

Identifying potential patient-specific predictors for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction outcome – a diagnostic in vitro tissue remodeling platform

Citation for published version (APA):

van Vijven, M., van Groningen, B., Kimenai, J. N., van der Steen, M., van Doeselaar, M., Janssen, R. P. A., Ito, K., & Foolen, J. (2020). Identifying potential patient-specific predictors for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction outcome – a diagnostic in vitro tissue remodeling platform. *Journal of Experimental Orthopaedics*, 7(1), Article 48. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40634-020-00266-2>

Document license:
CC BY

DOI:
[10.1186/s40634-020-00266-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40634-020-00266-2)

Document status and date:
Published: 01/12/2020

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl


providing details and we will investigate your claim.

ORIGINAL PAPER

Open Access



Identifying potential patient-specific predictors for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction outcome – a diagnostic in vitro tissue remodeling platform

Marc van Vijven^{1,2*} , Bart van Groningen³, Joyce N. Kimenai¹, Maria C. van der Steen^{3,4}, Marina van Doeselaar¹, Rob P. A. Janssen^{1,3,5}, Keita Ito^{1,2} and Jasper Foolen^{1,2}

Abstract

Purpose: Upon anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture, reconstruction is often required, with the hamstring tendon autograft as most widely used treatment. Post-operative autograft remodeling enhances graft rupture risk, which occurs in up to 10% of the patient population, increasing up to 30% of patients aged under 20 years. Therefore, this research aimed to identify potential biological predictors for graft rupture, derived from patient-specific tissue remodeling-related cell properties in an in vitro micro-tissue platform.

Methods: Hamstring tendon-derived cells were obtained from remnant autograft tissue after ACL reconstructions (36 patients, aged 12–55 years), and seeded in collagen I gels on a micro-tissue platform. Micro-tissue compaction over time – induced by altering the boundary constraints – was monitored. Pro-collagen I expression was assessed using ELISA, and protein expression of tenomodulin and α -smooth muscle actin were measured using Western blot. Expression and activity of matrix metalloproteinase 2 were determined using gelatin zymography.

Results: Only micro-tissues corresponding to younger patients occasionally released themselves from the constraining posts. Pro-collagen I expression was significantly higher in younger patients. Differences in α -smooth muscle actin and tenomodulin expression between patients were found, but these were age-independent. Active matrix metalloproteinase 2 expression was slightly more abundant in younger patients.

Conclusions: The presented micro-tissue platform exposed patient-specific remodeling-related differences between tendon-derived cells, with the micro-tissues that released from constraining posts and pro-collagen I expression best reflecting the clinical age-dependency of graft rupture. These properties can be the starting point in the quest for potential predictors for identifying individual patients at risk for graft rupture.

Keywords: Anterior cruciate ligament, Hamstring tendon autograft, In vitro micro-tissue platform, Patient-specific cell properties, Tissue remodeling

* Correspondence: m.v.vijven@tue.nl

¹Orthopaedic Biomechanics, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, Building 15, Groene Loper, Gemini-Zuid 4.12, PO Box 513, 5600MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

²Institute for Complex Molecular Systems, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, the Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Background

The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) connects the femur to the tibia and is one of the most important ligaments for knee joint stability. It consists of a predominantly anisotropic collagen I extracellular matrix populated by fibroblast-like cells [24]. Upon acute overloading (trauma), the ACL can rupture, with a prevalence of 100,000–200,000 per year in the United States [9]. A ruptured ACL impairs knee stability, can impede the patient's normal activity levels, and even lead to work-related disabilities. A completely torn ACL does not self-regenerate, and therefore, in 50% of the rupture cases an ACL reconstruction is required [18], often performed using a hamstring tendon (e.g., semitendinosus/gracilis tendon) autograft [28, 51].

After ACL reconstruction with an autograft, a remodeling process is initiated that transforms the tissue to match its new (mechanical) environment [16]. This remodeling can roughly be divided in an early graft healing phase, a proliferation phase and a ligamentization phase [18, 38, 41]. The early graft healing phase with central graft necrosis, hypocellularity and no detectable revascularization – resulting in nutrient deprivation – occurs in approximately the first 4 weeks after surgery. The necrotic fibroblasts secrete cytokines (e.g., tumor necrosis factor- α , interleukin 6, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)) and matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) [55]. The latter mainly comprise collagenases (MMP1, MMP8 and MMP13) and gelatinases (MMP2 and MMP9), which break down the collagen extracellular matrix gradually [48]. The proliferation phase covers approximately the second and third month after surgery. VEGF-induced graft vascularization supplies cell nutrients, and combined with the cytokines secreted in the early graft healing phase, this stimulates myofibroblasts – characterized by α -smooth muscle actin (α SMA) expression – from the synovial fluid to invade the graft. The myofibroblasts produce more collagen III relative to collagen I, and deposit this more isotropically. In this phase, MMP production is high as well, which combined with the isotropic collagen III seriously impairs graft strength [18, 38, 41]. The ligamentization phase can last up to 2 years after surgery and is characterized by (partial) recovery of the fibroblastic cell phenotype. The cells align with the main loading direction, deposit anisotropic collagen I and therefore graft strength increases towards – but never fully attains – that of the native ACL [17, 18, 38, 41]. It can take up to 2 years after surgery for patients to return to their pre-injury activity level [33], which is ultimately achieved by approximately 65% of recreational athletes [3].

