

Integrated maintenance and spare part optimization for moving assets

Citation for published version (APA): Eruguz, A. S., Tan, T., & van Houtum, G. J. J. A. N. (2016). *Integrated maintenance and spare part optimization* for moving assets. (BETA publicatie : working papers; Vol. 500). Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

Document status and date: Published: 01/01/2016

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

 The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.



Integrated Maintenance and Spare Part Optimization for Moving Assets

Ayse Sena Eruguz, Tarkan Tan, Geert-Jan van Houtum

Beta Working Paper series 500

BETA publicatie	WP 500 (working paper)
ISBN	
NUR	804
Eindhoven	March 2016

Integrated Maintenance and Spare Part Optimization for Moving Assets

Ayse Sena Eruguz, Tarkan Tan, Geert-Jan van Houtum

School of Industrial Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

March 31, 2016

We consider an integrated maintenance and spare part optimization problem for a single critical component of a moving asset for which the degradation level is observable. Degradation is modelled as a function of the current operating mode, mostly dictated by where the moving asset physically is. The spare part is stocked at the home base where the moving asset eventually stops by. Alternatively, the spare part can be stocked on board of the moving asset to prevent costly expedited deliveries. The costs associated with spare part deliveries and part replacements depend on the operating mode. Our objective is to minimize the total expected discounted cost of spare part deliveries, part replacements, and inventory holding over an infinite planning horizon. We formulate the problem as a Markov decision process and characterize the structure of the optimal policy, which is shown to be a bi-threshold policy in each operating mode. Our numerical experiments show that the cost savings obtained by the integrated optimization of spare part inventory and part replacement decisions are significant. We also demonstrate the value of the integrated approach in a case study from the maritime sector.

Keywords: maintenance, replacement, spare parts, moving assets, inventory, maritime, conditionbased maintenance

1. Introduction

Maintenance and spare parts holding costs are known to be a significant part of the overall operating costs for many moving assets such as maritime assets (e.g., ships, vessels, submarines), aircrafts, commercial vehicles (e.g., trucks, buses, trains), and military equipment (e.g., frigates, strike-fighter). For maritime assets, maintenance activities can contribute in the range of 25-35% to the operating costs (Turan et al., 2009). For commercial airlines, maintenance accounts for around 10% of airlines' total costs (Lam, 1995). The total spare parts inventory in the aviation industry is estimated to be 45 billion US dollars in 1995 (Flint, 1995). In addition to the significant cost associated with maintenance and spare parts inventory, unexpected downtimes can lead to a significant loss of revenues and can affect health, safety, and environment. For instance, daily shipping operations of tankers yield as much as \$20,500 depending on the vessel size (Unctad, 2013). Downtime of the Navy ships can seriously affect national safety. For such assets, maintenance and spare parts optimization is essential to decrease overall operating costs and to increase asset availability.

A component is called critical when the consequences associated with its failure are significant. In practice, such components are usually maintained by the so-called repair-by-replacement strategy, i.e., the component is removed from the asset and replaced by a new or as good as new spare part. This reduces maintenance execution time and the resulting downtime (van Houtum and Kranenburg, 2015). In this setting, the availability of spare parts is crucial since emergency shipments and the

downtime while waiting for the spare parts may be very costly. Traditionally, maintenance and spare parts inventory decisions are made separately. However, a separate optimization may lead to poor solutions since it ignores the interdependencies between the two decisions. In general, the integrated optimization provides remarkable improvement in terms of cost and availability compared to separate optimization (Kabir and Al-Olayan, 1996; van Horenbeek et al., 2013).

For many technical systems, it is possible to measure parameters of critical components that reveal the actual degradation behavior (van Houtum and Kranenburg, 2015). This enables the prevention of failures by means of condition-based maintenance (CBM), i.e., by executing a preventive replacement at the moment that a certain degradation threshold is exceeded. In practice, measurements can be performed via periodic inspections or advanced sensor technologies. Physical degradation models investigate the quantitative relation between degradation, usage, and environment (see, e.g., Tinga, 2010; Tinga and Janssen, 2013). In this paper, we consider a critical component of a moving asset for which the degradation level is observable and can be modelled as a function of the current operating mode. Operating modes represent where the moving asset physically is, how the component is used, and under which environmental conditions it operates. For many moving assets, the sequence and the duration of operating modes are more realistically modelled as random variables (see, e.g., Alam and Al-Saggaf, 1986; Çekyay and Özekici, 2015).

Under the common repair-by-replacement strategy, the spare part must be on board of the moving asset when replacing the component. In practice, the spare part is usually available at a fixed location in the home base, where the moving asset eventually stops by. Depending on the application, the home base can be a harbor, hangar, or garage. Typically, the cost associated with delivering a spare part is negligible when the moving asset is already in the home base. However, the cost of a spare part delivery might be significant when the asset is operating at a remote location. Such a delivery is made by means of an aircraft, helicopter, boat, dedicated vehicle, etc. To prevent costly deliveries, the spare part can be stocked on board of the moving asset. A holding cost is incurred if the spare part is kept on board. This holding cost might be relatively high due to several factors including the spare part's unit-value, the risk of obsolescence, and limited space availability on board.

This work is motivated by our collaboration with Fugro Marine Services (FMS). Fugro is the world's largest integrator of geotechnical, survey, subsea and geosciences services. A major part of Fugro's turnover relates to the research of the seafloor, i.e., collecting and interpreting data related to the earth's seafloor. For these operations, the so-called survey vessels are employed. The availability of these vessels is of high importance since the activities performed generate high revenue. FMS is Fugro's in-house vessel managing company. FMS manages maintenance related activities for survey vessels among others. We consider a critical component of one of these vessels in the case study presented in Section 6. FMS has treated the component under consideration as reliable based on the

original equipment manufacturer (OEM) specifications. The replacement of this component was planned to be performed on a time-based schedule and it was decided to not to hold the spare part on board. Nevertheless, the component has failed before its preventive replacement time while the vessel was operating at a remote location. The expedited delivery of the spare part has amounted to $\in 10,000$ approximately. In addition, the downtime cost due to one-day delay in operations, which is significantly varying over time, was within the range of $\in 20,000 - \epsilon 50,000$ at that time. This situation could have been avoided if the spare part of this relatively cheap component was held on board of the vessel. On the other hand, it is neither realistic nor economical to always hold spare parts on board. First of all, the available space on board is usually very limited. Some of the critical components are very expensive resulting in significant holding costs. This shows that there is a need for a structured approach to make the decisions on "when to put a spare part on board" and "when to replace the component" just-in-time. Such an approach should take into account the randomness in the sequence and the duration of operating modes as well as the relation among operating modes, component degradation, and cost parameters. To the best of our knowledge, this problem is not yet studied in the literature.

We formulate the above-presented problem as a Markov decision process model in which the objective is to minimize the total expected discounted cost. Given that many moving assets have very long service times; we develop an infinite horizon model. We characterize the structure of the optimal policy, which is found to be a bi-threshold policy in each operating mode. Moreover, we provide insights regarding the performance of the optimal policy compared to four benchmark policies. In these benchmark policies, replacement decisions are optimized and spare part inventory decisions are pre-defined as: never keep a spare part on board or always keep a spare part on board. Our numerical experiments show that, compared to the benchmark policies considered, the cost savings obtained by the optimal policy can be significant.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the related literature. Section 3 formulates the problem as a Markov decision process model. Section 4 characterizes the structure of the optimal integrated policy. Section 5 provides numerical experiments and several insights regarding the performance of the optimal policy compared to four benchmark policies. Section 6 presents a case study for demonstration purposes. Section 7 draws some conclusions and suggests potential future research directions.

2. Literature Review

Our paper relates to three main research streams, namely, CBM optimization for components subject to Markovian degradation, maintenance optimization of mission-based systems, and integrated maintenance and spare parts inventory optimization. A large body of the CBM literature models degradation as a Markov process (Elwany et al., 2011). The earliest and basic replacement models for components subject to Markovian degradation can be found in Derman (1970), Kolesar (1966), and Kawai et al. (2002). For these models, it is shown that under some monotonicity assumptions the optimal replacement policy minimizing expected total discounted cost in an infinite time horizon is a threshold-type policy. This means that there exists a threshold with respect to the degradation level above which the optimal decision is to replace the component and below which the optimal decision is to do nothing. Over the last decades, the structure of optimal replacement policies have been investigated in different problem settings (see, e.g., Makis and Jiang, 2003; Elwany et al., 2011; Kurt and Kharoufeh, 2010). Çekyay et al. (2011) reviewed CBM models with Markovian degradation for which threshold-type policies are optimal. They showed that these polices are not necessarily optimal in a variety of cases and the optimal policy may have a rather complex structure.

There is a vast literature on systems performing missions which are composed of different phases or stages. In the literature, these systems are called phased-mission systems or mission-based systems. These systems are analogous to those that are subject to different operating modes and mission environments. Many papers focused on reliability and availability analysis for such systems (see, e.g., Esary and Ziehms, 1975; Kim and Park, 1994; Mura and Bondavalli, 1999; Kharoufeh et al., 2010). To the best of our knowledge, maintenance and replacement models for mission-based systems and for systems subject to randomly varying environments are limited. Waldmann (1983) investigated the structure of an optimal replacement policy for a system subject to stochastic degradation in a random environment. The author provided sufficient conditions to establish the optimality of threshold-type policies. Özekici (1995) modelled the environment by a semi-Markov process and used the intrinsic aging concept for degradation. The intrinsic age represents the cumulative hazard accumulated in time during the operation of the component in the randomly varying environment. Under increasing failure rate distribution functions in all environments and reasonable cost structures, the author showed that the threshold-type intrinsic age replacement and repair policies are optimal. In a similar setting, Cekyay and Özekici (2015) and Zhang et al. (2013) provided extensions to deal with the multicomponent case. Ulukus et al. (2012) modelled the environment as a finite state Markov process. They assumed that the system accumulates degradation at a linear rate whose value depends on the environment. They showed that there exists an optimal threshold-type replacement policy for each environment. Considering similar degradation dynamics, Flory et al. (2015) extended the work of Ulukus et al. (2012) to partially observable environments. Çekyay and Özekici (2012) defined both the mission process and the degradation process as finite state Markov processes where the generator of the degradation process depends on the phases of the mission. They discussed optimal repair and replacement problems and characterized the optimal policies under some monotonicity assumptions. In this paper, our assumptions regarding mission and degradation processes are similar. The mission

process (operating modes) is described as a finite state Markov process. The degradation process of the component is defined by another finite state Markov process and is modulated by the mission process. Differently from the existing papers in this research stream, we investigate an integrated problem by taking the spare part inventory decision into account.

In the last decades, several integrated maintenance and spare parts inventory optimization models have been developed. Many papers in this research stream rely on the assumption that components' life-time/reliability distributions are given. Armstrong and Atkins (1996) considered a single component subject to age-based replacement. They investigated the optimal combination of replacement and ordering time that minimizes the total replacement and inventory costs. Armstrong and Atkins (1998) provided several extensions to the previous work, generalizing the cost terms and the replenishment time of spare parts. Kabir and Al-Olayan (1996) proposed a policy for integrated optimization of age-based replacement and spare parts ordering. They considered a number of identical components. Their simulation model reported a remarkable improvement on the total cost compared to separate optimization. To the best of our knowledge, only a few articles are available for components subject to CBM. Wang et al. (2008) considered a number of identical components and developed a condition-based replacement and spare parts ordering policy. They modelled the degradation of components as discrete-time Markov chains. They used Monte Carlo simulation to evaluate the performance of the proposed policy. Wang et al. (2009) combined Monte Carlo simulation and a genetic algorithm to determine the optimal parameters of the condition-based replacement and spare parts ordering policy. Elwany and Gebraeel (2008) enabled the use of sensor information and proposed a method which dynamically updates replacement and ordering decisions based on the physical condition of the equipment. They highlighted the advantages of using the proposed methodology compared to that of Armstrong and Atkins (1996). We refer the reader to van Horenbeek et al. (2013) for a complete review of this research stream. Unlike our work, existing research does not investigate integrated maintenance and inventory optimization problem from a moving asset perspective. To the best of our knowledge, none of these models incorporates the relation among operating modes, component degradation, and cost parameters.

3. Markov decision process formulation

In this section, we present the Markov decision process formulation of our integrated maintenance and spare part optimization problem.

Let *I* be a non-empty set representing different operating modes of the moving asset. Operating modes dictate where the moving asset physically is, how the component is used, and under which environmental conditions it operates. For example, operating modes can be defined as "wait in the home base", "transit to location *l*", and "perform mission *m* in environment *e*", etc. We assume that the operation process evolves as a continuous-time Markov chain (CTMC), $X = \{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ on discrete

state space *I*. Therefore, the duration of operating mode $i \in I$ is assumed to be exponentially distributed with rate μ_i . The probability that the system jumps from operating mode $i \in I$ to operating mode $k \in I$ is denoted by Q(i,k). Generally, Q(i,i) = 0 for all $i \in I$, but technically one can allow Q(i,i) to be larger than zero. As further demonstrated in Section 6, the operation process of a real-life system can be well-represented by such a Markov process.

The degradation of the component also evolves as a CTMC, $Y = \{Y_t, t \ge 0\}$ on a discrete state space $J = \{0, 1, ..., F\}$, representing degradation levels from perfect (0) to failure state (*F*), where F > 1. Transitions in degradation levels are from *j* to j+1 for $j \in \hat{J}$, where $\hat{J} = J \setminus \{F\}$. The degradation process is modulated by the operation process, i.e., transition rate λ_{ij} for the transition from *j* to j+1 depends on the current operating mode $i \in I$. We note that the number of degradation levels and operating modes can be arbitrarily large. Therefore, this constitutes a fairly general degradation process.

We assume that at most one spare part can be stocked on board of the moving asset. This assumption is not unrealistic considering limited space availability on board. Moreover, the component lifetime is usually much higher than the time between two successive home base visits, i.e., in practice, it is not likely to observe two or more failures during one mission. We denote the number of spare parts on board by $u \in U$, where $U \equiv \{0,1\}$.

We define the state of the Markov decision process as $(i, j, u) \in I \times J \times U$, a realization of the joint process (X,Y,Z) where X denotes the current operating mode, Y is the current level of degradation, and Z is the number of spare parts on board. We restrict our attention to a model in which decisions are only made at transition instants. A decision maker observes the system at each decision epoch (i.e., each time instant at which a transition occurs) and makes decision $a \in \{0,1,2\}$ which can be one of the followings:

- 0: Do nothing
- 1: Deliver a spare part
- 2: Replace the part

The set of possible actions A(i, j, u) in state $(i, j, u) \in I \times J \times U$ is defined as:

$$A(i, j, u) = \begin{cases} \{0, 1\} & \text{if } i \in I, j \in \hat{J}, u = 0\\ \{0, 2\} & \text{if } i \in I, j \in \hat{J}, u = 1\\ \{1\} & \text{if } i \in I, j = F, u = 0\\ \{2\} & \text{if } i \in I, j = F, u = 1 \end{cases}$$

At a decision epoch, action 0 (do nothing) can be chosen if the component has not failed. Action 1 (spare part delivery) is possible if there is no spare part on board. Action 2 (part replacement) can be performed only if the spare part is already on board.

We assume that a part replacement or a spare part delivery can be scheduled to occur immediately and instantaneously. Therefore, action 1 brings the system from state (i, j, 0) to state (i, j, 1) immediately. Similarly, action 2 brings the system from state (i, j, 1) to state (i, 0, 0) immediately. After each transition, a new action can be taken. That is, a spare part delivery can be immediately followed by a part replacement and the other way around. For example, if the component has failed when the spare part was not on board, a spare part delivery and a part replacement should take place consecutively, bringing the system from state (i, F, 0) to state (i, F, 1) and then from state (i, F, 1) to state (i, 0, 0) instantaneously. In this case, both actions take place at the same time instant.

