	1	Sulfide recrystallization and gold remobilization during the 2.0 Ga stage of the Minas
1 2	2	Orogeny: implications for gold mineralization in the Quadrilátero Ferrífero area, Brazil
3	3	
4 5	4 5	Mariana Brando Soares <sup>1,2</sup> , David Selby <sup>3,4</sup> , Laurence Robb <sup>5,6</sup> , Atlas Vasconcelos Corrêa Neto <sup>7</sup>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<sup>1</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Geologia (PPGL), Instituto de Geociências, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. <sup>2</sup> Departamento de Geologia Aplicada, Faculdade de Geologia, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil <sup>3</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Durham DH1 3LE, UK <sup>4</sup> State Key Laboratory of Geologial Processes and Mineral Resources, School of Earth Resources, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, 430074 Hubei, China <sup>5</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK <sup>6</sup> DSI-NRF Centre of Excellence, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, RSA <sup>7</sup> Departamento de Geologia, Instituto de Geociências, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
14 15	14 15	Abstract
16 18 19 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 23 33 23 33 23 2	16         17         18         19         20         21         22         23         24         25         26         27         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41	The historical Quadrilátero Ferrífero (QF) mining district in Brazil is a poly-deformed Archean terrane recording several tectonic events. This history has hampered the accurate dating of its important gold deposits given that most of the geochronological clocks have been affected by multiple thermal events. In an attempt to determine an accurate time constraint for the mineralizing events in this region, we provide the first Re-Os dating for a gold deposit in the QF, obtained from 13 mineral separates of disseminated sulfide phases (pyrrhotite, coarse-grained arsenopyrite, fine-grained arsenopyrite, pyrite) at the recently discovered São Sebastião deposit (NW QF). Three distinct successive sulfidation stages are interpreted at the deposit. The final stage, texturally associated with gold, is marked by fine-grained arsenopyrite and pyrite (assemblage III) and is associated with high-temperature (~600°C) features. A Re-Os errorchron is obtained when considering the data of all samples collectively, but a comprehensive Re-Os isochron age of 1987 ± 72 Ma (2 $\sigma$ ; n = 4; lsoplotR model 3; initial <sup>187</sup> Os/ <sup>188</sup> Os = 2.1 ± 0.7) is obtained from assemblage III. A <sup>187</sup> Re- <sup>187</sup> Os' isochron at 1988 ± 56 Ma (MSWD = 0.1, initial <sup>187</sup> Os' <sup>188</sup> Os = -0.1 ± 2.6 ppt; n=4) is obtained if an initial <sup>187</sup> Os/ <sup>188</sup> Os composition of ca. 2.1, is used, this being consistent with the fact that the <sup>187</sup> Os in the sulfides in assemblage III is largely radiogenic ( <sup>187</sup> Os'). Earlier assemblages (I and II) individually show variable initial <sup>187</sup> Os/ <sup>188</sup> Os, indicative of disturbance to the Re-Os systematics at ~2.0 Ga. This age is considered to be the best estimative for the timing of gold mineralization at São Sebastião, being coincident with the waning stages of the Minas Orogeny, the thermal effects of which are restricted to the southern QF. Hence, we consider that the hot fluids from which assemblage III crystallized were responsible for resetting the Re-Os systematics in assemblages I and II, and were central to Paleoproterozoic gold
49 50	41 12	The Augdrilátoro Ferrífero (AE <b>Fig. 1</b> ) area in the southern São Erancisco Craten, Brazil
51 52	42 43	has experienced extensive gold production since the 18 <sup>th</sup> century. The superposition of tectonic
53	73	has experienced extensive gold production since the 10° century. The superposition of tectome

has experienced extensive gold production since the 18<sup>th</sup> century. The superposition of tectonic
events in the QF hampers the acquisition and interpretation of absolute isotopic ages of gold
mineralization (Lobato et al., 2001b; Lobato et al., 2007). This is further complicated by the
presence of different mineralization styles, ages and host-rocks (Renger et al., 1993; Cabral,
1996; Cabral et al., 2001; Lobato et al., 2001 a,b; Koglin et al., 2012; Cabral et al.,2013; Cabral

et al., 2015; Tassinari et al., 2015; Brando Soares et al., 2018; Fabricio-Silva, 2019). As a result, the timing of gold ore formation in the QF is debated. Most of the gold occurrences in this region, including the word-class Cuiabá and Morro Velho deposits (see location in Fig. 1), are classified as orogenic gold systems and are hosted within the 2.90-2.73 Ga Rio das Velhas greenstone belt (RVGB - Machado and Carneiro, 1992; Schrank et al., 2002; Hartmann et al., 2006; Noce et al., 2007; Baltazar and Zucchetti, 2007; Vial et al., 2007; Martins et al., 2016; Moreira et al., 2016). The aforementioned gold systems are described as being late- to posttectonic, slightly postdating the 2.70 - 2.65 Ga peak metamorphism related to the waning stages of the Rio das Velhas orogenic event (2.8 - 2.75 Ga; Lobato et al. 2001b; 2007; Baltazar and Zucchetti, 2007; Noce et al., 2007).

Various imprecise Pb-Pb model ages for galena, arsenopyrite and pyrite are reported between 2.7 and 1.9 Ga (Thorpe et al., 1984) for the São Bento and Morro Velho deposits in the RVGB (see Fig. 1 for location). These ages are interpreted to reflect the onset of gold deposition (2.67 - 2.65 Ga), as well as later recrystallization events (2.1-1.9 Ga) (Lobato et al., 2001a). The younger ages are commonly related to the Minas Orogeny, a 2.47-2.10 Ga Paleoproterozoic compressive event responsible for arc-amalgamation to the south of the QF during the Rhyacian-Orosirian (Alkmim and Teixeira, 2017) and correlative with the 2.2 – 2.0 Ga Eburnean Orogeny in western Africa (McFarlane et al., 2019 and references therein). Similar ages between 2.1 and 1.9 Ga are reported for the Turmalina deposit, located west of the RVGB in the correlative Pitangui greenstone belt (PGB; Fig.1). At Turmalina, these ages are calculated from Sm-Nd, Rb-Sr and secondary Pb-Pb isotopic studies in garnet, sericite, pyrrhotite and from arsenopyrite associated with gold mineralization (Tassinari et al., 2015).

The first reliable and precise geochronological data for the timing of gold mineralization in the QF was obtained by U-Pb SHRIMP dating of accessory monazite in association with gold at the Morro Velho and Cuiabá deposits (Lobato et al., 2007). Data from both deposits yielded a 2672 ± 14 Ma U-Pb age (Lobato et al., 2007). A further U-Pb SHRIMP ca. 2.7 Ga age (2730 ± 42 Ma; upper intercept) is reported from hydrothermal monazite within the sulfide-rich zone of the Lamego deposit, nearby Cuiabá (Martins et al., 2016). Younger U-Pb SHRIMP ages of 2387  $\pm$  46 Ga (monazite; upper intercept) and 518.5  $\pm$  9 Ma (xenotime; Concordia age) that are coincident with the timing of the Minas (2.47-2.1 Ga) and Brasiliano (0.65-0.50 Ga) orogenies, respectively, are also reported from the Lamego deposit.

The rhenium-osmium (Re-Os) isotope system has long been used to date molybdenite, containing significant concentrations of Re (ppm) and no common Os (Morgan et al., 1968; Markey et al., 1998; Markey et al., 2007). Improved chemical separation and analytical methods (Creaser et al., 1991; Shirey and Walker, 1995) have permitted the measurement of Os and Re at lower concentrations (ppb level Re and ppt level Os) enabling other sulfides (e.g., pyrite, arsenopyrite, chalcopyrite, bornite, carrolite, cobaltite, löllingite) to be utilized as reliable Re-Os geochronometers (e.g., Stein et al., 1998b; Stein et al., 2000; Arne et al., 2001; Barra et al., 2003; Morelli et al., 2005; Feng et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2015; Hnatyshin et al., 2015; Ding et al., 2016; Saintilan et al., 2017a,b; 2018). Importantly, the Re-Os system allows dating of sulfide minerals that are directly associated with the ore (e.g., gold), without the need to make inferences through the dating of other gangue minerals, which may have had a more complex history (Stein et al., 1998a; Mathur et al., 1999; Morgan et al., 2000; Stein et al., 2000; Arne et al., 2001).

Here, we present here the first direct Re-Os dating for sulfides in the QF to provide an improved temporal and genetic understanding of gold mineralization in the district. Specifically, we present Re-Os analyses of pyrrhotite, arsenopyrite, and pyrite from the recently discovered (2014) São Sebastião gold deposit in the PGB. The Re-Os data permit a discussion regarding the complex timing and genesis of the gold deposits in this region and helps to provide insights into the timing and conditions of gold deposition in other areas that record the superposition of various tectonic events. This is especially relevant in late Archean and Paleoproterozoic terrains, known globally -as the preferred hosts to- orogenic-gold deposits.

