

Computational modelling to reduce outcome variability in tissue-engineered heart valves

Citation for published version (APA): Visser, V. L., Zaytseva, P., Motta, S. E., Loerakker, S., Hoerstrup, S. P., & Emmert, M. Y. (2021). Computational modelling to reduce outcome variability in tissue-engineered heart valves: Could computational models become a pre-requisite for the broad clinical translation of tissue-engineered heart valves? . European Heart Journal, 42(23), 2225-2229. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab034

DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab034

Document status and date:

Published: 14/06/2021

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.





Computational modelling to reduce outcome variability in tissue-engineered heart valves

Could computational models become a pre-requisite for the broad clinical translation of tissue-engineered heart valves?

Valery L. Visser¹, Polina Zaytseva¹, Sarah E. Motta^{1,2}, Sandra Loerakker^{3,4}, Simon P. Hoerstrup^{1,2}, and Maximilian Y. Emmert () ^{1,2,5,6}*

¹Institute for Regenerative Medicine, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; ²Wyss Zurich, University of Zurich and ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; ³Department of Biomedical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, Netherlands; ⁴Institute for Complex Molecular Systems, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, Netherlands; ⁵Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany; and ⁶Department of Cardiothoracic and Vascular surgery, German Heart Center Berlin, Berlin Germany

Clinical problem

Replacement of a severely dysfunctional heart valve remains the only viable option for the majority of patients with valvular heart disease, and the choice of the best suitable prosthesis is based on the patient's anatomy, pathology, and comorbidities.¹ Heart valve replacement via open-heart surgery with temporary cardiac arrest has been the standard of care for decades but is not always applicable to elderly or highrisk patients. In this context, transcatheter valve replacement (TVR) strategies have evolved in recent years and provide an alternative treatment option for inoperable, high-risk, but now also intermediateand low-risk patients.¹ However, despite this tremendous evolution in the field, the heart valve prostheses currently utilized in TVR approaches (i.e. bioprostheses) are prone to continuous degeneration and still lack the ability to grow, self-repair, and regenerate, thus making them not suitable for younger patients.¹ Hence, the combination of TVR with tissue-engineered heart valves (TEHVs) with self-repair and remodelling properties may provide a next-generation solution that can be implanted via minimally invasive techniques and will subsequently integrate in the heart of the patients.¹ These intrinsic regeneration properties will allow TEHVs to grow with the patient, adjust to the dynamic environment, and eliminate the reoperation risks, thus providing a lifelong, durable solution for paediatric and elderly patients.

Large heterogeneity of the implanted tissue and subsequent remodelling response

Despite the huge potential of such next-generation valve substitutes, the technical and regulatory complexity, as well as the control of the *in vivo* remodelling processes remain major translational challenges limiting TEHVs clinical translation. Additionally, it has recently been shown that there is a large variability in the preclinical outcomes of TEHV remodelling.¹ Factors like the *in vitro* culture method, the use of xenogeneic material, cell seeding, scaffold type, valve design, and implantation method lead to heterogeneity of the implanted tissue.¹ Other factors such as inter- and intra-subject differences in the growth and remodelling response between animals and ultimately patients, also contribute to the observed variability in the (pre)clinical outcomes. One study demonstrated that substantial leaflet-to-leaflet variability is observed in regard to the degree of scaffold degradation and leaflet thickness, as can be seen in *Figure 1.*² Given that the differences in (epi)genetic and environmental factors are rather small in preclinical studies, the variability in outcome is expected to be even larger in the clinical setting. Therefore, an in-depth mechanistic understanding of how such differences arise is mandatory in order to reliably develop TEHVs that are predictable with regard to remodelling outcomes and the associated functionality.

