

# A survey of maintenance and service logistics management

**Citation for published version (APA):**

Eruguz - Çolak, A. S., Tan, T., & van Houtum, G. J. J. A. N. (2017). A survey of maintenance and service logistics management: classification and research agenda from a maritime sector perspective. *Computers & Operations Research*, 85, 184-205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2017.03.003>

**Document license:**

Unspecified

**DOI:**

[10.1016/j.cor.2017.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2017.03.003)

**Document status and date:**

Published: 01/09/2017

**Document Version:**

Accepted manuscript including changes made at the peer-review stage

**Please check the document version of this publication:**

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.tue.nl/taverne](http://www.tue.nl/taverne)

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[openaccess@tue.nl](mailto:openaccess@tue.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

# **A survey of maintenance and service logistics management: Classification and research agenda from a maritime sector perspective**

Ayşe Sena Eruguz, Tarkan Tan, Geert-Jan van Houtum

*School of Industrial Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology  
P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands*

Maintenance and service logistics support are required to ensure high availability and reliability for capital goods and typically represent a significant part of operating costs in capital-intensive industries. In this paper, we present a classification of the maintenance and service logistics literature considering the key characteristics of a particular sector as a guideline, i.e., the maritime sector. We discuss the applicability and the shortcomings of existing works and highlight the lessons learned from a maritime sector perspective. Finally, we identify the potential future research directions and suggest a research agenda. Most of the maritime sector characteristics presented in this paper are also valid for other capital-intensive industries. Therefore, a big part of this survey is relevant and functional for industries such as aircraft/aerospace, defense, and automotive.

Keywords: maintenance, service logistics, maritime sector

## **1 Introduction**

The maritime sector is of crucial importance in today's competitive and globalized world. The strategic economic importance of maritime transport cannot be overemphasized since 80% of global merchandise trade by volume is carried by sea. The world fleet has more than doubled since 2001 and new building deliveries reached historical highs in the last decade [1]. Besides maritime transport, maritime assets are used in various operations such as oil and gas terminal services, dredging, fishing, geophysical surveys, and military missions. The availability and reliability of these assets are crucial since their operations are often critical and high-value. Maintenance and service logistics support (i.e. after-sales logistics activities needed to enable capital goods to be maintained and function properly) are essential to ensure high availability and reliability during the asset life time.

In the maritime sector, maintenance and service logistics support are known to be a significant part of the overall operating costs. Maintenance activities can contribute in the range of 25-35% to the operating costs according to Turan et al. [2]. Alhouli et al. [3] show that maintenance and repair costs for a bulk carrier represent the largest proportion of operating costs with about 40%. The annual worldwide maintenance and repair costs for the Panamax type bulk carriers is estimated to be over \$2.2 billion [4, 5]. Moreover, repair and maintenance expenditures are expected to rise by at least 2.5% to 3% per annum over the next couple of years [6]. On the other hand, unexpected downtimes of maritime assets lead to a significant loss of revenues. For instance, daily shipping operations of tankers yield a revenue of as much as \$20,500 depending on the vessel size [1]. Breakdown of maritime assets can also affect health, safety, and environment [7]. The data analysis in [8] shows that more than 50% of accidents on fishing vessels involved machinery failure that is thought to occur due to the lack of maintenance. Therefore, the issues related to maintenance and service logistics must be

given careful consideration to reduce the related costs and risks for maritime assets.

Our paper presents the literature on maintenance and service logistics management from an applicability perspective to the maritime sector. This was also motivated by a joint university – industry research project called “Integrated Maintenance and Service Logistics Concepts for Maritime Assets” (MaSeLMA). The MaSeLMA project focuses on developing innovative and smart concepts to increase the predictability of maintenance, optimize maintenance and service logistics planning and improve supply chain coordination and cooperation in the maritime sector [9]. Our paper summarizes the characteristics of the maritime sector derived from the MaSeLMA project. First, we have identified the key characteristics of the maritime sector through discussions with practitioners. The characteristics identified are significant to be considered while making decisions regarding maintenance and service logistics management. Second, we have reviewed the existing literature by particularly focusing on Operations Research/Management Science and Reliability Engineering techniques. We have clustered the existing works into several subdomains such as system design, failure prediction/degradation modelling, maintenance service contract design, maintenance strategy selection, maintenance planning, and spare parts inventory management.

In our paper, we present the state-of-the-art in the subdomains reviewed considering the key characteristics in the maritime sector as a guideline. This covers various works dealing with the associated characteristics directly (providing a maritime application) or indirectly. We discuss the applicability and the shortcomings of these works and summarize them as lessons learned. In particular, we discuss to what extent the existing techniques can cope with the maritime sector characteristics and describe the extensions required to make them applicable. Finally, we identify the potential future research directions and suggest a research agenda.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no such literature review on maintenance and service logistics management with a capital goods focus. Dekker [10] gives an overview of the applications of maintenance optimization models published until 1996 and discusses the gap between theory and applications. Other reviews in applications of maintenance optimization models also date from the late 90’s (see, [11], [12]). More recent reviews focus on specific maintenance (see, e.g., [13], [14], [15]) and spare parts inventory models (see, e.g., [16], [17], [18]). Maintenance and service logistics management is an extremely wide area of research containing various problems and sub-problems. Therefore, it is not feasible to conduct a comprehensive literature review of this field while keeping the size of the paper within reasonable limits. In this paper, our scope is limited to the most relevant works from a maritime sector perspective. Even with this perspective many important works have not being cited to keep the size of the paper manageable. Nevertheless, our paper adopts a broader perspective than previous reviews by focusing on various types of decisions in maintenance and service logistics management. In addition, it classifies the literature according to specific sector characteristics and presents the state-of-the-art, lessons learned, and future research directions regarding each characteristic. As such, it provides an innovative literature review methodology that renders the literature accessible and comprehensible to both researchers and practitioners. We note that most of the maritime sector characteristics presented is also valid for other capital-intensive industries. In this sense, a big part of our survey is relevant and functional for other industries such as aircraft/aerospace, defense, and automotive.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives a description of the

maritime sector. Section 3 presents the classification scheme proposed for the maintenance and service logistics management literature. Sections 4-12 are each dedicated to a certain characteristic of the maritime sector. In each section, first, we review existing works that directly or indirectly address the associated characteristic. Second, we discuss the lessons learned adopting a maritime sector perspective. Third, we summarize the potential research directions associated with the characteristic presented. Finally, Section 13 provides a priority setting for potential future research directions identified, highlights the current trends, and draws some conclusions.

## **2 Maritime sector**

The maritime sector includes companies that are engaged in the business of designing, constructing, manufacturing, supplying, repairing, maintaining, or operating marine systems [19]. Original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) design and manufacture marine systems, components, or parts such as marine engines, navigation and communication equipment etc. OEMs can be involved in maintenance and repair activities and/or provide spare parts and service tools for the systems that they manufacture. System integrators design and construct maritime assets by assembling the different units provided by the OEMs. A system integrator can also be the OEM of some specific systems such as the ship's hull and electrical equipment. In maritime vocabulary, a system integrator is often a "shipyard" that builds the maritime asset. The terms "dockyard" or "repair yard" refers to a company that performs dock maintenance and repair. The terms dockyard and shipyard are usually used interchangeably since they can undertake the tasks of ship building, maintenance, and repair. In addition, there exist third party service providers, highly specialized in marine equipment maintenance and service logistics.

The asset owners deploy their maritime assets for different types of operations. Maritime assets mostly differ based on their intended use. For example, they can be used in oil and gas terminal services (e.g., platform supply vessels, pipe-laying ships), military missions (naval ships, i.e., frigates, aircraft carriers, submarines, etc.), special services (e.g., tugboats, dredgers, fishing vessels, pilot vessels, survey vessels), and transporting heavy goods (e.g., bulk carriers, cargo liners, and container vessels) or passengers (e.g., cruise ships, yachts) [20]. These assets are collections of different systems, including the ship's hull, propulsion system (consisting of a marine engine, propeller etc.), navigation and communication equipment (e.g., search lights and radar systems), crew equipment (e.g., sewage and air conditioning), and ship specific equipment (e.g., weaponry systems for naval ships, dredging equipment for dredgers).

Marine systems highly differ in terms of maintenance and service logistics requirements. For example, maintenance on a ship's hull requires a dockyard. Electronic components of navigation systems are often repaired-by-replacement, i.e., the component is removed from the asset and replaced by a new or as good as new spare part [21]. Naval ships have various technologically advanced systems on board. Generally, such systems are uniquely designed for the naval defense industry ("one-off systems") and their spare parts are subject to obsolescence and condemnation [22], [23]. In addition, marine systems' degradation behavior depends on the operation profile and operating environments [24]. Therefore, even for identical systems maintenance and service logistics requirements can be different [25]. In this paper, we provide a classification scheme to capture such specific aspects in the maritime sector and use it as a guideline to classify the maintenance and service logistics management literature.

### 3 Classification

Our classification scheme is derived from our collaboration with the industrial partners involved in the MaSeLMA project. The MaSeLMA project aims at increasing the maintenance and service logistics efficiency for maritime assets by: (1) increasing the predictability of maintenance, (2) improving maintenance and service logistics plans considering resource and material requirements, (3) improving and extending cooperation for service logistics and supply chain management within and across different maritime companies. The ultimate goal is to reduce the total cost of ownership for asset owners and provide the OEMs, system integrators, and service providers with opportunities for new business [9]. The MaSeLMA project involves several maritime companies including the Royal Netherlands Navy (asset owner), Fugro<sup>1</sup> (asset owner), Smith Lamnalco<sup>2</sup> (asset owner), Thales<sup>3</sup> (OEM and service provider), Pon Power<sup>4</sup> (OEM and service provider), Damen Schelde Naval Shipbuilding<sup>5</sup> (OEM, system integrator, and service provider), RH Marine Group<sup>6</sup> (system integrator and service provider), Alewijnse<sup>7</sup> (system integrator and service provider), and SeaMar Services<sup>8</sup> (logistics service provider). The knowledge institutes involved are Eindhoven University of Technology, University of Twente, and Netherlands Defence Academy. As a part of the MaSeLMA project, we have had regular meetings in which these industrial partners and research teams came together. These meetings have gathered 30-40 practitioners from the maritime sector. Our classification scheme is an outcome of these meetings:

- *Brainstorming meeting:* The maritime sector characteristics and challenging decisions in maintenance and service logistics management have been discussed.
- *Classification and literature survey:* As an output of the brainstorming meeting, we have prepared a two-dimensional classification scheme consisting of the maritime sector characteristics and the relevant maintenance and service logistics management topics (subdomains). We have conducted a literature survey and positioned existing papers in the classification scheme. We have identified the lessons learnt from the literature and the open research topics.
- *Feedback meeting:* We have presented the classification scheme, literature survey, lessons learnt, and open research topics to the practitioners. Our industrial partners provided feedback on our investigation. They also gave a prioritization considering the relevance and the potential impacts of these research directions (cf. Section 13).
- *Revision and extension:* Considering the feedback of the industrial partners and academic peers, we have revised our classification and extended our literature survey. In particular, some additional characteristics and subdomains have been considered and some others have been merged together.

---

<sup>1</sup> Fugro provides offshore survey, offshore geotechnical, and seabed geophysical services.

<sup>2</sup> Smith Lamnalco provides towage and associated marine services to the oil and gas terminal industry.

<sup>3</sup> Thales designs and builds electrical systems and provides services for the aerospace, defence, transportation, and security markets.

<sup>4</sup> Pon Power is the official Caterpillar engine dealer in the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, and Denmark.

<sup>5</sup> Damen Schelde Naval Shipbuilding (DSNS) is specialized in the design, construction, and assembly of naval vessels and complex commercial vessels.

<sup>6</sup> RH Marine is an integrator and service provider of electrical and automation systems.

<sup>7</sup> Alewijnse designs, integrates, and provides services for electrical engineering systems.

<sup>8</sup> SeaMar Services provides logistics and management services for the offshore and shipping industry.

As a part of the above-mentioned investigation, the maritime sector characteristics are defined as follows:

- *Multi-actor setting*: Maintenance networks involve several actors such as asset owners, system integrators, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), maintenance service providers, and logistics service providers.
- *Small amount of failure-related data*: Failure data is usually limited due to a small number of similar systems and redundant execution of preventive maintenance. Moreover, it may be difficult to use failure data from one asset on another since maritime assets operate in different and continuously changing environments.
- *Mandatory surveys*: According to the conventions provided by the International Maritime Organization and the rules defined by Classification Societies, mandatory dry-dock surveys are imposed on ships. Major overhauls and repairs of ships practically take place during these dry-docking periods.
- *System specific spare parts*: Spare parts in the maritime sector have some specific characteristics to be considered such as obsolescence, condemnation, and criticality.
- *Multi-echelon structures*: There are multi-echelon characteristics since spare part stocks can be held on the ships itself or on shore by asset owners, system integrators, service providers, or OEMs. Maintenance and repair activities can be executed at different locations (e.g., in a harbor, in a dockyard, or offshore).
- *Multi-indenture systems*: Maritime assets can be considered as a collection of technical systems having multi-indenture structures.
- *Moving assets*: Maritime assets operate at remote locations and are moving. They operate under randomly varying environments in isolation from repair and spare parts storage facilities.
- *Economic dependency*: There exist significant scale effects in maintenance set-up.
- *Long life-cycles*: Maritime assets have a useful economic life of about 25 years when they are first acquired.

Considering the challenges faced in the maritime sector and the scope of Operations Research/Management Science and Reliability Engineering fields, several subdomains have been identified. According to our discussions with practitioners, the maritime sector characteristics listed above affect the decisions and models related to the following subdomains:

- *System design*: This subdomain studies the impact of different design options (e.g., redundancy, component reliability, modularity, and commonality) to the total life-cycle-cost (LCC), i.e., the total cost to be incurred over the life of an asset. Related works focus on minimizing the total LCC considering the relation between the system design and future maintenance and resource requirements.
- *Failure prediction / degradation modelling*: This subdomain includes failure prediction, degradation modelling, and condition monitoring techniques for diagnostic and prognostic purposes. It includes works that aim at estimating reliability and remaining useful life (RUL) of components (i.e., the useful life left on a component at a particular time of operation).
- *Maintenance service contract design*: Decisions related to this subdomain include selecting a particular type of maintenance service contract, determining contract

terms, and setting appropriate service level agreements between a supplier and a customer. These require investigating maintenance, resource, and service logistics requirements of customers and defining circumstances and criteria that influence service levels and the total LCC. Other related works investigate costing, pricing, and management issues for maintenance service contracts.

- *Maintenance strategy selection*: This subdomain focuses on selecting the best maintenance strategy for a certain system, part, or component to find the optimum balance between benefits of maintenance and related costs. Different maintenance strategies are classified as corrective (failure-based), preventive (scheduled-based), and predictive (condition-based) maintenance.
- *Maintenance planning*: This subdomain investigates several decisions related to the planning of maintenance activities and maintenance related tasks such as inspections, replacements, repairs, and overhauls. These include: (1) finding the optimal intervals to execute maintenance related tasks, (2) scheduling of maintenance related tasks, (3) determining components to be repaired or to be discarded upon failure, (4) for systems repaired by replacement of a component (called line replaceable unit, LRU), determining the appropriate LRU level from a maintenance logistics perspective, (5) determining the right location to execute maintenance and repair tasks in the maintenance network, (6) optimal allocation and dimensioning of maintenance resources such as service engineers and service tools (i.e., tools used by service engineers for maintenance and repair tasks).
- *Spare parts inventory management*: This subdomain covers the literature related to spare parts classification, demand forecasting, inventory control, and supply chain network design considering, e.g., emergency shipments, lateral transshipments, and spare parts pooling.

The studies presented in this survey were found in journals, conference proceedings, and books using mainly Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar combining keywords such as “maintenance”, “service logistics”, “spare parts inventory”, “maintenance service contract”, “optimization”, “maritime”, “marine”, “ship” etc. We have expanded our search considering the references cited in these studies, performing a citation search to find other publications that cite them, and reviewing the publication lists of their authors. We have observed that the number of studies providing a direct maritime application is very limited, in particular, under characteristics such as moving assets and economic dependency. However, these characteristics are not specific to the maritime sector and are also relevant for many other capital goods such as aircrafts, trains, trucks, and other commercial vehicles. Some generic models, methods, and concepts are likely to be applicable to the maritime sector. That is why, we consider a wide range of maintenance and service logistics related studies in our survey and discuss the applicability of the existing models, methods, and concepts to the maritime sector.

