

# Dispersion of the HIV-1 epidemic in men who have sex with men in the Netherlands

#### Citation for published version (APA):

Bezemer, D. O., Scharnhorst, V., & et al, N. V. (2015). Dispersion of the HIV-1 epidemic in men who have sex with men in the Netherlands: a combined mathematical model and phylogenetic analysis. PLos Medicine, 12(11), 1-25. Article e1001898. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898>

DOI: [10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898)

#### Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2015

#### Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

#### Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](https://research.tue.nl/en/publications/260d93c1-e2c1-41ed-90bb-fdbd25fa8189)

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

**Take down policy**<br>If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.



# **OPEN ACCESS**

Citation: Bezemer D, Cori A, Ratmann O, van Sighem A, Hermanides HS, Dutilh BE, et al. (2015) Dispersion of the HIV-1 Epidemic in Men Who Have Sex with Men in the Netherlands: A Combined Mathematical Model and Phylogenetic Analysis. PLoS Med 12(11): e1001898. doi:10.1371/journal. pmed.1001898

Academic Editor: David D Celentano, Johns Hopkins University, UNITED STATES

Received: April 29, 2014

Accepted: September 25, 2015

Published: November 3, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Bezemer et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available from the HIV Monitoring Institutional Data Access / Ethics Committee for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data. Contact email: secretariaat.shm@amc.uva.nl

Funding: AC and CF thank the NIH for funding through the NIAID cooperative agreement UM1 AI068619. CF acknowledges funding by European Research Council AdG 339251 (BEEHIVE). OR is supported by the Wellcome Trust (fellowship WR092311MF). OR and CF thank the Bill & Melinda RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Dispersion of the HIV-1 Epidemic in Men Who Have Sex with Men in the Netherlands: A Combined Mathematical Model and Phylogenetic Analysis

Daniela Bezemer<sup>1©</sup>\*, Anne Cori<sup>2©</sup>, Oliver Ratmann<sup>2</sup>, Ard van Sighem<sup>1</sup>, Hillegonda S. Hermanides<sup>3</sup>, Bas E. Dutilh<sup>4,5,6</sup>, Luuk Gras<sup>1</sup>, Nuno Rodrigues Faria<sup>7</sup>, Rob van den Hengel<sup>1</sup>, Ashley J. Duits<sup>3</sup>, Peter Reiss<sup>1,8,9</sup>, Frank de Wolf<sup>2</sup>, Christophe Fraser<sup>2</sup>, ATHENA observational cohort¶

1 HIV Monitoring Foundation, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 2 Medical Research Council Centre for Outbreak Analysis and Modelling, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom, 3 Red Cross Blood Bank Foundation, Willemstad, Curaçao, 4 Centre for Molecular and Biomolecular Informatics, Nijmegen Centre for Molecular Life Sciences, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, the Netherlands, 5 Department of Marine Biology, Institute of Biology, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 6 Theoretical Biology and Bioinformatics, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands, 7 Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, 8 Department of Global Health, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 9 Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

☯ These authors contributed equally to this work.

¶ Membership of the ATHENA observational cohort is provided in the Acknowledgments. \* d.o.bezemer@amc.uva.nl

# Abstract

# **Background**

The HIV-1 subtype B epidemic amongst men who have sex with men (MSM) is resurgent in many countries despite the widespread use of effective combination antiretroviral therapy (cART). In this combined mathematical and phylogenetic study of observational data, we aimed to find out the extent to which the resurgent epidemic is the result of newly introduced strains or of growth of already circulating strains.

# Methods and Findings

As of November 2011, the ATHENA observational HIV cohort of all patients in care in the Netherlands since 1996 included HIV-1 subtype B polymerase sequences from 5,852 patients. Patients who were diagnosed between 1981 and 1995 were included in the cohort if they were still alive in 1996. The ten most similar sequences to each ATHENA sequence were selected from the Los Alamos HIV Sequence Database, and a phylogenetic tree was created of a total of 8,320 sequences. Large transmission clusters that included  $\geq$ 10 ATHENA sequences were selected, with a local support value  $> 0.9$  and median pairwise patristic distance below the fifth percentile of distances in the whole tree. Time-varying reproduction numbers of the large MSM-majority clusters were estimated through

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Gates Foundation for funding via the PANGEA-HIV consortium. BED was supported by NWO Veni (016.111.075) and CAPES/BRASIL. PR and AS through their institution have received independent scientific grant support from Bristol-Myers Squibb and ViiV Healthcare, and travel support through their institution from Gilead Sciences. PR through his institution has received independent scientific grant support from Gilead Sciences, Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc., Merck&Co. In addition, PR has served on a scientific advisory board for Gilead Sciences and serves on a data safety monitoring committee for Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc., for which his institution has received remuneration. AS through his institution has received a grant from the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (Framework Contract No. ECDC/2012/050). The ATHENA database is maintained by Stichting HIV Monitoring and supported by a grant from the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport through the Centre for Infectious Disease Control of the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abbreviations: cART, combination antiretroviral therapy; HPD, highest posterior density; IQR, interquartile range; MCMC, Markov chain Monte Carlo; MRCA, most recent common ancestor; MSM, men who have sex with men; pol, polymerase; PWID, persons who inject drugs; RR, risk ratio.

mathematical modeling. We identified 106 large transmission clusters, including 3,061 (52%) ATHENA and 652 Los Alamos sequences. Half of the HIV sequences from MSM registered in the cohort in the Netherlands (2,128 of 4,288) were included in 91 large MSMmajority clusters. Strikingly, at least 54 (59%) of these 91 MSM-majority clusters were already circulating before 1996, when cART was introduced, and have persisted to the present. Overall, 1,226 (35%) of the 3,460 diagnoses among MSM since 1996 were found in these 54 long-standing clusters. The reproduction numbers of all large MSM-majority clusters were around the epidemic threshold value of one over the whole study period. A tendency towards higher numbers was visible in recent years, especially in the more recently introduced clusters. The mean age of MSM at diagnosis increased by 0.45 years/ year within clusters, but new clusters appeared with lower mean age. Major strengths of this study are the high proportion of HIV-positive MSM with a sequence in this study and the combined application of phylogenetic and modeling approaches. Main limitations are the assumption that the sampled population is representative of the overall HIV-positive population and the assumption that the diagnosis interval distribution is similar between clusters.

## **Conclusions**

The resurgent HIV epidemic amongst MSM in the Netherlands is driven by several large, persistent, self-sustaining, and, in many cases, growing sub-epidemics shifting towards new generations of MSM. Many of the sub-epidemics have been present since the early epidemic, to which new sub-epidemics are being added.

# Introduction

The HIV-1 epidemic amongst men who have sex with men (MSM) is resurgent in many Western countries [[1](#page-22-0)–[5\]](#page-22-0). This may seem paradoxical, as HIV-positive men are being diagnosed at an increasingly earlier stage of HIV infection, and, since 1996, most diagnosed men take effective combination antiretroviral therapy (cART). Not only does successful therapy halt disease progression [\[6](#page-22-0)], but successful suppression of virus replication also reduces the risk of transmitting HIV  $[2,8]$ . With the introduction of cART, however, there has been an increase in unprotected sex in many countries. For the Netherlands, this was first demonstrated using a mathematical model that included disease progression to analyze national data from the ATHENA cohort on HIV and AIDS diagnoses and treatment success and failure  $[1,2]$  $[1,2]$ . These findings were later confirmed by analysis of behavioral data from the Amsterdam Cohort Studies, which found that sexual risk behavior increased in a manner similar to model predictions [\[9,10](#page-22-0)]. The resulting reproduction number for the epidemic amongst MSM was estimated to be around the epidemic threshold of one [\[2\]](#page-22-0). In the period 2007–2010, 2,928 new HIV diagnoses were registered amongst MSM in the Netherlands, very similar to earlier predictions assuming no reductions in risk behavior [\[2](#page-22-0)].

In the current study, we aimed to gain more insight into the transmission clusters that constitute this mainly HIV-1 subtype B epidemic amongst MSM over time  $[11]$  $[11]$  $[11]$ . Similarly to an earlier Swiss study, we used phylogenetic analysis of extensive HIV-1 subtype B polymerase (pol) sequence data to identify large transmission clusters [[12\]](#page-22-0). The authors of the Swiss study subsequently estimated a reproduction number for each sub-epidemic directly from the sequence data  $[13,14]$  $[13,14]$  $[13,14]$  $[13,14]$  $[13,14]$ . Here, we also analyze the viral transmission dynamics over time within <span id="page-3-0"></span>the different clusters. However, we applied a mathematical model to the estimated incidence of diagnoses within each cluster, using the distribution of time between diagnoses of index cases and secondary cases derived from a previous study  $[2,15]$  $[2,15]$  $[2,15]$ . Our analysis estimates the timevarying reproduction numbers of the large MSM-majority clusters that together drive the overall dynamics of the epidemic. This will clarify the extent to which the resurgent epidemic is the result of newly introduced strains or of growth of already circulating strains.

