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#### Abstract

In this report we describe a subroutine that takes an arbitrary polynomial matrix $P$ as input, and yields on output a unimodular polynomial matrix $l$ and a column reduced polynomial matrix $R$ such that $P U=R$. The subroutine is based on the algorithm described in the paper by Neven and Praagman. The subroutine was run on four different computers, with comparable results. We found examples in which the routine belaves well, as well as examples in which the routine performs poorly, if no precautions are taken. We provide both kinds of examples and discuss the cause of the behavior of the routine. From these considerations a guideline for the use of the routine is derived.
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## 1 Introduction

In systems theory polynomial matrices play a dominant role, for instance in the description of input-output systems:

$$
Q_{1}\left(\frac{d}{d t}\right) y=Q_{2}\left(\frac{d}{d t}\right) u,
$$

where $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are polynomial matrices of appropriate size, or in AR-descriptions:

$$
Q\left(\frac{d}{d t}\right) w=0 .
$$

In general the information these polynomial matrices contain can be redundant, in which case the polynomial matrices may be replaced by others of lower degree or smaller size without changing the behavior of the systems, i.e. without changing the set of trajectories satisfying the equations.

For reasons of simplicity, or for the possibility of finding state space descriptions, a minimal description can be convenient. Depending on the goal one has in mind, minimality can of course have a different meaning, but in important situations row or column reduced descriptions supply these minimal descriptions. This motivates the search for column reduced polynomial matrices equivalent to a non-column reduced original one. Examples of the importance of column or row reduced polynomial matrices may be found in the book of Kailath[6] or in the work of Willems $[14,15,16]$ on behaviors. Note that $P$ is row reduced if and only if its transpose $P^{\prime}$ is column reduced, so the problems of row reduction and column reduction are equivalent.

The algorithm underlying the subroutine has been developed in several stages: the part of the algorithm in which a minimal basis of the right null space of a polynomial matrix is calculated is an adaptation of the algorithm described in Beelen[1]. The original idea of the algorithm is described in Beelen, van den Hurk, and Praagman [2], and successive improvements have been reported in Neven[7], Praagman [10,11]. The paper by Neven and Praagman [8] gives the algorithm in the most general form, using iterations and exploiting the special structure of the problem in calculating the kernel of a polynomial matrix. The subroutine
we describe here is an implementation of the algorithm in the latter paper.

The algorithm was supposed to perform well in all cases. But it turned out that the routine has difficulties in some special cases, in which the original polynomial matrix contains entries of different magnitude. We give examples in Section 7, and discuss the difficulties in detail in Section 8.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let us start with introducing some notations: Let $P \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}[s]$. Then $d(P)$, the degree of $P$, is defined as the maximum of the degrees of the entries of $P$, and $l_{j}(P)$, the $j$-th column degree of $P$, as the maximum of the degrees in the $j$-th column. $\delta(P)$ is the array of integers obtained by arranging the column degrees of $P$ in non-decreasing order.

The leading column coefficient matrix of $P, \Gamma_{\mathbf{c}}(P)$, is the constant matrix obtained by taking from column $j$ the coefficients of the term with degree $d_{j}(P)$. Let $P_{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{d_{j}(P)} P_{j k} s^{k}$ be the $j$-th column of $P$, then the $j$-th column of $\Gamma_{c}(P)$ equals

$$
\Gamma_{c}(P)_{j}:=P_{j d_{j}(P)}
$$

Definition 1. Let $P \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}[s] . P$ is called column reduced, if there exists a permutation matrix $T$, such that $P=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0, & P_{1}\end{array}\right) T$, where $\Gamma_{c}\left(P_{1}\right)$ has full column rank.

Remark. Note that we do not require $\Gamma_{c}(P)$ to be of full column rank. In the literature there is some ambiguity about column properness and column reducedness. We follow here the definition of Willems [14]: $P$ is column proper if $\Gamma_{c}(P)$ lias full column rank, and column reduced if the conditions in the definition above are satisfied.

A square polynomial matrix $U \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}[s]$ is unimodular if $\operatorname{det}(U) \in \mathbf{R} \backslash\{0\}$ or, equivalently, if $U^{-1}$ exists and is also polynomial.

It is well known that every regular polynomial matrix is unimodularly equivalent to a column
proper matrix, see Wolovich [17]. Kailath [6] states that the above result can be extended to polynomial matrices of full column rank without changing the proof. In fact the proof in [18] is sufficient to establish that any polynomial matrix is equivalent to a column reduced matrix. Furthermore, Wolovich' proof implies immediately that the column degrees of the column reduced polynomial matrix do not exceed those of the original matrix, see Neven and Praagman [8].

Theorem 1. Let $P \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}[s]$, then there exists a $U \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}[s]$, unimodular, such that $R:=P U$ is column reduced. Furthermore $\delta(R) \leq \delta(P)$ totally.

Although the proof of this theorem in the above sources is constructive, it is not suited for practical computations, for reasons explained in a paper by Van Dooren [13]. In Section 7 we give an example (example 3) that illustrates this point. In Neven and Praagman [8] an alternative, constructive proof is given on which the algorithm, underlying the subroutine we describe here, is based.

The most important ingredient of the algorithm is the calculation of a minimal basis of the right null space of a polynomial matrix associated to $P$.

Definition 2. Let $M$ be a submodule of $\mathbf{R}^{n}[s]$. Then $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times r}[s]$ is called a minimal basis of $M$ if $Q$ is column proper and the columns of $Q \operatorname{span} M$.

Note that if $Q(s)$ has full column rank for all $s \in \mathbf{C}$, then $M$ is a direct summand of $\mathbf{R}^{n}[s]$, so in that case $Q$ is a minimal polynomial basis in the sense of Forney [4] or Beelen [1].

In the algorithm a minimal basis is calculated for the module

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(P,-I_{m}\right):=\left\{\left\{v \in \mathbf{R}^{n+m}[s] \mid\left(P,-I_{m}\right) v=0\right\},\right.
$$

see [2]. Here and in the sequel $I_{m}$ will denote the identity matrix of size $m$.

The first observation is that if $\left(U^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is such a basis, with $U \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}[s]$, then $U$ is
unimodular, see $[2,8]$.

Of course, $R=P U$, but although $\left(U^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is minimal and hence column reduced, this does not necessarily hold for $R$. Take for example

$$
P(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & s \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\binom{U(s)}{R(s)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & s \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a minimal basis for $\operatorname{ker}\left(P,-I_{m}\right)$, but $R$ is clearly not column reduced.

The next observation is that if $\left(U^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is a minimal basis for $\operatorname{ker}\left(P,-I_{m}\right)$, then $\left(U^{\prime}, s^{b} R^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is a basis for $\operatorname{ker}\left(s^{b} P,-I_{m}\right)$, but not necessarily a minimal basis. Especially, if $b>d(U)$, ( $\left.U^{\prime}, s^{b} R^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is minimal if and only if $R^{\prime}$ is column reduced. On the other hand, for any minimal basis $\left(U_{b}^{\prime}, R_{b}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{ker}\left(s^{b} P,-I_{m}\right), R_{b}^{\prime}$ is divisible by $s^{b}$, and $P U_{b}=s^{-b} R_{b}^{\prime}$. In [8] it is proved that for $b>(n-1) d(P)$, the calculation of a minimal basis of $\operatorname{ker}\left(s^{b} P,-I_{m}\right)$ yields a pair ( $U_{b}, R_{b}$ ) in which $R_{b}$ is column reduced.

## 3 Linearization

The calculation of $\operatorname{ker}\left(s^{b} P,-I_{m}\right)$ is done in the spirit of the procedure explained in [1]: we calculate a minimal basis of the kernel of the following lincarization of ( $s^{b} P,-I_{m}$ ).

Let $P$ be given by $P(s)=P_{d} s^{d}+P_{d-1} s^{d-1}+\ldots+P_{0}$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{b}(s) & =s A_{b}-E_{b} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
s P_{d} & -I_{m} & 0 & \cdots & & & \cdots \\
s P_{d-1} & s I_{m} & -I_{m} & & & & \\
\vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\
s P_{0} & & & s I_{m} & -I_{m} & & \\
0 & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\
\vdots \\
\vdots & & & & & & \\
0 & & & & & & s I_{m} \\
0 & -I_{m}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{b}, E_{b} \in \mathbf{R}^{m_{a} \times n_{a}}$, with $m_{a}=(d+b) m$, and $n_{a}=n+m_{a}$.

With

$$
C_{b}(s):=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
I_{m} & 0 & \cdots & & 0 \\
s I_{m} & I_{m} & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & 0 \\
& & & & \\
s^{b+d-1} I_{m} & & & s I_{m} & I_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we see that

$$
C_{b}(s) H_{b}(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
s P_{d} & -I_{m} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
s^{2} P_{d}+s P_{d-1} & 0 & -I_{m} & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & 0 \\
s^{b} P & & & 0 & -I_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

so if $V$ is a basis of $\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{b}\right)$, then

$$
\binom{U}{R}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
I_{n} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & I_{m}
\end{array}\right) \cdot V
$$

is a basis for $\operatorname{ker}\left(s^{b} P,-I_{m}\right)$ [1]. In [8] it is proved that $\delta(V)=\delta\left(\left(U^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)$ and that $V$ is minimal if and only if $\left(U^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is minimal. So the problem is to calculate a minimal basis for $\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{b}\right)$.

## 4 The calculation of a minimal basis

A minimal basis is calculated by transforming the pencil $H_{b}$ by orthogonal pre- and posttransformations to a form where $E_{b}$ has not changed and $A_{b}$ is in upper staircase form.

Definition 3. A matrix $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m_{a} \times n_{a}}$ is in upper staircase form if there exists an increasing sequence of integers $s_{i}, 1 \leq s_{1}<s_{2}<\ldots<s_{r} \leq n_{a}$, such that $A_{i, s_{i}} \neq 0$ and $A_{i j}=0$ if $i>r$ or $j<s_{i}$. The elements $A_{i, s_{i}}$ are called the pivots of the staircasc form.

Note that $i \leq s_{i}$ and that the submatrix of the first $i$ rows and the first $s_{i}$ (or more) columns of $A$ is right invertible for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

In $[1,8]$ it has been shown that there exist orthogonal, symmetric matrices $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{r}$ such that

$$
\bar{A}_{b}:=Q_{r} \ldots Q_{1} A_{b} Q_{1}^{\langle n\rangle} \ldots Q_{r}^{\langle n>}
$$

is in upper staircase form. The matrices $Q_{k}$ are elementary Householder reflections, and we define $Q_{k}^{\langle n\rangle}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(I_{n}, Q_{k}\right)$. Note that $E_{b}$ is not changed by this transformation:

$$
E_{b}:=Q_{r} \ldots Q_{1} E_{b} Q_{1}^{<n>} \ldots Q_{r}^{<n>}
$$

We partition $\bar{A}_{b}$ as follows:

$$
\bar{A}_{b}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & \ldots & & A_{1, l+2} \\
0 & A_{22} & A_{23} & & & \vdots \\
\vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \\
0 & & & A_{l l} & A_{l, l+1} & A_{l, l+2} \\
0 & & & & 0 & A_{l+1, l+2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $A_{j j} \in \mathbf{R}^{m_{j} \times m_{j-1}}$ right invertible and in upper staircase form, $j=1, \ldots, l$, and $A_{j, j+1}$ a square matrix, for $j=1, \ldots, l+1$. We take $m_{0}=n$. Then the dimensions $m_{j}, j=1, \ldots, l$, are uniquely determined and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{H}_{b}: & :=s \bar{A}_{b}-E_{b} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
s A_{11} & s A_{12}-I & s A_{13} & \ldots & & 2 A_{1, l+2} \\
0 & s A_{22} & s A_{23}-I & & & \vdots \\
\vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \\
0 & & & s A_{l l} & s A_{l, l+1}-I & s A_{l, l+2} \\
0 & & & & 0 & s A_{l+1, l+2}-I
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $A_{b}^{*}$ be the submatrix of $A_{b}^{(k-1)}:=Q_{k-1} \ldots Q_{1} A_{b} Q_{1}^{\langle n\rangle} \ldots Q_{k-1}^{<n>}$ obtained by deleting the first $k-1$ rows, and let $s_{k}$ be the column index of the first nonzero column in $A_{b}^{*}$. Then $Q_{k}$ transforms this (sub)column into the first unit vector and lets the first $k-1$ rows and the first $s_{k}-1$ columns of $A_{b}^{(k-1)}$ invariant. Consequently, postmultiplication with $Q_{k}^{\langle n>}$ leaves the first $n+k-1$ columns of $A_{b}^{(k-1)}$ unaltered.

Because of the staircase form of $\bar{H}_{b}$ it is easy to see that the equation $\tilde{H}_{b} y=0$ has $m_{i-1}-m_{i}$ independent solutions of the form

$$
y:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
y_{11} & y_{12} & \ldots & y_{1 i} \\
0 & y_{22} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & y_{i i} \\
0 & & & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
s \\
\vdots \\
s^{i-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $y_{i i} \in \mathbf{R}^{m_{i-1}}$ is a null vector of $A_{i i}$, and $y_{j k} \in \mathbf{R}^{m_{j-1}}, k=j, \ldots, i$. Clearly $v:=$ $Q_{1}^{<n>} \cdots Q_{r}^{<n>} y$ is then a null vector of $H_{b}$ and taking the top and bottom part of $v$ yields a column of $U_{b}$ and $R_{b}$, respectively, of degree $i-1$. Note that this implies that $l \leq b+d+1$, since $\left(I_{n}, s^{b} P^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is a basis of $\operatorname{ker}\left(s^{b} P,-I_{m}\right)$ and the degrees of the minimal bases of $\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{b}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(s^{b} P,-I_{m}\right)$ are the same, see the end of Section 3.