Table 1 presents the positioning of the references according to the characteristics in the maritime sector and the subdomains considered. The references that provide a direct maritime application are depicted by “⚓” in Table 1. An empty cell in Table 1 represents a characteristic-subdomain combination that is either irrelevant to study or corresponds to a potential future research direction. We note that a high number of references in a certain characteristic-subdomain combination does not necessarily imply that the relevant research area is saturated. Section 13 provides a link between Table 1 and the open research topics.

<b>Characteristics /Subdomains</b>	<b>System design</b>	<b>Failure prediction / Degradation modelling</b>	<b>Maintenance service contract design</b>	<b>Maintenance strategy selection</b>	<b>Maintenance planning</b>	<b>Spare parts inventory management</b>
Multi-actor setting	[2]‡, [26]‡, [27], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32]		[33]‡, [34]‡, [35]‡, [36], [37], [38], [39], [40], [41], [42], [43], [44]	[45]‡, [46], [47]	[48], [47], [49]	[50], [51], [52], [53], [54]
Small amount of failure-related data		[55]‡, [56]‡, [57]‡, [58]‡, [59]‡, [60]‡, [61]‡, [62]‡, [63]‡, [64]‡, [65]‡, [66], [67] [68], [69], [70], [71], [72], [73], [74], [75], [76], [77], [78], [79]		[25]‡, [80]‡, [81]‡, [82]‡, [83]‡, [84]‡, [85], [86], [87], [88], [89], [90]	[15], [91]‡, [92]‡, [93]‡, [94]‡, [95]‡, [96]‡, [97]‡, [98], [99], [100]	[24]‡, [101]‡, [102], [103], [104], [105], [106], [107], [108], [109]
Mandatory surveys				[80]‡	[7]‡, [110]‡, [111]‡, [112]‡, [113]‡, [114]‡, [115]‡, [116]‡, [117], [118], [119], [120], [121], [122], [123], [124], [125]	
System specific spare parts	[28], [31]				[126], [127], [128], [129]	[22]‡, [130]‡, [131]‡, [132]‡, [133], [134], [135], [136], [137], [138], [139], [140], [141], [142], [143], [144], [145], [146], [147], [148], [149], [150], [151], [152], [153], [154], [155], [156], [157]



<b>Characteristics /Subdomains</b>	<b>System design</b>	<b>Failure prediction / Degradation modelling</b>	<b>Maintenance service contract design</b>	<b>Maintenance strategy selection</b>	<b>Maintenance planning</b>	<b>Spare parts inventory management</b>
Multi-echelon structures					[21]‡, [158]‡, [159], [160]	[17], [18], [161]‡, [162]‡, [130]‡, [159], [160], [16], [163], [164], [165], [166], [167], [168], [169], [170], [171], [172], [173], [174], [175], [176], [177], [178], [179], [180], [181], [182], [183]
Multi-indenture structures					[21]‡, [184]‡, [185]‡, [186]‡, [117], [118], [119], [187]	[130]‡, [162]‡, [188], [189], [190], [191], [192],
Moving assets		[193], [194], [195], [196], [197]			[91]‡, [185]‡, [65], [198], [199], [200], [201], [202], [203], [204], [205], [206], [207]	[24]‡
Economic dependency					[111]‡, [208]‡, [117], [119], [120], [209], [210], [211], [212]	
Long life-cycles	[213]‡, [214]‡, [215]‡, [216]‡		[217], [218]		[219], [220], [221]	[142], [144], [145], [146], [147], [222], [223], [224]

Table 1. Classification of the literature (characteristics/subdomains matrix)

Table 2 shows the problem-solving techniques and methods that are commonly applied within the subdomains considered. These include mathematical optimization (e.g., mixed integer, nonlinear, or stochastic programming, multi-objective optimization, etc.), reliability analysis (e.g., failure mode and effects analysis, failure diagnostics and prognostics analysis, Bayesian network approach, fatigues, corrosion, and wear analysis), data analysis (e.g., predictive analytics, statistical methods), decision analysis (e.g., multi-criteria decision analysis, analytical hierarchy process), game theory, Markov decision processes, queueing theory, and simulation.

Characteristics /Methods	System design	Failure prediction / Degradation modelling	Maintenance service contract design	Maintenance strategy selection	Maintenance planning	Spare parts inventory manag.
Mathematical optimization	x			x	x	x
Reliability analysis	x	x		x		
Data analysis		x	x			
Decision analysis			x	x		x
Markov decision processes					x	x
Queueing theory						x
Game theory			x			x
Simulation		x	x		x	x

Table 2. Problem-solving techniques and methods

#### 4 Multi-actor setting

Marine system suppliers (i.e., OEMs and system integrators) usually offer warranty/support services during a certain period of time and provide asset owners with deliverables such as maintenance plans, spare parts, training, and test equipment that guide them to maintaining their asset. The warranty is the most basic and common type of contracting between the suppliers and the asset owners. The warranty support mostly concerns the repair of failures by suppliers upon the request of asset owners during the warranty period. After the warranty period, asset owners have the full responsibility on their system, but maintenance, repair, or provision actions can be performed by OEMs, system integrators, or service providers upon their request. The current situation in the maritime sector mostly represents an “on-demand” operational model where the demand of asset owners is highly uncertain causing difficulties in planning the future maintenance, repair, overhaul, or provision activities. This results in long lead times and/or high costs for meeting the requests. Clearly, this operational model is not beneficial for none of the actors involved. The MaSelMa project has the goal of

providing the maritime actors with opportunities for new business through service level agreements.

#### **4.1 State-of-the-art**

The multi-actor characteristic in maintenance and service logistics management raises questions related to maintenance strategy selection, maintenance planning, maintenance service contract design, spare parts inventory management, and system design. This section presents the state-of-the-art that includes the multi-actor characteristic in these subdomains.

The warranty servicing costs directly affect the profit of suppliers. One way to reduce these costs is to make appropriate decisions in selecting maintenance strategies. For instance, carrying out preventive maintenance (PM) before a failure occurs could reduce the degradation and the likelihood of failures during the warranty period. From the asset owner's perspective, PM actions over the warranty period can have a significant impact on the maintenance costs after the expiration of warranty. The literature dealing with warranty and PM issues simultaneously is significant (see, e.g., [46], [47], [48], [49]). We refer to [47] for a comprehensive literature review on maintenance and warranty.

Traditional manufacturers tend to offer maintenance and repair services due to financial drivers (e.g., stability of income and higher profit margin), strategic drivers (e.g., competitive opportunities and advantage), and marketing drivers (e.g., customer relationships and product differentiation) [44]. For the customer, the main reasons to contract out maintenance tasks rather than perform them in-house are focusing on core business, accessing highly specialized services at competitive costs, and sharing risks [54]. Van Horenbeek et al. [36] give a thorough overview of the existing literature on maintenance service contracts and existing business models. Performance-based contracts focusing on predefined equipment availability targets are shown to be the most successful type of contracts in capital-intensive industries such as aircraft, defense, automotive, and construction. For instance, engine manufacturers such as General Electric, Pratt & Whitney, and Rolls-Royce have implemented performance-based contracts with commercial airlines in which their compensation is tied to equipment availability in terms of hours flown [51], [225]. A recent review and synthesis of the performance-based contracting literature is provided in [37].

The long-term maintenance service contracting brings with it significant challenges. First, the decision of establishing a certain type of contract should be made based on well-founded computations. In this regard, Ashgarizadeh and Murthy [38] present a stochastic model to investigate the impact of different factors (such as contract terms, equipment reliability, the number of customers being serviced) on the supplier's expected profit using a game theoretical approach. Wang [39] presents a model for maintenance service contract design, negotiation and optimization for one customer and one supplier considering different contract options.

Second, suppliers need to assess the cost and the price of their service offerings properly to guarantee their profit and to stay competitive. Datta and Roy [40] review existing knowledge in cost estimation models for costing performance-based service contracts. Among most commonly adopted practices, they cite the use of expert opinion and analogy-based cost estimation. The literature also provides some examples of simulation models (see, e.g., [33], [41], [42]).

Third, suppliers should determine how to fulfill the pre-defined performance requirements by taking sound decisions in spare parts inventory planning. In this regard, there exist a few works that can provide guidance to the suppliers. For instance, Nowicki et al. [50] develop a multi-echelon, multi-item model that produces the optimal mix of spare parts maximizing the profit of a supplier under a performance-based contract. Kim et al. [51] combine the classical problem of managing the inventory of repairable parts with a multitask principal-agent model and analyze incentives provided by different contracting arrangements. Mirzahosseini and Piplani [52] provide a repairable parts inventory model for a system operating under a performance-based service contract and analyze the interaction between inventory management, component reliability, and repair facility efficiency. Van der Heijden et al. [53] study the trade-off between repairable parts inventory level and repair and transportation time reductions. Godoy et al. [54] provide a decision-making framework to profitably integrate the contractual conditions with critical spare parts management.

Finally, suppliers should start considering the future maintenance and logistics requirements early in the design phase of the systems [12]. The literature shows that different design options strongly affect the future maintenance and logistics requirements of customers. Turan et al. [2] discuss the effect of different design variables of ship structures on the total LCC. They develop a life-cycle costing model for structural optimization during conceptual design phase of ships. Wang et al. [26] present a multi-objective model for safety-based design and maintenance optimization of large marine engineering systems. In other capital-intensive industries, several works consider the implementation of build-in redundancy for critical components (i.e., having a number of identical parts in parallel) and investigate the trade-off between the increase in the initial system cost and the decrease in maintenance and downtime costs during the life-cycle (see, e.g., [27], [28], [29]). Some other works consider the impact of different component reliability levels on the total system LCC (see, e.g., [30], [32], [52]). Modularity and commonality options are shown as other potential cost-effective alternatives due to the benefits resulting from spare parts pooling [31]. From the supplier's perspective, it could be beneficial to invest in these design options to fulfill the performance requirements of long-term performance-based contracts at the lowest total LCC.

## **4.2 Lessons learned**

The outcomes in other capital-intensive industries such as aircraft, defense, automotive and construction illustrate the good potential of performance-based service contracting in terms of higher profits, system availability and reliability (see, e.g., [32], [225]). The lessons learned from the existing literature can be summarized as follow:

- In general, the choice of implementing a particular maintenance service contract between a supplier and a customer should be based on well-founded decisions [36], [39]. The maritime sector is not an exception. Asset owners should decide on what should be outsourced, what kind of relationship with the supplier should be adopted and how should the risks of outsourcing be managed [43]. Suppliers should consider the possibility of realizing higher system availabilities by collecting more statistics on failure behavior and by sharing spare parts and other maintenance resources with multiple asset owners [18].
- Companies recognize that delivering services is more complex than manufacturing

products [44]. Traditional manufacturers who would like to provide integrated maintenance services should make important transformations in their processes and structures. In particular, they should design the maintenance services to be provided, redesign their organizational strategy, and deal with organizational transformation issues (due to the necessary shift from a product-centric strategy to a customer-centric strategy). In the Dutch maritime sector, Keers et al. [35] observe that both asset owners and OEMs experience considerable problems in establishing successful service alliances. For the OEMs, there is lack of knowledge, skill, and experience in controlling and planning the service processes. For asset owners an organizational transformation is necessary in order to assess and control the service performance [35].

- In performance-based maintenance contracting, determining the right performance indicator is crucial [50]. In addition, an extensive performance measurement system should be in place to effectively assess the current equipment performance. It would be helpful to use condition monitoring technologies to monitor the key performance indicators. In addition, data gathered through condition monitoring can be used by the supplier to schedule maintenance tasks according to the actual condition of the equipment (i.e., to execute condition-based maintenance). This may reduce the risks and increase the benefits in terms of cost, availability, and reliability [33], [34]. Besides, monitoring information can provide valuable operational feedback to the supplier.
- Performance-based service contracts are either standard or the outcome of negotiations [36]. Determining the right performance level for a chosen performance indicator requires defining all circumstances and criteria that influence the performance. From the suppliers' perspective, several issues are to be dealt with. First, the supplier should determine how to fulfill the performance requirements by finding new and improved ways to manage the resources required. In the long run, the supplier may find it in its best interest to invest in more efficient repair and logistics capabilities [51]. Second, the suppliers should consider how to manage their relationships with their own suppliers. In the maritime sector, this can be a big issue especially for system integrators working with various OEMs.
- Some other challenges are related to the costing of performance-based service contracts by the suppliers. These include reliability of data supplied by the asset owners, consideration of uncertainties at different stages of service life-cycle, uncertainties of the asset owners' contribution to availability performance, and prediction of future maintenance activities. It is necessary to increase the cooperation and information sharing between the suppliers and the asset owners to remedy these issues.
- The consideration of maintenance and logistics requirements should start early in the design phase of systems [12]. In a performance-based contracting context, Mirzahosseini and Piplani [52] argue that in order to improve the system availability, suppliers should primarily work to improve the components reliability by revising system design. Moreover, OEMs that provide similar systems to other industries beside the maritime sector (e.g., engine manufacturers) should take a closer look into the marine circumstances and usage characteristics to adapt the system design accordingly.

### **4.3 Future research directions**

Regarding the multi-actor characteristic in the maritime sector, some unsolved issues remain for future consideration:

- In order for the traditional manufacturers to extend their role in providing integrated maintenance services, they should make the necessary changes in their processes and organizational structures (service design, performance control system, organizational transformation, etc.) [44]. In this regard, the best practices that can help marine system manufacturers are limited [35].
- One should analyze the impact of shifting from on-demand service contracts to performance-based service contracts in terms of asset availability, reliability, and the total LCC through studying the associated maintenance and resource requirements.
- It is worthwhile to study how to determine appropriate service level agreements in maintenance service contracts by taking all maintenance resource requirements into account and different cost-effective options such as resource sharing, pooling, and maintenance tasks coordination for several customers.
- How a system integrator can align service levels guaranteed to asset owners with the service levels quoted by its own suppliers (i.e. OEMs) remains an open research question.
- Additional research is needed to study the relation between the system design, operating environment, usage characteristics, and the future maintenance and resource requirements considering the total LCC.

## **5 Small amount of failure-related data**

In the maritime sector, reliability information is usually not available to the degree needed for the prediction of failure times. Little historical data is available to perform forecasts from failures. The so-called “one-off systems” are very common in certain types of maritime assets (naval ships, survey vessels, etc.). These systems are designed to fulfill the specific needs of the asset owners, they are unique and non-standard. Moreover, maritime assets operate in different and inherently variable conditions that influence the failure rates [24]. This makes the use of failure data from one system on another difficult even for identical standard systems [25]. Asset owners usually follow the recommendations of OEMs and system integrators which often results in too much preventive maintenance [226]. Non-compliance with the recommendations during the guarantee period could remove the supplier from any obligations in case of a claim. Besides, asset owners would not permit occurrences of failures so as to get the failure data [25]. This leads to the contradiction stated by Moubray [86]: “successful preventive maintenance entails preventing the collection of the historical data which we think we need in order to decide what preventive maintenance we ought to be doing”.

### **5.1 State-of-the-art**

The lack of failure data in the maritime sector requires the application of some specific techniques to deal with data related issues. In this section, we summarize existing works that can cope with data related issues in failure prediction / degradation

modelling, maintenance strategy selection, maintenance planning, and spare parts inventory management.

When the failure data is limited, one can resort to methods that can transform the data from experts' judgement, feedback experience, and observations into a suitable format for failure prediction and degradation modelling. The Bayesian Network (BN) approach is shown to be useful for this purpose. For instance, Celeux et al. [66] use the BN approach in describing a causal representation of the phenomena involved in the degradation process of a nuclear plant mechanical system. Langseth and Portinale [67] discuss the applicability of BNs for reliability analysis. They conclude that the BN approach is preferable since it facilitates the interaction with experts and is computationally efficient. Weber et al. [68] analyze the evolution of the literature about the BN approach and summarize the applications on maintenance and reliability fields. A maritime application of the BN approach is provided in [55] for improving remaining life predictions of a ship's iron structures.

