

Available online at www.sciencerepository.org

Science Repository



Case Report

Multiple Synchronous Squamous Cell Cancers of the Skin and Esophagus: Differential Management of Primary Versus Secondary Tumor

Cristians Gonzalez^{1,2}, Silvana Perretta^{1,2,3}, Bernard Dallemagne^{1,3}, Antonio D'Urso^{1,3}, Patrick Pessaux^{1,2} and Didier Mutter^{1,2,3*}

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 16 November, 2020 Accepted: 1 December, 2020 Published: 15 December, 2020

Keywords:

Double primary tumor second primary tumor multiple primary tumor esophageal cancer skin cancer squamous cell cancer

ABSTRACT

Multiple primary tumors are uncommon in patients with squamous cell esophageal cancer. Conventional imaging methods have limitations in detecting those tumors. Although 18-F-fluoro-deoxyglucose-positron emission tomography scanner increases the detection of multiple synchronous tumors in patients with other malignancies, its contribution in patients with squamous cell esophageal cancer has not been assessed as it is not systematically performed. The detection of synchronous skin squamous cell tumors in patients with squamous cell esophageal cancer presents a challenge for making diagnostic and therapeutic decisions. A metastatic tumor leads to palliative management, whereas the diagnosis of a primary skin tumor requires curative treatment of both squamous cell tumors. Pathological evaluation appears crucial in the decision.

© 2020 Didier Mutter. Hosting by Science Repository. All rights reserved.

Introduction

In case of patients unfit for surgery (due to co-morbidities) the Tokio Multiple primary tumors (MPT) are present in 5-10% of patients with squamous cell esophageal cancer (SCEC) [1-3]. This association is attributed to the presence of "cancerization fields", in which the exposure of the regional epithelium to common carcinogenic agents leads to the development of multiple cancers [4]. A significant proportion of these lesions are already present at the time of cancer index diagnosis [2]. The most frequent locations of these second primary cancers are head/neck, lung, and stomach [5]. In the literature, there are few reports of skin involvement in patients with SCEC, with an incidence of < 1% [6]. Conventional imaging methods, such as ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), have limitations in detecting multiple primary tumors [7]. Nowadays, 18-Ffluoro-deoxyglucose-positron emission tomography scanner (18F-FDG-PET-SCAN) is a widely used diagnostic method for the evaluation of different types of neoplasms, including esophageal cancer. Although ¹⁸F-

FDG-PET increases the detection of multiple synchronous primary tumors in patients with other malignancies, its possible contribution in patients with SCEC has not been evaluated so far.

In this report, the clinical case of a patient with double primary esophageal and cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas is discussed in order to establish the potential relationship between the carcinomas and define the appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic strategies for both primary tumors.

Case Presentation

In June 2020, a 66-year-old female presented to Strasbourg University Hospital with a month-old mild dysphagia. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) revealed one tumor in the middle and lower thirds of the esophagus. The biopsy concluded to a poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). Endoscopic ultrasound and thoraco-abdominopelvic CT-scan identified a non-stenosing

¹General, Digestive, and Endocrine Surgery Department, Nouvel Hôpital Civil, Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

²Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire (IHU), Institute of Image-Guided Surgery, Strasbourg, France

³Institut de Recherche Contre les Cancers de l'Appareil Digestif (IRCAD), Strasbourg, France

^{*}Correspondence to: Dr. Didier Mutter, Department of General, Digestive and Endocrine Surgery, Nouvel Hôpital Civil, Strasbourg University Hospital 1, Place de l'Hopital, 67091, Strasbourg, Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire (IHU), Institute of Image-Guided Surgery, Institut de Recherche Contre les Cancers de l'Appareil Digestif (IRCAD), Strasbourg, France; E-mail: didier.mutter@chru-strasbourg,fr

^{© 2020} Didier Mutter. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Hosting by Science Repository. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.31487/j.SCR.2020.12.11

usT3N+ tumor of the middle and lower third of the esophagus. The nasolaryngeal-bronchoscopy showed no alterations. The ¹⁸F-FDG-PET-SCAN showed, in addition to hypermetabolic signs of the locally advanced primary esophageal tumor, an intensely hypermetabolic subcutaneous occipital nodular thickening suggestive of metastatic disease. Excisional biopsy of this lesion concluded to a moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma.

An exhaustive comparative analysis by the next-generation sequencing (NGS) Solid Tumor Panel was not contributory in differentiating primary or metastatic origin of the skin tumor. The differential diagnosis was established using the histological criteria that showed continuity between the carcinomatous proliferation and the epidermal surface lining which, at the edge of the lesion, presented a squamous carcinoma *in situ* component.

A double primary tumor of skin and esophagus was concluded, and resection was indicated with oncological margins of the primary skin lesion and the neoadjuvant radio-chemotherapy with the surgical intention for the esophageal carcinoma.

