2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

Earth stabilisation via carbonate precipitation by plantderived urease for building applications

A. Cuccurullo¹, D. Gallipoli², A. W. Bruno³, C. Augarde⁴, P. Hughes⁴, C. La Borderie¹

¹Laboratoire SIÂME, Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, France

²Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile, Chimica e Ambientale, Università degli Studi di Genova, Italy

³School of Engineering, Newcastle University, United Kingdom

⁴Department of Engineering, Durham University, United Kingdom

10 ABSTRACT: Raw (unfired) earth represents a sustainable and efficient alternative to traditional construction 11 materials but its dissemination into building practice has been hindered by a relatively high vulnerability to water 12 erosion. Enzyme induced carbonate precipitation (EICP) can improve the durability of earth materials without 13 using traditional chemical binders such as cement and lime. EICP utilises the urease enzyme to catalyse the 14 hydrolysis of urea, which produces carbonate ions that react with the calcium ions dissolved in the pore water, 15 thus resulting in the precipitation of calcium carbonate. The calcium carbonate fills the soil voids and binds 16 particles together, which reduces water permeability and increases material strength. The urease enzyme is a 17 hexameric protein that is found in the tissues of many common plants. This work proposes a low-cost and simple 18 stabilisation technology that makes use of crude urease enzyme extracted from soybeans. This technology is 19 applied to the stabilisation of compacted earth, whose properties are then assessed via unconfined compression, 20 moisture buffering and durability tests. The findings suggest a noticeable improvement of material strength and 21 durability, though further investigation is necessary to increase the competitiveness of EICP stabilisation against 22 standard techniques using cement and lime.

Keywords: Soil stabilisation; Biocementation; Enzyme induced carbonate precipitation (EICP); Plant-derived
 urease; Earth construction

25 1. INTRODUCTION

26 **1.1. Context**

Raw earth is a construction material consisting of a compacted mix of soil and water which is put in 27 28 place with the least possible transformation.¹ Archaeological evidence from clay-rich regions of ancient 29 Mesopotamia (between the Euphrates and Tigris rivers) and Afghanistan indicates that raw earth was 30 used for the construction of buildings since 10000 BC. Earth is harmless to humans, can be locally sourced and is easily transported to the construction site. Earth is also recyclable, inexhaustible and, 31 32 when properly manufactured, offers high strength, excellent hygrothermal properties and small 33 embodied energy at very low costs. Therefore, raw earth materials can dramatically reduce exploitation of natural resources not only during construction of buildings but also during the service life by cutting 34 heating/air conditioning needs and limiting demolition waste.² 35

Despite these advantages, the dissemination of earth materials into routine building has been limited 36 mainly because of their high vulnerability to water erosion. Earth rapidly absorb any free water that it 37 meets,^{3,4} generally exhibiting a linear increase of moisture content with the square root of time.^{5,6} 38 39 Moisture ingress reduces the strength and stiffness of the earth while producing structural damages 40 caused by swelling of the clay fraction. Erosion depths between 5 mm and 10 mm have been reported 41 on the surface of 400 mm thick unstabilised earth wallets exposed to a wet continental climate for twenty 42 years.⁷ Therefore, well-preserved earth buildings, dating back hundreds of years, are relatively common in dry climates but are unusual in wet climates. 43

One of the main challenges lies in the improvement of earth durability by means of stabilisation methods
 that avoid energy intensive processes or environmentally unfriendly binders such as cement or lime.

46 Stabilisation by cement or lime undermines the hygroscopic properties of raw earth, thus reducing the

47 hygro-thermal inertia of building walls with a consequent increase of operational energy.^{8,9} Alternative

chemical binders, such polymers or alkoxysilanes, have also been used to stabilise the superficial layer
of earth walls exposed to environmental actions. These techniques have, however, encountered limited
success as they do not counteract the swelling/shrinkage of clay minerals but only diminish the
symptoms of the wearing process, thus being ineffective in the long-term.¹⁰

52 **1.2. Research objectives**

This paper describes a biomediated earth stabilisation method that relies on the hydrolysis of urea 53 54 (ureolysis) to induce the precipitation of calcium carbonate and the consequent enhancement of material properties.¹¹⁻¹⁶ The application of microbial processes to the catalysis of ureolytic reactions inside soils 55 has captured the attention of many scientists across the world.^{13,17-21} Past research has shown that 56 ureolytic reactions are catalysed by the urease enzyme, which is produced by the metabolic activity of 57 microbes, either exogenous or endogenous to the soil.^{13-15,18,22-31} Based on these findings, researchers 58 have developed microbially induced carbonate precipitation (MICP) techniques, which have proven 59 generally successful in stabilising earth materials but have also displayed several shortcomings. The 60 61 main shortcoming is perhaps the unsuitability of MICP to the stabilisation of compacted fine-grained materials (i.e., clays and silts) due to the impossibility of microorganisms to migrate through very small 62 pores.³² For example, the Sporosarcina pasteurii bacteria have a size of the order of microns, which is 63 64 larger than most pores inside fine-grained materials. Furthermore, microbial colonies remain alive inside the soil long after the stabilisation reactions have occurred with potential negative impacts on the 65 66 surrounding ecosystem.

67 Because of the above limitations, some authors have recently proposed the direct use of the urease enzyme as an alternative to the cultivation of microbes inside the soil, which has led to a new category 68 69 of enzyme induced carbonate precipitation (EICP) techniques for the stabilisation of earth materials.^{16,32-} 70 40 The molecule of the urease enzyme has a size of around 12 nm and can fit in very small pores, thus 71 overcoming the main disadvantage of MICP. Furthermore, unlike microbial colonies, the urease enzyme has a relatively short life span with an activity that naturally degrades with time, thus avoiding any 72 significant impact on the surrounding ecosystem.⁴¹ Traditional EICP techniques make use of pure 73 74 reagent-grade urease enzyme that is purchased from chemical suppliers at relatively high cost. 75 Conversely, the present work promotes the use of crude plant-derived urease enzyme via simple and 76 cost-effective processes that can be easily adopted by building practitioners.^{36,42-45}

The paper starts with an overview of the key aspects that govern the kinetics of urea hydrolysis. This provides the basis for optimising the catalyst action of the urease enzyme and, therefore, maximising calcium carbonate precipitation. A larger precipitation of calcium carbonate is here assumed to produce a greater improvement of the mechanical characteristics of the earth material. An optimised EICP method is devised and applied to the stabilisation of compacted silty clay samples, which are subjected to unconfined compression, moisture buffering and durability tests to assess the improvement of hygromechanical properties.

84 2. ENZYME INDUCED CARBONATE PRECIPITATION (EICP)

85 **2.1.** Chemical reactions leading to calcium carbonate precipitation

Eqs. (1)-(5) illustrate the EICP reactions that are catalysed by the free urease enzyme in an aqueous solution of urea and calcium chloride. The urease enzyme hydrolyses one mole of urea $(CO(NH_2)_2)$ into

one mole of ammonia (NH₃) and one mole of carbamic acid (NH₂COOH) as shown in Eq. (1).¹⁶ One

89 mole of NH_2COOH is then hydrolysed into another mole of NH_3 plus one mole of carbonic acid (H_2CO_3)

90 as shown in Eq. (2). Carbonate ions (CO_3^{2-}) , ammonium ions (NH_4^+) and hydroxide ions (OH^-)

91 equilibrate in water as the result of the above reactions (Eqs. (3) and (4)). Hydroxide ions (OH⁻), in

92 particular, lead to an increase of the alkalinity of the solution. In the presence of calcium ions (Ca^{2+}),

93 under supersaturated conditions, one mole of CO_3^{2-} then precipitates into one mole of calcium carbonate

94 (CaCO₃) Eq. (5), which is the ultimate objective of the proposed stabilisation method.