In the maritime sector, a shift from preventive (scheduled-based) maintenance towards predictive (condition-based) maintenance is observable (see, e.g., [226], [227]). This is consistent with the deliverables of reliability-centered maintenance (RCM) that was first applied in the aircraft industry [87], [98]. RCM is a structured approach that is used to determine a cost-effective maintenance strategy by focusing on the items that affect the system reliability. Basically, it consists of prioritizing function need, considering all applicable forms of maintenance and employing the most appropriate and cost-effective maintenance tasks in preserving system function. In RCM, all forms of maintenance and even the need for maintenance are considered [25]. Since 1980s, RCM has found several applications in the maritime sector. First, Jambulingam and Jardine [80] demonstrate the integration of RCM and LCC concepts on a part of an air conditioning system of the Canadian naval ships. They show that a considerable amount of savings can be obtained with the implementation of this approach. Mokashi et al. [25] discuss the specific problems likely to be encountered in implementing RCM on maritime assets. In the absence of sufficient failure data imposed by the traditional RCM approach, they propose a subjective qualitative approach. They show that the guideline principles of RCM can help to plan a maintenance strategy. The requirements to improve reliability of maritime systems based on RCM is listed by the American Bureau of Shipping [228]. Conachey [81] discusses the technical background of this study and provides additional information related to maintenance and risk analysis of maritime systems. Lazakis et al. [82] propose a criticality and reliability assessment methodology by using operational data and expert judgments. Their methodology was demonstrated on a diesel generator system of a cruise ship. A similar methodology is also considered by Turan et al. [83] and illustrated on the main systems of a diving support vessel. Recently, Zaal and Kuijt [84] have presented a methodology that streamlines the RCM approach by assessing only the functional critical failure modes. A propulsion gearbox has been used as a test case to demonstrate the proposed RCM approach.

Given a choice RCM often prefers condition-based maintenance (CBM) to scheduled-based maintenance [25], [85]. CBM is a maintenance program that recommends maintenance decisions based on the information collected through condition monitoring [88]. It becomes a feasible option when a measurable condition indicator has been identified and it is possible to set warning limits using this indicator. Scarf [89] presents a framework for determining an appropriate CBM policy for a given system and provides practitioners with guidelines for CBM. Three steps can be

distinguished for a CBM program: data acquisition (information collecting), data processing (information handling) and maintenance decision making [88].

There exist two main types of data collected in a CBM program: event data and condition monitoring data. Event data include the information on previous breakdowns, overhauls, and maintenance practices. Condition monitoring data contain measurements related to the health condition of the asset under consideration. So far, various sensor technologies have been designed to collect different types of condition monitoring data. These technologies have already found applications in the maritime sector for ship hulls (see, e.g., [56], [57]) and marine engines (see, e.g., [58], [59]).

The condition of the equipment under consideration can be monitored either continuously or at specified periods. If the equipment condition is not monitored continuously, the interval between inspections has to be determined so that actions can be taken in time, either to prevent failures or to minimize the consequences of those [98]. Delay-time-based modelling is one of the techniques for optimizing inspection planning. It has attracted significant attention and widely applied by researchers in the maintenance field. Some successful applications are also presented for maritime assets (see, e.g., [91], [92], [93], [94]). A recent comprehensive review on the applications and developments regarding delay-time concept is provided by Wang [15]. Risk-based inspection (RBI) is another concept used for inspection planning. RBI planning aims at optimizing inspection by focusing on equipment with high risk which are more likely to fail, causing damage to people and environment and resulting in high costs in case of failure. We refer to Hamada et al. [95], Kallen and van Noortwijk [96], Rizzo et al. [97] for more information about the applications of this concept in the maritime sector.

Data processing is an essential step in a CBM program. Different methods and models are available in the literature for better understanding and interpretation of data. These are used to accomplish fault diagnostics and/or prognostics and constitute the basis of maintenance decision support. Diagnostics refer to fault detection, isolation and identification when it occurs. Prognostics deal with fault prediction before it occurs and focus on RUL estimations. A comprehensive literature review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing CBM can be found in Jardine et al. [88]. Recent literature reviews on machinery prognostics and RUL estimation techniques are provided by Peng et al. [69] and Si et al. [70], respectively.

The literature on machinery diagnostics is huge and diverse [88]. There exists a large and growing variety of condition monitoring techniques for fault diagnosis. A particularly popular one for rotating and reciprocal machinery is vibration analysis (see e.g., [99], [100]). This technique is also found effective for marine machinery (see, e.g., [58], [60]). Prognostics is still a relatively new but very active research area in aircraft, automotive, nuclear, process controls, and defense industries [69]. Analyses of maritime machinery such as marine engines (see, e.g., [61], [59]), compressors (see, e.g., [62]), and ship hulls (see, e.g., [63], [64]) are also available in the literature.

Prognostic methods that are based on historical failure data are called experience-based methods. These methods rely on the collection of a sufficiently large set of failure data and require a numerical relation describing the data [75]. An alternative approach is to use model-based methods, in which physical models are used to describe the degradation process of the component and its failure mechanism. Although model-based methods has a big potential in maintenance modeling and prognostics, applications in this field is limited [65]. Tinga [75] proposes a framework for model-based maintenance, in which physical models are applied to predict failures of different



components. He demonstrates that when the failure mechanisms are known and the usage or loading of the components is monitored, the uncertainty in the prediction of failures can be significantly reduced. Roemer and co-authors provide several studies in which they apply physical models to systems such as bearings, gear tooth, and electronic systems (see, e.g., [76], [77], [78]).

Maritime assets are composed of technical systems that may comprise electronic units for which diagnostics and prognostics require additional challenges. The no failure found (NFF) phenomenon is a major problem when dealing with fault diagnosis of electronic units. A NFF event occurs when an electronic unit has been removed from a technical system due to a fault but the external test does not discover any fault on it. Within the aircraft industry, about 50% of electronic units removed during the utilization and support stages are classified as NFF [71]. NFF events may be manifested in decreased safety and availability and increased life-cycle costs for maritime assets. It is the aircraft industry that has done most of the work in this area [72]. The methods presented in the literature (see, e.g., [73], [74]) can also be considered to reduce or eliminate NFF events in the maritime sector.

Spare parts inventories exist for serving the needs of maintenance. Their unavailability results in higher downtimes. In general, demand for spare parts is difficult to forecast using historical data only [24]. In the maritime sector, little data is available to perform forecasts based on time series forecasting. Wang and Syntetos [109] present a generic method that can be used to make accurate predictions of spare parts demand. They provide a spare parts demand forecasting method by looking at the maintenance processes that generate the relevant demand patterns. Under certain conditions, this maintenance-based forecasting method is associated with a better performance in comparison with a well-known time series method.

By using the information on the physical condition of the equipment, it is also possible to update replacement and inventory decisions dynamically. For instance, Elwany and Gebraeel [102] integrate prognostics (by referring to RUL estimations) into replacement and spare parts decision models. Similarly, Louit et al. [103] present a model directed to the determination of the ordering decision for a spare part when the component in operation is subject to a condition monitoring program. Other related works incorporating condition monitoring information into spare parts inventory decisions can be found in [101], [104], [105], [106].

## **5.2 Lessons learned**

In the maritime sector, there is a trend to shift from scheduled-based maintenance towards CBM (see, e.g., [226], [227]). Indeed, the rapid development of advanced sensor technologies is making the implementation of CBM more affordable and feasible for the maritime sector. However, the following points should be considered while adopting a CBM strategy:

- The importance of event data should not be underestimated since event data and condition monitoring data are equally important in CBM [88]. For an already existing and old vessel, obtaining event data can be difficult. Ideally, event data shall be recorded starting from the early stages of the vessel life and data collection and reporting should be automated through a maintenance information system.
- Information on the operational environment should also be taken into account for an effective maintenance decision making. Since environmental influences can largely

affect the failure behavior of maritime assets, models and methods that enable the consideration of environmental variables are more appropriate for the maritime sector (see, e.g., [64], [24]).

- Peng et al. [69] summarize some general weaknesses of prognostics. These include the difficulties and high cost of collecting abundant data and the lack of a judgment criterion for the accuracy of existing prognostic techniques. These weaknesses lead to a long learning and implementation time of maintenance techniques based on prognostics.
- In model-based prognostics, much less failure data is needed compared with experience-based models since the physical mechanisms characterize the failure behavior. Moreover, changes in usage of the system can easily be incorporated into model-based methods by using the quantitative relation between usage and degradation. However, this requires a detailed understanding of the system. Considerable effort is generally needed to develop the physical models [65].
- Jardine et al. [88] argue that prognostics is much more efficient than diagnostics to achieve zero-downtime performance. However, asset availability also depends on the availability of maintenance resources such as spare parts, maintenance engineers, service tools, and maintenance facilities ([107], [108]). Alabdulkarim et al. [90] provide numerical evidence about the fact that a higher asset monitoring level does not always guarantee a higher asset availability. If maintenance resources are not managed efficiently, investing in high cost technologies may be useless as a higher performance of the assets is not guaranteed.

### **5.3 Future research directions**

- While implementing prognostics and CBM, the error between predicted RUL and real RUL can be significant if the influence of environmental variables are not properly quantified and taken into account [69]. It is worthwhile to study how to incorporate the error and uncertainties in RUL estimations into maintenance and resource planning [70], [79].
- A comprehensive analysis to quantify the impact of using different monitoring levels by taking resource constraints and logistics capabilities of the companies into account is lacking. This would enable the determination of conditions under which higher monitoring levels result in higher system availability.
- More research is required to effectively integrate prognostics with maintenance and resource planning.

## **6 Mandatory surveys**

The vast majority of ships are built to and periodically surveyed for compliance with the rules defined by Classification Societies. A Classification Society is an organization that provides classification and statutory services and assistance to the maritime sector together with the requirements set down by the International Maritime Organization. After the assignment of a class (usually reflecting the ship's tonnage and intended use), each ship is subject to a specified program of periodic surveys. These are typically based on a five-year cycle and consist of annual surveys, an intermediate survey, and a class renewal/special survey held every 5 years [229]. These surveys may include out-

water examinations that require the ship to be dry-docked to verify whether the ship continues to meet the relevant requirements. The length of mandatory dry-docking intervals depends on the ship's class and age. For instance, general commercial ships are dry-docked on average every 2.5 years [230]. Major overhaul, repair, and maintenance of ships often take place during dry-docking.

### **6.1 State-of-the-art**

In the period between mandatory surveys, it is usually the asset owner's responsibility to properly maintain their ships [229]. For each system, selecting an appropriate maintenance strategy between major overhauls (accompanied by mandatory surveys) is crucial to meet the pre-specified technical and safety requirements at a fair cost. Jambulingam and Jardine [80] analyze this problem for a marine system. The objective of their work is to determine whether the system requires preventive maintenance (PM) between two major overhauls, if so to find the optimal PM interval. In a similar vein, Artana and Ishida [110] investigate the optimal maintenance interval between two dock replacements for the components used in a ship's ballast system.

Mandatory dry-dockings and overhauls pose both a restriction for availability and an opportunity for clustering maintenance tasks. In a holistic way, it can be less expensive and more convenient to perform preventive maintenance for a certain component during dry-docking of the ship rather than at the optimum preventive maintenance time for that component. This has a strong relation with the problem of establishing group maintenance and opportunistic maintenance policies considering economic and structural dependence of different components. This research area has received considerable attention in the literature (see, e.g., [117], [118], [119], [120]). Galante and Passannanti [111] consider the maintenance of marine systems (e.g., propulsion or steering systems) whose major components can be maintained only during a planned system downtime, i.e. the major overhaul. Focusing on an opportunistic maintenance policy, they propose an algorithm to determine the set of components that must be maintained to guarantee a required reliability level up to the next major overhaul with the minimum cost.

The literature on aircraft maintenance planning and scheduling would be helpful to cope with some problems related to maritime assets maintenance. During the major overhaul of an aircraft (termed heavy maintenance), the aircraft is temporarily taken out of service and inspections of various system components, structural modifications, and/or alternations are performed. This is similar to what happens during the major overhaul of maritime assets. The majority of research in this area focuses on the resource-constrained maintenance scheduling of a fleet of aircraft (see, e.g., [121], [122]). We refer to [123] and the references therein for further information on this research area. Similar applications in the maritime sector can be found in [7] and [112].

Maintenance tasks that have not been planned and identified before the dry-docking starts, result in significant additional costs to asset owners [113]. Similarly, about 50% of the total work involved in heavy maintenance of aircrafts are unplanned maintenance activities arising out of inspections carried out during an aircraft lay-up [123]. A framework that facilitates a proactive response that mitigates the impact of such unpredictable maintenance activities in aircraft maintenance can be found in [123].

From the viewpoint of dockyards, efficient management of capacity and time limits is a critical issue. De Waard [114] develops a process-oriented organization model

applied to the Royal Netherlands Navy Dockyard through pilot tests, to cope with high degree of uncertainties associated with customer demand and maintenance execution time, and tight requirements regarding due dates, cost, quality, and flexibility. His model includes elements regarding the design of production, control, and information structures. De Boer et al. [115] provide a decision making support in process planning, aggregate capacity planning, and scheduling also for the Royal Netherlands Navy Dockyard. A necessary condition in order to complete maintenance, overhaul, and modification projects in time is the availability of materials and spare parts. This issue is studied by Joo [124] in the aircraft industry. He focuses on the problem of scheduling overhauls for a small fleet of aircrafts when available spare parts are limited and overhauls may not be delayed beyond a due time. The dynamic programming approach proposed in this study is also applicable to maritime assets.

For asset owners, selecting the dockyard where periodic dry-dockings are to be performed is crucial since the relevant processes are critical. Several criteria such as quality of service, capacity limitations, geographical advantages, relevant costs, and time limits should be taken into account. A multi-criteria approach for selection among dockyards is developed in [116]. A real case application is presented where the capacity of the dockyard is shown to be the most important criteria for asset owners.

## **6.2 *Lessons learned***

- The majority of the literature on heavy maintenance in the aircraft industry can address the problems encountered in planning and scheduling of major overhauls/mandatory surveys in the maritime sector.
- In practice, asset owners generally work with dockyards situated in the geographical area where their assets are operating. It is shown that dry-dock availability can be an issue, leading to the use of other yards on an ad hoc basis [230]. Major overhauls should be planned in advance to make appropriate dry-docking appointments. However, accurately estimating activities and resources to be required before dry-docking is a big challenge for maritime assets [113].

## **6.3 *Future research directions***

- The integration of different maintenance strategies such as failure-based, age/usage-based, and condition-based with the fixed maintenance interval concept stemming from the periodic surveys has received little attention in the literature, the only exception that we are aware of is Zhu et al. [125].
- The research on maintenance scheduling for a fleet of ships under limited resource capacity including spare parts, maintenance engineers and dockyard space has been scarce.
- Limited number of works takes into account imperfect predictions made before dry-docking regarding maintenance activities to be performed and resources to be required. De Waard [114] describes a qualitative approach to cope with such uncertainties by re-designing the organizational structure. Future research is required to develop quantitative approaches in this respect.

## 7 System specific spare parts

Insufficient spare part stocks may affect the overall performance of maritime companies, as lack of spare parts results in longer downtimes, lower availability and/or increased operational risks [141]. Several aspects such as criticality, condemnation, obsolescence, and cannibalization should be considered while making spare parts inventory and maintenance planning decisions in the maritime sector.

### 7.1 *State-of-the-art*

The spare parts classification is a crucial step in spare parts management since it enables a better management of different items by taking into account their characteristics (see, e.g., [133], [134], [135]). Distinctive characteristics include parts' criticality (economic, environmental, and safety consequences of a part failure), usage (demand volume, demand variability, and redundancy), inventory (price, space required, and obsolescence rate) and supply characteristics (replenishment lead time, supplier availability, risk of non-supply, and part specificity) [135]. For spare parts that possess distinctive characteristics, using several criteria as a basis for classification is particularly useful. This has led researchers to suggest different types of multi-criteria approaches for spare part inventory classification [165]. A well-known method used as a tool for classifying spare parts is the analytical hierarchy process (AHP) (see, e.g., [136], [137], [138]). We refer to Roda et al. [135] for advantages and disadvantages of various multi-criteria spare parts classification approaches proposed in the literature.