## 100 Regional Geology

The ca. 3.2 – 2.6 Ga Archean terrane of the QF is composed of TTG-granite complexes and greenstone belts and records a complex geological history (Lana et al., 2013; Teixeira et al., 2017; Simon et al., 2018) (Fig. 1 - Dorr, 1969; Alkmim and Marshak, 1998). This includes; (I) the Neoarchean Rio das Velhas orogenic event (2.80 - 2.75 Ga – Baltazar and Zucchetti, 2007 and references therein), a progressive regional deformational event dominated by thrust tectonics  $(D_n + D_{n+1})$ ; (II) the accretionary Paleoproterozoic Minas Orogeny (2.47 - 2.10 Ga; Alkmim and Teixeira, 2017 and references therein), also known as the Transamazonian event, which has occurred to the south of the QF and caused the exhumation of TTG-granite domes during the orogenic collapse, interpreted from a dome-and-keel architecture (Alkmim and Teixeira, 2017; Cutts et al., 2019); and (III) the Neoproterozoic Brasiliano-Pan African Orogeny (0.65 - 0.50 Ga;

e.g., Brito Neves et al., 2014) affecting the E border of the QF close to the Araçuaí (Chemale et al., 1994; Alkmim and Marshak, 1998) marginal orogenic belt. **112** 

To date, the gold deposits in the RVGB (the main greenstone belt in the QF) have been traditionally classified as epi- (150 – 300 °C, < 6 km) to mesozonal (300 – 475 °C, 6 - 12 km) orogenic-gold systems (Lobato et al., 2001 a,b). The fluids responsible for such mineralization are described as having originated from metamorphic dehydration at 300 – 350 °C, typical of many other greenschist-metamorphosed Neoarchean terranes (Gebre-Mariam et al., 1995; Groves et al., 1998; McCuaig and Kerrich, 1998; Jia et al., 2003; Goldfarb and Groves, 2015). In contrast, the gold deposits in the correlative PGB (Fig.1) display high-temperature (500 - 600°C) features (Brando Soares et al., 2018; Fabricio-Silva et al., 2019) being hosted by rocks metamorphosed at amphibolite-facies conditions. Such characteristics are typically related with those of deeper hypozonal (475 – 700 °C, >12 km) gold deposits.

#### Sulfide mineral paragenesis at São Sebastião

São Sebastião is a stratabound, hypozonal gold deposit hosted by 2859 ± 11 Ma banded iron formation (BIF) layers. The deposit is composed of two main orebodies (Biquinho and Pimentão) and a satellite body (Tomate) exhibiting a saddle reef-like structure (see Fig. 2A). Gold is contained within sulfide-rich intervals that are spatially associated with D<sub>n</sub> and D<sub>n+1</sub> folds and thrust-related shear zones formed during the NE-SW shortening related to the 2.80 - 2.75 Ga Rio das Velhas orogeny. 

A recent detailed petrographic study of the sulfide paragenesis identifies at least three disseminated sulfidation stages at São Sebastião and a late stage of vein formation (Brando Soares et al., 2018; see Fig. 2 for main textures and paragenesis). Sulfide assemblage I comprises disseminated pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite directly associated with structural features related to an early compressional event (Fig. 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E). Sulfide assemblage II is related to coarse-grained arsenopyrite and rare arsenian pyrite. Coarse-grained arsenopyrite pervasively replaces assemblage I pyrrhotite (Fig. 2C, 2D). Sulfide assemblage III comprises localized fine-grained arsenopyrite, (As-free) pyrite, quenched Cu-Fe-S intermediate solid solution phase (ISS), plus minor pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite (Fig. 2B, 2C, 2F, 2G, 2H, 2I). The quenched ISS occurs in the form of irregular pyrrhotite-chalcopyrite intergrown patches only recognized by SEM-EDS (Fig. 2F). The fine-grained arsenopyrite possibly results from the recrystallization of assemblage II coarse-grained arsenopyrite (Fig. 2C, 2G, 2H). Sulfide assemblage III is restricted to the inner sections of the ore bodies (see Fig. 2A). Gold is found as inclusions in pyrite, filling fractures in

pyrite and both coarse- and fine-grained arsenopyrite and in the form of late droplets and coarser grains at the contact between sulfides and gangue minerals predating vein formation 2 144 (Fig. 2G, 2H, 2I). The post-ore quartz-sulfide veins contain the youngest generation of pyrite and chalcopyrite (Fig. 2E). Small-scale localized brittle-shearing features are recognized in association with assemblage III and post-ore veinlets (see Fig. 2D at the bottom right corner). A paragenetic table is available in Fig. 2J.

Assemblage III is considered to have crystallized from high-temperature (~600°C) Bienriched melts. These were responsible for the destabilization of previous assemblages as well as the scavenging and re-concentration of gold, and are considered to have had the major impact on ore formation (see native gold in Figs. 2G, 2I and Brando Soares et al., 2018). The overprint of sulfide paragenesis and its role in ore formation at São Sebastião is also evidenced by geochemical trends from whole-rock assays. General low to medium gold grades (10 to 6000 ppb) are identified in samples displaying only sulfide assemblage I, where enhanced grades are associated with increases in S, Ag, Cu and Bi. A second trend is recognized in samples displaying the sulfide assemblage III overprint exhibiting variable Au grades (60 to 45000 ppb) and constant high S, Ag, Cu and Bi concentrations. Notably, the highest gold grades (5000 to 45000 ppb) occur in samples where the two geochemical trends and sulfide assemblages overlap, suggesting a process of gold remobilization and re-concentration triggered by the formation of sulfide assemblage III. 

#### Sampling and methodology

Thirteen sulfide mineral separates were obtained from various drill-core samples from within the main mineralized section at the São Sebastião deposit (Biquinho body, Fig.2A). The thirteen mineral separates comprise disseminated assemblage I pyrrhotite (n = 4), assemblage II arsenopyrite (n = 4), and assemblage III arsenopyrite and pyrite (n = 5). Samples # 5 and 10 are composed of mix of very fine-grained (200-500  $\mu$ m) assemblage III arsenopyrite and pyrite that could not be separated by the conventional methods described below. 

The drill core samples (15-20 cm- long) were first crushed in an agate ball mill to prevent any metal contamination, followed by Frantz magnetic separator, heavy liquids (2.89 g/cm<sup>3</sup> bromoform) and, finally purified by handpicking using a binocular microscope. For samples #4 #6 and #13, before handpicking, a large amount of fine arsenopyrite not extracted by Frantz was separated from pyrite of similar grain size by decantation velocity when immersed and 60 174 agitated in heavier diiodomethane (3.32 g/cm<sup>3</sup>). This technique was developed for this work

and is referred to as the "Wyatt technique". This method is based on the density contrast between arsenopyrite (6.07 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) and pyrite (5.01 g/cm<sup>3</sup>). A sample (weighting approximately 1 gram) containing both minerals in a very fine granulometry (200-500  $\mu$ m), being very difficult to handpick, was separated in 4 regular 15 mL test tubes. Each tube was filled with diiodomethane up to 2 cm from the top. Each mixture in the test tubes was agitated with a glass rod immediately before the bottom of the tube was rapidly frozen using liquid nitrogen. As a result, the denser fraction (i.e., arsenopyrite) was concentrated within the frozen bottom after a few seconds (e.g., 10 s). The light fraction composed mainly of pyrite was washed out of the tubes using acetone and collected in a filter paper. The denser phase was collected the same way after the diiodomethane had thawed. The purity of the separates was improved by handpicking under a light microscope.

The sulfide samples were then analyzed at the Source Rock and Sulfide Geochronology and Geochemistry, and Arthur Holmes Laboratories in the Durham Geochemistry Center at Durham University, UK, following the procedure described in Selby et al. (2009). Each sample (~0.4 g) was loaded in a Carius tube with a known amount of mixed <sup>185</sup>Re and <sup>190</sup>Os tracer solutions and then dissolved and homogenized with a mix of concentrated hydrochloric (3 mL) and nitric (6 mL) acid at 220 °C for 48 h. The Os was extracted from the acid solution using solvent extraction (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) and purified by microdistillation (Birck et al., 1997). The Re fraction was isolated using a NaOH-acetone solvent extraction and further purified by standard HCI-HNO<sub>3</sub> anion-bead exchange chromatography (Selby and Creaser, 2003). The purified Re and Os fractions were loaded on Ni and Pt filaments, respectively, and analyzed using a Thermo Scientific Triton thermal ionization mass spectrometer in negative mode (N-TIMS). Total procedural blanks for Re and Os were  $2.3 \pm 0.2$  pg (picogram) and  $1.00 \pm 0.02$  pg, respectively, with an average  $^{187}$ Os/ $^{188}$ Os value of 0.17 ± 0.06 (n = 2). Two level absolute uncertainties are calculated using error propagation and include Re and Os mass spectrometer measurements, blank abundances and isotopic compositions, spike calibrations, weighing uncertainties of sample and tracer solution, and reproducibility of standard Re and Os isotope values. The Re-Os isotopic data including the 2 $\sigma$  propagated uncertainties for <sup>187</sup>Re/<sup>188</sup>Os and <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os and the associated error correlation function (rho) were used to calculate a Re-Os isochron age using York regression via Isoplot v. 4.15 and IsoplotR with a  $\lambda$  <sup>187</sup>Re constant of 1.666 x10<sup>-11</sup> yr<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> (Smoliar et al., 1996; Ludwig, 2012, Vermeesch, 2018).

