

Including communication in generating longitudinal trajectories for automated vehicles

Citation for published version (APA): van Hoek, R. B. A., Ploeg, J., & Nijmeijer, H. (2018). Including communication in generating longitudinal trajectories for automated vehicles. 80. Abstract from 37th Benelux Meeting on Systems and Control 2018, Soesterberg, Netherlands.

Document license: Other

Document status and date: Published: 01/01/2018

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Including communication in generating longitudinal trajectories for automated vehicles

Robbin van Hoek¹, Jeroen Ploeg², Henk Nijmeijer¹ Eindhoven University of Technology¹, TNO Integrated Vehicle Safety² P.O. Box 513, 5612 AP Eindhoven¹, P.O. Box 756, 5700 AT Helmond² Email corresponding author: r.b.a.v.hoek@tue.nl

1 Introduction

Research in vehicle automation aims to solve road congestion issues and mitigate the risk of accidents. Generally speaking we can make the distinction between two classes of automated vehicles. The first being cooperative vehicles, which aim to improve traffic flow by means of communication, and the second being fully autonomous vehicles. These autonomous vehicles typically make use of a trajectory planner, which provides a reference for the vehicle's trajectory control system. In this work we attempt to include communication of cooperative vehicles into the framework of the trajectory planner of the autonomous vehicle.

2 Path Planner

We adopt the planner framework presented in [1], in which in frenet frame, \vec{e}_2^2 , (Figure 1) is defined along a reference spatial path. The planning problem then reduces to finding trajectories $\{s(t), d(s(t))\}$ with respect to a reference trajectory, where *s* is the curvilinear distance along the reference path, and *d*, the lateral offset. To minimize jerk, a set of fifth order polynomials, trajectories is generated with discritized terminal conditions at terminal time, τ , for both coordinates, which are tested for feasibility and collisions. A cost function is then used to select the trajectory that will be executed.




Figure 1: Reference path described by X(s), and trajectory coordinates s(t) and d(s(t)).

3 Communication

We focus on generating the path progression trajectory s(t). In cooperative vehicles, typically a constant time gap spacing policy is used for the purpose of string stability [2]. This can be used to formulate a terminal constraint for the planned trajectory of s(t),

$$s_i(\tau) = s_{i-1}(\tau) - c - h\dot{s}_i(\tau),$$
 (1)

where $s_{i-1}(\tau)$ and $s_i(\tau)$ denote the curvilinear position of the predecessor and host vehicle, *c* a standstill distance in-




Figure 2: Trajectories s(t), markers indicate planner update

cluding vehicle length and *h* the time gap. Including V2V communication allows access to the planned trajectories of preceding vehicle $s_{i-1}(\tau)$. Minimum jerk trajectories for $s(t), t \in [0, \tau]$ are then generated such that $s(\tau) = s_i(\tau)$, $\dot{s}(\tau) = \dot{s}_i(\tau) = \dot{s}_{i-1}(\tau)$

This algorithm is implemented in a simulation environment in which the planner runs online at a frequency of 1Hz. The results for s(t) are shown in Figure 2. The leading vehicle makes a change in forward velocity using a minimum jerk maneuver. The follower vehicles use spacing policy (1) with the described planning algorithm to generate trajectories. The minimum jerk nature of the trajectories results in an initial deceleration, which amplifies rearward in the string. This result demonstrates that the minimum jerk trajectories cannot be applied directly in the trajectory planner framework of the autonomous vehicle. Instead, the criteria for string stability should be explicitly included in formulating the functions for the longitudinal trajectory s(t).

Acknowledgements

This work is part of the research program i-CAVE with project number 14893, which is partly financed by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO).

References

[1] M. Werling et al., "Optimal trajectories for timecritical street scenarios using discretized terminal manifolds", The International Journal of Robotics Research, 2012.

[2] J. Ploeg et al., "Design and experimental evaluation of cooperative adaptive cruise control", International IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 2011.