

## Sleep stage classification from heart-rate variability using long short-term memory neural networks

### Citation for published version (APA):

Radha, M., Fonseca, P., Moreau, A., Ross, M., Cerny, A., Anderer, P., Long, X., & Aarts, R. (2019). Sleep stage classification from heart-rate variability using long short-term memory neural networks. *Scientific Reports*, *9*, Article 14149 . <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49703-y>

DOI: [10.1038/s41598-019-49703-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49703-y)

### Document status and date:

Published: 02/10/2019

### Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

### Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](https://research.tue.nl/en/publications/ea851afb-2aef-4f82-9204-62cae6f89b75)

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

**Take down policy**<br>If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

## **SCIENTIFIC REPORTS**

natureresearch

Received: 4 February 2019 Accepted: 10 July 2019 Published online: 02 October 2019

# **Sleep stage classifcation from OPENheart-rate variability using long short-term memory neural networks**

**Mustafa Radha 1,2, Pedro Fonseca 1,2, Arnaud Moreau3, Marco Ross 3, AndreasCerny3, PeterAnderer3, Xi Long 1,2 & Ronald M.Aarts1,2**

**Automated sleep stage classifcation using heart rate variability (HRV) may provide an ergonomic and low-cost alternative to gold standard polysomnography, creating possibilities for unobtrusive homebased sleep monitoring. Current methods however are limited in their ability to take into account longterm sleep architectural patterns. A long short-term memory (LSTM) network is proposed as a solution to model long-term cardiac sleep architecture information and validated on a comprehensive data set (292 participants, 584 nights, 541.214 annotated 30 s sleep segments) comprising a wide range of ages and pathological profles, annotated according to the Rechtschafen and Kales (R&K) annotation standard. It is shown that the model outperforms state-of-the-art approaches which were often limited to non-temporal or short-term recurrent classifers. The model achieves a Cohen's k of 0.61±0.15 and accuracy of 77.00±8.90% across the entire database. Further analysis revealed that the performance for individuals aged 50 years and older may decline. These results demonstrate the merit of deep temporal modelling using a diverse data set and advance the state-of-the-art for HRV-based sleep stage classifcation. Further research is warranted into individuals over the age of 50 as performance tends to worsen in this sub-population.**

Sleep is a reversible state of disconnection from the external environment characterized by reduced vigilance and quiescence. It plays an essential role in the diurnal regulation of mind and body in mammals, and is hypothesized to have a wide array of functions ranging from digestion to memory consolidation. The objective measurement of sleep in adult humans involves sleep staging: the process of segmenting a sleep period into *epochs*, typically 30 seconds long, and assigning a sleep stage to each epoch. The American Association of Sleep Medicine (AASM)<sup>[1](#page-9-0)</sup> distinguishes fve sleep stages: rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, three levels of non-REM sleep (N1, N2, N3) and wake (W). Sleep staging is done through manual visual scoring of electro-graphic measurements of the brain, eye movement and chin muscles, measured respectively with electroencephalography (EEG), electrooculography (EOG) and electromyography (EMG). Together with sensors measuring cardiac and respiratory activity, this sensor montage is collectively referred to as polysomnography (PSG).

Although it remains the gold standard for clinical assessment of sleep and diagnosis of sleep disorders, PSG is practically limited to one or two measuring nights, and cannot be efectively performed at home for a prolonged period of time. Over the last decade a variety of surrogate modalities have been studied to alleviate the cost and discomfort associated with polysomnography. One of the feasible surrogates is HRV acquired through cardiac sensors such as electrocardiography (ECG)<sup>2-4</sup>. HRV is a measure of autonomic nervous system activity<sup>[5](#page-9-3)</sup>. The parasympathetic component of the autonomic system increases with sleep depth (i.e. N1, N2, N3) while the sympathetic component is related to awakenings. REM sleep is characterised by variations in the sympathetic to parasympathetic tone balance.

The inference of sleep stages is done by training machine learning algorithms which translate HRV features to sleep stages. The field has been increasingly studied in recent years. Most of the studies focused on sleep-wake

<sup>1</sup>Royal Philips, Research, High Tech Campus 34, 5656 AE, Eindhoven, The Netherlands. <sup>2</sup>Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB, Eindhoven, The Netherlands. <sup>3</sup>Philips Austria GmbH, Kranichberggasse 4, 1120, Vienna, Austria. Mustafa Radha and Pedro Fonseca contributed equally. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M. Radha (email: [mustafa.radha@philips.com](mailto:mustafa.radha@philips.com))

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Table 1.** A list of best-performing methods for wake-REM-N1/N2-N3 classifcation (30-s basis) using autonomic activity. ACT: actigraphy, RIP: respiratory inductance plethysmography, ECG: electrocardiography, RF: radio frequency, XGB: extreme gradient boosting, CRF: conditional random feld. CNN: convolutional neural network.

classification<sup>6-[9](#page-9-5)</sup> and wake-REM-NREM classification<sup>4[,10](#page-9-6)-12</sup> while only a few have developed methods that separate light non-REM sleep (N1 and N2) from slow wave sleep (N3), i.e. wake-REM-N1/N2-N3 classification. The N3 class represents the most restorative period of sleep for metabolic functionin[g13](#page-9-8) and is associated with maintenance of sleep and sleep quality<sup>14</sup>. Lack of N3 may have considerable impact on well-being, e.g., loss of daytime performance<sup>[14](#page-9-9)</sup>. This work focuses on the 4-class classification problem of W-REM-N1/N2-N3 and the remainder of this section only reviews previous studies that have done that as well. Table [1](#page-2-0) lists the best-performing methods published in recent past years.