# **Re-Os geochronology results**

All Re-Os data for the thirteen samples analyzed are presented in **Table 1** and is also available as an appendix file (**Appendix Table A1**). Assemblage I pyrrhotite possesses between ca. 0.24 and 0.98 ppb Re and ca. 18 and 74 ppt Os, and  ${}^{187}\text{Re}/{}^{188}\text{Os}$  of ca. 56 to 96 and  ${}^{187}\text{Os}/{}^{188}\text{Os}$ of 2.1 to 3.9. In contrast, assemblage II arsenopyrite (Re = 7-14 ppb, Os = 192-416 ppt,  ${}^{187}\text{Re}/{}^{188}\text{Os} = 442-1454$ ,  ${}^{187}\text{Os}/{}^{188}\text{Os} = 16.3-53.2$ ) and assemblage III arsenopyrite/pyrite (Re = 2-11 ppb, Os = 75-369 ppt,  ${}^{187}\text{Re}/{}^{188}\text{Os} = 489-608$ ,  ${}^{187}\text{Os}/{}^{188}\text{Os} = 18.6-22.6$ ) show considerably more elevated Re-Os concentrations and isotopic compositions.

The absolute timing of mineralization and the temporal duration between assemblages I, II, III at the São Sebastião deposit is unknown. The relative age of the mineralization from oldest to youngest based on petrographic observations is assemblage I to III. Firstly, we evaluate the Re-Os isotope systematics of the entire thirteen samples based on the premise that the sulfide assemblages are broadly contemporaneous. Collectively the Re-Os isotope data for assemblage I pyrrhotite, and assemblage II and III arsenopyrite and pyrite scatter about a ca. 2.2 Ga errochron (2151 ± 86 Ma; 2σ; MSWD = 375; n = 13; York model 3; initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os =  $0.08 \pm 0.89$ ; Fig. 3A). Given that the sample set represents a number of samples (n = 4 to 5) from each assemblage (Table 1; Appendix Table A1), we consider that this excess data-point scatter is unlikely to represent an analytical artifact. Instead, the scatter about the best-fit of all the Re-Os isotope data likely reflect disparate temporally distinct sulfide generations, with, or without, variable initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os ratios (Osi), and/or disturbance to the Re-Os systematics. These issues invalidate the isochron dating approach for the entire sample set collectively. 

Errorchrons are also produced individually for the Re-Os isotope data for assemblage I (1989 ± 3900 Ma; 2σ; MSWD = 298; n = 4; York model 3; initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os = 0.1 ± 5.8; Fig. 3B) and II (2187 ± 340 Ma;  $2\sigma$ ; MSWD = 224; n = 4; York model 3; initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os = -1.1 ± 5.3; **Fig.** 3C). In contrast, the Re-Os isotope data for assemblage III yield a significantly better linear fit (MSWD = 31), but still an imprecise age (2005  $\pm$  980 Ma; 2 $\sigma$ ; n = 5; York model 3; initial  $^{187}$ Os/ $^{188}$ Os = 2.2 ± 9.0; Fig. 3D). For assemblage III, the scatter about the linear best-fit of the Re-Os data is predominantly controlled by sample #13 that plots above the line of best-fit. Excluding sample #13, the remaining assemblage III (n = 4) Re-Os data yields a Re-Os isochron age of 1989 ± 347 Ma ( $2\sigma$ ; MSWD = 2.3; n = 4; York model 3; initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os = 2.1 ± 3.2; Fig. 3d). To account for the scatter in the Re-Os data, the model 3 approach of Isoplot introduces a normal distributed variation in the initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os (Ludwig, 2011). In a similar approach to account for the scatter in the best-fit of the Re-Os data, IsoplotR (Vermeesch, 2018) utilizes a

non-normal variation in the initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os, which results in a more precise Re-Os isochron age (1987 ± 72 Ma; 2 $\sigma$ ), with a smaller uncertainty in the initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os (2.1 ± 0.7; variation in initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os = 0.00075 + 0.139310 / - 0.000075 [2s]).

Considering an initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os composition of ca. 2.1 for assemblage III, as given by the Re-Os isochron, >89 % of the <sup>187</sup>Os in the assemblage III sulfides is radiogenic (<sup>187</sup>Os<sup>r</sup>) (Table 1; Appendix Table A1). A <sup>187</sup>Re-<sup>187</sup>Os<sup>r</sup> isochron age for assemblage III, based on <sup>187</sup>Os<sup>r</sup> calculated using an initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os composition of ca. 2.1 is 1998 ± 55 Ma (2s; Model 1, MSWD = 1.4; n = 5; initial <sup>187</sup>Os<sup>r</sup> = 0 ± 2.6 ppt), or 1988 ± 56 Ma without sample #13 (Model 1, MSWD = 0.1, initial <sup>187</sup>Os<sup>r</sup> = -0.1 ± 2.6 ppt; Fig. 3e). Collectively, the Re-Os data for assemblage III provide the best estimate for the timing of mineralization for this sulfide stage at ca. 2.0 Ga. For the Re-Os data of sample #13 to return a ca. 2.0 Ga age, the <sup>187</sup>Os<sup>r</sup> must be calculated using an initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os of ca. 3, which would explain why sample #13 falls off the best-fit of all other assemblage III points on the <sup>187</sup>Re/<sup>188</sup>Os vs. <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os plot (Fig. 3). 

If it is considered that assemblage II initially formed broadly contemporaneously and prior to the 2.0 Ga assemblage III, the scatter about the best-fit of all the assemblage II <sup>187</sup>Re/<sup>188</sup>Os vs. <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os data likely reflects disturbance to the Re-Os arsenopyrite systematics at ca. 2.0 Ga. This is illustrated by the range of model ages for assemblage II calculated from the same initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os composition (Table 1; Appendix Table A1). Akin to assemblage III, the <sup>187</sup>Os budget of assemblage II is dominated by <sup>187</sup>Os<sup>r</sup> (>90 %; **Table 1; Appendix Table A1**). Model ages for assemblage II overlap (including uncertainty) with the timing of assemblage III (ca. 2.0 Ga) based on <sup>187</sup>Os<sup>r</sup> calculated from initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os compositions of 0.12 and 0.5 (samples #1, 2), 1.0 (samples #1, 2, 3), 1.5 (samples #3, 11) and 2.0 (sample #11) (Table 1; Appendix Table A1). The latter suggests that the disturbance to the Re-Os isotope systematics resulted in the arsenopyrite of assemblage II possessing variable initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os ratios (Osi). A similar conclusion of Re-Os isotope disturbance can be made for the assemblage I pyrrhotite. Only samples #7 (Osi = 0.5) and #9 (Osi = 0.12) (Table 1; Appendix Table A1) return Re-Os model ages in line with those given by assemblage III (ca. 2.0 Ga). The remaining samples (#8 and 12) all yield ages younger than the 2.0 Ga assemblage III, suggesting that the Re-Os systematics did not close at 2.0 Ga, but have been affected by a younger geological event. 

268 Discussion and implications for the timing of gold mineralization at São Sebastião

269 Timing relates to Au mineralization and Au upgrading

The Re-Os data for sulfide assemblage III provide the best estimate for the timing of mineralization at São Sebastião at ca. 2.0 Ga. This age corresponds with the waning stages of the Minas Orogeny, an accretionary event characterized to the south of the QF in the allochthonous Mineiro belt (2.47 - 2.10 Ga – Ávila et al., 2010; Teixeira et al., 2015; Alkmim and Teixeira, 2017). This Paleoproterozoic age is quite distinct from the 2672 ± 14 Ma U-Pb age obtained for accessory monazites in the Cuiabá and Morro Velho deposits of the RVGB (Lobato et al., 2007).