The assumption of instantaneous replacements and deliveries is reasonable when the duration of these actions is much shorter than the mean component lifetime. In practice, even short downtimes can lead to significant costs. In this model, the downtime is translated into cost parameters. We distinguish a part replacement cost and a spare part delivery cost. At the time that a preventive replacement occurs in operating mode $i \in I$, the replacement cost $c_i^{pr} > 0$ is charged. If the system is found to be failed, then a corrective replacement must be performed at a corrective replacement cost c_i^{cr} , where $c_i^{cr} \ge c_i^{pr}$ for $i \in I$. The replacement cost parameters c_i^{pr} and c_i^{cr} include the cost of labour and the cost of downtime due to preventive and corrective replacement actions, respectively.

For a spare part delivery that takes place when the system is up in operating mode $i \in I$, a preventive spare part delivery cost $c_i^{pd} \ge 0$ is incurred. The cost of a preventive delivery includes the price of the spare part and the transportation cost. The transportation cost depends on the location of the moving asset, i.e., on the operating mode. We assume that a spare part is always available at the home base. By definition, the moving asset eventually stops by the home base. When the moving asset is at the home base, the spare part can be directly put on board with a negligible transportation cost (regular delivery). If the moving asset is at a remote location at the moment a spare part is requested, the delivery should be made by means of an aircraft, helicopter, boat, dedicated vehicle, etc. (expedited delivery). We translate the difference between regular and expedited deliveries into cost terms, by differentiating the spare part delivery cost with respect to operating modes. In principle, preventive deliveries do not cause downtime if the component has not failed. If the component has failed, additional costs may be incurred due to the cost of an emergency shipment and the cost of downtime while waiting for the spare part. To represent these additional costs, we introduce a corrective spare part delivery cost c_i^{cd} , where $c_i^{cd} \ge c_i^{pd}$ for $i \in I$. Moreover, holding the spare part on board of the moving asset incurs a holding cost h > 0 per time unit.

We apply uniformization to convert the continuous-time Markov decision problem into an equivalent discrete-time Markov decision problem. The uniformization is applied to the subset of states in which action 0 is possible. We add fictitious transitions from state $(i, j, u) \in I \times \hat{J} \times U$ to itself, ensuring that the total rate out of a state is equal for all states $(i, j, u) \in I \times \hat{J} \times U$. The latter refers to the so-called uniformization rate (see Alagoz and Ayvaci, 2010). We select a positive uniformization rate v such that $v \ge \max\{v_{ij} \mid i \in I, j \in \hat{J}\}$ where $v_{ij} = \mu_i + \lambda_{ij}$. In our Markov decision model, how long the system stays in a state depends on the action taken. Under uniformization, if action 0 is taken, the next transition (and decision epoch) is after an exponentially distributed time with mean 1/v in each state $(i, j, u) \in I \times \hat{J} \times U$. In this case, one of the following transitions can occur at the subsequent transition instant: (1) the system can jump from degradation level $j \in \hat{J}$ to j+1 with probability λ_{ij}/v , (2) the system can jump from operating mode $i \in I$ to operating mode $k \in I$, $k \neq i$ with probability $\mu_i Q(i,k)/v$, or (3) the system can occupy the same state after transition with probability $1 - v_{ij}/v$. For illustration purposes, we depict an exemplary process in Appendix I.

We assume a continuous discount rate $\alpha > 0$ so that any cost incurred at some future time *t* is discounted by a factor $e^{-\alpha t}$. Hence, the discount factor in the uniformized process can be defined as:

$$\beta = \int_0^\infty v e^{-(v+\alpha)\tau} d\tau = \frac{v}{v+\alpha}$$

The discounted holding cost of keeping a spare part on board during t time units is:

$$\int_{0}^{t} h e^{-\alpha \tau} d\tau = \frac{h}{\alpha} (1 - e^{-\alpha t})$$

If there is a spare part on board and action 0 is taken, then the expected discounted holding cost between two decision epochs is:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{h}{\alpha} (1 - e^{-\alpha t}) v e^{-vt} dt = \frac{\beta}{v} h$$

The total expected discounted cost between two decision epochs r(i, j, u, a) if action a is taken in state (i, j, u) is equal to:

$$r(i, j, u, a) = H(i, j, u, a) + C(i, j, u, a)$$

where H(i, j, u, a) is the continuous cost and C(i, j, u, a) is the lump sum cost of action $a \in A(i, j, u)$ in state (i, j, u):

$$H(i, j, u, a) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{v}h & \text{if } i \in I, j \in \hat{J}, u = 1, a = 0\\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$C(i, j, u, a) = \begin{cases} c_i^{\text{pd}} & \text{if } i \in I, j \in \hat{J}, u = 0, a = 1\\ c_i^{\text{cd}} & \text{if } i \in I, j = F, u = 0, a = 1\\ c_i^{\text{pr}} & \text{if } i \in I, j \in \hat{J}, u = 1, a = 2\\ c_i^{\text{cr}} & \text{if } i \in I, j = F, u = 1, a = 2\\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let V(i, j, u) be the value function representing the minimum expected total discounted cost using the optimal policy if the operating mode is *i*, the degradation level is *j*, and the number of spare parts on board is *u*. The optimal replacement problem can be formulated by the following dynamic programming equation:

$$V(i, j, u) = \min_{a \in A(i, j, u)} \{ r(i, j, u, a) + \Gamma_a V(i, j, u) \}$$
(1)

where operators Γ_0 , Γ_1 , Γ_2 refer to the actions of doing nothing, a spare part delivery, and a part replacement, respectively:

$$\Gamma_0 V(i, j, u) = \frac{\beta}{\nu} \left[\sum_{k \in I, k \neq i} \mu_i Q(i, k) V(k, j, u) + \lambda_{ij} V(i, j+1, u) + (\nu - \nu_{ij}) V(i, j, u) \right] \text{for } i \in I, j \in \hat{J}, u \in U$$
(2)

$$\Gamma_1 V(i, j, 0) = V(i, j, 1) \quad \text{for } i \in I, j \in J$$
(3)

$$\Gamma_2 V(i, j, 1) = V(i, 0, 0) \quad \text{for } i \in I, j \in J$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Equation (2) models possible future random events following the decision of doing nothing. It consists of three types of transitions. The first one is the transition in operating modes, bringing the system from state (i, j, u) to (k, j, u) where $i, k \in I, i \neq k$. The second one represents the component's degradation, bringing the system from state (i, j, u) to (i, j+1, u) where $j \in \hat{J}$. The third one represents the transitions from state (i, j, u) to itself which is a consequence of the uniformization. Equation (3) corresponds to the decision of delivering a spare part, in which case the system jumps immediately from state (i, j, 0) to state (i, j, 1). Finally, Equation (4) represents the replacement decision which resets the number of spare parts on board and the degradation level to 0. Under (3) and (4), at each decision epoch, a part replacement can immediately follow a spare part delivery and the other way around.

We note that the state space of the model is discrete, the action space $A(i, j, u) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ is finite for each $(i, j, u) \in I \times J \times U$. The model satisfies the conditions of Theorem 6.2.10 in Puterman (1994), which establishes the existence of an optimal deterministic stationary policy and the convergence of

the value iteration algorithm to the optimal value. A value iteration algorithm that is designed to deal with immediate and instantaneous actions is given in Appendix II.

4. Optimal Policy

In this section we analyze the structure of the optimal policy. We start by stating three theorems that establish monotonicity results related to the component's degradation level. From this, we derive the structure of the optimal policy, which is an operating-mode-dependent bi-threshold policy. The proofs of the theorems are given in Appendixes III - V.

Theorem 1: V(i, j, u) is non-decreasing in $j \in J$ for each $i \in I$ and $u \in U$.

This theorem states the following. Consider two components in operating mode $i \in I$ while $u \in U$ spare parts are on board. If the degradation level of the first component is higher than the second one, then the minimum expected total cost of the first component cannot be less than the minimum expected total cost of the second component.

Theorem 2: V(i, j, u) is submodular in $(j, u) \in J \times U$, i.e.,

 $V(i, j, 1) - V(i, j, 0) - V(i, j - 1, 1) + V(i, j - 1, 0) \le 0$ for each $i \in I$ and $j \in J \setminus \{0\}$.

In Theorem 2, we consider the difference between the two cases, (i) there is a spare part on board and (ii) there is no spare part on board. We show that in a certain operating mode, when component's degradation increases, the increase in the minimum expected total cost in case (i) cannot be greater than that of case (ii). In other words, if the spare part is not on board, the cost increase resulting from component's degradation is higher compared to the case where the spare part is on board.

Theorem 3: In each $i \in I$, there exists an optimal part replacement threshold $\psi(i) \leq F$ and an optimal spare part delivery threshold $\xi(i) \leq F$ such that:

$$a^{*}(i, j, u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < \xi(i), u = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } j \ge \xi(i), u = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } j < \psi(i), u = 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } j \ge \psi(i), u = 1 \end{cases}$$

This theorem shows that the optimal policy is an operating-mode-dependent bi-threshold policy on the degradation level.

Remark: The spare part delivery threshold can be greater than or equal to the part replacement threshold, which may seem counterintuitive in sense that one would expect a spare part delivery to precede a part replacement in all operating modes. This is because, whether a replacement decision is made or not depends on the number of spare parts on board. If there is no spare part on board at a certain degradation level and operating mode, the additional cost of delivering a spare part may not

pay off the risk of a failure. However, if there is already a spare part on board for the same degradation level and operating mode, it may be optimal to replace the component.

5. Numerical experiments

In this section, we execute numerical experiments in order to test the performance of the optimal policy and to assess the value of integrated spare part and maintenance optimization. We compare the optimal policy to four benchmark policies in which replacement decisions are not integrated with spare part optimization. These policies simplify spare part inventory decisions by "never" or "always" keeping the spare part stock on board. Replacement decisions are optimized in all benchmark policies.

In the first benchmark policy, the spare part stock is never kept on board. That is, a spare part delivery is always followed by a part replacement. In addition, preventive deliveries are not allowed when the moving asset is outside the home base. As a consequence, preventive part replacements can only occur in the home base. We refer to this policy as never spare part policy (NP). The second policy is similar to NP, with the only difference of allowing preventive deliveries when the moving asset is outside the home base. We call this policy as never spare part policy with preventive deliveries (NPP). In NPP, the spare part delivery threshold becomes equal to the part replacement threshold in each operating mode. In the third policy, the spare part is put on board whenever the asset is in the home base (if it is not already on board). We refer to this policy as always spare part policy (AP). In AP, preventive deliveries in other operating modes than the home base are not allowed. That is, the spare part delivery threshold is zero in the home base and equals to the failure threshold in all other operating modes. Finally, we relax AP to allow preventive deliveries outside the home base and call this policy as always spare part policy with preventive deliveries is of the experiments is described in Section 5.1 and the results are discussed in Section 5.2.

5.1. Setup

We consider a critical component with 4 operating states $I \equiv \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ where 0 represents the home base of the moving asset, 1 and 3 intermediate states, and 2 the mission state. Intermediate states may correspond to transit states from home base to the mission site or vice versa. The operating mode transition probability matrix is defined as in Table 1, representing a deterministic cyclic sequence of operating modes.

Q(i,k)	0	1	2	3
0	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
1	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
3	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 1: Operating mode transition probability matrix

Three alternatives are considered regarding the operating mode transition rates (see Table 2). Alternative 1 fits to a commercial setting similar to the real-life case that we present in Section 6. In that case, missions and home base visits have short durations. Alternative 2 reflects our observations from the defense industry (Navy ships) where mission durations and home base visits are long. To enrich our comparative analysis, we also consider Alternative 3, in which mission durations are very long compared to home base visits.

Table 2: Operating mode transition rates

Operating mode	•	1	•	2
transition rate (per year): μ_i	U	1	2	3
High	180	720	90	720
Low	6	26	6	26
Low in mission	180	52	6	52

Depending on the component, degradation can be uniform in different operating modes, high during missions or high during home base visits. For example, the power on/off switching has much influence on degradation for some electronic components, in which case degradation rates may be higher in the home base where the systems are often switched on/off. On the other hand, if the degradation is linearly related to operating time and the component mostly operates during missions, the degradation would be higher in missions (cf. the case study in Section 6). In these numerical experiments, we consider 10 degradation levels $J = \{0, 1, ..., 9\}$ and different degradation profiles for each operating mode $i \in I$ as given in Table 3.

Degradation rates (per year): λ_{ij}	j i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	0	2	2	2	2	4	6	8	10	12	-
Uniform	1	2	2	2	2	4	6	8	10	12	1
UIIIUIII	2	2	2	2	2	4	6	8	10	12	I
	3	2	2	2	2	4	6	8	10	12	I
	0	2	2	2	2	4	6	8	10	12	I
High in mission	1	4	4	4	4	8	12	16	20	24	I
righ in mission	2	6	6	6	6	12	18	24	30	36	-
	3	4	4	4	4	8	12	16	20	24	I
	0	6	6	6	6	12	18	24	30	36	-
High in home	1	4	4	4	4	8	12	16	20	24	-
base	2	2	2	2	2	4	6	8	10	12	-
	3	4	4	4	4	8	12	16	20	24	-

Table 3: Degradation rates

We take the same preventive replacement costs $c_i^{pr} = \notin 1,000$ for all operating modes $i \in I$. The cost parameters considered for corrective replacement c_i^{cr} , transportation c_i^{tr} , and the spare part's price c^{sp} are given in Table 4. We note that the preventive spare part delivery cost consists of the cost of transportation and the spare part's price, i.e., $c_i^{pd} = c_i^{tr} + c^{sp}$ in operating mode $i \in I$. Additional spare part delivery cost in case of failure c_i^{ad} is the difference between preventive and corrective deliveries, reflecting a possible downtime cost or the additional cost of an emergency shipment, i.e.,

 $c_i^{cd} = c_i^{pd} + c_i^{ad}$ in operating mode $i \in I$. Three alternatives are considered for the holding cost rate h^{ra} , 10% (low), 25% (medium), and 50% (high). The holding cost per time unit is calculated by multiplying the holding cost rate with the spare part's price, i.e., $h = h^{ra}c^{sp}$. The annual discount rate of money is taken as 2%, resulting in the continuous rate $\alpha = -\ln(0.98)$. We note that the cost ranges considered are based on our observations from real-life cases that consist of both commercial (survey vessels) and defence equipment (the Navy ships) in the maritime sector. Overall, we obtain $3^6.2 = 1458$ instances with the combination of different alternatives considered.

Cost parameters	Altornativas	Operating mode <i>i</i>					
(in thousand €)	Alternatives	0	1	2	3		
Transportation cost	Low	0	0.1	0.2	0.1		
	Medium	0	1	2	1		
(c_i)	High	0	10	20	10		
Additional delivery cost	Yes	0	25	50	25		
(c_i^{ad})	No			-			
Corrective replacement cost	Low	1.5					
	Medium		5				
(c_i)	High	50					
Spare part's price	Low	0.05					
(c^{sp})	Medium			5			
(1)	High			50			

Table 4: Cost parameters in	thousand	€
-----------------------------	----------	---

5.1. Results

We assess the value of the integrated approach by comparing its performance with the four benchmark policies in terms of average and maximum cost increase. The cost increase is calculated by $CS = (C - C^*)/C^*$, where *C* is the expected total discounted cost under the benchmark policy and C^* is the optimal cost obtained by our integrated maintenance and spare part optimization model. In addition, we calculate the percentage of instances for which the expected total discounted cost obtained under the benchmark policy coincides with the optimal cost (denoted by OC %).

