

Asymptotics of subcoercive semigroups on nilpotent Lie groups

Citation for published version (APA):

Dungey, N., Elst, ter, A. F. M., Robinson, D. W., & Sikora, A. (1998). *Asymptotics of subcoercive semigroups on nilpotent Lie groups*. (RANA : reports on applied and numerical analysis; Vol. 9808). Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1998

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Asymptotics of subcoercive semigroups on nilpotent Lie groups

Nick Dungey¹, A.F.M. ter Elst², Derek W. Robinson¹ and Adam Sikora¹

Abstract

Let G be a nilpotent Lie group and H a pure m -th order subcoercive operator constructed from a weighted basis of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . We construct asymptotic approximates G_∞ and H_∞ of G and H by a scaling limit which ensures that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty$ as vector spaces and that G_∞ and H_∞ are automatically scale invariant. We then compare the asymptotic orbits of the semigroup S generated by H with those of the corresponding semigroup $S^{(\infty)}$ generated by H_∞ . In the simplest case, $G = G_\infty$, we prove that on the spaces $L_p(G)$ one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|S_t - S_t^{(\infty)}\|_{p \rightarrow p} = 0$$

for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. But if $G \neq G_\infty$ then we show that the analogous result fails for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. Nevertheless, on the spaces $L_p(\mathfrak{g})$ one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|M_f(S_t - S_t^{(\infty)})\|_{p \rightarrow p} = 0$$

for all $p \in [1, \infty]$ where M_f denotes the operator of multiplication by any bounded function which vanishes at infinity.

May 1998

AMS Subject Classification: 22E25, 35B40.

Home institutions:

1. Centre for Mathematics and its Applications
School of Mathematical Sciences
Australian National University
Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia
2. Department of Mathematics and Computing Science
Eindhoven University of Technology
P.O. Box 513
5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

1 Introduction

The local structure of subelliptic semigroups acting on Lie groups is now well understood but many questions remain concerning the global behaviour. Our aim is to analyze the asymptotic properties of the semigroup generated by a pure m -th order weighted subcoercive operator H acting on a nilpotent group G , where $m \geq 2$. Subcoercivity is here defined in terms of a free group of which G is a factor group. Following Nagel, Ricci and Stein [NRS] we construct asymptotic approximates G_∞ and H_∞ of G and H by a scaling limit. The group G_∞ and the operator H_∞ are automatically homogeneous, i.e., scale invariant. We then compare the asymptotic orbits of the semigroup S generated by H in the left regular representation of G and the corresponding semigroup $S^{(\infty)}$ generated by H_∞ . If $G = G_\infty$ then the semigroups S and $S^{(\infty)}$ can be compared on the spaces $L_p(G)$ but even if $G \neq G_\infty$ one can still compare S and $S^{(\infty)}$ by pulling back to the L_p -spaces over the Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}_∞ because these coincide as vector spaces. One of our principal results is that the difference of the pulled back semigroups converges to zero uniformly on L_p as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if, and only if, $G = G_\infty$. The interest in this conclusion lies with the uniform convergence. It follows from kernel bounds that each of the semigroups converges strongly to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and hence the difference obviously converges to zero. But the uniform convergence is a much stronger statement about the comparability of the asymptotic orbits of the two dynamical semigroups. Even if $G \neq G_\infty$ the asymptotic orbits are uniformly close locally, but not globally. More specifically, if the difference of the pulled back semigroups is multiplied with a bounded measurable function which vanishes at infinity then the product tends to zero uniformly as $t \rightarrow \infty$ on any L_p -space. In order to formulate our results more precisely it is necessary to introduce some definitions and notation.

Let G be a connected, simply connected, d -dimensional nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and $a_1, \dots, a_{d'}$ an algebraic basis of \mathfrak{g} , i.e., a set of linearly independent elements which together with their multi-commutators span \mathfrak{g} . Moreover, let $w_1, \dots, w_{d'} \in \mathbf{N}$ be weights associated with the different directions in \mathfrak{g} . The algebraic basis with these weights is called a weighted algebraic basis. For further details of these and subsequent definitions we refer to [EIR2] and [EIR3].

We need the following multi-index notation for commutators and products. If $N \in \mathbf{N}$ set

$$J(N) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \{1, \dots, N\}^n \quad \text{and} \quad J^+(N) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{1, \dots, N\}^n .$$

Then for $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in J(d')$ set the unweighted length $|\alpha| = n$, the weighted length $\|\alpha\| = w_{i_1} + \dots + w_{i_n}$ and, if $n \geq 1$, introduce the multi-commutator

$$a_{[\alpha]} = [a_{i_1}, [\dots [a_{i_{n-1}}, a_{i_n}] \dots]]$$

of weighted order $\|\alpha\|$. Next for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ let

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = \text{span}\{a_{[\alpha]} : \alpha \in J^+(d'), \|\alpha\| \geq k\} \tag{1}$$

be the ideal spanned by all multi-commutators of order at least k . Since \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent, there exists a unique $r \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}^{(r)} \neq \{0\}$, but $\mathfrak{g}^{(r+1)} = \{0\}$. We call r the weighted rank of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} given the weighted algebraic basis $a_1, \dots, a_{d'}$.

For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ let \mathfrak{a}_k be a vector subspace of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_k$ and hence $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r \mathfrak{a}_k$. Next for all $t > 0$ introduce the linear maps $\gamma_t: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\gamma_t(a) = t^k a$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{a}_k$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Moreover, define the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_t: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$[a, b]_t = \gamma_t^{-1}[\gamma_t(a), \gamma_t(b)] \quad .$$

Then the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\cdot, \cdot]_t$ exists and

$$[\mathfrak{a}_k, \mathfrak{a}_l]_\infty \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{k+l}$$

for all $k, l \in \mathbf{N}$. The Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\infty)$ is homogeneous with respect to the group of dilations used in the construction and the graded subspaces \mathfrak{a}_k correspond to the eigenspaces of the dilations. We use the shorthand notation $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ for $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\infty)$ and call $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ an **asymptotic Lie algebra**. The definition of $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ clearly depends on the choice of the family \mathfrak{a} of subspaces \mathfrak{a}_k but different choices lead to isomorphic asymptotic Lie algebras. If the particular choice of $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ within the set of asymptotic Lie algebras is not significant we simplify the notation by writing \mathfrak{g}_∞ .

Next let b_1, \dots, b_d be a vector space basis for \mathfrak{g} passing through $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_r$ and with order respecting the order of the \mathfrak{a}_k , i.e., if $d_{k_l} = \dim \mathfrak{a}_l$ then b_1, \dots, b_{k_1} is a basis of \mathfrak{a}_1 , $b_{d_{k_1}+1}, \dots, b_{d_{k_1}+k_2}$ a basis of \mathfrak{a}_2 etc.. Assign weights v_1, \dots, v_d where $v_i = k$ if $b_i \in \mathfrak{a}_k$. Then, by definition,

$$[b_i, b_j]_\infty = \pi_{v_i+v_j}([b_i, b_j])$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, where $\pi_k: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_k$ is the projection onto the k -th component of the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{l=1}^\infty \mathfrak{a}_l$. We define the modulus $|\cdot|$ on \mathfrak{g} by

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i b_i \right|^{2v} = \sum_{i=1}^d |\xi_i|^{2v/v_i} \quad ,$$

where $v = \text{lcm}(1, \dots, r)$. Finally, if $b = \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i b_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in J(d)$ set $b^\alpha = \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_n}$

Let U be a continuous representation of G in a Banach space \mathcal{X} . If $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ let $dU(a)$ be the generator of the one-parameter group $t \mapsto U(\exp(-ta))$. Then set $A_i = dU(a_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$ and use the multi-index notation $A^\alpha = A_{i_1} \dots A_{i_n}$ for $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in J(d')$. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}_0$ set $\mathcal{X}'_n = \mathcal{X}'_n(U) = \bigcap_{\|\alpha\| \leq n} D(A^\alpha)$ with norm

$$\|x\|'_n = \|x\|'_{U,n} = \max_{\substack{\alpha \in J(d') \\ \|\alpha\| \leq n}} \|A^\alpha x\| \quad .$$

Further set $\mathcal{X}_\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{X}'_n$. The left regular representation of G on a function space is denoted by L , or L_G , and the spaces and norms associated with the left regular representation on $L_p(G)$ are denoted by $L'_{p;n}$ and $\|\cdot\|'_{p;n}$, etc..

Let $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Then a form of order m is a function $C: J(d') \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $C(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in J(d')$ with $\|\alpha\| > m$ and, moreover, there exists an α with $\|\alpha\| = m$ and $C(\alpha) \neq 0$. The form is called homogeneous of order m if, in addition, $C(\alpha) = 0$ for all α with $\|\alpha\| < m$.

The adjoint form C^\dagger is defined by $C^\dagger(\alpha) = \overline{C(\alpha_*)}$ where α_* is the reverse of α , i.e., if $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ then $\alpha_* = (i_n, \dots, i_1)$ and the bar denotes complex conjugation. Moreover, C is called self-adjoint if $C = C^\dagger$. In the sequel we write $c_\alpha = C(\alpha)$.

Given the representation U we consider the m -th order operator

$$dU(C) = \sum_{\alpha \in J(d')} c_\alpha A^\alpha$$

with domain $D(dU(C)) = \mathcal{X}'_m$. The form C is called a G -weighted subcoercive form and the operator $dU(C)$ a G -weighted subcoercive operator if first $m \in 2w_i\mathbf{N}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$ and secondly there exist $\mu > 0$ and $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\varphi, dL_G(C)\varphi) \geq \mu (\|\varphi\|_{2,m/2}')^2 - \nu \|\varphi\|_2^2$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(G)$, i.e., the operator $dL_G(C)$ satisfies a Gårding inequality on $L_2(G)$. (For many equivalent descriptions of G -weighted subcoercive forms we refer to [EIR3], Sections 4 and 10.) It then follows from Theorem 1.1 of [EIR3] that the closure $\overline{dU(C)}$ generates a holomorphic semigroup on \mathcal{X} . Moreover, this semigroup has a smooth, rapidly decreasing kernel.

Unfortunately, we need a slightly stronger condition on the coefficients of the operator. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}(d', r, w_1, \dots, w_{d'})$ be the weighted nilpotent Lie algebra with d' generators $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{d'}$ and weights $w_1, \dots, w_{d'}$ which is free of step r , i.e., it is equal to the quotient \mathfrak{G}/I where \mathfrak{G} is the free Lie algebra in d' generators, with the i -th generator given the weight w_i , and I is the ideal spanned by the multi-commutators of weighted order strictly larger than r . (See also [NRS] and [EIR3], Example 2.7.) Let \tilde{G} be the connected, simply connected, Lie group with Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Throughout the sequel we assume that C is a homogeneous m -th order \tilde{G} -weighted subcoercive form. Then it follows from [EIR3], Proposition 11.3, that C is also a G -weighted subcoercive form. Let K be the kernel of the semigroup S generated by the closure of the operator $H = dL_G(C)$. Then K is a smooth rapidly decreasing function on G .

Next we need analogous concepts associated with the asymptotic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\infty (= \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}))$. Let G_∞ be the connected, simply connected, Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_∞ . Define H_∞ by

$$H_\infty = \sum_{\alpha \in J(d')} c_\alpha dL_{G_\infty}(\bar{a}^\alpha)$$

where $dL_{G_\infty}(\bar{a}^\alpha) = dL_{G_\infty}(\bar{a}_1) \dots dL_{G_\infty}(\bar{a}_n)$ if $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ and $\bar{a}_i = \pi_{w_i}(a_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$. The domain of H_∞ equals $D(H_\infty) = \bigcap_{\|\alpha\| \leq m} D(dL_{G_\infty}(\bar{a}^\alpha))$. Since the \bar{a}_i do not necessarily form an algebraic basis of \mathfrak{g}_∞ , e.g., some of the \bar{a}_i could be zero, one has to exercise some caution. We shall show in Section 3 that the operator H_∞ is a G_∞ -weighted subcoercive operator with respect to a different form and weighted algebraic basis in \mathfrak{g}_∞ . It then follows from [EIR3] that the closure of H_∞ generates a holomorphic semigroup $S^{(\infty)}$ with a smooth kernel $K^{(\infty)}$ on G_∞ .

