracted the boundaries of a small (36) sample of SGLs in the Larsemann Hills, Princess Elizabeth Land more accurately than with the NDWI (Jawak and Luis, 2014). Though non-pixel-based methods can better distinguish between lakes and slush, lakes that are small, shallow or elongated are at risk of being missed (Johansson and Brown, 2013).

3.6 Extracting supraglacial lake depths and volumes

Studies using coarser resolution Landsat-1-5 imagery were restricted to visual assessments of lake depth (e.g. Orheim and Lucchitta, 1987), but recent studies have exploited the enhanced spectral and spatial resolution of Landsat-7, Landsat-8 and Sentinel-2 to quantify estimates of SGL depths in Antarctica using a radiative transfer model (Sneed and Hamilton, 2007; Banwell *et al.*, 2014; Bell *et al.*, 2017; Banwell *et al.*, 2019; Moussavi *et al.*, 2020). The model calculates lake water depth using the rate of light attenuation in water, lake-bottom albedo, and optically-deep water reflectance (Philpot, 1989):

$$z = [\ln(A_d - R_{\infty}) - \ln(R_z - R_{\infty})]/g$$

where: z = lake depth; Ad = lake bed reflectance (approximated as pixel reflectance from lake edge); $<math>R_{\infty}$ = reflectance of optically deep water (approximated as pixel reflectance from open ocean water); R_z = reflectance value, and g = attenuation co-efficient rate.

This radiative transfer model was used to extract lake depths prior to the collapse of the Larsen B Ice Shelf, and provided estimates of the likelihood of lake drainage by hydrofracture (Banwell *et al.*, 2014). The same method has been used to demonstrate the coevolution of lake area with depth over multiple melt seasons on Langhovde glacier in Dronning Maud Land (Langley *et al.*, 2016). The

transfer model makes several assumptions about SGLs: that they have negligible suspended or dissolved in-/organic particulate matter, that there is minimal wind-induced lake surface roughness, and that the lake-bottom albedo is homogenous (Sneed and Hamilton, 2011; Moussavi *et al.*, 2016). While the last of these assumptions holds true for SGLs in Greenland (Sneed and Hamilton, 2011), it may be problematic in certain areas, such as on fine debris-rich ice (Pope *et al.*, 2016; Banwell *et al.*, 2019), and calibration with *in-situ* field-based measurements is much needed (Bell *et al.*, 2018).

A fully automated method for extracting SGL volumes has been developed for the Paakitosq and Store Glacier regions of west Greenland (Williamson et al., 2017). This derived an area-to-volume scaling relationship across a much larger sample (n = 1,114) than in previous empirically-based area-depth scaling studies (Box and Ski, 2007; Liang et al., 2012). Williamson et al. (2018) applied the algorithm to detect rapid SGL drainage events during summer 2014, using a combined Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8 dataset. Recent efforts have begun deriving lake depth and volume time series over some Antarctic ice shelves using a combined Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8 dataset (Moussavi et al., 2020). This has been used to detect individual lake drainages and to quantify seasonal lake volume changes over one ice shelf. Such an approach applied across Antarctica to produce a continental dataset of SGL volumes would provide valuable insight into seasonal SGL evolution, although partial lake ice coverage and a lack of cloud-free lake observations may present difficulties in detecting lake boundaries and in classifying rapid drainages. Initial work to guantify SGL dimensions across Antarctica have also suggested SGLs are smaller and shallower than those in Greenland (Stokes et al., 2019; Moussavi et al., 2020), highlighting the need for an ice-sheet-wide assessment of lake depths in order to quantify meltwater storage in SGLs across Antarctica and to constrain in which regions of the ice sheet hydrofracture drainage may occur.

4. Antarctic supraglacial lake distribution and impact on ice dynamics

We now synthesise published observations of SGLs in each major region of Antarctica. In Table 3, we provide a regional comparison of SGL characteristics that have been observed in Antarctica,

including spatial distributions, depths, elevation, onset/freeze-up periods, association with supraglacial features (such as channels, dolines or moulins) and drainage behaviours.

[Table 3]

4.1 Antarctic Peninsula

Lakes have been commonly observed on most Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves, and are known to be capable of fracturing and weakening them (Figure 7; Scambos *et al.*, 2003; Glasser and Scambos, 2008; Glasser *et al.*, 2011). The most notable of these events was the near-synchronous drainage of over 2,750 SGLs, up to 6.8 metres deep, on Larsen B Ice Shelf in the days prior to its collapse in February 2002 (Figure 8; Glasser and Scambos, 2008; Banwell *et al.*, 2014). Given their near-synchronous drainage in the days prior to disintegration, and their association with dolines (several hundred km² in area and up to 19 m deep) and cross-cutting rifts, these lakes are thought to have drained via hydrofracturing (Bindschadler *et al.*, 2002; Scambos *et al.*, 2000; 2003; 2009; Glasser and Scambos, 2008).



Figure 7. Examples of supraglacial lake observations on Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves prior to their disintegration or major calving: Prince Gustav Ice Shelf (A), which disintegrated in 1995; Larsen B ice Shelf (B and C), which disintegrated in 2002; Larsen C Ice Shelf (D); George VI Ice Shelf (E); and Wilkins Ice Shelf (F), which underwent major calving in 1998 and 2008. Imagery credits: Rott *et al.* (1996), Glasser and Scambos (2008), Scambos *et al.* (2009); Labarbara and MacAyeal (2011), Luckman *et al.* (2014), NSIDC.



Figure 8. (a) Larsen B Ice Shelf surface structures in February 2002 (reproduced from Glasser and Scambos, 2008). Including an extensive network of supraglacial lakes and streams which extend to the ice shelf calving front, alongside ice dolines indicating possible drained lakes. Inset shows lakes visible on the ice shelf surface from a January 31st 2002 MODIS image (credit: NASA Earth Observatory). (b) Pre-collapse lake depths derived from 21st February 2000 Landsat reflectance (Reproduced from Banwell *et al.*, 2014).

Indeed, a plausible mechanism for the fragmentation of Larsen B Ice Shelf has been modelled numerically, involving the drainage of a single 'catalyst' lake which triggers a propagating fracture network able to cause progressively distal lakes to drain (Banwell *et al.*, 2013; Robel and Banwell, 2019). This 'chain reaction' mechanism is based on the flexural response of ice to an applied load (i.e. meltwater in a lake; Figure 9; Labarbera and MacAyeal, 2011; Sergienko, 2013) and is successfully able to replicate the near-synchronous lake drainage and widespread fracture network that fragmented Larsen B Ice Shelf into unstable blocks capable of capsizing (Banwell *et al.*, 2013). More recently, Leeson *et al.* (2020) showed that SGLs spread southwards on the ice shelf in the two decades preceding its collapse at a rate commensurate with meltwater saturation of its surface. However, lake deepening across the ice shelf over this period suggests this could be the result of enhanced lake bed ablation rather than the result of successive lake filling and draining (Leeson *et al.*, 2020).