#### Methods

The methods used in this study, along with the underlying assumptions, are summarized in [Fig 1](#page-4-0) and explained in detail below and in [S1 Text.](#page-19-0)

#### Patient Cohort

The ATHENA national observational HIV cohort includes anonymized data from all HIVinfected patients followed longitudinally in the 27 HIV treatment centers, in the Netherlands since 1 January 1996 and in the St. Elisabeth Hospital in Willemstad, Curaçao, since 1 January 2005, except 1.5% who opt out  $[16]$ . Patients who were diagnosed between 1981 and 1995 were included in the cohort if they were still alive in 1 January 1996 [\[2\]](#page-22-0). Demographic data were collected at entry in the cohort, including self-reported most likely risk group of infection, and most likely country of infection. Population-based nucleotide HIV-1 partial pol gene sequences were obtained as part of the screening for resistance to antiretroviral drugs, either before start of cART or at the time of virological failure, sometimes retrospectively, as described in detail previously [[11](#page-22-0),[17](#page-22-0)]. Subtype B sequences were identified by phylogenetic analysis using reference sequences from the Los Alamos HIV Sequence Database [[18\]](#page-22-0). As of 1 November 2011, the ATHENA cohort included 19,095 HIV-1-infected patients, of whom 7,589 (40%) had at least one sequence; 5,852 (77%) patients were infected with a subtype B strain.

At initiation, the ATHENA observational cohort was approved by the institutional review boards of all participating centers. It has subsequently become an integral part of HIV care and includes anonymized data and stored plasma samples from HIV-infected patients living in the Netherlands and receiving care in one of the designated HIV treatment centers. Patients can opt out after being informed by their treating physician of the purpose of collection of data and samples. Data from patients who opt out are not included in the ATHENA database. Anonymized data may be used for scientific purposes without further review. Patients are informed that if there are future requests for use of stored plasma samples for scientific research, they will be asked for prior consent by their treating HIV physician. Data are anonymized before being provided to investigators. For the purpose of our analysis, only existing data have been used, and therefore no additional review or consent has been necessary.

## Recent HIV-1 Infections

Recent HIV-1 infections were defined as infections with either (i) a seroconversion interval of 18 mo or less between the last negative and the first positive HIV-1 serology test or (ii) a diagnosis of primary HIV-1 infection defined as detectable HIV-1 RNA in plasma, detectable serum p24 antigen in plasma, or both, combined with either a negative HIV-1 antibody test or a positive HIV-1 antibody test with a negative or indeterminate HIV-1 Western blot.

## Patient Sequences in a Phylogenetic Context

A phylogenetic context for the HIV sequences in our patient cohort was provided by sequences that were selected from the Los Alamos HIV Sequence Database [\[18\]](#page-22-0). As of 15 March 2013,

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Selected subtype B HIV-1 *polymerase* sequences from 5,852 patients in the ATHENA national observational HIV cohort.

Population-based nucleotide HIV-1 partial pol gene sequences were obtained as part of the screening for resistance to antiretroviral drugs, either before start of cART or at the time of virological failure. Patients diagnosed before 1996 who have survived until 1996 are included in the cohort, sometimes with retrospective sequences. As of November 2011, the cohort included 19,095 HIV-1-infected patients of whom  $7,589$  (40%) had at least one sequence.

Added 2,468 unique sequences by blasting for the ten most similar sequences against sequences from the Los Alamos HIV database.

As of March 2013 there were 56,643 subtype B sequences, one per patient, of minimal length 500, with a known country of sampling that was not the Netherlands. 16 sequences from Suriname were added from a previous study.

A phylogenetic tree of 8,320 unique sequences was built in FastTree using a general timereversible model.

The tree shows the phylogenetic relationships between the sequences and provides an objective and reproducible means of defining clusters of related sequences.

Large clusters were selected in the phylogenetic tree at different cut-off values that included  $\geq$ 10 ATHENA sequences and identified MSM-majority clusters.

We assume that sequences in these clusters belong to patients that are part of the same HIV-1 transmission chain.

Time of the most recent common ancestor of each cluster was estimated in a time-calibrated phylogenetic tree using BEAST.

We assumed a molecular clock to calibrate the phylogenetic tree. Simultaneously we confirmed the selected clusters by a different phylogenetic method.

The true annual number of newly diagnosed cases in each MSM-majority cluster was estimated based on (i) the annual number of diagnoses in each cluster, (ii) the annual percentage of individuals with a sequence obtained, and (iii) the estimated probability of surviving until 1996 (a condition to enter the ATHENA cohort).

We assumed that the probability of having a sequence obtained is independent of the probability of surviving until 1996, and that these properties are similar across clusters.

Time-varying reproduction numbers  $(R_t)$  were estimated for each cluster from the incidence of diagnoses within the cluster, and using the distribution of time between diagnoses of an index case and a secondary case derived from a previous study [2].

The method used for estimating R, was adapted from a previous model  $[15]$ . We assumed that the distribution of time between diagnoses of an index case and a secondary case for individuals in the clusters is similar to that of the MSM population as a whole.

[Fig 1. F](#page-3-0)low diagram describing the methods and underlying assumptions.

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.g001

<span id="page-5-0"></span>there were 56,643 subtype B sequences, one per patient, of minimal length 500, with a known country of sampling that was not the Netherlands. Sixteen sequences from Suriname were added from a previous study  $[19]$  $[19]$  $[19]$ . For each of the 5,852 subtype B sequences in our dataset, the ten most similar sequences were identified by BLAST 2.2.22+ [\[20](#page-22-0)]. In total, 2,468 unique sequences were added to the study.

# Sequence Alignment

A total of 8,320 unique sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega 1.1.0 [[21](#page-23-0)] and manually checked and adjusted. Gblocks 0.91b was used to define the optimal sequence length of consistently aligned positions at 1,254 nucleotides [\[22\]](#page-23-0). Major resistance-conferring sites at the amino acid positions described by the International AIDS Society–USA were excluded for phylogenetic analysis, including alternative substitutions at position 215 and two insertions [[23](#page-23-0)], resulting in an alignment length of 1,137 nucleotides.

# Transmission Clusters

A phylogenetic tree was built in FastTree 2.1.3 using a general time-reversible(GTR) model [\[24](#page-23-0)]. PhyloPart 2.0 was used to define transmission clusters as those clusters of sequences with a local support value  $> 0.9$  based on 1,000 resamples and for which the median value of all pairwise patristic distances of the sub-tree was below the fifth percentile threshold of the distribution of all pairwise distances of the whole tree [\[25\]](#page-23-0), which corresponded to 0.068 mutations per site. We considered only large national transmission clusters with sequences from at least ten patients from within the ATHENA cohort [[12](#page-22-0)]. The median of the median values of all pairwise distances per large cluster was 0.042 (interquartile range [IQR] 0.030–0.057) mutations per site. The duration of a large cluster was defined as the time between the earliest and latest date of diagnosis within the cluster. As a sensitivity analysis, comparisons for three different cluster definitions were made: a "looser" cluster definition, with a local support value  $> 0.8$ and with a median value of all pairwise distances under the tenth percentile threshold, a "more stringent" cluster definition, with a local support value  $\geq 0.95$  and with a median value of all pairwise distances under the 2.5th percentile threshold, and a third cluster definition, with a local support value  $\geq 0.9$  and a single linkage distance threshold of 0.042 mutations/site.

# Bayesian Time-Scaled Phylogenetic Analysis

We applied a Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method as implemented in BEAST 1.7.5 for phylogenetic analysis of time-stamped sequence data to calculate the time of the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of each large cluster. This value would indicate the time point since when each cluster has been circulating in the Netherlands, and simultaneously we confirmed the selected clusters by a different phylogenetic method. This was done by grouping clusters together in batches of approximately 200 sequences. Sequences from the Los Alamos HIV Sequence Database were included when the national cluster included  $\geq$ 5 timestamped sequences obtained in another country [\[26\]](#page-23-0). We used the uncorrelated log-normal relaxed molecular clock with a discretized gamma-distributed general time-reversible substitution model and the Bayesian skyride coalescent model [\[27,28\]](#page-23-0). Analyses of 200 million MCMC generations were performed, and evolutionary parameters were sampled every 20,000 generations to retrieve a distribution of posterior trees. After removing 10% of the initial output for burn-in, convergence of the MCMC output with effective sample size above 200 was inspected and confirmed in Tracer [[29](#page-23-0)]. Maximum clade credibility (MCC) trees keeping the heights of the target tree were obtained using TreeAnnotator 1.8.0 after discarding 10% of the posterior trees as burn-in and were visualized using FigTree 1.4.2 [\[29](#page-23-0)]. Node ages and correspondent

95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals were taken as the time of the MRCA and corresponding uncertainty estimates.

### Estimation of the Time-Varying Case Reproduction Number within Transmission Clusters

We analyzed HIV-1 transmission dynamics within each of the large transmission clusters containing a majority of MSM. For each cluster, we estimated the case reproduction number for each year  $t$ ,  $R_t$ , defined as the average number of secondary cases infected by an index case diagnosed in year t. We used an extension of the method introduced by Wallinga and Teunis [\[15\]](#page-22-0), which allows estimation of  $R_t$  based on the incidence of diagnosis time series and what we call the diagnosis interval distribution, i.e., the distribution of the time from diagnosis of an index case to diagnosis of a secondary case.

