

8-10-2023

The Use of Artificial Intelligence Large Language Models in Scientific Research: Blessing or Curse? Ethical or immoral?

Mohammad Al-Ahmadi
King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, alahmadi@kfupm.edu.sa

Follow this and additional works at: https://aisel.aisnet.org/treos_amcis2023

Recommended Citation

Al-Ahmadi, Mohammad, "The Use of Artificial Intelligence Large Language Models in Scientific Research: Blessing or Curse? Ethical or immoral?" (2023). *AMCIS 2023 TREOs*. 118.
https://aisel.aisnet.org/treos_amcis2023/118

This material is brought to you by the TREO Papers at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in AMCIS 2023 TREOs by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.

The Use of Artificial Intelligence Large Language Models in Scientific Research: Blessing or Curse? Ethical or Immoral?

TREO Talk Paper

Mohammad Saad Al-Ahmadi

Information Systems and Operations Management Department

KFUPM Business School

King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals (KFUPM)

KFUPM Box 443, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

alahmadi@kfupm.edu.sa

Abstract

Artificial intelligence large language models (AI LLMs), such as ChatGPT, have revolutionized many fields since their introduction. Scientific research (generally or in the IS domain specifically) is not an exception. LLMs can provide new and powerful tools for brainstorming research ideas, generating hypotheses, providing guidelines for analyzing data, or even analyzing data automatically, and helping understand complex phenomena. However, the use of AI LLMs also raises many ethical concerns. There is a lot of debate in the scientific research communities about this subject, which one can consider a positive sign. Nevertheless, such discussions should result in a clear, published framework that guides researchers to the acceptable usage of AI LLMs in research.

Information systems research, for both theoretical and applied research, is a process that involves many stages. Each phase/stage includes many tasks, and many of these tasks, if not all, may invite the use of AI LLMs. Examples include but are not limited to the following: problem identification, literature review, data collection, data analysis, results reporting, and conclusion drawing. Therefore, this paper aims to emphasize the importance of examining the ethical implications of using AI LLMs in IS scientific research and the need to propose a framework for determining what is appropriate and not appropriate in their use.

From another perspective, AI LLMs can also play a role in enhancing non-native speakers' research by assisting with grammar, vocabulary, and syntax. This can help non-native speakers overcome language barriers, express their ideas more effectively, and let them compete fairly with native-speaker researchers based on the quality of their research rather than judged by their language skills. Non-native speakers can use, for example, LLMs tools to understand complex concepts in papers, rewrite/rephrase their writing, summarize research articles, or even generate and translate the writing of the ideas they initially wrote in their languages into English. However, the question is again: which usage can be classified as appropriate, and which usage can be classified as inappropriate? Hence, there is a need for a framework in this regard.

Further, professional IS organizations, such as the Association for Information Systems (AIS), can play a major role in developing a framework and guidelines for appropriately using AI LLMs in IS scientific research. Such a framework should consider ethical concerns related to every research stage/task in both applied and theoretical IS research and provide guidelines for researchers to ensure the responsible use of AI LLMs. Such a framework should be updated and revised regularly since some of what the research community considers initially unacceptable can be acceptable later.

References

Dwivedi, Y. K., et al. (2023). "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71, pp. 102642.