## 5 Increasing b

The computational effort to calculate a minimal basis for $\operatorname{ker}\left(s^{b} P,-I_{m}\right)$ increases quickly with the growth of $b$. From experiments, however, we may assume that in many cases a
small $b$ already leads to success. Therefore the algorithm starts with $b=1$ and increases $b$ by one until a column reduced $R_{b}$ has been found. With the transition from $b$ to $b+1$ the computations need not start from scratch, as we will explain in this section.

The transformation of $A_{b}$ into $\bar{A}_{b}$ is split up into steps, where in the $j$-th step the diagonal block matrix $A_{j j}$ is formed, $j=1, \ldots, l$. Let $\mu_{j}$ denote the row index of the first row of $A_{j j}$. Then $A_{j j}$ is formed by the Householder reflections $Q_{\mu_{j}}, \ldots, Q_{\mu_{j+1}-1}$. Let $N_{k} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times(n+(d+k) m)}$ denote the matrix ( $\left.0, \ldots, 0, I_{m}\right)$. Then

$$
A_{b+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{b} & 0 \\
N_{b} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

as well as

$$
A_{b+1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
N_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_{(b-1) m} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Observe that the first $n$ columns of $A_{b+1}$ are just the first $n$ columns of $A_{1}$ augmented with zeros. Since postmultiplication with any $Q^{<n>}$ does not affect the first $n$ columus, it is clear that the reflection vectors of the first $\mu_{2}-1$ Householder reflections of $A_{b+1}$ (the ones involved in the computation of $A_{11}$ ) are the reflection vectors of the first $\mu_{2}-1$ Householder reflections of $A_{1}$ augmented with zeros. Let $K_{1 ; 1}:=Q_{\mu_{2}-1} \cdots Q_{1}$ be the orthogonal transformation of the first step of the transformation for $A_{1}$. Then $K_{1 ; b+1}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(K_{1 ; 1} . I_{b m}\right)$ is the corresponding transformation for $A_{b+1}$ and the first step can be described by

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1 ; b+1} A_{b+1} K_{1 ; b+1}^{<n>} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
K_{1: 1} A_{1} K_{1 ; 1}^{<n>} & 0 & 0 \\
N_{1} K_{1 ; 1}^{<n>} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_{(b-1) m} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
K_{1 ; 2} A_{2} K_{1 ; 2}^{<n>} & 0 & 0 \\
N_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_{(b-2) m} & 0
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{1 ; j}^{<n>}$ has the obvious meaning. From this we see that after the first step the second block column of width $\mu_{2}-1$, i.e. the block column from which $A_{22}$ will be acquired, is
exactly the corresponding block column of $A_{2}$ after the first step augmented with zeros, if $b \geq 2$. In the second step, postmultiplication with the IIouseholder reflections $Q_{k}^{\langle n\rangle}$, for $k=\mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{3}-1$, does not affect the first $n+\mu_{2}-1$ columns. Therefore, the argumentation for the first step applies, mutatis mutandis, for the second step, if $b \geq 3$. As a consequence, it can be concluded by induction that the transformations for the $j$-th step for $A_{b+1}$ and $A_{b}$ are related by

$$
K_{j ; b+1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(K_{j ; b}, I_{m}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, b
$$

and that we can start the algorithm for $A_{b+1}$ with $A_{b}$ transformed by $K_{j, b}$ for $j=1, \ldots, b$, augmented with $N_{b} K_{b, b}^{\langle n>}$ at the bottom and $m$ zero columns on the right.

## 6 Description of the algorithm

The algorithm consists of:

- An outer loop in $b$, running from 1 to $(n-1) d+1$ at most. The termination criterion is that the calculated $R_{b}$ is column reduced.
- An intermediate loop in $i$, running from $b$ to $b+d+1$ at most, in which $A_{i i}$ is determined and the null vectors of $H_{b}$ of degree $i-1$ are calculated. The precondition is that $A_{j j}$ and the null vectors of $H_{b}$ of degree $j-1$, for $j=1, \ldots, i-1$, have been computed and are available. The termination criterion is that the submatrix of computed columns of $R_{b}$ is not column reduced, or that all the null vectors of $H_{b}$ have been found.
- An inner loop in $k$, running from $n+\mu_{i-1}$ to $n+\mu_{i}-1,\left(\mu_{0}:=-n\right)$ indexing the columns of $A_{i i}$. For each $k$, either a Ilouseholder reflection is generated and applied or a null vector of degree $i-1$ is computed. If a null vector has been found, then $U_{b}$ and $R_{b}$ are extended with one column and $R_{b}$ is checked on column reducedness. The loop is terminated after $k=n+\mu_{i}-1$ or if the extended $R_{b}$ is not column reduced.

The algorithm uses a tolerance below which the matrix elements are considered to be zero. Thus the tolerance is used to determine the rank of the diagonal blocks $A_{i i}$ from which the
null vectors of $H_{b}$ and consequently the computed solution follows. The choice of the tolerance does not influence the accuracy of the computed solution.

The algorithm has been implemented in the subroutine COLRED. The subroutine is written according to the standards of SLICOT, see [9], with a number of auxiliary routines. It is based on the BLAS [3,5], and on similar routines from the NAG library [12, Chapter F06].

For programming details the reader is referred to the Appendix which contains the full text of the routines as well as an example program. The example program uses also two general routines: MULTPM, for the addition and multiplication of polynomial matrices, and PRMAPO, for printing a polynomial matrix.

## 7 Examples

In this section we describe a few examples. All examples were run on four computers, a VAX-VMS, a VAX-UNIX, a SUN and on a 386 personal computer. The numerical values that we present here were produced on the VAX-VMS computer. Its macline precision is $2^{-56} \approx 1.4 * 10^{-17}$.

The first example is taken from the book of Kailath [6], and has been discussed before in [2] and [8].

Example 1. The polynomial matrix $P$ is given by

$$
P(s)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s^{4}+6 s^{3}+13 s^{2}+12 s+4 & -s^{3}-4 s^{2}-5 s-2 \\
0 & s+2
\end{array}\right)
$$

In Kailath [ $6, \mathrm{p} .386$ ] we can find (if we correct a small typo) that $P U_{0}=R_{0}$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{0}(s)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
s+2 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& R_{0}(s)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\left(s^{3}+4 s^{2}+5 s+2\right) \\
s^{2}+4 s+4 & s+2
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $R_{0}$ is column reduced, and $U_{0}$ unimodular. This example was also treated in [2]. The program, with a prescribed tolerance of $10^{-12}$, yields the following solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(s)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & -\beta s-\gamma \\
\alpha(s+2) & -\beta s^{2}-\delta s
\end{array}\right) \\
& R(s)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -2 \gamma\left(s^{3}+4 s^{2}+5 s+2\right) \\
\alpha\left(s^{2}+4 s+4\right) & \left(-\beta s^{2}-\delta s\right)(s+2)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\alpha=7.302027, \beta=37.43234 s, \gamma=31.87083$ and $\delta=2 \beta+\gamma$.

It is easily checked that $P U-R=O\left(10^{-13}\right)$, and that $U$ is unimodular:

$$
U(s)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
s+2 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & -\beta s-\gamma \\
0 & 2 \gamma
\end{array}\right)
$$

This solution is found without iterations, so for $b=1$, and equals the solution found in [2].

As already mentioned in [2] one of the main motivations for the iterative procedure, that is starting with a small $b$ and increasing $b$ until the solution is found, is the (experimental) observation that in most examples increasing $b$ is unnecessary. The following example, also treated in $[2,8]$ is constructed especially to show that sometimes a larger $b$ is required.

## Example 2.

$$
P(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
s^{4} & s^{2} & s^{6}+1 \\
s^{2} & 1 & s^{4} \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that this matrix is unimodular and hence unimodularly equivalent to a constant, invertible matrix. The program, run with the tolerance set to $0.6 \times 10^{-14}$, yields no column reduced $R$ for $b \leq 4$. For $b=5$ the resulting $U$ and $R$ are

$$
U(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -1 & \alpha s^{2} \\
-s^{2} & -s^{4}+s^{2} & \alpha\left(-s^{6}+s^{4}-1\right) \\
0 & 1 & \alpha s^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
R(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\alpha \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\alpha=1.702939 \ldots$. The residual matrix satisfies: $P U-R=O\left(10^{-15}\right)$.

The above examples behave very well, in fact they are so 'regular' that also the algorithm based on Wolovich's proof of Theorem 1 (from now on called the Wolovich algorithm) yields reliable answers. In a forthcoming report we will compare the results of both algorithms to a greater extent. Here we restrict ourselves to giving two more examples, for which the Wolovich algorithm yields nonsensical answers.

Example 3. In the third example we take for $P$ :

$$
P(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
s^{3}+s^{2} & \varepsilon s+1 & 1 \\
2 s^{2} & -1 & -1 \\
3 s^{2} & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\varepsilon$ a small parameter. Calculation by hand immediately shows that taking $U$ equal to

$$
U(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\delta s^{2} & \delta & 0 \\
\delta s^{2} & -\delta & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\delta=\varepsilon^{-1}$, yields an $R$ (equal to $P U$ ) given by

$$
R(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
s^{2} & s & 1 \\
2 s^{2} & 0 & -1 \\
3 s^{2} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Clearly, $R$ is column reduced, and $U$ unimodular. Note that $U$ contains large elements as $\varepsilon$ is small, a feature that will also be seen in the answers provided by the program.

For small values of $\varepsilon$ the Wolovich algorithm behaves badly (see our forthcoming report). If we take $\varepsilon=10^{-2}$ and set the tolerance to $10^{-14}$, our algorithm yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 2 \beta \\
0 & -3 \alpha \delta & -\beta \delta\left(2 s^{2}+s\right) \\
\delta & \alpha s & \beta\left((2 \delta-1) s^{2}+2 \delta s\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& R(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta & -\alpha(2 s+3 \delta) & \beta \delta s \\
-\delta & -\alpha(s+3 \delta) & \beta\left(5 s^{2}-\delta s\right) \\
\delta & \alpha(s-3 \delta) & \beta\left(5 s^{2}+\delta s\right)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\alpha=0.4082483$ and $\beta=0.14144214$.

For smaller $\varepsilon$ the tolerance has to be increased to obtain an answer with the same structure as above, e.g. for $\varepsilon=10^{-4}$ and $\varepsilon=10^{-6}$ the tolerance must at least be $10^{-12}$ and $10^{-10}$, respectively. In all cases $P U-R=O\left(10^{-16}\|U\|\right)$. In the next section we will analyze this example in more detail.

Of course the first thought is that the occurrence of the small parameter $\varepsilon$ is the cause of the problem, but the next example shows that not in all cases the occurrence of a small parameter leads to phenomena as in the previous example.

Example 4. In the fourth example we take for $P$ :

$$
P(s)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
s^{3}+s^{2}+2 s+1 & \varepsilon s^{2}+2 s+3 & s^{2}+s+1 & s-1 \\
s-1 & -s+2 & 2 s^{2}+s-1 & 2 s+1 \\
s+3 & 2 s-1 & -s^{2}-2 s+1 & -s-2 \\
1 & -1 & 3 s+1 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

For all $\varepsilon$ with $0<\varepsilon \leq 10^{-8}$, and the tolerance set to $10^{-16}$, the program yields good results with $P U-R=O\left(10^{-16}\right)$. For instance, with $\varepsilon=10^{-8}$ the results are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(s)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.484367 \\
-0.665755 & 1.596887 & -0.204285 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 2.021052 & 0.133914 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & -1.320416 & 0.0
\end{array}\right)+ \\
&\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.4225558 \\
0.3328775 \varepsilon & -0.7984436 \varepsilon & 0.1021425 \varepsilon & -0.0069700 \\
0.0 & 2.021052 & -0.1339140 & 1.389642
\end{array}\right) s+ \\
&\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -1.242183 \\
-0.3328775 \varepsilon & 0.7984436 \varepsilon & -0.1021425 \varepsilon & 0.0069700
\end{array}\right) s^{2}+ \\
&\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.242183
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(s)= & \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1.997265 & 2.769609 & 0.8414748 & 2.484367 \\
-1.33151 & 5.214827 & -1.862900 & -2.484367 \\
0.665755 & 3.617939 & 2.979030 & 7.453100 \\
0.665755 & 3.617939 & -3.623048 & 2.484367
\end{array}\right)+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-1.33151 & -0.848333 & -1.461158 & 2.304454 \\
0.665755 & -1.596887 & -2.436546 & 3.035867 \\
-1.33151 & 3.193774 & 0.9118457 & 0.1206680 \\
0.3328775 \varepsilon & -0.7984436 \varepsilon & 0.1021425 \varepsilon & 4.584513
\end{array}\right) s+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.772774 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 4.444024 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 3.476937 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.242183
\end{array}\right) s^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The residual matrix $P U-R$ has entries smaller than $10^{-15}$. In the next section we also revisit this example.

We also investigated the sensitivity of the algorithm to perturbations in the data. The conclusion, based on a number of experiments, is that if the tolerance is chosen such that the perturbations lie within the tolerance, then the program retrieves the results of the unperturbed system. This is well in agreement with the general feeling. We give one example.

