

# On a pairing heuristic in binpacking

# Citation for published version (APA):

Frenk, J. B. G. (1986). On a pairing heuristic in binpacking. (Memorandum COSOR; Vol. 8613). Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

Document status and date: Published: 01/01/1986

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

## Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

1

.

.

.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computing Science

Memorandum COSOR 86-13

21

On a pairing heuristic in binpacking

by

J.B.G. Frenk

Eindhoven, the Netherlands October 1986

# ON A PAIRING HEURISTIC IN BINPACKING

## ABSTRACT

For the analysis of a pairing heuristic in binpacking an important result is used without proof in [1] and [2].

In this note we discuss this result and give a detailed proof of it.

#### Introduction

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be given and suppose  $(\underline{X}_1, \dots, \underline{X}_n)$  is a *n*-dimensional stochastic vector with joint density  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ Moreover assume

woreover assume

- (i)  $0 \leq \underline{X}_i \leq 1$   $i = 1, \cdots, n$
- (ii) The stochastic vector  $(\underline{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \underline{X}_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, \underline{X}_{\sigma(n)})$  is distributed as  $(\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \dots, \underline{X}_n)$  for every permutation  $\sigma$  on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .
- (iii)  $f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = f(1 x_1, \cdots, x_n)$

# <u>Remark</u>

Condition (ii) states that we are dealing with a finite sequence of so-called exchangeable random variables (cf. [3]), while condition (iii) is a symmetry condition.

Note that by (ii) the symmetry in (iii) holds in every component.

Before stating the main result introduce the following notations

$$l_A := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the event } A \text{ happens} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{Y}_i := (1 - \underline{X}_i) \mathbf{1}_{\{\underline{X}_i > \frac{1}{2}\}} + \underline{X}_i \mathbf{1}_{\{\underline{X}_i \le \frac{1}{2}\}} \quad i = 1, \cdots, n$$

$$(\underline{i}) := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \underline{X}_i > \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \text{if } \underline{X}_i \le \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \cdots, n$$

If we order the random variables  $\underline{Y}_i$  in non-decreasing order, say  $\underline{Y}_{i_1} \leq \underline{Y}_{i_2} \leq \cdots \leq \underline{Y}_{i_n}$ , we denote by  $(\underline{i_k})$  the label of the k-order statistic of the sequence  $\{\underline{Y}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Now the main result reads as follows.

# Theorem 1

×

Suppose the random variables  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  satisfy the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii).

Then the following results hold

a)  $(\underline{Y}_i, i \in A)$  and  $((\underline{i}), i \in A)$  are independent for every subset  $A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ 

b) 
$$\mathbb{I} \left\{ (\underline{i_k}) = \pi(i_k), k \in A \right\} = \prod_{k \in A} \mathbb{I} \left\{ (\underline{i_k}) = \pi(i_k) \right\}$$

$$= 2^{-1A}$$

for every subset  $A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and

for every function  $\pi$ :  $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ .

<u>Proof</u> For every sequence  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$  with  $y_i \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\sigma$  some permutation on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  we obtain

$$IP \{ \underline{Y}_{\sigma(i)} \leq y_{\sigma(i)}, (\underline{\sigma(i)}) = \pi(\sigma(i)), i=1, \cdots, k \} =$$
$$= IP \{ 1 - \underline{X}_{\sigma(i)} \leq y_{\sigma(i)} \ (i \in C) \land \underline{X}_{\sigma(i)} \leq y_{\sigma(i)} \ (i \in \{1, \cdots, k\} - C) \}$$

where  $1 \le k \le n$  and  $C := \{j: 1 \le j \le k \& \pi(\sigma(j)) = 1\}$ By (ii) and (iii) it follows easily

$$I\!\!P\left\{\underline{Y}_{\sigma(i)} \leq y_{\sigma(i)}, (\underline{\sigma(i)}) = \pi(\sigma(i)) \ i = 1, \cdots, k\right\} =$$

