

On Higher N-Derivation Of Prime Rings

*Anwar K. Faraj**

*Abdulrahman H. Majeed***

Received 20, December, 2012

Accepted 3, March, 2014

Abstract:

The main purpose of this work is to introduce the concept of higher N-derivation and study this concept into 2-torsion free prime ring we proved that:

Let R be a prime ring of char. $\neq 2$, U be a Jordan ideal of R and $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a higher N-derivation of R , then

$$d_n(ur) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r), \text{ for all } u \in U, r \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Key words: derivation, Jordan ideal, higher derivation, prime ring.

1. Introduction:

Throughout this paper, R will denote an associative ring with center $Z(R)$, not necessarily with an identity element. A ring R is said to be prime (resp. semiprime) if $xRy = 0$ (resp. $xRx = 0$) implies either $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ (resp. $x = 0$), [1]. A ring R is 2-torsion free if $2x = 0$, for all $x \in R$ implies $x = 0$, [2]. A Lie ideal (resp. Jordan ideal) of R is any additive subgroup U of R with $[u, r] = ur - ru \in U$ (resp. $ur + ru \in U$), for all $u \in U, r \in R$, [2]. A derivation (resp. Jordan derivation) of R is an additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ such that $d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b)$ (resp.

$d(a^2) = d(a)a + ad(a)$), for all $a, b \in R$, [3]. For a fixed $a \in R$, define $d: R \rightarrow R$ by $d_a(x) = [a, x]$, for all $x \in R$, is called an inner derivation, [4].

Every derivation is obviously a Jordan derivation, but the converse is not true in general. Herstein proved that if R is a prime ring of char. $\neq 2$, then every Jordan derivation of R is a derivation [5].

R . Awtar extended the Herstein's theorem to Lie ideal, [6]. He proved

that if U is a Lie ideal of a prime ring of char. $\neq 2$ such that $u^2 \in U$, for every $u \in U$, and $d: R \rightarrow R$ is an additive mapping such that $d|_U$ is a Jordan derivation of U into R , then $d|_U$ is a derivation of U into R .

On the other hand, N. M. Shammu in [7] extended the Herstein's theorem to Jordan ideals. He proved that if R is a prime ring of char. $\neq 2$, U is a Jordan ideal of R and $d: R \rightarrow R$ is an additive mapping satisfying the condition

$$d(ur + ru) = d(u)r + ud(r) + d(r)u + rd(u),$$

for all $u \in U, r \in R$, then

$$d(ur) = d(u)r + ud(r), \text{ for all } u \in U, r \in R.$$

Let $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of R such that $d_0 = id_R$. D is said to be a higher derivation (resp. Jordan higher derivation) if

$$d_n(ab) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(a)d_j(b) \text{ (resp. } d_n(a^2) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(a)d_j(a) \text{), for all } a, b \in R, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

[8]. M, Ferrero and C. Haetinger in [9], extended Herstein's result to higher derivations, they proved that every Jordan higher

*Department of Applied Sciences, University of Technology

**Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad

derivation of 2-torsion free semiprime ring is a higher derivation.

C.Haetinger in [10] extended Awtar's result to higher derivation. Also, A. K. Faraj, C. Haetinger and A. H. Majeed in [2], extended this result to (U, R) -higher derivation.

The main purpose of this work is to introduce the concept of higher N-derivation and study this concept into 2-torsion free prime ring. we extend Nazar's result into higher N-derivation. Throughout this paper N will denote the set of natural numbers including 0 and as usual $[x,y]$ will denote the commutator $xy - yx$.

2. Preliminaries:

Now we will introduce the definition of higher N- derivations and some basic results which extensively to prove our main results.

Definition (2.1):

Let U be a Jordan ideal of a ring R and $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of R such that $d_0 = id_R$ D is said to be higher N-derivation (HN-D, for short) if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$d_n(ur + ru) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r) + d_i(r)d_j(u)$$

, for all $u \in U$, $r \in R$.

