

# Spectroscopic and chemical characterization of Co, Rh and Co-Rh on Al2O3, SiO2 and TiO2 catalysts

*Citation for published version (APA):* Blik, van 't, H. F. J. (1984). *Spectroscopic and chemical characterization of Co, Rh and Co-Rh on Al2O3, SiO2 and TiO2 catalysts.* [Phd Thesis 1 (Research TU/e / Graduation TU/e), Chemical Engineering and Chemistry]. Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven. https://doi.org/10.6100/IR141782

DOI: 10.6100/IR141782

# Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1984

# Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

# Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

SPECTROSCOPIC AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF Co, Rh AND Co-Rh ON Al203, SIO2 AND TIO2 CATALYSTS

H.F.J. VAN 'T BLIK

# SPECTROSCOPIC AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF Co, Rh AND Co-Rh ON Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub> AND TiO<sub>2</sub> CATALYSTS

#### PROEFSCHRIFT

TER VERKRIJGING VAN DE GRAAD VAN DOCTOR IN DE TECHNISCHE WETENSCHAPPEN AAN DE TECHNISCHE HOGESCHOOL EINDHOVEN, OP GEZAG VAN DE RECTOR MAGNIFICUS, PROF. DR. S.T.M. ACKERMANS, VOOR EEN COMMISSIE AANGEWEZEN DOOR HET COLLEGE VAN DEKANEN IN HET OPENBAAR TE VERDEDIGEN OP VRIJDAG 24 FEBRUARI 1984 TE 16.00 UUR

DOOR

# HENRI FREDERIK JOZEF VAN 'T BLIK

GEBOREN TE GELEEN

Dit proefschrift is goedgekeurd door de promotoren:

prof. dr. R. Prins prof. dr. D.E. Sayers

In dankbare herinnering aan mijn vader Aan mijn moeder

Aan Ine en Hester

# CONTENTS

1.	GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Structure of small metal particles	2
	1.2 Alloys	4
	1.3 TiO <sub>2</sub> as support	5
	1.4 Catalytic hydrogenation of carbon monoxide	10
	1.5 Scope and outline of the present investigation	14
	1.6 References	18
2.	THEORETICAL INTRODUCTION OF THE APPLIED TECHNIQUES:	
	TPR, TPO AND EXAFS SPECTROSCOPY	21
	2.1 Temperature programmed reduction and oxidation	21
	2.1.1 Reduction of metal oxides	23
	2.1.1.1 Thermodynamics	23
	2.1.1.2 Kinetics and mechanism	23
	2.1.1.3 Bulk oxides	24
	2.1.1.4 Supported oxides	27
	2.1.1.5 Bimetallics	27
	2.1.2 Temperature programmed oxidation	29
	2.1.2.1 Thermodynamics	30
	2.1.2.2 Kinetics and mechanism	30
	2.1.2.3 Oxidation of alloys	33
	2.2 Extended X-ray absorption fine structure	35
	2.2.1 What is EXAFS?	35
	2.2.2 Equations describing the EXAFS	37
	2.2.3 EXAFS analysis	39
	2.2.3.1 Phase analysis	40
	2.2.3.2 Amplitude analysis	41
	2.2.3.3 Phase shift and amplitude correction	42
	2.3 References	44

PA	GE
* * *	

3.	THE MORPHOLOGY OF RHODIUM SUPPORTED ON TIO2 AND	
	Al <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub> AS STUDIED WITH TPR, TPO AND TEM	46
	3.1 Abstract	46
	3.2 Introduction	46
	3.3 Experimental section	49
	3.4 Results	52
	3.4.1 Hydrogen chemisorption	52
	3.4.2 TPR/TPO of the RA series	54
	3.4.3 TPR/TPO of the RT series	56
	3.4.4 TEM measurements	60
	3.5 Discussion	65
	3.6 Conclusions	68
	3.7 References	69
4.	CHARACTERIZATION OF BIMETALLIC Co-Rh/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> AND	
	Co-Rh/TiO2 CATALYSTS WITH TPR AND TPO	72
	4.1 Abstract	72
	4.2 Introduction	73
	4.3 Experimental section	75
	4.4 Results and discussion	78
	4.4.1 Unsupported bulk Co, Rh and Co-Rh	78
	4.4.2 Co, Rh and Co-Rh supported on $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	82
	4.4.3 Co, Rh and Co-Rh supported on $TiO_2$	95
	4.4.3.1 Co on TiO2	95
	4.4.3.2 Co-Rh on TiO <sub>2</sub>	103
	4.5 Additional remarks	107
	4.6 Conclusions	109
	4.7 References	110
5.	CHARACTERIZATION OF BIMETALLIC CoRh/S10, CATALYSTS	
	WITH TPR, TPO AND EXAFS SPECTROSCOPY	113
	5.1 Abstract	113
	5.2 Introduction	113
	5.3 Experimenal section	115

	I	PAGE		
	5.4 Results	116		
	5.5 Discussion	122		
	5.6 References	124		
6.	CHARACTERIZATION OF BIMETALLIC FeRh/SiO <sub>2</sub> CATALYSTS			
	WITH TPR, TPO AND MÖSSBAUER SPECTROSCOPY	125		
	6.1 Abstract	125		
	6.2 Introduction	125		
	6.3 Experimental section	126		
	6.4 Results	127		
	6.5 Discussion	132		
	6.6 References	135		
7.	. A SPECTROSCOPIC AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION STUDY OF THE STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF RHODIUM IN AN			
	ULTRA DISPERSED Rh/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> CATALYST	136		
	7.1 Abstract	136		
	7.2 Introduction	137		
	7.3 Experimental section	140		
	7.4 Results and discussion	144		
	7.4.1 The structure of rhodium after reduction	ľ44		
	7.4.1.1 Results	144		
	7.4.1.2 Discussion	159		
	7.4.2 The structure of rhodium after CO admission	162		
		163		
	7.4.2.2 Discussion	1/4		
		170		
	1.0 Kelerences	1/9		

8. EXAFS DETERMINATION OF THE CHANGE IN THE STRUCTURE OF RHODIUM IN HIGHLY DISPERSED Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> CATALYSTS AFTER CO AND/OR H<sub>2</sub> ADSORPTION AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES
8.1 Abstract
8.2 Introduction

	PAGE	
8.3 Experimental section	185	
8.4 Results	187	
8.4.1 Reduction at 673 K	187	
8.4.2 Evacuation at 673 K	192	
8.4.3 CO chemisorption at 298 K	192	
8.4.4 CO desorption	196	
8.4.5 Boudouard reaction and reaction between	L	
H <sub>2</sub> and CO	198	
8.5 Discussion	200	
8.6 Conclusions	204	
8.7 References	205	
9. THE CATALYTIC BEHAVIOUR IN H <sub>2</sub> + CO REACTION OF Co	7	
Rh AND Co-Rh SUPPORTED ON Al203 AND TiO2	207	
9.1 Introduction	207	
9.2 Experimental section	209	
9.3 Results and discussion	212	
9.3.1 Co, Rh and Co-Rh supported on $Al_2O_3$ and		
TiO2	212	
9.3.2 RA and RT series as CO hydrogenation		
catalysts	221	
9.4 Conclusions	228	
9.5 References	229	
10. SUMMARY	232	
SAMENVATTING		
DANKWOORD/ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		
CURRICULUM VITAE		
PUBLICATIONS		

chapter 1

# GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Catalytic processes and reactions have been applied for a long time before any knowledge on catalysis was available. The first indications that gases are adsorbed on metals and may react with each other resulted from investigations done by H. Davy. In 1815 he was asked to investigate the disastrous explosions in coal mines which at that time occurred too frequently. Davy found that a flame could be safely exposed in an explosive mixture on inflammable gas and air, provided that it was surrounded by a wire gauze of a particular mesh. He wrote: "It was obvious that the oxygen and coal gas in contact with the wire combined without flame, and yet produced heat enough to preserve the wire ignited, and to keep up their own combustion" (1). In 1836 J.J. Berzelius reviewed a number of observations on homogeneous and heterogeneous reactions (2) by H. Davy, M. Faraday, J.W. Döbereiner, J. Liebig, W. Henry and P. Phillips. In this review Berzelius introduced the term 'catalysis', which has literally the same meaning as 'analysis'. For an interesting historical review about heterogeneous catalysis we refer to Robertson (3).

Catalysis by metals has become very important in the chemical and petroleum industries and finds its applications particularly in reactions involving hydrogen, such as hydrogenation, hydrogenolysis, dehydrogenation and isomerisation. Nowadays alloy catalysts and TiO, as a support are of considerable interest because of their promising catalytic behaviour for several reactions.

In this introduction the geometric structure of small metallic particles is discussed first, because a knowledge of the structure of metallic particles is necessary to understand the catalytic properties (activity, selectivity and stability) of metal catalysts. Secondly, a brief consideration about alloys will be given, followed by a discussion about some important aspects of the use of  $\text{TiO}_2$  as a support. In this thesis attention will also be paid to the catalytic behaviour of the catalysts under investigation for CO hydrogenation. Therefore, the mechanism of this reaction will be given in the last but one section, followed by scope and outline of this investigation.

# 1.1 STRUCTURE OF SMALL METAL PARTICLES

Heterogeneous catalysis by metals takes place at the metal surface. From the economical point of view it is obvious that as many metal atoms as possible have to be exposed. However, even for a very finely divided unsupported metal catalyst the fraction exposed is too low. For a metal particle 1 µm in size the fraction exposed is about 0.001. When metals are deposited on supports as Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> with high surface areas (100 -200  $m^2/g$ ) very small metallic particles may be formed and the fraction of metal exposed increases two or three orders of magnitude and in some cases it even approaches unity. The catalytic behaviour of a supported metal catalyst may depend on the structure of the crystallites. When there is a strong metal-support interaction, the metal may be spread out in the form of two-dimensional rafts. However, it is generally accepted that metal aggregates have a three-dimensional shape. One would expect that the packing structure of a small particle of a facecentered-cubic (fcc) metal such as Rh is fcc. However, this is probably incorrect (4, 5). When a very small particle is grown atom by atom in vacuum a 13-atom icosahedron will always be formed. This structure is different from that of a 13-atom

fcc particle, because it exhibits five fold rotational axes
(see figure 1).



Figure 1 A 13-atom particle in an fcc and an icosahedral packing structure.

Note that the icosahedral 13-atom particle has 42 nearest neighbour contacts whereas the corresponding fcc particle has only 36 nearest neighbour contacts. Prestridge and Yates (6) have published a paper showing a high-resolution micrograph of a 10  $\mathring{A}$  rhodium particle with five fold rotational axes (pentagonal structure). A striking feature is that even 100  $\mathring{A}$ pentagonal particles have been observed (7-9). The conversion from icosahedral to fcc packing should occur when the bulk strain energy introduced into the icosahedral particle overcomes its surface energy advantage. Calculations by Ogawa and Ino (9) suggest that this conversion to fcc structure might occur for particles around 100  $\mathring{A}$  for typical fcc metals.

# 1.2 ALLOYS

In the 1950's studies of alloys concentrated the attention on the investigation of the so-called 'electronic factor' in catalysis (10-13), but then fell into disfavour for several reasons. More recently, the study of bimetallic systems has been revived, stimulated by the industrial use of bimetallic catalytic reforming catalysts and by a more sophisticated fundamental understanding of the structure of alloys and of the factors affecting the structure.

In terms of thermodynamics the bulk-phase properties of alloys can be roughly divided into three classes: Mildly exothermic alloys are those for which the enthalpy of formation from the elements  $\Delta H_f^0 < 0$  and of which  $|\Delta H_f^0|$  is small. In terms of pairwise bond energies involving atom A and B,  $(E_{AA} + E_{BB})/2 \approx E_{AB}$ . The metals form a solid solution for all temperatures. There is no tendency for cluster formation in alloys and both metal atoms are randomly distributed. Examples are Co-Rh and Pd-Ag, the phase diagrams of which have no miscibility gaps (see for Co-Rh chapter 4, figure 1). However, the surface layer with a thickness of not more than several atoms, is enriched in the component with the lowest surface Gibb's free-energy. This statement is valid when the differences in surface entropy and in the atomic size of components are low, which often is the case. The gas environment may influence the surface composition of bimetallic particles to a great extent. If gas phase molecules are selectively chemisorbed by atoms of element A, this will provide a driving force for the enrichment of the surface with the element A. This phenomenon has been called by Sachtler c.s. (14) 'chemisorption-induced surface segregation'. These authors have reported a study performed on Pd-Ag alloy films. The results suggest that the alloy surfaces were enriched in silver which was to be expected because the sublimation energy for silver is lower than that for palladium. However, CO chemisorption induced a segregation of palladium atoms to the surface - CO molecules are selectively chemisorbed on Pd atoms.

A similar effect can be anticipated for supported bimetallic

catalysts, where one component may segregate to the particlesupport interface due to its greater affinity with the support. Endothermic alloys are characterized by values of  $\Delta H_{f}^{0} > 0$  and  $(E_{AA} + E_{BB})/2 > E_{AB}$ . For temperatures T >  $\Delta H_f^0/\Delta S_f^0$ , the equilibrium alloy forms clusters of A atoms and clusters of B atoms within the bulk because of the greater strength of A-A and B-B bonds relative to A-B bonds. An example of this type is Cu-Ni, the phase diagram of which shows a miscibility gap (15). If the relative concentrations of the components of a binary system are within the miscibility gap in equilibrium a two-phase system will exist. The concentrations of these two phases and their composition can be derived from the phase diagram using the lever rule. The binary system of small particles may be built up according to the 'cherry' model, proposed by Sachtler (16). This model described the bimetallic particles in terms of a kernel - the alloy phase with higher surface energy -, flesh - the alloy phase with lower surface energy - and skin - surface layers enriched with respect to 'flesh', because of the same arguments as mentioned for a mildly exothermic alloy.

Note that when bimetallic particles are small (< 100 Å, for instance in supported bimetallic catalysts), it may very well be that phase segregation does not occur, even when there is a miscibility gap for the bulk system (17, 18).

Highly exothermic alloys are characterized by values of  $\Delta H_{f}^{0} < 0$  and  $(E_{AA} + E_{BB})/2 < E_{AB}$ . In these alloys, ordering and formation of intermetallic compounds usually occur. An example is PtSn and Pt<sub>3</sub>Sn in Pt-Sn alloys (19). The surface composition of the alloy depends also in this case on the crystal face.

# 1.3 TIO<sub>2</sub> AS SUPPORT

For a detailed review on this subject we refer to Huizinga (20). In this section we will discuss only the most important aspects of  $\text{TiO}_2$  as a support in the context of the present thesis. Tauster *et al.* (21) were the first who reported a

remarkable metal-support interaction in titania-supported metal catalysts. After reduction of the catalysts at 473 K normal behaviour of hydrogen and carbon monoxide adsorption was found. Reduction at 773 K, however, decreases the sorption capacity to near zero, which was not due to sintering phenomena as checked by electron microscopy. They assigned the suppression of chemisorption capacity to interactions between the metal and support and introduced the term 'Strong Metal Support Interaction' (SMSI), although knowledge about the nature of this interaction was lacking. In subsequent publications (22, 23) this group reported the same phenomenon for Ir supported on  $V_2O_3$  and  $Nb_2O_5$  and emphasized that SMSI was most easily evoked with reducible transition-metal oxide supports. They also showed that after a mild oxidation at 448 K the SMSIstate was nullified. A subsequent reduction at low temperature restored the normal adsorption behaviour.

Although many explanations of SMSI have been put forward no definitive evidence has been found yet for any of them. A definitive elucidation of SMSI requires more experimental and theoretical work. However, two models deserve to be mentioned. The first model, the most popular one, is based on charge transfer from the support to the metal, through which the electron properties of the metal change. This possibility was discussed by Horsley (24). The metal in  $M/TiO_2$  is negatively charged by SMSI which means for platinum that the electronic configuration approaches that of gold. The explanation of SMSI is then obvious, because  ${\rm H}_{\rm D}$  and CO chemisorption does not take place on gold. But it is difficult to see how SMSI can be explained by the same reasoning in the case of Rh/TiO2, because the electronic configuration of rhodium in SMSI approaches that of palladium, which should be active in H<sub>2</sub> and CO chemisorption.

Another explanation of SMSI is encapsulation of the metal by suboxides of TiO<sub>2</sub> (schematically shown in figure 2). Recently, Powell and Whittington (25) proposed such encapsulation to be the mechanism of deactivation in catalytic reactions. Using Auger and scanning electron microscopy they found platinum to be immersed in the SiO<sub>2</sub> surface with concurrent formation of an SiO<sub>2</sub> ridge around the base of the Pt particles for Pt/SiO<sub>2</sub>, when annealed at 1200 and 1375 K. By the technique of Nuclear Backscattering Spectrometry Cairns *et al.* (26) observed an interdiffusion between the metal and its support for planar specimens of Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure 2 Covering model for SMSI.

As reported by several groups (27-31) a metal-assisted reduction of the support takes place during a high temperature reduction of M/liO2. Baker and co-workers (23, 28) have found that the support is partly reduced to  $\text{Ti}_40_7$ . They also propose that the metal 'wets' the  $Ti_4O_7$  formed, because of an enhanced interfacial interaction. However, this must be a symmetrical effect, the oxide 'wets' the metal too. Due to the strong interaction it may be energetically favourable that the metal particles are embedded in  $Ti_4O_7$ , thus decreasing the surface tension of the particles to a great extent. During reduction the particles sink into the support - the specific volume of  $Ti_4O_7$  is smaller than that of  $TiO_2$  - ultimately leading to covering of the particles with a (mono)layer of  $Ti_4O_7$ . This means that the chemisorption capacity of the metal is suppressed by blocking. During a mild oxidation of  $M/TiO_2$ ,  $Ti_AO_7$  is still present, as shown by Baker et al. (29). At higher temperatures, however,  $\text{Ti}_{4}\text{O}_{7}$  in direct contact with the metallic particle may be oxidized by 0, or H,0 to TiO,. Consequently, the monolayer is destroyed and metal atoms are exposed again.

From the point of view of catalytic reactions the behaviour of metals in the SMSI-state poses fascinating questions. The reactions appear to fall into two distinct classes; one in which the activity in the SMSI-state is much lower than that in the non-SMSI-state, and a second class for which the opposite is true. Furthermore, the extent of these differences is greatly dependent on the metal in question. Generally, hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation reactions belong to the first class. For example, the activity for hydrogenation of benzene or dehydrogenation of cyclohexane decreased with Pt or Rh supported on TiO<sub>2</sub> after reduction of these catalysts at high temperatures (32, 33). The specific activity for ethane hydrogenolysis over titania-supported group VIII metals, except ruthenium, decreased several orders of magnitude compared to the silicasupported counterparts (34).

These reactions are strongly related to hydrogen chemisorption capacities and therefore a suppression of their activities in SMSI is not surprising.

For CO methanation and Fischer-Tropsch synthesis, however, the situation is apparently different. Although the metals in SMSIstate have been found to reveal a strongly inhibited chemisorption of  $H_2$  and CO, some metals have been found to have their highest activities for CO hydrogenation when dispersed on TiO, (35-40). Titania-supported nickel catalysts show specific activities one to two orders of magnitude higher than other catalysts. The increase in activity is accompanied by an increase in selectivity to higher-molecular-weight paraffins (35). Burch and Flambard (38) inferred that for their Ni/TiO, catalysts the observed increase in activity compared to Ni/SiO, catalysts could not be interpreted in terms of strong metalsupport interaction because the metallic particles were too large (100  $\overset{\circ}{A}$ ) to obtain SMSI. The enhancement in activity was assigned to the role of titania in creating new unique sites for the  $H_2$  + CO reaction which are situated at the interface between the metal particle and the support surface. As TiO, is partly reduced anion vacancies are present at the interface. The oxygen atoms of chemisorbed CO may interact with these vacancies (cf. figure 3) and consequently the CO dissociation, which is an important step in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis, may be facilitated.



Figure 3 Model of the active site in H<sub>2</sub> + CO reaction at the interface between a nickel particle and the titania surface (38).
Description: Bulk Ni, Ni atoms at the interface, anion vacancy.

However, Vannice and Vasco-Jara (37) have rejected this model. They studied physical mixtures of Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> and Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>. They assumed a catalyzed reduction of the titania in Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> particles by hydrogen spill-over via Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> particles to Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub>. On the basis of the model proposed by Burch and Flambard one would expect an increase in the specific activity for Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> with increasing Pt/Ni ratio in the physical mixtures, because the (Pt-reduced TiO<sub>2</sub> surface/Ni surface) ratio is increasing too. However, the specific activity for Ni did not change. Vannice and Vasco-Jara proposed that the intrinsic catalytic properties of Ni crystallites are altered by SMSI behaviour.

It may be clear that the interpretation of the anomalous catalytic behaviour of titania-supported metals in  $H_2$  + CO reaction is still uncertain. Obviously, more research is needed to obtain information on this intriguing subject.

# 1.4 CATALYTIC HYDROGENATION OF CARBON MONOXIDE

Up to now, oil is still the major source of energy. However, the growing awareness that oil resources are not inexhaustible have led to a considerable interest in coal as an alternative energy source. The coal supply is beyond any doubt superior to the oil supply, being respectively 76% and 14% of the proven fossil fuel reserves. Coal, however, is a solid fuel and compared to liquids this is a disadvantage with respect to transport, handling and removal of pollutants. Hence, there is a clear incentive to convert coal into liquid hydrocarbons. This can be achieved by coal liquefaction and by coal gasification to synthesis gas - a mixture of carbon monoxide and hydrogen - which in it's turn is converted to hydrocarbons, either directly via Fischer-Tropsch synthesis or indirectly via the Methanol/ZSM-5 route. The Fischer-Tropsch type reactions form one of the subjects of this dissertation. Therefore, we will give brief descriptions of the history and mechanism of this process.

The history of the catalytic hydrogenation of CO - which has been adequately described in (among others) two review papers by Storch (41) and Pichler (42) - starts in 1902 when Sabatier and Senderens (43) reported the production of  $CH_4$ from CO and H, over Ni. As early as 1913, Badische Anilin und Soda Fabrik (BASF) patented the production of longer-chain and oxygenated hydrocarbons at pressures over 10<sup>4</sup> kPa and at about 623 K over alkali-metal-activated transition-metal catalysts of cobalt and osmium oxides. In 1926, Fischer and Tropsch (44) published their classical work reporting on the synthesis, near atmospheric pressures and at 473 K, of various highermolecular-weight hydrocarbons from CO and H<sub>2</sub>. The original catalysts were both iron and cobalt with  $\rm K_2CO_3$  and copper as promoters. The reaction carried out in this manner is called the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. The catalysts underwent many improvements in the years that followed. At the height of World War II the production of synthetic fuel via the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis amounted to 100,000 barrels a day in Germany. The standard catalyst contained cobalt, thoria, magnesium oxide and kieselguhr (42, 45).

The advent of cheap oil in the 1950's caused a decrease in the interest in the Fischer-Tropsch syntheses, except in South Africa where with the use of promoted iron catalysts large-scale production of liquid and gaseous hydrocarbons from CO and  $H_2$  was established. The oil crisis of 1973 and the awakening of consciousness of the limited supply of oil reserves have renewed the interest in coal as a feedstock. However, the outlook of the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis is not too bright because the production of the reactants CO and  $H_2$  from coal is very expensive. Therefore, the effort of present research on the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide is mainly directed to improve the selectivity to desirable products by using, e.g., promoted and/or bimetallic catalysts.

We will discuss now the on all sides accepted mechanism for the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. For detailed reviews on this subject we refer to Bell (46) and to Biloen and Sachtler (47). The hydrogenation of carbon monoxide is catalyzed by all group

VIII metals. Of course each metal has a different activity and has its own characteristic product selectivity. The mechanism of the Fischer-Tropsch reaction can be divided into three steps: initiation, propagation (chain growth) and termination. The initiation includes the adsorption of reactants and the formation of surface intermediates containing one carbon atom. Hydrogen is readily adsorbed and dissociated on group VIII metals (48). Also CO adsorption occurs on all these metals. However, CO dissociation at reaction temperature, between 473 and 573 K, only occurs on Fe, Co, Ni, Ru, Rh and Os, whereas CO is to a great extent molecularly adsorbed on Pd, Ir and Pt. As shown by Araki and Ponec (49) and later by Biloen (50) CO dissociation precedes C-H bond formation in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. We, therefore, pay some closer attention to CO adsorption on metals. On all group VIII metals CO is adsorbed perpendicularly to the



Figure 4 Molecular orbital diagram of the interaction between CO and a transition-metal atom.

surface and with the C atom bonded to the metal. The bond between CO and the metal is schematically presented in a one-electron level scheme in figure 4. The 10 valence electrons in CO are situated in the following orbitals (in order of increasing energy):  $3\sigma$ ,  $4\sigma$ ,  $1\pi_v$  and  $1\pi_z$ , and  $5\sigma$ . The lowest unoccupied molecular orbital is the antibonding  $2\pi$ orbital. There is a rather strong interaction between the CO 5 $\sigma$  orbital and the metal  $e_g$  orbitals. The so-called  $\sigma$  bonding interaction between metal  $e_g$  and CO 5 $\sigma$  orbitals leads to a ligand-to-metal electron transfer. This interaction is further strengthened by a backflow of electrons via an interaction of metal  $t^{}_{2\sigma}$  and CO  $2\pi$  orbitals. It is this 'backdonation' which weakens the C-O bond and possibly promotes dissociation of the CO molecule. When under Fischer-Tropsch conditions CO is dissociated, the C\* formed (\* indicates adsorbed species) is hydrogenated into  $CH_{y^*}$ . Brady and Pettit (51, 52) claim that before a polymerization of one carbon species takes place, a methyl group  $(CH_{3^*})$  has to be formed. They have found that diazomethane  $CH_2N_2$  (which decomposes into  $CH_{2^*}$  and  $N_2$ ) diluted with He or  $N_2$  over some group VIII metals rapidly and quantitatively led to ethylene, whereas a gas mixture of H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> led to unbranched hydrocarbons with a product distribution typical for the Fischer-Tropsch reaction.

Discussion brings us now to the propagation step - the formation of surface intermediates with more than one carbon atom from the building blocks of one carbon atom. Brady and Pettit postulate that  $CH_{2*}$  groups do polymerize on metal



Figure 5 Chain growth by means of  $CH_2$  insertion in alkyl groups  $(R-CH_2-)$  on the group VIII metal M.

surfaces but the polymerization is initiated by metal hydride bonds. Reduction of  $CH_{2^*}$  to  $CH_{3^*}$  followed by sequential insertion of  $CH_{2^*}$  species into metal-alkyl bonds is the polymerization mechanism. The chain growth by means of  $CH_2$  insertion in alkyl groups during Fischer-Tropsch is presented in figure 5. The termination reaction consists of either a  $\beta$ -hydride elimination of the metal-alkyl to produce an  $\alpha$ -olefin or reduction of the metal-alkyl to give an alkane.

The mechanism of the Fischer-Tropsch reaction may be represented by the following reactions (M represents an active site):

CO + M	<b>≵</b>	CO*	
CO∗ + M	≁ +	C* + O*	
H <sub>2</sub> + 2M	<b>↑</b> +	2H*	
O <sub>*</sub> + 2H∗	<b>↑</b> <b>↓</b>	н <sub>2</sub> 0 + Зм	
0* + CO*	<b>→</b>	$\tilde{CO}_2 + 2M$	
C* + 2H*	7	$CH_{2}^{-} + 2M$	
Сн <sub>2*</sub> + н <sub>*</sub>	≁ +	сн <sub>3</sub> * + м	
СН <sub>3</sub> * + Н*	≁ ≁	$CH_4 + 2M$	methane
CH <sub>3</sub> * + CH <sub>2</sub> *	₹	$CH_3CH_2 + M$	
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> *	<del>↓</del>	$CH_2CH_2 + H*$	ethylene
$CH_3 CH_2 + H +$	≁ ≁	$CH_3CH_3 + 2M$	ethane
etc.		2	

# 1.5 SCOPE AND OUTLINE OF THE PRESENT INVESTIGATION

This thesis deals with the characterization of supported monometallic cobalt and rhodium and bimetallic cobalt-rhodium catalysts. The choice for these two metals is based upon the following three arguments:

 a) In our laboratory we are interested in the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide, for reasons mentioned in the previous section. Besides iron, cobalt is also a classical Fischer-Tropsch synthesis catalyst (44) and therefore an interesting metal to investigate. Over the past years rhodium has

attracted interest in the conversion of synthesis gas, since its product range can include oxygenated products (alcohols, aldehydes, acids) besides hydrocarbons (53-55). The bimetallic cobalt-rhodium system may be of interest because it has been shown that alloying of metals, both of which are active catalysts, may improve the catalytic properties compared to the properties of the constituent metals (56).

- b) It is known from literature that cobalt and rhodium form a solid solution (57). Therefore, the thermodynamics favour the formation of bimetallic particles.
- c) Various cobalt-rhodium metal carbonyl clusters such as  $Rh_4(CO)_{12}$ ,  $Rh_6(CO)_{16}$ ,  $Co_4(CO)_{12}$ ,  $Co_2Rh_2(CO)_{12}$  and  $RhCo_3(CO)_{12}$  can be synthesized and isolated (58). Molecular metal cluster compounds have already been used as precursor to produce dispersed metallic catalysts. In some cases much higher dispersions were obtained than by using the conventional impregnation technique (59). Moreover, the bimetallic particles formed are expected to have a uniform Co/Rh stoechiometry.

We decided to study the metal systems on alumina as well as on titania.  $Al_2O_3$  was chosen because it is known to be a support which gives good dispersions and stable catalysts, and  $TiO_2$  because it is known to exhibit SMSI. In one case there was some advantage in using  $SiO_2$  as support, as will be explained in chapter 5.

The main aim in this thesis is to contribute to the solution of the following intriguing problems in the field of catalysis by metals:

a) Formation and structure of bimetallic particles on supports. In the literature not much information is available about the rules governing the preparation of bimetallic catalysts. It would be nice if one could predict the choice of precursor and treatment, in such a way that alloying of the metals would be achieved. Once the bimetallic particles are formed a question of interest is what the structure of the crystallites will be. Will the two metals be homogeneously mixed or will the surfaces of the crystallites be enriched in one of the metals?

- b) Influence of alloying on the catalytic properties of bimetallic catalysts. An interesting question is if alloying of cobait and rhodium induces a synergistic effect in the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide.
- c) Influence of SMSI on the catalytic properties of the titania-supported catalysts. In the literature anomalous catalytic behaviour of titania-supported catalysts has been reported (32-40) and has often been assigned exclusively to SMSI. However, with many reported studies one may doubt if the SMSI effect was really important. Therefore, titaniasupported catalysts in 'non-SMSI-state' and 'SMSI-state' have been studied in this thesis.
- d) Structure of rhodium in highly dispersed rhodium catalysts. The preparation of a rhodium on alumina catalyst in which all rhodium atoms are exposed is relatively simple. Although many detailed characterization studies of highly dispersed catalysts have been reported, the state of the metal is not yet known exactly. There even exists a controversy about the structure and oxidation state of the rhodium in these

ultra dispersed systems after reduction with hydrogen. The main techniques used in this thesis are Temperature Programmed Reduction-Oxidation (TPR, TPO) and Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure (EXAFS). TPR and TPO can provide useful information on the reducibility and oxidizability of metal catalysts, while alloying of the two metals during reduction can be followed by TPR. EXAFS is, in contradistinction to diffraction techniques, sensitive to short-range order and can provide unique structural information on highly dispersed catalysts. An additional advantage is that the metal crystallites can be studied under reaction conditions. For the benefit of the reader the backgrounds of TPR, TPO and EXAFS will briefly be presented in chapter 2. Chapter 3 is devoted to the application of TPR and TPO to alumina- and titania-supported rhodium catalysts. It will be shown that two different kinds of rhodium are present after reduction and two kinds of rhodium oxide after oxidation: one kind which is easily oxidized/reduced and the other kind which

is harder to oxidize/reduce. Transmission Electron Microscopy has shown that the first kind of Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> consists of flat, raftlike particles, the second kind of spherical particles. The reduction and oxidation behaviour of the alumina- and titania-supported bimetallic cobalt-rhodium catalysts are discussed in chapter 4. A model is presented which explains the formation of the supported bimetallic particles and the change in strucutre of the particles after oxidation at room temperature and oxidation at elevated temperatures. Chapter 5 deals with the structure of bimetallic cobalt-rhodium particles on silica after reduction as studied by means of TPR, TPO and EXAFS. From the results it is concluded that the particles formed after reduction consists of a core which is rich in rhodium and an outer layer which contains mainly cobalt. In order to justify a generalization of the results of the formation and the structure of co-clustered Co-Rh particles to other comparable bimetallic systems, we have characterized bimetallic FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, the results of which are discussed in chapter 6. Besides TPR and TPO, in situ Mössbauer spectroscopy has been applied to obtain information about the chemical state of iron after different treatments. Chapter 7 is devoted to the structure of rhodium in highly dispersed Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts as studied by a suitable choice of a number of techniques: EXAFS, TPR, IR, ESR and XPS. It will be shown that after reduction of the catalyst threedimensional metallic rhodium crystallites are formed. This study reveals a substantial morphological change of the rhodium crystallites to  $\operatorname{Rh}^{1+}(\operatorname{CO})_2$  species during CO admission at room temperature. The cause of this oxidative CO adsorption will be discussed.

Chapter 8 describes an extensive in situ EXAFS study of the structural change of rhodium crystallites in  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  after reduction with H<sub>2</sub>, evacuation at elevated temperatures, CO admission at room temperature, CO desorption, after the Boudouard reaction (2CO + C + CO<sub>2</sub>) and after the Fischer-Tropsch reaction. As chapter 8 will be published separately (Journal of Molecular Catalysis, 1984) there is a considerable overlap

The study of the catalytic behaviour in Fischer-Tropsch reaction of alumina- and titania-supported cobalt-rhodium catalysts is dealt with in chapter 9. Attention will be paid to the influence of alloying, SMSI and dispersion on the catalytic properties. In chapter 10 the main conclusions arrived at in this thesis are summarized.

# 1.6 REFERENCES

- 1. H. Davy, Phil. Trans. R. Soc., <u>107</u>, 77 (1817).
- 2. J.J. Berzelius, Annls. Chim. Phys., 61, 146 (1836).
- A.J.B. Robertson, in "Catalysis of Gas Reactions by Metals", Logos Press Limited, London (1970).
- 4. J.J. Burton, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., 9, 209 (1974).
- J.J. Burton, in "Sintering and Catalysis", edited by G.C. Kuczynski, Plenum, New York (1975), p. 17.
- 6. E.B. Prestridge and D.J.C. Yates, Nature, 234, 345 (1971).
- 7. K. Kimoto and I. Nishida, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, <u>22</u>, 940 (1967).
- 8. J.G. Allpress and J.V. Sanders, Surf. Sci., 7, 1 (1967).
- 9. S. Ogawa and S. Ino, J. Cryst. Growth, 13/14, 48 (1972).
- 10. G.M. Schwab, Discuss. Faraday Soc., 8, 166 (1950).
- D.A. Dowden and P. Reynolds, Discuss. Faraday Soc., <u>8</u>, 184 (1950).
- 12. W.K. Hall and P.H. Emmett, J. Phys. Chem., 63, 1102 (1959).
- R.J. Best and W.W. Russell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>76</u>, 838 (1954).
- 14. R. Bouwman, G.J.M. Lippits and W.M.H. Sachtler, J. Catal., 25, 300 (1972).
- 15. P. van der Plank and W.M.H. Sachtler, J. Catal., <u>12</u>, 35 (1968).
- 16. W.M.H. Sachtler, Le Vide, <u>164</u>, 67 (1973).
- 17. D.F. Ollis, J. Catal., 23, 131 (1971).
- 18. J.H. Sinfelt, J. Catal., 29, 308 (1973).
- 19. H. Verbeek and W.M.H. Sachtler, J. Catal., <u>42</u>, 257 (1976).

- 20. T. Huizinga, thesis, University of Technology, Eindhoven.
- 21. S.J. Tauster, S.C. Fung and R.L. Garten, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 170 (1978).
- 22. S.J. Tauster and S.C. Fung, J. Catal., 55, 29 (1978).
- 23. S.J. Tauster, S.C. Fung, R.T.K. Baker and J.A. Horsley, Science, 211, 1121 (1981).
- 24. J.A. Horsley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 2870 (1979).
- 25. B.R. Powell and S.E. Whittington, J. Catal., <u>81</u>, 382 (1983).
- 26. J.A. Cairns, J.E.E. Baglin, G.J. Clark and J.F. Ziegler, J. Catal., <u>83</u>, 301 (1983).
- 27. R.T.K. Baker, J. Catal., 56, 390 (1979).
- 28. R.T.K. Baker, J. Catal., 63, 523 (1980).
- 29. R.T.K. Baker, E.B. Prestridge and R.L. Garten, J. Catal., 59, 293 (1979).
- 30. P.G. Menon and G.F. Froment, Appl. Catal., <u>1</u>, 31 (1981).
- 31. T. Huizinga and R. Prins, J. Phys. Chem., 85, 2156 (1981).
- 32. P. Meriaudeau, O.H. Ellestad and C. Naccache, in "Proceedings of the 7th International Congress on Catalysis", edited by T. Seiyama and K. Tanabe, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1981), Part B, p. 1464.
- P. Meriaudeau, P. Pommier and S.J. Teichner, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 289C, 395 (1979).
- 34. E.I. Ko and R.L. Garten, J. Catal., 68, 233 (1981).
- 35. M.A. Vannice and R.L. Garten, J. Catal., 56, 236 (1979).
- 36. M.A. Vannice and R.L. Garten, J. Catal., 66, 242 (1980).
- 37. M.A. Vannice and J. Vasco-Jara, in "Stud. Surf. Sci. and Catal.", vol. <u>11</u>, edited by B. Imelik *et al.*, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1982), p. 185.
- 38. R. Burch and A.R. Flambard, in "Stud. Surf. Sci. and Catal.", vol. <u>11</u>, edited by B. Imelik *et al.*, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1982), p. 193.
- 39. M.A. Vannice and R.L. Garten, J. Catal., 63, 255 (1980).
- 40. M.A. Vannice, J. Catal., <u>74</u>, 199 (1982).
- 41. H.H. Storch, Adv. Catal., 1, 115 (1948).
- 42. H. Pichler, Adv. Catal., 4, 271 (1952).

- 43. P. Sabatier and J.B. Senderens, C.R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., 514 (1902).
- 44. F. Fischer and H. Tropsch, Brennst.-Chim., 7, 97 (1926).
- 45. H. Kölbel, Chem.-Ing.-Techn., <u>29</u>, 505 (1957).
- 46. A.T. Bell, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., 23, 203 (1981).
- 47. P. Biloen and W.M.H. Sachtler, Adv. Catal., <u>30</u>, 165 (1981).
- 48. C.K. Rofer-De Poorter, Chem. Rev., 81, 447 (1981).
- 49. M. Araki and V. Ponec, J. Catal., 44, 439 (1976).
- 50. P. Biloen, J.N. Helle and W.M.H. Sachtler, J. Catal., <u>58</u>, 95 (1979).
- 51. R.C. Brady III and R. Pettit, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>102</u>, 6181 (1980).
- 52. R.C. Brady III and R. Pettit, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>103</u>, 1287 (1981).
- 53. M. Ichikawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap., 51, 2273 (1978).
- 54. D.G. Castner, R.L. Blackadar and G.A. Somorjai, J. Catal., 66, 257 (1980).
- 55. P.R. Watson and G.A. Somorjai, J. Catal., 74, 282 (1982).
- 56. V. Ponec, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., 11, 41 (1975).
- 57. W. Köster and E. Horn, Z. Metallkunde, <u>43</u>, 444 (1952).
- 58. S. Martinengo, P. Chini, V.G. Albano, F. Cariati and T. Salvatori, J. Organometal. Chem., 59, 379 (1973).
- 59. J.R. Anderson and D.E. Mainwaring, J. Catal., <u>35</u>, 162 (1974).

### chapter 2

# THEORETICAL INTRODUCTION OF THE APPLIED TECHNIQUES: TPR, TPO AND EXAFS SPECTROSCOPY

Temperature Programmed Reduction and Oxidation (TPR, TPO) and Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure (EXAFS) are the principal techniques which have been used to characterize the supported catalysts. For the benefit of the reader the most important aspects of reduction of metal oxides and of oxidation of metals will be discussed in this chapter. Also the influence of alloying on the reduction and oxidation behaviour will be considered. TPR and TPO results are presented in chapter 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7. A theoretical consideration of the EXAFS technique will be given too. It is certainly not the intention to review the subject, but only a simplified description will be given in order to facilitate the understanding of the EXAFS results presented in chapter 5, 7 and 8 of this dissertation.

# 2.1 TEMPERATURE PROGRAMMED REDUCTION AND OXIDATION

TPR and TPO are relatively new techniques which are highly sensitive and which do not depend on any specific property of the catalyst other than that species under study to be in, respectively, a reducible and oxidizable condition. Over the last few years TPR has been applied to the study of many supported and unsupported catalyst systems as becomes obvious from a recent review by Hurst *et al.* (1). However, up to now little attention has been paid to TPO.

The techniques allow one to obtain (semi)quantitative information about the rate and ease of reduction (during TPR) or of oxidation (during TPO) of all kinds of systems, and once the apparatus has been built the analyses are fast and relatively cheap.

The apparatus we used is schematically presented in figure 1.



Figure 1 Schematic representation of TPR-TPO apparatus.

A weighed amount of a catalyst is placed in the reactor. Before the actual TPR (TPO) measurement starts the catalyst may be subjected to a variety of pretreatment using the gas-handling system and oven. At the beginning of a TPR (TPO) experiment a gas mixture which consists of 5% H<sub>2</sub>/Ar (5% O<sub>2</sub>/He) flows over the catalyst at a temperature low enough to prevent reaction. The temperature of the oven is then increased at a linear programmed rate. The uptake of hydrogen (oxygen) is measured by the difference in the thermal conductivity of the gas before and after reduction (oxidation). Since during reduction of supported oxides by hydrogen water is formed, the reducing gas leaving the reactor is dried over magnesium perchlorate before entering the Thermal Conductivity Detector (TCD). The change in hydrogen (oxygen) concentration with time is displayed on a recorder. Since the gas flow is kept constant the change in hydrogen (oxygen) concentration is proportional to the rate of reduction (oxidation). Distinct reduction (oxidation) processes in a, *e.g.*, supported metal catalyst, show up as peaks in the TPR (TPO) profile. We will continue with a brief exposition of some important aspects of reduction and oxidation of, respectively, metal oxides and metals.

# 2.1.1 REDUCTION OF METAL OXIDES

#### 2.1.1.1 Thermodynamics

The reaction between metal oxide MO and hydrogen to form metal M and water vapour can be represented by the general equation:

 $MO(s) + H_2(g) \rightarrow M(s) + H_2O(g)$ .

The standard Gibb's free-energy change for the reduction  $\Delta G^0$  is negative for a number of oxides and thus for these oxides the reduction is thermodynamical feasible.

However, since the  $\Delta G$  accompanying the reduction is given by:

$$\Delta G = \Delta G^{0} + RT \ln \left(\frac{P_{H_2O}}{P_{H_2}}\right)$$

(where  $\Delta G^0 = -RT \ln(\frac{P_{H_2O}}{P_{H_2}})$  equilibrium),

it may still be possible for the reduction to proceed even when  $\Delta G^0$  is positive. The TPR experiment is performed such that the water vapour is constantly swept from the reaction zone as it is formed. Thus, if  $P_{H_2O}$  is lowered sufficiently at elevated temperatures it is possible that the term RT  $\ln(P_{H_2O}/P_{H_2})$  could be sufficiently negative to nullify a positive  $\Delta G^0$ .

#### 2.1.1.2 Kinetics and Mechanism

The overall process of reduction is complex and comprises transport phenomena to and from the reaction interface as well as chemical steps such as adsorption and reaction. In general, the following steps can be distinguished : the external transport of hydrogen from the gas phase to the surface layer; the internal transport of hydrogen in the pores of the solid; chemisorption of hydrogen on the surface of the solid; the chemical transformation at the reaction interface with the participation of lattice oxygen, resulting in the reconstruction of the lattice, the desorption of water and the 'internal' and 'external' transport of water.

A very important step is the formation of nuclei when the oxide and hydrogen come into contact. When the reaction starts it usually shows an induction period during which the first nuclei of the solid product are formed. Oxygen ions are successively removed from the lattice by reduction and water desorption, and when the concentration of vacancies reaches a certain critical value they are annihilated by rearrangement of the lattice with a possible formation of metal nuclei (2). The number of nuclei formed per unit area of surface depends to a significant extent on factors like scratches (in general, defects) on the surface, the presence of impurities, etc. In general, the formation of nuclei is greatly favoured at sites where the lattice structure has been distorted by impurities, line defects or mechanical deformation. Consequently, nuclei tend to be formed more readily on the grain boundaries, edges and corners of the specimen than on the ordered regions of the crystals.

Since the metallic product M does not have the same crystal structure as the parent phase MO, formation of an 'embryo' or a 'germ nucleus' is accompanied by a certain amount of local deformation of the oxide lattice. The fact that the molecular volumes of MO and M differ considerably only adds to the severity of the local deformation.

#### 2.1.1.3 Bulk Oxides

Here we consider the process by which a sphere of metal oxide is reduced, directly to the metal, in a stream of flowing hydrogen. It is common to observe the degree of reduction,  $\alpha$ ,

as a function of time, t, for various temperatures and pressures of hydrogen. The observed data can be interpreted in terms of the nucleation model or the contracting sphere model. For the mathematical treatments of these models we refer to Hurst *et al.* (1).

#### Nucleation Model

After the first nuclei have been formed the reaction interface between the nuclei of the metal and the metal oxide begins to increase more and more rapidly by two processes: the growth of the nuclei already formed and the appearence of new ones. Oxygen ions may be removed by inward diffusion of hydrogen to the metal/metal oxide interface or outward diffusion of oxygen ions from the metal oxide to the metal/gas interface. At a certain stage of the reduction the metal nuclei have grown at the surface of the oxide grains to such an extent that they begin to make contact with each other. From this moment a decrease of the reaction interface begins because of the overlapping of the metal nuclei and the steady consumption of the oxide grains. These processes continue until the oxide is completely reduced to the metal. In many cases, e.g., nickel oxide, the metal nuclei formed are thought to dissociate and activate the hydrogen so that the reduction is autocatalytic. Figure 2 illustrates this nucleation mechanism which results in the S-shaped curve of conversion  $\alpha$  against t. Also the maximum in the  $d\alpha/dt$  against  $\alpha$  is shown. The quotient  $d\alpha/dt$ , which is the mass rate of reduction, is essentially a product of two terms - one which is related to the rate of nucleation and the other to the rate of growth of nuclei. It should also be noted that a similar  $\alpha$  against t curve is obtained for autocatalytic reductions.

#### Contracting Sphere Model

A very rapid nucleation results in the total coverage of the oxide grain with a thin layer of the product in the first instant of the reaction. The reaction interface then decreases continuously from the beginning of the reaction when it has its



#### Figure 2



Figure 2 Metal oxide reduction by a nucleation mechanism. a is the degree of reduction. Figure 3 Metal oxide reduction by a contracting sphere mechanism. a is the degree of reduction.

maximum value. The distinction between the nucleation and the contracting sphere model is somewhat artificial in that the contracting sphere model starts with very rapid nucleation while the nucleation mechanism finishes by an essentially contracting sphere model. However, the distinction is between a reaction interface (and hence rate) which is increasing in the early stages of the reduction process and a reaction interface (and hence rate) which is contracting throughout the reduction. Figure 3 shows the contracting sphere model with its continuously decreasing metal/metal oxide interface area. This results in the curve of  $\alpha$  against t as shown, and to a continuous decrease throughout the reaction of the rate  $d\alpha/dt$  with time.

### 2.1.1.4 Supported Oxides

Supported metal oxides may be homogeneously distributed along the surface of the support or may exist as islands of oxide separated by uncovered regions of the support. The reduction of a homogeneously spread metal oxide may be hindered or promoted, depending on the nature of the oxide/support interaction, whereas reduction of islands of metal oxides may be similar to unsupported oxide, in which case the support acts purely as a dispersing agent.

Metal atoms and small metal crystallites are mobile on the surface of oxidic supports (3) so that under appropriate conditions the reduction of a homogeneously dispersed metal oxide may proceed via the reduction of individual metal ions, or groups of metal ions followed by surface diffusion to form small metal crystallites which in their turn may migrate and combine to form particles of the reduced phase. Such a reduced phase may have an autocatalytic effect on the reduction. The nucleation processes may, however, be hindered by metal/ support interactions reducing the mobility of metal atoms. Furthermore, any autocatalytic effect of the reduced phase may be hindered if activated hydrogen species are not mobile across the support surface at the reduction temperature.

## 2.1.1.5 Bimetallics

First we will consider a metal oxide which has been doped with a foreign metal. Nucleation can occur then at four different sites (4):

- a) Undisturbed portions of the surface. This is natural or spontaneous nucleation and corresponds to a similar mechanism as on undoped samples.
- b) Disordered regions of the surface, *i.e.*, regions that have been perturbed by the incorporating process, but where no
dopant is present.

