Published for SISSA by 🙆 Springer

RECEIVED: September 13, 2022 REVISED: November 25, 2022 ACCEPTED: December 7, 2022 PUBLISHED: December 19, 2022

Signatures of non-thermal dark matter with kination and early matter domination. Gravitational waves versus laboratory searches

Anish Ghoshal,^{*a*,1} Lucien Heurtier^{*b*,2} and Arnab Paul^{*c*,3}

- ^aInstitute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, ul. Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland
- ^bInstitute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, Durham University, South Road, Durham, U.K.
- ^cSchool of Physical Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Kolkata-700032, India

E-mail: anish.ghoshal@fuw.edu.pl, lucien.heurtier@durham.ac.uk, arnabpaul9292@gmail.com



¹https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7045-302X.

²https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3153-7225.

³https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3498-6755.

ABSTRACT: The non-thermal production of dark matter (DM) usually requires very tiny couplings of the dark sector with the visible sector and therefore is notoriously challenging to hunt in laboratory experiments. Here we propose a novel pathway to test such a production in the context of a non-standard cosmological history, using both gravitational wave (GW) and laboratory searches. We investigate the formation of DM from the decay of a scalar field that we dub as the reheaton, as it also reheats the Universe when it decays. We consider the possibility that the Universe undergoes a phase with kination-like stiff equation-of-state $(w_{\rm kin} > 1/3)$ before the reheaton dominates the energy density of the Universe and eventually decays into Standard Model and DM particles. We then study how first-order tensor perturbations generated during inflation, the amplitude of which may get amplified during the kination era and lead to detectable GW signals. Demanding that the reheaton produces the observed DM relic density, we show that the reheaton's lifetime and branching fractions are dictated by the cosmological scenario. In particular, we show that it is long-lived and can be searched by various experiments such as DUNE, FASER, FASER-II, MATHUSLA, SHiP, etc. We also identify the parameter space which leads to complementary observables for GW detectors such as LISA and u-DECIGO. In particular we find that a kination-like period with an equation-of-state parameter $w_{\rm kin} \approx 0.5$ and a reheaton mass $\mathcal{O}(0.5-5)$ GeV and a DM mass of $\mathcal{O}(10-100)$ keV may lead to sizeable imprints in both kinds of searches.

KEYWORDS: Cosmology of Theories BSM, Early Universe Particle Physics, Dark Matter at Colliders

ARXIV EPRINT: 2208.01670

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Cosmological framework	4
3	Gravitational-wave signatures	6
	3.1 Gravitational-wave spectral shapes from non-standard cosmology	6
	3.2 Experimental sensitivities	8
4	Complementary probe of dark sector	9
	4.1 Microscopic model: Higgs-portal DM	10
	4.2 Dark-matter non-thermal production	11
5	Results	12
6	Discussion and conclusion	14

1 Introduction

The cosmic inflation which resolves the flatness and horizon problem and seeds the initial density fluctuations for large-scale structure formation [1-5] predicts tiny fluctuations in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) measurements [6]. After its discovery, the content of our present Universe in Dark Matter (DM), Dark Energy (DE) and radiation is now well established in what is known as the ACDM model [7]. In addition, the improving measurements of the scalar perturbation modes, together with the most recent limits on the presence of tensor modes in the CMB, help narrowing down the class of inflation models which could explain the incredible homogeneity and flatness of the Universe. Nevertheless, the history of the Universe from the end of cosmic inflation to the hot big bang phase of the cosmological history remains up to now free of any experimental constraints. As a consequence, the way the metric perturbation modes evolve after their production during inflation, until the present time, is unknown. The consequences of this complete black out regarding our Universe history is twofold: (i) We are unable to predict with certainty the scale of inflation and (ii) the number of e-folds of cosmic inflation, which is essential to constrain cosmic inflation models from the CMB measurement, is a free parameter of the theory.

In the vanilla Λ CDM model, it is generally assumed that the cosmic inflation era is followed immediately by the radiation dominated (RD) era, also known as the hot big bang phase of the cosmological history. In this very simplistic case, it is expected that the spectrum of GWs that was produced during inflation remained frozen until perturbation modes start growing linearly with the expansion during the late Matter-Domination (MD) era. Since inflation is measured to produce a nearly scale invariant spectrum of first-order tensor perturbations that is relatively feeble as compared to the sensitivity of present and future GW detectors, it is expected that a Universe exclusively dominated by radiation and matter after inflation would not lead to any measurable GW signal in the near future. However, we would like to highlight that the Universe can only become radiation dominated at the end of inflation under very conservative assumptions. Indeed, to release all of its energy density right after it exits the phase of *slow roll*, the inflaton must decay immediately into ordinary radiation. Such a fast decay of the inflaton field requires the existence of large interaction terms between the inflaton field and Standard Model (SM) fields. However, sizeable interactions of the inflationary sector with the SM are not motivated by any strong theoretical argument, they were also shown to substantially affect the inflationary dynamics [8-11] or the stability of the SM Higgs boson [12, 13]. Furthermore, in order to decay efficiently after inflation ends, the inflaton also needs to oscillate around the minimum of its potential, such that its coherent oscillations quickly get damped by through SM particle production. This relies on the idea that the inflation potential minimum stands relatively close in field space from the point where inflation ends. However, numerous scalar potentials can be used to realize cosmic inflation which do not have a minimum or whose minimum is far away from the location in field space where inflation ends. This is for instance the case of quintessential inflation models [14-17], or more simply non-oscillatory inflation models [18], in which the inflaton keeps rolling along its potential for a long time after inflation ends. In such cases, the production of SM particles is more difficult to achieve and can typically be realized through gravitational particle production [19, 20] or other reheating mechanisms, just to name a few, instant preheating [21], curvaton reheating [22], Ricci reheating [23, 24]. The inflation sector thus only transfers a fraction η of its energy density when SM particles are produced. The Universe therefore undergoes a phase of kination, where the kinetic energy of the inflaton scalar field is the main source of energy in the Universe and decreases quickly with expansion as $\rho_{\phi} \sim a^{-6}$ before radiation starts dominating and the hot big bang phase starts.

In this paper we will consider the more general possibility that the end of inflation is not continued right away by the hot big bang phase, but instead is followed by a phase featuring a stiff equation of state, corresponding to an equation of state parameter larger than the one of radiation that we will denote as $w_{\rm kin} > 1/3$. In what follows, we will refer to this period as being 'kination-like' for simplicity. For completeness, we also envision that the inflaton may not produce SM particles directly but may instead produce a metastable moduli (called reheaton) that will later on reheat the Universe and produce dark-matter particles out of equilibrium. This transfer of energy could correspond to a tiny gravitational particle production, but can also simply arise from a slight transfer of kinetic energy from the inflaton oscillations to the oscillations of a transfer direction in field space. This happens in many particle physics models, such as supergravity models [10, 25–27], hybrid inflation models [28], or even in String Theory where moduli fields may start oscillating and dominate the energy density of the Universe [29] after inflation. Generically, the oscillations of the reheaton field can be understood as a transfer of energy from the classical oscillations of the inflaton field to oscillations in a transverse direction in field space, like a waterfall or a turn in the inflation trajectory. For simplicity, we will assume that the oscillations of the reheaton can be described by a perfect fluid with constant equation of state parameter w_S . Typically, if the reheaton oscillates around quadratic potential, this fluid behaves like cold matter with equation of state $w_S = 0$, which would lead to a period of early matter domination. In that way, the History of the Universe, also depicted in figure 1 can be described by four major phases:

Inflation

 \downarrow Kination / Stiff Equation of State \downarrow Early Matter Domination (EMD) \downarrow SM Plasma + Dark Matter

In a thorough study, the authors of ref. [30] showed recently that a kination-like period can lead to a growth of perturbation modes of the metric at large frequencies that could be detectable by gravitational wave detectors in the near future [30–44, 44–58]. We will therefore explore to which extent such a possibility remains promising for this generic scenario and exhibit regions of the parameter space which will be probed by future GW detectors.

Besides the unknown pre-BBN history of the universe, the origin and composition of dark matter (DM) in the Universe remains a big mystery in modern particle physics and cosmology [59–61]. Despite great experimental efforts over the last 30 years, the simplest models of a dark matter particle that freezes out from the SM plasma leading to the famous "WIMP miracle" [62–65] were not detected experimentally, neither in direct-detection experiments looking for DM scattering off nuclei [66–71], via indirect detection via DM annihilation [72, 73], nor through direct production in colliders (e.g. at the LHC [74, 75]). This has led to several alternative DM production mechanisms such as the so-called non-thermal production models, in which the observed DM abundance is formed out of equilibrium, either from the annihilation of SM particles via the so-called *freeze-in* mechanism [76–78],¹ inflationary particle production via preheating, direct inflaton decay to DM, or considering the inflaton itself to be DM [82–116].

Generically, in a non-thermal scenario, DM particles hardly communicate with the visible sector (SM), which makes such scenarios challenging to detect² for any conventional astrophysical or laboratory-based experiment. Thankfully, some of those dark-matter scenarios involve a non-standard evolution of the post-inflationary Universe. In that case, we will argue that gravitational waves seeded by inflationary tensor perturbations can provide a compelling alternative for probing the existence of such DM production models.

¹For detection prospects of free-in mechanism, see refs. [79–81].

²Tests of such non-thermal particle production via dark radiation or N_{eff} measurements during the Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) & CMB era were proposed in ref. [117].