Discussion

Esophageal cancer is the eighth most common type of cancer worldwide and constitutes the sixth leading cause of cancer deaths [8]. Each year, this disease causes an estimated 570,000 new cases and more than 500,000 deaths worldwide [9]. This disproportionately high mortality rate is due to the diagnosis being usually made late when the disease is already locally advanced or metastatic [10]. Although in recent decades an increase in the incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma has been observed in Western countries, squamous cell cancer remains the most common histological type with an incidence of around 90% [11].

The diagnosis of esophageal cancer is based on an endoscopic biopsy and classifying its histology according to the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria [12]. The stage is identified according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC)/Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) TNM staging system [13]. Staging should include a complete clinical examination and a computed tomography (CT) scan of the neck, chest, and abdomen. In candidates for surgical resection, endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) should be carried out to evaluate the T and N tumor categories. In order to exclude a synchronous second cancer in the aerodigestive tract, a tracheo-bronchoscopy should be carried out as well as a meticulous assessment of the oral cavity, oropharynx, and hypopharynx [14]. Decisions on treatment approach should be taken based on clinical staging, and multidisciplinary treatment planning is mandatory.

As mentioned above, the incidence of synchronous MPT in patients with SCEC is around 5%-10% [1-3]. Head and neck, and lung cancers are the most common locations (40.9%), followed by gastric cancer (18,2%), pancreatic cancer (13,6%), colon cancer (9%), and hepatocellular cancer (4,5%) [15]. Although very uncommon, the association of MPT affecting the skin and esophagus has already been described and could be the reflection of shared etiological factors or the result of increased surveillance due to the cancer index [16, 17].

MPT is defined according to the Warren and Gates criteria:

- i. The tumors must be clearly malignant on histological examination
- ii. The tumors must be separated by normal mucosa, and
- The possibility that the second tumor represents a metastasis must be excluded [18].

Because the immunohistochemical profile of SCEC is similar to that of its skin counterpart (CK7-, CD20, CK5/6+, CK10+ and CK14+), the distinction between primary and metastatic cutaneous lesions of squamous cell carcinoma is at present still mainly based on histological architecture [19]. In cutaneous metastases, atypical cells are observed histologically within the dermis and subcutaneous fat without attachment to the overlying squamous epithelium, whereas in primary lesions, the continuity between the tumor and the normal epithelium is preserved [20].

Up to 20% of MPT in SCEC patients are undetectable through conventional imaging methods [15]. In this context, ¹⁸F-FDG-PET has proven to be helpful to identify otherwise undetected MPT [21]. Currently, ¹⁸F-FDG-PET is not only a standard method for staging and planning multimodal therapy for esophageal cancer, but also useful for detecting MPT with a sensitivity of 91% and a positive predictive value of 69% [22]. Therefore, ¹⁸F-FDG-PET should be carried out in patients with SCEC who are candidates for surgery in order to rule out any operative contraindications, avoid missing an indication for neoadjuvant treatment, and/or clarify doubts about a secondary lesion on the CT scan [23]. This test should also be performed in patients with planned radiation therapy to assist in radiation target volume delineation [24].

The detection of MPT in patients with SCEC presents a challenge for making therapeutic decisions. Treatment plans for both the index cancer and the second primary tumor may require to be adapted or modified. Previously, based on the consideration that second tumors are directly related to the first one and influenced by its prognosis, it was accepted that patients with SCEC and MPT were candidates only for palliative therapy [25]. Nevertheless, since the opportunities for survival for each MPT appear to be independent and have increased considerably due to recent advances in multimodal therapies, this approach may not be the most appropriate. As a result of advances in the treatment of esophageal and skin cancers, it is now possible to make decisions with curative intent for each separately. A better understanding of the behaviour and interactions between SCEC and MPT may result in earlier detection of second primary cancers and improve therapeutic outcomes.

Conclusion

Although multiple primary tumors are still very uncommon, recent diagnostic advances along with the increased survival of oncological patients have made their presentation increasingly frequent. The distinction between second tumors and eventual metastatic disease can be challenging. Similarly, making therapeutic decisions can be difficult. However, due to the increasing number of curative therapeutic options available, it is recommended that all diagnostic efforts be made to offer patients treatments tailored to their particular conditions. An individualized therapeutic plan decided after a multidisciplinary analysis can positively influence the quality of life and prognosis of patients with synchronous multiple primary tumors.