Early return to sports, traumatic re-injury, concomitant knee instabilities, surgical errors and/or impaired graft strength during remodeling – particularly the

proliferation phase – can lead to ACL graft rupture, which occurs for up to 10% of the patients, and for patient younger than 20 years this increases even up to 30% [13, 14, 27, 33, 52]. This age-dependency of graft rupture risk could be ascribed to larger participation in (risk-taking) activities by younger patients, but biological differences in the tissue remodeling process may also contribute [27, 52]. Besides age, biological differences in tissue remodeling may also be related to gender [21], and which hamstring tendon is used for the reconstruction: an adequate autograft can usually be made of the semitendinosus tendon, but sometimes the gracilis tendon needs to be added [12, 20], and variations in regenerative capacity between both tendons have been observed [34]. Currently, it remains unknown whether graft rupture risk can be predicted from the biological properties of the resident fibroblasts-like cells in the graft. Therefore, the aims of this research were: 1) to assess various patient-specific tissue remodeling-related properties of human hamstring tendon-derived cells (TDCs; obtained from remnant autograft tissue after ACL reconstructions) in an in vitro micro-tissue remodeling platform; and 2) to relate the remodeling-related properties of patient-derived cells to patient age, gender and tendon type (semitendinosus or gracilis tendon), in order to identify cell properties that could potentially predict graft rupture.

Methods

Harvesting and expanding tendon-derived cells

Remnant tissue fragments from the most proximal and/or distal part of semitendinosus and/or gracilis tendons were collected after Institutional Review Board approval (METC N16.148) and processed immediately after ACL reconstructive surgery. The tendon fragments were disinfected with 70% ethanol and washed repeatedly with sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The tissue was cut into small pieces and the extracellular matrix was dissolved in a sterile-filtered 2 mg/ml collagenase IV (Gibco, 17,104,019) solution in Dulbecco's PBS (DPBS; Sigma-Aldrich, D4031) at 37 °C. After 6–22 h (depending on the tissue volume as well as time of day of surgery), the solution was centrifuged for 5 min at 1100 rpm, and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet containing cells and small tissue fragments was resuspended in medium consisting of high-glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (HG-DMEM; Gibco, 42,430–025) and Nutrient Mixture F-12 Ham (F12; Gibco, 21,765–029) in a 1:1 (v/v) ratio, supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma-Aldrich, F7524) and 5% penicillin-streptomycin (pen-strep; Lonza, DE17-602E), and cultured for 7 days at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ with 2–3 medium changes. Subsequently, the pen-strep concentration was lowered to 1%, and TDCs were cultured until

cryopreservation after reaching sub-confluency in passage 1 or 2. In total, TDCs were successfully harvested from 41 tendons obtained from 36 patients (Table 1; Table S-1 for a complete overview).

Micro-tissue culture, compaction and image processing

After cryopreservation, TDCs were grown to sub-confluency in growth medium consisting of HG-DMEM and F12 (1:1) with 10% FBS and 1% pen-strep and seeded in a micro-tissue platform as described before [7]. Briefly, systems of Dragon Skin™ (Smooth On, Dragon Skin™ 10 SLOW) posts were cast in 6-well plates (Fig. 1), disinfected with 70% ethanol, and treated with 0.2% Pluronic® F-127 (Sigma-Aldrich, P2443) in MilliQ for 1 h, to make surfaces non-adhesive. Afterwards, systems were washed with sterile MilliQ and UV-sterilized. TDCs were suspended at 1.5 million cells/ml in 71% (v/v) growth medium, 25% rat tail collagen I (Advanced Biomatrix, 5056) and 4% 1 M NaOH (Merck, 106,498) in PBS. 60 µl cell suspension was seeded in each system (Fig. 1), and after 45 min gelation at 37 °C, 4 ml growth medium, supplemented with 0.25 mg/ml L-ascorbic acid-2-phosphate sesquimagnesium (Sigma-Aldrich, A8960), was added to each well.

After 48 h, micro-tissues had formed around the posts due to collagen gel compaction by the TDCs. The constraints of the four outermost post were released, inducing further micro-tissue compaction (Fig. 1), which was monitored using brightfield imaging in a Leica DMi8 microscope with incubation box at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. An image was made every hour, for 48 h. Micro-tissues in additional well plates that could not be monitored simultaneously were imaged immediately after releasing the constraints and 48 h later using an EVOS™ XL Core microscope (ThermoFischer Scientific). Micro-tissues

that were still constrained by the remaining posts after 48 h were snap-frozen and stored at -80 °C until further use in the follow-up analyses described below. Micro-tissues that had released from the remaining posts were not processed for further analyses. For a few patients, the number of micro-tissues was too low to perform all follow-up analyses or repeat an unsuccessful read-out. The number of patients included in each analysis is presented in Figs. 3, 4, 5 and 6.

Microscopy images were analyzed using a custom-made Matlab (MathWorks) script calculating the top-view surface area of the micro-tissues over time, based on a grey value threshold, which is illustrated in Fig. 2 strategy A. For images where the absolute intensity values were insufficient to identify the micro-tissue silhouette, edge detection was performed on the original images in ImageJ [42] before applying the Matlab script (Fig. 2 strategy B).

Pro-collagen I ELISA

Collagen I production was assessed with ELISA, by means of human pro-collagen I expression. One snap-frozen micro-tissue per remnant hamstring tendon tissue was lysed in 100 µl RIPA buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, R0278) on ice, and sonicated. Pro-collagen I expression was assessed using the Human Pro-Collagen I alpha 1 Matched Antibody Pair Kit (Abcam, ab216064), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and quantified using the included pro-collagen I alpha 1 standard.