In our scenario, demanding that the reheaton produces the correct amount of dark matter in the early Universe uniquely dictates the interaction strength of the reheaton with SM and DM particles. The reheaton therefore acts as a portal between the dark and the visible sector. We will therefore study how this portal can lead to sizeable interactions between DM and SM particles, but more interestingly, we will identify regions of the parameter space where the reheaton may be produced in long-lived particle searches experiments such as FASER, MATHUSLA, DUNE, etc.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we detail the model that will be studied throughout the paper. In section 3 we derive the spectrum of GWs that is predicted and compare it to the sensitivity of current and future GW detectors. Considering a minimal model of dark matter interacting with the reheaton, and a higgs portal interaction of the reheaton with the SM Higgs, we explore how the model may lead to smoking-gun signatures in laboratory searches in section 4.

2 Cosmological framework

Unlike most of the vanilla inflationary scenario, we consider in this paper the possibility that the inflationary era is followed by a kination-like period with stiff equation of state, which is characterized by an equation of state parameter

$$w_{\rm kin} > 1/3$$
. (2.1)

Such a cosmological era is typically present in models of non-oscillatory scalar field inflation [17, 18, 22, 25, 118–120] which feature $w_{\rm kin} \approx 1$. Models featuring an intermediate value of $1/3 < w_{\rm kin} < 1$ can also be obtained using oscillating scalars in potentials of the form $V(S) \propto S^k$ with k > 4 [121], or rolling scalars along an exponentially decreasing potential [54, 122–124].

The manner in which the Universe is reheated, leading to the subsequent hot big bang era, strongly depends on the model considered. In the context of non-oscillatory scalarfield inflation, it is believed that the SM is reheated through a gravitational production of particles at the end of inflation [19, 20, 125]. Since the inflationary sector may not be composed of only one scalar field, it may as well be possible that the inflaton transfers a fraction of its energy density into a metastable spectator field S, that we call the *reheaton*. After inflation, the small oscillations of the reheaton may behave like an extra component of matter in the early universe (corresponding to an equation of state parameter $w_S = 0$), which will eventually decay to reheat the SM at a later time. However, if the reheaton potential is different than a quadratic potential, its equation of state parameter w_S could be different than zero. We will study the effect of this parameter on the GW detection, but will restrict our study in the last part of the paper to the standard value $w_S = 0$. We will denote by

$$\eta \equiv \frac{\rho_S}{\rho_{\rm inf}} \ll 1 \,, \tag{2.2}$$

the fraction of energy density which is transferred into the reheaton field at the end of inflation. We will also denote by m_S and Γ_S the mass and total decay width of the



Figure 1. Evolution of the different components present in the Universe along the cosmological history. The vertical dotted line stands for the time where DM becomes non-relativistic. The parameters used in the figure are $\eta = 10^{-15}$, $m_S = 1 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{\text{DM}} = 1 \text{ MeV}$, $\Gamma_S = 10^{-15} \text{ GeV}$, and $w_{\text{kin}} = 1$. In the *x*-axis, $N = \ln(a)$ stands for the number of *e*-folds before present time.

reheaton field. When $H \sim \Gamma_S$ we assume that the reheaton produces both SM and DM particles. We will consider for simplicity that the DM particles produced from this decay, which are initially boosted $(E_{\rm DM} \approx m_S/2 \gg m_{\rm DM})$, redshift before composing the cold relic density that is observed today in cosmological data [6]. Demanding that this production accounts for the correct relic abundance fixes the value of the decay branching fraction of the reheaton into DM particles:

$$Br(S \to DM, DM) = \frac{m_S}{2m_{DM}} \left(\frac{\rho_{eq}}{\rho_S}\right)^{1/4}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where ρ_S denotes the energy density of reheatons at the time of reheating and ρ_{eq} the energy density of the Universe at matter-radiation equality.

The energy density at the end of inflation, the energy fraction released in the form of reheatons at the end of inflation, the decay width of the reheaton, the mass of the reheaton, and the mass of dark matter

$$\{\rho_{\text{inf}}, w_{\text{kin}}, w_{\text{S}}, \eta, \Gamma_{S}, m_{S}, m_{\text{DM}}\},$$
 (2.4)

therefore constitute the set of free parameters of the model.

In figure 1 we depict a typical example where the period of kination-like ends before the reheaton has decayed, leading to a period of early matter domination. In order to obtain such an evolution, we simply assume that the different energy components decrease like $a^{-3(w+1)}$. When dark matter is produced through the decay of the reheaton, one should note that it behaves first as radiation, since it is produced with a typical energy $E_{\rm DM} \sim m_S/2$ (where we assume for simplicity a two body decay).

3 Gravitational-wave signatures

We consider in this section the first-order tensor perturbations propagating in the early Universe as gravitational waves, created by the inflaton quantum fluctuations [126]. We will study how a modification of the standard cosmological history can affect the spectrum of such GWs and lead to measurable signatures for future gravitational wave detectors.

3.1 Gravitational-wave spectral shapes from non-standard cosmology

In standard inflationary scenario, the GW spectrum produced from the inflaton's quantum fluctuations is nearly scale invariant when it is produced and stays that way across the Universe's history as long as the Universe transitions instantaneously from inflation to radiation domination [45, 47, 127]. Nevertheless, given the non-standard evolution that we consider in this paper, the successive kination-like and matter domination eras before that precede the standard radiation domination era induce interesting structures (inverted triangular shape for our scenario) in the otherwise flat gravitational wave spectrum, hence providing a unique signal of such a non-standard cosmological history.

The energy density stored in the GW spectrum per unit log interval of k is given by $\frac{d\rho_{GW}}{d\log(k)} = \frac{k^2 h_k^2}{16\pi G a^2}$ [128], where h_k is the amplitude of k-th mode of GW in Fourier space. The GW equation of motion dictates that $h_k \propto \frac{1}{a}$ after the corresponding k-mode enters the Hubble sphere, i.e. when k > aH. The k mode which enters the Hubble sphere is related to the scale factor a via $k \propto a^{-\frac{3w+1}{2}}$, w being the equation of state parameter of the dominant component of the Universe during that phase. Therefore, during radiation domination (w = 1/3), the rate at which the modes re-enter the horizon is identical to the rate at which the GW amplitude decreases, hence keeping the spectral shape unaltered. On the other hand, for $w \neq 1/3$, the aforementioned rates differ, hence tilting the GW spectrum. In order to understand how the GW spectrum is affected by the cosmological evolution, and thus the equation of state (e.o.s.) parameter of the Universe w at a given time, one can note that $h_k = h_{k_i} \frac{a_k}{a}$ (h_{k_i} being the initial amplitude of GW k mode when it enters the horizon) and replace a_k by $k^{-\frac{2}{1+3w}}$, giving [30, 128, 129]

$$\frac{d\rho_{GW}}{d\log(k)} \propto a_k^{1-3w} \propto k^{-2\frac{1-3w}{1+3w}}.$$
(3.1)

The expression clearly dictates that for w > 1/3 (w < 1/3), the GW spectrum grows (decreases) with the frequency.

In our scenario, inflation is followed successively by a kination-like and an early matter dominated epochs, described by w_{kin} and w_S respectively. As mentioned before, for modes $k_{\rm kin}^0 \leq k \leq k_{\rm end}^0$ which enter the horizon during the kination-like era — between the end of inflation and the end of kination (kin), the GW spectrum features a positive slope as $w = w_{\rm kin} > \frac{1}{3}$ by definition. Similarly for modes $k_{\rm RH}^0 \leq k \leq k_{\rm kin}^0$ entering the horizon during the matter dominated era — between the end of kination (kin) and the reheating of the Universe operated by the reheaton decay (RH) — the slope of the GW spectrum is negative. The mode k_i corresponding to *i*-th transition is related to the scale factor a_i and Hubble H_i at that epoch via the usual relation $k_i^0 = H_i \left(\frac{a_i}{a_0}\right)$. With the analytical approximation where each mode begins oscillating suddenly after the horizon crossing, the GW spectrum may be approximated by a piecewise function given by,

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}^{0}(k) = \Omega_{\rm GW}^{0,{\rm flat}} \begin{cases}
1, & k < k_{\rm RH}^{0} \\
\left(\frac{k}{k_{\rm RH}^{0}}\right)^{\frac{2(3w_{S}-1)}{1+3w_{S}}}, & k_{\rm RH}^{0} \le k \le k_{\rm kin}^{0} \\
\left(\frac{k_{\rm kin}^{0}}{k_{\rm RH}^{0}}\right)^{\frac{2(3w_{S}-1)}{1+3w_{S}}} \left(\frac{k}{k_{\rm kin}^{0}}\right)^{\frac{2(3w_{kin}-1)}{1+3w_{kin}}}, & k_{\rm kin}^{0} \le k \le k_{\rm end}^{0} \\
0 & k_{\rm end}^{0} < k
\end{cases} (3.2)$$

Given a particular set of parameters { ρ_{inf} , η , Γ_S , m_S , m_{DM} , w_{kin} , w_S }, H_i and a_i can be determined by fixing the present scale factor to $a_0 = 1$, and the scale factor at matterradiation equality $a_e q$ to its measured value [6]. Here $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{0,\text{flat}}$ is given by [23],

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}^{0,\rm flat} = \frac{\Omega_{\gamma}^0}{24} \left(\frac{g_{s,\rm eq}}{g_{s,k}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \left(\frac{g_k}{g_{\gamma}^0}\right) \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{H_{\rm end}^2}{M_P^2}, \qquad (3.3)$$

where $g_{\gamma}^0 = 2$ and g_k is the d.o.f when the k mode re-entered horizon. H_{end} corresponds to the Hubble parameter at the end of inflation. The frequency f of GW is related to a wave-number k via $f = c \frac{k}{2\pi}$, where c is the speed of light. In our analysis, we choose the largest inflation scale allowed by Planck measurement, $H_{\text{end}} = 6.6 \times 10^{13}$ GeV [6]. In principle this value could be smaller. In that case, one can see from eq. (3.3) that the spectrum of gravitational waves would accordingly decrease. Note that we assumed a scale-invariant power spectrum for the tensor modes, meaning that $n_{\rm T} \approx 0$. In a singlefield inflation setup, this approximation is motivated by the consistency relation $n_{\rm T} = -r/8$ where $r \leq 3.5 \times 10^{-2}$ is the tensor-to-scalar ratio. Therefore, the spectrum of tensor modes is expected to be scale invariant to a very good approximation.

