

Practical synchronization in networks of diffusively coupled non-identical systems

Citation for published version (APA):

Steur, E., Vromen, T. G. M., & Nijmeijer, H. (2015). *Practical synchronization in networks of diffusively coupled non-identical systems*. (D&C; Vol. 2015.031). Eindhoven University of Technology.

Document status and date: Published: 01/01/2015

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Supplementary material: Practical synchronization in networks of diffusively coupled non-identical systems

E. Steur, T.G.M. Vromen, H. Nijmeijer

This document is attached as supplementary material to the manuscript entitled "Training a network of electronic neurons for control of a mobile robot". In this document a general theoretical framework for practical synchronization in networks of diffusively coupled non-identical systems is described.

1 Introduction

We consider a network of $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ systems

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = f_i(x_i) + B_i u_i \\ y_i = C_i x_i \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

that interact via diffusive coupling functions

$$u_{i} = -\sigma \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{k} \gamma_{ij}(y_{i} - y_{j}), \qquad (1.2)$$

with constants $\sigma > 0$ and $\gamma_{ij} \ge 0$ denoting the coupling strength and interaction weights, respectively, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state of system $i, u_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $1 \le m \le n$ is its input, $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ its output, a sufficiently smooth vectorfield $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and constant matrices B_i and C_i are of appropriate dimension. It is assumed that

- $f_i(s) = f(s) + \Delta f_i(s)$ with $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Delta f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ sufficiently smooth;
- $B_i = B + \Delta B_i$ with rank $(B_i) =$ rank(B) = m;
- $C_i = C + \Delta C_i$ with rank $(C_i) =$ rank(C) = m;
- $C_i B_i$ and CB are similar to a positive definite matrix of rank m;
- the matrix

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=2}^{k} \gamma_{1j} & -\gamma_{12} & \cdots & -\gamma_{1k} \\ -\gamma_{21} & \sum_{j=1, j\neq 2}^{k} \gamma_{2j} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & -\gamma_{(k-1)k} \\ -\gamma_{k1} & \cdots & -\gamma_{k(k-1)} & \sum_{j=1, j\neq 2}^{k-1} \gamma_{kj} \end{pmatrix}$$

is irreducible.

We remark that Γ is an irreducible matrix if and only if it is the (weighted) Laplacian matrix of a strongly connected digraph [2].

Note that the Hindmarsh-Rose model is written in the form (1.1) and satisfies the assumptions on the system matrices and the coupling functions.

2 Ultimately bounded solutions

Definition 1. The system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = g(x, u) \\ y = h(x) \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

with state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, inputs and outputs $u, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $1 \leq m \leq n$, sufficiently smooth functions $g : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n, h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, is called strictly semipassive with storage function $S : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ if there exist strictly increasing functions $s_0, s_1, s_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+, s_j(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{r\to\infty} s(r) = \infty, j = 0, 1, 2$, such that

$$s_0(||x||) \le S(x) \le s_1(||x||)$$

and

$$\dot{S}_{(2.1)}(x) \le y^{\top}u - H(x)$$

where $H : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies

$$H(x) \ge s_2(\|x\|) - M$$

for some constant M > 0.

The Hindmarsh-Rose model of the dynamics of the membrane potential of a neuron is a strictly semi-passive system [7].

Lemma 1. Suppose that each system (1.1) is strictly semi-passive with storage function $S : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$, then the solutions of the coupled systems (1.1), (1.2) are uniformly ultimately bounded.

Proof. We let

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $u = -(\Gamma \otimes I_m)y$ with I_m the $m \times m$ identity matrix. For any irreducible Γ there is a vector ν with strictly positive entries ν_i , $i = 1, \ldots, k$, such that $\nu^{\top}\Gamma = 0$. (This follows immediately from the Perron-Frobenius theorem, cf. [2].) Consider the function

$$V(x) = \nu_1 S(x_1) + \ldots + \nu_k S(x_k).$$

Then the strict semi-passivity property of the systems implies that

$$\dot{S}_{(1,1)}(x_i) = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i}\right)^\top (f_i(x_i) + B_i u_i) \le y_i^\top u_i - H_i(x_i)$$

such that

$$\dot{V}(x) \leq -\nu_1 H_1(x_1) - \ldots - \nu_k H_k(x_k) - y^\top (\operatorname{diag}(\nu) \otimes I_m) u$$