## Example 5.

$$
P(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
s^{3}+s^{2}+s & s^{2}+1 & 1 \\
s^{3}+2 s^{2}+3 s & s^{2} & 1 \\
s^{3}+3 s^{2}+s+1 & s^{2}+1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The resulting $R$ has column degrees $(0,0,2)$. Disturbing $P$ with quantities in the order of $10^{-8}$ leads to the result that the disturbed $P$ is column reduced if the tolerance is less than $10^{-8}$. Setting the tolerance to $10^{-7}$ gives again an outcome in accordance with the unperturbed case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(s)= & \left(\begin{array}{lll}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.7335386 \\
-0.6324555 & -0.5071715 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.7513652 & 0.0
\end{array}\right)+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.3221301 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1527825 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & -1.285900
\end{array}\right) s+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1256059 \\
0.6324555 & 0.5071715 & -1.517319
\end{array}\right) s^{2}+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & -0.8863210
\end{array}\right) s^{3}+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & -0.1256059
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(s)= & \left(\begin{array}{lll}
-0.6324555 & 0.2441937 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.7513652 & 0.0 \\
-0.6324555 & 0.2441937 & 0.7335386
\end{array}\right)+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{rrr}
0.0 & 0.0 & -0.3995791 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.9147156 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & -0.3995791
\end{array}\right) s+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{rrr}
0.0 & 0.0 & -0.6581750 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & -0.0502423 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.8089021
\end{array}\right) s^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 8 Discussion

First we examine example 3 of Section 7, namely

$$
P_{e}(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
s^{3}+s^{2} & \varepsilon s+1 & 1 \\
2 s^{2} & -1 & -1 \\
3 s^{2} & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The $U_{e}$ and $R_{e}$ that will result from the algorithm, if calculations are performed exactly, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{e}(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 2 \beta \\
0 & -3 \alpha \delta & -\beta \delta\left(2 s^{2}+s\right) \\
\delta & \alpha s & \beta\left((2 \delta-1) s^{2}+2 \delta s\right)
\end{array}\right), \\
& R_{e}(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta & -\alpha(2 s+3 \delta) & \beta \delta s \\
-\delta & -\alpha(s+3 \delta) & \beta\left(5 s^{2}-\delta s\right) \\
\delta & \alpha(s-3 \delta) & \beta\left(5 s^{2}+\delta s\right)
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\alpha=\frac{1}{6} \sqrt{6}, \beta=\frac{1}{10} \sqrt{2}$ and $\delta=\varepsilon^{-1}$.

In Section 7 we saw that the tolerance for which this result is obtained by the routine is proportional to $\varepsilon^{-1}$. Close examination of the computations reveals that the computed $\hat{A}_{b}$ (see Section 4) gets small pivots, which canse growing numbers in the computation of the right null vectors until overflow occurs, and a breakdown of the process if the tolerance is too
small. Scaling of a null vector, which at first sight suggests itself, may suppress the overflow and thereby hide the problem at hand. In this example the effect of scaling is that if $\varepsilon$ tends to zero, then $U_{c}$ tends to a singular matrix and $R_{\epsilon}$ to a constant matrix of rank 1.

Is there any reason to believe that there exists an algorithm which yields an $R$ continuously depending on $\varepsilon$ in a neighborhood of 0 ? Observe that
$-\operatorname{det}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)(s)=-5 \varepsilon s^{3}$, so $P_{\varepsilon}$ is singular for $\varepsilon=0$;

- the column degrees of $R_{\varepsilon}$ are $(0,1,2)$ if $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and $(-1,0,3)$ if $\varepsilon=0$ (We use the convention that the zero polynomial has degree -1 ).

We conclude that the entries of $U_{\varepsilon}$ and $R_{\varepsilon}$ do not dejend continuously on $\varepsilon$ in a neighborhood of $\varepsilon=0$. Even stronger: There do not exist families $\left\{V_{\varepsilon}\right\},\left\{S_{c}\right\}$, continuous in $\varepsilon=0$ such that for all $\varepsilon, V_{e}$ is unimodular, $S_{\varepsilon}$ column reduced, and $P_{e} V_{e}=S_{\varepsilon}$.

Example 4, though at first sight similar, is quite different from example 3. Due to the fact that the third column of $P$ minus $s$ times its fourth column equals $(2 s+1,-1,1,1)^{t}$, the term $\varepsilon s^{2}$ in the element $P_{12}$ is not needed to reduce the first column. As a consequence, the elements of $U_{e}$ and $R_{e}$ depend continuously on $\varepsilon$ and no large entries occur. This feature is not recognized by the Wolovich algorithm. Perturbation of $P_{\varepsilon}$, for instance changing the $(4,4)$ entry from 3 to $3+\delta$, destroys this property. The resulting matrix behaves similarly to example 3. To compare examples 3 and 4 we observe that in example 4

- $\operatorname{det}\left(P_{\varepsilon, 6}\right) \neq 0$ for all values of $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$, so this property is not characteristic;
- in the unperturbed case, $\delta=0$, the column degrees of $R_{\varepsilon}$ are $(1,1,1,2)$ for all $\varepsilon$. If $\delta \neq 0$, then the column degrees of $R_{\varepsilon}$ are $(1,1,2,2)$ for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and $(1,1,1,3)$ if $\varepsilon=0$. So here again we can conclude that in this case no algorithm can yield $U_{e, \delta}, R_{e, \delta}$ continuous in $\varepsilon=0$. This is what we call a singular case.

Remark. Singularity in the sense just mentioned may appear in a more hidden form. For instance, if in example 4 the third column is added to the second, resulting in $P_{12}=$ $(1+\varepsilon) s^{2}+3 s+4$, we get a similar behavior depending on the values of $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$. Though
$\varepsilon$ in $P_{12}$ is likely to be a perturbation, its effect is quite different from the effects of the perturbations in example 5.

For perturbations as in example 5 the tolerance should at least be of the order of magnitude of the uncertainties in the data to find out whether there is a non-column reduced polynomial matrix in the range of uncertainty. In cases like example 5 it may be wise to run the algorithm for several values of the tolerance.

## 9 Conclusions

In this report we described a subroutine which is an implementation of the algorithm developed by Neven and Praagman [8]. The routine asks for the polynomial matrix $P$ to be reduced, and a tolerance. The tolerance is used for rank determination within the accuracy of the computations. Thus the tolerance influences whether or not the correct solution is found, but does not influence the accuracy of the solution.

We gave five examples. In all cases the subroutine performs satisfactorily, i.e. the computed solution has a residual matrix $P U-R=O(\|U\| * E P S)$, where EPS is the machine precision. Normally the tolerance should be chosen in accordance with the accuracy of the elements of $P$, with a lower bound (default value) of the order of EPS times the Frobenius norm of $P$. In some cases the tolerance has to be set to a larger value than the default value in order to get significant results. Therefore, in case of failure, or if there is doubt about the correctness of the solution, the user is recommended to run the program with several values of the tolerance.

At this moment we are optimistic about the performance of the routine. The only cases for which we had some difficulties to get the solution were what we called singular cases. As we argued in the last section, the nature of this singularity will frustrate in fact all algorithms. We believe, although we cannot prove it at this moment that the algorithm is numerically stable in the sense that the computed solution satisfies $\|P U-R\|=O(\|P\|\|U\| E P S)$.
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## Appendix

This appendix comtains the Fortran code of the example program that has been used to produce the examples in Section 7 , and the subroutines. The interdependence of the subroutines is sketched in the subroutine tree in figure 1 :


Figure 1: Subroutine tree for the example program

## The example program

C
C COLRED EXAMPLE PROGRAM TEXT
C
C Version dd February 15, 1994.
C
IMPLICIT NONE
C . . Parameters
INTEGER NIN, NOUT
PARAMETER (NIN $=5$, NOUT $=6$ )
DOUBLE PRECISION ONE
PARAMETER (ONE = 1.ODO)
C
INTEGER DPMAX, MPMAX, NPMAX
PARAMETER (DPMAX $=6, \operatorname{MPMAX}=10, \operatorname{NPMAX}=10$ )
INTEGER NDI, LIWORK, LRWORK, DRMAX
PARAMETER (ND1 $=$ NPMAX $*$ DPMAX +1 ,
LIWORK $=2$ * ND1 * MPMAX + NPMAX + 1, LRWORK $=(2 *$ MPMAX +1$) *$ MPMAX $*$ ND1**2 + (2*MPMAX*NPMAX + 4*MPMAX +NPMAX) * ND1 + ( $2 *$ MPMAX +3 ) $*$ NPMAX,
DRMAX $=($ NPMAX +1$) *$ DPMAX +1$)$
INTEGER LDP1, LDP2, LDR1, LDR2, LDU1, LDU2
PARAMETER (LDP1 $=$ MPMAX, LDP2 $=$ NPMAX, LDR1 $=$ MPMAX,
LDR2 $=$ NPMAX, LDU1 $=$ NPMAX, LDU2 $=$ NPMAX)
C .. Local Scalars ..
INTEGER MP, NP, DP, DR, DU, I, J, K, IERR
DOUBLE PRECISION TOL
C .. Local Arrays ..
INTEGER IWORK (LIWORK)
DOUBLE PRECISION P(LDP1,LDP2, DPMAX +1 ), R(LDR1,LDR2, DRMAX),

* U(LDU1,LDU2,ND1), RWORK (LRWORK)

LOGICAL ZERCOL(NPMAX)
C .. External Subroutines/Functions .
EXTERNAL COLRED, MULTPM, PRMAPO
C
C .. Executable Statements ..
C
C Read MP, NP, DP, TOL and next $P(k), k=0, \ldots, D P$ row after row.
C
WRITE(NOUT, FMT = 99999)
$\operatorname{READ}$ (NIN, FMT = '()')
READ (NIN, FMT = *) MP, NP, DP, TOL
C
DO $20 \mathrm{~K}=1, \mathrm{DP}+1$
$\operatorname{READ}\left(\mathrm{NIN}, \mathrm{FMT}={ }^{\prime}()^{\prime}\right)$

```
            DD 10 I = 1, MP
                    READ(NIN, FMT = *) (P(I,J,K), J = 1, NP)
        CONTINUE
        CONTINUE
C
    WRITE(NOUT, FMT = 99998) DP, MP, NP, TOL
    CALL PRMAPO(MP, NP, DP, 5, NOUT, P, LDP1, LDP2, 'P', IERR)
C
    CALL COLRED(MP, NP, DP, P, LDP1, LDP2, DR, DU, R, LDR1, LDR2,
    * U, LDU1, LDU2, ZERCOL, IWORK, RWORK, TOL, IERR)
C
        IF (IERR .EQ. 0) THEN
        WRITE (NOUT, FMT = 99997)
        CALL PRMAPO(NP, NP, DU, 5, NOUT, U, LDU1, LDU2, 'U', IERR)
C
        WRITE (NOUT, FMT = 99996)
        CALL PRMAPO(MP, NP, DR, 5, NOUT, R, LDR1, LDR2, 'R', IERR)
        WRITE (NOUT, FMT = 99995) (ZERCOL(J), J = 1, NP)
C
        CALL MULTPM(-ONE, MP, NP, NP, DP, DU, DR, P, LDP1, LDP2,
                        U, LDU1, LDU2, R, LDR1, LDR2, RWORK, IERR)
        IF (DR .GE. O) THEN
            WRITE (NOUT, FMT = 99994)
            CALL PRMAPO(MP, NP, DR, 5, NOUT, R, LDR1, LDR2, '(PU-R)',
                IERR)
        ELSE
            WRITE (NOUT, FMT = 99993)
        END IF
        ELSE
        WRITE (NOUT, FMT = 99992) IERR
    END IF
    STOP
C
99999 FORMAT (' COLRED EXAMPLE PROGRAM RESULTS', /1X)
99998 FORMAT (' The input polynomial matrix:', //,
    * , P(s) = P(0) + P(1) * s + . . . + P(dp-1) *s**(dp-1)',
    * , + P(dp) * s**dp', //, ' with degree DP =', I2,
    * ', and size MP =', I2, ', NP =', I2, '.', //,
    * ' The tolerance is:', D10.3, /1X)
99997 FORMAT (' The unimodular polynomial matrix U(s):')
99996 FORMAT (' The column reduced polynomial matrix R(s):')
99995 FORMAT (' ZERCOL(j), j = 1, NP:', 10(L2))
99994 FORMAT (' The residual matrix P(s) * U(s) - R(s):')
99993 FORMAT (' PU - R is the ZERO polynomial matrix.')
99992 FORMAT (' COLRED has failed: IERR =', I2)
    END
```


## The routine COLRED

```
    SUBROUTINE COLRED(MP, NP, DP, P, LDP1, LDP2, DR, DU, R, LDR1,
* LDR2, U, LDU1, LDU2, ZERCOL, IWORK, RWORK,
* TOL, IERR)
```

ARGUMENTS IN

The degree of the unimodular polynomial matrix $U(s)$.
$R$ - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDR1,LDR2,DP +1 ).
The leading MP by NP by ( $D R+1$ ) part of this array contains the coefficients of the column reduced polynomial matrix $R(s)$. Specifically, $R(i, j, k)$ contains the coefficient of $s * *(k-1)$ of the polynomial which is the ( $i, j$ )-th element of $R(s)$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, M P, j=1,2, \ldots, N P$ and $k=1,2, \ldots, D R+1$.
LDR1 - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $R$ as declared in the calling program.
LDR1 >= MP.
LDR2 - INTEGER.
The second dimension of array $R$ as declared in the calling program. LDR2 >= NP.
U - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDU1,LDU2,NP*DP+1).
The leading NP by NP by (DU+1) part of this array contains the coefficients of the unimodular polynomial matrix $U(s)$. Specifically, $U(i, j, k)$ contains the coefficient of $s * *(k-1)$ of the polynomial which is the ( $i, j$ )-th element of $U(s)$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, N P, j=1,2, \ldots, N P$ and $k=1,2, \ldots, D U+1$.
LDU1 - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $U$ as declared in the calling program.
LDU1 >= NP.
LDU2 - INTEGER.
The second dimension of array $U$ as declared in the calling program.
LDU2 $>=$ NP.
ZERCOL - LOGICAL array of DIMENSION at least (NP).
If $\operatorname{ZERCOL}(j)=$.TRUE., then the $j-$ th column of $R(s)$ is zero; otherwise the j-th column belongs to R1(s) (see METHOD).