- 95 $CO(NH_2)_2 + H_2O \rightarrow NH_2COOH + NH_3 \quad (1)$
- 97 $NH_2COOH + H_2O \rightarrow NH_3 + H_2CO_3 \qquad (2)$
- 98 99 $2NH_3 + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2NH_4^+ + 2OH^-$ (3)
- 100
- 101 $2OH^- + H_2CO_3 \to CO_3^{2-} + 2H_2O$
- 102 103 $Ca^{2+} + CO_3^{2-} \rightarrow CaCO_3$ (5)

The above reactions indicate that precipitation of calcium carbonate is a rather straightforward chemical process that is governed by the following factors: the source of calcium ions, the concentration of urea and calcium ions^{21,46} and, finally, the pH and temperature of the solution.^{47,48} The next sections briefly describe the influence of each one of these factors on the precipitation of calcium carbonate.

(4)

108 2.2 Source of urease enzyme

96

109 The urease enzyme was the first nickel metalloenzyme ever purified and crystallised from jack beans (Canavalia ensiformis), an achievement that yielded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry to James B. Summer 110 in 1946. Since then, different types of nickel dependent ureases have been isolated from bacteria, fungi 111 and plants. Chemical suppliers commercialise pure reagent-grade urease enzyme, which is effective for 112 catalysing the hydrolysis of urea, but it is also very expensive and, therefore, not suitable for earth 113 114 construction. A few studies have therefore explored the use of urease extracted from plants by means of simple and cost-effective techniques, referred to herein as crude extracts.^{36,42-45} Among these studies, 115 116 those making use of crude jack bean extract are the majority.

Larsen et al.⁴² used jack bean meal as a source of urease (with an activity of 1,700 Units/g) for plugging 117 pervious strata in oil wells. The use of jack bean meal resulted in increasing the amount of precipitation 118 119 compared to the use of high-purity commercially available urease (with an activity of 150,000 Units/g). The authors of the study concluded that the presence of other proteins in crude extracts prevents the 120 coprecipitation of the urease protein with CaCO₃ by exercising a protective effect on the urease enzyme 121 and consequently leading to greater $CaCO_3$ precipitation. The study reported a tenfold increase in $CaCO_3$ 122 precipitation (from 20 to 200 grams per litre) when high-purity commercial enzyme was replaced with 123 jack bean meal. Similar results were obtained from Tirkolaei et al.⁴⁵ who studied the cementation of a 124 granular soil using crude extracts from different plants (i.e., jack bean, soybean and watermelon seeds) 125 and commercially available enzymes. Test-tubes experiments showed that, among the plants tested, the 126 127 crude jack bean provided the highest unit yield defined as urease content per initial mass of source material. Unconfined compression tests on the cemented soil demonstrated that the crude extract is also 128 more effective at enhancing soil strength compared to commercially available highly purified urease. In 129 line with Larsen et al.⁴², Tirkolaei at al.⁴⁵ suggested that the presence of organic impurities and 130 complementary proteins enhances the effectiveness of EICP. Park et al.³⁶ treated a sandy soil with 131 various amounts of jack bean extract, urea, and different calcium sources (i.e., calcium chloride, calcium 132 133 hydroxide and calcium nitrate). They found that, as the amount of urea increased, the unconfined compression strength of the treated soil increased up to 317 kPa. This level of measured strength was 134 135 tenfold greater than that of the untreated soil and similar to that of the soil stabilised with 4% of highearly strength Portland cement. Furthermore, the use of calcium chloride yielded the highest strength 136 levels compared to the other two calcium sources. Other studies^{43,44} explored extraction of urease 137 138 enzyme from soybeans and watermelon seeds with similar conclusions.

139 2.3 Source of Ca²⁺ ions

- 140 Mixing concentrated solutions of Ca^{2+} and CO_3^{2-} ions leads to the precipitation of calcium carbonate in 141 three consecutive steps: a) formation of amorphous calcium carbonate characterised by low stability and
- high solubility, b) transformation of amorphous calcium carbonate into vaterite and c) transformation of
- 143 thermodynamically unstable vaterite into stable calcite.⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ Furthermore, different sources of Ca^{2+} ions

induce precipitation of calcium carbonate crystals with different shapes as revealed by electron
microscopy. The rhombohedral shape, which is induced by calcium chloride (CaCl₂), is characteristic
of calcite, i.e. the most stable form of calcium carbonate.⁵²⁻⁵⁴ Instead, calcium acetate induces a lettuce
like or lamellar shape that is characteristic of vaterite, a metastable form of calcium carbonate. Finally,
calcium lactate and calcium gluconate induce a more complex growth of vaterite crystals with spherical
shapes.⁵⁵ Overall, calcium chloride appears the best option to enhance urease activity and maximise the
production of a stable form of calcium carbonate.⁵⁶

151 **2.4** Concentration of chemical reagents (urea and Ca²⁺ ions)

Nemati and Voordouw³³ conducted one of the earliest investigations into the parameters that affect the 152 efficiency of EICP. Their objective was to enhance fossil fuels recovery by consolidating sand in oil 153 reservoirs and to trace contaminants in ground water systems. Nemati and Voordouw³³ conducted test-154 tubes experiments to evaluate the influence of the amount of urease enzyme and the concentration of 155 reagents (i.e. urea, calcium chloride and skim milk powder as stabiliser) on the rate and quantity of 156 157 calcium carbonate precipitation. They concluded that, for a constant concentration of reagents, an increase in the amount of urease enzyme produced a faster reaction. Conversely, a proportional increase 158 159 of reagents concentration resulted in a slower reaction, though the final quantity of precipitate increased if sufficient time was allowed. Nemati and Voordouw³³ concluded that, as the concentration of reagents 160 increases, longer curing times are needed for completely converting reactants into products. Other 161 studies^{34,35,39,56-59} confirmed that, for a fixed amount of urease enzyme, higher concentrations of urea and 162 calcium chloride yield greater quantities of precipitate if enough time is allowed. Nevertheless, if the 163 164 amount of urease enzyme and curing time are both fixed, there is an optimal concentration of the 165 reagents leading to the highest precipitation of calcium carbonate.

Urease activity also plays a key role in determining the optimal amount of enzyme, i.e. the amount that maximises reaction rate while avoiding inhibition of catalysis at high reagents concentrations. Nemati and Voordouw³³ used purified urease with a very high activity of 26,100 Units/g, which explains why only a very little amount of enzyme was necessary (0.01-0.1 g/L) to initiate the ureolytic reactions without any inhibition of catalysis at all reagents concentration. Other studies, which employed enzymes of different nature and activity, observed instead inhibition of catalysis at large reagents concentrations

172 with a consequent reduction of calcium carbonate precipitation.

