# CMC Determination and Thermodynamic Micellisation Of NPE Surfactant In Aqueous And CH<sub>3</sub>OH – H<sub>2</sub>O Solvents

Sameer H. Kareem \*

Lamees Abid Zead\*

Received 26, September, 2012 Accepted 5, December, 2012

# Abstract:

The critical micelle concentration (CMC) of nonylphenolethoxylate (NPE) surfactant has been determined by measuring the surface tension as a function of the molar concentration of the surfactant in aqueous and binary mixture of water + methanol solutions at a temperature range from 20°C to 35°C. The interfacial parameters  $\Gamma$ max,  $A_{min}$ ,  $\Pi_{cmc}$  and  $\Delta G^{\circ}_{ads}$  were calculated. The results indicate that the CMC increases as the temperature increases and that the addition of methanol the CMC decreases. The thermodynamic parameters such as standard Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ), enthalpy ( $\Delta H^{\circ}$ ), and entropy ( $\Delta S^{\circ}$ ) of micellization were estimated using the change of CMC with temperature. The enthalpy – entropy compensation behavior of the surfactant was evaluated and a good linearity in the compensation plot has been observed.

### Key words: Thermodynamic Micellisation, CH<sub>3</sub>OH – H<sub>2</sub>O Solvents

## **Introduction:**

Critical micelle concentration (CMC) of a surfactant is defined as the optimum aqueous concentration of the surfactant at which micelles begin to form under a specific reaction condition. CMC of a surfactant is an extremely important parameter in view of its importance in determination optimization and of various characteristic properties of micelles such as micellar stability and binding constant affinity, binding of а solubilizate as well as surfactant use in facial cleansers, shampoo, and babycare products [1].

Thermodynamic parameters that describe the hydrophobic interaction of different surfactant (ionic and nonionic) with water have been the subject of numerous studies. The CMC and thermodynamic functions  $\Delta G^{\circ}_{m}$ ,  $\Delta H^{\circ}_{m}$  and  $\Delta S^{\circ}_{m}$  for the micellization process of two pyridinium cationic surfactants in aqueous solution were

studied by a potentiometric method using surfactant cation sensitive membrane electrodes [2]. Micellization, surface activity and structures of the aggregates of nonionic surfactant n-octyl-ß-Dthioglucopyranoside in aqueous solutions through a temperature range have been investigated by using surface tension measurements [3]. The mixed micellization of 1. 2-bis (dodecyl dimethyl ammonium) ethane di-bromide and sodium lauryl ether sulfate has been investigated using a surface and electrical conductometry apparatus [4]. The effect of some organic additives such as glucose, phenol, caffine, urea and formamide on the CMC of an anionic surfactant sodium dodecyl sulfate has been studied by conductance measurements [5]. The CMC for aqueous solution of  $C_{10}, C_{12}, C_{14}$ and C<sub>16</sub>triphenylphosphonium bromide and thermodynamic

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Chemistry - College of Science for Women – Baghdad University

parameters  $\Delta G_{m}^{\circ}$ ,  $\Delta H_{m,\Delta}^{\circ} \Delta S_{m}^{\circ}$  were estimated using conductivity data[6].

present work, we In the have investigated the CMC and thermodynamics of micellization of nonylphenolethoxylate (NPE) surfactant by surface tension method in aqueous binary mixture water +methanol solution and at temperature range between (20-35) °C.

# Materials and methods:

The nonylphenolethoxlate (NPE) surfactant of the highest purity commercially available were obtained from the state company of vegetables oil and used as received. It's a chemical formula  $C_{35}H_{46}O_{11}$  and molecular mass 660 g mol<sup>-1.</sup>

Anhydrous methyl alcohol was analytical purity. The mother surfactant solutions were prepared by weight and stored at room temperature until use. The CMC were determined by surface tension. They were performed using Du-Nony type tensiometer with Pt ring. It was derived from the break points in the plots of surface tension versus molar concentrating.

# **Results and Discussion:**

The surface tension of NPE solution was measured as a function of surfactant concentration at various temperatures. The plots of surface tension versus the concentration of surfactant at different temperatures were presented in Figure (1).



Fig (1):The variation of surface tension versus molar Concentration.



Fig (2):Typical plot of surface tension versus lnC at 293K.