The first theorem compares the kernels K and $K^{(\infty)}$ together with their subelliptic derivatives. For $i \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$ set $\bar{A}_i^{(\infty)} = dL_{G_\infty}(\bar{a}_i) = dL_{G_\infty}(\pi_{w_i}(a_i))$. Moreover, set $D = \sum_{i=1}^d v_i$.

Theorem 1.1 *For all $\alpha \in J(d')$ there exist $c, \tau > 0$ such that*

$$\left| (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp a) - (\bar{A}^{(\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(\infty)})(\exp_\infty a) \right| \leq c t^{-(D+\|\alpha\|)/m} t^{-1/m} e^{-\tau(|a|^{m-1})^{1/(m-1)}}$$

uniformly for all $t \geq 1$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Here $\exp_\infty: \mathfrak{g}_\infty \rightarrow G_\infty$ is the exponential map and the estimates are valid for all possible choices of \mathfrak{g}_∞ . Moreover, we assume that the Haar measure on G and G_∞ is normalized such that it is the image measure of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty$ under \exp and \exp_∞ , respectively. For a special class of $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ (see Section 3) Nagel–Ricci–Stein [NRS] showed that $a \mapsto (\overline{A}^{(\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(\infty)})(\exp_\infty a)$ is the first term in the asymptotic expansion of $a \mapsto (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp a)$ in powers of $t^{-1/m}$. Theorem 1.1 establishes that the difference of these kernels is bounded by a Gaussian times $t^{-1/m}$ for all large t .

If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty$ this immediately implies that the semigroup S_t converges uniformly to $S^{(\infty)}$.

Theorem 1.2 *If for a particular choice of \mathfrak{a} one has $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as Lie algebras then the semigroup $S^{(\infty)}$ corresponding to this choice satisfies $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|S_t - S_t^{(\infty)}\|_{p \rightarrow p} = 0$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. More specifically, there exists a $c > 0$ such that*

$$\|S_t - S_t^{(\infty)}\|_{p \rightarrow p} \leq c t^{-1/m}$$

uniformly for all $t \geq 1$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$.

We next consider the general case in which the Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ are distinct. Since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as vector spaces for all possible choices of \mathfrak{a} one can use the exponential maps to compare S and $S^{(\infty)}$. Define $\widehat{S}_t, \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}: L_p(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathfrak{g})$ for each $t > 0$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{S}_t \varphi)(a) &= (S_t(\varphi \circ \log))(\exp a) \\ (\widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)} \varphi)(a) &= (S_t^{(\infty)}(\varphi \circ \log_\infty))(\exp_\infty a) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in L_p(\mathfrak{g})$. Here \log and \log_∞ are the inverse of \exp and \exp_∞ , respectively.

Theorem 1.3 *If $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{g}_\infty$ as Lie algebras then there is a $b > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\|_{p \rightarrow p} \geq b$$

for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. Moreover, if C is self-adjoint, or if $p = 2$, one may choose $b = 1$.

It follows immediately from these results that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\|_{p \rightarrow p} = 0$$

if, and only if, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as Lie algebras. Nevertheless the uniform convergence of S to $S^{(\infty)}$ is very nearly true. For any bounded measurable function $f: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ define the multiplication operator M_f on $L_p(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$(M_f \varphi)(a) = f(a) \varphi(a) \quad .$$

We say that f vanishes at infinity if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $\Omega \subset \mathfrak{g}$ such that $|f(a)| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \Omega$.

Theorem 1.4 *If $f: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a bounded measurable function which vanishes at infinity then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|M_f(\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)})\|_{p \rightarrow p} = 0$$

uniformly for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

The asymptotic estimates on the semigroup S will be deduced from estimates on the kernel K . The initial kernel estimates are derived from an asymptotic expansion of K , in terms of the kernel $K^{(\infty)}$ of $S^{(\infty)}$, given by Nagel, Ricci and Stein [NRS]. Their procedure is based on comparison of G and G_∞ with the larger free group \tilde{G} . A similar method was used in [ERS] to obtain Gaussian bounds on K and its derivatives in the unweighted case via transference. The Nagel–Ricci–Stein analysis uses a particular type of asymptotic Lie algebra which has an extra form of homogeneity. Analysis of the general situation requires examination of the isomorphism relating the various asymptotic algebras. Combination of these techniques establish Theorem 1.1. The estimates of Theorem 1.4, when $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$, go beyond simple bounds on the difference of the kernels and require more detailed analysis of the algebraic structure. The relative difficulty of the two cases is analogous to the complexity of analysis of elliptic operators with variable coefficients in contrast to operators with constant coefficients.

In Section 2 we introduce the algebraic concepts required and recall various essential results from [NRS]. In Section 3 we give the full definition of the operator H_∞ and derive the estimates on the associated semigroup kernels. Then in Section 4 we give the detailed proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. We also discuss some similarities with the limit $t \rightarrow 0$.

2 Algebraic structure

In this section we first examine a special choice of the \mathfrak{a}_k which gives an intrinsic description of $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ particularly suited to the derivation of asymptotic Gaussian kernel bounds. The definition is given in §3 of Nagel, Ricci and Stein [NRS]. We repeat their construction and relate these special algebras to the general asymptotic Lie algebras. For the convenience of the reader we give new proofs for some of their results. Subsequently we discuss some properties of general asymptotic Lie algebras, their relation with the special Nagel–Ricci–Stein class and the possible equality $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ which is significant for the asymptotic behaviour of the subcoercive semigroups.

Set $\tilde{d} = \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $(\tilde{\gamma}_t)_{t>0}$ be the canonical dilations on the homogeneous Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ set $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k = \{\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} : \tilde{\gamma}_t(\tilde{a}) = t^k \tilde{a} \text{ for all } t > 0\} = \text{span}\{\tilde{a}_{[\alpha]} : \|\alpha\| = k\}$. Then if $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}$ are defined by (1) relative to $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ one has $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k+1)} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $\tilde{\pi}_k: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ be the projection. If $\Lambda: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra homomorphism such that $\Lambda(\tilde{a}_i) = a_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$ then it is not hard to see that

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) \tag{2}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Let $\mathfrak{i} = \Lambda^{-1}(0)$ and define

$$\mathfrak{i}_\infty = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r \tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) \quad .$$

Since the restriction $\tilde{\pi}_k|_{\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}}$ of $\tilde{\pi}_k$ has kernel $\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k+1)}$ and image $\tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)})$ it follows that

$\dim \tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) = \dim(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) - \dim(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k+1)})$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathfrak{i}_\infty &= \sum_{k=1}^r \dim \pi_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r \dim(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) - \dim(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k+1)}) = \dim(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(1)}) = \dim \mathfrak{i} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Lemma 2.1 ([NRS]) *The space \mathfrak{i}_∞ is an ideal in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

Proof Let $j, k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_j$ and $v \in \mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}$. Then $[w, \tilde{\pi}_k v] \in \mathfrak{i}_\infty$ by the following argument. Obviously $[w, \tilde{\pi}_k v] \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{(j+k)}$ and $[w, v] \in \mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j+k)}$ since \mathfrak{i} is an ideal in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Moreover, since $v - \tilde{\pi}_k v \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k+1)}$ one has $[w, v] - [w, \tilde{\pi}_k v] \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j+k+1)}$. Therefore $[w, \tilde{\pi}_k v] = \tilde{\pi}_{j+k}[w, \tilde{\pi}_k v] = \tilde{\pi}_{j+k}[w, v] \in \tilde{\pi}_{j+k}(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j+k)}) \subseteq \mathfrak{i}_\infty$. \square

Lemma 2.2 ([NRS]) *If $k \in \mathbf{N}$ then $\Lambda \tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)}$.*

Proof Let $v \in \mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}$. Since $\Lambda v = 0$ and $v - \tilde{\pi}_k v \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k+1)}$ it follows from (2) that $\Lambda \tilde{\pi}_k v = \Lambda(\tilde{\pi}_k v - v) \in \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)}$. \square

Next, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ let $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k$ be a vector subspace of $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ such that

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k \oplus \tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)})$$

and set $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k$. Then $\dim \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = d$ by (3), since obviously

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{i}_\infty \quad .$$

The second statement of the next lemma states that the same decomposition is also valid for the ideal \mathfrak{i} instead of \mathfrak{i}_∞ .

Lemma 2.3 ([NRS])

- I. *The restriction $\Lambda|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}}: \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a bijection.*
- II. $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{i}$.
- III. $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = \bigoplus_{l=k}^r \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_l)$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Proof We first show that

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = \Lambda\left(\bigoplus_{l=k}^r \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_l\right) \quad (4)$$

for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. This equality is trivial if $k \geq r + 1$. Moreover, $\Lambda(\bigoplus_{l=k}^r \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_l) \subseteq \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) = \mathfrak{g}^{(k)}$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Now let $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and suppose that $\mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)} = \Lambda(\bigoplus_{l=k+1}^r \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_l)$. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)} = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k \oplus \tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k+1)}$ it follows from Lemma 2.2 and the induction hypothesis that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}^{(k)} &= \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) = \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k) + \Lambda(\tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)})) + \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k+1)}) \\ &\subseteq \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k) + \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)} + \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)} \\ &= \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k) + \Lambda\left(\bigoplus_{l=k+1}^r \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_l\right) = \Lambda\left(\bigoplus_{l=k}^r \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_l\right) \end{aligned}$$

and (4) follows by induction. Setting $k = 1$ in (4) gives $\Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}) = \mathfrak{g}$. Since $\dim \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} - \dim \mathfrak{i}_\infty = \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} - \dim \mathfrak{i} = \dim \mathfrak{g}$, Statement I follows.

Since Λ is injective on $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\mathfrak{i} = \ker \Lambda$ one has $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \cap \mathfrak{i} = \{0\}$. Therefore Statement II follows from a dimension consideration.

Finally, the injectivity of Λ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ together with (4) yield Statement III. \square

Now the appropriate choice of the \mathfrak{a}_k is evident. Set $\mathfrak{a}_k^{(I)} = \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k)$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = \bigoplus_{l=k}^r \mathfrak{a}_l^{(I)}$. Define $\gamma_t^{(I)}, [\cdot, \cdot]_t^{(I)}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\infty^{(I)}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}^{(I)})$ with respect to the family of subspaces $\mathfrak{a}_k^{(I)}$. We call $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}^{(I)})$ an **ideal asymptotic Lie algebra**.

Define the linear map $\Lambda_\infty: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}^{(I)})$ by

$$\Lambda_\infty|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}} = \Lambda|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_\infty|_{\mathfrak{i}_\infty} = 0 \quad .$$

Next introduce the projections $\pi_k^{(I)}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_k^{(I)}$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

One has the following connection between Λ and Λ_∞ .

Lemma 2.4 *If $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ then $\pi_k^{(I)}\Lambda\tilde{a} = \Lambda_\infty\tilde{a}$.*

Proof If $v \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k$ then $\Lambda v \in \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k) = \mathfrak{a}_k^{(I)}$. Therefore $\pi_k^{(I)}\Lambda v = \Lambda v = \Lambda_\infty v$. Alternatively, if $v \in \tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)})$ then $\Lambda v \in \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)}$ by Lemma 2.2. Hence $\pi_k^{(I)}\Lambda v = 0 = \Lambda_\infty v$. Now the lemma follows by linearity. \square

Proposition 2.5 ([NRS]) *The map Λ_∞ is a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ onto $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}^{(I)})$. Hence each $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}^{(I)})$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{i}_\infty$ as Lie algebras.*

Proof Let $j, k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $v \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_j$ and $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$[\Lambda_\infty v, \Lambda_\infty w]_\infty^{(I)} = [\pi_j^{(I)}\Lambda v, \pi_k^{(I)}\Lambda w]_\infty^{(I)} = \pi_{j+k}^{(I)}[\pi_j^{(I)}\Lambda v, \pi_k^{(I)}\Lambda w] = \pi_{j+k}^{(I)}[\Lambda v, \Lambda w] \quad ,$$

where the last equality follows because $[\pi_j^{(I)}\Lambda v, \pi_k^{(I)}\Lambda w] - [\Lambda v, \Lambda w] \in \mathfrak{g}^{(j+k+1)}$. Since Λ is a homomorphism it follows that

$$[\Lambda_\infty v, \Lambda_\infty w]_\infty^{(I)} = \pi_{j+k}^{(I)}\Lambda([v, w]) = \Lambda_\infty([v, w])$$

by another application of Lemma 2.4. Thus Λ_∞ is a homomorphism from $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}^{(I)})$. But $\Lambda_\infty(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) = \Lambda_\infty(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}) = \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}) = \mathfrak{g}$ by Lemma 2.3.I. So Λ_∞ is onto. The second statement is easy. \square

The next result establishes that there are asymptotic Lie algebras which are not ideal. The ideal asymptotic algebras are characterized by additional homogeneity properties.