173 2.5 pH

The pH of the reaction medium is a crucial abiotic factor that influences the activity of the urease enzyme 174 during hydrolysis of urea.⁶⁰ Rohy et al.⁶¹ observed that an acidic reaction medium delays the EICP 175 reaction rate, which is also consistent with the results from Cheng et al.⁶² about delayed MICP 176 stabilisation. Rohy et al.⁶¹ investigated the compressive strength of stabilised soil samples with 100 mm 177 height and 50 mm diameter where, for each increment in molarity of the reagents (urea-CaCl₂), a greater 178 quantity of purified urease was used. Measurements of pH showed that acidity tended to increase with 179 180 the increase in molarity of the reagents with values of pH equal to 5.69 and 4.46 for 1 mol/L and 3 mol/L solutions, respectively. This decrease of pH was shown to be due to the increase in urea concentration, 181 which led to a slower rate of carbonate precipitation upon the addition of free urease. Samples prepared 182 with a 3 mol/L solution showed a compressive strength of 3 MPa when cured for 14 days, which is an 183 increase of 500% compared to the strength of the same samples cured for only 3 days. This confirmed 184 185 that a curing period of 3 days was not enough for full stabilisation to take place because of the slower rate of carbonate precipitation at lower levels of pH. 186

187 **2.6 Temperature**

188 Neupane et al.⁶³ investigated the effect of temperature on both EICP reaction rate and amount of 189 precipitate inside coarse sand samples of 5 cm diameter and 100 cm height. Their objective was to 190 reduce the reaction rate for achieving an uniform distribution of precipitate over large soil areas. The 191 sample columns were injected with two cementing solutions, containing fixed amounts of urea, calcium

chloride and purified urease enzyme at mixing temperatures of 5 °C and 23.5 °C, respectively. The 192 193 solution at temperature of 23.5 °C generated very large amounts of calcium carbonate near the injection point. Precipitation progressively reduced to a depth of 60 cm, beyond which no significant amount of 194 precipitate was detected. On the contrary, the columns injected with cementing solution at temperature 195 196 of 5 °C, exhibited a smaller but much more uniform precipitation of calcium carbonate. This indicates that a decrease of temperature from 23.5 °C to 5 °C reduces the reaction rate and the amount of 197 precipitation. These results are in agreement with those of Nemati and Voordouw,³³ who found that the 198 reactants conversion rate was 100% after 120 hours at temperatures between 30°C and 50°C but it 199 dropped to 70% after 300 hours at a temperature of 20 °C. Similarly, van Paassen⁶⁴ found that the rate 200 of ureolysis doubled approximately every 8 °C as temperature increased from 5 °C to 70 °C. 201

Nevertheless, increasing temperature to very high levels may lead to a progressive denaturation of the urease enzyme. For example, Illeová et al.⁶⁵ demonstrated that enzyme activity was completely lost after 40 min of exposure to a temperature of 87.5 °C. Dhami et al.⁶⁶ found that the urease enzyme was stable at 35 °C but its activity decreased by almost 47% when temperature increased to 55 °C. Wu et al.⁶⁷ investigated urea hydrolysis in the absence of a calcium source and observed decreasing rates of ammonium production at temperatures above 30 °C with no ammonium production at 50 °C.

208 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

209

210 **3.1. Bouisset soil**

The soil used in the present work was provided by the brickwork factory Bouisset from the region of Toulouse (France) and is one of the five soils from different brickwork factories tested in the project TERCRUSO.⁶⁸ The project TERCRUSO⁶⁸ showed that the Bouisset soil possesses similar hygromechanical characteristics and better water durability properties than the other four soils, which is the reason why it was selected in the present study.

Fig. 1 shows the grain size distribution of the Bouisset soil, which was determined as the average of

three independent measurements by means of wet sieving and sedimentation tests in compliance with the norms XP P94-041⁶⁹ and NF P 94-057.⁷⁰ Fig. 1 also presents the lower and upper limits of the

recommended grading ranges for the manufacture of compressed earth bricks according to AFNOR⁷¹;
 CRATerre-EAG⁷² and MOPT.⁷³



221

Fig. 1: Grain size distribution of the Bouisset soil in relation to existing recommendations for the manufacture of
 compressed earth bricks by AFNOR⁷¹; CRATerre-EAG⁷² and MOPT.⁷³

Inspection of Fig. 1 indicates a well graded grain size distribution that is slightly finer than the limit prescribed by current recommendations for compressed earth bricks. The specific gravity of the solid 226 particles, G_s is reported in Table 1 and was measured as the average of four pycnometer tests performed according to the norm NF P 94-054.⁷⁴ The plasticity properties of the fine fraction (i.e. the fraction 227 smaller than 0.400 mm) were measured according to the norm NF P94-051.⁷⁵ The Atterberg limits, i.e. 228 the liquid limit w_L , plastic limit w_P and plasticity index I_P , were all determined as the average of four 229 230 independent measurements and are given in Table 1.

- 231 Fig. 2 shows the plasticity characteristics of the Bouisset soil with reference to the Casagrande chart, which indicates that the material can be classified as a low plasticity clay. Note also that the Bouisset 232
- soil falls inside the recommended plasticity region for the manufacture of compressed bricks according 233
- to AFNOR⁷¹; CRATerre–EAG⁷² and Houben and Guillaud.⁷⁶ 234

Previous studies of the Bouisset soil⁶⁸ have indicated a predominantly kaolinitic clay fraction, which 235 indicates a small tendency to swell/shrink upon wetting/drying and, therefore, makes this soil suitable 236 for earth construction. Another measure of the soil sensitivity to water is the clay activity, A, which is 237 defined as the ratio between the plasticity index and the percentage of clay fraction (i.e. the percentage 238 of the soil that is smaller than 0.002 mm). For the Bouisset soil, the activity has been established to be 239 0.30, which classifies this material as low active.⁷⁷ 240

241

Table 1: Main properties of Bouisset soil (after Cuccurullo et al.⁷⁸).





242

243 Fig. 2: Plasticity properties of the Bouisset soil in relation to existing recommendations for the manufacture of compressed earth bricks by Houben and Guillaud⁷⁶ and AFNOR⁷¹; CRATerre-EAG⁷² (after Bruno⁹). 244

3.2. Plant-derived urease enzyme 245

The present work has explored a new cost-effective EICP stabilisation method that is based on the use of crude urease enzyme extracted from soybeans. Soybeans have been either centrifuged to extract a liquid, i.e. water-diluted, juice or crushed into a dry fine powder resulting in two alternative enzyme sources, which were both tested to assess their respective effectiveness.

250 **3.2.1. Soybeans liquid extract**

The procedure for obtaining the liquid urease extract consisted in soaking dry soybeans into distilled water (1g of dry soybeans to 10 ml of distilled water) for 24 hours before centrifuging both beans and water in a 700-Watt blender for 1 minute (Fig. 3). This resulted in the extraction of a semi-transparent grey-yellow juice, which was collected in a glass while the crushed beans were retained in the blender. To increase the enzyme concentration, the crushed beans were recovered from the blender and centrifuged two more times to extract additional liquid that was mixed with the previously collected juice.



258

Fig. 3: Procedure for obtaining soybeans liquid extract: soybeans are soaked in water (a), soaked soybeans are
 centrifuged in a blender (b), soybeans juice is collected (c).

261 **3.2.2.** Fine soybeans powder

An alternative procedure was also followed to obtain the urease enzyme in the form of fine soybeans powder. Soybeans were crushed by using an electrical grinder and passed through a sieve to collect the finest particles with dimensions smaller than 0.400 mm (Fig. 4). This cut-off size was chosen to boost the fine fraction of the earth mix and to avoid that material imperfections, caused by the presence of large inclusions, might increase vulnerability to water erosion.



267

268

Fig. 4: Sieving of the fine fraction (smaller than 0.400 mm) of crushed soybeans.

269 **3.3.** Chemical reagents

270 The chemical reagents were urea and calcium chloride supplied by Labbox (Fig. 5), whose main 271 properties are synthetically described in Table 2. Urea is a colourless and odourless organic material, which serves an important role in the metabolism of nitrogen compound and is the main nitrogen 272 containing substance of the urine of mammals. It is also highly soluble in water and practically non-273 toxic. The hydrolysis of urea exhibits a high carbonate conversion rate compared to other calcium 274 carbonate precipitation processes.^{14,79} Calcium chloride is instead a salt that provides an excellent source 275 276 of calcium ions because of its high hygroscopicity and solubility in water. The water solubility of calcium chloride at room temperature is up to 100 times greater than that of other calcium salts such as 277

278 calcium hydroxide or calcium nitrate, which makes calcium chloride a very effective reagent to generate

279 high concentrations of calcium ions. This is an important aspect to consider during EICP stabilisation

280 because larger concentrations of calcium ions correspond to greater calcium carbonate precipitation.³⁶

281



282 283

Fig. 5: Urea (CO(NH₂)₂) powder and calcium chloride (CaCl₂) flakes.