In spare parts management, adopting an integrated view in spare parts classification, demand forecasting and inventory management improves the overall effectiveness. To the best of our knowledge, Braglia et al. [137] provide the first paper in this direction by relating the classification of spare parts and inventory management policies. Mohammaditabar et al. [139] propose an integrated model to classify the parts and find the best inventory policy simultaneously. Bacchetti et al. [152] propose differentiated forecasting and inventory policies for different categories of spare parts. For a case study organization, their simulation results show that a total logistics cost reduction of about 20% can be achieved. A literature review on theoretical contributions of spare parts classification and demand forecasting can be found in Bacchetti and Saccani [153].

In capital-intensive industries spare parts stock is often composed of repairable and non-repairable parts. Repairable parts are the ones which are repaired and returned to use rather than discarded. These are usually expensive and/or specific parts with a long life. Typical problems are concerned with their optimal stocking levels, the location of these stocks, and the effective use of limited resource capacity for repair tasks. Dhakar et al. [140] present an approach to find optimal stock levels for repairable parts which are critical to operations and having low demand. Louit et al. [141] concentrate on relatively expensive and critical parts for which they present various approaches for the determination of the optimal stock levels, when the stock is composed of repairable and non-repairable parts. Sleptchenko et al. [131] study the trade-off between inventory and repair capacity by presenting a simultaneous optimization for both decisions. Sleptchenko et al. [132] show that assigning repair priorities to repairable parts can reduce stock investment. In both studies, the methods proposed are used for naval ships. A complicating factor regarding repairable parts is the condemnation aspect which refers to the fact that not all failed repairable parts can be repaired; some may be

condemned and have to be replaced by new procurements. We refer to [18], [22], [142] for discussions on the incorporation of condemnation from a modelling point of view. A review of repairable spare parts models and applications can be found in [143].

Obsolescence is a crucial problem in many sectors including the maritime sector. From the suppliers' perspective, parts become obsolete when there is no demand for them. It is often possible to know in advance or to estimate reasonably accurately when the equipment in use will be retired (see also [154] for link between demand forecasting and obsolescence). In such a setting, Pinç and Dekker [144] propose an approximate solution to the problem of when to change the inventory control policy to reduce obsolete inventories while balancing availability. From the users' perspective, it will be difficult to procure the parts if the supplier discontinues the production. Several studies focus on finding the optimal final order quantity to be placed at the supplier, so that it covers the demand for parts until the equipment retirement (see, e.g., [142], [145], [146], [147] and the references therein).

In practice, the demand of spare parts can be met through cannibalism of a functioning part in another system when other procurement options are very costly. Fisher and Brennan [148] examine various cannibalization policies using a simulation approach to answer the question whether cannibalization should be done and when. Fisher [149] presents a continuous Markov process model for evaluating the performance of a maintenance system with spares, repair, cannibalization, and manpower constraints and identify desirable cannibalization policies under various parameters. Sherbrooke [17] evaluates the increase in availability that could be achieved under cannibalization. Numerical examples of Salman et al. [150] demonstrate that investments in spare parts inventories can reduce the need for and the value of cannibalization. These studies represent initial efforts in modelling the effect of cannibalization on the overall performance.

Maintenance models often rely on the assumption that spare parts are always available in stock [126]. As such, one can optimize maintenance and spare parts inventory decisions independently. However, this approach may lead to poor solutions since it ignores the interdependencies between maintenance and inventory decisions. The literature shows that the joint optimization provides remarkable improvement in terms of cost and service levels compared to separate optimization (see, e.g., [127], [128], [129]). In the last decades, several joint maintenance and inventory optimization models have been developed. We refer to [126] for a literature review on these models.

Additive manufacturing (AM), also known as 3D printing, has rapidly advanced and evolved over the last decade. In the maritime sector, AM can be used in manufacturing spare parts and conducting repairs [231]. Since this technology enables to locally print the spare parts on demand, it would reduce replenishment lead times and the costs associated with transportation, inventory holding, and obsolescence. The literature that considers AM in service logistics is limited since the field is recent. Khajavi et al. [156] evaluate the potential impact of AM improvements on the configuration of spare parts supply chains through scenario modelling of a real-life system in the aeronautics industry. Holmström and Partanen [155] explore how the AM may affect the relationship among logistics service providers (LSPs), users, and manufacturers.

## **7.2 Lessons learned**

- The literature disclosed the need for a multi-criteria perspective for classifying spare

parts. The most common criteria used in the literature is the stock-out cost and replenishment lead time [135]. According to our company interviews, those are also amongst the most popular criteria currently in use by the asset owners involved in the MaSeLMa project. Other important criteria revealed are criticality in terms of environmental and safety consequence of a part failure, redundancy level, and part specificity emanating from one-off systems. The literature emphasizes the need of differentiating the policies between different classes of spare parts [151]. However, this requires more data collection and induces further computational complexity compared to existing approaches.

- Repairable inventory systems are common in the maritime sector. The literature shows that these systems are considerably more complicated than traditional non-repairable ones since there are a number of complicating factors to consider. These include: imbalance between demand and returns of repairable parts and the risk of condemnation [143]. As pointed out by Rustenburg et al. [22], the condemnation rates can be as high as 30% in the naval defense industry. This important aspect should be incorporated into repairable inventory models explicitly.
- Maintenance and spare parts management are strongly interconnected since maintenance relies on the availability of spare parts. Assuming that spare parts are always available in stock is unrealistic in a maritime environment where the lead times can be very long and the spare parts can be too expensive to invest in. Therefore, such an assumption may result in poor decisions in terms of cost and service levels. In this setting, maintenance and spare parts inventory optimization should be considered simultaneously to take the trade-off between the two decisions into account [126].
- The AM can decrease supply chain complexity through simpler and more effective solutions. Logistics service providers have been given an opportunity to consolidate demand and incrementally deploy AM capacity close to asset owners [155]. Currently, the prices of 3D printed spare parts are significant but, they are expected to fall substantially over the coming years [231].

### **7.3 Future research directions**

- There is a small amount of research in integrated decision making of spare parts classification and inventory control (see [153], [135]). For repairable parts, optimal planning and scheduling of repair tasks is another dimension to be considered in the integrated decision-making such as finding the best prioritization rules of part repairs and optimal inventory levels simultaneously.
- The cannibalization of functioning parts is one of the countermeasures used in practice to deal with spare parts unavailability and extra-long replenishment lead times. Although this practice is present in many capital-intensive industries including the maritime sector, its incorporation into the mathematical models is rare in the scientific literature. In particular, the questions of whether cannibalization of parts should be done and what factors affect cannibalization have received little attention.
- There are several open aspects related to joint maintenance and inventory decision making. Under performance-based service contracts, maintenance and inventory control responsibilities shift to the suppliers (cf. Section 4). In future research, one can consider the arising structure and environment [126]. Moreover, the literature

shows that obsolescence has substantial impacts on optimal policies. It is also worthwhile to incorporate this aspect into the related models. Other extensions can focus on investigating the value of condition monitoring information in joint maintenance and inventory decision making.

- From a service logistics perspective, the AM has important implications that are open to investigation.

## 8 Multi-echelon structures

In the maritime sector, the service logistics network has a multi-echelon structure since maintenance and repair activities can be executed and spare part stocks can be held on board of the ships or on shore by asset owners, system integrators, OEMs, or service providers. For example, the related network can be characterized as a three-echelon repair and inventory system including the ships, on shore bases of the asset owners, and on shore depots of system integrators/OEMs (see, e.g., [21] and [184]). The questions arising from this characteristic relate to maintenance planning and spare parts inventory management subdomains. In particular, questions such as how to allocate spare parts and maintenance resources, where to execute maintenance and repair activities and how to configure multi-echelon maintenance network are of interest.

### 8.1 *State-of-the-art*

The question of how to allocate repairable spare parts in a multi-echelon network has been addressed by numerous researchers. The work of Sherbrooke [163] is certainly the seminal paper in this field. He develops the Multi-Echelon Technique for Recoverable Item Control (METRIC) model for a two-echelon distribution system. He proposes an approximate evaluation of number of backorders and a heuristic approach to optimize the base stock levels. A well-known variant of METRIC is VARI-METRIC studied by Graves [164] to provide a simple and accurate approximation for the variance of the number of parts in repair. For two extensive overviews of the variants of METRIC models, we refer to the books of Sherbrooke [17], Muckstadt [165], and van Houtum and Kranenburg [166].

The variants of the METRIC model use the system approach in which all components are considered jointly. Under this approach, target service levels are set, for example, considering system availability and the expected number of backorders over all spare parts. This is the key difference from the traditional item-approach, in which item-oriented service measures, such as the fill rate are used. The literature shows that great savings can be achieved with the system approach. For instance, at the Royal Netherlands Navy, a particular system approach based on VARI-METRIC model enables to achieve high availability under tight budget constraints [22]. A recent overview of the large body of literature on the system approach is given by Basten and van Houtum [18].

Determining whether a component should be discarded or repaired upon failure and at which location in the multi-echelon network to execute these repairs is called the level of repair analysis (LORA) [158], [21]. Since these decisions significantly impact the spare parts investment cost, solving multi-echelon spare parts inventory problem in conjunction with LORA is worthwhile. Alfredsson [160] is the first who considers the two problems simultaneously but under certain simplifying assumptions for tractability.



Based on the method of Alfredsson [160], Basten et al. [159] present an algorithm that finds efficient solutions. They show that significant cost savings can be achieved compared to the sequential approach that first solves LORA and then the spare parts inventory problem.

Some researchers consider the trade-offs among service levels and cost components related to the network design such as installation, transportation, procurement, and inventory costs. In particular, the design factors considered in the literature include logistic network design, capacity investment, part supplier selection, and transportation modes selection (see, e.g., [168], [169], [170], [171], [172]). The literature shows that a comprehensive inclusion of such design factors with the spare parts inventory optimization problem requires significant computational efforts.

In order to reduce holding and downtime costs, certain flexibility options such as lateral transshipment, inventory pooling, or emergency shipments can be considered in the design of spare parts inventory systems. The traditional inventory networks are hierarchical, with transportation flows from upstream to downstream echelons. In practice, vessels operating within the same region can resupply each other to cover spare parts demand. This is equivalent to allowing lateral transshipments within an echelon. Optimal control of lateral transshipments has been researched in many different settings (see, e.g., [174], [175], [176], [177], [178]). Moreover, pooling common spare parts between multiple companies operating in the same region is also an alternative to reduce spare parts holding and downtime related costs. Kilpi and Vepsäläinen [179] demonstrate the savings potential of inventory pooling arrangements among various companies in the airline industry. Karsten et al. [181] and Karsten and Basten [180] study the cost allocation problem in spare parts pools with multiple companies. In general, the literature on lateral transshipment and inventory pooling research can be found in Paterson et al. [182]. The case of emergency shipments is shown to be equivalent to the lost sales setting for which literature reviews can be found in [18] and [183].

## 8.2 *Lessons learned*

- Variants of the METRIC model are in use at various organizations. Military organizations such as the US military forces have been the first to adopt such models (see [17], [18]). Multiple authors have shown that for real-life problems, these models result in savings up to 50% (see, e.g., [17], [22]). However, as discussed by Rustenburg et al. [22], a direct application of VARI-METRIC model has several shortcomings since it cannot cope with specific issues faced in the maritime sector regarding parts criticality and specificity.
- Allocating spare parts stock should be determined by taking both spare parts and multi-actor characteristics into account. For spare parts with high specificity, the basic alternatives for the user are either to accept the stock-out situation or to rely on their own safety stock [151]. This is also a common practice in the maritime sector since OEMs and system integrators are not willing to hold any stock for one-off systems. However, for parts with low specificity and low criticality, it is possible to push the spare parts to the upstream echelons, i.e., to the OEMs or system integrators.
- In the maritime sector, resources such as spare parts and labor tend to be expensive. For such systems, repairs are typically performed at the upstream of the repair

network [21]. However, depending on the operating regions of vessels, a central repair strategy may result in significant transportation and downtime costs. The associated trade-off should be carefully taken into account while making decisions on where to deploy the maintenance resources and perform repair activities.

### **8.3 Future research directions**

- Parts criticality and specificity can largely affect the multi-echelon inventory decisions. More research is required to incorporate these characteristics into the existing models.
- In the maritime sector, the allocation of maintenance tasks and spare parts inventories should be made considering the conflict of interest of different actors. Future research can consider the issues related to systems with both multi-actor and multi-echelon characteristics.
- To the best of our knowledge, Chen et al. [173] are the only authors that consider a multi-echelon spare part network in a joint maintenance and inventory decision problem. This topic can be further investigated in future research.

## **9 Multi-indenture systems**

Maritime assets can be considered as a collection of technical systems. Due to high availability requirements, it is a common practice to repair a defective system by the replacement of a component with a functioning spare part. The components that are replaced are called line replaceable units (LRUs). The repair of LRUs is typically done by replacement of subcomponents, called shop replaceable units (SRUs). Such a SRU may itself consist of subcomponents. This means that spare parts need to be stocked to enable quick repairs of the technical systems, LRUs and SRUs [21]. This corresponds to a multi-indenture structure for which the number of spare parts to stock at each indenture level should be decided. Furthermore, what, when and where to replace are challenging questions under such structures. In particular, these problems are highly relevant for radar and weaponry systems of naval ships [21], [130]. The associated decisions relate to maintenance planning and spare parts inventory management subdomains.

### **9.1 State-of-the-art**

Several researchers considered multi-indenture systems in spare parts inventory optimization problem. Muckstadt [188] extends the METRIC model of Sherbrooke [163] to a two-echelon, two-indenture system and develops the model referred to as MOD-METRIC. Sherbrooke [189] extends the original VARI-METRIC method to a version for two-indenture, two-echelon systems with a fairly accurate approximation. Rustenburg et al. [162] generalize the VARI-METRIC model of Sherbrooke [189] by considering a general pure distribution system and a general multi-indenture system with commonality, in which the same parts are assumed to be installed in different systems. Rustenburg et al. [162] present both an exact and an approximate method for the evaluation of base stock policies under this setting.

The above-mentioned models assume ample repair capacities. Sleptchenko et al. [190] modify the VARI-METRIC model to allocate repairable spare part stocks in a

multi-echelon, multi-indenture system under finite repair capacity. Sleptchenko et al. [131] present a procedure for simultaneous optimization of inventory levels and repair capacity in a multi-echelon, multi-indenture system. Van der Heijden et al. [53] provide a method for the joint optimization of spare parts inventories and throughput times of repair and transportation for multi-echelon, multi-indenture spare part networks. Additional related papers with finite repair capacity considerations can be found in Sleptchenko et al. [132], Zijm and Avşar [191] and Tiemessen and Van Houtum [192].

For multi-indenture systems, a challenging question is where in the repair network to carry out repairs (cf. Section 8.1 for the level of repair analysis, LORA). The model and solution method provided by Basten et al. [21] is able to address this question. Recently, Basten et al. [184] have extended this model to consider spare parts stocking decisions for multi-indenture systems.

For multi-indenture systems, a structural dependence between items may exist as a result of interactions within the indenture structure. For example, some operating components may have to be replaced, or at least dismantled, before failed components can be replaced or repaired. In the literature, only a few papers are published on structural dependence. In general, opportunistic policies are promising candidates to investigate such systems [119]. The core idea of opportunistic maintenance is to take advantage of maintenance opportunities arising from an event at which the component can be replaced preventively without requiring an additional set-up or preparatory task. For example, component failures can be regarded as opportunities for preventive replacement of non-failed components. Most of the related papers focus on defining the best decision on when to replace a particular item and very few papers focus on optimally determining LRUs. The key decision for the LRU definition problem is at what level within the indenture structure of a physical asset to define the LRU, i.e., the decision on what to replace [186]. Jensen [187] gives an explicit definition of which item is replaced directly from the asset, and which items are used to repair the failed LRU. In a recent paper, Parada Puig and Basten [186] develop a model for the optimal selection of replacement level within the indenture structure of a technical system.

