

Optimized automated cardiac MR scar quantification with GAN-based data augmentation

Citation for published version (APA):

Lustermans, D. R. P. R. M., Amirrajab, S., Veta, M., Breeuwer, M., & Scannell, C. M. (2022). Optimized automated cardiac MR scar quantification with GAN-based data augmentation. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 226, Article 107116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2022.107116

Document license: CC BY

DOI: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2022.107116

Document status and date:

Published: 01/11/2022

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cmpb

Optimized automated cardiac MR scar quantification with GAN-based data augmentation



Didier R.P.R.M. Lustermans^{a,*}, Sina Amirrajab^a, Mitko Veta^a, Marcel Breeuwer^{a,b}, Cian M. Scannell^{a,c,*}

^a Department of Biomedical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, the Netherlands

^b Department of MR R&D - Clinical Science, Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands

^c School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 September 2021 Revised 26 August 2022 Accepted 5 September 2022

Keywords: Deep learning Cardiac MRI Myocardial scar quantification Synthetic data Generative adversarial networks

ABSTRACT

Background: The clinical utility of late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) cardiac MRI is limited by the lack of standardization, and time-consuming postprocessing. In this work, we tested the hypothesis that a cascaded deep learning pipeline trained with augmentation by synthetically generated data would improve model accuracy and robustness for automated scar quantification.

Methods: A cascaded pipeline consisting of three consecutive neural networks is proposed, starting with a bounding box regression network to identify a region of interest around the left ventricular (LV) myocardium. Two further nnU-Net models are then used to segment the myocardium and, if present, scar. The models were trained on the data from the EMIDEC challenge, supplemented with an extensive synthetic dataset generated with a conditional GAN.

Results: The cascaded pipeline significantly outperformed a single nnU-Net directly segmenting both the myocardium (mean Dice similarity coefficient (DSC) (standard deviation (SD)): 0.84 (0.09) vs 0.63 (0.20), p < 0.01) and scar (DSC: 0.72 (0.34) vs 0.46 (0.39), p < 0.01) on a per-slice level. The inclusion of the synthetic data as data augmentation during training improved the scar segmentation DSC by 0.06 (p < 0.01). The mean DSC per-subject on the challenge test set, for the cascaded pipeline augmented by synthetic generated data, was 0.86 (0.03) and 0.67 (0.29) for myocardium and scar, respectively.

Conclusion: A cascaded deep learning-based pipeline trained with augmentation by synthetically generated data leads to myocardium and scar segmentations that are similar to the manual operator, and outperforms direct segmentation without the synthetic images. © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) cardiac MRI is the reference standard for the non-invasive assessment of myocardial viability, and is widely used in clinical routine [1]. It has been shown to accurately identify areas of myocardial infarction [2], and the size and transmurality of scar regions are important parameters to guide the management of patients [3]. Visual reporting of such parameters is user-dependent, and thus, the robust and accurate quantification of scar would be highly beneficial. If the quantification could be reliably performed automatically, it could also facilitate further adoption of LGE cardiac MRI in clinical practice, particularly in less specialized, low-volume centers.

The standard approach to the quantification of LGE has been the use of a fixed intensity threshold value, usually relative to a reference region. The most common approaches segment scar as being n (typically 5) standard deviations (nSD) above the mean intensity of a remote normal myocardium region or above half of the maximum value of a scar region (full width at half maximum (FWHM)). To date, quantification has primarily been performed in research studies due to the time-consuming manual interaction and lack of reproducibility between operators [4]. Advanced methods for the thresholding of scar regions, that do not require manually drawn reference regions, such as Otsu thresholding [5], or fitting to expected distributions using expectation-maximization [6,7] have also been proposed without achieving clinical adoption. In general, these intensity-based thresholding methods are subject to

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2022.107116

^{*} Corresponding authors at: Department of Biomedical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, the Netherlands.

E-mail addresses: d.r.p.r.m.lustermans@student.tue.nl (D.R.P.R.M. Lustermans), cian.scannell@kcl.ac.uk (C.M. Scannell).

^{0169-2607/© 2022} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



Fig. 1. The proposed scar quantification pipeline. Firstly, a bounding box is detected around the heart, followed by myocardium segmentation. Subsequently, scar is segmented, if present, and used to compute the scar burden as a percentage of myocardial volume.

false positives due to noise and imaging artifacts, and they do not incorporate any spatial context in the thresholding.