Solution: computational modelling and the rise of personalized medicine

To successfully translate TEHVs to the clinic, a systematic approach is needed to identify TEHV design criteria. The integration of *in silico* computational modelling (CM) tools with experimental studies is crucial to obtain a mechanistic understanding of the multi-level phenomena that occur after TEHVs implantation.³ Only when the *in vivo* remodelling process of TEHVs is understood from a mechanistic point of view, the currently observed variability can be reduced or even eliminated.³ It is important to note that the optimal TEHV is not defined only by its design but also by the anatomical correspondence, adaptation to patient-specific haemodynamic loading, and anticipation of the presence of comorbidities in the patient which might affect the regenerative capacity. To this end, patient-specific therapies are becoming increasingly popular (i.e. personalized medicine), as new

Corresponding author. Tel: +41 44 634 5610, Fax: +41 44 634 56 08, Email: maximilian.emmert@irem.uzh.ch Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author(s) 2021. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.





inter-patient differences that might affect functional remodelling of TEHVs are discovered on a regular basis.⁴ Nevertheless, CM has the potential to explain their influence, adjust for these differences and may therefore play a central role in the development of patient-specific TEHVs.

Mechanical factors heavily influence tissue-engineered heart valve functionality and remodelling

Mechanical factors have a large influence on the performance, integration, and adaptation of TEHVs in the host.⁵ Cells repopulating the heart valves after implantation are subjected to haemodynamic parameters such as pressure, shear forces, and stretch and are known to respond and adapt to these forces to maintain homeostasis in healthy valve tissues.⁵ These cellular responses are also crucial for functional remodelling of TEHVs towards native-like valve tissue as they, for example, control extracellular matrix remodelling, tissue compaction and growth factor excretion and inhibition.^{3,6} On the other hand, cells can also transform into pathologically activated phenotypes (e.g. M1 macrophages and myofibroblasts), under non-physiological (mechanical) conditions,⁵ like hypertension or aberrant tissue stretch.⁵ These pathological stimuli may induce a chronical inflammatory state, which causes maladaptive remodelling of TEHVs for example leading to excessive leaflet thickening and calcification (*Figure 2*). Understanding of how mechanical stimuli affect the remodelling response of cells and tissues is therefore crucial to understand how functional (adaptive) remodelling of TEHVs may be established.

Inter- and intra-patient differences influence remodelling of tissue-engineered heart valves

Mechanical parameters can vary between patients or even between different heart valve leaflets. Inter-patient variability is often observed in blood pressure, annulus size, and stiffness.⁷ Intra-patient variabilities are also found in heart valves such as asymmetry in the leaflet size and composition.⁸ Inter- and intra-patient variations affect the mechanical behaviour of heart valve leaflets and may induce a heterogeneous spatial distribution of stresses and strains.⁸ These mechanical stimuli evoke a response from the valvular interstitial cells, and subsequently either physiological homeostasis or a maladaptive inflammatory state is reached.¹ Therefore, mechanistic understanding of how inter- and intra-patient differences affect remodelling could indicate how TEHVs adopt a functional remodelling response instead of maladaptive remodelling (*Figure 2*).



Figure 2 Adaptive vs. maladaptive remodelling in heart valve implants. Figure was adapted and reprinted from Fioretta et al.¹ with permission from authors.

In addition to mechanical parameters, there are also systemic effects which might affect TEHV remodelling in patients, such as comorbidities which may significantly affect the remodelling capacity of the cells in TEHVs.⁸

Computational modelling can be used to rationally optimize tissueengineered heart valve design

CM is utilized to optimize the design of TEHVs in all steps of the clinical translation from bench to bedside, as visualized in *Figure 4*. First, models are used to study how (mechanical) stimuli affect the remodelling response of (single) cells *in vitro.*⁹ This can be expanded to understand how a functional remodelling response can be provoked in a tissue *in vitro* in either a static condition or a bioreactor, where the tissue is often subjected to multiple stimuli in concert.⁹ Finally, models are used in *in vivo* studies, to predict the remodelling potential of a TEHV towards native-like tissue.³ As it often occurs that several pathways or stimuli will have competing or synergistic influences on cell or tissue behaviour, models can aid in understanding how these processes cooperate to define the final remodelling response of a cell or tissue.⁹

For all steps from bench to bedside, a model could be made prior to the experiment, which aids to define hypotheses or defines how the TEHV should be designed. Besides this, retrospective models can be made, to explain unexpected findings. Both the simulations prior and after an experiment can be used to optimize the design of the TEHV, as for example done previously by Emmert *et al.* where the geometry of TEHV was substantially improved as visualized in *Figure* 3.^{3,6} Optimization algorithms should therefore be developed to enable the improvement of the valve design within a predefined set of suitable parameter ranges.

