

Investigating CO₂ streamer inception in repetitive pulsed discharges

Citation for published version (APA): Mirpour, S., & Nijdam, S. (2022). Investigating CO₂streamer inception in repetitive pulsed discharges. *Plasma* Sources Science and Technology, 31(5), Article 055007. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6595/ac6a0e

Document license: CC BY

DOI: 10.1088/1361-6595/ac6a0e

Document status and date:

Published: 01/05/2022

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

 The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Investigating CO₂ streamer inception in repetitive pulsed discharges

To cite this article: S Mirpour and S Nijdam 2022 Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 31 055007

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>Ultrafast control and opto-optical</u> modulation of extreme ultraviolet light Samuel Bengtsson and Johan Mauritsson
- Quantum beats and fine structure in attosecond chronoscopy of strong-field photoionization of atoms A. K. Kazansky, N. M. Kabachnik and I. P. Sazhina
- <u>Photoionization of hydrogen by a chirped,</u> <u>short X-ray pulse in the presence of a</u> <u>laser field</u>

S Bivona, G Bonanno, R Burlon et al.



This content was downloaded from IP address 131.155.153.78 on 25/10/2022 at 06:56

Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 31 (2022) 055007 (13pp)

Investigating CO₂ streamer inception in repetitive pulsed discharges

S Mirpour* and S Nijdam

Department of Applied Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, PO Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

E-mail: s.mirpour@tue.nl

Received 20 June 2021, revised 17 April 2022 Accepted for publication 25 April 2022 Published 16 May 2022



Abstract

In this study, we investigate the responsible species and processes involved in repetitive pulsed streamer inception in CO_2 . We applied a 10 kV high-voltage (HV) pulse with a repetition frequency of 10 Hz and pulse width of 1 ms to a pin electrode which is placed 160 mm apart from the grounded plane electrode. We measured the inception times by a photo-multiplier tube (delay between the rising edge of the HV pulse and the rising edge of the photo-multiplier waveform) for 600 high voltage cycles. We observed one peak in the histogram of inception times with a median of $1.2 \ \mu s$. To identify the source of this peak, we applied a negative or positive low-voltage (LV) pulse before the main HV pulse to manipulate the leftover space charges. Three different phenomena are observed: (1) drift, (2) neutralization, and (3) ionization in the LV pulse. At low LV amplitude and pulse width, the peak starts to drift toward the faster and slower inception times under a positive and negative LV pulse, respectively. However, under the same LV pulse configuration for positive and negative LV pulse, the observed shift in inception times is not the same. We present a hypothesis to explain this asymmetry based on the difference of the detachment processes between air and CO₂.

Keywords: CO₂, discharge inception, streamer, repetitive pulses, delay,

Supplementary material for this article is available online

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

Streamers are widely explored in different gases because of their importance in various fields such as high-voltage (HV) engineering and atmospheric electricity [1–8]. Recently, CO_2 gas has been considered as a possible candidate for HV switchgear, since the gas currently used for insulation and switching, SF₆, is an extremely strong greenhouse gas [9, 10]. Also, there is strong evidence that lightning might exist in the Martian atmosphere which mostly consists of CO_2

* Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. [11, 12]. Hence, it is important to understand the physics behind streamer inception and development processes in this gas. Streamer inception voltage and time have been mostly studied in air [13–16]. There are not many studies of discharge inception in CO_2 (see below), and the current understanding of the streamer inception process is very limited. This study investigates the streamer inception process in CO_2 in more detail.

By applying positive HV pulses to an electrode, electrons start to drift opposite to the electric field. When such an electron reaches an area where the ionization rate is higher than the attachment rate, it can start to replicate, although this is of course a stochastic process. The electron density then grows until the formed space-charge becomes large enough to transform into a streamer. The number of electrons required for



Figure 1. (a) Schematic view of the experimental setup with HV power supply connected to the anode (not to scale). (b) ICCD image of the CO_2 discharge at 300 mbar with HV amplitude of 10 kV and repetition frequency of 10 Hz. More ICCD images from streamers are provided in supplementary material (https://stacks.iop.org/PSST/31/055007/mmedia).

this transition can be derived from the so-called Meek criterion [17, 18]. In our previous work [13], we hypothesized that in synthetic air, the very first electron to trigger the streamer inception process can come from three different sources: free electrons, O_2^- detachment, or from reactions of neutral species. We showed that, in repetitive discharges, the leftover charges from the streamers likely build up an in-homogeneous distribution of ions in front of the anode, which produces a peak in the streamer inception distribution times histogram. By adding a low-voltage (LV) pre-pulse before the main HV pulse we could manipulate and drift this in-homogeneous ion cloud towards or away from the anode, depending on the LV pulse polarity. Thus, the ions will be at a new position at the beginning of the HV pulse which results in a shift of the observed peak in the time distribution histogram. From these shifts, we can derive the mobility of ions and identify the source. Photoionization is another well-known source of initial seed electrons in air. However, no efficient photoionization mechanism is known for CO₂ due to the rapid absorption of photons in this gas [19]. Therefore, in CO₂, detachment of electrons from negative ions is the most probable source of initial free electrons.