WORKSPACE

IWORK - INTEGER array of DIMENSION at least (liwork), where liwork $=2 * N D 1 * M P+N P+1$, and ND1 $=$ NP*DP +1 .
RWORK - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION at least (lrwork), where 1 rwork $=(2 * M P+1) * M P * N D 1 * * 2+(2 * M P * N P+4 * M P+N P) * N D 1+$ $\mathrm{MP} * \mathrm{NP}+3 * \mathrm{NP}$.

## TOLERANCES

TOL - DOUBLE PRECISION.
A tolerance below which matrix elements are considered to be zero. If the user sets TOL to be less than
EPS * (( $D P+1) * M P) * * 2 * \operatorname{MAX}(P(i, j, k)))$, then the tolerance is

C

C The algorithm used by the routine involves the construction of a

```
        b
        special staircase form of a linearization of (s P(s), -I) with
        pivots considered to be non-zero when they are greater than or equal
        to TOL. These pivots are then inverted in order to construct the
            b
        columns of ker(s P(s), -I).
        The user is recommended to choose TOL of the order of the relative
        error in the elements of P(s). If TOL is chosen to be too small, then
        a very small element of insignificant value may be taken as pivot.
        As a consequence, the correct null-vectors, and hence R(s), may not be
        found. In the case that R(s) has not been found and in the case that
        the elements of the computed U(s) and R(s) are large relative to the
        elements of P(s) the user should consider trying several values of TOL.
        CONTRIBUTORS
        A.J. Geurts (Eindhoven University of Technology).
        C. Praagman (University of Groningen).
```

        REVISIONS
            1994, February 11.
        IMPLICIT NONE
        . . Parameters
        DOUBLE PRECISION ZERO, ONE, EPS
        PARAMETER (ZERO \(=0.0 \mathrm{DO}, \mathrm{ONE}=1.0 \mathrm{DO}, \mathrm{EPS}=1.0 \mathrm{DO} / 2.0 \mathrm{DO**56)}\)
        .. Scalar Arguments ..
        INTEGER MP, NP, DP, LDP1, LDP2, DR, DU, LDR1, LDR2, LDU1, LDU2,
        * IERR
        DOUBLE PRECISION TOL
        . Array Arguments . .
        INTEGER IWORK (*)
        DOUBLE PRECISION P(LDP1,LDP2,*), R(LDR1,LDR2,*), U(LDU1,LDU2,*),
        * RWORK (*)
        LOGICAL ZERCOL(*)
        . Local Scalars ..
        INTEGER LDA, LDAB, LDQ, LDY, LDG, MU, S, SK, A, AB, Q, Y, YI,
        * GAMC, BMAX, DP1, K, MAMAX, NAMAX
        DOUBLE PRECISION TOLER
        LOGICAL COLRDC, PKZERO
        .. External Subroutines/Functions ..
        EXTERNAL F06QFF, F06QGF, F06QHF, COLRD1, CKCOLR
        DOUBLE PRECISION FO6QGF
        LOGICAL CKCOLR
        .. Intrinsic Functions ..
        INTRINSIC DBLE, MAX
    ```
C .. Executable Statements ..
C
C Check the input parameters.
C
    IF (MP.LT.1 .OR. NP.LT.1 .OR. DP.LT.1 .OR. LDP1.LT.MP .OR.
    * LDP2.LT.NP) THEN
        IERR = 1
        RETURN
    END IF
C
C
C Computation of the tolerance. EPS is the machine precision of the
C double precision floating-point arithmetic of a VAX computer.
C For an other computer the value of EPS should be adapted.
C
    TOLER = ZERO
    DO 10 K = 1, DP + 1
        TOLER = MAX(TOLER, FO6QGF('M', 'G', MP, NP, P(1,1,K), LDP1))
    10 CONTINUE
    TOLER = DBLE(((DP+1) * MP)**2) * TOLER * EPS
    IF (TOLER .LT. TOL) TOLER = TOL
C
C Computation of the true degree of P(s).
C
        K = K - 1
        PKZERO = (FO6QGF('M','G', MP, NP, P(1,1,K), LDP1) .EQ. ZERO)
        GO TO 20
    END IF
C END WHILE 20
    DP1 = K - 1
C
C Check whether P(s) is already column reduced.
C
    Q = MP + 1
    LDQ = MP
    COLRDC = CKCOLR(MP, NP, DP1, P, LDP1, LDP2, ZERCOL, RWORK(Q), LDQ,
                            RWORK, TOLER, IERR)
    IF (COLRDC) THEN
        DR = DP1
        DO 30 K = 1, DR + 1
                CALL F06QFF('G', MP, NP, P(1,1,K), LDP1, R(1,1,K), LDR1)
    30
        CONTINUE
```

```
            DU = 0
            CALL FO6QHF('G', NP, NP, ZERO, ONE, U, LDU1)
            RETURN
END IF
C
BMAX = (NP - 1) * DP1 + 1
MAMAX = (DP1 + BMAX) * MP
NAMAX = MAMAX + NP
LDA = MAMAX + 1
LDAB = LDA
LDY = NAMAX
LDG = MP
MU = 1
S = MU + MAMAX + 1
SK = S + MAMAX
A = 2 * MAMAX + 1
AB = A + (MAMAX + 1) * NAMAX
Q = AB + (MAMAX + 1) * NAMAX
Y = Q + MP * NP
YI = Y + NAMAX * (NP * DP + 1)
GAMC = YI + NP
C
CALL COLRD1(MP, NP, DP1, P, LDP1, LDP2, DR, DU, R, LDR1, LDR2,
* U, LDU1, LDU2, ZERCOL, IWORK(MU), IWORK(S), IWORK(SK),
* RWORK(A), LDA, RWORK(AB), LDAB, RWORK(Q), LDQ,
* RWORK(Y), LDY, RWORK(YI), RWORK(GAMC), LDG, RWORK,
* TOLER, IERR)
C
C Check whether the computed R(s) is column reduced.
C
IF (IERR .EQ. 0) THEN
        Q = MP + 1
    COLRDC = CKCOLR(MP, NP, DR, R, LDR1, LDR2, ZERCOL, RWORK(Q),
                                    LDQ, RWORK, TOL, IERR)
    IF (.NOT. COLRDC) IERR = 2
ELSE
    IERR = 2
    END IF
    RETURN
C *** Last line of COLRED
END
```


## CKCOLR

LOGICAL FUNCTION CKCOLR(MP, NP, DP, P, LDP1, LDP2, ZERCOL, Q, LDQ,

```
* W, TOL, IERR)
```

C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C

PURPOSE

To check whether the polynomial matrix
$P(s)=P(0)+P(1) * s+\ldots .+P(d p-1) * s^{d p-1}+P(d p) * s^{d p}$,
is column reduced.

ARGUMENTS IN

MP - INTEGER.
The number of rows of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$.
MP $>=1$.
NP - INTEGER.
The number of columns of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$.
NP >= 1 .
DP - INTEGER.
The degree of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$.
DP $>=0$.
P - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDP1,LDP2,DP+1).
The leading MP by NP by (DP+1) part of this array must contain
the coefficients of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$. Specifically,
$P(i, j, k)$ must contain the coefficient of $s * *(k-1)$ of the
polynomial which is the ( $i, j$ )-th element of $P(s)$, where
$i=1,2, \ldots, M P, j=1,2, \ldots, N P$ and $k=1,2, \ldots, D P+1$.
LDP1 - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $P$ as declared in the calling program.
LDP1 >= MP.
LDP2 - INTEGER.
The second dimension of array $P$ as declared in the calling program.
LDP2 >= NP.

ARGUMENTS OUT

ZERCOL - LOGICAL array of DIMENSION at least (NP).
If $\operatorname{ZERCOL}(j)=$.TRUE., then the $j$-th column of $P(s)$ is zero; otherwise the j-th column belongs to P1(s) (see METHOD).

WORKSPACE
Q - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDQ,NP).
LDQ - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $Q$ as declared by the calling
program.
LDQ >= MP.
W - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (MP).

## TOLERANCES

TOL - DOUBLE PRECISION.
A tolerance below which matrix elements are considered to be zero. If the user sets TOL to be less than EPS * (( $(D P+1) * M P) * * 2 * \operatorname{MAX}(P(i, j, k)))$, then the tolerance is taken as EPS $*(((D P+1) * \operatorname{MP}) * * 2 * \operatorname{MAX}(P(i, j, k)))$,
$i=1, \ldots, M P, j=1, \ldots, N P, k=1, \ldots, D P+1$, where EPS is the machine precision.

ERROR INDICATOR

```
        IERR - INTEGER.
        Unless the routine detects an error (see next section),
        IERR contains 0 on exit.
```

WARNINGS AND ERRORS DETECTED BY THE RDUTINE

```
IERR = 1 : Invalid input parameter(s).
```


## METHOD

Let $\operatorname{GAMC}(P)$ be the constant matrix such that each of its columns contains the coefficients of the highest power of $s$ occurring in the corresponding column of $P(s)$, the so-called leading column coefficient matrix. Then $P(s)$ is called column reduced if there exists a permutation matrix $T$ such that $P(s)=(Z, P 1(s)) * T$, where $Z$ is a zero matrix and GAMC(P1) has full column rank.

The algorithm used, which is in fact the QR decomposition of the leading column coefficient matrix, is as follows:
The columns of the leading column coefficient matrix of P1(s) are determined one by one, where a column is considered zero if its C Euclidean norm is less than TOL. To each new column the Householder transformations are applied that have transformed the submatrix of the former columns in upper triangular form. If the new column is independent of its predecessors, then a new Householder transformation C is generated and applied such that the augmented matrix is upper triangular.
$C$ or when the new column is dependent of its predecessors.

C
C CONTRIBUTOR
C
C A.J. Geurts (Eindhoven University of Technology).
C
C
C
C
C
C

C

IF (MP.LT. 1 .OR. NP.LT. 1 .OR. DP.LT. 0 .OR. LDP1.LT.MP .OR.

* LDP2.LT.NP) THEN

IERR $=1$
RETURN
END IF
C Computation of the tolerance. EPS is the machine precision of the
C. Praagman (University of Groningen).

REVISIONS
1993, November 4.
IMPLICIT NONE
.. Parameters ..
DOUBLE PRECISION ZERO, EPS, FACTOR
PARAMETER (ZERD $=0.0 \mathrm{DO}$, EPS $=1.0 \mathrm{DO} / 2.0 \mathrm{DO} * * 56$, FACTOR $=1.0 \mathrm{D} 2$ )
.. Scalar Arguments .
INTEGER MP, NP, DP, LDP1, LDP2, LDQ, IERR
DOUBLE PRECISION TOL
.. Array Arguments ..
DOUBLE PRECISION P(LDP1,LDP2,*), Q(LDQ,*), W(*)
LOGICAL ZERCOL(*)
.. Local Scalars ..
INTEGER H, J, J1, K
DOUBLE PRECISION TOLER, NORM, ZETA
LOGICAL FULLRK, NOTCJ
.. External Subroutines/Functions ..
EXTERNAL DCOPY, DNRM2, F06FSF, F06FUF, F06QGF, F06QHF
DOUBLE PRECISION DNRM2, FO6QGF
.. Intrinsic Functions ..
INTRINSIC DBLE, MAX, MIN
.. Executable Statements ..
Check input parameters

RETON double precision floating-point arithmetic of a VAX computer.
For an other computer the value of EPS should be adapted.

TOLER $=$ ZERO
DO $10 \mathrm{~K}=1$, $\mathrm{DP}+1$
TOLER $=$ MAX (TOLER, FO6QGF ('M', 'G', MP, NP, P(1,1,K), LDP1))
10 continue

```
    TOLER = DBLE(((DP+1) * MP))**2 * TOLER * EPS
    IF (TOLER .LT. TOL) TOLER = TOL
C
C WHILE (FULLRK and J1 <= NP) DO
20 IF (FULLRK .AND. J1.LE.NP) THEN
C
```