Computational modelling can analyse the effect of inter- and intra-patient differences on the remodelling of tissue-engineered heart valves

Computational models, which describe the remodelling response of a TEHV, are often able to incorporate patient-specific input parameters. If a maladaptive remodelling response is predicted to arise, either the design of the TEHV could be optimized or patients with these specific conditions are not recommended to use this TEHV. It is possible that there is no design that will suit the majority of the patients, or that a patient has an exceptional cardiovascular signature. In that case, patient-specific treatments could be developed, where the TEHV is tailored to each specific case. Initiatives like the digital patient roadmap and the Virtual Physiological Human provide frameworks to integrate multiple





computational models to study the human body and are of great importance for the development of these patient-specific treatments.⁴

Using computational modelling reduces trial and error in pre-clinical and clinical studies

CM would enable investigators to scale down the trial and error phase in preclinical studies, obtaining scientific results at reduced labour cost and animal sacrifice. *In silico* designed TEHVs have shown sustainable long-term performance in a translational sheep model as predicted by computational solutions,³ indicating the relevance of an integrated bioengineering approach. CM can be implemented at any stage of clinical translation—from TE implant design and parameter evaluation to predicting therapy outcomes in (pre)clinical trials and beyond.

Recently, a clinical trial in Japan showed promising results following implantation of tissue-engineered vascular grafts as cardiac conduits in children.¹⁰ However, a follow-up trial in the USA was halted, after evidence of stenosis was observed within 8 months of implantation. By simulating the adaptation of the graft *in silico*, CM could explain the development of stenosis observed in the trials, as well as predict its spontaneous resolution via inflammation-driven graft remodelling—which

was later confirmed experimentally. This evidence substantiates the importance of CM in cardiovascular tissue engineering and its ability to reduce trial and error within the preclinical development and validation of such technologies.

How computational modelling should be incorporated in ISO guidelines

Current regulatory requirements for cardiovascular implants outlined by ISO 5840 guidelines are suboptimal for tissue-engineered devices, as they do not consider their regenerative and growth potential.¹ The regulations are set to be revised, once the clinical translation of TEHV is achieved. Including *in silico* clinical trials as part of the updated guidelines would support the evaluation of the devices and facilitate quality assurance. Importantly, models should be properly validated in order to contribute successfully to clinical translation.⁴

Implementing CM as a pre-requisite in the ISO testing standards to study maladaptive remodelling responses could systematically raise clinical performance of next-generation TEHV. On top of that, by implementing CM the safety of TEHV will be increased significantly, as suboptimal TEHV designs are avoided by predicting success or failure.



Figure 4 Examples of added value of computational modelling in each step of clinical translation of tissue-engineered heart valve (TEHV) from bench to bedside. *In silico* models can attribute to mechanistic understanding of *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. Subfigure of TEHV performance was reprinted from Motta et al.⁶ under the Creative Commons license 4.0 and with permission from the authors.

Models as pre-requisite for clinical translation would improve treatment efficacy

In summary, next-generation TEHVs are eagerly awaited in the field, and provide hope for future heart valve replacement therapies, in particular for the young and children. To date, efficient clinical translation has been slower than anticipated due to several technical challenges.¹ Advancements in CM have consolidated it as an increasingly valuable tool with great potential to revolutionize TEHV design and evaluation. *In silico* strategies could help to carry out safe clinical translation of TE cardiovascular implants, as well as improve treatment efficacy and long-term performance through the simulation of treatment outcomes.

