

Multirate Performance Quantification using Time-Lifting and Local Polynomial Modeling

Citation for published version (APA): van Haren, M. J., Blanken, L. L. G., & Oomen, T. A. E. (2022). *Multirate Performance Quantification using Time-Lifting and Local Polynomial Modeling.* 93. Abstract from 41st Benelux Meeting on Systems and Control 2022, Brussels, Belgium.

Document license: CC BY

Document status and date: Published: 01/07/2022

Document Version:

Accepted manuscript including changes made at the peer-review stage

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Multirate Performance Quantification using Time-Lifting and Local Polynomial Modeling

Max van Haren^{†*}, Lennart Blanken^{†,‡} and Tom Oomen^{†,§}

[†] Control Systems Technology Group, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

[‡] Sioux Technologies, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

[§] Delft Center for Systems and Control, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands *Corresponding author. Email: m.j.v.haren@tue.nl

1 Background

Increasing performance requirements for mechatronic systems leads to continuous-time performance evaluation becoming more important. Continuous-time performance is typically evaluated using a significant higher sampling rate for the plant compared to the sampling rate of the controller, resulting in multirate systems. Similarly to single-rate systems, multirate systems require performance quantification.

2 Problem Formulation

Consider the multirate control structure in Figure 1, where the controller K_l is sampled at a low-rate $\omega_{s,l} = \omega_{s,h}/F$ and P_h at a high-rate $\omega_{s,h} = 2\pi/h_h$. Performance criteria



Figure 1: High-rate plant P_h operating in multirate feedback loop with low-rate controller K_l . The signals are up- and downsampled using \mathcal{H}_u and \mathcal{S}_d .

for Linear Time Invariant (LTI) systems are typically evaluated using Frequency Response Functions (FRFs). However, since multirate systems are Linear Periodically Time-Varying (LPTV) [1], evaluating frequency domain models is not trivial. Several definitions for FRFs for multirate systems are available [2], requiring multiple experiments. Hence, the aim of this paper is to develop a more timeefficient method to identify multirate FRFs.

3 Approach

This paper considers the Performance Frequency Gain (PFG) definition of multirate FRFs, given by [2]

$$\mathcal{P}\left(e^{j\omega h_h}\right) = \sup_{w_h \neq 0} \frac{\|\zeta_h\|_{\mathcal{P}}}{\|w_h\|_{\mathcal{P}}},\tag{1}$$

where ζ_h and w_h are chosen by the user, with w_h containing only one frequency component. The PFG represents the maximum response of a system for a single input frequency, hence requiring a multitude of experiments to determine for a frequency grid. An alternative representation of the PFG uses K_l and P_h [2]. To identify P_h , this paper uses the timelifted representation, translating a SISO LPTV into a MIMO LTI representation [1]. First, define $\underline{J} : \underline{r} \mapsto \underline{y}$ and $\underline{S} : \underline{r} \mapsto \underline{u}$, where $\underline{r} = \mathcal{L}r_h$, $\underline{y} = \mathcal{L}y_h$, $\underline{u} = \mathcal{L}u_h$ and \mathcal{L} the time-lifting operator. \hat{J} and \hat{S} are estimated with local polynomial modeling, since it identifies MIMO systems in a single experiment [3]. Second, recover the time-lifted original system as $\hat{P} = \mathcal{L}\hat{P}_h\mathcal{L}^{-1} = \hat{J}\hat{S}^{-1}$. The high-rate system \hat{P}_h is found by inverse lifting [1, Section 6.2.1]. Finally, the PFG is calculated by performing the procedure in [2], using \hat{P}_h and K_l .

4 Initial Results

A high-rate fourth-order system P_h with controller K_l is considered with F = 3. The PFG is determined based on an estimate of P_h , both using an Emperical Transfer Function Estimate (ETFE) and the developed approach. The estimation error of the PFG for these methods is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Error for estimating the PFG for ETFE (---) and the developed approach (---).

5 Ongoing Research

Ongoing research is focused at validating the framework in an experimental setting.

Acknowledgments

This research has received funding from the ECSEL Joint Undertaking, grant agreement 101007311 (IMOCO4.E).

References

[1] S. Bittanti and P. Colaneri, *Periodic Systems*. Springer London, 2009.

[2] T. Oomen, M. van de Wal, and O. Bosgra, "Design framework for high-performance optimal sampled-data control with application to a wafer stage," *Int. Journal of Control*, vol. 80, no. 6, pp. 919–934, 2007.

[3] R. Pintelon and J. Schoukens, *System Identification: A Frequency Domain Approach*, 2nd ed. John Wiley, 2012.