## **9.2 Lessons learned**

- In maritime assets, technical systems may consist of more than six indenture levels with high component commonality (e.g., the radar system in naval vessels [130]). The existence of component commonality may give rise to certain complications when there are three or more indenture levels [22]. When the commonality aspect is taken into account by making the necessary modelling adjustments, significant saving can be obtained in terms of spare parts investment. The literature shows that commonality of components is of significant value, especially when common components are expensive [162]. Hence, this aspect should be taken into account during system design by adopting a total LCC perspective.
- In practice, LRU definitions are often made based only on engineering/technical criteria and not by analyzing economic trade-offs from a total LCC perspective [186]. Since improving LRU definitions would require the redesign of the system and the involvement of different actors this decision should be considered as a strategic decision.

### **9.3 Future research directions**

- The field of opportunistic maintenance planning under structural dependence has so far received little attention (see also [119]).
- The LRU definition research has still many open directions. These include the incorporation of limited labor capacity and the combination of LRU definition with LORA and spare part stocking decisions.

## **10 Moving assets**

The failure of a critical item at sea can cause a costly event with potentially high environmental, safety, and/or health related effect. Some important aspects need to be considered for maritime assets which are operating at remote locations and moving during their operations:

- (i) Maritime assets operate in isolation from repair and spare parts storage facilities. Some preventive maintenance tasks are very costly or not permitted during operations (e.g., main components of the propulsion system [96]),
- (ii) Environmental conditions which influence the failure behavior might depend on the location and change during operations (e.g., for steel vessels, the driving forces behind corrosion are temperature and humidity [64], for dredgers, the degradation rate of certain parts is a function of the soil to be dredged [24]),
- (iii) Service offerings of the specialized service providers, related costs, and supply lead times might depend on the location where the asset is operating.

Decisions on maintenance and service logistics management should be made by giving special attention to these aspects.

### **10.1 State-of-the-art**

Aircrafts, trains, trucks and other commercial vehicles are also moving assets for which certain maintenance tasks can only be executed at designated maintenance bases and not permitted and/or feasible during operations. This is similar to what we have stated in aspect (i) for maritime assets. The so-called aircraft maintenance routing problem is widely studied in the literature, with the aim of routing aircrafts while satisfying short-term routine maintenance requirements. These studies focus on finding maintenance feasible routes for each aircraft in a fleet (see, e.g., [198], [199], [200], [201]). To the best of our knowledge, only two studies consider the restrictions regarding preventive maintenance tasks during the operations of maritime assets. Perakis and Inozu [185] propose a reliability-based replacement model for marine diesel engines for which preventive replacements are not permitted while the system is in operation off-shore. They evaluate whether to replace or not each system component before the operating season starts based on an expected cost analysis. Christer and Lee [91] investigate how the delay-time concept (cf. Section 5) can be used to explore the consequences of inspection maintenance practice on operation periods of ships. They give the expressions for the expected number of preventive and failure returns over an operation, and illustrate an example of cost based balance to select an optimal inspection period.

As for aspect (ii), in the literature, modelling of the degradation process of systems

operating in randomly varying environments has received large interest (see, e.g., [193], [194], [195], [196], [197]). These models assume that the deterioration status of systems under consideration evolves as a Markov chain and aim at deriving and computing reliability or availability of systems. As also stated by Ulukus et al. [202], despite extensive literature on this topic, relatively few studies consider the problem of determining optimal maintenance and replacement policies for systems under randomly varying environments. Xiang et al. [203] consider a single-component system subject to a Markovian operating environment such that the system's instantaneous deterioration rate depends on the state of the environment. Using a simulation model, they assess the cost benefit resulting from condition-based maintenance policy, and also the impact of the random prognostic error in estimating system condition on the cost benefit. We refer to Ulukus et al. [202] and the references therein for the studies that analyze optimal replacement policy structures for single-component systems subject to stochastic deterioration in a random environment. Zhang et al. [204] study multi-component systems and optimize the maintenance decision under a state-dependent opportunistic maintenance policy. Dekker et al. [24] show that the information on the operational environment of a ship can be very relevant for forecasting spare parts demand since it influences the degradation rates.

Aspect (iii) brings additional complexity in modelling and analysis of maintenance and service logistics problems. To the best of our knowledge, the incorporation of this aspect is barely addressed in the literature. Özekici [205] studies the maintenance problem of a system operating in a random environment by considering environmental state dependent cost structures. Çekyay and Özekici [206] provide an extension of [205] by considering the multi-component case with non-identical components. Çekyay and Özekici [207] study the maintenance problem of a mission-based system that is designed to perform missions consisting of a random sequence of phases with random durations. The cost structure considered in Çekyay and Özekici [207] includes phase dependent maintenance costs.

## ***10.2 Lessons learned***

- The literature that deals with aspect (i) have mostly focused on aircraft maintenance and routing problems. To the best of our knowledge, the additional complications that arise in the maritime sector are not investigated. In particular, maritime assets are not subject to a repetitive operation plan like for aircrafts since they are used for specific operations such as oil and gas terminal services, dredging, geophysical surveys, and military missions. The duration of such operations is much longer than those of aircrafts. For example, military missions can last from three to seven months during which certain maintenance actions cannot be performed. Despite the existence of the moving asset characteristic in the airline industry, the associated literature cannot directly address the specific features in the maritime sector. To take these specific features into account, uncertainties regarding mission times, durations, and requirements associated with missions should be incorporated into the existing models.
- As for aspect (ii), the condition-based information provided by sensors can be especially useful for critical systems operating in environments that vary randomly over time. The changes in environmental conditions can be related to the rate at which degradation accumulates [202]. This would be useful to compute accurate reliability and availability measures for such systems. As such, appropriate

maintenance strategies can be developed and spare parts demand forecasting can be improved.

- Due to aspect (iii), the costs associated with spare parts procurement can be significant when a moving asset is operating at a remote location. Lateral transshipments within an echelon are shown to be suitable when transshipment costs are relatively low compared to the costs associated with holding additional inventory and stock-out (cf. Section 7.1). Since maritime assets can be dispersed around the world and change location during operations the benefit of lateral transshipments should be analyzed considering some specific factors. These include distance, accessibility and possibility of information sharing during operations.

### ***10.3 Future research directions***

- In the maintenance planning literature, the consideration of moving assets under uncertainties regarding mission time, type, and duration is not elaborately addressed. For example, one can focus on models enabling dynamic pre-mission decisions such as whether to execute preventive maintenance tasks before the mission starts or to put spare parts on board of the ship to be prepared for corrective actions during missions. In this topic, the only exception that we are aware of is the work of Tinga and Janssen [65], in which the focus is the optimization of maintenance intervals considering the variation in the deployment of a maritime asset.
- The research on maintenance planning for systems facing randomly varying environments is limited. In addition, the cost, the quality, and the lead time associated with inspection, supply, maintenance and repair actions might depend on the environment and the location where the assets operate. These aspects are worthy of consideration for future research.
- A specific fact to be considered in a maritime sector environment is the last minute changes in maintenance schedules due to weather conditions. Indeed, during bad weather times where the assets cannot operate, an opportunity to perform preventive maintenance arises. This requires the incorporation of environmental uncertainties regarding maintenance opportunities into maintenance planning and scheduling models.
- There are several open aspects related to the design of how emergency lateral transshipment should be used and how different bases should be grouped considering the possibility of spare parts pooling for moving assets.

## **11 Economic dependency**

In technical systems on-board of the maritime assets, components are usually economically dependent, i.e., costs can be saved when several components are jointly maintained. This is the case for systems in which the maintenance of each component requires preparatory or set-up work that can be shared when several components are maintained simultaneously. In the maritime sector, the set-up cost may consist of the preparation cost associated with dismantling and opening the system (including man-hours) and the downtime cost since certain systems cannot be maintained during operations, i.e., the ship should return to the harbor and the system should be shut down for maintenance.

## ***11.1 State-of-the-art***

The literature on multi-component maintenance models under economic dependence has received great attention to date. The review article of Cho and Parlar (1991) [117] gives an overview of multi-component maintenance literature. Dekker et al. (1997) [209] review multi-component maintenance models with economic dependence. They distinguish between stationary models, where a long-term stable situation is assumed, and dynamic models, which can take the information that becomes available on the short term into account. Within the stationary models, they classify the models based on the options of grouping maintenance activities: grouping corrective maintenance, grouping preventive maintenance, and combining preventive and corrective maintenance (also referred to as opportunistic maintenance). Nicolai and Dekker (2008) [119] summarize the multi-component maintenance literature by providing a classification based on the dependence/interaction between components in the system considered: economic, structural and stochastic dependence.

Within the multi-component maintenance literature with economic dependence, a growing interest to the opportunistic maintenance is observed. A recent review and discussion on this approach is provided by Ab-Samat and Kamaruddin [120]. After reviewing various descriptions and definitions, they describe the opportunistic maintenance as: “the planning and scheduling of maintenance activities to repair a component, whilst at the same time opportunistically repair/replace other components in the system, with the aim to avoid future failures and reduce the amount of machine downtime”. Galante and Passannanti [109] and Dao and Zuo [208] provide maritime applications of the opportunistic maintenance concept.

Some recent papers combine the opportunistic maintenance concept with CBM. Zhu et al. [210] develop a mathematical model to optimize the CBM policy for systems with a large number of components subject to a high joint setup cost. To reduce the total setup cost, their model opportunistically coordinates the maintenance tasks by introducing a static joint maintenance interval. Their approach provides a large cost-saving potential, comparing with the corrective maintenance policy. Koochaki et al. [211] examine the impact of opportunistic maintenance on the effectiveness of CBM. Koochaki et al. [212] analyze this problem by taking the planning of maintenance engineers into account. Both papers conclude that under certain settings, age-based replacement results in smoother maintenance plan while CBM remains cost effective.

## ***11.2 Lessons learned***

- Existing opportunistic maintenance models are theoretical rather than practical. Despite an abundance of papers conducting theoretical research on opportunistic maintenance, a few real-world applications have been done [120]. Many maintenance policies are not analytically tractable for real-world problems [119].
- By conducting corrective and preventive maintenance simultaneously, more failures can be avoided and the number of times equipment needs to be shut down for maintenance can be reduced. Sound decisions should be made while forming the groups of components to be replaced simultaneously. The main concern with certain static policies (like the block replacement policy) is replacing newly replaced components again to respect the predefined rules. This would increase maintenance costs by wasting the components lifetime [120]. Therefore, it is worthwhile to take

the short term information into account while making maintenance/replacement decisions for such systems.

- The main advantage of preventive maintenance is that it is plannable. Although opportunistic maintenance provides cost effective solutions it takes this advantage away, when it combines preventive maintenance with random failure occasions. This may raise issues related to spare parts and resource planning due to the uncertainties involved.

### ***11.3 Future research directions***

- Regarding mathematical models developed for opportunistic maintenance, some adjustment with the assumptions and limitations should be made for their successful implementation in industry. Further research is needed to render opportunistic maintenance models more practical and easy to implement.
- There exist many open aspects regarding the combination of CBM and opportunistic maintenance concepts.

## **12 Long life-cycles**

The useful life of maritime assets is more than two decades. This raises several issues including the risk of obsolete technologies, unplanned usage requirements, and unavailability of maintenance skills and spare parts. The characteristic of long life-cycles should be considered while making decisions on system design, maintenance service contract design, maintenance planning, and spare parts inventory management.

### ***12.1 State-of-the-art***

For assets with long life-cycle, the future system requirements and usage can largely be unknown during the design phase. Collette [213] states that the degradation of difficult-to-upgrade hull structures is one of the key drivers of vessel retirement and life cycle maintenance costing. The author reviews existing structural design approaches and recent developments in this field and gives an example of the type of approach that could be taken in a more system-oriented view. Walshe et al. [214] develop a total LCC model for maritime assets by taking into account different aspects such as maintenance intervals, life extension, equipment modifications, obsolescence, and upgrades. Their model aims to reduce design and construction time as well as identify positive effects prevalent throughout the lifetime of a vessel.

There are several challenges related to selecting a satisfactory maintenance organization to support technologically advanced assets with long life-cycle. These include on the one hand failure, cost, and usage related uncertainties and on the other hand the conflict of interest between the different actors involved. Considering a multi-dimensional decision criteria, Emblemståg and Tønning [217] apply the AHP to select an appropriate maintenance organization for the radar systems of the Norwegian Army. Jackson and Pascual [218] develop a non-cooperative game formulation to negotiate pricing in maintenance service contracts. They consider aging equipment with a long life-cycle and focus on a case with increased failure intensity over time.

Managers may decide to replace one asset with another at several stages of the asset



life considering newly obtained information and the mitigation of uncertainties regarding future resources and costs. Zambujal-Oliveira and Duque [219] analyze the problem of asset replacement by investigating the optimal moment of replacement. They apply an operation and maintenance cost minimization model, based on the equivalent annual cost analysis. Asset replacement often occurs in the form of a new technology that renders existing spare parts inventories obsolete. Nguyen et al. [220] study the impact of spare parts inventory on equipment maintenance and replacement decisions under technological change. Kumar and Saranga [221] present mathematical models that can be used to calculate the impact of various obsolescence mitigation options on the total LCC of a system. In particular, they focus on three options: final order, redesign (upgrade), and their combination.

Ship recycling marks the end-of-life of a ship [215]. Approximately 96% of an average ship by weight is currently reused or recycled [216]. From a maintenance and service logistics perspective, the used parts can be remanufactured to gain spare parts. This is useful in particular when it is difficult to procure these parts from the suppliers after they discontinue the production. The procurement of spare parts can also be done by requesting occasional extra-production from the suppliers. For this option, the production cost can exceed regular production cost by 100% or more and often considerable lead times apply [222]. Considering the uncertainty of demands for spare parts and that of the returns of used products, Inderfurth and Mukherjee [223] develop a model and solution method to determine the optimal combination of final order (cf. Section 7.1), extra production, and remanufacturing options. Inderfurth and Kleber [222] present a model formulation to coordinate these three procurement options. They show that a restriction to a final order strategy may be more costly than using the additional options of extra-production and remanufacturing. We refer the reader to Section 7.1 for other related works focusing on inventory control of spare parts in the final phase of their life time. Pokharel and Muthab [224] provides a general literature review focusing on all aspects of reverse logistics from collection of used products, their processing and finally to the outputs of processing, namely, recycled materials, spare parts, remanufactured products and waste material disposal.

## ***12.2 Lessons learned***

- For many complex systems (especially, defense systems such as battleship, fighter aircraft, tanks etc.), obsolescence management is the key issue. This is because such systems are subject to continuous technological changes during their long life-time. Especially, obsolescence of electronic parts is shown to be a major reason for the high LCC of such systems [157].
- For systems with long life-cycles, system knowledge may deteriorate in time rendering repairs more difficult and costly. For instance, with the retirement of expert maintenance engineers, the maintenance knowledge in old equipment may be lost. This aspect may have a significant impact in practice. Therefore, maintenance and event information should be well recorded and documented during the asset life-time. Information systems should be efficiently used to prevent the knowledge deterioration.

## ***12.3 Future research directions***

- There is scope for future research to develop mathematical models for choosing

optimal obsolescence mitigation strategies [221]. This includes determining the best decision among life extension, cannibalization, redesign, spare parts final order, and asset replacement.

- Future research can focus on how to mitigate maintenance knowledge deterioration for aging systems.

### **13 Concluding remarks and open research topics**

In this paper, we have reviewed the literature on maintenance and service logistics management. The literature is classified and the lessons learned are highlighted from a maritime sector perspective. The maritime sector characteristics used for classification are derived from our observations from the maritime sector. These characteristics are also relevant for various capital-intensive industries such as aircraft/aerospace, defense, and automotive. Therefore, this review is relevant for a much broader range of capital goods than maritime assets.