More recently, deep learning, using convolutional neural networks (CNNs), has become the state-of-the-art for cardiac MRI segmentation in a wide range of applications [8–17], and it has also been applied to LGE segmentation. Fahmy et al. demonstrated accurate scar volume quantification using a 3D U-Net in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [18] and Zabihollahy et al. proposed a cascaded pipeline which segments the left ventricle (LV) myocardium and scar in two steps using images from multiple planes [19]. There has been further interest in the topic as a result of the open-source dataset made available as part of the automatic Evaluation of Myocardial Infarction from Delayed-Enhancement Cardiac MRI (EMIDEC) challenge [20], with several authors investigating the use of cascaded pipelines [21,22] or the incorporation of prior information [23] to improve reliability.

In this work, we developed and evaluated a cascaded deep learning pipeline for automatic myocardium and scar segmentation and quantification in subjects with suspected acute myocardial infarction. In particular, we proposed a cascaded deep learning pipeline, consisting of bounding box detection and myocardium segmentation, followed by scar segmentation, and we investigated the impact of each individual step on the performance pipeline. We further assessed the benefit of including synthetic images, generated by a conditional generative adversarial network (GAN), in the training data (in addition to conventional data augmentation). Both the GAN-based synthetic data and the step of splitting the task into simpler sub-problems are designed to overcome the challenge of the limited amount of training data, and it is hypothesized that both will lead to improved performance with the small amount of training data.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Dataset

The dataset from the EMIDEC challenge was used [20]. This consists of the LGE cardiac MRI scans of 150 patients, out of which 105 are pathological and 45 are normal. The data are divided into training (n = 100) and testing (n = 50) sets by the challenge organizers. The data acquisition was performed at the University Hos-

pital of Dijon (France) on 1.5T and 3T systems (Siemens Medical Solution, Erlangen, Germany) with a T1-weighted phase sensitive inversion recovery (PSIR) sequence (TR = 3.5 ms, TE = 1.42 ms, TI = 400 ms, flip angle = 20), performed 10 min after the administration of a gadolinium-based contrast agent (Gd-DTPA; Magnevist, Schering- AG, Berlin, Germany), at concentration between 0.1 and 0.2 mmol/kg, during a breath-hold. Further details can be found in [20]. The images were manually segmented, in consensus, by two expert operators (a cardiologist with 10 years' experience in cardiac MRI and a physicist with 20 years' experience). For the purpose of this work, the scar and microvascular obstruction (MVO) segmentations are combined in one class label representing the total infarction area.

2.2. Cascaded pipeline

As shown in Fig. 1, the proposed cascaded pipeline consists of three main steps: (1) the detection of a bounding box that encompasses the LV cavity and LV myocardium, (2) the segmentation of the myocardium, and (3) the segmentation of scar. In this work, deep learning models are trained sequentially to achieve each of these steps. An ablation study is performed by removing steps in the pipeline to analyze their impact of the performance, and the model performance with the inclusion of the synthetically generated images is compared with that of a model without this data augmentation. The segmentation and computed volumes from the automated analysis are compared to the manual quantification on the EMIDEC challenge test set. The trained models for both segmentation and synthetic data generator are made available at https://github.com/cianmscannell/lge-quant-emidec, along with the generated synthetic data.

2.3. Bounding box

The bounding box algorithm used is as proposed in Scannell et al. [12]. This first assumes that there is a fixed bounding box in the center of the image. A CNN is trained to predict, from a LGE image, the transformation of this proposed bounding box so that it covers the LV myocardium and cavity of the image. This is framed as a regression problem to predict four continuous values, the 2D translation of the center of the box and the scaling of the two different sides of the rectangular box. The proposed bounding box is of size 134×134 pixels, which is the mean size present in the training set. Due to the shape of the LV, it is sufficient to predict the bounding box on a slice in a basal location. This work uses the second 2D image from the top of the stack to avoid images where the LV cavity and myocardium are not present.

The CNN takes the original images, center-cropped or zeropadded to a size of 256 \times 256 pixels, as input. These input images are min-max normalized, using the 5th and 95th percentile of intensity values as the pseudo-minimum and maximum, respectively. The architecture consists of four convolutional layers, each layer with two convolutions using 3×3 kernels followed by 2×2 maxpooling. These layers are followed by fully connected layers. Each layer uses batch normalization and rectified linear unit (ReLU) activations, except the output layer which uses a linear activation. A batch size of 32 and L2 regularization on the convolutional kernel parameters was used with a weight of 0.0001. The loss function, the mean squared error between the four predicted and groundtruth translation and scaling values, was optimized with the use of an Adam optimizer and convergence was determined by early stopping. Data augmentation was used, consisting of random combinations of rotation, translation, blurring, scaling and noise added to the images. The parameters of the data augmentation are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

2.4. Myocardium segmentation

The segmentation of the myocardium is based on a nnU-Net model, as described by Isensee et al. [24]. The model architecture and training process are used as automatically configured by the nnU-Net software. Briefly, the algorithm automatically optimizes the architecture and hyperparameters of a U-Net model based on a fingerprint of the training dataset. A 2D network is used with leaky ReLU activations and instance normalization. The initial number of feature maps was 32 and doubled each layer until it reached a size of 512. Stochastic gradient descent with Nesterov momentum $(\mu=0.99)$ is used as the optimizer with an initial learning rate of 0.01. The loss function is the sum between the cross-entropy and dice loss, as is the default choice for the nnU-Net [24]. After a fixed amount of 1000 epochs, the network with the best validation set performance is chosen. The algorithm also includes on-the-fly data augmentation. The input is the cropped LGE image which is reshaped to 128 \times 128 pixels, and normalized in the same way as described for the bounding box.