Lemma 2.6 *Let $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ be an asymptotic Lie algebra. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- I. $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ is an ideal asymptotic Lie algebra.
- II. $\mathfrak{a}_k \subseteq \text{span}\{a_{[\alpha]} : \alpha \in J(d'), \|\alpha\| = k\}$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Proof First note that

$$\Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k) = \text{span}\{a_{[\alpha]} : \alpha \in J(d'), \|\alpha\| = k\}$$

for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. But $\mathfrak{a}_k^{(I)} = \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k) \subseteq \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k)$ for all k and hence Condition I implies Condition II.

Next assume Condition II holds and let $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $\mathfrak{a}_k \subseteq \Lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k)$ by assumption. Hence there exists a subspace $\mathfrak{h}_k^\sharp \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ such that $\dim \mathfrak{h}_k^\sharp = \dim \mathfrak{a}_k$ and $\Lambda(\mathfrak{h}_k^\sharp) = \mathfrak{a}_k$. Then the restriction $\Lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_k^\sharp}$ is injective. So if we can prove that $\mathfrak{h}_k^\sharp \cap \tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) = \{0\}$ then $\mathfrak{h}_k^\sharp \oplus \tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ is an ideal asymptotic Lie algebra.

Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{h}_k^\sharp \cap \tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)})$. Then $\Lambda(a) \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{h}_k^\sharp) = \mathfrak{a}_k$. Moreover, $\Lambda(a) \in \Lambda\tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)}$ by Lemma 2.2. Hence $\Lambda(a) \in \mathfrak{a}_k \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)} = \{0\}$. Since $\Lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_k^\sharp}$ is injective one deduces that $a = 0$. So $\mathfrak{h}_k^\sharp \cap \tilde{\pi}_k(\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) = \{0\}$. \square

The general asymptotic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ constructed in the introduction and the ideal asymptotic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}^{(I)})$ are automatically isomorphic. In particular the linear map $\Phi: \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}^{(I)}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ defined such that

$$\Phi(a) = \pi_k(a)$$

for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{a}_k^{(I)}$ is an explicit isomorphism. This is established in the next lemma.

Now introduce $\bar{a}_i^{(I)} = \pi_{w_i}^{(I)} a_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$ and define a linear map $\Psi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ to be super-homogeneous if $\Psi(\mathfrak{g}^{(k)}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)}$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Lemma 2.7

- I. The map Φ is a Lie algebra isomorphism.
- II. The map $a \mapsto \Phi(a) - a$ is super-homogeneous.
- III. $\Phi(\Lambda_\infty \tilde{a}_i) = \Phi(\bar{a}_i^{(I)}) = \bar{a}_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$.

Proof For the super-homogeneity, it suffices to show that $(\Phi - I)(\mathfrak{a}_k^{(I)}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)}$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$. If $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{a}_k^{(I)}$ then $\Phi(v) - v = \pi_k(v) - v \in \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)}$ since $v \in \mathfrak{g}^{(k)}$. This proves Statement II. Moreover, Φ is surjective.

Let $j, k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $v \in \mathfrak{a}_j^{(I)}$ and $w \in \mathfrak{a}_k^{(I)}$. Then

$$[\Phi(v), \Phi(w)]_\infty = [\pi_j v, \pi_k w]_\infty = \pi_{j+k}([\pi_j v, \pi_k w]) = \pi_{j+k}([v, w])$$

where the last equality holds because $[v, w] - [\pi_j v, \pi_k w] \in \mathfrak{g}^{(j+k+1)}$. On the other hand, $[v, w]_\infty^{(I)} \in \mathfrak{a}_{j+k}^{(I)}$ and therefore

$$\Phi([v, w]_\infty^{(I)}) = \pi_{j+k}([v, w]_\infty^{(I)}) = \pi_{j+k}([v, w])$$

using the fact that $[v, w] - [v, w]_\infty^{(I)} \in \mathfrak{g}^{(j+k+1)}$. This shows that Φ is a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}^{(I)})$ to $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$. Since Φ is surjective it follows that Φ is an isomorphism.

Next we prove Statement III. The first equality follows from Lemma 2.4. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$. Since $\bar{a}_i^{(I)} \in \mathfrak{a}_{w_i}^{(I)}$ and $a_i - \bar{a}_i^{(I)} = a_i - \pi_{w_i}^{(I)} a_i \in \mathfrak{g}^{(w_i+1)}$ one deduces that

$$\Phi(\bar{a}_i^{(I)}) = \pi_{w_i}(\bar{a}_i^{(I)}) = \pi_{w_i}(a_i) = \bar{a}_i$$

and the second equality of Statement III is proved. \square

The \bar{a}_i used in the definition of the limit operator H_∞ do not form an algebraic basis as they are not necessarily independent. This problem can be circumvented as follows. Since $\bar{a}_i \in \bigcup_{k=1}^r \mathfrak{a}_k$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$ there exist $d'' \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$ and linearly independent $a_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, a_{d''}^{(\infty)} \in \bigcup_{k=1}^r \mathfrak{a}_k$ such that

$$\text{span}\{a_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, a_{d''}^{(\infty)}\} = \text{span}\{\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{d'}\} \quad .$$

For all $i \in \{1, \dots, d''\}$ set $w_i^{(\infty)} = v_k$ if $a_i^{(\infty)} \in \mathfrak{a}_k$. Then $\gamma_t(a_i^{(\infty)}) = t^{w_i^{(\infty)}} a_i^{(\infty)}$ for all $t > 0$.

Lemma 2.8 $a_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, a_{d''}^{(\infty)}$ is an algebraic basis for $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$.

Proof Let \mathfrak{h} be the smallest Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ which contains $a_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, a_{d''}^{(\infty)}$. Then $\Phi(\Lambda_\infty \tilde{a}_i) = \bar{a}_i \in \mathfrak{h}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$ and hence $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}) = \Phi(\Lambda_\infty \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$. Therefore $a_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, a_{d''}^{(\infty)}$ is a weighted algebraic basis for $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ with weights $w_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, w_{d''}^{(\infty)}$. \square

Finally we make three remarks about the possible identification $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{g}$ of Lie algebras.

Lemma 2.9 Let $\mathfrak{a} = (\mathfrak{a}_k)$ be a family of subspaces of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_k$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. The following are equivalent:

- I. The subspaces \mathfrak{a} are a graded family of \mathfrak{g} , i.e., $[\mathfrak{a}_j, \mathfrak{a}_k] \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{j+k}$ for all $j, k \in \mathbf{N}$.
- II. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as Lie algebras.

Proof It follows by construction that \mathfrak{a} is a graded family of $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ and hence Condition II implies Condition I. Conversely the grading property of \mathfrak{a} implies $[a, b]_t = [a, b]$ for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ and all $t > 0$. Hence $[a, b]_\infty = [a, b]$ for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ and Condition II is valid. \square

There are, however, examples for which no choice of \mathfrak{a} ensures $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as Lie algebras.

Example 2.10 Let \mathfrak{g} be the three-dimensional Heisenberg algebra with basis a_1, a_2, a_3 satisfying $[a_1, a_2] = a_3$ and all other commutators zero. Consider the algebraic basis a_1, a_2, a_3 with weights 1, 1, 3. Then $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = \mathfrak{g}^{(3)} = \text{span}\{a_3\}$. Hence for any possible choice of \mathfrak{a} there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 = \text{span}\{a_1 + \lambda a_3, a_2 + \mu a_3\} \quad ,$$

$\mathfrak{a}_2 = 0$ and $\mathfrak{a}_3 = \text{span}\{a_3\}$. Then $[\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_1] \not\subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2$ so no choice of \mathfrak{a} is graded. Thus there is no choice of \mathfrak{a} such that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as Lie algebras. Another way of verifying this is by the observation that the $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ are Abelian.

Even if one can choose \mathfrak{a} such that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as Lie algebras it is not necessarily the case that $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ is an ideal asymptotic Lie algebra.

Example 2.11 Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of dimension five with basis b_1, \dots, b_5 satisfying $[b_1, b_2] = b_3$, $[b_1, b_3] = b_4$ and all other commutators zero. Consider the algebraic basis $a_1 = b_1$, $a_2 = b_2$ and $a_3 = b_3 + b_5$ with all weights equal to one. Then $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = \text{span}\{b_3, b_4\}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{(3)} = \text{span}\{b_4\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = \{0\}$ for $k > 3$. Choosing $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \text{span}\{b_1, b_2, b_5\}$, $\mathfrak{a}_2 = \text{span}\{b_3\}$, $\mathfrak{a}_3 = \text{span}\{b_4\}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_k = \{0\}$ for $k > 3$ one has $[\mathfrak{a}_k, \mathfrak{a}_l] \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{k+l}$ for all $k, l \in \mathbf{N}$ and hence $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as Lie algebras. But $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ is not an ideal asymptotic Lie algebra because $\mathfrak{a}_1 \not\subseteq \text{span}\{a_{[\alpha]} : |\alpha| = 1\}$, i.e., the criterion of Lemma 2.6 is not satisfied.

Now let $\{\mathfrak{a}_k\}$ satisfy the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2.6 and consider the associated asymptotic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$. Since $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \text{span}\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $\dim \mathfrak{a}_1 = 3$ one must have $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \text{span}\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. Then

$$[a_1, a_3]_\infty = \pi_2([a_1, a_3]) = \pi_2(b_4) \quad .$$

But $b_4 \in \mathfrak{g}^{(3)}$ so $\pi_2(b_4) = 0$. Hence

$$[a_1, a_3]_\infty = 0 \neq b_4 = [a_1, a_3]$$

and consequently $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as Lie algebras.

3 Kernel estimates

In this section we derive the asymptotic estimates on the semigroup kernels, i.e., we establish Theorem 1.1. First, however, we have to give a proper definition of the operator H_∞ which implies that the semigroup $S^{(\infty)}$ and kernel $K^{(\infty)}$ exist. We use the notation of Sections 1 and 2. Let G_∞ , $G_\infty^{(I)}$ and \tilde{G} be the connected, simply connected, Lie groups with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$, $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}^{(I)})$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. We denote the exponential maps by \exp_∞ , $\exp_\infty^{(I)}$ and $\widetilde{\exp}$, respectively, with similar notation for the logarithms.