= $-\nu_1 H_1(x_1) - \ldots - \nu_k H_k(x_k) - \sigma y^\top (\operatorname{diag}(\nu) \Gamma \otimes I_m) y,$

where $diag(\nu)$ is a diagonal matrix with the entries of ν on its diagonal. It is not difficult to show that

$$\operatorname{diag}(\nu)\Gamma + \Gamma^{\top}\operatorname{diag}(\nu)$$

is positive semi-definite, hence

$$\dot{V}(x) \le -\nu_1 H_1(x_1) - \ldots - \nu_k H_k(x_k).$$

The properties of H_i imply that

$$\dot{V}(x) \le s_3(\|x\|)$$

for ||x|| > R for some R > 0 and $s_3 \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$. An application of Theorem 4.1.16 of [1] proves the lemma.

For a constant c > 0 we let

$$\Omega_c := \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n | S(w) < c \}$$

Lemma 2. Suppose that each system (1.1) is strictly semi-passive with storage function $S : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$. Let constant c_0 be such that for all i = 1, 2, ..., k

$$H_i(x_i) > 0$$

on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega_{c_0}$. Then the solutions of the coupled systems (1.1), (1.2) converge to the compact set

$$\Omega_{c_0}^k := \underbrace{\Omega_{c_0} \times \cdots \times \Omega_{c_0}}_{k \text{ times}}.$$

Proof. Let

$$c_1 := \sup_{w \in \Omega_{c_0}} S(w)$$

and

$$\tilde{S}(x_i) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_i \in \Omega_{c_0}, \\ S(x_i) - c_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider

$$\tilde{V}(x) = \nu_1 \tilde{S}(x_1) + \ldots + \nu_k \tilde{S}(x_k)$$

It follows from the arguments of the proof of Lemma 1 that there exists a continuous function $W : \mathbb{R}^{kn} \to [0, \infty)$ that is positive definite with respect to the set¹ $\Omega_{c_0}^k$ such that

$$\dot{\tilde{S}}(x) \le -W(x).$$

An application of Theorem 4.1.18 of [1] proves the lemma.

 $^{^{1}}$ We refer to [1] for a definition of a function to be positive definite with respect to a set.

3 Practical network synchronization

Let, for some constant $\epsilon > 0$,

 $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon} = \{ x = \operatorname{col}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{kn} | \| x_i - x_j \| \le \epsilon \text{ for all } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k \}.$

The set \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} is the practical synchronization manifold. The set \mathcal{M}_0 is the synchronization manifold; For any solution of (1.1), (1.2) on \mathcal{M}_0 the corresponding solutions of the individual systems are indistinguishable.

Definition 2. Let $\phi(\cdot; t_0, x_0)$ denote the unique solution of (1.1), (1.2) through $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{kn}$ at $t = t_0$ defined on the interval $[t_0, t_1), t_1 > t_0$. The coupled systems (1.1), (1.2) practically synchronize with bound ϵ if for each $\varepsilon > \epsilon$ there is a $T = T(\varepsilon), T < t_1 - t_0$, such that

$$\phi(t; t_0, x_0) \in \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon} \quad \forall t \ge t_0 + T$$

Since each $C_i B_i$ is similar to a positive definite matrix, there is a linear, invertible change of variables

$$x_i \mapsto (z_i, y_i)$$

with $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ and $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$. See [6] for the details. In new coordinates the systems' dynamics read

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_i = q_i(z_i, y_i) \\ \dot{y}_i = a_i(z_i, y_i) + C_i B_i u_i \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

with sufficiently smooth functions $q_i : \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ and $a_i : \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$. Since $f_i(x_i) = f(x_i) + \Delta f_i(x_i)$ with f and Δf_i sufficiently smooth, $q_i(z_i, y_i) = q(z_i, y_i) + \Delta q_i(z_i, y_i)$ and $a_i(z_i, y_i) = a(z_i, y_i) + \Delta a_i(z_i, y_i)$ with $q, \Delta q_i, a, \Delta a_i$ sufficiently smooth. Without loss of generality we assume that $C_i B_i$ is a diagonal matrix, hence a diagonal matrix with strictly positive entries. In addition we assume that:

Assumption 1. There are sets $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$z_i(t) \in \mathcal{Z}, \quad y_i(t) \in \mathcal{Y}, \quad \forall t \ge t_0 \quad \forall i.$$

It follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 that this assumption is satisfied, possibly after re-defining t_0 , if the systems are strictly semi-passive with a common storage function. In addition we assume that:

Assumption 2. There exist a positive definite matrix $P \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-m) \times (n-m)}$ such that the symmetric matrix

$$Q(z_i, y_i) := \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial z_i}(z_i, y_i)\right)^\top P + P\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial z_i}(z_i, y_i)\right)$$

is uniformly negative definite on $\mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times \mathcal{Y}^m$, i.e. for any $(z_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times \mathcal{Y}^m$ the eigenvalues of $Q(z_i, y_i)$ are negative and separated away from zero.

It can easily be shown, cf. [5], that this assumption implies the existence of a positive constant α such that

$$(z_i - z_j)^\top P[q(z_i, y_i) - q(z_j, y_i)] \le -\alpha \|z_i - z_j\|^2 \quad \forall z_i, z_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \quad \forall y_i \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Assumption 2 holds for the Hindmarsh-Rose neuron with P the identity matrix [7]. Thus both assumptions hold for Hindmarsh-Rose neurons.

Theorem 1. Consider the network of diffusively coupled systems (3.1), (1.2) and suppose that assumptions 1 and 2 hold. There exists a constant $\bar{\sigma} > 0$ such that for any $\sigma \geq \bar{\sigma}$ the network of diffusively coupled systems (3.1), (1.2) practically synchronizes with bound ϵ .

Proof. Let

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \operatorname{diag}(C_1 B_1, \dots, C_k B_k)(\Gamma \otimes I_m)$$

and note that $\bar{\Gamma}$

- is irreducible since Γ is irreducible and each $C_i B_i$ diagonal;
- has m zero eigenvalues since Γ has a simple zero eigenvalue, hence $\Gamma \otimes I_m$ has m zero eigenvalues;
- has all non-zero eigenvalues in \mathbb{C}_+ , the open right half-plane of the complex plane.

The second property of $\overline{\Gamma}$ follows from the fact that Γ is the Laplacian matrix of a strongly connected weighted digraph and the multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue equals the number of strongly connected components. The third property of $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a direct consequence of Gerschgorin's Disc theorem, cf. [2].

Let

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}^{\top} \\ \mathbf{1} & -I_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ (1) is a vector of appropriate dimension with all entries equal 0 (1). Then

$$(U \otimes I_m) \overline{\Gamma} (U \otimes I_m)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \star \\ \mathbf{0} & \widetilde{\Gamma} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\tilde{\Gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^{(k-1)m \times (k-1)m}$ and \star denotes some $m \times (k-1)m$ matrix. It is straightforward that the eigenvalues of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are the non-zero eigenvalues of $\bar{\Gamma}$. Let

$$\tilde{z}_j = z_1 - z_j, \quad \tilde{y}_j = y_1 - y_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, k.$$

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\tilde{z}}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\tilde{z}}_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q(z_1, y_1) - q(z_1 - \tilde{z}_1, y_1 - \tilde{y}_1) \\ \vdots \\ q(z_1, y_1) - q(z_1 - \tilde{z}_{k-1}, y_1 - \tilde{y}_{k-1}) \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{q}(z_1, y_1 \tilde{z}, \tilde{y})$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\tilde{y}}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\tilde{y}}_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(z_1, y_1) - a(z_1 - \tilde{z}_1, y_1 - \tilde{y}_1) \\ \vdots \\ a(z_1, y_1) - a(z_1 - \tilde{z}_{k-1}, y_1 - \tilde{y}_{k-1}) \end{pmatrix} - \tilde{\Gamma} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{y}_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{a}(z_1, y_1 \tilde{z}, \tilde{y})$$

with $\tilde{z} = \operatorname{col}(\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_{k-1}), \, \tilde{y} = \operatorname{col}(\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_{k-1}),$