Our numerical experiments show that the integrated approach has significant value. As shown in Table 5, the average cost increases under the benchmark policies NP, NPP, AP, and APP are 78%, 20%, 30%, and 27% respectively. The benchmark policies are not very likely to give the optimal cost. The percentage of instances for which the optimal cost coincides with the cost obtained by NP, NPP, AP, or APP is 5%, 22%, 2%, or 12%. Not surprisingly, NP and AP are outperformed by NPP and APP, respectively. The average cost performance of NPP is found to be better than that of APP. In addition, "never spare part policies" (NP and NPP) are more likely to give the optimal cost than "always spare part policies" are significantly higher compared to "always spare part policies" are significantly higher compared to "always spare part policies" are significantly higher compared to "always spare part policies" are significantly higher compared to "always spare part policies" and the provide the part policies are significantly higher compared to "always spare part policies" and the provide the part policies are significantly higher compared to "always spare part policies" are significantly higher compared to "always spare part policies" are significantly higher compared to "always spare part policies" are significantly higher compared to "always spare part policies" and the provide the part policies are part policies.

policies", meaning that the latter is usually more robust. In what follows, we summarize our observations regarding the performance of benchmark policies in different parameter settings.

Observation 1: AP and APP are relatively well-performing (badly-performing) when the spare part is cheap (expensive) and the holding cost rate is low (high). On the other hand, NPP performs the best (worst) when the price of the spare part is high (low) and the transportation costs are low (high).

Observation 2: When the spare part is never stocked on board, relying on preventive replacements in the home base deteriorates the cost performance significantly. The cost performance of NP is significantly worse compared to NPP when the transportation costs are low, corrective replacement and corrective delivery costs are high, degradation is fast during missions, and the moving asset is mostly in mission. Moreover, since preventive deliveries are favorable when transportation costs are low, the cost performance of NP is non-monotonous with respect to transportation costs.

Observation 3: When the spare part is put on board whenever the moving asset is at the home base, the cost saving resulting from preventive deliveries performed in other operating modes than the home base is usually very limited. The difference between AP and APP is relatively high when the degradation is fast during missions and the spare part's price is low.

Observation 4: The cost performance of all benchmark policies is very sensitive to the structure of the degradation rates. The performance of "never spare part policies" deteriorates when component's degradation is fast during missions. On the other hand, "always spare part policies" perform relatively badly when degradation is fast in the home base. For uniform degradation rates that we consider, the component's life-time is longer compared to other alternatives. This is shown to cause a very poor performance in "always spare part policies".

Observation 5: Contrary to "always spare part policies", the performance of "never spare part policies" is very sensitive to the changes in operating mode transition rates. This is because, when the spare part is not stocked on board, the total cost of spare part deliveries is highly dependent on the operation process. In particular, NPP performs very well when the operating mode transition rates are high, i.e., when the home base visits are frequent. These cases greatly improve the average performance of NPP.

Observation 6: The cost performance of all benchmark policies is almost insensitive with respect to corrective replacement and corrective delivery costs. This is because, the trade-off between preventive and corrective costs is already taken into account while optimizing replacement decisions.

			Never spare part policies				Always spare part policies						
Parameter	Alternative		NP			NPP		AP			APP		
		Avr.(%)	Max.(%)	OC (%)	Avr.(%)	Max.(%)	OC (%)	Avr.(%)	Max.(%)	OC (%)	Avr.(%)	Max.(%)	OC (%)
Desmadation	Uniform	40%	199%	7%	13%	108%	31%	41%	140%	2%	41%	140%	6%
Degradation	High in mission	154%	1057%	2%	33%	264%	14%	23%	74%	2%	14%	62%	23%
Tates	High in home base	41%	200%	4%	14%	108%	22%	26%	105%	2%	25%	105%	7%
Operating mode	High	9%	34%	8%	5%	32%	22%	31%	140%	0%	31%	140%	0%
transition rates	Low	108%	950%	3%	25%	243%	29%	29%	134%	3%	24%	134%	17%
transition rates	Low in mission	119%	1057%	2%	29%	264%	15%	30%	132%	3%	25%	132%	19%
Corrective	Low	56%	613%	10%	19%	264%	18%	29%	140%	4%	27%	140%	12%
replacement	Medium	62%	645%	2%	20%	264%	25%	29%	137%	2%	27%	137%	12%
cost	High	117%	1057%	1%	20%	264%	24%	32%	137%	0%	27%	137%	12%
E · · ·	Low	78%	1057%	7%	3%	14%	45%	35%	140%	0%	32%	140%	5%
ransportation	Medium	76%	1034%	4%	13%	73%	16%	31%	136%	0%	28%	136%	7%
cost	High	82%	963%	3%	44%	264%	5%	23%	129%	6%	21%	129%	24%
Add. exp. cost	No	47%	617%	8%	19%	264%	25%	29%	140%	4%	27%	140%	12%
in case of failure	Yes	109%	1057%	1%	20%	264%	19%	31%	137%	0%	27%	137%	12%
Spana part'a	Low	170%	1057%	0%	48%	264%	0%	8%	74%	5%	1%	6%	33%
spare part s	Medium	51%	283%	3%	10%	85%	20%	35%	115%	1%	33%	115%	4%
price	High	15%	73%	10%	2%	18%	47%	47%	140%	0%	47%	140%	0%
Holding cost rate	Low	81%	1057%	3%	22%	264%	15%	13%	74%	2%	10%	32%	15%
	Medium	78%	1051%	3%	20%	263%	19%	27%	74%	2%	24%	73%	14%
	High	75%	1041%	7%	18%	260%	33%	50%	140%	1%	47%	140%	8%
Overall Avr. / Max.		78%	1057%	5%	20%	264%	22%	30%	140%	2%	27%	140%	12%

Table 5: Cost increases under the benchmark policies compared to the optimal policy

6. Case Study: Cooling fan of a survey vessel

In this section, real-life data acquired from Fugro Marine Services (FMS) are utilized for demonstrating our integrated maintenance and spare part optimization model. As briefly introduced in Section 1, a major part of Fugro's business activity relates to the research of the seafloor, for which survey vessels are being employed. These vessels use *diesel electric propulsion (DEP) systems* which produce thrust and create movement. The DEP system consists of several subsystems. The *centrifugal cooling fan* of the *frequency convertor* is part of the DEP system. The frequency converter generates heat as a consequence of its process and needs to be cooled down by a cooling fan. Since the failure of the cooling fan can lead to a fire, the DEP system needs to be stopped if the cooling fan has failed, which causes downtime. The cooling fan can be easily replaced in every location and its spare part stock can be kept on shore or on board of the vessel.

The daily reports of survey vessels contain information about the duration of different states such as staying in the harbor, sailing to a location, performing operations, or waiting for suitable weather conditions. In case of unsuitable weather conditions, the vessel sails to a location near the shore and waits there for a while. If weather conditions get better, the vessel sails back to operation site. Otherwise, the vessel returns back to the harbor. Based on these daily reports, we have categorized the operating modes as follows: "harbor", "transit-to-mission", "mission", "transit-to-harbor", and "weather". We have analyzed a data set of three years regarding the sequence of these operating modes and their duration. As for the appropriateness of the Markovian model, we have also tested whether the durations of operation modes are well-represented by exponential distributions. For all operating modes except transit-to-mission state, the exponential distribution assumption is not rejected by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test at the 95% confidence level. The corresponding transition rates and the transition probability matrix are given in Table 6. Based on the failures experienced by FMS, the failure of the ball bearing is found to be the main reason of the cooling fan's failure. According to the OEM, the service life of the bearing system mainly depends on the thermal load on the bearing, i.e., the thermal fatigue. The OEM provides the L_{10} fatigue life of the bearing which is the time at which 10% of the bearings can be expected to have failed. The OEM states that the L_{10} fatigue life is approximately 40,000 operating hours at an ambient temperature of 40 °C. Based on this information, FMS has treated cooling fans as reliable and held no spare parts on board. The current policy employed by FMS corresponds to a time-based block replacement policy. The replacement is done during dry-dock maintenance which is mandatory every 5 years. Corrective maintenance is done if the cooling fan has failed before dry-dock maintenance.

Over the last 3 years, FMS has observed significantly short service lives. The earliest failures have occurred within the range of 2,000 - 5,000 operating hours. In the pursuit of representing the expected

failure times of the ball bearing better, we have used the fatigue life prediction model presented in Harris and Barnsby (2001):

$$L_{na} = a_1 a_2 a_3 L_{10} \tag{5}$$

where L_{na} is the adjusted bearing fatigue life and a_1, a_2 , and a_3 are life adjustment factors for the selected reliability level, material, and operating conditions, respectively. Since the vessel under consideration always operates at the same geographical region, environmental conditions are assumed to be similar in different operating modes. After applying (5) (based on the qualitative information obtained from FMS), the adjusted fatigue life of the ball bearing is found to be 4,300 operating hours. The latter explains the current observations of FMS much better.

Transition Matrix: $Q(i, l)$	Harbor	Transit-to- mission	Mission	Transit-to- harbor	Weather	Transition/year: μ_i
Harbor	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	151
Transit-to-mission	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1039
Mission	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.46	0.35	102
Transit-to-harbor	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	924
Weather	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.39	0.00	194

Table 6: Transition rates and the transition probability matrix

The degradation process is modelled based on our service life estimation in (5) and considering how the cooling fan is used in different operating modes. We note that the cooling fan is being in use only when the bow thruster is operating. This is required to make the vessel more maneuverable in mission, harbor, and weather states. Using the expert knowledge, we have assessed the operating time of the cooling fan in different operating modes. Assuming that the degradation is linearly correlated with the operating time, the cooling fan is expected to degrade 17 times faster during missions than during harbor or weather states. In transit states, the degradation of the cooling fan is assumed to be negligible since it is never utilized. Based on this information, we build an Erlangian degradation process for each operating mode where F = 10 and the rates out of each state to the next degradation state are 0.41, 0.00, 7.13, and 0.41 for harbor, transit, mission, and weather states respectively. By doing this, we divide the lifetime of the component in 10 equal parts. There is small amount of data to statistically support the degradation process that we propose. Nevertheless, this degradation process is found reflective considering what FMS has observed so far.

The cost parameters differ between operating modes and are as listed in Table 7. The preventive replacement costs mainly consist of the cost of labor. The preventive delivery costs include the spare part's price which is \notin 3,600 and the cost of transportation which is \notin 10,000 (applied if the vessel is in transit or in mission). During weather state the vessel is waiting near the shore which results in lower transportation costs. During harbor state the cost of transportation is negligible. The corrective replacement and delivery costs can be significantly high during mission and transit-to-mission states

due to the resulting downtime. A corrective replacement is estimated to take 4 hours and an expedited delivery lasts almost 1 day. The downtime cost associated with the delay in operations is an intangible parameter and is considerably varying over time. In this case study, we take the downtime cost as \in 30,000 per day, not to mean that it is actually what FMS incurs or bases its decisions on. We refer the reader to Section 5 for the impact of the downtime cost on the solution performance (this is reflected in corrective costs). In this case study, holding cost rate is taken as 25% per year and the continuous rate is set to $\alpha = -\ln(0.98)$.

	Preventive	Corrective	Preventive	Corrective
Operating mode	replacement cost	replacement cost	delivery cost	delivery cost
- r	$c_i^{\rm pr}$	$c_i^{\rm cr}$	$c_i^{\rm pd}$	$c_i^{ m cd}$
Harbor	€ 100	€ 400	€ 3,600	€ 3,600
Transit-to-mission	€ 100	€ 5,400	€ 13,600	€ 43,600
Mission	€ 100	€ 5,400	€ 13,600	€ 43,600
Transit-to-harbor	€ 100	€ 400	€ 13,600	€ 13,600
Weather	€ 100	€ 400	€ 8,600	€ 8,600

Table 7: Cost parameters

We compare the optimal policy with the current policy of FMS as well as the benchmark policies, NP, NPP, AP, and APP. We observe NP and AP give the same solution as NPP and APP, respectively. This is because, preventive delivery costs are high in non-harbor states and the frequency of harbor visits is very high compared to the frequency of failures. This makes preventive deliveries unfavorable in non-harbor states.

Figure 1 demonstrates the thresholds values obtained by the optimal policy and the benchmark policies. We observe that "never spare part policies" encourage early replacements in the harbor to deal with the risk of corrective deliveries/replacements in non-harbor states. On the other hand, "always spare part policies" postpone the replacement in the operating modes in which the corrective replacement costs are relatively low (harbor, transit-to-harbor, and weather states).



- Optimal policy
- Never spare part policies (NP and NPP)
- Always spare part policies (AP and APP)

Figure 1: Threshold values obtained for different policies

Table 8 summarizes the performance of different policies in terms of cost. We note that the total cost obtained by the current policy is coherent considering what FMS has faced for the last few years. Assuming that the degradation model that we have built reflects the degradation of the cooling fan, the current policy performs significantly badly compared to the optimal policy, with a cost increase of 745%. This is because the initial service life was overestimated and the current policy excludes the relation between operating modes and degradation.

	Expected total discounted cost over an infinite horizon	Cost increase compared to the optimal policy
Current policy	€ 804,933	745%
Never spare part policies (NP and NPP)	€ 105,784	11%
Always spare part policies (AP and APP)	€ 131,736	38%
Optimal policy	€ 95,290	

Table 8: Cost performance of different policies

We observe that "never spare part policies" outperform "always spare part policies". This is because harbor visits are very frequent, offering many opportunities for cheap preventive replacements in the harbor. Nevertheless, compared to the optimal policy, both simple policies are shown to be very costly. The total cost increases of about 11% and 38% are observed under "never spare part policies" and "always spare part policies", respectively. Even under very frequent harbor visits, it is not optimal to "never" keep the spare part stock on board. The optimal policy provides a solution in which the component is stocked on board when the risk of failure is high, providing a trade-off between spare part delivery, part replacement and holding costs.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have considered an integrated maintenance and spare part optimization problem for a single critical component of a moving asset. We have proposed a Markov decision model and analyzed the optimal integrated policy. We have showed that the optimal policy is an operating-mode-dependent bi-threshold policy. Numerical experiments have been provided to assess the value of the optimal policy compared to four benchmark policies. In these benchmark policies, replacement decisions are optimized but not integrated with spare part inventory decisions. These policies simplify spare part inventory decisions by "never" or "always" keeping the spare part stock on board. Through a comparative analysis, we have showed that our integrated approach has significant value. Simplifying spare part inventory decisions result in an average cost increase of at least 20.0%. The benchmark policies are unlikely to be optimal except some specific conditions such as very high or very low spare part's price or transportation costs.

The problem studied in this paper was motivated by a real-life problem faced by Fugro Marine Services. From our collaboration with the maritime sector, we observe that failure-related historical data is usually limited. This makes the characterization of the degradation process challenging. In modelling the degradation and failure behaviors of the components, physical models are known to be less data demanding than data-driven models. Moreover, the difference associated with operating modes can be incorporated into physical models, using the quantitative relation between usage, environment, and degradation. Nevertheless, considerable effort is generally needed to develop such models.

In this paper, we assume that spare parts are always available at the home base. In practice, the home base is a central warehouse in which the spare parts stock is limited and pooled to serve several moving assets. If spare parts are directly assigned to moving assets and stocked on board, the benefit of inventory pooling at the central warehouse vanishes. In particular, this happens if lateral transshipments from one asset to another are not allowed. In our model, this effect can be taken into account implicitly, by inflating the holding cost rate. However, this approach is approximate. An exact approach would be to analyze this problem from multi-echelon spare parts inventory perspective, considering a number of moving assets served by a central warehouse (see, e.g., Muckstadt, 2005; van Houtum and Kranenburg, 2015).

Furthermore, in this paper, the consequences associated with system failure are translated into cost parameters. In practice, estimating intangible corrective cost terms is not straightforward, in particular, when failures affect people's health, safety, and environment. If modelling the trade-off between preventive and corrective costs is not obvious, the focus should lie on availability and reliability measures. The relation between system design and availability-reliability requirements needs to be well-investigated in systems' design phase.