Introduce the unitary representation U of \tilde{G} in the Hilbert space $L_2(G_\infty)$ by $U(\widetilde{\exp} \tilde{a}) = L_{G_\infty}(\exp_\infty \Phi \Lambda_\infty \tilde{a})$. Note that $dU(\tilde{a}_i) = dL_{G_\infty}(\tilde{a}_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$ by Lemma 2.7.III. Therefore $H_\infty = dU(C)$. Let d'' , $a_i^{(\infty)}$ and $w_i^{(\infty)}$ be as in Section 2. Then $a_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, a_{d''}^{(\infty)}$ is a weighted algebraic basis for $\mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ by Lemma 2.8. Hence $(L_2(G_\infty))_\infty(U) = L_{2;\infty}(G_\infty)$. By the construction of the $a_i^{(\infty)}$ there exist $c_\beta^{(\infty)} \in \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$dU(C)\varphi = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in J(d'') \\ \|\beta\|_{w^{(\infty)}} \leq m}} c_\beta^{(\infty)} A^{(\infty)\beta} \varphi$$

for all $\varphi \in L_{2;\infty}(G_\infty)$, where $A_i^{(\infty)} = dL_{G_\infty}(a_i^{(\infty)})$ and $\|\beta\|_{w^{(\infty)}} = w_{i_1}^{(\infty)} + \dots + w_{i_n}^{(\infty)}$ if $\beta = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in J(d'')$. Define the m -th order form $C^{(\infty)}: J(d'') \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by $C^{(\infty)}(\beta) = c_\beta^{(\infty)}$. Then $dU(C)\varphi = dL_{G_\infty}(C^{(\infty)})\varphi$ for all $\varphi \in L_{2;\infty}(G_\infty)$. Since U is a unitary representation it follows from [ElR3], Theorem 9.2.III, that there exist $\mu, \nu > 0$ such that

$$\text{Re}(\varphi, dL_{G_\infty}(C^{(\infty)})\varphi) = \text{Re}(\varphi, dU(C)\varphi) \geq \mu (\|\varphi\|'_{U, m/2})^2 - \nu \|\varphi\|_2^2$$

for all $\varphi \in L_{2;\infty}(G_\infty)$. But by inspection one deduces that $(L_2(G_\infty))'_{m/2}(U) = L'_{2; m/2}(G_\infty)$, where the last space is with respect to the weighted algebraic basis $a_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, a_{d''}^{(\infty)}$. Moreover, there exists a $c > 0$ such that

$$c \|\varphi\|'_{L_{G_\infty}, m/2} \leq \|\varphi\|'_{U, m/2}$$

for all $\varphi \in L'_{2;m/2}(G_\infty)$. Hence

$$\operatorname{Re}(\varphi, dL_{G_\infty}(C^{(\infty)})\varphi) \geq \mu c^2 (\|\varphi\|'_{L_{G_\infty, m/2}})^2 - \nu \|\varphi\|_2^2$$

for all $\varphi \in L_{2;\infty}(G_\infty)$ and $C^{(\infty)}$ is a G_∞ -weighted subcoercive form. Thus it follows from [ElR3] that H_∞ generates a holomorphic semigroup $S^{(\infty)}$ and that $S^{(\infty)}$ has a smooth kernel $K^{(\infty)}$ on G_∞ . Define in a similar manner the operator

$$H_\infty^{(I)} = \sum_{\alpha \in J(d')} c_\alpha dL_{G_\infty^{(I)}}(\bar{a}^{(I)\alpha}) \quad ,$$

the semigroup $S^{(I,\infty)}$ and the kernel $K^{(I,\infty)}$ on $G_\infty^{(I)}$.

At this point the asymptotic operators and kernels are well-defined and we start with the proof of the Theorem 1.1. This is based on the splitting

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp a) - (\bar{A}^{(\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(\infty)})(\exp_\infty a) \right| \\ & \leq \left| (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp a) - (\bar{A}^{(I,\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(I,\infty)})(\exp_\infty^{(I)} a) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| (\bar{A}^{(I,\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(I,\infty)})(\exp_\infty^{(I)} a) - (\bar{A}^{(\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(\infty)})(\exp_\infty a) \right| \quad , \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{A}_i^{(I,\infty)} = dL_{G_\infty^{(I)}}(\bar{a}_i^{(I)})$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$. The two terms are estimated separately. The estimate on the first term establishes the theorem for an ideal asymptotic Lie algebra. Its proof is based on a lemma which can be extracted from [NRS]. The bounds on the second term are a consequence of the super-homogeneity of Φ .

Let $\widetilde{H} = dL_{\widetilde{G}}(C)$ and let \widetilde{K} be the kernel of the semigroup \widetilde{S} generated by the closure of \widetilde{H} . Let $\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_d$ be a basis for $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ passing through $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_r$ with order respecting the order of the $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k$. Set $\tilde{d}_k = \dim \mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, r+1\}$. Since $\mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(1)}$ there exists a basis $\hat{b}_{d+1}, \dots, \hat{b}_{\tilde{d}}$ for \mathfrak{i} such that $\hat{b}_{\tilde{d}-\tilde{d}_k+1}, \dots, \hat{b}_{\tilde{d}}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. If $\tilde{d} - \tilde{d}_k + 1 \leq i \leq \tilde{d} - \tilde{d}_{k+1}$, i.e., if $\tilde{b}_i \in (\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k)}) \setminus (\mathfrak{i} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k+1)})$ define $\tilde{b}_i = \tilde{\pi}_k \hat{b}_i$. Then,

$$\hat{b}_i - \tilde{b}_i \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{(k+1)} \quad . \quad (6)$$

Note that $\tilde{b}_i \neq 0$. As a result $\tilde{b}_{d+1}, \dots, \tilde{b}_{\tilde{d}}$ are independent and form a basis for \mathfrak{i}_∞ . Hence $\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_d, \dots, \tilde{b}_{\tilde{d}}$ is a basis for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Set $\tilde{v}_i = k$ if $\tilde{b}_i \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$. Since $\dim \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k = \dim \mathfrak{a}_k^{(I)} = \dim \mathfrak{a}_k$ for all k it follows from the ordering of the basis b_i and the fact that the weights of b_i depend only on the $\dim \mathfrak{g}^{(k)}$ that $\tilde{v}_i = v_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Define the modulus $|\cdot|$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}} \xi_i \tilde{b}_i \right|^{2v} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}} |\xi_i|^{2v/\tilde{v}_i} \quad .$$

Moreover, set $\widetilde{D} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}} \tilde{v}_i$. Since \widetilde{H} is a homogeneous operator on the homogeneous group \widetilde{G} it follows from [ElR3], Proposition 5.5, that for all $\alpha \in J(\tilde{d})$ there exist $c, \tau > 0$ such that

$$|(\widetilde{B}^\alpha \widetilde{K}_t)(\widetilde{\exp} \tilde{a})| \leq c t^{-\widetilde{D}/m} t^{-\|\alpha\|_{\tilde{v}}/m} e^{-\tau(|\tilde{a}|^m t^{-1})^{1/(m-1)}} \quad (7)$$

uniformly for all $t > 0$ and $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, where $\widetilde{B}_i = dL_{\widetilde{G}}(\tilde{b}_i)$ and $\|\alpha\|_{\tilde{v}} = \tilde{v}_{i_1} + \dots + \tilde{v}_{i_n}$ if $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$. Set $b_i^{(I)} = \Lambda(\tilde{b}_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Then $b_1^{(I)}, \dots, b_d^{(I)}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{g}_\infty^{(I)}$.

Using the bases $b_1^{(I)}, \dots, b_d^{(I)}$ and $\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_{\tilde{d}}$ we fix the Lebesgue measure on the vector spaces $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a}^{(I)})$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then the Haar measures on $G, G_\infty^{(I)}$ and \tilde{G} are normalized such that the exponential maps are measure preserving. Note that the restrictions of the maps Λ and Λ_∞ to $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ have Jacobian equal to one. Define the linear map $\Psi: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that

$$\Psi(\tilde{b}_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ \tilde{b}_i - \tilde{b}_i & \text{if } i \in \{d+1, \dots, \tilde{d}\} \end{cases} .$$

Then $\mathfrak{i} = \{\tilde{b} + \Psi(\tilde{b}) : \tilde{b} \in \mathfrak{i}_\infty\}$. Moreover, the map Ψ is super-homogeneous, by (6).

The basic lemma relates the kernels \tilde{K}, K and $K^{(I, \infty)}$.

Lemma 3.1 *If $t > 0$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp \Lambda \tilde{a}) &= \int_{\mathfrak{i}} d\tilde{b} (\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_t)(\widetilde{\exp}(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b})) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{i}_\infty} db (\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_t)(\widetilde{\exp}(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \Psi(\tilde{b}))) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and

$$(\tilde{A}^{(I, \infty)\alpha} K_t^{(I, \infty)})(\exp_\infty^{(I)} \Lambda_\infty \tilde{a}) = \int_{\mathfrak{i}_\infty} d\tilde{b} (\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_t)(\widetilde{\exp}(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b})) \quad (9)$$

for all $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}, t > 0$ and $\alpha \in J(d')$.

Proof The result for the kernels, without derivatives, is stated in §1 of [NRS] but it is not explicitly proved although its proof is implicit in the discussion of §6. Note that the integrals in the lemma exist by the Gaussian bounds (7).

We only prove (8), the proof of (9) is similar. It follows as in [ERS], Lemma 3.2, that

$$\int_G dg \varphi(g) (A^\alpha K_t)(g) = \int_{\tilde{G}} d\tilde{g} \varphi(\exp \Lambda \widetilde{\log} \tilde{g}) (\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_t)(\tilde{g})$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(G)$, $\alpha \in J(d')$ and $t > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}} d\tilde{a} \psi(\Lambda \tilde{a}) (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp \Lambda \tilde{a}) &= \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} d\tilde{a} \psi(\Lambda \tilde{a}) (\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_t)(\widetilde{\exp} \tilde{a}) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} d\tilde{a} \psi(\Lambda(\tilde{a} + \Psi(\tilde{a}))) (\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_t)(\widetilde{\exp}(\tilde{a} + \Psi(\tilde{a}))) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\psi \in C_c(\mathfrak{g})$, $t > 0$ and $\alpha \in J(d')$, since Ψ is super-homogeneous. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}} d\tilde{a} \psi(\Lambda \tilde{a}) (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp \Lambda \tilde{a}) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}} d\tilde{a} \int_{\mathfrak{i}_\infty} d\tilde{b} \psi(\Lambda(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \Psi(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}))) (\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_t)(\widetilde{\exp}(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \Psi(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}))) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}} d\tilde{a} \int_{\mathfrak{i}_\infty} d\tilde{b} \psi(\Lambda \tilde{a}) (\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_t)(\widetilde{\exp}(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \Psi(\tilde{b}))) \end{aligned}$$

because $\tilde{b} + \Psi(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}) = \tilde{b} + \Psi(\tilde{b}) \in \mathfrak{i}$ for all $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\tilde{b} \in \mathfrak{i}_\infty$. Now the statement of the lemma follows easily. \square

For all $i \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{d}\}$ and $\varphi \in C^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ define $D_i\varphi \in C(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ by $(D_i\varphi)(\tilde{a}) = \frac{d}{dt}\varphi(\tilde{a} + t\tilde{b}_i)\Big|_{t=0}$. Moreover, if $\tilde{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}} \xi_i \tilde{b}_i$ and $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in J(\tilde{d})$ define $\tilde{a}^\alpha = \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_n}$. (Although we also use the notation \tilde{a}^α for an element in the complex universal enveloping algebra, the meaning will be clear from the context.) Note that $|\tilde{a}^\alpha| \leq |\tilde{a}|^{|\alpha|_{\tilde{v}}}$.

To bound the first term in (5) we need one more lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *For all $\alpha \in J(d')$ there exist $c, \tau > 0$ such that*

$$\left| (D_i((\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_t) \circ \overline{\text{exp}}))(\tilde{a}) \right| \leq c t^{-(\tilde{D} + \|\alpha\|)/m} t^{-\tilde{v}_i/m} e^{-\tau(|\tilde{a}|^m t^{-1})^{1/(m-1)}}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{d}\}$, $t > 0$ and $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Proof It follows from the Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff formula that there exist $c_{ij\beta} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$(\tilde{B}_i\varphi)(\overline{\text{exp}} \tilde{a}) = -\left(D_i(\varphi \circ \overline{\text{exp}})\right)(\tilde{a}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{d}} \sum_{0 < \|\beta\|_{\tilde{v}} = \tilde{v}_j - \tilde{v}_i} c_{ij\beta} \tilde{a}^\beta \left(D_j(\varphi \circ \overline{\text{exp}})\right)(\tilde{a})$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{d}\}$, $\varphi \in C^1(\tilde{G})$ and $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. If one temporarily orders the basis $\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_{\tilde{d}}$ such that $\tilde{v}_1 \leq \dots \leq \tilde{v}_{\tilde{d}}$ then the transition matrix from the D_i to the \tilde{B}_i is triangular, with -1 entries on the diagonal. Then one can solve for the D_i and it follows that there are polynomial functions $P_{ij}: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\left(D_i(\varphi \circ \overline{\text{exp}})\right)(\tilde{a}) = -(\tilde{B}_i\varphi)(\overline{\text{exp}} \tilde{a}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{d}} P_{ij}(\tilde{a}) (\tilde{B}_j\varphi)(\overline{\text{exp}} \tilde{a}) \quad (10)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{d}\}$, $\varphi \in C^1(\tilde{G})$ and $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then by scaling it follows that the P_{ij} are homogeneous of degree $\tilde{v}_j - \tilde{v}_i$.