**Non-temporal models.** Many algorithms have been published in the past that do not take into account temporal context when classifying sleep stages: in these models a set of *f* physiological features that are extracted for an epoch at time *t* in the night make up the feature space  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^f$ , with a marginal probability distribution *P*(*X<sub>t</sub>*). Together they form the domain  $\mathcal{D} = \{X, P(X_t)\}$  of the sleep staging problem (note that no other epochs than the one at time  $t$  are included in the domain). The sleep stage label space  $Y$  then, in the simplified case of four-class sleep staging, comprises the labels *W*,  $N1/N2$ ,  $N3$ ,  $R \in \mathcal{Y}$  (corresponding to Wake, combined N1 and N2, N3 and REM sleep) and the conditional distribution  $P(Y_t|X_t)$ . The goal of the machine learning algorithm is then to find a solution for the classification task  $\mathcal{T} = \{ \mathcal{Y}, P(Y_i | X_i) \}$ . Performance is most often reported in accuracy and Cohen's *κ*, a measure of agreement that factors out agreement by chance due to the imbalance in prevalence of diferent sleep stages throughout the night.

Some of the earlier ECG-based methods for sleep stage classifcation were published by Yilmaz *et al*. [15](#page-9-10) and Noviyanto *et al*. [16](#page-9-11). Noviyanto *et al*. found a random forest classifer to work best with Cohen's *κ* of 0.43 and accuracy of 65.56% in a dataset of 18 participants. Yilmaz *et al*. found a support vector machine to perform best with with an accuracy of 73.1% (no 4-class Cohen's *κ* reported) with 17 participants of which 5 with sleep apnea. More recently, Surantha *et al*. [17](#page-9-12) evaluated an approach using HRV features from ECG selected with a particle swarm optimization feature selection and a support vector machine (SVM) classifer, observing a similar accuracy of around 67% (Cohen's *κ* was not reported).

HRV characteristics can also be derived from other sensors than ECG. Several studies validated photoplethysmography (PPG)-based approaches in identifying wake, sleep or REM sleep with acceptable performance<sup>18–20</sup>. To classify the four sleep stages, Hedner *et al*. [21](#page-9-15) used actigraphy, pulse oximetry, and peripheral arterial tone data from 227 apnea patients, and achieved a moderate performance with a Cohen's *κ* of 0.48. In a recent study by Beattie *et al.*<sup>22</sup>, a large number (180) of motion-, breathing-, and HRV-based features were extracted from PPG and accelerometer signals obtained from 60 healthy adults. A linear discriminant analysis model was used in that study, achieving a slightly improved sleep staging performance (accuracy=69%, Cohen's *κ*=0.52). de Zambotti *et al*. [23](#page-10-0) conducted a study including 44 adults to evaluate a commercially available device (Fitbit Charge 2), where REM sleep and Light sleep can be detected more reliably than wake and deep sleep. Fujimoto et al.<sup>[24](#page-10-1)</sup> attempted to classify sleep stages using a PPG sensor combined with a 3D accelerometer and they showed a classifcation accuracy of 68.5% based on data from 100 healthy volunteers. Most recently, Li *et al*. [25](#page-10-2) applied a deep convolutional neural network to ECG-derived spectrograms (as an alternative to hand-engineered feature extraction), and achieved a Cohen's *κ* of 0.54/accuracy of 75.4% in a small validation hold-out (N=18) and Cohen's *κ* of 0.47/ accuracy of 65.9% in a large dataset containing 5793 participants for 4-class sleep stage classifcation.

There were also studies that used autonomic characteristics of sleep other than HRV. Some notable works were presented by Hong *et al.<sup>[26](#page-10-3)</sup>* reported an accuracy of 81% using a Doppler radar system to capture cardiorespiratory activity; and Hwang *et al*. [27](#page-10-4) reported a Cohen's *κ* of 0.48 and an accuracy of 70.9% using body movement and respiratory dynamics.

**Temporal models.** Given that sleep architecture has common temporal patterns throughout the night, the non-temporal approach may not achieve optimal performance as it does not exploit the dependency between time steps. Short-term recurrent models solve this problem by formulating the classification task as  $\mathcal{T} = \{ \mathcal{Y}, P(Y_i | X_i, X_{i-1}) \}$ . Adding the HRV characteristics of the previous time step  $t-1$  enables the model to learn the short-term epoch-to-epoch architecture of sleep. For example, they can capture the sleep stage dependent time-delay between cortical and autonomic nervous activities during transitions between some sleep stages (e.g. between light and deep sleep)[28](#page-10-5),[29.](#page-10-6) A few methods have been proposed in this feld. Fonseca *et al*. [30](#page-10-7) compared

probabilistic classifers using similar cardiorespiratory features and showed that a conditional random feld classifer outperformed classifers based on linear discriminant and hidden Markov models, with a Cohen's *κ* and accuracy of 0.53 and 70.8% respectively for 100 healthy participants and of 0.45 and 69.7% respectively for 51 sleep apnea patients<sup>30</sup>. A structured learning approach with a neural conditional random field algorithm was recently proposed to identify sleep stages from nasal fow signals, where a Cohen's *κ* of 0.57 was achieved[31](#page-10-9).

Given these improvements in performance, these approaches motivate the investigation of better temporal models that can take into account a wider temporal context, especially given the variance in sleep architecture as the night progresse[s32](#page-10-10), making the relationship between *Xt*−1 and *Yt* variable throughout the night. A few approaches have been proposed in the past for this. Tataraidze *et al*. [33](#page-10-8) proposed to tackle time-varying patterns in sleep architecture through a cycle-based approach that adapts a priori probabilities over time for diferent sleep stages. Using an extreme gradient boosting algorithm on respiratory efort signals acquired from 685 participants, they improved the classifcation performance by 8% with a Cohen's *κ* of 0.56 compared with their base algorithm. As an alternative, Fonseca et al.<sup>[4](#page-9-2)</sup> proposed learning the probability of each sleep stage for each epoch number of the night and using those probabilities to post-process the classifcation of the corresponding epochs. Tis approach was applied to the predictions of a linear discriminant classifcation approach with 142 HRV (measured from ECG) and respiratory effort features. The approach found a moderate overnight sleep staging performance (Cohen's *κ*=0.49, accuracy=69%). While these solutions have shown empirical gain over non-temporal models, they are limited by the fact that they make an explicit connection between the time of the night and the expected sleep stages. It is easy to conceive of limitations of such methods. For example, disruptions during sleep may change the sleep architecture entirely, or insomnia patients might have an unusually long sleep onset period which these probabilities will fail to model as they are based on population statistics.