284

Table 2: Properties of the urea (CO(NH₂)₂) and calcium chloride (CaCl₂) used in the present work.

	Chemical formula	Labbox reference	MW (g/mol)	Appearance	Assay (%)
Urea	CO(NH ₂) ₂	UREA-00P-1K0	60.06	White crystals, powder	>99.6 %
Calcium chloride	CaCl ₂	CACH-A0P-1K0	110.98	White pearls	>94 %

285

286 **3.4. Preliminary test-tubes experiments**

287 **3.4.1.** Liquid soybeans extract

This section briefly recalls past research by the same authors⁸⁰ about the influence of reagents 288 concentration on the efficiency of the EICP reactions inside test-tubes containing liquid soybeans 289 extract. Twelve test-tubes with a capacity of 40 ml were filled with fresh liquid soybeans extract 290 produced as described in Section 3.2.1. The extract in each test-tube was mixed with twelve different 291 292 equimolar concentrations of urea and calcium chloride, namely 0.010 mol/L, 0.025 mol/L, 0.050 mol/L, 0.10 mol/L, 0.50 mol/L, 1.00 mol/L, 1.50 mol/L, 2.00 mol/L, 2.50 mol/L, 3.00 mol/L, 3.50 mol/L and 293 4.00 mol/L. The urea was added to the fresh liquid extract shortly after centrifugation of the soybeans, 294 while the calcium chloride was added after 24 hours. Electrical conductivity was measured at 1 and 24 295 296 hours after the addition of urea. Fig. 6 compares the values of electrical conductivity measured at these two times inside the test-tubes containing different concentrations of urea. The peak value of electrical 297 conductivity changes from about 27000 µS/cm for an urea concentration of 0.50 mol/L after 1 hour to 298 about 100000 µS/cm for an urea concentration of 2.00 mol/L after 24 hours. After 24 hours, equimolar 299 300 concentrations of calcium chloride were introduced in each test-tube, which induced the rapid precipitation of calcium carbonate as visually observed. An equilibrium condition was reached after 301 302 about 72 hours from the addition calcium chloride, with no more material being deposited at the bottom of the tubes (Fig. 7). The material inside each test-tube was then filtered and weighted, which showed 303 that the amount of precipitate was largest for a concentration of reagents between 1.50 mol/L and 2.50 304 305 mol/L. This concentration level is also consistent with the electrical conductivity values measured in 306 Fig. 6 and with the visual observation of precipitate inside test-tubes in Fig. 7.



Fig. 6: Measurements of electrical conductivity 1 and 24 hours after adding urea to the liquid soybeans extract
 (urea concentration varying from 0.010 mol/L to 4 mol/L) (after Cuccurullo et al.⁸⁰).



310

Fig. 7: Visual inspection of test-tubes 72 hours after adding calcium chloride (equimolar urea and calcium
 chloride concentrations varying from 0.010 mol/L to 4 mol/L).

313 **3.4.2.** Fine soybeans powder

Past tests on the liquid soybeans extract were repeated, during the present work, on the fine soybeans powder. As before, the objective was to find the optimum equimolar concentrations of urea and calcium chloride that maximise precipitation of calcium carbonate. Twelve test-tubes were filled with 40 ml of distilled water which was mixed with 4 g of the fine soybeans powder produced as described in Section 3.2.2. This amount of soybeans powder was chosen for consistency with the liquid extract, which was produced by centrifuging dry soybeans and distilled water in the proportion of 1g:10 ml (see Section 3.2.1).

321 Similar to the previous tests, urea was added to each test-tube in twelve different concentrations of 0.010 mol/L, 0.025 mol/L, 0.050 mol/L, 0.10 mol/L, 0.50 mol/L, 1.00 mol/L, 1.50 mol/L, 2.00 mol/L, 2.50 322 323 mol/L, 3.00 mol/L, 3.50 mol/L and 4.00 mol/L. Fig. 8 shows the measurements of electrical conductivity 324 1 and 24 hours after the addition of urea to the test-tubes. As before, the electrical conductivity appears to increase significantly over time with a maximum value of about 125000 µS/cm for a concentration 325 326 of 2.50 mol/L after 24 hours. Fig. 8 also includes the previous measurements of electric conductivity on the liquid soybeans extract and indicates that the fine powder leads to higher levels of electrical 327 328 conductivity for higher concentrations of urea after 24 hours while a negligible difference between the two cases is observed after 1 hour. 329



Fig. 8: Comparison of electrical conductivity measurements 1 and 24 hours after adding urea to test-tubes (urea
 concentration varying from 0.010 mol/L to 4 mol/L).

334 The subsequent addition of equimolar concentrations of calcium chloride to the test-tubes led to the

rapid formation of a sticky yellow semi-liquid paste (Fig. 9). Unlike the case of the liquid soybeans extract, the consistency of the paste did not allow collection of the precipitated calcium carbonate by

filtration (see Section 3.4.1.).



338

Fig. 9: Formation of a semi-liquid paste after addition of calcium chloride to test-tubes containing distilled water,
 soybeans fine powder and urea (urea/calcium chloride concentration of 2.50 mol/L).

341 4. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM ON STABILISED EARTH

342 4.1. Samples manufacture

Three different stabilisation methods were selected for the campaign of laboratory tests on earth samples. For two of these methods, the source of urease enzyme was provided by either the liquid soybeans extract and a suspension of fine soybeans powder in distilled water. In the third method, a suspension of fine soybeans powder in liquid soybeans extract was instead employed. In all cases, the cementing solution was completed by adding urea and calcium chloride in equimolar concentrations of 2.50 mol/L. This concentration was chosen based on the previous test-tube experiments (see section 3.4), which suggested a maximum carbonate precipitation for this amount of reagents.

- 350 The three different stabilisation methods are summarised in Table 3 using the following codes:
- stabilisation using liquid soybeans extract is referred as SC;
- stabilisation using fine soybeans powder suspended in distilled water is referred as SP;
- stabilisation using fine soybeans powder suspended in liquid soybeans extract is referred as SCP.

354

355

356

357

Table 3: Composition of cementing solution for SC, SP and SCP stabilisation methods.

Stabilisation method ID	Liquid soybeans extract	Fine soybeans powder	Distilled water	Reagents (2.50 mol/L urea/calcium chloride)
SC	×	-	-	×
SP	-	×	×	×
SCP	×	×	-	×

For the SP and SCP stabilisation methods, the amount of soybeans powder was equal to 1/12 of the dry sample mass. This relatively little amount of soybeans powder was chosen to limit the growth of mould on the sample surface during prolonged exposure to water. Finally, as a term of comparison, a set of control (unstabilised) samples made of dry earth and distilled water were prepared according to the same manufacturing procedure, as described below.

Cylindrical earth samples with a diameter of 50 mm and a height of 100 mm were manufactured as 365 366 follows: (i) the dry earth was passed through a 2 mm sieve to remove the largest particles; (ii) the sieved 367 material was carefully mixed with an amount of cementing solution equal to the Proctor optimum water 368 content of the Bouisset soil, that is 12.4%; (iii) the moist soil was statically compacted in 10 layers inside a cylindrical mould to attain the Proctor optimum dry density of the Bouisset soil, that is 1.97 g/cm³ 369 (note that the earth was compacted immediately after mixing it with the cementing solution to prevent 370 the premature precipitation of calcium carbonate crystals); (iv) the surface of each compacted earth layer 371 was lightly scarified before applying the subsequent layer; (v) the final samples were equalised under 372 373 controlled humidity (40 \pm 5 %) and temperature (20 \pm 5 °C) until the mass changed less than 0.1 % over 374 at least one week.