We listed a number of open research directions in the previous sections. Nevertheless, based on our discussions with practitioners from the maritime sector (i.e. the MaSeLMa project partners) and our overall literature review, we conclude that the following open research topics deserve a higher priority than the rest presented in our paper, when their relevance and potential impacts are considered:

- (a) In practice, collecting information related to system condition, usage, and operating environment might be very costly. One should investigate appropriate maintenance and resource planning decisions under different information levels in order to assess the value of information and to identify the settings under which higher monitoring levels result in higher system availability and/or lower system costs.
- (b) For systems with economically and/or structurally dependent components, investigating an efficient combination of CBM and opportunistic maintenance concepts is worthwhile for the development of dynamic maintenance and resource planning models.
- (c) Future research should focus on how to optimize service levels in maintenance service contracts by considering all of the maintenance resources required (including spare parts, maintenance engineers, service tools, and infrastructure) in the multi-echelon maintenance network.
- (d) More research is needed to take the requirements arising from the mandatory surveys into account while making maintenance strategy selection and maintenance planning decisions.
- (e) How to incorporate the specific features resulting from the moving asset characteristic, e.g., uncertainties in operation plans (affecting the preventive maintenance opportunities), randomly varying environments (affecting the failure behaviors), and changes in operating locations (affecting service offerings of the suppliers, related costs, and lead times) into maintenance and resource planning decisions should be further investigated.

Table 3 positions these open research topics in a sub-matrix of the classification provided in Section 3. This positioning reflects a significant need of joint maintenance and resource planning models incorporating specific aspects such as multi-actor setting, small amount of failure-related data, and moving assets.

Characteristics /Subdomains	Failure prediction / Degradation modelling	Maintenance service contract design	Maintenance strategy selection	Maintenance planning	Spare parts inventory management
Multi-actor setting		(c, e)	(c)	(c, e)	(c, e)
Small amount of failure-related data	(a)			(a)	(a)
Mandatory surveys			(d)	(d)	
Multi-echelon structures		(c)		(c)	(c)
Multi-indenture structures				(b)	(b)
Moving assets	(e)	(e)		(e)	(e)
Economic dependency				(b)	(b)

Table 3. Positioning of open research topics

Our selection of suggested future research topics stems from the current needs and prominent trends in the maritime sector. First of all, we observe significant issues related to data availability. Failure-related historical data is limited due to excessive preventive maintenance practices and the existence of one-off systems. Moreover, event data is not routinely recorded starting from the early stages of the vessel life and data collection/reporting are not always automated through a maintenance information system.

Second, there is a trend to shift from time-scheduled preventive maintenance towards CBM. The development of online sensor technologies motivates this trend. However, the current conservative maintenance strategies are partly due to the strict rules imposed by Classification Societies. In order to fully benefit from CBM concept in the maritime sector, Classification Societies may also consider changing some of their rules regarding the periodic survey intervals and the associated requirements [227].

Finally, as we observe from the MaSeLMa project, the level of collaboration and information sharing is currently very low among different actors. Nevertheless, there is a growing interest in improving collaboration between asset owners and system suppliers who intent to extend their role in the maintenance network. A way of facilitating this is to establish performance-based service contracts. The benefit of increased collaboration through means such as performance-based service contracts needs to be investigated before taking concrete actions.

## Acknowledgement

The authors thank the anonymous referees for their suggestions which led to considerable improvement on the contents and the presentation of the article. This research is funded by Dutch Institute for Advanced Logistics (Dinalog, 2011-4-085-R).

## References

- [1] Unctad, "Review of the maritime transport 2013," United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Geneva: Switzerland, 2013.
- [2] O. Turan, A. İ. Ölçer, I. Lazakis, P. Rigo, and J. D. Caprace, "Maintenance/repair and production-oriented life cycle cost/earning model for ship structural optimisation during conceptual design stage," *Ships Offshore Struc.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 107–125, 2009.
- [3] Y. Alhouli, D. Ling, R. Kirkham, and T. ELhag, "On the factors afflicting maintenance planning in mercantile industry," in *Proc. of 22nd COMADEM International Congress*, San Sebastian, Spain, 2009, pp. 543–546.
- [4] Germanischer Lloyd and Fraunhofer, "Best Practice Ship Management Study 2013," Hamburg: Germany, 2013.
- [5] Unctad, "Review of the maritime transport 2012," United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Geneva: Switzerland, 2012.
- [6] Drewry Shipping Consultants, "Ship Operating Costs under Sustained Pressure," London: UK, 2014.
- [7] Y. Alhouli, "Development of Ship Maintenance Performance Measurement Framework to Assess the Decision Making Process to Optimise in Ship Maintenance Planning," PhD Thesis, University of Manchester, Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences, Manchester, United Kingdom, 2011.
- [8] A. Pillay and J. Wang, "Chapter 8 Maintenance modelling," in *Elsevier Ocean Engineering Series*, vol. Volume 7, R. Bhattacharyya and M. E. McCormick, Eds. Elsevier, 2003, pp. 179–211.
- [9] Dinalog, "MaSeLma (Integrated Maintenance and Service Logistics Concepts for Maritime Assets)," *Dinalog*, 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://www.dinalog.nl/en/project/maselma/>.
- [10] R. Dekker, "Applications of maintenance optimization models: a review and analysis," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safe.*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 229–240, 1996.
- [11] P. A. Scarf, "On the application of mathematical models in maintenance," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 493–506, 1997.
- [12] R. Dekker and P. A. Scarf, "On the impact of optimisation models in maintenance decision making: the state of the art," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safe.*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 111–119, 1998.
- [13] A. Garg and S. G. Deshmukh, "Maintenance management: literature review and directions," *J. of Qual. in Maintenance Eng.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 205–238, 2006.
- [14] R. Ahmad and S. Kamaruddin, "An overview of time-based and condition-based maintenance in industrial application," *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 135–149, 2012.
- [15] W. Wang, "An overview of the recent advances in delay-time-based maintenance modelling," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safe.*, vol. 106, pp. 165–178, 2012.
- [16] W. J. Kennedy, J. Wayne Patterson, and L. D. Fredendall, "An overview of recent literature on spare parts inventories," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 201–215, 2002.
- [17] C. C. Sherbrooke, *Optimal Inventory Modeling of Systems - Multi-Echelon Techniques*, 2nd ed. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- [18] R. J. I. Basten and G. J. van Houtum, "System-oriented inventory models for spare parts," *Surveys in Operations Research and Management Science*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 34–55, 2014.
- [19] E. Monfardini, L. Probst, K. Szenci, B. Cambier, and L. Frideres, "Emerging industries: Report on the methodology for their classification and on the most active, significant and relevant new emerging industrial sectors," European Cluster Observatory, PwC Luxembourg, Luxembourg, Contract N° 71/PP/ENT/CIP/11/N04C031, 2012.
- [20] D. J. Eyres and G. J. Bruce, "Development of ship types," in *Ship Construction (Seventh Edition)*, Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2012, pp. 17–33.
- [21] R. J. I. Basten, M. C. van der Heijden, and J. M. J. Schutten, "A minimum cost flow model for level of repair analysis," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 133, no. 1, pp. 233–242, 2011.
- [22] W. D. Rustenburg, G. J. van Houtum, and W. H. M. Zijm, "Spare parts management at complex technology-based organizations: An agenda for research," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 71, no. 1–3, pp. 177–193, 2001.
- [23] V. Sood, S. Sant, and C. S. Parmar, "Design considerations and selection of equipment for assured life cycle support," *J. Inst. Eng. India Ser. C*, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 99–104, 2013.
- [24] R. Dekker, Ç. Pinçe, R. Zuidwijk, and M. N. Jalil, "On the use of installed base information for spare parts logistics: A review of ideas and industry practice," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 143, no. 2, pp. 536–545, 2013.

- [25] A. J. Mokashi, J. Wang, and A. K. Vermar, "A study of reliability-centred maintenance in maritime operations," *Mar. Policy*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 325–335, 2002.
- [26] J. Wang, J. B. Yang, P. Sen, and T. Ruxton, "Safety based design and maintenance optimisation of large marine engineering systems," *Appl. Ocean Res.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 13–27, 1996.
- [27] K. B. Öner, A. Scheller-Wolf, and G. J. van Houtum, "Redundancy Optimization for Critical Components in High-Availability Technical Systems," *Oper. Res.*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 244–264, 2013.
- [28] W. Xie, H. Liao, and T. Jin, "Maximizing system availability through joint decision on component redundancy and spares inventory," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 237, no. 1, pp. 164–176, 2014.
- [29] A. Hussain and D. N. P. Murthy, "Warranty and redundancy design with uncertain quality," *IIE Trans.*, vol. 30, no. 12, pp. 1191–1199, 1998.
- [30] K. B. Öner, G. P. Kiesmüller, and G. J. van Houtum, "Optimization of component reliability in the design phase of capital goods," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 205, no. 3, pp. 615–624, 2010.
- [31] G. Ferrer, "Open architecture, inventory pooling and maintenance modules," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 128, no. 1, pp. 393–403, 2010.
- [32] J. A. Guajardo, M. A. Cohen, S. H. Kim, and S. Netessine, "Impact of Performance-Based Contracting on Product Reliability: An Empirical Analysis," *Manage. Sci.*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 961–979, 2012.
- [33] A. W. Veenstra, R. Zuidwijk, and B. Geerling, "Maintenance logistics in the Dutch Dredging Industry," in *IEEE International Conference SOLI 2006*, 2006, pp. 436–441.
- [34] C. Norden, K. Hribernik, Z. Ghairi, K. D. Thoben, and C. Fuggini, "New Approaches to Through-life Asset Management in the Maritime Industry," *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 11, pp. 219–224, 2013.
- [35] B. Keers, P. C. van Fenema, and W. H. M. Zijm, "Managing Organizational Fit for Successful Service Alliances Towards a Dynamic View on Structure," University of Twente, and Dutch Institute for Advanced Logistics (DINALOG), Netherlands, Working Paper, 2014.
- [36] A. Van Horenbeek, J. van Ostaeen, and L. Pintelon, "Maintenance Service Contracts and Business Models: a Review," in *Proc. of the 17th International Working Seminar on Production Economics*, Innsbruck, Austria, 2012.
- [37] K. Selviaridis and F. Wynstra, "Performance-based contracting: a literature review and future research directions," *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 3505–3540, Jun. 2015.
- [38] E. Ashgarizadeh and D. N. P. Murthy, "Service contracts: A stochastic model," *Math. Comput. Model.*, vol. 31, no. 10–12, pp. 11–20, 2000.
- [39] W. Wang, "A model for maintenance service contract design, negotiation and optimization," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 201, no. 1, pp. 239–246, 2010.
- [40] P. P. Datta and R. Roy, "Cost modelling techniques for availability type service support contracts: A literature review and empirical study," *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 142–157, 2010.
- [41] R. A. Bowman and J. Schmee, "Pricing and Managing a Maintenance Contract for a Fleet of Aircraft Engines," *Simulation*, vol. 76, pp. 69–77, 2001.
- [42] E. Settanni, L. B. Newnes, N. E. Thenent, G. Parry, and Y. M. Goh, "A through-life costing methodology for use in product–service-systems," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, 2014.
- [43] A. H. C. Tsang, "Strategic dimensions of maintenance management," *J. of Qual. in Maintenance Eng.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 7–39, 2002.
- [44] T. Baines, H. W. Lightfoot, O. Benedettini, and J. M. Kay, "The servitization of manufacturing: A review of literature and reflection on future challenges," *Jnl. of Manu. Tech. Mngmnt.*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 547–567, 2009.
- [45] A. J. M. Goossens and R. J. I. Basten, "Exploring maintenance policy selection using the Analytic Hierarchy Process; An application for naval ships," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 142, pp. 31–41, Oct. 2015.
- [46] J. A. Chen and Y. H. Chien, "Renewing warranty and preventive maintenance for products with failure penalty post-warranty," *Qual. Reliab. Engng. Int.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 107–121, 2007.
- [47] M. Shafiee and S. Chukova, "Maintenance models in warranty: A literature review," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 229, no. 3, pp. 561–572, 2013.
- [48] I. Djamaludin, D. N. P. Murthy, and C. S. Kim, "Warranty and preventive maintenance," *Int. J. Rel. Qual. Saf. Eng.*, vol. 08, no. 02, pp. 89–107, 2001.
- [49] C. S. Kim, I. Djamaludin, and D. N. P. Murthy, "Warranty and discrete preventive maintenance," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safe.*, vol. 84, no. 3, pp. 301–309, 2004.

- [50] D. Nowicki, U. D. Kumar, H. J. Steudel, and D. Verma, "Spares provisioning under performance-based logistics contract: profit-centric approach," *J. Oper. Res. Soc.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 342–352, 2006.
- [51] S. H. Kim, M. A. Cohen, and S. Netessine, "Performance Contracting in After-Sales Service Supply Chains," *Manage. Sci.*, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 1843–1858, 2007.
- [52] H. Mirzahosseini and R. Piplani, "A study of repairable parts inventory system operating under performance-based contract," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 214, no. 2, pp. 256–261, 2011.
- [53] M. C. Van der Heijden, E. M. Alvarez, and J. M. J. Schutten, "Inventory reduction in spare part networks by selective throughput time reduction," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 143, no. 2, pp. 509–517, 2013.
- [54] D. R. Godoy, R. Pascual, and P. Knights, "A decision-making framework to integrate maintenance contract conditions with critical spares management," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safe.*, vol. 131, pp. 102–108, 2014.
- [55] Y. Rosunally, S. Stoyanov, C. Bailey, P. Mason, S. Campbell, G. Monger, and I. Bell, "Bayesian networks for predicting remaining life," *International Journal of Performability Engineering*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 499–512, 2010.
- [56] N. Yamamoto, T. Koiwa, H. Dobashi, O. Muragishi, and Y. Takaoka, "A study of a fatigue management system for long LNG carriers using a new fatigue damage sensor," *Ships Offshore Struct.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 361–370, 2007.
- [57] G. Wang, K. Pran, G. Sagvolden, G. B. Havsgård, A. E. Jensen, G. A. Johnson, and S. T. Vohra, "Ship hull structure monitoring using fibre optic sensors," *Smart Mater. Struct.*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. 472, 2001.
- [58] T. Han, B. Yang, and Z. Yin, "Feature-based fault diagnosis system of induction motors using vibration signal," *J. of Qual. in Maintenance Eng.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 163–175, 2007.
- [59] W. Wang, B. Hussin, and T. Jefferis, "A case study of condition based maintenance modelling based upon the oil analysis data of marine diesel engines using stochastic filtering," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 136, no. 1, pp. 84–92, 2012.
- [60] P. Charles, J. K. Sinha, F. Gu, L. Lidstone, and A. D. Ball, "Detecting the crankshaft torsional vibration of diesel engines for combustion related diagnosis," *J. Sound Vib.*, vol. 321, no. 3–5, pp. 1171–1185, 2009.
- [61] A. K. S. Jardine, P. M. Anderson, and D. S. Mann, "Application of the weibull proportional hazards model to aircraft and marine engine failure data," *Qual. Reliab. Engng. Int.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 77–82, 1987.
- [62] G. J. Kacprzynski, M. Gumina, M. J. Roemer, D. E. Caguiat, T. R. Galie, and J. J. McGroarty, "A Prognostic Modeling Approach for Predicting Recurring Maintenance for Shipboard Propulsion Systems," in *Proc. of Aircraft Engine; Marine; Turbomachinery; Microturbines and Small Turbomachinery*, New Orleans, Louisiana: USA, 2001, vol. 1.
- [63] R. P. Nicolai, R. Dekker, and J. M. van Noordwijk, "A comparison of models for measurable deterioration: An application to coatings on steel structures," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safe.*, vol. 92, no. 12, pp. 1635–1650, 2007.
- [64] M. T. Gudze and R. E. Melchers, "Operational based corrosion analysis in naval ships," *Corros. Sci.*, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 3296–3307, 2008.
- [65] T. Tinga and R. Janssen, "The interplay between deployment and optimal maintenance intervals for complex multi-component systems," *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part O: Journal of Risk and Reliability*, vol. 227, no. 3, pp. 227–240, 2013.
- [66] G. Celeux, F. Corset, A. Lannoy, and B. Ricard, "Designing a Bayesian network for preventive maintenance from expert opinions in a rapid and reliable way," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 91, no. 7, pp. 849–856, Jul. 2006.
- [67] H. Langseth and L. Portinale, "Bayesian networks in reliability," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 92–108, Jan. 2007.
- [68] P. Weber, G. Medina-Oliva, C. Simon, and B. Iung, "Overview on Bayesian networks applications for dependability, risk analysis and maintenance areas," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 671–682, Jun. 2012.
- [69] Y. Peng, M. Dong, and M. J. Zuo, "Current status of machine prognostics in condition-based maintenance: a review," *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, vol. 50, no. 1–4, pp. 297–313, 2010.
- [70] X. S. Si, W. Wang, C. H. Hu, and D. H. Zhou, "Remaining useful life estimation – A review on the statistical data driven approaches," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 213, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2011.
- [71] P. Söderholm, "A system view of the No Fault Found (NFF) phenomenon," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safe.*, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2007.