```
    CALL FO6QHF('G', MP, NP, ZERO, 2ERO, Q, LDQ)
    J = 1
    J1 = 1
    FULLRK = .TRUE.
Find the j-th column of the leading column coefficient matrix of
    P1(s) and put it in W.
    K = DP + 1
    NOTCJ = .TRUE.
    WHILE (j-th column not found) DO
    IF (NOTCJ .AND. K.GE.1) THEN
        NORM = DNRM2(MP, P(1,J1,K), 1)
        IF (NORM .GE. TOLER) THEN
            CALL DCOPY(MP, P(1,J1,K), 1, W, 1)
            NOTCJ = .FALSE.
        END IF
        K = K - 1
        G0 TO 30
    END IF
    END WHILE 30
    Check whether the j-th column is linearly independent of the
    preceding columns.
    IF (NOTCJ) THEN
        ZERCDL(J1) = .TRUE.
        J1 = J1 + 1
    ELSE
        ZERCOL(J1) = .FALSE.
        Apply the Householder transformations Qh, h = 1,\ldots,min(mp,j) - 1,
        to W.
        DO 40 H = 1, MIN(MP,J) - 1
            CALL FO6FUF(MP-H, Q(H+1,H), 1, Q(H,H),W(H),W(H+1), 1)
        continue
        NORM = DNRM2(MP-J+1, W(J), 1)
        IF (NORM .LT. TOLER) THEN
            FULLRK = .FALSE.
        ELSE
```

C
Generate the Householder transformation $\mathbb{Q j}$.

C
IF (J .LT. MP) THEN
CALL F06FSF (MP-J, W(J), W(J+1), 1, TOLER, ZETA)
CALL DCOPY (MP-J, W(J+1), 1, $Q(\mathrm{~J}+1, \mathrm{~J}), 1)$ $Q(J, J)=$ ZETA
ELSE
$Q(J, J)=$ ZERO
END IF
END IF
$\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{J}+1$
$J 1=J 1+1$
END IF
GO TO 20
END IF
C END WHILE 20
CKCOLR $=$ FULLRK
RETURN
C *** Last line of CKCOLR
END

## CKGAMC

LOGICAL FUNCTION CKGAMC(MP, INV, GAMC, LDG, Q, LDQ, RWORK, TOL)

C
C
C
C
C
C REMARK: This auxiliary routine is intended to be called only from the
PURPOSE
To check whether the leading coefficient matrix has still full column
rank after a new column has been appended.
routine COLRED.

## ARGUMENTS IN

## MP - INTEGER.

The number of rows of the matrix GAMC.
MP $>=1$.
INV - INTEGER.
The number of columns of the matrix GAMC.
INV $>=1$.
GAMC - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDG,INV)
The leading MP by INV part of this array must contain the leading coefficient matrix GAMC of which the first INV - 1 columns have been transformed in upper triangular form. Note: this array is overwritten
LDG - INTEGER.

The leading dimension of array GAMC as declared in the calling program.
LDG $>=$ MP.
Q - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDQ,INV)
The leading MP by INV - 1 part of this array must contain the vectors of the elementary Householder transformations by which the first INV - 1 columns of GAMC have been transformed into an upper triangular matrix.
Note; this array is overwritten.
LDQ - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $Q$ as declared in the calling program.
$\operatorname{LDQ}>=M P$.
ARGUMENTS OUT

GAMC - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDG,INV)
The leading MP by INV part of this array contains the leading coefficient matrix GAMC transformed in upper triangular form.
Q - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDQ,INV)
The leading MP by INV part of this array contains the vectors of the elementary Householder transformations by which GAMC has been transformed into an upper triangular matrix.

WORKSPACE
RWORK - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (MP)
TOLERANCES

TOL - DOUBLE PRECISION.
A tolerance below which matrix elements are considered to be zero.

## METHOD

Let the first INV - 1 columns of GAMC be linearly independent, which has been checked by former calls of CKGAMC. A new column is appended. To this column the Householder transformations are applied that have transformed the matrix of the former columns in upper triangular form. If the new column is independent of its predecessors, then a new Householder transformation is generated and applied such that the augmented matrix is upper triangular.

## CONTRIBUTOR

> A.J. Geurts.

REVISIONS
C
CALL DCOPY(MP, GAMC(1,INV), 1, RWORK, 1)
DO $10 \mathrm{~J}=1$, INV - 1
CALL FO6FUF(MP-J, $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{J}+1, \mathrm{~J}), 1, \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{J}), \operatorname{RWORK}(\mathrm{J}), \operatorname{RWORK}(\mathrm{J}+1)$,
1)
10 CONTINUE
NORM $=$ DNRM2 (MP-INV +1 , RWORK (INV), 1)
IF (NORM .LT. TOL) THEN
CKGAMC $=$. FALSE.
ELSE
C
C
C
1993, October 29.
IMPLICIT NONE
Parameters .
DOUBLE PRECISION ZERO
PARAMETER (ZERO $=0.0 \mathrm{O} 0$ )
. . Scalar Arguments ..
INTEGER MP, INV, LDG, LDQ
DOUBLE PRECISION TOL
. Array Arguments ..
DOUBLE PRECISION GAMC(LDG,*), Q(LDQ,*), RWORK(*)
. . Local Scalars ..
INTEGER J
DOUBLE PRECISION NORM, ZETA
.. External Subroutines/Functions ..
EXTERNAL DCOPY, DNRM2, F06FBF, F06FSF, F06FUF
DOUBLE PRECISION DNRM2
IF (INV .LE. MP) THEN
CALL F06FBF (MP, ZERO, $Q(1, I N V), 1)$
Check whether the INV-th column is linearly independent of the
preceding columns by applying the Householder transformations $Q(h)$,
$h=1, \ldots, I N V-1$, to the INV-th column.
Generate the Householder transformation $Q($ INV $)$ if INV < MP.
CALL DCOPY(MP, RWORK, 1, GAMC(1,INV), 1)
IF (INV .LT. MP) THEN
CALL F06FSF (MP-INV, RWORK(INV), RWORK (INV+1), 1, TOL,
ZETA)
GAMC(INV,INV) $=$ RWORK (INV)
CALL F06FBF (MP-INV, ZERO, GAMC(INV+1,INV), 1)
CALL DCOPY (MP-INV, RWORK (INV+1), 1, Q(INV+1,INV), 1)

```
                Q(INV,INV) = ZETA
            ELSE
                    Q(INV,INV) = ZERO
            END IF
            CKGAMC = .TRUE.
        END IF
        ELSE
            CKGAMC = .FALSE.
        END IF
        RETURN
C *** Last line of CKGAMC ***
        END
```


## COLRD1

SUBROUTINE COLRD1(MP, NP, DP, P, LDP1, LDP2, DR, DU, R, LDR1,

* LDR2, U, LDU1, LDU2, ZERCOL, MU, S, SK, A, LDA
* AB, LDAB, Q, LDQ, Y, LDY, YI, GAMC, LDG, W,
* TOL, IERR)

C

PURPOSE
To compute for a given polynomial matrix

$$
P(s)=P(0)+P(1) * s+\ldots+P(d p-1) * s+P(d p) * s,
$$

which is not column reduced, a unimodular polynomial matrix $U(s)$ such that $R(s)=P(s) * U(s)$ is column reduced.
REMARK: This auxiliary routine is intended to be called only from the routine COLRED.

ARGUMENTS IN

MP - INTEGER. The number of rows of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$. MP $>=1$.
NP - INTEGER.
The number of columns of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$. $\mathrm{NP}>=1$.
DP - INTEGER. The degree of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$. DP $>=1$.
P - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDP1,LDP2,DP+1). The leading MP by NP by (DP+1) part of this array must contain the coefficients of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$. Specifically, $P(i, j, k)$ must contain the coefficient of $s * *(k-1)$ of the
polynomial which is the ( $i, j$ )-th element of $P(s)$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, M P, j=1,2, \ldots, N P$ and $k=1,2, \ldots, D P+1$.
LDP1 - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $P$ as declared in the calling program.
LDP1 >= MP.
LDP2 - INTEGER.
The second dimension of array $P$ as declared in the calling program.
LDP2 >= NP.

ARGUMENTS OUT

DR - INTEGER.
The degree of the column reduced polynomial matrix $R(s)$.
DU - INTEGER.
The degree of the unimodular polynomial matrix $U(s)$.
R - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDR1,LDR2,DP+1).
The leading MP by NP by ( $D R+1$ ) part of this array contains
the coefficients of the column reduced polynomial matrix $R(s)$.
Specifically, $R(i, j, k)$ contains the coefficient of $s * *(k-1)$ of the polynomial which is the ( $i, j$ )-th element of $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{s})$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, M P, j=1,2, \ldots, N P$ and $k=1,2, \ldots, D R+1$.
LDR1 - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $R$ as declared in the calling program.
LDR1 >= MP.
LDR2 - INTEGER.
The second dimension of array $R$ as declared in the calling program.
LDR2 $>=$ NP.
U - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDU1,LDU2,NP*DP+1).
The leading NP by NP by ( $D U+1$ ) part of this array contains the coefficients of the unimodular polynomial matrix $U(s)$. Specifically, $U(i, j, k)$ contains the coefficient of $s * *(k-1)$ of the polynomial which is the ( $i, j$ )-th element of $U(s)$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, N P, j=1,2, \ldots, N P$ and $k=1,2, \ldots, D U+1$.
LDU1 - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $U$ as declared in the calling program.
LDU1 >= NP.
LDU2 - INTEGER.
The second dimension of array $U$ as declared in the calling program.
LDU2 >= NP.
ZERCOL - LOGICAL array of DIMENSION at least (NP).
If $\operatorname{ZERCOL}(j)=$.TRUE., then the $j$-th column of $R(s)$ is zero; otherwise the j-th column belongs to R1(s) (see METHOD).

TOL - DOUBLE PRECISION.
A tolerance below which matrix elements are considered to be zero.

ERROR INDICATOR

IERR - INTEGER.
Unless the routine detects an error (see next section), IERR contains 0 on exit.

WARNINGS AND ERRORS DETECTED BY THE ROUTINE
IERR $=2$ : No column reduced $R(s)$ has been found for the maximum $b$, (see METHOD).
IERR $=3$ : The computation of a null vector has failed, because a diagonal block of $A$ ' is not right invertible.
IERR $=4$ : The computation of $R(s)$ has failed, because a computed null vector is not $s * * B$ times a polynomial vector.
$\operatorname{IERR}=5$ : The degree of $R(s)$ has become greater than the degree of P(s).

## METHOD

Let GAMC(P) be the constant matrix such that each of its columns contains the coefficients of the highest power of $s$ occurring in the corresponding column of $P(s)$, the so-called leading column coefficient matrix. Then $P(s)$ is called column reduced if there exists a permutation matrix $T$ such that $P(s)=(Z, P 1(s)) * T$, where $Z$ is a zero matrix and GAMC(P1) has full column rank.

```
Let (U(s),Z(s))' be a minimal polynomial basis (MPB) for
```

    b
    Ker $(s P(s),-I)$, for some $b>0$. It has been proved, see [1], that if
$b$ is greater than $d^{\prime} c(P)$, the sum of all but the smallest column
-b
degrees of $P(s)$, then $U(s)$ is unimodular and $R(s)=s \quad Z(s)$ is column
reduced and $P(s) * U(s)=R(s)$.
The routine computes an MPB for $b=1,2, \ldots$ and checks for each $b$
whether $R(s)$ is column reduced, i.e. whether GAMC(R1) has full column
rank. The algorithm finishes with $U(s)$ and $R(s)$ as soon as $R(s)$ is
column reduced.

## REFERENCES

[1] Neven, W.H.L. and Praagman, C.
Column Reduction of Polynomial Matrices.
Linear Algebra and its Applications 188, 189, pp. 569-589, 1993.
c

CONTRIBUTORS
A.J. Geurts (Eindhoven University of Technology).
C. Praagman (University of Groningen).

REVISIONS

1993, November 8.

IMPLICIT NONE
.. Parameters ..
DOUBLE PRECISION ZERO, ONE
PARAMETER (ZERO $=0.0 \mathrm{DO}$, ONE $=1.0 \mathrm{DO}$ )
. Scalar Arguments ..
INTEGER MP, NP, DP, LDP1, LDP2, DR, DU, LDR1, LDR2, LDU1, LDU2,

* LDA, LDAB, LDQ, LDY, LDG, IERR

DOUBLE PRECISION TOL
.. Array Arguments ..
INTEGER MU(*), S(*), SK (*)
DOUBLE PRECISION P(LDP1,LDP2,*), R(LDR1,LDR2,*), U(LDU1,LDU2,*),

* $\quad \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{LDA}, *), \mathrm{AB}(\mathrm{LDAB}, *), \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{LDQ}, *), \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{LDY}, *), \mathrm{YI}(*)$,
* GAMC(LDG,*), W(*)

LOGICAL ZERCOL(*)
.. Local Scalars ..
INTEGER BMAX, B, I, IC, IR, IND, NNV, NNVB, NCR1, J, K, KK,

* L, C1AI, DUB, DUR1, MA, NA, MAI, NAI, MUI

DOUBLE PRECISION MUQ, NORM, ZETA
LOGICAL COLRDC
.. External Subroutines/Functions ..
EXTERNAL DCOPY, DGEMV, DGER, DNRM2, F06FBF, F06QFF, F06QHF,

* COMPYI, CKGAMC, COMPTY, COMPTV, HHTRAN, MKPENC

DOUBLE PRECISION DNRM2
LOGICAL CKGAMC
.. Intrinsic Functions ..
INTRINSIC MAX
.. Executable Statements ..

BMAX $=(N P-1) * D P+1$
CALL MKPENC(MP, NP, DP, P, LDP1, LDP2, AB, LDAB, MA, NA)
NNVB $=0$
$D U B=-1$
DO $10 \mathrm{~K}=1$, $\mathrm{NP} * \mathrm{DP}+1$
CALL FO6QHF('G', NP, NP, ZERO, ZERD, U(1, $1, K$ ), LDU1)
10 CONTINUE
COLRDC $=$. FALSE.
$\operatorname{MU}(1)=1$

```
    B=0
C WHILE (.NOT.COLRDC and B < BMAX) DO
    20 IF (.NOT.COLRDC .AND. B.LT.BMAX) THEN
        B=B+1
C
C Initialization of A.
C
    IF (B .EQ. 1) THEN
            CALL FO6QFF('G', MA, NA, AB, LDAB, A, LDA)
            CALL FO6FBF(NA, ZERO, A(MA+1,1), LDA)
            ELSE
            CALL FO6QFF('G', MA+1, NA, AB, LDAB, A, LDA)
            J = NP + MU(B-1)
            L = MA - MP - MU(B-1) + 1
            CALL FO6QHF('G', MP, J-1, ZERO, ZERO, A(MA+2,1), LDA)
            CALL FO6QHF('G', MP+1, L, ZERO, ZERO, A(MA+1,J), LDA)
            CALL FO6QHF('G', MP+1, MP, ZERO, ONE, A(MA +1,L+J), LDA)
            MA = MA + MP
            CALL F06QHF('G', MA+1, MP, ZERO, ZERO, A(1,NA+1), LDA)
            NA = NA + MP
            DO 30K=MU(B-1),MU(B)-1
                ZETA = A(K+1,S(K))
                IF (ZETA .NE. ZERO) THEN
                    J = NP + K
                    L = MA - MP - K + 1
                    MUQ = ONE/ZETA
                    CALL DGEMV('N', MP, L, ONE, A(MA-MP+1,J), LDA,
                                    A(K+1,S(K)), 1, ZERO, W, 1)
                    CALL DGER(MP, L, -MUQ, W, 1, A(K+1,S(K)), 1,
                        A(MA-MP+1,J), LDA)
                END IF
            CONTINUE
            END IF
C
        I = B
        DU = DUB
        NNV = NNVB
        DR = -1
        DO 40 K = 1, DU + 1
            CALL FO6QHF('G', NP, NP-NNVB, ZERO, ZERO, U(1,NNVB+1,K),
                LDU1)
    40 CONTINUE
        DO 50 K = 1, DP + 1
            CALL FO6QHF('G', MP, NP, ZERO, ZERO, R(1,1,K), LDR1)
        CONTINUE
        NCR1 = 0
        COLRDC = .TRUE.
C
```

NNV is the number of already found null vectors. With these null vectors correspond the NP by NNV column reduced matrix $R(s)$. NCR1 is the number of columns in R1(s).