Example (2.2):

Let R be a ring of 2×2 matrices over commutative ring S of char. $\neq 2$.

$$d_n(u((2u)r + r(2u)) + ((2u)r + r(2u))u) = 2 \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(ur + ru) + d_i(ur + ru)d_j(u)$$

$$+ \sum_{p+q+j=n} d_p(u)d_q(r)d_j(u) + d_p(r)d_q(u)d_j(u)$$

....(1)

On the other hand,

$$+ \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{p+q=i} d_p(u)d_p(r) + d_p(r)d_q(u)d_j(u)$$

$$d_n(u((2u)r + r(2u)) + ((2u)r + r(2u))u) = d_n(u^2r + ru^2) + 4d_n(uru)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i+l+t=n} d_i(u)d_l(u)d_t(r) + d_i(u)d_l(r)d_t(u)$$

$$= \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(2u^2)d_j(r) + d_i(r)d_j(2u^2) + 4d_n(uru)$$

Let $U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in S \right\}$. It is clear

that U is a Jordan ideal of R let $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of mappings of R into R defined by

$$d_n \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} o & -b \\ nc & 0 \end{pmatrix} & n = 1, 2 \\ 0 & n \geq 3 \end{cases}, \text{ for all}$$

$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in R$. Then D is HN-D.

Throughout this work R is a prime ring of char. $\neq 2$ ring, U is a Jordan ideal, $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a HN-D of R and we denote by $\Phi_n(u,r)$ the element of R defined by

$$\Phi_n(u,r) = d_n(ur) - \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r) \text{ for}$$

all $u \in U$, $r \in R$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma (2.3):

For all $u \in U$, $r \in R$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$d_n(uru) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u)d_j(r)d_k(u)$$

Proof:

Since $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a HN-D, then replace r by $(2u)r+r(2u)$ in the definition (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2 \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{\ell+t+i=j} d_i(u)d_\ell(u)d_t(u)d_j(r) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(r) \sum_{p+q=j} d_p(u)d_q(u) + 4d_n(uru) \\
 &= 2 \left(\sum_{\ell+t+j=n} d_\ell(u)d_t(u)d_j(r) + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \sum_{i+p+q=n} d_i(r)d_p(u)d_q(u) \right) + 4d_n(uru)
 \end{aligned}$$

Compare (1) and (2) and since R is 2-torsion free we get the required result. ♦

A Linearization of $d_n(uru) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u)d_j(r)d_k(u)$ gives

Corollary (2.4):

For all $u, v \in U, r \in R, n \in N$
 $d_n(urv + vru) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u)d_j(r)d_k(u) + d_i(v)d_j(r)d_k(u)$

Lemma (2.5):

For all $u \in U$, if $u \in Z(R)$, then $d_n(u) \in Z(R)$ for all $n \in N$.

Proof:

$$2d_n(u(vr + rv)) = d_n(u)(vr + rv) + (vr + rv)d_n(u) + 2 \sum_{i+j=n}^{i < j} d_i(u)d_j(vr + rv) \dots(2)$$

On the other hand, since $u \in Z(R)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 2d_n(u(vr + rv)) &= 2d_n(urv + vru) \\
 &= \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u)d_j(r)d_k(v) + d_i(v)d_j(r)d_k(u) \\
 &= 2(d_n(u)rv + \sum_{i+j+k=n}^{i < n} d_i(u)d_j(r)d_k(v) + vrd_n(u) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i+j+k=n}^{k < n} d_i(v)d_j(r)d_k(u))
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_m(u) \in Z(R)$, for all $m < n$ then the last equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 2d_n(urv + vru) &= 2(d_n(u)rv + vrd_n(u) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i+j+k=n}^{i < n} d_i(u)d_j(r)d_k(v)) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i+j+k=n}^{k < n} d_k(u)d_i(v)d_j(r) \\
 &\dots\dots(3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (2) and (3), we have

We prove the lemma by induction on $n \in N$. Since the lemma is true for $n=1$ ([7], lemma 2.2), we can assume that $d_m(u) \in Z(R)$ for all $m < n, m, n \in N$. Since $u \in Z(R)$ and $D = (d_i)_{i \in n}$ is HN-D, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 2d_n(ur) &= \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r) + d_i(r)d_j(u) \\
 &= d_n(ur) + \sum_{i+j=n}^{i < n} d_i(u)d_j(r) + rd_n(u) + \sum_{i+j=n}^{j < n} d_i(r)d_j(u) \\
 &= d_n(u)r + rd_n(u) + 2 \sum_{i+j=n}^{i < n} d_i(u)d_j(r) \dots\dots(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Replace r by vr+rv in equation (1), then

$d_n(u) [v,r] = [v,r]d_n(u)$, i.e $[d_n(u), [v,r]] = 0$ for all $v \in U, r \in R, n \in N$.