As a sample loading control, samples were semi-quantitatively tested for similarity of cell lysate contents using Western blot for housekeeping protein tubulin (following the method in the section 'Western blot'), and outliers were defined as more than 1.5x the inter-quartile range below the first quantile or above the third quantile [19].


Western blot

For protein expression analysis of tendon marker tenomodulin (37 kDa), myofibroblast marker αSMA (42 kDa) and housekeeping protein tubulin (50–55 kDa), one snap-frozen micro-tissue per hamstring tendon tissue was lysed as described above. Lysed samples were mixed 1:1 (v/v) with Laemmli buffer (composition in [Supplementary material](#)) and 20% (v/v) 100 mM 1,4-dithiothreitol (Sigma-Aldrich, D0632) in MilliQ, and denatured for 5 min at 98 °C. Samples were loaded in 10% polyacrylamide gels (Biorad, 1,610,158) (composition in [Supplementary material](#)) and separated by size using gel electrophoresis at 15 mA per gel for approximately 1.5 h.

Afterwards, proteins were transferred overnight to a blotting membrane, at 4 °C and 25 V. The membrane was blocked for 30 min with 50 mg/ml milk (Campina, Elk skimmed milk powder) in PBS/0.1% Tween (Sigma-Aldrich, 8.22184), followed by overnight incubation at

Table 1 Demographics and origin of tissue fragments

		tendons	patients
total	total (#)	41	36
	semitendinosus	30	
	gracilis	11	
male	total (#)	23	20
	semitendinosus	18	
	gracilis	5	
female	total (#)	18	16
	semitendinosus	12	
	gracilis	6	
age	average (y)	27.7	27.9
	std. deviation (y)	12.9	12.9
	maximum (y)		55
	minimum (y)		12




4 °C of the primary antibodies: rabbit anti-tenomodulin (Abcam, ab203676; 1:4000), rabbit anti- α SMA (Abcam, ab5694; 1:2000) and rat anti-tubulin (Novus Biologicals, NB600–506; 1:4000) in 50 mg/ml milk in PBS/0.1% Tween. The blot was incubated with the secondary antibodies: goat anti-rabbit HRP (Abcam, ab6721; 1:20,000) followed by rabbit anti-rat (Invitrogen, 61–9520; 1:20,000) in 5 mg/ml milk in PBS/Tween for 1 hour each. Finally, the blot was incubated for 2 min with Super

SignalWest Dura Extended Duration Substrate (Thermo-fisher, 34,075), and visualized with the Isogen ProXima. Protein band intensities on the Western blots were determined using a custom-made script in Matlab.

Gelatin zymography

For measuring MMP2 expression and activity using zymography, one snap-frozen micro-tissue per hamstring tendon tissue was lysed as for ELISA, and



centrifuged for 10 min at 2000 rpm at 4 °C. The supernatant was mixed 2:1 (v/v) with sample buffer (composition in [Supplementary material](#)), loaded in 10% polyacrylamide/10% gelatin gels (composition in [Supplementary material](#)), and separated using gel electrophoresis at 120 V for approximately 1.5 h. The gel was permeabilized using 2.5% Triton X-100 (Millipore, 1.08603) in MilliQ for 2 × 30 min, and rinsed overnight in substrate buffer (composition in [Supplementary material](#)), both at 37 °C. Afterwards, the gel was stained for 2 h at room temperature while gently shaking, followed by 2 × 30 min de-staining (composition of both solutions in [Supplementary material](#)). Gels were visualized with the Isogen ProXima. Active MMP2 (62 kDa) and inactive MMP2 (pro-MMP2; 72 kDa) band intensities were determined as for Western blot and normalized to global (image) background and local (gel) background intensity. The active fraction was expressed as the active MMP2 protein band intensity divided by the total amount, e.g. active and inactive MMP2 band intensities combined. Gelatin zymography is also suitable to visualize MMP9 (92 kDa) protein bands, but these were not visible in our experiments.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using R Commander [8]. For all read-outs normality was assessed with quantile-comparison plots. Multiple Regression Models were tested with age, gender and tendon type as independent variables and relative micro-tissue surface area after 48 h, pro-collagen I, tenomodulin, αSMA or active MMP2 protein expression or MMP2 active fraction as dependent variables. Similarly, for intact/released micro-

tissues as dependent variable, a Logistic Generalized Linear Model was tested with age, gender and tendon type as independent variables. In all analyses, the significance level was set at α = 0.05.

Results

Micro-tissues corresponding to younger patients compact faster and release from posts more often compared to older patients

Micro-tissues were produced with cells from 41 tendons obtained from 36 patients. For 2 tendons (#7: ♀ 27y semitendinosus; #24: ♂ 19y semitendinosus) these micro-tissues released from the posts already before the constraints of the outermost posts were released. For both patients, cells from the gracilis were not available, and therefore these patients could not be included in further analysis. Top-view micro-tissue surface areas corresponding to the remaining 39 tendons are shown in Figure S-1, and the surface area after 48 h relative to 0 h is depicted in Fig. 3a. This relative surface area was significantly dependent on age ($p = 0.012$), but not on gender ($p = 0.13$) or tendon ($p = 0.23$) (Table 2).