```
WHILE (NNV < NP, which means that not all null vectors of sA - E
```

            have been found, and \(R(s)\) is column reduced) DO
    IF ((NNV.LT.NP) .AND. COLRDC) THEN
Determine $A(i, i)$, the $i(t h)$ right invertible diagonal block.
The left upper element of $A(i, i)$ is $A(M U I, C 1 A I)$ and the row and
column dimensions are MAI and NAI, respectively.
MUI $=M U(I)$
MAI $=0$
IF (I .EQ. 1) THEN
$\mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{AI}=1$
NAI $=N P$
ELSE
C1AI $=\mathrm{MU}(\mathrm{I}-1)+\mathrm{NP}$
NAI $=$ MU(I) - MU(I-1)
END IF
Compute the null vectors of $A(i, i)$ and the corresponding null-
vectors of sA - E one by one, append the appropriate parts of a
computed null vector to $U(s)$ and $R(s)$ and check whether $R(s)$
remains column reduced.
$K=1$
WHILE ( $K$ < NAI and $R(s)$ still column reduced) DO
IF (K.LE.NAI .AND. COLRDC) THEN
IR = MUI + MAI
IC $=$ C1AI $+K-1$
$\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{MA}-\mathrm{IR}+1$
IF (L .GT. O) THEN
NORM $=\operatorname{DNRM2}(\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{IR}, \mathrm{IC}), 1)$
ELSE
NORM $=$ ZERO
END IF
IF (NORM .GE. TOL) THEN
Generate and apply the Householder transformation for the
IC-th column of A
CALL HHTRAN(MA, NA, IC, IR, A, LDA, $W, W(M A+1), T O L)$
CALL DCOPY (L, W, 1, A (IR+1,IC), 1)
$S($ MUI + MAI $)=I C$
MAI $=$ MAI + 1
$S K(M A I)=I C-C 1 A I+1$

```
Compute the null vector Y of sA - E corresponding
to the IC-th column of A.
IF (L .GT. O) THEN
    CALL F06FBF(L, ZERO, A(IR,IC), 1)
END IF
IF (MAI .GT. O) THEN
        CALL DCOPY(MAI, A(MUI,IC), 1, W, 1)
        CALL COMPYI(MAI, K-1, A(MUI,C1AI), LDA, SK, W,
            YI, IERR)
        IF (IERR .NE. O) RETURN
ELSE
    CALL F06FBF(K-1, ZERO, YI, 1)
END IF
YI(K) = -ONE
CALL COMPTY(NP, NA, I, K, YI, MU, A, LDA, S, Y, LDY,
                    SK, W, IERR)
IF (IERR .NE. 0) THEN
        IERR = 3
        RETURN
END IF
CALL COMPTV(MA, NA, I, IR, A, LDA, S, Y, LDY, W)
Append the first NP by I block of Y to the unimodular U,
and the last MP by I block to the column reduced R and the
last MP elements of the I-th column of Y to the leading
column coefficient matrix GAMC.
NNV = NNV + 1
DUR1 = 0
DO }80\textrm{KK}=1,\textrm{I
        NORM = DNRM2(NP, Y(1,KK), 1)
        IF (NORM .GE. TOL) THEN
            DUR1 = KK - 1
            CALL DCOPY(NP, Y(1,KK), 1, U(1,NNV ,KK), 1)
        ELSE
            CALL F06FBF(NP, ZERO, U(1,NNV,KK), 1)
        END IF
CONTINUE
DU = MAX(DU, DUR1)
IND = NA - MP + 1
DO 90 KK = 1, B
        NORM = DNRM2(MP, Y(IND,KK), 1)
        IF (NORM .NE. ZERO) THEN
            IERR = 4
            RETURN
```

```
                    END IF
IF (I .EQ. B) THEN
CALI F06QFF ('G', MA+1, NA, A, LDA, AB, LDAB)
NNVB \(=\) NNV
\(D U B=D U\)
END IF
C
```

```
IF (NNV.LE.NP .AND. COLRDC) THEN
```

IF (NNV.LE.NP .AND. COLRDC) THEN
I = I + 1
I = I + 1
MU(I) = MU(I-1) + MAI
MU(I) = MU(I-1) + MAI
END IF
END IF
GO TO 60

```
GO TO 60
```

```
        END IF
C END WHILE 60
        GO TO 20
        END IF
C END WHILE 20
C
        IF (.NOT. COLRDC) IERR = 2
        RETURN
C *** Last line of COLRD1 ***
    END
```


## COMPTV

SUBROUTINE COMPTV(MA, NA, I, J, A, LDA, S, Y, LDY, RWORK)

C
C
C
C To apply the Householder reflections, thus far applied to sA - E, to
$C$ the null vector $Y(s)$ corresponding to a given column of the transformed
$C \quad s A$ ' - E, which transforms this null vector into a null vector $V(s)$ of
C the original pencil sA-E.
C REMARK: This auxiliary routine is intended to be called only from the routine COLRED.
C
C ARGUMENTS IN
C
C MA - INTEGER.
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C

C
C
C
C
C
C The number of rows of matrix $A$. MA $>=1$.
NA - INTEGER. The number of columns of matrix $A$. NA $>=$ MA.
I - INTEGER. The actual number of columns in $Y$, which is also the index of the diagonal block in A corresponding to the null vector $Y(s)$. I $>=1$.
J - INTEGER.
The row index in $A$ which corresponds to the null vector $Y(s)$. $\mathrm{J}>=1$.
A - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDA,NA).
The leading ( $M A+1$ ) by NA part of this array must contain the transformed matrix $A$ ' in the upper part and the Householder transformation vectors in the lower part.
LDA - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $A$ as declared in the calling program.

```
    LDA >= MA + 1.
    S - INTEGER ARRAY of DIMENSION at least (J-1).
        The leading J - 1 elements of this array must contain the
        indices of the pivots of the right invertible diagonal sub-
        matrices, i.e., the pivot of A(m,m) is A(m,S(m)), M=1,\ldots,.,J-1.
        S(m) is also the index of the column in array A in which the
        m-th non-trivial Householder transformation vector is stored.
    Y - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDY,I).
        The leading NA by I part of this array must contain the
        polynomial null vector Y(s) of sA' - E to be transformed, where
        the t-th column ( }t=1,\ldots,I) must contain the coefficient o
        s**(t-1).
        Note: this array is overwritten.
        LDY - INTEGER.
        The leading dimension of array Y as declared in the calling
        program.
        LDY >= NA.
ARGUMENTS OUT
    Y - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDY,I).
        The leading NA by I part of this array contains the transformed
        null vector V(s) = Q* Y(s), where Q is the product of the
        (J-1) Householder transformations Q(m).
WORKSPACE
    RWORK - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (2*MA).
METHOD
Let
    Q(m)=(\begin{array}{lll}{I}&{0}\end{array})
        (0 P(m) )
be the elementary Householder transformation corresponding to the
pivot A(m,S(m)), augmented such that Q(m) is NA by NA, then
Q(1) Q(2) ... Q(J-1) Y =# Y is computed.
CONTRIBUTOR
    A.J. Geurts.
    REVISIONS
    1992, October 27.
IMPLICIT NONE
.. Parameters ..
```

```
    DOUBLE PRECISION ZERO, ONE
    PARAMETER (ZERO = O.ODO, ONE = 1.ODO)
C .. Executable Statements ..
C
C Compute the matrix P(m) Y by w = Y'u and next Y = Y - nu * uw'.
C for m=j-1, .. , 1.
C
    DO 20 M = J - 1, 1, -1
    IF (A(M+1,S(M)) .NE. ZERO) THEN
        LEN = MA - M + 1
        MY = NA - MA + M
        M1 = MA + 1
        CALL DCOPY(LEN, A(M+1,S(M)), 1, RWORK, 1)
        CALL FO6FBF(LEN, ZERO, RWORK(M1), 1)
        NU = ONE/RWORK(1)
        CALL DGEMV('T', LEN, I, ONE, Y(MY,1), LDY, RWORK, 1,
                                    ZERO, RWORK(M1), 1)
            CALL DGER(LEN, I, -NU, RWORK, 1, RWORK(M1), 1, Y(MY,1), LDY)
        END IF
    20 CONTINUE
        RETURN
C *** Last line of COMPTV ***
    END
```


## COMPTY

SUBROUTINE COMPTY(NP, NA, I, NYI, YI, MU, A, LDA, S, Y, LDY, SK, * RWORK, IERR)
$C$ REMARK: This auxiliary routine is intended to be called only from the
routine COLRED.

ARGUMENTS IN

NP - INTEGER.
The number of columns of the polynomial matrix.
NP $>=1$.
NA - INTEGER.
The number of columns of the matrix $A$. $\mathrm{NA}>=1$.
I - INTEGER.
The index of the current diagonal block $A(i, i)$ of the matrix $A$ being transformed into staircase form. I $>=1$.
NYI - INTEGER.
The length of the righthandside vector YI. $1<=N Y I<=N P$.
YI - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION at least (NP). The right null vector of $A(i, i)$.
MU - INTEGER array of DIMENSION at least (MA). $\mathrm{MU}(\mathrm{k}), \mathrm{k}=1$, $\ldots$, i must contain the row index of the left upper element of $A(k, k)$.
A - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDA,NA). The leading $M U(i)-1$ by $M U(i)+N P-1$ part of this array must contain the part of the matrix $A$ ' which is in staircase form.
LDA - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $A$ as declared in the calling program. LDA $>=\operatorname{MU}(\mathrm{i})-1$.
S - INTEGER array of DIMENSION at least (MA). The leading $M U(i)-1$ elements of this array must contain the column indices of the pivots of the right invertible diagonal matrices $A(k, k), k=1, \ldots, i-1$.

ARGUMENTS OUT
Y - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDY,NA).
The leading $N A$ by $i$ part of this array contains the computed polynomial right null vector $Y(s)$ of $s A,-E$, where the $j-t h$ column contains the coefficient of $s * *(j-1)$. The last NA - MU(i) - NP + 1 components of $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{s})$ are zero. LDY - INTEGER.

The leading dimension of array $Y$ as declared in the calling program. LDY $>=$ NA.

WORK SPACE

SK - INTEGER array of DIMENSION at least (NP).
RWORK - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION at least (NP).

ERROR INDICATOR