375

376 4.2. Mechanical properties

After equalisation, the cylindrical samples were subjected to unconfined compression tests with a constant axial displacement rate of 0.001 mm/s. Three samples were tested for each stabilisation method to confirm the repeatability of measurements. The peak compressive strength and Young's modulus were calculated as the average of these three tests. The Young's modulus was simply measured as the slope of the tangent to the stress-strain curve over the initial stress range where the material response is reasonably linear (Fig. 10).



Fig. 10: Stress-strain curve from unconfined compression tests and measurement of the Young's modulus as the
 slope of the initial tangent to the stress-strain curve.

Fig. 11 shows the average peak compressive strength of all stabilised and unstabilised samples together 386 387 with the corresponding standard deviation bars. Inspection of Fig. 11 indicates that compressive strength varies depending on the chosen stabilisation method with the lowest, intermediate and highest levels 388 389 recorded for the SC, SP and SCP stabilised samples, respectively. Interestingly, only SCP stabilisation, 390 which is a combination of the SC and SP methods, generates a level of strength which is higher than that of the unstabilised samples. Instead, the use of the liquid soybeans extract as a source of urease 391 enzyme (SC stabilisation) markedly undermines the mechanical properties of the material resulting in a 392 393 compressive strength that is twofold lower than that of the unstabilised samples. This result is in apparent contradictions with the observations made in test-tube experiments (Cuccurullo et al.⁸⁰), where 394 395 precipitation of carbonate crystals was observed in a solution of liquid soybeans extract, urea and calcium chloride. An explanation might be given by the presence of proteins, other than the urease 396 397 enzyme, which may affect the mechanical performance of the earth material. This is an important aspect 398 that should be investigated in detail during future research. The visual examination of SP and SCP 399 stabilised samples also highlighted the formation of a crystallised white covering on the external material surface. This observation may provide further evidence of the greater efficiency of the fine soybeans 400 401 powder as a source of urease enzyme, either alone or in combination with the liquid soybeans extract.

Fig. 12 shows the average measurements of Young's modulus of all stabilised and unstabilised samples together with the corresponding standard deviation bars. Like compressive strength, the Young's modulus varies depending on the chosen stabilisation method with the lowest, intermediate and highest levels of stiffness recorded for the SCP, SP and SC stabilised samples, respectively. In this case, all three stabilisation methods generate lower values of Young's modulus compared to the unstabilised material.









412 Fig. 12: Young's modulus measured from unconfined compression tests on unstabilised, SC stabilised, SP
 413 stabilised and SCP stabilised samples.

414 **4.3. Hygroscopic properties**

The hygroscopic behaviour of the stabilised earth was investigated via the measurement of the moisture 415 buffering value (MBV) corresponding to cyclic daily variations of ambient humidity. Samples were 416 exposed to step cycles of relative humidity, between 53% and 75%, under a constant temperature of 23 417 °C inside a climatic chamber (CLIMATS Type EX2221HA). Each of these two humidity levels was 418 maintained for twelve hours while the sample mass was recorded every two hours as prescribed by the 419 norm ISO 24353⁸¹. Each sample was placed in the upright position inside an aluminium foil pan so that 420 421 only the top and lateral surfaces were directly exposed to the atmosphere inside the climatic chamber. The total area of the exposed surface was, therefore, higher than the minimum value of 0.010 m^2 required 422 by the norm ISO 24353.81 423

Before the start of the tests, all samples were equalised at a temperature of 23 °C and a relative humidity of 53%. Equalisation was assumed to be complete when the mass of the sample changed less than 0.1% over at least one week, which took generally a period of two weeks. After equalisation, the samples were exposed to the cyclic changes of relative humidity, as previously described. Distinct MBVs were calculated during the moisture uptake and moisture release stages of each cycle according to the following equation:

$$MBV = \frac{\Delta m}{S \Delta \% RH}$$
(6)

where Δm is the absolute value of the sample mass variation (in grams) at end of each relative humidity 431 stage, S is the exposed surface (in square meters) and $\Delta \% RH$ is the imposed change of relative humidity 432 433 (in percentage). The values of Δm measured at the end of a moisture uptake stage (i.e. at the end of the exposure to the high humidity level) provide the "MBV uptake" while the values of Δm measured at the 434 435 end of a moisture release stage (i.e. at the end of the exposure to the low humidity level) provide the 436 "MBV release". To take into account the change of sample dimensions caused by swelling, the exposed 437 surface was calculated from the average of three height measurements and three diameter measurements taken at the beginning of the test (i.e. at T = 23 °C and RH = 53%) and at the end of each moisture 438 uptake stage (i.e. at T = 23 °C and RH = 75%). This assures that small variations of sample dimensions, 439 440 due to swelling upon wetting at high humidity, are duly considered. To confirm the repeatability of measurements, three replicate samples were tested, with the relevant MBVs calculated as the average of 441 442 these three measurements.

Fig. 13 shows the variation of both MBV uptake and MBV release over the consecutive humidity cycles for all stabilised and unstabilised samples (solid markers indicate the MBV uptake while hollow markers indicate the MBV release). As expected, the MBVs of the uptake and release stages tend to converge towards the same steady state value as the number of cycles increases. In general, five cycles were sufficient to attain steady state conditions for all materials.



Fig. 13: MBVs measured during the uptake and release stages of subsequent humidity cycles on unstabilised, SC
 stabilised, SP stabilised and SCP stabilised samples. Solid markers indicate the MBV uptake while hollow
 markers indicate the MBV release.

452 The final MBVs of the different materials were calculated as the averages of the uptake and release values of the last three stable cycles (Table 4). A stable cycle is defined as a humidity cycle where the 453 454 uptake and release values are approximately equal, thus indicating the achievement of steady state conditions. Inspection of Table 4 indicates that the unstabilised earth exhibits a moisture buffering 455 capacity that is greater than that of the SP and SCP stabilised earth, which incorporates the fine soybeans 456 457 powder as a source of urease enzyme. An explanation of this result is proposed later when the results of water immersion tests are presented. Yet, even for the SP and SCP stabilised earth, the MBV value 458 remains larger than 2, which indicates good hygroscopic properties. 459

460 Interestingly, the highest moisture buffering capacity is recorded for the SC stabilised earth, which incorporates the liquid soybeans extract as a source of urease enzyme. In this case, a very high MBV 461 close to 4 is attained, which is even greater than that of the unstabilised earth. A possible explanation of 462 463 this result might be found in the modification of the pore size distribution of the earth due to the precipitation of calcium carbonate inside the small voids that have been penetrated by the liquid 464 soybeans extract. This may increase the fraction of nanopores compared to the unstabilised earth, thus 465 increasing the material capacity of absorbing/releasing vapour under changing ambient humidity. 466 467 Therefore, SC stabilisation might not be very effective in increasing the stiffness and strength of the earth but offers a way to improve the material hygroscopic properties. 468

469

Table 4: MBVs of unstabilised, SC stabilised, SP stabilised and SCP stabilised samples.