REFERENCES

- Poon RT, Law SY, Chu KM, Branicki FJ, Wong J (1998) Multiple primary cancers in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: incidence and implications. *Ann Thorac Surg* 65: 1529-1534. [Crossref]
- Nagasawa S, Onda M, Sasajima K, Takubo K, Miyashita M (2000) Multiple primary malignant neoplasms in patients with esophageal cancer. Dis Esophagus 13: 226-230. [Crossref]
- Ven SVD, Bugter O, Hardillo JA, Bruno MJ, Jong RJBD et al. (2019)
 Screening for head and neck second primary tumors in patients with esophageal squamous cell cancer: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *United European Gastroenterol* J 7: 1304-1311. [Crossref]
- Slaughter DP, Southwick HW, Smejkal W (1953) Field cancerization in oral stratified squamous epithelium; clinical implications of multicentric origin. Cancer 6: 963-968. [Crossref]
- Baba Y, Yoshida N, Kinoshita K, Iwatsuki M, Yamashita YI et al. (2018) Clinical and Prognostic Features of Patients With Esophageal Cancer and Multiple Primary Cancers: A Retrospective Singleinstitution Study. Ann Surg 267: 478-483. [Crossref]
- Lookingbill DP, Spangler N, Helm KF (1993) Cutaneous metastases in patients with metastatic carcinoma: a retrospective study of 4020 patients. J Am Acad Dermatol 29: 228-236. [Crossref]
- Tibana TK, Santos RFT, Filho AA, Bacelar B, Martins LDA et al. (2019) Detection of additional primary malignancies: the role of CT and PET/CT combined with multiple percutaneous biopsy. *Radiol Bras* 52: 166-171. [Crossref]
- Uhlenhopp DJ, Then EO, Sunkara T, Gaduputi V (2020) Epidemiology of esophageal cancer: update in global trends, etiology and risk factors. Clin J Gastroenterol 13: 1010-1021. [Crossref]
- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA et al. (2018) Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 68: 394-424. [Crossref]
- Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, Miller D, Brest A et al. (2020) SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2016, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD.
- Arnold M, Soerjomataram I, Ferlay J, Forman D (2015) Global incidence of oesophageal cancer by histological subtype in 2012. *Gut* 64: 381-387. [Crossref]
- Nagtegaal ID, Odze RD, Klimstra D, Paradis V, Rugge M et al. (2020)
 WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board. The 2019 WHO classification of tumours of the digestive system. *Histopathology* 76: 182-188. [Crossref]

- Edge SB, Byrd DR, Compton CC, FRITZ AG, Greene FL et al. (2010)
 AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, 7th edition. New York, NY: Springer.
- Lordick F, Mariette C, Haustermans K, Obermannová R, Arnold D et al. (2016) Oesophageal cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 27: v50-v57. [Crossref]
- Lee JS, Ahn JY, Choi KD, Song HJ, Kim YH et al. (2016) Synchronous second primary cancers in patients with squamous esophageal cancer: clinical features and survival outcome. *Korean J Intern Med* 31: 253-259. [Crossref]
- Wheless L, Black J, Alberg AJ (2010) Nonmelanoma skin cancer and the risk of second primary cancers: a systematic review. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 19: 1686-1695. [Crossref]
- Cantwell MM, Murray LJ, Catney D, Donnelly D, Autier P et al. (2009)
 Second primary cancers in patients with skin cancer: a population-based study in Northern Ireland. Br J Cancer 100:174-177. [Crossref]
- Warren S, Gates O (1932) Multiple primary malignant tumors: A survey of the literature and statistical study. Am J Cancer 16: 1358-1414.
- Wong HH, Chu P (2012) Immunohistochemical features of the gastrointestinal tract tumors. J Gastrointest Oncol 3: 262-284. [Crossref]
- Weidner N, Foucar E (1985) Epidermotropic metastatic squamous cell carcinoma. Report of two cases showing histologic continuity between epidermis and metastasis. Arch Dermatol 121: 1041-1043. [Crossref]
- Westreenen HLV, Westerterp M, Bossuyt PMM, Pruim J, Sloof GW et al. (2004) Systematic review of the staging performance of 18Ffluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography in esophageal cancer. J Clin Oncol 22: 3805-3012. [Crossref]
- Choi JY, Lee KS, Kwon OJ, Shim YM, Baek CH et al. (2005) Improved detection of second primary cancer using integrated [18F] fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography and computed tomography for initial tumor staging. *J Clin Oncol* 23: 7654-7659.
 [Crossref]
- Lledo G, Mariette C, Raoul JL, Dahan L, Landi B et al. (2016) Cancer de l'œsophage. Thésaurus National de Cancérologie Digestive, 09-2016.
- Lin J, Kligerman S, Goel R, Sajedi P, Suntharalingam M et al. (2015)
 State-of-the-art molecular imaging in esophageal cancer management:
 implications for diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment. *J Gastrointest Oncol* 6: 3-19. [Crossref]
- Takita H, Vincent RG, Caicedo V, Gutierrez AC (1977) Squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus: a study of 153 cases. *J Surg Oncol* 9: 547-554. [Crossref]