We note that although our motivation comes from a maritime application, the model and the results presented in this paper may be applied to other moving assets such as aircrafts, commercial vehicles, and military equipment. The rapid development of advanced sensor technologies is making components' condition monitoring more affordable and feasible. The condition information provided by sensors can be especially useful for critical systems operating in environments that vary randomly over time. This is because the changes in environmental conditions and system usage can be related to the rate at which degradation accumulates (Ulukus et al., 2012). The model that we propose is greatly valuable considering the trend of shifting from time-based maintenance to condition-based maintenance. Moreover, repair-by-replacement is a common practice for high-value capital assets in general (Driessen et al., 2014). For moving assets, our model can be of value when there is an option of stocking the spare part on board. Depending on the application to be performed, the model can be

easily tailored to adjust to the number of operating modes, their duration/sequence, associated costs, and degradation parameters.

Acknowledgement

This research is funded by Dutch Institute for Advanced Logistics (Dinalog, 2011-4-085-R). The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of Fugro Marine Services and the cooperation with Roy van Hugten and Fred Schulte.

Appendix I. Uniformization Example

For illustration purposes, we depict an exemplary process in Figure A.1. We consider two operating modes $I = \{0,1\}$ and three degradation levels $J = \{0,1,2\}$. We assume that the system operates under the following policy. In operating mode i=0, a spare is delivered when degradation level j is greater than 1. In operating mode i=1, spare part delivery is performed when the component has failed. In both operating modes, the component is replaced only correctively. In the uniformized process, when action 0 is taken under the given policy, the probability that the system jumps from degradation level $j \in \hat{J}$ to j+1 is $p_{ij} = \lambda_{ij}/v$ and the probability that the system jumps from operating mode $i \in I$ to $k \neq i$ is $q_{ik} = \mu_i Q(i,k)/v$. In Figure A.1, transitions from a state to itself are not depicted for ease of exposition (the corresponding probabilities are $q_{ii} = 1 - v_{ij}/v$). Immediate transitions under the given policy are shown by dotted arcs.



Figure A.1: Markov chain illustrating the uniformized process under a given policy

Appendix II. Value iteration algorithm

Let $V_n(i, j, u)$ be the expected total discounted cost over a time horizon of *n* periods, where each period has an expected length 1/v. Without loss of generality, we select $V_0(i, j, u)$ as follows:

$$V_{0}(i, j, u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \in \hat{J}, i \in I, u \in U \\ c_{i}^{\text{cd}} + c_{i}^{\text{cr}} & \text{if } j = F, i \in I, u = 0 \\ c_{i}^{\text{cr}} & \text{if } j = F, i \in I, u = 1 \end{cases}$$
(A.1)

We introduce an additional notation σ_a to represent the instantaneous part replacements and spare part deliveries:

$$\sigma_a = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } a = 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } a = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

For $(i, j, u) \in I \times J \times U$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $V_{n+1}(i, j, u)$ can be computed by:

$$V_{n+1}(i, j, u) = \min_{a \in A(i, j, u)} \{ r(i, j, u, a) + \Gamma_a V_{n+\sigma_a}(i, j, u) \}$$
(A.2)

where $\Gamma_a V_{n+\sigma_a}(i, j, u)$ is defined as follows for a = 0, a = 1, and a = 2, respectively:

$$\Gamma_0 V_n(i,j,u) = \frac{\beta}{v} \left[\sum_{k \in I, k \neq i} \mu_i Q(i,k) V_n(k,j,u) + \lambda_{ij} V_n(i,j+1,u) + (v-v_{ij}) V_n(i,j,u) \right]$$
(A.3)
for $i \in I, j \in \hat{J}, u \in U$

$$\Gamma_1 V_{n+1}(i, j, 0) = V_{n+1}(i, j, 1)$$
 for $i \in I, j \in J$

$$\Gamma_2 V_{n+1}(i, j, 1) = V_{n+1}(i, 0, 0) \qquad \text{for } i \in I, j \in J$$
(A.5)

(A.4)

Due to instantaneous part replacements and spare part deliveries, value iteration should substitute updated values of $V_{n+1}(i, j, u)$ at the $(n + 1)^{\text{th}}$ iteration. In state (i, 0, 1), if the optimal decision is to replace the part, this decision will not be immediately followed by a spare part delivery. This is because, $V_{n+1}(i, 0, 1) = c_i^{\text{pr}} + c_i^{\text{pd}} + V_{n+1}(i, 0, 1)$ cannot hold since $c_i^{\text{pr}} > 0$ and $c_i^{\text{pd}} \ge 0$ for each $i \in I$. Therefore, $V_{n+1}(i, 0, 1)$ is equivalent to:

$$V_{n+1}(i,0,1) = \min\left\{c_i^{\text{pr}} + \Gamma_0 V_n(i,0,0), \frac{\beta}{\nu}h + \Gamma_0 V_n(i,0,1)\right\} \text{ for } i \in I$$
(A.6)

Starting with (A.6), $V_{n+1}(i, j, u)$ can be evaluated in decreasing order of $u \in U$ and increasing order of $j \in J$ using (A.2). As such, (A.4) and (A.5) can be indirectly expressed in terms of $V_n(i, j, u)$ for each $(i, j, u) \in I \times J \times U$. This overcomes instantaneous transitions for all states. What follows

presents the value iteration algorithm which is designed to solve (1). We refer to Puterman (1994, Theorem 6.3.1., p. 161) for further details about the convergence of such a value iteration algorithm to the optimal value of the infinite horizon problem.

Value iteration algorithm

Step 1: Set n = 0 and $\varepsilon = 0.0001$. Select $V_0(i, j, u)$ as (A.1).

Step 2: For each $(i, j, u) \in I \times J \times U$, compute $V_{n+1}(i, j, u)$ in decreasing order of $u \in U$ and increasing order of $j \in J$: If (j, u) = (0, 1) use (A.6). Otherwise, use (A.2).

Step 3: If for each $(i, j, u) \in I \times J \times U$, $|V_{n+1}(i, j, u) - V_n(i, j, u)| < \varepsilon(1-\beta)/2\beta$

Then, go to Step 4. Otherwise, increment *n* by 1 and return to Step 2.

Step 4: For each $(i, j, u) \in I \times J \times U$, choose the optimal stationary policy by:

 $a^{*}(i, j, u) = \underset{a \in A(i, j, u)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ r(i, j, u, a) + \Gamma_{a} V_{n + \sigma_{a}}(i, j, u) \}$ and stop.

Appendix III. Proof of Theorem 1

In order to prove Theorem 1, we first introduce Lemmas 1 - 4.

Lemma 1: For each $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if $\Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 1)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in \hat{J}$, then $V_{n+1}(i, j, 1)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in \hat{J}$.

Proof of Lemma 1: From (A.2), $V_{n+1}(i, j, 1)$ can be re-written as follows for each $i \in I$, $j \in \hat{J}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$V_{n+1}(i, j, 1) = \min\left\{c_i^{\text{pr}} + V_{n+1}(i, 0, 0), \frac{\beta}{\nu}h + \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 1)\right\}$$
(A.7)

For each $i \in I$, the cost of preventive replacement $c_i^{\text{pr}} + V_{n+1}(i,0,0)$ and the term $\frac{\beta}{\nu}h$ are constant and do not depend on $j \in \hat{J}$. Therefore, if $\Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 1)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in \hat{J}$, then (A.7) is also nondecreasing in $j \in \hat{J}$.

Lemma 2: For each $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

- (a) $V_n(i, j, 1)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in J$
- (b) $\Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 1)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in \hat{J}$

Proof of Lemma 2: We first prove Lemma 2(a) by induction.

Basis: Lemma 2(a) holds for n = 0 by (A.1).

Induction Step: For each $i \in I$, assume that $V_n(i, j, 1)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in J$ for a given n > 0 (induction hypothesis). From (A.3), we have the following for $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$:

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_{0}V_{n}(i,j,1) - \Gamma_{0}V_{n}(i,j-1,1) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\nu} \Biggl[\sum_{k \in S, k \neq i} \mu_{i}Q(i,k)V_{n}(k,j,1) + \lambda_{ij}V_{n}(i,j+1,1) + (\nu - \nu_{ij})V_{n}(i,j,1) \Biggr] \\ &- \frac{\beta}{\nu} \Biggl[\sum_{k \in S, k \neq i} \mu_{i}Q(i,k)V_{n}(k,j-1,1) + \lambda_{i,j-1}V_{n}(i,j,1) + (\nu - \nu_{i,j-1})V_{n}(i,j-1,1) \Biggr] \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\nu} \Biggl[\sum_{k \in S, k \neq i} \mu_{i}Q(i,k) \Bigl(V_{n}(k,j,1) - V_{n}(k,j-1,1) \Bigr) + \lambda_{ij} \Bigl(V_{n}(i,j+1,1) - V_{n}(i,j,1) \Bigr) \\ &+ (\nu - \nu_{i,j-1}) \Bigl(V_{n}(i,j,1) - V_{n}(i,j-1,1) \Bigr) \Biggr] \end{split}$$
(A.8)

The second equality follows from the definition of v_{ij} and $v_{i,j-1}$ which are equal to $\mu_i + \lambda_{ij}$ and $\mu_i + \lambda_{i,j-1}$, respectively. We note that $v \ge \max\{v_{ij} | i \in I, j \in J\}$ by definition. Therefore, (A.8) is greater than or equal to zero for each $i \in I$ and $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$ under the induction hypothesis. Thus, $\Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 1)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in \hat{J}$ for each $i \in I$. Using Lemma 1, $V_{n+1}(i, j, 1)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in \hat{J}$ for each $i \in I$.

It remains to prove that $V_{n+1}(i, F, 1) - V_{n+1}(i, F-1, 1) \ge 0$ for each $i \in I$. For j = F, we have:

$$V_{n+1}(i,F,1) = c_i^{\text{cr}} + V_{n+1}(i,0,0) \ge c_i^{\text{pr}} + V_{n+1}(i,0,0) \ge V_{n+1}(i,F-1,1) \text{ for all } i \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$
(A.9)

The first inequality follows from the definition of preventive and corrective cost parameters. The second inequality holds by the definition of $V_{n+1}(i, F-1, 1)$. Thus, for each $i \in I$, $V_{n+1}(i, j, 1)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in J$, completing the induction and the proof of Lemma 1(a).

From Lemma 1(a), (A.8) is greater than or equal to zero for each $i \in I$, $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, implying that Lemma 2(b) holds.

We note that Lemma 2(b) is required to prove Theorem 2.

Lemma 3: For each $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if $\Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 0)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in \hat{J}$, then $V_{n+1}(i, j, 0)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in \hat{J}$.

Proof of Lemma 3: From (A.2), $V_{n+1}(i, j, 0)$ can be re-written as follows for each $i \in I$, $j \in \hat{J}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$V_{n+1}(i, j, 0) = \min \left\{ c_i^{\text{pd}} + V_{n+1}(i, j, 1), \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 0) \right\}$$
(A.10)

From Lemma 2(a), $V_{n+1}(i, j, 1)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in J$ for each $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Lemma 3 follows from the definition of $V_{n+1}(i, j, 0)$, which is the minimum between two non-decreasing terms in $j \in \hat{J}$.

Lemma 4: For each $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

- (a) $V_n(i, j, 0)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in J$
- (b) $\Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 0)$ is non-decreasing in $j \in \hat{J}$

Proof of Lemma 4: The proof of Lemma 4 is along the same lines as the proof of Lemma 2, replacing, u = 1 by u = 0, Lemma 1 by Lemma 3, Lemma 2 by Lemma 4, and (A.9) by:

$$V_{n+1}(i,F,0) = c_i^{\text{cd}} + V_{n+1}(i,F,1) \ge c_i^{\text{pd}} + V_{n+1}(i,F,1) \ge V_{n+1}(i,F-1,0) \text{ for all } i \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

We note that Lemma 4(b) is required to prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 1: Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 2(a) and Lemma 4(a). Since they hold for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, they also hold for the infinite horizon function V(i, j, u) where *n* tends to infinity.

Appendix IV. Proof of Theorem 2

In order to prove Theorem 2, we first introduce Lemmas 5 - 7.

Lemma 5: For each $i \in I$, $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if action 2 is optimal in state (i, j-1, 1), then action 2 is also optimal in state (i, j, 1).

Proof of Lemma 5: Fix operating mode $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Suppose that $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$.

From (A.7), the optimality of action 2 means that the cost associated with action 2 is less than or equal to the cost associated with action 0. Hence, if action 2 is optimal in state (i, j - 1, 1), then:

$$c_i^{\text{pr}} + V_{n+1}(i,0,0) \le \frac{\beta}{\nu}h + \Gamma_0 V_n(i,j-1,1)$$

From Lemma 2(b), we have:

$$c_i^{\text{pr}} + V_{n+1}(i,0,0) \le \frac{\beta}{\nu} h + \Gamma_0 V_n(i,j,1)$$

Thus, action 2 is also optimal in state (i, j, 1), completing the proof.

Lemma 6: The following inequality holds for all $i \in I$, $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$V_{n+1}(i, j, 1) - V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 1) + V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 0) \le \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 1) - \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j-1, 1) + \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j-1, 0)$$
(A.11)

Proof of Lemma 6: Fix operating mode $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Suppose that $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$.

From (A.10), we have $V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 0) \le \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j-1, 0)$. Similarly, from (A.7), we have $V_{n+1}(i, j, 1) \le \frac{\beta}{\nu} h + \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 1)$. Therefore, if $V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 1) = \frac{\beta}{\nu} h + \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j-1, 1)$, then (A.11) holds.

Otherwise, if $V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 1) = c_i^{\text{pr}} + V(i, 0, 0)$, then $V_{n+1}(i, j, 1) - V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 1) = 0$ by Lemma 5. From Lemma 2(b), $\Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 1) - \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j-1, 1) \ge 0$ and thus, (A.11) holds.

Lemma 7: For each $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the following inequalities hold:

(a)
$$V_n(i, j, 1) - V_n(i, j, 0) - V_n(i, j-1, 1) + V_n(i, j-1, 0) \le 0$$
 for $j \in J \setminus \{0\}$ (A.12)

(b)
$$\Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 1) - \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 0) - \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j-1, 1) + \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j-1, 0) \le 0$$
 for $j \in J \setminus \{0\}$ (A.13)

Proof of Lemma 7: We first prove Lemma 7(a) by induction.

Basis: Lemma 7(a) holds for n = 0 under (A.1).

Induction Step: For each $i \in I$ and $j \in J \setminus \{0\}$, assume that Lemma 7(a) holds for a given n > 0 (induction hypothesis).

• *Case 1:* j = F.

By definition, $V_{n+1}(i, F, 0) = c_i^{cd} + V_{n+1}(i, F, 1)$. Hence, for n+1, the left-hand side of (A.12) is:

$$-c_i^{\rm cd} - V_{n+1}(i, F-1, 1) + V_{n+1}(i, F-1, 0)$$
(A.14)

Equation (A.14) is less than or equal to 0 since $V_{n+1}(i, F-1, 0) \le V_{n+1}(i, F-1, 1) + c_i^{\text{pd}}$ and $c_i^{\text{pd}} \le c_i^{\text{cd}}$.

• Case 2: $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$ and $V_{n+1}(i, j, 0) = c_i^{\text{pd}} + V_{n+1}(i, j, 1)$.

For n+1, the left-hand side of (A.12) is:

$$-c_{i}^{pd} - V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 1) + V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 0)$$
(A.15)

From (A.10), (A.15) is less than or equal to 0.

• *Case 3:* $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$ and $V_{n+1}(i, j, 0) = \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 0)$.