In particular, $[d_n(u), [v,w]] = 0$ for all $v, w \in U, r \in R, n \in N$, and this means $[d_n(u), [U,U]] = 0$, so we get $[d_n(u), U] = 0$, and hence U contains a non zero ideal I of R and so

$$0 = [d_n(u), U] = [d_n(u), I R] = [d_n(u), I] R + [d_n(u), R].$$

Hence $I [d_n(u), R] = 0$. since R is prime and $I \neq 0$, then $[d_n(u), R] = 0$ and this means

$d_n(u) \in Z(R)$ for all $u \in U, n \in N$. ♦

Lemma (2.6):

For some $u \in U$ and $r \in R$, if $ur = ru$ then $d_n(ur) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r)$, for all $n \in N$.

proof:

We prove the lemma by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By ([7], lemma 2.3), the lemma is true for $n=1$, then we can assume that

$$d_m(ur) = \sum_{i+j=m} d_i(u)d_j(r) \text{ for all } m < n,$$

$m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is HN-D, for any $v \in U$

$$d_n(v(ur) + (ur)v) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(v)d_j(ur) + d_i(ur)d_j(v)$$

$$= vd_n(ur) + \sum_{i+j=n}^{j < n} d_i(v)d_j(ur) + d_n(ur)v + \sum_{i+j=n}^{i < n} d_i(ur)d_j(v)$$

$$= vd_n(ur) + \sum_{i+j=n}^{j < n} d_i(v) \sum_{p+q=j} d_p(u)d_q(r) + d_n(ur)v$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j=n}^{j < n} \sum_{\ell+t=i} d_\ell(u)d_t(r)d_j(v)$$

$$= vd_n(ur) + \sum_{i+p+q=j}^{p+q < n} d_i(v)d_p(u)d_q(r) + d_n(ur)v$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell+t+j=n}^{\ell+t < n} d_\ell(u)d_t(r)d_j(v)$$

.....(1)

On the other hand, since $ur=ru$ and by using corollary (2.4) then

$$d_n(v(ur) + (ur)v) = d_n(vru + urv)$$

$$= \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(v)d_j(r)d_k(u) + d_i(u)d_j(r)d_k(v)$$

$$= v \sum_{j+k=n} d_j(r)d_k(u) + \sum_{i+j+k=n}^{j+k < n} d_i(v)d_j(r)d_k(u)$$

$$= 2(u \sum_{t+j=n} d_t(u)d_j(r) + \sum_{\ell+t+j=n}^{t+j < n} d_\ell(u)d_t(u)d_j(r) - ud_n(ur) - \sum_{i+j=n}^{j < n} d_i(u)d_j(ur))$$

$$= 2(u \sum_{t+j=n} d_t(u)d_j(r) + \sum_{\ell+t+j=n}^{t+j < n} d_\ell(u)d_t(u)d_j(r) - ud_n(ur) - \sum_{i+p+q=n}^{p+q < n} d_i(u)d_p(u)d_q(r))$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r)v + \sum_{i+j+k=n}^{i+j < n} d_i(u)d_j(r)d_k(v) \text{(2)}$$

Compare (1) and (2) and since

$$d_m(ur) = \sum_{i+j=m} d_i(u)d_j(r), \text{ for all } m < n,$$

$m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\Phi_n(u, r)v + v\Phi_n(r, u) = 0.$$

.....(3)

Since $\Phi_n(u, r) = -\Phi_n(r, u)$ for all $u \in U$,

$r \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then equation (3) becomes

$$[\Phi_n(u, r), v] = 0 \text{ for all } v \in U, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since every Jordan ideal contains a non zero ideal I of R and since R is prime,

then $\Phi_n(u, r) \in Z(R)$.