- [72] C. Hockley and P. Phillips, "The impact of no fault found on through-life engineering services," *J. of Qual. in Maintenance Eng.*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 141–153, 2012.
- [73] B. Steadman, T. Pombo, I. Madison, J. Shively, and L. Kirkland, "Reducing No Fault Found using statistical processing and an expert system," in *Proc. of AUTOTESTCON 2002*, 2002, pp. 872–878.
- [74] M. Santoro, "New methodologies for eliminating No Trouble Found, No Fault Found and other non repeatable failures in depot settings," in *Proc. of IEEE AUTOTESTCON 2008*, 2008, pp. 336–340.
- [75] T. Tinga, "Application of physical failure models to enable usage and load based maintenance," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safe.*, vol. 95, no. 10, pp. 1061–1075, 2010.
- [76] P. W. Kalgren, M. Baybutt, A. Ginart, C. Minnella, M. J. Roemer, and T. Dabney, "Application of Prognostic Health Management in Digital Electronic Systems," in *Proc. of 2007 IEEE Aerospace Conference*, 2007, pp. 1–9.
- [77] M. J. Roemer, C. S. Byington, G. J. Kacprzynski, and G. Vachtsevanos, "An Overview of Selected Prognostic Technologies With Application to Engine Health Management," in *Proc. of ASME Turbo Expo 2006: Power for Land, Sea, and Air*, Barcelona: Spain, 2006, vol. 2, pp. 707–715.
- [78] R. Orsagh, M. Roemer, J. Sheldon, and C. J. Klenke, "A Comprehensive Prognostics Approach for Predicting Gas Turbine Engine Bearing Life," in *Proc. of ASME Turbo Expo 2004: Power for Land, Sea, and Air*, Vienna: Austria, 2004, pp. 777–785.
- [79] S. Sankararaman, "Significance, interpretation, and quantification of uncertainty in prognostics and remaining useful life prediction," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 52–53, pp. 228–247, Feb. 2015.
- [80] N. Jambulingam and A. K. S. Jardine, "Life cycle costing considerations in reliability centered maintenance: An application to maritime equipment," *Reliability Engineering*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 307–317, 1986.
- [81] R. M. Conachey, "Development of Machinery Survey Requirements Based on Reliability-Centered Maintenance," presented at the SNAME Marine Technology Conference & Expo and Ship Production Symposium, USA: Houston, Texas, 2006.
- [82] I. Lazakis, O. Turan, and S. Aksu, "Increasing ship operational reliability through the implementation of a holistic maintenance management strategy," *Ships Offshore Struct.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 337–357, 2010.
- [83] O. Turan, I. Lazakis, S. Judah, and A. Incecik, "Investigating the reliability and criticality of the maintenance characteristics of a diving support vessel," *Qual. Reliab. Engng. Int.*, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 931–946, 2011.
- [84] T. Zaal and D. Kuijt, "Optimizing Maintenance in a Maritime Environment," *MaintWorld*, vol. 1, pp. 34–36, 2013.
- [85] G. Niu, B. S. Yang, and M. Pecht, "Development of an optimized condition-based maintenance system by data fusion and reliability-centered maintenance," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safe.*, vol. 95, no. 7, pp. 786–796, 2010.
- [86] J. Moubray, *Reliability-centered Maintenance*. Industrial Press, 1997.
- [87] A. M. Smith, *Reliability-Centered Maintenance*. New York: Mcgraw-Hill, 1993.
- [88] A. K. S. Jardine, D. Lin, and D. Banjevic, "A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance," *Mech. Syst. Signal Pr.*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 1483–1510, 2006.
- [89] P. A. Scarf, "A Framework for Condition Monitoring and Condition Based Maintenance," *Qual. Technol. Quant. Manag.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 301–312, 2007.
- [90] A. A. Alabdulkarim, P. D. Ball, and A. Tiwari, "Influence of resources on maintenance operations with different asset monitoring levels," *Bus. Process Manag. J.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 195–212, 2014.
- [91] A. H. Christer and S. K. Lee, "Modelling Ship Operational Reliability over a Mission under Regular Inspections," *J. Oper. Res. Soc.*, vol. 48, no. 7, pp. 688–699, 1997.
- [92] A. Cunningham, W. Wang, E. Zio, D. Allanson, A. Wall, and J. Wang, "Application of delay-time analysis via Monte Carlo simulation," *Proc. of IMarEST - Part A - J. Mar. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 57–72, 2011.
- [93] A. Pillay, J. Wang, A. D. Wall, and T. Ruxton, "A maintenance study of fishing vessel equipment using delay-time analysis," *J. of Qual. in Maintenance Eng.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 118–128, 2001.
- [94] M. Giorgio, M. Guida, and G. Pulcini, "A condition-based maintenance policy for deteriorating units. An application to the cylinder liners of marine engine," *Appl. Stochastic Models Bus. Ind.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 339–348, May 2015.

- [95] K. Hamada, Y. Fujimoto, and E. Shintaku, "Ship inspection support system using a product model," *J. Mar. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 205–215, 2002.
- [96] M. J. Kallen and J. M. van Noortwijk, "Optimal maintenance decisions under imperfect inspection," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 90, no. 2–3, pp. 177–185, Dec. 2005.
- [97] C. M. Rizzo, J. K. Paik, F. Brennan, C. A. Carlsen, C. Daley, Y. Garbatov, L. Ivanov, B. C. Simonsen, N. Yamamoto, and H. Z. Zhuang, "Current practices and recent advances in condition assessment of aged ships," *Ships Offshore Struct.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 261–271, 2007.
- [98] A. H. C. Tsang, "Condition-based maintenance: tools and decision making," *J. of Qual. in Maintenance Eng.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 3–17, 1995.
- [99] A. H. Elwany, N. Z. Gebraeel, and L. M. Maillart, "Structured replacement policies for components with complex degradation processes and dedicated sensors," *Oper. Res.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 684–695, 2011.
- [100] W. Wang, "Condition-based Maintenance Modelling," in *Complex System Maintenance Handbook*, Springer London, 2008, pp. 111–131.
- [101] V. Deshpande, A. V. Iyer, and R. Cho, "Efficient Supply Chain Management at the U.S. Coast Guard Using Part-Age Dependent Supply Replenishment Policies," *Oper. Res.*, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 1028–1040, 2006.
- [102] A. H. Elwany and N. Z. Gebraeel, "Sensor-driven prognostic models for equipment replacement and spare parts inventory," *IIE Trans.*, vol. 40, no. 7, pp. 629–639, 2008.
- [103] D. Louit, R. Pascual, D. Banjevic, and A. K. S. Jardine, "Condition-based spares ordering for critical components," *Mech. Syst. Signal Pr.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1837–1848, 2011.
- [104] R. Li and J. K. Ryan, "A Bayesian Inventory Model Using Real-Time Condition Monitoring Information," *Prod. Oper. Manag.*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 754–IX, 2011.
- [105] M. Rausch and H. Liao, "Joint Production and Spare Part Inventory Control Strategy Driven by Condition Based Maintenance," *IEEE T. Reliab.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 507–516, 2010.
- [106] E. Topan, R. Dekker, G. J. van Houtum, and T. Tan, "Using Imperfect Advance Demand Information in Lost-Sales Inventory Systems," Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, Eindhoven: The Netherlands, Working Paper, 2014.
- [107] I. M. H. Vliegen, "Integrated planning for service tools and spare parts for capital goods," PhD Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven: The Netherlands, 2009.
- [108] I. M. H. Vliegen, P. A. M. Kleingeld, and G. J. van Houtum, "Separate tools or tool kits: An exploratory study of engineers' preferences," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 125, no. 1, pp. 173–184, 2010.
- [109] W. Wang and A. A. Syntetos, "Spare parts demand: Linking forecasting to equipment maintenance," *Transp. Res. E-log.*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 1194–1209, 2011.
- [110] K. B. Artana and K. Ishida, "Spreadsheet modeling of optimal maintenance schedule for components in wear-out phase," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 81–91, Jul. 2002.
- [111] G. Galante and G. Passannanti, "An exact algorithm for preventive maintenance planning of series-parallel systems," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 94, no. 10, pp. 1517–1525, Oct. 2009.
- [112] S. Deris, S. Omatu, H. Ohta, L. C. Shaharudin Kutar, and P. Abd Samat, "Ship maintenance scheduling by genetic algorithm and constraint-based reasoning," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 112, no. 3, pp. 489–502, 1999.
- [113] B. Inozu and I. Radovic, "Six Sigma Implementation for Ship Maintenance and Safety Management," presented at the Annual Conference and Meeting of the International Association of Maritime Economists - IAME, Panama, 2002.
- [114] A. J. De Waard, "Re-engineering Large Scale Maintenance Organizations," PhD Thesis, University of Twente, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Enschede: The Netherlands, 1999.
- [115] R. De Boer, J. M. J. Schutten, and W. H. M. Zijm, "A Decision Support System for Ship Maintenance Capacity Planning," *CIRP Ann-Manuf. Tech.*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 391–396, 1997.
- [116] M. Celik, C. Kahraman, S. Cebi, and I. D. Er, "Fuzzy axiomatic design-based performance evaluation model for docking facilities in shipbuilding industry: The case of Turkish shipyards," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 599–615, 2009.
- [117] D. I. Cho and M. Parlar, "A survey of maintenance models for multi-unit systems," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 1–23, 1991.
- [118] H. Wang, "A survey of maintenance policies of deteriorating systems," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 139, no. 3, pp. 469–489, 2002.
- [119] R. P. Nicolai and R. Dekker, "Optimal Maintenance of Multi-component Systems: A Review," in *Complex System Maintenance Handbook*, Springer London, 2008, pp. 263–286.
- [120] H. Ab-Samat and S. Kamaruddin, "Opportunistic maintenance (OM) as a new advancement in maintenance approaches," *J. of Qual. in Maintenance Eng.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 98–121, 2014.



- [121] N. Safaei, D. Banjevic, and A. K. S. Jardine, "Bi-objective workforce-constrained maintenance scheduling: a case study," *J. Oper. Res. Soc.*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 1005–1018, 2011.
- [122] N. Safaei, D. Banjevic, and A. K. S. Jardine, "Workforce-constrained maintenance scheduling for military aircraft fleet: a case study," *Ann. Oper. Res.*, vol. 186, no. 1, pp. 295–316, 2011.
- [123] P. Samaranayake and S. Kiridena, "Aircraft maintenance planning and scheduling: an integrated framework," *J. of Qual. in Maintenance Eng.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 432–453, 2012.
- [124] S. J. Joo, "Scheduling preventive maintenance for modular designed components: A dynamic approach," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 192, no. 2, pp. 512–520, 2009.
- [125] Q. Zhu, P. Hao, and G. J. van Houtum, "Opportunistic maintenance optimization for multi-component systems under a mixture of different types of maintenance policies," in *Proc. of CASE 2014*, Taipei: Taiwan, 2014.
- [126] A. Van Horenbeek, J. Buré, D. Cattrysse, L. Pintelon, and P. Vansteenwegen, "Joint maintenance and inventory optimization systems: A review," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 143, no. 2, pp. 499–508, 2013.
- [127] M. J. Armstrong and D. R. Atkins, "Joint optimization of maintenance and inventory policies for a simple system," *IIE Trans.*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 415–424, 1996.
- [128] A. B. M. Z. Kabir and A. S. Al-Olayan, "A stocking policy for spare part provisioning under age based preventive replacement," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 171–181, 1996.
- [129] W. Wang, "A stochastic model for joint spare parts inventory and planned maintenance optimisation," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 216, no. 1, pp. 127–139, 2012.
- [130] J. W. Rustenburg, *A system approach to budget-constrained spare parts management*. Eindhoven: The Netherlands: Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, 2000.
- [131] A. Sleptchenko, M. C. van der Heijden, and A. van Harten, "Trade-off between inventory and repair capacity in spare part networks," *J. Oper. Res. Soc.*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 263–272, 2003.
- [132] A. Sleptchenko, M. C. van der Heijden, and A. van Harten, "Using repair priorities to reduce stock investment in spare part networks," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 163, no. 3, pp. 733–750, 2005.
- [133] S. Cavalieri, M. Garetti, M. Macchi, and R. Pinto, "A decision-making framework for managing maintenance spare parts," *Prod. Plan. Control*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 379–396, 2008.
- [134] M. Driessen, J. Arts, G. J. van Houtum, J. W. Rustenburg, and B. Huisman, "Maintenance spare parts planning and control: a framework for control and agenda for future research," *Prod. Plan. Control*, pp. 1–20, 2014.
- [135] I. Roda, M. Macchi, L. Fumagalli, and P. Viveros, "A review of multi-criteria classification of spare parts: From literature analysis to industrial evidences," *Jnl. of Manu. Tech. Mngmnt.*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 528–549, 2014.
- [136] P. P. Gajpal, L. S. Ganesh, and C. Rajendran, "Criticality analysis of spare parts using the analytic hierarchy process," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 35, no. 1–3, pp. 293–297, 1994.
- [137] M. Braglia, A. Grassi, and R. Montanari, "Multi-attribute classification method for spare parts inventory management," *J. of Qual. in Maintenance Eng.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 55–65, Mar. 2004.
- [138] A. Molenaers, H. Baets, L. Pintelon, and G. Waeyenbergh, "Criticality classification of spare parts: A case study," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 140, no. 2, pp. 570–578, 2012.
- [139] D. Mohammaditabar, S. Hassan Ghodsypour, and C. O'Brien, "Inventory control system design by integrating inventory classification and policy selection," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 140, no. 2, pp. 655–659, 2012.
- [140] T. S. Dhakar, C. P. Schmidt, and D. M. Miller, "Base stock level determination for high cost low demand critical repairable spares," *Comput. Oper. Res.*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 411–420, 1994.
- [141] D. Louit, R. Pascual, D. Banjevic, and A. K. S. Jardine, "Optimization models for critical spare parts inventories—a reliability approach," *J. Oper. Res. Soc.*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 992–1004, 2011.
- [142] J. P. J. Van Kooten and T. Tan, "The final order problem for repairable spare parts under condemnation," *J. Oper. Res. Soc.*, vol. 60, no. 10, pp. 1449–1461, 2009.
- [143] V. D. R. Guide and R. Srivastava, "Repairable inventory theory: Models and applications," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 1–20, 1997.
- [144] Ç. Pinçe and R. Dekker, "An inventory model for slow moving items subject to obsolescence," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 213, no. 1, pp. 83–95, 2011.
- [145] R. H. Teunter and W. K. Klein Haneveld, "Inventory control of service parts in the final phase," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 137, no. 3, pp. 497–511, 2002.
- [146] R. H. Teunter and W. K. Klein Haneveld, "Inventory control of service parts in the final phase: A central depot and repair kits," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 76–86, 2002.
- [147] S. Behfard, M. C. van der Heijden, A. Al Hanbali, and W. H. M. Zijm, "Last time buy and repair decisions for spare parts," *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 244, no. 2, pp. 498–510, 2015.