Certain tectonic features recognized in the QF, such as the Pará de Minas shear zone in the PGB (Fig. 1 – Tassinari et al., 2015), are also associated with this time interval (2.1 to 1.9 Ga) and possibly relate to the gravitational collapse of the Minas orogen (Alkmim and Teixeira, 2017) further to the south. Such structures are interpreted to have reactivated older Archean crustal discontinuities resulting in a Paleoproterozoic dome-and-keel architecture (Alkmim and Marshak, 1998; Cutts et al., 2019). This process is driven by means of crustal thinning and uplift of hot (600 – 700 °C) mid-crustal (20 km) Archean gneiss domes (Alkmim and Marshak, 1998; Cutts et al., 2019). The thermal effect of this extensional collapse event is reported by regional resetting of 2100 - 1940 Ma U-Pb ages in titanite and monazite, implying temperatures in the order of ca. 600 – 700 °C (Aguilar et al., 2017) at this time. This temperature range is considered as the minimum closure temperature for such accessory minerals (Scott and St-Onge, 1995), but is lower than the temperature necessary to disturb/reset the U-Pb systematics of zircon (≥ 700 °C – Lee et al., 1997; Rubatto et al., 2001; Hermann and Rubatto, 2003). The absence of ca. 2.1 Ga U-Pb zircon reset ages in the QF (Romano et al., 2013; Farina et al., 2015; Albert et al., 2016; Aguilar et al., 2017) supports this temperature constraint. 

The U-Pb age range in titanite and monazite is very similar to that obtained from the Re-Os analyses of sulfide assemblage III in this study. Moreover, temperatures around ca. 600 °C, as implied from the U-Pb systematics and ages of monazite and titanite in the QF (e.g., Aguilar et al., 2017), are high enough to cause sulfide recrystallization (e.g. Cook et al., 2009) driven by hydrothermal dissolution and re-precipitation, such as is observed in the São Sebastião gold deposit. In this regard, the sulfide assemblage III is described to have formed at a similar temperature (ca. 600 °C) based on the arsenopyrite geothermometer, ISS formation and crystallization of exotic Bi-sulfosalts of the pavonite group (see Brando Soares et al., 2018). This further indicates a possible correlation between the formation of assemblage III and the waning stages of the Minas Orogeny at ca. 2.0 Ga, in a broader regional perspective. As a result, the Re-

 Os systematics of the early sulfide assemblages (I and II) at São Sebastião may have been reset by higher-temperature fluid fluxes at 2.0 Ga. This being also coeval (within uncertainty) with the sulfide recrystallization evident in assemblage III. For instance, the Re-Os system in arsenopyrite has been shown to be preserved up to temperatures as high as 400 °C, and possibly exceeding 450 °C (Morelli et al., 2010), but is expected to be reset at higher temperatures.

The highest gold grades at São Sebastião, which are associated with assemblage III, were developed preferentially in sites where gold was re-concentrated/upgraded from sulfide assemblages (I) and (II). This is shown both by petrography and by two distinct whole-rock geochemical trends (see **Section 2**). Thus, gold remobilization at São Sebastião is associated with the high-T recrystallization of sulfides at ca. 2.0 Ga, yielding assemblage III comprising mainly fine-grained arsenopyrite and pyrite. This sulfide recrystallization stage is accompanied by infills of native gold and native bismuth in fractures of arsenopyrite (both coarse- and finegrained) and pyrite, where Au and Bi remained in the liquid phase until the low cooling temperature of 271°C (Brando Soares et al., 2018). Since gold fills fractures in recrystallized sulfides, we propose that the final crystallization of native gold slightly postdates these phases.

Overall, the timing for gold mineralization proposed in this study (ca. 2.0 Ga) is consistent, in part, with the proposals of Tassinari et al. (2015). They advocated a ca. 2.0 Ga sulfidation and gold-mineralizing stage at the Turmalina deposit, near to São Sebastião. However, remobilization from a previous Archean assemblage was not considered. Here, gold remobilization from a Neoarchean sulfide-rich paragenesis triggered by sulfide recrystallization is proposed, similar to that described in the Western Churchill Province, Canada (Lawley et al., 2015). This is supported by petrographic observations and whole-rock geochemistry indicating Au-enrichment in zones of overprinted sulfide assemblages, as well as contrasting initial <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os (Osi) ratios between the early and late sulfide subsets marking the reset of the Re-Os isotopic clock in the earlier paragenetic sequences. Given that the sulfide recrystallization likely occurred during Paleoproterozoic times, gold remobilization is assumed to be of the same age. Moreover, at São Sebastião, we emphasize that the hypozonal features of the mineralization were attained during at ca. 2.0 Ga at ca. 600 °C, which is equivalent with depths of > 12 km and amphibolite-facies metamorphic conditions. This is typical of deeply-emplaced gold deposits where the overprints of a late, high-temperature assemblage are usually

associated with gold deposition that is either syn- or post-metamorphic (see Bouchot et al., 2005; Tomkins et al., 2006; Tomkins et al., 2007, Hagemann et al., 2013 and Kolb et al., 2015). **334** 

The São Sebastião sulfide assemblage III is here estimated to have formed at amphibolite-facies conditions during the waning stages of the Minas Orogeny. This, however, requires that the effects of this tectono-thermal event are more widespread than considered in previous literature (Alkmim and Teixeira, 2017). The findings in the present work can be combined with the ca. 2.0 Ga titanite resetting ages reported in the PGB area (Aguilar et al., 2017) to propose an extension of the thermal imprint area of the Minas Orogeny. The actual imprint front is likely to be located approximately 100 km NW from where the 2.1 Ga convergent structures and rocks are recognized (limit between the autochthonous and allochthonous Mineiro Belt in Fig. 1).

As such, we speculate that the wider thermal effect of the Minas Orogeny is related to deep extensional features caused by the collapse of the Paleoproterozoic orogen (e.g., Aguilar et al. (2017), Alkmim and Teixeira (2017) and Cutts et al. (2019). The high-temperature assemblages imply that the gold deposits in the PGB (São Sebastião, Turmalina) formed at a deeper crustal level compared to the deposits in the RVGB (e.g., world-class Cuiabá), which show epi- to mesozonal characteristics). It is possible, however, that high-T sulfide remobilization also played a role deeper in the RVGB based on ca. 2.1 Ga resetting ages reported for this area at Lamego (Martins et al., 2016). In this sense, further investigation by Re-Os geochronology may provide important insights on the evolution of gold deposits in the south São Francisco Craton, where the reactivation of Archean NW-SE structures may have had an important role in transporting fluids from younger hydrated sources. Remarkably, ca. 2.0 Ga ages are often reported for gold deposits in the West Africa Craton (e.g., Mignot et al., 2017), but have seldom been described in the correlative São Francisco Craton. Dating mineralization events may, therefore, be an important tool to more comprehensively understand the reworking of Archean gold deposits in Brazil and to improve exploration models for similar multistage ore deposits occurring elsewhere in poly-deformed terranes.

Conclusions

At the hypozonal São Sebastião gold deposit (Pitangui greenstone belt) in the QF mining district in Brazil, gold is associated with sulfide-rich layers within Archean BIF. Rhenium-osmium geochronometry of the paragenetically youngest sulfide assemblage III provides the best estimate for the timing (ca. 2.0 Ga) of sulfide and gold mineralization at São Sebastião. This age

coincides with the waning stages of the Minas Orogeny. The ca. 2.0 Ga age is different to the ages reported for other gold deposits in the nearby Rio das Velhas greenstone belt (ca. 2.7 Ga), but is identical to U-Pb ages obtained previously for titanite and monazite in the QF that are attributed to record the timing of resetting of the mineral U-Pb systematics. This resetting is related to the thermal effects (ca. 600°C) of extensional features resulted to the collapse of a Paleoproterozoic accretionary orogen (Mineiro Belt) known approximately 100 km to the south of São Sebastião. Thus, the late sulfidation stage (assemblage III) at São Sebastião is considered to have formed by recrystallization of the earlier sulfide assemblages, which had their Re-Os systematic reset at ca. 2.0 Ga. Gold is mostly observed filling fractures in arsenopyrite and pyrite and in the form of late droplets and crystals displaying an association with Bi. In addition, the highest gold grades are seen in zones where the early and late sulfide paragenesis overlap. This implies that gold was probably remobilized from the early paragenetic sequence and reconcentrated by the high-T sulfide association in Paleoproterozoic times. The regional implications are that the overprinting thermo-tectonic effects of the Paleoproterozoic (2.47 -2.10 Ga) Minas Orogeny can be traced much further north than previously known. This suggests that important gold occurrences in the neighboring Rio das Velhas greenstone belt, such as the world-class Cuiabá deposit, may also have been regenerated at this time. This is supported by the ca. 2.1 Ga U-Pb age for monazite from the meso- to epizonal sections of these deposits. In turn, this implies that yet undiscovered hypozonal deposits may exist in the RVGB, beneath the currently explored depths. In more general terms it is possible that Paleoproterozoic rocks may represent important targets for gold mineralization in the QF, and indeed the broader São Francisco craton and its correlatives worldwide.

