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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $U: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a measurable function such that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} U(t x) / U(x)=t^{\alpha} \quad \text { for every } t>0
$$

Then $U$ Is called regularly varying with index $\alpha\left(U \in R_{\alpha}\right)$. If $\alpha=0$ we say that $U$ is slowly varying, while if $\alpha=\infty U$ is called rapidly varying. An important class of rapidly varying functions is the so-called class 5 , introduced by de Haan (1970):
let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a measurable function, then $f \in \Gamma$ iff there exists a measurable function $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that
(1.1) $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x+u \phi(x)) / f(x)=\exp (u)$
locally unformly (l.u.) In $u \in \mathbb{R}$.
If (1.1) holds, we call $\phi$ an auxiliary function of $f$ (notation $f \in \Gamma(\phi)$ ) and it is known that in this case $\phi$ is self-neglecting (see de Haan (1970)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x+u \phi(x)) / \phi(x)=1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. . . In $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

At this point, notice that our definition of $\Gamma$ is somewhat more general than the one given by de Haan (1970) as he restricts the class $\Gamma$ to monotone functions which satisfy (1.1) poIntwise in ufR.
By far the most important probabilistic application of $\Gamma$ is the characterization of the domain of attraction of the double exponential law in the maximumscheme: let $X_{1: n} \leq x_{2: n} \leq . . \leq x_{n: n}$ denote the order statistics of a sample of size $n$ from a distribution function (df) $F$. We denote $F=1-F$. Then one can find rormalizing constants $a_{n}>0$ and $b_{n}$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(X_{n: n}-b_{n} \leq a_{n} x\right) \rightarrow \exp (-\exp (-x))=: \wedge(x), \quad n \rightarrow \infty \\
\text { lff } \\
1 / F \in \Gamma
\end{gathered}
$$

Another characterizing property of $\Gamma$ concerns the Hill estimator (Hill(1975), Belrlant and Teugels(1987)): If
$F \in C:=[F \mid F(0)=0, F$ continuous and eventually strictly increasing), then Hill's estimate

$$
H_{m, n}:=m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log x_{n-1+1!n}-\log x_{n-m: n}
$$

Is attracted as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to the gamma law of $m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} E_{l}\left(E_{1}, i=1, \ldots, m, 1 i d\right.$ exponential random variables with mean onel iff $1 / F 0 \exp \in \Gamma$.
Both examples suggest that that we can obtain second order theorems if we could specify (1.1) up to a remainder term. We therefore consider the following asymptotic relations:
let $r$ be a measurable function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $r(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then
$\left(\Gamma R_{1}\right) \quad f(x+u \phi(x)) / f(x)=e^{u}(f+O(r(x)) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) \quad$ 1.u. in $u \in \mathbb{R}$
$\left(\Gamma R_{2}\right) \quad f(x+u \phi(x)\} / f(x) \sim e^{u}(1+m(u) r(x)) \quad(x+\infty) \quad$ l.u. in $u \in \mathbb{R}$
$\left(\Gamma R_{3}\right) \quad f(x+u \phi(x)) / f(x)=e^{u}(1+0(r(x)) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) \quad$ 1.u. In $\cup \in \mathbb{R}$.
If $f$ satisfies one of the relations $\left(\Gamma R_{1}\right)(t=1,2,3)$, with auxiliary
functions $\phi$ and $r$, we denote it as $f \in \Gamma R_{1}(\phi, r)$.
It is well-known that $\Gamma$ is strongly connected with the class $\Pi$ of slowly varying functions (de Haan (1970)): If $f$ is non-decreasing, $f \in \Gamma(\phi)$ Iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(f^{\prime}(x t)-f^{\prime}(x)\right) / \phi\left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)=\log (t) \quad \text { for every } t>0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{\prime}$ is the inverse of $f$. We denote (1.3) as $f^{\prime} \in \Pi\left(\phi\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right.$ ).
Similarly as for $\Gamma$, we can define remainder verstons of $\Pi$-variation (see Omey and Willekens(1987)):
for positive measurable functions $a$ and $b$, consider
$\left(\operatorname{IIR}_{1}\right) \quad f(x t)-f(x)-a(x) \log (t)=O(b(x)) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
$\left(\operatorname{IIR}_{2}\right) \quad f(x t)-f(x)-a(x) \log (t) \sim h(u) b(x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
$\left(\operatorname{IR}_{3}\right) \quad f(x t)-f(x)-a(x) \log (t)=o(b(x)) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$.
Similarly as above, we use the notation $f \in \Pi R_{1}(a, b), 1=1,2,3$.
As one might expect and as was shown by de Haan and Dekkers (1987), the stated relationship between $\Gamma$ and $\Pi$ (see (1.3)) maintains (under appropriate conditions) for the remalnder versions, 1.e.