- c) Regions where the parent metal ion has, been replaced by the dopant ion.
- Regions where the dopant, representing a distinct new phase, is in contact with the metal oxide.

For c) and d), nucleation occurs in contact with the dopant ion, and the ease of reducibility of the dopant ion, compared to the parent ion, is of major importance. If the dopant ion reduces first, it may produce a high concentration of active hydrogen on the surface which may promote the reduction. Reduction is autocatalytic when reduced metal formed in the early stages of reduction catalyzes the reduction by H<sub>2</sub> activation.

First row transition metals (Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) have been found to promote the reduction of CuO (5). In such cases the dopant ion is not thought to reduce before the parent ion, and the promoting effect is thought to arise due to increased nucleation at sites such as b) and c) above.

Now, we will consider supported bimetallic catalysts which are of increasing importance due to the promoting action that second components can have on the activity of a particular catalytic metal, usually by virtue of alloy formation. It is therefore vital to characterize the state of metallic components in such catalysts to determine the role of the second metallic component. However, in such catalysts the metal species are often present in such small amounts and are so finely dispersed that identification of alloying is not straightforward. TPR has proven to be useful in characterization of supported bimetallic catalysts, provided that a significant difference in reduction behaviour of the constituent metal oxides exists. A number of investigations of bimetallic catalyst systems have been reported which are summarized in the review by Hurst *et al.* (1).

When a TPR profile for an oxidized bimetallic catalyst resembles the sum of the profiles of the two individual metal oxides, there is most likely little or no interaction between the

metallic species. However, when the TPR profile is characterized by a single peak and the maximum of which is shifted towards lower temperature compared to the reduction maximum of the less noble metal, the influence of each component on the others reducibility is obvious. This indicates that the two metal oxides are close together and that the noble metal serves as catalyst for the reduction of the less noble metal. The catalytic effect can be explained by assuming that the noble metal atoms, reduced by hydrogen, act as nucleation centres. At these sites H2 is dissociated to yield H atoms which are sufficiently reactive to reduce the oxide of the less noble metal. This mechanism is sometimes called 'intra particle hydrogen spill-over' (6). It is not a necessary prerequisite that the metal oxides are in intimate contact. The hydrogen atoms formed on the noble metal may spill over to the support and when these species are mobile across the support surface at the reduction temperature they can reach the oxide of the less noble metal which is reduced then.

Using TPR in order to obtain information about formation of alloys in impregnated bimetallic catalysts the situation is complicated by the nature of salts used in the preparation (e.g., nitrate and nitrite). During TPR a simultaneous reduction of the salts (*i.e.* metal ions) can occur. Despite this problem one can obtain useful information about the reduction of impregnated catalysts as demonstrated in chapter 4, 5 and 6.

## 2.1.2 TEMPERATURE PROGRAMMED OXIDATION

In contrast with TPR, TPO is a technique which has not frequently been used in the investigation of reduced supported catalysts. This may be caused by the fact that TPO profiles are normally characterized by broad peaks which are difficult to interpret. In this dissertation, however, the strength of the TPO technique will be demonstrated.

#### 2.1.2.1 Thermodynamics

The overall driving force of metal-oxygen reactions is the Gibb's free-energy change associated with the formation of the oxide from the reactants:

$$M(s) + \frac{1}{2}O_2(g) \rightarrow MO(s).$$

Thermodynamically the oxide will be formed only if the ambient oxygen pressure is larger than the dissociation pressure of the oxide in equilibrium with its metal.

In this context it should be noted that oxides formed are defective and they exhibit deviations from stoechiometry and that the partial pressure of oxygen above an oxide is a function of the non-stoechiometry and the defect structure. Most of the Gibb's free-energy data reported in the literature have been determined only for stoechiometric oxides, and such data may not be used for an accurate evaluation of the dissociation pressure of a non-stoechiometric oxide.

Although the Gibb's free-energy change is the driving force, it may bear little or no relation to the rates of reaction. This is a kinetic problem. Rates of reaction are dependent on the reaction mechanism and the rate-determining reaction or process.

#### 2.1.2.2 Kinetics and Mechanism

The initial step in the metal-oxygen reaction involves the adsorption of gas on the metal surface. As the reaction proceeds, oxygen may dissolve in the metal; then oxide is formed on the surface either as a film or as separate oxide nuclei. Both the adsorption and the initial oxide formation are functions of surface orientation, crystal defects at the surface, surface preparation, and impurities in both the metal and the gas.

An important feature of this initial oxide formation is that isolated oxide nuclei nucleate at what appear to be random positions on the surface.

#### Low Temperature Oxidation

It is characteristic for the oxidation of a large number of metals at low temperatures (generally below 573-673 K) that the reaction is initially quite rapid and then the ratio drops off to lower negligibly small values. This behaviour can often be described by a logarithmic equation (see figure 4).



## Figure 4 Examples of logarithmic and parabolic oxidation, characteristic for, respectively, low and high oxidation temperatures.

In the theory developed by Mott and Caberra (7, 8) it is assumed that oxygen atoms are adsorbed on the oxide surface and that electrons can pass rapidly through the oxide by tunneling to establish an equilibrium between the metal and adsorbed oxygen. This process creates an electric field which facilitates the transport of ions across the oxide film. Mott and Cabrera's model for this oxide growth may be expected to be valid only for oxide thicknesses smaller than 20 Å, which is the largest thickness for which sufficient electrons can tunnel through the oxide (8). However, Hauffe (9) has shown that the same rate equation may

be derived on the assumption of a rate-determining transport of ions through the film.

#### High Temperature Oxidation

Most frequently, high temperature oxidation of metals results in the formation of an oxide film or scale on the metal surface. The mechanism of oxidation depends on the nature of the scale. If solid scales are formed, the oxidation behaviour depends on whether the scales are compact or porous. A compact scale acts as a barrier which separates the metal and the oxygen gas. If sufficient oxygen is available at the oxide surface, the rate of oxidation at high temperatures will be limited by solid-state diffusion, for example by lattice grain boundary, or short-circuit diffusion through the compact scale. As the oxides grows in thickness, the diffusion distance increases, the rate of reaction will decrease with time and can be described by the parabolic rate equation (see figure 4). A widely applied theory of high temperature parabolic oxidation has been formulated by Wagner (10). It is assumed that a volume diffusion of the reacting ions (or corresponding point defects) or a transport of electrons across the growing scale is the rate-determining process of the total reaction. Electrons and ions are considered to migrate independently of each other. As diffusion through the scale is rate-determining, reactions at phase boundaries are considered rapid, and it is assumed that thermodynamic equilibrium is established between the oxide and oxygen gas at the oxide/oxygen interface and between the metal and the oxide at the metal/oxide phase boundary. A schematic representation of diffusion processes which may take place in a compact scale, is presented in figure 5.



Figure 5 Schematic representation of diffusion processes which may take place in a compact scale during high temperature parabolic oxidation of metals.

A commonly observed feature is that a compact scale is formed during initial stages of reaction but ruptures in and fragmentation of the oxide scale will occur after it has reached a certain critical thickness.

#### 2.1.2.3 Oxidation of Alloys

Oxidation of alloys involves the same general phenomena as for unalloyed metals. But as alloys in general contain two or more oxidizable constituents, a number of additional factors and parameters must be taken into account if a comprehensive description of the oxidation behaviour of alloys is to be given. As a result oxidation mechanisms are more complex for alloys than for pure metals.

The components of alloys have different affinities for oxygen, and the reacting atoms do not diffuse at the same rates either in the oxide or the alloy phases. As a result, oxide scales on alloys will not contain the same molar fractions of the alloy constituents as the alloy phase does. Furthermore, the composition and structure of oxide scales on alloys will often change when the oxidation proceeds, and the oxidation kinetics, in their turn, often markedly deviate from ideal and simple rate equations. Other complicating factors arise in that lowmelting oxide eutectics may sometimes be formed and cause accelerated oxidation.

In general, during alloy oxidation more than one oxide may be formed. Alloy oxidation can be classified in terms of different types of behaviour:

- a) Selective oxidation in which the least noble metal of the alloy is preferentially oxidized.
- b) Formation of composite scales consisting of oxides which may be considered to be mutually insoluble and which do not react with each other.

c) Formation of scales with mixed oxides.

The oxidation behaviour of an alloy A-B, in which B is the less noble metal, which oxides AO and BO are practically insoluble in each other, can in most cases be divided into three main regions: 1) at high A concentrations the oxide scale consists solely of AO, 2) at high B concentrations the scale consists of BO, 3) in an intermediate concentration range a composite scale consisting of AO and BO is formed. A theoretical analysis of the diffusion processes in both the alloy and the oxide phase during oxidation of alloys leading to composite scales has been given by Wagner (11). A schematic representation of the diffusion processes during oxidation of A-B alloys is presented in figure 6.





- a) Exclusive formation of A oxide at low concentration of metal B.
- b) Selective formation of B oxide at low concentration of metal A.

When the oxides of the alloying components may react with each other and form a more stable complex oxide (double oxides, spinels, *etc.*) at least part of the oxide scale will also consist of the mixed oxide. Diffusion rates in double oxides and spinels are often appreciably smaller than in the single oxides, and protective scales on high-temperature oxidationresistant alloys often consist of complex oxides.

## 2.2 EXTENDED X-RAY ABSORPTION FINE STRUCTURE

## 2.2.1 WHAT IS EXAFS?

EXAFS refers to the fine structure of the X-ray absorption coefficient that appears for photon energies in the range of approximately 0-1500 eV above an absorption edge as illustrated in figure 7b for rhodium foil. Although the extended fine structure has been known for over 50 years, the structural information it offers was not fully recognized until the work of Stern, Lytle and Sayers (12, 13). In addition, the recent availability of synchrotron radiation has resulted in the establishment of EXAFS as a practical structural tool. EXAFS is a final state interference effect involving scattering of the outgoing photoelectron from the neighbouring atoms as is shown schematically in figure 7 (for details see text below).



Figure 7 Qualitative rationalization of the absence and presence, respectively, of EXAFS in a monatomic gas such as Kr (a and c) and rhodium foil (b and d).

EXAFS is sensitive to short-range order and can provide unique structural information on chemical or biological systems also in those cases where conventional diffraction methods are not applicable. For instance, this technique is well suited for determining coordinations of very finely dispersed metal atoms in catalysts.

For a monatomic gas such as Kr (figure 7a and 7c) with no neighbouring atoms, the ejection of a photoelectron by absorption of an X-ray photon results in a spherical wave with a wavelength  $\lambda = 2\pi/k$ , where

$$k = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n^2}(E - E_0)}$$
 (1)

Here E (= hv) is the incident photon energy and  $E_0$  is the threshold energy of that particular absorption edge. The  $\mu$  vs. E curve follows the usual smooth  $\lambda^3$  decay (cf. figure 7a). In the presence of neighbouring atoms (e.g., in Rh-foil, figure 7b and 7d), this outgoing photoelectron can be backscattered from the neighbouring atoms thereby producing an incoming wave which can interfere either constructively or destructively with the outgoing wave near the origin, resulting in the oscillatory behaviour of the absorption (cf. figure 7b). The amplitude and frequency of this sinusoidal modulation of  $\mu$  vs. E depend on the type (and bonding) of the neighbouring atoms and their distances away from the absorber, respectively.

This simple picture of EXAFS has been formulated into the generally accepted short-range single-electron single-scattering theory (12-16). For reasonably high energies above the edge (> 40 eV) and moderate thermal or static disorders, the modulation of the absorption, normalized to the 'background' absorptions ( $\mu_0$ ) is given by

$$\chi(E) = \frac{\mu(E) - \mu_0(E)}{\mu_0(E)} .$$
 (2)

In order to relate  $\chi(E)$  to structural parameters, it is necessary to convert the energy E into the photoelectron wavevector k via equation (1). This is illustrated in figure 8a, which shows the EXAFS function  $\chi(k)$  of the Rh K-edge of Rhfoil as a function of wavenumber k.

## 2.2.2 EQUATIONS DESCRIBING THE EXAFS

For K-edges, the derivations of Sayers *et al.* (17) as well as those of Ashley and Doniach (14) have led to a semi-empirical equation for the EXAFS function  $\chi(\mathbf{k})$  in terms of the atoms coordinating the specific species whose edge is being studied The  $\chi(\mathbf{k})$  is given by:

$$\chi(\mathbf{k}) = -\Sigma \mathbf{A}_{j}(\mathbf{k}) \sin[2\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}_{j} + \delta_{j}(\mathbf{k})].$$
(3)

The summation extends over all neighbour shells j separated from the absorbing atom by a distance  $R_j$ .  $\delta_j(k)$  is twice the phase shift of the outgoing electron wave relative to the 1s core state together with the phase shift on backscattering.  $A_j(k)$  is the total amplitude and assuming that the neighbour shell consists of identical atoms the amplitude is given in the single scattering approximation by:

$$A_{j}(k) = (N_{j}/kR_{j}^{2})f_{j}(k)e^{-2\sigma_{j}^{2}k^{2}}e^{-2R_{j}/\lambda(k)}$$
(4)

N<sub>j</sub> is the coordination number of the absorbing atom for the jth shell, f<sub>j</sub>(k) is the backscattering amplitude of the scatterer atom,  $\sigma_j^2$  is the Debye-Waller factor and the second exponential term is a damping term due to inelastic scattering (mean free path  $\lambda(k)$  of the photoelectrons). Realizing that we have presented only a simplified version of the equations of the EXAFS function we refer the reader to reference (18) for a more detailed discussion of this function.



Figure 8 a) Normalized EXAFS data of the K absorption edge of Rh-foil recorded at liquid nitrogen temperature.

- b) The associated  $k^{1}$ -weighed Fourier transform taken over the range of wavevector 2.7  $\leq k \leq 20$  Å<sup>-1</sup>.
- c) The inverse transform of the latter over an r-range of 0.5 3.0 Å.

## 2.2.3 EXAFS ANALYSIS

The contributions of various neighbour shells to the  $\chi(k)$  can be sorted out by Fourier transformation of the EXAFS signal yielding the radial structure function,

$$FT(r) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{k_{min}}^{k_{max}} \chi(k) k^{n} W(k) e^{-2ikr} dk.$$
 (5)

n is usually chosen  $1 \le n \le 3$  depending on whether the low (n = 1) or high (n = 3) EXAFS range is to be emphasized. W(k) is a window function and as we choose  $k_{\min}$  and  $k_{\max}$  in nodes of  $\chi(k)$  a suitable function for W(k) is given by:

$$W(k) \begin{cases} 1 & k_{\min} \leq k \leq k_{\max} \\ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The peaks in the magnitude function |FT(r)| occur at distances which are related to the real neighbour shell distance via a phase shift  $\delta_j(k)$  (see equation (3)). An illustration of the Fourier transform of the EXAFS function of Rh-foil is presented in figure 8b. The various neighbour shells in the radial structure function can clearly be seen. The sidelobe at the left side of the main peak around 2.4 Å will be discussed later. The EXAFS data discussed in this dissertation are analysed by using reference samples with known crystal structures (the theory-independent analysis) and the Fourier filtering approach has been used. A neighbour shell to be analysed (which corresponds to a specific peak in |FT(r)|) is isolated by using a suitable window function in r space. A W(r) has been used as given by:

$$W(r) \begin{cases} 1 & r_{\min} < r < r_{\max} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The inverse transform of the complex function FT(r),

$$\chi'(k) = \frac{2}{k^{n}\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{r_{min}}^{r_{max}} FT(r)W(r)e^{2ikr}dr, \qquad (6)$$

produces a real and imaginary part,

$$\chi'(k) = A(k)e^{i\phi(k)} = X(k) + iY(k),$$
 (7)

with amplitude  $A(k) = [X^{2}(k) + Y^{2}(k)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and phase  $\phi(k) = 2kR + \delta(k) = \arctan[X(k)/Y(k)]$ .

An illustration of the inverse transformation from the Rh-foil Fourier transform is given in figure 8c. This transformation taken over a limited r-range of 0.5 - 3 Å yields the EXAFS part limited to contributions from the nearest neighbour rhodium atoms.

By comparing the phase  $\phi\left(k\right)$  and amplitude A(k) of a sample which structure is not known and a properly chosen reference sample with the same absorbing atom and backscatterer atom one obtains the structural parameters: the average coordination number  $\overline{N}$ , the interatomic distance R and the Debye-Waller factor  $\Delta\sigma^2$  of the unknown system, relative to the reference compound.

#### 2.2.3.1 Phase analysis

Let  $\phi_{\rm S}({\rm k}) = 2{\rm kR}_{\rm S} + \delta({\rm k})$  be the experimentally determined phase for the 'standard' with known distance R<sub>S</sub> between a given atom-pair. For the unknown system consisting of the same atompair we denote the determined phase  $\phi_{\rm X}({\rm k}) = 2{\rm kR}_{\rm X} + \delta({\rm k})$ . In both cases the scattering phase shift  $\delta({\rm k})$  is the same except for a small difference due to possible changes in bonding. This concept of 'phase shift transferability' (19) has its physical origin in the fact that the core-electron potential dominates the scattering process for k > 4 Å<sup>-1</sup>. We allow for differences in bonding by introducing an 'inner potential'  $\Delta E$ . The momentum of the photoelectron is then redefined as (compare equation (1))

$$k' = \sqrt{(2m/\hbar^2) \times (E - E_0 - \Delta E)},$$
 (8)

or

$$k' = (k^2 - 0.2625 \Delta E)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (9)

Comparison of the two phases yields the unknown bond length R<sub>x</sub>:

$$R_{x} = R_{s} + (\phi_{x} - \phi_{s})/2(k^{2} - 0.2625 \Delta E)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (10)

 $R_{\chi}$  can be plotted as a function of k for various values of  $\Delta E$ . For the proper choice of  $\Delta E$  (-10 eV <  $\Delta E$  < 10 eV)  $R_{\chi}$  should be a constant for all k-values. This procedure determines the distance  $R_{\chi}$  and  $\Delta E$  in a self-consistent fashion.

### 2.2.3.2 Amplitude Analysis

Determination of the coordination number requires comparison with a model system, a procedure which relies on amplitude transferability. According to Stern *c.s.* (18) this concept, however, does not work as well as that for the phase because both the Debye-Waller and inelastic loss terms in equation (4) are inherently determined by the chemically sensitive valence electrons, even at larger k (> 4  $A^{-1}$ ) values. That is why even in favourable cases coordination numbers can only be determined to an accuracy of about 10%.

Comparison of amplitudes is usually done by plotting  $\ln[A_{s}(k)/A_{x}(k)]$  as a function of  $k^{2}$ . Here  $A_{s}(k)$  is the amplitude function corresponding to a given neighbour shell of a standard and  $A_{x}(k)$  is that of the system under investigation. From equation (4) it follows that:

$$\ln[A_{s}(k)/A_{x}(k)] = \ln(N_{s}R_{x}^{2}/N_{x}R_{s}^{2}) + 2k^{2}(\sigma_{x}^{2} - \sigma_{s}^{2}) + 2(R_{x}/\lambda_{x} - R_{s}/\lambda_{s}).$$
(11)

For an isotropic electron the mean free paths are about  $\lambda_x \sim \lambda_s \sim \lambda$ , and therefore the last term can be neglected because  $2|R_y - R_s| \approx 0.1 \text{ Å} << \lambda \approx 5 \text{\AA}$ .

Equation (11) also assumes that the backscattering amplitude  $f_x(k) = f_s(k)$ . A linear plot of  $\ln[A_s(k)/A_x(k)]$  versus  $k^2$  yields the unknown coordination number N<sub>x</sub> from the ordinate intercept at k = 0 and  $\Delta \sigma^2 (= \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_s^2)$  from the slope.

## 2.2.3.3 Phase Shift and Amplitude Correction

A phase shift correction on the Fourier transform is applied in order to obtain real interatomic distances R in r-space.

In general, the complex Fourier transform of one shell which is corrected for an arbitrary phase is given by:

$$FT^{\delta^{*}}(r) \approx \int_{k_{min}}^{k_{max}} A(k)k^{n}W(k)\sin(2kR + \delta)e^{-2ikr - i\delta^{*}}dk, \qquad (12)$$

with the imaginary part given by:

$$\operatorname{ImFT}^{\delta^*}(\mathbf{r}) \approx \int_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{k}} A(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{n}} W(\mathbf{k}) \sin(2\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R} + \delta) \sin(2\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r} + \delta^*) d\mathbf{k}. \quad (13)$$

If the phase used for correction originates from the same scatterer pair as the analysed one  $(\delta^* = \delta)$  it has been shown by Lee and Beni (16) that  $ImFT^{\delta}(r)$  is a symmetric function which peaks positively at r = R and the maximum coincides with the maximum of the absolute value of the Fourier transform  $|FT^{\delta}(r)|$ .

From equation (13) it can be clearly seen that the imaginary part peaks negatively when the phase used for phase shift correction differs  $\pi$  radians with the one to be analysed.  $(\text{ImFT}^{\delta+\pi}(r) = -\text{ImFT}^{\delta}(r)).$ 

The radial structure function of a nearest neighbour Rh-Rh distance is characterized by a main peak and a sidelobe, as can be clearly seen for Rh-foil in figure 8b. The sidelobe is caused by the non-linear k-dependences of the backscattering amplitude and the phase of rhodium. When the Fourier transform



- Figure 9 Influence of phase shift and amplitude correction on the radial structure function of a calculated rhodium-rhodium nearest neighbour EXAFS using parameter values characteristic for highly dispersed rhodium catalysts (N(Rh-Rh) = 6, R = 2.68 Å,  $\Delta\sigma^2$  = 0.005 Å<sup>2</sup>). For the calculation the phase and amplitude have been used which have been obtained from measurements on Rh-foil. a) The calculated EXAFS function.
  - b) The  $k^1$ -weighed Fourier transform (FT) of the EXAFS signal presented in a) taken over a k-range of 2.7 15  $A^{-1}$ . The solid line and dotted line represent respectively the absolute value and imaginary part of FT.
  - c) The Fourier transform corrected for rhodium phase shift (FT').
  - d) The Fourier transform corrected for rhodium phase shift and backscattering amplitude (FT'').

is corrected for phase shift and divided by the amplitude the sidelobe is eliminated. The mathematical description of the Fourier transform of one shell corrected for backscattering amplitude and phase shift is given by:

$$FT^{\delta_{S},A_{S}}(r) \approx \int_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}/N_{S} \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}/N_{S}}}^{k \max} k^{n}W(k)\sin(2kR+\delta)e^{-2ikr-i\delta_{S}}dk.$$
(14)

The subscript stands for 'standard'.

When the normal procedure for analysing EXAFS is modified by using phase shift and backscattering amplitude corrections small contributions of shells in the EXAFS can be determined in a reliable way. This has been illustrated in chapter 7 and 8 for the EXAFS of the Rh K-edge of highly dispersed Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts where a small contribution of Rh-carrier 0 may be hidden by a main Rh-Rh peak.

Figure 9 attempts to convey, pictorially, the influence of phase shift and amplitude correction on the radial structure function of a calculated rhodium-rhodium nearest neighbour EXAFS using parameter values characteristic for highly dispersed Rh catalysts (N(Rh-Rh) = 6, R = 2.68 Å,  $\Delta\sigma^2 = 0.005 \text{ Å}^2$ ). For the calculation the phase ( $\delta_s$ ) and the amplitude ((kR $_s^2/N_s$ ) x A $_s$ (k)) of Rh-foil have been used.

## 2.3 REFERENCES

- N.W. Hurst, S.J. Gentry and A. Jones, Catal. Rev., -Sci. Eng., 24, 233 (1982).
- 2. J. Haber, J. Less-Common Met., 54, 243 (1977).
- E. Ruchenstein and B. Pulvermacher, J. Catal., <u>29</u>, 224 (1973).
- H. Charcosset and B. Delmon, Ind. Chim. Belg., <u>38</u>, 481 (1973).

- 5. S.J. Gentry, N.W. Hurst and A. Jones, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday I, 77, 603 (1981).
- J.R. Anderson, "Structure of Metallic Catalysts", Academic Press, New York, (1975).
- 7. N.F. Mott, Trans. Faraday Soc., 43, 429 (1947).
- N. Cabrera, in "Semiconductor Surface Physics", edited by R.H. Kingston, University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia, (1957).
- K. Hauffe, in "The Surface Chemistry of Metals and Semiconductors", edited by H.C. Gatos, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, (1960).
- 10. C. Wagner, Z. Physik. Chem., B21, 25 (1933).
- 11. C. Wagner, Z. Electrochem., 63, 773 (1956).
- 12. E.A. Stern, Phys. Rev. B, 10, 3027 (1974).
- 13. E.A. Stern, D.E. Sayers and F.W. Lytle, Phys. Rev. B, <u>11</u>, 4836 (1975).
- 14. C.A. Ashley and S. Doniach, Phys. Rev. B, 11, 1279 (1975).
- 15. P.A. Lee and J.B. Pendry, Phys. Rev. B, 11, 2795 (1975).
- 16. P.A. Lee and G. Beni, Phys. Rev. B, 15, 2862 (1977).
- 17. D.E. Sayers, E.A. Stern and F.W. Lytle, Phys. Rev. Lett., 27, 1204 (1971).
- E.A. Stern, B.A.Bunker and S.M. Heald, Phys. Rev. B, <u>21</u>, 5521 (1980).
- 19. P.H. Citrin, P. Eisenberger and B.M. Kincaid, Phys. Rev. Lett., 36, 1346 (1976).

#### chapter 3

# THE MORPHOLOGY OF RHODIUM SUPPORTED ON TiO<sub>2</sub> AND $AI_2O_3$ AS STUDIED WITH TPR. TPO AND TEM

## 3.1 ABSTRACT

Supported  $Rh/AI_2O_3$  and  $Rh/TiO_2$  catalysts with varying metal loadings have been investigated with chemisorption and temperature programmed reduction and oxidation. Hydrogen chemisorption shows that all the rhodium on  $Al_2O_3$  is well dispersed (H/Rh > 1 for loadings < 5 wt% and H/Rh > 0.5 up to 20 wt%), dispersion on TiO<sub>2</sub> is much lower. TPR/TPO shows this is due to the growth of two different kinds of  $Rh_2O_3/Rh$  on TiO<sub>2</sub>; one kind is easily reduced/oxidized, showing high dispersion, the other kind is harder to reduce/oxidize, showing lower dispersion. TEM has shown that the first kind of  $Rh_2O_3$  consists of flat, raft-like particles, the second kind of spherical particles.

## 3.2 INTRODUCTION

Over the past years rhodium has been gaining importance in catalytic chemistry. Not only is rhodium widely recognized as the best catalyst to promote the reduction of NO in three way catalysts (1-3), it also takes a special place in the conversion of synthesis gas, since its product range can include oxygenated products (alcohols, aldehydes, acids) besides hydrocarbons (4-11). Various workers have tried to influence the selectivity and activity of the supported rhodium catalysts in syngas conversion via a special preparation (4, 5), via additives (6-8) and via control over the oxidation state of the rhodium in the catalysts (9-11).

Ichikawa deposited rhodium-carbonyl clusters on various supports (4, 5) and after pyrolysis of the clusters, he found a large range of selectivities towards oxygenates in the hydrogenation of CO. He explained this by the acid-base properties of the supports (12).

Somorjai c.s. tried to hydrogenate CO over unsupported rhodium and rhodium foil, and found only hydrocarbons, unless they pre-oxidized the metal (9).  $H_2$  + CO atmosphere led to the reduction to rhodium metal and the production of hydrocarbons, whereas  $Rh_2O_3.5H_2O$  was better resistant towards reduction and produced oxygenates for a long time (10).

Where the influence of additives or mixed oxides worked out into the right direction of enhanced oxygenate production, some workers traced this to the presence of rhodium ions in the surface (11, 13). The supposed presence of rhodium ions has been a point of discussion for guite some time. Several authors claimed it to be present in monometallic rhodium catalysts. Worley et al. investigated among others a 0.5 wt% Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>0<sub>3</sub> catalyst via infrared spectroscopy of adsorbed CO (14-16), and ascribed several infrared bands to CO molecules bound to isolated Rh<sup>1+</sup> sites. This is in contrast with earlier findings by D.J.C. Yates et al. (18). They investigated some Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts with electron microscopy and found rhodium to be present as metallic rafts. They did not mention any isolated Rh<sup>1+</sup> sites, but, as Worley and co-workers stipulated themselves, the two groups used very different methods of preparation of the samples.

In all cases it seems obvious that the support plays an important role in either bringing or keeping the metal in a certain state of (un)reactivity. A special example of such an interaction between metal and support has been described by Tauster *et al.* (19, 20) and is now known as Strong Metal Support Interaction (SMSI). Supported noble and transition

metals such as Pt, Rh, Ru etc. are normally capable of chemisorbing among others  $H_2$  and CO. But if they are supported on oxides as TiO<sub>2</sub>,  $V_2O_3$  and  $Nb_2O_5$ , and if they have been reduced at high temperatures (*e.g.*, 773 K), this chemisorption capability is greatly diminished. This SMSI phenomenon has been related to the occurrence of lower oxides of the supports (21), although these are known to be formed at lower temperatures than necessary to cause SMSI (22) and the exact nature of the interaction still remains unclear. The SMSI state can be destroyed according to Tauster *c.s.* by oxidation at elevated temperatures, followed by low temperature reduction (473 K): this procedure restores normal chemisorption behaviour (19).

All of the above mentioned phenomena have to do with one common property: the oxidation and reduction behaviour of supported rhodium catalysts. We therefore decided to study a number of  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  and  $Rh/TiO_2$  catalysts with varying metal loadings (see Experimental).  $Al_2O_3$  was chosen because it is known as a support giving good dispersions and stable catalysts, and  $TiO_2$  because it is known to exhibit SMSI. We varied the metal loading to create a variation in particle size, to see if and how oxidation, reduction and SMSI behaviour are influenced by particle size.

Before we come to the experimental techniques we used, we want to introduce another item: passivation. It is obvious that reduced catalyst systems cannot simply be removed from the reduction reactor and then be stored in air for later use; we stabilize the metal surface by applying a layer of oxygen upon the metal particles in a controlled way (see Experimental): we passivate the catalysts. Although a simple low temperature reduction is sufficient to remove the passivation oxygen again (as will be shown), some authors have given attention to the state the catalysts are in after storage in air. One can see this as a prolonged passivation, but without the precautions we take to prevent uncontrollable effects upon the first contact between air and the reduced metal catalyst. So Burwell Jr. *et al.* used Wide Angle X-ray Scattering, Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure, hydrogen chemisorption and hydrogen-

oxygen titration to characterize their supported Pt and Pd catalysts (23-26), and they found their catalysts to be oxidized to a great extent after prolonged storage in air.

We will show that a good insight into all these matters can be gained with the aid of Temperature Programmed Reduction and of Temperature Programmed Oxidation (TPR and TPO), supported by chemisorption measurements. TPR as a characterization technique was presented by Jenkins, Robertson and McNicoll in 1975 (27, 18) and has been used extensively in the past few years. The development has been reviewed by Hurst *et al.* (29). The technique allows one to get (semi)quantitative information about the rate and ease of reduction of all kinds of systems, and once the apparatus has been built the analyses are fast and relatively cheap. We used an apparatus as described by Boer *et al.* (30), which enabled us to extend the analyses to Temperature Programmed Oxidation, and to gather information about the rate and ease of oxidation as well.

# 3.3 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

TiO<sub>2</sub> (anatase, Tioxide Ltd., CLDD 1367, surface area 20 m<sup>2</sup>/g, pore volume 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>/g) and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Ketjen, 000-1.5 E, surface area 200 m<sup>2</sup>/g, pore volume 0.6 cm<sup>3</sup>/g) were impregnated with aqueous solutions of RhCl<sub>3</sub>.xH<sub>2</sub>O via the incipient wetness technique to prepare the catalysts. Their characteristics are presented in table 1 and table 2.

wt% Rh	H/Rh	
2.3	1.53	
4.6	0.96	
8.5	0.81	
11.6	0.67	
20.0	0.54	

Table 1 Hydrogen chemisorption of the Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (RA) catalysts.

wt% Rh	H/Rh	
	LT <sup>1)</sup>	нт <sup>2.)</sup>
0.3	1.10	0.00
0.7	0.61	0.01
1.0	0.41	0.01
2.0	0.35	0.01
3.2	0.22	0.02
8.1	0.12	0.04

Table 2 Hydrogen chemisorption of the Rh/TiO, (RT) catalysts.

1) reduced in situ at 523 K
2) reduced in situ at 773 K

The catalysts will be denoted from now on as RT  $(Rh/TiO_2)$  and RA  $(Rh/Al_2O_3)$  catalysts, followed by the metal loading. After impregnation the catalysts were dried in air at 355, 375 and 395 K for 2 hours successively, followed by direct pre-reduction in flowing H<sub>2</sub> at 773 K for one hour. Prior to removing the catalysts from the reduction reactor they were passivated at room temperature by replacing the H<sub>2</sub> flow by N<sub>2</sub> and subsequently slowly adding O<sub>2</sub> up to 20%. Then the catalysts were taken out of the reactor and stored for further use.

In the TPR-TPO apparatus used, a 5%  $H_2$  in Ar or a 5%  $O_2$  in He flow can be directed through a microreactor, which is connected to a temperature programmer.  $H_2$  or  $O_2$  consumption is being monitored continuously by means of a Thermal Conductivity Detector (TCD). A typical sequence of experiments is as follows: - the passivated or oxidized sample is flushed under Ar at 223 K,

- Ar is replaced by the Ar/H<sub>2</sub> mixture, causing at least an apparent H<sub>2</sub> consumption (first switch peak),
- the sample is heated under  $Ar/H_2$  flow with 5 K/min to 873 K,
- after 15 min at 873 K, the sample is cooled down with 10 K/min to 223 K,
- the reduced sample is flushed with Ar,

- Ar flow is replaced by the Ar/H<sub>2</sub> mixture once more, now causing only an apparent H<sub>2</sub> consumption (second switch peak).

An identical sequence is followed during TPO, so the final oxidation temperature in TPO is also 873 K, unless stated otherwise.

The switch peak procedure deserves some closer attention. The strong signal we call the first switch peak is due mainly to the displacement of Ar by  $Ar/H_2$  in the reactor, but in some cases real hydrogen consumption might take place, even at 223 K. Therefore, we repeat the whole procedure after the TPR has been performed: in that case the catalyst has been reduced and cooled down to 223 K, and as a consequence it is covered by hydrogen. Then we replace the  $Ar/H_2$  by pure Ar. Subsequently, we switch back to  $Ar/H_2$ . Since we cannot expect any hydrogen consumption from the reduced, hydrogen covered sample at this time, the resulting second switch peak will be due solely to the displacement of Ar by  $Ar/H_2$ . So the difference between the first and second switch peak reveals the real hydrogen consumption at 223 K, if there is any.

The reactions that might take place during TPO and TPR are:

 $4Rh + 3O_2 \stackrel{2}{\leftarrow} 2Rh_2O_3$   $(O_2/Rh = 0.75)$  $Rh_2O_3 + 3H_2 \stackrel{2}{\leftarrow} 2Rh + 3H_2O$   $(H_2/Rh = 1.50).$ 

The quantities between brackets are the hydrogen or oxygen consumptions in TPR or TPO expected for reduction of bulk  $Rh_2O_3$  or formation of this very material (apart from chemisorption of any kind). In a standard experiment a TPR is done on a passivated catalyst, followed by TPO (on the now reduced catalyst), followed by TPR (on the now oxidized catalyst).

Chemisorption measurements were carried out in a conventional glass apparatus after reduction of the passivated catalysts at 773 K in flowing  $H_2$  for one hour, followed by evacuation at 473 K for another hour. After hydrogen admission at 473 K desorption isotherms were measured at room temperature. For the RT series 773 K (High Temperature) reduction will induce SMSI, and so the RT catalysts were also reduced at 523 K (Low Temperature) to study their normal chemisorption behaviour. In measuring the desorption isotherms desorption became only noticeable at pressures below 200 torr (1 torr = 133.3 N/m<sup>2</sup>) so we believe that the chemisorption value above that pressure is representative of monolayer coverage (*cf.* Frennet *c.s.* (31)).

Transmission Electron Microscopy was carried out on a Jeol 200 CX top entry stage microscope. Photographs were taken at a magnification of 430,000 times, and then enlarged further photographically to a final magnification of 1,290,000 times. TEM measurements were done on samples preoxidized at 900 K. This temperature was chosen because, as TPO measurements will show, for some samples this temperature is necessary to cause total oxidation. Samples were prepared by applying a slurry of the catalyst in alcohol onto a coal coated copper grid, and evaporating the alcohol.

Metal loadings were established for the passivated samples colourimetrically.

# 3.4 RESULTS



## 3.4.1 HYDROGEN CHEMISORPTION

Figure 1 Hydrogen chemisorption of Rh/Y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts as a function of metal loading. Reduction temperature was 773 K.

The hydrogen chemisorption data as given in table 1 for the RA series  $(Rh/Al_2O_3)$  are represented graphically in figure 1. In a similar way the data from table 2, for the RT series  $(Rh/TiO_2)$ , are presented in figure 2.



Figure 2 Hydrogen chemisorption of Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts as a function of metal loading. Reduction temperature was 473 K (LT) or 773 K (HT).

For  $Rh/Al_2O_3$ , the H/Rh value drops below 1.0 somewhere around 5 wt% loading but H/Rh is still above 0.5 at 20 wt% (which catalyst had to be prepared via two successive impregnation and drying steps). For  $Rh/TiO_2$  reduced at 523 K H/Rh decreases much faster with increasing metal loading, and drops below 1.0 before the metal loading reaches 0.5 wt%. Of course we must keep in mind the 10 times larger surface area of the alumina, but it is obvious that anatase is less capable of stabilizing small rhodium particles than alumina. For  $Rh/TiO_2$  reduced at

773 K, some hydrogen chemisorption is still measurable at high metal loadings, but the measured values are of the order of magnitude of experimental error.

The attentive reader will have noticed by now that the catalysts must have been already in the SMSI-state after the pre-reduction at 773 K. The fact that they do show normal chemisorption behaviour after 523 K reduction implies that the passivation treatment they received has nullified SMSI.

The reduction and oxidation behaviour of a selected number of these catalysts, RA 2.3, 4.6 and 20.0 and RT 0.3, 1.0, 3.2 and 8.1 will be presented below.

## 3.4.2 TPR/TPO of the RA series

The Temperature Programmed Reduction profiles of the passivated RA catalysts are similar. The one for RA 2.3 is shown in figure 3.



Figure 3 Temperature Programmed Reduction of passivated 2.3 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_{2}O_{3}$ .

The horizontal axis shows the temperature, the vertical axis hydrogen consumption in arbitrary units.

All three catalysts show a hydrogen consumption maximum

below 300 K, followed by a slight desorption. Hydrogen consumption decreases from 1.33  $H_2/Rh$  for RA 2.3 (which is almost enough to account for the reduction of stoechiometric  $Rh_2O_3$ ), to 0.49  $H_2/Rh$  for RA 20.0 (average oxidation state of the rhodium in this case was +1).

The subsequent TPO profiles show more difference. For all three catalysts (see figure 4a, b, c), oxygen consumption starts at 223 K, that is in the switch peak.



Figure 4 Temperature Programmed Oxidation of reduced  $Rh/\gamma-Al_{2}O_{3}$ ; 2.3 wt% (a), 4.6 wt% (b) and 20.0 wt% (c).

For RA 2.3 the oxygen consumption rises at the beginning of the temperature ramp, to reach a maximum at 300 K, and to fall down slowly towards higher temperatures. Total oxygen consumption mounts up to 0.65  $O_2/Rh$ . The behaviour of RA 20.0 (figure 4c) is quite different. After some oxygen consumption in the switch peak, oxygen consumption keeps a low level for several hundreds degrees of temperature raise, to reach a maximum at 628 K.  $O_2/Rh$  is 0.70. The behaviour of RA 4.6 was an intermediate one (figure 4b). Integration of the oxygen consumption signal proved difficult, because of the small thermal conductivity of  $O_2$ , and due to the small sample sizes (typically 50-75 micromole of metal).

The TPR profile of the oxidized catalysts is shown in figure 5, and is alike for all three of them.



Figure 5 TPR of oxidized 20.0 wt% Rh/Y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

One sharp and well-defined peak at about 340 K, with an  $H_2/Rh$  value ranging from 1.3 (RA 20.0) to 1.6 (RA 2.3). In all three cases we assume we have to do with the reduction of supported  $Rh_2O_3$ . Unsupported  $Rh_2O_3$  in our apparatus showed a reduction peak at 400 K, while bulk rhodium metal only started to become bulk-oxidized above 870 K.

# 3.4.3 TPR/TPO OF THE RT SERIES

Figure 6 shows the TPR profiles of the passivated catalysts RT 0.3, RT 1.0, RT 3.2 and RT 8.1. With increasing metal loading, in other words decreasing H/Rh values, we see the reduction peak disappear into the switch peak at 223 K. For RT 0.3 the



Figure 6 TPR of passivated Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>; 0.3 wt% (a), 1.0 wt% (b), 3.2 wt% (c) and 8.1 wt% (d).

reduction peak is still completely separated from the switch peak, with a maximum at 273 K and an  $H_2/Rh$  of 0.56, for RT 8.1 the reduction peak has merged with the switch peak and the hydrogen consumption has dropped to  $H_2/Rh = 0.25$ . We believe the explanation for this lies in the fact that with decreasing H/Rh (and for RT 1.0 the H/Rh ratio is not more than 0.41, still lower than for RA 20.0) the metal particles keep a metallic core upon passivation. Hydrogen molecules can diffuse through the outer passivated layer of the particle, reach the metallic core and dissociate there to provide atomic hydrogen for an easy reduction of the oxide layer at low temperature. We find a support for this idea in figure 7 showing the TPO profiles of the respective catalysts.



Figure 7 TPO of reduced Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>; 0.3 wt% (a), 1.0 wt% (b), 3.2 wt% (c) and 8.1 wt% (d).

All catalysts show some oxygen consumption as soon as the temperature ramp has been started. The two low-loaded catalysts, RT 0.3 and RT 1.0 (7a and 7b) have an early consumption maximum around 300 K, like RA 2.3, but also show distinct consumption around 600 K, while RA 20.0 had a maximum around 620 K. In figure 7c, RT 3.2, we can distinguish three areas of oxygen consumption apart from the switch peak: around 350 K, 600 K and a new maximum at 770 K. This consumption at 770 K is dominant in figure 7d, the TPO of the reduced RT 8.1 catalyst. At first glance we can attribute the low temperature oxygen consumption to chemisorption and the high temperature oxygen consumption to thorough oxidation, but we will come back to this in the Discussion. Anyway, it is this last observation, the high temperature needed for thorough oxidation, that confirms the presence of a metallic core in larger particles after passivation.  $O_2/Rh$  is about 0.7 for all RT catalysts.

In figure 8 we see the TPR profiles of the oxidized catalysts, and a very interesting phenomenon shows up here.



Figure 8 TPR of oxidized Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>; 0.3 wt% (a), 1.0 wt% (b), 3.2 wt% (c) and 8.1 wt% (d).

RT 0.3 and RT 1.0 show only one clear consumption maximum, 330-340 K,  $H_2/Rh = 1.20$ , like the RA catalysts (figure 5). But RT 3.2 and RT 8.1 have a second consumption maximum at 385-400 K, about the temperature where unsupported bulk  $Rh_2O_3$ reduces. Taken both peaks together,  $H_2/Rh$  is about 1.70 for RT 3.2 and 1.41 for RT 8.1, so both peaks can without any doubt be ascribed to the reduction of  $Rh_2O_3$ . To be more specific, the low temperature TPR peak must belong to welldispersed, ill-defined  $Rh_2O_3$ , which is also easily formed, while the high temperature  $T\bar{P}R$  peak belongs to the reduction of bulk-like, crystalline  $Rh_2O_3$ , which can only be formed by the high temperature oxidation. This was proven earlier (32) by halting a TPO experiment at a temperature intermediate between the two maxima at 600 and 770 K. A subsequent TPR proved to miss the second reduction peak, so the 770 K TPO peak is connected to the 400 K TPR peak, and the low temperature TPR and TPO peaks must, as a consequence, be connected too.

In all cases, after a slight desorption of  $H_2$ ,  $H_2$  consumption rises again above baseline, showing two maxima around 600 and 740 K. We think this must be attributed to reduction of the support in the neighbourhood of the metal; the bare support does not reduce below 800 K.

## 3.4.4 TEM MEASUREMENTS

To make the difference between the  $Rh_2O_3$  reducible at 330 K and the  $Rh_2O_3$  reducible at 400 K more clear, we reduced part of the impregnated RT 1.0 batch at 773 K without drying, a method already used by Burwell c.s. (24) to prepare catalysts with low dispersions. After oxidation at 900 K for 1 hour we performed a TPR, shown in figure 9a. One single reduction peak for  $Rh_2O_3$ , at 410 K was observed. The subsequent TPO, shown in figure 9b, run this time up to 973 K, shows that oxidation proceeds readily only at about 900 K. The H/Rh value of the catalyst, after the 900 K oxidation and a 523 K reduction in situ, was 0.20.



Figure 9 1.0 wt% Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>, wet reduced; TPR of oxidized system (a) and TPO of reduced system (b). Note the temperature axis in TPO.

We examined this catalyst, RT 1.0 (wet), and the comparable RT 1.0 (figure 8b, 7b) catalyst with Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). Samples were prepared by applying a slurry of the at 900 K oxidized catalysts in absolute alcohol on a coal coated grid, and evaporating the alcohol. TEM micrographs are shown in figure 10. Figure 10a shows the bare support, anatase, as received from the manufacturer. Clearly visible is that the TiO, particles (in the order of magnitude of 50 nm in diameter) are covered with very tiny seed-crystals of TiO2, which apparently, due to the poor sintering capacities of these kinds of oxides, did not get the chance to grow into larger particles. In figure 10b (RT 1.0) we find them back as conglometares (clustered together in the impregnation and drying steps of the preparation) like the one indicated by arrow A. The other particles on the  $\text{TiO}_2$  surface show the uniform density and smooth outline characteristic for heavy metal oxide particles, diameter ranging from 1-6 nm. The uniform colour of the particles is an indication that they are actually flat (raft-like). In



Figure 10a Transmission electron micrograph of anatase TiO2.



Figure 10b Transmission electron micrograph of 1.0 wt%  $Rh_2O_3/\text{TiO}_2~(\text{H/Rh}=0.4).$


Figure 10c Transmission electron micrograph of 1.0 wt%  $Rh_2O_3/TiO_2$  (H/Rh = 0.2).

figure 10 c (RT 1.0 (wet)) the  $\text{TiO}_2$  conglomerates are no longer visible. Apparently they got the chance during the high temperature reduction in the presence of water to spread over the surface of the large  $\text{TiO}_2$  particles. The particles we do see, are  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$  particles. The lighter contours of the particles indicate that they are spherical. Their diameter is about 7 nm.

## 3.5 DISCUSSION

Hydrogen chemisorption has been used through the years by many workers to characterize metal surfaces (10, 12, 13, 17, 19, 23-26, 33, 34) and when attempts were made to calculate metal surface areas from hydrogen chemisorption data, a hydrogen-metal stoechiometry of one was always used. On the other hand, if CO was involved, some authors (35) chose a stoechiometry of one, while others (18) preferred higher stoechiometries. From figures 1 and 2 it becomes clear though, that also H/Rh values can exceed unity. For  $Rh/TiO_2$  this occurs for metal loadings below 0.5%, and for Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> even for metal loadings up to 5%. Although in this study only two catalysts occur with an H/Rh value above unity, we have reported about other ultra dispersed systems elsewhere (32, 36). And in our opinion, if one accepts a metal atom, such as rhodium, to adsorb two or more CO molecules, one should not reject the idea of that same atom adsorbing more than one hydrogen atom. So we think that also in those cases where H/Rh exceeds unity, the hydrogen chemisorbed does not exceed a monolayer (cf. Frennet (31)) and is all bound to the metal. A consequence of this is the impossibility to calculate a particle size from chemisorption data for highly dispersed systems, since there is no way of calculating the number of rhodium surface atoms. For larger, well defined particles as we found in RT 1.0 (wet), with an H/Rh of 0.2, the calculated particle size is 6 nm which is in good accordance with our TEM measurements (figure 10 c).

The H/Rh values measured for Rh/TiO2 after reduction at

773 K (figure 2), that is with rhodium in the SMSI-state, seem to show a tendency to increase, but the values measured are still that small that we do not want to draw any conclusions from that without further study.