We extended the Wallinga and Teunis method to allow the diagnosis interval to vary according to the time of diagnosis of the index case, and to allow for the possibility that the diagnosis interval can be negative, because it is possible that individuals may have been infected by index cases who had not yet been diagnosed. The likelihood that case  $i$  has been infected by case  $j$  is decomposed into the product of the likelihood that the index case of  $i$  has been diagnosed and the relative likelihood that if the index case of i has been diagnosed, it is a particular individual *j*. The relative likelihood that case *i* has been infected by case *j* (rather than by another case diagnosed up to year T, here  $T = 2010$ ) is given by

$$
p_{ij}^* = \frac{w_{t_j}(t_i - t_j)}{\sum_{k, k \neq i} w_{t_k}(t_i - t_k)}
$$
(1)

where  $w_t(.)$  denotes the distribution of the diagnosis interval for index cases diagnosed in year t.

The likelihood that case  $i$  has been infected by a case observed up to year  $T$  can be approximated by

$$
q_i = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^T w_t(t_i - t)}{\sum_{t=0}^{+\infty} w_t(t_i - t)}
$$
(2)

assuming that the incidence of diagnosed cases is constant over time (see  $S1$  Text). Additionally assuming that the diagnosis interval distribution remains unchanged after T, this ratio simplifies to

$$
q_{i} = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{T} w_{t}(t_{i} - t)}{\sum_{t=0}^{T} w_{t}(t_{i} - t) + \sum_{t=T+1}^{T+S} w_{T}(t_{i} - t)}
$$
(3)

where  $S > 0$  is the maximum possible negative diagnosis interval, such that for all  $u < -S$  and  $w_T(u) = 0$ , the total likelihood that a diagnosed case *i* has been infected by case *j* (rather than by <span id="page-7-0"></span>another case, diagnosed or not) is given by

$$
p_{ij} = p_{ij}^* \times q_i = \frac{w_{t_j}(t_i - t_j)}{\sum_{k, k \neq i} w_{t_k}(t_i - t_k)} \times \frac{\sum_{t=0}^T w_t(t_i - t)}{\sum_{t=0}^T w_t(t_i - t) + \sum_{t=T+1}^{T+S} w_T(t_i - t)}.
$$
(4)

Note that the derivation of  $q_i$  assumes a constant incidence over time. Analyses presented in [S1 Text](#page-19-0) show how  $q_i$  is modified when this assumption does not hold, and these analyses indicate that the resulting estimates of the reproduction number show consistent temporal trends regardless of the validity of this assumption, although the actual values may vary ([S2](#page-18-0) and [S3](#page-18-0) Figs).

The time-varying diagnosis interval  $w_t(.)$  was obtained by numerically simulating a compartmental HIV transmission model previously fitted to HIV and AIDS diagnosis data on MSM in the Netherlands, where it is assumed no transmission occurs when individuals are on treatment (see  $\text{S1 Text}$ ) [[2](#page-22-0)]. This mathematical model was used to estimate the time-varying diagnosis interval for the whole HIV epidemic amongst MSM, and not to directly fit to cluster dynamics (see [S1 Fig](#page-18-0)).

We further extended the Wallinga and Teunis method to incorporate uncertainty in the number of individuals diagnosed in a certain year  $t$ ,  $D_t$ . The ATHENA cohort records the number  $S_t$  of individuals diagnosed in year t who survived until 1996 and for whom a pol sequence was available. Therefore, we assumed that  $D_t = X_t + S_t$ , with  $X_t \sim \text{Negbin}(S_t, 1-\varphi_t \times \pi_t)$ , where  $\varphi_t$  is the probability that an individual diagnosed in year t survives until 1996 (taken from [\[2\]](#page-22-0)) and  $\pi_t$  is the probability that the virus is sequenced (taken from the ATHENA records) given survival up to 1996.

To estimate  $R_t$  for each cluster, we first sampled  $n = 50$  realizations of  $D_t$  from this negative binomial distribution. For each of these, we then sampled 50 possible transmission chains (for each transmission chain, the index case of each secondary case i is sampled according to a multinomial distribution with probabilities  $p_{ii}$ ), leading to a total of 2,500 likely transmission chains. For each chain, we counted the number of secondary cases corresponding to each case, and averaged over index cases diagnosed in the same year to get one  $R_t$  trajectory over time. We pooled the 2,500 trajectories and used their mean and 2.5–97.5 quantiles as the point estimate and 95% confidence interval of  $R_t$ . Before pooling, each  $R_t$  trajectory was corrected for right censoring to account for the fact that some of the secondary cases of index cases diagnosed in year t may not have been diagnosed yet, which may bias downwards the estimates of

 $R_t$ , with a stronger effect in recent years. To correct for this effect,  $R_t$  was divided by  $\sum_{i=1}^{2010-t} w_t(u)$ , 2010  $u=-S$ 

which represents the expected proportion of secondary cases of index cases diagnosed in year t who should be diagnosed in or before 2010, should overall transmission rates remain constant. Note that although this correction assumes that overall transmission rates will remain constant in the future, it still allows for heterogeneity in the times at which secondary cases appear relative to a given index case. We performed a sensitivity analysis to check that this right censoring correction was not introducing a systematic bias in the recent estimates of  $R_t$  (see  $\leq 4$  Fig).

#### **Results**

#### Study Population and Phylogenetic Tree

Characteristics of the ATHENA patients are shown in  $Table 1$ . Of the 5,852 patients with a HIV-1 subtype B pol sequence in this study, 219 (4%) were registered in the cohort in Curaçao

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

[Table](#page-7-0) 1. Patient baseline information.

Table 1. Patient baseline information.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>and 5,644 were registered in the Netherlands, of whom 4,288 (73%) reported being MSM, 207 (4%) reported being persons who inject drugs (PWID), and 849 (15%) reported being infected via heterosexual contact. Of HIV-positive MSM registered in the Netherlands and diagnosed before 1 January 1996, 35% (781/2,218) have a pol sequence included, versus 43% (3,507/8,247) of those diagnosed since 1 January 1996. Overall, 48% of MSM registered in the cohort in the Netherlands are treated in the capital city, Amsterdam, and account for 51% of the sequences in this study; 25% of MSM are treated in the other big cities in the western part of the country and account for 27% of the sequences. [S7 Fig](#page-18-0) shows the phylogenetic tree of a total of 8,320 unique pol sequences, including sequences obtained from the Los Alamos HIV Sequence Database.

# Transmission Clusters

From the total phylogenetic tree, 106 large transmission clusters were identified that included HIV pol sequences from  $\geq$  10 patients from the ATHENA cohort, illustrated in [Fig 2](#page-11-0) stratified by majority risk group of infection and cluster duration. The 106 clusters included 52% (3,061) of sequences from 5,852 ATHENA patients and 652 sequences obtained from the Los Alamos HIV Sequence Database. Only 15 of the 106 clusters were not MSM-majority clusters ( $\geq$ 50% MSM). Of these, 11 clusters were dominated by heterosexually infected individuals of Suriname or Antillean origin, one was dominated by PWID of Polish origin, and two were mixed heterosexual and MSM clusters of mostly Dutch origin. The largest cluster included sequences from 136 (42%) PWID—hence, from 66% of all PWID with a sequence in this study—and also included sequences from 122 (37%) heterosexually infected individuals and from 24 (7%) MSM. It also included 200 HIV pol sequences from the Los Alamos sequences. [Fig 3](#page-13-0) illustrates all clusters by region of origin. See [S1 Trees](#page-19-0) for timed trees of all clusters and [S1 Text](#page-19-0) for more detailed information on the largest PWID cluster and the transmission clusters circulating on Curaçao.

# MSM-Majority Clusters

Fifty percent (2,128) of the 4,288 MSM registered in the ATHENA cohort in the Netherlands with a sequence in this study were in 91 large MSM-majority ( $>50\%$ ) transmission clusters including at least  $\geq$  10 patients from the ATHENA cohort. A further 28% (1,192) were in 417 small clusters containing 2–9 ATHENA sequences; 21% (900) were singletons, of whom 132 clustered solely with foreign sequences; and only 68 were in nine of the non-MSM-dominated clusters ([Fig 4](#page-14-0)). The median number of MSM registered in the cohort in the Netherlands per large cluster was 16 (IQR 11–27, range 5–121).

At least 59% (54) of the 91 large MSM-majority clusters were already circulating before 1996 ([Fig 4](#page-14-0)). Most clusters were confirmed in the BEAST analysis. Figs [2](#page-11-0), [3,](#page-13-0) and [5](#page-15-0) show the estimated time of the MRCA of all clusters, and the 95% HPD intervals are in [S2 Table](#page-19-0). These estimations indicate that 23 (95% HPD interval 8–33) of the clusters identified in or after 1996 (57%) might have started off before 1996, but also that 14 (95% HPD interval 4–29) of these clusters started off more recently [\(Fig 4\)](#page-14-0).