The statistical time lag for CO_2 discharges has been studied by Seeger *et al* [20]. They showed that, at pressures higher than ambient pressures, by increasing the applied voltage, the discharge inception time lag decreases and reaches as low as 100 ns. They found a fairly good agreement between the theoretical prediction of streamer inception fields (considering the ionization integral as 13) and actual observations. However, that study offers little in the way of examining which species are playing an important role in inception.

Wang and Bogaerts [21] investigated the critical breakdown field for CO₂ discharges at elevated temperatures. They showed that at room temperature the reduced breakdown electric field in CO₂ is about 86 Td. Increasing the temperature leads to CO₂ dissociation, which leads to more collisions between CO and O⁻ creating electrons through associative detachment. This will influence the effective ionization rate, contributing to a significant drop in the critical breakdown field. At room temperature this mechanism is insignificant due to the low dissociation degree. Furthermore, O⁻ is turned into a relatively stable ion, CO_3^- , by the cluster stabilization process. Hence, the main electron source and sink are electron impact ionization and dissociative attachment to CO_2 through e⁻ + $CO_2 \rightarrow CO + O^-$. Note that the CO_3^- ion can react with O to liberate an electron through $CO_3^- + CO \rightarrow 2CO + e^-$ reaction [22]. However, the rate coefficient of this reaction has not been determined exactly. Finally, the last scenario that might describe a possible source of free electrons in a CO₂ discharge is photo-dissociation of CO_3^- ions. In this mechanism CO_3^- is dissociated into CO₂ and O⁻ by a \approx 1.9 eV photon [23].

This study aims to clarify the role of different species in the streamer inception process in CO_2 by adding a pre-pulse to manipulate the charge distribution before applying the main HV pulse. The effects on these on inception time histograms can give great insights in the relevant processes. This chapter is organized as follow: in section 2 we describe the method, section 3 discusses the results and gives an hypothesis based



Figure 2. (a) Scheme of applied HV waveform with (1) positive and (2) negative attached LV pulse. (b) Typical applied HV and LV voltage with Photo-Multiplier Tube (PMT) waveform output.

on the different LV pulse configurations. Finally, in section 4 we summarize the chapter and provide the conclusion.

2. Set-up and methods

2.1. Experimental conditions

All experiments in this study were performed in a point-to-plan geometry (figure 1) in which the powered electrode, (anode with a tip radius of about 100 μ m), is separated 160 mm from the grounded electrode. The anode is powered by a circuit comprising an HV solid state switch (Behlke, HTS 651-15-SiC-GSM) and a 1 nf capacitor. With this configuration, we can produce repetitive pulsed waveforms with an amplitude of 10 kV, pulse widths of 1 ms, rise times of 40 ns, and repetition rates of 10 Hz. The reason we chose this repetition rate and pulse duration is to have only one discharge within the duration of an HV pulse and 10 kV was close to the streamer inception voltage and to have a shorter acquisition time. We

did not observe any dependency of streamer inception on pulse duration at this frequency. The background pressure was 10^{-6} mbar, and for each experiment the vessel was filled to 300 mbar with CO₂ (purity level of 99.9999%).

To investigate the influence of residual charged species on streamer inception, an LV pre-pulse was applied before each HV pulse. To apply the LV pre-pulse, the negative input of the HV pulser was connected to a second pulser, comprised of another solid-state HV switch (Behlke, HTS 181-01-HB-C) and a 1 nF capacitor. This could produce a pre-pulse which attaches to the main HV pulse and has an amplitude V_{LV} between 0 and 8 kV and a pulse width t_{LV} between 0 and 100 ms (cf figure 2).