By (7) there exist $c, \tau > 0$ such that

$$\left| (\tilde{B}_i \tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_1)(\overline{\text{exp}} \tilde{a}) \right| \leq c e^{-\tau|\tilde{a}|^{m/(m-1)}}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{d}\}$ and $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then by an elementary estimate one deduces from (10) that there exists a $c' > 0$ such that

$$\left| (D_i((\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_1) \circ \overline{\text{exp}}))(\tilde{a}) \right| \leq c' e^{-2^{-1}\tau|\tilde{a}|^{m/(m-1)}}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{d}\}$ and $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. The statement of the lemma follows by scaling. \square

Now we prove Theorem 1.1 for an ideal asymptotic Lie algebra by bounding the first term in (5).

Fix $\alpha \in J(d')$. By (8), (9) and the Duhamel formula one has

$$\begin{aligned} (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp \Lambda \tilde{a}) &= (\overline{A}^{(I, \infty)\alpha} K_t^{(I, \infty)})(\exp_\infty^{(I)} \Lambda_\infty \tilde{a}) \\ &= \int_{i_\infty} db (\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_t)(\overline{\text{exp}}(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \Psi(\tilde{b}))) - (\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_t)(\overline{\text{exp}}(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b})) \\ &= \int_{i_\infty} d\tilde{b} \int_0^1 d\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}} \sum_{\substack{j=d+1 \\ \tilde{v}_j < \tilde{v}_i}}^{\tilde{d}} c_{ij} [\tilde{b}]_j \left(D_i((\tilde{A}^\alpha \tilde{K}_t) \circ \overline{\text{exp}})\right)(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \lambda \Psi(\tilde{b})) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$, where the $c_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}$ are such that $\Psi(\tilde{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}} \sum_{j=d+1}^{\tilde{d}} c_{ij} [\tilde{b}]_j \tilde{b}_i$ for all $\tilde{b} \in \mathfrak{i}_\infty$ and $[\tilde{b}]_j$ denotes the j -th coordinate of \tilde{b} with respect to the basis $\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_{\tilde{d}}$. Hence the bounds of Lemma 3.2 together with the estimate $||[\tilde{b}]_j| \leq |\tilde{b}|^{\tilde{v}_j}$ give

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp \Lambda \tilde{a}) - (A^{(I,\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(I,\infty)})(\exp^{(I)} \Lambda_\infty \tilde{a}) \right| \\ & \leq c t^{-(\tilde{D} + \|\alpha\|)/m} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}} \sum_{\substack{j=d+1 \\ \tilde{v}_j < \tilde{v}_i}}^{\tilde{d}} |c_{ij}| \int_0^1 d\lambda \int_{\mathfrak{i}_\infty} d\tilde{b} |\tilde{b}|^{\tilde{v}_j} t^{-\tilde{v}_i/m} e^{-\tau(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \lambda \Psi(\tilde{b}))^{m t^{-1}} / (m-1)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 1$ and $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Since the map Ψ , is super-homogeneous, by (6), there exists an $M \geq 1$ such that $|\Psi(\tilde{b})| \leq 4^{-1} |\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}|$ for all $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\tilde{b} \in \mathfrak{i}_\infty$ with $|\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}| \geq M$. Then

$$|\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}|^{2v} \leq 2^{2v} |\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \lambda \Psi(\tilde{b})|^{2v} + 2^{2v} |\lambda \Psi(\tilde{b})|^{2v} \leq 2^{2v} |\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \lambda \Psi(\tilde{b})|^{2v} + 2^{-2v} |\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}|^{2v}$$

and

$$|\tilde{a}|^{2v} + |\tilde{b}|^{2v} = |\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}|^{2v} \leq 2^{2v+1} |\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \lambda \Psi(\tilde{b})|^{2v}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\tilde{b} \in \mathfrak{i}_\infty$ with $|\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}| \geq M$. Then $|\tilde{a}|^{m/(m-1)} + |\tilde{b}|^{m/(m-1)} \leq 16 |\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \lambda \Psi(\tilde{b})|^{m/(m-1)}$ if $|\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}| \geq M$. So

$$|\tilde{a}|^{m/(m-1)} + |\tilde{b}|^{m/(m-1)} \leq 16 |\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \lambda \Psi(\tilde{b})|^{m/(m-1)} + 2M^2$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\tilde{b} \in \mathfrak{i}_\infty$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp \Lambda \tilde{a}) - (\overline{A}^{(I,\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(I,\infty)})(\exp^{(I)} \Lambda_\infty \tilde{a}) \right| \\ & \leq c e^{\tau M^2 t^{-1/(m-1)}} t^{-(\tilde{D} + \|\alpha\|)/m} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}} \sum_{\substack{j=d+1 \\ \tilde{v}_j < \tilde{v}_i}}^{\tilde{d}} |c_{ij}| \int_0^1 d\lambda \int_{\mathfrak{i}_\infty} d\tilde{b} (|\tilde{b}| t^{-1/m})^{\tilde{v}_j} t^{-(\tilde{v}_i - \tilde{v}_j)/m} \\ & \quad \cdot e^{-16^{-1} \tau (|\tilde{a}|^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}} e^{-16^{-1} \tau (|\tilde{b}|^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}} \\ & \leq c e^{\tau M^2} t^{-(D + \|\alpha\|)/m} t^{-1/m} e^{-16^{-1} \tau (|\tilde{a}|^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}} \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}} \sum_{\substack{j=d+1 \\ \tilde{v}_j < \tilde{v}_i}}^{\tilde{d}} |c_{ij}| \left(\int_{\mathfrak{i}_\infty} d\tilde{b} t^{-(\tilde{D} - D)/m} (|\tilde{b}| t^{-1/m})^{\tilde{v}_j} e^{-16^{-1} \tau (|\tilde{b}|^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $t \geq 1$. Since the factor between the brackets is finite and independent of t and $\Lambda_\infty \tilde{a} = \Lambda \tilde{a}$ for all $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ there exists a $c' > 0$ such that

$$\left| (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp a) - (\overline{A}^{(I,\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(I,\infty)})(\exp^{(I)} a) \right| \leq c' t^{-(D + \|\alpha\|)/m} t^{-1/m} e^{-16^{-1} \tau (|a|_{(I)}^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}} \quad (11)$$

uniformly for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $t \geq 1$, where $|\cdot|_{(I)}$ is the modulus on \mathfrak{g} defined by

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i b_i^{(I)} \right|_{(I)}^{2v} = \sum_{i=1}^d |\xi_i|^{2v/v_i} .$$

So it remains to replace $|\cdot|_{(I)}$ by $|\cdot|$. The two moduli $|\cdot|_{(I)}$ by $|\cdot|$ are equivalent for large distances.

Lemma 3.3 *There exists a $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1} |a|_{(I)} \leq |a| \leq C |a|_{(I)}$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $|a| \geq 1$.*

Proof For all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ there exist $c_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $b_i^{(I)} = \sum_{j; v_j \geq v_i} c_{ij} b_j$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Let $a = \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i b_i^{(I)} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and suppose that $|a|_{(I)} \geq 1$. Then

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j; v_j \geq v_i} \xi_i c_{ij} b_j = \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\sum_{i; v_i \leq v_j} \xi_i c_{ij} \right) b_j \quad .$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |a|^{2v} &= \sum_{j=1}^d \left| \sum_{i; v_i \leq v_j} \xi_i c_{ij} \right|^{2v/v_j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^d d^{2v} \max_{i; v_i \leq v_j} |c_{ij}|^{2v/v_j} |\xi_i|^{2v/v_j} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^d d^{2v} \max_{i; v_i \leq v_j} |c_{ij}|^{2v/v_j} (1 + |\xi_i|^{2v/v_i}) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^d d^{2v} \max_{i; v_i \leq v_j} |c_{ij}|^{2v/v_j} \right) (1 + |a|_{(I)}^{2v}) \leq 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^d d^{2v} \max_{i; v_i \leq v_j} |c_{ij}|^{2v/v_j} \right) |a|_{(I)}^{2v} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a $C > 0$ such that $|a| \leq C |a|_{(I)}$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $|a| \geq 1$. The other estimate follows similarly. \square

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that there exists a $C \geq 1$ such that $|a| \leq 1 + C |a|_{(I)}$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then $(|a|^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)} \leq 2C^2 (|a|_{(I)}^m t^{-1})^{1/(m-1)} + 2t^{-1/(m-1)} \leq 2C^2 (|a|_{(I)}^m t^{-1})^{1/(m-1)} + 2$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $t \geq 1$. Hence it follows from (11) that

$$\left| (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp a) - (\overline{A}^{(I, \infty)\alpha} K_t^{(I, \infty)})(\exp_{\infty}^{(I)} a) \right| \leq c' e^\tau t^{-(D+\|\alpha\|)/m} t^{-1/m} e^{-32^{-1}\tau C^{-2}(|a|^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}}$$

uniformly for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $t \geq 1$. This is the required estimate for the first term in (5).

The estimate of the second term in (5) requires the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4 *If $t > 0$ then $(\overline{A}^{(I, \infty)\alpha} K_t^{(I, \infty)})(\exp_{\infty}^{(I)} a) = (\overline{A}^{(\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(\infty)})(\exp_{\infty} \Phi(a))$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\alpha \in J(d)$.*

Proof Since Φ is a Lie algebra isomorphism from $\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{a}^{(I)})$ onto $\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{a})$ it follows from Lemma 2.7.III that $H_{\infty}^{(I)}(\varphi \circ \Psi) = (H_{\infty} \varphi) \circ \Psi$ for all $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(G_{\infty})$, where $\Psi = \exp_{\infty} \circ \Phi \circ \log_{\infty}^{(I)}$ is the lifted Lie group isomorphism from $G_{\infty}^{(I)}$ onto G_{∞} . Then $S_t^{(I, \infty)}(\varphi \circ \Psi) = (S_t^{(\infty)} \varphi) \circ \Psi$ for all $t > 0$. Hence $K_t^{(I, \infty)}(g) = K_t^{(\infty)}(\Psi(g))$ for all $t > 0$ and $g \in G_{\infty}^{(I)}$. This proves the lemma if $|\alpha| = 0$. The lemma for general α then follows by differentiation and Lemma 2.7.III. \square

Now we are prepared to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Fix $\alpha \in J(d')$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 it follows that there exist $c, \tau > 0$ such that

$$\left| \left(D_j \left((\overline{A}^{(\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(\infty)}) \circ \exp_{\infty} \right) \right) (a) \right| \leq c t^{-(D+\|\alpha\|)/m} t^{-v_j/m} e^{-\tau (|a|^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}}$$

for all $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $t > 0$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since $\Phi - I$ is super-homogeneous by Lemma 2.7.II there exist $c_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\Phi(b_i^{(I)}) = b_i^{(I)} + \sum_{j; v_j > v_i} c_{ij} b_j$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Let $a = \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i b_i^{(I)} \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.4 and the Duhamel formula that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\overline{A}^{(I, \infty)\alpha} K_t^{(I, \infty)})(\exp_\infty^{(I)} a) - (\overline{A}^{(\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(\infty)})(\exp_\infty a)| \\ &= |(\overline{A}^{(\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(\infty)})(\exp_\infty \Phi(a)) - (\overline{A}^{(\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(\infty)})(\exp_\infty a)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ v_j > v_i}} \int_0^1 d\lambda |\xi_i| |c_{ij}| \left| \left(D_j((\overline{A}^{(\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(\infty)}) \circ \exp_\infty) \right) (a + \lambda(\Phi(a) - a)) \right| \\ &\leq c t^{-(D+\|\alpha\|)/m} \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ v_j > v_i}} \int_0^1 d\lambda |a|_{(I)}^{v_i} t^{-v_j/m} |c_{ij}| e^{-\tau(|a+\lambda(\Phi(a)-a)|^{m_t-1})^{1/(m-1)}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > 0$. By Lemma 2.7.II there exists an $M \geq 1$ such that $|\Phi(a) - a| \leq 4^{-1}|a|$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $|a| \geq M$. Then $|a|^{m/(m-1)} \leq 16 |a + \lambda(\Phi(a) - a)|^{m/(m-1)} + M^2$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. If C is as in Lemma 3.3 then

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\overline{A}^{(I, \infty)\alpha} K_t^{(I, \infty)})(\exp_\infty^{(I)} a) - (\overline{A}^{(\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(\infty)})(\exp_\infty a)| \\ &\leq c e^{M^2} t^{-(D+\|\alpha\|)/m} t^{-1/m} e^{-16^{-1}\tau(|a|^{m_t-1})^{1/(m-1)}} \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ v_j > v_i}} (1 + C |a| t^{-1/m})^{v_i} |c_{ij}| \\ &\leq c' t^{-(D+\|\alpha\|)/m} t^{-1/m} e^{-32^{-1}\tau(|a|^{m_t-1})^{1/(m-1)}} \end{aligned}$$

for a suitable $c' > 0$, uniformly for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $t \geq 1$. This bounds the second term in (5) and the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 one has the following kernel bounds for K_t .