Of 3,460 MSM with a sequence in this study who were registered in the ATHENA cohort in the Netherlands and diagnosed after 1996, 1,226 (35%) were infected within 54 transmission clusters circulating before 1996, and 20% (700) within 37 large clusters identified in or after 1996; of the latter, 429 (95% HPD interval 272–621) were in clusters with a time of the MRCA before 1996. Thus, in total, 48% (95% HPD interval 43%–53%) of these men diagnosed in or after 1996 were infected within a cluster that started circulating in the Netherlands before 1996. The proportion of these men diagnosed in the 54 clusters identified before 1996 increased over time to 42% in 2010; the proportion in either these clusters or clusters identified



<span id="page-11-0"></span>[Fig 2. L](#page-9-0)arge transmission clusters over time: risk group of infection. The picture illustrates the distribution of 106 large transmission clusters, where every horizontal line of dots represents one cluster, and each dot represents a single patient in the cluster by the year of diagnosis. The dots in a cluster represent in total 52% (3,061) of 5,852 ATHENA patients with a HIV-1 subtype B pol sequence in this study. The clusters are ordered by majority risk group and by the number of years between the first and last patient identified within each particular cluster. The color of each dot represents the self-reported risk group of infection. X's indicate the estimated time of the MRCA, in orange for Curaçao. Some discrepancies may arise as the earliest cases sometimes are included with a sequence many years after their year of diagnosis. On the righthand side the estimated mean reproduction number over the last 5 y is indicated. At the bottom of the figure, patients are represented who could not be identified as belonging to a cluster. The group above this one shows those patients who belonged to clusters in the phylogenetic tree with fewer than 10 ATHENA sequences included, which were not regarded as large clusters according to our definition. [S8 Fig](#page-18-0) shows the same figure with also these smaller clusters stratified by duration. HT, heterosexual transmission.

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.g002

since 1996 but with a time of the MRCA before 1996 increased to 55% (95% HPD interval 53%–62%). Those diagnosed within any of the large clusters increased to 68% ([Fig 4](#page-14-0)).

Recent infections. Of the 54 pre-1996 clusters, 78% (40) included recent infections after 2000 ([Fig 5](#page-15-0)). Since 1996, a recent infection at diagnosis could be identified amongst 41% of MSM in large clusters, 31% of MSM in smaller clusters, and 22% of MSM singletons. Only three (6%) of the 54 pre-1996 clusters had no new diagnoses observed in the last 5 y of the study period, 2006–2010.

Country of infection. Amongst 3,416 MSM registered in the ATHENA cohort in the Netherlands with a sequence in this study who reported a most likely country of infection, 4.3% of MSM in large clusters reported likely having been infected abroad, and likewise 8.1% (risk ratio [RR] = 1.9; 95% CI 1.4–2.6) in smaller clusters, 19.0% (RR = 4.5; 95% CI 3.4–5.9) of singletons, and 27.8% (RR = 6.5; 95% CI 4.4–9.7) of MSM clustering solely with one or more Los Alamos sequences. This indicates that singletons, besides being part of unidentified clusters of national transmission, often represent new introductions.

**Data versus theory.** [Fig 4](#page-14-0) illustrates that only a small minority  $(7%)$  of all the observed phylogenetic MSM clusters (sum of singletons and small and large clusters) were established "large" transmission clusters including ten or more cases. This is broadly consistent with results from branching theory, which indicates that this proportion should be between 6% and 35% for a reproduction number between 0.8 and 1.2 (see  $S1$  Text). Theoretical results also show that this observed proportion is a good approximation for the proportion of introductions leading to sub-epidemics of ten cases or more, with little sensitivity to the proportion of cases whose virus was sequenced.

# Estimation of the Case Reproduction Number within Large MSM-Majority Transmission Clusters

[Fig 6](#page-16-0) shows the estimated annual case reproduction number for all 91 large MSM-majority transmission clusters. With a reproduction number around one (the critical value that separates epidemic spread from contraction), clusters were self-sustaining over the whole study period. Reproduction numbers were strikingly consistent across clusters. A tendency towards higher numbers was visible over recent years, especially in the more recently introduced clusters (see [S6 Fig](#page-18-0)). Seventy-five percent (68) of the clusters had a mean reproduction number greater than one over the last 5 y ( $Fig 2$ ).

#### Sensitivity Analysis

With the looser cluster definition, 105 large MSM-majority clusters were selected, containing 69% (2,977) of the HIV pol sequences from MSM registered in the ATHENA cohort in the





<span id="page-13-0"></span>[Fig 3. L](#page-9-0)arge transmission clusters over time: region of origin. As in [Fig 2,](#page-11-0) but here the color of each dot represents the region of origin. In large MSM-majority clusters 79% (1,673) of MSM were of Dutch origin. HT, heterosexual transmission.

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.g003

Netherlands, and only 4% (183) of sequences were singletons. In this sensitivity analysis, 17% of all observed phylogentic MSM clusters (including the singletons) included ten or more cases. With the more stringent cluster definition, 67 clusters were identified, containing 39% (1,670) of the pol sequences from MSM registered in the cohort in the Netherlands. With clusters defined under the single linkage branch length threshold, 63 large MSM-majority clusters were selected, containing 69% (2,974) of the pol sequences from MSM registered in the cohort in the Netherlands. In this analysis also, only a small minority (5%) of all observed phylogentic MSM clusters included ten or more cases. The estimations of the case reproduction number for the large MSM-majority clusters in all three sensitivity analyses were similar to those in our main analysis ([Fig 6\)](#page-16-0).

### Age of MSM at Diagnosis

[Fig 7](#page-17-0) shows that the HIV epidemic is shifting from the initially infected generations of MSM towards younger generations. The mean age at diagnosis of MSM in our study has been increasing by 0.31 years per calendar year overall. However, this overall trend hides more complicated dynamics because within each of the 91 large MSM-majority clusters, the mean age at diagnosis has increased more rapidly, at a rate of 0.45 years/year, compared to the epidemic as a whole. On the other hand, new clusters have started with a lower initial mean age, which, across clusters, has increased at rate of only 0.28 years/year.

#### **Discussion**

This study provides insight into the different transmission clusters that have contributed to the ongoing resurgent HIV epidemic amongst MSM in the Netherlands. We found that clusters that started spreading before the introduction of cART in 1996 still constitute the main source for new HIV-1 subtype B infections (~55% in 2010). Calculations of the reproduction number separately for each cluster show that whilst the epidemic diminished at the population level during the 1990s [\[1,2,10\]](#page-22-0), most of these clusters continued spreading in a self-sustaining manner. There is little indication that any of the clusters will stop in the near future as most clusters contain recent infections and are rejuvenated by the inclusion of younger men, and many have a reproduction number greater than the epidemic threshold. While all clusters appear to be aging, new clusters appear to be of lower mean age; if anything, these "younger" clusters have higher epidemic potential than the "older" clusters. It is also conceptually interesting to see the whole HIV-1 subtype B epidemic disaggregated in a single figure (Figs [2](#page-11-0) and 3). This perspective illustrates the tight links between clusters on Curaçao with people from the same region living in the Netherlands [[19,](#page-22-0)[30](#page-23-0)–[32](#page-23-0)]. It also shows that the largest transmission cluster, which is dominated by PWID, shows links to heterosexual transmission but is an almost entirely separate epidemic from that amongst MSM.

The median reproduction number of all large clusters together found in this study is consistent with our previous findings of the HIV epidemic amongst MSM in that it is around the epidemic threshold and increases in later years. However, our previous study reported the mean reproduction number aggregated over the whole epidemic, whilst our findings here are based on disaggregating the epidemic into its constituent sub-epidemics. We found that the resurgent epidemic is the result of existing transmission clusters, many of which have been spreading since the early epidemic, to which new transmission clusters are being added. There seems to

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

B

Year of first diagnosed case with a sequence in cluster

[Fig 4. D](#page-9-0)iagnosis and growth of transmission clusters over time. Cluster types within the phylogenetic tree are defined as follows. Singletons (in blue) are clusters of size 1, or cases whose sequence solely clustered with sequences from the Los Alamos HIV Sequence Database. Small clusters (in green) comprise sequences from 2–9 ATHENA patients. Large clusters comprise sequences from ten or more patients in the ATHENA cohort. Amongst those, non-MSM-dominant clusters (in brown) contain a majority of sequences from non-MSM patients, whilst MSM-majority clusters contain a majority of sequences from MSM patients. Among large MSM-majority clusters, pre-1996 clusters (in dark orange) are defined as those in which the first diagnosed patient in the cluster was diagnosed before 1996, and post-1996 clusters are defined as those in which all patients in the cluster were diagnosed in or after 1996. Large MSM-majority post-1996 clusters are stratified as "time of MRCA pre-1996" (in light orange) when the estimated time of the MRCA is before 1996, and "time of MRCA post-1996" (in purple) when the estimated time of the MRCA is in or after 1996. (A) Number of MSM registered in the ATHENA cohort in the Netherlands with a sequence in this study by year of diagnosis and by cluster type. (B) Number of clusters of each type by year of first diagnosed case in each cluster.

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.g004

be a high and continued rate of case importation, but with only a small minority of cases going on to establish new self-sustaining clusters ( $Fig 5$ ). This heterogeneity of outcomes is in line



<span id="page-15-0"></span> $\mathbb{Q}$ : PLOS | MEDICINE

# <span id="page-16-0"></span>**MEDICINE PLOS** I



[Fig 6. E](#page-11-0)stimated case reproduction number over time for all MSM-majority transmission clusters of >10 cases. The solid lines show the mean  $R_t$ estimate for each transmission cluster. The bold black line is the mean  $R_t$  of all clusters, with the 95% confidence interval shown by the dotted lines. The shaded areas show the 95% confidence intervals for each transmission cluster: darker areas indicate overlapping intervals across different transmission clusters. Transmission clusters are shown in red if their first sequence appeared before 1991, in blue if their first sequence appeared between 1991 and 2000, and in green if their first diagnosed case appeared after 2000. The black horizontal dotted line represents the threshold value  $R_t = 1$ . (A) Main analysis. (B) Sensitivity analysis for a looser cluster definition. (C) Sensitivity analysis for a more stringent cluster definition. (D) Sensitivity analysis for the clusters defined under a single linkage branch length threshold.