Quality control, of the myocardium segmentations is performed in which connected component analysis was used to identify failed (not closed) myocardium segmentations, which were then resegmented with ten different augmented (by translation) bounding boxes. The 10 predictions are summed and the pixels that are predicted in the myocardium greater than k times are included in the final prediction, where k is the smallest number that yields a closed myocardium segmentation. If no closed myocardium is achieved in this manner, the original prediction is used.

2.5. Scar segmentation

A second nnU-Net model is trained for the scar segmentation. The network input is a 64×64 pixel image, cropped according to the contour gravity center of the myocardium segmentation. The image is also masked with the myocardium segmentation to set intensity values outside the myocardium or LV cavity to 0. The myocardium values are normalized to a signal intensity range between 0 and 1, using the 5th and 95th percentiles, as before. The LV cavity is set to a fixed signal intensity value of 2.5. A further quality control step is used that removes small regions of predicted scar by removing regions that lead to a predicted scar-to-

myocardium volume ratio less than 3%, as scar sizes smaller than this are not feasible in this population.

2.6. GAN-based image synthesis

The image synthesis module, as shown in Fig. 2, is based on a ResNet-encoder coupled with a segmentation-conditioned GAN that uses SPatially-Adaptive (DE)normalization layers (SPADE) [25] throughout the generator architecture. The use of SPADEbased conditional GANs for preserving the anatomy of the segmentations for cine cardiac MR image synthesis was investigated previously by Abbasi-Sureshjani et al. [26] and Amirrajab et al. [27] and it was shown that providing multi-class labels to guide the SPADE generator allows the synthesis of realistic images. The generator consists of a series of the residual blocks with SPADE normalization, followed by nearest neighbor upsampling layers, as in [26]. The SPADE layers normalize the activations with a spatially-varying learned scale and bias that comes from the input segmentation mask. This is done to encode information about the input segmentation in the generated image. In contrast to previous works, a LGE image was input to the ResNet-encoder to extract the style and background anatomical information in the synthesis process. These two steps allow the control of both the underlying anatomy and the image appearance (style) of the synthetic image. The training process used pairs of LGE style images with the corresponding ground-truth segmentations, and is described in more details in [27]. The architecture of the discriminator, the losses and training settings are kept unchanged from the original work of Park et al. [25].

After training, to generate new pairs of synthetic LGE images with the trained generator, two strategies are used: (1) augmented labels, and (2) swapped labels. For the augmented labels, the segmentation labels from the training set are augmented, by rotation and morphological operations (parameters defined in Supplementary Table 2), to create previously unseen shapes and positioning of scar, and input to the generator (shown in the purple box of Fig. 2), and for the swapped labels, existing segmentation labels from pathological patients are combined with style images from normal patients, and vice versa, to create new patients (shown in the green box of Fig. 2). The augmentation of the scar segmentations included rotation by a multiple of 60°, elastic deformation, dilation and opening. The augmented and swapped labels are used to generate synthetic data for the myocardium segmentation training, and only the augmented labels are used for the scar segmentation as, due to the masking of the myocardium, changing the background (via the swapped style image) will have no effect.

2.7. Evaluation

An ablation study was first performed, trained only with the real patient data, to analyze the impact of the individual steps of the cascaded pipeline, by comparing the myocardium and scar segmentation of:

- (a) The full cascaded pipeline.
- (b) Myocardium and scar segmentation in two steps, without the bounding box.
- (c) Directly segmenting the myocardium and scar with one nnU-Net, with the bounding box.
- (d) Directly segmenting the myocardium and scar with one nnU-Net, without the bounding box.
- (e) The full cascaded pipeline trained with the GAN-based synthetic image data augmentation.

Supplementary Fig. 1 shows a representation of the four methods (a)-(d). As the cascaded nature of the pipeline can lead to the



Fig. 2. A flowchart of the synthetic image generation process. For inference, augmented segmentation labels are input to a trained conditional GAN, accompanied by a style image (red). The label maps are generated by swapping existing labels between pathological and normal subjects (green) and by performing morphological operations on scar labels (elastic deformation, rotation and dilation or opening) (purple). The generated synthetic data are then used as augmentation data for the cascaded pipeline.