Immediately south of the former Larsen B, Larsen C Ice Shelf experiences less extensive but localised ponding, where surface melt duration has increased by up to 2 days per year (Luckman et al., 2014; Bevan et al., 2018). SGLs tens of metres in width and tens of kilometres in length (Luckman et al., 2014) are largely confined to western inlets fed by tributary glaciers, such as Cabinet Inlet on the Foyn Coast (Holland et al., 2011; Munneke et al., 2018). Short-lived (<48 hr) föhn wind events (driven by episodic interaction of westerly winds with topography) intensify melt rates in these inlets and support repeated, localised ponding (Munneke et al., 2018; Wiesenekker et al., 2018). The modelled onset of SGL growth (November) and their depths (average 1.3 – 1.5 m) near the Larsen C grounding line correspond well with those derived from Landsat-8 imagery (Pope, 2016) when a föhn effect is added. This highlights the importance of localised climatic conditions for SGL distributions on this ice shelf (Buzzard et al., 2018b). The disappearance of hundreds of lakes in Cabinet Inlet on Larsen C over a period of 10 days in 2003, and 3 weeks in 2007, is also suggestive of hydrofracture drainage (Luckman et al., 2014), as observed on Larsen B (Scambos et al., 2003; 2009). The possibility of meltwater-driven hydrofracture on Larsen C has been highlighted by 1D ice shelf model simulations of future lake distributions (Buzzard et al., 2018b). In particular, larger lakes that migrate towards the front of the Larsen C Ice Shelf under warmer atmospheric conditions may



b



С



Figure 9. A schematic representation of the stress regime, flexural response and fracturing associated with ice shelf loading (supraglacial lake formation) and unloading (lake drainage) (reproduced from Banwell *et al.*, 2013).

deepen sufficiently to remain unfrozen between melt seasons, thereby pre-disposing them for hydrofracture (Buzzard *et al.*, 2018b). The depleted firn air thickness of Larsen C (0.3 m in western inlets) also increases the likelihood of extensive SGL ponding and future instability, driven by sustained föhn-enhanced melting (Holland *et al.*, 2011; Alley *et al.*, 2018). The presence of a massive, dense ice lens in Cabinet Inlet confirms an ice-saturated firn layer conducive to SGL ponding (Hubbard *et al.*, 2016). Thus, although not currently at imminent risk of hydrofracture-induced collapse, Larsen C may be at risk of future instability if lakes become more extensive across the ice shelf under consecutive seasons of excessive summer melting (Alley *et al.*, 2018; Rintoul *et al.*, 2018).

Towards the southerly extent of the Antarctic Peninsula, abundant SGLs occupy parts of the Wilkins Ice Shelf and George VI Ice Shelf during the austral summer (Figure 1; Vaughan et al., 1993; Lucchitta and Rosanova, 1998; Braun and Humbert, 2009; Labarbera and MacAyeal, 2011). Lakes are largely concentrated on the central part of George VI and their location is controlled by the location of crevasses (Hambrey et al., 2015), and the down-ice migration of surface depressions following the propagation of compressive stresses (Labarbera and MacAyeal, 2011). On Wilkins Ice Shelf, SGLs have been largely confined to localised regions (Vaughan et al., 1993; Lucchitta and Rosanova, 1998), similar to Larsen C. Lakes were visible in MODIS imagery of the northern portion of Wilkins Ice Shelf prior to a major calving event (77 km²) in March 2008, immediately following the end of the melt season (Scambos et al., 2009). Crevasse hydrofracture has been suggested as an important mechanism for this break-up, supported by the presence of over 100 dolines which suggest meltwater delivery through englacial lake drainage (Scambos et al., 2009; Braun et al., 2009). However, no lakes were observed during a later calving event in May 2008, making lake drainage an unlikely trigger (Scambos et al., 2009). Instead, changing stress distributions causing increased rifting may have driven this calving event (Braun and Humbert, 2009; Scambos et al., 2009). SGLs have continued to form seasonally on Wilkins Ice Shelf since 2008 without causing further major calving events. For example, melt pond scars are visible on recently fractured fragments in March 2013 WorldView-2 imagery (NASA Earth Observatory, 2013). Therefore, lake drainage events are not always a precursor for ice shelf disintegration in Antarctica.

Most recently, it has been shown for the first time that rapid, short-lived (< 6 days) accelerations (up to 100% greater than the annual mean ice velocity) of five outlet glaciers on the Antarctic Peninsula has been recorded, four of which formerly drained into Larsen B Ice Shelf (Tuckett *et al.*, 2019). Lake disappearances and water-filled crevasses are suggestive of surface meltwater reaching the bed (Tuckett *et al.*, 2019). These are the first observations of direct coupling between atmospheric warming and ice dynamics, triggered by surface meltwater ponding.

4.2 West Antarctica

Observations of SGLs in West Antarctica are generally lacking and little is known about their distribution or seasonal evolution. Isolated SGLs have been observed forming on Pine Island Ice Shelf during the 2013-14 melt season, the largest approximately 8 km in length, as well as in the Ford Ranges adjacent to Sulzberger Ice Shelf (Kingslake *et al.*, 2017). The absence of published studies is, perhaps, surprising, given the obvious presence of SGLs in Google Earth imagery in several regions of the ice sheet (e.g. Sulzberger Ice Shelf, Nickerson Ice Shelf and Dotson Ice Shelf; Figure 10). Lakes here are often associated with bedrock nunataks at glacier margins and in ice shelf grounding zones. They are also visible on floating outlet glacier tongues connected to supraglacial channels (Figure 10) and merit further investigation.



Figure 10. Examples of supraglacial lakes on the West Antarctic Ice Sheet visible in Digital Globe (Google Earth) imagery. (a) Hull Glacier (20/01/2015), (b) Sulzberger Ice Shelf (18/01/2013), (c) Sulzberger Ice Shelf (18/01/2013), (d) 45 km east of Pine Island Glacier (13/02/2012), where lakes are likely to be refrozen at the surface. Scale bar applies to all four panels.

4.3 East Antarctica

The first remote sensing assessment to draw attention to the widespread occurrence of SGLs in East Antarctica documented surface meltwater systems (lakes and channels) persistently forming on ice shelves around its periphery over multiple decades (Kingslake *et al.*, 2017). This study found half of these drainage systems originate within 3.6 km of blue ice and within 8 km of exposed rock (Kingslake *et al.*, 2017). This association could explain why SGLs typically extend further inland (up to 500 km) at higher elevations (> 1000 m) than those on Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves (Table 3). Indeed, SGLs have been recorded within 600 km of the South Pole, on Shackleton Glacier, adjacent to exposed rock and moraine at the glacier margins (Kingslake *et al.*, 2017). A more recent and comprehensive assessment recorded over 65,000 SGLs during January 2017, around the peak of the melt season (Stokes *et al.*, 2019). This assessment recorded high lake densities (>0.08 km² SGL area per 1 km²) in Wilkes Land, Queen Mary Land, Mac. Roberston Land, Enderby Land and Dronning Maud Land, as well as in previously undocumented regions such as Kemp Land, Terre Adélie and George V Land. Lakes were found to preferentially form near grounding lines on floating, low-elevation and slow moving (<120 m a⁻¹) ice, supporting previous analysis (Section 2; Kingslake *et al.*, 2017).

The location of lakes around the East Antarctic margin are strongly controlled by regional scale wind patterns and locally-enhanced ablation (Lenaerts *et al.*, 2017; Kingslake *et al.*, 2017). Katabatic winds, more persistent than sporadic föhn events on Larsen B, induce vertical mixing which locally increases near-surface air temperatures. Surface melt is enhanced by these winds scouring snow/firn and exposing albedo-lowering blue ice, leading to meltwater ponding (Lenaerts *et al.*, 2017a). Consequently, SGLs are often clustered around and immediately downstream of ice shelf grounding zones on the EAIS, proximal to these low-albedo areas and where surface slopes decrease (Winther et al., 1996; Lenaerts *et al.*, 2017; Kingslake *et al.*, 2017; Stokes *et al.*, 2019).