$$\tilde{q}(z_1, y_1 \tilde{z}, \tilde{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta q_1(z_1, y_1) - \Delta q_2(z_1 - \tilde{z}_1, y_1 - \tilde{y}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \Delta q_1(z_1, y_1) - \Delta q_k(z_1 - \tilde{z}_{k-1}, y_1 - \tilde{y}_{k-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{a}(z_1, y_1 \tilde{z}, \tilde{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta a_1(z_1, y_1) - \Delta a_2(z_1 - \tilde{z}_1, y_1 - \tilde{y}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \Delta a_1(z_1, y_1) - \Delta a_k(z_1 - \tilde{z}_{k-1}, y_1 - \tilde{y}_{k-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider the positive definite function

$$V(\tilde{z},\tilde{y}) = \tilde{z}^{\top} (I_k \otimes P) \tilde{z} + \tilde{y}^{\top} P_1 \tilde{y}$$

with P as in Assumption 1 and positive definite matrix P_1 is such that $||P_1|| = 1^2$ and

$$-\tilde{\Gamma}P_1 - P_1\tilde{\Gamma}^\top \le -\eta I$$

for some positive constant η . The existence of such matrix P_1 is guaranteed by the fact the $-\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a stable (Hurwitz) matrix.

Assumption 1 and smoothness of the functions q_i, a_i implies the existence of positive constants B_q and B_a such that

$$\|(I_k \otimes P)\tilde{q}(z_1, y_1\tilde{z}, \tilde{y})\| \le B_q, \quad \|P\tilde{a}(z_1, y_1\tilde{z}, \tilde{y})\| \le B_a.$$

Assumptions 1, 2 and smoothness of the function q implies the existence of positive constants κ_0,κ_1 such that

$$\tilde{z}^{\top}(I_k \otimes P) \begin{pmatrix} q(z_1, y_1) - q(z_1 - \tilde{z}_1, y_1 - \tilde{y}_1) \\ \vdots \\ q(z_1, y_1) - q(z_1 - \tilde{z}_{k-1}, y_1 - \tilde{y}_{k-1}) \end{pmatrix} \leq -\kappa_0 \|\tilde{z}\|^2 + \kappa_1 \|\tilde{z}\| \|\tilde{y}\|.$$

Assumption 1 and smoothness of the function a implies the existence of positive constants κ_2, κ_3 such that

$$\tilde{y}^{\top} P_1 \begin{pmatrix} a(z_1, y_1) - a(z_1 - \tilde{z}_1, y_1 - \tilde{y}_1) \\ \vdots \\ a(z_1, y_1) - a(z_1 - \tilde{z}_{k-1}, y_1 - \tilde{y}_{k-1}) \end{pmatrix} \leq \kappa_2 \|\tilde{z}\| \|\tilde{y}\| + \kappa_3 \|\tilde{y}\|^2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(\tilde{z},\tilde{y}) &\leq -\kappa_0 \|\tilde{z}\|^2 + \kappa_1 \|\tilde{z}\| \|\tilde{y}\| + \kappa_2 \|\tilde{z}\| \|\tilde{y}\| \\ &+ (\kappa_3 - \sigma\eta) \|\tilde{y}\|^2 + B_q \|\tilde{z}\| + B_a \|\tilde{y}\| \\ &= - \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{z} \\ \tilde{y} \end{pmatrix}^\top W \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{z} \\ \tilde{y} \end{pmatrix} + B_q \|\tilde{z}\| + B_a \|\tilde{y}\| \end{split}$$

with

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_0 & -\frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} \\ -\frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} & \sigma\eta - \kappa_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that W is positive definite for

$$\sigma > \bar{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\kappa_3 + \frac{(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)^2}{4\kappa_0} \right).$$

²Given a matrix A, $||A|| = \max_{||x||=1} ||Ax||$.