### Acknowledgements

This work would not be possible without the support and materials provided by IAMGOLD Brazil. The results and discussions are part of the PhD thesis of M. Brando Soares (CNPq 142210/2016-1 and FAPERJ TEC-Nota 10 - E-26/200.281/2017 - 230521 grants). DS acknowledges the Total Endowment Fund and the CUG Wuhan Dida Scholarship. M. Brando Soares also thanks Owen Green, and especially chemist Steve Wyatt, for helping with the Re-Os sample preparation and development of density decantation with liquid nitrogen technique for mineral separation, here referred to as the "Wyatt technique".

## References

Aguilar, C., Alkmim, F.F., Lana, C., Farina, F., 2017. Palaeoproterozoic assembly of the São Francisco craton, SE Brazil: New insights from U–Pb titanite and monazite dating. Precambrian Res. 289, 95-115.

Albert, C., Farina, F., Lana, C., Stevens, G., Storey, C., Gerdes, A., Dopico, C.M., 2016. Archean crustal evolution in the Southern São Francisco craton, Brazil: Constraints from U-Pb, Lu-Hf and O isotope analyses. Lithos 266-267; 64-86. 

10 402 Alkmim, F.F., Marshak, S., 1998. Transamazonian Orogeny in the Southern São Francisco Craton, Minas Gerais, Brazil: evidence for Paleoproterozoic collision and collapse in the Quadrilátero 13 404 Ferrifero. Precambrian Research 90, 29–58.

15 405 Alkmim, F.F., Teixeira, W., 2017. The Paleoproterozoic Mineiro Belt and the Quadrilátero Ferrífero. pp. 71–94. 

Arne, D.C., Bierlin, F.P., Morgan, J.W., Stein, H.J., 2001. Re-Os dating of sulfides associated with 20 408 gold mineralization in Central Victoria, Australia. Econ. Geol. 96, 1455–1459.

Ávila, C.A., Teixeira, W., Cordani, U.G., Moura, C.A.V., Pereira, R.M., 2010. Rhyacian (2.23–2.20 **409** 23 410 Ga) juvenile accretion in the southern São Francisco craton, Brazil:geochemical and isotopic evidence from the Serrinha magmatic suite, Mineirobelt. J. S. Am. Earth Sci. 29, 464–482. 

- Baltazar, O.F., Zucchetti, M., 2007. Lithofacies associations and structural evolution of the 28 413 Archean Rio das Velhas greenstone belt, Quadrilátero Ferrífero, Brazil: a review of the regional setting of gold deposits. Ore Geology Reviews 32, 471–499.
- Barra, F., Ruiz, J., Mathur, R., Titley, S., 2003. A Re-Os study of sulfide minerals from the Bagdad porphyry Cu-Mo deposit, northern Arizona, USA. Miner. Depos. 38, 585–596.
- **417** Birck, J.L., RoyBarman, M., Capmas, F., 1997. Re-Os measurements at the femtomole level in 36 418 natural samples. Geostand. Newslett. 20, 19–27.

Bouchot, V., Ledru, P., Lerouge, C., Lescuyer, J., Milesi, J., 2005. Late Variscan mineralizing systems related to orogenic processes: The French Massif Central. Ore Geology Reviews 27, <sub>42</sub> 422 169-197.

44 423 Brando Soares, M., Corrêa Neto, A.V., Bertolino, L. C., Alves, F.E.A., Almeida, A.M., Silva, P.H.M., Araújo, R.O., Manduca, L.G., Araújo, I.M.C.P., 2018. Multistage Mineralization at the Hypozonal São Sebastião Gold Deposit, Pitangui Greenstone Belt, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Ore Geology 48 426 Reviews 102, 618-638. 

<sup>50</sup> 427 Brito Neves, B.B., Fuck, R.A., Pimentel, M.M., 2014. The Brasiliano collage in South America: a review. Brazilian Journal of Geology 44 (3), 493-518. 

Cabral, A.R., 1996. Mineralização de ouro paladiado em itabiritos: a jacutinga de Gongo Soco, 55 430 Quadrilátero Ferrífero, Minas Gerais. MSc thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

Cabral, A.R., Lehmann, B., Kwitko, R., Jones, R.D., Pires, F.R.M., Filho, O.G.R., Innocentini, M.D., 2001. Palladium-oxygenated compounds of the Gongo Soco mine, Quadrilatero Ferrifero, central Minas Gerais, Brazil. Mineral. Mag. 65, 169-179

Cabral, A.R., Koglin, N., Strauss, H., Brätz, H., Kwitko-Ribeiro, R., 2013. Regional sulfate-hematite-sulfide zoning in the auriferous Mariana anticline, Quadrilátero Ferrífero of Minas Gerais, Brazil. Miner. Depos. 48, 805–816. 

10 438 Cabral, A.R. & Zeh, A., 2015. Detrital zircon without detritus: a result of 496-Ma-old fluid-rock interaction during the gold-lode formation of Passagem, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Lithos 212–215, 415-427. 14 441

Chemale Jr., F., Rosiére, C.A., Issamu, E., 1994. The tectonic evolution of the Quadrilátero 17 443 Ferrífero, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Precambrian Research 65, 25-54.

19 444 Cook, N.J., Ciobanu, C.L., Mao, J., 2009. Textural control on gold distribution in As-free pyrite from the Dongping, Huangtuliang and Hougou gold deposits, North China Craton (Hebei 22 446 Province, China). Chem. Geol. 264, 101–121. 

Creaser, R.A., Papanastassiou, D.A., Wasserburg, G.J., 1991. Negative thermal ion mass spectrometry of osmium, rhenium and iridium. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 55, 397-401. 

Cutts, K., Lana, C., Alkmim, F., Farina, F., Moreira, H., Coelho, V., 2019. Metamorphism and **449** exhumation of basement gneiss domes in the Quadrilátero Ferrífero: Two stage dome-and-keel evolution? Geosci. Front.10 (5), 1765-1787. 

Ding, C., Nie, F., Bagas, L., Dai, P., Jiang, S., Ding, C., Liu, C., Peng, Y., Zhang, G., Shao, G., 2016. 33 452 Pyrite Re-Os and zircon U-Pb dating of the Tugurige gold deposit in the western part of the Xing'an-Mongolia Orogenic Belt, China and its geological significance. Ore Geol. Rev. 72, 669– 681. 

Dorr, J.V.N.II, 1969. Physiographic, stratigraphic and structural development of the Quadrilátero Ferrífero, Minas Gerais, Brazil. USGS Professional Paper 641-A, p. 110. 

43 458 Fabricio-Silva, W., Rosière, C.A., Bühn, B., 2019. The shear zone-related gold mineralization at the Turmalina deposit, Quadrilátero Ferrífero, Brazil: structural evolution and the two stages of mineralization. Mineralium Deposita 54, 347-368. 

Farina, F., Albert, C., Lana, C., 2015. The Neoarchean transition between medium- and high-K granitoids: Clues from the Southern São Francisco Craton (Brazil). Precambrian Res. 266, 375-394. 

Feng, C.Y., Qu,W.J., Zhang, D.Q., Dang, X.Y., Du, A.D., Li, D.X., She, H.Q., 2009. Re-Os dating of pyrite from the Tuolugou stratabound Co (Au) deposit, eastern Kunlun Orogenic Belt, 56 466 northwestern China. Ore Geol. Rev. 36 (1), 213–220.

Gebre-Mariam, M., Hagemann, S.G., Groves, D.I., 1995. A classification scheme for epigenetic 60 469 Archaean lode-gold deposits. Miner. Depos. 30 (5), 408-410.