```
IERR - INTEGER.
```

    Unless the routine detects an error (see next section),
    IERR contains 0 on exit.
    WARNINGS AND ERRORS DETECTED BY THE ROUTINE
$\operatorname{IERR}=k: A(k, k)$ is not right invertible.
METHOD

Let the pencil $s A^{\prime}-E$, partially transformed up to the i-th block, be


where $A(k, k), k=1, \ldots, i$ is right invertible, $A(k, k+1)$ is square and
$E(k)=I$ of appropriate size.
Let $Y(i, i)$, the (constant) right null vector of $A(i, i)$, be given.
Then the routine computes a right null vector of $s A^{\prime}$ - $E$ of the form


C
$Y(k, j), j=k, \ldots, i$, is a vector of length $M U(k)-M U(k-1)$.
CONTRIBUTOR
A.J. Geurts.
REVISIONS
1992, October 27.
IMPLICIT NONE
. . Parameters .
DOUBLE PRECISION ZERO, ONE
PARAMETER (ZERO $=0.0 \mathrm{DO}, \mathrm{ONE}=1.0 \mathrm{DO}$ )
.. Scalar Arguments ..
INTEGER NP, NA, I, NYI, LDA, LDY, IERR
.. Array Arguments ..
INTEGER MU(*), S(*), SK (*)
DDUBLE PRECISION YI(*), A(LDA,*), Y(LDY,*), RWORK(*)
.. Local Scalars ..
INTEGER J, K, M, INDEXK, MUK, MUK1, NUK, MUK1NP
.. External Subroutines ..
EXTERNAL DCOPY, DGEMV, F06FBF, FO6QHF, COMPYI
.. Executable Statements ..
IERR $=0$
Initialization of the polynomial null vector $Y(s)$.
CALL F06QHF('G', NA, I, ZERO, ZERO, Y, LDY)
$K=I$
IF (I .EQ. 1) THEN
CALL DCOPY(NYI, YI, 1, Y(1,1), 1)
ELSE
INDEXK $=\mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{MU}(\mathrm{I}-1)$
CALL DCOPY (NYI, YI, 1, Y(INDEXK,I), 1)
DO $30 \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{I}-1,1,-1$
MUK $=\operatorname{MU}(K)$
INDEXK $=$ NP + MUK
NUK $=M U(K+1)-M U K$
DO $20 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{I}$
Compute the righthandside for $Y(k, j)$ and store the
result in RWORK.
IF (J .LT. I) THEN

CALL DCOPY(NUK, Y(INDEXK, J+1), 1, RWORK, 1)

## ELSE

CALL FO6FBF (NUK, ZERO, RWORK, 1)
END IF
IF (J . GT. K) THEN
CALL DGEMV ('N', NUK, MU(J)-MUK, -ONE, A(MUK, INDEXK), LDA, Y(INDEXK, J), 1, ONE, RWORK, 1)
END IF
C
C Solve $A(k, k) * Y(k, j)=$ RWORK for $Y(k, j)$.
C
IF (K .EQ. 1) THEN
CALL COMPYI(NUK, NP, $A(1,1)$, LDA, $S$, RWORK, $Y(1, J)$, IERR)
ELSE
MUK1 $=\operatorname{MU}(K-1)$
MUK1NP $=$ MUK1 + NP
DO $10 \mathrm{M}=1$, NUK
$S K(M)=S(M U K-1+M)-M U K 1 N P+1$
CONTINUE
CALL COMPYI(NUK, MUK-MUK1, A(MUK,MUK1NP), LDA, SK, RWORK, Y(MUK1NP,J), IERR)
END IF
IF (IERR .NE. O) THEN
IERR $=K$
RETURN
END IF
CONTINUE
$\begin{array}{lr}20 & \text { CONTIN } \\ 30 & \text { CONTINUE }\end{array}$
END IF
RETURN
C *** Last line of COMPTY
END

## COMPYI

SUBROUTINE COMPYI(M, N, A, LDA, S, V, Y, IERR)
C
C PURPOSE
C
C To compute a null vector yi of the right invertible diagonal submatrix
$C \quad A(i, i)$, by solving an appropriate $M$ by $N$ system of linear equations
$C \quad A \quad y=v$, where $A$ is in staircase form.
C REMARK: This auxiliary routine is intended to be called only from the
C routine CDLRED.
C

ARGUMENTS IN

```
M - INTEGER.
```

        The number of rows of matrix \(A\).
        \(M>=1\).
    N - INTEGER.
The number of columns of matrix $A$.
$\mathrm{N}>=\mathrm{M}$.
A - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDA,N).
The leading $M$ by $N$ part of this array must contain the matrix $A$.
LDA - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $A$ as declared by the calling
program.
LDA $>=M$.
S - INTEGER array of DIMENSION at least (M).
$S(i), i=1, \ldots, M$, must contain the column index of the corner
in the i-th row of $A$.
V - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION at least (M).
The righthand-side of the system of linear equations.
ARGUMENTS DUT
Y - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION at least (N).
The computed solution of the system of linear equation.
ERROR INDICATOR
IERR - INTEGER.
Unless the routine detects an error (see next section),
IERR contains 0 on exit.
WARNINGS AMD ERRORS DETECTED BY THE ROUTINE
IERR $=3$ : The matrix $A$ is not right invertible.
METHOD
Let $A * P=(B \mid Z)$ where $P$ is a permutation matrix such that $B$ is
nonsingular upper triangular. $Z$ contains the remaining columns of $A$.
Then the system $B x=v$ is solved and $y=P(x \mid 0)$ '.

CONTRIBUTOR

```
        A.J. Geurts.
```

REVISIONS
1992, October 27.

C

C

IF (N .LT. M) THEN
IERR $=3$
RETURN
END IF
C
IERR $=0$
CALL F06FBF (N, ZERO, Y, 1)
$S I=S(M)$
$Y(S I)=\operatorname{F06BLF}(V(M), A(M, S I), F A I L)$
IF (FAIL) THEN
IERR $=3$
RETURN
END IF
DO $20 \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{M}-1,1,-1$
SUM $=V(K)$
DO $10 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{K}+1, \mathrm{M}$
$S I=S(J)$
$S U M=S U M-A(K, S I) * Y(S I)$
10 CONTINUE
$S I=S(K)$
$Y(S I)=F 06 B L F(S U M, A(K, S I), F A I L)$
IF (FAIL) THEN
IERR $=3$
RETURN
END IF
20 CONTINUE

RETURN
C *** Last line of COMPYI END

## HHTRAN

```
SUBRDUTINE HHTRAN(MA, NA, L, K, A, LDA, Q, RWORK, TOL)
```

PURPOSE
To compute the Householder reflection $Q$ which transforms a
of $A$ into the first unit vector and to apply $Q$ left and right to
REMARK: This auxiliary routine is intended to be called only from the
routine COLRED.
ARGUMENTS IN
MA - INTEGER.
The number of rows of matrix $A$.
MA $>=1$.
NA - INTEGER.
The number of columns of matrix $A$.
NA $>=$ MA.
L - INTEGER.
The index of the column of $A$ to be transformed.
L >= 1 .
K - INTEGER.
The row index from which the column is to be transformed.
$K>=1$.
A - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDA,NA).
The leading MA by NA part of this array must contain the matrix A.
The left lower MA - K + 1 by L - 1 block of the matrix $A$ is
understood to be zero made by former transformations.
Note: this array is overwritten.
LDA - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $A$ as declared in the calling
program.
LDA $>=$ MA.
ARGUMENTS OUT
A - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDA,NA).
The leading MA by NA part of this array contains the transformed
matrix $Q A Q$, where $Q$ is the Householder transformation
12 i
appropriately augmented with an identity matrix.

C .. Parameters .. double precision zero, one
PARAMETER (ZERO $=0.0 \mathrm{DO}$, ONE $=1.0 \mathrm{OD}$ )
C .. Scalar Arguments ..
INTEGER MA, NA, K, L, LDA
double precision tol
C .. Array Arguments . .
DOUBLE PRECISION A(LDA,NA), Q(MA-K+1), RWORK(MA-K+1)
C .. Local Scalars ..
INTEGER LEN, NMK, NL1

```
    DDUBLE PRECISION ZETA, MU
```

C .. External Subroutines/Functions ..
EXTERNAL DCOPY, DGER, DGEMV, F06FBF, F06FSF

C
C .. Executable Statements ..
C

```
    LEN = MA - K + 1
```

    IF (LEN .GT. 1) THEN
    C
C
C
C
C
C
CALL DGER(LEN, NL1, -MU, Q, 1, RWORK, 1, A(K,L), LDA)
Compute the matrix $Q A Q$ by $w=A u$ and next $A=A-m u * w \mathbf{A}^{\prime}$.
12
NMK = NA - MA $+K$
CALL DGEMV ('N', MA, LEN, ONE, A(1,NMK), LDA, Q, 1, ZERO,
*
RWORK, 1)
CALL DGER(MA, LEN, -MU, RWORK, 1, Q, 1, A(1,NMK), LDA)
END IF
ELSE
$Q(1)=$ ZERD
END IF
RETURN
C *** Last line of HHTRAN ***
END

## MKPENC

```
    SUBROUTINE MKPENC(MP, NP, DP, P, LDP1, LDP2, A, LDA, MA, NA)
```

C
C PURPOSE
C

Given an MP $x$ NP polynomial matrix of degree $d p$ $\mathrm{dp}-1 \quad \mathrm{dp}$
$P(s)=P(0)+\ldots+P(d p-1) * s \quad+P(d p) * s$
the subroutine MKPENC constructs the first degree part $A$ of the linearization of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$, where
$|P(d p) \quad 0.0|$
$|P(d p-1) I 0 \quad 0|$
$A=1 . \quad 0 \quad I \quad . \quad . \quad 01$
I 0001

REMARK: This auxiliary routine is intended to be called only from the routine COLRED.

ARGUMENTS IN

MP - INTEGER.
The number of rows of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$.
MP $>=1$.
NP - INTEGER.
The number of columns of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$.
NP $>=1$.
DP - INTEGER.
The degree of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$.
DP $>=1$.
P - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDP1,LP2,DP+1). The leading MP by NP by ( $D P+1$ ) part of this array must contain the coefficients of the polynomial matrix $P(s)$. Specifically, $P(i, j, k)$ must contain the coefficient of $s * *(k-1)$ of the polynomial which is the ( $i, j$ )-th element of $P(s)$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, M P, j=1,2, \ldots, N P$ and $k=1,2, \ldots, D P+1$.
LDP1 - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $P$ as declared in the calling program.
LDP1 $>=M P$.
LDP2 - INTEGER.
The second dimension of array $P$ as declared in the calling program.
LDP2 $>=$ NP.

ARGUMENTS OUT

A - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDA, (DP +1 ) *MP + NP). The leading $(D P+1) * M P$ by $(D P+1) * M P+N P$ part of this array contains the matrix $A$ as described in (2).

LDA - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array $A$ as declared in the calling program.
LDA $>=(\mathrm{DP}+1) * \mathrm{MP}$.
MA - INTEGER.
The number of rows of matrix $A$. NA - INTEGER.

The number of columns of matrix $A$.

CONTRIBUTOR
A. J. Geurts .

REVISIONS

1992, October 27.

IMPLICIT NONE
. . Parameters
DOUBLE PRECISION ZERO, ONE
PARAMETER (ZERO $=0.0 \mathrm{O} O, \mathrm{ONE}=1.0 \mathrm{O} 0$ )
. Scalar Arguments ..
INTEGER MP, NP, DP, LDP1, LDP2, LDA, MA, NA
. . Array Arguments ..
DOUBLE PRECISION P(LDP1,LDP2,*), A(LDA,*)
. . Local Scalars ..
INTEGER J, M1, NJ
.. External Subroutines/Functions ..
EXTERNAL F06QFF, F06QHF
.. Executable Statements ..

Initialization of the matrix A.
$M 1=D P * M P$
$M A=M 1+M P$
$N A=M A+N P$
CALL F06QHF ('G', MP, MA, ZERO, ZERO, A(1,NP+1), LDA)
CALL FO6QHF ('G', M1, MA, ZERO, ONE, A (MP+1,NP+1), LDA)

Insert the matrices $P(0), P(1), \ldots, P(p d)$ at the right places in $A$.
$\mathrm{NJ}=\mathrm{M1}+1$
DO $20 \mathrm{~J}=1$, $\mathrm{DP}+1$
CALL F06QFF('G', MP, NP, $P(1,1, J), L D P 1, A(N J, 1), L D A)$
$\mathrm{NJ}=\mathrm{NJ}-\mathrm{MP} 20$ CONTINUE

RETURN

## MULTPM

```
    SUBRDUTINE MULTPM(ALPHA, RP1, CP1, CP2, DP1, DP2, DP3, P1, LDP11,
* LDP12, P2, LDP21, LDP22, P3, LDP31, LDP32,
* RWORK, IERR)
PURPOSE
To compute the coefficients of the real polynomial matrix
\(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{s})=\mathrm{P} 1(\mathrm{~s})\) * P2(s) + alpha * P3(s),

C
C
C
C C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
c
c
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
c
C
c
C
where \(\mathrm{P} 1(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{P} 2(\mathrm{~s})\) and \(\mathrm{P} 3(\mathrm{~s})\) are real polynomial matrices and alpha is a real scalar.
Each of the polynomial matrices may by the zero matrix.
ARGUMENTS IN

ALPHA - DOUBLE PRECISION.
The value of the scalar factor alpha of the problem.
RP1 - INTEGER.
The number of rows of the matrices \(\mathrm{P} 1(\mathrm{~s})\) and \(\mathrm{P} 3(\mathrm{~s})\).
\(R P 1>=1\).
CP1 - INTEGER.
The number of columns of matrix \(\mathrm{P} 1(\mathrm{~s})\) and the number of rows of matrix P2(s).
\(\mathrm{CP} 1>=1\).
CP2 - INTEGER.
The number of columns of the matrices \(\mathrm{P} 2(\mathrm{~s})\) and \(\mathrm{P} 3(\mathrm{~s})\).
CP2 \(>=1\).
DP1 - INTEGER.
The degree of the polynomial matrix P1(s).
DP1 \(>=-1\).
DP2 - INTEGER.
The degree of the polynomial matrix P2(s).
DP2 >=-1.
DP3 - INTEGER.
The degree of the polynomial matrix P3(s).
DP3 >=-1.
Note: DP3 is overwritten.
P1 - DOUBLE PRECISION array of (LDP11,LDP12,*).
If DP1 \(>=0\), then the leading RP1 by CP1 by (DP1+1) part of this array must contain the coefficients of the polynomial matrix