For n+1, the left-hand side of (A.12) becomes:

$$V_{n+1}(i, j, 1) - \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 0) - V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 1) + V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 0)$$
(A.16)

From the definition of operator Γ_0 , we have the following:

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_{0}V_{n}(i,j,1) - \Gamma_{0}V_{n}(i,j,0) - \Gamma_{0}V_{n}(i,j-1,1) + \Gamma_{0}V_{n}(i,j-1,0) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{v} \Biggl[\sum_{k \in S, k \neq i} \mu_{i}Q(i,k) \Bigl(V_{n}(k,j,1) - V_{n}(k,j,0) - V_{n}(k,j-1,1) + V_{n}(k,j-1,0) \Bigr) \\ &+ \lambda_{ij} \Bigl(V_{n}(i,j+1,1) - V_{n}(i,j+1,0) - V_{n}(i,j,1) + V_{n}(i,j,0) \Bigr) \\ &+ (v - v_{i,j-1}) \Bigl(V_{n}(i,j,1) - V_{n}(i,j,0) - V_{n}(i,j-1,1) + V_{n}(i,j-1,0) \Bigr) \Biggr] \end{split}$$
(A.17)

From the induction hypothesis, (A.17) is less than or equal to zero for each $i \in I$ and $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$. Lemma 6 implies that (A.16) is less than or equal to (A.17). Hence, (A.16) is less than or equal to zero for each $i \in I$ and $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$, completing the induction and the proof of Lemma 7(a).

From Lemma 7(a), (A.17) is less than or equal to zero for each $i \in I$, $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, implying that Lemma 7(b) holds.

Proof of Theorem 2: Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 7(a). Since (A.12) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, it also holds for the infinite horizon function V(i, j, u) where *n* tends to infinity.

Appendix V. Proof of Theorem 3

Lemma 8: For each $i \in I$, $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if action 1 is optimal in state (i, j-1, 0), then action 1 is also optimal in state (i, j, 0).

Proof of Lemma 8: Fix operating mode $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Suppose that $j \in \hat{J} \setminus \{0\}$.

From (A.10), the optimality of action 1 means that the cost associated with action 1 is less than or equal to the cost associated with action 0. Hence, if action 1 is optimal in state (i, j-1, 0), then:

 $c_i^{\text{pd}} + V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 1) \le \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j-1, 0)$

From Lemma 4(b), we have:

$$c_i^{\text{pd}} + V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 1) \le \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 0)$$

If $V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 1) = c_i^{\text{pr}} + V_{n+1}(i, 0, 0)$, then $V_{n+1}(i, j, 1) = c_i^{\text{pr}} + V_{n+1}(i, 0, 0) = V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 1)$ from Lemma 5. In this case, it holds that $c_i^{\text{pd}} + V_{n+1}(i, j, 1) = c_i^{\text{pd}} + V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 1) \le \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 0)$ and thus, action 1 is optimal in state (i, j, 0). Otherwise, if $V_{n+1}(i, j-1, 1) = \frac{\beta}{\nu} h + \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j-1, 1)$, then:

$$c_i^{\rm pd} + \frac{\beta}{\nu} h + \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j-1, 1) \le \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j-1, 0)$$
(A.18)

From Lemma 7(b), we have:

$$\Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 1) - \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 0) - \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j - 1, 1) + \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j - 1, 0) \le 0$$
(A.19)

Inequalities (A.18) and (A.19) imply:

$$c_i^{\text{pd}} + \frac{\beta}{\nu}h + \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 1) \le \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 0)$$

From (A.7), $V_{n+1}(i, j, 1) \le \frac{\beta}{\nu} h + \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 1)$. Thus,

$$c_i^{\text{pd}} + V_{n+1}(i, j, 1) \le \Gamma_0 V_n(i, j, 0)$$

In this case, action 1 is optimal in state (i, j, 0), completing the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3: The existence of an optimal replacement threshold $\psi(i) \le F$ in operating mode $i \in I$ follows from Lemma 5. The existence of an optimal spare part delivery threshold $\xi(i) \le F$ in operating mode $i \in I$ follows from Lemma 8. Since both Lemmas hold for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, they also hold for the infinite horizon problem where *n* tends to infinity.

References

- Alagoz, O., Ayvaci, M.U.S., 2010. Uniformization in Markov Decision Processes, in: Cochran, J.J. (Ed.), Wiley Encyclopedia of Operations Research and Management Science. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Alam, M., Al-Saggaf, U.M., 1986. Quantitative reliability evaluation of repairable phased-mission systems using Markov approach. IEEE Trans. Rel. 35, 498–503. doi:10.1109/TR.1986.4335529
- Armstrong, M.J., Atkins, D.R., 1998. A note on joint optimization of maintenance and inventory. IIE Trans. 30, 143–149. doi:10.1080/07408179808966446
- Armstrong, M.J., Atkins, D.R., 1996. Joint optimization of maintenance and inventory policies for a simple system. IIE Trans. 28, 415–424. doi:10.1080/07408179608966288
- Çekyay, B., Özekici, S., 2015. Optimal maintenance of semi-Markov missions. Probab. Eng. Inform. Sc. 29, 77–98. doi:10.1017/S0269964814000229
- Çekyay, B., Özekici, S., 2012. Optimal maintenance of systems with Markovian mission and deterioration. Eur. J. Oper. Res. 219, 123–133. doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2011.12.037
- Çekyay, B., Özekici, S., Cochran, J.J., Cox, L.A., Keskinocak, P., Kharoufeh, J.P., Smith, J.C., 2011. Condition-based maintenance under Markovian deterioration, in: Wiley Encyclopedia of Operations Research and Management Science. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- Derman, C., 1970. Finite state Markovian decision processes. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, USA.
- Driessen, M., Arts, J., van Houtum, G.J., Rustenburg, J.W., Huisman, B., 2014. Maintenance spare parts planning and control: a framework for control and agenda for future research. Prod. Plan. Control 1–20. doi:10.1080/09537287.2014.907586
- Elwany, A.H., Gebraeel, N.Z., 2008. Sensor-driven prognostic models for equipment replacement and spare parts inventory. IIE Trans. 40, 629–639. doi:10.1080/07408170701730818
- Elwany, A.H., Gebraeel, N.Z., Maillart, L.M., 2011. Structured replacement policies for components with complex degradation processes and dedicated sensors. Oper. Res. 59, 684–695. doi:10.1287/opre.1110.0912
- Esary, J.D., Ziehms, H., 1975. Reliability analysis of phased missions, in: Proceedings of the Conference on Reliability and Fault Tree Analysis. Presented at the SIAM, pp. 213–236.
- Flint, P., 1995. Too much of a good thing: better inventory management could save the industry millions while improving reliability. Air Transport World 32, 103–106.
- Flory, J.A., Kharoufeh, J.P., Abdul-Malak, D.T., 2015. Optimal replacement of continuously degrading systems in partially observed environments. Nav. Res. Log. 62, 395–415. doi:10.1002/nav.21638
- Harris, T.A., Barnsby, R.M., 2001. Life ratings for ball and roller bearings. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology 215, 577–595. doi:10.1243/1350650011543817
- Kabir, A.B.M.Z., Al-Olayan, A.S., 1996. A stocking policy for spare part provisioning under age based preventive replacement. Eur. J. Oper. Res. 90, 171–181. doi:10.1016/0377-2217(94)00246-0
- Kawai, H., Koyanagi, J., Ohnishi, M., 2002. Optimal maintenance problems for Markovian deteriorating systems, in: Osaki, P.S. (Ed.), Stochastic Models in Reliability and Maintenance. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 193–218.
- Kharoufeh, J.P., Solo, C.J., Ulukus, M.Y., 2010. Semi-Markov models for degradation-based reliability. IIE Trans. 42, 599–612. doi:10.1080/07408170903394371
- Kim, K., Park, K.S., 1994. Phased-mission system reliability under Markov environment. IEEE Trans. Rel. 43, 301–309. doi:10.1109/24.295013
- Kolesar, P., 1966. Minimum cost replacement under Markovian deterioration. Manage. Sci. 12, 694–706.
- Kurt, M., Kharoufeh, J.P., 2010. Optimally maintaining a Markovian deteriorating system with limited imperfect repairs. Eur. J. Oper. Res. 205, 368–380. doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2010.01.009
- Lam, M., 1995. An introduction to airline maintenance. Handbook of Airline Economics Aviation Week Group, 397–406.
- Makis, V., Jiang, X., 2003. Optimal replacement under partial observations. Math. Oper. Res. 28, 382–394. doi:10.1287/moor.28.2.382.14484
- Muckstadt, J.A., 2005. Analysis and algorithms for service parts supply chains. Springer, New York, NY :
- Mura, I., Bondavalli, A., 1999. Hierarchical modeling and evaluation of phased-mission systems. IEEE Trans. Rel. 48, 360–368. doi:10.1109/24.814518
- Özekici, S., 1995. Optimal maintenance policies in random environments. Eur. J. Oper. Res., OR Models for Maintenance Management and Quality Control 82, 283–294. doi:10.1016/0377-2217(94)00264-D

- Puterman, M.L., 1994. Markov Decision Processes: Discrete Stochastic Dynamic Programming, 1st ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY, USA.
- Tinga, T., 2010. Application of physical failure models to enable usage and load based maintenance. Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safe. 95, 1061–1075. doi:10.1016/j.ress.2010.04.015
- Tinga, T., Janssen, R., 2013. The interplay between deployment and optimal maintenance intervals for complex multi-component systems. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part O: Journal of Risk and Reliability 227, 227–240. doi:10.1177/1748006X13480743
- Turan, O., Ölçer, A.İ., Lazakis, I., Rigo, P., Caprace, J.D., 2009. Maintenance/repair and productionoriented life cycle cost/earning model for ship structural optimisation during conceptual design stage. Ships Offshore Struc. 4, 107–125. doi:10.1080/17445300802564220
- Ulukus, M.Y., Kharoufeh, J.P., Maillart, L.M., 2012. Optimal replacement policies under environment-driven degradation. Probab. Eng. Inform. Sc. 26, 405–424. doi:10.1017/S0269964812000083
- Unctad, 2013. Review of the maritime transport 2013, United Nations Publication. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Geneva: Switzerland.
- Van Horenbeek, A., Buré, J., Cattrysse, D., Pintelon, L., Vansteenwegen, P., 2013. Joint maintenance and inventory optimization systems: A review. Int. J. Prod. Econ., Focusing on Inventories: Research and Applications 143, 499–508. doi:10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.04.001
- Van Houtum, G.J., Kranenburg, B., 2015. Spare Parts Inventory Control under System Availability Constraints, International Series in Operations Research & Management Science. Springer US, Boston, MA.
- Waldmann, K.-H., 1983. Optimal replacement under additive damage in randomly varying environments. Nav. Res. Log. 30, 377–386. doi:10.1002/nav.3800300302
- Wang, L., Chu, J., Mao, W., 2009. A condition-based replacement and spare provisioning policy for deteriorating systems with uncertain deterioration to failure. Eur. J. Oper. Res. 194, 184–205. doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2007.12.012
- Wang, L., Jian Chu, Weijie Mao, 2008. An optimum condition-based replacement and spare provisioning policy based on Markov chains. J of Qual in Maintenance Eng 14, 387–401. doi:10.1108/13552510810909984
- Zhang, Z., Wu, S., Li, B., Lee, S., 2013. Optimal maintenance policy for multi-component systems under Markovian environment changes. Expert Syst. Appl. 40, 7391–7399. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2013.07.003

Nr.	Year	Title	Author(s)
500	2016	Integrated Maintenance and Spare Part Optimization for	Ayse Sena Eruguz, Tarkan Tan, Geert-Jan
		Moving Assets	van Houtum
499	2016	A Condition-Based Maintenance Model for a Single	Qiushi Zhu, Hao Peng, Bas Timmermans,
		Component in a System with Scheduled and Unscheduled Downs	and Geert-Jan van Houtum
498	2016	An age-based maintenance policy using the opportunities of	Qiushi Zhu, Hao Peng
		scheduled and unscheduled system downs	Geert-Jan van Houtum
497	2016	Dynamism in Inter-Organizational Service Orchestration - An Analysis of the State of the Art	Paul Grefen Stefanie Rinderle-Ma
496	2016	Service-Dominant Business	Oktay Türetken, Paul Grefen
		Modeling in Transport Logistics	
495	2016	Approximate Dynamic Programming by Practical Examples	Martijn Mes Arturo Perez Rivera
494	2016	Design of a near-optimal generalized ABC classi©cation for a	E. Van Wingerden
		multi-item inventory control problem	T. Tan
			G.J. Van Houtum
493	2015	multi-item inventory control problem	W.J.A. van Heeswijk
			M.R.K. Mes
			J.M.J Schutten
492	2015	Anticipatory Freight Selection in Intermodal	A.E. Pérez Rivera
		Long-haul Round-trips	M.R.K. Mes
491	2015	Base-stock policies for lost-sales models:	Joachim Arts
		Aggregation and asymptotics	Retsef Levi
			Geert-Jan van Houtum
			Bert Zwart
490	2015	The Time-Dependent Pro_table Pickup and Delivery	Peng Sun
		Traveling Salesman Problem with Time Windows	Said Dabia
			Lucas P. Veelenturf
			Tom Van Woensel
489	2015	A survey of maintenance and service logistics management:	Ayse Sena Eruguz, Tarkan Tan, Geert-Jan
		Classification and research agenda from a maritime sector	van Houtum
		perspective	
488	2015	Structuring AHP-based maintenance policy selection	A.J.M. Goossens, R.J.I. Basten, J.M.
			Hummel, L.L.M. van der Wegen
487	2015	Pooling of critical, low-utilization resources with	Loe Schlicher, Marco Slikker,
		unavailability	Geert-Jan van Houtum
486	2015	Business Process Management Technology for Discrete	Irene Vanderfeesten, Paul Grefen
105	2015		
485	2015	Towards an Architecture for Cooperative-Intelligent	Marcel van Sambeek, Frank Ophelders,
		Transport System (C-ITS) Applications in the Netherlands	I Jerk Bijisma, Borgert van der Kluit,
			Traganos Daul Grofon
484	2015	Reasoning About Property Preservation in Adaptive Case Management	Rik Eshuis, Richard Hull, Mengfei Yi
192	2015	An Adaptive Large Neighborhood Search Heuristic for the	Verceslay Ghilas Emrah Demir Tom
403	2015	Pickup and Delivery Problem with Time Windows and	Van Woensel
		Scheduled Lines	van woensei
482	2015	Inventory Dynamics in the Financial Crisis: An Empirical	Kai Hoberg, Maximiliano Udenio II Jan
		Analysis of Firm Responsiveness and its Effect on Financial	C. Fransoo
		Performance	
481	2015	The extended gate problem: Intermodal hub location with	Yann Bouchery, Jan Fransoo, Marco
		multiple actors	Slikker