Corollary 3.5 *For all $\alpha \in J(d')$ there exist $c, \tau > 0$ such that*

$$|(A^\alpha K_t)(\exp a)| \leq c t^{-(D+\|\alpha\|)/m} e^{-\tau(|a|^{m_t-1})^{1/(m-1)}} \quad (12)$$

for all $t \geq 1$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence there exists an $M \geq 1$ such that $\|A^\alpha S_t\|_{p \rightarrow p} \leq M t^{-\|\alpha\|/m}$ uniformly for all $t > 0$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Proof It follows from [ElR3], Proposition 5.5, applied to the group G_∞ , that there exist $c, \tau > 0$ such that

$$|(\overline{A}^{(\infty)\alpha} K_t^{(\infty)})(\exp_\infty a)| \leq c t^{-D/m} t^{-\|\alpha\|/m} e^{-\tau(|a|^{m_t-1})^{1/(m-1)}}$$

uniformly for all $t > 0$ and $\tilde{a} \in \mathfrak{g}_\infty$. The first statement of the corollary then follows for $t \geq 1$ from Theorem 1.1.

Finally, one has $\|A^\alpha S_t\|_{p \rightarrow p} \leq \|A^\alpha K_t\|_1$ and the right hand side of (12) can be estimated on $L_1(\mathfrak{g}_\infty)$. Using the dilations one sees that $\int_{\mathfrak{g}} da t^{-D/m} e^{-\tau(|a|^{m_t-1})^{1/(m-1)}}$ is independent of t . Obviously $t \mapsto t^{\|\alpha\|/m} \|A^\alpha S_t\|_{p \rightarrow p}$ is bounded on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ uniformly for $p \in [1, \infty]$ (see [ElR3] Corollary 8.3.II). \square

The kernel bounds have immediate implications for strong convergence of the semi-group.

Corollary 3.6 *If $p \in \langle 1, \infty \rangle$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t = 0$ strongly on L_p .*

Proof Let $\varphi \in C_c(G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_t \varphi\|_2^2 &= \int_G dg \int_G dh_1 \int_G dh_2 \overline{\varphi}(h_1) \overline{K_t}(gh_1^{-1}) K_t(gh_2^{-1}) \varphi(h_2) \\ &\leq \int_G dg \int_G dh_1 \int_G dh_2 |\varphi(h_1)| |K_t(gh_1^{-1})| |K_t(gh_2^{-1})| |\varphi(h_2)| \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > 0$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [EIR1] there exist $c', \tau' > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_G dg |K_t(gh_1^{-1})| |K_t(gh_2^{-1})| \\ &\leq c^2 t^{-2D/m} \int_{\mathfrak{g}} da e^{-\tau(|\log(h_1 \exp(-a))|^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}} e^{-\tau(|\log((\exp a)h_2)|^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}} \\ &\leq c' t^{-D/m} e^{-\tau'(|\log(h_1 h_2^{-1})|^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}} \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for all $t > 0$ and $h_1, h_2 \in G$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_t \varphi\|_2^2 &\leq c' t^{-D/m} \int_G dh_1 \int_G dh_2 |\varphi(h_1)| |\varphi(h_2)| e^{-\tau'(|\log(h_1 h_2^{-1})|^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}} \\ &\leq c' t^{-D/m} \int_G dh_1 \int_G dh_2 |\varphi(h_1)| |\varphi(h_2)| \end{aligned}$$

and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|S_t \varphi\|_2 = 0$. Next, for all $p \in \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ one has

$$\|S_t \varphi\|_p \leq \|S_t \varphi\|_1^{(2-p)/p} \|S_t \varphi\|_2^{(2p-2)/p}$$

and as $\|S_t\|_{1 \rightarrow 1}$ is uniformly bounded, it follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|S_t \varphi\|_p = 0$. Similarly, since $\|S_t\|_{\infty \rightarrow \infty}$ is uniformly bounded one deduces that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|S_t \varphi\|_p = 0$ for all $p \in \langle 2, \infty \rangle$. Finally, since the $\|S_t\|_{p \rightarrow p}$ are uniformly bounded it follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t = 0$ strongly on L_p for all $p \in \langle 1, \infty \rangle$. \square

The values $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$ are truly exceptional for the strong convergence of S to zero. For example, if H is an unweighted sublaplacian then $\|S_t \varphi\|_1 = \|K_t\|_1 \|\varphi\|_1 = \|\varphi\|_1$ for each positive $\varphi \in L_1$ and $\|S_t \varphi\|_\infty = \|\varphi\|_\infty$ for each constant $\varphi \in L_\infty$.

One can also give a new proof of Theorem 3.5 in [ERS] which deals with unweighted operators.

Define the modulus $|\cdot|'$ on G by

$$|g|' = \sup\{|\psi(g) - \psi(e)| : \psi \in C_b^\infty(G), \sum_{i=1}^{d'} |(A_i \psi)|^2 \leq 1, \psi \text{ real}\} ,$$

where $A_i \psi$ denotes the left derivative in the direction a_i . Moreover, for all $\rho > 0$ set $V(\rho) = |\{g \in G : |g|' < \rho\}|$, the Haar measure (volume) of the ball of radius ρ .

Corollary 3.7 *Suppose all weights w_i equal one. Then for all $\alpha \in J(d')$ there exist $c, \tau > 0$ such that*

$$|(A^\alpha K_t)(g)| \leq c V(t)^{-1/m} t^{-\|\alpha\|/m} e^{-\tau(|g|')^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}} \quad (13)$$

for all $t > 0$ and $g \in G$.

Proof The estimates (13) are always valid for $t \leq 1$ for suitable constants c and τ by [ElR3] Theorem 1.1. Therefore we have to concentrate on bounds uniform for all $t \geq 1$.

By the proof of Proposition IV.5.6 in [VSC] there exists a $\tau_1 \geq 1$ such that $|\exp a'| \leq \tau_1^{(m-1)/m} |a|$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $|\exp a'| \geq 1$. Then it follows from Corollary 3.5 that there exist $c, \tau > 0$ such that

$$|(A^\alpha K_t)(g)| \leq c t^{-D/m} t^{-\|\alpha\|/m} e^{-\tau \tau_1^{-1} ((|g'|)^m t^{-1})^{1/(m-1)}} \quad (14)$$

for all $t \geq 1$ and $g \in G$ with $|g'| \geq 1$. But $(|g'|)^m t^{-1} \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 1$ and $g \in G$ with $|g'| \leq 1$. Hence, by enlarging c if necessary, one can assume that (14) is valid for all $t \geq 1$ and all $g \in G$. Therefore the estimates (13) are valid for all $t \geq 1$ since there is a $c' > 0$ such that $V(t) \leq c' t^D$ for all $t \geq 1$ (see [VSC], Theorem IV.5.8). \square

4 Semigroup estimates

In the previous section we showed that the kernel K_t converges to the kernel $K_t^{(\infty)}$ as t tends to infinity. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ this immediately implies that the semigroup S converges uniformly to the corresponding asymptotic semigroup $S^{(\infty)}$.

Theorem 4.1 *If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ then there exists a $c > 0$ such that*

$$\|S_t - S_t^{(\infty)}\|_{p \rightarrow p} \leq c t^{-1/m}$$

uniformly for all $t \geq 1$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$. Hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|S_t - S_t^{(\infty)}\|_{p \rightarrow p} = 0$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Proof Since one has the estimate $\|S_t - S_t^{(\infty)}\|_{p \rightarrow p} \leq \|K_t - K_t^{(\infty)}\|_1$ the theorem is a direct corollary of Theorem 1.1. \square

The convergence of S to $S^{(\infty)}$ on $L_2(G; dg)$ immediately yields information about the corresponding semigroups in each irreducible unitary representation of $G (= G_\infty)$. Let U be an irreducible unitary representation of G on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then

$$S_t^U = U(K_t) = \int_G dg K_t(g) U(g)$$

is the strongly continuous semigroup with generator

$$H^U = dU(C) = \sum_{\alpha \in J(d')} c_\alpha dU(a^\alpha) .$$

Similarly $S_t^{U,(\infty)} = U(K_t^{(\infty)})$ is the strongly continuous semigroup with generator

$$H_\infty^U = dU(C^{(\infty)}) = \sum_{\alpha \in J(d')} c_\alpha dU(\bar{a}^\alpha) .$$

Proposition 4.2 *If $G = G_\infty$ then in each irreducible unitary representation (\mathcal{H}, G, U) one has*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|S_t^U - S_t^{U,(\infty)}\|_{\text{HS}} = 0$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS}}$ denotes the Hilbert–Schmidt norm on the space of Hilbert–Schmidt operators on \mathcal{H} .

Proof Suppose $t > 1$ then

$$\|S_t^U - S_t^{U,(\infty)}\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \left(\|S_1^U\|_{\text{HS}} + \|S_1^{U,(\infty)}\|_{\text{HS}} \right) \|S_{t-1}^U - S_{t-1}^{U,(\infty)}\|$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. But it follows from Theorem 1.1 that there exists a $c > 0$ such that

$$\|S_{t-1}^U - S_{t-1}^{U,(\infty)}\| \leq \|K_{t-1} - K_{t-1}^{(\infty)}\|_1 \leq c(t-1)^{-1/m}$$

uniformly for all $t > 1$. Therefore $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|S_t^U - S_t^{U,(\infty)}\|_{\text{HS}} = 0$. In fact this estimate establishes bounds

$$\|S_t^U - S_t^{U,(\infty)}\|_{\text{HS}} \leq c_U t^{-1/m}$$

for all $t \geq 2$. □

Example 4.3 Let \mathfrak{g} be the Heisenberg Lie algebra, of Example 2.10 and choose the algebraic basis a_1, a_2, a_3 with all weights equal to one. Then choosing $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \text{span}(a_1, a_2)$ and $\mathfrak{a}_2 = \text{span}(a_3)$ one has $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$. Hence if $H = -\sum_{i=1}^3 A_i^2$ is the Laplacian in the left regular representation $H_\infty = -\sum_{i=1}^2 A_i^2$ is the sublaplacian and the difference between the respective semigroups converges uniformly to zero on each of the L_p -spaces.

We next consider the situation for which $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as Lie algebras. Since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as vector spaces one can, however, compare the semigroups \widehat{S}_t and $\widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}$ on $L_p(\mathfrak{g})$. One might expect that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\|_{p \rightarrow p} = 0$ but this is too optimistic.

Theorem 4.4 *If $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as Lie algebras then there is a $b > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \geq b \quad .$$

Moreover, if $p = 2$, or if the form C defining S is self-adjoint one may choose $b = 1$.

Proof Since $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{g}_\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ as Lie algebras there exist $j, k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that

$$[b_j, b_k] \neq [b_j, b_k]_\infty \quad .$$

Let $\sigma > 0$. For $t > 0$ define $\varphi_t \in L_2(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\varphi_t(a) = \|\widehat{K}_{\sigma t}^{(\infty)}\|_2^{-1} \widehat{K}_{\sigma t}^{(\infty)}(a *_\infty t^\delta b_j) \quad ,$$

where $\delta = 2r^2$, $\widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)} = K_t^{(\infty)} \circ \exp_\infty$ and $a *_\infty b = \log_\infty(\exp_\infty a \exp_\infty b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_\infty$. Then $\|\varphi_t\|_2 = 1$ by right invariance of the Haar measure on G_∞ .