From all TPR/TPO measurements presented here the following picture comes up. Rhodium on a support can occur in either a dispersed form, or in a bulk-like form. The dispersed form is easy to reduce to the metal, giving a reduction peak in TPR around 340 K. It is also easily oxidized, which manifests itself in TPO's such as figures 4a, 7a and 7b, and from the fact that upon passivation the oxidation state of the Rh can almost reach 3+ (cf. figure 3,  $H_2/Rh = 1.33$ ). That reaction with oxygen is vehement, even at room temperature, shows also in the fact that prolonged passivation breaks SMSI (if not, one would not have seen any hydrogen consumption at all after the low temperature reduction, since the RT catalysts would still be in the SMSI-state induced by the 773 K pre-reduction). The exact assignment of the three TPO peaks is difficult since the literature does not provide much material about the mechanism of oxidation of supported metal catalysts. But the metal oxidation theory, dealing with bulk materials, does provide three separated phenomena as stages in the oxidation process. Rapid chemisorption is known to occur at clean surfaces (37) at low temperatures. Formation of an oxide film occurs at temperatures typically up to 573-673 K, following logarithmic rate equations, and leading to an oxide film with a thickness from 2 nm (38) to 100 nm (39, 40). Finally, Wagners oxidation theory (41) describes how the oxidation process goes on, rate-controlled by volume diffusion of the reacting ions and/or of electrons through the growing oxide scale, leading to parabolic rate equations. We can imagine that analogous models can describe the oxidation processes in supported metal particles as well, dependent on their sizes.

It is very clear that no matter how the rhodium is present on the support, dispersed or not, oxidized or passivated, reduction to metallic rhodium is complete at 400 K, that is, above that temperature no hydrogen consumption can be ascribed anymore to the reduction of rhodium oxide. We cannot conclude from this evidence only that bimetallic systems such as the Rh/Fe system discussed above (11, 13) will be metallic at that temperature too. But in the case of a bimetallic system reduction tends to take place completely at the temperature where the easier reducible compound would have been reduced in a monometallic system (42).

We can conclude from our measurements that the systems which Worley et al. have studied (14-16) must have been fully reduced prior to admission of carbon monoxide. They found four major infrared absorption peaks: 2100 and 2030 cm<sup>-1</sup>, called the twin absorption and attributed to an  $Rh^{1+}(CO)_2$  compound; 2050-2080 cm<sup>-1</sup>, attributed to an  $Rh^{0}CO$  compound; 1850-1900 cm<sup>-1</sup>, attributed to bridged carbonyl species. Of interest is also that the twin absorption peaks are attributed to isolated rhodium sites, since the wavenumbers of these two peaks are independent of CO coverage, unlike the others. For 2.2 wt% rhodium catalysts they found that Al<sub>2</sub>0<sub>3</sub> as a support led to more twin absorption than  $TiO_2$ , and  $RhCl_3$  as a precursor gave more twin than  $Rh(NO_3)_3$ . They concluded that  $Rh(NO_3)_3$  is more easily reduced than RhCl<sub>3</sub>, and better still on TiO<sub>2</sub> than on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, thus leaving most unreduced rhodium in the case of RhCl<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the catalyst with the most intense twin absorption. We prefer another explanation, since we know that reduction must have been complete in all cases. Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> gives the most twin absorption because it is the best dispersed system after reduction. Upon CO adsorption the very small rhodium particles are broken up by CO dissociation, what leads to graphitic carbon on the support and  $\operatorname{Rh}^{1+}$ , ready to adsorb two CO molecules to give the twin IR absorption. EXAFS proof for this explanation has been published elsewhere (36).

Our findings are in good accordance with the results published by Burwell Jr. c.s. for Pd and Pt on  $\text{SiO}_2$  and  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  (23-26). Upon exposure to air larger metal particles form an oxide skin which slows down further oxidation. Logarithmic law type oxidation is a separate step (figure 4c e.g.), and thorough (parabolic) oxidation occurs first at 700 K. Apparently diffusion through the oxide layer is strongly hindered.

The finding of two distinguishable phases of rhodium on a support has been reported earlier by Yao and Shelef (43), although they used  $Al_2O_3$  as a support. We did not succeed in creating bulk-like rhodium on  $Al_2O_3$  by increasing the metal loading the way we did in the case of TiO<sub>2</sub>, but by wet reduction of the impregnated catalyst it proved possible to make a catalyst which is oxidized above 700 K and reduced at 390 K.

## 3.6 CONCLUSIONS

Hydrogen chemisorption clearly showed the difference between  $Al_2O_3$  and  $TiO_2$  as a support for rhodium. On  $Al_2O_3$ H/Rh was above 1.0 up to 5 wt% and still was above 0.5 for 20.0 wt%, whilst in the case of  $TiO_2$  H/Rh dropped below 1.0 before 0.5 wt% was reached. The meaning of this difference for the behaviour of rhodium in oxidation-reduction showed in TPR/TPO.

On  $Al_2O_3 Rh_2O_3$  reduces around 340 K, and rhodium oxidizes via chemisorption, starting at 223 K, followed by formation of an oxide skin around 630 K.

On TiO<sub>2</sub> part of the rhodium behaves in the same way. The other part is harder to reduce (around 390 K) and to oxidize (around 800 K).

When we make a catalyst by wet reduction (supposed to be sintered), all of the reduction takes place at 400 K, and all of the oxidation even above 850 K. In TEM we see these  $Rh_2O_3$  particles as spherical, about 7 nm diameter, while in an equally loaded, properly reduced catalyst  $Rh_2O_3$  particles ranged from 1 to 6 nm and showed no sign of a spherical form.

Hydrogen chemisorption does show a tendency at higher metal loadings to survive high temperature reduction, that is part of the rhodium seems to be unaffected by SMSI, but the chemisorption measured is actually too small to draw conclusions from it at this very moment.

It has been shown in this paper that with a suitable choice of a limited number of techniques one can obtain a very

good insight into the state a catalyst can be brought in by impregnation and oxidation-reduction. Needless to say this is of major importance for further use of the catalyst.

## 3.7 REFERENCES

- K.C. Taylor, in "The Catalytic Chemistry of Nitric Oxides", edited by R.L. Klinisch and J.G. Larson, Plenum Press, New York (1975).
- 2. T.P. Kobylinski and B.W. Taylor, J. Catal., 33, 376 (1974).
- 3. H.C. Yao, Y.-F. Yao and K. Otto, J. Catal., 56, 21 (1979).
- 4. M. Ichikawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap., 51, 2268 (1978).
- 5. M. Ichikawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap., 51, 2273 (1978).
- E.I. Leupold, H.-J. Schmidt, F. Wunder, H.-J. Arpe and H. Hachenberg, E.P. 0 010 295 Al.
- F.A. Wunder, H.-J. Arpe, E.I. Leupold and H.-J. Schmidt, Ger. Offen. 28 14 427.
- 8. W.J. Bartley and T.P. Wilson, Eur. Pat. Appl. 0 021 443.
- D.G. Castner, R.L. Blackadar and G.A. Somorjai, J. Catal., 66, 257 (1980).
- 10. P.R. Watson and G.A. Somorjai, J. Catal., 72, 347 (1981).
- 11. P.R. Watson and G.A. Somorjai, J. Catal., 74, 282 (1982).
- 12. M. Ichikawa and K. Shikakura, in "Proceedings of the 7th International Congress on Catalysis", edited by T. Seyana and K. Tanabe, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (1981), Part A, p.925.
- 13. T.P. Wilson, P.H. Kasai and P.C. Ellgen, J. Catal., <u>69</u>, 193 (1981).
- 14. C.A. Rice, S.D. Worley, C.W. Curtis, J.A. Guin and A.R. Tarrer, J. Chem. Phys., 74, 6487 (1981).
- 15. S.D. Worley, C.A. Rice, G.A. Mattson, C.W. Curtis, J.A. Guin and A.R. Tarrer, J. Chem. Phys., 76, 20 (1982).
- 16. S.D. Worley, C.A. Rice, G.A. Mattson, C.W. Curtis, J.A. Guin and A.R. Tarrer, J. Phys. Chem., 86, 2714 (1982).
- 17. M. Primet, J.C.S. Farad. Trans. II, <u>74</u>, 2570 (1978).
- D.J.C. Yates, L.L. Murrell and E.B. Prestridge, J. Catal., 57, 42 (1979).

- 19. S.J. Tauster, S.C. Fung and R.L. Garten, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 170 (1978).
- 20. S.J. Tauster, S.C. Fung, R.T.K. Baker and J.A. Horsley, Science, <u>211</u>, 1121 (1981).
- 21. R.T.K. Baker, E.B. Prestridge and R.L. Garten, J. Catal., 50, 464 (1979).
- 22. T. Huizinga and R. Prins, J. Phys. Chem., <u>85</u>, 2156 (1981).
- 23. T. Uchijima, J.M. Herrmann, Y. Inoue, R.L. Burwell Jr., J.B. Butt and J.B. Cohen, J. Catal., <u>50</u>, 464 (1977).
- 24. M. Kobayashi, Y. Inoue, N. Takahashi, R.L. Burwell Jr., J.B. Butt and J.B. Cohen, J. Catal. 64, 74 (1980).
- 25. R.K. Nandi, P. Georgopoulos, J.B. Cohen, J.B. Butt and R.L. Burwell Jr., J. Catal., 77, 421 (1982).
- 26. R.K. Nandi, F. Molinaro, C. Tang, J.B. Cohen, J.B. Butt and R.L. Burwell Jr., J. Catal. 78, 289 (1983).
- 27. S.D. Robertson, B.D. McNicol, J.H. de Baas, S.C. Kloet and J.W. Jenkins, J. Catal., 37 424 (1975).
- J.W. Jenkins, B.D. McNicol and S.D. Robertson, Chem. Tech., <u>7</u>, 316 (1977).
- 29. N.W. Hurst, S.J. Gentry, A. Jones and B.D. McNicol, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., <u>24</u>, 233 (1982).
- 30. H. Boer, N.F. Boersma and N. Wagstaff, Rev. Sci. Instr., 53, 349 (1982).
- 31. A. Crucq, L. Degols, G. Lienard and A. Frennet, Acta Chim. Acad. Sci. Hung., 1982, 111.
- 32. J.C. Vis, H.F.J. van 't Blik, T. Huizinga, J. van Grondelle and R. Prins, J. Mol. Catal., in press.
- 33. J.H. Sinfelt and D.J.C. Yates, J. Catal., 10, 362 (1968).
- 34. S.E. Wanke and N.A. Dougharty, J. Catal., 24, 367 (1972).
- 35. M.M. Bhasin, W.J. Bartley, P.C. Ellgen and T.P. Wilson, J. Catal., 54, 120 (1978).
- 36. H.F.J. van 't Blik, J.B.A.D. van Zon, T. Huizinga, J.C. Vis, D.C. Koningsberger and R. Prins, J. Phys. Chem., <u>87</u>, 2264 (1983).
- 37. G. Ehrlich, in "Conference on Clear Surfaces", Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 101 (1963), Art. 3.

- 38. N. Cabrera, in "Semiconductor Surface Physics", edited by R.H. Kingston, University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia (1957).
- 39. N. Birks and H.G. Meier, in "Introduction to High Temperature Oxidation of Metals", Edward Arnold (Publishers) Ltd., London (1983).
- 40. P. Kofstad, in "High-temperature Oxidation of Metals", Wiley & Sons, New York (1966).
- C. Wagner, in "Atom Movements", Amer. Soc. Metals, Cleveland, (1951), p. 153.
- 42. N. Wagstaff and R. Prins, J. Catal., 59, 434 (1979).
- 43. H.C. Yao, S. Japar and M. Shelef, J. Catal., <u>50</u>, 407 (1977).

#### chapter 4

# CHARACTERIZATION OF BIMETALLIC Co-Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> AND Co-Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> CATALYSTS WITH TPR AND TPO

## 4.1 ABSTRACT

Temperature programmed reduction and oxidation has been used to characterize the metal compounds in a series of Co-Rh/Y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Co-Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. The results show that the presence of rhodium improves the reducibility of cobalt based catalysts. However, in the case of cobalt-rhodium/alumina addition of rhodium does not prevent the formation of cobalt aluminate which compound proves to be irreducible below 773 K. Strong evidence has been obtained that the different metal ions are in intimate contact with each other after co-impregnation of the supports leading to the formation of bimetallic particles after catalyst reduction. The bimetallic Co-Rh/TiO, catalyst as well as the corresponding monometallic catalysts show strongly reduced chemisorption properties after reduction above 773 K (SMSI). Passivation of the reduced bimetallic catalysts leaves the bimetallic particles largely intact but cobalt is oxidized to a great extent whereas rhodium is hardly affected by oxygen. This suggests a covering of rhodium by cobalt oxide. A thorough oxidation of the bimetallic catalysts leads to a remodelling of the particles by which both metal oxides are in intimate contact. High temperatures are needed for complete oxidation of Co-Rh/TiO2 through which formation of CoRh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is possible. The TPR results obtained for the monometallic Co/TiO2 catalyst clearly demonstrate that reduction of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  is a two-stage process:  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  is reduced to metallic cobalt via CoO.

## 4,2 INTRODUCTION

Bimetallic catalysts are of interest for several industrial processes. The attractiveness of these catalysts lies in their superior stability and selectivity for many reactions (1-3). With respect to the Fischer-Tropsch reaction it has been shown that alloying of two metals, both of which are active catalysts, may improve the catalytic properties compared to the properties of the constituent monometallic catalysts (4-7). Wise and co-workers have reported a maximum in selectivity for  $C_2$  plus  $C_3$  hydrocarbons for unsupported precipitated (1:1) FeCO catalysts (8). Using an FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, Bhasin *et al.* observed an increase in selectivity for methanol and ethanol compared to either supported iron or supported rhodium catalysts (9).

In our laboratory we are interested in CO-Rh catalysts supported on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and titania.  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is a support which shows little or no effect on activity or selectivity in the Fischer-Tropsch reaction, whereas some metals exhibit their highest activities for CO hydrogenation when dispersed on titania (10, 11). Little information has been published concerning Fischer-Tropsch synthesis using the Co-Rh catalytic system. Recently, however, Villeger *et al.* (12) have shown that addition of Rh to Co results in an increase of the selectivity to ethene and propene. In chapter 9 we will discuss the catalytic behaviour of the bimetallic Co-Rh system with respect to the Fischer-Tropsch reaction.

But before doing so we will in this chapter pay attention to the preparation of Co-Rh catalysts and the formation of small bimetallic clusters dispersed on the surface of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub>. The monometallic Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> systems have been extensively discussed in chapter 3. A comprehensive characterization study of Co supported on titania has not been published

up to now, whereas substantial information is available about monometallic Co on alumina (13-21). It is known that cobalt strongly interacts with  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to produce a Co-aluminatespinel which is difficult to reduce (22). Chin and Hercules (13) used X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and secondary ion mass spectrometry to study the surface properties of a series of impregnated Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> catalysts. They observed changes of the surface characteristics of the catalysts and attributed these to metal-support interactions which arise from the diffusion of Co ions into lattice sites of the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support during calcination. The fraction of Co ions interacting with the support increased as the metal loading was decreased and/or the calcination temperature was increased. Moreover, the reducibility of the catalysts was shown to be directly related to the degree of metal-support interaction. Characterization studies performed on Co-Rh systems have not been published yet.

Before using a bimetallic catalyst for catalytic studies a question of interest is: will the very small metal particles, formed during preparation, be monometallic or will there be mixing of atoms of the different metals to form bimetallic particles? On purely statistical grounds one might expect that the individual particles would contain atoms of both metals. Direct experimental verification of the presence of bimetallic particles is complicated by limitations in the ability of physical methods. The Temperature Programmed Reduction and Oxidation technique (TPR, TPO) can, however, serve as a sensitive tool to obtain evidence for the interaction between the atoms of the two metallic components.

One of the first studies in which TPR was used as a characterization technique was performed by Robertson *et al.* (23, 24). They studied the reduction of  $Cu-Ni/SiO_2$  catalysts and from the results they affirmed complete reduction of the metals and they were able to identify alloying. TPR has proven useful also in the characterization of Pt-Re/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Pt-Ir/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> reforming catalysts (25-27). For Pt-Re/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> evidence was found for an intimate contact between the two metals after reduction.

Calcination in air of the reduced samples above 473 K caused segregation of platinum oxide and rhenium oxide. Paryjczak *et al.* (28) demonstrated the power of TPO in the characterization of bimetallic  $Rh-Ag/SiO_2$  catalysts. The TPO profiles for these systems showed features intermediate to the characteristics of the pure components. Furthermore, it was found that the shape of the TPO profiles and the relative contributions of individual peaks depend on the degree of metal dispersion.

In this chapter we present the results of a characterization study of the Co-Rh system supported on alumina and titania with the aid of TPR and TPO. Hydrogen chemisorption and ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) spectroscopy have been used for further characterization. The formation of the bimetallic particles during reduction of the impregnated catalysts and their stability during oxidation will be discussed.

### 4.3 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

The bulk metals Co and Rh and the bulk Co-Rh alloy with a Co/Rh atomic ratio of 1 were prepared by evaporating the corresponding aqueous solutions of the metal salts Co(NO3)2.6H20 (Merck P.A.) and RhCl<sub>3</sub>.xH<sub>2</sub>O (39 wt%, Drijfhout) at 393 K, followed by a direct reduction by heating at 5 K/min to 1073 K and staying at that temperature for 5 hours. The monometallic bulk oxides  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$  were prepared by oxidizing the reduced metals at 1073 K for 72 hours. CoO was obtained from Merck. The mixed oxide CoRhO, was prepared by evaporating an aqueous solution of the metal salts followed by a direct oxidation at 1073 K (heating rate 5 K/min) for 48 hours.  $TiO_2$  (anatase, Tioxide Ltd., CLDD 1367, surface area 20 m<sup>2</sup>/g, pore volume 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>/g) and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Ketjen, 000-1.5 E, surface area 200 m<sup>2</sup>/g, pore volume 0.6  $cm^3/g$ ) were (co-)impregnated with aqueous solutions of the metal salts via the incipient wetness technique to prepare monometallic Co and Rh and bimetallic Co-Rh catalysts. The catalysts were dried in air at 393 K for 24 hours after which a part of each batch was stored

for further use and the other part was directly reduced in flowing  $H_2$  by heating at 5 K/min to 773 K staying at that temperature for one hour, and subsequently cooled in  $H_2$  to room temperature. Prior to their removing from the reduction reactor the catalysts were passivated at room temperature by replacing the  $H_2$  flow by  $N_2$  and by subsequently slowly adding  $O_2$  up to 20%. The Co and Rh contents of the dried catalysts were determined by using respectively atomic absorption spectroscopy and colourimetry. The catalysts which have been prepared are summarized in table 1.

Table 1

Catalyst	wt% Co	wt% Rh	H/M 473 <sup>1)</sup>	н/м 773 <sup>2)</sup>
Co/Al <sub>2</sub> 03	4.44			0.09
Co <sub>4</sub> Rh/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.18	1.39		0.13
CoRh/Al203	1.97	3.43	6 E	0.39
Rh/Al <sub>2</sub> 03		2.30	6	1.53
Rh/Al <sub>2</sub> 03		4.70	1	0.96
Rh/Al <sub>2</sub> 03		8.53	5 8 6	0.81
			t 1	
Co/TiO <sub>2</sub>	2.01		0.14	0.06
Co/TiO2	1.00		0.13	0.04
Co <sub>1.2</sub> Rh/TiO <sub>2</sub>	1.19	1.72	0.19	0.09
Rh/TiO2		3.20	0.22	0.02

<sup>1)</sup>Based on  $H_2$  adsorption at room temperature after re-reduction at 473 K.

<sup>2)</sup>Based on H<sub>2</sub> adsorption at room temperature after re-reduction at 773 K.

Hydrogen chemisorption measurements were carried out in a conventional volumetric glass apparatus after reduction of the passivated  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts at 773 K in flowing hydrogen for one hour, followed by evacuation at 573 K for another hour, or after reduction of the passivated TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts at 473 K

or 773 K for one hour, followed by evacuation at 473 K for another hour. After  $H_2$  admission at 473 K and cooling down adsorption isotherms were measured at room temperature. The H/M value was obtained by extrapolating the linear higher pressure region (P > 30 kPa, above which monolayer coverage has been reached) of the isotherm to zero pressure and by subtraction of the contribution of the bare support. The H/Rh values thus obtained for the various catalysts are presented in table 1.

In order to measure FMR spectra a reactor designed by Konings *et al.* (29) has been used. X-band FMR spectra were recorded with a Varian E-15 spectrometer at room temperature. Signal intensity, position of the signal and quality factor of the FMR cavity were calibrated with the aid of a Varian strong pitch sample (g = 2.0028,  $3 \times 10^{15}$  spins/cm).

TPR, TPO and TPD experiments were carried out with 5%  $H_2$  in Ar, 5%  $O_2$  in He and 100% Ar respectively, with about 200 mg catalyst in an apparatus similar to that described by Boer *et al.* (30). The flow rate of gases through the reactor was 5 cm<sup>3</sup>/min and the temperature was raised at 5 K/min during TPR and TPO and at 15 K/min during TPD within the temperature range of 223 K to 773 K.

The following typical sequence of treatments in the TPR apparatus has been used:

- the impregnated, passivated or oxidized sample was flushed under Ar flow at 223 K,
- Ar was replaced by the Ar/H<sub>2</sub> mixture, causing at least an apparent H<sub>2</sub> consumption (first switch peak),
- the sample was heated under Ar/H2 flow with 5 K/min to 773 K,
- after 1 hour at 773 K the sample was cooled down with
   10 K/min to 223 K,
- the reduced sample was flushed with Ar,
- Ar flow was replaced by the Ar/H<sub>2</sub> mixture once again, now causing only an apparent H<sub>2</sub> consumption (second switch peak). The reason for this switch peak procedure has been discussed in chapter 3.

A similar sequence has been followed during TPO, albeit that the final temperature was varied. When the TPR of an  $Al_2O_3$ 

supported catalyst was followed by a TPO the sequence was extended by heating the catalyst at 57.3 K for half an hour, in order to desorb hydrogen, after which the catalyst was cooled down to 223 K again. Note that for a TiO<sub>2</sub> supported catalyst this extension is not necessary, because after reduction at 773 K hydrogen chemisorption is suppressed. The latter phenomenon is known as Strong Metal Support Interaction (SMSI) (31).

## 4.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 4.4.1 UNSUPPORTED BULK CO, RH AND CO-RH

It is known from literature that Co and Rh are completely miscible (32). The phase diagram of the two metals is presented in figure 1.



Figure 1 Co-Rh phase diagram.

In figure 2 the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns (Cu Ka radiation) are shown for a physical mixture of cobalt and rhodium and for the Co-Rh alloy. About the crystallographic structure of the alloy, however, not much information is available. The diffraction pattern in figure 2a is formed by two peaks, the

79

larger one being the (111) diffraction peak of rhodium with fcc structure and the smaller one the (111) diffraction peak of cobalt with fcc structure.



Figure 2.X-ray diffraction patterns (Cu Ka radiation). a) Physical mixture of metallic Co and Rh. b) Co-Rh alloy (molar ratio is 1).

From the 20 values the Rh-Rh and Co-Co interatomic distances are calculated to be 2.688 Å and 2.505 Å, respectively. The XRD pattern for the alloy (see figure 2b) shows a main peak at  $20 = 42.30^{\circ}$  which is in between the peaks of the constituent alloy components. On the assumption that the structure of the alloy is fcc and that the peak can be attributed to the (111) diffraction the shortest metal-metal distance is on the average 2.615 Å. This value is slightly higher (0.017 Å) than predicted by Vegard's rule (which rule assumes a linear relation between the interatomic distance in an alloy and the atomic ratio of the metals).

In figure 3 the XRD patterns (Cu K $\alpha$  radiation) are presented for a physical mixture of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and the mixed CoRhO<sub>x</sub> oxide. Between  $\Delta 2\theta = 32^{\circ} - 38^{\circ}$  four diffraction peaks can be distinghuished for the physical mixture. The peaks at



Figure 3 X-ray diffraction patterns (Cu Ka radiation). a) Physical mixture of Co<sub>3</sub>0<sub>4</sub> and Rh<sub>2</sub>0<sub>3</sub>. b) CoRhO<sub>x</sub> mixed oxide.

 $2\theta = 33.00^{\circ}$ ,  $34.30^{\circ}$  and  $34.90^{\circ}$  are assigned to, respectively, the (020), (114) and (200) diffractions of  $Rh_2O_3$  (corundum structure) and the peak at  $2\theta = 36.90^{\circ}$  to the (311) diffraction of  $Co_3O_4$  (spinel structure). The XRD pattern of the mixed oxide is characterized by two peaks. We assign the larger peak to a diffraction of  $CoRh_2O_4$  which can be formed at 1073 K and higher temperatures (33).  $CoRh_2O_4$  is a normal spinel with  $Co^{2+}$  ions at tetrahedral positions and  $Rh^{3+}$  at octahedral positions. As we used an oxide with a Co/Rh stoechiometry of 1 a residue of cobalt is present after the formation of  $CoRh_2O_4$ . The position of the weaker diffraction peak is equal to the one in  $Co_3O_4$ . Therefore, we conclude that the residual cobalt was oxidized to  $Co_3O_4$ .

In order to obtain more insight into the reducibility of the bulk oxides we recorded TPR profiles of the different oxides mixed with  $Al_2O_3$ . The results are presented in figure 4.



Figure 4 TFR profiles for bulk oxides. a) Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, b) CoO, c) CoRhO<sub>7</sub> and d) Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

The numbers next to the TPR profiles correspond to the ratio between hydrogen consumed and the total amount of metal in moles. The reduction process of bulk  $\operatorname{Co}_3O_4$  and  $\operatorname{CoO}$  starts not until 523 K (*cf.* respectively, figure 4a and 4b). It is seen that the profiles for the two bulk oxide samples are very similar, with peak maxima of 685 K for CoO and 703 K for  $\operatorname{Co}_3O_4$ . However, for  $\operatorname{Co}_3O_4$  a second maximum at 648 K is observed. The two maxima for  $\operatorname{Co}_3O_4$  suggest a two-stage reduction process. This result is in a satisfactory agreement with the investigations carried out by Brown *et al.* (22) and Paryjczak *et al.* (34). However, the latter group observed a maximum of the main peak in the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  TPR profile at 673 K, which is 12 K lower than in our case, even though they used a higher heating rate as well as a higher gas flow, which factors induce in general a shift of the peak maximum to higher temperatures (35). The observed H<sub>2</sub>/M value of 1.02 for CoO is consistent with the theoretically expected value of 1.00. Note that the H<sub>2</sub> consumption in the TPR has been calibrated by means of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ (*i.e.*  $\text{CoO}_{1.33}$ ). The TPR profile of bulk  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$  is characterized by a sharp single peak at 408 K (figure 4d). The observed H<sub>2</sub>/M value of 1.56 is equal to the theoretical value within experimental error.

The reduction of the oxidized Co-Rh alloy with an atomic Co/Rh ratio of 1 takes place within the temperature range of 453-523 K (figure 4c). According to the XRD results the mixed oxide consists of  $CoRh_2O_4$  and  $Co_3O_4$ . The theoretical  $H_2/M$  value is therefore 1.33. Within the expected experimental error, the observed  $H_2/M$  value of 1.39 is in accordance with this. A striking feature is that the reduction profile is characterized by a sharp single peak. This indicates that the phase separated  $Co_3O_4$  is nevertheless in intimate contact with  $CoRh_2O_4$  and that the latter serves as a catalyst for the reduction of  $Co_3O_4$ , because the  $Co_3O_4$  is already reduced at a temperature at which it would start to reduce without the presence of cobalt rhodate.

4.4.2 Co, RH AND CO-RH SUPPORTED ON Y-AL203

The hydrogen chemisorption results for Co, Rh and Co-Rh on  $\gamma-Al_2O_3$  are summarized in table 1. The H/M values of a 4.70 and a 8.53 wt% Rh/ $\gamma-Al_2O_3$  are given too. By a linear interpolation between these two values one obtains a value of H/M = 0.84 for a 7.75 wt% Rh catalyst. This loading corresponds to the same ratio between the amount of metal and the surface area of the support as for the monometallic cobalt catalyst, and dramatically demonstrates the high difference in dispersion of cobalt and rhodium on  $Al_2O_3$ . It can be clearly seen that the dispersion of the bimetallic catalysts increases with rhodium

content. As described in the Experimental Section we admitted hydrogen at 473 K. Although, in contradistinction to rhodium, adsorption of hydrogen on cobalt is an activated process (36), we did not observe an effect of temperature on the ultimate amount of adsorption. Adsorption was accelerated though, and equilibrium was reached much faster.

TPR, TPO and TPD profiles of the alumina-supported Co, Co<sub>4</sub>Rh and Rh catalysts are shown in figure 5. The numbers next to the profiles correspond to the ratio between the amount of gas consumed and the total amount of metal. Reduction of the 4.44 wt%  $Co/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst after impregnation and drying occurs within the temperature range 443-653 K and is characterized by a single broad peak with its maximum at 543 K (figure 5a). The theoretical  $H_2/M$  value for the reduction of  $Co^{2+}$  to metallic Co is 1.00. The observed  $H_2/M$  value of 1.75 exceeds the theoretical value because of the simultaneous reduction of residual nitrate groups. The reduction of the impregnated and dried 2.30 wt% Rh/Y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst already starts at 273 K (figure 5a). The TPR profile peaks at 363 K and has a weak tail up to 773 K. In anticipation of the TPR results of the impregnated  $Rh/TiO_2$  we assign the peak to the reduction of well dispersed RhCl<sub>2</sub>, probably bound to the support in the form of -(OH) -RhCl complexes, the hydroxyl molecules of which belong to the support. The reduction behaviour of these species might be similar to the one of passivated or oxidized highly dispersed rhodium. No crystalline RhCl, is present because in that case the peak maximum would have been at about 413 K (37). As hydrogen consumption is only caused by the reduction of rhodium ions to metallic rhodium the observed  $H_2/M$  value of 1.50 indicates that, indeed, the starting material was in the 3+ oxidation state.

A striking feature of the TPR profiles for the bimetallic catalysts is that the impregnated and dried Co<sub>4</sub>Rh and CoRh supported catalysts are already reduced to a great extent before the reduction of the monometallic cobalt catalyst even starts. This indicates that the initiation of the reduction of the cobalt salt is aided by the presence of rhodium. The catalytic effect of rhodium can be explained by assuming that the very



Figure 5 TPR, TPO and TPD profiles for alumina-supported Co (4.44 wt%), Co4Rh (4.57 wt%) CoRh (5.40 wt% and Rh (2.30 wt%) catalysts.
a) TPR of the impregnated and dried catalysts.
b) TPO of the reduced catalysts.

773 K

temperature

- c) TPR of the oxidized catalysts.
- d) TPD of the reduced catalysts, the metal particles of which are covered with hydrogen.

223 273

temperature

first formed metallic rhodium acts as a nucleation centre. (Note that supported rhodium chloride is reduced before the reduction of cobalt nitrate starts.) At these sites hydrogen molecules are dissociated to yield hydrogen atoms which are more reactive for reduction than the molecules and consequently reduce the cobalt nitrate earlier. The maxima of the TPR profiles corresponding to the bimetallic systems are shifted to higher temperatures compared to the one of the impregnated monometallic rhodium catalysts. The shift is more pronounced with increasing cobalt content. The positions of the maxima are at about 413 K, the same temperature at which crystalline RhCl<sub>3</sub> is reduced. For the same reason as mentioned for the reduction of supported Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> the observed  $H_2/M$  values for both supported bimetallic catalysts.

These TPR results of the impregnated catalysts give strong evidence for the formation of bimetallic Co-Rh particles after reduction. We exclude the possibility that the two metals are segregated, because in bulk these metals are completely miscible.

The hydrogen chemisorption results show that the dispersion of the bimetallic catalysts and the monometallic cobalt catalyst is significantly lower than that of the monometallic rhodium catalyst (cf, table 1). This raises the intriguing question by what factors the dispersions of these catalysts are determined. We will first focus our attention to the monometallic systems. Beyond all doubts the interaction between cobalt and alumina is stronger than the interaction between rhodium and the support. It appears that in Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> cobalt always forms a cobalt spinel, possibly as an epitaxial layer between the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and the cobalt metal (14). Interactions based on interdiffusion of metal oxides with the oxidic support are strong. However, from the hydrogen chemisorption results it is clear that rhodium is much better dispersed on alumina than cobalt. Although we must make a correction for the loss of cobalt metal caused by cobalt aluminate formation (see later), which when taken into account leads to an increase of the cobalt H/M value, the real H/M value for the monometallic

cobalt catalyst will not exceed 0.20. The low dispersion can only be understood when it was assumed that before reduction the cobalt was poorly dispersed on alumina. This might be explained by sintering of CoO which is formed by decomposition of  $Co(NO_3)_2$  during drying of the impregnated catalyst. The change in colour of the impregnated catalyst from violet to black proves the decomposition of  $Co(NO_3)_2$ . Another explanation might be that no sites for the adsorption of cobalt ions are present. The pH of the aqueous solution of cobalt nitrate used for the impregnation is about 3, which means that the hydroxyl  $H^+$ 

groups of the support are protonated to — OH. Therefore, the adsorption of cobalt ions which are present as  $Co(H_2O)_6^{2+}$  in solution might be hindered by repulsion.

RhCl, does not suffer from adsorption problems because it is present as a neutral molecule  $(RhCl_{3}(H_{2}O)_{3})$  in an acidic aqueous solution, or even as an anionic chloride complex. An explanation for the relative low H/M values observed for the bimetallic catalysts might be that during co-impregnation and drying the metal salts have not been homogeneously spread over the support. This vision is supported by the TPR results. The TPR profiles obtained for the impregnated bimetallic catalysts peak at about the same temperature (413 K) as crystalline RhCl<sub>3</sub>, whereas well dispersed RhCl<sub>3</sub> gives rise to a TPR profile with a maximum at 363 K. Apparently, the presence of cobalt nitrate hinders the formation of a well dispersed RhCl<sub>3</sub>-phase on the alumina surface. During the impregnation a cobalt surface spinel (CoAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) might be formed, through which a modification of the alumina surface occurs. Adsorption of RhCl, might then be hampered.

It is obvious that the upper level of dispersion for a metal catalyst is already determined in an early stage of preparation: the choice of metal precursor. In order to improve the dispersions for the cobalt containing catalysts we propose to use in future an aqueous solution of CoCl<sub>2</sub>.

The TPO profiles (figure 5b) of the four systems supported on alumina show already oxygen consumption at 223 K due to chemisorption. From the observed  $O_2/M$  values one can determine

the degree of reduction of the catalyst after the first TPR by assuming that after oxidation Co304 and Rh203 are formed. Oxidation of the reduced monometallic cobalt catalyst already starts at 223 K and is complete after 593 K. Maximum oxygen consumption takes place at 483 K. The observed  $O_2/M$  value of 0.43 indicates that the average oxidation state of cobalt after the first TPR, and before the TPO measurement, was 0.95+. TPO profiles of a reduced 1.00 and a 2.02 wt% Co/y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (not shown) displayed hardly any 0, consumption. The average oxidation states of cobalt in these catalysts after reduction were calculated to be respectively 2+ and 1.9+. The formation of CoAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in the three cobalt catalysts, mentioned above, after the first TPR and a subsequent passivation has been determined using UV reflectance spectroscopy. The CoAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> signal hardly differed in intensity for these catalysts. Therefore, we conclude that after reduction of the 4.44 wt%  $Co/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst  $CoAl_2O_4$  as well as metallic cobalt were formed and that during the subsequent TPO only the metallic cobalt was oxidized to  $Co_3O_4$ . It is known that after calcination of a Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst three cobalt species exist on the catalyst surface: 1) a species resulting from diffusion of Co ions into tetrahedral lattice sites of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (often referred to as a 'surface spinel' (38)), 2) Co ions in octahedral sites of the support and/or 3) 'bulk-like' Co304. The presented results demonstrate that even after a direct reduction of the impregnated and dried cobalt catalyst a significant amount of the socalled 'surface spinel' is still present. From the observed  $O_2/M$  value it is calculated that the amount of cobalt which had been converted to CoAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was 1.55 wt%.

The TPO profile recorded for the reduced 2.3 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst (figure 5b) is characteristic for an ultra dispersed system. This has been discussed in detail in chapter 3. The observed  $O_2/M$  value of 0.73 indicates that after the TPR run all rhodium was completely reduced and that all rhodium was oxidized to  $Rh_2O_3$  during the TPO run.

The TPO profiles for the two reduced bimetallic catalysts demonstrate that oxidation of the catalyst is more difficult

with increasing rhodium content. In order to discuss this phenomenon we refer to chapter 3 where a TPO profile for a less well dispersed 11.64 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst (H/M = 0.67) is presented. Two'areas' of oxygen consumption showed up in the profile, which were attributed to corrosive chemisorption and thorough oxidation respectively. The latter is characterized by a peak around 723 K. The dispersions of the bimetallic systems are lower than the one of the 11.64 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$ catalyst. Since the latter shows the same TPO profile we assign the oxygen consumption above 573 K to thorough oxidation of rhodium.

From the observed  $O_2/M$  values, we cannot calculate the exact amount of  $CoAl_{2}O_{4}$  in the bimetallic catalysts formed after the first TPR. An upper and lower limit can be determined, however. On the basis of the TPR/TPO results obtained for the monometallic rhodium catalyst we assume that rhodium in the bimetallic system can be reduced to Rh<sup>0</sup> during TPR and completely oxidized to  $Rh_2O_3$  during TPO. The upper limit of the amount of  $CoAl_2O_4$ formed after the first TPR is obtained when assuming that the metallic cobalt during TPO was oxidized to  $Co_2O_4$ , and the lower limit when it is assumed that the cobalt was oxidized to CoO. We thus calculated that the amounts of Co in the form of  $CoAl_{2}O_{4}$  for the  $Co_{4}Rh$  and CoRh supported catalysts were respectively, 1.43-1.87 wt% and 0.99-1.22 wt%. For the monometallic catalyst we calculated 1.55 wt% Co. This indicates that the presence of Rh does not prevent the formation of CoAl<sub>2</sub>0<sub>4</sub> very much.

Reduction of the oxidized monometallic cobalt is difficult (figure 5c), it starts not until 473 K and is still incomplete at 773 K. The TPR profile is characterized by two peaks, one at about 573 K and the other at about 673 K. Comparison of the profile for bulk  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  (figure 4a) with the one for supported cobalt oxide indicates that also in the catalyst the reduction of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  is a sequential process. This result is in accordance with the TPR results reported by Paryjczak *et al.* (34). From experiments carried out at the University of Amsterdam

- we thank Peter Arnoldy for doing these measurements - it followed that the temperature had to be raised up to 1373 K in order to reduce the oxidized cobalt catalyst completely. Hydrogen was consumed to a great extent between 1073 and 1373 K, probably caused by reduction of the surface  $CoAl_2O_4$  spinel. The ratio between the hydrogen consumed during the second TPR and the oxygen consumed during the TPO preceding the TPR should be 2, because the oxygen consumed is converted to  $H_2O$ in the subsequent TPR. All values observed in the TPO and subsequent TPR runs agree with this factor of 2, within the uncertainty of the measurements. This indicates that the oxidized cobalt formed during TPO was reduced completely during the subsequent TPR up to 773 K. Consequently, during TPO no new surface spinel  $CoAl_2O_4$  was formed that is irreducible under 773 K.

Reduction of supported  $Rh_2O_3$  is complete above 400 K. The TPR profile is characterized by a single consumption peak around 363 K followed by desorption of  $H_2$ . The net  $H_2$  consumption mounted up to 1.52  $H_2/Rh$  indicating a reduction degree of rhodium after reduction of 100 per cent.

The TPR profiles for the oxidized bimetallic Co-Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts demonstrate that cobalt oxide is in intimate contact with rhodium oxide because the reduction of the less noble metal, cobalt, is catalyzed by the noble metal, rhodium. The TPR profile for  $Co_4 Rh/Al_2 O_3$  shows up a shoulder at 503 K which might be ascribed to particles with either a low Rh concentration or even without Rh present. The maxima of the TPR profiles for the bimetallic catalysts are shifted towards higher temperatures in comparison with the monometallic rhodium catalyst:  $\Delta T$  = 20 K and 25 K for respectively,  $CoRh/Al_2O_3$  and  $Co_4Rh/Al_2O_3$ . Although the possibility of  $\operatorname{CoRh}_2O_4$  formation during TPO cannot be excluded we are of the opinion that  $\operatorname{CoRh}_2O_4$  formation is not likely because firstly, the maximum oxidation temperature of 773 K during TPO is far below the formation temperature of bulk  $CoRh_{2}O_{4}$  (T = 1073 K) and secondly, on the basis of the TPR results of the bulk oxides we would have expected a shift of the TPR profiles for the bimetallic catalysts of about 100 K relative

to the maximum of the TPR profile for  $Rh_2O_3/Al_2O_3$ . It is possible that during oxidation a segregation of both metal oxides has taken place and that after oxidation the oxides are not far apart from each other. In that way the very first formed rhodium may serve as a catalyst for the reduction. As in the case of  $Co/Al_2O_3$  no significant amount of  $CoAl_2O_4$  was formed during TPO in both bimetallic catalysts because the ratios between hydrogen consumed during the second TPR and oxygen consumed during TPO are 2.03 and 2.12 for respectively,  $Co_4Rh/Al_2O_3$  and  $CoRh/Al_2O_3$  which are within the experimental error equal to the theoretical value of 2.

The TPD profiles for the hydrogen covered catalysts after the second TPR are presented in figure 5d. Note that the heating rate of 15 K/min is slow so that readsorption of hydrogen will take place and that therefore no kinetic parameters for hydrogen desorption can be determined. Nevertheless, we used TPD to obtain information about the dispersion via the total amount of hydrogen adsorbed.

The TPD profile for  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  is characterized by a main peak at 483 K and a shoulder at 300 K. Above 673 K hardly any hydrogen is desorbed. From the total amount of hydrogen desorbed one can calculate a  $H/M_{TPD}$  value of 1.26 which is significantly, lower than the value obtained by using the hydrogen chemisorption technique ( $H/M_{HC} = 1.53$ ). We have to take into account that the  $H/M_{HC}$  value was obtained after the first TPR, therefore, the difference between the two values might be caused by sintering of rhodium during the TPO and a subsequent TPR. The TPD profiles for the three cobalt containing catalysts are characterized by two 'areas': 1) below T = 623 K which we assign to 'normal' hydrogen desorption, and 2) above T = 623 K, which needs closer attention.

Although the hydrogen adsorption energy for rhodium is higher than for cobalt, respectively 100 and 113 kJ (39) the TPD's suggest that, compared to rhodium, hydrogen was bound stronger on metallic cobalt. Even at 873 K adsorption of hydrogen from cobalt was incomplete. Moreover, the total amount of hydrogen desorbed exceeded the values calculated on the basis of the

hydrogen chemisorption results, enormously. Therefore, we think that for the cobalt containing catalysts above 623 K the detected hydrogen originated from another hydrogen source. It is obvious that this phenomenon only occurs in the presence of cobalt. We believe that the dehydroxylation of the alumina support might play a very important role. It is known that alumina dehydroxylates above 673 K under the formation of water (40). Therefore, it is possible that during the TPD in the environment of the reduced cobalt crystallites the ratio between the partial pressures of water and hydrogen has become so high that the equilibrium: Co +  $4/3H_2O \ddagger 1/3Co_3O_4 + 4/3H_2$ is shifted to the right. This phenomenon has been reported previously by Schuit et al. (41) for Ni supported on SiO2. A TPR to 773 K subsequent to a TPD of a cobalt catalyst showed indeed hydrogen consumption caused by reduction of cobalt oxide. However, the amount of hydrogen consumed was a factor of 2 lower than expected on the basis of the TPD results. We think that during TPD between 773 and 873 K, temperatures which had never been reached in the foregoing treatments, part of the oxidized cobalt was converted to the cobalt surface spinel  $CoAl_2O_4$  which is not reducible below 773 K.

Up to now no direct information about the structure of the bimetallic crystallites could be obtained from the TPR results. As shown in figure 1 cobalt and rhodium are completely miscible. Therefore, we assume that the regular solution model is valid, in which case thermodynamics predict that the metal with the lowest sublimation energy will be preferentially in the surface region of the alloy (42). The sublimation energy of cobalt is lower than that of rhodium and as a consequence surface enrichment of cobalt in the reduced Co-Rh particle is to be expected. From Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure measurements we found indeed evidence for cobalt surface enrichment in supported bimetallic Co-Rh particles (see chapter 5). When a bimetallic Co-Rh particle is exposed to oxygen at room temperature the cobalt enrichment in the surface will even be more pronounced because the interaction between oxygen and cobalt is stronger than that between oxygen and rhodium. This

phenomenon is known as 'gas-induced surface enrichment' (43). In order to demonstrate the surface enrichment of cobalt we recorded TPR profiles for the passivated catalysts (see Experimental Section). The profiles are presented in figure 6.



Figure 6 TPR profiles for passivated alumina-supported catalysts;
a) Co (4.44 wt%), b) Co<sub>4</sub>Rh (4.57 wt%),
c) CoRh (5.40 wt%) and d) Rh (2.30 wt%) catalysts

The TPR profile for the passivated monometallic cobalt catalyst is characterized by a single peak around 513 K. On the assumption that during passivation oxygen is adsorbed dissociatively and that only the surface was covered by oxygen atoms with an  $O/M_{surface}$  stoechiometry of 1 the dispersion determined from the amount of hydrogen consumed during TPR is 0.31. This value is much higher than the H/M value of 0.09 measured by hydrogen chemisorption. Therefore, the hydrogen consumed during TPR of the passivated  $Co/Al_2O_3$  catalyst indicates that corrosive chemisorption had taken place during passivation.

The TPR profile for the passivated Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst shows a single consumption peak around 300 K, followed by desorption of H2. Passivation of this ultra dispersed Rh catalyst has led to corrosive oxygen chemisorption, but not to full oxidation since the hydrogen consumption mounted up to 1.20  $H_2/M$ . Reduction of the passivated bimetallic Co<sub>4</sub>Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst occurs mainly in the temperature range 423-523 K, whereas a small shoulder is visible around 350 K. The maximum of the TPR profile is at about 483 K, only 30 K shifted to lower temperature compared to Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Apparently, the presence of rhodium hardly affects the reduction, which is not in accordance with the TPR results obtained for the completely oxidized systems. The seeming contradiction can be explained by the assumption of covering of rhodium by cobalt oxide. Instead of the formation of the first rhodium metal atoms being the nucleation step, now the much more difficult formation of the first cobalt metal atoms is the nucleation step. The shift of 30 K might be caused by the presence of a few rhodium atoms in the surface. An X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy measurement confirmed that rhodium in the passivated Co4Rh/Al203 catalyst is still mainly present as metallic Rh which indicates that rhodium is hardly affected by oxygen. From the hydrogen consumed during reduction the total amount of oxidized cobalt can be calculated. On the assumption that the total amount of cobalt is oxidized to  $\operatorname{Co}_3\operatorname{O}_4$  whereas rhodium is not affected, the theoretical  $H_2/M$  value is 16/15. (4Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + 3Rh + 16H<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$ 16H<sub>2</sub>O + 3Rh + 12Co.) However, from the 3.18 wt% cobalt 1.87 wt% has been lost caused by cobalt surface spinel formation. The theoretical  $H_2/M$  value of 0.46 indicates that cobalt was oxidized to a great extent during passivation. Thus, the passivated particles in Co4Rh/Al203 consist of a rhodium-rich core and an outer shell consisting mainly of cobalt oxide.

The shoulder around 350 K can be assigned to either bimetallic crystallites with a notable amount of rhodium in the outer shell or to a rigorous passivation of small crystallites leading to the same situation as after an oxidation.

The structure of the TPR profile for the passivated  $CORh/Al_2O_3$  catalyst is similar to the profile for  $CO_4Rh/Al_2O_3$  (figure 6c). However, the shoulder is more pronounced whereas the main peak is shifted to lower temperatures. The latter indicates an increase of the rhodium concentration in the outer shell.

All results obtained in the foregoing section have been rationalized by a model which represents the formation of bimetallic Co-Rh particles supported on alumina and the change in structure of the particles after different treatments (cf. figure 7).



Figure 7 Formation of bimetallic Co-Rh particles during reduction of the impregnated catalyst and the change in structure of the particles after different treatments.

After impregnation the metal salts are in intimate contact, as proven by the first TPR (figure 5a). During TPR bimetallic Co-Rh particles are formed, the outer shell of which is enriched in cobalt. Besides formation of Co-Rh particles a part of cobalt reacts with alumina to produce  $CoAl_2O_4$  which cannot be reduced below 773 K. When the reduced catalyst is exposed to oxygen cobalt is oxidized to a great extent and the resulting cobalt oxide covers a rhodium-rich core. In this situation relative high temperatures are needed to reduce the cobalt oxide because the covered rhodium cannot serve as a reduction catalyst anymore (figure 6b). A thorough oxidation of the catalyst induces a remodelling of the bimetallic particle in such a way that also  $Rh_2O_3$  is exposed, through which a subsequent reduction is enhanced (figure 5c). During exidation no new  $CoAl_2O_4$  is formed. After reduction of the oxidized catalyst the bimetallic particles have been formed again. During the subsequent TPD the water formed by dehydroxylation of the alumina surface partly oxidizes cobalt by which a part of the formed oxide is converted to CoAl204.