$$
f \in \Gamma R_{1}(\phi, r) \text { iff } f^{l} \in \Pi R_{1}\left(\phi\left(f^{i}\right), \phi(f) r\left(f^{i}\right)\right), \quad 1=1,2,3
$$

In the next section we define a transform whith also relates the classes $\Gamma R_{1}$ and $\Pi R_{1}$, but which is also valid for non-monotone functions. The analytic results of section 2 are then applied in section 3 to establish rates of convergence in the previously mentioned examples.
Before starting with section 2, we notice that $\Pi \mathbb{R}_{\text {, }}$ is closely related to the concept of slow varlation with remainder (SR) as defined in Coldte and Sinith (1987). Indeed, If $b(x) \rightarrow \infty(x \rightarrow \infty)$, we have for any function $f$ that $f \in \cap R_{1}(0, b)$ Iff $\operatorname{expf} \mathrm{ESR}_{1}(b)$.

## 2. SOME ANALYTIC RESULTS

As in Coldie and Smith (1987) and Omey and Willekens (1987) it will be appropriate to impose some conditions on the remainder term $r$ in $\Gamma R_{1}$ $(i=1,2,3)$. Unless otherwise stated, we will assume that
12.1) $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} r(x+u \phi(x)) / r(x)=\exp (\gamma u)$ for every $\cup \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $\gamma \leq 0$.

Clearly the limir in (2.1) can only be of the stated form. In the proof of our theorems we will frequently use the following proposition, due to Bingham and Coldie (1983).

Proposition. Let $\phi$ be self-neglecting, $g$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g(x+u \phi(x))-g(x)) / z(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $z$ a measurabie function satisfying

$$
z(x+u \phi(x)) / z(x) \rightarrow \exp (\gamma u) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty), \gamma \leq 0, u \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Then (2.2) holds uniformly on compoct u-sets.
We now define the transform which will be considered in the forthcoming theorem: suppose $\phi$ is bounded away from zero on any finte interval, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x):=\int_{0}^{x} d t / \phi(t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Phi$ is a strictly increasing continuous function whose inverse is welldefined. Define for any $f \in \Gamma(c \Phi), c \in \mathbb{R}_{0^{\circ}}$

$$
A: f \rightarrow A_{f}:=f \circ \Phi^{l} \circ \log .
$$

It follows from de Haan (1973) that any function $f$ in $\Gamma(\phi)$ can be represented as.
(2.4) $\quad f(x)=U(\exp \Phi(x)) \quad$ with $U \in R_{j}$.

Clearly with the defintition of $A_{,}(2.4)$ implies that $A_{f}=U$ whence $\log A_{f} \in \Pi(1)$. So the operator $A$ provides an obvious relation between $\Gamma$ and $\Pi$, and it is not hard to imagine that we can expect a similar relation between $\Gamma R_{1}$ and $\Pi R_{1}$. Before stating the main theorem of this section, we first consider the function $\Phi$ somewhat closer.


$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(x+u \phi(x))-\Phi(x) & =\int_{x}^{x+u \phi(x)} d t / \phi(t) \\
& =\int_{0}^{u} \phi(x) / \phi(x+v \phi(x)) d v \\
& =u+o(1) \quad(x \rightarrow(a))
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, fixing any number $u \in \mathbb{R}$, one can find $t=t(x)$ with $t(x) \rightarrow u$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ such that (cfr. Bingham and Goldie (1983))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x+t(x) \phi(x))=\Phi(x)+u . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation is very useful and will be used throughout in the sequel of the paper. We now state our matn theorem.