Goldfarb, R.J. and Groves, D.I., 2015. Orogenic gold: Common or evolving fluid and metal sources through time. Lithos 233, 2-26. Groves, D.I., Goldfarb, R.J., Gebre-Mariam, M., Hagemann, S.G., Robert, F., 1998. Orogenic gold deposits: a proposed classification in the context of their crustal distribution and relationship to other gold deposit types. Ore Geology Reviews 13 (1-5), 7-27. 10 476 Groves, D. I., Santosh, M., Deng, J., Wang, Q., Yang, L., & Zhang, L. (2020). A holistic model for 11 477 the origin of orogenic gold deposits and its implications for exploration. Mineralium Deposita, 55(2), 275-292. Hagemann, S. G., Cassidy, K., Witt., W., 2013. Gold Systems in the Lower Crustal Section 15 479 ("bottom") of Greenstone Belts. 12th SGA Biennial Meeting 2013. Proceedings, Volume 3. **482** Hartmann, L.A., Endo, I., Suita, M.T.F., Santos, J.O.S., Frantz, J.C., Carneiro, M.A., McNaughton, 20 483 N.J., Barley, M.E., 2006. Provenance and age delimitation of Quadrilátero Ferriífero sandstones based on zircon U-Pb isotopes. Journal of South American Earth Sciences 20, 273–285. 24 486 Hermann, J., Rubatto, D., 2003. Relating zircon and monazite domains to garnet growth zones: Age and duration of granulite facies metamorphism in the Val Malenco lower crust. J. Metamorph. Geol. 21, 833–852. Hnatyshin, D., Creaser, R.A., Wilkinson, J.J., Gleeson, S.A., 2015. Re-Os dating of pyrite confirms an early diagenetic onset and extended duration of mineralization in the Irish Zn-Pb ore field. Geology 43, 143–146. <sup>34</sup> 492 Huang, X.W., Gao, J.F., Qi, L., Zhou, M.F., 2015. In-situ LA-ICP-MS trace elemental analyses ofmagnetite and Re–Os dating of pyrite: the Tianhu hydrothermally remobilized sedimentary 37 494 Fe deposit, NW China. Ore Geol. Rev. 65, 900–916. 38 495 Jia, Y., Kerrich, R., Goldfarb, R., 2003. Metamorphic Origin of Ore-Forming Fluids for Orogenic Gold-Bearing Quartz Vein Systems in the North American Cordillera: Constraints from a 42 498 Reconnaissance Study of  $\delta$ 15N,  $\delta$ D, and  $\delta$ 18O. Economic Geology 98, 109-123. Koglin, N., Cabral, A.R., Brunetto, W.J., Vymazalová, A., 2012. Gold-tourmaline assemblage in a Witwatersrand-like gold deposit, Ouro Fino, Quadrilátero Ferrífero of Minas Gerais, Brazil: the 46 500 composition of gold and metallogenic implications. Neues Jahrb. für Mineral. - Abhandlungen J. Mineral. Geochemistry 189, 263–273 Kolb, J., Dziggel, A., Bagas, L., 2015. Hypozonal lode gold deposits: A genetic concept based on **503** a review of the New Consort, Renco, Hutti, Hira Buddini, Navachab, Nevoria and The Granites deposits. Precambrian Research 262, 20-44. <sub>56</sub> 506 Lana, C., Alkmim, F.F., Armstrong, R., Scholz, R., Romano, R., Nalini, H.A., 2013. The ancestry **507** and magmatic evolution of Archean TTG rocks of the Quadrilátero Ferrífero province, southeast Brazil. Precambrian Research 230, 1-30. 

509 Lawley, C.J.M., Creaser, R.A., Jackson, S.E., Yang, Z., Davis, B.J., Pehrsson, S.J., Dubé, B., Mercier-1 510 Langevin, P., Vaillancourt, D., 2015. Unraveling the Western Churchill Province Paleoproterozoic 2 511 Gold Metallotect: Constraints from Re-Os Arsenopyrite and U-Pb Xenotime Geochronology and 3 4 512 LA-ICP-MS Arsenopyrite Trace Element Chemistry at the BIF-Hosted Meliadine Gold District, 5 513 Nunavut, Canada. Econ. Geol. 110, 1425–1454. 6

7 Le Mignot, E., Reisberg, L., André-Mayer, A.S., Bourassa, Y., Fontaine, A., Miller, J., 2017. Re-Os 514 8 geochronological evidence for multiple Paleoproterozoic gold events at the scale of the West 9 515 10 516 African craton. Econ. Geol. 112, 145–168. 11

12 Lee, J.K.W., Williams, I.S., Ellis, D.J., 1997. Pb, U and Th diffusion in natural zircon. Nature 390, 13 **517** 14 518 159-161. 15

16 <sub>17</sub> 519 Lobato, L.M., Ribeiro-Rodrigues, L.C., Zucchetti, M., Noce, C.M., Baltazar, O.F., Silva, L.C., Pinto, 18 520 C.P., 2001a. Brazil's premier gold province. Part I: The tectonic, magmatic and structural setting 19 521 of Archean Rio das Velhas greenstone belt, Quadrilátero Ferrífero. Mineralium Deposita 36, 20 522 228-248. 21

22 23 **523** Lobato, L.M., Rorigues, L.C.R., Vieira, F.W.V., 2001b. Brazil's premier gold province. Part II: geology and genesis of gold deposits in the Archean Rio das Velhas greenstone belt, 24 **524** <sup>25</sup> 525 Quadrilátero Ferrífero. Mineralium Deposita 36, 249–277. 26

27 526 Lobato, L.M., Santos, J.O.S., McNaughton, N.J., Fletcher, I.R., Noce, C.M., 2007. U-Pb SHRIMP 28 <sub>29</sub> 527 monazite ages of the giant Morro Velho and Cuiabá gold deposits, Rio das Velhas greenstone 30 528 belt, Quadrilátero Ferrífero, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Ore Geology Reviews 32, 674-680.

32 529 Ludwig, K., 2012. User's Manual for Isoplot 3.75: A Geochronological Tool for Microsoft Excel. 33 34 530 Berkeley Geochronol. Cent. Spec. Publ. No. 5.

<sup>36</sup> 531 Machado, N., and Carneiro, M.A., 1992. U-Pb evidence of late Archean tectono-thermal activity 532 in the southern São Francisco shield, Brazil. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences. 29 (11), 2341-2346. 39 **533** 

535 Markey, R., Stein, H., and Morgan, J., 1998, Highly precise Re-Os dating for molybdenite using alkaline fusion and NTIMS: Talanta, v. 45, p. 935–946. 43 536

538 Markey, R., Stein, H.J., Hannah, J.L., Zimmerman, A., Selby, D., and Creaser, R.A., 2007, Standardizing Re-Os geochronology: A new molybdenite reference material (Henderson, USA) 539 48 540 and the stoichiometry of Os salts: Chemical Geology, v. 244, p. 74–87.

542 Martins, B.S., Lobato, L.M, Rosière, C.A., Hagemann, S.G., Santos, J.O., Villanova, F.L., Silva, R.C., 52 **543** Lemos, L.H., 2016. The Archean BIF-hosted Lamego gold deposit, Rio das Velhas greenstone 53 544 belt, Quadrilátero Ferrífero: Evidence for Cambrian structural modification of an Archean 545 orogenic gold deposit. Ore Geology Reviews 72, 963-988.

Mathur, R., Ruiz, J., Tornos, F., 1999. Age and sources of the ore at Tharsis and Rio Tinto, Iberian 546 pyrite belt, from Re–Os isotopes. Mineral. Deposita 34, 790–793. 58 **547** 

541

31

35

- 60
- 61 62

549 McCuaig, C., Kerrich, R., 1998. P-T-t-deformation-fluid characteristics of lode gold deposits: 1 550 Evidence from alteration systematics. Ore Geology Reviews 12, 381-453. 2

4 551 McFarlane, H.B., Ailleres, L., Betts, P., Ganne, J., Baratoux, L., Jessell, M.W., Block, S., 2019. 5 552 Episodic collisional orogenesis and lower crust exhumation during the Palaeoproterozoic 553 Eburnean Orogeny: Evidence from the Sefwi Greenstone Belt, West African Craton. 554 Precambrian Res. 325, 88–110. 8

- 10 555 Mitrofanov, A., Cole, G., 2020. Independent Technical Report for the São Sebastião Gold 11 <sub>12</sub> 556 Deposit, Pitangui Gold Project, Brazil. SRK Consulting. 113p.
- 13 Moreira, H., Lana, C., Nalini, H.A., 2016. The detrital zircon record of an Archaean convergent 14 **557** <sup>15</sup> **558** basin in the southern São Francisco craton, Brazil. Precambrian Research 275, 84-99. 16
- 17 559 Morelli R.M., Creaser R.A., Selby D., Kontak D.J., Horne RJ, 2005. Rhenium–osmium 18 geochronology of arsenopyrite in Meguma Group gold deposits, Meguma Terrane, Nova Scotia, 560 19 Canada: evidence for multiple gold-mineralizing events. Econ Geol100:1229–1242. 20 561 21
- 22 Morgan, J.W., Lovering, J.F., and Ford, R.J., 1968, Rhenium and non-radiogenic osmium in 563 23 24 564 Australian molybdenites and other sulphide minerals by neutron activation analysis. Journal of 25 565 the Geological Society of Australia, 15, 189–194. 26
- 27 28 **567** Morgan J.W., Stein H.J., Hannah J.L., Markey R.J., and Wiszniewska J., 2000. Re-Os study of 29 568 ores from the Suwalki Anorthosite massif, northeast Poland. Mineralium Deposita 35: 391–401. <sup>30</sup> 569
- 31 570 Noce, C.M., Tassinari, C., Lobato, L.M., 2007. Geochronological framework of the Quadrilátero 32 Ferrifero, with emphasis on the age of gold mineralization hosted in Archean greenstone belts. 33 571 <sup>34</sup> 572 Ore Geology Reviews 32, 500-510. 35
- <sub>37</sub> 574 Renger., F., Suckau, V., Silva, R.M.P., 1993. Sedimentologia e análise da bacia da Formação 38 575 Moeda, Quadrilátero Ferrífero, Minas Gerais, Brasil. In: SIMPÓSIO DE GEOLOGIA DE MINAS 39 576 GERAIS, 7., 23-26 nov. 1993, Belo Horizonte, p. 41-45.
- 42 578 Romano, R., Lana, C., Alkmim, F.F., Stevens, G., Armstrong, R., 2013. Stabilization of the <sup>43</sup> 579 southern portion of the São Francisco craton, SE Brazil, through a long-lived period of potassic 44 580 magmatism. Precambrian Research 224, 143–159.
- Rubatto, D., Williams, I.S., Buick, I.S., 2001. Zircon and monazite response to prograde 581 47 metamorphism in the Reynolds Range, central Australia. Contrib. Miner. Petrol. 140, 458–468. 48 582 <sup>49</sup> 583
- 50 584 Saintilan, N.J., Creaser, R.A., Spry, P.G., Hnatyshin, D., 2017a. Re-Os Systematics of löllingite and 51 52 **585** arsenopyrite in granulite-facies garnet rocks: Insights into the metamorphic history and thermal <sup>53</sup> 586 evolution of the broken hill block during the early mesoproterozoic (New South Wales, 54 587 Australia). Can. Mineral. 55, 29–44.
- Saintilan, N.J., Creaser, R.A., Bookstrom, A.A., 2017b. Re-Os systematics and geochemistry of 57 589 58 590 cobaltite (CoAsS) in the Idaho cobalt belt, Belt-Purcell Basin, USA: Evidence for middle
- 36 40 41 45 46 55 <sub>56</sub> 588 59 60