The starting point for the proof is the estimate

$$\|(\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)})\varphi_t\|_2^2 \geq \|\widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\varphi_t\|_2^2 - 2 \text{Re}(\widehat{S}_t \varphi_t, \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)} \varphi_t)$$

for all $t > 0$.

Since

$$(\widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)} \varphi_t)(a) = \|\widehat{K}_{(1+\sigma)t}^{(\infty)}\|_2^{-1} \widehat{K}_{(1+\sigma)t}^{(\infty)}(a *_\infty t^\delta b_j) \tag{15}$$

for all $t > 0$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ one has $\|\widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\varphi_t\|_2^2 = (\sigma(1+\sigma)^{-1})^{D/m}$ by scaling, uniformly for all $t > 0$. So if we can show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\widehat{S}_t \varphi_t, \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)} \varphi_t) = 0 \quad (16)$$

then

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\|_{2 \rightarrow 2}^2 \geq (\sigma(1+\sigma)^{-1})^{D/m}$$

and the first part of the theorem follows for $p = 2$. Moreover, one may arrange that $b = 1$ for $p = 2$. But for dual variables $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ there is a $\lambda \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ such that

$$\|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq (\|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\|_{p \rightarrow p})^\lambda (\|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\|_{q \rightarrow q})^{(1-\lambda)} .$$

Moreover, \widehat{S} and $\widehat{S}^{(\infty)}$ are uniformly bounded on each L_p -space, by the Gaussian bounds of [ERS]. Therefore the first statement of the theorem then follows for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. Finally the self-adjointness of C implies

$$\|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\|_{p \rightarrow p} = \|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\|_{q \rightarrow q}$$

for dual exponents p, q and therefore

$$1 \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)}\|_{p \rightarrow p}$$

for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. Thus the proof of the theorem is reduced to establishing (16).

First for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{K}_{\sigma t}^{(\infty)}\|_2 (\widehat{S}_t \varphi_t)(a) &= \int db \widehat{K}_t(a * (-b)) \widehat{K}_{\sigma t}^{(\infty)}(b *_{\infty} t^\delta b_j) \\ &= \int db \widehat{K}_t(a * (t^\delta b_j *_{\infty} (-b))) \widehat{K}_{\sigma t}^{(\infty)}(b) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 1$, where $\widehat{K}_t = K_t \circ \exp$ and $a * b = \log(\exp a \exp b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence by (15) and Corollary 3.5 there exist $C, \tau > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\widehat{K}_{\sigma t}^{(\infty)}\|_2^2 |(\widehat{S}_t \varphi_t, \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)} \varphi_t)| \\ &= \left| \int da \int db \overline{\widehat{K}_t(a * (t^\delta b_j *_{\infty} (-b)))} \overline{\widehat{K}_{\sigma t}^{(\infty)}(b)} \widehat{K}_{(1+\sigma)t}^{(\infty)}(a *_{\infty} t^\delta b_j) \right| \\ &= \left| \int da \int db \overline{\widehat{K}_t((a *_{\infty} (-t^\delta b_j)) * (t^\delta b_j *_{\infty} (-b)))} \overline{\widehat{K}_{\sigma t}^{(\infty)}(b)} \widehat{K}_{(1+\sigma)t}^{(\infty)}(a) \right| \\ &\leq \int da \int db G_t((a *_{\infty} (-t^\delta b_j)) * (t^\delta b_j *_{\infty} (-b))) |\widehat{K}_{\sigma t}^{(\infty)}(b)| |\widehat{K}_{(1+\sigma)t}^{(\infty)}(a)| \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 1$, where

$$G_t(a) = C t^{-D/m} e^{-\tau(|a|^{m-1})^{1/(m-1)}} .$$

Then using the scaling law

$$\widehat{K}_{\sigma t}^{(\infty)}(a) = t^{-D/m} \widehat{K}_s^{(\infty)}(\gamma_{t^{-1/m}}(a))$$

and a subsequent change of variables $a' = \gamma_{t^{-1/m}}(a)$, $b' = \gamma_{t^{-1/m}}(b)$ one finds

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\widehat{K}_\sigma^{(\infty)}\|_2^2 |(\widehat{S}_t \varphi_t, \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)} \varphi_t)| \\ & \leq \int da \int db G_1((a *_\infty (-t^{\delta-v_j/m} b_j)) *_t (t^{\delta-v_j/m} b_j *_\infty (-b))) |\widehat{K}_\sigma^{(\infty)}(b)| |\widehat{K}_{1+\sigma}^{(\infty)}(a)| \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 1$, where $a *_t b = \gamma_t^{-1}(\gamma_t(a) * \gamma_t(b))$. Therefore if we can show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |(a *_\infty (-t^{m\delta-v_j} b_j)) *_t (t^{m\delta-v_j} b_j *_\infty (-b))| = \infty$$

for almost all $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ then (16) follows by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and the proof of the theorem is complete.

Define $P: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$P(a, b; c) = (a *_\infty (-c)) * (c *_\infty (-b)) \quad .$$

Then it follows from the Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff formula that

$$\begin{aligned} P(a, b; c) &= (a - c - \frac{1}{2}[a, c]_\infty + \dots) * (c - b + \frac{1}{2}[b, c]_\infty + \dots) \\ &= a - b - \frac{1}{2}[a - b, c]_\infty + \frac{1}{2}[a - b, c] - \frac{1}{2}[a, b] + \dots \\ &= a * (-b) - \frac{1}{2}[a - b, c]_\infty + \frac{1}{2}[a - b, c] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the dots denote a sum of multi-commutators in a, b, c of order at least 3 and the multi-commutators may be mixed in $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_\infty$. Since the weighted rank of \mathfrak{g} equals r it follows that P is a polynomial of unweighted order at most r . Hence there exist $c_{i\alpha\beta\gamma} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$P(a, b; c) = a * (-b) - \frac{1}{2}[a - b, c]_\infty + \frac{1}{2}[a - b, c] + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in J(d) \\ 3 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| \leq r}} c_{i\alpha\beta\gamma} a^\alpha b^\beta c^\gamma b_i$$

for all $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Next, for all $t \geq 1$ and $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{g}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & (a *_\infty (-c)) *_t (c *_\infty (-b)) \\ &= \gamma_t^{-1}(\gamma_t(a *_\infty (-c)) * \gamma_t(c *_\infty (-b))) \\ &= \gamma_t^{-1}((\gamma_t(a) *_\infty (-\gamma_t(c))) * (\gamma_t(c) *_\infty (-\gamma_t(b)))) \\ &= a *_t (-b) - \frac{1}{2}[a - b, c]_\infty + \frac{1}{2}[a - b, c]_t + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in J(d) \\ 3 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| \leq r}} c_{i\alpha\beta\gamma} t^{|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| - v_i} a^\alpha b^\beta c^\gamma b_i \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Substitute $c = t^{m\delta-v_j} b_j$ in the previous identity. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (a *_\infty (-t^{m\delta-v_j} b_j)) *_t (t^{m\delta-v_j} b_j *_\infty (-b)) \tag{17} \\ &= a *_t (-b) - \frac{1}{2}t^{m\delta-v_j} ([a - b, b_j]_\infty - [a - b, b_j]_t) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in J(d) \\ p \in \mathbf{N}_0 \\ 3 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| + p \leq r}} c_{i\alpha\beta\gamma_p} t^{|\alpha| + |\beta| + mp\delta - pv_j - v_i} a^\alpha b^\beta b_i \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_p = (j, \dots, j)$ is the multi-index with p indices equal to j . Let $c_{iq} \in \mathbf{R}$ be such that

$$[b_i, b_j] = \sum_{\substack{q \\ v_q \geq v_i + v_j}} c_{iq} b_q$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Then there exists an $n \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ with $v_n > v_j + v_k$ such that $c_{kn} \neq 0$, since $[b_k, b_j] \neq [b_k, b_j]_\infty$.

For each $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ the right hand side of (17) is a Laurent polynomial in t . Consider the coefficient of

$$t^{m\delta - v_j} t^{v_j + v_k - v_n} = t^{m\delta + v_k - v_n} \quad .$$

Since $\delta = 2r^2$ one obviously has

$$m\delta \geq m\delta + v_k - v_n \geq m\delta - r > 2r^2 \quad .$$

But if $p = 0$ and $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq r$ then $\|\alpha\| + \|\beta\| + mp\delta - pv_j - v_i \leq 2r^2$. Alternatively if $2 \leq p \leq r$ then $\|\alpha\| + \|\beta\| + mp\delta - pv_j - v_i \geq 4mr^2 - r^2 - r > 2mr^2$. Therefore the only possible contribution of the last term in the right hand side of (17) occurs with $p = 1$. Since in addition $3 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| + p$ this implies that in each of the contributions one has $|\alpha| + |\beta| \geq 2$. Moreover, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a *_t (-b) = a *_\infty (-b)$ exists, so the term $a *_t (-b)$ gives no contribution to the coefficient of $t^{m\delta + v_k - v_n}$. Therefore there exist $c_{i\alpha\beta} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that the coefficient of $t^{m\delta + v_k - v_n}$ equals

$$\sum_{\substack{i, q \\ v_i - v_q = v_k - v_n \\ v_q > v_i + v_j}} \frac{1}{2} (\xi_i - \eta_i) c_{iq} b_q + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq r} c_{i\alpha\beta} \xi^\alpha \eta^\beta b_i$$

if $a = \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i b_i$ and $b = \sum_{i=1}^d \eta_i b_i$, where $\xi^\alpha = a^\alpha$ and $\eta^\beta = b^\beta$. This is an element of \mathfrak{g} with the coefficient of b_n equal to

$$Q(a, b) = \sum_{\substack{i \\ v_i = v_k}} \frac{1}{2} (\xi_i - \eta_i) c_{in} + \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq r} c_{n\alpha\beta} \xi^\alpha \eta^\beta \quad .$$

Since $c_{kn} \neq 0$, one has $Q(a, b) \neq 0$ for almost all $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$. Thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |(a *_\infty (-t^{m\delta - v_j} b_j)) *_t (t^{m\delta - v_j} b_j *_\infty (-b))| = \infty$$

for almost all $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ and the proof is complete. \square

Although Theorem 4.4 precludes the uniform convergence of $S - S^{(\infty)}$ to zero whenever $G \neq G_\infty$ the next result shows that this is very nearly true.

Theorem 4.5 *If $f: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a bounded measurable function which vanishes at infinity and $S^{(\infty)}$ the semigroup associated with a general asymptotic Lie algebra then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|M_f(\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)})\|_{p \rightarrow p} = 0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|(\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)})M_f\|_{p \rightarrow p}$$

uniformly for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Proof For all $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ define $a * b = \log(\exp a \exp b) \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $a *_{\infty} b = \log_{\infty}(\exp_{\infty} a \exp_{\infty} b) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$. Moreover, set $\widehat{K}_t = K_t \circ \exp$ and $\widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)} = K_t^{(\infty)} \circ \exp_{\infty}$.

Let $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $t \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(\psi, (\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(\infty)})\varphi)| &= \left| \int da \int db \overline{\psi}(a) \varphi(b) \left(\widehat{K}_t(a * (-b)) - \widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)}(a *_{\infty} (-b)) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \int da \int db |\psi(a)| |\varphi(b)| \left| \widehat{K}_t(a * (-b)) - \widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)}(a * (-b)) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int da \int db \overline{\psi}(a) \varphi(b) \left(\widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)}(a * (-b)) - \widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)}(a *_{\infty} (-b)) \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

where all integrals are over \mathfrak{g} . We estimate the two terms separately.