Myocardium Scar

Fig. 3. The distributions of the DSC values for myocardium (purple) and scar (yellow) segmentations on the internal test set, for the five trained versions of the cascaded pipeline. These are (option (a)) the proposed full cascaded pipeline, (b) myocardium and scar segmentation in two steps, without the bounding box, (c) directly segmenting the myocardium and scar with one nnU-Net, with the bounding box, (d) directly segmenting the myocardium and scar with one nnU-Net, without the bounding box, and (e) the full cascaded pipeline trained with the synthetic data augmentation. The X mark indicates the mean value.

Original labels



Fig. 4. The GAN-based synthetic LGE images generated with a set of rotated and deformed input labels from a single patient (original LGE images and labels shown in the top row) for all slices apex (left) to base (right).

propagation of errors, when an incorrect myocardium segmentation is used to mask the input to the scar segmentation model, this effect was also studied. In particular, the increase in performance found when replacing the predicted myocardium segmentation with the ground-truth in the cascaded pipeline was analyzed. Secondly, the performance was tested by comparing the cascaded pipeline trained only with the real data (a) and a version with training augmented by synthetic images (e), to assess the impact of adding synthetic data to the real dataset. In order to avoid the possibly confounding effect of the cascaded pipeline, a direct onestep segmentation model was also trained with and without the synthetic data augmentation.

These comparisons were performed on a randomly selected internal testing set (N = 20) split from the training set. This analysis was performed using the Dice similarity coefficient (DSC) metric on a per-slice level and the performance of models are compared with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The ability to classify slices as either having no scar or have significant (> 15% of the myocardium) was also assessed, with and without the GAN-based synthetic images.

The final evaluation was performed with the full cascaded pipeline, augmented with the GAN-based synthetic data, the model with the best performance on the internal test set, on the 50 test subjects from the EMIDEC challenge, where the mean DSC, Hausdorff distance (HD) and volume difference per-subject was reported. The automated scar quantification was further evaluated with respect to the manual quantification using Pearson correlation and Bland-Altman analyzes. We also test the accuracy of classifying patients as scarred or not. The segmentation labels for the test set are not available publicly but the evaluation of these metrics was performed by the challenge organizers.

3. Results

3.1. Cascaded pipeline

The DSC values for both myocardium and scar between the manual and automatic segmentations, for the four versions of the pipeline on the internal test set, are shown in Fig. 3(a)-(d). The proposed full cascaded pipeline (option (a)) had the highest DSC for both the myocardium (mean DSC (standard deviation (SD)):

Table 1

Original LGE images

The mean and standard deviation (SD) DSC for the myocardium and scar segmentation with each of the model and training configurations.

	Myocardium		Scar	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Option a	0.84	0.09	0.72	0.34
Option b	0.79	0.15	0.68	0.37
Option c	0.80	0.12	0.68	0.35
Option d	0.63	0.20	0.46	0.39
Option e	0.85	0.09	0.78	0.28

0.84 (0.09)) and scar (0.72 (0.34)) segmentations. This was significantly higher than all other approaches including a single nnU-Net directly segmenting both the myocardium and scar (option (d): myocardium DSC: 0.63 (0.20), p < 0.01 and scar DSC: 0.46 (0.39), p < 0.01), the direct myocardium segmentation followed by scar segmentation (option (b): myocardium DSC: 0.79 (0.15), p < 0.01 and scar DSC: 0.68 (0.37), p = 0.02), and the two-step pipelines of bounding box followed by direct myocardium and scar segmentation (option (c): myocardium DSC: 0.80 (0.12), p < 0.01 and scar DSC: 0.68 (0.35), p < 0.01). These results are summarized in Table 1.

3.2. GAN-based synthetic image data augmentation

Fig. 4 shows GAN-based synthetic images generated from one real patient by rotating, elastically deforming, and morphologically opening the original segmentation mask. The DSC values for the full cascaded pipeline trained with the synthetic data are shown in Fig. 3(e). The mean (SD) DSC between the manual and automatic myocardium segmentation increased by 0.01 (from 0.84 (0.09) to 0.85 (0.09)) with the addition of the synthetic training data on the internal test set. The inclusion of the synthetic data for training resulted in a 0.06 increase in the scar DSC (from 0.72 (0.34) to 0.78 (0.28)), as shown in Table 2, a statistically significant difference (p < 0.01), as well as a decrease in the SD. Moreover, on the internal test set, the inclusion of the GAN-based synthetic images improved the classification of slices as scarred or not from 87% (122/141) correct to 94% (132/141). The identification of slices

Table 2

The mean (SD) DSC for the scar segmentation for both the cascaded pipeline and a single nnU-Net model with and without the GAN-based data augmentation.