.....(4)

Since $ur = ru$, then $(2u^2)r = r(2u^2)$

. Thus,

$$\Phi_n(2u^2, r) = d_n(2u^2r) - \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(2u^2)d_j(r) \in Z(R)$$

.....(5) Also, $u(ur)$

$= (ur)u$, replace r by ur in equation (4),

then

$$\Phi_n(u, ur) = d_n(uur) - \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(ur) \in Z(R)$$

, so

$$2(d_n(uur) - \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(ur)) \in Z(R)$$

.....(6)

Subtract equation (6) from equation (5)

and since R is prime, then

$$2(\sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u^2)d_j(r) - \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(ur))$$

$$= 2(\sum_{\ell+t+j=n} d_\ell(u)d_t(u)d_j(r) - \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(ur))$$

$$= 2u\Phi_n(u, r) \in Z(R) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{so}$$

$$u\Phi_n(u, r) \in Z(R).$$

If $\Phi_n(u, r) \neq 0$ and $\Phi_n(u, r) \in Z(R)$, then by (lemma (1.2, [7])) we get $u \in Z(R)$ and by lemma (2.6) we have $d_n(u) \in Z(R)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence

$$2d_n(ur) = d_n(ur + ru) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r) + d_i(r)d_j(u)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r)$$

Since R is 2-torsion free,

$$d_n(ur) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r).$$

$$= uv \sum_{j+k=n} d_j(u)d_k(v) + d_n(uv)uv + \sum_{i+j+k=n}^{i,j+k < n} d_i(uv)d_j(u)d_k(v) + vud_n(uv) \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(v)d_j(u)$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j+k=n}^{i,j+k < n} d_i(v)d_j(u)d_k(uv)$$

On the other hand,

$$w = d_n((uv)^2 + vu^2v)$$

$$= \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(uv)d_j(uv) + \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(v)d_j(u^2)d_k(v)$$

$$= d_n(uv)uv + uv d_n(uv) + \sum_{i+j=n}^{i,j < n} d_{\ell i}(uv)d_j(uv) + vu \sum_{t+k=n} d_t(u)d_k(v)$$

$$+ \sum_{i+\ell=n} d_i(v)d_{\ell}(u)uv + \sum_{i+\ell+t+k=n}^{i,\ell,t+k < n} d_i(v)d_{\ell}(u)d_t(u)d_k(v)$$

Compare the right hand sides of w and since $\Phi_m(u, v) = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$[u, v] \Phi_n(u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For any $r \in R$ and $u \in U$, the element $v = ur + ru$ satisfies the criterion $uv \in R$, hence by above $[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, r) = 0$.

In the same way we can prove that $\Phi_n(u^2, r) [u^2, r] = 0$. ♦

If we linearize the result of lemma (2.7) on r we have the following:

Lemma (2.7):

For all $u, v \in U$, $r \in R$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\Phi_m(u, v) = 0$, $m < n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then $[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, r) = 0$ and $\Phi_n(u^2, r) [u^2, r] = 0$.

proof:

Let $u, v \in U$ such that $uv \in U$ and $w = d_n((uv)uv + vu(uv))$ so

$$w = \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(uv)d_j(u)d_k(v) + d_i(v)d_j(u)d_k(uv)$$

$$= \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(uv)d_j(uv) + \sum_{i+\ell+t+k=n} d_i(v)d_{\ell}(u)d_t(u)d_k(v)$$

Corollary (2.8):

For all $u, v \in U$, $r \in R$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\Phi_m(u, v) = 0$, $m < n$, then

$$(1) [u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s) + [u^2, s] \Phi_n(u^2, r) = 0,$$

for all $u \in U$, $r, s \in R$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$(2) \Phi_n(u^2, r) [u^2, s] + \Phi_n(u^2, s) [u^2, r] = 0,$$

for all $u \in U$, $r, s \in R$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma (2.9):

If $\Phi_m(u, v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$, $m < n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\Phi_n(u^2, r) = 0$, for all $u \in U$, $r \in R$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof:

Multiply equation (2) of corollary (2.8) from the left by $[u^2, z]$, $z \in R$ and by using equation (1) and (2) of corollary (2.8) we get

$$[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s)[u^2, z] + [u^2, z] \Phi_n(u^2, s)[u^2, r] = 0. \dots(1)$$

Replace z by zt in (1) and using Jacobi identities, then

$$[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s) z[u^2, t] + [u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s) [u^2, z] t + z[u^2, t] \Phi_n(u^2, s) [u^2, r][u^2, z] t - \Phi_n(u^2, s)[u^2, r] = 0.$$