Sample ID	MBV [g/m ² %RH]	
Unstabilised soil	2.91	
SC	3.79	
SP	2.03	
SCP	2.07	

470

471 **4.4. Durability properties**

Durability against water erosion was assessed by means of immersion tests according to the norm DIN 18945.⁸² After equalisation to the laboratory atmosphere (corresponding to a temperature of 20 ± 5 °C and a relative humidity of $40 \pm 5\%$), the samples were weighed to record their initial mass m_i and then immersed in water for ten minutes. They were subsequently removed from water and equalised again to 476 the laboratory atmosphere to attain the same moisture content as before immersion. After equalisation, the final mass m_f was recorded and introduced, together with the initial mass m_i , in the following 477 478 equation to calculate the percentage mass loss $\% \Delta m$:

479
$$\% \Delta m = \frac{m_i - m_f}{m_i} \times 100 \tag{7}$$

480 Each test was performed in three replicates to confirm the repeatability of the experimental procedure. 481 The mass loss was about 42% for the unstabilised samples but reduced to 13% for the SC stabilised 482 samples. An even better result was obtained by SP and SCP stabilisation, when only 1% of the initial 483 mass was lost. Fig. 14 compares the pictures of the different samples before and after immersion 484 confirming that a significant improvement of durability is achieved by means of enzymatic stabilisation, 485 especially when the fine soybeans powder is used as a source of urease enzyme. Interestingly, the 486 introduction of soybeans powder as a source of urease enzyme improves the erosion resistance of the 487 material to levels that are acceptable for routine building applications. The lower mass loss experienced 488 by the stabilised samples may be explained by the formation of calcium carbonate bonds between earth 489 particles and by the partial occlusion of voids with a consequent reduction of permeability to water. Fig. 490 15 compares the images captured by a 4K digital KEYENCE microscope on the surface of SC and SP 491 stabilised samples. The growth of organic ramifications on the surface of SP stabilised earth may be 492 responsible for the occlusion of voids, which explains the hydrophobic behaviour and relatively low moisture buffering capacity of this material (see Section 4.3). 493



494

495 Fig. 14: Reference sample before immersion (a); unstabilised sample after immersion exhibiting a mass loss of 496 42% (b); SC stabilised sample after immersion exhibiting a mass loss of 13% (c); SP stabilised sample after 497 immersion exhibiting a mass loss of 1% (d); SCP stabilised sample after immersion exhibiting a mass loss of 1% 498

(e).



Fig. 15: Images captured by a 4K digital KEYENCE microscope on the surface of SC stabilised samples (a) and
 SP stabilised samples (b). Note the organic ramifications on the surface of the SP stabilised sample.

502 **4.5. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis**

503 Five grams of the unstabilised, SC stabilised and SP stabilised material were ground into a fine powder 504 and air dried to the laboratory environment before performing a X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis. 505 Results from these XRD tests revealed the presence of kaolinite, microcline intermediate, muscovite, 506 quartz and calcium carbonate in the form of calcite (Figs. 16-18). Calcite was identified in all samples, 507 both unstabilised and stabilised. An approximate XRD quantitative analysis was performed based on the 508 construction of diffraction peak mathematic function model by means of polynomial whole pattern 509 fitting to the spectral lines using computer software (Rietveld refinement). This indicated an amount of 510 calcite of about 2% for the unstabilised samples compared to about 8% for the SP stabilised samples.



Fig. 16: XRD analysis on unstabilised sample.



Fig. 17: XRD analysis on SC stabilised sample.



516

Fig. 18: XRD analysis on SP stabilised sample.

517 5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented an experimental study of enzyme induced carbonate precipitation (EICP) as a
technique for improving the hygro-mechanical and durability properties of compacted earth in building
applications. The main outcomes of this work are summarised as follows:

Earth materials have been stabilised by exploiting the urease enzyme that is present in soybeans
 to catalyse hydrolysis of urea inside the pore water which leads to the production of carbonate

- ions and the precipitation of calcium carbonate. Both a liquid soybeans and a fine soybeanspowder provide a good source of the urease enzyme as a catalyst of the hydrolysis of urea.
- Results of unconfined compression tests on stabilised earth samples indicate that material strength changes depending on the chosen stabilisation method with the highest levels of strength obtained when the fine soybeans powder and liquid soybeans extract are used together as a source of the urease enzyme. Interestingly, if the fine soybeans powder and liquid soybeans extract are used alone, strength levels drop to values that are lower than those of the unstabilised material.
- 531 Unstabilised earth has an excellent capacity to buffer moisture and hence exhibits a high hygro532 thermal inertia. This capacity is not significantly changed by the stabilisation methods tested in
 533 the present work. The moisture buffering capacity of the material reduces slightly when the fine
 534 soybeans powder is employed while it increases when the liquid soybeans extract is used.
- The water durability of both unstabilised and stabilised earth has been assessed by immersion 535 tests according to the norm DIN 18945⁸². During water immersion, the unstabilised samples lost 536 537 about 42% of their initial mass while the samples stabilised with a combination of liquid soybeans extract and fine soybeans powder exhibited a mass loss of only 1%. This negligible 538 mass loss makes the stabilised material adequate for exposure to natural weathering according 539 to the norm DIN 18945.82 Digital microscope images have showed the presence of organic 540 541 ramifications on the surface of the earth stabilised with the fine soybeans powder. This may explain the hydrophobic behaviour and smaller moisture buffering capacity of this material 542 543 compared to the unstabilised one.
- Results from X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analyses of both stabilised and unstabilised earth have
 revealed the presence of minerals like kaolinite, microcline intermediate, muscovite, quartz and
 calcium carbonate in the form of calcite. An approximate quantitative interpretation of these
 results detected an amount of calcite of about 2% in the unstabilised samples, which increased
 to about 8% in the samples stabilised with the fine soybeans powder.

549 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

550 The authors wish to acknowledge the support of the European Commission via the Marie Skłodowska-

- 551 Curie Innovative Training Networks (ITN-ETN) project TERRE 'Training Engineers and Researchers
- to Rethink geotechnical Engineering for a low carbon future' (H2020-MSCA-ITN-2015-675762).

553 **7. REFERENCES**

- Jaquin PA, Augarde CE, Gallipoli D, Toll DG. The strength of unstabilised rammed earth materials.
 Géotechnique. 2009;59(5):487490. <u>https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.2007.00129</u>.
- 556 2. Gallipoli D, Bruno AW, Perlot C, Mendes J. A geotechnical perspective of raw earth building. *Acta Geotech*.
 557 2017;12(3):463–478. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11440-016-0521-1</u>.
- 3. Walker P. Strength and durability testing of earth blocks. *Proceedings of the 6th international seminar on Structural Masonry for developing countries*. 2000;110–118.
- 4. Jayasinghe C & Kamaladasa N. Compressive strength characteristics of cement stabilized rammed earth walls.
 Constr Build Mater. 2007;21(11):1971–1976. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2006.05.049</u>.
- 562 5. Lucas R. Ueber das Zeitgesetz des kapillaren Aufstiegs von Flüssigkeiten. *Colloid Polym Sci.* 1918;23(1):15–
 563 22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01461107</u>.
- 564 6. Washburn EW. The dynamics of capillary flow. *Physical review*. 1921;17(3):273.
 565 <u>https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.17.273</u>.
- 7. Bui QB, Morel JC, Reddy BV, Ghayad W. Durability of rammed earth walls exposed for 20 years to natural weathering. *Build Environ*. 2009;44(5):912–919. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2008.07.001</u>.