As can be observed in Fig. 3a and is indicated by the crosses (x) in Figure S-1, micro-tissues corresponding to 10 tendons from 9 patients released from the posts during the induced compaction, and the oldest patient this happened for was 27 years (Fig. 3b). Micro-tissues corresponding to the remaining 31 tendons from 27 patients remained intact over 48 h and were included in further analyses – as well as one single intact micro-tissue from patient #28. Whether micro-tissues were intact or released from the posts was significantly age-dependent




Table 2 Results multiple regression models. ^a Pseudo-R², since multiple R² is not defined for logistic regression

read-out	multiple regression model coefficients									multiple R ²
	age (years)			gender (♂ = 1)			tendon (semitend. = 1)			
	estimate	std. error	p-value	estimate	std. error	p-value	estimate	std. error	p-value	
intact/released	0.19	0.09	*0.042	1.6	1.0	0.10	-2.7	1.5	0.07	^a 0.30
surface area 48 h (%)	0.96	0.36	*0.012	15	9	0.13	-12	10	0.23	0.21
pro-collagen I (ng/ml)	-15	5	*0.01	145	144	0.32	-94	141	0.51	0.33
tenomodulin / tubulin (-)	0.0006	0.0012	0.64	-0.017	0.033	0.61	0.013	0.034	0.71	0.030
αSMA / tubulin (-)	0.023	0.034	0.50	1.1	0.9	0.24	-1.1	0.9	0.24	0.086
active MMP2 (A.U.)	-0.17	0.24	0.48	3.1	6.6	0.65	-0.4	6.7	0.95	0.039
MMP2 active fraction (-)	-0.0005	0.0011	0.64	-0.0009	0.031	0.98	-0.05	0.03	0.12	0.10

*p < 0.05

(p = 0.042), but independent of gender (p = 0.10) or tendon type (p = 0.07) (Table 2).

(p = 0.01), opposed to gender (p = 0.32) or tendon type (p = 0.51) (Table 2).

Pro-collagen I expression decreases with patient age


To determine patient-specific production of collagen I by the TDCs, human pro-collagen I protein expression in the lysed micro-tissues was quantified using ELISA (Fig. 4). Semi-quantified cell lysate contents – by means of protein band intensities in Western blots for house-keeping protein tubulin – are shown in Figure S-2C. Identified outliers (Figure S-2D) are in Fig. 4 indicated by the open symbols. There were only outliers with a tubulin protein band intensity below average.


Pro-collagen I content was up to 5 times higher for younger patients and dropped for older patients with an apparent threshold around 25 years. The age-dependency of pro-collagen amount was statistically significant

Inter-patient variations in αSMA and tenomodulin protein expression are independent of age

In order to investigate phenotypic properties of the TDCs in the micro-tissues, protein expression of tenogenic marker tenomodulin and myofibroblast marker αSMA were semi-quantitatively determined using Western blot. Western blot bands can be found in Figure S-2, and protein band intensities normalized to housekeeping protein tubulin are shown in Fig. 5.

Protein expression of tenomodulin (Fig. 5a) was remarkably low, with visible protein bands in only 2 samples, although an intensity signal was detected in the image analysis of all samples. Expression of αSMA (Fig. 5b) displayed up to 21-fold differences between






patients. Interestingly, higher expression of stress fiber protein αSMA did not seem to be associated with faster or stronger compaction of the micro-tissues. No significant dependencies on age (tenomodulin: *p* = 0.64; αSMA: *p* = 0.50), gender (*p* = 0.61; *p* = 0.24) or tendon type (*p* = 0.71; *p* = 0.24) were observed for either of the proteins (Table 2).

Active MMP2 is slightly more abundant in younger patients, whereas MMP2 active fraction shows little inter-patient variation

As a measure for matrix-degrading enzyme activity, MMP2 activity was determined using gelatinase zymography. MMP9 (92 kDa) protein bands were not visible. Zymograph bands of active MMP2 (62 kDa) and inactive



MMP2 (pro-MMP2; 72 kDa) are depicted in Figure S-3, and resulting calculated active MMP2 intensities and active fractions are shown in Fig. 6a and b, respectively. Active MMP2 intensity was independent of gender ($p = 0.65$) or tendon type ($p = 0.95$), and appeared slightly higher in younger patients, but this trend was non-significant ($p = 0.48$). Only minor inter-patient differences in active fractions were observed, and significant dependencies on age ($p = 0.64$), gender ($p = 0.98$) or tendon type ($p = 0.12$) were absent (Table 2).

Discussion

Reconstructive surgery upon ACL rupture – predominantly performed using the hamstring autograft – results in graft rupture in up to 10% of the patient population, increasing up to 30% of patients aged under 20 years. Inter-patient differences in tissue remodeling capacity, which are possibly age-related, can affect graft remodeling after surgery and therefore graft rupture risk. This creates opportunities for predicting patient-specific risk of graft rupture, but suitable predictors have not been identified yet. Therefore, the aims of this research were to assess patient-specific remodeling-related properties of patient TDCs from remnant tissue after ACL reconstructions with a hamstring tendon autograft, in an *in vitro* micro-tissue platform, and to identify potential patient-specific *in vitro* predictors for ACL graft rupture from these properties. For this purpose, tissue remodeling-related cellular properties of human hamstring TDCs were related to patient age, gender and type of hamstring tendon. TDCs were harvested from remnant tissue after ACL reconstructive surgeries and seeded in collagen I gels to form micro-tissues. After releasing micro-post constraints, the micro-tissues showed greater tissue compaction and even released from the remaining posts for some of the younger (≤ 27 years) patients. For the intact tissues, pro-collagen I, tenomodulin and α SMA expression, and MMP2 activity were determined. Pro-collagen I expression was significantly higher in cells from younger patients, with an apparent threshold around 25 years. Tenomodulin and α SMA expression varied between patients but were age-independent. Active MMP2 seemed slightly more abundant in younger patients, but MMP2 active fraction was almost equal for all patients. None of the read-outs was dependent on gender or tendon type.