It has also been shown that SGLs are persistent features of East Antarctic ice shelves across decadal timescales (Bell *et al.*, 2017; Kingslake *et al.*, 2017). The Amery Ice Shelf is a prominent example, where SGLs have regularly formed in longitudinal foliations and crevasses since at least 1974 across low-lying coastal regions, the largest reaching ~80 km in length (Mellor and McKinnon,

26

1960; Swithinbank, 1988; Hambrey and Dowdeswell, 1994; Phillips, 1998; Kingslake et al., 2017; Stokes et al., 2019; Moussavi et al., 2020). Ice dolines on some of these ice shelves (Amery and Roi Baudouin) are larger and deeper than those reported on Larsen B Ice Shelf, which suggests englacial drainage of SGLs (Mellor and McKinnon, 1960; Fricker et al., 2002; Bindschadler et al., 2002; Lenaerts et al., 2017; Table 3). Observations of in situ lake disappearance on Langhovde Glacier floating tongue in Dronning Maud Land in as little as five days also suggests that SGLs are likely to be draining englacially (Figure 11; Langley et al., 2016). Given that lake volumes on several ice shelves (e.g. Amery, Riiser-Larsen, Shackleton and Moscow University) are estimated to exceed the average lake volume on Larsen B prior to its collapse (Glasser and Scambos, 2008; Stokes et al., 2019), lakes could be draining rapidly on some East Antarctic ice shelves and making them vulnerable to collapse. Whether ice shelf hydrofracturing occurs, however, is governed not only by meltwater distribution and volume stored in SGLs, but also by stress conditions within the ice shelf (Fürst et al., 2016; Alley et al., 2018; Christoffersen et al., 2018). For example, the likelihood of some ice shelves (e.g. Amery) undergoing lake hydrofracture-driven collapse is low, owing to their thickness and large-scale geometry which controls the stress regime and confines them to a narrow embayment with multiple topographic pinning points (Fürst et al., 2016, Alley et al., 2018; Stokes et al., 2019).

The vulnerability of East Antarctic ice shelves to future melt-induced hydrofracture could be modulated by the export of surface meltwater across ice shelf surfaces (Bell *et al.*, 2017). Meltwater ponds tens of kilometres in area and several metres deep on the Nansen Ice Shelf are drained by an interconnected network of streams and shear-margin rivers, terminating in a 130-metre wide waterfall, which evolves as melt increases during warmer melt seasons (Bell *et al.*, 2017). The likelihood of meltwater-induced fracturing can also be limited if flexure is not sufficiently widespread to affect other lakes (Banwell *et al.*, 2019). This is the case on McMurdo Ice Shelf (MIS), which flexes by up to 1 metre over weekly timescales at the centre of lakes that have filled to ~2 m depth and which are then drained by slow overflow (Banwell *et al.*, 2019; Macayeal *et al.*, 2020).

27



Figure 11 (a) and (b) SGL shrinking on the floating tongue of Langhovde Glacier, Dronning Maud Land, during January 2007. (c) and (d) SGL disappearance in 5 days on the floating tongue. (e) and (f) SGL refreezing in February 2013 at the end of the austral summer melt season as surface air temperatures decline (reproduced from Langley *et al.*, 2016).

4.4. Summary

The spatial distribution of SGLs in Antarctica reflects the complex interplay between localised and regional melt-enhancing processes, including katabatic wind-induced mixing and snow scouring, low-albedo nunataks and blue ice, together with firn air content (Kingslake *et al.*, 2017; Lenaerts *et al.*, 2017; Datta *et al.*, 2019; Stokes *et al.*, 2019). Our review indicates that on the Antarctic Peninsula, lakes were present on several ice shelves prior to their disintegration, and currently form on several of the largest remaining ice shelves. In East Antarctica, SGLs are persistent features of ice shelf grounding zones, driven by katabatic winds and locally-enhanced ablation. Elsewhere around the margin of Antarctica, there remain regions where SGLs have not yet been reported in the scientific literature, notably most of West Antarctica. SGLs are likely to become more numerous on firn-depleted ice shelves, and perhaps the lower reaches of other outlet glaciers that experience prolonged and excessive melting (Lenaerts *et al.*, 2016; Rintoul *et al.*, 2018) and more frequent föhn winds (Wiesenekker *et al.*, 2018; Datta *et al.*, 2019).

5. Future challenges and opportunities

Based on our review, and in comparison to observations from the GrIS, it is clear that there remains much to be done in terms of understanding the controls on SGL distribution and evolution in Antarctica (cf. Bell *et al.*, 2018). This includes the controls on lake occurrence in Antarctica, the evolution of SGLs through melt seasons and the vulnerability of ice shelves to SGL-induced collapse. These issues are now discussed as a series of key questions, several of which touch on some key priorities identified in the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research (SCAR) Horizon Scan, such as the impact of changes in surface melt evolution over ice shelves and the Antarctic ice sheet (Kennicutt *et al.*, 2014).

5.1 What are the controls on lake occurrence in Antarctica?

Although recent pan-ice sheet assessments have improved our understanding of the broad-scale climatic and ice surface controls on SGL formation (Lenaerts *et al.,* 2016; Kingslake *et al.,* 2017;

Stokes et al., 2019), there remains a need to understand more precisely the links between nearsurface climatic conditions and lake development. It is known that surface melt is poorly simulated by regional climate models in regions where SGLs tend to form, for example, near grounding zones (Van Wessem et al., 2018; Kingslake et al., 2019). The complex interaction between ice surface characteristics and local-scale climatic controls, such as firn density, föhn or katabatic winds, ice surface albedo and topography, make it difficult to predict where SGLs may form based only on modelled surface melt (Stokes et al., 2019). The difficulty in resolving localised albedo-melt feedbacks is particularly acute with lower-resolution (27 km) regional climate models, which tend to underestimate surface melt in areas of more complex topography. Lenaerts et al. (2018) found that a higher-resolution (5.5 km grid) version of the regional climate model RACMO2 was able to better resolve small-scale variability in climate, surface melt and surface mass balance caused by topography and thus reproduced surface melt more accurately over such areas. In this regard, it would be useful to generate runoff estimates from higher resolution regional climate model simulations and test the likelihood of different regions supporting SGLs under specific climatic thresholds and the resulting effect on lake distributions and densities. Similarly, it would also be useful to explore the relative importance of climatic and glaciological controls in governing SGL formation in regions of Antarctica experiencing high surface melt and persistently occupied by SGLs. such as towards ice shelf calving fronts. This would be greatly aided, for example, by targeted field measurements of local variations in near-surface air temperature, snowfall and firn air content.

5.2 How do supraglacial lakes evolve through melt seasons in Antarctica?

SGL evolution during melt seasons remains poorly understood in Antarctica, particularly area, depth, and volumetric changes on grounded and floating ice over weekly timescales. Understanding seasonal variability in SGLs and its relationship with surface climate conditions is important for constraining the magnitudes of surface meltwater storage potentially available to enter the englacial hydrological system through crevasses and moulins. Existing assessments of seasonal SGL evolution are sparse and focus on individual Antarctic outlet glaciers or ice shelves (Langley *et al.*, 2016; Bell *et al.*, 2017; Banwell *et al.*, 2019; Moussavi *et al.*, 2020), limited by the spatial resolution

and return period of satellite imagery. Quantitative estimates of regional SGL change remain unknown. For example, what is the variability of total SGL surface area from year-to-year? How much do lakes expand and deepen through the course of a melt season, and how does this vary between floating and grounded ice?

A valuable next step would be to use automated methods at the catchment and regional scales to track individual lake locations, areas and volumes. This would enable quantification of lake changes and the number of lakes that drain rapidly, slowly, or which simply freeze over. Identifying which lakes may be at risk of rapidly draining will require analysis of lake drainage distributions alongside climatic (near-surface air temperature), glaciological (ice-surface elevation, slope, strain rate, icesheet thickness) and surface mass balance (melt, runoff) datasets to identify the conditions that may promote rapid drainage (Williamson et al., 2018). Time-series of lake depths and volumes are also needed to constrain volume-dependent lake drainage thresholds in numerical models of lake behaviour (e.g. Krawczynski et al., 2009; Banwell et al., 2015). Recent efforts have begun to derive lake depth and volume time series over some Antarctic ice shelves, which have clear potential to generate continent-wide maps of lake occurrence and evolution (Moussavi et al., 2020). Comparisons between SGL characteristics (geometry, depth, volume) and SGL changes (including timings of lake initiation and drainage onset, and peaks in total stored SGL meltwater) in different regions of Antarctica and in Greenland are much needed. This would be valuable for identifying lakes that are likely to drain englacially, potentially over rapid timescales (Banwell et al., 2014; Stokes et al., 2019; Kingslake et al., 2019). SAR imagery has so far been under-used in Antarctica to monitor SGLs, and could usefully be applied with optical imagery such as Sentinel-2 and/or Landsat-8 to more accurately detect lake refreezing into the snow/firn pack and overcome cloud cover obscuration (e.g. Miles et al., 2017).