- 8. Bui QB, Morel JC, Hans S, Walker P. Effect of moisture content on the mechanical characteristics of rammed
 earth. *Constr Build Mater*. 2014;54:163–169. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.12.067</u>.
- 570 9. Bruno AW. Hygro-mechanical characterisation of hypercompacted earth for sustainable construction (Doctoral dissertation). France: Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour; 2016.
- 572 10. Price CA, Doehne E. Stone conservation: an overview of current research. 2nd ed. Los Angeles, California:
 573 Getty publications; 2011.
- 574 11. Stocks-Fischer S, Galinat JK, Bang SS. Microbiological precipitation of CaCO₃. *Soil Biol Biochem*.
 575 1999;31(11):1563–1571. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00082-6</u>.
- 576 12. Barkay T, Schaefer J. Metal and radionuclide bioremediation: issues, considerations and potentials. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2001;4(3):318–323. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5274(00)00210-1</u>.
- 578 13. DeJong JT, Fritzges MB, Nüsslein K. Microbially induced cementation to control sand response to undrained
 579 shear. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng. 2006;132(11):1381–1392. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1090-</u>
 580 0241(2006)132:11(1381).
- 14. Whiffin VS, van Paassen LA, Harkes MP. Microbial carbonate precipitation as a soil improvement technique.
 Geomicrobiol J. 2007;24(5):417–423. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450701436505</u>.
- 583 15. De Muynck W, De Belie N, Verstraete W. Microbial carbonate precipitation in construction materials: a review. *Ecol Eng.* 2010;36(2):118–136. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2009.02.006</u>.
- 585 16. Dilrukshi RAN, Kawasaki S. Plant-derived urease induced sand cementation used in geotechnical engineering
 586 applications. *International Conference on Geomechanics, Geo-Energy and Geo-Resources-IC3G*. 2016;28–29.
- 587 17. Ismail MA, Joer HA, Randolph MF, Merit A. Cementation of porous materials using calcite. *Géotechnique*.
 588 2002;52(5):313–324. <u>https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.2002.52.5.313</u>.
- 589 18. Whiffin VS. Microbial CaCO₃ precipitation for the production of biocement (Doctoral dissertation). Australia:
 590 Murdoch University; 2004.
- 19. Mitchell JK, Santamarina JC. Biological considerations in geotechnical engineering. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng. 2005;131(10):1222–1233. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2005)131:10(1222)</u>.
- 20. Van Paassen LA. Bio-mediated ground improvement: from laboratory experiment to pilot applications. In Geo Frontiers 2011: Advances in Geotechnical Engineering. 2011;4099–4108. https://doi.org/10.1061/41165(397)419.
- 595 21. Ng WS, Lee ML, Hii SL. An overview of the factors affecting microbial-induced calcite precipitation and its
 596 potential application in soil improvement. *World Acad Sci Eng Technol.* 2012;62:723–729.
- 597 22. Van Paassen LA, Ghose R, van der Linden TJM, van der Star WRL, van Loosdrecht MCM. Quantifying
 598 biomediated ground improvement by ureolysis: large-scale biogrout experiment. *J Geotech Geoenviron Eng*599 (*ASCE*). 2010;136(12):1721–1728. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0000382.
- Al Qabany A, Soga K. Effect of chemical treatment used in MICP on engineering properties of cemented soils.
 Géotechnique. 2013;63(4):331. <u>https://doi.org/10.1680/bcmpge.60531.010</u>.
- 4. Cheng L, Cord-Ruwisch R, Shahin MA. Cementation of sand soil by microbially induced calcite precipitation at various degrees of saturation. *Can Geotech J.* 2013;50(1):81–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/cgj-2012-0023</u>.
- 604 25. Cheng L, Cord-Ruwisch R. Upscaling effects of soil improvement by microbially induced calcite precipitation
 605 by surface percolation. *Geomicrobiol J.* 2014;31(5):396–406. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2013.836579</u>.
- El Mountassir G, Lunn RJ, Moir H, MacLachlan E. Hydrodynamic coupling in microbially mediated fracture
 mineralization: Formation of self-organized groundwater flow channels. *Water Resour Res.* 2014;50(1):1–16.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR013578.
- 27. Martirena F, Rodriguez-Rodriguez Y, Callico A, Gonzalez R, Diaz Y, Bracho G, Alujas A, Guerra de Leon
 JO Alvarado-Capó Y. Microorganism based bioplasticizer for cementitious materials. *Constr Build Mater.*2014;60:91–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100214-8.00008-7.

- 612 28. Gomez MG, Martinez BC, DeJong JT, Hunt CE, De Vlaming LA, Major DW. Field-scale bio-cementation
 613 tests to improve sands. *Proc Inst Civil Eng-Ground Improv.* 2015;168:1–11.
 614 https://doi.org/10.1680/grim.13.00052.
- 29. Choi S, Wu S, Chu J. Biocementation for sand using an eggshell as calcium source. J Geotech Geoenviron *Eng.* 2016;142(10):2–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001534</u>.
- 30. Terzis D, Laloui L. On the application of microbially induced calcite precipitation for soils: a multiscale study. *Advances in Laboratory Testing and Modelling of Soils and Shales*. 2017;388–394. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-</u>
 3-319-52773-4_46.
- 31. Terzis D, Laloui L. 3-D micro-architecture and mechanical response of soil cemented via microbial-induced
 calcite precipitation. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8:1416. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19895-w</u>.
- 32. Morales L, Romero E, Jommi C, Garzón E, Giménez A. Feasibility of a soft biological improvement of natural
 soils used in compacted linear earth construction. *Acta Geotech.* 2015;10(1):157–171. DOI 10.1007/s11440-0140344-x.
- 33. Nemati M, Voordouw G. Modification of porous media permeability, using calcium carbonate produced
 enzymatically in situ. *Enzyme Microbial Technol*. 2003;33(5):635–642. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-</u>
 0229(03)00191-1.
- 34. Yasuhara H, Neupane D, Hayashi K, Okamura M. Experiments and predictions of physical properties of sand
 cemented by enzymetically-induced carbonate precipitation. *Soil Found*. 2012;52(3):539–549.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sandf.2012.05.011.
- 35. Neupane D, Yasuhara H, Kinoshita N, Unno T. Applicability of enzymatic calcium carbonate precipitation as
 a soil-strengthening technique. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng. 2013;139(12):2201–2211.
 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0000959.
- 634 36. Park SS, Choi SG, Nam IH. Effect of plant-induced calcite precipitation on the strength of sand. *J Mater Civil* 635 *Eng.* 2014;26(8):06014017. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0001029</u>.
- 636 37. Nam IH, Chon CM, Jung KY, Choi SG, Choi H, Park SS. Calcite precipitation by ureolytic plant (Canavalia ensiformis) extracts as effective biomaterials. *KSCE J Civil Eng.* 2015;19(6):1620–1625.
 638 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12205-014-0558-3.
- 639 38. Kavazanjian E, Hamdan N. Enzyme induced carbonate precipitation (EICP) columns for ground improvement.
 640 In: *IFCEE*; 2015: 2252–2261. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784479087.209</u>.
- 641 39. Carmona JP, Oliveira PJV, Lemos LJ. Biostabilization of a sandy soil using enzymatic calcium carbonate
 642 precipitation. *Proc Eng.* 2016;143:13011308. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.06.144</u>.
- 40. Kavazanjian Jr E, Almajed A, Hamdan N. Bio-inspired soil improvement using EICP soil columns and soil nails. In: *Grouting 2017*. 2017:13–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784480793.002</u>.
- 41. Pettit NM, Smith ARJ, Freedman RB, Burns RG. Soil urease: Activity, stability and kinetic properties. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 1976;8:479–484. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(76)90089-4</u>.
- 42. Larsen J, Poulsen M, Lundgaard T, Agerbaek M. Plugging of fractures in chalk reservoirs by enzyme-induced
 calcium carbonate precipitation. *Proc SPE Prod Oper Soc Petrol Eng.* 2008;23:478–483.
 https://doi.org/10.2118/108589-PA.
- 43. Dilrukshi RAN, Nakashima K, Kawasaki S. Soil improvement using plant-derived urease-induced calcium carbonate precipitation. *Soil Found*. 2018;58(4):894–910. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sandf.2018.04.003</u>.
- 44. Javadi N, Khodadadi H, Hamdan N, Kavazanjian Jr E. EICP treatment of soil by using urease enzyme extracted
 from watermelon seeds. In: *IFCEE 2018*; 2018:115–124. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784481592.012</u>.
- 45. Khodadadi Tirkolaei H, Javadi N, Krishnan V, Hamdan N, Kavazanjian Jr E. Crude urease extract for
 biocementation. J Mater Civil Eng. 2020;32(12):04020374. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0003466</u>.