# 4,4.3 Co, RH AND CO-RH SUPPORTED ON TIO2

#### 4.4.3.1 Co on TiO<sub>2</sub>

In table 1 the hydrogen chemisorption results for the passivated 2.01 wt%  $Co/TiO_2$  catalyst, after re-reduction and evacuation at 473 K, are presented. In the literature the suppression of hydrogen chemisorption for  $TiO_2$  supported metals after a high temperature reduction has been pointed out. This phenomenon is known as Strong Metal Support Interaction (SMSI) (31). By passivation of a catalyst in the so-called SMSI-state this state is abolished (see chapter 3), which implies that for the  $Co/TiO_2$  catalyst re-reduced at 473 K the observed H/M value of 0.14 was not influenced by the interference of SMSI. However, when the  $Co/TiO_2$  catalyst was re-reduced at 773 K the expected decrease in the H/M value was observed (H/M = 0.06).

TPR and TPO profiles for the  ${\rm Co/TiO}_2$  catalyst are shown in figure 8.



Figure 8 TPR and TPO profiles for Co(2.01 wt%)/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. a) TPR of passivated catalyst. b) TPO of reduced catalyst.

c) TPR of oxidized catalyst.

The TPR profile for the passivated catalyst is characterized by a single peak at 498 K (figure 8a). Comparison of the amount of hydrogen consumed ( $H_2/M = 0.66$ ) with the hydrogen chemisorption value of H/M = 0.14 indicates that corrosive chemisorption of oxygen has occurred during passivation.

Oxidation of the reduced catalyst already started at 223 K, as can be seen from the TPO profile (figure 8b), and was complete around 600 K. The observed  $O_2/M$  value of 0.69 is within the experimental error equal to the theoretical value of 0.67 on the assumption that metallic cobalt is oxidized to  $Co_3O_4$ . This demonstrates that after the first TPR the degree of

reduction was 100 per cent and that cobalt was completely oxidized to  $Co_3O_4$  during TPO.

The resulting oxide was reduced according to the second TPR profile as presented in figure 8c. Two peaks can be distinguished, a small one around 588 K and a main peak around 686 K. Unlike the  $Rh/TiO_2$  catalyst (see chapter 3) the second TPR profile for the  $Co/TiO_2$  catalyst was not influenced by varying the dispersion by changing the cobalt loading from 0.5 to 8.0 wt%. In all cases the second TPR profiles hardly differ from the profile presented in figure 8c and the ratio between the areas of the peaks around 686 K and around 588 K was equal to  $3 \pm 0.3$ . The observed  $H_2/M$  value of 1.33 indicates that cobalt oxide was completely reduced to metallic cobalt. Apparently, irreducible compounds such as cobalt titanate were not formed. The occurrence of two peaks and the observed ratio of 3 suggest that the reduction of  $Co_3O_4$  proceeds via CoO:

$$\frac{1}{3}\text{Co}_{3}\text{O}_{4} + \frac{1}{3}\text{H}_{2} \rightarrow \text{CoO} + \frac{1}{3}\text{H}_{2}\text{O}$$

$$\text{CoO} + \text{H}_{2} \rightarrow \text{Co} + \text{H}_{2}\text{O}.$$

The results of the following experiment support this idea (see figure 9). The catalyst was oxidized at 773 K and subsequently reduced in a TPR until the minimum in between the two peaks was reached at 640 K (figure 9b). After Ar flushing at this temperature the partly reduced catalyst was cooled to 223 K and a second TPR profile was recorded (figure 9c). The second TPR profile is characterized by a single peak around 685 K which corresponds with the high temperature peak of the TPR profile of the completely oxidized catalyst (figure 9a). If during this latter TPR the hydrogen consumed was caused by the reduction of a part of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  to metallic cobalt, one would have expected a significant shift towards lower temperatures of the consumption peak in the second TPR, because the metallic cobalt formed would have acted as nucleation centres for the dissociation of hydrogen molecules. Such a shift towards lower reduction temperatures is apparent in the passivated cobalt catalyst which has its TPR peak at 498 K (figure 8a). The fact



Figure 9 TPR profiles for Co(2.01 wt%)/TiO, catalyst.

- a) TPR of oxidized catalyst.
- b) TPR until 640 K.
- c) TPR of the catalyst which was partly reduced up to 640 K.

that such a lowering is not observed implies that in the first reduction peak no metallic cobaltwas formed. Definite proof for the absence of metallic cobalt after reduction up to 640 K was found from FMR measurements performed on a 1.00 wt%  $Co/TiO_2$  catalyst. The partly reduced catalyst gave rise to a very small FMR signal from which a metallic concentration of less than 4% of the present metal was calculated, whereas from the observed  $H_2/M$  value of 0.33 in TPR a cobalt concentration of 25% would have been expected if  $Co_3O_4$  would have been directly reduced to Co. We thus conclude that the reduction of  $Co_3O_4$  is a two-stage process according to the reactions given above.

In order to obtain more information about the oxidation of reduced cobalt supported on  $\text{TiO}_2$  we recorded TPR profiles for the 2.01 wt%  $\text{Co/TiO}_2$  catalyst after TPO's in which the catalyst had been oxidized up to different temperatures. After reaching the final temperature the catalyst was immediately cooled to 223 K. The results are presented in figure 10.



Figure 10 TPR profiles for Co(2.01 wt%)/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst oxidized at: a) 423 K, b) 473 K, c) 523 K, d) 573 K, e) 623 K, f) 673 K and g) 773 K.
The reduction profile for the catalyst oxidized at 423 K is characterized by a single peak around 503 K. The peak shifted to 555 K when the catalyst was oxidized at 473 K and also the total amount of hydrogen consumed increased. Oxidation at 523 K gave rise to a TPR profile with a main peak at 593 K, a small 'second' peak at 518 K and a shoulder around 650 K (figure 10c). With increasing oxidation temperature the main peak hardly shifted in position but decreased in intensity (figure 10d), and had disappeared when oxidation of the catalyst was complete ( $T_{oxidation} > 600$  K), whereas the 'second' peak shifted towards higher temperature and the shoulder developed into a peak which also shifted with increasing oxidation temperature. However, when the oxidation of the catalyst was complete the ratio between the intensities of the 'two peaks did not change significantly.

The relatively high H<sub>2</sub>/M value observed in the TPR of the passivated catalyst implies that during passivation - oxidation at room temperature - corrosive chemisorption of oxygen takes place. To demonstrate this further we measured the FMR signal of a reduced (773 K) 1.00 wt% Co/TiO2 catalyst which was exposed to air. From figure 11 it can be clearly seen that the intensity of the FMR signal decreased with exposure time. Note that at high g-values the signals started at absorption zero, except for the first recorded spectrum in which the ferro-magnetic particles were not yet oriented into the direction of the external magnetic field yet. The ratio between the intensity of the FMR signal for the reduced catalyst exposed to air during several weeks (figure 11f) and the one for the freshly reduced catalyst (figure 11a) is 0.20. As the intensity of an FMR signal is proportional to the square of the number of cobalt atoms in the ferro-magnetic particle, it follows that on the average 45% of the cobalt atoms in the particles had not been oxidized by passivation. The TPR profile for the passivated catalyst is characterized by a single peak (figure 8a). Because the reduction of  $Co_3O_4$  is a two-stage process we assume that the oxide formed during passivation is CoO.



Figure 11 Decrease of FMR signal for the reduced Co(1.00 wt%)/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst during passivation. a) Reduced catalyst, b) 5 minutes after exposure to air, c) 45 min, d) 75 min, e) 180 min and f) several weeks.

Having explained the TPR profile of a passivated  $\text{Co/TiO}_2$  catalyst (figure 8a and 10a) and that of a  $\text{Co/TiO}_2$  catalyst oxidized at 773 K (figure 8c and 10g) we are now in the position to propose a model that can explain the TPR profiles of the  $\text{Co/TiO}_2$  catalyst oxidized at intermediate temperatures (figure 10b-10f). In this model (*cf.* figure 12) three separate stages of oxidation can clearly be distinguished if it is assumed that small cobalt particles are much quicker oxidized than large particles.

The first stage of oxidation is characterized by cobalt particles which are covered by CoO (the situation after passivation). Hydrogen can be dissociated to hydrogen atoms on the metallic core and reduction of CoO will be accelerated. With increasing oxidation temperature the outer shell of CoO will increase. The reduction of CoO will still be catalyzed provided that a metallic core is present. But this reduction will start at increasingly higher temperatures because hydrogen must diffuse



Figure 12 Model for the three different oxidation stages of Co supported on TiO<sub>2</sub> during oxidation. The associated TFR profiles are presented too. The oxidation stages are representative for oxidation between: a) 223-473 K, b) 473-623 K and c) 623-773 K.

through an outer shell of CoO, which increases in thickness, in order to start nucleation. When the oxidation temperature is so high that the small particles are completely oxidized (and that no metallic core is present), while the larger particles are not completely oxidized we reach the second stage of oxidation. In that case the TPR profile is characterized by three peaks (*ef.* figure 10c and 10d). The first peak is caused by reduction of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  to CoO in the small particles, the second by the catalyzed reduction of CoO to Co in the large particles, and the third peak to the reduction of CoO to Co in the small particles. After a complete oxidation of the catalyst we reach the third stage, in which the TPR is characterized by two peaks which are assigned to the two-stage reduction of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ . Note that reduction of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  starts at a lower temperature when the oxide is formed at a lower oxidation temperature. This phenomenon can be understood in the context of nucleation at defects. The oxide which is formed at lower temperatures is afflicted with more defects and therefore the chance of nucleation on this oxide will be enhanced.

#### 4.4.3.2 Co-Rh on TiO2

In table 1 the hydrogen chemisorption results for the passivated  $Co_{1.2}Rh/TiO_2$  catalyst are presented and compared with the constituent monometallic systems. The H/M value after a re-reduction at 473 K is a direct indication of the dispersion, whereas the value after 773 K re-reduction shows evidence for SMSI behaviour.

To compare the reduction and oxidation behaviour of the bimetallic catalyst with the constituent monometallic systems TPR and TPO profiles for the titania supported Co, Rh and Co-Rh catalysts are shown in figure 13.

The TPR profile for the impregnated  $\text{Co/TiO}_2$  (figure 13a) is very similar to the one for dried  $\text{Co(NO}_3)_2$  (not shown), and is characterized by three peaks. Taking into account that reduction of bulk CoO (figure 4b) does not start until 600 K we assign the first two peaks in the profile to reduction of residual nitrate to NO<sub>X</sub> and the third peak around 700 K to reduction of CoO. The amount of hydrogen consumed in the latter peak (H<sub>2</sub>/M = 1.1) is in agreement with this assignment. The TPR results suggest that direct reduction of supported Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> proceeds via CoO.

The TPR profile for the impregnated 2.3 wt%  $Rh/TiO_2$  catalyst exhibits a fairly broad range of hydrogen uptakes. Two low temperature peaks at 323 K and 423 K are observed which are assigned to reduction of respectively,  $Rh_2O_3$  (formed during impregnation or drying) and  $RhCl_3$  (37). The total hydrogen consumption ( $H_2/M = 1.7$ ) is, however, higher than what can be accounted for by the reduction of rhodium species solely. Again, the metal-assisted reduction of the support - hydrogen



Figure 13 TPR and TPO profiles for titania-supported Co (2.01 wt%), Co<sub>1.2</sub>Rh (2.91 wt%) and Rh (3.20 wt%) catalysts.

- a) TPR of the impregnated and dried catalysts.
- b) TPO of the reduced catalysts.
- c) TPR of Co/TiO<sub>2</sub> oxidized at 773 K, Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> oxidized at 873 K and Co<sub>1.2</sub>Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> oxidized at 773 and 973 K.

consumption above 473 K - is the obvious explanation for this high value (see chapter 3).

Reduction of the impregnated bimetallic  $Co_{1.2}Rh/TiO_2$  catalyst takes mainly place within the temperature range of 350-520 K, the range in which supported  $RhCl_3$  reduces too. This feature implies that both metal salts are in intimate contact, or at least close together, after impregnation. The during reduction formed rhodium acts as a catalyst for the reduction of  $Co(NO_3)_2$ .

The  $H_2/M$  value of 1.5 indicates that all rhodium and cobalt is reduced in the TPR. The over-consumption of 1.5 - 1.23 = 0.27  $H_2/M$  is again ascribed to reduction of nitrate groups and support. The fact that complete reduction of Rh and Co occurs at temperatures where monometallic Rh reduces makes it very likely that bimetallic particles are formed. To study this in more detail TPO and subsequent TPR profiles of the bimetallic Co-Rh and of the monometallic reference catalysts have been measured.

The TPO profiles (figure 13b) for the reduced Co/TiO, and Rh/TiO, catalysts have been discussed before in this chapter and in chapter 3, respectively. It can be seen that higher temperatures are needed to oxidize Rh/TiO, to Rh203/TiO2 than to oxidize  $Co/TiO_2$  to  $Co_3O_4/TiO_2$ , even though the dispersion of the metallic Rh particles was better than that of the Co particles. Oxidation of Co<sub>1.2</sub>Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> is even more difficult than oxidation of Rh/TiO2. A striking feature is the oxygen consumption of  $O_2/M = 0.56$  established for the bimetallic system, which is lower than the expected value of 0.71 for the formation of  $Co_3O_4$  and  $Rh_2O_3$ . The observed  $O_2/M$  value of 0.56 agrees much better with the value of 0.61 calculated on the basis of oxidation of Rh to  $Rh_2O_3$  and of Co to CoO. This raises the question why cobalt is oxidized to CoO in the Co-Rh system, while monometallic Co on TiO<sub>2</sub> is oxidized to  $Co_3O_4$ . At the same time, though, it proves that Co is in very close contact with Rh in the metallic state, most likely in the form of bimetallic particles. The explanation for the low O2/M value might be that part of the oxidized cobalt forms the spinel CoRh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, while the remainder (the molar Co/Rh ratio in the catalyst is 1.2, while that in CoRh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is 0.5) forms epitaxial CoO. Another explanation could be that part of the Rh203 dissolves in the CoO formed and stabilizes this phase to further oxidation.

Subsequent TPR measurements result in profiles as presented in figure 13c. Reduction of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  on  $\text{TiO}_2$  did not start until 500 K and, as shown in the foregoing, took place via a twostage process. The reduction profile of  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$  supported on TiO<sub>2</sub> is characterized by a peak around 325 K which is attributed to reduction of dispersed  $Rh_2O_3$  (very small particles), a peak around 385 K which is assigned to reduction of bulk-like  $Rh_2O_3$ particles, and hydrogen consumption above 420 K which has been attributed to metal-assisted reduction of the support (see chapter 3).

To investigate the influence of oxidation temperature on the reduction behaviour of the bimetallic catalyst we recorded TPR profiles after oxidation at 773 K, at which temperature oxidation is not yet complete (*cf.* figure 13b), and at 973 K. It can be clearly seen that rhodium enhances the reducibility of cobalt oxide after the catalyst had been oxidized at 773 K. The TPR profile looks similar to the one for  $Rh_2O_3$  on  $TiO_2$ . This combined with the observed hydrogen consumed of  $1.10 H_2/M$  suggest that the partly oxidized particles consist of either  $CoO + Rh_2O_3$  or  $Co_3O_4 + Rh_2O_3 + Rh$ , both with rhodium ions in the surface. The formation of cobalt rhodate is not likely because then the oxidic particles would consist of  $CoRh_2O_4$  and CoO. The partly oxidized catalyst would have to reduce around 500 K (*cf.* figure 4) which is, however, 150 K higher than observed.

After oxidation at 973 K the TPR profile does no longer display the low temperature peaks attributed to rhodium-assisted reduction. The profile now much more resembles that of the  $Co/TiO_2$  catalyst. This indicates that the bimetallic particles have been covered by cobalt oxide during thorough oxidation. Apparently, a segregation of the two metal oxides did not occur. This gives evidence for a good interaction between cobalt oxide and rhodium oxide which might be due to formation of  $CoRh_2O_4$ . The bimetallic particles after an oxidation at 973 K may consist of  $CoRh_2O_4$  which is covered by CoO. The observed low  $H_2/M$  value of 1.04 is in accordance with this vision.

Generally, the results obtained with the Co-Rh system supported on  $\text{TiO}_2$  justify that the application of the model presented for the formation of bimetallic Co-Rh particles supported on alumina (*cf.* figure 7) can be extended for Co-Rh on titania. However, two modifications of the model are

necessary. Firstly: no cobalt-titania compounds are formed, and secondly: during a thorough oxidation no segregation of the metal oxides takes place. The latter might be explained by the formation of  $\text{CoRh}_2\text{O}_4$  spinel. Compared with alumina, higher temperatures are needed to oxidize the bimetallic Co-Rh particles on titania. Therefore, the formation of  $\text{CoRh}_2\text{O}_4$  on titania becomes thermodynamically more favourable.

#### 4.5 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

In this section we briefly consider the implications of our observations for other bimetallic catalysts. As we will show in chapter 5 the conceptual model of the structural changes which occur upon oxidation and reduction treatments (*cf*. figure 7) is not only valid for Co-Rh on  $Al_2O_3$  and  $TiO_2$  but also for Co-Rh on  $SiO_2$ . This model may even be extended to other alloys because they produce similar behaviour as long as they form solid solutions. Examples are: Fe-Rh (chapter 6), Pt-Rh (44, 45), Pt-Ir (26, 27, 46, 47), Pt-Re (25) and Ru-Cu (48).

Generally, during reduction of a co-impregnated bimetallic catalyst alloying of the two metals takes place. The noble metal serves as a catalyst for the reduction of the less noble metal. An intimate contact of the two metal salts after impregnation is not a necessary prerequisite to form bimetallic particles during reduction, as reported by Bond and Yide (48) for RuCl<sub>3</sub> and Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> supported on SiO<sub>2</sub>. Their TPR results showed that RuCl<sub>3</sub> was reduced first and the proposed mechanism of cluster formation was that the Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was reduced by hydrogen spill-over from the ruthenium, the resulting copper atoms then migrating to ruthenium particles to which surface they adhere.

The surface of the bimetallic particles are enriched in the component which exhibits the lowest sublimation energy, e.g., Co in Co-Rh, Fe in Fe-Rh and Rh in Pt-Rh. A mild oxidation leads

to surface enrichment of the metal which exhibits the highest affinity for oxygen. The bimetallic particles stay largely intact after oxygen adsorption at moderate temperatures. Although in the presence of adsorbed oxygen the clusters are thermodynamically unstable, the rate of segregation of the metal oxides is slow. This has been found for Co-Rh, Pt-Rh, Fe-Rh and Pt-Re. Generally, a thorough oxidation induces a segragation of the metal oxides. Thus, the intimacy of the two metals in the metallic state is terminated by an oxidative treatment. Segregation depends on the nature of the metals involved, in particular the miscibility characteristics of the bulk metal oxides, and on the strength of the interactions between metal oxides and supports. An example of a catalyst in which no segregation of the metal oxides takes place is Co-Rh supported on TiO<sub>2</sub> (figure 13c), probably due to formation of  $CoRh_2O_A$ . A low temperature reduction of an oxidized catalyst in which segregation has taken place may lead to a catalyst in which both metals are separated. This means that the specific advantages of alloying are lost. A high temperature reduction, however, usually leads to co-clustering of the metals, but the metal particles are less dispersed compared to the catalyst before oxidation. De Jongste and Ponec (49), however, have noted that platinum-gold alloy particles on alumina are destroyed by oxidation but cannot be reformed by reduction (in contrast to the same alloy on SiO<sub>2</sub>). Therefore, as already stated by Sinfelt (46), oxidation is to be avoided if the original clusters are to be preserved. Furthermore, calcination before reduction, which is frequently and effectively employed in catalyst preparation, is probably sometimes undesirable if highly dispersed clusters are required: direct reduction of the precursor salts might be preferred.

### 4.6 CONCLUSIONS

The results described in this chapter have unambiguously shown that the TPR-TPO technique can provide useful information on the structure of Co and Rh in alumina- and titania-supported catalysts.

The addition of rhodium caused the cobalt based catalysts to be more easily reduced. In the case of cobalt containing alumina-supported catalysts, however, the presence of rhodium does not prevent the formation of cobalt aluminate, which compound proved to be irreducible below 773 K. Since the reduction of Co in impregnated as well as in oxidized bimetallic catalysts was catalyzed by the presence of rhodium we conclude that the metals in the reduced catalysts were present as bimetallic particles.

Passivation of  $Co_4 Rh/Al_2O_3$  was found to oxidize Co to a great extent, whereas rhodium was hardly affected. As the TPR profiles for the passivated  $Co_4 Rh/Al_2O_3$  and  $Co/Al_2O_3$  catalysts look similar the structure of the bimetallic particles consists of a metallic rhodium core covered with cobalt oxide. Therefore, the reduction of cobalt oxide cannot be catalyzed by rhodium. An oxidative treatment at elevated temperatures was found to reshape the bimetallic particle in such a way that also  $Rh_2O_3$  was exposed, and that reduction of cobalt oxide catalyzed again.

Evidence was found for oxidation of cobalt in the reduced cobalt containing alumina-supported catalysts during He flushing at 873 K.

The TPR results obtained for the monometallic  $Co/TiO_2$  catalyst clearly demonstrate that reduction of  $Co_3O_4$  is a two-stage process according to the reactions:

 $\operatorname{Co}_{3}\operatorname{O}_{4} + \operatorname{H}_{2} \rightarrow 3\operatorname{CoO} + \operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{O}$  $\operatorname{CoO} + \operatorname{H}_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Co} + \operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{O}.$  Oxidation of Co-Rh on TiO<sub>2</sub> at 773 K leads to particles in which rhodium oxide was exposed. However, higher temperatures were needed for complete oxidation of  $Co_{1.2}Rh/TiO_2$ . Under these conditions formation of cobalt rhodate cannot be excluded. The reduction behaviour of this oxidized catalyst indicated that only cobalt oxide was exposed to the gas phase.

When the passivated titania-supported catalysts were rereduced at 473 K they showed normal chemisorption behaviour. However, in case of a re-reduction at 773 K the H/M values for all the catalysts show evidence for SMSI behaviour.

#### 4.7 REFERENCES

- V. Ponec, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., <u>11</u>, 41 (1975).
- 2. J.H. Sinfelt, Adv. Catal., 23, 19 (1973).
- 3. W.M.H. Sachtler, Catal. Rev., 14, 193 (1976).
- 4. M.A. Vannice and R.L. Garten, J. Mol. Catal., <u>1</u>, 201 (1975-1976).
- 5. R.M. Stanfield and W.N. Delgass, J. Catal., 72, 37 (1981).
- 6. H. Miura and R.D. Gonzalez, J. Catal., 74, 216 (1982).
- E.R. Tucci and R.C. Streeter, Hydrocarbon Processing, April 1980, p. 107.
- M. Nakamura, B.J. Wood, P.Y. Hou, and H. Wise, in "Proceedings of the 7th International Congress on Catalysis", edited by T. Seiyama and K. Tanabe, Elsevier Amsterdam (1981), Part A, p. 432.
- 9. M.M. Bhasin, W.J. Bartley, D.C. Ellgen and T.P. Wilson, J. Catal., 54, 120 (1978).
- 10. M.A. Vannice and R.L. Garten, J. Catal., <u>66</u>, 242 (1980).
- 11. M.A. Vannice, J. Catal., 74, 199 (1982).
- P. Villeger, J. Barrault, J. Barbier, G. LeClerq and R. Maurel, Bull. Soc. Chim. France (1979), p. I-413.
- 13. R.L. Chin and D.M. Hercules, J. Phys. Chem., 86, 360 (1982).
- 14. R.B. Greegor, F.W. Lytle, R.L. Chin and D.M. Hercules, J. Phys. Chem., 85, 1232 (1981).

- 15. L.W. Burggraf, D.E. Leyden, R.L. Chin and D.M. Hercules, J. Catal., <u>78</u>, 360 (1982).
- 16. N. Topsøe and H. Topsøe, J. Catal., <u>75</u>, 354 (1982).

17. R.L. Chin and D.M. Hercules, J. Catal., 74, 121 (1982).

18. P. Grange, Catal. Rev., <u>21</u>, 135 (1980).

- 19. M.A. Vannice, J. Catal. <u>37</u>, 449 (1975).
- 20. K.S. Chung and F.E. Massoth, J. Catal., 64, 320 (1980).
- 21. M. LoJacono, J.L. Verbeek and G.C.A. Schuit, J. Catal., <u>29</u>, 463 (1973).
- 22. R. Brown, M.E. Cooper and D.A. Whan, Appl. Catal., <u>3</u>, 177 (1982).
- 23. S.D. Robertson, B.D. McNicol, J.H. de Baas, S.C. Kloet and J.W. Jenkins, J. Catal., 37, 424 (1975).
- 24. J.W. Jenkins, B.D. McNicol and S.D. Robertson, CHEMTECH, <u>7</u>, 316 (1977).
- 25. N. Wagstaff and R. Prins, J. Catal., 59, 434 (1979).
- 26. N. Wagstaff and R. Prins, J. Catal., 59, 446 (1979).
- 27. A.C. Faro Jr., M.E. Cooper, D. Garden and C. Kemball, J. Chem. Res., Paper E/183/82, 1114 (1983).
- T. Paryjczak, J. Góralski and K.W. Jóźwiak, React. Kinet. Catal. Lett., 16, 147 (1981).
- 29. A.J.A. Konings, A.M. van Dooren, D.C. Koningsberger, V.H.J. de Beer, A.L. Farragher and G.C.A. Schuit, J. Catal., <u>54</u>, 1 (1978).
- 30. H. Boer, W.J. Boersma and N. Wagstaff, Rev. Sci. Instr., <u>53</u>, 349 (1982).
- 31. S.J. Tauster, S.C. Fung and R.L. Garten, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 170 (1978).
- 32. W. Köster and E. Horn, Z. Metallkunde, 43, 444 (1952).
- 33. J. Wood, O. Lindqvist, C. Helgesson, N.G. Vannerberg, in "Reactivity of Solids", Plenum Press (1977).
- 34. T. Paryjczak, J. Rynkowski and S. Karski, J. Chromatogr., <u>188</u>, 254 (1980).
- 35. N.W. Hurst, S.J. Gentry and A. Jones, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., 24, 233 (1982).

- 36. J.M. Zowtiak, G.D. Weatherbee and C.H. Bartholomew, J. Catal., 82, 230 (1983).
- 37. A.E. Newkirk and D.W. Mckee, J. Catal., <u>11</u>, 370 (1968).
- M. LoJacono, M. Schiavello and C. Cimino, J. Phys. Chem., 75, 1044 (1971).
- 39. G.A. Somorjai, in "Chemistry in Two Dimensions: Surfaces", Cornell University Press, London (1981).
- H. Knözinger and P. Ratnasamy, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., <u>17</u>, 31 (1978).
- 41. G.C.A. Schuit and N.H. de Boer, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 70, 1067 (1951).
- 42. F.L. Williams and D. Nason, Surf. Sci., 45, 377 (1974).
- 43. R. Bouwman, G.J.M. Lippits and W.M.H. Sachtler, J. Catal., <u>25</u>, 300 (1972).
- 44. T. Wang and L.D. Schmidt, J. Catal., 70, 187 (1981).
- 45. T. Wang and L.D. Schmidt, J. Catal., 71, 411 (1981).
- 46. J.H. Sinfelt, U.S. Patent 3,953,368 (1976).
- 47. J.H. Sinfelt and G.H. Via, J. Catal., 56, 1 (1979).
- 48. G.C. Bond and X. Yide, J. Mol. Catal., accepted for publication.
- 49. H.C. de Jongste and V. Ponec, J. Catal., 64, 228 (1980).

# CHARACTERIZATION OF BIMETALLIC CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> CATALYSTS WITH TPR, TPO AND EXAFS SPECTROSCOPY

# 5.1 ABSTRACT

TPR, TPO and EXAFS experiments on CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts supply a clear evidence for the formation of bimetallic particles. The reduction of CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> occurs at lower temperatures compared to Co/SiO<sub>2</sub> indicating that rhodium serves as a catalyst for the reduction of cobalt. The Fourier transform of the Rh K-edge of the CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst shows that after reduction the rhodium atoms have much less cobalt neighbours than in the CoRh alloy. The intensity of the cobalt and rhodium peaks in the rhodium transform is only slightly influenced by adsorption of oxygen at room temperature, whereas the EXAFS spectrum of the Co-edge changes completely to that of cobalt oxide. From these results, it is concluded that the reduced catalyst contains bimetallic Co-Rh particles the interior of which is rich in rhodium, while the outer layer mainly contains cobalt.

#### 5.2 INTRODUCTION

TPR results obtained on  $Co_4 Rh/\gamma - Al_2O_3$  (presented in chapter 4) proved that after oxygen adsorption at room temperature the bimetallic particles consist of an outer layer which mainly

contains cobalt oxide, whereas the core of the particle consists of metallic rhodium. The conclusion concerning cobalt enrichment in the surface of the passivated bimetallic particles cannot be unambiguously applied to reduced bimetallic particles since a gas-induced surface enrichment (1) of cobalt during oxygen admission may occur. In chapter 4 we predicted a cobalt enrichment in the surface of a reduced bimetallic particle on the basis of the difference in sublimation energy between cobalt and rhodium. However, experimental evidence for this enrichment has not been presented yet.

Previous studies published by Sinfelt and co-workers (2-5) have shown the usefulness of EXAFS in obtaining structural information on reduced bimetallic cluster catalysts. The bimetallic systems investigated up to now were Ru-Cu, Os-Cu, Pt-Ir, and Ir-Rh. The first two are examples of systems composed of a group VIII metal and a group IB metal, while the last two consist of elements from group VIII only. The Ir-Rh system resembles the Co-Rh system best. All three elements (Co, Rh and Ir) have identical electronic structures. From EXAFS data obtained on Ir-Rh (1 wt% Ir, 0.5 wt% Rh) supported on SiO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> it has been concluded that the rhodium concentration in the surface region of the iridium-rhodium particles was higher than in the interior (5).

In this chapter we present the results of a characterization study of the  $CoRh/SiO_2$  system by using TPR, TPO and EXAFS of both the Rh and Co K-edges.  $SiO_2$  has been chosen as a support because with respect to EXAFS measurements it does not exhibit disadvantages of  $Al_2O_3$  and  $TiO_2$ .  $TiO_2$  is less suitable as a support, since the absorbtion in it of the incident beam within the energy region of the Co K-edge is too high to obtain a proper EXAFS signal. When  $Al_2O_3$  is used as a support, next to bimetallic Co-Rh particles also  $CoAl_2O_4$  is formed. This means that the EXAFS of the Co K-edge is then composed of many different absorber-scatterer pairs and analysis of the signal will be difficult. Another reason for using  $SiO_2$ as a support is that the model, developed for the formation of bimetallic Co-Rh particles on  $Al_2O_3$  (see chapter 4), may be

tested and extended to a more general one.

Finally, we want to emphasize that the EXAFS results will be discussed qualitatively, since a complete analysis of the spectra has not been achieved yet. However, as will become clear the EXAFS results as presented supply already very useful information about the structure of the reduced bimetallic Co-Rh particles supported on SiO<sub>2</sub>.

# 5.3 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> (3.9 wt% rhodium), Co/SiO<sub>2</sub> (4.1 wt% cobalt), and CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> (4.9 wt% metal, atomic ratio Co:Rh = 1:1) were prepared by means of the incipient wetness technique. Aqueous solutions of Co(NO3)2.6H2O (Merck P.A.), RhCl3.xH2O (39 wt%, Drijfhout) and a mixed solution of the two metal salts for the preparation of the bimetallic catalyst were used to impregnate the silica support (Grace, S.D. 2-324.382, 290  $m^2/g$ ). The impregnated catalysts were dried at room temperature overnight and finally at 393 K for 60 hours and stored for further use. A part of the impregnated CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> batch was directly reduced in flowing H<sub>2</sub> by heating at 5 K/min to 773 K and staying at that temperature for one hour. Before the catalysts were removed, they had been passivated at room temperature by replacing the  $H_2$  flow by  $N_2$  and subsequently slowly adding  $O_2$  up to 20%. The Co and Rh contents of the dried catalysts were established by using respectively atomic absorption and colourimetry.

Hydrogen chemisorption measurements were performed in a conventional glass system at 298 K. Before measuring the adsorption isotherms the impregnated catalysts were reduced at 773 K (heating rate 5 K/min) for 1 hour under flowing hydrogen and evacuated  $(10^{-2}$  Pa), at 773 K for Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> and evacuated at 573 K for Co/SiO<sub>2</sub> and CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub>, for another hour. Lower evacuation temperatures for the cobalt containing catalysts were used in order to avoid formation of water by dehydroxylation of the support. As shown in chapter 4 water may oxidize cobalt.

TPR and TPO experiments were carried out with circa 200 mg catalyst in an apparatus such as described by Boer *et al.* (6). The flow rate of gases through the reactor was 5 cm<sup>3</sup>/min and the temperature was raised at 5 K/min within the temperature range of 223 K to 773 K. The following sequence of treatments in the TPR apparatus has been used: the sample was dried in Ar at 393 K for 1 hour and subsequently cooled to 223 K, after which a TPR profile was recorded. Next H<sub>2</sub> was removed from the reactor at 773 K for Rh/Si0<sub>2</sub> and at 573 K for Co/SiO<sub>2</sub> and CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> by He flushing, to avoid H<sub>2</sub> chemisorption on the catalyst during cooling. After cooling down to 223 K in He a TPO profile was measured, followed by cooling down to 223 K and flushing with Ar for 1 hour. Finally, a second TPR was recorded.

The EXAFS experiments were performed on X-ray beam line I-5 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL) with ring energies of 3 GeV and ring currents between 40 and 80 mA. The samples used for the EXAFS experiments were pressed into a thin self-supporting wafer and mounted in an EXAFS in situ cell (7). The EXAFS spectra were recorded at liquid nitrogen temperature. Spectra of the Co K-edge and Rh K-edge of CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> were recorded (under 100 kPa H<sub>2</sub>) after the passivated catalyst had been reduced in situ at 673 K. After these measurements the sample was evacuated at room temperature and O<sub>2</sub> was added. EXAFS spectra (under 100 kPa O<sub>2</sub>) were again recorded in situ. Also the Rh K-edge spectrum of bulk CoRh (atomic ratio Co/Rh = 1, see Experimental Section of chapter 4) has been measured.

### 5.4 RESULTS

Profiles of TPR and TPO obtained with the silica-supported Co, Rh and CoRh catalysts are shown in figure 1. The numbers at the profiles correspond to the ratio between the amount of gas consumed and the total amount of metal. Reduction of the impregnated Co/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst mainly occurs



Figure 1 TPR and TPO profiles of  $SiO_2$ -supported cobalt, rhodium and cobalt-rhodium catalysts. The numbers next to the curves refer to the total  $H_2$  or  $O_2$  consumption, expressed per total amount of metal atoms in the catalyst. Temperature was increased at a rate of 5 K/min. Accuracies:  $H_2/M$ :  $\pm$  5%,  $O_2/M$ :  $\pm$  10%.

within the relative broad temperature range of 273 - 600 K. However, at 773 K reduction is not complete. The impregnated Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst is already reduced at 473 K. The TPR profile is characterized by two peaks, one at 368 K, which is assigned to the reduction of Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (formed during impregnation or drying) and one at 413 K, at which temperature RhCl<sub>3</sub> is reduced (8). The TPR profile of the impregnated bimetallic catalyst shows that reduction takes mainly place between 273 and 510 K. This proves that the rate of reduction of cobalt nitrate is enhanced by the presence of rhodium. Therefore, we conclude both metal salts are likely in intimate contact with each other after impregnation. For the same reason as mentioned in chapter 4 the observed  $H_2/M$  values for the cobalt containing catalysts give no indication of the reduction degree of the metals after TPR: the simultaneous reduction of residual nitrate groups gives rise to an enhanced  $H_2/M$  value. The total amount of hydrogen consumed during TPR of  $Rh/SiO_2$  indicates that the reduction degree of rhodium is high (95 + 5%).

The TPO profiles of the reduced catalysts (figure 1) already show oxygen consumption at 223 K due to chemisorption. The oxidation of cobalt supported on silica is already complete at 573 K, whereas higher temperatures are needed to oxidize completely the rhodium and bimetallic catalysts. Provided that after oxidation  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$  are formed, the reduction degree of the metals after TPR can be determined from the observed  $\text{O}_2/\text{M}$  values. A striking feature is that without any exception the reduction degrees of all three catalysts are high, for  $\text{Co}/\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{Rh}/\text{SiO}_2$  and  $\text{CoRh}/\text{SiO}_2$  respectively 98, 97 and 100  $\pm$  10%.

Subsequent TPR measurements result in profiles as presented in figure 1. In order to reduce  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$  completely we extended the TPR to 973 K. The TPR profile is characterized by a wide temperature range in which hydrogen is consumed. The reduction starts at 473 K and is complete at 973 K. Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> is fully reduced below 410 K and the reduction is characterized by a single peak with a maximum at 350 K. Above 420 K the hydrogen consumption is negative which is caused by desorption of hydrogen from the catalyst surface. The TPR profile of the bimetallic catalyst is characterized by a main peak around 400 K with a shoulder at lower temperature. Note that the reduction is complete before the oxidized monometallic Co/SiO, catalyst starts to be reduced. This clearly indicates that after oxidation the two metal oxides are close together. The observed  $\mathrm{H}_{2}/\mathrm{M}$  values show that the reduction degrees of the three catalysts are high, for Co/SiO2, Rh/SiO2 and CoRh/SiO2,

98, 100 and 99 + 5%, respectively.

The hydrogen chemisorption measurements yielded H/M values of 0.13, 0.44 and 0.25 for the reduced Co, Rh and CoRh supported catalysts.

In order to obtain more information about the structure of the bimetallic particles in the reduced CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst EXAFS spectra were recorded.



Figure 2 EXAFS of the Rh K-edge of CoRh alloy and CoRh/SiO,.

- a) Normalized EXAFS data for the CoRh alloy (molar Co/Rh ratio is 1).
- b) Normalized EXAFS data for CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> reduced in situ at 673 K.
- c) The  $k^{1}$  Fourier transforms (FT's) of the EXAFS of CoRh alloy, reduced CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> and also for  $r < 3^{\text{A}}$  Rh-foil. The FT's were taken over a k-range of 3 15  $^{\text{A}^{-1}}$ .

Figure 2a and 2b show the normalized EXAFS functions  $\chi(k)$  of the rhodium K-edge of, respectively, the CoRh alloy and the CoRh/SiO, catalyst reduced in situ at 673 K. The oscillations are due to rhodium as well as cobalt neighbour atoms. The associated k<sup>1</sup> Fourier transforms (FT's) of the functions which were taken over the range of wavevectors 3 - 15  $\text{\AA}^{-1}$  are presented in figure 2c. This figure also includes for r < 3 Å the k<sup>1</sup> Fourier transform (reduced 4 times) of the EXAFS of Rh-foil (see chapter 2, figure 8a) performed on a k-interval of 3 - 15  $Å^{-1}$ . Note that the peaks in the transformed function are displaced from the true interatomic distances because of the phase shifts (see chapter 2). The FT's for the bimetallic systems are characterized by two peaks, one around 2.4 Å which is assigned to rhodium nearest neighbours because the position of this peak is similar to the position of the main peak in Rh-foil, and another around 1.9 Å. The latter peak cannot be assigned to the Rh sidelobe, which is caused by the non-linear k-dependences of the backscattering amplitude and the phase of rhodium (see chapter 2), because the peak intensity is much too high. As proven by X-ray diffraction (see chapter 4) the metals cobalt and rhodium in the CoRh alloy are homogeneously mixed which implies that each rhodium atom on the average is surrounded by six rhodium and six cobalt atoms and vice versa. Although a complete analysis of the EXAFS could not be achieved yet the results prove beyond any doubt a difference in bond length of the Rh-Rh bond (2.66 + 0.02 Å) and the Rh-Co bond (2.60 + 0.02 Å). The peak around 1.9 Å is then caused by interference of Rh-Rh and Rh-Co EXAFS oscillations. The peak intensity is a measure for the total amount of Rh-Co bonds and therefore we denote this peak as Rh-Co peak. From figure 2c it can be clearly seen that for the reduced CoRh/SiO, catalyst the intensity of the Rh-Co peak is smaller, and the intensity of the Rh-Rh peak is bigger than the comparable peaks for the CoRh alloy. This indicates that the rhodium atoms in the reduced catalyst are mainly surrounded by rhodium atoms.

In order to investigate the influence of oxygen on the structure of the bimetallic particles EXAFS spectra of CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> were recorded after oxygen admission at room temperature to the reduced catalyst leading to a passivated catalyst. The intensity of the Rh-Co and Rh-Rh peak in the rhodium transform is slightly influenced by adsorption of oxygen (not shown). The EXAFS spectra of the Co K-edge of the reduced and the passivated CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts are presented in figure 3a and 3b, respectively. Since the signal-to-noise



Figure 3 EXAFS of the Co K-edge of CoRh/SiO2.

- a) Normalized EXAFS data for CoRh/SiO, reduced in situ at 673 K.
- b) Normalized EXAFS data for CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> after O<sub>2</sub> admission at room temperature to the reduced catalyst.
- c) The associated  $k^{1}$  Fourier transforms taken over a k-range of  $3 7 \, A^{-1}$  and  $3.5 9 \, A^{-1}$  for respectively the reduced and passivated CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst.

ratio was low (caused by monochromator problems) the  $k^1$  Fourier transforms were taken over small k-ranges, 3 - 7 Å<sup>-1</sup> and 3.5 - 9 Å<sup>-1</sup> for respectively the reduced and passivated CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. The FT's are presented in figure 3c. This figure illustrates that oxygen adsorption at room temperature changes the coordination shell of cobalt completely. The FT of the reduced catalyst below r = 3 Å is characteristic for Co-Co and Co-Rh coordination, whereasthe FT of the passivated catalyst is characteristic for Co-Q coordination.

#### 5.5 DISCUSSION

Regarding the formation of bimetallic Co-Rh particles on a support the results of this investigation completely fit into the picture given for Co-Rh supported on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in chapter 4, figure 7. However, in the case of the SiO<sub>2</sub> support no irreducible Co-SiO<sub>2</sub> compounds are formed.

The TPR profiles for the impregnated catalysts indicate that the two metal salts in  $CoRh/SiO_2$  are in intimate contact because  $CoRh/SiO_2$  reduces at lower temperatures than monometallic  $Co/SiO_2$ . The more noble metal of the two, rhodium, serves as a catalyst for the reduction of the less noble metal, cobalt. The Co-Rh phase diagram (see chapter 4, figure 1) shows that the two metals form a solid solution and that there is thus no driving force to separate the metals during reduction, once an alloy particle has been formed.

The EXAFS results prove that bimetallic particles are indeed formed during reduction. The Fourier transform of the oscillations of the Rh K-edge of the CoRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst shows that after reduction of the catalyst a phase, rich in rhodium, is formed - the rhodium atoms have much more rhodium neighbours than in the CoRh alloy. It is obvious that formation of a phase, rich in rhodium, is accompanied by formation of a phase, rich in cobalt. The bimetallic particles formed during reduction consist of an inner side which is rich in rhodium, whereas the outer layer is enriched in cobalt, since:  the rhodium-rich phase is hardly affected by oxygen adsorption at room temperature - the EXAFS spectrum of the Rh K-edge is only slightly influenced,

2) oxygen adsorption changes the coordination shell of cobalt completely - the EXAFS spectrum of the Co-edge after oxygen adsorption is characteristic for Co-O coordination.
Thus, as predicted in chapter 4 on the basis of the difference in sublimation energy for cobalt and rhodium, EXAFS has proven that during reduction of a Co-Rh catalyst cobalt enrichment in the surface of the bimetallic particles takes place.

Comparison of the TPO profiles for the three catalysts shows that the same high temperatures are needed for the complete oxidation of the  $CoRh/SiO_2$  as for the  $Rh/SiO_2$  catalyst. During TPO the cobalt-rich surface is oxidized first, as proven by EXAFS, and therefore the oxygen consumption at higher temperatures is caused by oxidation of the rhodium-rich core. After oxidation cobalt and rhodium are present as  $Co_3O_4$  and  $Rh_2O_3$ .

The TPR profile of the oxidized  $Co/SiO_2$  catalyst shows that a temperature of 973 K is needed to reduce the metal oxide, whereas bulk  $Co_3O_4$  has been reduced completely around 730 K. This result is in accordance with Paryjczak *et al.* (9) and has been interpreted in terms of metal-support interaction. This interaction may be as strong as in cobalt silicate which serves as a 'glue' attaching the metallic particle to the support.

Finally, we note that reduction of the oxidized bimetallic catalyst occurs within the same temperature range as of the oxidized Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst and is complete below the temperature above which the oxidized Co/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst starts to be reduced. Apparently, rhodium catalyzes the reduction of cobalt oxide which implies that both metal oxides are close to each other.

# 5.6 REFERENCES

- R. Bouwman, G.J.M. Lippits and W.M.H. Sachtler, J. Catal., 25, 300 (1972).
- J.H. Sinfelt, G.H. Via and F.W. Lytle, J. Chem. Phys., <u>72</u>, 4832 (1980).
- J.H. Sinfelt, G.H. Via, F.W. Lytle and R.B. Greegor, J. Chem. Phys., 75, 5527 (1981).
- J.H. Sinfelt, G.H. Via and F.W. Lytle, J. Chem. Phys., 76, 2279 (1982).
- G. Meitzner, G.H. Via, F.W. Lytle and J.H. Sinfelt, J. Chem. Phys., 78, 2533 (1983).
- H. Boer, W.J. Boersma and N. Wagstaff, Rev. Sci. Instr., 53, 349 (1982).
- D.C. Koningsberger and J.W. Cook, in "EXAFS and Near Edge Structures", edited by A. Bianconi, L. Incoccia and S. Stipcich, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983), p. 412.
- 8. A.E. Newkirk and D.W. McKee, J. Catal., 11, 370 (1968).
- 9. T. Paryjczak, J. Rynkowski and S. Karski, J. Chromatogr., 188, 254 (1980).

chapter 6

# CHARACTERIZATION OF BIMETALLIC FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> CATALYSTS WITH TPR, TPO AND MÖSSBAUER SPECTROSCOPY

#### 6.1 ABSTRACT

TPR and Mössbauer spectroscopy experiments on FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts show a clear evidence for the formation of bimetallic particles. The degree of reduciton in FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> is substantially higher than in Fe/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, proving that rhodium acts as a catalyst for the reduction of iron. Addition of O<sub>2</sub> to reduced FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> at room temperature mainly causes oxidation of iron while rhodium remains in the metallic state. This is interpreted as being due to the fact that the bimetallic Fe-Rh particles consist of an outer shell enriched in iron, while the core contains most of the rhodium.

#### **6.2 INTRODUCTION**

It is well known that alloying of two metals can markedly improve catalytic properties such as selectivity and stability, compared to the properties of the constituent monometallic catalysts (1). From the selectivity point of view the bimetallic FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst is a very interesting system. Bhasin *et al.* (2) observed a strong synergistic effect for this catalyst in CO hydrogenation at 573 K and 68 x  $10^2$  kPa. The selectivities to methanol (35%) and ethanol (24%) differed strongly from that of either supported iron or supported rhodium catalysts.

In this paper we present the results of a characterization study of the FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> system using temperature programmed reduction and oxidation (TPR and TPO). In situ Mössbauer spectroscopy is applied to obtain information about the chemical state of iron after completion of a TPR or TPO experiment. A more detailed Mössbauer study of the FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> system has been published elsewhere (3).

# 6.3 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

 $Rh/SiO_2$  (3.9 wt% rhodium),  $Fe/SiO_2$  (3.5 wt% iron), and  $FeRh/SiO_2$  (5 wt% metal, atomic ratio Fe:Rh = 1:1) were prepared by using the incipient wetness technique. Aqueous solutions of  $Fe(NO_3)_3.9H_2O$  (Merck P.A.),  $RhCl_3.xH_2O$  (39 wt%, Drijfhout) and a mixture of the two metal salts for the preparation of the bimetallic catalyst were used to impregnate the silica support (Grace, S.D. 2 - 324.382, 290 m<sup>2</sup>/g). The impregnated samples were dried at room temperature overnight, at 333, 353 and 373 K for 2 hours at each temperature, and finally at 393 K for 60 hours.

TPR and TPO experiments were carried out with 5% H<sub>2</sub> in Ar and 5% O, in He respectively, with 200 mg catalyst in an apparatus described by Boer et al. (4). The gases (researchgrade, Hoekloos) were purified over a BTS column for the removal of oxygen and over molecular sieves (Union Carbide, 5A) for the removal of water. The flow rate of gases through the reactor was 5  $\text{cm}^3/\text{min}$  and the temperature was raised at 5 K/min within the temperature range of 223 to 773 K. The following sequence of treatments in the TPR apparatus has been used: the sample was heated in Ar at 393 K for 1 hour and subsequently cooled to 223 K, after which a TPR profile was recorded. Next H<sub>2</sub> was removed from the reactor at 773 K by He flushing, to avoid H, chemisorption on the catalyst during cooling. After cooling down to 223 K in He a TPO profile was measured, followed by cooling down to 223 K and flushing with Ar for 1 hour. Finally, a second TPR was recorded. The amount

of gas consumed during TPR and TPO is expressed per total amount of metal,  $H_2/M$  and  $O_2/M$ . As the oxidation states of rhodium and iron before reduction and after oxidation are 3+, the  $H_2/M$  value which corresponds to complete reduction of the metals is 1.5. The  $O_2/M$  value for complete oxidation of the reduced metal is 0.75.