The total energy density stored under the form of GW's behaves as a radiation-like component and therefore contributes to the total number of relativistic degrees of freedom $N_{\rm eff}$, leading to an extra contribution which can be quantified as

$$\Delta N_{\rm eff} = \frac{8}{7} \left(\frac{11}{4}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \frac{\Omega_{\rm GW}^0}{\Omega_{\gamma}^0} \,, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\Omega_{\rm GW}^0$ is defined as

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}^0 = \int \frac{df}{f} \Omega_{\rm GW}^0(f) \,. \tag{3.5}$$



Figure 2. Dependence of GW spectrum with variation of different parameters, w_k , w_S , Γ_S , η respectively, keeping the other parameters fixed.

3.2 Experimental sensitivities

 $\Omega_{\rm GW} \, h^2$

 $\Omega_{\rm GW} \, h^2$

In figure 2 we show the dependence of the GW spectrum with the different parameters. As we described above, the slope of this spectrum increases during kination domination with higher values of the kination e.o.s parameter $w_{\rm kin}$, with similar effects with the variation of the reheaton e.o.s parameter w_S during the reheaton domination phase. Increasing the decay rate Γ_S makes the reheaton decay at an earlier time, resulting in a shorter period of reheaton domination, hence making the "negative slope region" of the GW spectrum last over fewer e-folds. On the other hand decreasing η results in a longer kination dominated period, hence making the "positive slope region" of GW spectrum larger.

In order to study the sensitivity of present and future GW detectors to our scenario, we have used the sensitivity curves derived in ref. [130] for NANOGrav [131–134], PPTA [135, 136], EPTA [137–139], IPTA [140–143], SKA [144–146], LISA [147, 148], BBO [149–151], DECIGO [152–154], CE [155, 156] and ET [157–160], μ –ARES [161], GAIA, THEIA [162] and aLIGO and aVirgo [163–166].



Figure 3. The allowed region of $\Gamma_S - \eta$ parameter space from $N_{\rm eff}$ bounds and future reaches in GW detectors (LISA, ET, BBO, u-DECIGO) after 4 years of exposure. The green shaded region above the green line (denoted by CMB) is disallowed by the $N_{\rm eff} < 0.3$ constraint. The other colored lines correspond to SNR= 1 for different GW detectors, the region above then denoting SNR> 1. The left and right panel correspond to $w_{\rm kin} = 1$ and 0.5 with the other parameters fixed at $\{w_S, H_{\rm end}, m_{\rm DM}, m_S\} = \{0, 6.6 \times 10^{13} \, {\rm GeV}, 10^8 \, {\rm GeV}, 10^{12} \, {\rm GeV}\}$. The bottom gray-shaded area denotes the region of the parameter space where the reheaton injects entropy into the SM bath after BBN.

The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) [167, 168]

$$\mathrm{SNR}_{\mathrm{exp}} \equiv \left\{ 2t_{\mathrm{obs}} \int_{f_{\mathrm{min}}}^{f_{\mathrm{max}}} df \left[\frac{h^2 \Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f)}{h^2 \Omega_{\mathrm{eff}}(f)} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2} .$$
(3.6)

Here t_{obs} denotes the observation time and $\Omega_{eff}(f)$ corresponds to the noise curve of the GW detector working between the frequency interval f_{min} to f_{max} . In figure 3 we show the visibility (SNR> 1) range of the GW spectrum. As observed previously in figure 2, both increasing Γ_S and decreasing η brings the GW spectrum more into reach of the GW detectors. This fact is mirrored in figure 3 also, as the SNR increases top-left from bottom-right corner. A sudden change of slope of the SNR=1 lines are visible for the GW detectors BBO and u-DECIGO. This means that beyond a certain large Γ_S , increasing η after a certain threshold does not change SNR considerably. This happens when $f_{\rm RH}$ reaches the detector sensitivity curves from the left (with increasing Γ_S) in 2 and $f_{\rm kin}$ is such that the spectrum is substantially below the reach of GW detectors.

4 Complementary probe of dark sector

For a choice of parameters { ρ_{inf} , η , Γ_S , m_S , m_{DM} }, we have seen in section 3 that the peculiar evolution of the post-inflationary Universe may lead to detectable signatures in the gravitational wave spectrum. Furthermore, as we noticed in section 2, the decay branching fraction of the reheaton into DM particles is uniquely given by the choice of parameters of the model. For a given microscopic model, knowing the value of the total decay width of the reheaton together with its decay branching fractions into SM and DM particles is equivalent to knowing its interaction strength with either species. In this section, we therefore introduce a specific particle physics model for the dark sector and investigate whether dark-particle searches may provide us with smoking-gun signatures that are complementary to gravitational wave searches.

4.1 Microscopic model: Higgs-portal DM

As the simplest extension of the SM that contains a dark matter particle and an additional singlet scalar field, we consider a higgs-portal scenario in which the dark-matter particle is a Dirac fermion χ , and couples to the reheaton S through a Yukawa coupling. We denote by g_{χ} the coupling of such interaction and consider that the reheaton mixes with the SM Higgs boson H with mixing angle $\sin \theta$. Before rotation into the mass eigenstate basis, the lagrangian is of the form

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -g_{\chi}S\overline{\chi}\chi - V_{\rm SM}(H) - V_{\rm reh}(S) - \lambda_{HS}|H|^2|S|^2 + \text{H.c.}$$
(4.1)

After rotation into the mass-eigenstate basis, and in the limit of small mixing angle, the mass eigenstates can be simply approximated to be

$$\begin{split} \dot{H} &\approx H - S\sin\theta \,, \\ \tilde{S} &\approx S + H\sin\theta \,. \end{split} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{2v_S v_h \lambda_{HS}}{(m_H^2 - m_S^2)} \tag{4.3}$$

 v_S being the vev of the S field and v_S is the EW vev. In the scenario that we have studied previously, we have considered models in which the reheaton is long-lived, may dominate the energy density of the Universe, and eventually decays into SM and DM particles. Demanding that this decay is kinematically allowed implies that the dark-matter particle must be lighter than the reheaton

$$m_{\rm DM} < \frac{m_S}{2} \,. \tag{4.4}$$

Furthermore, the reheaton is long-lived, and is the only mediator between the visible and the dark sector in this scenario. This, in turn, means that DM is very feebly coupled to the SM bath and does not thermilize throughout the history of the Universe. When presenting our results, we shall verify this assumption, since we have demanded that the reheaton produces out-of-equilibrium the whole relic density of dark matter in the previous sections. Because of its small cross section of annihilation into and scattering with SM particles, it is expected that the direct or indirect detection of DM is beyond the reach of laboratory experiments and astrophysical searches. However, the reheaton, which is the mediator between the dark and the visible sector, may couple to SM particles more strongly and may show some signatures in long-lived particle (LLP) searches. In particular, long-lived particles with masses ≤ 5 GeV may be detectable soon with experiments such as FASER

and FASER-II [169–172], DUNE [173, 174], DarkQuest-Phase 2 [175], MATHUSLA [176], PS191 [177, 178] or SHIP [179]. In this range of masses, for each point in the parameter space, demanding that the reheaton produces the correct relic density of DM provides via eq. (2.3) the decay branching fraction of the reheaton into dark matter. Given the value Γ_S , this provides us with the value of the decay width of the reheaton into DM and SM particles. Because we consider a reheaton which is lighter than the SM Higgs boson, it is easy to obtain from these decay widths what the mixing angle $\sin \theta$ is by simply writing

$$\Gamma_S \approx \Gamma(S \to \mathrm{SM}) \equiv \sin^2 \theta \Gamma_H(m_S),$$
(4.5)

where $\Gamma_H(m_S)$ simply denotes the decay width of the SM Higgs boson restricted to channels which are kinematically open for the reheaton and rescaled by a factor m_S/m_H . Details on microscopic models of the Higgs portal for a fermionic dark matter can be found in refs. [180, 181]. The branching fraction of the reheaton decaying into DM particles is finally related to the Lagrangian parameters through

$$Br(S \to DM) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(S \to DM)}{\Gamma_S} = \frac{g_{\chi}^2 (m_S^2 - 4m_{DM}^2)^{3/2}}{8\pi\Gamma_S m_S^2}.$$
 (4.6)

4.2 Dark-matter non-thermal production

Before we present our results, a few comments are in order. First, as we just mentioned, we have assumed throughout this paper that the DM particle is only produced out of equilibrium, through the decay of the reheaton. While scanning over the parameter space, it is possible that one reaches a regime in which the interactions of DM with the SM may lead to the thermalization of dark matter, or to a sizeable production of DM particles out of equilibrium through thermal processes a la Freeze-In. In order to avoid these possibilities, we used a modified version of the code developed by the authors of refs. [80, 81] to estimate the amount of dark matter produced out of equilibrium via two-to-two processes. When the reheaton decays and reheats the Universe, it may as well be possible that the reheating temperature exceeds the reheaton mass and lead him to be close from thermalizing. In order to ensure that this is not the case, we excluded from our scans points with a reheating temperature larger than the reheaton mass. Finally, we also excluded, of course, points for which the reheating temperature is below the temperature of BBN, dark-matter masses below 5keV (to avoid constraints on warm dark matter such as Lyman- α constraints or the Tremaine-Gunn bound), and points for which DM is relativistic during BBN and is excluded by observational constraints on $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$. In principle, light dark-matter particles produced from the decay of a heavy reheaton may carry an important momentum and be able to erase small-scale structures later on. We have included this constraint in our analysis and checked that this does not constrain sizeable fractions of our parameter space. Indeed, as will be seen later, the mass of the dark matter particles considered scales all the way from 5 keV to the GeV scale, and, therefore, most of the points survive warm-darkmatter constraints. This is expected, as this situation is similar to cases where a long-lived inflaton decays into dark matter particles, for which the WDM bound only varies by a factor of $\mathcal{O}(40)$ as compared to the bounds on thermal dark-matter models, as is discussed in ref. [182].