For the first term we can use Theorem 1.1. Let $c, \tau > 0$ as in Theorem 1.1 for the $\alpha \in J(d')$ with $\|\alpha\| = 0$ and define $G_t: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $G_t(a) = t^{-D/m} e^{-\tau(|a|^{m-1})^{1/(m-1)}}$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} &\int da \int db |\psi(a)| |\varphi(b)| \left| \widehat{K}_t(a * (-b)) - \widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)}(a * (-b)) \right| \\ &\leq c t^{-1/m} \int da \int db |\psi(a)| |\varphi(b)| G_t(a * (-b)) \\ &= c t^{-1/m} (|\psi \circ \log|, (G_t \circ \log) * |\varphi \circ \log|) \\ &\leq c t^{-1/m} \|\psi \circ \log\|_{L_q(G)} \|G_t \circ \log\|_{L_1(G)} \|\varphi \circ \log\|_{L_p(G)} = c' t^{-1/m} \|\psi\|_q \|\varphi\|_p \end{aligned}$$

since $\|G_t \circ \log\|_{L_1(G)} = \|G_t\|_{L_1(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty})}$ is independent of t by scaling. Here q is the dual exponent of p .

The second term is more elaborate. Note that it arises if $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{a})$ as Lie algebras. Hence $a * b \neq a *_{\infty} b$ for some pair $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$.

It follows from the Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff formula that there exist $c_{i\alpha\beta} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$P(a, b) = a * b - a *_{\infty} b = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \\ \|\alpha\|_v + \|\beta\|_v < v_i}} c_{i\alpha\beta} a^{\alpha} b^{\beta} b_i$$

for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ (see also [NRS]). Then the Duhamel formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int da \int db \overline{\psi}(a) \varphi(b) \left(\widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)}(a * (-b)) - \widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)}(a *_{\infty} (-b)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \\ \|\alpha\|_v + \|\beta\|_v < v_i}} c_{i\alpha\beta} \int_0^1 d\lambda \int db \int da \overline{\psi}(a) \varphi(b) a^{\alpha} b^{\beta} (D_i \widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)})(a *_{\infty} (-b) + \lambda P(a, -b)) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where D_i denotes the partial derivative in the direction b_i . Next, the Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff formula establishes the existence of constants $c_{ij\alpha\beta}$ such that

$$T_{\lambda, b}(a) = a *_{\infty} (-b) + \lambda P(a, -b) = a - b + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ \|\alpha\|_v + \|\beta\|_v \leq v_i \\ \|\alpha\|_v < v_i}}^1 \sum c_{ij\alpha\beta} a^{\alpha} b^{\beta} \lambda^j b_i$$

for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $b \in \mathfrak{g}$ the map $T_{\lambda, b}$ is a bijection from \mathfrak{g} onto \mathfrak{g} with Jacobian equal to one. Moreover, by induction it follows that there

exist $\tilde{c}_{ij\alpha\beta} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$T_{\lambda,b}^{-1}(a) = a + b + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=0}^{r^d} \sum_{\substack{\|\alpha\|_v + \|\beta\|_v \leq v_i \\ \|\alpha\|_v < v_i}} \tilde{c}_{ij\alpha\beta} a^\alpha b^\beta \lambda^j b_i$$

uniformly for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then by Leibniz' rule for all $\alpha, \beta \in J^+(d)$ with $\|\alpha\|_v + \|\beta\|_v < r$ there exist $c_{j\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$(T_{\lambda,b}^{-1}(a))^\alpha b^\beta = \sum_{\gamma, \delta} \sum_{j=0}^{r^{d+1}} c_{j\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} a^\gamma b^\delta \lambda^j$$

$\|\gamma\|_v + \|\delta\|_v \leq \|\alpha\|_v + \|\beta\|_v$

uniformly for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 it follows that there exist $c, \tau > 0$ such that

$$|(D_i \widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)})(a)| \leq c t^{-D/m} t^{-v_i/m} e^{-\tau(|a|^{m_t-1})^{1/(m-1)}}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $t > 0$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int da \int db \bar{\psi}(a) \varphi(b) (\widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)}(a * (-b)) - \widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)}(a *_{\infty} (-b))) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \\ \|\alpha\|_v + \|\beta\|_v < v_i}} c_{i\alpha\beta} \int_0^1 d\lambda \int db \int da \bar{\psi}(T_{\lambda,b}^{-1}(a)) \varphi(b) (T_{\lambda,b}^{-1}(a))^\alpha b^\beta (D_i \widehat{K}_t^{(\infty)})(a) \right| \\ &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \\ \|\alpha\|_v + \|\beta\|_v < v_i}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma, \delta \\ \|\gamma\|_v + \|\delta\|_v \leq \|\alpha\|_v + \|\beta\|_v}} \sum_{j=0}^{r^{d+1}} |c_{i\alpha\beta}| |c_{j\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}| \int_0^1 d\lambda \int db \int da |\psi(T_{\lambda,b}^{-1}(a))| |\varphi(b)| \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot |a^\gamma| |b^\delta| |\lambda^j| t^{-D/m} t^{-v_i/m} e^{-\tau(|a|^{m_t-1})^{1/(m-1)}} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|\gamma\|_v + \|\delta\|_v \leq \|\alpha\|_v + \|\beta\|_v < v_i$. Therefore an elementary estimate gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 d\lambda \int db \int da |\psi(T_{\lambda,b}^{-1}(a))| |\varphi(b)| |a^\gamma| |b^\delta| |\lambda^j| t^{-D/m} t^{-v_i/m} e^{-\tau(|a|^{m_t-1})^{1/(m-1)}} \\ &\leq \int_0^1 d\lambda \int db \int da |\psi(T_{\lambda,b}^{-1}(a))| |\varphi(b)| t^{-D/m} t^{-(v_i - \|\gamma\|_v - \|\delta\|_v)/m} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot (|a| t^{-1/m})^{\|\gamma\|_v} (|b| t^{-1/m})^{\|\delta\|_v} e^{-\tau(|a|^{m_t-1})^{1/(m-1)}} \\ &\leq c' t^{-1/m} \int_0^1 d\lambda \int db \int da |\psi(T_{\lambda,b}^{-1}(a))| |(N_t \varphi)(b)| t^{-D/m} e^{-2^{-1} \tau(|a|^{m_t-1})^{1/(m-1)}} \\ &= c' t^{-1/m} \int_0^1 d\lambda \int db \int da |\psi(a)| |(N_t \varphi)(b)| G_t^{(\lambda)}(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for all $t \geq 1$, where $(N_t \varphi)(b) = (1 + (|b| t^{-1/m})^r) \varphi(b)$ and

$$G_t^{(\lambda)}(a, b) = t^{-D/m} e^{-2^{-1} \tau(|a *_{\infty} (-b) + \lambda P(a, b)|^{m_t-1})^{1/(m-1)}}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Using the transformation $T_{\lambda, b}$ once again it follows that

$$c_1 = \sup_{\lambda \in [0, 1]} \sup_{b \in \mathfrak{g}} \sup_{t > 0} \int da G_t^{(\lambda)}(a, b) < \infty \quad .$$

Similarly,

$$c_2 = \sup_{\lambda \in [0, 1]} \sup_{a \in \mathfrak{g}} \sup_{t > 0} \int db G_t^{(\lambda)}(a, b) < \infty$$

and then, by interpolation,

$$\int db \int da |\psi(a)| |(N_t \varphi)(b)| G_t^{(\lambda)}(a, b) \leq c_1^{1/p} c_2^{1/q} \|\psi\|_q \|N_t \varphi\|_p$$

uniformly for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $t > 0$. Since all sums have a finite number of terms, one deduces that there exists a $c > 0$ such that

$$|(\psi, (\hat{S}_t - \hat{S}_t^{(\infty)})\varphi)| \leq c t^{-1/m} \|\psi\|_q \|N_t \varphi\|_p$$

for all $t \geq 1$ and $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence

$$\|(\hat{S}_t - \hat{S}_t^{(\infty)})N_t^{-1}\varphi\|_p \leq c t^{-1/m} \|\varphi\|_p$$

for all $t \geq 1$ and $\varphi \in L_p$.

Next let $f: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a bounded measurable function which vanishes at infinity. Then, with $D_t = \hat{S}_t - \hat{S}_t^{(\infty)}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\hat{S}_t - \hat{S}_t^{(\infty)})M_f\|_{p \rightarrow p} &\leq \|D_t N_t^{-1} M_f\|_{p \rightarrow p} + \|D_t(I - N_t^{-1})M_f\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\ &\leq \|D_t N_t^{-1}\|_{p \rightarrow p} \|M_f\|_{p \rightarrow p} + \|D_t\|_{p \rightarrow p} \|(I - N_t^{-1})M_f\|_{p \rightarrow p} \\ &\leq c \|f\|_\infty t^{-1/m} + 2M \sup_{a \in \mathfrak{g}} \frac{(t^{-1/m}|a|)^r}{1 + (t^{-1/m}|a|)^r} \cdot |f(a)| \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 1$, where M is as in Corollary 3.5. But f is bounded and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a \in \mathfrak{g}} \frac{(t^{-1/m}|a|)^r}{1 + (t^{-1/m}|a|)^r} \cdot |f(a)| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a \in \mathfrak{g}} \frac{|a|^r}{1 + |a|^r} \cdot |f(\gamma_{t^{1/m}}(a))| = 0$$

since f is bounded and vanishes at infinity. Therefore $\|(\hat{S}_t - \hat{S}_t^{(\infty)})M_f\|_{p \rightarrow p} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|M_f(\hat{S}_t - \hat{S}_t^{(\infty)})\|_{p \rightarrow p} \rightarrow 0$ by duality. \square

Finally we note that there is an analogue of the behaviour of the semigroups as $t \rightarrow 0$ with the asymptotics for $t \rightarrow \infty$. For each weighted algebraic basis on a nilpotent Lie algebra one can construct a contraction \mathfrak{g}_0 of \mathfrak{g} as $t \rightarrow 0$ and then proceed as before to obtain $H_0, S^{(0)}, K^{(0)}$ etc. (see [NRS] [Heb] [EIR3]). For small t one has good bounds on the semigroup kernels,

$$\left| (A^\alpha K_t)(\exp a) - (A^{(0)\alpha} K_t^{(0)})(\exp_0 a) \right| \leq c t^{-(D' + \|\alpha\|)/m} t^{-1/m} e^{-\tau(|a|^{m t^{-1}})^{1/(m-1)}}$$

for all $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, where D' is the local dimension and $|\cdot|$ the appropriate modulus on the Lie algebra adapted to \mathfrak{g}_0 . Bounds of this type can be proved similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.1 or, alternatively, from the proof of Theorem 7.2 in [EIR3].

Nevertheless, the uniform convergence of the semigroups as $t \rightarrow 0$ is valid only in a special case.

Theorem 4.6 *If $p \in [1, \infty]$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\widehat{S}_t - \widehat{S}_t^{(0)}\|_{\infty \rightarrow \infty} = 0$ if, and only if, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ as Lie algebras.*

Proof The proof is a repetition of the arguments in the proofs of the previous two theorems. \square

Acknowledgements

The main part of this work was carried out whilst the second author was visiting the Centre of Mathematics and its Applications at the ANU with the support of the CMA.

References

- [ElR1] ELST, A.F.M. TER and ROBINSON, D. W., Subcoercive and subelliptic operators on Lie groups: variable coefficients. *Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ.* **29** (1993), 745–801.
- [ElR2] ———, Subcoercivity and subelliptic operators on Lie groups I: Free nilpotent groups. *Potential Anal.* **3** (1994), 283–337.
- [ElR3] ———, Weighted subcoercive operators on Lie groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **156** (1998). To appear.
- [ERS] ELST, A.F.M. TER, ROBINSON, D.W. and SIKORA, A., Heat kernels and Riesz transforms on nilpotent Lie groups. *Coll. Math.* **74** (1997), 191–218.
- [Heb] HEBISCH, W., Estimates on the semigroups generated by left invariant operators on Lie groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **423** (1992), 1–45.
- [NRS] NAGEL, A., RICCI, F. and STEIN, E.M., Harmonic analysis and fundamental solutions on nilpotent Lie groups. In SADOSKY, C., ed., *Analysis and partial differential equations*, Lecture Notes in pure and applied Mathematics 122. Marcel Dekker, New York etc., 1990, 249–275.
- [VSC] VAROPOULOS, N.T., SALOFF-COSTE, L. and COULHON, T., *Analysis and geometry on groups*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 100. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.