- [148] W. W. Fisher and J. J. Brennan, "The performance of cannibalization policies in a maintenance system with spares, repair, and resource constraints," *Nav. Res. Log. Q.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 1986.
- [149] W. W. Fisher, "Markov process modelling of a maintenance system with spares, repair, cannibalization and manpower constraints," *Math. Comput. Model.*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 119–125, 1990.
- [150] S. Salman, C. R. Cassady, E. A. Pohl, and S. W. Ormon, "Evaluating the impact of cannibalization on fleet performance," *Qual. Reliab. Engng. Int.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 445–457, 2007.
- [151] J. Huiskonen, "Maintenance spare parts logistics: Special characteristics and strategic choices," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 71, no. 1–3, pp. 125–133, 2001.
- [152] A. Bacchetti, F. Plebani, N. Saccani, and A. A. Syntetos, "Empirically-driven hierarchical classification of stock keeping units," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 143, no. 2, pp. 263–274, 2013.
- [153] A. Bacchetti and N. Saccani, "Spare parts classification and demand forecasting for stock control: Investigating the gap between research and practice," *Omega*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 722–737, 2012.
- [154] R. H. Teunter, A. A. Syntetos, and M. Zied Babai, "Intermittent demand: Linking forecasting to inventory obsolescence," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 214, no. 3, pp. 606–615, 2011.
- [155] J. Holmström and J. Partanen, "Digital manufacturing-driven transformations of service supply chains for complex products," *Supply Chain Management*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 421–430, 2014.
- [156] S. H. Khajavi, J. Partanen, and J. Holmström, "Additive manufacturing in the spare parts supply chain," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 50–63, Jan. 2014.
- [157] R. Solomon, P. Sandborn, and M. Pecht, "Electronic Part Life Cycle Concepts and Obsolescence Forecasting," *IEEE Trans. Compon. Packaging T.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 707–717, 2000.
- [158] R. J. I. Basten, J. M. J. Schutten, and M. C. van der Heijden, "An efficient model formulation for level of repair analysis," *Ann Oper Res*, vol. 172, no. 1, pp. 119–142, Jan. 2009.
- [159] R. J. I. Basten, M. C. van der Heijden, and J. M. J. Schutten, "Joint optimization of level of repair analysis and spare parts stocks," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 222, no. 3, pp. 474–483, 2012.
- [160] P. Alfredsson, "Optimization of multi-echelon repairable item inventory systems with simultaneous location of repair facilities," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 584–595, 1997.
- [161] J. W. Rustenburg, G. J. van Houtum, and W. H. M. Zijm, *Spare parts management at the Royal Netherlands Navy: vari-metric and beyond*. Enschede: BETA, Institute for Business Engineering and Technology Application, 1998.
- [162] J. W. Rustenburg, G. J. van Houtum, and W. H. M. Zijm, "Exact and Approximate Analysis of Multi-Echelon, Multi-Indenture Spare Parts Systems with Commonality," in *Stochastic Modeling and Optimization of Manufacturing Systems and Supply Chains*, J. G. Shanthikumar, D. D. Yao, and W. H. M. Zijm, Eds. Springer US, 2003, pp. 143–176.
- [163] C. C. Sherbrooke, "Metric: A Multi-Echelon Technique for Recoverable Item Control," *Operations Research*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 122–141, Jan. 1968.
- [164] S. C. Graves, "A Multi-Echelon Inventory Model for a Repairable Item with One-for-One Replenishment," *Manage. Sci.*, vol. 31, no. 10, pp. 1247–1256, 1985.
- [165] J. A. Muckstadt, *Analysis and algorithms for service parts supply chains*. New York, NY : Springer, 2005.
- [166] G. J. Van Houtum and A. A. Kranenburg, *Spare Parts Inventory Control under System Availability Constraints*. New York: Springer, 2015.
- [167] K. E. Caggiano, J. A. Muckstadt, and J. A. Rappold, "Integrated Real-Time Capacity and Inventory Allocation for Repairable Service Parts in a Two-Echelon Supply System," *Manuf. Serv. Oper. Manag.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 292–319, 2006.
- [168] M. F. Candas and E. Kutanoglu, "Benefits of considering inventory in service parts logistics network design problems with time-based service constraints," *IIE Trans.*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 159–176, 2007.
- [169] V. Jeet, E. Kutanoglu, and A. Partani, "Logistics network design with inventory stocking for low-demand parts: Modeling and optimization," *IIE Trans.*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 389–407, 2009.
- [170] M. C. Wu, Y. K. Hsu, and L. C. Huang, "An integrated approach to the design and operation for spare parts logistic systems," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 2990–2997, 2011.
- [171] I. M. Iyooob and E. Kutanoglu, "Inventory sharing in integrated network design and inventory optimization with low-demand parts," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 224, no. 3, pp. 497–506, 2013.
- [172] J. A. Rappold and B. D. van Roo, "Designing multi-echelon service parts networks with finite repair capacity," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 199, no. 3, pp. 781–792, 2009.

- [173] M. C. Chen, C. M. Hsu, and S. W. Chen, "Optimizing Joint Maintenance and Stock Provisioning Policy for a Multi-Echelon Spare Part Logistics Network," *J. Chin. Ins. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 289–302, 2006.
- [174] H. L. Lee, "A Multi-Echelon Inventory Model for Repairable Items with Emergency Lateral Transshipments," *Manage. Sci.*, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 1302–1316, 1987.
- [175] M. Dada, "A Two-echelon Inventory System with Priority Shipments," *Manage. Sci.*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 1140–1153, 1992.
- [176] P. Alfredsson and J. Verrijdt, "Modeling Emergency Supply Flexibility in a Two-Echelon Inventory System," *Manage. Sci.*, vol. 45, no. 10, pp. 1416–1431, 1999.
- [177] H. Wong, G. J. van Houtum, D. Cattrysse, and D. V. Oudheusden, "Multi-item spare parts systems with lateral transshipments and waiting time constraints," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 171, no. 3, pp. 1071–1093, 2006.
- [178] A. A. Kranenburg and G. J. van Houtum, "A new partial pooling structure for spare parts networks," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 199, no. 3, pp. 908–921, 2009.
- [179] J. Kilpi and A. P. J. Vepsäläinen, "Pooling of spare components between airlines," *J. Air Transp. Manag.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 137–146, 2004.
- [180] F. Karsten and R. J. I. Basten, "Pooling of spare parts between multiple users: How to share the benefits?," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 233, no. 1, pp. 94–104, 2014.
- [181] F. Karsten, M. Slikker, and G. J. van Houtum, "Inventory pooling games for expensive, low-demand spare parts," *Nav. Res. Log.*, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 311–324, 2012.
- [182] C. Paterson, G. Kiesmüller, R. Teunter, and K. Glazebrook, "Inventory models with lateral transshipments: A review," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 210, no. 2, pp. 125–136, 2011.
- [183] M. Bijvank and I. F. A. Vis, "Lost-sales inventory theory: A review," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 215, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2011.
- [184] R. J. I. Basten, M. C. van der Heijden, J. M. J. Schutten, and E. Kutanoglu, "An approximate approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stocking," *Ann. Oper. Res.*, pp. 1–25, 2015.
- [185] A. N. Perakis and B. İnözü, "Optimal maintenance, repair, and replacement for Great Lakes marine diesels," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 165–182, 1991.
- [186] J. E. Parada Puig and R. J. I. Basten, "Defining line replaceable units," *Beta Working Paper*, vol. 459, 2014.
- [187] P. A. Jensen, "Optimum repair level analysis: Some extensions," in *Logistics*, M. A. Geisler, Ed. Amsterdam: North-Holland: American Elsevier, 1975, pp. 87–104.
- [188] J. A. Muckstadt, "A Model for a Multi-Item, Multi-Echelon, Multi-Indenture Inventory System," *Manage. Sci.*, vol. 20, no. 4-part-i, pp. 472–481, 1973.
- [189] C. C. Sherbrooke, "VARI-METRIC: Improved Approximations for Multi-Indenture, Multi-Echelon Availability Models," *Oper. Res.*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 311–319, 1986.
- [190] A. Slepchenko, M. C. van der Heijden, and A. van Harten, "Effects of finite repair capacity in multi-echelon, multi-indenture service part supply systems," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 79, no. 3, pp. 209–230, 2002.
- [191] W. H. Zijm and Z. M. Avşar, "Capacitated two-indenture models for repairable item systems," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 81–82, pp. 573–588, 2003.
- [192] H. G. H. Tiemessen and G. J. van Houtum, "Reducing costs of repairable spare parts supply systems via dynamic scheduling," *Journal of Physics D-applied Physics - J PHYS-D-APPL PHYS*, 2010.
- [193] N. D. Singpurwalla, "Survival in Dynamic Environments," *Statistical Science*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 86–103, 1995.
- [194] J. P. Kharoufeh, "Explicit results for wear processes in a Markovian environment," *Oper. Res. Lett.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 237–244, 2003.
- [195] J. P. Kharoufeh and D. G. Mixon, "On a Markov-modulated shock and wear process," *Nav. Res. Log.*, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 563–576, 2009.
- [196] J. P. Kharoufeh, C. J. Solo, and M. Y. Ulukus, "Semi-Markov models for degradation-based reliability," *IIE Trans.*, vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 599–612, 2010.
- [197] N. Chatwattanasiri, S. Song, D. W. Coit, and Q. Feng, "Expected reliability for systems under uncertain usage environment with multiple dependent competing failure processes," in *Proc. of ISERC 2013*, San Juan: Puerto Rico, 2013.
- [198] R. Gopalan and K. T. Talluri, "The Aircraft Maintenance Routing Problem," *Oper. Res.*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 260–271, 1998.
- [199] K. T. Talluri, "The Four-Day Aircraft Maintenance Routing Problem," *Transportation Science*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 43–53, 1998.

- [200] M. Başdere and Ü. Bilge, “Operational aircraft maintenance routing problem with remaining time consideration,” *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 235, no. 1, pp. 315–328, 2014.
- [201] J. Diaz-Ramirez, J. Ignacio Huertas, and F. Trigos, “Aircraft maintenance, routing, and crew scheduling planning for airlines with a single fleet and a single maintenance and crew base,” *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 75, pp. 68–78, 2014.
- [202] M. Y. Ulukus, J. P. Kharoufeh, and L. M. Maillart, “Optimal replacement policies under environment-driven degradation,” *Probab. Eng. Inform. Sc.*, vol. 26, no. 03, pp. 405–424, 2012.
- [203] Y. Xiang, C. R. Cassady, and E. A. Pohl, “Optimal maintenance policies for systems subject to a Markovian operating environment,” *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 190–197, 2012.
- [204] Z. Zhang, S. Wu, B. Li, and S. Lee, “Optimal maintenance policy for multi-component systems under Markovian environment changes,” *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 40, no. 18, pp. 7391–7399, 2013.
- [205] S. Özekici, “Optimal maintenance policies in random environments,” *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 82, no. 2, pp. 283–294, 1995.
- [206] B. Çekyay and S. Özekici, “Optimal maintenance of semi-Markov missions,” *Probab. Eng. Inform. Sc.*, vol. 29, no. 01, pp. 77–98, 2015.
- [207] B. Çekyay and S. Özekici, “Optimal maintenance of systems with Markovian mission and deterioration,” *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 219, no. 1, pp. 123–133, 2012.
- [208] C. D. Dao and M. J. Zuo, “Selective Maintenance for Multi-state Systems Considering the Benefits of Repairing Multiple Components Simultaneously,” in *Engineering Asset Management - Systems, Professional Practices and Certification*, P. W. Tse, J. Mathew, K. Wong, R. Lam, and C. N. Ko, Eds. Berlin: Springer-Verlag Berlin, 2015, pp. 413–425.
- [209] R. Dekker, R. E. Wildeman, and F. A. van der D. Schouten, “A review of multi-component maintenance models with economic dependence,” *Math. Method Oper. Res.*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 411–435, 1997.
- [210] Q. Zhu, H. Peng, and G. J. van Houtum, “A condition-based maintenance policy for multi-component systems with a high maintenance setup cost,” Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, Eindhoven: The Netherlands, Working Paper, 2012.
- [211] J. Koochaki, J. A. C. Bokhorst, H. Wortmann, and W. Klingenberg, “Condition based maintenance in the context of opportunistic maintenance,” *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, vol. 50, no. 23, pp. 6918–6929, 2012.
- [212] J. Koochaki, J. A. C. Bokhorst, H. Wortmann, and W. Klingenberg, “The influence of condition-based maintenance on workforce planning and maintenance scheduling,” *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, vol. 51, no. 8, pp. 2339–2351, 2013.
- [213] M. Collette, “Hull Structures as a System: Supporting Lifecycle Analysis,” *Nav. Eng. J.*, vol. 123, no. 3, pp. 45–55, 2011.
- [214] J. H. Walshe, D. A. Watson, A. J. Tate, S. J. Austen, D. K. Macbeth, T. D. Nguyen, and J. I. R. Blake, “Integrated and concurrent, engineering and cost modelling, for through-life asset management within the RNLI,” in *Rina, Royal Institution of Naval Architects - International Conference On Computer Applications in Shipbuilding*, Trieste, Italy, 2011.
- [215] K. P. Jain, J. F. J. Pruyn, and J. J. Hopman, “Influence of ship design on ship recycling,” in *Maritime Technology and Engineering*, C. Guedes Soares and T. A. Santos, Eds. CRC Press, 2015, pp. 269–276.
- [216] S. A. McKenna, R. E. Kurt, and O. Turan, “A methodology for a ‘design for ship recycling,’” presented at the RINA, Royal Institution of Naval Architects - International Conference on the Environmentally Friendly Ship, Papers, 2012, pp. 37–44.
- [217] J. Emblemståg and L. Tønning, “Decision support in selecting maintenance organization,” *J. of Qual. in Maintenance Eng.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 11–24, 2003.
- [218] C. Jackson and R. Pascual, “Optimal maintenance service contract negotiation with aging equipment,” *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 189, no. 2, pp. 387–398, 2008.
- [219] J. Zambujal-Oliveira and J. Duque, “Operational asset replacement strategy: A real options approach,” *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 210, no. 2, pp. 318–325, 2011.
- [220] T. P. K. Nguyen, T. G. Yeung, and B. Castanier, “Optimal maintenance and replacement decisions under technological change with consideration of spare parts inventories,” *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 143, no. 2, pp. 472–477, 2013.
- [221] U. D. Kumar and H. Saranga, “Optimal selection of obsolescence mitigation strategies using a restless bandit model,” *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 200, no. 1, pp. 170–180, 2010.
- [222] K. Inderfurth and R. Kleber, “An Advanced Heuristic for Multiple-Option Spare Parts Procurement after End-of-Production,” *Prod. Oper. Manag.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 54–70, 2013.
- [223] K. Inderfurth and K. Mukherjee, “Decision support for spare parts acquisition in post product life cycle,” *cent.eur.j.oper.res.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 17–42, Dec. 2007.

- [224] S. Pokharel and A. Mutha, “Perspectives in reverse logistics: A review,” *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 175–182, Feb. 2009.
- [225] Knowledge at Wharton, “‘Power by the Hour’: Can Paying Only for Performance Redefine How Products Are Sold and Serviced?,” *Knowledge@Wharton*, 2007. [Online]. Available: <http://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu/>. [Accessed: 11-Sep-2014].
- [226] G. Coupe, “Interview: Caterpillar Marine,” *Marine Maintenance Technology International*, pp. 10–14, Sep-2015.
- [227] J. Hunt, “Condition monitoring,” *Marine Maintenance Technology International*, pp. 18–22, Sep-2014.
- [228] American Bureau of Shipping, “Guide for Surveys based on Reliability-Centered Maintenance,” Houston, Texas: USA, 2003.
- [229] IACS, “Classification societies -what, why and how?,” International Association of Classification Societies, London: UK, 2011.
- [230] F. Landon, “Cover story: Tug boat focus,” *The Official Publication of Marine Maintenance World Expo - Marine Maintenance Technology International*, pp. 40–44, 2014.
- [231] A. Kieviet, “Spare parts,” *Marine Maintenance Technology International*, pp. 16–22, Sep-2015.