Previous studies revealed intra-patient differences between the native ACL and hamstring tendon autograft before implantation, that converged after graft implantation [10, 23, 30, 46]. However, in order to identify potential predictors or risk factors for graft rupture, inter-patient variations of tissue properties need to be determined. To our knowledge, this is the first study comparing cellular properties of hamstring tendon autograft

tissue from a large group of patients undergoing an ACL reconstruction, and relating this to patient age, which revealed potential predictors for graft rupture. A similar research was conducted for the outcome of rotator cuff repair [37], where cell growth and collagen production were age-dependent, whereas gender had no effect. However, no correlation between cell properties and clinical outcome of the rotator cuff repair was detected.

Releasing post constraints from the *in vitro* system induced micro-tissue remodeling and compaction via an altered equilibrium of cellular contraction and extracellular matrix stiffness [39]. The fact that some micro-tissues released from the remaining posts indicates that those cells were more contractile, and remarkably, this only occurred for cells from younger (≤ 27 years) patients. Reduced tendon (cell) contractility with age has been described before in rodents [25, 26], and was mostly ascribed to higher numbers of (α SMA-expressing) myofibroblasts in the tissue [53], but α SMA expression was not associated with faster or more compaction in the present study. Increased cell contractility *in vivo* would intuitively increase graft tension. Higher initial graft tension provides better patient outcomes – although too high tension can over-constrain the joint [6]. However, a link between tension and graft rupture risk has not been described. Still, cell contractility *in vitro* might have predictive value for *in vivo* outcome.

Pro-collagen I expression by the TDCs in the micro-tissues – as a measure for collagen production – was significantly age-dependent, decreasing up to 5-fold for patients older than 25 years. Literature on this subject is inconclusive, reporting both increasing and decreasing collagen content or production with age, as well as absence of age-dependency, in tendons and other tissues [22, 29, 36, 47, 50]. Nevertheless, the age-related pro-collagen I expression in this research did reflect the age-dependency of graft rupture rates as reported in literature [52], but it seems counterintuitive that graft rupture risk may increase with higher collagen production. We speculate though, that higher collagen production can induce fibrosis formation, when synthesis exceeds degradation [54]. This seems plausible to occur in certain younger patients, considering an expected average balance between collagen synthesis and degradation in healthy remodeling [1], as well as the reported non-significant age-dependency of active MMP2 expression and almost constant MMP2 active fraction. Besides that, faster collagen turn-over might be at the expense of collagen organization and therefore reduce mechanical strength [35].

The phenotypic properties of the TDCs in the micro-tissues were determined by means of tenomodulin and α SMA protein expression. However, neither of the protein expression levels was dependent on age, gender or

tendon type. Particularly the independency of age, in contrast to the age-dependency of *in vivo* graft rupture described in literature, probably excludes these protein expression levels as *in vitro* predictor for *in vivo* graft rupture risk. It should be noted here that non-physiological *in vitro* culture conditions (i.e. high serum levels, hypercellularity and random cell morphology) can induce phenotypic drift of TDCs away from the tenogenic lineage [1, 49].

Statistically non-significant variations in active MMP2 protein band intensities following a decreasing trend with patient age were observed, implying inter-patient differences in protein expression. However, MMP2 active fraction was found almost equal in all patients. In previous research, increasing MMP2 expression [15, 56] and activity [31] with age were described in tendon and non-tendon tissues. Those samples were however directly obtained from human tissue (including inter-patient variation), whereas the homologous extracellular environment in our micro-tissues and presence of MMP2 in serum [4] may have evened out these parameters.

Whether the independent biological factors patient age, gender and tendon type (semitendinosus vs. gracilis) from this research are risk factors for *in vivo* graft rupture has been investigated before. Lower age is a well-known risk factor, and whereas the threshold is mostly set at 20 years [27, 52], intermediate re-rupture rates between 18 and 25 years have also been reported [43]. This matches the age-dependency we observed in procollagen I expression and micro-tissue release, with apparent thresholds around 25 and 27 years, respectively. Although female subjects are at higher risk of ACL rupture [45], this gender-dependency is not observed in graft rupture risk [40, 43], supporting our data where no gender-dependencies were detected in the biological properties of the TDCs from remnant autograft tissue. It has been reported before that use of a semitendinosus tendon alone or combined with a gracilis tendon as autograft does not affect *in vivo* graft rupture risk [40], in parallel to the absence of a significant difference between the tendon types in this study. The fact that remnant tissue from both the semitendinosus and the gracilis tendon was available for some patients, enabled intra-patient comparison of the cellular biological properties. Whether micro-tissues released from the posts or stayed intact was always equal for both tendons of the same patient. This underlined the patient-specificity of this read-out – independent of whether the semitendinosus tendon alone is used for the autograft or combined with the gracilis tendon – and consequently its potential as predictor for clinical outcome. For the other parameters, however, intra-patient variations were similar to inter-patient variations. This implies measurement

variations originate from tissue-specific rather than patient-specific cell properties.