P1 (s). Specifically, P1(i,j,k) must contain the coefficient of \(s * *(k-1)\) of the polynomial which is the ( \(i, j)\)-th element of \(P_{1}(s)\), where \(i=1,2, \ldots, R P 1, j=1,2, \ldots, C P 1\) and \(k=1,2, \ldots, D P 1+1\). If \(\operatorname{DP} 1=-1\), then \(P 1(s)\) is taken to be the zero polynomial matrix and the array P1 is not referenced.
LDP11 - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array P1 as declared in the calling program.
LDP11 \(>=\) RP1 if DP1 \(>=0\).
LDP11 \(>=1\) if \(D P 1=-1\).
LDP12 - INTEGER.
The second dimension of array P1 as declared in the calling program.
LDP12 \(>=\mathrm{CP} 1\) if \(\mathrm{DP} 1>=0\), LDP12 \(>=1\) if DP1 \(=-1\).
P2 - DDUBLE PRECISION array of (LDP21,LDP22,*).
If DP2 \(>=0\), then the leading CP1 by CP2 by (DP2 +1 ) part of this array must contain the coefficients of the polynomial matrix P2 (s). Specifically, P2 (i,j,k) must contain the coefficient of \(s * *(k-1)\) of the polynomial which is the ( \(i, j)\)-th element of P2(s), where \(i=1,2, \ldots, C P 1, j=1,2, \ldots, C P 2\) and \(k=1,2, \ldots, D P 2+1\). If DP2 \(=-1\), then \(\mathrm{P} 2(\mathrm{~s})\) is taken to be the zero polynomial matrix and the array P2 is not referenced.
LDP21 - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array P2 as declared in the calling program.
LDP21 \(>=\) CP1 if DP2 \(>=0\). LDP21 \(>=1\) if DP2 \(=-1\).
LDP22 - INTEGER.
The second dimension of array P2 as declared in the calling program.
LDP22 \(>=\) CP2 if DP2 \(>=0\), LDP22 >= 1 if DP2 \(=-1\).
P3 - DOUBLE PRECISION array of (LDP31,LDP32, lenp3), where lenp3 \(=\operatorname{MAX}(D P 1+D P 2, D P 3,0)+1\). If DP3 \(>=0\), then the leading RP1 by CP2 by (DP3+1) part of this array must contain the coefficients of the polynomial matrix P3(s). Specifically, P3(i,j,k) must contain the coefficient of \(s * *(k-1)\) of the polynomial which is the (i,j)-th element of P3(s), where \(i=1,2, \ldots, R P 1, j=1,2, \ldots, C P 2\) and \(k=1,2, \ldots, D P 3+1\). If DP3 \(=-1\), then P 3 (s) is taken to be the zero polynomial matrix. Note: this array is overwritten.
LDP31 - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array P3 as declared in the calling program.
LDP31 >= RP1.
LDP32 - INTEGER.
The second dimension of array P3 as declared in the calling
        program.
```

```
LDP32 >= CP2.
```


## ARGUMENTS OUT

```
DP3 - INTEGER.
The degree of the resulting polynomial matrix \(P(s)\).
P3 - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDP31,LDP32,lenp3).
If DP3 >= 0 on exit, then the leading RP1 by CP2 by (DP3+1) part
of this array contains the coefficients of \(P(s)\). Specifically,
P3(i,j,k) contains the coefficient of \(s * *(k-1)\) of the polynomial which is the ( \(i, j\) )-th element of \(P(s)\), where \(i=1,2, \ldots, R P 1\), \(j=1,2, \ldots, C P 2\) and \(k=1,2, \ldots, D P 3+1\).
If DP3 \(=-1\) on exit, then \(P(s)\) is the zero polynomial matrix and the contents of the array P3 are undefined.
WORK SPACE
RWORK - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION at least (CP1).
ERROR INDICATOR
```

```
        IERR - INTEGER.
```

        IERR - INTEGER.
            Unless the routine detects an error (see next section),
        IERR contains O on exit.
    WARNINGS AND ERRORS DETECTED BY THE ROUTINE
IERR $=1$ : Invalid input parameter(s).
METHOD
Given the real polynomial matrices
DP1 $i \quad$ DP2 $i$
$P 1(s)=\operatorname{SUM}(a(i+1) * s), P 2(s)=\operatorname{SUM}(b(i+1) * s)$,
$i=0 \quad i=0$
DP3 i
$P 3(s)=\operatorname{SUM}(c(i+1) * s)$.
$i=0$
and a real scalar alpha, the routine computes the coefficients
$d(1), d(2), \ldots$ of the polynomial matrix (1) from the formula s
$d(i+1):=\operatorname{SUM}(a(k+1) * b(i-k+1))+a l p h a * c(i+1)$, $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{r}$
where $i=0,1, \ldots, D P 1+D P 2$ and $r$ and $s$ depend on the value of $i$, i.e. for $r<=k<=s$ both $a(k+1)$ and $b(i-k+1)$ must exist.
CONTRIBUTOR

```
C .. Executable Statements ..
        IF ( (RP1.LT.1) .OR. (CP1.LT.1) .OR. (CP2.LT.1)
        * .OR. (DP1.LT.-1) .OR. (DP2.LT.-1) .OR. (DP3.LT.-1)
        * .OR. ((LDP11.LT.RP1) .AND. (DP1.GE.0))
        * .OR. ((LDP11.LT.1) .AND. (DP1.EQ.-1))
        * .OR. ((LDP12.LT.CP1) .AND. (DP1.GE.0))
        * . DR. ((LDP12.LT.1) .AND. (DP1.EQ.-1))
        * .OR. ((LDP21.LT.CP1) .AND. (DP2.GE.0))
        * .OR. ((LDP21.LT.1) .AND. (DP2.EQ.-1))
        * . OR. ((LDP22.LT.CP2) .AND. (DP2.GE.0))
        * .OR. ((LDP22.LT.1) .AND. (DP2.EQ.-1))
        * .OR. (LDP31.LT.RP1) .OR. (LDP32.LT.CP2)) THEN
            IERR \(=1\)
            RETURN
        END IF
C
    IERR \(=0\)
    IF (ALPHA .EQ. ZERO) THEN
    DP3 \(=-1\)

END IF

C

C

\section*{END IF}

        DPOL3 \(=\) DP1 + DP2
    IF (DPOL3 .GT. DP3) THEN
        Initialize the additional part of \(\mathrm{P} 3(\mathrm{~s})\) to zero.
        DO \(40 \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{DP} 3+2\), DPOL \(3+1\)
        DO \(30 \mathrm{~J}=1\), CP2
            CALL F06FBF (RP1, ZER0, P3(1, J, K), 1)
        CONTINUE
        CONTINUE
        DP3 \(=\) DPOL3
    END IF
    C The inner product of the j-th row of the coefficient of \(s\) of P1(s)
C
C
C
C
C
        IF (DP3 . GE. O) THEN
    P3 (s) \(:=\) ALPHA * P3(s).
        DO \(20 \mathrm{~K}=1, \mathrm{DP} 3+1\)
        DO \(10 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{CP} 2\)
                CALL DSCAL(RP1, ALPHA, P3(1, J,K), 1)
        CONTINUE
        CONTINUE
    IF ((DP1 .EQ. -1) .OR. (DP2 .EQ. -1)) RETURN
    Neither of \(\mathrm{P} 1(\mathrm{~s})\) and \(\mathrm{P} 2(\mathrm{~s})\) is the zero polynomial.
        i-1
    and the \(h\)-th column of the coefficient of \(s\) of \(\mathrm{P} 2(\mathrm{~s})\) contributes to
        k+i-2
    the ( \(j, h\) )-th element of the coefficient of \(s\) of \(P 3(s)\).
    DO \(80 \mathrm{~K}=1, \mathrm{DP} 1+1\)
    DO \(70 \mathrm{~J}=1\), RP1
        CALL DCOPY (CP1, P1 (J, 1,K), LDP11, RWORK, 1)
        DO \(60 \mathrm{I}=1\), \(\mathrm{DP} 2+1\)
            \(E=K+I-1\)
            DO \(50 \mathrm{H}=1\), CP2
                \(\mathrm{W}=\operatorname{DDOT}(\mathrm{CP} 1, \operatorname{RWORK}, 1, \mathrm{P} 2(1, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I}), 1)\)
                \(\mathrm{P} 3(\mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{E})=\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{P} 3(\mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{E})\)
            CONTINUE
                CONTINUE
            CONTINUE
80 CONTINUE

C
C Computation of the exact degree of P3(s).
C
CFZERO = .TRUE.
C WHILE (DP3 >= 0 and CFZERO) DO
90 IF ((DP3 .GE. O) .AND. CFZERO) THEN DPOL3 = DP3 + 1
DO \(110 \mathrm{I}=1\), RP1 DO \(100 \mathrm{~J}=1\), CP2

IF (P3(I, J, DPOL3) .NE. ZERO) CFZERO = .FALSE. continue
100
110 Continue IF (CFZERO) DP3 = DP3-1 GO TO 90
END IF
C END WHILE 90
C
RETURN
C *** Last line of MULTPM
END

\section*{PRMAPO}

SUBROUTINE PRMAPO(MP, NP, DP, L, NOUT, P, LDP1, LDP2, TEXT, IERR)

C
c
c
c
c
c
C
C
C
C ARGUMENTS IN
C
c
C
C
C
c
C
c
C
C
c
C
PURPOSE

MP - INTEGER.
\(M P>=1\).
NP - INTEGER.
\(\mathrm{NP}>=1\).
DP - INTEGER. DP \(>=0\).
L - INTEGER.

To print the MP by NP coefficient matrices of a matrix polynomial \(d p-1 \quad d p\) \(P(s)=P(0)+P(1) * s+\ldots . P(d p-1) * s+P(d p) * s\).

The elements of the matrices are output to 7 significant figures.

The number of rows of the matrix polynomial \(P(s)\).

The number of columns of the matrix polynomial \(P(s)\).

The degree of the matrix polynomial \(P(s)\). The number of elements of the coefficient matrices to be
```

C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
printed per line.
1<= L<< 5.
NOUT - INTEGER.
The output channel to which the results are sent.
NOUT >= 0.
P - DOUBLE PRECISION array of DIMENSION (LDP1,LDP2,DP+1).
The leading MP by NP by (DP+1) part of this array must contain
the coefficients of the matrix polynomial P(s). Specifically,
P(i,j,k) must contain the coefficient of s**(k-1) of the
polynomial which is the (i,j)-th element of P(s), where
i = 1,2,···,MP, j = 1,2,···,NP and k = 1,2,···,DP+1.
LDP1 - INTEGER.
The leading dimension of array P as declared in the calling
program.
LDP1 >= MP.
LDP2 - INTEGER.
The second dimension of array P as declared in the calling
program.
LDP2 >= NP.
TEXT - CHARACTER*72.
Title caption of the coefficient matrices to be printed.
TEXT is followed by the degree of the coefficient matrix,
within brackets. If TEXT = ',', then the coefficient matrices
are separated by an empty line.
ERROR INDICATOR
IERR - INTEGER.
Unless the routine detects an error (see next section),
IERR contains O on exit.
WARNINGS AND ERRORS DETECTED BY THE ROUTINE
IERR = 1 : Invalid input parameter(s).
METHOD
For i = 1, 2, ..., DP + 1 the routine first prints the contents of
TEXT followed by (i-1) as a title, followed by the elements of the
MP by NP coefficient matrix P(i) such that
(i) if NP < L, then the leading MP by NP part is printed;
(ii) if NP = k*L + p (where k, p > 0), then k MP by L blocks of
consecutive columns of P(i) are printed one after another
followed by one MP by p block containing the last p columns of P(i).
Row numbers are printed on the left of each row and a column number on
top of each column.
CONTRIBUTOR

```

C
C C C c c c

C

C
    LENTXT \(=\operatorname{LEN}(T E X T)\)
    LTEXT \(=\) MIN \((72\), LENTXT \()\)
    WHILE (TEXT(LTEXT:LTEXT) \(=\), , D 0
    10 IF (TEXT(LTEXT:LTEXT) .EQ. ' ') THEN
        LTEXT = LTEXT - 1
        GO TO 10
    END IF
    END WHILE 10
    IF (MP.LT. 1 . OR. NP.LT. 1 . OR. DP.LT. O .OR. L.LT. 1 . OR. L.GT. 5
    * . OR. NOUT.LT. O .OR. LDP1.LT.MP .OR. LDP2.LT.NP) THEN
        IERR \(=1\)
        RETURN
    END If
C
C
    IERR \(=0\)
    DO \(50 \mathrm{~K}=1\), \(\mathrm{DP}+1\)
        IF (LTEXT .EQ. O) THEN
            WRITE (NOUT, FMT \(=99999\) )
    ELSE
        WRITE (NOUT, FMT = 99998) \(\operatorname{TEXT}(1:\) LTEXT \(), \mathrm{K}-1, \mathrm{MP}, \mathrm{NP}\)
    END IF
    \(\mathrm{N} 1=(\mathrm{NP}-1) / \mathrm{L}\)
    \(\mathrm{J} 1=1\)
    \(\mathrm{J} 2=\mathrm{L}\)
```

        DO 30 J = 1, N1
            WRITE (NOUT, FMT = 99997) (JJ, JJ = J1, J2)
            DO 20 I = 1, MP
                WRITE (NOUT, FMT = 99996) I, (P(I, JJ,K), JJ = J1, J2)
                    CONTINUE
                    J1 = J1 + L
                    J2 = J2 + L
    30 CONTINUE
            WRITE (NOUT, FMT = 99997) (J, J = J1, NP)
            DO 40 I = 1, MP
            WRITE (NOUT, FMT = 99996) I, (P(I,JJ,K), JJ = J1, NP)
    40 CONTINUE
    5 0 ~ C O N T I N U E ~
    WRITE (NOUT, FMT = 99999)
    C
RETURN
99999 FORMAT (' ')
99998 FORMAT (/, 1X, A, '(', I2, ')', ' (', I2, 'X', I2, ')')
99997 FORMAT (5X, 5(6X, I2, 7X))
99996 FORMAT (1X, I2, 2X, 5D15.7)
C *** Last line of PRMAPO
END

```
```