By using (1) and corollary (2.8), the last equation becomes

$$[[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z][u^2, t] + [u^2, z][\Phi_n(u^2, r)[u^2, s], t] = 0. \dots(2)$$

Replace z by $z[u^2, z]$ in equation (2), then

$$0 = [[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z[u^2, z]][u^2, t] + u^2 z[u^2, z][\Phi_n(u^2, r)[u^2, s], t] = z[[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), [u^2, z]][u^2, t] + [u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z[u^2, z][u^2, t] + z[u^2, [u^2, z]] [\Phi_n(u^2, r)[u^2, s], t] + [u^2, z][u^2, z] [\Phi_n(u^2, r)[u^2, s], t].$$

Substitute equation (2) in the last equation,

$$[[[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z], [u^2, z]][u^2, t] = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U, r, s, z \in R, n \in N. \dots(3)$$

Replace t by ct in equation (3) and use Jacobi identities, then

$$[[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z], [u^2, z] (c[u^2, t] + [u^2, c]t) = 0. \dots(4)$$

In view of equation (3), the second term of equation (4) is zero, then

$$[[[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z], [u^2, z]]R[u^2, t] = 0.$$

The primeness of R give us either $[u^2, t] = 0$, for all $u \in U, t \in R$ or

$$[[[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z], [u^2, z]] = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U, r, s, z \in R, n \in N.$$

If $[u^2, t] = 0$, then $u^2 \in Z(R)$ and by using lemma (2.6) we get $\Phi_n(u^2, r) = 0$ for all $u \in U, r \in R, n \in N$.

Now, if $[[[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z], [u^2, z]] = 0$, then

$$[[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z][u^2, z] = [u^2, z][[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z]. \dots(5)$$

put $t = z$ in equation (2), then $[u^2, z][\Phi_n(u^2, r)[u^2, s], z] + [[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z][u^2, z] = 0$.

In view of equation (5), the last equation reduce to

$$0 = [u^2, z][\Phi_n(u^2, r)[u^2, s], z] + [u^2, z][[u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z] = [u^2, z][\Phi_n(u^2, r)[u^2, s], [u^2, r] \Phi_n(u^2, s), z] = [u^2, z][\Phi_n(u^2, r)[u^2, s] - [u^2, s] \Phi_n(u^2, r), z] = [u^2, z][[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], z].$$

A linearization of the last equation with respect to z gives

$$0 = [u^2, z+t][[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], z+t] = [u^2, z][[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], t] + [u^2, t][[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], z]. \dots(6)$$

Replace t by $u^2 t$ in equation (6), then $[u^2, z]u^2[[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], t] + u^2[u^2, t][[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], z] = 0$.

In view of equation (6), $[[u^2, z], u^2][[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], t] = 0 \dots(7)$

Put $t = ct$ in equation (7), $0 = [[u^2, z], u^2][[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], ct]$

$$= [[u^2, z], u^2]c[[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], t] + [[u^2, z], u^2][[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], c]t = [[u^2, z], u^2]c[[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], t], \text{ for all } u \in U, r, s, t, z \in R.$$

That is, $[[u^2, z], u^2]R[[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], t] = 0$.

Since R is prime, then either $[[u^2, z], u^2] = 0$ for all $u \in U, z \in R$, or $[[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], t] = 0$, for all $u \in U, r, s, t \in R, n \in N$.

Notice that, if $[[u^2, z], u^2] = 0$ then by (theorem 1.4, [7]) we get $u^2 \in Z(R)$ and by lemma (2.6), $\Phi_n(u^2, r) = 0$ for all $u \in U, r \in R, n \in N$.

Now, if $[[\Phi_n(u^2, r), [u^2, s]], t] = 0$, then

$$[\Phi_n(u^2,r),[u^2,s]] = \Phi_n(u^2,r)[u^2,s] - [u^2,s]\Phi_n(u^2,r) \in Z(R).$$

Put $\alpha = \Phi_n(u^2,r)[u^2,s]$ and $\beta = [u^2,s]\Phi_n(u^2,r)$.

Now we must show that $\alpha - \beta = 0$. Trivially we have $\alpha^2 = 0$ and $\beta^2 = 0$. So $(\alpha - \beta)^3 = \beta\alpha\beta - \alpha\beta\alpha$.