Thearem 2.1. Let $\phi$ be self-neglecting and let $r$ satisfy (2.1). For any $1 \in\{1,2,3\}$ the following assertions are equivalent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \Gamma R_{1}(\phi, r) \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

(11)

$$
\log A_{f} \in \operatorname{IR}_{1}\left(1, A_{r}\right) \text { and } A_{\phi} \in S R_{1}\left(A_{r}\right)
$$

(111)

$$
f(x)=\exp \Phi(x) V(\exp \Phi(x)) \quad \text { with } A_{\phi} \in \mathrm{SR}_{1}\left(A_{r}\right) \text { and } V \in \mathrm{SR}_{1}\left(A_{r}\right) .
$$

Proof. We first prove the theorem for $1=2$.
$(i) \Rightarrow(11)$. From the defintion of $A_{f}$ we have that $f(x)=A_{f}(\exp \Phi(x))$.
Therefore,

$$
f \in \Gamma R_{2}(\phi, r)
$$

Iff

$$
A_{f}(\exp \Phi(x+u \phi(x))) / A_{f}(\exp \Phi(x))-\exp (u)=e^{u} m(u) r(x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Now with $z(x)$ defined as in (2.5), It follows from local uniformity that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{f}\left(e^{\Phi(x)+u} / A_{f}\left(e^{\Phi(x)}\right)-e^{u}-e^{u}(t(x)-u) \sim e^{u} m(u) r(x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first determine the order of $t(x)-u$. Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{u}(x):=(\log f(x+u \phi(x))-\log f(x)-u) / r(x) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{u+v}(x)= & R_{v(x)}(x+u \phi(x)) r(x+u \phi(x)) / r(x) \\
& +R_{u}(x)+v(-1+\{\phi(x) / \phi(x+u \phi(x))\} / r(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

wth $v(x)=v \phi(x) / \phi(x+u \phi(x))$.
Then by $\Gamma \mathrm{R}_{2}$ and (2.1),
(2.8) $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}(\phi(x+u \phi(x))-\phi(x)) / \phi(x) r(x)$ exists.

Denoting the limit in (2.8) as $k(u)$, it is not hard to show that

$$
k(u)=a \int_{1}^{e^{u}} \theta^{\gamma-1} d \theta .
$$

with a a real constant and $y$ determined by (2.1). Using Proposition one can show that convergence in (2.8) holds l.u. in $u \in \mathbb{R}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\Phi(x+t \Phi(x))-\Phi(x)-t) / r(x)=(r(x))_{0}^{-1} \int_{0}^{t}[(\phi(x) / \phi(x+\langle\phi \phi(x)))-1] d u \\
& \quad \rightarrow h(t):=-\int_{0}^{t} k(u) d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.u. in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

This implies that the function $t(x)$ in $(2.5)$ is of the form

$$
t(x)=u-h(u) r(x)+o(r(x)) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

Then clearly from (2.6), after a change of vartables ( $y=\exp \Phi(x), \lambda=\theta^{4}$ )

$$
\log A_{f}(y \lambda)-\log A_{f}(y)-\log \lambda \sim(m(\log \lambda)-h(\log \lambda)) A_{r}(y) \quad[y \rightarrow \infty)
$$

showing that $\log A_{f} \in \Pi R_{2}\left(1, A_{r}\right)$.
The fact that $A_{\phi} \in S R_{2}\left(A_{r}\right)$ follows immedrately from (2.8), local unifformity and the defintion of $t(x)$.
$\left(\right.$ (I) $\rightarrow$ (III). Obviously $\log A_{f} \in \mathrm{IR}_{2}\left(1, A_{r}\right)$ Iff $\left.V(x):=\operatorname{iog}\left(A_{f}(x)\right] / x\right) \in S R_{2}\left(A_{r}\right)$.
The representation theorem follows then Immediately. $(i i l) \rightarrow$ (i). Immediate.
The proof of the theorem for $1=1$ or 3 follows exactly the same lines.