Funding

M.Y.E. and S.L. have received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, grant agreement no. 852814 (TAVI4Life) and grant agreement no. 802967 (MechanoSignaling). V.V, P.Z, and S.E.M. are supported by the ERC grant TAVI4Life.

Conflict of interest: S.P.H. is a shareholder at Xeltis BV and LifeMatrix Technologies AG. M.Y.E. is a shareholder at LifeMatrix Technologies AG.

References

- Fioretta ES, Motta SE, Lintas V, Loerakker S, Parker KK, Baaijens FPT, Falk V, Hoerstrup SP, Emmert MY. Next-generation tissue-engineered heart valves with repair, remodelling and regeneration capacity. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2021;118:92–116.
- Fioretta ES, Lintas V, Mallone A, Motta SE, von BL, Dijkman PE, Cesarovic N, Caliskan E, Rodriguez Cetina Biefer H, Lipiski M, Sauer M, Putti M, Janssen HM, Söntjens SH, Smits AIPM, Bouten CVC, Emmert MY, Hoerstrup SP. Differential leaflet remodeling of bone marrow cell pre-seeded versus nonseeded bioresorbable transcatheter pulmonary valve replacements. *JACC Basic Transl Sci* 2020;**5**:15–31.

- Emmert MY, Schmitt BA, Loerakker S, Sanders B, Spriestersbach H, Fioretta ES, Bruder L, Brakmann K, Motta SE, Lintas V, Dijkman PE, Frese L, Berger F, Baaijens FPT, Hoerstrup SP. Computational modeling guides tissue-engineered heart valve design for long-term in vivo performance in a translational sheep model. *Sci Transl Med* 2018;**10**:eaan4587.
- Diaz VM, Viceconti M, Stroetmann K, Kalra D. DIGITAL PATIENT roadmap; roadmap for the digital patient. *Discipulus Eur Comm* 2013.
- Garoffolo G, Pesce M. Mechanotransduction in the cardiovascular system: from developmental origins to homeostasis and pathology. *Cells NLM (Medline)* 2019;8:1607.
- Motta SE, Fioretta ES, Lintas V, Dijkman PE, Hilbe M, Frese L, Cesarovic N, Loerakker S, Baaijens FPT, Falk V, Hoerstrup SP, Emmert MY. Geometry influences inflammatory host cell response and remodeling in tissue-engineered heart valves in-vivo. Sci Rep 2020;10:19882.
- van Geemen D, Soares ALF, Oomen PJA, Driessen-Mol A, Janssen-van den Broek MWJT, van den Bogaerdt AJ, Bogers AJJC, Goumans M-J, Baaijens FPT, Bouten CVC. Age-dependent changes in geometry, tissue composition and mechanical properties of fetal to adult cryopreserved human heart valves. *PLoS One* 2016;**11**:e0149020.
- Grande KJ, Cochran RP, Reinhall PG, Kunzelma KS. Stress variations in the human aortic root and valve: the role of anatomic asymmetry. Ann Biomed Eng 1998;26:534–545.
- Obbink-Huizer C, Oomens CWJ, Loerakker S, Foolen J, Bouten CVC, Baaijens FPT. Computational model predicts cell orientation in response to a range of mechanical stimuli. *Biomech Model Mechanobiol* 2014;13:227–236.
- 10. Drews JD, Pepper VK, Best CA, Szafron JM, Cheatham JP, Yates AR, Hor KN, Zbinden JC, Chang YC, Mirhaidari GJM, Ramachandra AB, Miyamoto S, Blum KM, Onwuka EA, Zakko J, Kelly J, Cheatham SL, King N, Reinhardt JW, Sugiura T, Miyachi H, Matsuzaki Y, Breuer J, Heuer ED, Aaron West T, Shoji T, Berman D, Boe BA, Asnes J, Galantowicz M, Matsumura G, Hibino N, Marsden AL, Pober JS, Humphrey JD, Shinoka T, Breuer CK. Spontaneous reversal of stenosis in tissue engineered vascular grafts. Sci Transl Med 2020;**12**: 1–14.



2229