3

6

7

9

562

566

573

577

- 61
- 62 63 64

- Mesoproterozoic sediment-hosted Co-Cu sulfide mineralization with Grenvillian and Cretaceous remobilization. Ore Geol. Rev. 86, 509-525.
- Saintilan, N.J., Selby, D., Creaser, R.A., Dewaele, S., 2018. Sulphide Re-Os geochronology links orogenesis, salt and Cu-Co ores in the Central African Copperbelt. Sci. Rep. 8.

Schrank, A., Machado, N., Stern, R., 2002. Eventos no Arqueano com base em idades U/Pb-9 596 SHRIMP de zircões detríticos em metassedimentos da mina de Morro Velho-Quadrilátero 10 597 Ferrífero-Minas Gerais. 41th Congresso Brasileiro de Geologia, Sociedade Brasileira de Geologia, João Pessoa, p. 527.

- Scott, D.J., St-Onge, M.R., 1995. Constraints on Pb closure temperature in titanite based on 14 599 rocks from the Ungava orogen, Canada: implications for U-Pb geochronology and P-T-t path determinations. Geology 23, 1123–1126.
- 19 602 Selby, D., Creaser, R.A., 2003. Re-Os geochronology of organic rich sediments: An evaluation of organic matter analysis methods. Chem. Geol. 200, 225–240.
- Selby, D., Kelley, K.D., Hitzman, M.W., Zieg, J., 2009. Re-Os sulfide (bornite, chalcopyrite and 23 604 pyrite) systematics of the carbonate-hosted copper deposits at Ruby Creek, southern Brooks Range, Alaska. Econ. Geol. 104, 437–444.
  - Shirey, S.B., Walker, R.J., 1995. Carius Tube Digestion for Low-Blank Rhenium-Osmium Analysis. Anal. Chem. 67, 2136-2141.
- Simon, M.B., Marques Bongiolo, E., Ávila, C.A., Oliveira, E.P., Teixeira, W., Stohler, R.C., Soares 32 611 de Oliveira, F.V., 2018. Neoarchean reworking of TTG-like crust in the southernmost portion of the São Francisco Craton: U-Pb zircon dating and geochemical evidence from the São Tiago Batholith. Precambrian Res. 314, 353-376. 36 614
- Smoliar, M.I., Walker, R.J., Morgan, J.W., 1996. Re-Os ages of group IIA, IIIA, IVA, and IVB iron 40 617 meteorites. Science 271, 1099-1102.
- Stein, H.J., Morgan, J.W., Markey, R.J., and Hannah, J.L., 1998a, An introduction to Re-Os: What's in it for the mineral industry ?: SEG Newsletter, January 1998, no. 32, p. 1, 8–15.
- Stein, H.J., Sundblad, K., Markey, R.J., Morgan, J.W., and Motuza, G., 1998b, Re-Os ages for Archaean molybdenite and pyrite, Kuittila-Kivisuo, Finland and Proterozoic molybdenite, 49 624 Kabeliai, Lithuania: Testing the chronometer in a metamorphic and metasomatic setting: 50 625 Mineralium Deposita, v. 33, p. 329–345.
- Stein, H.J., Morgan, J.W., Scherstén, A., 2000. Re-os Dating of Low-Level Highly Radiogenic (LLHR) Sulfides: the Harnäs Gold Deposit, Southwest Sweden, Records Continental-Scale **627** Tectonic Events. Econ. Geol. 95, 1657–1672.
- <sub>58</sub> 629 Tassinari, C.C.G., Mateus, A.M., Velásquez, M.E., Munhá, J.M.U., Lobato, L.M., Bello, R.M., Chiquini, A.P., Campos, W.F., 2015. Geochronology and thermochronology of gold 59 630
- **607** <sup>37</sup> 615 41 618 **621**

mineralization in the Turmalina deposit, NE of the Quadrilátero Ferrífero Region, Brazil. Ore Geology Reviews 67, 368-381.

Teixeira, W., Ávila, C.A., Dussin, I.A., Corrêa Neto, A.V., Bongiolo, E.M., Santos, J.O., Barbosa, N.S., 2015. A juvenile accretion episode (2.35–2.32 Ga) in the Mineiro belt and its role to the Minas accretionary orogeny: zircon U-Pb–Hf and geochemical evidences. Precambrian Research 256, 148–169. 

Teixeira, W., Oliveira, E.P., Marques, L.S., 2017. Nature and Evolution of the Archean Crust of the São Francisco Craton. pp. 29–56. 

Thorpe, R.I., Cumming, G.L., Krstic, D., 1984. Lead Isotope Evidence Regarding Age of Gold Deposits In The Nova Lima District, Minas Gerais, Brazll. Rev. Bras. Geociências 14, 147–152.

Tomkins, A.G., Frost, B.R., Pattison, D.R.M., 2006. Arsenopyrite melting during metamorphism of sulfide ore deposits. Can. Mineral. 44, 1045–1062.

Tomkins, A.G., Pattison, D.R.M., Frost, B.R., 2007. On the initiation of metamorphic sulfide anatexis. J.Petrol. 48, 511-535.

Vermeesch, P. (2018). IsoplotR: A free and open toolbox for geochronology. Geoscience Frontiers, 9 (5), 1479–1493.

Vial, D.S., Abreu, G.C., Schubert, G., Ribeiro-Rodrigues, L.C. 2007. Smaller gold deposits in the Archean Rio das Velhas greenstone belt, Quadrilátero Ferrífero, Brazil. Ore Geology Reviews 32, 30 648 651-673. 

#### **Figure Captions**

Figure 1: Regional geological setting of the Quadrilátero Ferrífero in the south sector of the São Francisco Craton. Map shows the location of São Sebastião (study area marked by dashed 38 653 rectangle) and other hypozonal gold deposits in the Pitangui greenstone belt, as well as the main gold deposits of various types within the Rio das Velhas greenstone belt. Latitude/longitude geographic coordinates at the corners of the map and UTM in other intersections. Modified from Dorr (1969), Corrêa Neto et al. (2012), Pinto and Silva (2014), and Aguilar et al. (2017).

Figure 2: (A) Transect from a 3D model of the São Sebastião deposit showing the positioning of drill cores and respective lithological correlation. Modified from the São Sebastião 43101 report provided by IAMGOLD Brazil (Mitrofanov and Cole, 2020). (B) to (E) Drill core images from sulfide-rich banded iron formation (BIF) illustrating the various sulfidation stages at São Sebastião (check Fig. 2J for relative timing). (B) assemblage I pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite (po1 +  $ccp_1$ ) parallel to the Sn foliation overgrown by arsenopyrite (apy – assemblage II) preferably within organic-matter-rich (darker) microbands. Assemblage III pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite ( $po_2 +$  $ccp_2$ ) and pyrite (py<sub>1</sub>) are late and overgrow previous phases. (C) Coarse-grained arsenopyrite (coarse apy - assemblage II) overgrowing po<sub>1</sub>+ccp<sub>1</sub>. Fine-grained arsenopyrite (fine-apy -

assemblage III) occurs in microbands close to pyrite<sub>1</sub> pockets. (D) Sulfide-rich BIF with po<sub>1</sub>+ccp<sub>1</sub> (assemblage I) overgrown by coarse-grained arsenopyrite (assemblage II). (E) Early  $po_1+ccp_1$ (assemblage I) preferably grown in fold-hinges and small-scale shear zones overgrown by brighter-colored  $po_2+ccp_2$  (assemblage II), all phases are crosscut by a late veinlet containing pyrite2. (F) to (I) SEM imagens of thin sections from the Biquinho orebody. (F) ISS + ccp<sub>2</sub>+stilpnomelane (stp)+pyrite association. (G) pyrite and ISS at the borders of fine-grained apy with fracture filled by native gold (Au), native bismuth (Bi) and po<sub>2</sub>. (H) Gold inclusions in fine-grained arsenopyrite surrounded by pyrite, po<sub>2</sub>, stilpnomelane and carbonate (cb). (I) Gold associated with pyrite bordered by quartz (qtz), po<sub>2</sub> and carbonate (cb). (J) Paragenetic table **677** showing relative timing of disseminated sulfide assemblages (I, II and III) and late veins - refer to this table for relative timing and assemblage correlation. As-py - arsenian pyrite; sph -sphalerite; Bi-sul – bismuth-sulfosalts; Bi-Te – bismuth tellurides.