Figure 4. Scan over the energy fraction η , the reheaton decay width Γ_S and the dark-matter mass $m_{\rm DM}$. We assumed $w_{\rm kin} = 1$ and excluded points for which the Freeze-In production of DM exceeds 1% of the total relic density.

In figure 4 we present our results in the plane $(m_S, \sin \theta)$ and exhibit the value of the reheating temperature (left panel) and the dark sector coupling g_{χ} (right panel) for all points surviving the various constraints mentioned above, and in the case $w_{\rm kin} = 1$. As one may see, points with a large mixing angles are excluded since they would lead to a sizeable production of dark matter from thermal processes which could either overclose the Universe or lead dark matter to thermalize. Interestingly, although the value of the dark coupling is quite small, the value of the mixing angle between the reheaton and the SM Higgs can remain sizeable to make detection prospects good.

The variation of the reheating temperature and the dark coupling on those plots can be understood as follows: the larger the mass of the reheaton, the larger is its decay width and therefore the larger is the reheating temperature. Moreover, for a fixed cosmological evolution, hence a fixed value of η , Γ_S and m_S , a larger $\sin \theta$ leads to a smaller branching fraction of the reheaton decay into DM, which has to be compensated by a larger dark coupling.

5 Results

As we could see in section 3, a large fraction of the parameter space of our model may be probed by future GW detectors in the future. For every point in the corresponding parameter space, we have seen in section 4 that it is straightforward to derive, for a given dark matter model, the value of the reheaton coupling with SM particles in order to obtain the correct relic density of DM particles in the present Universe. In figure 4 it appears that the value of this coupling can be sizeable, which makes laboratory experiments compelling in order to search for the existence of such a reheaton particle.

In figure 5 we restrict the scan presented in figure 4 to points which would lead to an SNR larger than one for u-DECIGO after 4 years of operation. We then compare our results to the current limits on long-lived particles interacting with the SM



Figure 5. Comparison between the points in parameter space which will detected by u-DECIGO after 4 years of exposure (with SNR ≥ 1) and the sensitivity limits which will be probed in future long-lived particle searches. The dashed contours depict such regions for FASER II (orange) [169–172], DUNE (red) [173, 174], DarkQuest-Phase 2 (purple) [175], MATHSULA (green) [176], PS191 (brown) [177, 178], and SHIP (blue) [179]. We considered the case $w_{\rm kin} = 1$ in both plots and the color bar indicates the values of the DM mass in the left panel, and the value of the expected SNR in the right panel.³



Figure 6. Same as figure 5 but for $w_{\rm kin} = 0.5$.

and to the future limits which may be reported in the near future by collaborations such as FASER [169–172], DUNE [173, 174], DARKQUEST-2 [175], MATHUSLA [176], PS191 [177, 178], and SHIP [179]. In figure 6 and 7, similar results are presented for $w_{\rm kin} = 0.5$ for points which feature an SNR larger than one for u-DECIGO and LISA, respectively.

³The region on the right of the shaded grey for masses 5 GeV and above although shown in white but there maybe some future experiments there, however we do not consider them since our parameter space does not contain points in those regions, for more see [183].



Figure 7. Same as figure 5 but for $w_{kin} = 0.5$ and an SNR computed for LISA.

Note that in the case of $w_{\rm kin} = 1$, however, for BBO, or detectors with a lower sensitivity, the situation is different. Indeed, as one can see from the left panel of figure 3, for the case of $w_{\rm kin} = 1$ that we considered the region of parameter space which can be probed by BBO within 4 years of exposure and which is not excluded by CMB measurement lies at relatively large values of the reheaton's total decay width. Therefore, points which may be visible by BBO appear to lead to a too large production of DM particles through Freeze-In or even the thermalization of DM.

6 Discussion and conclusion

Various sources such as first-order phase transitions, cosmic strings or domain walls, inflationary preheating, etc. are predicted to produce detectable gravitational waves from early Universe. The detection of such signals will opens up a compelling new window into the pre-BBN Universe. In fact it can even help probing new physics beyond the SM, as for example GUT-scale physics, high scale baryogenesis and leptogenesis physics [116, 184–189] which are otherwise beyond the reach of LHC or other laboratory or astrophysical searches for new physics. In this work, we studied the non-thermal production of dark matter within a non-standard cosmological framework with large GW signals arising from first-order inflationary tensor perturbations, the GW spectrum of which are different from each other cosmic sources described above.⁴ We considered the simple case where, after the end of inflation, a fraction of energy η is transferred to the oscillation of a scalar field (for example, a moduli field) which we dub as the reheaton S, whereas the Universe undergoes a kination or kinetion-like phase with $w_{\rm kin} > 1/3$. We investigated the case where dark matter is simply produced from the decay of the reheaton, which also reheats the Universe by decaying into SM particles. The reheaton may decay during kination domination, or after, leading to a phase of early matter domination after the kination era. The entire setup is therefore described by a minimal set of independent parameters: the mass and decay width of the

⁴For non-thermal DM search with GW from preheating, see [116].

reheaton m_S and Γ_S , the energy fraction of reheaton particles at the end of inflation η , the equation of state parameters of the kination and reheaton domination phases $w_{\rm kin}$ and w_S , and the dark-matter mass $m_{\rm DM}$. Assuming a maximal amplitude of scale-invariant tensor modes produced during inflation, as allowed by the current CMB measurements, we studied the effect of those different parameters on the shape of the gravitational wave spectrum at present time. We showed that such a non-standard cosmological history leaves imprints in GW signals, to be measured by upcoming detectors. We exhibited the GW spectral shapes that may be observed in LISA, ET, BBO, DECIGO and u-DECIGO. We showed in particular that models with $w_S = 0$ (which corresponds to a reheaton oscillating in a quadratic potential) would show detectable signals for BBO and u-DECIGO for $w_{\rm kin} = 1$, but may also be probed by LISA and the ET for lower $w_S \lesssim 0.5$ as can be seen from figure 3.

Because the production of the correct DM relic density is intrinsic to our model and implicitly given by the lifetime and decay branching fraction of the reheaton into DM particles, the interaction strength of the reheaton with DM and SM particles can be simply extracted from each point in the parameter space. We showed that the reheaton can therefore be searched for experimentally in light dark sector searches involving intensity, lifetime and beam dump experiments. We explored the parameter space in figure 5–7 and compared our results to the projected limits of laboratory searches such as FASER [169–172], DUNE [173, 174], DARKQUEST-2 [175], MATHUSLA [176], PS191 [177, 178], and SHIP [179]. In particular, we found that a kination-like period with equation-of-state parameter $w_{\rm kin} \approx 0.5$, a reheaton mass $\mathcal{O}(0.5-5)$ GeV, and a DM mass of $\mathcal{O}(10-100)$ keV could show detectable signals for DUNE, MATHUSLA, and SHIP. Interestingly, we also showed that this same region of parameter would show detectable signals within 4 years of exposure for GW detectors such as LISA and u-DECIGO, as a complementary smoking-gun signature.

When studying the testability of our non-thermal DM production mechanism, we used a simple Higgs-Portal set-up and a fermionic DM particle. However, we insist on the fact that our prescription to search for complementary probes of new physics with laboratory and Gravitational Wave experiments is very general and can be applied to many other DM scenarios that involve a non-standard cosmology [11, 25, 80, 190–194]. Following this prescription, we believe that many realizations of non-thermal DM production in the early universe may lead to unique predictions of GW spectral shapes that can be detected in future GW experiments and searched for experimentally in laboratories.

It is remarkable that the existence of a non-standard post-inflationary cosmology plays a crucial role in shaping the morphology of the gravitational wave spectrum for a given microscopic particle physics scenario. Importantly, this post-inflationary story-line may also leave imprints in the CMB spectrum itself. Indeed, it affects the number of e-folds of inflation, and may lead to refine predictions for the inflation observables strongly correlated to astrophysical signals that may be detected at lower energy [25]. We aim in the future to enlarge our study to include the discussion of cosmic inflation and how it is affected in the cosmological framework that we have studied in this paper. To conclude, we emphasize, once again, that hunting for apparently unrelated signals from the laboratory and from the sky will help us to break degeneracies in beyond the SM theories of cosmology that involve multiple energy scales, and provide the community with a powerful way to search for new physics.

Acknowledgments

AG thanks Konstantinos Dimopoulos and Pedro Schwaller for providing useful feedback on the manuscript. The work of LH is supported in part by the U.K. Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) under Grant ST/P001246/1. This work was made possible by Institut Pascal at Université Paris-Saclay during the Paris-Saclay Astroparticle Symposium 2021, with the support of the P2IO Laboratory of Excellence (programme "Investissements d'avenir" ANR-11-IDEX-0003-01 Paris-Saclay and ANR-10-LABX-0038), the P2I research departments of the Paris-Saclay university, as well as IJCLab, CEA, IPhT, APPEC, the IN2P3 master projet UCMN and EuCAPT.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. SCOAP³ supports the goals of the International Year of Basic Sciences for Sustainable Development.