To overcome the issues of modelling sleep stage probabilities as a function of absolute time in bed, Willemen *et al*. [3](#page-9-17) proposed using contextual features based on an accelerometer, such as "time passed since the last observed movement" or "time until the next observed movement". These relative measures combined with ECG and respiratory efort in an SVM method were used to classify the four sleep stages and a Cohen's *κ* of 0.56 was achieved (however the epoch size with 60 seconds, unlike the 30 second epoch size used in other studies). Tis method is efective, however it is likely only capturing a fraction of the contextual information that could potentially help making better predictions. A more structural approach to temporal modelling is required that can model the task  $\mathcal{T} = \{ \mathcal{Y}, P(Y_i | X_1, ..., X_i) \}$  for any given *t*, without being restricted to only short-term patterns, without relying on a priori assumptions based on the time in bed, and fnally without being restricted to only a few features/characteristics of the data.

**Long short-term memory model.** Bi-directional multi-level LSTM networks<sup>34</sup> are temporal models that could potentially overcome all the limitations outlined in the last subsection, because they (1) can model temporal context unlike feed-forward approaches<sup>17</sup>, (2) have a large temporal scope unlike Markovian models such as conditional random fields<sup>31</sup>, (3) do not model class probabilities as a function of absolute time in bed<sup>[4](#page-9-2)</sup> and (4) can perform temporal inference over any feature, instead of being restricted to a set of hand-designed temporal features<sup>3</sup>, making them a promising solution for sleep stage classification. LSTM cells consist of memory units that can store long-term information from time series and generate an output based on the current time step input, their last output (short-term recurrence) and the internal memory state (long-term recurrence). The memory state is controlled through gating mechanisms. A detailed description and equations of LSTM cells are given in the original paper[34](#page-10-11). Stacking multiple layers of LSTM cells allows for the memorization of deeper temporal structures in the data. By having two LSTM stacks in parallel, one applied in the forward and another in the backward direction, it is possible to take into account both past and future input data to classify each single time step<sup>35</sup>, allowing the sleep scoring label to be conditioned on both the past and future epochs of the night. Such models could learn to capture both the desirable properties of short-term recurrent models, as well as model the temporal context of the night through memory cells, allowing it to reason over diferent contextual patterns independent of time slept.

LSTM-based algorithms have been applied in EEG-based sleep staging $36,37$  with excellent results, raising the question how long human annotation of sleep EEG will still be needed in the future. With non-EEG data, Sano *et al*. [38](#page-10-15) combined actigraphy, skin conductance, and skin temperature data using a LSTM method to enhance the performance of classifying sleep and wake. Zhao *et al*. [39](#page-10-16) proposed an adversarial architecture to LSTM to learn sleep stages (wake, REM, N1/N2, and N3) from radio signals from 25 healthy participants (annotated by an automated EEG sleep stage classifer) and achieved an unprecedented result (Cohen's *κ*=0.7).

LSTM approaches have not been applied to HRV-based sleep stage classifcation before. As mentioned earlier, Li *et al*. [25](#page-10-2) did use a deep neural architecture, but their model consisted of convolutional layers which serve to replace regular manually engineered features, rather than representing the long-term temporal dependencies in sleep stage scoring. Some tricks exist to increase the perceptive felds of convolutional networks (e.g. max poolin[g25](#page-10-2)), but it is always bounded to a limited time frame (comparable to how HRV features are extracted over windows of about 5minutes, see Section 2.2). To the contrary, LSTM models can retain information in the internal cell state for a large number of discrete steps ( $> 1000$ ), making them exceptionally good at long-term temporal modelling.

### **Materials and Methods**

**Materials.** The data set used in this study was collected as part of the EU SIESTA project<sup>[40](#page-10-17)</sup> in the period from 1997 to 2000 in seven labs from fve European countries. Te study was approved by the local ethical committee of each research group. The ethical committees of the following departments have approved the study: Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of Vienna, Austria; Department of Neurology, School of Medicine,

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Table 2.** Demographics and sleep statistics of participants in the Siesta data set. Sleep statistics are computed based on the sleep stage annotation of the data set. N1, N2, N3, and REM percentages were calculated over the total sleep time for each recording. BMI: body mass index, TIB: time in bed, SE: sleep efficiency.

University of Vienna, Austria; Area d'Investigacio Farmacologica, Institut de Recerca de l'Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain; Department of Psychiatry, Free University of Berlin, Germany; Zentrum fur Innere Medizin, Klinikum der Philipps-Universitat Marburg, Germany; Department of Psychiatry, University of Mainz, Germany Department of Clinical Neurophysiology, Tampere University Hospital, Finland; Sleep Center, Westeinde Hospital, Den Haag, The Netherlands. All participants signed informed consent. The study was carried out in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. Each participant was monitored for a total of 15 days and at day 7 and 8 participants were invited to sleep in the sleep laboratory to collect overnight PSG. The PSG included EEG, ECG, EOG and EMG measurements. Each recording was scored by two trained somnologists from different sleep centers according to the RnK guidelines<sup>41</sup>, and revised by a third expert who took the final decision in case of disagreement.

The total number of participants was 292, from whom 584 nights of recordings were collected comprising 541.214 annotated sleep epochs. Of those participants, 126 were female (252 nights, 43.3% of the data set). Participants had no history of alcohol use, drug use or irregular shift work. The data set further includes a total of 26 patients (52 nights) with insomnia disorder, International Classifcation of Diseases, tenth edition (ICD-10) F51.0. Insomnia was either related to a mild to moderate generalized anxiety disorder (ICD-10 F51.0) or mood disorder (ICD-10 F51.0 and F3). Furthermore, 51 patients (102 nights) were diagnosed with sleep apnea (ICD-10 G47.3), 5 patients (10 nights) with periodic limb movement disorder (ICD-10 G25.8), and 15 patients (30 nights) with Parkinson's disease (ICD-10 G20)<sup>40</sup>. The total number of patients with a sleep or sleep-disturbing disorder was 97.