The sharp breakpoint shown in the plots of Figure (1) which corresponded to the CMC value at each temperature are listed in Table (1) along with their maximum surface excess respective concentration ( $\Gamma_{max}$ ), minimum area per molecule ( A<sub>min</sub> ), surface pressure at the CMC (  $\Pi_{cmc}$ ) and standard free energy of adsorption ( $\Delta G^{\circ}_{ads}$ ) values. The maximum surface excess concentration and the minimum area per molecule were computed from the surface tension plots using the following relations:

$$\Gamma \max = -\frac{(\partial \gamma / lnC)CMC}{RT} -----(1)$$

$$Amin = \frac{1}{NA \ \Gamma max}$$

Where  $N_A$  is Avogadro number and ( $\partial \gamma / \partial \ln c$ ) is determined from the slope of the plot of  $\gamma$  versus lnC which are illustrated in Figure (2) as a typical form .The surface pressure at the CMC,  $\Pi_{cmc}$ , was obtained from the relationship:

 $\prod_{\rm cmc} = \gamma - \gamma_{\rm cmc} - \dots - (3)$ 

Where  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_{CMC}$  are surface tensions of the solvent and of the micellar solution at the CMC respectively .The standard free energy of adsorption,  $\Delta G^{\circ}_{ads}$ , was determined using the equation:

$$\Delta G_{\rm ads.} = \Delta \mathring{G} m - \frac{\pi CMC}{\Gamma max} \quad \dots \quad (4)$$

Where  $\Delta \mathring{G}_m$  is standard free energy of micelle formation

Table (1): surface Excess concentration ( $\Gamma_{max}$ ), minimum area per molecule (Amin), surface pressure at the CMC ( $\Pi_{cmc}$ ), and Gibbs Energy of adsorption ( $\Delta G^{\circ}_{ads}$ ) for NPE at different temperatures.

aus) for the difference competition est					
Т	CMC	$\Pi_{cmc}$	$\Gamma_{\rm Max} * 10^3$	$A_{min}$	$-G^{\circ}_{ads}\Delta$
(K)	(M)	(mN/m)	$(mmol/m^2)$	(A <sup>2</sup> /molecule)	(KJ/mol)
293	$6.06 \times 10^{-5}$	42.94	3.99	41.59	22.68
298	$7.58  imes 10^{-5}$	42.01	3.812	43.56	22.42
303	$9.09 \times 10^{-5}$	41.73	3.39	48.98	21.19
308	$10.60 \times 10^{-5}$	40.88	2.85	58.26	19.36

The data in Table (1) indicate that in the temperature range studied the CMC of surfactant increases as the temperature increased. This may be due to the fact that the surfactants molecules dissolved in water; the hydrophobic group distorts the water structure. The increase in temperature also causes the increase in breakdown of the structured water surrounding the hydrophobic group, which disfavors micellization [7]. Also, it can be seen from Table (1) that  $\Delta G^{\circ}_{ads}$  values are negative and became less negative as temperature increases which indicate that the adsorption of the surfactant in the air-liquid interface occurs spontaneously and becomes less spontaneous at higher temperatures.

The temperature dependence of the CMC of the surfactact has been used to obtain the thermodynamic parameters of micellization. The standard free energy of micelle formation  $\Delta G^{\circ}_{m}$  in the case of nonionic surfactant was calculated by the equation :

 $\Delta G_{m}^{\circ} = RT \ln X_{CMC}$  ......(5)

Where X <sub>CMC</sub> is the mole fraction of surfactant at the CMC. The enthalpy of micellization  $\Delta H^{\circ}_{m}$  was obtained by applying the Gibbs-Helmholtz equation to the equation above :-

 $\Delta H^{\circ}_{m} = -RT^{2} (\partial \ln X_{CMC} / \partial T) ----(6)$  $\Delta H^{\circ}_{m}$  was evaluated from the slope of the plot of lnXcmc versus temperature, Figure (4). The entropy of micellization process  $\Delta S^{\circ}_{m}$  was estimated from the equation:- $\Delta G^{\circ}_{m} = \Delta H^{\circ}_{m} - T\Delta S^{\circ}_{m} - \cdots -(7)$   $\Delta G_{m}^{\circ}, \Delta H_{m}^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta S_{m}^{\circ}$  that have been obtained by applying the above equations for the NPE surfactant are reported in Table (2).



Fig (3): The plot of  $lnX_{CMC}$  versus temperature

 Table (2): Thermodynamic parameter of micellization for NPE at different temperatures .