A few limitations and side notes of the current study need to be considered. Biological properties of the resident cells of ACL autografts were examined in this research, however, many of these cells are lost in the early graft healing phase of remodeling, and the graft properties are affected by the invading cells afterwards [32]. Therefore, it may be useful for future research to also investigate biological, remodeling-related properties of synovial fluid-derived cells and possibly identify predictors for graft rupture. Similarly, it should be noted that the high protein expression of α SMA in our system can be ascribed to trans-differentiation of the TDCs, whereas this *in vivo* mostly originates from invading myofibroblasts [32]. Before the cell isolation procedure, the risk for infection was reduced by briefly submerging the tissue in an ethanol solution. This treatment may have affected cell viability, but we believe this was limited to the tissue surface, since the time span for ethanol penetration into tendon tissue is in the range of hours [2]. The cell isolation procedure itself might have affected TDC properties too, but this approach was chosen since it enabled a wider screening of remodeling-related cell properties and fair comparison of the read-outs for all patients in a controlled manner. However, the micro-tissues that released from the posts were excluded from subsequent read-outs, because the time span without micro-post constraints – and therefore an altered mechanical environment for the cells – varied largely between patients, and ranged from 2 h to 37 h. This is in the same order of magnitude or exceeding the production rates of α SMA (approximately 2 h [44]), collagen I and MMP2 (both approximately 2–4 h [5, 11]). Therefore, the cells could have adjusted synthesis of these proteins to the new mechanical environment before the experiment was terminated, and the corresponding read-outs could be dominated by the unconstrained situation, potentially leading to an unfair comparison with the constrained micro-tissues. In follow-up research, the loss of micro-tissues for subsequent read-outs due to release from the constraining posts might be circumvented by including TDCs cultured only in a two-dimensional environment, but also techniques able to measure the identified potential predictors of re-rupture directly in the remnant patient tissue should be considered. That would eliminate successful TDC isolation from the patient inclusion criteria, prevent released micro-tissues to be excluded from subsequent read-outs, and therefore allow for a larger total number of patients included.

Altogether the complex process of *in vivo* graft remodeling cannot be completely mimicked *in vitro* in the proposed model system. However, the main criterium for a read-out with predictive value is a strong correlation of

TDC properties with patient outcome – rather than mimicking the remodeling process. Despite the fact that more patients were included than in previous comparable studies [23, 37], re-rupture rates of up to 30% for younger patients and up to 10% for older patients which were reported in literature, translate into only a few possible clinical re-rupture cases that can be compared to our in vitro findings. Consequently, there might be insufficient statistical power to correlate in vitro read-outs to graft rupture. Therefore, correlations with other clinical outcomes such as knee stability examination by the orthopedic surgeon and patient reported outcome measures should be included.

Conclusions

In conclusion, remodeling-related biological differences between patient TDCs could be assessed with the in vitro micro-tissue platform, and micro-tissues releasing from the posts and pro-collagen I expression reflected the age-dependency of re-rupture rates – as described in literature – best. Therefore, these read-outs can be the starting point in the quest for potential predictors for identifying individual patients at risk for graft rupture.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40634-020-00266-2>.

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Top-view surface areas over time. Top-view surface areas over time of all micro-tissues. Micro-tissues releasing from the posts are indicated with a cross (x).

Additional file 2: Figure S2. Western blot protein bands. (A) Protein bands in Western blot for α SMA and tubulin (housekeeping protein). (B) Protein bands in Western blot for tenomodulin and tubulin (housekeeping protein). (C) Protein bands in Western blot for tubulin to check cell lysate content of ELISA-samples. (D) Boxplot of semi-quantified tubulin protein band intensities, with identified outliers.

Additional file 3: Figure S3. Zymograph bands. Protein bands in gelatinase zymography for active MMP2 and inactive (pro-)MMP2.

Additional file 4: Table S1. Patient data.

Additional file 5. Compositions.

Abbreviations

ACL: Anterior cruciate ligament; α SMA: α -smooth muscle actin; DPBS: Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline; ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; F12: Nutrient Mixture F-12 Ham; FBS: Fetal bovine serum; HG-DMEM: High-glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium; MMP: Matrix metalloproteinase; PBS: Phosphate buffered saline; pen-strep: Penicillin-streptomycin; TDC: Tendon-derived cell; VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

MvV and JNK performed the cell isolation, micro-tissue culture, imaging and analysis, Western blot and zymography. BvG, MCvdS and RPAJ provided the remnant hamstring tendon tissues. MvD performed the ELISA. MvV interpreted the in vitro data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript

manuscript. JF, KI and RPAJ supervised the project. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by the Eindhoven University of Technology and Máxima MC Eindhoven, but this research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Medical Ethical Committee Máxima MC declared that the rules laid down in Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Acts (WMO) do not apply to this project. The institutional review board approved the execution of this project (N16.148).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Orthopaedic Biomechanics, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, Building 15, Groene Loper, Gemini-Zuid 4.12, PO Box 513, 5600MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands. ²Institute for Complex Molecular Systems, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, the Netherlands. ³Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Máxima MC, Eindhoven, the Netherlands. ⁴Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Catharina Hospital Eindhoven, Eindhoven, the Netherlands. ⁵Fontys University of Applied Sciences, Eindhoven, the Netherlands.