References

- R. Brout, F. Englert and E. Gunzig, The Creation of the Universe as a Quantum Phenomenon, Annals Phys. 115 (1978) 78 [INSPIRE].
- [2] K. Sato, First Order Phase Transition of a Vacuum and Expansion of the Universe, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 195 (1981) 467 [INSPIRE].
- [3] A.H. Guth, The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 347 [INSPIRE].
- [4] A.D. Linde, A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems, Phys. Lett. B 108 (1982) 389 [INSPIRE].
- [5] A.A. Starobinsky, Dynamics of Phase Transition in the New Inflationary Universe Scenario and Generation of Perturbations, Phys. Lett. B 117 (1982) 175 [INSPIRE].
- [6] PLANCK collaboration, Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, Astron. Astrophys. 641 (2020) A6 [Erratum ibid. 652 (2021) C4] [arXiv:1807.06209] [INSPIRE].
- Y. Mambrini, Particles in the dark Universe, Springer Cham (2021), https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-78139-2.
- [8] W. Buchmüller, E. Dudas, L. Heurtier and C. Wieck, Large-Field Inflation and Supersymmetry Breaking, JHEP 09 (2014) 053 [arXiv:1407.0253] [INSPIRE].
- W. Buchmüller, E. Dudas, L. Heurtier, A. Westphal, C. Wieck and M.W. Winkler, *Challenges for Large-Field Inflation and Moduli Stabilization*, *JHEP* 04 (2015) 058 [arXiv:1501.05812] [INSPIRE].

- [10] R. Argurio, D. Coone, L. Heurtier and A. Mariotti, Sgoldstino-less inflation and low energy SUSY breaking, JCAP 07 (2017) 047 [arXiv:1705.06788] [INSPIRE].
- [11] L. Heurtier and F. Huang, Inflaton portal to a highly decoupled EeV dark matter particle, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 043507 [arXiv:1905.05191] [INSPIRE].
- K. Enqvist, M. Karciauskas, O. Lebedev, S. Rusak and M. Zatta, *Postinflationary vacuum instability and Higgs-inflaton couplings*, *JCAP* 11 (2016) 025 [arXiv:1608.08848]
 [INSPIRE].
- [13] J. Kost, C.S. Shin and T. Terada, Massless preheating and electroweak vacuum metastability, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 043508 [arXiv:2105.06939] [INSPIRE].
- [14] Y. Akrami, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and V. Vardanyan, Dark energy, α-attractors, and large-scale structure surveys, JCAP 06 (2018) 041 [arXiv:1712.09693] [INSPIRE].
- [15] K. Dimopoulos and J.W.F. Valle, Modeling quintessential inflation, Astropart. Phys. 18 (2002) 287 [astro-ph/0111417] [INSPIRE].
- [16] D. Bettoni and J. Rubio, Quintessential Inflation: A Tale of Emergent and Broken Symmetries, Galaxies 10 (2022) 22 [arXiv:2112.11948] [INSPIRE].
- P.J.E. Peebles and A. Vilenkin, Quintessential inflation, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 063505
 [astro-ph/9810509] [INSPIRE].
- [18] J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Olive and S. Verner, Non-Oscillatory No-Scale Inflation, JCAP 03 (2021) 052 [arXiv:2008.09099] [INSPIRE].
- [19] L.H. Ford, Gravitational Particle Creation and Inflation, Phys. Rev. D 35 (1987) 2955 [INSPIRE].
- [20] E.J. Chun, S. Scopel and I. Zaballa, Gravitational reheating in quintessential inflation, JCAP 07 (2009) 022 [arXiv:0904.0675] [INSPIRE].
- [21] K. Dimopoulos, L. Donaldson Wood and C. Owen, Instant preheating in quintessential inflation with α-attractors, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 063525 [arXiv:1712.01760] [INSPIRE].
- [22] B. Feng and M.-z. Li, Curvaton reheating in nonoscillatory inflationary models, Phys. Lett. B 564 (2003) 169 [hep-ph/0212213] [INSPIRE].
- [23] T. Opferkuch, P. Schwaller and B.A. Stefanek, *Ricci Reheating*, JCAP 07 (2019) 016 [arXiv:1905.06823] [INSPIRE].
- [24] D. Bettoni, A. Lopez-Eiguren and J. Rubio, Hubble-induced phase transitions on the lattice with applications to Ricci reheating, JCAP 01 (2022) 002 [arXiv:2107.09671] [INSPIRE].
- [25] L. Heurtier, A. Moursy and L. Wacquez, Cosmological Imprints of SUSY Breaking in Models of Sgoldstinoless Non-Oscillatory Inflation, arXiv:2207.11502 [INSPIRE].
- [26] L. Heurtier, S. Khalil and A. Moursy, Single Field Inflation in Supergravity with a U(1) Gauge Symmetry, JCAP 10 (2015) 045 [arXiv:1505.07366] [INSPIRE].
- [27] T.E. Gonzalo, L. Heurtier and A. Moursy, Sneutrino driven GUT Inflation in Supergravity, JHEP 06 (2017) 109 [arXiv:1609.09396] [INSPIRE].
- [28] J. Garcia-Bellido and A. Linde, Preheating in Hybrid Inflation, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 6075 [hep-ph/9711360] [INSPIRE].
- [29] G. Kane, K. Sinha and S. Watson, Cosmological Moduli and the Post-Inflationary Universe: A Critical Review, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 24 (2015) 1530022 [arXiv:1502.07746] [INSPIRE].

- [30] Y. Gouttenoire, G. Servant and P. Simakachorn, Kination cosmology from scalar fields and gravitational-wave signatures, arXiv:2111.01150 [INSPIRE].
- [31] M. Giovannini, Gravitational waves constraints on postinflationary phases stiffer than radiation, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 083504 [hep-ph/9806329] [INSPIRE].
- [32] M. Giovannini, Stochastic backgrounds of relic gravitons: a theoretical appraisal, PMC Phys. A 4 (2010) 1 [arXiv:0901.3026] [INSPIRE].
- [33] A. Riazuelo and J.-P. Uzan, Quintessence and gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 083506 [astro-ph/0004156] [INSPIRE].
- [34] V. Sahni, M. Sami and T. Souradeep, Relic gravity waves from brane world inflation, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 023518 [gr-qc/0105121] [INSPIRE].
- [35] N. Seto and J. Yokoyama, Probing the equation of state of the early universe with a space laser interferometer, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 72 (2003) 3082 [gr-qc/0305096] [INSPIRE].
- [36] H. Tashiro, T. Chiba and M. Sasaki, Reheating after quintessential inflation and gravitational waves, Class. Quant. Grav. 21 (2004) 1761 [gr-qc/0307068] [INSPIRE].
- [37] K. Nakayama, S. Saito, Y. Suwa and J. Yokoyama, Space laser interferometers can determine the thermal history of the early Universe, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 124001 [arXiv:0802.2452] [INSPIRE].
- [38] K. Nakayama, S. Saito, Y. Suwa and J. Yokoyama, Probing reheating temperature of the universe with gravitational wave background, JCAP 06 (2008) 020 [arXiv:0804.1827] [INSPIRE].
- [39] R. Durrer and J. Hasenkamp, Testing Superstring Theories with Gravitational Waves, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 064027 [arXiv:1105.5283] [INSPIRE].
- [40] S. Kuroyanagi, K. Nakayama and S. Saito, Prospects for determination of thermal history after inflation with future gravitational wave detectors, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 123513 [arXiv:1110.4169] [INSPIRE].
- [41] S. Kuroyanagi, T. Chiba and T. Takahashi, Probing the Universe through the Stochastic Gravitational Wave Background, JCAP 11 (2018) 038 [arXiv:1807.00786] [INSPIRE].
- [42] R. Jinno, T. Moroi and K. Nakayama, Probing dark radiation with inflationary gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 123502 [arXiv:1208.0184] [INSPIRE].
- [43] P.D. Lasky et al., Gravitational-wave cosmology across 29 decades in frequency, Phys. Rev. X 6 (2016) 011035 [arXiv:1511.05994] [INSPIRE].
- [44] B. Li, P.R. Shapiro and T. Rindler-Daller, Bose-Einstein-condensed scalar field dark matter and the gravitational wave background from inflation: new cosmological constraints and its detectability by LIGO, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 063505 [arXiv:1611.07961] [INSPIRE].
- [45] K. Saikawa and S. Shirai, Primordial gravitational waves, precisely: The role of thermodynamics in the Standard Model, JCAP 05 (2018) 035 [arXiv:1803.01038]
 [INSPIRE].
- [46] R.R. Caldwell, T.L. Smith and D.G.E. Walker, Using a Primordial Gravitational Wave Background to Illuminate New Physics, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 043513
 [arXiv:1812.07577] [INSPIRE].
- [47] N. Bernal and F. Hajkarim, Primordial Gravitational Waves in Nonstandard Cosmologies, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 063502 [arXiv:1905.10410] [INSPIRE].