Nr.	Year	Title	Author(s)
480	2015	Inventory Management with Two Demand Streams: A	Rob J.I. Basten, Jennifer K. Ryan
		Maintenance Application	
479	2015	Optimal Design of Uptime-Guarantee Contracts	Behzad Hezarkhani
478	2015	Collaborative Replenishment in the Presence of	Behzad Hezarkhani, Marco Slikker, Tom
		Intermediaries	Van Woensel
477	2015	Reference Architecture for Mobility-Related Services A	A. Husak, M. Politis, V. Shah, R.
		reference architecture based on GET Service and SIMPLI-CITY	Eshuis. P. Grefen
		Project architectures	
476	2015	A Multi-Item Approach to Repairable Stocking and	Joachim Arts
	-010	Expediting in a Eluctuating Demand Environment	
475	2015	An Adaptive Large Neighborhood Search Heuristic for the	Baoxiang Li Dmitry Krushinsky, Tom Van
	-010	Share-a-Ride Problem	Woensel Hajo A Reijers
474	2015	An approximate dynamic programming approach to urban	Wouter van Heeswijk Martijn Mes
	2015	freight distribution with batch arrivals	Marco Schutten
173	2015	Dynamic Multi-period Freight Consolidation	Arturo Párez Rivera, Martiin Mes
473	2015	Maintenance policy selection for chins: finding the most	A LM Goossens P LL Baston
472	2015	important criteria and considerations	A.J.M. GOUSSENS, N.J.I. Basten
471	2015	Lising Twitter to Predict Sales: A Case Study	Romco Diikman, Ranagiotis Incirctis
4/1	2015	Using Twitter to Fredict Sales. A Case Study	Freek Aertsen, Bey van Helden
470	2015	The Effect of Eventions in Business Processes	Preek Aertsen, Köy van Heiden
470	2015	The Effect of Exceptions in Business Processes	Renico Dijkinan, Geonrey Van
			Meine de
460	2015		
469	2015	Business Model Prototyping for Intelligent Transport	Konstantinos Traganos, Paul Grefen,
		Systems. A Service-Dominant Approach	Aafke den Hollander, Oktay Turetken,
			Rik Eshuis
468	2015	How suitable is the RePro technique for rethinking care	Rob J.B. Vanwersch, Luise Pufahl, Irene
		processes?	Vanderfeesten, Jan Mendling, Hajo A.
			Reijers
467	2014	Where to exert abatement effort for sustainable operations	Tarkan Tan, Astrid Koomen
		considering supply chain interactions?	
466	2014	An Exact Algorithm for the Vehicle Routing Problem with	Said Dabia, Stefan Ropke, Tom Van
		Time Windows and Shifts	Woensel
465	2014	The RePro technique: a new, systematic technique for	Rob J.B. Vanwersch, Luise Pufahl, Irene
		rethinking care processes	Vanderfeesten, Hajo A. Reijers
464	2014	Exploring maintenance policy selection using the Analytic	A.J.M. Goossens, R.J.I. Basten
		Hierarchy Process: an application for naval ships	
463	2014	Allocating service parts in two-echelon networks at a utility	D. van den Berg, M.C. van der Heijden,
		company	P.C. Schuur
462	2014	Freight consolidation in networks with transshipments	W.J.A. van Heeswijk, M.R.K. Mes, J.M.J.
			Schutten, W.H.M. Zijm
461	2014	A Software Architecture for a Transportation Control Tower	Anne Baumgrass, Remco Dijkman, Paul
			Grefen, Shaya Pourmirza, Hagen Völzer,
			Mathias Weske
460	2014	Small traditional retailers in emerging markets	Youssef Boulaksil, Jan C. Fransoo, Edgar
			E. Blanco, Sallem Koubida
459	2014	Defining line replaceable units	J.E. Parada Puig, R.J.I. Basten
458	2014	Inventories and the Credit Crisis: A Chicken and Egg Situation	Maximiliano Udenio, Vishal Gaur, Jan C.
			Fransoo
457	2014	An Exact Approach for the Pollution-Routing Problem	Said Dabia, Emrah Demir, Tom Van
			Woensel
456	2014	Fleet readiness: stocking spare parts and high-tech assets	Rob J.I. Basten, Joachim J. Arts
455	2014	Competitive Solutions for Cooperating Logistics Providers	Behzad Hezarkhani, Marco Slikker. Tom
			Van Woensel
454	2014	Simulation Framework to Analyse Operating Room Release	Rimmert van der Kooii, Martiin Mes.
		Mechanisms	Erwin Hans
453	2014	A Unified Race Algorithm for Offline Parameter Tuning	Tim van Diik. Martiin Mes. Marco
			Schutten, Joaquim Gromicho

Nr.	Year	Title	Author(s)
452	2014	Cost, carbon emissions and modal shift in intermodal	Yann Bouchery, Jan Fransoo
		network design decisions	
451	2014	Transportation Cost and CO2 Emissions in Location Decision	Josue C. Vélazquez-Martínez, Jan C.
		Models	Fransoo, Edgar E. Blanco, Jaime Mora-
			Vargas
450	2014	Tracebook: A Dynamic Checklist Support System	Shan Nan, Pieter Van Gorp, Hendrikus
			H.M. Korsten, Richard Vdovjak, Uzay
			Kaymak
449	2014	Intermodal hinterland network design with multiple actors	Yann Bouchery, Jan Fransoo
448	2014	The Share-a-Ride Problem: People and Parcels Sharing Taxis	Baoxiang Li, Dmitry Krushinsky, Hajo A. Reijers, Tom Van Woensel
447	2014	Stochastic inventory models for a single item at a single	K.H. van Donselaar, R.A.C.M.
		location	Broekmeulen
446	2014	Optimal and heuristic repairable stocking and expediting in a	Joachim Arts, Rob Basten, Geert-Jan
		fluctuating demand environment	van Houtum
445	2014	Connecting inventory control and repair shop control: a	M.A. Driessen, W.D. Rustenburg, G.J.
		differentiated control structure for repairable spare parts	van Houtum, V.C.S. Wiers
444	2014	A survey on design and usage of Software Reference	Samuil Angelov, Jos Trienekens, Rob
		Architectures	Kusters
443	2014	Extending and Adapting the Architecture Tradeoff Analysis	Samuil Angelov, Jos J.M. Trienekens,
		Method for the Evaluation of Software Reference	Paul Grefen
		Architectures	
442	2014	A multimodal network flow problem with product quality	Maryam SteadieSeifi, Nico Dellaert,
		preservation, transshipment, and asset management	Tom Van Woensel
441	2013	Integrating passenger and freight transportation: Model	Veaceslav Ghilas, Emrah Demir, Tom
		formulation and insights	Van Woensel
440	2013	The Price of Payment Delay	K. van der Vliet, M.J. Reindorp, J.C. Fransoo
439	2013	On Characterization of the Core of Lane Covering Games via	Behzad Hezarkhani, Marco Slikker, Tom
		Dual Solutions	van Woensel
438	2013	Destocking, the Bullwhip Effect, and the Credit Crisis:	Maximiliano Udenio, Jan C. Fransoo,
		Empirical Modeling of Supply Chain Dynamics	Robert Peels
437	2013	Methodological support for business process redesign in	Rob J.B. Vanwersch, Khurram Shahzad,
		healthcare: a systematic literature review	Paul Grefen, Liliane Pintelon, Ian
			Mendling, Geofridus G. van Merode,
			Haio A Reijers
436	2013	Dynamics and equilibria under incremental horizontal	B. Vermeulen, J.A. La Poutré, A.G. de
		differentiation on the Salop circle	
435	2013	Analyzing Conformance to Clinical Protocols Involving	Hui Yan, Pieter Van Gorp, Uzay
		Advanced Synchronizations	Hendriks H.M. Korsten, Huilong Duan
434	2013	Models for Ambulance Planning on the Strategic and the	J. Theresia van Essen, Johann L.
		Tactical Level	Hurink, Stefan Nickel, Melanie Reuter
433	2013	Mode Allocation and Scheduling of Inland Container	Stefano Fazi, Tom Van Woensel, Jan C.
		Transportation: A Case-Study in the Netherlands	Fransoo
432	2013	Socially responsible transportation and lot sizing: Insights	Yann Bouchery, Asma Ghaffari, Zied
		from multiobjective optimization	Jemai, Jan Fransoo
431	2013	Inventory routing for dynamic waste collection	Martijn Mes, Marco Schutten, Arturo
430	2013	Simulation and Logistics Optimization of an Integrated	Pérez Rivera
430	2013	Emorgoney Dest	Vliegen, E.W. Hans
120	2013	Entergency Post	S Behfard M.C. van der Heijden A.Al
727	2013	Last time buy and repair Decisions for Spare Parts	Hanbali, W.H.M. Zijm
428	2013	A Review of Recent Research on Green Road Freight	Emrah Demir, Tolga Bektas, Gilbert
		Transportation	Laporte
427	2013	Typology of Repair Shops for Maintenance Spare Parts	M.A. Driessen, V.C.S. Wiers, G.J. van Houtum, W.D. Rustenburg
426	2013	A value network development model and implications for	B. Vermeulen, A.G. de Kok
		innovation and production network management	

Nr.	Year	Title	Author(s)
425	2013	Single Vehicle Routing with Stochastic Demands:	C. Zhang, N.P. Dellaert, L. Zhao, T.
		Approximate Dynamic Programming	Van Woensel, D. Sever
474	2013	Influence of Spillback Effect on Dynamic Shortest Path	Derva Sever, Nico Dellaert, Tom Van
727	2015	Broblems with Travel Time Dependent Network Disruptions	Woonsol Ton do Kok
			Woensel, fon de Kok
423	2013	Dynamic Shortest Path Problem with Travel-Time-Dependent	Derva Sever, Lei Zhao, Nico Dellaert.
	-010	Stochastic Disruptions: Hybrid Approximate Dynamic	Tom Van Woensel. Ton de Kok
		Brogramming Algorithms with a Clustoring Approach	
		Frogramming Algorithms with a clustering Approach	
422	2013	System-oriented inventory models for spare parts	R.J.I. Basten, G.J. van Houtum
421	2013	Lost Sales Inventory Models with Batch Ordering and	T. Van Woensel, N. Erkip, A. Curseu, J.C.
		Handling Costs	Fransoo
420	2013	Response speed and the bullwhip	Maximiliano Udenio. Jan C. Fransoo.
			Eleni Vatamidou, Nico Dellaert
419	2013	Anticipatory Routing of Police Helicopters	Rick van Urk, Martijn R.K. Mes, Erwin W.
			Hans
418	2013	Supply Chain Finance: research challenges ahead	Kasper van der Vliet, Matthew J.
			Reindorp, Jan C. Fransoo
417	2013	Improving the Performance of Sorter Systems by Scheduling	S.W.A. Haneyah, J.M.J. Schutten, K. Fikse
		Inbound Containers	
416	2013	Regional logistics land allocation policies: Stimulating spatial	Frank P. van den Heuvel, Peter W. de
		concentration of logistics firms	Langen, Karel H. van Donselaar, Jan C.
			Fransoo
415	2013	The development of measures of process harmonization	Heidi L. Romero, Remco M. Dijkman,
			Paul W.P.J. Grefen, Arjan van Weele
414	2013	BASE/X. Business Agility through Cross-Organizational Service	Paul Grefen, Egon Lüftenegger, Eric van
		Engineering. The Business and Service Design Approach	der Linden, Caren Weisleder
		developed in the CoProFind Project	
413	2013	The Time-Dependent Vehicle Routing Problem with Soft Time	Duygu Tas, Nico Dellaert, Tom van
		Windows and Stochastic Travel Times	Woensel, Ton de Kok
412	2013	Clearing the Sky - Understanding SLA Elements in Cloud	Marco Comuzzi, Guus Jacobs, Paul
		Computing	Grefen
411	2013	Approximations for the waiting time distribution in an M/G/c	A. Al Hanbali, E.M. Alvarez, M.C. van der
		priority queue	Heijden
410	2013	To co-locate or not? Location decisions and logistics	Frank P. van den Heuvel, Karel H. van
		concentration areas	Donselaar, Rob A.C.M. Broekmeulen,
			Jan C. Fransoo, Peter W. de Langen
409	2013	The Time-Dependent Pollution-Routing Problem	Anna Franceschetti, Dorothée Honhon,
			Tom van Woensel, Tolga Bektas, Gilbert
			Laporte
408	2013	Scheduling the scheduling task: A time management	J.A. Larco, V. Wiers, J. Fransoo
		perspective on scheduling	
407	2013	Clustering Clinical Departments for Wards to Achieve a	J. Theresia van Essen, Mark van
		Prespecified Blocking Probability	Houdenhoven, Johann L. Hurink
406	2013	MyPHRMachines: Personal Health Desktops in the Cloud	Pieter Van Gorp, Marco Comuzzi
405	2013	Maximising the Value of Supply Chain Finance	Kasper van der Vliet, Matthew J.
			Reindorp, Jan C. Fransoo
404	2013	Reaching 50 million nanostores: retail distribution in	Edgar E. Blanco, Jan C. Fransoo
		emerging megacities	
403	2013	A Vehicle Routing Problem with Flexible Time Windows	Duygu Tas, Ola Jabali, Tom van Woensel
402	2013	The Service Dominant Business Model: A Service Focused	Egon Lüftenegger, Marco Comuzzi, Paul
		Conceptualization	Grefen, Caren Weisleder
401	2013	Relationship between freight accessibility and logistics	Frank P. van den Heuvel, Liliana Rivera,
		employment in US counties	Karel H. van Donselaar, Ad de Jong,
			Yossi Sheffi, Peter W. de Langen, Jan C.
			Fransoo

Nr.	Year	Title	Author(s)
400	2012	A Condition-Based Maintenance Policy for Multi-Component	Qiushi Zhu, Hao Peng, Geert-Jan van
		Systems with a High Maintenance Setup Cost	Houtum
399	2012	A flexible iterative improvement heuristic to support creation	E. van der Veen, J.L. Hurink, J.M.J.
		of feasible shift rosters in self-rostering	Schutten, S.T. Uijland
398	2012	Scheduled Service Network Design with Synchronization and	K. Sharypova, T.G. Crainic, T. van
		Transshipment Constraints for Intermodal Container	Woensel, J.C. Fransoo
		Transportation Networks	
397	2012	Destocking, the bullwhip effect, and the credit crisis:	Maximiliano Udenio, Jan C. Fransoo,
		empirical modeling of supply chain dynamics	Robert Peels
396	2012	Vehicle routing with restricted loading capacities	J. Gromicho, J.J. van Hoorn, A.L. Kok,
			J.M.J. Schutten
395	2012	Service differentiation through selective lateral	E.M. Alvarez, M.C. van der Heijden,
		transshipments	I.M.H. Vliegen, W.H.M. Zijm
394	2012	A Generalized Simulation Model of an Integrated Emergency	Martijn Mes, Manon Bruens
		Post	
393	2012	Business Process Technology and the Cloud: defining a	Vassil Stoitsev, Paul Grefen
		Business Process Cloud Platform	
392	2012	Vehicle Routing with Soft Time Windows and Stochastic	D. Tas, M. Gendreau, N. Dellaert, T. van
		Travel Times: A Column Generation and Branch-and-Price	Woensel, A.G. de Kok
		Solution Approach	
391	2012	Improve OR-Schedule to Reduce Number of Required Beds	J. Theresia van Essen, Joël M. Bosch,
			Erwin W. Hans, Mark van Houdenhoven,
			Johann L. Hurink
390	2012	How does development lead time affect performance over	Andreas Pufall, Jan C. Fransoo, Ad de
		the ramp-up lifecycle? Evidence from the consumer	Jong, A.G. (Ton) de Kok
		electronics industry	
389	2012	The Impact of Product Complexity on Ramp-Up Performance	Andreas Pufall, Jan C. Fransoo, Ad de
			Jong, A.G. (Ton) de Kok
388	2012	Co-location synergies: specialized versus diverse logistics	Frank P. van den Heuvel, Peter W. de
		concentration areas	Langen, Karel H. van Donselaar, Jan C.
			Fransoo
387	2012	Proximity matters: Synergies through co-location of logistics	Frank P. van den Heuvel, Peter W. de
		establishments	Langen, Karel H. van Donselaar, Jan C.
			Fransoo
386	2012	Spatial concentration and location dynamics in logistics: the	Frank P. van den Heuvel, Peter W. de
		case of a Dutch province	Langen, Karel H. van Donselaar, Jan C.
			Fransoo
385	2012	FNet: An Index for Advanced Business Process Querying	Zhiqiang Yan, Remco Dijkman, Paul
			Grefen
384	2012	Defining Various Pathway Terms	W.R. Dalinghaus, P.M.E. Van Gorp
383	2012	The Service Dominant Strategy Canvas: Defining and	Egon Lüftenegger, Paul Grefen, Caren
		Visualizing a Service Dominant Strategy through the	Weisleder
		Traditional Strategic Lens	
382	2012	A Stochastic Variable Size Bin Packing Problem with Time	Stefano Fazi, Tom van Woensel, Jan C.
		Constraints	Fransoo
381	2012	Coordination and Analysis of Barge Container Hinterland	K. Sharypova, T. van Woensel, J.C.
		Networks	Fransoo
380	2012	Proximity matters: Synergies through co-location of logistics	Frank P. van den Heuvel, Peter W. de
		establishments	Langen, Karel H. van Donselaar, Jan C.
			Fransoo
379	2012	A literature review in process harmonization: a conceptual	Heidi Romero, Remco Dijkman, Paul
		framework	Grefen, Arjan van Weele
378	2012	A Generic Material Flow Control Model for Two Different	S.W.A. Haneyah, J.M.J. Schutten, P.C.
		Industries	Schuur, W.H.M. Zijm
377	2012	Dynamic demand fulfillment in spare parts networks with	H.G.H. Tiemessen, M. Fleischmann, G.J.
		multiple customer classes	van Houtum, J.A.E.E. van Nunen, E.
			Pratsini