Chemisorption measurements were performed in a conventional glass system at 298 K. Before measuring the adsorption isotherms the impregnated catalysts were reduced at 773 K (heating rate 5 K/min) for 1 hour under flowing hydrogen and evacuated  $(10^{-2}$  Pa) at 773 K for another hour. The total amount of chemisorbed H atoms per total amount of metal H/M, was determined according to the method of Benson and Boudart (5).

Mössbauer spectra were measured in situ at room temperature, with 320 mg of FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> pressed into a wafer with a diameter of 20 mm. The spectrometer and in situ reactor have been described previously (3, 6). The spectra were fitted by computer with calculated subspectra consisting of Lorentzianshaped lines, by varying the Mössbauer parameters in nonlinear iterative minimization routine (6).

#### 6.4 RESULTS

TPR and TPO profiles of the silica-supported Rh, Fe and FeRh catalysts are shown in figure 1. The numbers next to the profiles correspond to the ratio between the amount of gas consumed and the total amount of metal in moles.

Reduction of the  $Rh/SiO_2$  catalyst after impregnation and drying occurs within the temperature range of 273-473 K and is characterized by two peaks, one at 368 K, which is assigned to the reduction of  $Rh_2O_3$  (formed during impregnation or drying) and one at 413 K, at which temperature  $RhCl_3$  is reduced (7). The reduction of the  $Fe/SiO_2$  catalyst is more difficult, it does not start until 473 K. In anticipation of the results of the second TPR, we assign the two peaks to the reduction of  $Fe(NO_3)_3$  (573 K) and  $Fe_2O_3$  (638 K), respectively. The most



Figure 1 TPR and TPO profiles of  $SiO_2$ -supported rhodium, iron and iron-rhodium catalyst. The numbers next to the curves refer to the total  $H_2$  or  $O_2$  consumption, expressed per total amount of metal atoms in the catalyst. Temperature was increased at a rate of 5 K/min. Accuracies:  $H_2/M$ :  $\pm$  5%,  $O_2/M$ :  $\pm$  10%.

striking feature of the TPR profile of FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> is that reduction takes place mainly within the temperature range of 273-473 K. This indicates that both metal salts are in intimate contact after impregnation and that rhodium serves as a catalyst for the reduction of Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The shoulder at 413 K cannot be unambiguously assigned to a compound. The H<sub>2</sub>/M values indicate that for the monometallic rhodium catalyst the reduction degree of rhodium is high  $(95 \pm 5\%)$ , whereas the reduction degree of the iron catalyst does not exceed 42%. This is an upper limit because part of the nitrate may be reduced too, which would contribute to the H<sub>2</sub>/M value. Because of this problem the reduction degrees of the bimetallic FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> and the monometallic Fe/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst cannot be determined exactly from the H<sub>2</sub>/M values.

The TPO profiles (figure 1) of the reduced catalysts indicate that with all three catalysts oxygen is already consumed at 223 K. The oxidation of the iron catalyst is complete at 573 K, whereas higher temperatures are needed to oxidize the rhodium and the bimetallic catalysts completely. From the observed  $O_2/M$  value of  $0.75 \pm 10\%$  for the bimetallic catalyst we conclude that the degree of reduction after the thermoreduction was high.

Subsequent TPR measurements result in profiles as presented in figure 1.  $Rh_2O_3$  on SiO\_2 is fully reduced below 413 K and the reduction is characterized by a single peak with a maximum at 348K. Above 423 K the hydrogen consumption is negative which is caused by desorption of hydrogen from the catalyst surface. Reduction of iron oxide supported on silica occurs above 493 K and at 773 K only about 30% of the oxide is reduced. The TPR profile of the bimetallic catalyst clearly indicates that after oxidation the two metal oxides are close together, as the reduction is characterized by a single peak, at 418 K. The  $H_2/M$  ratio for the bimetallic catalyst is 1.43 ( $\pm$  5%), which corresponds to a reduction degree of 95 + 5%.

The hydrogen chemisorption measurements yielded H/M values of 0.44, 0.01 and 0.16 for the reduced Rh, Fe and FeRh supported catalysts, respectively. These results clearly indicate that the dispersion of the monometallic rhodium catalyst is high. The low H/M value obtained for the monometallic iron catalyst cannot be correlated with dispersion because the degree of reduction of the catalyst is low (about 30%), as confirmed by TPR.

The adsorption of hydrogen on reduced supported iron catalysts is an activated process (8). Consequently, the H/M

value of the bimetallic catalyst gives the lower limit of the dispersion.

To obtain more insight into the oxidation state of the iron in the bimetallic catalyst after different stages of reduction and oxidation, Mössbauer spectra were recorded. Figure 2 shows the in situ Mössbauer spectra of the bimetallic catalyst at room temperature after different treatments as indicated in the figure.



Figure 2 In situ Mössbauer at 298 K of the bimetallic FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts after the treatments indicated.

The spectra were analysed applying an appropriate computer program and the Mössbauer parameters are listed in table 1.

The spectrum of the FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst after reductionat 773 K for 3 hours (figure 2a) consists of a large single line and a shoulder which belongs to a doublet, the left peak of which coincides with the singlet. Evidence that the interpretation in terms of a singlet and a doublet is correct will be presented elsewhere (9). The isomer shift of the singlet

treatment	iron state	IS mm/s	QS mm/s	LW mm./s	spectral contribution (%)
fresh catalyst H <sub>2</sub> , 773 K, 3h	Fe <sup>3+</sup> Fe <sup>0</sup>	0.62 0.37	0.69 -	0.44 0.44	100 73
_	Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.61	0.88	0.33	27
о <sub>2</sub> , 298 к	Fe 3+	0.35	-	0.35	18
	Fe	0.64	1.03	0.58	82
о <sub>2</sub> , 773 к, 3h	Fe	0.64	1.04	0.63	100
H <sub>2</sub> , 773 K, 3h	Fe	0.35	-	0.47	75
	Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.65	0.87	0.38	25

Table 1 Mössbauer parameters of FeRh/SiO, at 298 K.

Accuracies: IS: <u>+</u> 0.03 mm/s, QS: <u>+</u> 0.05 mm/s, LW: <u>+</u> 0.10 mm/s, spectral contribution: + 5%.

IS relative to sodium nitroprusside (SNP).

(0.36 mm/s) is within the range of those for Fe-Rh bulk alloys (10). Hence, it is assigned to Fe<sup>0</sup> in the bimetallic crystallite. The Mössbauer parameters of the doublet are characteristic for high spin Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions. Therefore, we assign the doublet to irreducible iron(III) oxide in the FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst.

The spectrum of FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> after oxidation at room temperature (figure 2b) consists for 82% of the spectral area of an Fe<sup>3+</sup> doublet and for only 18% of the singlet which is due to Fe<sup>0</sup> in Fe-Rh alloy particles.

Oxidation at 773 K for 2 hours leads to a Mössbauer spectrum (figure 2c) which consists of an Fe<sup>3+</sup> doublet and consequently only oxidic iron is present. A subsequent thermoreduction at 773 K for 3 hours yields a spectrum (figure 2d) similar to the Mössbauer spectrum obtained after the first reduction.

#### 6.5 DISCUSSION

The results of this investigation clearly aemonstrate that co-clustering of iron and rhodium in the bimetallic FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub>, catalyst occurs since:

- (i) FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> is reduced at lower temperatures than monometallic Fe/SiO<sub>2</sub>,
- (ii) the degree of reduction in the bimetallic catalyst is substantially higher than in the monometallic iron catalyst, and

 (iii) the Mössbauer spectrum of reduced FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> indicates that most of the iron is present as Fe<sup>0</sup> in an Fe-Rh alloy.
 Apparently, rhodium, the noble metal, enhances the reducibility of the less noble metal, iron, appreciably.

Reduction of well dispersed iron on oxidic supports is difficult due to inhibition of the nucleation, *i.e.* the reaction between molecular  $H_2$  and the metal oxide to produce metal atoms and  $H_2O$  (11). Consequently, the degree of reduction of the Fe/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts is 10w, in our case only about 30%. Supported rhodium catalysts do not suffer from nucleation problems and hence a high degree of reduction up to 100% is observed. The catalytic effect of rhodium in the reduction of the bimetallic FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst can be explained by assuming that rhodium atoms, reduced by molecular  $H_2$ , act as nucleation centres. At these sites  $H_2$  is dissociated to yield H atoms which are sufficiently reactive to reduce oxidic iron. This mechanism is sometimes called 'intra particle hydrogen spillover' (12).

The Mössbauer spectrum of reduced FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> contains a contribution which was assigned to a doublet of iron(III)oxide. Stronger evidence for this assignment and a more detailed investigation of this oxide will be presented elsewhere (9). The presence of irreducible material in the catalyst is in accordance with the observed  $H_2/M$  value of 1.43, which is lower than the theoretical value of 1.50.

The Mössbauer spectrum of the bimetallic catalyst contains no contributions due to  $\alpha$ -Fe or Fe<sup>2+</sup>, phases which are commonly

encountered in monometallic Fe/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts (3). Hence, we conclude that isolated iron particles have not been formed. Although the second TPR profiles in figure 1 indicate that most of the rhodium is present in clusters together with iron, we cannot exclude the presence of some isolated rhodium particles in the bimetallic catalyst, as the TPR curves in repeated runs with oxidized Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> and FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> overlap at temperatures between 333 and 413 K.

Comparison of the TPO profiles for the three catalysts suggests that iron does not promote the oxidation of rhodium in the bimetallic catalyst. The same high temperatures are needed for the complete oxidation of the FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> as for the Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. However, the Mössbauer spectrum in figure 2b shows that oxidation at room temperature converts most of the iron atoms into iron(III)oxide. Only about 20% of the spectrum is due to Fe<sup>0</sup> atoms in the Fe-Rh alloy. The TPO curve indicates that the degree of oxidation reached at room temperature is of the order of 40%. Combination of these two results leads to the conclusion that oxidation of the bimetallic FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst at room temperature affects mainly the iron, whereas rhodium remains in a reduced state. An X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy measurement confirmed that rhodium in the passivated FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst is present as Rh<sup>0</sup> (13).

The results can be understood in the context of a 'core and shell' model of the bimetallic particles. On the assumption that the regular solution model is valid, the two metals are completely miscible, the thermodynamics of this system predicts that the metal with the lowest sublimation energy will be enriched in the surface region of the alloy (14). As the sublimation energy of iron is lower than that of rhodium, surface enrichment of iron in the reduced Fe-Rh cluster is thus to be expected. When this bimetallic cluster is exposed to  $O_2$ at room temperature surface enrichment of iron will be even more pronounced. After oxidation at room temperature the particle will consist of an outer shell of mainly iron oxide and a core of Fe-Rh alloy with a high Rh content. High temperatures are necessary for a complete oxidation of the bimetallic cluster. The TPO profile of the FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst above 473 K is similar to that of the monometallic rhodium catalyst and can be assigned to the oxidation of the rhodium core (15).

The TPR profile of the oxidized bimetallic catalyst (figure 1) is characterized by a single peak and suggests that the oxides are co-clustered and that no segregation of the metal oxides has occurred. The temperature at which the reduction takes place is below the temperature above which the oxidized Fe/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst starts to reduce and this demonstrates again that clustering of rhodium and iron enhances the reducibility of iron. However, if rhodium catalyzes the reduction of iron one would expect that reduction of monometallic Rh/SiO, and bimetallic FeRh/SiO, starts at the same temperature. However, the reduction of the bimetallic catalyst starts at a significantly higher temperature than that of the monometallic Rh/SiO2 catalyst. This result is consistent with the proposed core and shell model. As explained before, the formation of the first rhodium metal atoms, the nucleation step, is the rate-determining step. In the case of the oxidized bimetallic catalyst the chance of nucleation on the oxide surface is diminished compared to the oxidized monometallic rhodium catalyst, because the rhodium concentration in the outer shell is low due to the surface enrichment of iron. In order to start nucleation in the core region where the rhodium content is high, hydrogen must diffuse through the outer shell of iron oxide. This process may be rate-determining for the reduction and be the cause that the reduction starts at higher temperatures than in systems where rhodium is present at the surface.

Finally, we note that reduction of the bimetallic catalyst after high temperature oxidation leads to the same Mössbauer spectrum as reduction of the impregnated catalyst. Thus, reduction and oxidation of the bimetallic FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst are reversible.

# **6.6 REFERENCES**

- 1. V. Ponec, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., 11, 41 (1975).
- M.M. Bhasin, W.J. Bartley, D.C. Ellgen and T.P. Wilson, J. Catal., 54, 120 (1978).
- 3. J.W. Niemantsverdriet, A.M. van der Kraan, J.J. van Loef and W.N. Delgass, J. Phys. Chem., <u>87</u>, 1292 (1983).
- H. Boer, W.J. Boersma and N. Wagstaff, Rev. Sci. Instr. 53, 349 (1982).
- 5. J.E. Benson and M. Boudart, J. Catal., 4, 704 (1965).
- 6. J.W. Niemantsverdriet, thesis, Delft (1983).
- 7. A.E. Newkirk and D.W. McKee, J. Catal., 11, 370 (1968).
- H. Topsøe, N. Topsøe and H. Bohlbro, Int. Cat. Congress Tokyo (1980).
- 9. J.W. Niemantsverdriet, D.P. Aschenbeck, F.A. Fortunato and W.N. Delgass, J. Mol. Catal., to be buplished.
- C.C. Chao, P. Duwez and C.F.J. Chang, J. Appl. Phys., <u>42</u>, 4282 (1971).
- J.R. Anderson, "Structure of Metallic Catalysts", Academic Press, New York, (1975).
- 12. N.W. Hurst, S.J. Gentry, A. Jones and B.D. McNicol, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., 24, 233 (1982).
- 13. C.F.J. Flipse, unpublished results.
- 14. F.L. Williams and D. Nason, Surf. Sci., 45, 377 (1974).
- 15. J.C. Vis, H.F.J. van 't Blik, T. Huizinga, J. van Grondelle and R. Prins, J. Mol. Catal., to be published.
# chapter 7

# A SPECTROSCOPIC AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION STUDY OF THE STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF RHODIUM IN AN ULTRA DISPERSED Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> CATALYST

# 7.1 ABSTRACT

An investigation of the structural properties of rhodium present in an ultra dispersed 0.57 wt% Rh/Y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> catalyst has been carried out with the use of a number of complementary techniques: Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure (EXAFS), X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS), Electron Spin Resonance (ESR), Temperature Programmed Reduction (TPR), CO infrared spectroscopy and hydrogen and carbon monoxide chemisorption. After reduction of the catalyst at 593 K the rhodium is quantitatively reduced and three-dimensional metallic rhodium crystallites are formed. The crystallites are attached to the support through  $Rh^0$  to  $O^{2-}$  bonds only. CO adsorption on the reduced catalyst changes the structure of rhodium drastically. A significant disruption of rhodium-rhodium bonds takes place, ultimately leading to isolated rhodium geminal dicarbonyl species in which rhodium has an oxidation state of 1+ and in which each rhodium ion is surrounded by two carbon monoxide molecules and three oxygen ions.

# 7.2 INTRODUCTION

As a result of many industrial applications, e.g. in the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide, the reduction of NO in automobile exhaust gas and the hydroformylation of olefines, rhodium on alumina catalysts are studied extensively. The use of ultra dispersed rhodium on a support is not only of obvious importance from an economical point of view, but also from the point of view of activity and selectivity of catalytic reactions. Yao  $et \ all$ . (1) reported that going from a well dispersed phase to a particulate phase the specific activity for npentane hydrogenolysis decreased and for NO reduction by H<sub>2</sub> increased. Alterations in the reaction parameters and in the product distributions indicated strongly that the ratedetermining step in the NO reduction and the reaction intermediate(s) in the n-pentane hydrogenolysis changed if the rhodium surface phase changed. The oxidation state of rhodium during reaction can be very important in view of the selectivity. There are indications that the selectivity to oxygen containing products like methanol and ethanol in the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide can be ascribed to the presence of rhodium ions (2-4).

Although many detailed characterization studies of highly dispersed rhodium catalysts have been reported, the state of the metal is not yet known exactly. There even exists a controversy about the structure and oxidation state of the rhodium after reduction by hydrogen in these ultra dispersed systems. Infrared spectroscopy has been used as a sensitive tool to study the variations in carbon-oxygen stretching frequencies of CO chemisorbed on  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  catalysts (5-12). These variations are due to subtle changes in the character of the supported rhodium. At high rhodium loading two CO bands are observed, one around 2060 cm<sup>-1</sup> and another broad band between 1800 and 1900 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Both bands are assigned to CO molecules adsorbed on rhodium atom(s) on the surface of rhodium metal crystallites, the former band due to a CO molecule on top of an Rh atom, the latter band due to a CO molecule bridged between two neigh-

bouring Rh atoms. Another surface species consists of two CO molecules bound to one surface Rh (bands at 2095 and 2027  $\rm cm^{-1}$ . representing the symmetrical and antisymmetrical CO stretching modes, respectively). This surface species is more pronounced for catalysts with a low Rh loading. The wavenumbers correspond closely to those observed for the bridged [Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl]<sub>2</sub> dimer and do not shift in frequency with increasing CO coverage. On the basis of these data some investigators have asserted that rhodium on alumina is monatomically dispersed and is in the  $Rh^{1+}$  state (5-9). On the other hand there are strong indications that rhodium is present as metallic crystallites after reduction with hydrogen. Detailed studies have been performed on these dispersed systems using catalytic reactions (1, 13) (e.g. hydrogenolysis, which is catalyzed by metals only), as well as chemisorption and electron microscopy (10, 11). From the electron microscopy studies one has concluded that rhodium is present in twodimensional metallic raft-like structures, the so-called  $\delta$ phase. Calculations have been reported which indicate that for small metallic clusters on supports indeed the raft-like two-

dimensional form is more stable than the three-dimensional form (14). In the studies mentioned previously (10, 11) CO infrared measurements were also carried out and the observed  $Rh(CO)_2$  species was assigned to two CO molecules adsorbed on edge atoms in two-dimensional rafts.

In general, one can envision four possibilities concerning the structure of the ultra dispersed rhodium: a) metal crystallites, either in a two- or three-dimensional form, b) isolated  $Rh^0$  atoms, c) isolated  $Rh^{n+}$  ions and d) a combination of these three forms. Because of the results of the electron microscopy studies, which showed the existence of rhodium particles, one would be inclined to exclude model b) and c). A further un-ambiguous choice between model a) and d) cannot be made on the basis of existing information.

In order to obtain more insight into the structure of rhodium and the influence of CO adsorption on this structure we characterized a reduced highly dispersed rhodium catalyst before and after CO admission, by a number of complementary techniques. The techniques used are Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure spectroscopy (EXAFS), X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS), Electron Spin Resonance (ESR), Temperature Programmed Reduction (TPR), CO infrared spectroscopy and hydrogen and carbon monoxide chemisorption.

EXAFS is, in contrast to diffraction techniques, sensitive to short-range order and can provide unique structural information on highly dispersed catalysts (15-17). Preliminary results of EXAFS measurements performed on reduced  $Rh/Al_2O_3$ and  $Rh/TiO_2$  catalysts have been reported before (18) and in that study it was concluded that rhodium is not entirely atomically dispersed.

The importance of XPS in the characterization of supported catalysts has been demonstrated by several authors (19, 20). Huizinga *et al.* (21) have reported an extensive XPS study of Pt and Rh supported on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. In view of the above mentioned problem, dealing with the

structure of rhodium, ESR is a powerful technique to distinguish between isolated  $Rh^0$  ( $d^8s^1$ ) atoms and  $Rh^{2+}$  ( $d^7$ ) ions (an ESR signal will be present) on one side, and isolated  $Rh^{1+}$ ( $d^8$ ) ions and  $Rh^{3+}$  ( $d^6$ ) ions (an ESR signal will be absent) on the other side.

With the TPR technique one can obtain information about the reducibility of an impregnated, a passivated, as well as an oxidized catalyst. From the quantitative results, expressed by  $H_2/Rh$  ratios, a distinction between a completely reduced (only metallic rhodium is present) and a partly reduced catalyst (the average oxidation state is positive) can be made.

In this chapter we will present the results of an in situ study on a highly dispersed 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst before and after CO admission, and will discuss the structure of rhodium after reduction with hydrogen as well as the influence of CO adsorption on the topology of rhodium. Preliminary results of this study have been published recently (12).

# 7.3 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

# CATALYST PREPARATION

The 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst was prepared by incipient wetting of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>0<sub>3</sub> with an aqueous solution of RhCl<sub>3</sub>.xH<sub>2</sub>0 (Drijfhout & Zn.). The support  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (BET area of 150 m<sup>2</sup>/g and a pore volume of 0.65 cm<sup>3</sup>/g) was obtained by heating boehmite (supplied by Martinswerk, GmbH) at 873 K. After impregnation the catalyst was dried in air at 393 K during 20 hours to remove the adsorbed water and stored for further use. The rhodium content was determined colourimetrically. A 2 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst was prepared by using the dimer [Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl]<sub>2</sub> as precursor. The dimer was prepared from  $RhCl_{3}$ .xH<sub>2</sub>O and CO as described by McCleverty *et al.* (22). In this case the support used was a Ketjen  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (000-1.5 E, S.A. = 200  $m^2/g$ , P.V. = 0.60 cm<sup>3</sup>/g). The support was dispersed in n-hexane to which a solution of [Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl]<sub>2</sub> in n-hexane was added. After 2 hours this mixture had decolourized which indicated that the exchange was complete. After filtering the catalyst was vacuum dried at 298 K during 30 minutes and stored.

### CHEMISORPTION

Chemisorption measurements were performed in a conventional glass system at 298 K. Researchgrade CO was used without further purification. Hydrogen was purified by passing through a Pd diffusion cell. Before measuring the CO and  $H_2$  chemisorption the dried catalyst was reduced at 593 K (heating rate of 5 K/min) for one hour under flowing hydrogen and evacuated  $(10^{-2} \text{ Pa})$  at 573 K for another hour. The procedure of the measurement was as follows: after reduction and evacuation of the catalyst approximately 70 kPa  $H_2$  or CO was admitted. When equilibrium was reached the pressure in the system was diminished in steps of about 10 kPa by pumping away a known amount of gas. As we measured from high to low pressure we will

use the term desorption isotherm instead of adsorption isotherm. Following the method of Benson and Boudart (23) one obtains the total amount of chemisorbed H atoms and CO molecules by extrapolating the linear higher-pressure region (P > 30 kPa, above which monolayer coverage has been reached) of the isotherm to zero pressure and by correcting for the extrapolated value of the bare support.

# TPR

The thermoreduction studies were performed in an apparatus similar to the one which has extensively been described by Boer et al. (24). A mixture of 5% H<sub>2</sub> in Ar was used for the reduction. This mixture was purified over molecular sieves for the removal of water and over a BTS column for the removal of traces of oxygen. By using a Thermal Conductivity Detector (TCD) of the diffusion type the difference between the hydrogen concentration of the mixture entering and leaving the reactor can be detected. The TCD signal monitored as a function of temperature, controlled by a LN 1300 temperature controller, yields the TPR profile. The heating rate during all TPR measurements was 5 K/min and the gas flow rate 5 Nml/min. Since water is formed during reduction of the supported oxides by hydrogen, the gas coming from the reactor is dried over magnesium perchlorate before entering the thermal conductivity cell. Before the reduction was performed the catalyst was dried in argon at 393 K during one hour in the reactor of the TPR apparatus and was subsequently cooled to 223 K (a supply of liquid coolant is present). The amount of consumed gas during TPR is expressed per total amount of metal, H<sub>2</sub>/Rh. As the oxidation state of rhodium in the impregnated and oxidized catalyst is 3+ the theoretical H<sub>2</sub>/Rh value is 1.5. The accuracies of the given values are + 5%.

#### ESR

To measure ESR spectra in situ we used a reactor designed by Konings *et al.* (25). X-band ESR spectra were recorded with a Varian E-15 spectrometer equipped with a TE-104 dual sample cavity. The sample temperature was kept constant between 20 and 300 K with a Cryoson CE-5348 temperature controller. Signal intensity, position of the signal and quality factor of the ESR cavity were calibrated with the aid of a Varian strong pitch sample (g = 2.0028, 3 x  $10^{15}$  spins/cm). The ESR spectra were recorded at 20 K.

#### XPS

XPS measurements were carried out on a Physical Electronics 550 XPS/AES spectrometer equipped with a magnesium anode (hv = 1253.6 eV) and a double pass cylindrical mirror analyser. A PDP ll-04 computer interfaced with the XPS apparatus enabled signal averaging to be carried out. Prior to the measurements, the passivated powdered sample was pressed on a stainless steel grid, which was mounted onto a heatable transfer rod (21). The catalysts were prepared on the rod in a preparation chamber attached to the UHV work chamber. After the treatment the preparation chamber was evacuated to 6.6 x  $10^{-3}$  Pa at 298 K after which the rod was transported via a gate valve to the work chamber and positioned in front of the analyser and X-ray source. The pressure during the measurements did not exceed 6.6 x  $10^{-6}$  Pa and the temperature was approximately 313 K. The binding energies, referenced to the Fermi level, were calibrated by adjusting the C 1s energy at 284.6 eV. The Al 2p (74.4 eV) binding energy of the support was used as a check on the carbon reference.

#### IR SPECTROSCOPY

Infrared spectra were recorded at 298 K with a Bruker IFS 113V Fourier transform spectrometer with a resolution of 2  $cm^{-1}$ .

The catalyst was pressed into a thin self-supporting wafer, following a pre-reduction at 593 K and passivation at room temperature, and was placed in an infrared cell suitable for in situ measurements (26). Before CO admission (50 kPa) and evacuation  $(10^{-1}$  Pa) at 298 K the catalyst was reduced and evacuated  $(10^{-1}$  Pa) at 523 K.

### EXAFS

The EXAFS experiments were performed on X-ray beam line I-5 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL) with a ring energy of 3 GeV and a ring current between 40 and 80 mA. The EXAFS spectra were recorded at liquid nitrogen temperature in an in situ cell (27). The samples were pressed into a thin (0.2 mm) self-supporting wafer and mounted in the sample cell. The reduction procedure of the 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$ catalyst was identical to the one preceding the chemisorption measurements. After in situ EXAFS experiments of the reduced catalyst (under 100 kPa H<sub>2</sub>) the cell was evacuated at 573 K for one hour. After cooling in vacuum (10<sup>-2</sup> Pa) to room temperature the sample was exposed to 100 kPa CO and the EXAFS spectrum was again recorded in situ.

The EXAFS signal  $\chi(\mathbf{k})$  per absorbing atom was obtained from the X-ray absorption spectra by a cubic spline background subtraction. A low amplitude of the remaining EXAFS oscillations in the derivative of the background indicates a good separation of EXAFS and background. Normalization was done by a simple division by the edge jump. Because the reference compound is treated in the same way, no errors in the amplitude are introduced. The fine structure was smoothed by removing high frequency components (noise) in the spectrum via Fourier transformation. The r-region of primary interest (from 1 Å to 3.5 Å) of the Radial Structure Function (RSF) is not affected by the smoothing operation. From the smoothed data information is obtained about the type of backscattering atom, the coordination distance R, average coordination number N and the thermal and static disorder  $\Delta \sigma^2$ .

143

The EXAFS data were analysed by using reference samples with known structure (the theory-independent analysis) and the Fourier filtering approach was used. The data analysis procedure will be extensively described by Van Zon *et al.* (28). As reference compounds we used Rh-foil, powdered  $Rh_2O_3$  and powdered  $RhCl_3$ . As a suitable reference compound for the rhodium geminal dicarbonyl  $Rh(CO)_2$  we used the dimer  $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$ . The powders and the dimer were mixed with respectively alumina and silica in order to obtain an optimal absorption with respect to signal-to-noise ratio.

# 7.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 7.4.1 THE STRUCTURE OF RHODIUM AFTER REDUCTION

7.4.1.1 Results

### TPR

In figure 1 the TPR profile for the impregnated and dried  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst is given.



Figure 1 TPR of 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  after: impregnation, reduction at 773 K and passivation, and reduction at 773 K and oxidation at 773 K.

The reduction was performed in two steps. First the temperature was increased up to 593 K and held at that temperature for one hour (the normal reduction procedure of the catalyst before each measurement), followed by a further reduction up to 773 K. A striking feature is that after reduction at 593 K no more hydrogen is consumed which indicates that the reduction has been completed. The hydrogen consumption can be accounted for by the reaction:  $RhCl_3 + 1.5 H_2 \rightarrow Rh + 3 HCl$ . The  $H_2/Rh$  value of 1.43 (table 1) shows that the majority of  $Rh^{3+}$  has been reduced, which means that the reduction degree is 1.43/1.50 = 0.95. These results are in accordance with those reported by Newkirk and McKee (29). By using thermogravimetric analysis they also found that reduction temperatures in the range of 423-473 K are sufficient to reduce rhodium chloride to the elemental metal.

In figure 1 also the TPR profiles are presented for a passivated (oxidation at 298 K)  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst and a catalyst oxidized at 773 K, which had been pre-reduced at 773 K for one hour. The corresponding  $H_2/Rh$  values are given in table 1.

Table 1 Hydrogen consumption during Temperature Programmed Reduction of 0.57 wt% Rh/Y-Al<sub>2</sub>0<sub>3</sub> after different treatments.

Treatment	H <sub>2</sub> /Rh		
Impregnation	1.43		
Reduction at 773 K, passivation	1.36		
Reduction at 773 K, oxidation at 773 K	1.41		

For the passivated catalyst an  $H_2/Rh$  value of 1.36 was observed which shows that passivation leads to a high degree of oxidation for highly dispersed rhodium supported on  $\gamma-Al_2O_3$ . If the

oxidation would have been complete an  $H_2/Rh$  value of 1.5 would have been found, according to the reaction:  $Rh_2O_3 + 1.5 H_2 \rightarrow$  $Rh + H_{2}O$ . Notice the negative TCD signal in the temperature region 343-623 K, which is due to the desorption of hydrogen chemisorbed on the rhodium metal particles formed in the first part of the TPR run. For the impregnated catalyst no negative TCD signal was observed, however. Realizing that the reduction of rhodium chloride is still going on above 373 K, the TCD signal - which is the sum of hydrogen consumption by not yet reduced Rh cations and desorption of hydrogen chemisorbed on already formed metal - can indeed be positive above 373 K. The TPR profile observed for the catalyst, which had been preoxidized at 773 K, is characterized by a single peak at 358 K. The total H<sub>2</sub>/Rh value of 1.41 indicates that rhodium was mainly present as Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The peak maximum of the profile has shifted from 343 K to 358 K when the oxidation temperature was increased from 298 K to 773 K. During passivation a more open structure of the rhodium oxide, probably with more defects, may be formed than after oxidation of the catalyst at high temperature. Since nucleation is a very important step in the reduction of the oxide and since reduction starts more easily at a defect, it can be understood that the passivated catalyst reduces at a somewhat lower temperature.

Yao *et al.* (30, 31) found for  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  samples which were reduced at 973 K and subsequently oxidized at 773 K a reduction peak at 373 K, while in our case the peak maximum is at 358 K. However, they used a heating rate of 8 K/min whereas we used 5 K/min. It is known that higher heating rates shift the peaks to higher temperatures (32).

Although the degree of reduction of the impregnated catalyst may not be 100 per cent one can exclude the possibility that after reduction much rhodium is present as isolated  $Rh^{n+}$  ions on the basis of the H<sub>2</sub>/Rh value of 1.43. If the oxidation state of rhodium would have been 1+, the maximum H<sub>2</sub>/Rh value could only be 1.00 which is much lower than found experimentally.

### Hydrogen chemisorption

The measurement resulted in an H/Rh ratio (corrected for adsorption on the bare support) of 1.7, indicating a highly dispersed system. H/Rh values higher than 1 on  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  catalysts have been observed previously (30, 33) and are explained by multiple adsorption, although hydrogen spill-over cannot be excluded (34).

### ESR

After reduction of the catalyst at 593 K and evacuation at 298 K, to avoid condensation at low temperature, a symmetric ESR signal was observed with g = 2.14 and  $\Delta H = 260$  G (figure 2a).



- Figure 2 X-band ESR spectra of 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  recorded at 20 K after:
  - a) reduction at 593 K and evacuation at 298 K,
  - b) reduction and evacuation at 593 K and CO admission and evacuation at 298 K.

From the signal intensity it is calculated that 4.8% of the rhodium atoms are paramagnetic with  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ . Within the experimental error this is in accordance with the reduction degree of 95% observed by TPR. The ESR signal is attributed to  $Rh^{2+}$  (d<sup>7</sup>) ions, as reported by Huizinga (35).  $Rh^{0}$  (d<sup>8</sup>s<sup>1</sup>) atoms would also explain the signal, but the signal did not change in intensity when the reduction was prolonged for a long time. As the mobility of rhodium atoms is substantial, one would expect sintering of isolated  $Rh^{0}$  atoms and consequently a decrease of the ESR signal with reduction time. Since this did not occur we assign the ESR signal to  $Rh^{2+}$  ions and exclude the possibility that isolated  $Rh^{0}$  atoms are present after reduction of the catalyst.

Thus from the TPR and ESR results the following conclusion can be drawn: in the highly dispersed 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$ catalyst the majority of the rhodium is present as metal crystallites. As suggested by Huizinga *et al.* (35) these metallic particles might be anchored to the alumina support by the rhodium ions observed by ESR.

## XPS

We used XPS as a complementary technique in order to support the TPR and ESR observation that the oxidation state of rhodium after reduction of the catalyst is zero. In table 2 the rhodium 3d  $\frac{5}{2}$  electron binding energies of the impregnated and the reduced catalyst are presented. (In all samples the 3d  $\frac{5}{2}$  - 3d  $\frac{3}{2}$  doublet separation is the same, 4.5 eV.) Although the TPR results demonstrate that after reduction the catalyst has been reduced completely, the rhodium 3d  $\frac{5}{2}$  electron binding energy of the reduced catalyst (307.5 eV) is somewhat higher than the Rh 3d  $^{5/2}$  value of the foil (307.0 eV). This observation, previously reported by Huizinga et al. (21), is explained by a so-called final state effect. Because of screening of the created core-hole by the electrons of neighbouring atoms the apparent binding energy is lowered. This lowering is maximum for bulk atoms, because they have more neighbouring atoms and thus more screening electrons. In the

148

Table 2 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy.

	B.E. Rh 3d <sup>5</sup> /2
	[eV]
<u>0.57 wt% <math>Rh/\gamma-A1_2O_3</math>:</u>	
Impregnation	310.7
Reduction at 593 K	307.5
<u>Rh-foil</u> *	307.0
$0.57 \text{ wt% } \text{Rh/}\gamma - \text{Al}_2 O_3$ :	
Reduction at 593 K, evacuation at 593 K, CO admission at 298 K	308.7
Ion exchanged $[Rh^{1+}(CO)_2C1]_2/\gamma-A1_2O_3$	308.6
$[Rh^{1+}(CO)_2C1]_2^*$	308.8

\*Literature

reduced catalyst the metal particles are very small and consequently an Rh atom will on the average have less neighbouring atoms and as a consequence the core-hole screening will be less effective. This leads to an apparent binding energy which is higher than that of bulk Rh.

From the intensities of the various lines and using the Scofield's cross sections (36) we calculated an atomic Cl/Rh ratio of 4.8 and 4.0 for respectively the impregnated catalyst and the catalyst reduced at 593 K for one hour. Although these values are not very accurate, we can at least conclude that after reduction the majority of the chloride is still present on the surface of the support.

In order to obtain more insight into the structure of the metallic crystallites EXAFS measurements were performed on the catalyst reduced at 593 K for one hour. The primary EXAFS data of the rhodium K-edge of the reduced catalyst are presented in figure 3a.



Figure 3 The normalized primary EXAFS data of the rhodium K-edge at 77 K of the 0.57 wt% Rh/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst after: a) reduction at 593 K (100 kPa H<sub>2</sub>), b) CO adsorption at room temperature (100 kPa CO).

As we are dealing with a reduced  $RhCl_3/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst the only scatterer pairs which should be considered are Rh-Rh, Rh-O and Rh-Cl. A large difference exists between the backscattering amplitude of the low-Z elements (O, Cl) and the high-Z rhodium atoms. Consequently, a distinction can be made between these two types of elements. The amplitude of the rhodium atoms above wavenumber 5  $A^{-1}$  is much more pronounced than that of oxygen and chloride ions. Therefore, the EXAFS oscillations presented in figure 3a are characteristic for rhodium neighbour atoms. However, as described in a previous paper a contribution from the support on the EXAFS is present (37). Since this contribution is small the EXAFS spectrum must be carefully analysed to obtain reliable structural parameters. Therefore, a modification of the usual data analysis procedure has been applied (28).

In general, the Fourier transform (FT) of an Rh-Rh EXAFS function consists of a main peak and a sidelobe on the low rside which is typical for high-Z elements like rhodium and has its origin in the shape of the backscattering amplitude as well as the non-linear k-dependence of the phase. This implies that the sidelobe in FT of reduced Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> may interfere in the r-region where distances due to other scatterer pairs are to be expected. By correcting FT for the rhodium phase shift and backscattering amplitude (denoted by FT'') (see chapter 2) the rhodium-rhodium contribution will appear as a single localized peak without sidelobes so that the contribution from the support can more easily be identified.

In figure 4b the imaginary part (dotted line) and the absolute value (solid line) of the k<sup>3</sup>-weighed Fourier transform of the smoothed EXAFS data (figure 4a), performed on a k-interval from k = 3.3  $A^{-1}$  to k = 8.5  $A^{-1}$  and corrected for Rh-Rh phase shift as well as Rh-Rh amplitude, are shown. The radial structure function (RSF) is characterized by a main peak around r = 2.7 Å and a peak around r = 1.8 Å. The first peak has to be assigned to an Rh-Rh nearest neighbour coordination. To determine the parameters of this rhodium coordination shell one normally uses the method of fitting in k-space. While this method may be used to obtain reliable parameters for the rhodium-rhodium contribution to the peak, it may appear unreliable in determining the parameters for the remaining contributions. From the position and height of this peak a first estimate of the R, N and  $\Delta \sigma^2$  values of the rhodium neighbour shell was found by matching the imaginary parts of FT'' and the transform of a calculated Rh-Rh EXAFS, using the phase shift and backscattering amplitude of Rh-foil, between  $\Delta r = 2.25$  and



Figure 4 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  reduced at 593 K.

- a) The normalized smoothed EXAFS data of the rhodium K-edge at 77 K.
- b) The associated  $k^3$ -weighed, Fourier transform ( $\Delta k = 3.3 8.5 A^{-1}$ , Rh-Rh phase shift and backscattering amplitude corrected).

Solid line = |FT''|, dotted line = ImFT''.

c) The imaginary part of FT!' ( $\Delta k = 3.3 - 8.5 A^{-1}$ ) of the residual EXAFS function obtained by subtracting the calculated Rh-Rh fine structure from the smoothed EXAFS data.

and 3.2 Å. A criterion for a proper determination of the Rh-Rh parameters is that the imaginary part of the Fourier transform of the difference signal between the smoothed EXAFS function and the calculated one shell Rh-Rh EXAFS exhibits no contribution of Rh-Rh oscillations anymore. This is illustrated in figure 4c, in which the imaginary part of the  $k^3$ -weighed FT'' ( $\Delta k =$ 3.3 - 8.5  $A^{-1}$ ) of the difference signal between the smoothed EXAFS data and the calculated Rh-Rh EXAFS signal is presented. The approximate Rh-Rh parameter values used are: N(Rh-Rh) = 3.6,  $R = 2.68 \stackrel{0}{A}$  (which is almost identical to the bulk value of 2.69 Å) and  $\Delta \sigma^2 = 0.004 \text{ Å}^2$  (relative to Rh-foil). The residual EXAFS function is presented in figure 5a. The oscillation at  $k = 10 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  is caused by an artifact, which is however not caused by a defect in the monochromator, the socalled 'glitch', because the incident X-ray intensity I, did not show any anomalous behaviour at k = 10 Å<sup>-1</sup>. No physical explanation of the artifact can be suggested at the moment. The most important feature is that there is no oscillation in the envelope of this EXAFS. Consequently, the difference EXAFS signal is caused by an Rh-low-Z element scatterer pair, either an Rh-Cl or an Rh-O pair. RhCl, was used as precursor for the preparation of the catalyst and it is possible that Rh-Cl bonds still exist. Using the coincidence that the difference in phase between the scatterer pairs Rh-O and Rh-Cl is nearly  $\pi$ , a distinction between the two pairs can be made by comparing the imaginary parts of the Fourier transformed EXAFS signal corrected for phase shift. It can easily be shown that the imaginary part of the for Rh-O phase shift corrected radial structure function of an EXAFS signal caused by Rh-Cl coordination peaks negatively at the interatomic Rh-Cl distance. The k<sup>1</sup>-weighed Fourier transform of the difference EXAFS signal performed on a k-interval of 3.5 - 8.5  $A^{-1}$  and corrected for Rh-O phase shift is given in figure 5b. Note that the imaginary part is symmetric and peaks positively. Its maximum coincides with the peak maximum of the absolute value of the RSF. Since we used an Rh-O phase shift correction this result proves that the signal can be accounted for by an Rh-O scatterer pair. The



Figure 5 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  reduced at 593 K.

- a) The difference EXAFS signal of the smoothed EXAFS data of the rhodium K-edge and the calculated estimated contribution of the nearest neighbour rhodium atoms fine structure.
- b) The associated  $k^{1}$ -weighed Fourier transform ( $\Delta k = 3.5 - 8.5 \ A^{-1}$ , Rh-O phase shift corrected). Solid line = |FT'|, dotted line = ImFT'.
- c) The  $k^{1}$ -weighed Fourier transforms ( $\Delta k = 3.5 8.5 \ A^{-1}$ , Rh-O phase shift corrected) of the difference signal (solid line) and the calculated contribution of the nearest neighbour oxygen atoms fine structure (dotted line).

optimized parameters were determined by fitting in k-space of the filtered EXAFS signal, obtained by inverse transformation from r = 1.83 Å to r = 3.66 Å. The obtained parameters are: N(Rh-O) = 1.9, R = 2.74 Å,  $\Delta\sigma^2$  = 0.0 Å<sup>2</sup> (relative to Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>). The Fourier transform of the calculated Rh-O EXAFS, using the parameters mentioned above, as well as the RSF given in figure 5b are presented in figure 5c.

The distance of the Rh-O bond is 0.69 Å longer than the bond length in  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$  (R = 2.05 Å). Because of the atomic and ionic radii of rhodium (Rh<sup>0</sup> = 1.34 Å, Rh<sup>3+</sup> = 0.68 Å) this indicates that the Rh-O bond is a bond between an Rh<sup>0</sup> atom and an O<sup>2-</sup> ion which most likely originates from the support.

The coordination number N(Rh-O) has to be corrected because it is obtained by using the backscattering amplitude of the first shell of the reference compound  $Rh_2O_3$ . Since this amplitude belongs to an Rh-O distance at 2.05 Å, the Rh-O amplitude at a distance of 2.74 Å has to be corrected for the difference in photoelectron losses, caused by inelastic scattering. This correction equals about  $\exp(-2\Delta R/\lambda)$ , where  $\Delta R$  is the difference between the two coordination distances and  $\lambda$  the mean free path length. According to Stern *et al.* (38),  $\lambda$  will have a value of 4-5 Å in the energy region from 100 eV to 300 eV, which is the region where oxygen has most of its scattering power. The amplitude correction, taking these values, is about 30%. This means that the corrected N(Rh-O) is 1.9 x 130/100 = 2.5. The real parameters are given in table 3.

In order to optimize the Rh-Rh parameter values we analysed the EXAFS function obtained by subtracting the calculated Rh-O EXAFS from the original smoothed EXAFS data. The k<sup>3</sup>-weighed, Rh-Rh phase shift and amplitude corrected, forward transformation of this EXAFS function led to an RSF as presented in figure 6a. Note that the function is symmetrical, which indicates that the analysis used is very reliable because ImFT'' and |FT''| which belong to a single Rh-Rh scatterer pair should be symmetrical.

An Rh-Rh one shell fit in k-space of the filtered EXAFS, obtained by backtransformation from r = 1.58 Å to r = 3.83 Å,

Table 3 EXAFS parameter values for the 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$ catalyst after reduction and CO admission. Accuracies: N:  $\pm$  10 - 20%, R:  $\pm$  0.5 - 1%,  $\Delta\sigma^2$ :  $\pm$  10 - 20%.

	COORDINATION								
	Rh-Rh			Rh-O			Rh-CO		
TREATMENT	N	R	Δσ <sup>2</sup>	N	R	Δσ <sup>2</sup>	N	R	Δσ <sup>2</sup>
		[Å]	x 10 <sup>2</sup> [A <sup>2</sup> ]		[X]	× 10 <sup>2</sup> [A <sup>2</sup> ]		[X]	× 10 <sup>2</sup> [A <sup>2</sup> ]
Reduction at 593 K	3.7	2.68	0.5	2.5	2.74	0.0		1	
Reduction at 593 K, evacuation at 593 K, CO admisssion at 298 K		5 3 5 5 5 6 7 1 6		3.1	2.12	0.3	1.8	       * 	0.7

\*The Rh-C and Rh-O\* distances are kept equal to the one in the dimer  $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$ .

yielded the following parameter values: N(Rh-Rh) = 3.7, R = 2.68 Å,  $\Delta\sigma^2 = 0.005$  Å<sup>2</sup> (relative to Rh-foil) (see table 3). The radial structure function of the calculated Rh-Rh one shell EXAFS function is in good agreement with the transform of the residual EXAFS presented in figure 6a (see figure 6b). Figure 7a shows the fine structure of the catalyst and the structure calculated with the two (Rh-O and Rh-Rh) scatterer pairs using the optimized parameters as given in table 3. A very good fit was obtained within the k-interval of 3.3 - 8.5 Å<sup>-1</sup>. The fit in r-space of the absolute value and the imaginary part are presented in figure 7b and 7c respectively.

In order to demonstrate the influence of the contribution of the Rh-O scatterer pair on the Fourier transform of the smoothed EXAFS data the RSF's of the data, the calculated Rh-Rh and the calculated Rh-O one shell fine structures are



Figure 6 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  reduced at 593 K.

- a) The  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transform ( $\Delta k = 3.3 8.5 \, \text{A}^{-1}$ , Rh-Rh phase shift and backscattering amplitude corrected) of the difference signal between the smoothed EXAFS data of the rhodium K-edge and the calculated contribution of nearest neighbour oxygen atoms. Solid line = |FT''|, dotted line = ImFT''.
- b) The  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transforms ( $\Delta k = 3.3 8.5 \ A^{-1}$ , Rh-Rh phase shift and backscattering amplitude corrected) of the difference signal (solid line) and the calculated fine structure of the nearest neighbour rhodium atoms (dotted line).

presented in figure 8. Note that, in contradistinction to the imaginary part, the absolute value of the radial structure function of the catalyst is not simply a summation of the calculated ones. Figure 8 clearly shows the contribution of Rh-O in the main peak. The RSF of Rh-O coordination peaks at 2.33 Å while the real interatomic distance R is 2.74 Å. This is caused by the fact that an Rh-Rh phase shift correction was used. The phase factors of Rh-Rh and Rh-O coordination differs and in the case of Rh-Rh phase shift correction the r-values of Rh-O bonds shift 0.4 Å downwards relative to the real interatomic distances. The sidelobe at r = 1.8 Å is caused by interference between Rh-O and Rh-Rh oscillations.





- a)  $\chi(k)$  of the rhodium K-edge as function of k.
- b) The associated FT'' and
- c) the associated ImFT'',  $(k^3$ -weighed FT'',  $\Delta k = 3.3 8.5 A^{-1}$ ).



Figure 8 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  reduced at 593 K. The  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transforms ( $\Delta k = 3.3 - 8.5 \ A^{-1}$ , Rh-Rh phase shift and amplitude corrected) of the smoothed EXAFS data of the rhodium K-edge and the individual calculated contributions of the nearest neighbour rhodium and oxygen atoms.

# 7.4.1.2 Discussion

The TPR results show that the degree of reduction of the reduced catalyst was high (95%). We, therefore, exclude the possibility of rhodium being completely or to a great extent dispersed as isolated  $Rh^{1+}$  ions. Since an  $Rh^0$  ( $d^8s^1$ ) ESR signal could not be detected no isolated rhodium atoms are present either. We thus conclude that only rhodium metal crystallites exist in the highly dispersed  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst after reduction with hydrogen, as indeed proven by the EXAFS results.

The low average coordination number of 3.7 (table 3) indicates that the crystallites are very small. As might have been expected a substantial contribution of rhodium-carrier oxygen EXAFS oscillations is observed. The Rh-O interatomic distance of 2.74 Å (2.05 Å in  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$ ) indicates that the interaction between the particle and the support occurs via  $\text{Rh}^0$  atoms and oxygen ions of the alumina, and not via interdiffusion of the metal oxide and the oxidic support as proposed by Anderson (39) and well established for systems as Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>, Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (40-42). A metal-support interaction of zerovalent metal atoms and oxygen ions of the support has been proposed earlier for supported osmium carbonyl clusters (43, 44) and for rhodium catalysts (37).