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.g006

with expectations from epidemiological theory, as we also show in  $S1$  Text: self-sustaining subepidemics are hard to establish but, once initiated, are difficult to stop  $[33]$  $[33]$  $[33]$ . The higher percentage of recent infections in large clusters versus small clusters and singletons could indicate an effect of partner tracing. Several studies indicated that a large part of onward transmission amongst MSM is during the early phase of infection  $[11,34-37]$  $[11,34-37]$  $[11,34-37]$  $[11,34-37]$  $[11,34-37]$ . And thus it is worrying that even though an increasing number of MSM with HIV are diagnosed early in infection, this does not seem to have stopped clusters from growing.

The clusters and findings were confirmed using different phylogenetic methods and cluster definitions. However, by phylogenetic methods alone and using only pol sequences of only a subset of patients, we were not able to fully identify all transmission chains. The focus in our

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.g007

study was on the large transmission clusters. The observation that sequences from patients diagnosed before 1996 were less often part of a large cluster could indicate that some initial clusters have stopped circulating and have gone unnoticed. It is also possible that, since the sample fraction was lower in early years, fewer individuals appear to cluster (due to missing links), even though they were in fact linked to larger transmission clusters via unknown intermediates.

Our estimates of the reproduction number are based on a number of assumptions, including that the distribution of the diagnosis interval (time from diagnosis of an index case to diagnosis of a secondary case) is similar across clusters and similar across the whole HIV-positive population in the Netherlands. These estimates also assume that the probability of having a sequence obtained and the probability of surviving until 1996 are similar across clusters. It should be noted that the reproduction numbers estimated in this study are effective reproduction numbers. Our findings might thus indicate local or temporal saturation effects in certain sexual networks. However, as prevalence of HIV amongst MSM ranges from 5% to 8% [[38](#page-23-0)], behavior change, not saturation, is expected to be the dominant driver of epidemic trends in this population. For a future study it would be interesting to compare findings, from real and simulated data, with recent studies that obtained reproduction numbers for large transmission clusters directly from sequence data [\[13,14\]](#page-22-0).

This study, combining phylogenetic methods with mathematical modeling to analyze extensive HIV-1 subtype B sequence data, provides new insight into how different transmission networks together contribute to the resurgent HIV epidemic in the Netherlands. The analysis suggests that the epidemic amongst MSM is dispersed amongst a large number of self-sustaining or growing transmission clusters, many of which persisted throughout the 1990s, before increases in risk behavior became widespread. In particular, the relative homogeneity and consistency of reproduction number estimates for the different networks was unexpected. Our study highlights that many different sub-epidemics have independently persisted for decades, despite the widespread availability of treatment, steadily increasing rates of diagnosis, and increasing tendency for early treatment initiation. The fastest growing sub-epidemics are the

<span id="page-18-0"></span>newest ones, which also tend to be amongst the youngest men. Preventing further increases in rates of infection will require further developments in prevention services.

#### Supporting Information

[S1 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s001) Distribution of the diagnosis interval stratified by year of diagnosis of the index case.

(PDF)

[S2 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s002)  $q_i$  as a function of time in different scenarios regarding incidence growth over time. The black line shows the scenario with constant incidence over time, which was considered in the main text.

(PDF)

[S3 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s003) Influence of assumptions underlying  $q_i$  derivation on the estimates of yearly reproduction numbers R for the four largest transmission clusters. (PDF)

[S4 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s004) Estimated case reproduction number over time for all MSM-majority transmission clusters with  $>$ 10 cases diagnosed before 2000. The solid lines show the mean  $R_t$  estimates for each transmission cluster using the dataset truncated after 2000 (black lines) and using the full dataset (blue lines). The shaded areas show the 95% confidence intervals for each transmission cluster (black shading for the dataset truncated after 2000, blue shading for the full dataset). The red horizontal line represents the threshold value  $R_t = 1$ . (PDF)

[S5 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s005) Comparison of  $R_t$  in different time periods. (PDF)

**[S6 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s006) Increasing R<sub>t</sub>.** (A) Number of cases in the first 5 y of each cluster and overall sample fraction. (B) Rate of increase in the mean estimated  $R_t$  over the first 5 y for each cluster. (PDF)

[S7 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s007) Phylogenetic tree of a total of 8,320 HIV-1 subtype B pol sequences. Branches corresponding to the 5,852 ATHENA patients are colored by self-reported risk group of infection. HT, heterosexual transmission. (PDF)

[S8 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s008) Large transmission clusters over time. As in [Fig 2A](#page-11-0), but including the small clusters including 2–9 ATHENA sequences sorted by duration. (PDF)

[S9 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s009) Timed tree of HIV-1 *pol* sequences from patients in the six largest clusters on Curaçao. (A) Branches are colored according to region of residence. (B) Branches are colored according to the self-reported risk group of infection. The root of each cluster is indicated in bold. HT, heterosexual transmission. (PDF)

[S10 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s010) Observed and expected proportion of singletons and small clusters. Under the Poisson (left) and geometric (right) model. The top three rows show how these proportions change as a function of  $\pi$ , the proportion of cases with a sequence, for a mean number of offspring of  $R = 1$ ,  $R = 0.9$ , and  $R = 1.1$ , respectively. The vertical red dotted lines indicate the observed proportion of cases with a sequence,  $π = 0.3$  over the whole time period considered. The bottom row shows how the proportions of singletons and small clusters change as a

<span id="page-19-0"></span>function of R, the mean number of offspring, for a proportion of cases with a sequence of  $\pi$  = 0.3. In all plots, the black and grey curves show the expected and observed proportion of singletons, respectively, with dotted lines indicating confidence intervals around the observed proportion; the dark and light blue curves show the expected and observed proportion of small clusters (size 2–9), with dashed lines indicating confidence intervals around the observed proportion.



[S1 Table](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s011). Phylogenetic trait association test on structuring within the largest HIV-1 subtype B transmission cluster in the Netherlands. To test whether HIV-1 pol sequences in the last 1,000 posterior trees obtained by BEAST were structured more strongly by risk group for the ATHENA sequences and more strongly by country for the sequences from the Los Alamos HIV Sequence Database  $[18]$  than expected by chance alone, a phylogenetic trait association analysis using Bayesian tip-association significance testing was performed [\[39\]](#page-23-0). The maximum monophyletic clade (MC) size statistic was estimated for each trait, which provides an estimate of the mean cluster size of sequences sampled for each trait. Significant clustering is defined as critical ( $p < 0.01$ ), marginally significant (0.01  $\leq p \leq 0.05$ ), or not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ). (PDF)

[S2 Table](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s012). Time of the MRCA and 95% HPD intervals of the 91 large majority MSM phylogenetic clusters.

(XLS)

[S1 Text.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s013) Supporting information on methods, sensitivity analysis, and results. (PDF)

[S1 Trees.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898.s014) Timed trees of 91 MSM-majority clusters. The first number of the branch name is the cluster name. Bars indicate the 95% HPD intervals of the node ages. In total, 380 of the Los Alamos sequences selected are included in the 91 large MSM-majority clusters. Twenty-six clusters have no Los Alamos sequences included. Nine clusters include 5 time-stamped sequences from a particular country (Foreign). Although some mixing is visible, these sequences form mainly separate clusters within the respective countries. Timed tree of the 15 other clusters (Other): four clusters with a majority of patients of Suriname origin, three clusters with a majority of patients of Antillean origin, two clusters of heterosexually infected individuals and MSM of mainly Dutch origin, one cluster with mainly PWID of Polish origin, the largest PWID cluster, and the six clusters on Curaçao with bars (two are also in MSM). (ZIP)

# Acknowledgments

The members of the ATHENA observational cohort are as follows. Asterisks denote site coordinating physicians.

Clinical Centers. Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam: HIV treating physicians: J. M. Prins\*, T. W. Kuijpers, H. J. Scherpbier, J. T. M. van der Meer, F. W. M. N. Wit, M. H. Godfried, P. Reiss, T. van der Poll, F. J. B. Nellen, S. E. Geerlings, M. van Vugt, D. Pajkrt, J. C. Bos, W. J. Wiersinga, M. van der Valk, A. Goorhuis, J. W. Hovius. HIV nurse consultants: J. van Eden, A. Henderiks, A. M. H. van Hes, M. Mutschelknauss, H. E. Nobel, F. J. J. Pijnappel, A. M. Westerman. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: S. Jurriaans, N. K. T. Back, H. L. Zaaijer, B. Berkhout, M. T. E. Cornelissen, C. J. Schinkel, X. V. Thomas. Admiraal De Ruyter Ziekenhuis, Goes: HIV treating physicians: M. van den Berge, A. Stegeman. HIV nurse consultants: S. Baas, L. Hage de Looff. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: D. Versteeg.