Thus there is a positive constant $\mu = \mu(\sigma) = \lambda_{\min}(W)$ such that for $\sigma > \bar{\sigma}$

$$\dot{V}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{y}) \le -\mu(\|\tilde{z}\|^2 + \|\tilde{y}\|^2) + B_q \|\tilde{z}\| + B_a \|\tilde{y}\|$$

such that

$$\dot{V}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{y}) \le -\mu(1-\rho)(\|\tilde{z}\|^2 + \|\tilde{y}\|^2), \quad \rho \in (0, 1),$$

if

$$\|\tilde{z}\| = \frac{B_q}{\rho\mu}$$
 and $\|\tilde{y}\| = \frac{B_a}{\rho\mu}$

Standard techniques, cf. Lemma 9.2 of [3], imply that for any $\sigma > \bar{\sigma}$ the systems practically synchronize with bound

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\max}(\operatorname{diag}(I_k \otimes P), P_1)}{\lambda_{\min}(\operatorname{diag}(I_k \otimes P), P_1)}} \frac{B_q^2 + B_a^2}{\rho^2 \mu^2},$$

where $\lambda_{\min}(\cdot)$ and $\lambda_{\max}(\cdot)$ are the smallest, respectively, largest eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix.

4 Practical output-synchronization of two coupled systems

Consider two systems (1.1) that interact via coupling

$$u_1 = \sigma(1-\eta)[y_2 - y_1] u_2 = \sigma\eta[y_1 - y_2]$$
(4.1)

with constant $\eta \in (0, 1)$.

Definition 3. Let $y_i(\cdot; t_0, x_0)$, i = 1, 2, denote the unique output solution of (1.1), (4.1) through $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ at $t = t_0$ defined on the interval $[t_0, t_1)$, $t_1 > t_0$. The coupled systems (1.1), (4.1) practically output-synchronize with bound ϵ_y if for each $\varepsilon_y > \epsilon_y$ there is a $T = T(\varepsilon_y)$, $T < t_1 - t_0$, such that

$$|y_1(t;t_0,x_0) - y_2(t;t_0,x_0)| < \varepsilon_y \quad \forall t \ge t_0 + T$$

Of course, whenever the conditions of Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 are satisfied the two coupled systems (1.1), (4.1) practically output-synchronize with some bound ϵ_y , provided that $\sigma > \bar{\sigma}$.

We assume for the systems (1.1)

$$C_1 B_1 = C_2 B_2$$

and let

$$\tilde{z} = z_1 - z_2,$$

$$\tilde{y} = y_1 - y_2.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{split} \dot{\tilde{z}} &= q_1(z_1, y_i) - q_2(z_2, y_2), \\ \dot{\tilde{y}} &= a_1(z_1, y_i) - a_2(z_2, y_2) - \sigma \tilde{y}. \end{split}$$

We observe that these dynamics, which describe the synchronization error, do not depend on the weight parameter η . Consider

$$V(\tilde{y}) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{y}^2.$$

Using similar reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1, we deduct that

$$\dot{V}(\tilde{y}) \le c_1 + c_2 \tilde{y} - \sigma \tilde{y}^2$$

where constants c_1 and c_2 depend on the functions $q_1(z_1, y_i) - q_2(z_2, y_2)$ and $a_1(z_1, y_i) - a_2(z_2, y_2)$ given the bounds of the solutions $y_i(\cdot)$ and $z_i(\cdot)$. A direct application of LaSalle's invariance principle, cf. [4], shows that we can find a $\bar{\sigma} > 0$ such that if $\sigma > \bar{\sigma}$ then $\dot{V}(\tilde{y}) < 0$ for all $\|\tilde{y}\| > \epsilon_y = \epsilon_y(\sigma)$ and, moreover, the larger σ the smaller ϵ_y with

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \epsilon_y(\sigma) = 0.$$

References

- T. A. Burton. Stability and Periodic Solutions of Ordinary and Functional Differential Equations. Academic Press, New York and London, 1985.
- [2] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [3] H. K. Khalil. Nonlinear systems. Prentice-Hall, 3 edition, 2002.
- [4] J.P. LaSalle. The Stability of Dynamical Systems. SIAM, 1976.
- [5] A. Pavlov, N. van de Wouw, and H. Nijmeijer. Uniform Output Regulation of Nonlinear Systems: a Convergent Dynamics Approach. Birkhäuser, 2006.
- [6] A. Pogromsky, T. Glad, and H. Nijmeijer. On diffusion driven oscillations in coupled dynamical systems. Int. J. Bif. Chaos, 9(4):629–644, 1999.
- [7] E. Steur, I. Tyukin, and H. Nijmeijer. Semi-passivity and synchronization of diffusively coupled neuronal oscillators. *Physica D*, 238(21):2119–2128, 2009.