On the basis of the present EXAFS results we cannot distinguish between two-dimensional and three-dimensional rhodium crystallites. However, EXAFS measurements carried out on another ultra dispersed Rh(0.47 wt%)/Y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, reduced at 773 K but with still a high H/Rh value of 1.7, resulted in an average coordination number of the Rh-Rh and Rh-O of 5.3 and 1.7, respectively, (see (45) and chapter 8). In this catalyst the rhodium metal particles are somewhat larger, compared to the crystallites of the catalyst reduced at 593 K, but are still very small as indicated by the observed relative low coordination number of the Rh-Rh and the high H/Rh value. It is obvious that the structure of the particles in both catalysts are similar then. If we are dealing with a twodimensional structure one would expect that the average coordination number of the Rh-O distance is constant and independent of the size of the raft. However, going from a particle with N(Rh-Rh) = 3.7 to a particle with N(Rh-Rh) = 5.3 the N(Rh-O)changes from 2.5 to 1.7. Therefore, we think that the most likely structure of the metal particles is three-dimensional. This conclusion is not in accordance with the conclusion reached by Yates  $et \ al$ . on the basis of TEM measurements (10-11). However, TEM is an ex situ technique. In order to prepare a sample specimen the sample must be handled in air. Consequently, the catalyst studied in the TEM investigation will have been passivated. The TPR results show that a passivated highly dispersed rhodium catalyst will be oxidized almost completely. This then explains the TEM results, because it is

known that several transition-metal oxides, including rhodium oxide - which is stable at room temperature at an oxygen pressure above 2 x  $10^{-19}$  Pa - may form a well-dispersed two-dimensional phase, the so-called  $\delta$ -phase, on alumina (46).

Although the rhodium particles are very small and consequently the greater part of the rhodium atoms is exposed, the interatomic distance between the rhodium atoms is similar to the distance in Rh-foil (table 3). Many LEED studies have reported differences between the interplanar spacings of the surface layers of single crystals and bulk spacings. An example is the work on Ni(110) for which a 5% contraction has been found (47). Therefore, one would also expect a contraction of the Rh-Rh bond in the small particles. However, during the EXAFS measurements the rhodium was covered with hydrogen. Therefore, the rhodium surface atoms were coordinatively saturated, and this might result in a relaxation of the Rh-Rh bonds. For single crystal surfaces it has been observed that when a surface is covered by an adsorbed layer, the top substrate layer relaxes back (48). Moraweck et al. (49) have observed with EXAFS a contraction of the Pt-Pt distance in a Pt/Y-zeolite sample of 0.12 Å when the particles were measured in an He environment. A subsequent H<sub>2</sub> admission at room temperature relaxed the bond to a distance similar to that in Pt-foil.

At the end of this discussion we want to give a possible structure of the rhodium crystallites formed during reduction of the catalyst under investigation. According to Knözinger and Ratnasamy (50) the surface of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> consists of different types of planes (e.g. (111), (110) and (100)) which are partly dehydroxylated. Since the diameter of a rhodium atom is slightly smaller than the diameter of an oxygen ion (2.69 Å vs. 2.80 Å) it may be possible that rhodium atoms are situated in holes of the surface of alumina which are created by dehydroxylation  $(20H^{-} + hole + 0^{2^{-}} + H_20)$ . Rhodium particles may be anchored to the support by these atoms. This is illustrated in figure 9 for a rhodium crystallite, with an fcc structure and Rh-Rh and Rh-O coordination numbers which approach the experimental ones (4.2, 2.6 vs. 3.7, 2.5), on a partly dehydroxylated (111) plane.



Figure 9 Possible structure of rhodium crystallites with an fcc structure in the 0.57 wt% Rh/Y-Al<sub>2</sub>0<sub>3</sub> catalyst reduced at 593 K.

Note that a three-dimensional particle with an fcc structure and an Rh-Rh coordination number of 3.7 consists of maximum 8 atoms.

# 7.4.2 THE STRUCTURE OF RHODIUM AFTER CO ADMISSION

In order to elucidate the seeming contradiction between the conclusion based on CO infrared measurements that Rh is dispersed as isolated Rh<sup>1+</sup> ions and the results of this study that metallic particles are present we investigated the strucutre of rhodium after CO admission.

# 7.4.2.1 Results

### CO chemisorption

The observed CO/Rh ratio was 1.9 after correction for adsorption onto the bare support which was substantially more (43  $\mu$ mol CO per gram catalyst) than for hydrogen (1  $\mu$ mol H<sub>2</sub> per gram catalyst). The CO/Rh value of 1.9 points to the formation of rhodium geminal dicarbonyl species and furthermore indicates that the catalyst is ultra dispersed.

#### Infrared

Figure 10 shows the  $1800-2200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  region of the infrared spectrum of the reduced and evacuated catalyst. After exposure to CO (50 kPa) and evacuation at 298 K only two infrared bands at 2095 and 2023 cm<sup>-1</sup> are present which are assigned to the symmetrical and antisymmetrical stretching frequencies of the



Figure 10 CO infrared spectrum on a 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  (measured at 298 K).

 $Rh(CO)_2$  species. This result is in accordance with the results of Cavanagh (7) on a 0.2 wt%  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  catalyst and of Worley (6) and Yates (10, 11) on a 0.5 and a 1.0 wt%  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  catalyst.

### EXAFS

In figure 3b the oscillatory primary EXAFS function  $\chi(k)$ as a function of wavenumber of the rhodium K-edge of the catalyst is shown after CO adsorption at room temperature. The most striking feature is the significant decrease of the oscillations above  $k = 5 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ , which are typical for the Rh-Rh metal coordination, compared to the EXAFS oscillations of the reduced catalyst (see figure 3a). This result has been previously published by Van 't Blik et al. (12). It clearly shows the dramatic influence of CO adsorption on the highly dispersed Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. A disruption of rhodium-rhodium metallic bonds takes place as a result of the chemisorption of CO molecules. As proven by infrared only Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species exist after CO admission. An answer to the intriguing question regarding the bindingmechanism between  $Rh(CO)_2$  species and the support might be obtained by a careful analysis of the EXAFS data presented in figure 3b.

In order to interpret the EXAFS spectrum of the catalyst after CO admission it is necessary to use a suitable reference compound for the rhodium geminal dicarbonyl  $Rh(CO)_2$  species which are formed on the catalyst surface. For this purpose we used the dimer  $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$  since it has the same CO infrared bands as the catalyst after CO admission. The crystalline structure of the dimer is presented in figure 11 (51). A minor advantage for taking the dimer as a reference compound is its good stability in an oxygen atmosphere, thus enormously facilitating the EXAFS measurement.

The EXAFS signal of the rhodium K-edge of a physical mixture of  $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$  and silica was recorded at liquid nitrogen temperature in a CO atmosphere  $(10^2 \text{ kPa})$  (see figure 12a). As can be seen from the structure of the dimer (figure 11)



Figure 11 Bond lengths in [Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl]<sub>2</sub>.

the EXAFS is mainly caused by the three scatterer pairs: Rh-Cl, Rh-C and Rh-O\* (oxygen of the carbonyl). Figure 12 b shows the imaginary part ImFT' (dotted line) and the absolute value |FT'| (solid line) of the associated  $k^2$ -weighed Fourier transform, through which the best splitting of the separate neighbour shells in r-space was obtained. The Fourier transform was performed on a k-interval from  $k = 2.44 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  to k =12.6  $\text{\AA}^{-1}$  and corrected for Rh-O phase shift.

The phase shift correction deserves some closer attention. In general, a phase shift correction on the Fourier transform is applied in order to obtain real interatomic distances R in r-space as well as to obtain symmetrical functions of ImFT' and |FT'| (52). By using an Rh-O phase shift correction the Rh-O as well as Rh-C and Rh-Cl coordinations peak at real interatomic distances in FT' because: a) the scatterer behaviour of an Rh-C and an Rh-O scatterer pair hardly differs, and b) it appears that the difference between the Rh-O and the Rh-Cl phases is nearly  $\pi$ , which means that the imaginary part of the Rh-O phase shift corrected radial structure function of Rh-Cl EXAFS peaks negatively but at nearly exact real interatomic Rh-Cl distance.

The contribution of each of three scatterer pairs to the FT' is to be found then at their corresponding real interatomic distances. Therefore, the three peaks in the radial structure



Figure 12 The dimer [Rh(CO)2C1]2.

- a) The normalized primary EXAFS data of the rhodium K-edge at ?? K.
- b) The associated  $k^2$ -weighed Fourier transform ( $\Delta k = 2.44 12.6 \ R^{-1}$ , Rh-O phase shift corrected). Solid line = |FT'|, dotted line = ImFT'.
- c) The difference signal between the normalized EXAFS data and the calculated contribution of the nearest neighbour chlorine atoms.
- d) The  $k^2$ -weighed Fourier transform of the difference signal  $(\Delta k = 3.3 10)^{\alpha-1}$ , Rh-O phase shift corrected). Solid line = |FT'|, dotted line = ImFT'.

function (figure 12b) are assigned to: Rh-C, Rh-Cl and Rh-O\* at R = 1.8, 2.4 and 2.9 Å, respectively. Note that the imaginary part of Rh-Cl coordination peaks negatively around 2.4 Å. To obtain a proper reference EXAFS signal for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> the contribution of Rh-Cl has to be subtracted from the rhodium EXAFS of the dimer. This was carried out by calculating the Rh-Cl EXAFS with the known crystallographic parameters which was then subtracted from the primary EXAFS data. The phase shift and backscattering amplitude were obtained from EXAFS measurements on RhCl<sub>3</sub>. The criterion used for a proper determination of the Rh-Cl peak in |FT'| to the noise level. The parameter values thus obtained were: R = 2.36 Å (from X-ray diffraction R = 2.355 Å), N(Rh-Cl) = 2.1 and  $\Delta\sigma^2 = -0.001$  Å<sup>2</sup> (relative to RhCl<sub>2</sub>).

Figure 12c shows the Rh(CO) 2 EXAFS signal obtained by subtracting the calculated Rh-Cl contribution from the primary EXAFS function. The  $k^2$ -weighed (Rh-O phase shift corrected) Fourier transform of the residual EXAFS signal is given in figure 12d. The oxygens of the carbonyl ligands are clearly visible. As the carbon atom lies between the absorber, rhodium, and the scatterer, oxygen, one must take into account depletion of the primary outgoing wave as well as phase changes caused by the intervening atom. Although one might intuitively expect atoms directly behind the first set of scatterers to have little contribution to the EXAFS, the opposite is actually true. The amplitude is much more pronounced than one would expect on the basis of the simple  $N/r^2$  dependence of the amplitude. Apart from this amplitude anomaly the Rh-O\* shows a phase shift of T radians. (Note that the imaginary part peaks negatively at R = 3.00 Å.) Similar deviations of the amplitude and anomalous phase shifts have been observed previously in the Fourier transform spectra of  $Mo(CO)_6$  (53) and  $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$  (54) and they have been interpreted as the result of multiple scattering processes.

The Rh-C and Rh-O\* distance were determined by a phase analysis of the representative Fourier-filtered signals. As no Rh-C

reference compound was available we used the theoretical phase given by Teo and Lee (55) in order to determine the Rh-C distance. For the determination of the Rh-O\* distance we used the reference Rh-O phase which was adjusted with a factor  $\pi$ , for reasons mentioned above. The obtained bond lengths of Rh-C and Rh-O\* are 1.85 Å and 3.00 Å, respectively, and are in good agreement with the values determined by X-ray diffraction (see figure 11).

The backscattering amplitude and phase obtained by inverse transformation ( $\Delta r = 1.25 - 3.47$  Å) of the radial structure function as presented in figure 12d were used as reference sample for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>.

Let us return to the catalyst. The smoothed EXAFS functions of the catalyst after CO adsorption at room temperature (figure 13a) and the  $Rh(CO)_2$  reference compound (figure 12c) differ significantly. This indicates that the EXAFS of the catalyst is not caused by Rh-C and Rh-O\* coordination only. The other contribution might be originated from an Rh-low-Z element scatterer pair with which the geminal dicarbonyl is most likely anchored to the support. The element can be an oxygen ion and/or a chloride ion which is still present after reduction of the catalyst as observed by XPS. Figure 13b shows the  $k^3$ -weighed and Rh-O phase shift corrected Fourier transform of the smoothed EXAFS data, performed on a k-interval from  $k = 3.24 \text{ }^{1}$  to  $k = 8.4 \text{ }^{-1}$ . A good splitting of the separate neighbour shells in r-space was obtained and within the r-interval of 1-3 Å three peaks could be distinghuished: peak A at 1.8 Å and peak C at 3.0 Å were assigned to respectively Rh-C and Rh-O\*, both originating from Rh(CO), species, whereas peak B at 2.4 Å is a contribution of the unknown Rh-low-Z element pair. From the imaginary part (figure 13b) it can be seen that the Rh-C signal is suppressed to a great extent at position A', whereas the Rh-O\* signal around 3.0  $\overset{\circ}{A}$  has hardly been affected by interference with peak B. By matching the imaginary part above r = 2.6 Å with ImFT' of a calculated Rh(CO), EXAFS, using the phase and amplitude of

168



Figure 13 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  after CO admission at 298 K.

- a) The normalized smoothed EXAFS data of the rhodium K-edge at 77 K.
- b) The associated  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transform ( $\Delta k = 3.24 8.4 \ A^{-1}$ , Rh-O phase shift corrected). Solid line = |FT'|, dotted line = ImFT'.
- c) The imaginary parts of the  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transforms of the normalized smoothed EXAFS data (solid line) and the calculated contribution of Rh-Cl-O\* fine structure (dotted line), ( $\Delta k = 3.24 - 8.4 \ A^{-1}$ , Rh-O phase shift corrected).

the geminal dicarbonyl reference compound, we obtained the contribution of  $Rh(CO)_2$  oscillations to the EXAFS of the catalyst. This is illustrated in figure 13c. The optimized parameter values are:  $N(Rh(CO)_2) = 1.8$ ,  $\Delta\sigma^2 = 0.007 \text{ A}^2$  relative to  $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$ . The interatomic distances were kept equal to the Rh-C-O\* distances in the dimer. The average coordination is in good agreement with the CO chemisorption result (CO/Rh = 1.9) and again indicates that  $Rh(CO)_2$  species were formed indeed.

In order to obtain more insight into the contribution of the unknown scatterer pair on the EXAFS signal, apart from  $Rh(CO)_2$ , the difference signal between the smoothed EXAFS



Figure 14 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  after CO admission at 298 K.

- a) The  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transform of the difference signal between the normalized smoothed EXAFS data and the calculated contribution of Rh-C-O\* fine structure ( $\Delta k = 3.3 - 8.4 \ A^{-1}$ , Rh-O phase shift corrected).
- b) The  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transforms of the difference signal (solid line) and the calculated fine structure of nearest neighbour oxygen atoms (dotted line) ( $\Delta k = 3.3 - 8.4 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ , Rh-0 phase shift corrected).

data and the calculated EXAFS of  $Rh(CO)_2$  has been analysed. The imaginary part and the absolute value of the k<sup>3</sup>-weighed Fourier transform corrected for Rh-O phase shift of the difference signal are given in figure 14a. Note that the imaginary part is symmetrical and peaks positively and the



Figure 15 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  after CO admission at 298 K. The solid lines represent the data and the dotted lines represent the calculated two-shell fit (Rh-C-O\*, Rh-O) using the optimized parameter values (cf. table 3).

- a)  $\chi(k)$  of the rhodium K-edge vs. k.
- b) The associated |FT'| and
- c) the associated ImFT',  $(k^3$ -weighed FT',  $\Delta k = 3.24 8.4 \text{ } \text{A}^{-1}$ , Rh-0 phase shift corrected).
maximum coincides with the peak maximum of the absolute value. Consequently, this contribution to the spectrum is caused by Rh-O coordination. Table 3 presents the optimized parameter values, obtained by fitting the EXAFS in k-space, by which the difference signal is described best. The absolute values of the radial structure functions of the calculated one shell Rh-O EXAFS, using the optimized parameters, and the difference signal are given in figure 14b. The average coordination number for the Rh-O distance is 3.1 which indicates that the geminal dicarbonyl is anchored to the support by three oxygen ions with equal interatomic distances of 2.12 Å. The bond length of 2.12 Å indicates that rhodium is in an oxidic state. The distance is 0.07 Å longer than the first shell distance of Rh-O in  $Rh_2O_3$  which implies that the average oxidation state of rhodium is not 3+.

As a final check we calculated the EXAFS function including Rh-support oxygen, Rh-C and Rh-O\* bonds in Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>, using the optimized parameter values (cf. table 3). The calculated EXAFS shows excellent agreement with the EXAFS date (figure 15a). The imaginary parts and the absolute values of the associated Fourier transforms match very well, as can be seen in figure 15b and 15c, respectively.

#### ESR

If the oxidation state of the observed isolated  $Rh(CO)_2$ species were zero, it should be possible to detect an ESR signal of  $Rh^0$  after CO admission, since  $Rh^0$  ( $d^8s^1$ ) is paramagnetic. Reduction and evacuation of the catalyst at 593 K and subsequent admission of CO and followed by an evacuation at room temperature gave rise to the ESR spectrum presented in figure 2b, which is completely different from the spectrum obtained after reduction. This spectrum consists of two separate ESR signals. Firstly, a signal with g = 2.09 ( $\Delta H = 250$  G) and a shoulder at g = 2.26, and secondly, a signal with  $g_{//} = 2.043$ and  $g_{\perp} = 2.011$  ( $\Delta H_{\perp} = 26$  G). We assign the first ESR signal to  $Rh^{2+}$ . The difference with the signal observed after reduction indicates that a change in the environment of the  $Rh^{2+}$  ions has taken place, probably due to alteration in the electronic properties of the metal ion caused by for instance  $\pi$ -back donation of electrons from Rh to CO. The line width of the second signal is remarkably small (24 G) and we do not see any hyperfine splitting due to the rhodium nucleus. This suggests that this signal does not belong to a radical formed in the neighbourhood of rhodium atoms or ions. The CO chemisorption measurements showed that the bare support  $Al_2O_3$  adsorbed a considerable amount of CO molecules, a small part of which might be paramagnetic. With thermally activated MgO and ThO<sub>2</sub> formation of radicals upon adsorption of CO at 298 K has indeed been reported (56).

Whatever the assignment of the two ESR signals is, the total ESR intensity is very low and therefore, the ESR signals are not very relevant for a discussion of the oxidation state of the rhodium atoms or ions on the catalyst after CO admission. On the other hand the fact that no substantial paramagnetic signal caused by isolated  $Rh^0$  ( $d^8s^1$ ) atoms could be detected is a very important feature. It proves that the oxidation state of rhodium in the  $Rh(CO)_2$  species cannot be zero and must either be 1+ or 3+.

#### XPS

In order to obtain more information about the oxidation state of the rhodium after CO admission we compared the rhodium 3 d 5/2 electron binding energies of the catalyst and the [Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl]<sub>2</sub> exchanged alumina in which the valence of rhodium is 1+.

The results are presented in table 2 and they clearly show that within the experimental error both binding energies are equal  $(308.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ eV})$ . This indicates that the oxidation state of rhodium after CO admission is 1+. Thus, the oxidation state of rhodium in the highly dispersed catalyst changes from zero, after reduction, to 1+ when CO is admitted and consequently, CO adsorption has to be accompanied by an oxidation process for ultra dispersed rhodium supported on alumina.

#### 7.4.2.2 Discussion

The EXAFS results clearly show the dramatic influence of CO chemisorption on the structure of small Rh particles in the ultra dispersed 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst. The metallic crystallites, formed during reduction, break up to rhodium geminal dicarbonyl species after CO admission, as proven by infrared and EXAFS.

In addition to the two CO molecules the geminal dicarbonyl species have also oxygen ions as ligands, as established by the analysis of the EXAFS spectrum of the CO adsorbed catalyst. The quantitative results demonstrate that rhodium is surrounded by two CO molecules and three oxygen atoms of the support. The Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species can be attached to the (111) surface of the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> via 3 oxygen atoms in a way as presented in figure 16.



Figure 16 Possible structure of  $Rh(CO)_2$  species attached to the  $\gamma-Al_2O_3$  support after CO admission at 298 K.

Changes of a highly dispersed  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  catalyst by CO adsorption have previously been reported by D.J.C. Yates and co-workers (10). They observed a sintering of the rhodium after adsorption of CO and a subsequent reduction at low temperature and assigned it to increasing mobility of rhodium atoms on which CO is adsorbed.

There are two possible ways for explaining why no Rh-Rh distances are observed in the EXAFS after CO adsorption: either the Rh-Rh distances in the resulting aggregate of Rh(CO), species have increased somewhat and have a too large spread to see any EXAFS oscillations at higher k-value, or the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species are indeed rather far apart on the support. In view of the mild conditions under which the particles have been treated we prefer the former explanation in which the small metal clusters expand under the influence of CO. This hypothesis has been proposed earlier by D.J.C. Yates et al. (11), who called the phenomenon a 'breathing raft'. Measurements performed on another highly dispersed rhodium catalyst, to be published elsewhere (45), showed that the aggregate of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species rearranged after desorption of CO at elevated temperatures to metallic particles. Thus, the process of disruption of the metal particles by CO is reversible and these particles can indeed be called 'breathing rafts or particles'. As no paramagnetic ESR  $Rh^0$  ( $d^8s^1$ ) signal could be detected after CO admission we infer that CO adsorption has to be oxidative. The oxidation state of rhodium in the Rh(CO), species is most likely 1+, as confirmed by XPS and IR. Two possible reactions can be envisioned to be responsible for this oxidation: either the rhodium is oxidized by hydroxyl groups of the support, by which case hydrogen formed must be released according to the reaction:

(1) 
$$2Rh + 4CO + 2Al-OH \rightarrow H_2 + 2 Al-O-Rh^{1+}(CO)_2$$

or rhodium is oxidized by a dissociatively adsorbed CO molecule;

(2) 
$$CO + 3 Rh \rightarrow RhC + 2Rh^{1+} + O^{2-}$$
  
 $2Rh^{1+} + 4CO \rightarrow 2Rh^{1+}(CO)_2$ .

If formation of graphite occurs  $(nRhC \rightarrow nRh + C_n)$  a large part of the rhodium can be oxidized in this way. Reaction (1) can be considered as a summation of three reactions which are described in the literature (57, 58):

a)  $Rh^{0} + nCO \neq [Rh^{0}(CO)_{n}]$ 

b) 
$$6Rh^{\circ}(CO)_{n} + (16 - 6n)CO + Rh_{6}(CO)_{16}$$

c)  $Rh_6(CO)_{16} + 6Al-OH + 3H_2 + 6Al-O-Rh(CO)_2 + 4CO.$ The 'Rh<sup>0</sup>(CO)<sub>n</sub>' species can polymerize to small Rh<sub>4</sub> or Rh<sub>6</sub> clusters when the  $CO/H_2O$  ratio is high, whereas at low  $CO/H_2O$ ratio sizeable metallic particles will be formed (57). Reaction c) has been proposed as a possible explanation for the formation of rhodium carbonyl species from surface OH groups and  $Rh_6(CO)_{16}$  by Theolier *et al.* (58).

However, a gas chromatographic analysis of the gas over the catalyst after CO chemisorption did not supply evidence for hydrogen formation and therefore, we do not think it is likely that reaction (1) has taken place.

On the other hand we have to take into account the possibility that the disruption of the rhodium-rhodium metallic bonds, going hand in hand with the oxidation of rhodium to  ${\rm Rh}^{1+}$ , already occurred during evacuation of the catalyst, thus before CO was admitted. This assumption is based upon the idea that during evacuation rhodium becomes coordinatively unsaturated which can be counteracted by insertion of rhodium between the oxygen and hydrogen atom of a hydroxyl group (59). A thorough evacuation at elevated temperatures will desorb · J the hydrogen and leave the rhodium as  $Rh^{1+}$  ions. Reaction (1) can then be considered to consist of the following consecutive reactions:

d) Rh + Al-OH  $\rightarrow$  Al-O-Rh<sup>1+</sup> +  $\frac{1}{2}$ H<sub>2</sub> e) Al-O-Rh<sup>1+</sup> + 2CO  $\rightarrow$  Al-O-Rh<sup>1+</sup>(CO)<sub>2</sub>.

In that case the hydrogen formed cannot be detected because it is pumped away during evacuation. Note that according to the reversed reaction d) one hydrogen atom is needed to form the hydroxyl group again and consequently one should be surprised if hydrogen chemisorption measurements, carried out on ultra dispersed rhodium catalysts, lead to H/Rh values which do not exceed one.

Although this latter model is not in contradiction with our

results we prefer the second possibility, in which the dissociation of CO plays an important role. The main argument for this is based upon the observations reported by Primet (60). He has found that reduction and evacuation at 773 K of a dispersed Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> catalyst, followed by adsorption of CO at 77 K under a pressure of 0.27 kPa, only gave the CO infrared bands at 2075 and 1920-1870  $cm^{-1}$  assigned to CO bonded to Rh atom(s) in respectively the linear and multicentered forms. Note that if Rh<sup>1+</sup> ions were formed after evacuation also absorption bands of  $Rh^{1+}$  (CO)  $_{2}$  species had to be observed. When the temperature of adsorption was increased, bands at 2095 and 2028  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  assigned to  $\text{Rh}^{1+}(\text{CO})_2$  species, developed and reached their maximum intensities after one hour of contact at 300 K. The progressive formation of the doublet on increasing the temperature of adsorption was correlated with dissociation of CO on highly dispersed rhodium followed by adsorption of CO on the oxidized surface groups (cf. reaction (2)).

Although adsorption of CO on large particles is not dissociative at room temperature (61, 62) this is probably not the case when the particle size decreases below 10  $\stackrel{\circ}{A}$ . The Boudouard reaction (2CO  $\rightarrow$  CO<sub>2</sub> + C) can be ruled out, however, because no CO<sub>2</sub> could be detected after CO admission.

For these reasons we think that the oxidation of the rhodium atoms is coupled to the dissociation of CO. In that case, however, the question arises how one can explain the reversibility of this process, since we have observed that metal particles are reformed when evacuating at 573 K. We propose that this reformation of the metal crystallites, takes place as a reductive desorption of CO according to the following reaction:

(3)  $O^{2-} + 2Rh^{1+}(CO)_2 + 2Rh + CO_2 + 3CO.$ 

## 7.5 CONCLUSIONS

From the results of this study performed on a highly dispersed 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst two main conclusions can be drawn.

I. After reduction at 593 K the catalyst is highly dispersed. Only small metal crystallites are present consisting of 6-10 atoms and probably they have a three-dimensional structure. No indications for the existence of dispersed rhodium atoms or ions after reduction have been observed. The metal-support interactions involve Rh<sup>0</sup>-(carrier)0<sup>2-</sup> bonds.

All this demonstrates that there is no fundamental difference between reduced Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts with low Rh loading and those with high Rh loading. At all loadings rhodium metal crystallites are present on the support surface. Only the size and morphology of these crystallites vary with Rh loading.

II. Adsorption of CO at room temperature on the 0.57 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst results in a significant disruption of the Rh crystallites, ultimately leading to isolated rhodium geminal dicarbonyl species in which rhodium has an oxidation state of 1+ and is surrounded by two carbon monoxide molecules and three oxygen ions. The adsorption of CO is oxidative and can be explained by dissociation of CO followed by CO adsorption on the oxidized rhodium.

The results of this study explain the apparent contradiction between the results obtained from infrared studies and those obtained from high resolution electron microscopy. This contradiction is, indeed, apparent because as our EXAFS measurements prove, CO adsorption changes the system completely. After reduction and before CO admission small Rh metal crystallites are present, whereas after CO admission isolated Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species are on the support. The presented evidence for a large difference in structure between an Rh catalyst before and after adsorption of CO might not be an isolated case, but might be an example of a more general phenomenon of a drastic change in catalyst structure upon adsorption of an adsorbate. Such cases might especially be expected for adsorbates which are adsorbed with large heats of adsorption on small catalyst crystallites.

### 7.6 REFERENCES

- 1. H.C. Yao, Y.F. Yu Yao and K. Otto, J. Catal., 56, 21 (1979).
- 2. P.R. Watson and G.A. Somorjai, J. Catal., <u>72</u>, 347 (1981).
- 3. P.R. Watson and G.A. Somorjai, J. Catal., 74, 282 (1982).
- 4. T.P. Wilson, P.H. Kasai and P.C. Ellgen, J. Catal., <u>69</u>, 193 (1981).
- 5. A.C. Yang and C.W. Garland, J. Phys. Chem., 61, 1504 (1957).
- C.A. Rice, S.D. Worley, C.W. Curtis, J.A. Guin and A.R. Tarrer, J. Chem. Phys., 74, 6487 (1981).
- R.R. Cavanagh and J.T. Yates, J. Chem. Phys., <u>74</u>, 4150 (1981).
- S.D. Worley, C.A. Rice, G.A. Mattson, C.W. Curtis, J.A. Guin and A.R. Tarrer, J. Phys. Chem., 86, 2714 (1982).
- 9. S.D. Worley, C.A. Rice, G.A. Mattson, C.W. Curtis, J.A. Guin and A.R. Tarrer, J. Chem. Phys., 76, 20 (1982).
- D.J.C. Yates, L.L. Murrell and E.B. Prestridge, J. Catal., 57, 41 (1979).
- 11. D.J.C. Yates, L.L. Murrell and E.B. Prestridge, in "Growth and Properties of Metal Clusters", p. 137 (1980), edited by J. Bourdon, Elsevier Sci. Pub. Co., Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 12. H.F.J. van 't Blik, J.B.A.D. van Zon, T. Huizinga, J.C. Vis, D.C. Koningsberger and R. Prins, J. Phys. Chem., <u>87</u>, 2264 (1983).
- 13. F.W. Graydon and M.D. Langan, J. Catal., 69, 180 (1981).

- 14. J. Lauher, J. Catal., <u>66</u>, 237 (1980).
- 15. D.E. Sayers, E.A. Stern and F.W. Lytle, Phys. Rev. Lett., <u>27</u>, 1204 (1971).
- J.H. Sinfelt, G.H. Via and F.W. Lytle, J. Chem. Phys., <u>68</u>, 2009 (1978).
- 17. G.H. Via, J.H. Sinfelt and F.W. Lytle, J. Chem. Phys., <u>71</u>, 690 (1979).
- J.R. Katzer, A.W. Sleight, P. Gajarde, J.B. Michel, E.F. Gleason and S. McMillan, Disc. Faraday Soc., 72, 121 (1981).
- 19. E.S. Shpiro, V.I. Avaev, G.V. Antoshin, M.A. Ryashentseva and K.M. Minachev, J. Catal., 55, 402 (1978).
- 20. R.L. Chin and D.M. Hercules, J. Phys. Chem., 86, 360 (1982).
- T. Huizinga, H.F.J. van 't Blik, J.C. Vis and R. Prins, Surf. Sci., to be published.
- 22. J.A. McCleverty and G. Wilkinson, Inorg. Synth., 8, 211 (1966).
- 23. J.E. Benson, and M. Boudart, J. Catal., 4, 704 (1965).
- 24. H. Boer, W.J. Boersma and N. Wagstaff, Rev. Sci. Instr., 53, 349 (1982).
- 25. A.J.A. Konings, A.M. van Dooren, D.C. Koningsberger, V.H.J. de Beer, A.L. Farragher and G.C.A. Schuit, J. Catal., <u>54</u>, 1 (1978).
- 26. J.C. Vis, H.F.J. van 't Blik and R. Prins, to be published.
- 27. D.C. Koningsberger and J.W. Cook, in "EXAFS and Near Edge Structures", p. 412, 1983 edited by A. Bianconi, L. Incoccia and S. Stipcich, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- J.B.A.D. van Zon, D.C. Koningsberger, H.F.J. van 't Blik and D.E. Sayers, to be published.
- 29. A.E. Newkirk and D.W. McKee, J. Catal., <u>11</u>, 370 (1968).
- 30. H.C. Yao, S. Japar and M. Shelef, J. Catal., <u>50</u>, 407 (1977).
- 31. H.C. Yao and M. Shelef, in "Proceedings of the 7th International Congress on Catalysis", edited by T. Weiyama and K. Tanabe, Elseveir, Amsterdam (1981), Part B, p. 329.
- 32. N.W. Hurst, S.J. Gentry and A. Jones, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng. <u>24</u>, 233 (1982).
- 33. S.E. Wanke and N.A. Dougharty, J. Catal., <u>24</u>, 367 (1972).

- 34. R.R. Cavanagh and J.T. Yates, Jr., J. Catal., 68, 22 (1981).
- 35. T. Huizinga, H.F.J. van 't Blik, J.C. Vis and R. Prins, J. Catal., to be published.
- 36. J.H. Scofield, J. Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom., <u>8</u>, 129 (1976).
- 37. J.B.A.D. van Zon, D.C. Koningsberger, D.E. Sayers, H.F.J. van 't Blik and R. Prins, J. Chem. Phys., submitted for publication.
- 38. E.A. Stern, B.A. Bunker and S.M. Heald, Phys. Rev. B, <u>21</u>, 5521 (1980).
- 39. J.R. Anderson, in "The structure of metallic catalysts", Academic Press London (1975).
- E.G. Derouane, A.J. Simvens and J.C. Védrine, Chem. Phys. Lett., 52, 549 (1977).
- 41. R.B. Shalvoy, B.H. Davis and P.J. Reucroft, Surf. Int. Anal., <u>2</u>, 11 (1980).
- 42. R.B. Greegor, F.W. Lytle, R.L. Chin and D.M. Hercules, J. Phys. Chem., 85, 1232 (1981).
- 43. B. Besson, B. Moraweck, A.K. Smith, J.M. Basset, R. Psaro,
   A. Fusi and R. Ugo, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm., 569 (1980).
- 44. M. Deeba and B.C. Gates, J. Catal., 67, 303 (1981).
- 45. H.F.J. van 't Blik, J.B.A.D. van Zon, D.C. Koningsberger and R. Prins, J. Mol. Catal., to be published.
- 46. H.C. Yao and M. Shelef, J. Catal., <u>44</u>, 392 (1976).
- 47. J.E. Demuth, P.M. Marcus and D.W. Jepsen, Phys. Rev. B, 11, 1460 (1975).
- 48. P.M. Marcus, J.E. Demuth and D.W. Jepsen, Surf. Sci., 53, 501 (1975).
- 49. B. Moraweck, G. Clugnet and A.J. Renouprez, Surf. Sci., 81, L631 (1979).
- 50. H. Knözinger and R. Ratnasamy, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., <u>17</u>, 31 (1978).
- 51. L.F. Dahl, C. Martell and D.L. Wampler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 1761 (1961).
- 52. P.A. Lee and G. Beni, Phys. Rev. B, <u>11</u>, 1279 (1975).

- 53. S.P. Cramer, K.O. Hodgson, E.I. Stiefel and W.E. Newton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 2748 (1978).
- 54. B. Teo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103, 3990 (1981).
- 55. B. Teo and P.A. Lee, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 2815 (1979).
- 56. D. Cordischi, V. Indovina and M. Occhiuzzi, J. Chem. Soc. Farad. Trans. I, 76, 1147 (1980).
- 57. A.K. Smith, F. Hugues, A. Theolier, J.M. Basset, R. Ugo, G.M. Zanderighi, J.L. Bilhou, V. Bilhou-Bougnol and W.F. Graydon, Inorg. Chem., 18, 3104 (1979).
- 58. A. Theolier, A.K. Smith, M. Leconte, J.M. Basset, G.M. Zanderighi, R. Psaro and R. Ugo, J. Organometallic Chem., 191, 415 (1980).
- 59. J.M. Basset, B. Besson, A. Choplin and A. Theolier, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., A <u>308</u>, 115 (1982).
- 60. M. Primet, J. Chem. Soc. Farad. Trans. I, 74, 2570 (1978).
- 61. J.T. Yates, Jr., E.D. Williams and W.H. Weinberg, Surf. Sci., 91, 562 (1981).
- 62. D.G. Castner, L.H. Dubois, B.A. Sexton and G.A. Somorjai, Surf. Sci., 103, L134 (1981).

EXAFS DETERMINATION OF THE CHANGE IN THE STRUCTURE OF RHODIUM IN HIGHLY DISPERSED  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  CATALYSTS AFTER CO AND/OR H<sub>2</sub> ADSORPTION AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES

#### 8.1 ABSTRACT

Extended X-ray absorption spectroscopy (EXAFS) has been applied to study the Rh K-edge of two ultra dispersed  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  catalysts containing 0.47 and 1.04 wt% rhodium, respectively. The structural properties of the Rh crystallites were determined after reduction with  $H_2$ , evacuation at elevated temperatures, CO admission at room temperature, CO desorption, CO admission at 523 K, at which the Boudouard reaction takes place, and after hydrogenation of CO at 523 K.

During reduction of the catalyst metallic rhodium crystallites are formed which are attached to the support via  $Rh^{0}-O^{2-}$  bonds, implying zerovalent rhodium. The Rh-Rh nearest neighbour distance in the particles is contracted to 2.63 Å in vacuum and relaxes to 2.68 Å (similar to the bulk value) upon hydrogen chemisorption. Adsorption of CO at room temperature upon the reduced catalysts results in a partial disruption of the small Rh crystallites, ultimately leading to rhodium geminal dicarbonyl species. A subsequent desorption of CO leads to the rearrangement of the metal clusters. The oxidation state of rhodium alters from zero to 1+ upon CO chemisorption and becomes zero again after CO desorption. After CO admission at 523 K the rhodium particles break up completely, probably because of intercalation of carbon and/or oxygen atoms. The highly dispersed  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  catalysts change to poorly dispersed systems after reaction between CO and  $H_2$  at 523 K due to sintering of the metallic crystallites.

# 8.2 INTRODUCTION

Knowledge about the structure and oxidation state of rhodium in highly dispersed rhodium catalysts is important for an understanding of its catalytic selectivity and activity. For instance there are indications that the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide yields oxygen containing products like methanol and ethanol when rhodium ions are present (1, 2).

Many characterization studies performed on ultra dispersed rhodium supported catalysts have been reported in which a diversity of techniques has been used (3-10). The CO infrared spectrum between 1800 and 2100  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  of an ultra dispersed rhodium catalyst is characterized by two absorption bands at 2095 and 2027 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The wavenumbers correspond closely to those observed for the bridged [Rh(CO)\_Cl]\_ dimer and are assigned to the symmetrical and antisymmetrical stretching frequencies, respectively. On the basis of these CO infrared data some investigators have asserted that rhodium on alumina after reduction is monatomically dispersed with the rhodium in the 1+ state (3-5). On the other hand electron microscopy studies of a well reduced Rh catalyst showed the existence of metallic rhodium crystallites (6, 7). The seeming contradiction between the results obtained from infrared studies and those obtained from high resolution electron microscopy is explained by the results of an EXAFS study recently published (9, 10) and presented in chapter 7. After reduction of an ultra dispersed catalyst only metallic rhodium crystallites were observed with most likely a three-dimensional structure. After CO admission the EXAFS spectrum changed completely, however. The EXAFS oscillations above  $k = 5 \text{ A}^{-1}$ , typical for Rh-Rh metal coordination, had disappeared. So the rhodium metallic particles break up on CO adsorption, ultimately leading to isolated rhodium dicarbonyl species. A further analysis of the EXAFS data showed that the oscillations were caused by  $O_3 Rh^{1+}(CO)_2$ coordination. Each rhodium ion is surrounded by two CO molecules (geminal dicarbonyl) and three oxygen ions of the support. The oxidation state of rhodium changed from zero to 1+ after CO admission and was explained in terms of an oxidative CO chemisorption via a CO dissociation.

These results clearly demonstrate the dramatic influence of CO adsorption at room temperature on the structure of rhodium in highly dispersed catalysts. In general, one has te be aware of the fact that such effects may occur when the catalysts are studied under different gas atmospheres.

In order to obtain more information about the rhodium structure in a highly dispersed  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst after different treatments we have carried out an EXAFS in situ study of a 0.47 and 1.04 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst. As we are interested in the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide to hydrocarbons EXAFS spectra were recorded after reduction with  $H_2$ , evacuation, CO admission at room temperature, desorption of CO, CO admission at 523 K at which the Boudouard reaction takes place (2CO + C + CO<sub>2</sub>) and after hydrogenation of CO at 523 K. The EXAFS data give insight into the change of local structure of supported rhodium under different conditions. One of the first EXAFS studies on the effects of adsorption of different gases on the structural and electronic properties of supported metallic crystallites has been reported by Fukushima

et al. (11). They studied the  $Pt/Al_2O_3$  system and observed that  $O_2$  adsorption caused severe structural disruption of the crystallites, whereas CO adsorption caused only electronic effects.

### 8.3 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Two  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalysts were prepared with different rhodium loadings (0.47 and 1.04 wt%). By pore volume impregnation of the support  $\gamma-Al_2O_3$  (Ketjen, 000-1.5E, specific area = 200 m<sup>2</sup>/g, pore volume = 0.65 cm<sup>3</sup>/g) with an aqueous solution of RhCl<sub>3</sub>.xH<sub>2</sub>O (39 wt%, Drijfhout) the metal salt was deposited on the support. After impregnation the catalysts were dried at room temperature overnight and subsequently for 16 hours at 393 K to remove the adsorbed water. The dried catalysts were directly reduced at 773 K (heating rate 5 K/min) for 1 hour in flowing hydrogen (researchgrade, Hoekloos) and passivated (oxidation at room temperature). The rhodium content of the passivated catalysts was determined colourimetrically.

Temperature Programmed Reduction (TPR) studies confirmed that after reduction at 773 K reduction of the catalysts was complete. The TPR of the passivated catalysts showed that a reduction temperature of at least 423 K was needed in order to reduce the systems and moreover that the degree of oxidation of the passivated catalysts was high, although passivation is a mild oxidation. This indicates that the dispersion of the catalysts was high (12).

Hydrogen chemisorption measurements were performed in a conventional glass system at 298 K. Before measuring the H<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms the passivated catalysts were reduced at 573 K (heating rate 5 K/min) for one hour under flowing hydrogen (purified by passing over a Pd diffusion cell) and evacuated (10<sup>-2</sup> Pa) at 573 K for another hour. Following the method of Benson and Boudart (13) the hydrogen chemisorption measurements resulted in H/Rh values (the total amount of chemisorbed H atoms, corrected for adsorption on the bare support, per total amount of rhodium) of 1.7 and 1.6 for respectively, the 0.47 and 1.04 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst. These results indicate that both catalysts are ultra disperse. H/Rh values higher than 1 for Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts have been observed previously (14, 15) and are explained by multiple adsorption, although hydrogen spill-over cannot be completelyexcluded (16).

The samples used for the EXAFS experiments were pressed into a thin self-supporting wafer and mounted in an EXAFS in situ cell (17). The thickness of the wafer was chosen in such a way that the logarithm of the ratio between the incident and

transmitted X-ray intensity approached 1.6. The different treatments of the catalysts were carried out by using researchgrade He, H<sub>2</sub> and CO, which were all purified over a BTS column for the removal of oxygen and over molecular sieves (Union Carbide, 5A) for the removal of water. The EXAFS experiments were carried out on X-ray beam line I-5 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL) with a ring energy of 3 GeV and ring currents of 40-80 mA. The EXAFS spectra of the rhodium K-edge were recorded at liquid nitrogen temperature. The EXAFS data were analysed by using reference samples with known crystal structure (the theory-independent analysis) and the Fourier filtering approach has been used (18). As reference compounds we have used Rh-foil, powdered Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and powdered RhCl,. As a suitable reference compound for the rhodium geminal dicarbonyl Rh(CO), we have used the dimer [Rh(CO),C1], of which the Rh-Cl contribution in the EXAFS data has been subtracted. The procedure for this will be published elsewhere (10). For a detailed description of the analysis of the EXAFS function we refer to Van Zon  $et \ al$ . (19) and Van 't Blik  $et \ al$ . (10). Before analysing the EXAFS the spectra were smoothed by removing high frequency components (noise) in the spectrum via Fourier filtering. The r-region from 1  $\AA$  to 3.5  $\AA$  of the Radial Structure Function (RSF), in which we are interested, is not affected by the smoothing operation. The Fourier transforms were corrected for phase shift and/or backscattering amplitude (20). Consequently, the r-values correspond with real interatomic distances R if the phase used for correction originated from the same absorber-scatterer pair as the analysed one.

## 8.4 RESULTS

#### 8.4.1 REDUCTION AT 673 K

Before measuring the EXAFS spectra both passivated catalysts were reduced in the cell at 673 K for 30 minutes under flowing hydrogen and cooled down to room temperature. The smooted EXAFS function of the rhodium K-edge of the reduced 0.47 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalysts (under 100 kPa H<sub>2</sub>, so the crystallites were covered with hydrogen) is given in figure 1a.



Figure 1: 0.47 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst after reduction at 673 K. a. The normalized smoothed EXAFS data of the rhodium K-edge at liquid nitrogen temperature.

b. The associated  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transform  $(\Delta k = 3.3 - 9.9 \ A^{-1})$  corrected for Rh-Rh phase shift and backscattering amplitude. The solid line represents the absolute value |FT''| whereas the dashed line represents the imaginary part ImFT''.

The oscillations are characteristic for rhodium neighbour atoms. Figure 1b shows the imaginary part ImFT'' and the absolute value |FT''| of the k<sup>3</sup>-weighed Fourier transform of the smoothed EXAFS data, performed on a k-interval from  $k = 3.3 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  to  $k = 9.9 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  and corrected for Rh-Rh phase shift and Rh-Rh backscattering amplitude. The EXAFS function of the reduced 1.04 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst only differs somewhat in amplitude from the one given in figure la.

Figure 1b clearly shows that the RSF is not symmetrical but is characterized by two peaks, a main peak around r = 2.7 Å and a peak around r = 1.8 Å. The procedure for analysing this type of radial structure function will be published elsewhere (19). A careful analysis of the RSF leads to the conclusion that the EXAFS oscillations are a sum of oscillations due to metallic rhodium-rhodium and rhodium-oxygen scatterer pairs (21). The optimized parameter values, R (interatomic distance), N (average coordination number) and  $\Delta\sigma^2$  (thermal and static disorder relative to reference compound), determined for the 0.47 and 1.04 wt% Rh/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst are presented in table 1 and 2, respectively.

Table 1 EXAFS parameter values for the 0.47 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$ catalyst after different treatments. Accuracies: N:  $\pm$  10-20%, R:  $\pm$  0.5-1%,  $\Delta\sigma^2$ :  $\pm$  10-20%.

	COORDINATION									
	Rh-Rh			Rh-O			RhCO			
TREATMENT	N	я [8]	$\Delta \sigma^2 \times 10^2 [^{R^2}]$	N	р [Å]	∆σ <sup>2</sup> ×10 <sup>2</sup> [ <sup>22</sup> ]	N	R [Å]	∆σ <sup>2</sup> ×10 <sup>2</sup> [Å <sup>2</sup> ]	
a:reduction at 673 K	5.3	2.68	0.5	1.7	2.73	0.0				
b:a, evacuation at 673 K, CO admission at 298 K	1.2	2.68	0.4	2.6	2.10	0.6	1.7	ж	0.5	
c:reduction at 523 K, H <sub>2</sub> /CO at 523 K	9.0	2.69	0.3		1       					

#The Rh-C and Rh-O\* distances are equal to the one in the dimer  $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$ .

Table 2 EXAFS parameter values for the 1.04 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$ satalyst after different treatments. Accuracies: N: + 10-20%, R: + 0.5-1%,  $\Delta\sigma^2$ : + 10-20%.

	COORDINATION									
	Rh-Rh			Rh-O			Rh-CO			
TREATMENT	N	р [Å]	∆σ <sup>2</sup> ×10 <sup>2</sup> [Å <sup>2</sup> ]	N	к [Å]	∆σ <sup>2</sup> ×10 <sup>2</sup> [ <sup>02</sup> ]	N	r [Å]	∆σ <sup>2</sup> ×10 <sup>2</sup> [A <sup>2</sup> ]	
a:reduction at 673 K	5.9	2.68	0.5	1.5	2.73	0.0				
b:a, evacuation at 673 K	6.6	2,.63	0.4							
c:b, CO admission at 298 K	1.6	2.68	0.4	2.2	2.12	0.5	1.5	X	0.5	
d:c, He flushing at 573 K	7.1	2.66	0.5							
e:d, reducition at 573 K	7.8	2.68	0.4			8 9 8				

**π** The Rh-C and Rh-O<sup>\*</sup> distances are equal to the one in the dimer  $[Rh(CO)_2C1]_2$ .

Figure 2a shows the smoothed EXAFS data with the calculated Rh-Rh, Rh-O two shell EXAFS function using the optimized parameters (*cf.* table 1). The associated  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transforms are presented in figure 2b.