2.2. Measuring inception time tinc

To measure the streamer inception time (t_{inc}), we used a photomultiplier tube (PMT, H10720-1 10 Hamamatsu) placed behind a window of the vessel. The response time of the PMT



Figure 3. Histograms of discharge inception time t_{inc} for 600 discharges produced (a) for no LV pulse and (b)–(h) by applying a 25 μ s duration LV pulse with the indicated voltage before a 10 kV HV pulse of 1 ms with a repetition frequency of 10 Hz. Inception probabilities are 100% for each case, as indicated.

is less than 2 ns. The output signal was transferred to a 12 bit HD 6104 Teledyne Lecroy oscilloscope with a sample rate of 1 GS s⁻¹. We repeated this measurement for 600 HV cycles per setting. Note that we consistently observed only one discharge inception event per 1 ms HV pulse. For each cycle, t_{inc} was determined as the temporal delay between the HV pulse reaching 10% of its maximum and the PMT waveform reaching 10% of its maximum (see figure 2, according to the PMT waveform and ICCD images, streamers have developed a few mm into the gap at the 10% of the PMT waveform time). The total error in t_{inc} is estimated at less than 10 ns. Streamer inception is here defined as an HV pulse in which the PMT signal shows a peak three times above the average background noise.

From the measured t_{inc} values, a histogram is made using a logarithmic binning function, MATLAB function: logspace(log10(0.01), log10(max(data)), 700), which divides the data into 700 bins starting from 10 ns. The acquisition window was 1 ms for all experiments. In the histogram figures, we indicate the inception probability (Prob), which is the number of pulses for which streamer inception was detected, divided by the total number of HV pulses (600). An intensified CCD (ICCD, Stanford Computer Optics 4QuickE) with a nanosecond time gate and a Nikkor UV 105 mm lens f/4.5 was used to image the streamers. The streamers in figure 1(b) are rendered in a false-colour scale for clarity. We found that in each case that a peak was detected by the PMT, the ICCD image always showed a developed streamer.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Baseline experiment and general phenomenology

To gain an overview of phenomenology, we applied an HV pulse (10 kV amplitude with a duration of 1 ms) and an LV pre-pulse (with a duration of 25 μ s and varying V_{LV}) to the electrode. We repeated this 600 times at a repetition frequency of 10 Hz. Figure 3 shows the histogram of t_{inc} on a logarithmic scale where the size of the time bins is scaled as $\log t$. Figure 3(a) shows the baseline experiment with no LV pulse where t_{inc} forms a single peak around 1–2 μ s. Application of a positive LV pulse before the main HV pulse led to three observations. For V_{LV} up to 500 V (drift, figures 3(a)–(c)) the t_{inc} peak started to shift to lower values, below 70 ns. Increasing $V_{\rm LV}$ more (neutralization, figures 3(d)–(g)) caused the peak to shift to higher values around 150 ns. Finally, an LV pulse of 8 kV (ionization during LV pulse, figure 3(h)) again shifted the peak to 50 ns. Note that this phenomenon depends on an interplay between V_{LV} and t_{LV} and therefore we introduce



Figure 4. Median of the delay histograms, \tilde{t}_{inc} , produced by applying (left) negative and (right) positive LV pulses with different V_{LV} . For the negative and positive LV pulse, t_{LV} is fixed and set to be 10 ms and 10 μ s, respectively.



Figure 5. Median of the delay histograms, \tilde{t}_{inc} , produced by applying (left) negative and (right) positive LV pulses with different t_{LV} . For the negative and positive LV pulse, V_{LV} is fixed and set to be -1 kV and 1 kV, respectively. Note the difference in time units between left-hand and right-hand side on the horizontal axis.

 $S = t_{LV} \cdot V_{LV}$ as the main parameter in the phenomenology. Below, each of the observed phenomena is elaborated in a separate section.

3.2. Drift due to applying an LV pulse

Since we mostly observe one peak in the t_{inc} histogram, the median values (\tilde{t}_{inc}) were calculated and are plotted against

 $V_{\rm LV}$ in figure 4. To test the role of leftover charges on the inception, we applied both positive and negative LV pulses immediately before the main HV pulse. Figure 4 shows the effects of these LV pulses on $\tilde{t}_{\rm inc}$, where for negative the LV pulses, a pulse width $t_{\rm LV}$ of 10 ms was used, while for the positive LV pulses this was 10 μ s, a factor 1000 lower. In the electric field imposed by a positive LV pulse, the negatively charged species



Figure 6. (a) The computational domain for the electric field computation with COMSOL (included are electric field contour lines). The grounded electrode is placed at x = 0 and the electrode tip at x = 160 mm. (b) The electric field on the symmetry axis as a function of distance for the first 20 mm from the tip for $V_{\rm HV} = 10$ kV and $V_{\rm LV} = 1$ kV. The breakdown electric field (dashed line) is 0.66 kV mm⁻¹ at 300 mbar and is taken from Bagheri *et al* [19].

will drift towards the electrode and thereby initiate discharges quicker after the HV pulse is applied. The results show that for every 100 V of applied positive LV pulse, we observed a 94 ns shift in \tilde{t}_{inc} .