More details regarding participants and study design were described by Klosh *et al*. [40](#page-10-17). Table [2](#page-4-0) contains participant demographics and sleep statistics.

Feature extraction. This study used a set of 132 HRV features extracted from inter-beat intervals (IBIs) computed from ECG. For this, a beat detection algorithm was used frst to pre-process the signal to a sequence of IBI values. The algorithm was a modification<sup>42</sup> of the Hamilton-Tompkins beat detection algorithm<sup>43</sup>. All features are summarized in Table [3](#page-5-0) with citations to the original manuscripts.

A large part of the feature set from these IBI sequences has been described in earlier work where a set of car-diac and respiratory features were evaluated<sup>[7](#page-9-18)</sup>, however only the cardiac subset of the features is used in this work as no respiratory signal was included. The features were computed for each 30 second epoch of sleep by using a 4.5 minute window of heart beat data centred around the epoch (except when stated otherwise in Table [3](#page-5-0)). These were measures of HRV in the time domain, the frequency domain, results of entropy analysis, detrended fuctuation analysis, several measures of signal energy as well as features approximating the cardiorespiratory coupling during sleep by inspecting the regularity of the heart beat rhythm. Furthermore, Teager energy was used to characterize transition points and local maxima in IBI series<sup>44</sup>, including the mean energy, percentage of transition points and maxima, mean and standard deviation of intervals between transition points and maxima, mean and standard deviation of the amplitude of normalized IBI at transition points and maxima, all calculated based on the IBI time series, and on the frst intrinsic mode function afer empirical mode decompositio[n45.](#page-10-22)

To express the interaction between cardiac and respiratory autonomic activity, a cardiac-to-respiratory phase synchronization rate was determined by matching regular patterns in the sign of the IBI sequence. Patterns of 6:2, 7:2, 8:2, 9:2 are detected. The dominant rate is determined, as well as short- and long-term coordination in terms of presence and duration of synchronized heart beats<sup>[46](#page-10-23),[47](#page-10-24)</sup>. Higuchi's fractal dimension was also used as a measure of phase coordination<sup>[48](#page-10-25)</sup>

Finally, visibility graphs were used to model cardiorespiratory interaction in the IBI series<sup>49</sup> and used to calculate the assortativity mixing coefficient, the mean and standard deviation of the clustering coefficients and degrees, slope of the power-law ft to the degree distribution and percentage of nodes with a small and with a high degree, all computed based on the visibility graph and the corresponding difference visibility graph<sup>49</sup>.

**Machine learning model.** *Model description*. The model is illustrated in Fig. [1,](#page-5-1) showing how for a single epoch the features are translated into class probabilities. It consists of 5 layers: frst, a perceptron layer; then three consecutive layers of LSTM cells; and finally 2 more perceptron layers. The first perceptron layer consists of 32 perceptrons, each generating a linear combination of all features. Each LSTM layer consists of 64 cells: 32 move in the forward direction, passing their internal values to future epochs, while the other 32 cells pass values in the

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Table 3.** Cardiac features used in the study. HR heart rate; RR R-R interval; SDNN standard deviation of RR; pNN50 pecentage of successive RR diferences >50ms; RMSSD, root mean square of successive RR diferences; SDSD, standard deviation of successive RR diferences; MAD, mean absolute diference; VLF, very low frequency; LF, low frequency; HF, high frequency; DFA, detrended fuctuation analysis; PDFA, progressive DFA; WDFA, windowed DFA; PSD, power spectral density. <sup>1</sup>The estimation accuracy of sample entropy is lower in series shorter than  $10^m$  (where *m* is the pattern length, in samples)<sup>[66](#page-11-1)[,67](#page-11-2)</sup>. In practice this means that this feature will be accurate for all scales with  $m=1$  and for scales below 6 with  $m=2$ . The choice of window size was discussed in our earlier work<sup>[4](#page-9-2)</sup>.



<span id="page-5-1"></span>Figure 1. Computational graph of the neural network architecture. The three large blocks denote LSTM cells<sup>[34](#page-10-11)</sup>. Dotted black lines denote recurrent connections that pass computed values to the next epoch in the sequence. The sigmoid-like functions are a linear combination of all inputs.

opposite direction. Finally, the 64 values coming out of the last LSTM layer are processed by a perceptron layer with 32 neurones and subsequently a last layer with 4 neurones corresponding to the 4 class probabilities. All activation functions used are sigmoid, with the exception of the last layer where a sofmax activation function is used.

*Training and evaluation.* The model is trained and validated using the Siesta database. The inputs are the HRV features computed from ECG and the labels were derived from the R&K annotations: S1 and S2 were combined as the "N1/N2" class and S3/S4 were combined as the "N3" class. The validation is done in a 4-fold cross-validation scheme in which folds are created on participant level, thus ensuring that nights from the same participants are always either in the training or the testing portion. An early stopping criterion was used to stop training the model once the loss on the test fold did not improve for a 100 subsequent passes over the training data. The model's performance is quantifed using performance over all folds.

For each fold, the 2.6-10<sup>5</sup> free parameters of the model were trained simultaneously with the RMSprop optimizer, a variant of AdaDelta<sup>50</sup> introduced in a lecture series<sup>51</sup>. Dropout<sup>52</sup> on the input (20%), on LSTM outputs (50%) and LSTM recurrent connections (50%) was applied during the training phase to reduce overftting. Categorical cross-entropy was used as the loss function during model fitting. Categorical cross-entropy  $H(Y, \hat{Y})$ for a night with *N* epochs can be computed as:

$$
H(Y, \hat{Y}) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{4} P(Y_i = C_c) \cdot log(\hat{P}(Y_i = C_c))
$$
\n(1)

where  $C_1$  up to  $C_4$  are the 4 sleep stages W, R, N1/N2 and N3,  $P(Y_i = C_c)$  is the fraction of sleep annotators who scored  $Y_i$  as  $C_c$  and the  $P(Y_i = C_c)$  is the model's predicted probability (i.e. softmax activation) for the same epoch and class pair. By using this representation of the ground truth (instead of simply assigning a value of 1 to the consensus annotated class) the neural network can also learn to model the uncertainty between annotators.