	Without GAN-based synthetic images	With GAN-based synthetic Images	Difference	p - value
Cascaded pipeline	0.72 (0.34)	0.78 (0.28)	0.06	< 0.01
Single nnU-Net	0.68 (0.35)	0.71 (0.33)	0.03	< 0.01



Fig. 5. The segmentations of three representative patients selected from the test set, showing the LGE image and the predicted scar (red) and myocardium (blue) segmentations. It can be seen that in subject 1 (left), in the mid-slice of subject 2 (middle), and apex slice of subject 3 (right) scar is accurately identified by the models. However, for subject 2, the myocardium segmentation in the apical slice is inaccurate, and in the basal slice of subject 3 the LV outflow tract is incorrectly identified as scar. Note that the manual ground-truth for the test set is not publicly available.

with significant levels of scar (>15% of the myocardium) increased from 86% (49/57) to 97% (55/57).

For the direct one-step segmentation the DSC is also increased from 0.80 (0.12) to 0.84 (0.11) for the myocardium and 0.68 (0.35) to 0.71 (0.33) for scar (both p < 0.01). Replacing all the predicted myocardium segmentations with the manual ground-truth label only gives a modest improvement in DSC for the scar segmentation from 0.78 to 0.80, also on the internal test set.

3.3. Challenge test set

The proposed cascaded pipeline trained with synthetic data augmentation was evaluated on the EMIDEC challenge test set (N = 50 subjects). Fig. 5 shows segmentations for three representative patients from the test set showing both good and bad performance (note that the ground-truth segmentations for the test set are not available for comparison). The mean (SD) DSC, HD and volume difference per-subject was 0.86 (0.03), 15.7 (11.9) mm and 11.5 (8.4) cm³ respectively for myocardium segmentation. 5 out of 358 myocardium segmentations failed to generate a closed shape and were identified by the quality control procedure, and a correction was attempted. Secondly, the model showed a mean (SD) DSC and volume difference between the manual and automatic scar regions of 0.67 (0.29) and 41.0 (5.8) cm³ per-subject, and the difference in scar volume relative to the volume of the myocardium was 3.41% (4.8%). Furthermore, the model classified patients as scarred or not with an accuracy of 94% (47 out of 50 subjects).

Fig. 6 compares the computed volumes for the automated and manual segmentations in a scatterplot, the Pearson correlation coefficient is 0.96 and 0.94 for myocardium and scar, (a) and (b), respectively. Per-subject, Bland-Altman analysis on the LV myocardial volume (c) showed an agreement between the manual and automatic quantified volumes of the proposed cascaded pipeline with bias of 10.24 cm³ and limit of agreement 19.67 cm³. Additionally, a good agreement between the manual and the proposed automatic quantified scar volume with a bias of 2.74 cm³ and limit of agreement of 13.06 cm³ was shown (d).

4. Discussion

In this work, two approaches are studied to learn from small datasets for the segmentation of scar from LGE cardiac MRI: the splitting of the task into smaller sub-problems and the use of synthetic data to increase the amount of available data. It is thought that the simpler sub-problems can be solved more effectively with the limited amount of available data and then applied in a cascaded pipeline to improve performance. In particular, a cascaded model was proposed that used three consecutive neural networks to identify the left ventricle, delineate the left ventricular myocardium and segment regions of myocardial infarction. The pipeline was trained based on manual segmentations of publicly available LGE cardiac MR images from the automatic Evaluation of Myocardial Infarction from Delayed-Enhancement Cardiac MRI (EMIDEC) challenge. Additionally, a segmentation-conditional GAN was proposed that uses SPADE layers coupled by a ResNet encoder to synthesize realistic LGE images on given augmented labels, for the purpose of data augmentation.

The proposed cascaded pipeline outperformed direct segmentation consistently in both the left ventricular myocardium and scar segmentation by a mean DSC increase of 0.21 per-slice on the internal test set. The three-step pipeline also improved over the combinations of two-step pipelines. Furthermore, the performance



Fig. 6. The top row shows a scatterplot of the manual versus automatic per-subject segmentation volumes for the myocardium and scar segmentation ((a) and (b)) with the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) and line of best fit, with the slope reported. (c) and (d) shows the Bland-Altman analysis for the myocardium volume (c) and scar volume (d).

was improved by the synthetic image augmentation, with a mean DSC increase of 0.06 for the scar segmentation. These reported DSC results were comparable to the inter- and intra-observer agreement found by Lalande et al. [20], intra-observer 0.84 and 0.76, inter-observer 0.83 and 0.69 for myocardium and scar segmentation, respectively. The impact of the synthetic data augmentation was also studied without the potentially confounding effects of the cascaded pipeline. That is, for the direct segmentation of scar and myocardium using a single 2D nnU-Net, models were trained with and without the synthetic data augmentation. As also found for the cascaded pipeline, for the direct segmentation the model trained with synthetic data augmentation significantly outperformed the model trained without the synthetic data.