Catharina Ziekenhuis, Eindhoven: HIV treating physicians: M. J. H. Pronk\*, H. S. M. Ammerlaan. HIV nurse consultants: E. M. H. M. Korsten-Vorstermans, E. S. de Munnik. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: A. R. Jansz, J. Tjhie, M. C. A. Wegdam, B. Deiman, V. Scharnhorst. Emma Kinderziekenhuis, Amsterdam: HIV nurse consultants: A. van der Plas, A. M. Weijsenfeld. Erasmus Medisch Centrum, Rotterdam: HIV treating physicians: M. E. van der Ende\*, T. E. M. S. de Vries-Sluijs, E. C. M. van Gorp, C. A. M. Schurink, J. L. Nouwen, A. Verbon, B. J. A. Rijnders, H. I. Bax, R. J. Hassing, M. van der Feltz. HIV nurse consultants: N. Bassant, J. E. A. van Beek, M. Vriesde, L. M. van Zonneveld. Data collection: A. de Oude-Lubbers, H. J. van den Berg-Cameron, F. B. Bruinsma-Broekman, J. de Groot, M. de Zeeuw- de Man. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: M. Schutten, A. D. M. E. Osterhaus, C. A. B. Boucher. Erasmus Medisch Centrum–Sophia, Rotterdam: HIV treating physicians: G. J. A. Driessen, A. M. C. van Rossum. HIV nurse consultants: L. C. van der Knaap, E. Visser. Flevoziekenhuis, Almere: HIV treating physicians: J. Branger\*. HIV nurse consultants/data collection: C. J. H. M. Duijf-van de Ven. HagaZiekenhuis, Den Haag: HIV treating physicians: E. F. Schippers<sup>\*</sup>, C. van Nieuwkoop, R. W. Brimicombe. HIV nurse consultants: J. M. van IJperen. Data collection: G. van der Hut. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: P. F. H. Franck. HIV Focus Centrum (DC Klinieken), Amsterdam: HIV treating physicians: A. van Eeden<sup>\*</sup>. HIV nurse consultants: W. Brokking, M. Groot, L. J. M. Elsenburg. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: M. Damen, I. S. Kwa. Isala Klinieken, Zwolle: HIV treating physicians: P. H. P. Groeneveld\*, J. W. Bouwhuis. HIV nurse consultants: J. F. van den Berg, A. G. W. van Hulzen. Data collection: G. L. van der Bliek, P. C. J. Bor. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: P. Bloembergen, M. J. H. M. Wolfhagen, G. J. H. M. Ruijs. Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum, Leiden: HIV treating physicians: F. P. Kroon\*, S. M. Arend, M. G. J. de Boer, M. P. Bauer, H. Jolink, A. M. Vollaard. HIV nurse consultants: W. Dorama, N. van Holten. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: E. C. J. Claas, A. C. M. Kroes. Maasstad Ziekenhuis, Rotterdam: HIV treating physicians: J. G. den Hollander\*, K. Pogany. HIV nurse consultants: M. Kastelijns, J. V. Smit, E. Smit. Data collection: M. Bezemer, T. van Niekerk. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: O. Pontesilli. Maastricht UMC+, Maastricht: HIV treating physicians: S. H. Lowe\*, A. Oude Lashof, D. Posthouwer. HIV nurse consultants: R. P. Ackens, J. Schippers, R. Vergoossen. Data collection: B. Weijenberg Maes. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: P. H. M. Savelkoul, I. H. Loo. Medisch Centrum Slotervaart, Amsterdam: HIV treating physicians: J. W. Mulder, S. M. E. Vrouenraets, F. N. Lauw. HIV nurse consultants: M. C. van Broekhuizen, H. Paap, D. J. Vlasblom. Data collection: E. Oudmaijer Sanders. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: P. H. M. Smits, A. W. Rosingh. Medisch Centrum Zuiderzee, Lelystad: HIV treating physicians: S. Weijer\*, R. El Moussaoui. HIV nurse consultant: A. S. Bosma. Medisch Centrum Alkmaar, Alkmaar: HIV treating physicians: W. Kortmann\*, G. van Twillert\*, J. W. T. Cohen Stuart, B. M. W. Diederen. HIV nurse consultants/data collection: D. Pronk, F. A. van Truijen-Oud. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: W. A. van der Reijden, R. Jansen. Medisch Centrum Haaglanden, Den Haag: HIV treating physicians: E. M. S. Leyten\*, L. B. S. Gelinck. HIV nurse consultants: A. van Hartingsveld, C. Meerkerk, G. S. Wildenbeest. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: J. A. E. M. Mutsaers, C. L. Jansen. Medisch Centrum Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden: HIV treating physicians: M. G. A. van Vonderen<sup>\*</sup>, D. P. F. van Houte, L. M. Kampschreur. HIV nurse consultants: K. Dijkstra, S. Faber. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: J. Weel. Medisch Spectrum Twente, Enschede: HIV treating physicians: G. J. Kootstra\*, C. E. Delsing. HIV nurse consultants: M. van der Burg-van de Plas, H. Heins. Data collection: E. Lucas. Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis, Amsterdam: HIV treating physicians: K. Brinkman\*, P. H. J. Frissen, W. L. Blok, W. E. M. Schouten, G. E. L. van den Berk. HIV nurse consultants: C. J. Brouwer, G. F. Geerders, K. Hoeksema, M. J. Kleene, I. B. van der Meché, A. J. M. Toonen, S. Wijnands. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: M. L. van Ogtrop, R. Jansen. Radboudumc, Nijmegen: HIV treating physicians: P. P. Koopmans, M. Keuter, A. J. A. M. van der Ven, H. J. M. ter Hofstede, A. S.

M. Dofferhoff, R. van Crevel. HIV nurse consultants: M. Albers, M. E. W. Bosch, K. J. T. Grintjes-Huisman, B. J. Zomer. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: F. F. Stelma. HIV clinical pharmacology consultant: D. Burger. Rijnstate, Arnhem: HIV treating physicians: C. Richter\*, J. P. van der Berg, E. H. Gisolf. HIV nurse consultants: G. ter Beest, P. H. M. van Bentum, N. Langebeek. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: R. Tiemessen, C. M. A. Swanink. Sint Lucas Andreas Ziekenhuis, Amsterdam: HIV treating physicians: J. Veenstra\*, K. D. Lettinga. HIV nurse consultants: M. Spelbrink, H. Sulman. Data collection: M. Spelbrink, E. Witte. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: M. Damen, P. G. H. Peerbooms. Spaarne Gasthuis, Haarlem: HIV treating physicians: S. F. L. van Lelyveld\*, R. Soetekouw. HIV nurse consultants: N. Hulshoff, L. M. M. van der Prijt, J. van der Swaluw. Data collection: N. Bermon. HIV clinical virologists/ chemists: W. A. van der Reijden, R. Jansen, B. L. Herpers, D. Veenendaal. Stichting Medisch Centrum Jan van Goyen, Amsterdam: HIV treating physicians: D. W. M. Verhagen. HIV nurse consultants: J. Geilings. St Elisabeth Ziekenhuis, Tilburg: HIV treating physicians: M. E. E. van Kasteren\*, A. E. Brouwer. HIV nurse consultants/data collection: B. A. F. M. de Kruijfvan de Wiel, M. Kuipers, R. M. W. J. Santegoets, B. van der Ven. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: J. H. Marcelis, A. G. M. Buiting, P. J. Kabel. Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen, Groningen: HIV treating physicians: W. F. W. Bierman\*, H. G. Sprenger, E. H. Scholvinck, S. van Assen, K. R. Wilting, Y. Stienstra. HIV nurse consultants: H. de Groot-de Jonge, P. A. van der Meulen, D. A. de Weerd. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: H. G. M. Niesters, A. Riezebos-Brilman, C. C. van Leer-Buter. Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht, Utrecht: HIV treating physicians: A. I. M. Hoepelman\*, M. M. E. Schneider, T. Mudrikova, P. M. Ellerbroek, J. J. Oosterheert, J. E. Arends, R. E. Barth, M. W. M. Wassenberg. HIV nurse consultants: D. H. M. van Elst-Laurijssen, E. E. B. van Oers-Hazelzet, J. Patist, S. Vervoort, Data collection: H. E. Nieuwenhuis, R. Frauenfelder. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: R. Schuurman, F. Verduyn-Lunel, A. M. J. Wensing. VU Medisch Centrum, Amsterdam: HIV treating physicians: E. J. G. Peters\*, M. A. van Agtmael, M. Bomers, J. de Vocht. HIV nurse consultants: M. Heitmuller, L. M. Laan. HIV clinical virologists/chemists: A. M. Pettersson, C. M. J. E. Vandenbroucke-Grauls, C. W. Ang. Wilhelmina Kinderziekenhuis, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht: HIV treating physicians: S. P. M. Geelen, T. F. W. Wolfs, L. J. Bont. HIV nurse consultants: N. Nauta. St. Elisabeth Hospitaal, Willemstad, Curaçao: HIV treating physicians: C. Winkel, A. Durand, F. Muskiet, R. Voigt. HIV nurse consultants: I. van der Meer.

Coordinating Center. Stichting HIV Monitoring: Director: P. Reiss. Data analysis: D. O. Bezemer, L. Gras, A. I. van Sighem, C. Smit. Data management and quality control: S. Zaheri, M. Hillebregt, A. de Jong. Data monitoring: D. Bergsma, P. Hoekstra, A. de Lang, M. Berkhout, S. Grivell, A. Jansen, M. J. Rademaker, M. Raethke. Data collection: L. de Groot, M. van den Akker, Y. Bakker, M. Broekhoven, E. Claessen, A. El Berkaoui, E. Kruijne, C. Lodewijk, R. Meijering, L. Munjishvili, B. Peeck, C. Ree, R. Regtop, Y. Ruijs, M. Schoorl, S. Schnörr, E. Tuijn, L. Veenenberg, S. van der Vliet, T. Woudstra. Patient registration: B. Tuk.

# Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: DB AC CF. Performed the experiments: DB AC OR BED. Analyzed the data: DB AC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: AvS LG HSH NRF RvdH AJD PR FdW. Wrote the first draft of the manuscript: DB AC CF. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: OR AvS HSH BED LG NRF RvdH AJD PR FdW. Enrolled patients: HSH AJD PR FdW. Agree with the manuscript's results and conclusions: DB AC OR AvS HSH BED LG NRF RvdH AJD PR FdW CF. All authors have read, and confirm that they meet, ICMJE criteria for authorship.

#### <span id="page-22-0"></span>References

- [1.](#page-2-0) Bezemer D, de Wolf F, Boerlijst MC, van Sighem A, Hollingsworth TD, et al. (2008) A resurgent HIV-1 epidemic among men who have sex with men in the era of potent antiretroviral therapy. AIDS 22: 1071–1077. doi: [10.1097/QAD.0b013e3282fd167c](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e3282fd167c) PMID: [18520351](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18520351)
- [2.](#page-2-0) Bezemer D, de Wolf F, Boerlijst MC, vanSighem A, Hollingsworth TD, et al. (2010) 27 years of the HIV epidemic amongst men having sex with men in the Netherlands: an in depth mathematical modelbased analysis. Epidemics 2: 66–79. doi: [10.1016/j.epidem.2010.04.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2010.04.001) PMID: [21352777](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21352777)
- 3. van Sighem A, Vidondo B, Glass TR, Bucher HC, Vernazza P, et al. (2012) Resurgence of HIV infection among men who have sex with men in Switzerland: mathematical modelling study. PLoS ONE 7: e44819. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0044819](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044819) PMID: [23024766](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23024766)
- 4. Sullivan PS, Hamouda O, Delpech V, Geduld JE, Prejean J, et al. (2009) Reemergence of the HIV epidemic among men who have sex with men in North America, Western Europe, and Australia, 1996– 2005. Ann Epidemiol 19: 423–431. doi: [10.1016/j.annepidem.2009.03.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2009.03.004) PMID: [19460672](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19460672)
- [5.](#page-2-0) Phillips AN, Cambiano V, Nakagawa F, Brown AE, Lampe F, et al. (2013) Increased HIV incidence in men who have sex with men despite high levels of ART-induced viral suppression: analysis of an extensively documented epidemic. PLoS ONE 8: e55312. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0055312](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0055312) PMID: [23457467](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23457467)
- [6.](#page-2-0) van Sighem AI, Gras LA, Reiss P, Brinkman K, de Wolf F (2010) Life expectancy of recently diagnosed asymptomatic HIV-infected patients approaches that of uninfected individuals. AIDS 24: 1527–1535. doi: [10.1097/QAD.0b013e32833a3946](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e32833a3946) PMID: [20467289](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20467289)
- [7.](#page-2-0) Attia S, Egger M, Muller M, Zwahlen M, Low N (2009) Sexual transmission of HIV according to viral load and antiretroviral therapy: systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS 23: 1397-1404. doi: [10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e32832b7dca) [1097/QAD.0b013e32832b7dca](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e32832b7dca) PMID: [19381076](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19381076)
- [8.](#page-2-0) Kelley CF, Haaland RE, Patel P, Evans-Strickfaden T, Farshy C, et al. (2011) HIV-1 RNA rectal shedding is reduced in men with low plasma HIV-1 RNA viral loads and is not enhanced by sexually transmitted bacterial infections of the rectum. J Infect Dis 204: 761–767. doi: [10.1093/infdis/jir400](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir400) PMID: [21844302](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21844302)
- [9.](#page-2-0) Jansen IA, Geskus RB, Davidovich U, Jurriaans S, Coutinho RA, et al. (2011) Ongoing HIV-1 transmission among men who have sex with men in Amsterdam: a 25-year prospective cohort study. AIDS 25: 493–501. doi: [10.1097/QAD.0b013e328342fbe9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e328342fbe9) PMID: [21192230](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21192230)
- [10.](#page-2-0) van Sighem A, Jansen I, Bezemer D, de Wolf F, Prins M, et al. (2012) Increasing sexual risk behaviour among Dutch men who have sex with men: mathematical models versus prospective cohort data. AIDS 26: 1840–1843. doi: [10.1097/QAD.0b013e3283574df9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e3283574df9) PMID: [22781219](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22781219)
- [11.](#page-2-0) Bezemer D, van Sighem AI, Lukashov V, van der Hoek L, Back N, et al. (2010) Transmission networks of HIV-1 among men having sex with men in the Netherlands. AIDS 24: 271–282. doi: [10.1097/QAD.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e328333ddee) [0b013e328333ddee](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e328333ddee) PMID: [20010072](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20010072)
- [12.](#page-2-0) Kouyos RD, von Wyl V, Yerly S, Boni J, Taffe P, et al. (2010) Molecular epidemiology reveals long-term changes in HIV type 1 subtype B transmission in Switzerland. J Infect Dis 201: 1488–1497. doi: [10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/651951) [1086/651951](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/651951) PMID: [20384495](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20384495)
- [13.](#page-2-0) Stadler T, Kouyos R, von Wyl V, Yerly S, Boni J, et al. (2012) Estimating the basic reproductive number from viral sequence data. Mol Biol Evol 29: 347–357. doi: [10.1093/molbev/msr217](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr217) PMID: [21890480](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21890480)
- [14.](#page-2-0) Leventhal GE, Gunthard HF, Bonhoeffer S, Stadler T (2014) Using an epidemiological model for phylogenetic inference reveals density dependence in HIV transmission. Mol Biol Evol 31: 6–17. doi: [10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst172) [1093/molbev/mst172](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst172) PMID: [24085839](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24085839)
- [15.](#page-3-0) Wallinga J, Teunis P (2004) Different epidemic curves for severe acute respiratory syndrome reveal similar impacts of control measures. Am J Epidemiol 160: 509–516. doi: [10.1093/aje/kwh255](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwh255) PMID: [15353409](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15353409)
- [16.](#page-3-0) Gras L, van Sighem A, Smit C, Zaheri S, Prins M, de Wolf F Monitoring of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in the Netherlands. Amsterdam: Stichting HIV Monitoring; 2010. Available: [http://](http://www.hiv-monitoring.nl/files/9313/0164/8920/EN-HIVM-RPRT10-WEB.pdf) [www.hiv-monitoring.nl/files/9313/0164/8920/EN-HIVM-RPRT10-WEB.pdf](http://www.hiv-monitoring.nl/files/9313/0164/8920/EN-HIVM-RPRT10-WEB.pdf). Accessed 6 October 2015.
- [17.](#page-3-0) Bezemer D, Jurriaans S, Prins M, van der Hoek L, Prins JM, et al. (2004) Declining trend in transmis-sion of drug-resistant HIV-1 in Amsterdam. AIDS 18: 1571-1577. PMID: [15238775](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15238775)
- [18.](#page-3-0) Los Alamos National Laboratory (2015) HIV Se quence Database [database]. Available: [http://www.](http://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/HIV/mainpage.html) [hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/HIV/mainpage.html](http://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/HIV/mainpage.html). Accessed 15 March 2013.
- [19.](#page-5-0) Kramer MA, Cornelissen M, Paraskevis D, Prins M, Coutinho RA, et al. (2011) HIV transmission patterns among The Netherlands, Suriname, and The Netherlands Antilles: a molecular epidemiological study. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 27: 123–130. doi: [10.1089/aid.2010.0115](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/aid.2010.0115) PMID: [20929384](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20929384)
- [20.](#page-5-0) Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. (2009) BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10: 421. doi: [10.1186/1471-2105-10-421](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421) PMID: [20003500](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20003500)
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>[21.](#page-5-0) Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, et al. (2011) Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol Syst Biol 7: 539. doi: [10.1038/msb.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.75) [2011.75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.75) PMID: [21988835](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21988835)
- [22.](#page-5-0) Castresana J (2000) Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Mol Biol Evol 17: 540–552. PMID: [10742046](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10742046)
- [23.](#page-5-0) Johnson VA, Calvez V, Gunthard HF, Paredes R, Pillay D, et al. (2013) Update of the drug resistance mutations in HIV-1: March 2013. Top Antivir Med 21: 6–14. PMID: [23596273](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23596273)
- [24.](#page-5-0) Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP (2010) FastTree 2—approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. PLoS ONE 5: e9490. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0009490](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009490) PMID: [20224823](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20224823)
- [25.](#page-5-0) Prosperi MC, Ciccozzi M, Fanti I, Saladini F, Pecorari M, et al. (2011) A novel methodology for largescale phylogeny partition. Nat Commun 2: 321. doi: [10.1038/ncomms1325](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1325) PMID: [21610724](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21610724)
- [26.](#page-5-0) Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A (2012) Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Mol Biol Evol 29: 1969–1973. doi: [10.1093/molbev/mss075](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss075) PMID: [22367748](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22367748)
- [27.](#page-5-0) Drummond AJ, Ho SY, Phillips MJ, Rambaut A (2006) Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence. PLoS Biol 4: e88. PMID: [16683862](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16683862)
- [28.](#page-5-0) Minin VN, Bloomquist EW, Suchard MA (2008) Smooth skyride through a rough skyline: Bayesian coalescent-based inference of population dynamics. Mol Biol Evol 25: 1459–1471. doi: [10.1093/molbev/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msn090) [msn090](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msn090) PMID: [18408232](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18408232)
- [29.](#page-5-0) Molecular Evolution, Phylogenetics and Epidemiology (2015) FigTree and Tracer [computer programs]. Availabe: <http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software>. Accessed 25 September 2015.
- [30.](#page-13-0) Junqueira DM, de Medeiros RM, Matte MC, Araujo LA, Chies JA, et al. (2011) Reviewing the history of HIV-1: spread of subtype B in the Americas. PLoS ONE 6: e27489. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0027489](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027489) PMID: [22132104](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22132104)
- 31. Cabello M, Junqueira DM, Bello G (2015) Dissemination of nonpandemic Caribbean HIV-1 subtype B clades in Latin America. AIDS 29: 483–492. doi: [10.1097/QAD.0000000000000552](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000000552) PMID: [25630042](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25630042)
- [32.](#page-13-0) Cabello M, Mendoza Y, Bello G (2014) Spatiotemporal dynamics of dissemination of non-pandemic HIV-1 subtype B clades in the Caribbean region. PLoS ONE 9: e106045. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0106045) [0106045](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0106045) PMID: [25148215](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25148215)
- [33.](#page-16-0) Grassly NC, Fraser C (2008) Mathematical models of infectious disease transmission. Nat Rev Microbiol 6: 477–487. doi: [10.1038/nrmicro1845](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1845) PMID: [18533288](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18533288)
- [34.](#page-16-0) Lewis F, Hughes GJ, Rambaut A, Pozniak A, Leigh Brown AJ (2008) Episodic sexual transmission of HIV revealed by molecular phylodynamics. PLoS Med 5: e50. doi: [10.1371/journal.pmed.0050050](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0050050) PMID: [18351795](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18351795)
- 35. Volz EM, Ionides E, Romero-Severson EO, Brandt MG, Mokotoff E, et al. (2013) HIV-1 transmission during early infection in men who have sex with men: a phylodynamic analysis. PLoS Med 10: e1001568. doi: [10.1371/journal.pmed.1001568](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001568) PMID: [24339751](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24339751)
- 36. Powers KA, Ghani AC, Miller WC, Hoffman IF, Pettifor AE, et al. (2011) The role of acute and early HIV infection in the spread of HIV and implications for transmission prevention strategies in Lilongwe, Malawi: a modelling study. Lancet 378: 256–268. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(11\)60842-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60842-8) PMID: [21684591](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21684591)
- [37.](#page-16-0) Cohen MS, Dye C, Fraser C, Miller WC, Powers KA, et al. (2012) HIV treatment as prevention: debate and commentary—will early infection compromise treatment-as-prevention strategies? PLoS Med 9: e1001232. doi: [10.1371/journal.pmed.1001232](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001232) PMID: [22802728](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22802728)
- [38.](#page-17-0) Op de Coul EL, Schreuder I, Conti S, van SA, Xiridou M, et al. (2015) Changing patterns of undiagnosed HIV infection in the Netherlands: who benefits most from intensified HIV test and treat policies? PLoS ONE 10: e0133232. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0133232](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133232) PMID: [26185998](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26185998)
- [39.](#page-19-0) Parker J, Rambaut A, Pybus OG (2008) Correlating viral phenotypes with phylogeny: accounting for phylogenetic uncertainty. Infect Genet Evol 8: 239–246. PMID: [17921073](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17921073)