We would expect to have the same drift magnitude in opposite direction for a negative LV pulse. Interestingly however, a negative LV pulse with a 10 μ s pulse width leads to a negligible shift in \tilde{t}_{inc} . As can be seen in figure 4, to have a 90 ns shift in \tilde{t}_{inc} we need to apply a 10 ms, 100 V negative LV pulse instead of a 10 μ s, 100 V pulse. Note that \tilde{t}_{inc} is not fully linear with V_{LV} so the mentioned numbers are averages. To investigate the effect of the duration of the LV pulse, we fixed V_{LV} to 1 kV and -1 kV and varied t_{LV} . Figure 5 shows the \tilde{t}_{inc} shift when applying positive and negative LV pre-pulses. For negative LV pre-pulses, a 1 ms increase in t_{LV} leads to a 86 ns decrease in \tilde{t}_{inc} . Similar to what we observed in the previous experiment \tilde{t}_{inc} the reverse effect for positive pre-pulses is also present but again roughly a thousand times stronger; to have a 92 ns shift in \tilde{t}_{inc} we need to apply a 1 kV positive prepulse with $t_{LV} = 1 \ \mu s$ instead of 1 ms. Note that in our previous experiments in air [13] the effects of positive and negative prepulses were nearly equal, but of course opposite in direction. Below, we give a hypothesis to explain this large asymmetry of



Figure 7. Drift time calculated using equation (1) on the axis of symmetry of electrons, CO_3^- , and O^- ions from a distance *x* to the electrode at x = 0 when a voltage of $V_{LV} = 10$ kV or $V_{LV} = 1$ kV is applied across the gap. The mobilities in the unavailable electric field ranges are assumed constant, and the calculated drift times in those ranges are plotted as dashed lines.

three orders of magnitude between the effects of positive and negative pre-pulses in CO_2 .

3.2.1. Hypotheses. In order to explain the surprising asymmetry between the effects of positive and negative pre-pulses in CO_2 (and not in air), we hypothesize that this is caused by the detachment process in CO_2 .

During an HV pulse, energetic electrons near the tip cause CO₂ dissociation by direct electron impact. The dissociative attachment reaction rate increases in higher electric fields and causes the production of CO and O⁻ near the electrode [24]. Thus, in this specific region, both these species are available and recombination of O- and CO can liberate an electron through associative detachment at any time during and between voltage pulses. Another possible mechanism for detachment process in CO_2 is the reaction of CO_3^- and $CO_3^ (CO_3^- + CO \rightarrow 2CO_2 + e^-)$ which can liberate an electron [22]. This mechanism is a more likely candidate since O^- can quickly (about few ns at 300 mbar) convert into a stable $CO_3^$ ion which increases the concentration of this ion [21]. Finally photo-dissociation of CO_3^- can also be considered as a free electron source. This mechanism needs $\approx 1.9 \text{ eV}$ [23]. Since the measurements have been performed in a dark environment, this mechanism might not play a significant role.

During an HV pulse, such an electron can enter the ionization region and initiate a discharge. If there is a 'charged species patch': a volume containing positive ions, negative ions and free electrons, located some distance from the tip, like we also concluded in [13], then the electrons from this region can again explain the peaked distribution of the baseline experiment. Note that from our results we cannot determine the shape of the charged species patch. It could be a thin layer, a localized patch, or a large region extending away from the electrode tip. We only know that it has a quite sharp boundary towards the electrode. Since we performed the experiments under repetitive pulses, it is possible that the initial density for the next pulse is not homogeneously distributed. In our previous experiments in synthetic air [13], ICCD images from streamers showed some regions in the streamer development path that have higher emission intensity and were close to the calculated charged species patch location. This was not directly observed in the CO₂ streamer images probably due to the faint emission of the CO₂ streamers. Note that a higher applied main pulse voltage always leads to faster inception. The peak in the inception times histogram was observed because the main high voltage amplitude, $V_{\rm HV} = 10$ kV, is close to the inception voltage. As we showed numerically in our previous investigation, the charged species patch distribution is strongly influenced by background ionization density. Therefore, for higher repetition rates where the time interval between two pulses is much shorter and there is not enough time for ion recombination we could expect faster inception times and a different profile and location of the charged species patch.