*Analysis.* Performance is evaluated using metrics of accuracy and Cohen's *κ* coefficient of agreement on an epoch-per-epoch basis in comparison with ground-truth. All performances are calculated per night, and both average and standard deviation are reported.

Performance is also analysed with respect to the demographic factors of age, sex and body-mass index (BMI). The effect of these demographic factors on accuracy and Cohen's *κ* is tested through Pearson's correlation coefficient for age and BMI and through a Mann-Whitney U-test between the two sexes. Tis test is used to avoid invalid results due to violations of parametric assumptions (e.g. normality) about the distributions of the performance that other tests may have. Next to that, performance for each of the main sleep disorder groups in the data set will be presented. Mann-Whitney U-tests are used to compare the performance distribution of each disorder to the healthy group.

Finally, performance will also be reported per sleep stage using several metrics of classifcation performance: precision (fraction of predicted instances of the class that was correct), recall (fraction of true instances of the class that were predicted as such), Cohen's *κ* and accuracy.

*Architecture optimisation.* To optimise the size of the LSTM network, diferent model parameters were evaluated: models with 1 up to 6 LSTM layers were trained and compared, as well as models with 32, 64 and 128 cells per LSTM layer. For the best-performing model (3 LSTM layers and 64 LSTM cells per layer) the model was also evaluated without the use of any dropout, which showed a drastic decrease in performance. This is how the model confguration described in Sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.2 was chosen.

### **Results**

**Model training and evaluation.** The 588 nights of the Siesta database<sup>[40](#page-10-17)</sup> were divided into 4 folds to evaluate the LSTM model. The data was randomly split into folds at the level of participants (294 in total). An overview of the data set is given in Table [2.](#page-4-0) For every 30 second epoch, all features from Table [3](#page-5-0) were extracted, resulting in a feature sequence for every night, used as input to the machine learning model shown in Fig. [1](#page-5-1). The test performance was obtained per fold by training the model on the remaining folds in a 4-fold cross-validation scheme. Performance in Cohen's *κ* and accuracy is shown in Table [4](#page-7-0). Alternative architectures with reduced and increased complexity as well as with and without dropout were also evaluated in the same manner. Performance for these models over all participants is shown in Table [4.](#page-7-0)

Performance for each of the sleep stages was also measured (precision, recall, accuracy, Cohen's *κ*). For wake, precision was 0.73±0.20, recall was 0.71±0.20, accuracy was 0.90±0.07 and Cohen's *κ* was 0.63±0.19. For REM, precision was 0.71±0.22, recall was 0.76±0.24, accuracy was 0.92±0.04 and Cohen's *κ* was 0.68±0.22. For combined N1/N2, precision was 0.80±0.11, recall was 0.82±0.08, accuracy was 0.79±0.08 and Cohen's *κ* was  $0.56\pm0.15$ . Finally, for N3, precision was  $0.62\pm0.33$ , recall was  $0.61\pm0.30$ , accuracy was  $0.92\pm0.04$  and Cohen's  $\kappa$  was 0.53  $\pm$  0.27. The prevalence of each of these sleep stages in the data is given in Table [2\)](#page-4-0).

**The efect of demographic factors on performance.** Subsequently, the test results of all folds were pooled together to analyze the performance over the entire data set. Relationships between demographic factors and Cohen's *κ* performance are shown in Fig. [2](#page-7-1). The correlation between demographic factors and performance was compared within the group of 195 healthy participants. Signifcant correlations were found for both Cohen's *κ* (−0.45, *p*<0.001, N=584) and accuracy (−0.40, *p*<0.001, N=584) with age but BMI correlated with neither. There was a significant difference between the male ( $N=126$ ) and female ( $N=252$ ) subgroups for Cohen's  $\kappa$  $(p < 0.05$ , Mann-Whitney U-test) but not for accuracy.

To understand which of the sleep stages are mostly afected by age diference, also the performance per sleep stage is shown across young ( $\leq$ 50) and old ( $>$ 50) participants in Fig. [3.](#page-8-0) Performance is given using precision, recall, accuracy and Cohen's *κ*. The differences between each of these metrics, for each sleep stage, across the two age groups, was compared in all 16 cases (Mann-Whitney U-test). All differences were significant ( $p < 0.05$ ). The diference in recall for N1/N2 had *p*=0.025, the diferences for W precision, W Cohen's *κ* and N3 accuracy had *p*<0.01, the diference in accuracy for R had *p*<0.001 while all other tests had a *p* value lower than 0.00001.

**Performance for different patient groups.** The performance has also been assessed for each of the 5 most prevalent health profles in the Siesta database, being patients of Sleep Apnea, Insomnia, Parkinsons disease, PLMD as well as a large Healthy control group. Te distribution of the performances in Cohen's *κ* for each of these groups is shown in Fig. [4](#page-8-1) (broken down across ages) as well as summarized in Table [4](#page-7-0).

Differences between the healthy group ( $N=195$ ) and the sleep disorder groups were tested for significance using a Mann-Whitney U-test on a participant level. Performance of Insomnia ( $N=26$ ) and Parkinsons disease ( $N=15$ ) patients did not difer signifcantly from Healthy. For Sleep apnea (N=51), only Cohen's *κ* had a signifcantly diferent performance (*p*<0.05) while for PLMD (N=5) both *κ* (*p*<0.001) and accuracy (*p*<0.001) were signifcantly diferent.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Table 4.** Performance of the model over all folds, as well as the overall performance for alternative architectures.