T ( K)	- $\Delta G_{m}^{\circ}$ (KJ/mol)	$-\Delta H^{\circ}{}_{m}$	$\Delta S^{\circ}{}_{ m m}$
		(KJ/mol)	$(JK^{-1}mol^{-1})$
293	33.44	15.77	17.68
298	33.44	16.32	17.13
303	33.50	16.87	16.63
308	33.72	17.43	16.29

From the results presented in Table (2), it can be generalized that the free energy  $\Delta G_{m}^{\circ}$  is negative in the whole temperature range studied which indicates that the micellization process is spontaneous.  $\Delta H^{\circ}_{m}$  is negative and increased as temperature increased which indicates that the micellization exothermic. The entropy of is micellization  $\Delta S_{m}^{\circ}$  is positive in all temperature range and decreases with

increase in temperature. This is due to the fact that the head group is more hydrated than the hydrophobic tail with increasing temperature which leads to an overall ordering of the system hence, the lowering of the entropy with increase in temperature [6].

Several chemical processes exhibit a linear relation between  $\Delta H^{\circ}_{m}$  and  $\Delta S^{\circ}_{m}$ , and shown for the present surfactant in Figure (4).



Fig (4).The enthalpy – entropy compensation

This phenomenon is known as enthalpy-entropy compensation [8], and a good linearity ( $R^2 = 0.9885$ ) in the compensation plot has been observed.

#### Water- methanol mixed media

Figure (5) shows a representative plot of the surface tension of the NPE

solution of 15% methanol against the ln [NPE] at 293 and 303 K.The CMC of the surfactant solution was estimated from the break point in the surface tension plots and recorded in Table (1).



Fig (5). The variation of surface tension with concentration in binary mixture

It was observed that the CMC of the surfactant in the mixed solvent (15% methanol) increased as compared with aqueous solvent. This indicates that the addition of methanol, which act as water structure breakers, decrease the hydrophobic effect resulting into an increase in the CMC of the surfactant [9]. Breaking of water structure by organic solvents would facilitate interactions between the hydrophobic tail of the surfactant molecules and the hydrophobic part of organic solvent molecules by hydrophobic part of organic solvent that would lead to delaying the aggregation of the surfactant monomers form micelles and hence the increase in the CMC of the surfactant. The estimated values of  $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$ ,  $A_{\text{min}}$ ,  $\Pi_{\text{max}}$  and  $\Delta G^{\circ}_{\text{ads}}$  for the NPE solution for the mixed media are recorded in Table (3).

Table (3): surface Excess concentration ( $\Gamma_{max}$ ), minimum area per molecule (Amin), surface pressure at the CMC ( $\Pi_{max}$ ), and Gibbs Energy of adsorption ( $\Delta G^{\circ}_{ads}$ ) for NPE in 15% methanol.

T/K	Π <sub>max</sub> (mN/m)	$\frac{\Gamma_{\text{Max}} * 10^3}{(\text{mmol/m}^2)}$	A <sub>min</sub> (A <sup>2</sup> /molecule)	$-\Delta G^{\circ}_{ads}$ (KJ/mol)
293	0.322	2.4	6.92	43.63
303	0.295	2.5	6.64	42.79

The thermodynamic parameters of micellization that we have obtained by applying the same procedure as

aqueous solution are reported in Table (4).

T/K	CMC(M)	$-\Delta G^{\circ}_{mic}$	$\Delta G^{\circ}_{trans}$
		(KJ/mol)	
293	0.000227	30.21	3.236
303	0.000242	30.99	2.51

 Table (4): The thermodynamic parameters of micellization for NPE in 15% methanol.

It is possible to evaluate the effect of the co-solvent on the micelle aggregation process by means of free energy of transfer,  $\Delta G^{\circ}_{\text{trans,}}$  which is defined by [10]:

 $\Delta G^{\circ}_{\text{trans}} = (\Delta G^{\circ}_{\text{m}}) \text{ }_{\text{CH3OH-H2O}} \cdot (\Delta G^{\circ}_{\text{m}})$ H2O ------ (8)

 $\Delta G^{\circ}_{trans}$  estimated are listed in Table (4). The positive values of  $\Delta G^{\circ}_{trans}$  can be understood on the basis of a reduction in the solvophobic interaction caused by the improved solvation, which leads to an increase in the solubility of the hydrocarbon tails in the presence of organic solvent and consequently in an increase in the CMC[11].

# **Conclusions:**

It can be concluded the followings:

1-From the surface tension measurements, we have obtained the CMC of NPE solution and surface properties of the surfactant between 20 and 35  $^{\circ}$ C.