Figure 8. Visual explanation of electrons and ions drift under positive and negative LV pulses with S = (a) 1 mV s, (b) - 1 mV s, and (c) -1 V s. In each figure, 1 shows the drift during an LV pulse and 2 shows the new position of electrons and ions at the beginning of the HV pulse.

A possible origin of the inhomogenous distribution of the charged species patch is the cross section of the reaction $e^- + CO_2 \rightarrow CO + O^-$ [25]. The cross section shows a maximum yield at a certain electron energy and lower yield at higher electron energies. This might explain why no charged species patch of O^- is produced in the higher electric fields near the electrode. However, we need more experimental and numerical investigations to be able to understand the charged species patch inhomogeneity and location.

When applying a positive LV pulse, all negative species in the charged species patch will drift toward the tip. Since ions are much heavier than electrons, electron drift is more prominent and therefore the electron mobility will determine the effect of the LV pulse on inception behaviour. A negative LV pulse with the same *S* value will also push away the electrons from their initial position for roughly the same distance as the positive LV pulse. However, the much less mobile ions can still produce electrons by associative detachment and remain much closer to the tip than the drifted electrons due to their lower mobility. During the main HV pulse inception times are determined by the closest electrons and not the far-away ones. Therefore, for positive LV pulses, electron drift dominates the effect, while for negative LV pulses, negative ion drift does so.

To elaborate on this hypothesis, we have calculated the drift time of electrons CO_3^- and O^- ions in CO_2 from a distance z to the pointed electrode (at z = 0) on the axis of symmetry. The drift time was calculated by

$$t_{\rm drift}(z) = \int_{z}^{0} \frac{1}{\mu(E(z'))E(z')} dz',$$
 (1)

where μ , the mobility of the respective species, is derived from [26] for electrons and [27] for ions, and E(z), the electric field on the axis (see figure 6), is calculated by a COMSOL Multiphysics simulation [28]. The corresponding drift times for electrons and ions are shown in figure 7. It should be noted that since mobility data for electrons and ions in CO₂ is not available for low electric fields, the given estimations are based on constant mobility in these fields (dashed lines in figure 7).

Let us now assume that we indeed have a localized charged species patch containing, amongst other species, negative ions and free electrons. Figure 7 enables us to investigate the initial and post-drift positions of the ion and electron distributions, \tilde{t}_{inc} in the baseline experiment ($V_{LV} = 0$) is 1.2 μ s. Figure 7 shows that at $V_{HV} = 10$ kV, electrons should be generated at 25 mm from the tip to have enough time to drift and reach the tip. Hence, we can estimate the initial position of the boundary of the charged species patch to be about 25 mm away from the tip. Note that a possible detachment time is not included in this calculation. Moreover, it is assumed that an electron should reach the tip of the electrode to initiate a discharge.

Under a positive LV pulse (figure 8(a)) with S = 1 mV s, the corresponding electron drift distance is around 2 mm toward the electrode tip. During the main HV pulse, electrons with this new position require 1.01 μ s to reach the ionization zone. Therefore, we expect to observe around 182 ns shift in the \tilde{t}_{inc}



Figure 9. Rate constant of the dominant detachment processes in air and CO₂. For air data was taken from [29] and for CO₂ from [30].

peak. This is relatively close to our observation from the righthand side of figure 5, where the drift for every S = 1 mV s positive LV pulse was 92 ns. According to equation (1), the ion drift during application of 1 kV and 1 μ s is less than 1 μ m.

Under a negative LV pulse with S = 1 mV s (figure 8(b)), electrons drift outwards roughly the same distance as they drift inwards when a positive LV is applied. Ions which are nearly immobile during S = 1 mV s, are still re-generating new electrons in the absence of the drifted electrons. This procedure also continues after the LV pulse is applied and at the beginning of the main HV pulse. The newly generated electrons are closer to the tip than their drifted cousins. Therefore, they have more chance to initiate a discharge. This supports our observation that no shift was measured in \tilde{t}_{inc} after an LV pulse was applied with S = 1 mV s. Ions only start to drift noticeably under a stronger electric field. O^- and CO_3^- ions under a negative LV pulse of S = 1 V s drift out about 1 mm from their initial position (figure 8(c)). At the beginning of the main HV pulse, the electrons newly generated from the ions that are located in a new position start to drift toward the tip and initiate the discharge. According to equation (1), the time that electrons need to drift back 1 mm is 115 ns. This is very close to our observation in figure 5 where \tilde{t}_{inc} shift under an LV pulse with a S = -1 V s is 92 ns.