Nr.	Year	Title	Author(s)
376	2012	Paper has been replaced by wp 417	K. Fikse, S.W.A. Haneyah, J.M.J. Schutten
375	2012	Strategies for dynamic appointment making by container terminals	Albert Douma, Martijn Mes
374	2012	MyPHRMachines: Lifelong Personal Health Records in the Cloud	Pieter van Gorp, Marco Comuzzi
373	2012	Service differentiation in spare parts supply through dedicated stocks	E.M. Alvarez, M.C. van der Heijden, W.H.M. Zijm
372	2012	Spare parts inventory pooling: how to share the benefits?	Frank Karsten, Rob Basten
371	2012	Condition based spare parts supply	X. Lin, R.J.I. Basten, A.A. Kranenburg, G.J. van Houtum
370	2012	Using Simulation to Assess the Opportunities of Dynamic Waste Collection	Martijn Mes
369	2012	Aggregate overhaul and supply chain planning for rotables	J. Arts, S.D. Flapper, K. Vernooij
368	2012	Operating Room Rescheduling	J.T. van Essen, J.L. Hurink, W. Hartholt, B.J. van den Akker
367	2011	Switching Transport Modes to Meet Voluntary Carbon Emission Targets	Kristel M.R. Hoen, Tarkan Tan, Jan C. Fransoo, Geert-Jan van Houtum
366	2011	On two-echelon inventory systems with Poisson demand and lost sales	Elisa Alvarez, Matthieu van der Heijden
365	2011	Minimizing the Waiting Time for Emergency Surgery	J.T. van Essen, E.W. Hans, J.L. Hurink, A. Oversberg
364	2012	Vehicle Routing Problem with Stochastic Travel Times Including Soft Time Windows and Service Costs	Duygu Tas, Nico Dellaert, Tom van Woensel, Ton de Kok
363	2011	A New Approximate Evaluation Method for Two-Echelon	Erhun Özkan, Geert-Jan van Houtum.
		Inventory Systems with Emergency Shipments	Yasemin Serin
362	2011	Approximating Multi-Objective Time-Dependent	Said Dabia. El-Ghazali Talbi. Tom Van
	-	Optimization Problems	Woensel. Ton de Kok
361	2011	Branch and Cut and Price for the Time Dependent Vehicle	Said Dabia, Stefan Röpke, Tom Van
		Routing Problem with Time Windows	Woensel, Ton de Kok
360	2011	Analysis of an Assemble-to-Order System with Different Review Periods	A.G. Karaarslan, G.P. Kiesmüller, A.G. de Kok
359	2011	Interval Availability Analysis of a Two-Echelon, Multi-Item System	Ahmad Al Hanbali, Matthieu van der Heijden
358	2011	Carbon-Optimal and Carbon-Neutral Supply Chains	Felipe Caro, Charles J. Corbett, Tarkan Tan, Rob Zuidwiik
357	2011	Generic Planning and Control of Automated Material Handling Systems: Practical Requirements Versus Existing Theory	Sameh Haneyah, Henk Zijm, Marco Schutten, Peter Schuur
356	2011	Last time buy decisions for products sold under warranty	Matthieu van der Heijden, Bermawi Iskandar
355	2011	Spatial concentration and location dynamics in logistics: the case of a Dutch province	Frank P. van den Heuvel, Peter W. de Langen, Karel H. van Donselaar, Jan C. Fransoo
354	2011	Identification of Employment Concentration Areas	Frank P. van den Heuvel, Peter W. de Langen, Karel H. van Donselaar, Jan C. Fransoo
353	2011	BPMN 2.0 Execution Semantics Formalized as Graph Rewrite Rules: extended version	Pieter van Gorp, Remco Dijkman
352	2011	Resource pooling and cost allocation among independent service providers	Frank Karsten, Marco Slikker, Geert-Jan van Houtum
351	2011	A Framework for Business Innovation Directions	E. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov. P. Grefen
350	2011	The Road to a Business Process Architecture: An Overview of	Remco Dijkman, Irene Vanderfeesten,
		Approaches and their Use	Hajo A. Reijers
349	2011	Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode	K.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fransoo, G.J.
		selection under stochastic demand	van Houtum

Nr.	Year	Title	Author(s)
348	2011	An improved MIP-based combinatorial approach for a multi-	Murat Firat, Cor Hurkens
		skill workforce scheduling problem	
347	2011	An approximate approach for the joint problem of level of	R.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der Heijden,
		repair analysis and spare parts stocking	J.M.J. Schutten
346	2011	Joint optimization of level of repair analysis and spare parts	R.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der Heijden,
		stocks	J.M.J. Schutten
345	2011	Inventory control with manufacturing lead time flexibility	Ton G. de Kok
344	2011	Analysis of resource pooling games via a new extension of	Frank Karsten, Marco Slikker, Geert-Jan
		the Erlang loss function	van Houtum
343	2011	Vehicle refueling with limited resources	Murat Firat, C.A.J. Hurkens, Gerhard J.
			Woeginger
342	2011	Optimal Inventory Policies with Non-stationary Supply	Bilge Atasoy, Refik Güllü, Tarkan Tan
		Disruptions and Advance Supply Information	
341	2011	Redundancy Optimization for Critical Components in High-	Kurtulus Baris Öner, Alan Scheller-Wolf,
		Availability Capital Goods	Geert-Jan van Houtum
340	2011	Making Decision Process Knowledge Explicit Using the	Razvan Petrusel, Irene Vanderfeesten,
		Product Data Model	Cristina Claudia Dolean, Daniel Mican
339	2010	Analysis of a two-echelon inventory system with two supply	Joachim Arts, Gudrun Kiesmüller
		modes	
338	2010	Analysis of the dial-a-ride problem of Hunsaker and	Murat Firat, Gerhard J. Woeginger
		Savelsbergh	
335	2010	Attaining stability in multi-skill workforce scheduling	Murat Firat, Cor Hurkens
334	2010	Flexible Heuristics Miner (FHM)	A.J.M.M. Weijters, J.T.S. Ribeiro
333	2010	An exact approach for relating recovering surgical patient	P.T. Vanberkel, R.J. Boucherie, E.W.
		workload to the master surgical schedule	Hans, J.L. Hurink, W.A.M. van Lent, W.H.
			van Harten
332	2010	Efficiency evaluation for pooling resources in health care	Peter T. Vanberkel, Richard J. Boucherie,
			Erwin W. Hans, Johann L. Hurink, Nelly
			Litvak
331	2010	The Effect of Workload Constraints in Mathematical	M.M. Jansen, A.G. de Kok, I.J.B.F. Adan
		Programming Models for Production Planning	
330	2010	Using pipeline information in a multi-echelon spare parts	Christian Howard, Ingrid Reijnen, Johan
		inventory system	Marklund, Tarkan Tan
329	2010	Reducing costs of repairable spare parts supply systems via	H.G.H. Tiemessen, G.J. van Houtum
		dynamic scheduling	
328	2010	Identification of Employment Concentration and	Frank P. van den Heuvel, Peter W. de
		Specialization Areas: Theory and Application	Langen, Karel H. van Donselaar, Jan C.
			Fransoo
327	2010	A combinatorial approach to multi-skill workforce scheduling	M. Firat, C. Hurkens
326	2010	Stability in multi-skill workforce scheduling	M. Firat, C. Hurkens, A. Laugier
325	2010	Maintenance spare parts planning and control: A framework	M.A. Driessen, J.J. Arts, G.J. van
		for control and agenda for future research	Houtum, W.D. Rustenburg, B. Huisman
324	2010	Near-optimal heuristics to set base stock levels in a two-	R.J.I. Basten, G.J. van Houtum
		echelon distribution network	
323	2010	Inventory reduction in spare part networks by selective	M.C. van der Heijden, E.M. Alvarez,
		throughput time reduction	J.M.J. Schutten
322	2010	The selective use of emergency shipments for service-	E.M. Alvarez, M.C. van der Heijden,
		contract differentiation	W.H.M. Zijm
321	2010	Heuristics for Multi-Item Two-Echelon Spare Parts Inventory	Engin Topan, Z. Pelin Bayindir, Tarkan
		Control Problem with Batch Ordering in the Central	Tan
		Warehouse	
320	2010	Preventing or escaping the suppression mechanism:	Bob Walrave, Kim E. van Oorschot, A.
		intervention conditions	Georges L. Romme
319	2010	Hospital admission planning to optimize major resources	Nico Dellaert, Jully Jeunet
		utilization under uncertainty	
318	2010	Minimal Protocol Adaptors for Interacting Services	R. Seguel, R. Eshuis, P. Grefen