<span id="page-7-1"></span>

### **Discussion**

The presented model achieves state-of-the-art performance for HRV-based sleep stage classification, surpassing all previously published results presented in the introduction and summarized in Table [1,](#page-2-0) even though a signifcant part of the dataset used to evaluate this model included participants with disorders and old age, which are rarely included in prior work. These results confirm the capabilities of deep sequence-to-sequence models such as LSTM for sleep stage classification, in comparison to traditional feed-forward or short-term recurrent approaches. The obtained performance was also higher than what was obtained by Li *et al*. [25](#page-10-2) (Table [1](#page-2-0)) who evaluated a convolutional neural network model using ECG data in a very large dataset. As explained in Section 1.3, convolutional neural networks, while also falling in the same category of "deep neural networks", do not address the long-tem temporal dependencies that LSTM models address, instead their main function is to replace manual, local, feature extraction. Thus, while it may be an attractive alternative to manual feature engineering, it does not fulfil the same function as the LSTM model. Future work should aim at combining a deep convolutional (or similar) structure to replace feature engineering with a LSTM (or similar) structure to capture the long-term temporal dependencies, however this would require an extremely large amount of data that has not been available for this purpose so far.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Figure 3.** Distribution of performance for each sleep stage, reported in precision, recall, Cohen's *κ* and accuracy, split across young and old age groups.



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Figure 4.** Distribution of performance in Cohen's *κ* per patient group, broken down into young and old age segments. Every point represent a single night (multiple nights could belong to the same person).

A non-EEG approach where LSTMs have been used is the work of Zhao *et al*. [39](#page-10-16) where they achieved a Cohen's *κ* of 0.70, higher than what we have seen. However the input modality used in that study (radio-frequency signals) potentially contains more information (such as posture). The model was also only trained and evaluated in 25 participants, leaving generalisability as an unknown.

The performance of the model was examined across demographic factors as well as patient profiles. Figure [2](#page-7-1) illustrates the negative correlation found between performance and age. Tis fnding resonates further in the examination of patient profles: while performance for insomnia, sleep apnea and PLMD patients remained close to the healthy control, a strong (and signifcant) drop in performance was observed for Parkinson's disease patients. In Fig. [4](#page-8-1) it is seen that all Parkinson's disease patients were over 50 years old, thus partially explaining the short-coming of the method for this patient profle. In the same fgure, it is clearly visible that in other groups, including the healthy group, performance tends to be lower for those over 50 years of age. However a diferent explanation for the decrease in performance for Parkinson's disease patients could be the autonomic dysfunction associated with the disease<sup>[53](#page-10-37)</sup>.

In Fig. [3](#page-8-0) an overview of performance was given for each individual sleep stage, again split up at the age of 50. Performance drops are most apparent for the N3 and R classes across these age segments, while performance distributions of were closer to each other for the two age segments. However all diferences between the two age groups were significant. The performance decrease for participants of higher age are likely caused by the changes in both autonomic functio[n54](#page-10-38)[,55](#page-10-39) as well as sleep architecture[56](#page-10-40) with older age. Further research is needed into this age group, especially focused on improving performance for the non-wake classes. Auxiliary training objectives could be used to steer the neural network towards a better performance in this age demographic, for example by amplifying the neural network's loss function for older participants or by steering the model to learn an age-invariant representation of the data.

### **Conclusion**

In conclusion, the method presented in this study performs at a level that advances the state-of-the-art for HRV-based sleep stage classifcation. However there are still issues to be addressed until the system can reach the accuracy of EEG-based sleep stage classifcation systems. Especially performance in older individuals remains limited. Complementing the HRV data with other unobtrusive modalities or improving the neural architecture through auxiliary learning tasks and other regularizers could both enhance performance. In the meantime, the method could still have a variety of clinical uses as a low-burden, cheap alternative to home polygraphy in low acuity settings. Finally, the pathological profles in the data set do not fully represent the clinical population and thus further validation in larger clinical populations is also warranted.