Future pan-ice sheet inventories of SGLs in Antarctica should cover multiple melt seasons, which will enable us to see whether SGLs are appearing at higher elevations in regions experiencing atmospheric warming, as has been observed on Greenland (Howat *et al.*, 2013). An inland expansion of SGLs has important implications for ice dynamics, because these lakes could deliver

31

meltwater to the ice sheet bed where the subglacial hydrological system is inefficient. This could lubricate the ice-bed interface and accelerate ice flow (Leeson *et al.*, 2015; Bell *et al.*, 2018).

It is known that SGL drainage on the Antarctic Peninsula can accelerate grounded ice flow, both indirectly (Scambos *et al.*, 2004) and directly (Tuckett *et al.*, 2019). Whether SGL drainage impacts the behaviour of grounded ice by draining to the bed and influencing dynamics at the ice-bed interface in West and East Antarctica remains unknown. In order to capture the behaviour and processes preceding, during and following individual SGL drainage events, low-cost autonomous sensors could be deployed with GPS, water pressure transducers, seismometers and thermistors to obtain *in-situ* measurements of ice surface flexure, water depth, seismicity and surface melt. This would capture whether peaks in meltwater discharge, ice displacement and uplift can be directly linked to lake drainage events and transient ice acceleration (Das *et al.*, 2008; Doyle *et al.*, 2013), and will enable us to make connections between local and regional changes in the supraglacial and subglacial hydrological systems.

5.3 Which ice shelves are vulnerable to supraglacial lake-induced collapse?

Another future priority is to better constrain the sensitivity of specific Antarctic ice shelves to lakeinduced hydrofracturing. Is there, for example, a threshold density or volume of SGLs needed to induce widespread fracturing and disintegration for any given stress condition? How does this threshold vary between ice shelves and what processes preclude ice shelf collapse? This is especially important for ice shelves which may already be preconditioned for hydrofracture. In particular, this includes ice shelves with high annual surface melt rates, depleted firn air content, extensional stress regimes and which lack topographic confinement and 'passive' ice, such as the Shackleton, West and Roi Baudouin ice shelves (Fürst *et al.*, 2016; Alley *et al.*, 2018; Kingslake *et al.*, 2019). In contrast, it is important to identify the stress conditions or ice thickness of some ice shelves which means that they can support a large surface area of meltwater ponding without being vulnerable to hydrofracture-driven collapse.

32

At present, challenges remain in being able to accurately represent hydrological and ice dynamic processes in models of SGL behaviour, such as hydrofracture and ice shelf flexure (Kingslake *et al.*, 2019). Regional- and continental-scale ice-sheet models do not currently incorporate processes of ice-shelf flexure and lake filling/draining, which may fracture ice shelves sufficiently to cause partial or complete disintegration. This limits how well SGL-ice shelf interactions can be modelled, including how lakes may promote future ice shelf instability (Banwell *et al.*, 2013; Buzzard *et al.*, 2018b, Robel and Banwell, 2019). Table 4 compares the features of existing SGL models and highlights that some processes are better represented in some models than others. For example, models have the ability to simulate lake evolution over multiple melt seasons (Banwell *et al.*, 2013, 2015; Buzzard *et al.*, 2018a, 2018b). However, these have tended to consider lakes as closed basins, when in fact observations have shown them to interact as part of an active hydrological network (Langley *et al.*, 2016; Bell *et al.*, 2017; Kingslake *et al.*, 2017). More observations of lake evolution and interactions between lakes will benefit the development of future ice shelf models with regards to modelling the future impacts of SGL formation on ice shelf calving and stability.

In conjunction with ice shelves, the vulnerability of floating outlet glacier tongues to these processes warrants further investigation. Assessments should focus on whether lake growth and drainage on outlet glaciers may be linked to fracturing or calving of their floating tongues, perhaps associated with sea-ice ponding and break-out events. For example, in Wilkes Land, East Antarctica, SGLs may have contributed to landfast sea-ice weakening prior to its break-up, which was followed by simultaneous outlet glacier disintegration (Miles *et al.*, 2017).

[Table 4]

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we have reviewed how advances in optical and radar satellite remote sensing have rapidly improved our knowledge of Antarctic SGLs in the last few decades. Recent increases in satellite sensor spatial resolution and revisit times have revealed that SGLs are forming around most marginal areas of the ice masses in Antarctica. From our review, it is clear that we now have a detailed knowledge of the broad-scale ice surface and climatic conditions associated with lake formation. Ice surface depressions dictate where SGLs form on the ice sheet surface, with lake formation dependent on near-surface firn air content and controlled by localised and regional wind patterns and locally-enhanced ablation. These factors combine to produce the highest densities of lakes in the upper reaches of ice shelves and clustered down-ice of grounding zones. We are now able to exploit the major advances in the spatial and temporal resolution of several satellite sensors to map SGL extent, depth and volume using semi-automated methods over the whole continent. This has resulted in new knowledge of lake distributions and drainage mechanisms in some regions, perhaps with the exception of the WAIS.

In relation to ice dynamics, observations show that SGLs occupy most Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves and can be important precursors to crevasse hydrofracturing and ice shelf collapse. In East Antarctica, lakes persistently occupy ice shelves and may be draining through the ice, but ice shelf vulnerability to hydrofracture could be lower owing to geometric controls on stress conditions and meltwater export from ice shelf surfaces. However, our knowledge of SGL evolution within and between melt seasons remains limited, together with the influence of near-surface climate on SGL occurrence and the sensitivities of remaining Antarctic ice shelves to lake-induced collapse. Future research should quantify changes in lake distributions, volumes and drainage patterns at regional and ice shelf stability, in particular by adopting a multi-sensor approach that exploits the increasing wealth of high resolution satellite imagery. Further work could constrain critical ponding thresholds on remaining Antarctic ice shelves before critical meltwater-induced disintegration is triggered. This is urgently required to better predict the role of SGLs in future Antarctic ice mass loss.

34

References

Alley, KE, Scambos, TA, Anderson, RS, et al. (2018) Quantifying vulnerability of Antarctic ice shelves to hydrofracture using microwave scattering properties. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 210: 297–306.

Banwell, AF, Caballero, M, Arnold, NS, et al. (2014) Supraglacial lakes on the Larsen B ice shelf, Antarctica, and at Paakitsoq, West Greenland: A comparative study. *Annals of Glaciology*, 55 (66): 1-8.

Banwell, AF, Willis, IC, Macdonald, GJ, et al. (2019) Direct measurements of ice-shelf flexure caused by surface meltwater ponding and drainage. *Nature Communications*, 10 (730).

Banwell, AF, MacAyeal, DR and Sergienko, OV (2013) Breakup of the Larsen B Ice Shelf triggered by chain reaction drainage of supraglacial lakes *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40 (22): 5872–5876.

Bell, RE, Chu, W, Kingslake, J, et al. (2017) Antarctic ice shelf potentially stabilized by export of meltwater in surface river. *Nature*, 544: 344–348.

Bell, RE, Banwell, AF, Trusel, LD, et al. (2018) Antarctic surface hydrology and impacts on icesheet mass balance. *Nature Climate Change*, 8, 1044–1052.

Bevan, SL, Luckman, AJ, Kuipers Munneke, P, et al. (2018) Decline in Surface Melt Duration on Larsen C Ice Shelf Revealed by The Advanced Scatterometer (ASCAT). *Earth and Space Science*, 5 (10), 578–591.

Box, JE and Ski, K (2007) Remote sounding of Greenland supraglacial melt lakes: Implications for subglacial hydraulics. *Journal of Glaciology*, 53 (181): 257-265.

Braun, M and Humbert, A (2009) Recent retreat of wilkins ice shelf reveals new insights in ice shelf breakup mechanisms. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*: 6 (2), 263–267.

van den Broeke, M (2005) Strong surface melting preceded collapse of Antarctic Peninsula ice shelf. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32 (12).