Received: 1 April 2020 Accepted: 24 June 2020

Published online: 04 July 2020

References

- Ackermann PW, Renström P (2012) Tendinopathy in sport. *Sports Health* 4: 193–201
- Anastasescou M, Cornu O, Banse X, König J, Hassoun A, Delloye C (1998) Ethanol treatment of tendon allografts: a potential HIV inactivating procedure. *Int Orthop* 22:252–254
- Ardern CL, Webster KE, Taylor NF, Feller JA (2011) Return to sport following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the state of play. *Brit J Sport Med* 45:596–606
- Avolio C, Ruggieri M, Giuliani F, Liuzzi GM, Leante R, Riccio P, Livrea P, Trojano M (2003) Serum MMP-2 and MMP-9 are elevated in different multiple sclerosis subtypes. *J Neuroimmunol* 136:46–53
- Campa JS, McAnulty RJ, Laurent GJ (1990) Application of high-pressure liquid chromatography to studies of collagen production by isolated cells in culture. *Anal Biochem* 186:257–263
- DeFroda SF, Karamchedu NP, Budacki R, Wiley T, Fadale PD, Hulstyn MJ, Shalvoy RM, Badger GJ, Fleming BC, Owens BD (2020) Evaluation of graft tensioning effects in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction between hamstring and bone–patellar tendon bone autografts. *J Knee Surg.* <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-3402046>
- Foolen J, Wunderli SL, Loerakker S, Snedeker JG (2018) Tissue alignment enhances remodeling potential of tendon-derived cells—lessons from a novel microtissue model of tendon scarring. *Matrix Biol* 65:14–29
- Fox J (2005) The R commander: a basic-statistics graphical user interface to R. *J Stat Softw* 14:1–42
- Friedberg RP (2019) Anterior cruciate ligament injury. In: Fields KB, Grayzel J (eds) UpToDate Available via <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/anterior-cruciate-ligament-injury>. Accessed 24 Oct 2019
- Ghebes CA, Kelder C, Schot T, Renard AJ, Pakvis DF, Fernandes H, Saris DB (2017) Anterior cruciate ligament-and hamstring tendon-derived cells: in vitro differential properties of cells involved in ACL reconstruction. *J Tissue Eng Regen M* 11:1077–1088
- Goldman S, Lovett DH, Shalev E (2009) Mechanisms of matrix metalloproteinase-2 (mmp-2) transcriptional repression by progesterone in