In our previous study [13], we showed that O_2^- in synthetic air was responsible for one of the peaks of the t_{inc} histogram. This peak showed a symmetric shift for positive and negative LV pulses. A possible explanation for this difference between air and CO₂ can be the different electron detachment mechanisms. The detachment rate of O_2^- in air depends strongly on the electric field (see figure 9). Therefore O_2^- must be in a high field region to detach an electron [29]. However, for

Table 1. List of plasma-chemical reactions used for calculation the ionization and attachment coefficients. Cross-sections are taken from Itikawa database [25].

Elastic collision	$e^- + CO_2 {\rightarrow} \ e^- + CO_2$
Ionization	$\begin{array}{c} e^- + CO_2 \rightarrow 2e^- + CO_2^+ \\ e^- + CO \rightarrow 2e^- + CO^+ \end{array}$
Attachment	$e^- + CO_2 \mathop{\rightarrow} CO + O^-$

CO₂ the detachment rate decreases with electric field [30] (see figure 9). The reason is that associative detachment in CO₂ proceeds through autodetachment of the CO₂⁻ complex in a short period. In higher fields and energy, formation of this complex is less probable because of the shorter collision time. Thus, the associative-detachment reaction in CO₂ is more likely to release electrons in the low fields regions far away from the electrode tip. Therefore, during a low *S* value LV pulse, detached electrons can move and show up their drift in \tilde{t}_{inc} histogram.

One question that can be raised in this section concerns the attachment of electrons during the drift. According to our hypothesis, electrons should drift about 25 mm to reach the ionization zone. To calculate the attachment time, we exert a simple analysis using the BOLSIG+ solver [31, 32] with the reactions shown in table 1 as input. Results show that at the electric field around 1 Td at 2.5 mm, where the initial electrons release from the charged species patch, the attachment time is $1.5 \,\mu$ s which is slightly higher than the inception time. Therefore, this gives enough time for most of the electrons to approach the ionization zone without being attached.



Figure 10. Histograms of discharge inception time t_{inc} for 600 discharges produced (a) for no LV pulse and by applying a 50 ms LV pulse for $V_{LV} = (b) 0.25$, (c) 0.5, (d) 1, (e) 1.5, (f) 1.75, (g) 2, (h) 4, (i) 6, (j) 8 kV before a 10 kV pulse of 1 ms with a repetition frequency of 10 Hz. Prob shows the probability of inception in each configuration.

From the width of the inception time distribution in the baseline experiments and equation (1) we can estimate that the thickness of the charged species patch is about 0.2 cm. When we consider the charged species patch as disk of this thickness and with a diameter of 2.5 cm, its volume is approximately 1 cm^3 . With the following equation

$$k_{\text{detachment}} \cdot [\text{CO}] \cdot [\text{O}^- \text{ or } \text{CO}_3^-] = 1/(N \cdot t),$$
 (2)

where the rate coefficient for the reaction $O^- + CO \rightarrow CO_2 + e^-$ is $k_{detachment} = 5.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ [21] and for the reaction $CO_3^- + CO \rightarrow 2CO_2 + e^-$ the rate coefficient is not exactly determined but the upper limit is estimated to be significantly

less than 10^{-13} cm³ s⁻¹ [22]. We can estimate that in $t = 1.5 \,\mu s$ attachment time, in order to have 1 electron available in this volume of $(N = 1 \text{ cm}^{-3})$, the required concentration product of [CO] \cdot [negative ion] should be of the order of magnitude 10^{15} cm⁻⁶ and higher. When we assume that the concentrations of [CO] and [negative ion] are roughly equal, the density of each species should be $10^7 - 10^8$ cm⁻³ and higher in order to supply the required electrons for inception. This is a feasible number for these densities in the charged species patch.

3.3. Neutralization and ionization during longer LV pulse durations

In this section we investigate higher values of S.



Figure 11. Histograms of discharge inception time t_{inc} for 600 discharges produced (a₁) for no LV pulse and by applying a negative 10 ms pulse for $V_{LV} = (a_2) - 0.25$, $(a_3) - 0.5$, $(a_4) - 1$, $(a_5) - 2$, $(drift) (b_1) - 2$, $(b_2) - 2.5$, $(b_3) - 3$, $(b_4) - 3.25$, $(b_5) - 3.5$, $(b_6) - 3.75$, $(b_7) - 4$, $(b_8) - 6$, $(b_9) - 8$ kV (neutralization and ionization in LV pulse) before a 10 kV pulse of 1 ms with a repetition frequency of 10 Hz.