A potential disadvantage of the cascaded approach is that errors can be propagated through the steps of the pipeline so that if, for example, an error is made in segmenting the myocardium, it will impact the subsequent scar segmentation. To test the effect of this error propagation, the model for scar segmentation was applied using the ground-truth myocardial segmentations and compared to using the predicted myocardium segmentations, on the internal test set. There is a small increase in mean DSC for the scar segmentation from 0.78 to 0.80 indicating that the negative impact of the cascaded pipeline is minimal and the cascaded approach still significantly outperforms the alternatives. This result confirms the findings of the original challenge, where cascaded pipelines were seen to perform well [28].

Our mean myocardium (0.86) and infarction (0.67) DSC scores compare favorably with the challenge results [28], with the combined DSC scores only being outperformed by a single participant. This winning solution of Zhang reported a mean DSC of 0.88 for the myocardium and 0.71 for the infarction regions [22]. Zhang proposed a two-step system in which the coarse segmentation output of an initial 2D model was then input to a further postprocessing 3D model to improve the 3D spatial consistency of the segmentations. Our proposed cascaded pipeline with GAN-based synthetic data augmentation performs better than all other challenge participants. For the purely 2D segmentation methods, our proposed pipeline represents a new state-of-the-art. Although it is not studied in this work, an extra postprocessing step, similar to Zhang has the potential to improve on this [22]. One of the typical disadvantages of using a 3D model in this application is that there are much less 3D images for training than 2D slices, and our initial experiments with a 2.5D model did not improve results. However, as was shown in this work, it is possible to use synthetic images to augment the training dataset, and this is a possible future line of research to exploit the 3D nature of the data.

The current work uses rotations with dilation and opening of scar to augment the segmentation labels to input to the synthetic data generator. This work could be extended to use more complex patterns of scar and increase the robustness of the model. For example, patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) often have complex patchy scar patterns and this could be simulated to allow training with a synthetic cohort of HCM patients without having to manually generate the training labels. Since the trained generator synthesizes the images based on a given LGE style image, different style images, from a difference acquisition sequence for example, could also be used to generate a more diverse training set.

The thorough evaluation of the impact of the synthetic data in this work using a challenging dataset with varying levels of contrast, noise, and artifacts indicates that the benefit is also likely to be more generally applicable to different applications and is also similar to that found in previous studies [29–31]. In addition to the use of GAN-based synthetic data, an advantage can also be seen to a cascaded pipeline, where the overall task is split into more manageable sub-problems, and together these approaches can be exploited to lower the manual annotation burden of deep learning.

5. Limitations

The major limitation of this work is that it used a homogenous cohort of selected patients, from the EMIDEC challenge. These images were acquired at a single center, using scanners from a single vendor and uniform imaging protocols. Therefore, the trained model may not generalize to different clinical cohorts due to the "domain-shift" (the varying levels of signal, noise and contrast, differing scan planning, and diverse disease patterns). Although methods are being developed to account with this [32], in future

work, the model would need to be tested on images from different patient cohorts, scanners, and centers prior to clinical deployment.

Our approach of using a 2D model treats each imaging slice independently and does not take advantage of the 3D relations between the slices. Indeed, we observe suboptimal performance in the apical (e.g, Fig. 5) and basal slices, as is commonly found for cardiac MRI segmentation (Campello et al., 2021), due to the more complex anatomy, thinner myocardium in the apical slices or LV outflow tract in the basal slices. In future work, 3D or long axis information could be incorporated to constrain the segmentations to be more spatially consistent. Potential extensions of the GANbased image synthesis could also focus on generating more complex cases for training a more robust segmentation model."

This work segmented the scar and MVO regions as only one region of infarction and did not separate the MVO regions. The pipeline could be adapted, in future work, to consider the MVO regions separately. It also only focused on the identification of infarctions and the pipeline could also be extended beyond the segmentation to also classify patients' disease [33]. This could potentially incorporate spatial information of the scar, as well as other relevant clinical biomarkers to improve the classification [28].

6. Conclusion

In a population of patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction, our results demonstrate that a cascaded deep learning-based pipeline trained with augmentation by synthetically generated data leads to myocardium and scar segmentations and quantitative volume values that are similar to the manual operator. The three-step cascaded pipeline was shown to significantly outperform direct segmentation with a mean DSC increase of 0.26 per slice. Additionally, the inclusion of GAN-based synthetic images as data augmentation further improved the performance and yielded a further mean DSC increase of 0.06 per-subject for scar segmentation.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Didier R.P.R.M. Lustermans: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. Sina Amirrajab: Supervision. Mitko Veta: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. Marcel Breeuwer: Data curation, Writing – original draft. Cian M. Scannell: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft.