In order to demonstrate the influence of the Rh-O as well as the Rh-Rh contribution on the Fourier transformed smoothed EXAFS data, the RSF's of the data, the calculated Rh-Rh one shell and Rh-O one shell fine structures are presented in figure 2c. Note that the RSF of Rh-O coordination peaks at 2.33 Å while the real interatomic distance R is 2.73 Å. This



- Figure 2 0.47 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_{2}O_{3}$  catalyst after reduction at 673 K. a. The solid line represents the normalized smoothed EXAFS data of the rhodium K-edge at liquid nitrogen temperature. The dashed line was obtained by calculating the two shell EXAFS (Rh-Rh and Rh-O) using the optimized parameters (cf. table 1).
  - b. The associated absolute values of the  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transforms ( $\Delta k = 3.3 - 9.9 \text{ A}^{-1}$ ) corrected for Rh-Rh phase shift and amplitude. The solid line represents the Fourier transform of the catalyst. The dashed line represents the Fourier transform of the calculated Rh-Rh and Rh-O two shell EXAFS.
  - c. The absolute values of the  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transforms  $(\Delta k = 3.3 9.9 \ A^{-1})$  corrected for Rh-Rh phase shift and amplitude for the catalyst and the calculated Rh-Rh and Rh-O one shell fine structures.

is caused by the fact that an Rh-Rh phase shift correction was used. The phase factors of Rh-Rh and Rh-O coordination differs and in the case of Rh-Rh phase shift correction the r-values of Rh-O bonds shift 0.4 Å downwards relative to the real interatomic distances. The figure clearly demonstrates that the main peak at 2.7 Å is caused by Rh-Rh coordination and is slightly affected by Rh-O coordination while the apparent peak at 1.8 Å is caused by interference of the two coordinations.

# 8.4.2 EVACUATION AT 673 K

A fresh passivated 1.04 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  sample was reduced at 673 K for one hour, evacuated  $(10^{-2} Pa)$  for another hour and cooled to room temperature under dynamic vacuum. Helium was admitted then up to 100 kPa. The analysis of the EXAFS spectrum of the evacuated catalyst yielded an average Rh-Rh coordination number of 6.6, which is significantly higher than after reduction without evacuation (N = 5.9), and an interatomic distance of 2.64  $\pm$  0.01 Å indicating a contraction of the metallic rhodium particles (see table 2). In addition, no substantial contribution of Rh-O coordination could be detected.

# 8.4.3 CO CHEMISORPTION AT 298 K

Both catalysts used in section 8.4.1 were evacuated  $(10^{-2} \text{ Pa})$  at 673 K for one hour and subsequently cooled to room temperature under dynamic vacuum. Once room temperature was reached CO was admitted up to 100 kPa after which the EXAFS spectra were recorded. As the spectra of both catalysts hardly differ we only present in figure 3a the smoothed EXAFS obtained from the 0.47 wt%  $\text{Rh/\gamma-Al}_{2}O_{3}$  catalyst. Comparison of the EXAFS after reduction with the EXAFS after CO admission (see respectively, figure 1a and 3a) clearly demonstrates the





- a. The normalized smoothed EXAFS data of the rhodium K-edge at liquid nitrogen temperature.
- b. The associated  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transform ( $\Delta k = 3.3 - 9.7 \ A^{-1}$ ) corrected for Rh-O phase shift The solid line represents | FT' | and the dashed line represents ImFT'.

diminution of the amplitude after CO adsorption at room temperature. Oscillations above  $k = 5 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ , typical for rhodium-rhodium coordination, are still present. This demonstrates that a partial disruption of the small Rh crystallites has taken place and that a small part of rhodium is present as metallic particles, upon which CO is linearly bound, whereas the greater part is present as isolated Rh(CO), species. Both, the particles and dicarbonyl species, are attached to the support via oxygen ions but with a different interatomic Rh-O distance (10). As the fraction of the total amount of rhodium in Rh-O bonds between the metallic particles and the support is low, the contribution of this scatterer pair can be neglected. Consequently, the EXAFS function is mainly caused by Rh-Rh, Rh-C-O\* (actually two scatterer pairs, Rh-C and Rh-O\*) and Rh-O coordination, the latter of which is the bond between the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species and the support.

In figure 3b the k<sup>3</sup>-weighed Fourier transform of the fine structure ( $\Delta k = 3.3 - 9.7 \text{ A}^{-1}$ ) is given. Three peaks can be distinguished, peak C of which is caused partly by Rh-Rh and partly by Rh-O\* coordination. Peak A is mainly caused by Rh-C, originated from the carbonyl, but may be suppressed by peak B due to interference. As the RSF is corrected for Rh-O phase shift the r-values which belong to rhodium-oxygen and rhodiumcarbon coordination correspond with real interatomic distances. (The phase factors of Rh-O and Rh-C hardly differ.) The imaginary part of the Fourier transform between  $\Delta r =$ 1.2 - 1.8 Å can be assigned to Rh-C coordination only. Therefore, a first estimate for the Rh-C-O\* parameter values was found by calculating the EXAFS of Rh-C-O\*, using the phase and backscattering amplitude of the geminal dicarbonyl reference sample, in such a way that the imaginary parts of the transformed calculated EXAFS and the transformed EXAFS data overlap between 1.2 and 1.8  $\overset{0}{\text{A}}$ . The optimized parameter values (see table 1) are: N = 1.7,  $\Delta \sigma^2 = 0.005 \text{ }^{2} \text{ (relative to [Rh(CO)_2C1]_2)}.$ The interatomic distances are similar to the Rh-C-O\* distances in the dimer.

As mentioned before peak C in figure 3b is caused by Rh-Rh as well as Rh-O\* coordination. By subtracting the Rh-C-O\* contribution from the EXAFS data the Rh-Rh contribution can be determined then. Therefore, we investigated the difference signal between the smoothed data and the calculated Rh-CO contribution, using the optimized parameter values. The Rh-Rh phase shift and backscattering amplitude corrected RSF of this signal is presented in figure 4a.



Figure 4 0.47 wt% Rh/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst after CO admission at 298 K.
a. The k<sup>3</sup>-weighed Fourier transform (Δk = 3.3 - 10 Å<sup>-1</sup>) corrected for Rh-Rh phase shift and amplitude of the difference signal between the smoothed data and the calculated Rh-CO contribution using the optimized parameter values (cf. table 1). The solid line represents |FT''| and the dashed line represents ImFT''.
b. The k<sup>3</sup>-weighed Foureir transform (Δk = 3.3 - 8.5 Å<sup>-1</sup>) corrected for Rh-O phase shift of the signal obtained by subtracting the Rh-CO and Rh-Rh contributions from the smoothed EXAFS data using the optimized parameters as given in table 1.

In this function two regions can be distinguished: (a)  $\Delta r = 1 - 2.2 \text{ \AA}$ , with relative broad oscillations in ImFT'', typical for Rh-O coordination, (b)  $\Delta r = 2.3 - 3.3 \text{ Å}$ , with a peak around 2.7 Å, of which the maxima of ImFT'' and |FT''| coincide. The peak belongs to the Rh-Rh nearest neighbour distance and the optimized Rh-Rh parameter values (see table 1) were determined by fitting the filtered difference signal, obtained by inverse transformation from r = 2.7 Å to r = 3.7 Å. In order to obtain the Rh-O parameters the Rh-O phase corrected RSF of the difference signal between the smoothed EXAFS data and the sum of the calculated Rh-CO and Rh-Rh signal, using the optimized parameters (cf. table 1), was analysed (see figure 4b). A fit of the filtered difference signal ( $\Delta r = 1.3 - 2.8 \text{ \AA}$ ) vielded: N = 2.6, R = 2.10 Å and  $\Delta \sigma^2$  = 0.004 Å<sup>2</sup>. Note that ImFT' and | FT' | are symmetric which is a necessary condition if the EXAFS is due to a single scatterer pair. Figure 5a shows the smoothed EXAFS data and the calculated three shell EXAFS function using the parameters as given in table 1. In figure 5b and 5c are respectively the ImFT' and the | FT' | of the associated  $k^3$ -weighed Fourier transforms presented. The optimized parameter values determined for the 1.04 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst are presented in table 2.

# 8.4.4 CO DESORPTION

As shown in section 8.4.3 CO chemisorption at room temperature gave rise to disruption of rhodium-rhodium bonds. In order to investigate if rhodium-rhodium bonds are formed again after desorption of carbon monoxide, EXAFS spectra of the CO adsorbed 1.04 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst, described in section 8.4.3, were recorded after each of the following consecutive treatments: (a) evacuation  $(10^{-2}$  Pa) at room temperature for 5 minutes and heated at 373 K under flowing He for one hour, (b) heated at 573 K under flowing He for another hour and (c) reduction with flowing H<sub>2</sub> at 573 K.

The EXAFS spectrum after flushing with He at 373 K was identical to the one obtained after CO admission at room temperature



Figure 5 0.47 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst after CO admission at 298 K.

- a. The solid line represents the normalized smoothed EXAFS data of the rhodium K-edge at liquid nitrogen temperature. The dashed line represents the calculated Rh-CO, Rh-Rh and Rh-O three shell EXAFS, using the optimized parameter values (cf. table 1).
- b. The absolute values of the associated Fourier transforms.
- c. The imaginary parts of the associated Fourier transforms.

indicating that no desorption of CO had taken place, while after flushing with He at 573 K the spectrum had completely changed to a spectrum typical for rhodium-rhodium nearest neighbours. The optimized parameter values are: N = 7.1, R = 2.66 Å (a small contraction compared to bulk value) and  $\Delta\sigma^2$  = 0.0046 Å<sup>2</sup> (see table 2). A subsequent reduction at 573 K caused an enhancement of the average Rh-Rh coordination number from N = 7.1 to N = 7.8 while the interatomic distance increased to the bulk value (see table 2).

# 8.4.5 Boudouard reaction and reaction between $\rm H_2$ and CO

After the EXAFS spectrum of the 0.47 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$ catalyst adsorbed with CO was recorded (see section 8.4.3) the temperature was raised to 523 K under flowing CO. Under these conditions the Boudouard reaction takes place, as confirmed by CO-pulse experiments. From the EXAFS results we can safely say that a contribution of Rh-Rh coordination is lacking. The EXAFS is very complicated and could not be analysed properly because too many scatterers of different types (Rh-C from rhodium carbonyl and possible formed rhodiumcarbide, Rh-O\* from rhodium carbonyl and Rh-O from the interface support/rhodium species and rhodium oxide, formed by intercalation of oxygen atoms during the Boudouard reaction) contribute.

A passivated 0.47 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  sample was reduced at 523 K for 15 minutes and subsequently a mixture of H<sub>2</sub> and CO (1:1) was led over the catalyst for one hour. Under these conditions hydrocarbons are formed. The obtained EXAFS spectrum after reaction showed oscillations

due to rhodium-rhodium coordination only. By fitting the filtered RSF in k-space the parameters of the rhodium nearest neighbour shell were determined: N = 9.0, R = 2.69 Å,  $\Delta\sigma^2$  = 0.003 Å<sup>2</sup> (see table 1).

The results of the influence of different gas environments upon the Rh-Rh nearest neighbour coordination have been summarized in figure 6 and 7.



Figure 6 The radial structure functions  $(k^3-weighed, \Delta k = 3 - 13 \ A^{-1}, Rh-Rh$  phase shift and amplitude corrected) for the 1.04 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst after the consecutive treatments: a) reduction at 673 K, b) evacuation at 673 K, c) CO admission at 298 K, d) He flushing at 573 K and e) reduction at 573 K.

In figure 6 the Rh-Rh phase and amplitude corrected radial structure functions of the EXAFS spectra obtained from the 1.04 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst after different treatments are presented. In this figure the disruption of Rh-Rh bonds caused by CO chemisorption and rearrangement of the metal cluster after desorption of CO are demonstrated.

The alteration of the structure of the rhodium crystallites after the Boudouard reaction and hydrogenation of carbon monoxide is illustrated in figure 7. The different radial structure functions of the fine structures measured on the



Figure 7 The radial structure functions  $(k^3$ -weighed,  $\Delta k = 3.3 - 10 \ \text{A}^{-1}$ , Rh-Rh phase shift and amplitude corrected) for the 0.47 wt% Rh/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst after the consecutive treatments: a) reduction at 673 K, b) CO admission at 298 K and c) Boudouard reaction at 523 K. d) Reduction of the passivated catalyst at 523 K and a subsequent reaction between H<sub>2</sub> and CO at 523 K.

## 8.5 DISCUSSION

The EXAFS results clearly indicate that the structure of rhodium in a highly dispersed Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst changes significantly when the gas atmosphere is altered.

0.47 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst are shown.

After reduction of the catalysts rhodium crystallites were formed with an interatomic rhodium-rhodium distance of 2.68 Åwhich approached the bulk value  $(2.687 \text{ \AA})$  within the experimental error  $(0.01 \text{ \AA})$ . The structure of the crystallites after reduction can be either two-dimensional, as suggested by Yates  $et \ al$ . (6), or three-dimensional, as suggested by Van 't Blik  $et \ al$ . (10). On the assumption of having a square two-dimensional particle with a (111) surface the particle with an average coordination number of 5.3 (observed for the reduced 0.47 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst) consists of 200 atoms, which corresponds with a diameter of the raft of 40 Å. When the H/Rh stoechiometry of edge and corner atoms is 2, and 1 for the other atoms, as proposed by Yates (6), the H/Rh value would be about 1.2. This value differs too much from the experimental value of 1.7, so the metallic particles will most likely have a three-dimensional structure. In that case the average coordination number of 5.3 corresponds with a crystallite of approximately 20 atoms of which 18 atoms have been exposed. The observed H/Rh value of 1.7 can be understood if the H/Rh stoechiometry is 2.

Evidence was found for Rh-O coordination. The interatomic distance of 2.73 Å is 0.68 Å larger than the distance in  $Rh_2O_3$ , indicating that the rhodium crystallite is attached to the support via an interaction between zero valent rhodium atoms and oxygen ions of the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. This result has previously been reported by Van Zon *et al.* (21).

After evacuation of the 1.04 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst the rhodium-rhodium bond shortened by 0.05 Å (see table 2) which is far beyond the experimental error. As nearly all the atoms are situated on the surface the observed contraction is in accordance with the prediction of Allen (22) that a contraction of distances between atoms of a surface layer occurs with an enhancement of the force constants. A contraction of small metal crystallites covered with helium has been observed previously by Moraweck *et al.* (23) who found a contraction of 0.12 Å of the Pt-Pt distance in a Pt/Y-zeolite sample.

As can be seen from table 2 evacuation causes not only a decrease of the Rh-Rh distance but also an increase of the average coordination number. In our case a change of N from 5.9 to 6.6 occurs after evacuation and He flushing. A normal sintering of the crystallites cannot be exluded but the increase can also be interpreted in terms of a 'breathing' crystallite (7). The crystallites which are covered with hydrogen are spread over the support. After desorption of hydrogen the surface atoms become (more) coordinatively unsaturated and consequently the particles curl up to crystallites with the smallest possible amount of surface atoms. Unfortunately, we have not recorded an EXAFS spectrum after a subsequent  $H_2$  admission at room temperature in order to prove this hypothesis.

The EXAFS results clearly show that the greater part of rhodium-rhodium bonds are broken upon CO chemisorption, ultimately leading to rhodium geminal dicarbonyl species as shown previously (9, 10). Beside isolated Rh(CO), species there are still crystallites present. During reduction of the catalyst particles of different sizes are formed and the crystallites with a large average rhodium-rhodium coordination number are not broken up after CO adsorption because the adsorption energy provided is too low to disrupt all the rhodium-rhodium bonds. Note that the measured coordination numbers as given in table 1 and 2 are expressed per absorbing Rh atom. The actual average coordination number of a species can be found by dividing the measured one with the fractional part of the total number of rhodium atoms in the species in question. An approximation of the real average rhodium-rhodium coordination number of the crystallites which were not affected during CO chemisorption can be given. The real coordination number of rhodium geminal dicarbonyl species is 2. In the case of the 1.04 wt% Rh/Y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst the determined N(Rh-CO) is 1.5 (cf. table 2). The Rh-O\* and Rh-C contribution to the EXAFS function of linearly bound carbon monoxide on the surface rhodium atoms of the crystallites still left after CO adsorption can be neglected because the relative contribution to Rh-CO

oscillations is less than 5%. The fraction f of the total number of rhodium atoms in the  $Rh(CO)_2$ , species is 1.5/2 = 0.75. It is now also possible to estimate the real average coordination number of the crystallites which is N(Rh-Rh)/(1-f) = 6.4. Evidence has been found for Rh-O coordination with an interatomic distance of 2.10 Å which is 0.05 Å longer than the distance in Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and which is 0.63 Å shorter than the Rh-O distance observed after reduction of the catalyst. As previously published (10) the Rh-O distance of 2.10  $\stackrel{0}{A}$  is attributed to the bond between the Rh<sup>1+</sup>(CO), species and the support. The oxidation state of rhodium changes from zero to 1+ during CO admission and is interpreted as being due to dissociation of carbon monoxide. The ratio N(Rh-O)/N(Rh-CO) for both catalysts is about 1.5 which indicates that on the average each Rh(CO), species is bound by three oxygen ions to the support.

The stability of the  $Rh^{1+}(CO)_{2}$  species is substantial as illustrated by the EXAFS spectrum which did not change after He flushing at 373 K. A striking feature is the rearrangement of the metal clusters after desorption of carbon monoxide at elevated temperature (573 K). This indicates that the disruption and the rearrangement of the metallic rhodium particles is a reversible process as well as that the adsorption and desorption of carbon monoxide is oxidative and reductive, respectively. As proposed elsewhere (10) the reduction of the rhodium takes place via oxidation of CO to CO2. The size of the formed crystallites has increased. The average coordination number of the particles in the catalyst after CO desorption (N(Rh-Rh) = 7.1) is significantly higher than in the evacuated catalyst (N(Rh-Rh) = 6.6). In agreement herewith, the contraction of the rhodium-rhodium bond ( $R = 2.66 \stackrel{0}{A}$ ) is less pronounced than the distance in the particles in the reduced and evacuated catalyst (R = 2.63 Å).

A subsequent reduction results in an increase of the average Rh-Rh coordination number. The crystallites are covered with hydrogen and a relaxation of the rhodium-rhodium distance occurs (R = 2.68 Å). A decrease of the dispersion of a highly

dispersed  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  catalyst after CO adsorption and a subsequent reduction has also been observed by Yates *et al.* (6).

After CO flushing at 523 K at which temperature the Boudouard reaction takes place the rhodium particles break up completely which is probably caused by intercalation of carbon and/or oxygen atoms. The peak around 2.8 Å in the radial structure function (see figure 7) belongs to the Rh-O distance originated from carbon monoxide either linearly or bridged bound on rhodium.

During the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide a substantial sintering of the highly dispersed 0.47 wt%  $Rh/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst occurred. The average coordination number changed from N(Rh-Rh) = 5.3 to 9.0 which corresponds with metallic particles consisting of about 20 and 200 atoms, respectively. This result clearly illustrates that under reaction conditions the advantages of having a highly dispersed system can be nullified.

#### 8.6 CONCLUSIONS

The EXAFS experiments clearly illustrate a substantial alteration of the topology of rhodium in highly dispersed  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  catalysts after different treatments.

During reduction small metallic rhodium particles are formed, and when covered with hydrogen, the Rh-Rh interatomic distance is 2.68 Å which is similar to the Rh-foil. The crystallites are attached to the support via rhodiumoxygen bonds with a bond length of 2.73 Å which is 0.68 Å longer compared to the Rh-O distance in  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$ . This indicates that in the interface crystallite/support only zero valent rhodium is present.

When metallic particles are covered with helium, a contraction of the rhodium-rhodium bond occurs and the average coordination number increases.

CO adsorption at room temperature is oxidative and induces a disruption of rhodium-rhodium bonds, ultimately leading to  $O_3 Rh^{1+}(CO)_2$  species. The rhodium bonds in particles

with a notible size are not affected.

The crystallites which are broken up due to CO chemisorption are rearranged again after CO is desorbed. The CO desorption occurs via a reductive reaction because the valence of rhodium changes from 1+ to zero during evacuation.

After the Boudouard reaction at 523 K  $(2CO \rightarrow C + CO_2)$  the rhodium-rhodium distance has disappeared, probably because of intercalation of carbon and/or oxygen atoms.

During reaction between CO and  $H_2$  at 523 K the highly dispersed catalyst is transformed to a poorly dispersed system due to sintering of the metallic crystallites.

#### 8.7 REFERENCES

- a. P.R. Watson and G.A. Somorjai, J. Catal., <u>72</u> (1981) 347.
   b. P.R. Watson and G.A. Somorjai, J. Catal., <u>74</u> (1982) 282.
- T.P. Wilson, P.H. Kasai and P.C. Ellgen, J. Catal., <u>69</u> (1981) 193.
- C.A. Rice, S.D. Worley, C.W. Curtis, J.A. Guin and A.R. Tarrer, J. Chem. Phys., 74 (1981) 6487.
- R.R. Cavanagh and J.T. Yates, J. Chem. Phys., <u>74</u> (1981) 4150.
- 5. M. Primet, J.C.S. Farad. Trans. I, <u>74</u> (1978) 2570.
- D.J.C. Yates, L.L. Murrell and E.B. Prestridge, J. Catal., 57 (1979) 41.
- D.J.C. Yates, L.L. Murrell and E.B. Prestridge, in "Growth and Properties of Metal Clusters", p. 137, 1980 edited by J. Bourdon, Elsevier Sci. Pub. Co., Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 8. F.W. Graydon and M.D. Langan, J. Catal., <u>69</u> (1981) 180.
- 9. H.F.J. van 't Blik, J.B.A.D. van Zon, T. Huizinga, J.C. Vis, D.C. Koningsberger and R. Prins, J. Phys. Chem., <u>87</u> (1983) 2264.
- 10. H.F.J. van 't Blik, J.B.A.D. van Zon, T. Huizinga, J.C. Vis, D.C. Koningsberger and R. Prins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., to be published.

- T. Fukushima and J.R. Katzer, Int. Cat. Congress Tokyo (1980).
- 12. J.C. Vis, H.F.J. van 't Blik, T. Huizinga, J. van Grondelle and R. Prins, J. Mol. Cat., submitted for publication.
- 13. J.E. Benson and M. Boudart, J. Catal., 4 (1965) 704.
- 14. H.C. Yao, S. Japar and M. Shelef, J. Catal., <u>50</u> (1977) 407.
- 15. S.E. Wanke and N.A. Dougharty, J. Catal., 24 (1972) 367.
- 16. R.R. Cavanagh and J.T. Yates, Jr., J. Catal., 68 (1981) 22.
- 17. D.C. Koningsberger and J.W. Cook, in "EXAFS and Near Edge Structures", p. 412, 1983 editors A. Bianconi, L. Incoccia and S. Stipcich, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- 18. E.A. Stern, D.E. Sayers and F.W. Lytle, Phys. Rev. B, <u>11</u> (1975) 4836.
- 19. J.B.A.D. van Zon, D.C. Koningsberger, H.F.J. van 't Blik and D.E. Sayers, J. Chem. Phys., to be published.
- 20. P.A. Lee and G. Beni, Phys. Rev. B, 15 (1977) 2862.
- 21. J.B.A.D. van Zon, D.C. Koningsberger, D.E. Sayers, H.F.J. van 't Blik and R. Prins, J. Chem. Phys., submitted for publication.
- 22. G. Allen, Surf. Sci., 89 (1979) 142.
- B. Moraweck, G. Clugnet and A.J. Renouprez, Surf. Sci., 81 (1979) L631.

#### chapter 9

# THE CATALYTIC BEHAVIOUR IN $H_2$ + CO REACTION OF Co, Rh AND Co-Rh SUPPORTED ON $AI_2O_3$ AND $TiO_2$

# 9.1 INTRODUCTION

Nowadays the influence of alloying of metals on the catalytic properties and the role of the support TiO, in determining the catalytic behaviour of supported metal systems are two important topics in heterogeneous catalysis. The attractiveness of bimetallic catalysts lies in their superior stability and selectivity for many reactions (1-3). With respect to the Fischer-Tropsch reaction it has been shown that alloying of two metals, both of which are active catalysts, may improve the catalytic properties compared to the properties of the constituent monometallic catalysts (4-10). Using an FeRh/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, Bhasin et al. observed an increase in selectivity to methanol and ethanol compared to either supported iron or supported rhodium catalyst (5). Wise and co-workers have reported a maximum in selectivity for C, plus C<sub>3</sub> hydrocarbons for unsupported precipitated (1:1) Fe-Co catalysts (9). Recently, Tucci and Streeter have found a very favourable synergistic effect of the Ru-Ni bimetallic system supported on alumina (7). Both conversion and selectivity to methane increase dramatically when alloying these two metals.

Although titania is a metal oxide support which has been found to strongly inhibit chemisorption of  $H_2$  and CO on group VIII metals after reduction at ~773 K - which effect is known
as Strong Metal Support Interaction (SMSI) (11) -, some metals have been found to have their highest activities for CO hydrogenation when dispersed on TiO<sub>2</sub>. An outstanding example is the titania-supported nickel catalyst (12-15) which shows turnover frequencies one to two orders of magnitude higher than other Ni catalysts. The increase in activity is accompanied by an increase in selectivity to higher-molecular-weight paraffins. Titania-supported Ru did not exhibit higher activity but showed favourable selectivity shifts towards lower methane formation and higher olefin production (16).

Not all group VIII metals show favourable trends in catalytic behaviour when supported on  $\text{TiO}_2$  (17). Iron, for instance has a very low activity probably because it becomes easily immersed in the support (18).

With respect to the systems under investigation in our laboratory, Co-Rh catalysts supported on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub>, little information has been published concerning the hydrogenation of CO to hydrocarbons. Recently, however, Villeger *et al.* (6) have shown that addition of Rh to Co results in an increase of the selectivity to ethene and propene. About the monometallic Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> systems, however, much more information is available (19, 20). It appears that Co, which was one of the first metals to be used as a catalyst for the production of hydrocarbon liquids and solids (21), produces more long-chain hydrocarbons than Rh. Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> can even be considered as a methanation catalyst.

The  $\mathrm{Co/TiO}_2$  system as Fischer-Tropsch catalyst has received very little attention in the literature. Recently, Vannice has reported that the activities of  $\mathrm{Co/TiO}_2$  and  $\mathrm{Co/Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3$ , expressed as turnover frequencies based on respectively adsorbed CO and adsorbed H<sub>2</sub>, were comparable (17). Yermakov and co-workers used  $\mathrm{Co}_2(\mathrm{CO})_8$  as a precursor for the preparation of  $\mathrm{Co/TiO}_2$ (22). They found that fast pyrolysis of  $\mathrm{Co}_2(\mathrm{CO})_8$  on  $\mathrm{TiO}_2$  leads to the formation of highly dispersed superparamagnetic cobalt particles which possess an increased activity in the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide, whereas low dispersed cobalt, obtained by slow pyrolysis, has an activity which is one order of magnitude lower. Over the past years rhodium supported on

titania has enjoyed increased interest because of its outstanding catalytic behaviour in the hydrogenation of CO (17, 23-29). Solymosi *et al.* (26) have found that the specific rate of  $CH_4$  formation on  $Rh/TiO_2$  was more than one order of magnitude higher than that of Rh/MgO and  $Rh/SiO_2$  catalysts. This effect was assigned to an electronic interaction between the  $TiO_2$  and Rh. Authors speculated that  $TiO_2$  may influence the bonding and reactivity of species chemisorbed on metal particles.

In this chapter we will present information on the catalytic behaviour of Co, Rh and Co-Rh supported on alumina and titania in the  $H_2$  + CO reaction. The catalysts used in this study have been characterized by methods which have been presented in chapters 3 and 4.

In the literature the anomalous catalytic behaviour of titaniasupported catalysts has often been assigned exclusively to SMSI. However, in many studies reported one may doubt if the SMSI effect really was present, because in some cases the reduction temperature used was too low to create SMSI and in other cases a sintering of the metallic particles could not be excluded. Therefore, we have studied titania-supported catalysts in non-SMSI-state as well as in SMSI-state with similar dispersions.

Activity measurements performed on Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts with different dispersions were carried out in order to investigate the influence of metal particle size on the catalytic behaviour in non-SMSI-state and SMSI-state.

### 9.2 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

TiO<sub>2</sub> (anatase, Tioxide Ltd., CLDD 1367, surface area 20 m<sup>2</sup>/g, pore volume 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>/g) and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Ketjen, 000-1.5 E, surface area 200 m<sup>2</sup>/g, pore volume 0.6 cm<sup>3</sup>/g) were (co-)impregnated with aqueous solutions of the metal salts  $Co(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$  and  $RhCl_3.xH_2O$  via the incipient wetness technique to prepare monometallic Co and Rh and bimetallic Co-Rh catalysts. The catalysts were dried in air at 393 K for 24 hours, reduced in flowing  $H_2$  at 773 K for one hour and subsequently passivated and stored for further use. These catalysts are the same as those described in chapters 3 and 4. TPR has proven that for the Co-Rh systems alloying has taken place (see chapter 4). The monometallic catalysts will be denoted from now on by a code: CA (Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), RA (Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), CT (Co/TiO<sub>2</sub>) and RT (Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>) followed by the indication of the metal loading. The two bimetallic catalysts Co<sub>4</sub>Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (3.18 wt% Co, 1.39 wt% Rh) and Co<sub>1.2</sub>Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> (1.19 wt% Co, 1.72 wt% Rh) will be denoted as respectively, C<sub>4</sub>RA 4.6 and C<sub>1.2</sub>RT 2.9.

The hydrogenation of CO was investigated in a flow microreactor at atmospheric pressure. The reactor and ancillary equipment are schematically presented in figure 1.



Figure 1 Schematic representation of the flow-system.

Two types of mixtures could be passed through the fixed-bed reactor, either a mixture of hydrogen, nitrogen and oxygen, with which the passivated catalysts were pretreated, or a mixture of hydrogen, carbon monoxide and nitrogen (synthesis gas). Except for oxygen the gases were purified over a BTS column and molecular sieves. The products formed during reaction were analysed on-line by gaschromatography. The GCequipment consisted of a Packard Becker 427 gaschromatograph equipped with a 904 TCD detector, and a Pye Unicam gas-

chromatograph with a flame-ionisation detector. A column packed with Porapack QS, using He as carrier gas allowed complete separation of CO<sub>2</sub>, ethene, ethane, H<sub>2</sub>O, propene and propane. Using a squalane column with nitrogen as carrier gas the amount of  $C_1$  to  $C_8$  hydrocarbons could be determined. The amount of catalyst used for an experiment was circa 0.2 g. Prior to the passage of synthesis gas through the reactor, the passivated catalyst was re-reduced in flowing hydrogen for half an hour after which the catalyst was cooled under flowing hydrogen to reaction temperature. The alumina-supported catalysts were re-reduced at 773 K and the titania-supported catalysts at 523 K or 773 K. The ratio of H2:CO:N2 in the reacting gas mixture was 3:1:0 or 2:1:1. The gas hourly space velocity used was  $3000 + 100 \text{ hr}^{-1}$ . In order to measure under differential conditions the conversion of CO was kept lower than 10%. If necessary, this was achieved by diluting the catalyst with bare support. The absence of diffusional limitation was confirmed by the method suggested by Körös and Nowak (30). Under these reaction conditions only hydrocarbons were formed and no oxygenates.

As the difference in CO concentration between the ingoing and outgoing gas stream could not be accurately determined the amount of CO converted to hydrocarbons was determined in a modified way. Under the reaction conditions used the products formed typically show a logarithmic (Flory-Schultz or Anderson (31)) distribution indicating that a constant ratio of propagation and termination rates exists, especially in the molecular range above  $C_2$  (32):

 $\ln(\text{mole } \& C_n) = K + n \ln \alpha,$ 

where  $ln(mole \ \ C_n)$  shows linear relation to  $n(carbon number of product \ C_n)$ . The probability of chain growth is  $\alpha$ ;  $(1-\alpha)$  is

the probability of chain termination, i.e., product formation (31, 32).

From the experimentally determined  $C_3$  to  $C_8$  hydrocarbons the probability of chain growth  $\alpha$  was obtained. Assuming  $\alpha$  to be independent of molecular size the concentrations of  $C_9$  to  $C_{\infty}$  were calculated. The sum of the products  $nC_n \left(\sum_{1}^{\infty} nC_n\right)$  yielded the amount of CO converted to hydrocarbons. The activity is expressed as a turnover frequency,

# $TOF_{CO} = \frac{CO \text{ molecules reacted to hydrocarbons}}{surface metal atom x sec}$

The number of surface metal atoms was measured by hydrogen chemisorption. For the M/TiO<sub>2</sub> systems the H/M values were determined after a low temperature re-reduction at 473 K of the passivated catalysts. Note that the titania-supported catalysts were reduced at 773 K during preparation (and thus might have been in the SMSI-state) and passivated afterwards (which nullified the SMSI-state (see chapter 3 and 4)). This means that the determined H/M values correspond with real dispersions of the catalysts re-reduced below 773 K, without interference of possible sintering effects.

## 9.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 9.3.1 Co, RH and Co-RH supported on $AL_2O_3$ and $TIO_2$

Figure 2 presents the change of activities in the  $H_2 + CO$ reaction ( $H_2$ :CO = 3:1) with time on stream over catalysts at 523 K. In the deactivation two regions can be distinguished: in the beginning of the reaction (below 4 hours) a fast decline of the reaction rate takes place, and after circa 4 hours the activity decreases in a more moderate way. Therefore, we define the 'initial activity' as the activity obtained by extrapolating the function from the first region (t < 4 hours) to reaction time zero, and the 'steady-state activity' as the activity ob-



Figure 2 Change in logarithmic turnover frequency in the H<sub>2</sub> + CO reaction with time on stream. (Pressure = 10<sup>2</sup> kPa, H<sub>2</sub>:CO = 3:1, reaction temperature = 523 K.) a) Alumina-supported catalysts re-reduced at 773 K. b) Titania-supported catalysts re-reduced at 523 K. c) Titania-supported catalysts re-reduced at 773 K.

tained by extrapolating the second region (t > 4 hours) to time zero.

Before passing synthesis gas through the reactor the catalyst was re-reduced in flowing hydrogen and consequently in the beginning of the reaction the hydrogen concentration was high. However, the high activity in the induction period cannot be due to this hydrogen, because a catalyst that had been flushed with nitrogen showed the same high initial activity and the same deactivation. We think that hydroxylation of the support during the first hours of reaction plays a dominant role with respect to the initial high activity. During reduction of the catalyst in the reactor the support will be partly dehydroxylated. When synthesis gas is passed over the catalyst the water formed will hydroxylate the support again. Therefore, in the beginning of the reaction the partial pressure of water will be low. This leads to an acceleration of the Fischer-Tropsch reaction:

$$nCO + (2n+1)H_2 \rightarrow C_nH_{2n+2} + nH_2O$$
.

Assuming that the support was fifty percent dehydroxylated after reduction, it can be calculated indeed that under the reaction conditions used the support is completely rehydroxylated after 2-6 hours. This idea is supported by the results reported by Nijs and Jacobs. They found for supported Ru and Co catalysts that equilibrium of the Fischer-Tropsch reaction was reached within 5 minutes when water was added to the reaction gas (33).

The product distributions obtained over each catalyst after 20 hours of reaction are shown in figure 3. The products are presented as the number of C atoms per molecule and their respective concentrations are listed as the percentage CO converted to the product in question. The  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  fractions are devided into respectively ethane/ethylene and propane/ propene in order to indicate the paraffin/olefin ratio. Table 1 summarizes the activity and selectivity data for the  $H_2$  + CO reaction at 523 K. Also the apparent activation energies,  $E_{CO}$ , for the total conversion of CO into all hydrocarbon products are presented. The energies are determined from Arrhenius plots (not shown) between a reaction temperature range of 473-573 K. The  $H_2$  and CO partial pressures were kept constant at an  $H_2$ :CO ratio of 3 for these runs.

From the alumina-supported catalysts,  $Co/Al_2O_3$  is the most active one (see tabel 1). The observed activity and activation energy are in good agreement with the results for a 2 wt%  $Co/Al_2O_3$  catalyst reported by Vannice (19, 20). The monometallic  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  catalyst is least active. However, the turnover frequencies for this catalyst are underestimated, because



Figure 3 Product distribution in the H<sub>2</sub> + CO reaction after 20 hours time on stream. (Pressure = 10<sup>2</sup> kPa, H<sub>2</sub>:CO = 3:1, reaction temperature = 523 K.) a) Alumina-supported catalysts re-reduced at 773 K. b) Titania-supported catalysts re-reduced at 523 K. c) Titania-supported catalysts re-reduced at 773 K.

being consequent we used a dispersion  $(\overline{D})$  of 1.53 to determine the amount of metal surface atoms. However, during Fischer-Tropsch reaction a highly dispersed rhodium catalyst is transformed to a less dispersed system  $(\overline{D} \approx 0.6)$ , as proven by EXAFS (see chapter 8). This leads to an increase of TOF<sub>CO</sub> of

Table 1 Selectivity and activity data. (Pressure = 10<sup>2</sup> kPa, H<sub>2</sub>:CO = 3:1, reaction temperature = 523 K.)

		INITIAL	STEADY-STATE				
CATALYST	H/M <sup>4)</sup>	$[\frac{\text{TOF}_{CO}}{\text{x 10}^2} [\frac{\text{molecules}}{M_s}]$	$[\frac{\text{TOF}_{CO}}{\text{x 10}^2} [\frac{\text{molecules}}{M_{S}}]$	α <sup>5)</sup>	E <sub>CO</sub> [kJ/mol]		
CA 4.4 <sup>1)</sup>	0.09	2.43	2.12	0.44	96		
RA 2.3	1.53	0.47	0.27	0.40	101		
$C_{4}$ RA 4.6	0.13	1.70	1.21	0.48	98		
-							
CT 4.0 <sup>2)</sup>	0.07	0.80	0.63	0.47	79		
RT 1.0	0.40	2.78	1.68	0.44	103		
C1.2 <sup>RT 2.9</sup>	0.19	0.57	0.37	0.48	85		
2					į		
CT 4.0 <sup>3)</sup>	0.07	0.11	0.06	0.46	-		
RT 1.0	0.40	0.69	0.50	0.42	-		
C <sub>1.2</sub> RT 2.9	0.19	2.03	1.63	0.50	-		
1			1				

1: The catalysts were re-reduced at 773 K.

- 2: The catalysts were re-reduced at 523 K.
- 3: The catalysts were re-reduced at 773 K.
- 4: Based on  $H_2$  adsorption at room temperature after rereduction of the  $M/Al_2O_3$  and  $M/TiO_2$  catalysts at respectively, 773 K and 473 K.
- 5:  $\alpha$  = probability of chain growth.

about a factor of 2.5. Moreover, in anticipation of the results presented in section 9.3.2, the activity per rhodium surface atom increases a factor of 4 when the dispersion decreases from  $\overline{D} > 0.5$  to  $\overline{D} < 0.3$ . This means that comparing the activities for a Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and an Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst with similar dispersions,

the activity for  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  may even exceed the activity for  $Co/Al_2O_3$ .

The observed activation energy of 101 kJ/mol for the  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  catalyst is in excellent agreement with the one reported by Vannice (19) for a 1 wt%  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  catalyst (102 kJ/mol). From figure 3a it can be clearly seen that rhodium is essentially a methanation catalyst, while CO is converted to longer-chain hydrocarbons over cobalt. In agreement with the open literature describing the behaviour of cobalt and rhodium on  $Al_2O_3$  the products formed are respectively, olefinic and paraffinic in nature.

Alloying cobalt with rhodium hardly affects the activity (table 1). A pronounced synergistic effect is thus absent. The selectivity of the alumina-supported bimetallic catalyst is similar to that of the monometallic cobalt catalyst (figure 3a). The probability of chain growth  $\alpha$  for the bimetallic catalyst is even higher (table 1). A striking feature is that despite the cobalt-like behaviour in activity and selectivity the hydrogenation capacity is rhodium-like (figure 3a). These results can be understood in the context of cobalt enrichment in the surface layer of the bimetallic particles. Cobalt enrichment in bimetallic Co-Rh particles has been proven by EXAFS for a reduced CoRh/SiO2 catalyst (see chapter 5). The surface layer of the bimetallic particles in Co<sub>4</sub>Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> under reaction condition might consist of cobalt atoms with a few rhodium atoms homogeneously spread over the surface. As the Fischer-Trospch (FT) reaction is a demanding reaction - an ensemble of several active metal atoms is needed for the reaction (34) - the cobalt-like behaviour of  $Co_4 Rh/Al_2 O_3$ is understandable. However, the hydrogenation reaction is a facile reaction which can occur over isolated atoms (35). Therefore, the olefins formed during the FT reaction can be hydrogenated on the rhodium atoms and thus the hydrogenation behaviour of  $Co_4 Rh/Al_2 O_3$  is rhodium-like.

A synergistic effect of the bimetallic catalyst is found in its stability for a FT reaction as can be clearly seen from

figure 2a. After 4 hours the turnover frequency hardly decreases anymore. Apparently, the active sites are not deactivated by for instance covering with graphitic carbon which may be formed during reaction. In general, there will be a competition between hydrogenation of carbonaceous species to hydrocarbons and reaction between these species to graphitic carbon. On rhodium hydrogen molecules dissociate easier than on cobalt. Therefore, the hydrogenation of carbonaceous species on a rhodium surface should be better. However, figure 2a shows that the rate of deactivation for  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  is faster than that for Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. This indicates that reaction between carbonaceous species on rhodium surfaces is much more pronounced. In the case of the bimetallic Co\_Rh/Al\_0, catalyst, however, the FT reaction takes place on a cobalt-rich surface, upon which formation of graphitic carbon is relatively slow, the supply of hydrogen atoms from the few rhodium atoms present in the surface might be fast enough to hydrogenate the carbonaceous species. Thus, the formation of graphitic carbon is suppressed by an increase of hydrogen concentration on the surface. This has been previously shown by Goodman  $et \ al.$  (36) for Ni catalysts.

The increase in stability can also be explained by a model proposed by Sachtler (37). This model assumes that adsorbed carbonaceous fragments become deleterious catalyst poisons only after atomic reorganisations resulting in graphitic structures. The ease of such reorganisations is controlled by the chemistry and the atomic topography of the catalyst surface. The presence of rhodium in the cobalt-rich surface may change the topography in such a way that epitaxy of carbonaceous fragments is difficult through which formation of coke is suppressed.

The catalytic behaviour of metals supported on titania is illustrated in table 1 for Co, Rh and Co-Rh. First we consider catalysts which were reduced at 523 K before FT reaction was investigated. Note that differences in catalytic behaviour between these titania-supported catalysts

and the alumina-supported catalysts are not due to SMSI because after reduction at 523 K the titania supported catalysts still have the normal capacity to adsorb hydrogen. Putting the titaniasupported catalysts in order of decreasing activity gives the following sequence: Rh > Co > Co-Rh (cf. table 1). The turnover frequencies for Rh/TiO, and Co/TiO, and the activation energy  $E_{CO}$  for Co/TiO<sub>2</sub> are in good agreement with values previously published by Vannice (17). However, the observed activation energy for Rh/TiO, of 103 kJ/mol differs significantly from the one given by Vannice: 134 kJ/mol. The activation energy for the bimetallic catalyst approaches the one observed for Co/TiO2. Comparison of turnover frequencies for M/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and M/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts shows that the TOF co value for Rh is higher and the TOF values for Co and Co-Rh are lower for the TiO2-supported catalysts. In anticipation of the results of activity measurements performed on the RT- and RA-series, which will be discussed in the next section - and which show that catalysts with low dispersions have a much higher TOF co than catalysts with high dispersions -, we attribute the enhancement in activity for Rh/TiO, to a dispersion effect. The decrease in activity for Co and Co-Rh is not fully understood yet.

The product distributions of the titania-supported monometallic catalysts hardly differ from those of the corresponding metal systems supported on alumina (compare figure 3a with 3b). However, the  $\alpha$ 's increase (*cf.* table 1). Going from alumina to titania the hydrogenation capacity for Co,Rh and Co-Rh did not change significantly.

Comparison of figure 2a and 2b shows that the rates of deactivation for the three titania-supported catalysts were substantially higher than the corresponding rates for the  $Al_2O_3$ supported catalysts. As in the case of alumina the stability of rhodium on titania is inferior to the stability of the cobalt catalyst. The most apparent difference between  $Co_4Rh/Al_2O_3$  and  $Co_{1.2}Rh/TiO_2$  appeared in stability. Whereas  $Co_4Rh/Al_2O_3$  hardly deactivated with reaction time the opposite is true for  $Co_{1.2}Rh/TiO_2$  which showed a rate of deactivation comparable with the one observed for  $Rh/TiO_2$ . As the Co/Rh ratio in Co<sub>1.2</sub>Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> is rather low it might be possible that despite Co enrichment of the surface layer there are rhodium ensembles on the surface. A fast formation of graphitic carbon on these rhodium ensembles would explain why the deactivation behaviour is comparable with that of the monometallic rhodium system.

In order to investigate the influence of reduction ~ temperature on the catalytic behaviour of the titania-supported catalysts, activity measurements were performed on these catalysts after reduction at 773 K. Differences in catalytic behaviour of the same catalyst re-reduced at 523 K or 773 K must be due to the SMSI. Sintering can be excluded because during preparation of these catalysts they had already been reduced at 773 K. Note that after this reduction the catalysts were in SMSI-state but as discussed in chapter 3 and 4 the subsequent passivation step nullified the SMSI-state completely. Table 1 and figure 3 clearly indicate that the selectivities to methane and to long-chain hydrocarbons were not significantly affected by a high temperature reduction of the catalysts. The hydrogenation capacity after the induction period of circa 4 hours did not change either (compare figure 3b and 3c). However, the effect of SMSI on turnover frequencies (see table 1) and stability (see figure 2c) was striking. The activity of Co/TiO, decreased almost one order of magnitude whereas the activity of Rh/TiO, decreased by a factor of 4. However, the stability for both catalysts increased after the high temperature reduction. A remarkable feature is the increase of TOF<sub>CO</sub> and stability for Co<sub>12</sub>Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>. The rate of deactivation above 4 hours is nihil. Even after 4 days the activity had not changed at all!

In chapter 6 we showed that the titania-supported catalysts used in this study could be brought in the SMSI-state after a reduction at 773 K: the hydrogen chemisorption capacity was suppressed to a great extent. At the moment that the FT reaction was started the catalysts were in the SMSI-state, but during the reaction water was formed and it is known that SMSI is destroyed by water (38). Thus the question arises if the catalysts were still in the SMSI-state under the steady-state

reaction conditions. As we have no direct answer to this question an explanation of the change in catalytic behaviour for the titania-supported catalysts after a high temperature reduction can only be speculative. The differences in catalytic behaviour do not need to be caused by metal-support interaction solely. A modification of the metal surface might occur during reduction at elevated temperatures. After reduction at moderate temperatures the surfaces of the metal crystallites might be roughly structured and consequently exhibit many defects which are active sites for reaction. A high temperature reduction might smooth the surface and induce the formation of low Miller-index surfaces. Studies of well-defined Co single crystals showed that the basal plane is inactive for CO dissociation (39). CO is adsorbed associatively on rhodium single crystals at 300 K and dissociates slowly above this temperature (40). As shown by Araki and Ponec (34) and later by Biloen (41) CO dissociation precedes C-H bond formation in FT synthesis. Therefore, smoothing of the metal surface might play a role in the explanation for the decrease in activity for Co/TiO, and Rh/TiO,.

The remarkable increase of the stability of  $Co_{1.2}/TiO_2$  after re-reduction at 773 K suggests that the surface structure of the bimetallic crystallites had indeed changed. In the context of the models proposed for the alumina-supported bimetallic catalyst we think that during high temperature reduction Co enrichment is more pronounced and that therefore the amount of rhodium ensembles has markedly decreased. From the stability point of view the same situation arises as for  $Co_4 Rh/Al_2O_3$ 

### 9.3.2 RA AND RT SERIES AS CO HYDROGENATION CATALYSTS

The activity and selectivity data obtained on the  $H_2$  + CO reaction over the catalysts at 523 K are summarized in table 2. The composition of the reactant gas used was  $H_2$ :CO:N<sub>2</sub> = 2:1:1.

First we will focus our attention to the RA series and RT series in non-SMSI-state. The table clearly demonstrates

Table 2 Selectivity and activity data. (Pressure  $10^2$  kPa,  $H_2:CO:N_2 = 2:1:1$ , reaction temperature = 523 K.)

		INITIAL	STEADY-STATE				
CATALYST	н/Rh <sup>6)</sup>	$\frac{\text{TOF}_{CO}}{\text{x 10}^2}$ $\left[\frac{\text{molecules}}{\text{Rh}_{S} \text{ x sec}}\right]$	TOF <sub>CO</sub> x 10 <sup>2</sup> [molecules Rh <sub>s</sub> x sec]	s_7) CH4	α <sup>8)</sup>	c <sup>=</sup> 3/c <sub>3</sub>	
RA 2.3 <sup>1)</sup>	1.53	0.31	0.17	0.59	0.44	0.80	
RA 4.6	0.96	0.63	0.28	0.61	0.45	1.18	
RA 8.5	0.81	0.82	0.35	0.65	0.45	1.56	
RA 11.6	0.67	0.73	0.31	0.63	0.45	2.52	
RA 11.6 RT $0.3^{(2)}$ RT $0.7$ RT $1.0^{(3)}$ RT $2.0$ RT $3.2$ RT $8.1^{(4)}$ RT $0.3^{(5)}$ RT $0.3^{(5)}$	0.67 1.10 0.61 0.40 0.35 0.22 0.12 1.10 0.61	0.73 0.45 0.74 2.10 2.67 4.11 4.06 0.01 0.08	0.31 0.10 0.34 0.47 0.58 1.48 1.43 0.01 0.07	0.50 0.55 0.49 0.48 0.48 0.50 0.48	0.45 0.51 0.48 0.43 0.42 0.42 0.42	2.52 1.50 2.07 2.52 3.41 2.11 6.50 1.20	
RT 0.7	0.61	0.08	0.07	0.47	0.43	1.95	
RT 1.0	0.40	0.40	0.20	0.43	0.42	2.31	
RT 2.0	0.35	0.78	0.33	0.48	0.41	3.06	
RT 3.2	0.22	1.38	0.91	0.53		2.05	
кг 8.1	0.12	1.11	0.83	0.44	0.42	5.60	

1: The catalysts were re-reduced at 773 K.