Only the limit relations have to be changed in O - or 0 -versions.

## Remarks.

1. It follows from (2.5) that $r$ satisfles (2.1) iff $A_{r} \in R_{\gamma}$

Clearly for proving Theorem 2.1 if $1=1(1=3)$, the assumption on $r \ln (2.1)$ can be relaxed to $r(x+u \phi(x))=O(r(x))[(\rho(r(x)))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. This then Implies that $\mathrm{A}_{r}$ is 0 -regularly varying (see Coldte and Smith (1987)).
2. Theorem 2.1 implies that if $\gamma<0 \mathrm{in}(2.1)$, any function $f$ satisfying $\Gamma \mathrm{R}_{1}$ is essentially an exponential function. Indeed, if we consider $\Gamma \mathrm{R}_{2}$ It follows from $V E S R_{2}\left(A_{r}\right)$ and Seneta(1976) (pp. 73-74) that there exists constants $c$ and $d \neq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\exp \Phi(x))=d+c r(x)+o(r(x)) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the same reason, there exists constants $c_{0} \neq 0$ and $c_{1}$ such that

$$
c_{o} f \phi(x)=\exp \left(c_{1} r(x)+o(r(x))\right) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty),
$$

from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x)=c_{2}+x c_{0}^{-1}+c_{1} c_{0}^{-1} \int_{c_{3}}^{x} r(u)(1+o(1)) d u . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.9) and (2.10) we have from Theorem (2.1) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x)=(d+c r(x)+o(r(x))) \exp \left(c_{2}+x c_{0}^{-1}+c_{1} c_{0}^{-1} \int_{c_{3}}^{x} r(u)(1+o(f)) d u\right) \\
(x \rightarrow \infty) .
\end{gathered}
$$

3. The proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that from ${\Gamma R_{2}}$ and (2.1)

$$
m(u+v)=m(v) \exp (\gamma u)+m(u)-a v \int^{e^{u}} \theta^{\gamma-1} d \theta \quad(a \in \mathbb{R}) .
$$

Hence
(2.11) $m(u)= \begin{cases}d \gamma^{-1}(\exp (\gamma u)-1)-a \gamma^{-1} \int_{0}^{u}(\exp (\gamma v)-1) d v(d \in \mathbb{R}) & \text { If } \gamma \neq 0 \\ c u-a u^{2} / 2 & \text { if } \gamma=0 .\end{cases}$

## 3. APPLICATIONS IN EXTREME VALUE THEORY

a. Rate of convergence for maxima in domain of attraction of the double exponential distribution.
Let $X_{1: n} \leq X_{2: n} \leq \ldots \leq X_{n: n}$ be an ordered sample from a df $F$ with $1 /(-\log F) \in \Gamma(\phi)$. Take $-\log F\left(b_{n}\right) \leq n^{-1} \leq-\log F\left(b_{n}\right)$ and let $a_{n}=\phi\left(b_{n}\right)$.
Then it is well-known that (see de Haan (1970))