Funding

This work was supported by the Wellcome/EPSRC Centre for Medical Engineering [WT 203148/Z/16/Z], by the Wellcome Trust Innovator Award [WT 222678/Z/21/Z], and the openGTN project, supported by the European Union in the Marie Curie Innovative Training Networks (ITN) fellowship program under project No. 764465 (website: opengtn.eu). For the purpose of open access, the corresponding author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the EMIDEC challenge organizers for the evaluation of the test set results.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.cmpb.2022.107116.

References

- [1] C.M. Kramer, J. Barkhausen, C. Bucciarelli-Ducci, S.D. Flamm, R.J. Kim, E. Nagel, Standardized cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) protocols: 2020 update, J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 22 (1) (2020) 1–18, doi:10.1186/ s12968-020-00607-1.
- [2] O.P. Simonetti, et al., An improved MR imaging technique for the visualization of myocardial infarction, Radiology 218 (1) (2001) 215–223, doi:10.1148/ radiology.218.1.r01ja50215.
- [3] R.J. Kim, et al., The use of contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging to identify reversible myocardial dysfunction, N. Engl. J. Med. 343 (20) (2000) 1445–1453, doi:10.1056/NEJM200011163432003.
- [4] J. Schulz-Menger, et al., Standardized image interpretation and post-processing in cardiovascular magnetic resonance - 2020 update, J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 22 (1) (2020) 1–22, doi:10.1186/s12968-020-00610-6.
- [5] Q. Tao, et al., Automated segmentation of myocardial scar in late enhancement MRI using combined intensity and spatial information, Magn. Reson. Med. 64 (2) (2010) 586–594, doi:10.1002/mrm.22422.
- [6] A. Hennemuth, et al., A comprehensive approach to the analysis of contrast enhanced cardiac MR images, IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 27 (11) (2008) 1592– 1610, doi:10.1109/TMI.2008.2006512.
- [7] H. Engblom, et al., A new automatic algorithm for quantification of myocardial infarction imaged by late gadolinium enhancement cardiovascular magnetic resonance: Experimental validation and comparison to expert delineations in multi-center, multi-vendor patient data, J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 18 (1) (2016) 1–13, doi:10.1186/s12968-016-0242-5.
- [8] W. Bai, et al., Automated cardiovascular magnetic resonance image analysis with fully convolutional networks, J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 20 (65) (2018) 1–12, doi:10.1186/s12968-018-0471-x.
- [9] Q. Tao, et al., Deep learning-based method for fully automatic quantification of left ventricle function from cine MR images: a multivendor, multicenter study, Radiology 290 (1) (2019) 81–88 Jan., doi:10.1148/radiol.2018180513.
- [10] R.P. Lim, et al., CardiSort: a convolutional neural network for cross vendor automated sorting of cardiac MR images, Eur. Radiol. (2022) 1–14 Apr., doi:10. 1007/S00330-022-08724-4/FIGURES/5.
- [11] T. Leiner, et al., Machine learning in cardiovascular magnetic resonance: basic concepts and applications, J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 21 (1) (2019) 61 BioMed Central Ltd.Oct. 07, doi:10.1186/s12968-019-0575-y.
- [12] C.M. Scannell, et al., Deep-learning-based preprocessing for quantitative myocardial perfusion MRI, J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 51 (6) (2020) 1689–1696 Jun., doi:10.1002/jmri.26983.
- [13] B. Ruijsink, et al., Fully automated, quality-controlled cardiac analysis from CMR: validation and large-scale application to characterize cardiac function, JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 13 (3) (2020) 684–695 Mar., doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2019. 05.030.
- [14] C. Chen, et al., Deep learning for cardiac image segmentation: a review, Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 7 (2020) 25, doi:10.3389/fcvm.2020.00025.
- [15] Y. Zhu, A.S. Fahmy, C. Duan, S. Nakamori, R. Nezafat, Automated myocardial T2 and extracellular volume quantification in cardiac MRI using transfer learningbased myocardium segmentation, Radiol. Artif. Intell. 2 (1) (2020) e190034, doi:10.1148/ryai.2019190034.
- [16] E. Ferdian, et al., Fully automated myocardial strain estimation from cardiovascular MRI-tagged images using a deep learning framework in the UK biobank, Radiol. Cardiothorac. Imaging 2 (1) (2020) e190032, doi:10.1148/ryct. 2020190032.
- [17] V.M. Campello, et al., Multi-centre, multi-vendor and multi-disease cardiac segmentation: the M&Ms challenge, IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging (2021) 1 –1, doi:10.1109/TMI.2021.3090082.
- [18] A.S. Fahmy, et al., Three-dimensional deep convolutional neural networks for automated myocardial scar quantification in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a multicenter multivendor study, Radiology 294 (1) (2020) 52–60 Jan., doi:10. 1148/radiol.2019190737.
- [19] F. Zabihollahy, M. Rajchl, J.A. White, E. Ukwatta, Fully automated segmentation of left ventricular scar from 3D late gadolinium enhancement magnetic resonance imaging using a cascaded multi-planar U-Net (CMPU-Net), Med. Phys. 47 (4) (2020) 1645–1655, doi:10.1002/mp.14022.
- [20] A. Lalande, et al., Emidec: a database usable for the automatic evaluation of myocardial infarction from delayed-enhancement cardiac MRI, Data 5 (4) (2020), doi:10.3390/data5040089.
- [21] J. Ma, Cascaded framework for automatic evaluation of myocardial infarction from delayed-enhancement cardiac MRI arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.14556 (2020).
- Y. Zhang, Cascaded convolutional neural network for automatic myocardial infarction segmentation from delayed-enhancement cardiac MRI, in: Proceedings of the Statistical Atlases and Computational Models of the Heart M{\&}Ms and EMIDEC Challenges, 2021, pp. 328-333.
 Z. Chen, et al., Myocardial infarction segmentation from late gadolinium en-
- [23] Z. Chen, et al., Myocardial infarction segmentation from late gadolinium enhancement MRI by neural networks and prior information, in: Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), 2020, pp. 1–8, doi:10.1109/IJCNN48605.2020.9206759.