Buzzard, S, Feltham, D, and Flocco, D (2018) Modelling the fate of surface melt on the Larsen C Ice Shelf. *The Cryosphere*, 12, 3565–3575.

Christoffersen, P, Bougamont, M, Hubbard, A, et al. (2018) Cascading lake drainage on the Greenland Ice Sheet triggered by tensile shock and fracture. *Nature Communications*, 9 (1064).

Chu, VW (2014) Greenland ice sheet hydrology: A review. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 38 (1), 19-54.

Cooley, SW, and Christoffersen, P (2017) Observation Bias Correction Reveals More Rapidly Draining Lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 122 (10), 1867–1881.

Das, SB, Joughin, I, Behn, M, et al. (2008) Fracture propagation to the base of the Greenland ice sheet during supraglacial lake drainage. *Science*, 320 (5877), 778–781.

Datta, RT, Tedesco, M, Fettweis, X, et al. (2019) The Effect of Foehn-Induced Surface Melt on Firn Evolution over the Northeast Antarctic Peninsula. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46, 1–10.

Dow, CF, Lee, WS, Greenbaum, JS, et al. (2018) Basal channels drive active surface hydrology and transverse ice shelf fracture. *Science Advances*, 4 (6).

Doyle, SH, Hubbard, AL, Dow, CF, et al. (2013) Ice tectonic deformation during the rapid in situ drainage of a supraglacial lake on the Greenland Ice Sheet. *The Cryosphere*, 7 (1), 129–140.

Echelmeyer, K, Clarke, TS, and Harrison, WD (1991) Surficial glaciology of Jakobshavns Isbræ, West Greenland: Part I. Surface morphology. *Journal of Glaciology*, 37 (127), 368–382.

Ely, JC, Clark, CD, Ng, FS, et al. (2017) Insights on the formation of longitudinal surface structures on ice sheets from analysis of their spacing, spatial distribution, and relationship to ice thickness and flow. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 122 (4),961–972.

Fitzpatrick, AAW, Hubbard, AL, Box, JE, et al. (2013) A decade of supraglacial lake volume estimates across a land-terminating margin of the Greenland Ice Sheet. *The Cryosphere Discussions*, 7 (2), 1383–1414.

Fricker, HA (2002) Redefinition of the Amery Ice Shelf, East Antarctica, grounding zone. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107 (B5), 1–9.

Fürst, JJ, Durand, G, Gillet-Chaulet, F, et al. (2016) The safety band of Antarctic ice shelves. *Nature Climate Change*, 6 (5), 479–482.

Glasser, NF and Scambos, TA (2008) A structural glaciological analysis of the 2002 Larsen B iceshelf collapse. *Journal of Glaciology*, 54 (184), 3–16.

Glasser, NF, Scambos, TA, Bohlander, J, et al. (2011) From ice-shelf tributary to tidewater glacier: Continued rapid recession, acceleration and thinning of Röhss Glacier following the 1995 collapse of the Prince Gustav Ice Shelf, Antarctic Peninsula. *Journal of Glaciology*, 57 (203), 397–406.

Glasser, NF and Gudmundsson, GH (2012) Longitudinal surface structures (flowstripes) on Antarctic glaciers. *The Cryosphere*, 6 (2), 383–391.

Gudmundsson, GH (2003) Transmission of basal variability to a glacier surface. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 108 (B5), 1–19.

Hall, DK, Riggs, GA, Salomonson, VV (1995) Development of methods for mapping global snow cover using moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer data. *Remote Sensing of the Environment*, 54, 127-140.

Hambrey, MJ, Davies, BJ, Glasser, NF, et al. (2015) Structure and sedimentology of George VI Ice Shelf, Antarctic Peninsula: implications for ice-sheet dynamics and landform development. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 172 (5), 599–613.

Hambrey, MJ and Dowdeswell, JA (1994) Flow regime of the Lambert Glacier-Amery Ice Shelf system, Antarctica: structural evidence from Landsat imagery. *Annals of Glaciology*, 20, 401–406.

Harper, J, Humphrey, N, Pfeffer, WT, et al. (2012) Greenland ice-sheet contribution to sea-level rise buffered by meltwater storage in firn. *Nature*, 491 (7423), 240–243.

Holland, PR, Corr, HFJ, Pritchard, HD, et al. (2011) The air content of Larsen Ice Shelf. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38 (10), 1–6.

Howat, IM, Pena, S, van Angelen, JH, et al. (2013) Expansion of meltwater lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet. *The Cryosphere*, 7, 201–204.

Hubbard, B, Luckman, A, Ashmore, D, et al. (2016) Massive subsurface ice formed by refreezing of ice-shelf melt ponds. *Nature Communications*, 7 (11897), 1–6.

Ignéczi, Á, Sole, AJ, Livingstone, SJ, et al. (2016) Northeast sector of the Greenland Ice Sheet to undergo the greatest inland expansion of supraglacial lakes during the 21st century. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43 (18), 9729–9738.

Ignéczi, Á, Sole, AJ, Livingstone, SJ, et al. (2018) Greenland Ice Sheet Surface Topography and Drainage Structure Controlled by the Transfer of Basal Variability. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 6 (101).

Jawak, SD and Luis, AJ (2014) A Semiautomatic Extraction of Antarctic Lake Features Using Worldview-2 Imagery. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 80 (10), 939–952.

Johansson, AM and Brown, IA (2012) Observations of supra-glacial lakes in west Greenland using winter wide swath Synthetic Aperture Radar. *Remote Sensing Letters*, 3 (6), 531–539.

Johansson, AM and Brown, IA (2013) Adaptive classification of supra-glacial lakes on the west greenland ice sheet. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 6 (4), 1998–2007.

Kennicutt, MC, Chown, SL, Cassano, JJ, et al. (2014) Six priorities for Antarctic science. *Nature*, 512 (23), 5–7.

Kingslake, J, Ely, JC, Das, I, et al. (2017) Widespread movement of meltwater onto and across Antarctic ice shelves. *Nature*, 544 (7650), 349–352.

Kingslake, J, Trusel, LD, Banwell, AF, et al. (2019) Report on Antarctic surface hydrology workshop. Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, U.S. Antarctic Program (USAP) Data Center.

Kingslake, J., Ng, F. and Sole, A. (2015) Modelling channelized surface drainage of supraglacial lakes. *Journal of Glaciology*, 61 (225), 185–199.

Krawczynski, MJ, Behn, MD, Das, SB, et al. (2009) Constraints on the lake volume required for hydro-fracture through ice sheets. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36 (10),1–5.

Kuipers Munneke, P, Luckman, AJ, Bevan, SL, et al. (2018) Intense Winter Surface Melt on an Antarctic Ice Shelf. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45 (15), 7615–7623.

Labarbera, CH and MacAyeal, DR (2011) Traveling su praglacial lakes on George VI Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38 (24).

Langley, ES, Leeson, AA, Stokes, CR, et al. (2016) Seasonal evolution of supraglacial lakes on an East Antarctic outlet glacier. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43 (16), 8563–8571.

Leeson, AA, Shepherd, A, Palmer, S, et al. (2012) Simulating the growth of supraglacial lakes at the western margin of the Greenland ice sheet. *Cryosphere*, 6, 1077–1086.

Leeson, AA, Shepherd, A, Sundal, AV, et al. (2013) A comparison of supraglacial lake observations derived from MODIS imagery at the western margin of the Greenland ice sheet. *Journal of Glaciology*, 59 (218),1179–1188.

Leeson, AA, Shepherd, A, Briggs, K, et al. (2015) Supraglacial lakes on the Greenland ice sheet advance inland under warming climate. *Nature Climate Change*, 5, 51–55.

Leeson, AA, Forster, E, Rice, A, et al. (2020) Evolution of supraglacial lakes on the Larsen B ice shelf in the decades before it collapsed. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47 (4), 1–9.

Lenaerts, JTM, Vizcaino, M, Fyke, J, et al. (2016) Present-day and future Antarctic ice sheet climate and surface mass balance in the Community Earth System Model. *Climate Dynamics*, 47 (5–6),1367–1381.