**Figure 3:** <sup>187</sup>Re/<sup>188</sup>Os vs. <sup>187</sup>Os/<sup>188</sup>Os isochron plots: (A) for assemblage I pyrrhotite, and assemblage II and III arsenopyrite and pyrite; (B) for assemblage I pyrrhotite; (C) assemblage II arsenopyrite, (D) assemblage III arsenopyrite + pyrite, and (e) <sup>187</sup>Re-<sup>187</sup>Os<sup>r</sup> isochron for assemblage III sulfides. See text for discussion.





Time





40 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000

Name Batch #		Batch #	sample #	mineral	Grain size	Assemblage	
		PO1020 7	7	Durrhatita	fine	1	
	R01029-7_CCP1	RU1029-7	/	Pyrmoule	fine	1	
	R01108-2_2P0	R01108-2	8	Pyrmotite	nne	I	
	RO1108-3_3Po	RO1108-3	9	Pyrrhotite	fine	I	
	RO1108-7_7Po	RO1108-7	12	Pyrrhotite	fine	I	
	RO1029-1_APY1	RO1029-1	1	Arsenopyrite	coarse	II	
	RO1029-2_APY2	RO1029-2	2	Arsenopyrite	coarse	II	
	RO1029-3_APY3	RO1029-3	3	Arsenopyrite	coarse	II	
	RO1108-5_5Aspy	RO1108-5	11	Arsenopyrite	coarse	II	
	RO1029-4_APY4	RO1029-4	4	Arsenopyrite	fine	111	
	RO1029-5_APY+PY	RO1029-5	5	Arsenopyrite+pyrite	fine	Ш	
	RO1029-6_PY	RO1029-6	6	pyrite	coarse-fine	Ш	
	RO1108-4_4Aspy+Py	RO1108-4	10	Arsenopyrite+pyrite	fine	Ш	
	RO1108-8 Aspv	RO1108-8	13	Arsenopyrite	fine	Ш	

 Table 1. Synopsis of the Re-Os data for assemblage I, II, and III sulfides.

Re (ppb)	±	Total Os (ppt)	±	<sup>187</sup> Re (ppb)	±	<sup>192</sup> Os (ppt)	±	<sup>187</sup> Os <sup>r</sup> (ppt) @ Osi = 0.12	<sup>187</sup> Os <sup>r</sup> (ppt) @ Osi = 0.5	<sup>187</sup> Os <sup>r</sup> (ppt) @ Osi = 1.0
							_			
0.984	0.004	73.8	1.0	0.618	0.002	20.5	0.4	24.2	21.8	18.5
0.258	0.001	17.8	0.1	0.162	0.001	5.3	0.0	4.9	4.2	3.4
0.238	0.001	25.5	0.1	0.150	0.001	8.4	0.1	5.2	4.2	2.8
0.264	0.001	19.9	0.1	0.166	0.001	6.2	0.0	4.9	4.1	3.2
13.413	0.047	391.7	2.3	8.431	0.030	40.2	0.2	294.3	289.5	283.1
13.629	0.047	383.5	2.3	8.566	0.030	38.5	0.2	290.3	285.7	279.6
12.281	0.054	415.5	2.2	7.719	0.034	55.3	0.2	281.7	275.1	266.4
7.311	0.025	191.9	2.1	4.595	0.016	10.0	0.1	167.7	166.5	164.9
11.014	0.038	369.1	2.1	6.923	0.024	44.8	0.2	260.8	255.4	248.3
9.713	0.037	301.7	1.8	6.105	0.023	31.7	0.1	224.9	221.1	216.1
2.260	0.008	75.2	0.8	1.421	0.005	9.0	0.1	53.4	52.3	50.9
8.361	0.029	271.9	3.2	5.255	0.018	30.6	0.3	197.9	194.2	189.3
4.944	0.017	165.9	2.0	3.107	0.011	18.3	0.2	121.7	119.5	116.6

<sup>187</sup> Os <sup>r</sup> (ppt) @ Osi = 2.1	±	% <sup>187</sup> Os <sup>r</sup> @ Osi = 0.12	% <sup>187</sup> Os <sup>r</sup> @ Osi = 0.5	% <sup>187</sup> Os <sup>r</sup> @ Osi = 1.0	% <sup>187</sup> Os <sup>r</sup> @ Osi = 1.5	% <sup>187</sup> Os <sup>r</sup> @ Osi = 2.1	<sup>187</sup> Re/ <sup>188</sup> Os	±	<sup>187</sup> Os/ <sup>188</sup> Os
11 /	27	96.7	86.9	74.0	61 1	<i>4</i> 5.6	95 5	2.1	3 87
16	0.7	95.8	83.2	66 8	50.3	40.0 30.5	96.3	1.0	3.03
-0.1	1.1	94.0	75.7	51.6	27.5	-1.3	56.4	0.5	2.07
1.0	0.8	95.2	80.7	61.6	42.6	19.8	84.9	0.8	2.62
1.0	8.0	99.5	97.8	95.7	93.5	91.0	663.2	3.8	23.27
269.1	7.8	99.5	97.9	95.8	93.7	91.2	704.3	4.0	23.99
266.2	9.0	99.2	96.9	93.8	90.8	87.1	442.1	2.8	16.26
247.2	5.1	99.8	99.0	98.1	97.2	96.0	1453.5	12.4	53.17
232.8	7.8	99.3	97.3	94.6	91.9	88.7	489.3	2.8	18.55
205.1	6.2	99.4	97.8	95.5	93.3	90.7	608.9	3.7	22.56
47.8	1.8	99.2	97.2	94.6	91.9	88.8	498.5	4.9	18.86
178.7	9.7	99.4	97.6	95.1	92.7	89.8	543.5	5.4	20.58
110.3	5.3	99.4	97.6	95.3	92.9	90.1	538.1	5.6	21.19

±	rho	% Re blank	% <sup>187</sup> Os blank	% <sup>188</sup> Os blank	Model age @Osi = 0.12	Model age @Osi = 0.5	Model age @Osi = 1.0	Model age @Osi = 1.5	Model age @Osi = 2.1	±
0.11	0.714	0.58	0.22	4.8	2308.7	2078.5	1774.2	1468.3	1099.2	255.2
0.03	0.767	2.90	0.28	3.7	1784.5	1554.1	1249.6	943.5	574.2	248.5
0.02	0.630	3.15	0.26	2.4	2043.0	1650.8	1130.7	606.0	-29.6	425.9
0.02	0.720	2.82	0.28	3.2	1740.8	1479.4	1133.6	785.8	365.8	282.1
0.15	0.569	0.04	0.02	2.5	2059.6	2026.4	1982.6	1938.8	1886.2	37.3
0.15	0.578	0.04	0.02	2.6	2000.5	1969.2	1927.9	1886.6	1837.1	35.2
0.10	0.504	0.05	0.02	1.8	2151.8	2102.0	2036.4	1970.8	1891.9	54.9
0.51	0.741	0.14	0.01	2.7	2151.7	2136.5	2116.6	2096.7	2072.7	21.6
0.12	0.572	0.05	0.02	2.2	2219.4	2174.5	2115.3	2056.1	1984.9	49.7
0.14	0.568	0.06	0.02	3.1	2171.8	2135.7	2088.1	2040.5	1983.3	40.7
0.21	0.788	0.31	0.13	12.2	2214.6	2170.4	2112.3	2054.2	1984.3	49.7
0.26	0.683	0.19	0.02	1.4	2218.3	2177.8	2124.5	2071.2	2007.2	48.2
0.28	0.701	0.29	0.01	1.0	2305.9	2265.0	2211.3	2157.5	2092.9	49.0

Appendix\_Table A1

Click here to access/download **Electronic Appendix (Excel etc.)** Appendix\_Table\_A1\_Synopsis\_of\_Re\_Os\_data\_FINAL.xl sx