### **References**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1. Berry, R. B. *et al*. Te AASM manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events. *Rules, Terminology and Technical Specifcations, Darien, Illinois, American Academy of Sleep Medicine* (2012).
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>2. Redmond, J. & Heneghan, C. Cardiorespiratory-based sleep staging in subjects with obstructive sleep apnea. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **53**, 485–96 (2006).
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>3. Willemen, T. *et al*. An evaluation of cardiorespiratory and movement features with respect to sleep-stage classifcation. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical Health Informatics* **18**, 661–669 (2014).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>4. Fonseca, P. *et al*. Sleep stage classifcation with ECG and respiratory efort. *Physiological Measurement* **36**, 2027 (2015).
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>5. Acharya, U. R., Joseph, K. P., Kannathal, N., Min, L. C. & Suri, J. S. Heart rate variability. In *Advances in Cardiac Signal Processing*, 121–165 (Springer, 2007).
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>6. Long, X., Fonseca, P., Haakma, R., Aarts, R. M. & Foussier, J. Spectral boundary adaptation on heart rate variability for sleep and wake classifcation. *International Journal on Artifcial Intelligence Tools* **23**, 1460002 (2014).
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>7. Fonseca, P., den Teuling, N., Long, X. & Aarts, R. M. Cardiorespiratory sleep stage detection using conditional random felds. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical Health Informatics* **21**, 956–966 (2017).
- 8. Dafna, E., Tarasiuk, A. & Zigel, Y. Sleep-wake evaluation from whole-night non-contact audio recordings of breathing sounds. *PloS one* **10**, e0117382 (2015).
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>9. Malik, J., Lo, Y.-L. & Wu, H.-T. Sleep-wake classifcation via quantifying heart rate variability by convolutional neural network. *Physiological Measurement* **39**, 085004 (2018).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>10. Domingues, A., Paiva, T. & Sanches, J. M. Hypnogram and sleep parameter computation from activity and cardiovascular data. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **61**, 1711–1719 (2014).
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>11. Wei, R., Zhang, X., Wang, J. & Dang, X. The research of sleep staging based on single-lead electrocardiogram and deep neural network. *Biomedical Engineering Letters* **8**, 87–93 (2018).
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>12. Dafna, E., Tarasiuk, A. & Zigel, Y. Sleep staging using nocturnal sound analysis. *Scientifc Reports* **8**, 13474 (2018).
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>13. Berger, R. J. & Phillips, N. H. Energy conservation and sleep. *Behavioural Brain Research* **69**, 65–73 (1995).
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>14. Bonnet, M. H. Efect of sleep disruption on sleep, performance, and mood. *Sleep* **8**, 11–19 (1985).
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>15. Yılmaz, B., Asyalı, M. H., Arıkan, E., Yetkin, S. & Özgen, F. Sleep stage and obstructive apneaic epoch classifcation using single-lead ecg. *Biomedical engineering online* **9**, 39 (2010).
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>16. Noviyanto, A., *et al*. Selecting features of single lead ecg signal for automatic sleep stages classifcation using correlation-based feature subset selection. *IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues* **8** (2011).
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>17. Surantha, N., Isa, S. M., Lesmana, T. F. & Setiawan, I. M. A. Sleep stage classifcation using the combination of SVM and PSO. In *Informatics and Computational Sciences (ICICoS), 2017 1st International Conference on*, 177–182 (IEEE, 2017).
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>18. Renevey, P. *et al*. Optical wrist-worn device for sleep monitoring. In *Biomedical Engineering and Electric Physics, 2017 Nordic-Baltic Conference on*, 615–618 (Springer, 2017).
- 19. Uçar, M. K., Bozkurt, M. R., Bilgin, C. & Polat, K. Automatic sleep staging in obstructive sleep apnea patients using photoplethysmography, heart rate variability signal and machine learning techniques. *Neural Computing and Applications* **29**, 1–16 (2018).
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>20. Terjung, S. *et al*. Classifcation of sleep and wake using a novel minimal-contact single-channel device. *Somnologie* **22**, 144–151 (2018).
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>21. Hedner, J. *et al*. Sleep staging based on autonomic signals: a multi-center validation study. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine* **7**, 301–306 (2011).
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>22. Beattie, Z. *et al*. Estimation of sleep stages in a healthy adult population from optical plethysmography and accelerometer signals. *Physiological Measurement* **38**, 1968–79 (2017).
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>23. Mensen, A., Zhang, Z., Qi, M. & Khatami, R. Te occurrence of individual slow waves in sleep is predicted by heart rate. *Scientifc Reports* **6**, 29671 (2016).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>24. Fujimoto, K., Ding, Y. & Takahashi, E. Sleep stage detection using a wristwatch-type physiological sensing device. *Sleep and Biological Rhythms* **16**, 449–456 (2018).
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>25. Li, Q. *et al*. Deep learning in the cross-time-frequency domain for sleep staging from a single lead electrocardiogram. *Physiological Measurement* (2018).
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>26. Hong, H. *et al*. Noncontact sleep stage estimation using a CW doppler radar. *IEEE Journal on Emerging and Selected Topics in Circuits and Systems* (2018).
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>27. Hwang, S. H., Lee, Y. J., Jeong, D. U. & Park, K. S. Unconstrained sleep stage estimation based on respiratory dynamics and body movement. *Methods of Information in Medicine* **55**, 545–555 (2016).
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>28. Ulke, C. *et al*. Coupling and dynamics of cortical and autonomic signals are linked to central inhibition during the wake-sleep transition. *Scientifc Reports* **7**, 11804 (2017).
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>29. de Zambotti, M., Goldstone, A., Claudatos, S., Colrain, I. M. & Baker, F. C. A validation study of Fitbit Charge 2 compared with polysomnography in adults. *Chronobiology International* **35**, 465–476 (2018).
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>30. Fonseca, P., den Teuling, N., Long, X. & Aarts, R. M. A comparison of probabilistic classifiers for sleep stage classification. *Physiological Measurement* **39**, 055001 (2018).
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>31. Aggarwal, K., Khadanga, S., Joty, S. R., Kazaglis, L. & Srivastava, J. A structured learning approach with neural conditional random felds for sleep staging. In *Big Data, 2018 IEEE International Conference on*, in press (2018).
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>32. Garcia-Molina, G. *et al*. Probabilistic characterization of sleep architecture: Home based study on healthy volunteers. In *Proceedings of the 38th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*, 2834–2838 (IEEE, 2016).
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>33. Tataraidze, A. *et al*. Estimation of a priori probabilities of sleep stages: A cycle-based approach. In *Proceedings of the 39th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*, 3745–3748 (IEEE, 2017).
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>34. Hochreiter, S. & Schmidhuber, J. Long short-term memory. *Neural computation* **9**, 1735–1780 (1997).
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>35. Graves, A., Fernández, S. & Schmidhuber, J. Bidirectional LSTM networks for improved phoneme classifcation and recognition. In *International Conference on Artifcial Neural Networks*, 799–804 (Springer, 2005).
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>36. Supratak, A., Dong, H., Wu, C. & Guo, Y. DeepSleepNet: a model for automatic sleep stage scoring based on raw single-channel EEG. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering* **25**, 1998–2008 (2017).