Lenaerts, JTM, Lhermitte, S, Drews, R, et al. (2017) Meltwater produced by wind-albedo interaction stored in an East Antarctic ice shelf. *Nature Climate Change*, 7, 58–63.

Lenaerts, JTM, Lightenberg, SRM, Medley, B, et al. (2018) Climate and surface mass balance of coastal West Antarctica resolved by regional climate modelling. *Annals of Glaciology*, 59 (76), 29–41.

Liang, YL, Colgan, W, Lv, Q, et al. (2012) A decadal investigation of supraglacial lakes in West Greenland using a fully automatic detection and tracking algorithm. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 123, 127–138.

Lucchitta, BK and Rosanova, CE (1998) Retreat of northern margins of George VI and Wilkins Ice Shelves, Antarctic Peninsula. *Annals of Glaciology*, 27, 41–46.

Luckman, A, Elvidge, A, Jansen, D, et al. (2014) Surface melt and ponding on Larsen C Ice Shelf and the impact of föhn winds. *Antarctic Science*, 26 (6), 625–635.

Lüthje, M, Feltham, DL, Taylor, PD, et al. (2006) Modeling the summertime evolution of sea-ice melt ponds. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 111 (C2).

MacAyeal, DR, Willis, IC, Banwell, AF, et al. (2020) Diurnal lake-level cycles on ice shelves driven by meltwater input and ocean tidal tilt. *Journal of Glaciology*, pp. 1–17.

MacDonald, GJ, Banwell, AF, and MacAyeal, DR (2018) Seasonal evolution of supraglacial lakes on a floating ice tongue, Petermann Glacier, Greenland. *Annals of Glaciology*, 59 (76), 56–65.

McGrath, D, Steffen, K, Scambos, T, et al. (2012) Basal crevasses and associated surface crevassing on the Larsen C ice shelf, Antarctica, and their role in ice-shelf instability. *Annals of Glaciology*, 53 (60), 10–18.

Miles, KE, Willis, IC, Benedek, CL, et al. (2017) Toward Monitoring Surface and Subsurface Lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet Using Sentinel-1 SAR and Landsat-8 OLI Imagery. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 5 (58), 1–17.

Morriss, BF, Hawley, RL, Chipman, JW, et al. (2013) A ten-year record of supraglacial lake evolution and rapid drainage in West Greenland using an automated processing algorithm for multispectral imagery. *Cryosphere*, 7 (6), 1869–1877.

Moussavi, MS, Abdalati, W, Pope, A, et al. (2016) Derivation and validation of supraglacial lake volumes on the Greenland Ice Sheet from high-resolution satellite imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 183, 294–303.

Moussavi, MS, Pope, A, Halberstadt, ARW, et al. (2020) Antarctic supraglacial lake detection using Landsat 8 and Sentinel-2 imagery: towards continental generation of lake volumes. *Remote Sensing*, 12 (1), 1–19.

Orheim, O and Lucchitta, BK (1987) Snow and Ice Studies by Thematic Mapper and Multispectral Scanner Landsat images. *Annals of Glaciology*, 9, 109 - 118.

Phillips, HA (1998) Surface meltstreams on the Amery Ice Shelf, East Antarctica. *Annals of Glaciology*, 27, 177–181.

Philpot, WD (1989) Bathymetric mapping with passive multispectral imagery. 28 (8)1569–1577.

Pope, A, Scambos, TA, Moussavi, M et al. (2016) Estimating supraglacial lake depth in West Greenland using Landsat 8 and comparison with other multispectral methods. *Cryosphere*, 10 (1), 15–27.

Pope, A (2016) Reproducibly estimating and evaluating supraglacial lake depth with Landsat 8 and other multispectral sensors. *Earth and Space Science*, 3 (176–188).

Quincey, DJ and Luckman, A (2009) Progress in satellite remote sensing of ice sheets. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 33 (4), 547–567.

Reynolds, RW and Smith, TM (1981) Lakes on George VI Ice Shelf. *Polar Record*, 20 (128), 425–432.

Rintoul, S. R. et al. (2018) Choosing the future of Antarctica. Nature, 233-241.

Robel, A and Banwell, AF (2019) A speed limit on ice shelf collapse through hydrofracture. *Geophysical Research Letters*, pp. 1–13.

Rott, H, Skarvca, P, and Nagler, T (1996) Rapid Collapse of Northern Larsen Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Science*, 271 (788), p. 788-792.

Scambos, T, Fricker, HA, Liu, C, et al. (2009) Ice shelf disintegration by plate bending and hydrofracture: Satellite observations and model results of the 2008 Wilkins ice shelf break-ups. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 280 (1–4), 51–60.

Scambos, T, Hulbe, CM and Fahnestock, M (2003) Climate-induced Ice Shelf Disintegration in the Antarctic Peninsula. *Paleobiology and Paleoenvironments of Eoscene Rocks Antarctic Research Series*, 76, 335–347.

Scambos, TA, Hulbe, C, Fahnestock, M, et al. (2000) The link between climate warming and break-up of ice shelves in the Antarctic Peninsula. *Journal of Glaciology*, 46 (154), 516–530.

Scambos, TA, Bohlander, JA, Shuman, CA, et al. (2004) Glacier acceleration and thinning after ice shelf collapse in the Larsen B embayment, Antarctica. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31 (18), 2001–2004.

Sergienko, OV (2013) Normal modes of a coupled ice-shelf/sub-ice-shelf cavity system. *Journal of Glaciology*, 59 (213), 76–80.

Sneed, WA and Hamilton, GS (2007) Evolution of melt pond volume on the surface of the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34 (3), 4–7.

Sneed, WA and Hamilton, GS (2011) Validation of a method for determining the depth of glacial melt ponds using satellite imagery. *Annals of Glaciology*, 52 (59), 15–22.

Stokes, CR, Sanderson, JE, Miles, BWJ, et al. (2019) Widespread development of supraglacial lakes around the margin of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet. *Scientific Reports*, 9: 13823.

Sundal, AV, Shepherd, A, Nienow, P, et al. (2009) Evolution of supra-glacial lakes across the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113, 2164–2171.

Swithinbank, C (1988) Satellite image atlas of glaciers of the world: Antarctica. *United States Geological Survey Professional Paper 1386-B*, 36 (122), 122–124.

Tedesco, M, Luthje, M, Steffen, K, et al. (2012) Measurement and modeling of ablation of the bottom of supraglacial lakes in western Greenland. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39 (2), 1–5.

Tedesco, M. *et al.* (2013) Ice dynamic response to two modes of surface lake drainage on the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Environmental Research Letters*, 78, 1-9.

Tedesco, M (2014) Remote Sensing of the Cryosphere. 1st edn. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.

Trusel, LD, Frey, KE, Das, SB, *et al.* (2013) Satellite-based estimates of Antarctic surface meltwater fluxes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40 (23), 6148–6153.

Trusel, LD, Frey, KE and Das, SB (2012) Antarctic surface melting dynamics: Enhanced perspectives from radar scatterometer data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 117 (2), 1–15.

Tuckett, PA, Ely, JC, Sole, AJ, et al. (2019) Rapid accelerations of Antarctic Peninsula outlet glaciers driven by surface melt. *Nature Communications*, 10 (4311), 1–8.

Vaughan, DG, Mantripp, DR, Sievers, J et al. (1993) A synthesis of remote sensing data on Wilkins Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Annals of Glaciology*, 17 (1989), 211–218.

Van Wessem, JM, van de Berg, WJ, Nöel, BPY, et al. (2018) Modelling the climate and surface mass balance of polar ice sheets using RACMO2 - Part 2: Antarctica (1979-2016). *Cryosphere*, 12 (4), 1479–1498.

Wiesenekker, JM, Kuipers Munneke, P, van den Broeke, MR, et al. (2018) A multidecadal analysis of Föhn winds over Larsen C ice shelf from a combination of observations and modeling. *Atmosphere*, 9 (172), 1–13.