- jar choriocarcinoma cells. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7827-7-41>
12. Goradia VK, Rochat MC, Kida M, Grana WA (2000) Natural history of a hamstring tendon autograft used for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in a sheep model. *Am J Sports Med* 28:40–46
 13. Grindem H, Snyder-Mackler L, Moksnes H, Engebretsen L, Risberg MA (2016) Simple decision rules can reduce reinjury risk by 84% after ACL reconstruction: the Delaware-Oslo ACL cohort study. *Brit J Sport Med* 50:804–808
 14. Grindem H, Engebretsen L, Axe M, Snyder-Mackler L, Risberg MA (2020) Activity and functional readiness, not age, are the critical factors for second anterior cruciate ligament injury—the Delaware-Oslo ACL cohort study. *Brit J Sport Med*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2019-100623>
 15. Guo L, Hussain AA, Limb GA, Marshall J (1999) Age-dependent variation in metalloproteinase activity of isolated human Bruch's membrane and choroid. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 40:2676–2682
 16. Janssen RPA, Van der Wijk J, Fiedler A, Schmidt T, Sala HA, Scheffler SU (2011) Remodelling of human hamstring autografts after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sport Tr A* 19:1299–1306
 17. Janssen RPA, Scheffler SU (2014) Intra-articular remodelling of hamstring tendon grafts after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sport Tr A* 22:2102–2108
 18. Janssen RPA, Scheffler SU (2018) Graft Remodeling & Ligamentization after ACL reconstruction. In: Prodomos CC (ed) *The anterior cruciate ligament: reconstruction and basic science*. Saunders, an Imprint of Elsevier, Inc, Philadelphia, pp 342–347
 19. Kabacoff RI (2015) R in action – data analysis and graphics with R. Manning, Shelter Island
 20. Kern M, Love D, Cotter EJ, Postma W (2016) Quadruple-bundle semitendinosus-gracilis graft technique for all-inside anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthrosc Tech* 5:e1317–e1320
 21. Komosinska-Vashev K, Olczyk P, Winsz-Szczotka K, Kuznik-Trocha K, Klimek K, Olczyk K (2011) Age- and gender-dependent changes in connective tissue remodeling: physiological differences in circulating MMP-3, MMP-10, TIMP-1 and TIMP-2 level. *Gerontology* 57:44–52
 22. Koshihara Y, Honda Y (1994) Age-related increase in collagen production in cultured human osteoblast-like periosteal cells. *Mech Ageing Dev* 74:89–101
 23. Lane JG, McFadden P, Bowden K, Amiel D (1993) The ligamentization process: a 4 year case study following ACL reconstruction with a semitendinosus graft. *Arthroscopy* 9:149–153
 24. Laurencin CT, Freeman JW (2005) Ligament tissue engineering: an evolutionary materials science approach. *Biomaterials* 26:7530–7536
 25. Lavagnino M, Gardner K, Amoczky SP (2013) Age-related changes in the cellular, mechanical, and contractile properties of rat tail tendons. *Connect Tissue Res* 54:70–75
 26. Lavagnino M, Bedi A, Walsh CP, Sibilsky Enselman ER, Sheibani-Rad S, Amoczky SP (2014) Tendon contraction after cyclic elongation is an age-dependent phenomenon: in vitro and in vivo comparisons. *Am J Sports Med* 42:1471–1477
 27. Löcherbach C, Zayni R, Chambat P, Sonnerly-Cottet B (2010) Biologically enhanced ACL reconstruction. *Orthop Traumatol-Sur* 96:810–815
 28. Mahapatra P, Horriat S, Anand BS (2018) Anterior cruciate ligament repair—past, present and future. *J Exp Orthop* 5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40634-018-0136-6>
 29. Mariotti A, Hassell T, Kaminker P (1993) The influence of age on collagen and non-collagen protein production by human gingival epithelial cells. *Arch Oral Biol* 38:635–640
 30. Marumo K, Saito M, Yamagishi T, Fujii K (2005) The “ligamentization” process in human anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with autogenous patellar and hamstring tendons: a biochemical study. *Am J Sports Med* 33:1166–1173
 31. McNulty M, Spiers P, McGovern E, Feely J (2005) Aging is associated with increased matrix metalloproteinase-2 activity in the human aorta. *Am J Hypertens* 18:504–509
 32. Min BH, Han MS, Woo JI, Park HJ, Park SR (2003) The origin of cells that repopulate patellar tendons used for reconstructing anterior cruciate ligaments in man. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 85:753–757
 33. Nagelli CV, Hewett TE (2017) Should return to sport be delayed until 2 years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction? Biological and functional considerations. *Sports Med* 47:221–232
 34. Nikolauou VS, Efstathopoulos N, Wredmark T (2007) Hamstring tendons regeneration after ACL reconstruction: an overview. *Knee Surg Sport Tr A* 15:153–160
 35. Nimni ME (1983) Collagen: structure, function, and metabolism in normal and fibrotic tissues. *Semin Arthritis Rheu*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0049-0172\(83\)90024-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0049-0172(83)90024-0)
 36. Parry DAD, Craig AS, Barnes GRG (1978) Tendon and ligament from the horse: an ultrastructural study of collagen fibrils and elastic fibres as a function of age. *P Roy Soc Lond B Bio* 203:293–303
 37. Pauly S, Stahnke K, Klatte-Schulz F, Wildemann B, Scheibel M, Greiner S (2015) Do patient age and sex influence tendon cell biology and clinical/radiographic outcomes after rotator cuff repair? *Am J Sports Med* 43:549–556
 38. Pauzenberger L, Syré S, Schurz M (2013) “Ligamentization” in hamstring tendon grafts after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review of the literature and a glimpse into the future. *Arthroscopy* 29:1712–1721
 39. Ristori T, Notermans TMW, Foolen J, Kurniawan NA, Bouten CVC, Baaijens FPT, Loerakker S (2018) Modelling the combined effects of collagen and cyclic strain on cellular orientation in collagenous tissues. *Sci Rep* 8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26989-y>
 40. Salmon L, Russell V, Musgrove T, Pinczewski L, Refshauge K (2005) Incidence and risk factors for graft rupture and contralateral rupture after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 21:948–957
 41. Scheffler SU, Unterhauser FN, Weiler A (2008) Graft remodeling and ligamentization after cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sport Tr A* 16:834–842
 42. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods* 9:671–675
 43. Shelbourne KD, Gray T, Haro M (2009) Incidence of subsequent injury to either knee within 5 years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with patellar tendon autograft. *Am J Sports Med* 37:246–251
 44. Springer ML, Ozawa CR, Blau HM (2002) Transient production of α -smooth muscle actin by skeletal myoblasts during differentiation in culture and following intramuscular implantation. *Cell Motil Cytoskel* 51:177–186
 45. Sugimoto D, Myer GD, Micheli LJ, Hewett TE (2015) ABCs of evidence-based anterior cruciate ligament injury prevention strategies in female athletes. *Curr Phys Med Rehabil Rep* 3:43–49
 46. Suzuki D, Takahashi M, Abe M, Nagano A (2008) Biochemical study of collagen and its crosslinks in the anterior cruciate ligament and the tissues used as a graft for reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. *Connect Tissue Res* 49:42–47
 47. Tuite DJ, Renström PAFH, O'Brien M (1997) The aging tendon. *Scand J Med Sci Spor* 7:72–77
 48. Vaalamo M (2000) *Matrix Metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in Normal and aberrant wound repair*. Helsinki: Dissertation, University of Helsinki
 49. Van Vijven M, Wunderli SL, Ito K, Snedeker JG, Foolen J (2020) Serum deprivation limits loss and promotes recovery of tenogenic phenotype in tendon cell culture systems. *J Orthop Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.24761>
 50. Varani J, Dame MK, Rittie L, Fligiel SE, Kang S, Fisher GJ, Voorhees JJ (2006) Decreased collagen production in chronologically aged skin: roles of age-dependent alteration in fibroblast function and defective mechanical stimulation. *Am J Pathol* 168:1861–1868
 51. Vavken P, Murray MM (2011) The potential for primary repair of the ACL. *Sports Med Arthrosc* 19:44–49
 52. Webster KE, Feller JA, Leigh WB, Richmond AK (2014) Younger patients are at increased risk for graft rupture and contralateral injury after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 42:641–647
 53. Weiss M, Unterhauser FN, Weiler A (2012) Crimp frequency is strongly correlated to myofibroblast density in the human anterior cruciate ligament and its autologous tendon grafts. *Knee Surg Sport Tr A* 20:889–895
 54. Wynn TA (2008) Cellular and molecular mechanisms of fibrosis. *J Pathol* 214: 199–210
 55. Xie X, Wu H, Zhao S, Xie G, Huangfu X, Zhao J (2013) The effect of platelet-rich plasma on patterns of gene expression in a dog model of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *J Surg Res* 180:80–88
 56. Yu TY, Pang JHS, Wu KPH, Chen MJ, Chen CH, Tsai WC (2013) Aging is associated with increased activities of matrix metalloproteinase-2 and-9 in tenocytes. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-14-2>

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.