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>37. Stephansen, J. B. *et al*. Te use of neural networks in the analysis of sleep stages and the diagnosis of narcolepsy. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.02094* (2017).
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>38. Sano, A., Chen,W., Martinez, D. L., Taylor, S. & Picard, R.W. Multimodal ambulatory sleep detection using lstm recurrent neural networks. *IEEE journal of Biomedical Health informatics* (2018).
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>39. Zhao, M., Yue, S., Katabi, D., Jaakkola, T. S. & Bianchi, M. T. Learning sleep stages from radio signals: a conditional adversarial architecture. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 4100–4109 (2017).
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>40. Klosh, G. *et al*. Te siesta project polygraphic and clinical database. *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine* **20**, 51–57 (2001).
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>41. Rechtschafen, A. & Kales, A. *A Manual of Standardized Terminology, Techniques and Scoring System for Sleep Stages of Human Subjects* (Public Health Service, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1968).
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>42. Fonseca, P., Aarts, R. M., Foussier, J. & Long, X. A novel low-complexity post-processing algorithm for precise QRS localization. *SpringerPlus* **3**, 376–1/13 (2014).
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>43. Hamilton, P. S. Open source ECG analysis. In *Computers in Cardiology*, 101–104 (IEEE, 2002).
- <span id="page-10-22"></span><span id="page-10-21"></span>44. Kvedalen, E. Signal processing using the Teager Energy Operator and other nonlinear operators. Ph.D. thesis, University of Oslo (2003). 45. Huang, N. E. *et al.* The empirical mode decomposition and the Hilbert spectrum for nonlinear and non-stationary time series
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>analysis. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **454**, 903–95 (1998). 46. Bettermann, H., Cysarz, D. & Van Leeuwen, P. Detecting cardiorespiratory coordination by respiratory pattern analysis of heart
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>period dynamics - the musical rhythm approach. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* **10**, 2349–60 (2000). 47. Cysarz, D., Bettermann, H., Lange, S., Geue, D. & van Leeuwen, P. A quantitative comparison of diferent methods to detect
- cardiorespiratory coordination during night-time sleep. *Biomedical Engineering Online* **3**, 44 (2004).
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>48. Higuchi, T. Approach to an irregular time series on the basis of the fractal theory. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **31**, 277–83 (1988).
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>49. Long, X., Fonseca, P., Aarts, R. M., Haakma, R. & Foussier, J. Modeling cardiorespiratory interaction during human sleep with complex networks. *Applied Physics Letters* **105**, 203701 (2014).
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>50. Zeiler, M. D. Adadelta: an adaptive learning rate method. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1212.5701* (2012).
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>51. Tieleman, T. & Hinton, G. Lecture 6.5-rmsprop: Divide the gradient by a running average of its recent magnitude. *COURSERA: Neural Networks for Machine Learning* **4**, 26–31 (2012).
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>52. Srivastava, N., Hinton, G. E., Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I. & Salakhutdinov, R. Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overftting. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* **15**, 1929–1958 (2014).
- <span id="page-10-37"></span>53. Jost, W. H. Autonomic dysfunction in parkinson's disease: Cardiovascular symptoms, thermoregulation, and urogenital symptoms. In *International review of neurobiology*, vol. 134, 771–785 (Elsevier, 2017).
- <span id="page-10-38"></span>54. Ziegler, D. *et al*. Assessment of cardiovascular autonomic function: age-related normal ranges and reproducibility of spectral analysis, vector analysis, and standard tests of heart rate variation and blood pressure responses. *Diabetic Medicine* **9**, 166–175 (1992).
- <span id="page-10-39"></span>55. De Meersman, R. E. Aging as a modulator of respiratory sinus arrhythmia. *Journal of Gerontology* **48**, B74–B78 (1993).
- <span id="page-10-40"></span>56. Scullin, M. K. & Bliwise, D. L. Sleep, cognition, and normal aging: integrating a half century of multidisciplinary research. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **10**, 97–137 (2015).
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>57. Of the European Society of Cardiology, T. F., the North American Society of Pacing & Electrophysiology. Heart rate variability: Standards of measurement, physiologic interpretation, and clinical use. *European Heart Journal* **17**, 354–81 (1996).
- <span id="page-10-31"></span>58. Kantelhardt, J. W., Koscielny-Bunde, E., Rego, H. H. A., Havlin, S. & Bunde, A. Detecting long-range correlations with detrended fuctuation analysis. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **295**, 441–54 (2001).
- 59. Penzel, T., Kantelhardt, J. W., Grote, L., Peter, J.-H. H. & Bunde, A. Comparison of detrended fuctuation analysis and spectral analysis for heart rate variability in sleep and sleep apnea. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **50**, 1143–51 (2003).
- <span id="page-10-32"></span>60. Telser, S. *et al*. Can one detect sleep stage transitions for on-line sleep scoring by monitoring the heart rate variability? *Somnologie* **8**, 33–41 (2004).
- <span id="page-10-33"></span>61. Bušek, P., Vaňková, J., Opavský, J., Salinger, J. & Nevšímalová, S. Spectral analysis of the heart rate variability in sleep. *Physiological Research* **54**, 369–76 (2005).
- <span id="page-10-34"></span>62. Mendez, M. O. *et al*. Sleep staging from heart rate variability: time-varying spectral features and hidden markov models. *International Journal of Biomedical Engineering and Technology* **3**, 246–263 (2010).
- <span id="page-10-35"></span>63. Costa, M., Goldberger, A. & Peng, C.-K. Multiscale entropy analysis of complex physiologic time series. *Physical Review Letters* **89**, 068102 (2002).
- <span id="page-10-36"></span>64. Cysarz, D., Bettermann, H. & van Leeuwen, P. Entropies of short binary sequences in heart period dynamics. *American Journal of Physiology - Heart and Circulatory Physiology* **278**, 2163–72 (2000).
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>65. Basner, M., Griefahn, B., Müller, U., Plath, G. & Samel, A. An ecg-based algorithm for the automatic identifcation of autonomic activations associated with cortical arousal. *Sleep* **30**, 1349–1361 (2007).
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>66. Richman, J. S. & Moorman, J. R. Physiological time-series analysis using approximate entropy and sample entropy. *American Journal of Physiology - Heart and Circulatory Physiology* **278**, H2039–49 (2000).
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>67. Yentes, J. M. *et al*. Te appropriate use of approximate entropy and sample entropy with short data sets. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* **41**, 349–65 (2013).

### **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank R.G.P. Van Acker for proof-reading the manuscript.

### **Author Contributions**

M. Radha, P.F., A.M. and M. Ross conceived and designed the experiments and analysed the results. X.L. and P.F. performed feature design. X.L. performed the literature review. M. Radha, P.F. and X.L. wrote the manuscript. A.C., P.A. and R.M.A. contributed to the conception of the work and reviewed the manuscript.

### **Additional Information**

**Competing Interests:** The authors are employed as researchers by Royal Philips, a commercial company innovating in the feld to which the current manuscript contributes. As researchers, they are mandated by their employer to make meaningful scientifc contributions and always strife to ensure scientifc integrity in their academic writings.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2019$