3.3.1. Positive LV pulse. Above a certain value of *S*, t_{inc} reaches its minimum value and it is not possible to have faster inception because electrons and negative ions will have drifted all the way to the electrode. Figure 10 shows that applying a 250 V and 50 ms LV pulse was enough to initiate a discharge during the rise-time of the HV pulse. Increasing V_{LV} to 1.5 kV

was enough to drift all negative ions close to the tip. This can be verified by the mobility data in figure 7. Above this V_{LV} , ions reside around the electrode for a long time, where they will be slowly neutralized. Moreover, the inception probability, Prob, decreases with increasing V_{LV} which shows the lack of initial electrons available to initiate a streamer. We also observed this For even higher V_{LV} values, starting at 8 kV, we observe fast inception again with a probability of 100%. However, at this voltage level, the inception peak already occurs during the LV pulse, because V_{LV} itself is already high enough to lead to inception. We observed the same effect for other values of t_{LV} . For V_{LV} below 8 kV, the key parameter is S such that for a shorter LV pulse duration, a higher LV amplitude is required to achieve the same effect.

3.3.2. Negative LV pulse. Similar to a long positive LV pulse, we applied a negative LV pulse with a varying V_{LV} and a fixed t_{LV} of 10 ms (figure 11). As we already discussed (left-hand side of figure 4), for a lower V_{LV} we observe outward drift in which for S = 1 V s, a 90 ns shift in \tilde{t}_{inc} was observed (figures $11(a_1)-(a_5)$). This continues until $V_{LV} = -2$ kV where a further increase of V_{LV} leads to a peak at low t_{inc} and thereby the disappearance of the original peak in the histogram. This transition from slow t_{inc} to fast t_{inc} occurs in a small range of V_{LV} , such that at $V_{LV} = -3.75$ kV \tilde{t}_{inc} is 30 ns (figures $11(b_1)-(b_6)$). Further increasing V_{LV} causes a negative t_{inc} (figures $11(b_7)-(b_9)$). Such a negative t_{inc} indicates that the discharge initiates during the rise time of the LV pulse immediately before the main HV pulse no discharge was observed during the on-time of the LV pulse.

4. Summary and conclusion

We measured inception delays t_{inc} of streamers in CO₂ gas to study the inception process in repetitive discharges in this gas. We applied 10 kV high voltage pulses with a fixed pulse duration of 1 ms and repetition frequency of 10 Hz. This can produce some residual charges that influence t_{inc} of the next pulse. To manipulate the residual charges we applied an LV pulse with different duration t_{LV} and amplitude V_{LV} before the main HV pulses. Application of the LV pulse leads to a shift in the t_{inc} histogram peak. Three main phenomena were observed, based on the different applied $S(V_{LV} \cdot t_{LV})$ values: drift, neutralization, and ionization during the LV pulse.

At low S values, discharges incept faster or slower for positive and negative LV pulses respectively. However, we observed an asymmetric shift differing three order of magnitude between positive and negative LV pulses. For a positive LV pulse with S = 1 mV s, we observed a 92 ns shift in \tilde{t}_{inc} , the median value of t_{inc} . To have a similar magnitude \tilde{t}_{inc} shift with a negative LV pulse, we must apply a pulse with S = 1 V s. This is not consistent with our earlier observations in synthetic air. We hypothesize that this is caused by the fundamental differences between the detachment mechanism in CO₂ and air. O_2^- ions need to drift to a high electric field region to detach an electron. However, in the case of CO₂ due to the high yield of associative-detachment in low electric fields, O⁻ and CO₃⁻ ions can release electrons through reaction with CO. Once again it is worth to mention that O⁻ density can be less available for the detachment reaction due to a fast transition to the stable CO_3^- ion. In this case, an LV pulse with a low S value drifts electrons significantly and ions hardly while an LV pulse high *S* value can also drift ions on top of the electrons. We can also neglect CO_3^- photo-dissociation due to the low ambient light level during the measurements.

We observed that the corresponding shift in \tilde{t}_{inc} due to application of LV pulse follows the mobility data and scales consistently with *S* value. This indicates that we can neglect the effect of space charge and its effect on electric fields for low *S* values. However we observed different phenomena for higher values of *S*.

Applying a positive LV pulse for a longer time increases t_{inc} but decreases the probability of inception. This is likely due to neutralization of electrons and ions at the electrode. At $V_{LV} = 8$ kV, the LV pulse is high enough to ionize the gas and we observed streamers already during the LV pulse. This is different for a negative LV pulse where ions drift away from their initial position. For a negative LV pulse with $V_{LV} \ge 2$ kV, we observe formation of a fast peak ($\tilde{t}_{inc} = 120$ ns) in the t_{inc} histogram replacing the original ion-associated peak. Increasing the voltage above 6 kV caused discharge inception during the LV pulse rising flank.