Since $[\Phi_n(u^2,r),[u^2,s]] \in Z(R)$, then $[u^2,s][\Phi_n(u^2,r),[u^2,s]] = [\Phi_n(u^2,r),[u^2,s]][u^2,s]$.

By expanding and using the corollary (2.8) and lemma (2.7) we get $-[u^2,s][u^2,s]\Phi_n(u^2,r) = \Phi_n(u^2,r)[u^2,s][u^2,s]$(8)

Also, from $[\Phi_n(u^2,r),[u^2,s]] \in Z(R)$ we have

$$\Phi_n(u^2,r)[\Phi_n(u^2,r),[u^2,s]] = \Phi_n(u^2,r),[u^2,s]\Phi_n(u^2,r).$$

Then by expanding and using corollary (2.8) and lemma (2.7), we get

$$\Phi_n(u^2,r)\Phi_n(u^2,r)[u^2,s] = -[u^2,s]\Phi_n(u^2,r)\Phi_n(u^2,r). \dots\dots(9)$$

Now, $\alpha\beta = \Phi_n(u^2,r)[u^2,s][u^2,s]\Phi_n(u^2,r)$ and by equation (8) we get $\alpha\beta = -[u^2,s][u^2,s]\Phi_n(u^2,r)\Phi_n(u^2,r)$.

By applying equation (9) on the last equation,

$$\alpha\beta = [u^2,s]\Phi_n(u^2,r)\Phi_n(u^2,r)[u^2,s] = \beta\alpha. \text{ So } (\alpha - \beta)^3 = 0.$$

Since R is prime and $\alpha - \beta \in Z(R)$, then $\alpha - \beta = 0$.

That is, $[\Phi_n(u^2,r),[u^2,s]] = 0$, for all $u \in U, r, s \in R, n \in N$(10)

Replace s by st in equation (10) and by Jacobi identities and by using equation (10) itself we have

$$[u^2,s][\Phi_n(u^2,r),t] + [\Phi_n(u^2,r),s][u^2,t] = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U, r, s, t \in R, n \in N.$$

Put $s = [u^2,s]$ in the last equation, then $[u^2,[u^2,s]][\Phi_n(u^2,r),t] + [\Phi_n(u^2,r),[u^2,s]][u^2,t] = 0$.

Again by equation (10), then $[u^2,[u^2,s]][\Phi_n(u^2,r),t] = 0$.

So, $[u^2,[u^2,s]]R[\Phi_n(u^2,r),t] = 0$. Since R is prime either $u^2 \in Z(R)$ which gives us

$$\Phi_n(u^2,r) = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U, r \in R, n \in N, \text{ or } [\Phi_n(u^2,r),t] = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U, r \in R, n \in N \text{ and this means } \Phi_n(u^2,r) \in Z(R).$$

By lemma (2.7), $\Phi_n(u^2,r)[u^2,r] = 0$, for all $u \in U, r \in R, n \in N$.

So, if for some u and r, $\Phi_n(u^2,r) = 0$, since R is prime then $[u^2,r] = 0$, so by lemma (2.6), $\Phi_n(u^2,r) = 0$ for all $u \in U, r \in R, n \in N$. ♦

Lemma (2.10), [7]:

For any $t \in R$, if $tv^2 + v^2t = 0$ for all $v \in U$, then $t = 0$.

Now, we reach to the main theorem

Theorem (2.11):

Let R be a prime ring of char. $\neq 2$, U be a Jordan ideal of R and $D = (d_i)_{i \in N}$ be a HN-D of R, such that $\Phi_m(u,v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in U, m < n, m, n \in N$, then

$$d_n(u,r) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r), \text{ for all } u \in U, r \in R, n \in N.$$

proof:

We prove by induction on $n \in N$. By [7], the theorem is true for $n=1$, then we can assume that

$$d_m(ur) = \sum_{i+j=m} d_i(u)d_j(r), \text{ for all } u \in U, r \in R, m < n, m, n \in N.$$

Since $D = (d_i)_{i \in N}$ is HN-D, then

$$d_n(u(ur) + (ur)u) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(ur) + d_i(ur)d_j(u) = ud_n(ur) + \sum_{i+j=n}^{j < n} d_i(u)d_j(ur) + d_n(ur)u + \sum_{i+j=n}^{i < n} d_i(ur)d_j(u)$$