- [48] D.G. Figueroa and E.H. Tanin, Ability of LIGO and LISA to probe the equation of state of the early Universe, JCAP 08 (2019) 011 [arXiv:1905.11960] [INSPIRE].
- [49] F. D'Eramo and K. Schmitz, Imprint of a scalar era on the primordial spectrum of gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. Research. 1 (2019) 013010 [arXiv:1904.07870] [INSPIRE].
- [50] B. Li, T. Rindler-Daller and P.R. Shapiro, Cosmological Constraints on Bose-Einstein-Condensed Scalar Field Dark Matter, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 083536
 [arXiv:1310.6061] [INSPIRE].
- [51] S.D. Odintsov, V.K. Oikonomou and F.P. Fronimos, Quantitative predictions for f(R) gravity primordial gravitational waves, Phys. Dark Univ. 35 (2022) 100950
 [arXiv:2108.11231] [INSPIRE].
- [52] S.D. Odintsov and V.K. Oikonomou, Pre-inflationary bounce effects on primordial gravitational waves of f(R) gravity, Phys. Lett. B 824 (2022) 136817 [arXiv:2112.02584]
 [INSPIRE].
- [53] B. Li and P.R. Shapiro, Precision cosmology and the stiff-amplified gravitational-wave background from inflation: NANOGrav, Advanced LIGO-Virgo and the Hubble tension, JCAP 10 (2021) 024 [arXiv:2107.12229] [INSPIRE].
- [54] K. Dimopoulos, Waterfall stiff period can generate observable primordial gravitational waves, JCAP 10 (2022) 027 [arXiv:2206.02264] [INSPIRE].
- [55] R.T. Co et al., Gravitational wave and CMB probes of axion kination, JHEP 09 (2022) 116 [arXiv:2108.09299] [INSPIRE].
- [56] S. Vagnozzi, Implications of the NANOGrav results for inflation, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 502 (2021) L11 [arXiv:2009.13432] [INSPIRE].
- [57] M. Benetti, L.L. Graef and S. Vagnozzi, Primordial gravitational waves from NANOGrav: A broken power-law approach, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 043520 [arXiv:2111.04758]
 [INSPIRE].
- [58] A. Hook, G. Marques-Tavares and D. Racco, Causal gravitational waves as a probe of free streaming particles and the expansion of the Universe, JHEP 02 (2021) 117 [arXiv:2010.03568] [INSPIRE].
- [59] G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski and K. Griest, Supersymmetric dark matter, Phys. Rept. 267 (1996) 195 [hep-ph/9506380] [INSPIRE].
- [60] G. Bertone, D. Hooper and J. Silk, Particle dark matter: Evidence, candidates and constraints, Phys. Rept. 405 (2005) 279 [hep-ph/0404175] [INSPIRE].
- [61] J.L. Feng, Dark Matter Candidates from Particle Physics and Methods of Detection, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 48 (2010) 495 [arXiv:1003.0904] [INSPIRE].
- [62] B.W. Lee and S. Weinberg, Cosmological Lower Bound on Heavy Neutrino Masses, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39 (1977) 165 [INSPIRE].
- [63] R.J. Scherrer and M.S. Turner, On the Relic, Cosmic Abundance of Stable Weakly Interacting Massive Particles, Phys. Rev. D 33 (1986) 1585 [Erratum ibid. 34 (1986) 3263]
 [INSPIRE].
- [64] M. Srednicki, R. Watkins and K.A. Olive, Calculations of Relic Densities in the Early Universe, Nucl. Phys. B 310 (1988) 693 [INSPIRE].
- [65] P. Gondolo and G. Gelmini, Cosmic abundances of stable particles: Improved analysis, Nucl. Phys. B 360 (1991) 145 [INSPIRE].

- [66] PANDAX-II collaboration, Dark Matter Results From 54-Ton-Day Exposure of PandaX-II Experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 181302 [arXiv:1708.06917] [INSPIRE].
- [67] PANDAX collaboration, Dark matter direct search sensitivity of the PandaX-4T experiment, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. 62 (2019) 31011 [arXiv:1806.02229] [INSPIRE].
- [68] XENON collaboration, Projected WIMP sensitivity of the XENONnT dark matter experiment, JCAP 11 (2020) 031 [arXiv:2007.08796] [INSPIRE].
- [69] XENON collaboration, Dark Matter Search Results from a One Ton-Year Exposure of XENON1T, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 111302 [arXiv:1805.12562] [INSPIRE].
- [70] LZ collaboration, Projected WIMP sensitivity of the LUX-ZEPLIN dark matter experiment, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 052002 [arXiv:1802.06039] [INSPIRE].
- [71] DARWIN collaboration, DARWIN: towards the ultimate dark matter detector, JCAP 11 (2016) 017 [arXiv:1606.07001] [INSPIRE].
- [72] H.E.S.S. collaboration, Search for dark matter annihilations towards the inner Galactic halo from 10 years of observations with H.E.S.S, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 111301
 [arXiv:1607.08142] [INSPIRE].
- [73] MAGIC and FERMI-LAT collaborations, Limits to Dark Matter Annihilation Cross-Section from a Combined Analysis of MAGIC and Fermi-LAT Observations of Dwarf Satellite Galaxies, JCAP 02 (2016) 039 [arXiv:1601.06590] [INSPIRE].
- [74] ATLAS collaboration, Search for dark matter and other new phenomena in events with an energetic jet and large missing transverse momentum using the ATLAS detector, JHEP 01 (2018) 126 [arXiv:1711.03301] [INSPIRE].
- [75] CMS collaboration, Search for new physics in final states with an energetic jet or a hadronically decaying W or Z boson and transverse momentum imbalance at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 092005 [arXiv:1712.02345] [INSPIRE].
- [76] J. McDonald, Thermally generated gauge singlet scalars as selfinteracting dark matter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 091304 [hep-ph/0106249] [INSPIRE].
- [77] L.J. Hall, K. Jedamzik, J. March-Russell and S.M. West, Freeze-In Production of FIMP Dark Matter, JHEP 03 (2010) 080 [arXiv:0911.1120] [INSPIRE].
- [78] N. Bernal, M. Heikinheimo, T. Tenkanen, K. Tuominen and V. Vaskonen, The Dawn of FIMP Dark Matter: A Review of Models and Constraints, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 32 (2017) 1730023 [arXiv:1706.07442] [INSPIRE].
- [79] G. Elor, R. McGehee and A. Pierce, Maximizing Direct Detection with HYPER Dark Matter, arXiv:2112.03920 [INSPIRE].
- [80] B. Barman and A. Ghoshal, Probing pre-BBN era with scale invariant FIMP, JCAP 10 (2022) 082 [arXiv:2203.13269] [INSPIRE].
- [81] B. Barman and A. Ghoshal, Scale invariant FIMP miracle, JCAP 03 (2022) 003 [arXiv:2109.03259] [INSPIRE].
- [82] A.R. Liddle and L.A. Urena-Lopez, Inflation, dark matter and dark energy in the string landscape, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 161301 [astro-ph/0605205] [INSPIRE].
- [83] V.H. Cardenas, Inflation, Reheating and Dark Matter, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 083512 [astro-ph/0701624] [INSPIRE].
- [84] G. Panotopoulos, A Brief note on how to unify dark matter, dark energy, and inflation, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 127301 [arXiv:0706.2237] [INSPIRE].

- [85] A.R. Liddle, C. Pahud and L.A. Urena-Lopez, Triple unification of inflation, dark matter, and dark energy using a single field, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 121301 [arXiv:0804.0869] [INSPIRE].
- [86] N. Bose and A.S. Majumdar, Unified Model of k-Inflation, Dark Matter & Dark Energy, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 103508 [arXiv:0907.2330] [INSPIRE].
- [87] R.N. Lerner and J. McDonald, Gauge singlet scalar as inflaton and thermal relic dark matter, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 123507 [arXiv:0909.0520] [INSPIRE].
- [88] J. De-Santiago and J.L. Cervantes-Cota, Generalizing a Unified Model of Dark Matter, Dark Energy, and Inflation with Non Canonical Kinetic Term, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 063502 [arXiv:1102.1777] [INSPIRE].
- [89] V.V. Khoze, Inflation and Dark Matter in the Higgs Portal of Classically Scale Invariant Standard Model, JHEP 11 (2013) 215 [arXiv:1308.6338] [INSPIRE].
- [90] K. Mukaida and K. Nakayama, Dark Matter Chaotic Inflation in Light of BICEP2, JCAP 08 (2014) 062 [arXiv:1404.1880] [INSPIRE].
- [91] M. Fairbairn, R. Hogan and D.J.E. Marsh, Unifying inflation and dark matter with the Peccei-Quinn field: observable axions and observable tensors, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 023509 [arXiv:1410.1752] [INSPIRE].
- [92] M. Bastero-Gil, R. Cerezo and J.G. Rosa, Inflaton dark matter from incomplete decay, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 103531 [arXiv:1501.05539] [INSPIRE].
- [93] F. Kahlhoefer and J. McDonald, WIMP Dark Matter and Unitarity-Conserving Inflation via a Gauge Singlet Scalar, JCAP 11 (2015) 015 [arXiv:1507.03600] [INSPIRE].
- [94] T. Tenkanen, Feebly Interacting Dark Matter Particle as the Inflaton, JHEP 09 (2016) 049 [arXiv:1607.01379] [INSPIRE].
- [95] R. Daido, F. Takahashi and W. Yin, The ALP miracle: unified inflaton and dark matter, JCAP 05 (2017) 044 [arXiv:1702.03284] [INSPIRE].
- [96] S. Choubey and A. Kumar, Inflation and Dark Matter in the Inert Doublet Model, JHEP 11 (2017) 080 [arXiv:1707.06587] [INSPIRE].
- [97] R. Daido, F. Takahashi and W. Yin, The ALP miracle revisited, JHEP 02 (2018) 104 [arXiv:1710.11107] [INSPIRE].
- [98] D. Hooper, G. Krnjaic, A.J. Long and S.D. Mcdermott, Can the Inflaton Also Be a Weakly Interacting Massive Particle?, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 091802 [arXiv:1807.03308] [INSPIRE].
- [99] D. Borah, P.S.B. Dev and A. Kumar, TeV scale leptogenesis, inflaton dark matter and neutrino mass in a scotogenic model, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 055012 [arXiv:1810.03645]
 [INSPIRE].
- [100] A. Torres Manso and J.G. Rosa, ν-inflaton dark matter, JHEP 02 (2019) 020 [arXiv:1811.02302] [INSPIRE].
- [101] J.G. Rosa and L.B. Ventura, Warm Little Inflaton becomes Cold Dark Matter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 161301 [arXiv:1811.05493] [INSPIRE].
- [102] J.P.B. Almeida, N. Bernal, J. Rubio and T. Tenkanen, *Hidden inflation dark matter*, *JCAP* 03 (2019) 012 [arXiv:1811.09640] [INSPIRE].
- [103] T. Moroi, M. Yamaguchi and T. Yanagida, On the solution to the Polonyi problem with 0 (10-TeV) gravitino mass in supergravity, Phys. Lett. B 342 (1995) 105 [hep-ph/9409367]
 [INSPIRE].