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(X_{n: n} \leq a_{n} u+b\right) \rightarrow A(u):=\exp \left(-e^{-u}\right), \quad u \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As was mentioned in the introduction, strenghtening the condition $1 /(-\log F) \in \Gamma(\phi)$ to $1 /(-\log F) \in \Gamma R_{1}(\phi, r)$ for some $\mathbb{E}[1,2,3)$ and $r(x) \rightarrow 0$, will allow us to to study the rate of convergence in (3.1). Indeed, it is easily seen that if $f \in \Gamma R_{1}(\phi, r)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}(u):=P\left(x_{n: n} \leq_{n} u+b_{n}\right)-\Lambda(u)=O\left(r\left(b_{n}\right)\right) \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $f \in \Gamma R_{2}(\phi, r)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}(u)=\Lambda^{\prime}(u) m(u) r\left(b_{n}\right)+o\left(r\left(b_{n}\right)\right) \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whereas (3.2) and (3.3) give pointwise rates of convergence, the main problem is to show that they hold unfformly in $u \in \mathbb{R}$.
Although many papers have been devoted to the uniform rate of convergence in (3.1), Isee e.p. Anderson (1971), Cohen (1982), Omey and Rachev (1987), Resnick (1988)), it is still an open problem to give the most general conditions that imply the right rate; nearly all of the existing results work by
specifying the Von Mises conditions.
The contrary Is true when attraction to the Frechet or Welbull law is concerned. Indeed in these cases Smith (1982) formulated best possible conditions in terms of slow variation with remalnder.
In the theorem below, we prove that ( 3.2 ) ( $(3.3)$ ) holds uniformly in $u \in \mathbb{R}$ under $\Gamma R_{1}\left(\Gamma R_{2}\right)$. Using the theory of section 2 , we show that $\Gamma R$ can be reduced to slow variation with remalnder so that we end up exactly with the same problem as was tackled by Smith (1982).
We belleve that the present way of proof is properly motlvated from the concept of $\Gamma$-varlation with remalnder and that it generalizes the approaches used in the references mentioned above.
The only minor drawback is the following assumption which will be used in the theorem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{n}\left(b_{n}-\Phi\left(b_{n}\right) \phi\left(b_{n}\right)\right)=o\left(r\left(b_{n}\right)\right) \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (3.4) tolds in most instances and may not be satisfled If $\phi$ is slowly varying with a specified remalnder term. The following lemma ensures this statement.
Lemma 3.1. If any subsequence $\left(b_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ of $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}^{\prime}-\Phi\left(b_{n}^{\prime}\right) \Phi\left(b_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi\left(b_{n}^{\prime}\right) \phi\left(b_{n}^{r}\right) / b_{n}^{r} \rightarrow 1 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)  \tag{iti}\\
& F^{n}\left(b_{n}^{\prime}-\Phi\left(b_{n}^{\prime}\right) \Phi\left(b_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right]=0\left(r\left(b_{n}^{r}\right) \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

then (3.4) holds in case F is concentrated on on interval of the form $\left[z_{1}+\infty\right)$. Proof. In case $F$ is concentrated on intervals of the specified type the only subsequences we have to consider are those for which (i) holds.
If furthermore $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi\left(b_{n}^{\prime}\right) \Phi\left(b_{n}^{\prime}\right) / b_{n}^{\prime}<1$ (3.4) follows from Davis and Resnick (1986) and the fact that $A_{r}$ is 0 -regularly varying. In case the limsup equals I (3.4) follows from the assumptions.

The theorem reads as follows.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\phi$ is self-neglecting
a. If $1 /\left(-\log _{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{F}\right) \in \Gamma \mathrm{R}_{1}(\phi, r)$ and $(3.4)$ is satisfied, and if there exist constants $x_{0}, \theta, b, c$ all positive such that
(3.5) $b x^{-\theta} \leq A_{r}(x t) / A_{r}(x) \leq c \quad$ for all $x \geq x_{0}, t \geq 1$,
then

$$
\left.\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}}\left|A_{n}(u)\right|=\operatorname{ar}\left(b_{n}\right)\right\rangle \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

b. If $1 /(-$ log $f) \in \Gamma R\left(\phi_{,} r\right)$ and (3.4) is satisfied and if $A_{r} \in R_{\gamma}, \gamma \leq 0$, then

$$
\Lambda_{n}(u)=\lambda^{\prime}(u) m(u) r\left(b_{n}\right)+o\left(r\left(b_{n}\right)\right) \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

unlformly in $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Here m(u) is given as in (2.11).
Proof. First notice that we may assume that $F$ is suported on $[2, \infty)$ for some $z \in \mathbb{R}$ Indeed, putting $Y_{l}:=\max \left(z, X_{l}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$, it is clear that for for $n$ large enough

$$
\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}}\left|P\left(Y_{n: n} \leq a_{n} u+b_{n}\right)-P\left(X_{n: n} \leq a_{n} u+b_{n}\right)\right|=P\left(X_{n: n} \leq z\right)=o\left(r\left(b_{n}\right)\right)
$$

where the last inequality follows from the definition of $b_{n}$ and (3.5). We now estimate

$$
\Delta_{n}(x):=1-\log \left(-n \log F\left(a_{n} \log x+b_{n}\right)\right)-\log x \mid \text { for somex }>0 .
$$