### Editors' Summary

#### **Background**

Since the first recorded case of AIDS in 1981, the number of people infected with HIV, the virus that causes AIDS, has risen steadily. Now, three and a half decades later, about 35 million people (more than half of whom are women) are infected with HIV, the virus that causes AIDS. HIV is most often spread by having unprotected sex with an infected partner, and, globally, most sexual transmission of HIV occurs during heterosexual sex. Nevertheless, many new HIV infections still occur in men who have sex with men (MSM; homosexual, bisexual, and transgender men, and heterosexual men who sometimes have sex with men), and, in some countries, HIV/AIDS still predominantly affects the MSM community. In the US, for example, 78% of new HIV infections occurred among MSM in 2010 although MSM represent only 4% of the total population, and, in 2011, 54% of all people living with HIV were MSM. Indeed, despite HIV-positive individuals being diagnosed earlier these days and having access to effective combination antiretroviral therapy (cART), which both halts disease progression and reduces the risk of HIV transmission, the HIV epidemic among MSM is resurgent (growing again) in many Western countries.

#### Why Was This Study Done?

To control this resurgent epidemic, it is important to know as much as possible about HIV transmission among MSM so that effective prevention strategies can be designed. Here, the researchers use phylogenetic analysis and mathematical modeling to ask whether the introduction of new strains or the spread of already circulating strains is responsible for the resurgent HIV-1 subtype B epidemic occurring among MSM in the Netherlands. Viral phylogenetic analysis infers evolutionary relationships between viral strains by examining their genetic relatedness and can be used to identify HIV transmission clusters. HIV-1 viruses are classified into subtypes based on their genetic sequence and geographical distribution. HIV-1 subtype B is a common subtype that is found in west and central Europe, the Americas, and several other regions.

#### What Did the Researchers Do and Find?

The researchers built a phylogenetic tree for the HIV epidemic in MSM in the Netherlands by analyzing HIV-1 subtype B polymerase gene sequences found in 5,852 participants (73% of whom were MSM) in the ATHENA cohort, an observational cohort of all HIV-1 infected patients in care in the Netherlands since 1996 (when cART became available). Examination of this tree identified 106 large transmission clusters (groups of ten or more closely related subtype B HIV-1 strains). Half of the HIV-1 polymerase sequences from HIV-1-positive MSM registered in the ATHENA cohort in the Netherlands were included in 91 MSM-majority clusters: large transmission clusters in which more than half the related sequences originated from MSM. At least 54 of the MSM-majority clusters were circulating before 1996 and have persisted until the present day. Moreover, about a third of new HIV infections diagnosed among MSM since 1996 involve viruses included in these long-lived clusters. The researchers then used mathematical modeling to estimate that the effective reproduction number (the number of secondary infections per primary infection) for all the MSM-majority clusters was around one for the whole study period. Thus, these clusters were self-sustaining and not contracting. Notably, MSM-majority

clusters (particularly the newer clusters) tended to have higher reproduction numbers in recent years. Moreover, although the average age at diagnosis within each of the MSMmajority clusters increased over the study period at a rate of 0.45 years/year, the average age at diagnosis was lower at initiation of new clusters and only increased by 0.28 years/ year.

#### What Do These Findings Mean?

These findings suggest that several large, persistent, and self-sustaining sub-epidemics, many of which have been present since early in the AIDS epidemic, are driving the resurgent HIV epidemic among MSM in the Netherlands, despite the widespread availability of treatment, increasing rates of diagnosis, and earlier treatment initiation. Importantly, however, these findings also suggest that some sub-epidemics have emerged more recently and that some sub-epidemics, particularly the newer ones, are growing and may be preferentially affecting younger MSM. The accuracy of these findings may be limited by some aspects of the study. For example, the reproduction number estimates assume that the time from diagnosis of a case to the diagnoses of secondary cases is similar across clusters. Nevertheless, the new insights provided by this study should help guide the development of strategies to curb the resurgent HIV epidemic that is currently affecting MSM in the Netherlands and elsewhere.

#### Additional Information

This list of resources contains links that can be accessed when viewing the PDF on a device or via the online version of the article at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001898>.

- The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention provides information on all aspects of [HIV/AIDS](http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/index.html), including information on [HIV/AIDS among MSM](http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/group/msm/) (in English and Spanish)
- [NAM/aidsmap](http://www.aidsmap.com/) provides basic information about HIV/AIDS and summaries of recent research findings, including information on [HIV and MSM](http://www.aidsmap.com/men-who-have-sex-with-men-msm/cat/1727/) and personal stories from MSM living with HIV
- Information is available from [Avert](http://www.avert.org/), an international AIDS charity on many aspects of HIV/AIDS, including [MSM and HIV](http://www.avert.org/men-who-have-sex-men-msm-hiv-aids.htm); Avert also provides [personal stories about living](http://www.avert.org/living-hiv-stories.htm) [with HIV/AIDS](http://www.avert.org/living-hiv-stories.htm)
- The World Health Organization provides information on all aspects of **HIV/AIDS**, including [HIV/AIDS and MSM](http://www.who.int/hiv/topics/msm/en/) (in several languages)
- The [UNAIDS Fast-Track Strategy to End the AIDS Epidemic by 2030](http://www.unaids.org/en/resources/campaigns/world-aids-day-report-2014) provides up-todate information about the AIDS epidemic and efforts to halt it
- A 2011 World Bank Report [The Global HIV Epidemics among Men Who Have Sex with](http://siteresources.worldbank.org/inthivaids/resources/375798-1103037153392/msmreport.pdf) [Men](http://siteresources.worldbank.org/inthivaids/resources/375798-1103037153392/msmreport.pdf) is available
- A PLOS Computational Biology Topic Page (a review article that is a published copy of record of a dynamic version of an article in Wikipedia) about [viral phylodynamics](http://http://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002947) is available