- [104] M. Kawasaki, T. Moroi and T. Yanagida, Constraint on the reheating temperature from the decay of the Polonyi field, Phys. Lett. B 370 (1996) 52 [hep-ph/9509399] [INSPIRE].
- [105] T. Moroi and L. Randall, Wino cold dark matter from anomaly mediated SUSY breaking, Nucl. Phys. B 570 (2000) 455 [hep-ph/9906527] [INSPIRE].
- [106] K.S. Jeong, M. Shimosuka and M. Yamaguchi, Light Higgsino in Heavy Gravitino Scenario with Successful Electroweak Symmetry Breaking, JHEP 09 (2012) 050 [arXiv:1112.5293] [INSPIRE].
- [107] J. Ellis, M.A.G. Garcia, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Olive and M. Peloso, Post-Inflationary Gravitino Production Revisited, JCAP 03 (2016) 008 [arXiv:1512.05701] [INSPIRE].
- [108] K. Harigaya, M. Kawasaki, K. Mukaida and M. Yamada, Dark Matter Production in Late Time Reheating, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 083532 [arXiv:1402.2846] [INSPIRE].
- [109] M.A.G. Garcia and M.A. Amin, Prethermalization production of dark matter, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 103504 [arXiv:1806.01865] [INSPIRE].
- [110] K. Harigaya, K. Mukaida and M. Yamada, Dark Matter Production during the Thermalization Era, JHEP 07 (2019) 059 [arXiv:1901.11027] [INSPIRE].
- [111] M.A.G. Garcia, K. Kaneta, Y. Mambrini and K.A. Olive, *Reheating and Post-inflationary Production of Dark Matter, Phys. Rev. D* 101 (2020) 123507 [arXiv:2004.08404] [INSPIRE].
- [112] D.J.H. Chung, E.W. Kolb and A. Riotto, Superheavy dark matter, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1998) 023501 [hep-ph/9802238] [INSPIRE].
- [113] D.J.H. Chung, E.W. Kolb and A. Riotto, Nonthermal supermassive dark matter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 4048 [hep-ph/9805473] [INSPIRE].
- [114] R.T. Co, L.J. Hall and K. Harigaya, QCD Axion Dark Matter with a Small Decay Constant, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 211602 [arXiv:1711.10486] [INSPIRE].
- [115] A. Ahmed, B. Grzadkowski and A. Socha, Higgs boson induced reheating and ultraviolet frozen-in dark matter, arXiv:2207.11218 [INSPIRE].
- [116] A. Ghoshal and P. Saha, Detectable Gravitational Waves from (P)-reheating probes non-thermal Dark Matter, arXiv:2203.14424 [INSPIRE].
- [117] A. Paul, A. Ghoshal, A. Chatterjee and S. Pal, Inflation, (P)reheating and Neutrino Anomalies: Production of Sterile Neutrinos with Secret Interactions, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 818 [arXiv:1808.09706] [INSPIRE].
- [118] A.H. Campos, H.C. Reis and R. Rosenfeld, Quintessential inflation and non-oscillatory reheating model, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 127 (2004) 133 [INSPIRE].
- [119] B. Spokoiny, Deflationary universe scenario, Phys. Lett. B 315 (1993) 40 [gr-qc/9306008]
 [INSPIRE].
- [120] M. Joyce, Electroweak Baryogenesis and the Expansion Rate of the Universe, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 1875 [hep-ph/9606223] [INSPIRE].
- [121] M.S. Turner, Coherent Scalar Field Oscillations in an Expanding Universe, Phys. Rev. D 28 (1983) 1243 [INSPIRE].
- [122] E.J. Copeland, A.R. Liddle and D. Wands, Exponential potentials and cosmological scaling solutions, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 4686 [gr-qc/9711068] [INSPIRE].
- [123] F. Lucchin and S. Matarrese, *Power Law Inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **32** (1985) 1316 [INSPIRE].

- [124] K. Dimopoulos, Introduction to cosmic inflation and dark energy, CRC Press (2020).
- [125] K. Dimopoulos and C. Owen, Quintessential Inflation with α-attractors, JCAP 06 (2017) 027 [arXiv:1703.00305] [INSPIRE].
- [126] A.A. Starobinsky, Cosmic Background Anisotropy Induced by Isotropic Flat-Spectrum Gravitational-Wave Perturbations, Sov. Astron. Lett. 11 (1985) 133 [INSPIRE].
- [127] Y. Watanabe and E. Komatsu, Improved Calculation of the Primordial Gravitational Wave Spectrum in the Standard Model, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 123515 [astro-ph/0604176]
 [INSPIRE].
- [128] C. Caprini and D.G. Figueroa, Cosmological Backgrounds of Gravitational Waves, Class. Quant. Grav. 35 (2018) 163001 [arXiv:1801.04268] [INSPIRE].
- [129] M.R. Haque, D. Maity, T. Paul and L. Sriramkumar, Decoding the phases of early and late time reheating through imprints on primordial gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 063513 [arXiv:2105.09242] [INSPIRE].
- [130] K. Schmitz, New Sensitivity Curves for Gravitational-Wave Signals from Cosmological Phase Transitions, JHEP 01 (2021) 097 [arXiv:2002.04615] [INSPIRE].
- [131] M.A. McLaughlin, The North American Nanohertz Observatory for Gravitational Waves, Class. Quant. Grav. 30 (2013) 224008 [arXiv:1310.0758] [INSPIRE].
- [132] NANOGRAV collaboration, The NANOGrav 11-year Data Set: Pulsar-timing Constraints On The Stochastic Gravitational-wave Background, Astrophys. J. 859 (2018) 47
 [arXiv:1801.02617] [INSPIRE].
- [133] K. Aggarwal et al., The NANOGrav 11-Year Data Set: Limits on Gravitational Waves from Individual Supermassive Black Hole Binaries, Astrophys. J. 880 (2019) 2
 [arXiv:1812.11585] [INSPIRE].
- [134] A. Brazier et al., The NANOGrav Program for Gravitational Waves and Fundamental Physics, arXiv:1908.05356 [INSPIRE].
- [135] R.N. Manchester et al., The Parkes Pulsar Timing Array Project, Publ. Astron. Soc. Austral. 30 (2013) 17 [arXiv:1210.6130] [INSPIRE].
- [136] R.M. Shannon et al., Gravitational waves from binary supermassive black holes missing in pulsar observations, Science 349 (2015) 1522 [arXiv:1509.07320] [INSPIRE].
- [137] M. Krämer and D.J. Champion, The European Pulsar Timing Array and the Large European Array for Pulsars, Class. Quant. Grav. 30 (2013) 224009 [INSPIRE].
- [138] L. Lentati et al., European Pulsar Timing Array Limits On An Isotropic Stochastic Gravitational-Wave Background, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 453 (2015) 2576 [arXiv:1504.03692] [INSPIRE].
- [139] S. Babak et al., European Pulsar Timing Array Limits on Continuous Gravitational Waves from Individual Supermassive Black Hole Binaries, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 455 (2016) 1665 [arXiv:1509.02165] [INSPIRE].
- [140] G. Hobbs et al., The international pulsar timing array project: using pulsars as a gravitational wave detector, Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 084013 [arXiv:0911.5206]
 [INSPIRE].
- [141] R.N. Manchester, The International Pulsar Timing Array, Class. Quant. Grav. 30 (2013) 224010 [arXiv:1309.7392] [INSPIRE].