317 2010 Teaching Retail Operations in Business and Engineering Schools Tom Van Woensel, Marshall I Jan C. Fransoo 316 2010 Design for Availability: Creating Value for Manufacturers and Customers Hydie P.M. Smets, Geert-Jan V Houtum, Fred Langerak 315 2010 Transforming Process Models: executable rewrite rules versus a formalized Java program Pieter van Gorp, Rik Eshuis versus a formalized Java program 314 2010 Working paper 314 is no longer available 313 2010 A Dynamic Programming Approach to Multi-Objective Time- Dependent Capacitated Single Vehicle Routing Problems with Time Windows S. Dabia, T. van Woensel, A.G. 312 2010 Tales of a Solu/recere: Optimal Sourcing Decisions Under Alternative Capacitated Single Vehicle Routing Problems with Time Windows Osman Alp, Tarkan Tan 311 2010 In-store replenishment procedures for perishable inventory in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints R.A.C.M. Broekmeulen, C.H.N. 310 2010 The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industry R. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshuit Approach: the CrossWork Case 308 2010 Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode selection in supply chains Marci Silikker, Peter Borm, Ri den Brink	. Fisher,
Schools Jan C. Fransoo 316 2010 Design for Availability: Creating Value for Manufacturers and Lycle P.M. Smets, Geert-Jan v Customers Houtum, Fred Langerak 315 2010 Transforming Process Models: executable rewrite rules versus a formalized Java program Pieter van Gorp, Rik Eshuis 314 2010 Working paper 314 is no longer available 313 2010 A Dynamic Programming Approach to Multi-Objective Time- Dependent Capacitated Single Vehicle Routing Problems with Time Windows S. Dabia, T. van Woensel, A.G. 312 2010 Tales of a So(u)rcerer: Optimal Sourcing Decisions Under Alternative Capacitated Suppliers and General Cost Structures Osman Alp, Tarkan Tan 311 2010 In-store replenishment procedures for perishable inventory in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints E. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen 310 2010 The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industry E. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen, R. Eshuis Approach: the CrossWork Case 308 2010 Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode selection in supply chains K.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fran van Houtum 307 2010 Interarclis between intelligent agent strategies for real-time tran	
316 2010 Design for Availability: Creating Value for Manufacturers and Customers Lydu EP.M. Smets, Geert-Jan V. Houtum, Fred Langerak 315 2010 Transforming Process Models: executable rewrite rules versus a formalized Java program Pieter van Gorp, Rik Eshuis versus a formalized Java program 314 2010 Working paper 314 is no longer available 313 2010 A Dynamic Programming Approach to Multi-Objective Time- Dependent Capacitated Single Vehicle Routing Problems with Time Windows S. Dabia, T. van Woensel, A.G. 312 2010 Tales of a So(u)creer: Optimal Sourcing Decisions Under Alternative Capacitated Suppliers and General Cost Structures Osman Alp, Tarkan Tan 311 2010 In-store replenishment procedures for perishable inventory in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints E. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen 309 2010 Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork Case R. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshuis van Houtum 307 2010 Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planning Marco Slikker, Peter Borm, Ro den Brink 304 2010 Internal Slackening Scoring Methods Marco Slikker, Peter Borm, Ro den Brink 303 2010	
Customers Houtum, Fred Langerak 315 2010 Transforming Process Models: executable rewrite rules Pieter van Gorp, Rik Eshuis 314 2010 Working paper 314 is no longer available 313 2010 A Dynamic Programming Approach to Multi-Objective Time- Dependent Capacitated Single Vehicle Routing Problems with Time Windows 312 2010 Tales of a So(u)rcerer: Optimal Sourcing Decisions Under Alternative Capacitated Suppliers and General Cost Structures Osman Alp, Tarkan Tan 311 2010 In-store replenishment procedures for perishable inventory in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints R.A.C.M. Broekmeulen, C.H.N. 310 2010 The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industry Elinden, P. Grefen 309 2010 Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork Case K.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fran van Houtum 307 2010 Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time selection in supply chains Marco Slikker, Peter Borm, Ri den Brink 304 2010 Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working Rules A.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Sch utten 303 2010	an
315 2010 Transforming Process Models: executable rewrite rules versus a formalized Java program Pieter van Gorp, Rik Eshuis 314 2010 Working pager 314 is no longer available 313 2010 A Dynamic Programming Approach to Multi-Objective Time- Dependent Capacitated Single Vehicle Routing Problems with Time Windows S. Dabia, T. van Woensel, A.G 312 2010 Tales of a So(U)rcerer: Optimal Sourcing Decisions Under Alternative Capacitated Suppliers and General Cost Structures Osman Alp, Tarkan Tan 311 2010 In-store replenishment procedures for perishable inventory in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints R.A.C.M. Broekmeulen, C.H.N 310 2010 The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industry E. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen 308 2010 Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork Case N.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fran van Houtum 307 2010 Interaction planing Peter Schuur 308 2010 Interaction planing Peter Schuur 306 2010 Interaction planing Peter Schuur 303 2010 Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congesti	
versus a formalized Java program 314 2010 Working paper 314 is no longer available	
314 2010 Working paper 314 is no longer available	
313 2010 A Dynamic Programming Approach to Multi-Objective Time- Dependent Capacitated Single Vehicle Routing Problems with Time Windows S. Dabia, T. van Woensel, A.G. 312 2010 Tales of a So(u)rcerer: Optimal Sourcing Decisions Under Alternative Capacitated Suppliers and General Cost Structures Osman Alp, Tarkan Tan 311 2010 In-store replenishment procedures for perishable inventory in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints R.A.C.M. Broekmeulen, C.H.N. 310 2010 The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industry E. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen 309 2010 Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork Case R. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshuis 307 2010 Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planning Martijn Mes, Matthieu van dr Peter Schuur 306 2010 Internal Slackening Scoring Methods Marco Sikker, Peter Borm, R den Brink 303 2010 Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working Rules A.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Schutten 303 2010 Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain Performance J.M.J. Schutten 304 2010 Ocean Container Transport: An Undere	
Dependent Capacitated Single Vehicle Routing Problems with Time Windows 312 2010 Tales of a So(u)rcerer: Optimal Sourcing Decisions Under Alternative Capacitated Suppliers and General Cost Osman Alp, Tarkan Tan 311 2010 In-store replenishment procedures for perishable inventory in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints R.A.C.M. Broekmeulen, C.H.N. 310 2010 The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industry E. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen 309 2010 Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork Case R. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshuit Martijn Mes, Matthieu van d transportation planning 307 2010 Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planning Marco Slikker, Peter Borm, R den Brink 305 2010 Internal Slackening Scoring Methods Marco Slikker, Peter Borm, R den Brink 304 2010 Practical extensions to the level of repair analysis R.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten 302 2010 Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical uncertain capacity and demand Y. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T uncertain capacity and demand 300 2009 Spare parts inventory pooling games F.	de Kok
Time Windows Osman Alp, Tarkan Tan 312 2010 Tales of a So(u)rcerer: Optimal Sourcing Decisions Under Alternative Capacitated Suppliers and General Cost Structures Osman Alp, Tarkan Tan 311 2010 In-store replenishment procedures for perishable inventory in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints R.A.C.M. Broekmeulen, C.H.N. 310 2010 The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industry E. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen 309 2010 Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork Case R. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshuit Approach: the CrossWork Case 308 2010 Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planning Martijn Mes, Matthieu van du Peter Schuur 306 2010 Internal Slackening Scoring Methods Marco Slikker, Peter Borm, R den Brink 305 2010 Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working Rules R.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten 303 2010 Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical uncertain capacity and demand Jan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le Link in Global Supply Chain Performance 301 2020 Spare parts inventory pooling games <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
312 2010 Tales of a So(u)rcerer: Optimal Sourcing Decisions Under Alternative Capacitated Suppliers and General Cost Structures Osman Alp, Tarkan Tan 311 2010 In-store replenishment procedures for perishable inventory in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints R.A.C.M. Broekmeulen, C.H.N. 310 2010 The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industry E. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen 309 2010 Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork Case R. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshuit van Houtum 307 2010 Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode selection in supply chains Martijn Mes, Matthieu van de Peter Schuur 306 2010 Internal Slackening Scoring Methods Marco Slikker, Peter Borm, Re den Brink 303 2010 Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working Rules R.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten 303 2010 Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain Performance Jan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le Link in Global Supply Chain Performance 302 2010 Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demand Y. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. Houtum 302 203	
Alternative Capacitated Suppliers and General Cost Structures 311 2010 In-store replenishment procedures for perishable inventory in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints R.A.C.M. Broekmeulen, C.H.N. 310 2010 The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industry Linden, P. Grefen 309 2010 Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork Case R. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshuis 308 2010 Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode selection in supply chains K.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fran van Houtum 307 2010 Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planning Marci Slikker, Peter Borm, Ri den Brink 305 2010 Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working Rules A.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Sch U.M.J. Schutten 303 2010 Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain Performance Jan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le Link in Global Supply Chain Performance 302 2010 Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservation F.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum 299 2009 Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain wit	
Structures R.A.C.M. Broekmeulen, C.H.N 311 2010 In-store replenishment procedures for perishable inventory in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints R.A.C.M. Broekmeulen, C.H.N 310 2010 The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industry E. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen 309 2010 Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork Case R. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshuis approach: the CrossWork Case 308 2010 Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planning Martijn Mes, Matthieu van de peter Schuur 306 2010 Internal Slackening Scoring Methods Marco Slikker, Peter Borm, Ri den Brink 305 2010 Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working Rules R.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten 303 2010 Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain Performance Jan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le Link in Global Supply Chain Performance 302 2010 Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demand Y. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T uncertain capacity and demand 300 2009 Spare parts inventory poo	
311 2010 In-store replenishment procedures for perishable inventory in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints R.A.C.M. Broekmeulen, C.H.N. 310 2010 The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industry E. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen 309 2010 Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork Case R. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshuis 308 2010 Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode transportation planning K.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fran van Houtum 307 2010 Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time Martijn Mes, Matthieu van de transportation planning Marcio Slikker, Peter Borm, Re den Brink 305 2010 Internal Slackening Scoring Methods Marcio Slikker, Peter Borm, Re den Brink 304 2010 Practical extensions to the level of repair analysis R.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He I.M.J. Schutten 303 2010 Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demand Y. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T uncertain capacity and demand 300 2009 Spare parts inventory pooling games F.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houture 309 2010 Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservation <td></td>	
in a retail environment with handling costs and storage constraints3102010The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industryE. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen3092010Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork CaseR. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshuit Approach: the CrossWork Case3082010Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode selection in supply chainsK.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fran van Houtum3072010Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planningMartin Mes, Matthieu van du Peter Schuur3062010Internal Slackening Scoring MethodsMarco Slikker, Peter Borm, R den Brink3032010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Sch UH.M. Zijm3032010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysis Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceM.J. Schutten3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil; J.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisC. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	I. Bakx
10constraints3102010The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industryE. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen3092010Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork CaseR. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshui: Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshui: Approach: the CrossWork Case3082010Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode selection in supply chainsK.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fran van Houtum3072010Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planningMarto Slikker, Peter Borm, Ri den Brink3062010Internal Slackening Scoring MethodsMarco Slikker, Peter Borm, Ri den Brink3052010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Sci U.H.M. Zijm3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysisR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3022010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical uncertain capacity and demandJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le Link in Global Supply Chain Performance3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I Houtum2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisC. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
3102010The state of the art of innovation-driven business models in the financial services industryE. Lüftenegger, S. Angelov, E. Linden, P. Grefen3092010Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork CaseR. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshuir Approach: the CrossWork Case3082010Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode selection in supply chainsK.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fran van Houtum3072010Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planningMartijn Mes, Matthieu van du transportation planning3062010Internal Slackening Scoring MethodsMarco Slikker, Peter Borm, Ru den Brink3052010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Sch UM.J. Schutten3032010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le J.M.J. Schutten3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. 1 Mutum2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
Image: construct of the financial services industryLinden, P. Grefen3092010Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork CaseR. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshui: Approach: the CrossWork Case3082010Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode selection in supply chainsK.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fran van Houtum3072010Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planningMartijn Mes, Matthieu van du transportation planning3062010Internal Slackening Scoring MethodsMarco Slikker, Peter Borm, Ru den Brink3052010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Scl UM.H.M. Zijm3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysis Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3022010Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demandY. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T Houtum3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I Houtum2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisC. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	van der
3092010Design of Complex Architectures Using a Three Dimension Approach: the CrossWork CaseR. Seguel, P. Grefen, R. Eshui: Approach: the CrossWork Case3082010Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode selection in supply chainsK.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fran van Houtum3072010Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planningMartijn Mes, Matthieu van dr Peter Schuur3062010Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planningMarco Slikker, Peter Borm, Rr den Brink3052010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Scl W.H.M. Zijm3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysis Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I Houtum2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
Approach: the CrossWork Case3082010Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode selection in supply chainsK.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fran van Houtum3072010Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planningMartijn Mes, Matthieu van d Peter Schuur3062010Internal Slackening Scoring MethodsMarco Slikker, Peter Borm, Ru den Brink3052010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Scl W.H.M. Zijm3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysis Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3022010Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demandY. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T Houtum3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2982010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
3082010Effect of carbon emission regulations on transport mode selection in supply chainsK.M.R. Hoen, T. Tan, J.C. Fran van Houtum3072010Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planningMartijn Mes, Matthieu van de Peter Schuur3062010Internal Slackening Scoring MethodsMarco Slikker, Peter Borm, Re den Brink3052010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Scl W.H.M. Zijm3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysis Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3022010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le Link in Global Supply Chain Performance3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I Houtum2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
selection in supply chainsvan Houtum3072010Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planningMartijn Mes, Matthieu van de Peter Schuur3062010Internal Slackening Scoring MethodsMarco Slikker, Peter Borm, Re den Brink3052010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Sci W.H.M. Zijm3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysisR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3032010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le Houtum3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I Houtum2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	500, G.J.
3072010Interaction between intelligent agent strategies for real-time transportation planningMartijn Mes, Matthieu van de Peter Schuur3062010Internal Slackening Scoring MethodsMarco Slikker, Peter Borm, Ri den Brink3052010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Sci W.H.M. Zijm3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysisR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3032010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le Link in Global Supply Chain Performance3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I Motum2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
transportation planningPeter Schuur3062010Internal Slackening Scoring MethodsMarco Slikker, Peter Borm, Riden Brink3052010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Scl W.H.M. Zijm3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysisR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3032010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le3022010Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demandY. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T Houtum3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I Houtum2982010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	r Heijden,
3062010Internal Slackening Scoring MethodsMarco Slikker, Peter Borm, Ruden Brink3052010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Scl W.H.M. Zijm3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysisR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3032010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le3022010Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demandY. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T Houtum3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
den Brink3052010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Scl W.H.M. Zijm3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysis and Working RulesR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3032010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le3022010Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demandY. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T Houtum3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I Moutum2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	né van
3052010Vehicle Routing with Traffic Congestion and Drivers' Driving and Working RulesA.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Sch W.H.M. Zijm3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysisR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3032010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le3022010Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demandY. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I2982010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
and Working RulesW.H.M. Zijm3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysisR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3032010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le3022010Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demandY. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I2982010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	utten,
3042010Practical extensions to the level of repair analysisR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten3032010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le3022010Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demandY. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I2982010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
J.M.J. Schutten3032010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le3022010Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demandY. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I2982010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	jden,
3032010Ocean Container Transport: An Underestimated and Critical Link in Global Supply Chain PerformanceJan C. Fransoo, Chung-Yee Le3022010Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demandY. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I Moutum2982010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Uc C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
Link in Global Supply Chain Performance3022010Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demandY. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. I Unum2982010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	5
3022010Capacity reservation and utilization for a manufacturer with uncertain capacity and demandY. Boulaksil; J.C. Fransoo; T. T3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C.2982010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
uncertain capacity and demand3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C.2982010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	an
3002009Spare parts inventory pooling gamesF.J.P. Karsten; M. Slikker; G.J. Houtum2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C.2982010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
299 2009 Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservation Y. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C. 298 2010 An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stocking R.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten 297 2009 Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit Crisis Robert Peels, Maximiliano Uc	van
2992009Capacity flexibility allocation in an outsourced supply chain with reservationY. Boulaksil, M. Grunow, J.C.2982010An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stockingR.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten2972009Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit CrisisRobert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	
with reservation with reservation 298 2010 An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stocking R.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He J.M.J. Schutten 297 2009 Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit Crisis Robert Peels, Maximiliano Ud C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	ransoo
298 2010 An optimal approach for the joint problem of level of repair analysis and spare parts stocking R.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He 297 2009 Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit Crisis Robert Peels, Maximiliano Ud	
297 2009 Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Supply Management during the Credit Crisis J.M.J. Schutten	jden,
297 2009 Responding to the Lehman Wave: Sales Forecasting and Robert Peels, Maximiliano Uc Supply Management during the Credit Crisis C. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Tor	<u> </u>
Supply Management during the Credit Crisis IC. Fransoo, Marcel Wolfs, Toi	enio, Jan
	n Hendrikx
	D
296 2009 An exact approach for relating recovering surgical patient Peter 1. Vanberkei, Richard J.	Boucherie,
workload to the master surgical schedule Erwin W. Hans, Johann L. Hur	INK,
Wineke A.M. van Lent, wim F	. van
Harten	idon
2009 All Relative method for the simulaneous optimization of R.J.I. Basten, M.C. van der He	juen,
Image:	
234 2009 Fujaba filis tile Wall(-e) Fieler Vall Golp, Ruber Juber	Pornhard
202 2000 Implementation of a Healthcare Drocess in Four Different D. S. Mans, W.M.D. van der Ar	, Bernhard
Workflow Systems	, Bernhard
Image: Process Model Paragitarias - Eramowork and Chigang Van Demos Diilmar 202 2009 Bugings Process Model Paragitarias - Eramowork and Chigang Van Demos Diilmar	, Bernhard Ist, N.C.
Survey	, Bernhard lst, N.C.
291 2009 Efficient Ontimization of the Dual-Index Policy Using Markov, Joachim Arts, Marcol van Vuu	, Bernhard lst, N.C. , Paul
Chains Gudrun Kiesmuller	, Bernhard lst, N.C. , Paul

Nr.	Year	Title	Author(s)
290	2009	Hierarchical Knowledge-Gradient for Sequential Sampling	Martijn R.K. Mes; Warren B. Powell;
			Peter I. Frazier
289	2009	Analyzing combined vehicle routing and break scheduling	C.M. Meyer; A.L. Kok; H. Kopfer; J.M.J.
		from a distributed decision making perspective	Schutten
288	2010	Lead time anticipation in Supply Chain Operations Planning	Michiel Jansen; Ton G. de Kok; Jan C.
			Fransoo
287	2009	Inventory Models with Lateral Transshipments: A Review	Colin Paterson; Gudrun Kiesmuller;
			Ruud Teunter; Kevin Glazebrook
286	2009	Efficiency evaluation for pooling resources in health care	P.T. Vanberkel; R.J. Boucherie; E.W.
			Hans; J.L. Hurink; N. Litvak
285	2009	A Survey of Health Care Models that Encompass Multiple	P.T. Vanberkel; R.J. Boucherie; E.W.
		Departments	Hans; J.L. Hurink; N. Litvak
284	2009	Supporting Process Control in Business Collaborations	S. Angelov; K. Vidyasankar; J. Vonk; P.
			Grefen
283	2009	Inventory Control with Partial Batch Ordering	O. Alp; W.T. Huh; T. Tan
282	2009	Translating Safe Petri Nets to Statecharts in a Structure- Preserving Way	R. Eshuis
281	2009	The link between product data model and process model	J.J.C.L. Vogelaar; H.A. Reijers
280	2009	Inventory planning for spare parts networks with delivery	I.C. Reijnen; T. Tan; G.J. van Houtum
		time requirements	
279	2009	Co-Evolution of Demand and Supply under Competition	B. Vermeulen; A.G. de Kok
278	2010	Toward Meso-level Product-Market Network Indices for	B. Vermeulen, A.G. de Kok
		Strategic Product Selection and (Re)Design Guidelines over	
		the Product Life-Cycle	
277	2009	An Efficient Method to Construct Minimal Protocol Adaptors	R. Seguel, R. Eshuis, P. Grefen
276	2009	Coordinating Supply Chains: a Bilevel Programming Approach	Ton G. de Kok, Gabriella Muratore
275	2000	Inventory redictribution for fachion products under demand	C. D. Kiasmullar, S. Minnar
275	2009	narameter undate	G.F. Riesmuner, S. Winner
274	2009	Comparing Markov chains: Combining aggregation and	A Busic I M H Vliegen A Scheller-Wolf
2/4	2005	nrecedence relations annlied to sets of states	A. Busic, I.W.H. Vilegen, A. Schener Won
273	2009	Separate tools or tool kits: an exploratory study of engineers'	IMH Vliegen PAM Kleingeld GI van
2/3	2005	preferences	Houtum
272	2009	An Exact Solution Procedure for Multi-Item Two-Echelon	
		Spare Parts Inventory Control Problem with Batch Ordering	
271	2009	Distributed Decision Making in Combined Vehicle Routing	C.M. Mever, H. Kopfer, A.L. Kok, M.
		and Break Scheduling	Schutten
270	2009	Dynamic Programming Algorithm for the Vehicle Routing	A.L. Kok, C.M. Meyer, H. Kopfer, J.M.J.
		Problem with Time Windows and EC Social Legislation	Schutten
269	2009	Similarity of Business Process Models: Metics and Evaluation	Remco Dijkman, Marlon Dumas,
			Boudewijn van Dongen, Reina Kaarik,
			Jan Mendling
267	2009	Vehicle routing under time-dependent travel times: the	A.L. Kok, E.W. Hans, J.M.J. Schutten
		impact of congestion avoidance	
266	2009	Restricted dynamic programming: a flexible framework for	J. Gromicho; J.J. van Hoorn; A.L. Kok;
		solving realistic VRPs	J.M.J. Schutten;

Working Papers published before 2009 see: http://beta.ieis.tue.nl