2: The catalysts were re-reduced at 523 K.

**5**:  $E_{CO} = 103 \text{ kJ/mol}$ . **4**:  $E_{CO} = 81 \text{ kJ/mol}$ .

5: The catalysts were re-reduced at 773 K.

6: Based on H<sub>2</sub> adsorption at room temperature after re-reduction of the M/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and M/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts at respectively, 773 K and 473 K. 7:  $S_{CH_4}$  = selectivity to methane.

8:  $\alpha$  = probability of chain growth.

the correlation between the specific activity and dispersion (percentage exposed). The rate of CO hydrogenation increases by about a factor of 2.5 as the dispersion of Rh on alumina was decreased from 1.53 to 0.67. For the RT series the activity increases one order of magnitude going from a dispersion of 1.10 to 0.12. The increase in activity is accompanied by an increase in the olefin-to-paraffin ratio. Neither the selectivity to methane nor the probability of chain growth is greatly affected.

The  $TOF_{CO}$ 's in steady-state for the RA series and the RT series are presented in figure 4 as a function of H/Rh (dispersion).



Figure 4 Steady-state turnover frequency in the  $H_2$  + CO reaction versus H/Rh (dispersion) for alumina- and titaniasupported Rh-catalysts. (Pressure =  $10^2$  kPa,  $H_2:CO:N_2$  = 2:1:1, reaction temperature = 523 K.)

The resemblance between the  $TOF_{CO}$ 's of the alumina- and titania-supported rhodium catalysts is obvious. Both systems reveal the same variation of activity with dispersion and the catalysts with the same H/Rh value have, within the accuracy of the measurements, the same turnover frequency. This leads to the conclusion that one must pay attention to dispersion effects when comparing activities in H<sub>2</sub> + CO reactions of rhodium supported on alumina and titania. If we go back to figure 2a and compare the activities for  $Co/Al_2O_3$  and  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  the above mentioned result means that the activity for  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  is much higher at a dispersion comparable with  $Co/Al_2O_3$  ( $\overline{D} = 0.09$ ) and therefore may even exceed the activity of  $Co/Al_2O_3$ .

Figure 4 shows that the titania-supported catalysts with dispersions above 0.30 exhibit a very rapid decay in the turnover frequencies for the synthesis of all products. Such an influence of dispersion on the performance of supported group VIII metals for the synthesis of hydrocarbons via CO hydrogenation has been reported previously (42-45). In a detailed study Kellner and Bell (45) reported a dramatic decrease in the specific activity for  $Ru/Al_2O_3$  catalysts with dispersions above 0.7. The decrease in activity was accompanied by a slight decrease in the probability of chain growth and a rapid decrease in the olefin-to-paraffin ratio. Vannice (42, 43) observed that the methanation activity of Ni catalysts decreased with increasing dispersion. By contrast the specific activity for methanation of Pt and Pd catalysts was found to increase with increasing dispersion.

Two explanations for the decrease in specific activity with increasing dispersion can be given. One explanation, originally proposed by King (46), is that the electronic properties of the particles may be changed. Theoretical studies (47) of the electronic properties of small metal particles show that deviations from the properties of bulk metal occur, primarily, for crystallites smaller than about 20 Å. This critical size corresponds to a dispersion of about 0.5. The observed H/Rh value of 0.3, at which a decline of the specific activity takes place, is of the right order of magnitude. A small difference in the electronic properties between large and small rhodium particles follows from XPS measurements (48). The observed Rh 3d 5/2 electron binding energies for RT 8.1 (the least dispersed catalyst) and for RT 0.3 (the most dispersed catalyst) after in situ reduction at 483 K are 307.05 and 307.25 eV, respectively. (This small variation in binding energy is most

likely caused by differences in the extra-atomic relaxation of metal particles of different sizes. In small particles there is less effective screening of the core holes created during photoemission.) This indicates a small change in the electron properties going from a rhodium loading of 8.1 to 0.3 per cent by weight.

Another explanation for the decrease in specific activity with increasing dispersion might be that the fraction of sites active for the hydrogenation of CO decreases with increasing dispersion. Such a trend would be expected if Rh atoms at the faces of crystallites were more active than those at the edges or corners (49, 50). The apparent activation energy  $E_{CO}$  for RT 8.1 is 81 kJ/mol which is significantly lower compared to the activation energy for RT 1.0 which is 103 kJ/mol. This result is in accordance with the model because it indicates a change in nature of the active sites with dispersion.

The activity and selectivity data obtained by measurements on the Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts after re-reduction at 773 K are summarized in table 2. Note that the influence of dispersion on the catalytic properties of the RT-series in the SMSI-state is comparable with those in the non-SMSI-state. Significant differences between the catalysts after a low and high temperature re-reduction appear to be present in the specific activities only. Neither the selectivity in methane nor the hydrogenation capacity is affected, whereas the probability of chain growth tends to decrease.

Plots of both initial and steady-state TOF<sub>CO</sub>'s as a function of dispersion for the RT series after low and high temperature re-reduction are presented in figure 5. It is obvious that by SMSI the initial specific activity is affected most. The effect of SMSI on the steady-state activity is, however, moderate. As suggested in the previous section, this result indicates that SMSI is indeed destroyed during reaction, if not completely than at least to a great extent. We consider water, formed during reaction, to be responsible for this!



Figure 5 Initial and steady-state turnover frequencies in the H<sub>2</sub> + CO reaction versus H/Rh (dispersion) for titaniasupported Rh-catalysts re-reduced at 523 k and 773 K. (Pressure = 10<sup>2</sup> kPa. H<sub>2</sub>:CO:N<sub>2</sub> = 2:1:1, reaction temperature = 523 K.)

The difference in the initial activity between a catalyst rereduced at low temperature (LT) and the same catalyst rereduced at high temperature (HT) can only be caused by SMSI. Therefore, the ratio  $\text{TOF}_{CO}$  initial (HT)/TOF<sub>CO</sub> initial (LT) is a measure for SMSI. Theoretically, a ratio of zero indicates a complete suppression by SMSI, whereas a ratio of one indicates a complete lack of SMSI. In order to investigate the influence of dispersion on SMSI we plotted  $\text{TOF}_{CO}$  initial (HT)/  $\text{TOF}_{CO}$  initial (LT) versus H/Rh in figure 6. This figure clearly indicates that SMSI is more pronounced for the dispersed systems. An effect of crystallite size on the onset of SMSI has previously been reported by Ko *et al.* (51). They have found that with titania-supported nickel catalysts more severe reduction conditions were necessary to induce strong metalsupport interactions when nickel crystallites were larger.



Figure 6 Ratio of initial turnover frequencies in the  $H_2$  + CO reaction of Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts re-reduced at 773 K and 523 K as a function of H/Rh (dispersion). (Pressure =  $10^2$  kPa,  $H_2$ :CO:N<sub>2</sub> = 2:1:1, reaction temperature = 523 K.)

Many explanations for SMSI have been put forward. We refer to a review of strong metal-support interaction by Huizinga (52). At the moment it is too early to tell which explanation is the best one, but two explanations may be preferred over the others. Firstly: charge transfer from the support to the metal, through which the electron properties of the metal change has been described by Horsley (53). Secondly: a subtle encapsulation of the metal, as a result of covering the metal with suboxides of TiO<sub>2</sub> might also explain the reduced catalytic activity.

We observed that in situ reduction of  $Rh/TiO_2$  at 523 K or 823 K led to essentially the same Rh 3d 5/2 binding energy (48). This indicates that SMSI is probably not caused by a charge transfer from the support to the metal or vice versa. A plausible explanation for the decrease of the ratio  $TOF_{CO}$  initial (HT)/TOF<sub>CO</sub> initial (LT) with dispersion might be that

SMSI is caused by encapsulation. It is obvious that small particles are more easily encapsulated than larger ones and that this ultimately leads to a more pronounced suppression of the initial activity for highly dispersed systems.

# 9.4 CONCLUSIONS

After alloying of Co and Rh on  $Al_2O_3$  the activity in the  $H_2$  + CO reaction is not much different from that of the corresponding monometallic catalysts. The activity and selectivity to methane and long-chain hydrocarbons for  $Co_4Rh/Al_2O_3$  is cobalt-like whereas the hydrogenation capacity is rhodium-like. A positive effect of  $Co_4Rh/Al_2O_3$  is observed in the stability, the deactivation is clearly suppressed by alloying.

Alloying of Co and Rh on TiO<sub>2</sub> in non-SMSI-state influences the activity in H<sub>2</sub> + CO reaction negatively. The selectivity to methane and long-chain hydrocarbons for  $\text{Co}_{1.2}\text{Rh}/\text{TiO}_2$  is cobalt-like and the hydrogenation capacity is rhodium-like. The rate of deactivation for  $\text{Co}_{1.2}\text{Rh}/\text{TiO}_2$  is comparable with the one for Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>.

The selectivities to methane and long-chain hydrocarbons and the hydrogenation capacity are not affected by a high temperature reduction of the titania-supported catalysts. However, the activity of  $Co/TiO_2$  decreases in a more moderate way, whereas the activity of  $Co_{1.2}Rh/TiO_2$  increases strongly. The effect of a high temperature reduction of the catalysts on the stability is positive. The rate for  $Co/TiO_2$  and  $Rh/TiO_2$  decreases and for  $Co_{1.2}Rh/TiO_2$  the rate is even zero.

The activities for  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  and  $Rh/TiO_2$  catalysts with similar dispersions hardly differ from each other. The specific activity for  $Rh/TiO_2$  increases one order of magnitude going from a dispersion of 1.10 to 0.12. This increase is accompanied by an increase in the olefin-to-paraffin ratio. Neither the selectivity to methane nor the probability of chain growth is greatly affected. The SMSI-state of  $Rh/TiO_2$  catalysts is destroyed to a great extent during hydrogenation of CO. The initial activities decrease after a high temperature reduction of the catalysts, whereas the steady-state activities are hardly affected. The effect of SMSI on the initial activity is a function of dispersion. The effect is more pronounced on small metallic particles.

#### 9.5 REFERENCES

- 1. V. Ponec, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., <u>11</u>, 41 (1975).
- 2. J.H. Sinfelt, Adv. Catal., 23, 19 (1973).
- 3. W.M.H. Sachtler, Catal. Rev., 14, 193 (1976).
- 4. M.A. Vannice and R.L. Garten, J. Mol. Catal., <u>1</u>, 201 (1975-1976).
- M.M. Bhasin, W.J. Bartley, P.C. Ellgen and T.P. Wilson, J. Catal., <u>54</u>, 120 (1978).
- P. Villeger, J. Barrault, J. Barbier, G. LeClercq and R. Maurel, Bull. Soc. Chim. France, 1979, p. 1-413.
- E.R. Tucci and R.C. Streeter, Hydrocarbon Processing, April 1980, 107.
- 8. R.M. Stanfield and W.N. Delgass, J. Catal., 72, 37 (1981).
- 9. M. Nakamura, B.J. Wood, P.Y. Hou and H. Wise, in "Proceedings of the 7th International Congress on Catalysis", edited by T. Seiyama and K. Tanabe, Elsevier Amsterdam (1981), Part A, p. 432.
- 10. H. Miura and R.D. Gonzalez, J. Catal., 74, 216 (1982).
- S.J. Tauster, S.C. Fung and R.L. Garten, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>100</u>, 170 (1978).
- 12. M.A. Vannice and R.L. Garten, J. Catal., <u>56</u>, 236 (1979).
- 13. M.A. Vannice and R.L. Garten, J. Catal., 66, 242 (1980).
- 14. M.A. Vannice and J. Vasco-Jara, in "Stud. Surf. Sci. and Catal.", vol. <u>11</u>, edited by B. Imelik *et al.*, Elsevier Amsterdam (1982), p. 185.
- 15. R. Burch and A.R. Flambard, in "Stud. Surf. Sci. and Catal.", vol. <u>11</u>, edited by B. Imelik *et al.*, Elsevier Amsterdam (1982), p. 193.
- 16. M.A. Vannice and R.L. Garten, J. Catal., 63, 225 (1980).

- 17. M.A. Vannice, J. Catal., <u>74</u>, 199 (1982).
- 18. B.J. Tatarchuk and J.A. Dumesic, J. Catal., 70, 308 (1981).
- 19. M.A. Vannice, J. Catal., <u>37</u> 449 (1975).
- 20. M.A. Vannice, J. Catal., 37 462 (1975).
- H. Pichler, in "Advances in Catalysis", vol. <u>4</u>, edited by W.G. Frankenburg *et al.*, Academic Press Inc., New York (1952), p. 271.
- 22. A.S. Lisitsyn, A.V. Golovin, V.L. Kuznetsov and Y.I. Yermakov, React. Kinet. Catal. Lett., 19, 187 (1982).
- 23. M. Ichikawa and K. Shikakura, in "Proceedings of the 7th International Congress on Catalysis", edited by T. Seiyama and K. Tanabe, Elsevier Amsterdam (1981), Part A, p. 925.
- 24. A. Takeuchi and J.R. Katzer, J. Phys. Chem., 85, 937 (1981).
- 25. A. Takeuchi and J.R. Katzer, J. Phys. Chem., <u>B6</u>, 243 (1982).
- 26. F. Solymosi, I. Tombácz and M. Kocsis, J. Catal., <u>75</u>, 78 (1982).
- 27. P. Meriaudeau, O.H. Ellestad, M. Dufaux and C. Naccache, J. Catal., <u>75</u>, 243 (1982).
- H. Orita, S. Naito and K. Tamaru, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1983, p. 993.
- 29. A. Takeuchi, J.R. Katzer and G.Ç.A. Schuit, J. Catal., <u>82</u>, 477 (1983).
- 30. R.M. Körös and E.J. Nowak, Chem. Eng. Sci., <u>22</u>, 470 (1967).
- 31. R.B. Anderson, in "Catalysis", vol. <u>4</u>, edited by P.H. Emmett, Reinholt, New York (1956), p. 257.
- 32. G. Henrici-Olivé and S. Olivé, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., <u>15</u>, 136 (1976).
- 33. H.H. Nijs and P.A. Jacobs, J. Catal., <u>66</u>, 401 (1980).
- 34. M. Araki and V. Ponec, J. Catal., <u>44</u>, 439 (1976).
- 35. J.H. Sinfelt, J.L. Carter and D.J.C. Yates, J. Catal., <u>24</u>, 283 (1972).
- 36. D.W. Goodman, R.D. Kelley, T.E. Madey and J.T. Yates, Jr., J. Catal., <u>63</u>, 226 (1980).
- 37. W.M.H. Sachtler, J. Mol. Cat., submitted for publication.

- 38. D. Duprez and A. Miloudi, in "Stud. Surf. Sci. and Catal.", vol. <u>11</u>, edited by Imelik *et al.*, Elsevier Amsterdam (1982), p. 179.
- 39. K.A. Prior, K. Schwaha and R.M. Lambert, Surf. Sci., <u>77</u>, 193 (1978).
- 40. D.G. Castner, L.H. Dubois, B.A. Sexton and G.A. Somorjai, Surf. Sci., 103, L134 (1981).
- 41. P. Biloen, J.N. Helle and W.M.H. Sachtler, J. Catal., <u>58</u>, 95 (1979).
- 42. M.A. Vannice, J. Catal., <u>40</u>, 129 (1975).
- 43. M.A. Vannice, J. Catal., <u>44</u>, 152 (1976).
- 44. C.H. Bartholomew, R.B. Pannell and J.L. Butler, J. Catal., 65, 335 (1980).
- 45. C.S. Kellner and A.T. Bell, J. Catal., 75, 251 (1982).
- 46. D.C. King, J. Catal., 51, 386 (1978).
- 47. J.R. Anderson, in "Structure of Metallic Catalysts", Academic Press, New York (1975).
- T. Huizinga, H.F.J. van 't Blik, J.C. Vis and R. Prins, Surf. Sci. (1983).
- 49. R.T.K. Baker, E.B. Prestridge and R.L. Garten, J. Catal., 59, 293 (1979).
- 50. D.J.C. Yates, L.L. Murrell and E.B. Prestridge, J. Catal., 57, 41 (1979).
- 51. E.I. Ko, S. Winston and C. Woo, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1982, p. 740.
- 52. T. Huizinga, thesis, University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands.
- 53. J.A. Horsley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 2870 (1979).

chapter 10

#### SUMMARY

The investigations, reported in this thesis, deal with three important topics in heterogeneous catalysis. The first topic is the characterization and investigation of the catalytic behaviour in the  $H_2$  + CO reaction of supported group VIII bimetallic systems. The influence of titania as support has also been studied. The second topic is the reduction and oxidation behaviour and the catalytic behaviour in the  $H_2$  + CO reaction of alumina- and titania-supported rhodium catalysts with varying dispersions. Last but not least, attention has been paid to the structure of the metal in ultra dispersed alumina-supported rhodium catalysts under different conditions. Since the results presented in chapters 3-9 have been discussed in detail only a summary of the essential conclusions will be given on this place.

The results described in chapter 4 and 5 have unambiguously shown that the TPR-TPO technique can provide useful information on the structure of bimetallic systems. By using EXAFS as a complementary technique (chapter 5) a good insight into the formation and structure of the cobalt-rhodium bimetallic particles supported on alumina, silica and titania has been obtained. The results have been explained in terms of the following model. Generally, during reduction of the co-impregnated cobaltrhodium catalysts alloying of the metals takes place.

The noble metal, rhodium, serves as a catalyst for the reduction of the less noble metal, cobalt. As proven by EXAFS the surfaces of the bimetallic particles formed are enriched in cobalt. After a mild oxidation the bimetallic particles stay largely intact, although cobalt is oxidized to a great extent while rhodium is hardly affected by oxygen. A vigorous oxidation induces a segregation of the metal oxides. However, also in this case both metal oxides stay close together, because a subsequent reduction shows that the reduction of cobalt oxide is again catalyzed by rhodium. The reduction and oxidation behaviour of the cobalt-rhodium system are not exactly the same when the metals are supported on alumina, silica or titania. On alumina part of the cobalt forms a surface spinel CoAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, which proved to be irreducible below 773 K. This compound may already be formed during impregnation and subsequent drying of the catalyst. Compared to the aluminaand silica-supported catalysts higher temperatures are needed to oxidize the system completely for the titania-supported catalysts. However, segregation of the metal oxides does not occur, probably due to formation of CoRh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Using TPR, TPO and Mössbauer spectroscopy, structural information on iron-rhodium particles supported on silica have been obtained (chapter 6). These results fit to a great extent the model

presented for cobalt-rhodium. This fact and a comparison with other studies on supported bimetallic systems, justify a generalization of the model to other alloys, providing the constituent metals form a solid solution. Other examples where the model can be applicated are: Pt-Rh, Pt-Ir, Fe-Rh, Fe-Ni and Pt-Re.

The catalytic behaviour in the  $H_2$  + CO reaction of the titania-supported cobalt-rhodium catalysts (measured after a low temperature reduction (non-SMSI) and high temperature reduction (SMSI)) and of the alumina-supported cobalt-rhodium catalysts have been presented in chapter 9. Alloying of cobalt and rhodium on alumina and titania in the non-SMSI state induces no improvement of the catalytic behaviour. The activities of the titania-supported catalysts are affected to a great extent after a high temperature reduciton (SMSI effect occurring). The

specific activity of cobalt decreases one order of magnitude, that of rhodium decreases more moderately, whereas the activity of cobalt-rhodium increases strongly. This anomalous behaviour is not understood yet.

The selectivities to methane and long-chain hydrocarbons for cobalt-rhodium catalysts are 'cobalt-like', and the hydrogenation capacity is 'rhodium-like'. SMSI does not influence the selectivities.

With respect to the stability of the bimetallic catalysts a synergistic effect has been observed. The deactivation is to a great extent suppressed by alloying. As proven by EXAFS, the surfaces of the bimetallic particles are enriched in cobalt. Apparently, CO hydrogenation takes then place on cobalt ensembles. The increase in stability has been ascribed to a suppression of coke formation by the presence of rhodium atoms in the surface of the metallic particles. The presence of rhodium may either increase the hydrogenation of carbonaceous species - precursors for coke formation - or change the topography of the metal surface in such a way that epitaxy of carbonaceous fragments is difficult.

In chapter 3 it can be clearly seen that the reduction and oxidation behaviour of equally dispersed alumina- and titania-supported rhodium catalysts are similar. However, this behaviour depends on dispersion. TPR and TPO results combined with TEM results show that two different kinds of  $Rh/Rh_2O_3$ exist, small raft-like particles and large spherical particles. The small Rh crystallites are easily oxidized via chemisorption followed by formation of an oxide skin around 630 K, whereas the larger crystallites are oxidized at higher temperatures, around 800 K. The small  $Rh_2O_3$  crystallites are reduced around 390 K.

The activities in  $H_2$  + CO reaction for alumina- and titania-supported rhodium catalysts with similar dispersions hardly differ (chapter 9). As shown for the titania-supported rhodium catalysts the specific activity increases one order of magnitude going from a dispersion of 1.10 to 0.12. This increase is accompanied by an increase in the olefin-toparaffin ratio. Neither the selectivity to methane nor the probability of chain growth is greatly affected. Such an influence of dispersion on the performance of supported metal /catalysts for CO hydrogenation has been reported previously by Vannice, Bartholomew and Kellner for Ni and Ru and can be, for example, interpreted by a decrease with dispersion of the number of active sites, *e.g.*, situated at faces of the crystallites.

The initial specific activities decrease after a high temperature reduction of the titania-supported rhodium catalysts (SMSI), whereas the steady-state activities are hardly affected. Thus, SMSI influences the activity negatively only in the beginning of CO hydrogenation and the effect disappears to a great extent, probably due to water formed during reaction. In spite of assertions in the literature this result proves that SMSI is not that important for Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts in  $H_2$  + CO reactions.

The effect of SMSI on the initial activity is a function of dispersion and is more pronounced for small metallic particles. This result as well as the SMSI effect itself have been interpreted in terms of encapsulation of the metallic particles by partly reduced titania during reduction at high temperature. It is obvious that small crystallites are more easily encapsulated than larger ones, which implies a more pronounced suppression of the initial activity for highly dispersed systems.

In our laboratory the procedure for analysing EXAFS spectra has been modified so as to make EXAFS suitable for studying highly dispersed metal catalysts. Unique structural information can be obtained by this method. This has been demonstrated in chapter 7 and 8, and used to elucidate the structure of rhodium in ultra dispersed rhodium catalysts after different treatments. Reduction of a highly dispersed rhodium catalyst leads to a system in which the rhodium is reduced quantitatively and present as three-dimensional metallic crystallites. As proven by EXAFS, these crystallites

are attached to the support via  $Rh^0$ -support  $O^{2-}$  bonds. It is not unlikely that essentially Van der Waals type interactions operate here. The Rh-Rh nearest neighbour distance of the hydrogen covered metallic crystallites approaches the bulk value of 2.69 Å, but is contracted to 2.63 Å in vacuum. The existence of metallic rhodium particles after reduction is in contrast with the opinion of some investigators who claim that rhodium is present as isolated Rh<sup>1+</sup> ions after reduction. They came to this conclusion on basis of CO infrared results. However, this contradiction is only apparent because, as our EXAFS measurements prove, CO adsorption at room temperature changes the system completely. During admission of CO disruption of the rhodium crystallites takes place, ultimately leading to isolated Rh<sup>1+</sup> (CO) 2 species. These fragments are anchored to the support via three  $0^2$  ions. Apparently, CO adsorption is an oxidative process. A striking feature is the re-formation of metallic rhodium particles after desorption of CO at elevated temperatures, indicating a reductive desorption. During the Boudouard reaction (2CO  $\rightarrow$  C + CO<sub>2</sub>) at 523 K the rhodium particles break up completely which is probably caused by intercalation of carbon and/or oxygen atoms. Hydrogenation of CO induces a substantial sintering of a highly dispersed rhodium catalyst. The amount of metal atoms in the metallic particles of the catalyst under investigation changed from 20 to 200 during reaction. However, the presence of rhodium ions cannot be excluded. As rhodium ions have been claimed to be responsible for the selectivity to oxygenates it is very important to know if these ions are present during reaction. EXAFS may be a suitable technique to elucidate this problem, provided that an improvement of the signal-to-noise ratio can be attained. The latter can be achieved by using X-ray fluorescence detection.

#### SAMENVATTING

Momenteel neemt olie nog de belangrijkste plaats in als grondstof voor de produktie van benzine en de basisstoffen voor de chemische industrie, zoals bijvoorbeeld methanol. Door de steeds stijgende olieprijs en het groeiend besef dat de oliereserves niet onuitputtelijk zijn, zijn alternatieve energiebronnen echter sterk in de belangstelling komen te staan. Daar steenkool ongeveer 76% van de fossiele brandstoffen vertegenwoordigt (olie slechts 14%) is het een van de beste mogelijkheden om (opnieuw) aangewend te worden als energiebron. Een van de wegen om steenkool op een milieuvriendelijke wijze om te zetten tot nuttige produkten is via de gasfase. Wanneer steenkool bij hoge temperatuur met stoom wordt vergast ontstaat een gasmengsel van koolmonoxide (CO) en waterstof (H2), het zogenaamde synthesegas. Het gas kan met behulp van metaalkatalysatoren worden omgezet tot methaan, methanol en allerlei hogere koolwaterstoffen. IJzer (Fe), kobalt (Co) en rhodium (Rh) zijn veel gebruikte metalen om de hydrogenering van koolmonoxide te katalýseren. De reaktie vindt plaats aan het metaaloppervlak. Om het metaal nu zo efficiënt mogelijk te gebruiken, wordt het op een metaaloxide (de zgn. drager) met een groot inwendig oppervlak (100-200  $m^2/g$ ) gebracht. Meestal worden alumina (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) en silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>) als dragermaterialen gebruikt. Op deze manier kunnen metaaldeeltjes met een diameter kleiner dan 50 Å  $(5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m})$  worden bereid, waardoor de verhouding tussen metaaloppervlak en volume (=dispersie) erg groot is (groter dan 0.5).

De laatste tijd wordt veel onderzoek verricht aan gedragen bimetallische systemen. Het blijkt dat, wanneer twee metalen, die beiden katalytisch aktief zijn in de hydrogenering van koolmonoxide, worden gelegeerd, het katalytisch gedrag sterk verschilt - vaak in positieve zin - met het gemiddelde van de afzonderlijke componenten. Dit noemt men het 'synergistisch effect'.

Het onderzoek naar het gebruik van titania  $(TiO_2)$  als dragermateriaal staat sterk in de belangstelling. Ondanks het feit dat een reduktieve behandeling van metaal/TiO<sub>2</sub>-katalysatoren op 773 K tot sterk verminderde CO- en H<sub>2</sub>-chemisorptieeigenschappen leidt (dit staat bekend als 'Strong Metal Support Interaction' (SMSI)) blijkt volgens de literatuur dat de aktiviteit voor de hydrogenering van CO hierdoor wordt vergroot.

Dit proefschrift handelt over de volgende drie belangrijke facetten in de heterogene katalyse met betrekking tot de hydrogenering van koolmonoxide:

- karakterisering van en onderzoek naar het katalytisch gedrag in de hydrogenering van CO van bimetallische kobaltrhodiumkatalysatoren. Tevens is de invloed van TiO<sub>2</sub> als drager bestudeerd.
- Reduktie- en oxidatiegedrag en het katalytisch gedrag in de hydrogenering van CO van Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>- en Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>-katalysatoren met variërende dispersies (deeltjesgrootte).
- Struktuur van het metaal in ultra-disperse (alle metaal is geëxposeerd), Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>0<sub>3</sub>-katalysatoren onder verschillende kondities.

De resultaten beschreven in hoofdstuk 4 en 5 laten duidelijk zien dat met behulp van temperatuur-geprogrammeerde reduktie (TPR) en oxidatie (TPO) nuttige informatie omtrent de struktuur van bimetallische systemen kan worden verkregen. Door gebruik te maken van 'Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure' (EXAFS) als een complementaire techniek (hoofdstuk 5) is een goed inzicht verkregen in de vorming en struktuur van bimetallische Co-Rh-deeltjes op  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $SiO_2$  en  $TiO_2$ . Aan de hand van de resultaten kan het volgende model worden opgesteld. Gedurende reduktie van de geïmpregneerde Co-Rhkatalysatoren ((RhCl<sub>3</sub> + Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)/drager) worden bimetallische

deeltjes gevormd. De reduktie van het minder edele metaal kobalt wordt gekatalyseerd door het edelere metaal rhodium. Het is aangetoond dat het oppervlak van de gevormde bimetallische Co-Rh-deeltjes verrijkt is met kobalt. Na een milde oxidatie blijven de deeltjes intakt, ofschoon het kobalt voor een groot gedeelte wordt geoxideerd en het rhodium nauwelijks wordt aangetast door zuurstof. Oxidatie bij hogere temperaturen leidt tot segregatie van de metaaloxiden. Gedurende reduktie van het geoxideerd systeem fungeert rhodium weer als katalysator voor de reduktie van kobaltoxide, hetgeen betekent dat de metaaloxiden, ondanks het feit dat ze geïsoleerd op het drageroppervlak zitten, niet ver van elkaar verwijderd zijn.

Het reduktie- en oxidatiegedrag van het Co-Rh-systeem op Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub> en TiO<sub>2</sub> is echter niet identiek. Op Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> gaat een gedeelte van het kobalt verloren ten gevolge van de vorming van het oppervlaktespinel CoAl<sub>2</sub>04, dat niet gereduceerd kan worden beneden 773 K. Het stabiele CoAl<sub>2</sub>0<sub>4</sub> kan reeds gevormd worden tijdens de impregnatie en het drogen van de katalysator. In vergelijking met de alumina- en silica-gedragen katalysatoren verloopt de oxidatie van het kobalt-rhodiumsysteem op titania bij hogere temperaturen. Hier vindt echter geen segregatie van beide metaaloxiden plaats hetgeen waarschijnlijk toegeschreven kan worden aan de vorming van CoRh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Het Fe-Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub>-systeem is gekarakteriseerd met behulp van TPR, TPO en Mössbauerspektroskopie. De resultaten hiervan zijn beschreven in hoofdstuk 6 en passen in het model gepresenteerd voor het Co-Rh-systeem. Dit en goede overeenkomsten met resultaten van andere studies over bimetallische systemen rechtvaardigen de uitbreiding van het model betreffende de vorming en struktuur van bimetallische Co-Rh/dragersystemen, naar andere systemen, waarin beide metalen volledig mengbaar zijn. Voorbeelden zijn: Pt-Rh, Pt-Ir, Fe-Rh, Fe-Ni en Pt-Re.

In hoofdstuk 9 is aangetoond dat legeren van Co en Rh op  $Al_2O_3$  en TiO<sub>2</sub> ('niet-SMSI-toestand') geen merkbare verbetering van de aktiviteit en selektiviteit in de hydrogenering van CO induceert. De aktiviteiten van de metaalkatalysatoren op TiO<sub>2</sub>

veranderen sterk nadat de systemen bij hoge temp@ratuur (773 K) worden gereduceerd. De katalysatoren zijn dan in de 'SMSItoestand'. De specifieke aktiviteit van kobalt næemt een faktor 10 af, dat van rhodium een faktor 3 terwijl de aktiviteit van kobalt-rhodium een faktor 5 toeneemt. Dit sterk afwijkend gedrag wordt nog niet begrepen.

De selektiviteit naar methaan en hogere koolwaterstoffen voor Co-Rh-katalysatoren zijn 'Co-achtig' en de hydrogeneringskapaciteit is 'Rh-achtig'. SMSI heeft geen invloed op de selektiviteiten.

Legeren van Co en Rh leidt tot een synergistisch effekt in de stabiliteit van de katalysatoren. De bimetallische katalysatoren deaktiveren in veel mindere mate dan de overeenkomstige monometallische systemen. Zoals aangetoond met EXAFS, zijn de oppervlakken van de bimetallische deeltjes verrijkt met kobalt. Kennelijk vindt de hydrogenering van koolmonoxide plaats aan ensembles van kobaltatomen. De toename in stabiliteit wordt toegeschreven aan de onderdrukking van coke-vorming door de aanwezigheid van enkele rhodiumatomen in het metaaloppervlak. De aanwezigheid van rhodiumatomen kan de hydrogenering van koolfragmenten (precursors voor coke-vorming) vergroten, of de topografie van het oppervlak veranderen waardoor de koolfragmenten niet meer epitaxisch kunnen uitgroeien tot coke.

In hoofdstuk 3 wordt aangetoond dat het reduktie- en oxidatiegedrag van Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>- en Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-katalysatoren met gelijke dispersies niet verschillen. Het gedrag hangt echter wel sterk af van de dispersie.

TPR- en TPO-resultaten gekombineerd mettransmissie elektronen mikroskopie (TEM) resultaten tonen aan dat zowel Rh als Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in twee verschillende vormen voorkomen, nl. kleine platte kristallieten en grote bolvormige kristallieten. De kleine Rhmetaaldeeltjes oxideren gemakkelijk via chemisorptie gevolgd door de vorming van een oxidehuid bij 630 K, terwijl de grotere deeltjes pas bij 800 K oxideren. De kleine Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> kristallieten reduceren bij 340 K en de grotere, die moeilijker te reduceren zijn, bij 390 K. De resultaten beschreven in hoofdstuk 9 laten duidelijk zien dat de aktiviteiten voor de hydrogenering van CO van Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>- en Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-katalysatoren met identieke dispersies niet significant verschillen. Voor Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> geldt dat de specifieke aktiviteit een faktor 10 toeneemt wanneer de dispersie varieert van 1.10 tot 0.12. De invloed van de dispersie op de aktiviteit in CO-hydrogeneringsreakties is reeds gepubliceerd voor nikkel (Ni) en ruthenium (Ru) door Vannice, Bartholomew en Kellner. Dit verschijnsel wordt geïnterpreteerd als een afname van het aantal aktieve plaatsen bij een toename van de dispersie. De toename in aktiviteit wordt vergezeld door een toename in de olefine/paraffine-verhouding. De selektiviteiten worden echter niet beïnvloed door een verandering in dispersie.

Een vergelijking tussen de aktiviteit van een Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>katalysator gereduceerd bij lage temperatuur ('SMSI-toestand') laat zien dat de initiële aktiviteit afneemt terwijl de 'steady-state' aktiviteit niet wordt beïnvloed door SMSI. Kennelijk wordt gedurende de CO-hydrogeneringsreaktie de 'SMSI-toestand' opgeheven, mogelijk door het water dat gevormd wordt tijdens de reaktie. Uit dit resultaat blijkt duidelijk dat SMSI niet zo belangrijk is voor het katalytisch gedrag van Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>-katalysatoren als soms wordt gesuggereerd in de literatuur.

Het effekt van SMSI op de initiële aktiviteit is een funktie van de dispersie en is geprononceerder voor katalysatoren met hoge dispersies. Dit brengt ons dan tot het geven van een verklaring voor SMSI. Niet alleen op basis van dit resultaat maar ook op basis van resultaten uit o.a. XPS- en EXAFSmetingen en resultaten uit de literatuur denken wij dat tijdens de reduktie van een metaal/TiO<sub>2</sub>-katalysator het metaal in de drager zinkt en het metaaloppervlak bedekt wordt met  $Ti_4O_7$ . Het zal duidelijk zijn dat kleine metaaldeeltjes sneller bedekt zijn met dragermateriaal dan grote deeltjes. Dit verklaart de grotere onderdrukking van de initiële aktiviteit door SMSI voor hoger-gedispergeerde Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>-katalysatoren. In ons laboratorium is een gemodificeerde procedure ontwikkeld om EXAFS-spectra te analyseren. Hierdoor is EXAFS uitstekend geschikt voor het bestuderen van hoog-gedispergeerde metaalkatalysatoren. In hoofdstuk 7 en 8 wordt dit gedemonstreerd voor de struktuuropheldering van rhodium in ultradisperse  $Rh/Al_2O_3$ -katalysatoren onder verschillende kondities. Reduktie van een hoog-gedispergeerde rhodiumkatalysator leidt tot een systeem waarin het rhodium aanwezig is als driedimensionale metaalkristallieten. Met behulp van EXAFS is bewezen dat deze deeltjes via  $Rh^0-O^{2-}$ -bindingen met het dragermateriaal zijn verbonden. Wanneer de metaaldeeltjes bedekt zijn met waterstofatomen benadert de kortste Rh-Rh-afstand in deze deeltjes die van de bulkwaarde (2.69 Å), terwijl deze afstand kontraheert naar 2.63 Å in vacuum.

De vorming van rhodiumkristallieten tijdens de reduktie van ultra-disperse katalysatoren is niet in overeenstemming met de visie van enkele onderzoekers die beweren dat rhodium na reduktie aanwezig is als geïsoleerde  $Rh^{1+}$ -ionen. Zij kwamen tot deze konklusie op basis van CO-infraroodresultaten. We hebben hier te maken met een schijnbare tegenstelling. Met EXAFS is namelijk aangetoond dat tijdens CO-adsorptie de struktuur van het systeem volledig verandert. De rhodiumkristallietjes worden opengebroken onder vorming van geïsoleerde  $Rh^{1+}(CO)_2$ -ionen. Het is dus juist dat tijdens CO-infraroodmetingen geïsoleerde  $Rh^{1+}$ -ionen aanwezig zijn maar dit beeld is niet juist voor het gereduceerd systeem. Uit een nauwkeurige analyse van het EXAFSspectrum blijkt dat de  $Rh^{1+}(CO)_2$ -ionen via drie  $O^{2-}$ -ionen aan de drager verankerd zitten.

CO-adsorptie aan ultra-disperse Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-katalysatoren is een oxidatief proces (Rh<sup>1+</sup>-ionen worden gevormd). Een opmerkelijk resultaat is dat tijdens desorptie van CO bij 573 K de metaaldeeltjes weer teruggevormd worden. Kennelijk vindt er een reduktieve desorptie van CO plaats.

Tijdens de Boudouard reaktie (2CO  $\rightarrow$  C + CO<sub>2</sub>) bij 523 K worden de rhodiumkristallieten geheel opengebroken door intercalatie van koolstof- en/of zuurstofatomen. Tijdens de hydrogenering van CO over ultra-disperse rhodiumkatalysatoren treedt een sterke sintering van de rhodiumkristallietjes op. De aanwezigheid van rhodiumionen kan echter niet worden uitgesloten. Daar rhodiumionen verantwoordelijk worden geacht voor de vorming van zuurstofhoudende produkten uit synthesegas is het van belang om de aanwezigheid van deze ionen aan te tonen onder reaktiekondities. Dit probleem zou met EXAFS opgelost kunnen worden indien de signaal/ruisverhouding wordt verbeterd. Dit laatste kan worden bereikt door gebruik te maken van Röntgenfluorescentie-detektie.
## DANKWOORD / ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Op de eerste plaats wil ik Ine bedanken voor het vele werk dat zij gehad heeft bij het doorlezen van de manuskripten en het verzorgen van de lay-out en het typewerk voor dit proefschrift. Bovendien heeft zij mij steeds geholpen met haar relativerende kijk op de vele problemen die zoal bij het totstandkomen van een proefschrift ontstaan en met het geduld dat zij, evenals Hester, heeft weten op te brengen.

Graag wil ik alle leden van de vakgroep Anorganische Chemie van de Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven dank zeggen voor de prettige wijze waarop ik met hen heb mogen werken.

Dit geldt vooral voor de mede-promovendi, niet alleen voor de wetenschappelijke samenwerking maar ook voor de klimen bridgelessen, het uitzonderlijke skiplezier en de voetbalkundige tegenwerking.

Tom Huizinga, Jan Kees Vis en Hans van Zon ben ik bovendien zeer dankbaar voor de vele wetenschappelijke diskussies, die voor mij - en naar ik hoop ook voor hen - zeer leerzaam en stimulerend waren, maar evenzeer voor hun vriendschap en kollegialiteit.

In het bijzonder wil ik Roel Prins bedanken voor het in mij gestelde vertrouwen betreffende het verrichten van dit promotieonderzoek en voor zijn kennis en kritische beoordelingen, die mijn werk steeds ten goede zijn gekomen.

Diek Koningsberger ben ik zeer erkentelijk voor zijn hulp en enthousiasme in Stanford, Leogang en Eindhoven.

Veel hulp heb ik gehad van Pieter van de Gender, Michel Verhoeven, Frank Vossen, Jan Martens en Paul Vriens, die in het kader van hun S-8-praktikum en/of afstudeeropdracht een belangrijke bijdrage tot het in dit proefschrift beschreven onderzoek hebben geleverd.

Joop van Grondelle dank ik voor de apparaat-technische begeleiding, Adelheid Elemans-Mehring voor het verrichten van analysewerkzaamheden, Wout van Herpen voor de goede technische ondersteuning, Henk van Lieshout voor het altijd snel afhandelen van administratieve zaken en Frans Sanders voor zijn behulpzaamheid die de gang van zaken vaak vergemakkelijkte.

De medewerkers van de instrumentmakerij van de CTD ben ik dank verschuldigd voor het vervaardigen van de IR- en EXAFS-cel.

We are grateful that Mr. D. Schrijvers from the R.U.C.A. Centre for High Voltage Electron Microscopy in Antwerp was willing to do the TEM measurements, presented in chapter 3, and we thank Prof. Ir. J.W. Geus from the University of Utrecht for enlightening discussions on the subject.

The EXAFS measurements (presented in chapter 5, 7 and 8) were carried out at SSRL (Stanford University), which is supported by the NSF through the Division of Materials Research and the NIH through the Biotechnology Resource Program in the Division of Research Resources in cooperation with the Department of Energy. We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of the SSRL staff and thank Prof. Dr. D.E. Sayers for his indispensable assistance and advice.

This dissertation has been supported by the Netherlands Foundation for Chemical Research (SON) with financial aid from the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (ZWO).

245

## CURRICULUM VITAE

Henri, Frederik, Jozef van 't Blik, geboren op 10 juli 1954 te Geleen, begon na zijn eindexamen MULO-B, in 1970 met de studie Chemische Techniek aan de Hogere Technische School te Heerlen en behaalde zijn diploma op 17 juni 1975. In aansluiting hierop studeerde hij Scheikundige Technologie aan de Technische Hogeschool in Eindhoven, alwaar hij op 30 januari 1980 met lof afstudeerde. Zijn afstudeerwerk bestond uit de bestudering van het gedrag van kobalt-koperkatalysatoren in de hydrogenering van koolmonoxide.

Op 21 november 1975 trad hij in het huwelijk met C.J. Quax en op 8 april 1982 werd hun dochter, Hester, geboren.

Door de Nederlandse organisatie voor Zuiver-wetenschappelijk Onderzoek werd hij in de gelegenheid gesteld op 1 maart 1980 te starten met een promotieonderzoek onder leiding van prof. dr. R. Prins in de vakgroep Anorganische Chemie van de Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven. De resultaten van dit onderzoek zijn in dit proefschrift beschreven.

Per 2 april 1984 zal hij werkzaam zijn op het Natuurkundig Laboratorium van de Nederlandse Philipsbedrijven B.V.

## PUBLICATIONS

Chapters 3, 4, 5 and 9 will be submitted for publication to the Journal of Catalysis:

- J.C. Vis, H.F.J. van 't Blik, T. Huizinga, J. van Grondelle and R. Prins, J. Catal., to be published.
- H.F.J. van 't Blik, J.H.A. Martens and R. Prins, J. Catal., to be published.
- H.F.J. van 't Blik, D.C. Koningsberger and R. Prins, J. Catal., to be published.
- H.F.J. van 't Blik, T. Huizinga, J.C. Vis and R. Prins, J. Catal., to be published.

Chapters 6 and 8 have been accepted for publication: - H.F.J. van 't Blik and J.W. Niemantsverdriet, Appl. Cat. (1984).

- H.F.J. van 't Blik, J.B.A.D. van Zon, D.C. Koningsberger and R. Prins, J. Mol. Cat. (1984).

Preliminary results of chapter 7 have been published in the Journal of Physical Chemistry. Parts of this chapter have been or will be submitted for publication:

- H.F.J. van 't Blik, J.B.A.D. van Zon, T. Huizinga, J.C. Vis, D.C. Koningsberger and R. Prins, J. Phys. Chem., <u>87</u>, 2264 (1983).
- H.F.J. van 't Blik, J.B.A.D. van Zon, T. Huizinga, J.C. Vis, D.C. Koningsberger and R. Prins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., submitted for publication.
- J.B.A.D. van Zon, D.C. Koningsberger, D.E. Sayers, H.F.J. van 't Blik and R. Prins, J. Chem. Phys., submitted for publication.
- J.B.A.D. van Zon, D.C. Koningsberger, H.F.J. van 't Blik and D.E. Sayers, J. Chem. Phys., to be published.

## STELLINGEN

behorende bij het proefschrift van

H.F.J. van 't Blik

24 februari 1984

 Bij de analyse van het L<sub>3</sub>-EXAFS-spectrum van een PtIrkatalysator zijn Sinfelt *et al*. ervan uitgegaan dat de interatomaire Pt-Pt, Pt-Ir en Ir-Ir afstanden aan elkaar gelijk zijn. Deze aanname is echter aan bedenkingen onderhevig.

> J.H. Sinfelt, G.H. Via and F.W. Lytle, J. Chem. Phys., <u>76</u>, 2779 (1982).

2. De nodige voorzichtigheid dient in acht genomen te worden bij het bepalen van de afstanden tussen het absorberend atoom en de verschillende verstrooiende atomen uit de ligging van dicht bij elkaar gelegen pieken in het absolute deel van het complex Fourier getransformeerde EXAFS-signaal - de zogenaamde radiale structuurfunctie -, daar interferentie tussen afzonderlijke EXAFS-bijdragen van coördinatieparen kan optreden.

Dit proefschrift, hoofdstuk 7 en 8.

- 3. Om het 'inkapselingsmodel' voor de verklaring van 'Strong Metal Support Interaction' (SMSI) in hoog gedispergeerde gereduceerde M/TiO<sub>2</sub>-katalysatoren te toetsen is EXAFS de aangewezen techniek.
- 4. Het verdient aanbeveling om de karakterisering van de zuurheid van kristallijne alumino-silikaten te standaardiseren met behulp van een goedkope en eenvoudige, dus voor ieder laboratorium toegankelijke techniek, zoals temperatuur geprogrammeerde desorptie van ammoniak.

N.Y. Top\$0e, K. Pedersen and E.G. Derouane, J. Catal., 70, 41 (1981). 5. Sinfelt *c.s.* hebben voorgesteld om het verschil tussen de totale hoeveelheid geadsorbeerd en de reversibel gebonden waterstof aan metaalkatalysatoren als maat te nemen voor de hoeveelheid gechemisorbeerd waterstof. Het feit dat dezelfde onderzoeksgroep, zonder nader commentaar, een andere methode hanteert is wellicht een goede indicatie voor de betrouwbaarheid van hun eerste methode.

> J.H. Sinfelt, J.L. Carter and D.J.C. Yates, J. Catal., <u>24</u>, 283 (1972). G.H. Via, G. Meitzner, F.W. Lytle and J.H. Sinfelt, J. Chem. Phys., <u>79</u>, 1527 (1983).

6. De resultaten van quantum-mechanische berekeningen aan modelstoffen voor pentasil-zeolieten, zoals beschreven door J.G. Fripiat *et al.*, dienen met terughoudendheid vertaald te worden naar ZSM-5 en ZSM-11 systemen.

> J.G. Fripiat, F. Berger-André, J.-M. André and E.G. Derouane, Zeolites, 3, 306 (1983).

7. Met het door Retey voorgesteld radikaalmechanisme voor de door vitamine  $B_{12}$  gekatalyseerde isomerisatie van L-methyl-malonylcoënzym A naar succinyl-coënzym A kan de gelijksoortige isomerisatie van threo- $\beta$ -methyl-aspartaat naar L-glutamaat niet beschreven worden. De juistheid van het mechanisme dient dan ook ernstig in twijfel te worden getrokken.

J. Retey, Recent Adv. Phytochem., 13, 1 (1979).

- Een nuttige taak voor de emancipatiecommissie is het ontwerpen van een wet die regelt dat alleen onzijdigen nog de dienstplicht zullen moeten vervullen.
- 9. Zure regen zou met een ammoniak vrijmakend reagens benut moeten worden voor kunstbemesting.

10. Als werken een luxe wordt moet er belasting op worden geheven.