- [24] F. Isensee, P.F. Jaeger, S.A.A. Kohl, J. Petersen, K.H. Maier-Hein, nnU-Net: a selfconfiguring method for deep learning-based biomedical image segmentation, Nat. Methods 18 (2) (2021) 203–211, doi:10.1038/s41592-020-01008-z.
- [25] T. Park, M.Y. Liu, T.C. Wang, and J.Y. Zhu, "Semantic image synthesis with spatially-adaptive normalization," *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. (including Subser. Lect. Notes Artif. Intell. Lect. Notes Bioinformatics)*, vol. 12354 LNCS, pp. 319–345, 2020, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-58545-7_19. (2019).
- [26] S. Abbasi-Sureshjani, S. Amirrajab, C. Lorenz, J. Weese, J. Pluim, M. Breeuwer, 4D semantic cardiac magnetic resonance image synthesis on XCAT anatomical model, in: Proceedings of the Third Conference on Medical Imaging with Deep Learning, 121, 2020, pp. 6–18.
- [27] S. Amirrajab, et al., XCAT-GAN for synthesizing 3D consistent labeled cardiac MR images on anatomically variable XCAT phantoms, in: Proceedings of the Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention – MICCAI, 2020, pp. 128–137.
- [28] A. Lalande, et al., Deep Learning methods for automatic evaluation of delayed enhancement-MRI. The results of the EMIDEC challenge, Med. Image Anal. 79 (102428) (2022), doi:10.1016/j.media.2022.102428.
- [29] M. Frid-Adar, I. Diamant, E. Klang, M. Amitai, J. Goldberger, H. Greenspan, GAN-based synthetic medical image augmentation for increased CNN performance in liver lesion classification, Neurocomputing 321 (2018) 321–331, doi:10.1016/j.neucom.2018.09.013.

- [30] C. Bowles et al., GAN augmentation: augmenting training data using generative adversarial networks, arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.10863 (2018).
- [31] Y.B. Tang, S. Oh, Y.X. Tang, J. Xiao, R.M. Summers, CT-realistic data augmentation using generative adversarial network for robust lymph node segmentation, in: Proceedings of the Medical Imaging: Computer-Aided Diagnosis, 10950, 2019, pp. 976–981.
- [32] C.M. Scannell, A. Chiribiri, M. Veta, Domain-adversarial learning for multicentre, multi-vendor, and multi-disease cardiac MR image segmentation, in: Proceedings of the Statistical Atlases and Computational Models of the Heart M&Ms and EMIDEC Challenges STACOM, 2021, pp. 228–237, doi:10.1007/ 978-3-030-68107-4_23.
- [33] A. Lourenço, E. Kerfoot, I. Grigorescu, C.M. Scannell, M. Varela, T.M. Correia, Automatic myocardial disease prediction from delayed-enhancement cardiac MRI and clinical information, in: Proceedings of the Statistical Atlases and Computational Models of the Heart M&Ms and EMIDEC Challenges, 2021, pp. 334–341.