2-The adsorption data in the air-liquid interface showed that the adsorption of the surfactant occurs spontaneously and becomes more spontaneous at higher temperatures.

3-In the investigated systems we found that the enthalpy–entropy compensation operates and a good linearity has been observed.

4-The thermodynamic parameters of micellization,  $\Delta G^{\circ}_{m}$ ,  $\Delta H^{\circ}_{m}$ , and  $\Delta S^{\circ}_{m}$  were calculated and indicate that the micellization process is spontaneous and exothermic in nature.

4- The study of the effect of CH<sub>3</sub>OH content on the CMC shows that the micellization process is less favorable

in the methanol - water mixture. This effect has been ascribed to the structure-breaking ability of CH<sub>3</sub>OH.

# **References**:

- 1- Rosen, M.J.1989.Surfactants and interfacial phenomena, ch.1,.p.54, wiley, New York.
- Simoncic 2-B. Span and G.1998.Thermodynamic of micellization of N-Alkyl pyridiniumchlorides : Α potentiometric study, Acta. chim. Solv, 45 (2), 143-152.
- 3- Molina-Bolivar J.A., Aguiar J., J.M. Peula-Garcia, and Carneroruiz C. .2004. Surface Activity, Micelle Formation, and Growth of n.octylβ-D-Thioglucopyranoside in Aqueous solution at different temperatures, J.phy.chem.B, 108, 12813-12820.
- 4- Ho-young cheon, myung-sookim, and noh-HeeJeong. 2005.
  Experimental and theoretical studies of cationic Gemini surfactant and Anionic sodium lauryl Ether sulfate, J.Ind.Eng.chem., 11(1): 10-19.
- 5- Jahirul Islam M., Choudhuri M.M.R., Shahed S.M.F. and Subhan M.A. 2009. Effectof some biologically important organic compound on the micellar properties of sodium dodecyl sulphate, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci, 46(2): 91-95.
- 6-.Owoyomi O, Ige J., and Soriyan O.O. .2011. Thermodynamics of n-Alkyltriphenylphosphnium bromides: A conductometric study ,2011, Chem. Sci. J., 25:1-13.
- 7-Li-Jen che,shi-yow lin, chiungchanghuang and En-MingChem.

1998 .Temperature dependence of critical micelle concentration of polyoxyethylenated non-ionic surfactants, colloids and surfaces A: physicochem. Eng. Aspects, 135 : 175-181.

- 8-Sugihara G. and Hisatomi M.1999. Enthalpy-entropy compensation phenomenon observed for different surfactants in aqueous solution, J. colloid Interf.sci, 219:31-36.
- 9-Gracie K. Turner D. and Palepu R.1996. can .J. chem., 74: 1616.

- 10-Camero Rutzetal .2003 .The Effect of ethylene glycol on the thermodynamic and micellar properties of Tween 20, Colloid Polym Sci 281: 531–541.
- 11-M.M.Graciani, M.Munoz ,A.rodriguez, and M.L.Moya. 2005.water-N,NdimethylformamideAlkyltrimethyla mmonium bromide micellar solutions, Langmuir, 21: 3303-3310.

# ايجاد تركيز المسيل الحرج وترموداينمك عملية تكوين المسيل للمادة الفعالة سطحيا Nonyl Phenol Ethoxylate في المذيب المائي ومزيج ماء وميثانول.

لمبس عبد زيد\*

سمیں حکیم کریم \*

\*جامعة بغداد- كلية العلوم للبنات – قسم الكيمياء

### الخلاصة:

تم ايجاد تركيز المسيل الحرج (CMC) للمادة الفعالة سطحيا NonylphenolEthoxylate من خلال قياس الشد السطحي كدالة للتركيز المولاري في محيط مائي وفي مزيج ثنائي من الماء والميثانول في مدى من درجات الحرارة تراوحت بين 20 و35°م. كما تم حساب بعض الدوال السطحية مثل Γ<sub>max</sub> و مريم G°ads لهذا النظام. ودلت النتائج التي تم الحصول عليها بأن CMC تزداد بزيادة درجة الحرارة بينما تقل عند أضافة كمية محدودة من الميثانول الى المحيط المائي . وتم استخدام تغير CMC مع درجة الحرارة في حساب الدوال الثرمودينميكية G°m و Mac و Mac و SC لعملية تكوين المسيل ،ولوحظ كذلك أن تاثير التعويض بين Δθ° و SA ساريآ. «Δβ ساريآ.