(1) 
$$I\!P\left\{\underline{X}_{\sigma(i)} \leq y_{\sigma(i)}; i = 1, \cdots, k\right\} = I\!P\left\{\underline{X}_i \leq y_{\sigma(i)}; i = 1, \cdots, k\right\}$$

and this implies

$$IP\left\{\underline{Y}_{\sigma(i)} \leq y_{\sigma(i)}; i = 1, \cdots, k\right\} =$$

$$= \sum_{\tau \in D} IP\left\{\underline{Y}_{\sigma(i)} \leq y_{\sigma(i)}, (\underline{\sigma(i)}) = \tau(\sigma(i)); i = 1, \cdots, k\right\} =$$

$$(2) \qquad = \sum_{\tau \in D} IP\left\{\underline{X}_{i} \leq y_{\sigma(i)}; i = 1, \cdots, k\right\} = 2^{k} IP\left\{\underline{X}_{i} \leq y_{\sigma(i)}; i = 1, \cdots, k\right\} =$$

where D is the set of functions  $\tau: \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$  which are different on  $\{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)\}$ . Moreover by (1)

 $\cdot \cdot , k$ 

$$IP\left\{(\underline{\sigma(i)}) = \pi(\sigma(i)); i = 1, \cdots, k\right\} =$$
$$= IP\left\{\underline{Y}_{\sigma(i)} \le \frac{1}{2}, (\underline{\sigma(i)}) = \pi(\sigma(i)), i = 1, \cdots, k\right\} =$$
$$(3) = IP\left\{\underline{X}_i \le \frac{1}{2}; i = 1, \cdots, k\right\}.$$

Since the density  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is symmetric it is easy to prove that for every  $1 \le l \le n-1$ 

$$\mathbb{IP}\left\{\underline{X}_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \cdots, \underline{X}_{l} \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} = 2\mathbb{IP}\left\{\underline{X}_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \cdots, \underline{X}_{l+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\}$$

and this implies using  $I\!\!P\left\{\underline{X}_1 \le \frac{1}{2}\right\} = \frac{1}{2}$  that

(4) 
$$\mathbb{I}^{p}\left\{\underline{X}_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \cdots, \underline{X}_{l} \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} = 2^{-l}$$

Now by the relations (1), (2), (3) and (4)

$$I\!P\left\{\underline{Y}_{\sigma(i)} \leq y_{\sigma(i)}, (\sigma(i)) = \pi(\sigma(i)); i=1, \cdots, k\right\} =$$

$$IP \{ \underline{X}_i \leq y_{\sigma(i)}; i = 1, \cdots, k \} =$$

$$2^{-k} \cdot 2^k IP \{ \underline{X}_i \leq y_{\sigma(i)}; i = 1, \cdots, k \} =$$

$$IP \{ (\underline{\sigma(i)}) = \pi(\sigma(i)); i = 1, \cdots, k \} \cdot IP \{ \underline{Y}_{\sigma(i)} \leq y_{\sigma(i)}; i = 1, \cdots, k \}$$

and so we have proved the result in (a)

In order to prove the result in b) we note that for every subset  $A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and every function  $\pi: \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ 

$$IP \{ (\underline{i_k}) = \pi(i_k); k \in A \} =$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma} IP \{ \underline{Y}_{\sigma(i)} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \underline{Y}_{\sigma(1)} \leq \underline{Y}_{\sigma(2)} \leq \cdots \leq \underline{Y}_{\sigma(n)}, (\underline{\sigma(k)}) = \pi(\sigma(k)); k \in A \}$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma} IP \{ \underline{Y}_{\sigma(i)} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \underline{Y}_{\sigma(1)} \leq \cdots \leq \underline{Y}_{\sigma(n)} \} IP \{ (\underline{\sigma(k)}) = \pi(\sigma(k)); k \in A \}$$

where we have used (a) to obtain the last equality. Hence

$$I\!P\left\{(\underline{i_k})=\pi(i_k); k \in A\right\} =$$

$$= 2^{-|A|} \sum_{\sigma} \mathbb{I}^{p} \{ \underline{Y}_{\sigma(1)} \leq \cdots \leq \underline{Y}_{\sigma(n)}, \underline{Y}_{\sigma(i)} \leq \frac{1}{2} ; i = 1, \cdots, n \}$$
$$= 2^{-|A|} = \prod_{k \in A} \mathbb{I}^{p} \{ (\underline{i_{k}}) = \pi(i_{k}) \}$$

# References

٠

[1] Csirik, J., Frenk, J.B.G., Galambos, G., Rinnooy Kan, A.H.G., A probabilistic analysis of the dual bin packing problem, to appear.

۵

- [2] Karp, R.M., Lecture Notes (unpublished), Computer Science Department, University of California, Berkeley, 1984.
- [3] Feller, W., An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, vol II, Wiley, 1971.

+

-