Denoting -logF: $=f$ and $\exp \Phi\left(b_{n}\right)=: \nu_{n}$, we have from (2.5) and the definition of $b_{n}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{n}(x)= & \left.1-\log \left(f\left(a_{n} \mid \log x+b_{n}\right)\right)+\log f\left(b_{n}\right)-\log x+\operatorname{or}\left(b_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & 1-\log A_{f}\left(x \exp \left(\Phi\left(b_{n}\right)+t \operatorname{to} n\right)+\log A_{f}\left(\exp \Phi\left(b_{n}\right)\right)-\log x\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{ol}\left(b_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \left.1-\log \left(A_{f}\left(\nu_{n} x\right) / \nu_{n} x\right)+\log \left(A_{f}\left(\nu_{n}\right) / \nu_{n}\right)+\left(t\left(b_{n}\right)-\log x\right)+\operatorname{or}\left(b_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by Theorem 2.1, $L(x):=A_{f}(x) / x \in \mathrm{SR}_{1}\left(A_{r}\right)$ with $1=1$ or 2 depending on whether $a$. or 6 . Is satisfied. Using the estimation in (3.6), we can copy the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 of Smith (1982), Implying uniform convergence in (3.2) and (3.3) over the region $u=\log x \geq-\log \nu_{n}+c$, where $c$ is some constant. Hence the proof is finished If we can show that both $\wedge\left(-\log _{n}\right)$ and $F^{n}\left(-a \log \nu_{n}+b_{n}\right)$ are o(r $\left.\left(b_{n}\right)\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Under the conditions of the theorem, $A_{r}$ is $O$-regularly varying such that $\Lambda\left(-\log \nu_{n}\right)=\exp \left(-\nu_{n}\right)=o\left(A_{r}\left(\nu_{n}\right)\right)=o\left(r\left(b_{n}\right)\right)$ $(n \rightarrow \infty)$. As to $\mathrm{F}^{n}\left(-a_{n} \log \nu_{n}+b_{n}\right)$, notice that $-a_{n} \log \nu_{n}+b_{n}=b_{n}-\Phi\left(b_{n}\right) \phi\left(b_{n}\right)$. Lemma 3.1 applies now.
b. Rate of convergence of Hill's estimate.

Beirlant and Teugels (1987) showed that If $1 /(1$-Floexp belongs to $\Gamma$, and $F$ is oontinuous and strictly increasing in a nelgborfood of $\infty_{3}$ Hill's estimate $H_{m, n}$ given in (1.2) is attracted as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to the distribution of $m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} E_{l}=: E_{m}, E_{l}$ being ild exponential $r v$ 's with mean one.
Let now $\phi$ be the auxiliary function corresponding to $1 /$ Foexp,
$l(u)=\phi\left(\log F^{i}\left(f-u^{-1}\right)\right), u \in(0,1) ; q_{n}=m / n$, and $p_{n}^{2}=m(n-m-1) /(n-1)^{3}$.
Then it was also shown that $n \rightarrow \infty, m_{n} \rightarrow \infty, m_{n}=0(n)$, and
(3.7a) $\left(m_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(-1+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(q_{n}+p_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(1-F\left(e^{u l(n / m)} F^{l}\left(1-q_{n}-p_{n} z\right)\right)\right) d u\right) \rightarrow 0$ 1.u. $\ln z>0$
ental that

$$
\left(m_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(H_{m, n} / l(n / m)-1\right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)
$$

If we assume that $1 / F \operatorname{oxp} \in \Gamma R_{1}(\phi, r)$ then it is clear that condition (3.7a) can be replaced by the more attractive condition
(3.76) $\left(m_{n}\right)^{1 / 2} r\left(\operatorname{logF}^{1}\left(1-q_{n}-p_{n} z\right) \rightarrow 0\right.$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, m_{n} \rightarrow \infty, m_{n}=0(n)$
1.u. in $z>0$.