In our calculations, electrons should drift about 25 mm to reach the ionization zone. This seems to be a long distance since electrons can attach through several pathways such as dissociative attachment to CO_2 and O_2 , and three body attachment to O_2 producing O^- and O_2^- [33]. Our simple analysis on four reactions showed that attachment time is slightly longer than inception times.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Andy Martinez, Prof. Dr. Ute Ebert, and Dr. Jannis Teunissen from Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica (CWI), who provided insight and expertise that greatly assisted the research. Moreover we would like to thank Prof. N Popov for his remark on the reaction rates and discussion. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie Grant Agreement 722337.

Data availability statement

The data generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available for legal/ethical reasons but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

ORCID iDs

S Mirpour b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4012-1136 S Nijdam b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1310-6942

References

- Nijdam S, Teunissen J and Ebert U 2020 Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 29 103001
- [2] Ebert U and Sentman D D 2008 J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 41 230301

- [3] Ebert U, Montijn C, Briels T M P, Hundsdorfer W, Meulenbroek B, Rocco A and van Veldhuizen E M 2006 *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 15 S118
- [4] Nam S H, Rahaman H, Heo H, Park S S, Shin J W, So J H and Jang W 2009 IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul. 16 1106–10
- [5] Kolb J F, Joshi R P, Xiao S and Schoenbach K H 2008 J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 41 234007
- [6] Sun A, Huo C and Zhuang J 2016 High Voltage 1 74-80
- [7] Akiyama H 2000 *IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul.* **7** 646–53
 [8] Bonaventura Z, Bourdon A, Celestin S and Pasko V P 2011 *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* **20** 035012
- [9] Seeger M et al 2017 Plasma Phys. Technol. 4 8–12
- [10] Kieffel Y, Biquez F, Ponchon P and Irwin T 2015 SF₆ alternative development for high voltage switchgears 2015 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting (IEEE) pp 1–5
- [11] Ruf C, Renno N O, Kok J F, Bandelier E, Sander M J, Gross S, Skjerve L and Cantor B 2009 Geophys. Res. Lett. 36 L13202
- [12] Melnik O and Parrot M 1998 J. Geophys. Res. 103 29107-17
- [13] Mirpour S, Martinez A, Teunissen J, Ebert U and Nijdam S 2020 Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 29 115010
- [14] Pedersen A, Christen T, Blaszczyk A and Boehme H 2009 Streamer inception and propagation models for designing air insulated power devices 2009 IEEE Conf. on Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena (IEEE)) pp 604–7
- [15] Briels T M P, van Veldhuizen E M and Ebert U 2008 IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. 36 908–9

- [16] Teunissen J and Ebert U 2016 Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 25 044005
- [17] Montijn C and Ebert U 2006 J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 39 2979
- [18] Meek J M 1940 Phys. Rev. 57 722-8
- [19] Bagheri B, Teunissen J and Ebert U 2020 Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 29 125021
- [20] Seeger M, Avaheden J, Pancheshnyi S and Votteler T 2016 J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 50 015207
- [21] Wang W and Bogaerts A 2016 Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 25 055025
- [22] Parkes D A 1972 J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1 68 627-40
- [23] Vestal M L and Mauclaire G H 1977 J. Chem. Phys. 67 3758-66
- [24] Hokazono H and Fujimoto H 1987 J. Appl. Phys. 62 1585–94
- [25] Itikawa Y 2002 J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 31 749
- [26] Haefliger P and Franck C M 2018 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 89 023114
 [27] Viehland L A and Kirkpatrick C C 1995 *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*
- Ion Process. **149–150** 555–71 [28] COMSOL Multiphysics[®] v. 5.4 www.comsol.com Stockholm, SwedenCOMSOL AB
- [29] Pancheshnyi S 2013 J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 46 155201
- [30] McFarland M, Albritton D L, Fehsenfeld F C, Ferguson E E and Schmeltekopf A L 1973 J. Chem. Phys. 59 6629–35
- [31] Hagelaar G J M and Pitchford L C 2005 Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 14 722–33
- [32] Bolsig-solver ver. linux 2016 http://bolsig.laplace.univ-tlse.fr/
- [33] Aerts R, Somers W and Bogaerts A 2015 ChemSusChem 8 702–16