- [142] J.P.W. Verbiest et al., The International Pulsar Timing Array: First Data Release, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 458 (2016) 1267 [arXiv:1602.03640] [INSPIRE].
- [143] J.S. Hazboun, C.M.F. Mingarelli and K. Lee, The Second International Pulsar Timing Array Mock Data Challenge, arXiv:1810.10527 [INSPIRE].
- [144] C.L. Carilli and S. Rawlings, Science with the Square Kilometer Array: Motivation, key science projects, standards and assumptions, New Astron. Rev. 48 (2004) 979 [astro-ph/0409274] [INSPIRE].
- [145] G. Janssen et al., Gravitational wave astronomy with the SKA, PoS AASKA14 (2015) 037 [arXiv:1501.00127] [INSPIRE].
- [146] A. Weltman et al., Fundamental physics with the Square Kilometre Array, Publ. Astron. Soc. Austral. 37 (2020) e002 [arXiv:1810.02680] [INSPIRE].
- [147] LISA collaboration, Laser Interferometer Space Antenna, arXiv:1702.00786 [INSPIRE].
- [148] J. Baker et al., The Laser Interferometer Space Antenna: Unveiling the Millihertz Gravitational Wave Sky, arXiv:1907.06482 [INSPIRE].
- [149] J. Crowder and N.J. Cornish, Beyond LISA: Exploring future gravitational wave missions, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 083005 [gr-qc/0506015] [INSPIRE].
- [150] V. Corbin and N.J. Cornish, Detecting the cosmic gravitational wave background with the big bang observer, Class. Quant. Grav. 23 (2006) 2435 [gr-qc/0512039] [INSPIRE].
- [151] G.M. Harry, P. Fritschel, D.A. Shaddock, W. Folkner and E.S. Phinney, Laser interferometry for the big bang observer, Class. Quant. Grav. 23 (2006) 4887 [Erratum ibid. 23 (2006) 7361] [INSPIRE].
- [152] N. Seto, S. Kawamura and T. Nakamura, Possibility of direct measurement of the acceleration of the universe using 0.1-Hz band laser interferometer gravitational wave antenna in space, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 221103 [astro-ph/0108011] [INSPIRE].
- [153] S. Kawamura et al., The Japanese space gravitational wave antenna DECIGO, Class. Quant. Grav. 23 (2006) S125 [INSPIRE].
- [154] K. Yagi and N. Seto, Detector configuration of DECIGO/BBO and identification of cosmological neutron-star binaries, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 044011 [Erratum ibid. 95 (2017) 109901] [arXiv:1101.3940] [INSPIRE].
- [155] LIGO SCIENTIFIC collaboration, Exploring the Sensitivity of Next Generation Gravitational Wave Detectors, Class. Quant. Grav. 34 (2017) 044001 [arXiv:1607.08697] [INSPIRE].
- [156] D. Reitze et al., Cosmic Explorer: The U.S. Contribution to Gravitational-Wave Astronomy beyond LIGO, Bull. Am. Astron. Soc. 51 (2019) 035 [arXiv:1907.04833] [INSPIRE].
- [157] M. Punturo et al., The Einstein Telescope: A third-generation gravitational wave observatory, Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 194002 [INSPIRE].
- [158] S. Hild et al., Sensitivity Studies for Third-Generation Gravitational Wave Observatories, Class. Quant. Grav. 28 (2011) 094013 [arXiv:1012.0908] [INSPIRE].
- [159] B. Sathyaprakash et al., Scientific Objectives of Einstein Telescope, Class. Quant. Grav. 29 (2012) 124013 [Erratum ibid. 30 (2013) 079501] [arXiv:1206.0331] [INSPIRE].
- [160] M. Maggiore et al., Science Case for the Einstein Telescope, JCAP 03 (2020) 050
 [arXiv:1912.02622] [INSPIRE].

- [161] A. Sesana et al., Unveiling the gravitational universe at μ-Hz frequencies, Exper. Astron. 51
 (2021) 1333 [arXiv:1908.11391] [INSPIRE].
- [162] J. García-Bellido, H. Murayama and G. White, Exploring the early Universe with Gaia and Theia, JCAP 12 (2021) 023 [arXiv:2104.04778] [INSPIRE].
- [163] LIGO SCIENTIFIC collaboration, Advanced LIGO: The next generation of gravitational wave detectors, Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 084006 [INSPIRE].
- [164] LIGO SCIENTIFIC collaboration, Advanced LIGO, Class. Quant. Grav. 32 (2015) 074001 [arXiv:1411.4547] [INSPIRE].
- [165] VIRGO collaboration, Advanced Virgo: a second-generation interferometric gravitational wave detector, Class. Quant. Grav. 32 (2015) 024001 [arXiv:1408.3978] [INSPIRE].
- [166] LIGO SCIENTIFIC and VIRGO collaborations, Open data from the first and second observing runs of Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo, SoftwareX 13 (2021) 100658 [arXiv:1912.11716] [INSPIRE].
- [167] E. Thrane and J.D. Romano, Sensitivity curves for searches for gravitational-wave backgrounds, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 124032 [arXiv:1310.5300] [INSPIRE].
- [168] C. Caprini et al., Science with the space-based interferometer eLISA. II: Gravitational waves from cosmological phase transitions, JCAP 04 (2016) 001 [arXiv:1512.06239] [INSPIRE].
- [169] J.L. Feng, I. Galon, F. Kling and S. Trojanowski, Dark Higgs bosons at the ForwArd Search ExpeRiment, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 055034 [arXiv:1710.09387] [INSPIRE].
- [170] FASER collaboration, FASER's physics reach for long-lived particles, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 095011 [arXiv:1811.12522] [INSPIRE].
- [171] FASER collaboration, Technical Proposal for FASER: ForwArd Search ExpeRiment at the LHC, arXiv:1812.09139 [INSPIRE].
- [172] FASER collaboration, FASER: ForwArd Search ExpeRiment at the LHC, arXiv:1901.04468 [INSPIRE].
- [173] DUNE collaboration, Long-Baseline Neutrino Facility (LBNF) and Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE): Conceptual Design Report, Volume 2: The Physics Program for DUNE at LBNF, arXiv:1512.06148 [INSPIRE].
- [174] J.M. Berryman, A. de Gouvêa, P.J. Fox, B.J. Kayser, K.J. Kelly and J.L. Raaf, Searches for Decays of New Particles in the DUNE Multi-Purpose Near Detector, JHEP 02 (2020) 174 [arXiv:1912.07622] [INSPIRE].
- [175] B. Batell, J.A. Evans, S. Gori and M. Rai, Dark Scalars and Heavy Neutral Leptons at DarkQuest, JHEP 05 (2021) 049 [arXiv:2008.08108] [INSPIRE].
- [176] D. Curtin et al., Long-Lived Particles at the Energy Frontier: The MATHUSLA Physics Case, Rept. Prog. Phys. 82 (2019) 116201 [arXiv:1806.07396] [INSPIRE].
- [177] G. Bernardi et al., Search for Neutrino Decay, Phys. Lett. B 166 (1986) 479 [INSPIRE].
- [178] D. Gorbunov, I. Krasnov and S. Suvorov, Constraints on light scalars from PS191 results, Phys. Lett. B 820 (2021) 136524 [arXiv:2105.11102] [INSPIRE].
- [179] SHIP collaboration, A facility to Search for Hidden Particles (SHiP) at the CERN SPS, arXiv:1504.04956 [INSPIRE].
- [180] N. Okada and D. Raut, Hunting inflatons at FASER, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 055022 [arXiv:1910.09663] [INSPIRE].

- [181] G. Arcadi, A. Djouadi and M. Kado, The Higgs-portal for dark matter: effective field theories versus concrete realizations, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 653 [arXiv:2101.02507] [INSPIRE].
- [182] A. Ghosh and S. Mukhopadhyay, Momentum distribution of dark matter produced in inflaton decay: Effect of inflaton mediated scatterings, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 043519 [arXiv:2205.03440] [INSPIRE].
- [183] A. Das, S. Gola, S. Mandal and N. Sinha, Two-component scalar and fermionic dark matter candidates in a generic U(1)X model, Phys. Lett. B 829 (2022) 137117 [arXiv:2202.01443]
 [INSPIRE].
- [184] A. Dasgupta, P.S.B. Dev, A. Ghoshal and A. Mazumdar, *Gravitational wave pathway to testable leptogenesis*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 075027 [arXiv:2206.07032] [INSPIRE].
- [185] N. Bhaumik, A. Ghoshal and M. Lewicki, Doubly peaked induced stochastic gravitational wave background: testing baryogenesis from primordial black holes, JHEP 07 (2022) 130 [arXiv:2205.06260] [INSPIRE].
- [186] B. Barman, D. Borah, A. Dasgupta and A. Ghoshal, Probing high scale Dirac leptogenesis via gravitational waves from domain walls, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 015007 [arXiv:2205.03422] [INSPIRE].
- [187] D.I. Dunsky, A. Ghoshal, H. Murayama, Y. Sakakihara and G. White, GUTs, hybrid topological defects, and gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 075030 [arXiv:2111.08750] [INSPIRE].
- [188] N. Bernal, A. Ghoshal, F. Hajkarim and G. Lambiase, Primordial Gravitational Wave Signals in Modified Cosmologies, JCAP 11 (2020) 051 [arXiv:2008.04959] [INSPIRE].
- [189] A. Ghoshal and A. Salvio, Gravitational waves from fundamental axion dynamics, JHEP 12 (2020) 049 [arXiv:2007.00005] [INSPIRE].
- [190] A. Ghoshal and G. Lambiase, Constraints on Tsallis Cosmology from Big Bang Nucleosynthesis and Dark Matter Freeze-out, arXiv:2104.11296 [INSPIRE].
- [191] A. Banerjee and D. Chowdhury, Fingerprints of freeze-in dark matter in an early matter-dominated era, SciPost Phys. 13 (2022) 022 [arXiv:2204.03670] [INSPIRE].
- [192] F. D'Eramo, N. Fernandez and S. Profumo, When the Universe Expands Too Fast: Relentless Dark Matter, JCAP 05 (2017) 012 [arXiv:1703.04793] [INSPIRE].
- [193] R.T. Co, F. D'Eramo, L.J. Hall and D. Pappadopulo, Freeze-In Dark Matter with Displaced Signatures at Colliders, JCAP 12 (2015) 024 [arXiv:1506.07532] [INSPIRE].
- [194] L. Heurtier, The Inflaton Portal to Dark Matter, JHEP 12 (2017) 072 [arXiv:1707.08999]
 [INSPIRE].