Williamson, AG, Arnold, NS, Banwell, AF, et al. (2017) A Fully Automated Supraglacial lake area and volume Tracking ("FAST") algorithm: Development and application using MODIS imagery of West Greenland. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 196, 113–133.

Williamson, AG, Willis, IC, Arnold, NS, et al. (2018) Dual-satellite (Sentinel-2 and Landsat 8) remote sensing of supraglacial lakes in Greenland. *Cryosphere*, 12 (9), 3045–3065.

Winther, J, Elvehoy, H, Boggild, CE, et al. (1996) Melting, runoff and the formation of frozen lakes in a mixed snow and blue-ice field in Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica. *Journal of Glaciology*, 42 (141), 271–278.

Yang, K and Smith, LC (2013) Supraglacial streams on the greenland ice sheet delineated from combined spectral-shape information in high-resolution satellite imagery. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 10 (4), 801–805.

Data availability

Satellite imagery was sourced from the United States Geological Survey EarthExplorer (https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/), National Snow and Ice Data Center Antarctic Ice Shelf Image Archive (http://nsidc.org/data/iceshelves_images/), Google Earth, and the DigitalGlobe image viewer (https://discover.digitalglobe.com/).

Acknowledgements

JFA acknowledges a Natural Environment Research Council Doctoral Training Partnership Studentship (grant no. NE/L002590/1). We acknowledge the Norwegian Polar Institute's Quantarctica package. The authors are grateful for comments during the peer review process and also thank the Editor.

Author contributions

JFA, CRS and SSRJ conceived the idea for the manuscript. JFA led the preparation and writing of the manuscript, with all authors contributing ideas and editing. JFA produced the figures.

Table 1. Published remote sensing-based assessments of supraglacial lakes and other supraglacial features on Antarctica (in chronological order of publication date).

Source	Assessment Period	Region	Sub-region	SGLs/surface meltwater features detected	Lake metric(s) measured	Satellite Platform/Sensor	Measurement Method
Mellor and McKinnon (1960)	1955-1958	East Antarctica	Amery Ice Shelf	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	Trimetrogon Aerial Photography (ANARE)	Visual identification
Reynolds (1981)	1980	Antarctic Peninsula	George VI ice shelf	Not specifically listed	Extent Landsat		Schematic drawing
Orheim and Lucchitta (1987)	1975-76	East Antarctica	Dronning Maud Land	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	Landsat TM	Visual identification
Swithinbank (1988)	1972-1974	East Antarctica	Amery Ice Shelf	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	Landsat 1	Visual identification
Vaughan et al. (1993)	1986, 1990	Antarctic Peninsula	Wilkins Ice Shelf	Not specifically listed	Locations	Landsat TM	Manual delineation
Hambrey and Dowdeswell (1994)	1974	East Antarctica	Lambert Glacier, Amery Ice Shelf	Not specifically listed	Presence of meltwater features confirmed	Landsat MSS	Manual delineation
Winther et al. (1996)	1990	East Antarctica	Dronning Maud Land	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	Landsat TM	Visual identification
Rott et al. (1996)	1993	Antarctic Peninsula	Northern Larsen ice shelf	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	ERS-1 SAR	Visual identification
Lucchitta and Rosanova (1998)	1995	Antarctic Peninsula	Wilkins ice shelf	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	ERS-1	Visual identification

Winther et al. (2001)	1980 - 1987	East Antarctica	Jutulstraumen, Dronning Maud Land	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	Landsat TM	Visual interpretation
Scambos et al. (2000)	1986 - 2000	Antarctic Peninsula	Larsen B ice shelf	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR), Landsat	Visual identification
Fricker et al. (2002)	1997	East Antarctica	Amery Ice Shelf	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	Landsat TM, RADARSAT	Visual identification
Bindschadler et al. (2002)	2000	Antarctic Peninsula	Larsen C ice shelf	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	Landsat 7, IKONOS	Visual identification
Glasser and Scambos (2008)	2000 - 2002	Antarctic Peninsula	Larsen B ice shelf	2696	Extent	Landsat 7, ASTER	Manual delineation
Glasser et al. (2011)	1988, 1990	Antarctic Peninsula	Prince Gustav ice shelf	Not specifically listed	Extent	Landsat 4/5 TM	Manual delineation
LaBarbera and MacAyeal (2011)	2001 - 2010	Antarctic Peninsula	George VI ice shelf	Not specifically listed	Extent	Landsat 7 ETM+	Manual delineation
Banwell et al. (2014)	2000, 2001	Greenland, Antarctica	Paakitsoq, Larsen B ice shelf	3227	Extent, Area, depth, orientation, eccentricity	Landsat 7 ETM+	Extent: following Box and Ski (2007); Depth: following Sneed and Hamilton (2007)
Jawak and Luis (2014)	2010	East Antarctica	Larsemann Hills	36	Extent, Area, Depth	WorldView-2	Manual delineation, NDWI, spectral processing and target detection
Luckman et al. (2014)	2006 - 2012	Antarctic Peninsula	Larsen C ice shelf	Not specifically listed	Extent, duration	Envisat ASAR	Semi-automated pixel- based classification

Hambrey et al. (2015)	2003	Antarctic Peninsula	George VI ice shelf	Not specifically listed	Extent	Landsat 7 ETM+	Manual delineation
Kingslake et al. (2015)	2002 - 2009	East Antarctica	Nivlisen Ice Shelf, Dronning Maud Land	(modelling study)	Presence of lakes confirmed	MODIS, Landsat	Not mapped
Langley et al. (2016)	2000 - 2013	East Antarctica	Langhovde Glacier, Dronning Maud Land	7990 lakes, 855 surface channels	Extent, Area	ASTER, Landsat ETM+	Manual delineation
Hubbard et al. (2016)	2001 - 2014	Antarctic Peninsula	Larsen C ice shelf	Not specifically listed	Presence/absence of lakes noted	MODIS	Visual identification
Lenaerts et al. (2017)	2010 - 2011	East Antarctica	Roi Baudouin Ice Shelf, Dronning Maud Land	55	Spatial distribution of meltwater features	MODIS, Landsat, PALSAR (ALOS)	Manual delineation
Bell et al. (2017)	1974-2014	East Antarctica	Nansen Ice Shelf	Not specifically listed	Extent, Area, volume	Trimetrogon Aerial Photography (TMA), Landsat 8 OLI	NDWI, depth-retrieval algorithm
Kingslake et al. (2017)	1947 - 2015	Antarctica	Continent-wide (predominantly ice shelves)	696 lakes and surface streams	Extent	Landsat 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8 (LIMA); WorldView 1, ASTER	Manual delineation
Buzzard et al. (2018)	2010 - 2011	Antarctic Peninsula	Larsen C ice shelf	Not specifically listed	Extent, average and maximum depth	Landsat 8	Following Pope et al. (2016)
Munneke et al. (2018)	2016	Antarctic Peninsula	Larsen C ice shelf	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	Sentinel 1A C-band SAR	Visual identification
Banwell et al. (2019)	2016-2017	East Antarctica	McMurdo Ice Shelf	4	Extent, Area, volume	Landsat 8	NDWI, depth-retrieval algorithm

McDonald et al. (2019)	1999 - 2018	East Antarctica	McMurdo Ice Shelf	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	Landsat 7/8, MODIS	Visual identification
Tuckett et al., (2019)	2016 - 2018	Antarctic Peninsula	5 northern outlet glaciers	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	Sentinel 2, Landsat 7, 8	Visual identification
Liang et al. (2019)	2005 - 2015	East Antarctica	Polar Record Glacier (east of Amery Ice Shelf)	Not specifically listed	Extent, area	Landsat 7, 8, ASTER	Blue/red band thresholding
Zheng and Zhou (2019)	2015	East Antarctica	Shackleton Ice Shelf	Not specifically listed	Presence of lakes confirmed	Landsat 8	NDWI
Stokes et al. (2019)	2017	East Antarctica	Continent-wide	65,459 lakes	Extent, area, volume	Landsat 8, Sentinel 2	NDWI, Area-volume scaling
Moussavi et al. (2020)	2013 - 2019	East Antarctica	Amery, Roi Baudouin, Nivlisen, Riiser-Larsen ice shelves	Not specifically listed	Extent, area, volume	Landsat 8, Sentinel 2	NDWI, depth-retrieval algorithm
Leeson et al. (2020)	1979 - 2000	Antarctic Peninsula	Larsen B Ice Shelf (pre-collapse)	Up to 1170	Extent, area, volume	ERS01, ERS-2, Landsat 1, 4, 5, 7	NDWI and manual delineation, depth- retrieval algorithm

Table 2. A comparison of different optical and radar satellite remote sensing approaches for detecting, measuring and monitoring supraglacial lakes in Antarctica. Note only satellites still in operation are included.