With the help of the Berry-Esseen theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{x}\left|P\left(\left(m_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(H_{m_{n} n} n l(n / m)-1\right) \leq x\right)-\Phi(x)\right| \\
& \leq \tau_{n}+C m^{-1 / 2} \\
& \text { where } \tau_{n}=\sup _{x} \mid P\left(\left(m_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(H_{m_{n} n} n(n / m)-1\right) \leq x\right) \\
& \\
& \quad-P\left(\left(m_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(E_{m}-n\right) \leq x\right) \mid .
\end{aligned}
$$

To bound $\tau_{n}$ we apply the well-known smoothing inequality:

$$
\tau_{n} \leq \pi^{-1} \int_{-T}^{T} t^{-1}\left|\psi_{m, n}(t)-k_{m}(t)\right| d t+K T^{-1},
$$

where $\psi_{m, n}$, resp. $k_{m}$, denote the characteristlc functions of the standardized versions of $H_{m, n}$ resp. $E_{m}$, given in Betrlant and Teugels (1987). We get by choosing $T=m^{1 / 2}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{n} \leq K^{-1 / 2} \\
& \left.+A(n, m) \pi^{-1}(m)\right)^{-1} \int_{-m_{n}^{1 / 2}}^{m_{n}^{1 / 2}} t^{-1} d t \int_{0}^{n}(1-v / n)^{n-m-1} v m \\
& 1 K_{n}^{m}\left(w, m^{\left.\frac{1}{2} / t i n / m\right)}\right) \\
& -\left(1+1 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i w-\left(w m^{\frac{1}{2}} / t\right)} d w\right)^{m} d v
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A(n, m)=O(f)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, m=o(n)$ and

$$
K_{n}(v, u)=1+l \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i w}\left(F\left(e^{w / u} F^{l}(1-v / n)\right)\right) /\left(F\left(F^{l}(1-v / n)\right)\right) d w .
$$

First

$$
\max \left[\left|K_{n}\left[v_{v} u / l(n / m)\right)\right|, \mid\left(1+1 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\left.\left.\mid w-w / u_{d} d w\right) \mid\right\} \leq 1}\right.\right.
$$

and If $1 /$ Foexp satisfles $\left(\Gamma R_{1}\right)$ we get as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\mid K_{n}(v, u f l(n / m))-\left(1+i \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i w-w / u_{d w} \mid \leq e^{-w / u} O\left(r\left(\log F^{\prime}(1-w / n)\right)\right)}\right.
$$

so that by substituting $t / m^{1 / 2}$ by $a$ we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{n} \leq K m^{-1 / 2}+L m \int_{-1}^{1} d u \int_{0}^{n}(m)^{-1}(1-v / n)^{n-m-1} v^{m} \\
& \times 1 O\left(r\left(\log F^{\prime}(1-v / n)\right)\right) \mid d v
\end{aligned}
$$

for a certain constant $L$.
So we have derived the following theorem.
Thearem 3.2. Suppose $F$ is continuous and strictly increasing in a neighborhood of $\infty$. Moreover assume that $1 / F$ vexp $\in \Gamma R_{1}\left(\phi_{s} r\right)$ and that (3.16) holds.
Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|P\left(m^{1 / 2}\left(H_{m, n} f(n / m)-1\right) \leq x\right)-\Phi(x)\right| \\
& \leq C\left[m^{-1 / 2}+m E\left(\psi_{\left.\left.\left.m, n, F^{\left(m n^{-1}\right.} E_{m+1}\right)\right)\right\}}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty, m_{n} \rightarrow \infty, m_{n}=O(n)$, where $\psi_{m_{1} n,} F^{(x)}=O\left(r \circ \log ^{1}\left(1-x^{-1}\right)\right)$ as $\left.x \rightarrow \infty\right)$.
The above result generalizes results of Falk (1985), who dertves rates of convergence for $H_{m, n}$ in more spedfic models.
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