- 46. Hammes F, Verstraete W. Key roles of pH and calcium metabolism in microbial carbonate precipitation. *Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol.* 2002;1(1):3–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015135629155</u>.
- 47. Kile DE, Eberl DD, Hoch AR, Reddy MM. An assessment of calcite crystal growth mechanisms based on crystal size distributions. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta*. 2000;64(17):2937–2950. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-661</u> 7037(00)00394-X.
- 48. Castanier S, Le Métayer-Levrel G, Perthuisot JP. Ca-carbonates precipitation and limestone genesis-the micro biogeologist point of view. *Sediment Geol.* 1999;126(1-4):9–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738(99)00028-7.
- 49. Wei H, Shen Q, Zhao Y, Wang D, Xu D. Influence of polyvinylpyrrolidone on the precipitation of calcium carbonate and on the transformation of vaterite to calcite. *J Cryst Growth*. 2003;250:516–524.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0248(02)02484-3.
- 50. Shen Q, Wang L, Huang Y, Sun J, Wang H, Zhou Y, Wang D. Oriented aggregation and novel phase
 transforma- tion of vaterite controlled by the synergistic effect of calcium dodecyl sulfate and n-pentanol. *J Phys Chem B.* 2006;110:23148–23153. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp064039n.
- 51. Hua B, Deng B, Thornton EC, Yang J, Amonette JE. Incorporation of chromate into calcium carbonate
 structure during coprecipitation. *Wate, Air Soil Pollut*. 2007;179:381–390. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-006-</u>
 9242-7.
- 52. De Yoreo JJ, Vekilov PG. Principles of crystal nucleation and growth. *Rev Mineral Geochem*. 2003;54:57–93.
 https://doi.org/10.2113/0540057.
- 53. Favre N, Christ ML, Pierre AC. Biocatalytic capture of CO₂ with carbonic anhydrase and its transformation to
 solid carbonate. *J Mol Catal B: Enzymatic*. 2009;60:163-170. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcatb.2009.04.018</u>.
- 54. Gorospe CM, Han SH, Kim SG, Park JY, Kang CH, Jeong JH, So JS. Effects of different calcium salts on calcium carbonate crystal formation by Sporosarcina pasteurii KCTC 3558. *Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng.*2013;18:903–908. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12257-013-0030-0.
- 55. Tai CY, Chen FB. Polymorphism of CaCO₃ precipitated in a constant-composition environment. *AIChE J*.
 1998;44:1790–1798. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.690440810</u>.
- 56. Achal V, Pan X. Influence of calcium sources on microbially induced calcium carbonate precipitation by
 Bacillus sp. CR2. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*. 2014;173:307–317. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-014-0842-1</u>.
- 57. Simatupang M, Okamura M. Liquefaction resistance of sand remediated with carbonate precipitation at
 different degrees of saturation during curing. *Soil Found*. 2017;57(4):619–631.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sandf.2017.04.003.
- 58. Almajed A, Khodadadi Tirkolaei H, Kavazanjian Jr E. Baseline investigation on enzyme-induced calcium carbonate precipitation. *J Geotechn Geoenviron Eng.* 2018;144(11):04018081.
 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001973.
- 59. Chandra A, Ravi K. Application of Enzyme Induced Carbonate Precipitation (EICP) to improve the shear
 strength of different type of soils. In: *Indian Geotechnical Conference, Bengaluru*; 2018
- 692 60. Labana S, Singh OV, Basu A, Pandey G, Jain RK. A microcosm study on bioremediation of p-nitrophenol693 contaminated soil using Arthrobacter protophormiae RKJ100. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2005;68: 417–424.
 694 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-005-1926-1.
- 61. Rohy H, Arab M, Zeiada W, Omar M, Almajed A, Tahmaz A. One Phase Soil Bio-Cementation with EICPSoil Mixing. In: *Conference Proceedings of the 4th World Congress on Civil, Structural, and Environmental*697 *Engineering*; 2019. 10.11159/icgre19.164.
- 698 62. Cheng L, Shahin MA, Chu J. Soil bio-cementation using a new one-phase low-pH injection method. *Acta Geotech*. 2018;1–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11440-018-0738-2</u>.
- Kinoshita N, Putra H. Distribution of grout material within 1-m sand column in insitu
 calcite precipitation technique. *Soil Found*. 2015;55(6):1512–1518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sandf.2015.10.015.

- 702 64. Van Paassen, L. A. Biogrout, ground improvement by microbial induced carbonate precipitation (Doctoral dissertation). The Netherlands: Delft University of Technology; 2009.
- 65. Illeová V, Polakovič M, Štefuca V, Ačai P, Juma M. Experimental modelling of thermal inactivation of urease.
 J Biotechnol. 2003;105(3):235–243. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2003.07.005</u>.
- 66. Dhami NK, Reddy MS, Mukherjee A. Synergistic role of bacterial urease and carbonic anhydrase in carbonate
 mineralization. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*. 2014;172:2552–2561. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-013-0694-0</u>.
- 67. Wu J, Wang XB, Wang HF, Zeng RJ. Microbially induced calcium carbonate precipitation driven by ureolysis
 to enhance oil recovery. *RSC Adv*. 2017;7(59) :37382–37391. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RA05748B</u>.
- 710 68. TERCRUSO. Caractérisation des briques de terre crue de Midi-Pyrénées.
- 711 <u>http://www.cercad.fr/IMG/pdf/tercruso_rapport_final_lmdc-2013-04-r120.pdf;</u> 2013. Accessed 25 July 2016.
- 69. AFNOR. XP P 94-041 Soils: investigation and testing Granulometric description Wet sieving method.
 1995.
- 70. AFNOR. NF P 94-057 Soils: investigation and testing Granulometric analysis Hydrometer method. 1992.
- 715 71. AFNOR. XP P13-901 Compressed earth blocks for walls and partitions: definitions Specifications Test
 716 methods Delivery acceptance conditions. 2001.
- 717 72. CRATerre-EAG. CDI, Compressed earth blocks: Standards Technology series No.11. Brussels: CDI. 1998.
- 718 73. MOPT. Bases Para el Diseño y Construcción con Tapial. Madrid, Spain: Centro de Publicaciones, Secretaría
 719 General Técnica, Ministerio de Obras Públicas y Transportes. 1992.
- 720 74. AFNOR. NF P 94-054 Soils: investigation and testing Determination of particle density- Pycnometer method.
 721 1991.
- 722 75. AFNOR. NF P 94-051 Soils: Investigation and testing Determination of Atterberg's limits Liquid limit test
 723 using Casagrande apparatus Plastic limit test on rolled thread. 1993.
- 724 76. Houben H, Guillaud H. *Earth construction. A comprehensive guide.* 1994.
- 725 77. Skempton AW. The colloidal activity of clays. In: *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Soil* 726 *Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*. Vol. 1; 1953:57–61.
- 727 78. Cuccurullo A, Gallipoli D, Bruno AW, Augarde C, Hughes P, La Borderie C. Soil stabilization against water
 728 erosion via calcite precipitation by plant-derived urease. In: Calvetti F., Cotecchia F., Galli A., Jommi C. (eds)
 729 *Geotechnical Research for Land Protection and Development. CNRIG 2019. Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering,*730 vol 40. Springer, Cham, 2019a;753–762. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-21359-6_80</u>
- 731 79. Harkes MP, Van Paassen LA, Booster JL, Whiffin VS & van Loosdrecht MC. Fixation and distribution of
 732 bacterial activity in sand to induce carbonate precipitation for ground reinforcement. *Ecol Eng.* 2010;36(2):112–
 733 117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2009.01.004</u>.
- 80. Cuccurullo A, Gallipoli D, Bruno AW, Augarde C, Hughes P, La Borderie C. Advances in the enzymatic
 stabilisation of soils. In: *Proceedings of the XVII European Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*. 2019.
- 737 81. ISO 24353. Hygrothermal performance of building materials and products determination of moisture
 738 adsorption/desorption properties in response to humidity variation. Geneva, Switzerland: International
 739 Organization for Standardization; 2008.
- 740 82. DIN 18945. Earth blocks Terms and definitions, requirements, test methods. 2013.