Optical sensors Radar sensors Commercial Non-commercial Commercial Worldview IKONOS MODIS ASTER RADARSAT Planet Landsat Landsat Sentinel Sentinel 1/2 8 OLI 2 A/B 1 A/B 7 ETM+ Scope 2 MSI Detection during polar night X X X X X X X X \checkmark \checkmark Unaffected by cloud cover X X X \checkmark \checkmark X X X X X Detection of lake evolution on \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark X X X \checkmark X X X ≤5 day timescales Wide (> 100 km) image swath Х Х X \checkmark Х \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark ✓ (ScanSAR) \checkmark for regional lake assessments modes) Spatially resolves small \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark X \checkmark X ✓ (single- $(\leq 225 \text{ m}^2)$ supraglacial lakes beam modes) Spatially resolves very small (≤ \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark X X X Х ✓ (Spotlight) Х X 9 m²) supraglacial lakes mode)

Table 3. A regional comparison of supraglacial lake characteristics that have been observed in Antarctica. Grouped according to regions: Peninsula, Dronning Maud Land, Mac Robertson Land, Queen Mary Land, Wilkes Land, Oates Land, Victoria Land, and West Antarctica. Note: where individual lake area is unknown or has not been reported, the total lake area coverage is included.

	Individual lake area (km²)	Individu al lake depth (m)	Elevation (m a.s.l)	Distribution (GZ = grounding zone, FT = floating tongue, CF = calving front)	Blue ice	Expos ed rock	Wind- induced melting	Onset/ freeze-up period	Drainage behaviour	Association with channel network/ drainage-fed	Association with other features (dolines, moulins)
Antarctic Peninsula Larsen B Ice Shelf (collapsed)	~0.2 - 0.6	~1-4 (deepest 6.8)	Sea-level	Widespread across FT, not constricted to GZ	Not present	X	1	Present until Feb, when floating ice cover common	Rapid <i>in-situ</i> drainage	√	✓ dolines (~100 m wide, up to 19m deep), cross- cutting rifts/crevasses
Larsen C Ice Shelf	Tens km ²	0.1 – 1.3	Sea-level	Confined to western inlets	✓	✓	√ (Föhn)	Onset Dec, present in May during föhn wind events	In-situ drainage/ freeze-over	Not observed to date	Not observed to date
George VI Ice Shelf	Several km ²	A few metres	Sea-level	Widespread across FT, particularly central part of the shelf, generally absent at CF	~	~	✓ 	Onset mid- Nov	Drainage through crevasses	1	✓ dolines, moulins
Wilkins Ice Shelf	~400 (ice shelf region containing lakes)	unknown	Sea-level	Confined to north- eastern corner near CF, and eastern inlets around tributary glaciers	Not present	√	Not reported	Present in Jan and in March.	Possible <i>in-</i> <i>situ</i> drainage	1	✓ dolines, crevasses, rifts
Prince Gustav Ice Shelf (collapsed)	~0.1 – 1	unknown	Sea-level	Widespread prior to 2001, then mainly confined to GZ, and extensive on tributary glaciers	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Present on tributary glaciers Nov – Feb	Crevasse drainage (inferred from sediment cores)	1	✓ crevasses/ rifts, dolines
Dronning Maud Land	Up to ~50	~1	200 – 600	Clustered around GZ	\checkmark	√	√ (Katabatic)	Onset Dec, freeze-up	Suspected freeze-up	Not observed to date	Not observed to date

Riiser- Larsen Ice Shelf								inferred late Feb			
Fimbul Ice Shelf	Up to ~3	≤0.5	100 – 200, up to 1583	Above GL in shear zone adjacent to Justulstraumen margin & Fimbulheimen	✓	X (Only inland from the shelf)	√ (Katabatic)	Present Dec- Feb, some ice-covered	Supraglacial drainage/ freeze-up	Not observed to date	✓ rifts, crevasses
Nivlisen Ice Shelf	~ 1 – 40	unknown	0 – 200	Clustered around GZ	√	1	√ (Katabatic)	Present in December	Rapid (weeks) supraglacial drainage	1	Not observed to date
Roi Baudouin Ice Shelf	Tens of km ²	Up to ~4	Up to ~200	Clustered around GZ, absent towards CF	√	Not present	✓ (Katabatic)	Present Jan- Feb	Refreezing/ englacial drainage	\checkmark	✓ doline, moulin, river
Langhovde Glacier	Up to 0.0045	0 – 3	Up to 670 (most below 100)	Most extensive on FT up to the CF, but also above GL	√	√	√ (Katabatic)	Present late Nov – late Feb	Refreezing/o verland drainage/infe rred in-situ drainage	\checkmark	✓ dolines, rivers
Mac Robertson Land Amery Ice Shelf	Up to ~71	Up to ~5	~60 – 200	Extensive network across upper half of shelf, absent towards CF	✓	√	✓ (Katabatic)	Re-freeze late Feb	Refreezing/o verland drainage	\checkmark	✓ dolines (tens km² area, ~ 80 - 170 m deep), crevasses/rifts
Queen Mary Land Shackleton Ice Shelf	Up to 4.5	Up to ~5	0 – 530	Clustered around GZ, absent towards CF	✓	✓ 	~	Present Dec- Feb	Supraglacial drainage, freeze-up	\checkmark	✓ rifts, crevasses
Wilkes Land (Vincennes Bay)	Up to 0.6	Up to 2.5	0 – 390	Concentrated on FT, absent on largest outlets	√	Not present (except around Casey Station)	√ (Katabatic)	Present Jan- Feb	Re-freeze?	Not observed to date	✓ rifts, crevasses
Victoria Land Nansen Ice Shelf	Tens of km ²	0 – 3	≤ 40	Widespread across FT up to CF	√	√	√ (Katabatic)	Present Jan- Feb	Drainage into streams/river s	\checkmark	✓ rivers, waterfall
McMurdo Ice Shelf	Up to ~ 2	≤~1	≤ 10	Concentrated in debris-covered ablation zone and tributary glaciers	√	√	√ (Katabatic)	Present Dec- Feb	Freeze-over	✓	Not observed to date

Table 4. A comparison of some of the features of existing models specifically modelling supraglacial lakes, either in an idealized setting or with

	Kingslake et al.	Banwell et al. (2013)	Banwell et al.	Buzzard et al.	Robel and Banwell
	(2015)		(2015)	(2018a and 2018b)	(2019)
Idealized lake or forced by	Idealized	Used observed lake	Idealized	Idealized	Idealized
observational data		centres and areas on			
		Larsen B (Glasser			
		and Scambos, 2008)			
Melting within the lake after formation	\checkmark	X	\checkmark	\checkmark	X
(lake-bottom ablation)					
Meltwater retention in firn	X	X	×	\checkmark	X
Effect of lakes on surface energy	X	X	X	✓	X
balance					
Lake filling / draining over multiple melt	X	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	X
seasons/cycles					
Lateral transport	\checkmark	X	X	\checkmark	X
Interaction with supraglacial	\checkmark	X	X	X	X
channel/channel network					
Multiple lake drainage/freeze-over	\checkmark	X	X	\checkmark	X
mechanisms					

reference to Antarctica. Modified after Buzzard et al. (2018a).