Since $\Phi_m(u,r) = 0$, for all $u \in U, r \in R, m < n$, then

$$d_n(ur) + (ur)u = ud_n(ur) + \sum_{i+l+t=n}^{l+t < n} d_i(u)d_l(u)d_t(r) + d_n(ur)u + \sum_{p+q+j=n}^{p+q < n} d_p(u)d_q(r)d_j(u) \dots (1)$$

On the other hand, by using lemma (2.9) and lemma (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(ur) + (ur)u &= d_n(u^2r) + d_n(uru) \\ &= \sum_{\ell+t+j=n} d_\ell(u)d_t(u)d_j(r) + \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u)d_j(r)d_k(u) \\ &= u \sum_{t+j=n} d_t(u)d_j(r) + \sum_{\ell+t+j=n}^{t+j < n} d_\ell(u)d_t(u)d_j(r) \\ &+ \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r)u + \sum_{i+j+k=n}^{i+j < n} d_i(u)d_j(r)d_k(u) \dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

By comparing (1) and (2) we have $u\Phi_n(u,r) + \Phi_n(u,r)u=0$, for all $u \in U, r \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A linearization of the last equation with respect to u gives

$$\begin{aligned} v\Phi_n(u,r) + u\Phi_n(v,r) + \Phi_n(u,r)v + \Phi_n(v,r)u &= 0, \text{ for all } \\ u, v \in U, r \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}. \dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

Replace v by $2v^2$ in equation (3) and by lemma (2.9) then

$$2(v^2\Phi_n(u,r) + \Phi_n(u,r)v^2) = 0.$$

Since R is 2-torsion free and by using lemma (2.10),

we have $\Phi_n(u,r)=0$ for all $u \in U, r \in R,$

$$n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ i.e. } d_n(ur) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r).$$

References:

[1] Ali, A., Kumar, D. and Miyan, P. 2011. On generalized derivations and commutativity of prime and semiprime rings, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statics. 4(3): 367-374.

[2] Faraj, A.K., Hatinger, C. and Majeed, A. H. 2010. Generalized higher (U, R) - derivations, JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications. 16(2): 119-142.

[3] Ali, S. 2010. On Jordan $(\alpha, \beta)^*$ -derivations in semiprime $*$ -rings, International Journal of Algebra. 4(3): 99-108.

[4] Ali, A. and Shujat. F. 2012. Remarks on semiprime rings with generalized derivations, International Mathematical Forum. 7(26): 1295-1302.

[5] Ashraf, M. and Rehman, N. 2000. On Lie ideals and Jordan left derivations of prime rings, Archivum Mathematicum (Brno) Tomus. 36: 201-206.

[6] Awtar, R. 1988. Jordan derivations and Jordan homomorphisms on prime rings of characteristics 2, Acta Mathematica Hungarica. 51: 61-63.

[7] Shammumu, N. M. 1979. Some results on Jordan structure of prime rings, M.Sc.thesis, Baghdad University.

[8] Park, K. 2010. Jordan higher left derivations and commutativity in prime rings, Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society. 23(4): 741-748.

[9] Ferro, M. and Haetinger, C. 2002. Higher derivations and a theorem by Herstein, Quaestiones Mathematica. 25: 1-9.

[10] Haetinger, C. 2002. Higher derivations on Lie ideals, Tendencias em Mathematica aplicada Computational. 3(1):141-145.

حول اشتقاق N العالي للحلقات الاولى

عبد الرحمن حميد عبد المجيد**

أنوار خليل فرج*

*قسم العلوم، التطبيقية، الجامعة التكنولوجية
**قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد

الخلاصة :

الهدف الرئيسى للبحث هو اعطاء مفهوم اشتقاق- N العالي والقيام بدراسة هذا المفهوم بالحلقات الاولى طليقة الالتواء من النمط 2 وقد برهنا اذا كانت R حلقة اوليه ذات مميز $2 \neq$ و U هو مثالي جوردان للحلقة R و $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ هو اشتقاق- N

العالي للحلقة R فان $d_n(ur) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)d_j(r)$ لكل $n \in \mathbb{N}, u \in U, r \in R$.